WEBVTT

- NOTE duration:"00:54:20"
- NOTE recognizability:0.852
- NOTE language:en-us
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731
- $00:00:00.000 \dashrightarrow 00:00:04.105$  Good morning. So for those of you who
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731
- 00:00:04.105 -> 00:00:06.598 either can't see me or don't know me,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731
- 00:00:06.600 --> 00:00:09.911 I'm Eric Weiner and I'm really pleased
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731
- $00{:}00{:}09{.}911 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}13.557$  to be here to introduce Kathy Wu.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731
- $00:00:13.560 \rightarrow 00:00:18.600$  This is the inaugural lecture of what we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731
- $00{:}00{:}18.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}22.996$  hope will be a new series and many of us
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731
- $00{:}00{:}22.996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}25.598$  in the Cancer Center spent a lot of time
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731
- $00{:}00{:}25.598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}27.989$  thinking about how we want to do conferences.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731
- $00{:}00{:}27.989 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}31.101$  And we looked at attendance and we looked
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731
- 00:00:31.101 --> 00:00:34.048 at who goes to what and ultimately came
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731
- $00{:}00{:}34.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}36.960$  to the decision that Grand runs as it was,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731
- $00:00:36.960 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.452$  which is now trying to be in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731
- $00{:}00{:}39{.}452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}41{.}600$  person as much as possible,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:00:41.600 \rightarrow 00:00:43.440$  was largely attended by clinically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}00{:}43.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}44.912$  oriented people in population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}00{:}44{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}47{.}770$  scientists and people who are otherwise

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}00{:}47.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}50.406$  looking for lunch and or breakfast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}00{:}50{.}406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}54{.}125$  And and that there was really a need for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}00{:}54.125 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}56.995$  a conference that focused a bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}00{:}57{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}01{.}040$  on translational and basic questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:01:01.040 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.200$  And so after some thought,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}01{:}03.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}06.140$  a small committee of people that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

00:01:06.140 --> 00:01:09.040 included Katie Politi and Megan King

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}01{:}09{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}11{.}792$  came up with the idea of trying a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:01:11.792 \dashrightarrow 00:01:14.239$  conference like this on a monthly basis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:01:14.240 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.236$  And this is the first of those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}01{:}17{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}19{.}832$  So I'm really pleased to have Kathy Wu here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

00:01:19.840 --> 00:01:22.996 I've known Kathy for many years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}01{:}23.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}26.924$  She was a fellow at Dana Farber and of

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731
- $00{:}01{:}26{.}924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}30{.}856$  course it's still with Dana Farber when

 $00{:}01{:}30.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}35.056$  I was a substantially younger attending.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:01:35.056 \dashrightarrow 00:01:39.440$  And in fact we worked together in clinic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:01:39.440 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.278$  yes, briefly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}01{:}40.278 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}43.630$  She dabbled a little bit in seeing a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}01{:}43.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}47.160$  patient with breast cancer or one or two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:01:47.160 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.520$  And so I've known Kathy now for 20

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:01:50.520 \rightarrow 00:01:53.805$  plus years and Kathy has built really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}01{:}53.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}57.480$  a phenomenal career at at Dana Farber.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:01:57.480 \longrightarrow 00:01:59.960$  Her own interests are broad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

00:01:59.960 --> 00:02:01.600 I learned last night something

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

00:02:01.600 --> 00:02:02.912 I didn't know before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}02{:}02{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}05{.}349$  which is that she even had an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}02{:}05{.}349 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}07{.}898$  interest in sickle cell disease and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}02{:}07{.}898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}09{.}806$  the rapeutic approaches to sickle

00:02:09.806 --> 00:02:12.040 cell disease way back when,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

00:02:12.040 - 00:02:14.070 but ultimately decided that some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}02{:}14.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}16.680$  some amount of focus was needed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

00:02:16.680 --> 00:02:18.960 And her interests have really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:02:18.960 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.240$  focused on immunotherapy and Col.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:02:21.240 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.836$  and and beyond that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}02{:}23.836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}27.081$  the development of vaccines and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}02{:}27.081 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}30.639$  and tumor specific vaccines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}02{:}30{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}33{.}040$  She is presently a Professor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

00:02:33.040 --> 00:02:35.120 Medicine at Harvard Medical School

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}02{:}35{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}38{.}480$  and the chief of the Division of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

00:02:38.480 --> 00:02:40.160 Let Me See If I Get This Right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}02{:}40.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}42.092$  Stem cell transplantation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:02:42.092 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.507$  cellular the rapies at the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

00:02:44.507 --> 00:02:46.580 Dana Farber Cancer Institute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:02:46.580 \rightarrow 00:02:51.400$  So it's really a pleasure to have you here.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731
- $00:02:51.400 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.425$  We're all looking forward to

 $00:02:53.425 \rightarrow 00:02:55.840$  your talk on largely on CLL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

00:02:55.840 --> 00:02:57.676 And thanks so much for coming.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}02{:}57{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}58{.}592$  We had I will,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:02:58.592 \rightarrow 00:03:01.191$  I will just say that a small group of us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:03:01.191 \dashrightarrow 00:03:03.680$  had a great dinner with Kathy last night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:03:03.680 \rightarrow 00:03:07.520$  And in addition to being a great scientist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00:03:07.520 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.080$  she's also just a delightful

NOTE Confidence: 0.8770731

 $00{:}03{:}09{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}10.640$  person to have dinner with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826064656666667

 $00:03:19.240 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.120$  Well, it's really an honor to be here

NOTE Confidence: 0.8260646566666667

00:03:22.120 --> 00:03:26.120 and and happy New Year, Happy Snow day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826064656666667

 $00:03:26.120 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.000$  Thank you everyone in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8260646566666667

 $00{:}03{:}28{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}29{.}880$  room for trudging in this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8260646566666667

 $00{:}03{:}29{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}33{.}680$  It's really great to see you in person

NOTE Confidence: 0.826064656666667

 $00{:}03{:}33{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}36{.}833$  and and also to all the folks out in Zoom.

 $00:03:36.840 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.919$  I hope this is a successful series

NOTE Confidence: 0.8260646566666667

00:03:38.919 --> 00:03:41.132 because I do think that the intersection

NOTE Confidence: 0.8260646566666667

 $00{:}03{:}41.132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}43.364$  between the clinical and the basic

NOTE Confidence: 0.826064656666667

 $00:03:43.364 \rightarrow 00:03:46.344$  and really kind of being able to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.826064656666667

 $00{:}03{:}46{.}344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}47{.}784$  at the translational opportunities

NOTE Confidence: 0.8260646566666667

 $00:03:47.784 \rightarrow 00:03:49.976$  that are afforded by the patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.826064656666667

 $00:03:49.976 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.228$  we treat in the study are are are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8260646566666667

 $00:03:52.228 \rightarrow 00:03:54.040$  immense and so and very rewarding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826064656666667

00:03:54.040 - 00:03:58.240 So and as as Eric said I I do have many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8260646566666667

 $00:03:58.240 \rightarrow 00:03:59.720$  many different different interests.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826064656666667

 $00:03:59.720 \dashrightarrow 00:04:03.636$  I think that's a hallmark of a of a happy MD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826064656666667

 $00{:}04{:}03.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}05.901$  So like we we're interested in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8260646566666667

 $00:04:05.901 \dashrightarrow 00:04:08.256$  lot of things and and thank you for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8260646566666667

 $00:04:08.256 \rightarrow 00:04:09.900$  giving me the opportunity to maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.826064656666667

 $00{:}04{:}09{.}953 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}11{.}280$  share some of the work that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.8260646566666667

00:04:11.280 --> 00:04:15.170 been doing in CLL Genomics. OK.

 $00:04:15.170 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.120$  So we'll start.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826064656666667

 $00:04:17.120 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.480$  Let's see

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:04:27.160 --> 00:04:29.800 here we go. Disclosure slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:04:29.800 --> 00:04:31.396 OK, I thought I'd start here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}04{:}31{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}34{.}460$  which is you know I think just a a

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}04{:}34{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}36{.}678$  challenge to all of us in the cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}04{:}36.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}38.351$  community whether or not we study

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:04:38.351 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.439$  CLL or not is really the challenge of

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}04{:}40{.}505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}42{.}437$  tumor heterogeneity and evolution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:04:42.440 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.906$  This has really been kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:04:44.906 --> 00:04:47.320 understood for quite some time now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}04{:}47{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}49{.}427$  made ever more clear through all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}04{:}49{.}427 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}51{.}440$  genomic studies that have been out there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}04{:}51{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}54{.}177$  But we know for sure that cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}04{:}54{.}177 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}56{.}280$  is a heterogeneous population,

 $00:04:56.280 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.320$  for better or for worse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:04:57.320 --> 00:04:57.650 Unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:04:57.650 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.960$  by the time that we are diagnosing

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:04:59.960 \rightarrow 00:05:01.040$  patients with cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}05{:}01{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}03{.}301$  we're really here at the time of

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:05:03.301 --> 00:05:05.600 escape where there's already so many

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}05{:}05{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}06{.}863$  different resistance mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:05:06.863 --> 00:05:09.183 that have really come into play

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:05:09.183 --> 00:05:11.185 that make the tumor fit to expand

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}05{:}11.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}14.120$  and grow in the patient host.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}05{:}14.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}15.405$  We also increasingly know that

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}05{:}15{.}405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}17{.}159$  this is not happening in a vacuum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}05{:}17.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}18.492$  that there's an interaction

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:05:18.492 --> 00:05:20.157 with the host immune system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:05:20.160 --> 00:05:20.666 But again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:05:20.666 \rightarrow 00:05:22.437$  by the time that we're seeing patients,

 $00:05:22.440 \rightarrow 00:05:24.780$  there's so many different immune based

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:05:24.780 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.360$  escape mechanisms that are at play as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:05:27.360 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.176$  And so a lot of the questions that

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}05{:}29{.}176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}31{.}091$  I think as a field that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:05:31.091 \rightarrow 00:05:32.496$  really interested in asking is

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:05:32.554 \rightarrow 00:05:34.714$  not only this question of tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:05:34.714 - > 00:05:35.794 heterogeneity and evolution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:05:35.800 \rightarrow 00:05:38.278$  but also how do we understand this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}05{:}38.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}39.630$  these heterogeneous tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}05{:}39.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}41.880$  microenvironments are T cells there

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:05:41.880 \dashrightarrow 00:05:44.838$  at the right place at the right time?

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}05{:}44.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}46.770$  How are we responding to

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:05:46.770 \rightarrow 00:05:47.431$  diverse immunotherapies?

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}05{:}47{.}431 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}49{.}870$  And then what is the role of a tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:05:49.930 \rightarrow 00:05:51.880$  antigen in shaping the tumor response?

 $00:05:51.880 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.609$  I'm not going to talk today so

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}05{:}53.609 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}55.184$  much until the very, very end,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:05:55.184 --> 00:05:56.948 but this is a very large area

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:05:56.948 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.520$  of interest in my group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}05{:}58{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}59{.}288$  And as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}05{:}59{.}288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}00{.}440$  I'm going to focus on chronic

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:06:00.484 --> 00:06:01.400 lymphocytic leukemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:06:01.400 \dashrightarrow 00:06:05.080$  which honestly the questions that I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:06:05.080 \rightarrow 00:06:07.960$  asking could be in any sort of tumor system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:06:07.960 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.216$  But CLL really has a lot of very

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}06{:}10.216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}11.813$  unique features about the disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}06{:}11.813 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}13.428$  that have made it exceptional

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:06:13.428 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.199$  for the study of genomics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:06:15.200 --> 00:06:16.920 First,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:06:16.920 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.928$  in a small tube of blood you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:06:18.928 \rightarrow 00:06:21.365$  very pure tumor that can is readily

- NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852
- $00:06:21.365 \rightarrow 00:06:23.235$  accessible directly from the patients.

 $00{:}06{:}23.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}24.997$  The other thing is for a cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:06:25.000 \rightarrow 00:06:26.488$  it's quite indolent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}06{:}26{.}488 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}28{.}910$  And So what that means is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:06:28.910 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.340$  we really have really long

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:06:30.401 -> 00:06:32.117 disease histories of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:06:32.120 \dashrightarrow 00:06:35.018$  We can really take snapshots in

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}06{:}35{.}018 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}37{.}733$  time and study evolution in real

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:06:37.733 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.552$  time along with the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:06:39.552 --> 00:06:41.760 And so for some time now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:06:41.760 \rightarrow 00:06:43.180$  our group together with colleagues

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}06{:}43.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}44.316$  in the Boston area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:06:44.320 \rightarrow 00:06:45.750$  we've actually had this program

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}06{:}45.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}47.457$  where we've been trying to study

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:06:47.457 \dashrightarrow 00:06:49.080$  the link from genome to phenome.

00:06:49.080 --> 00:06:51.798 How can we genomically characterize CLL, NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852 00:06:51.800 --> 00:06:53.594 how can we understand the clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}06{:}53{.}594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}55{.}517$  course in response to the rapy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:06:55.517 \rightarrow 00:06:57.509$  then how can we also functionally

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}06{:}57{.}509 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}59{.}190$  characterize the pathway dependencies and

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:06:59.190 \dashrightarrow 00:07:01.825$  really thinking about how we can do better. NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}07{:}01.825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}04.905$  So what I'm going to talk about today

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:07:04.905 \rightarrow 00:07:08.125$  is update the group on recent genomic

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

00:07:08.125 --> 00:07:11.164 studies and CLL driver discovery bid

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}07{:}11.164 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}13.992$  on our efforts in looking at tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}07{:}13.992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}16.278$  heterogeneity in our CLL GEM models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00{:}07{:}16.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}18.428$  And then just a few perspectives

NOTE Confidence: 0.76337852

 $00:07:18.428 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.860$  of where we're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.964556758181818

 $00:07:19.930 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.440$  next in terms of the genomics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.964556758181818

 $00:07:21.440 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.960$  Again, as I said this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.964556758181818

 $00:07:23.960 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.005$  very in general for cancer an

00:07:27.005 --> 00:07:29.080 indolent disease, it's typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:07:31.440 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.788$  marked initially by what

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00{:}07{:}32.788 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}34.473$  we call watch and wait.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:07:34.480 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.040$  So there can be a long lead time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:07:37.040 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.208$  but ultimately with treatment there can

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:07:40.208 \rightarrow 00:07:43.080$  be cycles of recurrence that happen

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:07:43.080 \rightarrow 00:07:44.680$  with shorter and shorter intervals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:07:44.680 \rightarrow 00:07:46.360$  much like what we see in other tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00{:}07{:}46.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}48.502$  I think a question that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:07:48.502 \rightarrow 00:07:50.366$  always fascinated people in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00{:}07{:}50.366 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}52.550$  field is how do we understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

00:07:52.550 --> 00:07:54.360 who progresses faster or slower?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00{:}07{:}54.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}56.208$  And what I mean by that is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00{:}07{:}56.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}58.084$  there are some patients who succumb

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00{:}07{:}58.084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}00.112$  to their disease within two years.

 $00{:}08{:}00{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}01{.}695$  There are others that can have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00{:}08{:}01.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}03.348$  little bit of the rapy here and there

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:08:03.348 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.080$  go on for more than 1015 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:08:05.080 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.352$  So why is that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00{:}08{:}06{.}352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}07{.}942$  What are the differences between

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00{:}08{:}07{.}942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}10{.}011$  the patients despite all their

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:08:10.011 \rightarrow 00:08:11.699$  cells looking relatively similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:08:11.699 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.280$  under the microscope?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00{:}08{:}13.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}15.416$  And so for since for ever there

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:08:15.416 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.617$  has been a long effort to try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00{:}08{:}18.617 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}21.167$  understand those markers that we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00{:}08{:}21{.}251 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}23{.}956$  use to distinguish amongst patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:08:23.960 \rightarrow 00:08:25.600$  initially looking at clinical features,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:08:25.600 \rightarrow 00:08:26.100$  protein markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:08:26.100 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.475$  But I would say over the past 10-15 years

NOTE Confidence: 0.868007497777778

 $00:08:28.475 \rightarrow 00:08:30.407$  since there's been the next generation

 $00:08:30.407 \rightarrow 00:08:32.200$  sequencing that's been available to us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:08:32.200 \rightarrow 00:08:33.988$  there's really been an explosion of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00{:}08{:}33{.}988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}35{.}829$  knowledge in terms of the genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:08:35.829 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.593$  alterations later on top of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:08:37.600 \dashrightarrow 00:08:39.440$  the transcriptional alterations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00{:}08{:}39{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}41{.}625$  even the epigenetic alterations so

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:08:41.625 \dashrightarrow 00:08:44.040$  that we can understand what's going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:08:44.040 \rightarrow 00:08:46.026$  This slide really summarizes a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00{:}08{:}46.026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}48.590$  of work that has been done since

NOTE Confidence: 0.868007497777778

 $00:08:48.590 \rightarrow 00:08:51.320$  next generation sequencing has come upon us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:08:51.320 \rightarrow 00:08:53.959$  I would say that the first studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

00:08:53.959 --> 00:08:58.020 in genomics arrived around 2010,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00{:}08{:}58{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}59{.}240 \ 2011.$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00{:}08{:}59{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}01{.}915$  We were among the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

00:09:01.915 --> 00:09:03.799 to describe mutated SF3B1.

 $00:09:03.799 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.473$  So a splicing factor that kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00{:}09{:}05{.}473 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}07{.}399$  of came out of the sequencing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00{:}09{:}07{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}10{.}018$  No one had until then kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

00:09:10.018 --> 00:09:12.361 puts altered splicing and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:09:12.361 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.077$  lymphoid malignancies together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

00:09:14.080 --> 00:09:17.080 There's been large scale studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:09:17.080 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.208$  in looking at clonal evolution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00{:}09{:}19{.}208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}22{.}410$  So again CLL was one of the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:09:22.410 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.336$  places that studied really this kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

00:09:25.336 --> 00:09:28.479 concept of clonally evolving subpopulations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00{:}09{:}28.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}31.552$  And then and you can see initially our

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00{:}09{:}31.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}34.550$  studies were about 100 patients and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

00:09:34.550 --> 00:09:38.078 around 2015 about 500 patients per cohort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:09:38.080 \rightarrow 00:09:39.627$  What I'm going to describe for you

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00{:}09{:}39{.}627 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}41{.}461$  now is our recent work trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.868007497777778

 $00:09:41.461 \rightarrow 00:09:43.141$  put together all of these different

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778
- $00:09:43.192 \dashrightarrow 00:09:44.632$  studies together so that we could
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778
- $00:09:44.632 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.728$  get a cohort of more than 1000.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778
- $00:09:46.728 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.360$  I want to say that during this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778
- $00:09:49.451 \rightarrow 00:09:51.670$  time that we've kind of performed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778
- $00:09:51.670 \dashrightarrow 00:09:53.320$  these sort of genomic studies,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778
- $00{:}09{:}53.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}56.001$  there has been vast changes in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778
- $00:09:56.001 \rightarrow 00:09:58.000$  therapeutic landscape of CLL therapy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778
- $00:09:58.000 \rightarrow 00:10:03.670$  So whereas previously it was very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778
- $00:10:03.670 \rightarrow 00:10:05.520$  standard to get chemo immunotherapy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778
- $00{:}10{:}05{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}07{.}590$  I would say that in the in the same
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778
- $00:10:07.590 \rightarrow 00:10:09.672$  time that time frame that I'm speaking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778
- $00{:}10{:}09{.}672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}11{.}339$  there has been the introduction
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778
- $00{:}10{:}11{.}339 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}13{.}399$  of targeted inhibitors of BCL,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778
- $00{:}10{:}13.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}18.120$  two of the B cell receptor signaling
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778
- $00:10:18.120 \rightarrow 00:10:20.280$  and also introduction of immunotherapy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:10:20.280 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.360$  So the really big changes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

00:10:22.360 --> 00:10:24.341 you know as we start to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:10:24.341 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.320$  about the the genomic lesions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:10:26.320 \rightarrow 00:10:29.956$  So how do we build an integrative CLL map?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

00:10:29.960 --> 00:10:30.416 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:10:30.416 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.696$  we joined forces between our

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00{:}10{:}32.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}34.987$  colleagues in North America but

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:10:34.987 \rightarrow 00:10:37.327$  also with our colleagues in Spain

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00:10:37.327 \rightarrow 00:10:41.360$  and Germany and together collected

NOTE Confidence: 0.86800749777778

 $00{:}10{:}41.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}43.076$  cases for which there was exomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

00:10:43.080 --> 00:10:44.760 genomes, RNA sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:10:44.760 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.440$  and methylation profiling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:10:46.440 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.768$  And there was a nice overlap of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:10:49.768 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.329$  different platforms in in several hundreds

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:10:52.329 \rightarrow 00:10:55.060$  of patients samples that we collected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00{:}10{:}55{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}58{.}706$  And this is a kind of a

- NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333
- 00:10:58.706 --> 00:11:00.153 intimidating commute plot,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333
- 00:11:00.153 --> 00:11:02.064 but I think it just speaks of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333
- $00:11:02.064 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.919$  a number of different things.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333
- $00{:}11{:}03{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}06{.}118$  First, I want to acknowledge the young
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333
- $00:11:06.118 \rightarrow 00:11:08.757$  people who were the leaders of this project.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333
- 00:11:08.760 --> 00:11:10.998 It was really an international collaboration.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333
- $00{:}11{:}11{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}14{.}280$  So I had the pleasure of working with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333
- 00:11:14.280 --> 00:11:16.943 Binyamin Nisbacher and Ziao Lin and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333
- 00:11:16.943 --> 00:11:19.143 Gaddy Goetz's group computational gurus
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333
- $00:11:19.143 \rightarrow 00:11:22.077$  and then Cindy Hahn from Dana Farber.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333
- $00:11:22.080 \rightarrow 00:11:25.048$  Awesome lymphoma oriented fellow
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333
- $00{:}11{:}25{.}048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}27{.}274$  and then Ferran,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333
- 00:11:27.280 --> 00:11:30.880 Nadeau and Marty from the group in Spain,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333
- 00:11:30.880 --> 00:11:33.160 the Spanish CLL group in Barcelona,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333
- 00:11:33.160 --> 00:11:33.516 Barcelona.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:11:33.516 \rightarrow 00:11:36.008$  And then when we looked at these

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:11:36.008 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.199$  more than 1000 patient samples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:11:38.200 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.461$  in fact we were able to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

00:11:41.461 -> 00:11:42.143 greater sensitivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00{:}11{:}42{.}143 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}44{.}530$  In the magenta are all the new

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:11:44.594 \longrightarrow 00:11:46.238$  drivers that we identified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

00:11:46.240 --> 00:11:49.620 So each row is a driver alteration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:11:49.620 \rightarrow 00:11:52.661$  each column is a different case and

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:11:52.661 \rightarrow 00:11:54.650$  what you can see is in fact there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:11:54.714 \rightarrow 00:11:56.740$  a a list of recurrent alterations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00{:}11{:}56{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}58{.}600$  but a long tail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

00:11:58.600 --> 00:12:01.138 You can see that a lot of our discovery

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:12:01.138 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.000$  is down here at the one 1% or less level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

00:12:04.000 --> 00:12:04.640 So many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

00:12:04.640 --> 00:12:08.034 many different sort of driver

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:12:08.034 \rightarrow 00:12:10.804$  alterations that we had greater

00:12:10.804 --> 00:12:13.526 sensitivity to identify because of

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00{:}12{:}13.526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}16.040$  the increased power of our cohort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:12:16.040 \rightarrow 00:12:18.792$  Just to make a really beautiful

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:12:18.792 \rightarrow 00:12:21.000$  Long story short,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00{:}12{:}21.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}23.338$  we were able to double the number

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:12:23.338 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.765$  of CLL drivers that we were able

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

00:12:25.765 - 00:12:26.888 to identify previously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:12:26.888 \rightarrow 00:12:29.434$  There were about 10% of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:12:29.434 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.319$  that we couldn't account for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:12:31.320 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.904$  There wasn't any sort of driver

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:12:32.904 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.227$  alteration that we could point

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00{:}12{:}34{.}227 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}35{.}746$  to that was this is the reason

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00{:}12{:}35{.}746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}37{.}314$  that they have CLL and we've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00{:}12{:}37{.}314 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}38{.}960$  able to close that gap so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00{:}12{:}38{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}41{.}520$  there's only by now 3.8% that we

 $00:12:41.520 \rightarrow 00:12:44.403$  can't account for the two large

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:12:44.403 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.289$  categories of CLL that are well

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00{:}12{:}47.289 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}50.564$  known in the clinical arena on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:12:50.564 \rightarrow 00:12:53.096$  basis of their immunoglobulin locus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

00:12:53.096 --> 00:12:56.036 the mutated and unmutated IGHV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:12:56.040 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.032$  We finally had enough power to

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00{:}12{:}58.032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}59.749$  actually break those two groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00{:}12{:}59{.}749 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}01{.}989$  apart and look and look at them

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00{:}13{:}01{.}989 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}03{.}887$  separately and they really look

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:13:03.887 \rightarrow 00:13:05.475$  like very different diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00{:}13{:}05{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}08{.}078$  They each have distinct molecular landscapes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:13:08.080 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.800$  It highlights the diverse

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:13:09.800 \rightarrow 00:13:11.520$  trajectories of clonal evolution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:13:11.520 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.182$  So maybe by virtue of where

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

00:13:13.182 --> 00:13:14.680 you start as AB cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:13:14.680 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.246$  maybe there's a path of different

- NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333
- $00:13:16.246 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.751$  paths of least resistance that gets
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333
- $00:13:17.751 \rightarrow 00:13:19.193$  you to where you're going to be.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333
- $00:13:19.200 \rightarrow 00:13:21.126$  And what was super interesting is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333
- $00:13:21.126 \rightarrow 00:13:23.678$  that at least for the unmutated CLLS,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333
- $00:13:23.680 \rightarrow 00:13:26.165$  their their source of heterogeneity
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333
- $00{:}13{:}26.165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}27.159$  was genetic.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333
- $00{:}13{:}27{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}29{.}312$  There was a lot of lot more putative
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333
- $00:13:29.312 \rightarrow 00:13:31.118$  drivers in this unmutated group,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333
- $00:13:31.120 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.960$  but in the mutated group,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333
- $00:13:33.960 \rightarrow 00:13:35.013$  relatively few drivers,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333
- $00:13:35.013 \rightarrow 00:13:37.119$  but a lot of transcriptional diversity.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333
- $00{:}13{:}37{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}39{.}352$  So really a different path to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333
- $00:13:39.352 \rightarrow 00:13:41.920$  achieving that type of heterogeneity.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333
- $00{:}13{:}41{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}44{.}629$  And then what I want to show you is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333
- $00{:}13{:}44.629 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}46.988$  that when we looked at the expression,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

00:13:46.988 --> 00:13:47.764 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:13:47.764 \rightarrow 00:13:50.150$  Benjamin was able to identify what

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00{:}13{:}50{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}52{.}640$  he called E CS expression clusters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00{:}13{:}52{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}54{.}320$  And then the nomenclature here is some

NOTE Confidence: 0.890277493333333

 $00:13:54.320 \rightarrow 00:13:56.359$  of them were enriched for M for mutated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00{:}13{:}56{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}57{.}482$  some for unmutated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:13:57.482 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.611$  And what you can see is that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:14:00.611 \rightarrow 00:14:02.440$  actually breaks down the group's

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:14:02.440 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.993$  more or less based on mutated on

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:14:04.993 \rightarrow 00:14:06.918$  mutator or by their epigenetics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00{:}14{:}06{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}08{.}600$  But you can also see by the fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00{:}14{:}08.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}10.235$  that there's two colors within each

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264830316666667

 $00{:}14{:}10{.}235 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}11{.}685$  column that there was contribution

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264830316666667

 $00{:}14{:}11.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}13.742$  from both mutated and unmutated to

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:14:13.742 \rightarrow 00:14:15.114$  these different expression clusters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:14:15.120 \rightarrow 00:14:17.598$  And one example in one one.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- $00:14:17.600 \rightarrow 00:14:19.232$  One thing that was really interesting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- $00{:}14{:}19{.}232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}21{.}860$  is that by the yellow asterisks we could
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- $00{:}14{:}21{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}23{.}715$  see that certain genetic alterations
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- $00:14:23.715 \rightarrow 00:14:25.404$  actually also segregated together
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9264830316666667
- $00:14:25.404 \rightarrow 00:14:27.080$  with these expression clusters,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- $00:14:27.080 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.845$  suggesting that they were a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9264830316666667
- 00:14:28.845 00:14:30.610 cohesive entity each of these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- $00:14:30.681 \rightarrow 00:14:32.335$  different expression cluster group.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9264830316666667
- $00:14:32.335 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.160$  So for example trisomy 12,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- $00:14:34.160 \rightarrow 00:14:37.485$  which is a very well known cytogenetic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- 00:14:37.485 --> 00:14:39.280 abnormality associated with CLL,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- $00:14:39.280 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.210$  but for which there's great
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9264830316666667
- $00{:}14{:}41{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}43{.}140$  heterogeneity in kind of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- $00{:}14{:}43{.}214 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}45{.}559$  behavior of those trisomy twelves.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- 00:14:45.560 --> 00:14:47.954 They actually split out into two groups,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

- $00{:}14{:}47{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}49{.}835$  one that's in a more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- 00:14:49.835 --> 00:14:50.960 predominantly unmutated group,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- $00:14:50.960 \rightarrow 00:14:52.760$  another in a predominantly mutated group.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- $00:14:52.760 \rightarrow 00:14:55.760$  And this maybe provides us with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- $00:14:55.760 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.200$  some understanding for why some
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- $00{:}14{:}58{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}00{.}450$  samples with the same sort of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9264830316666667
- $00:15:00.450 \rightarrow 00:15:01.774$  cytogenetics might behave differently.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- $00:15:01.774 \rightarrow 00:15:03.783$  And what was super interesting is when
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- $00{:}15{:}03.783 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}06.037$  when Benjamin started to look at these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9264830316666667
- $00{:}15{:}06.037 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}07.313$  different expression cluster groups,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- $00:15:07.320 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.032$  they actually did display
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9264830316666667
- $00:15:09.032 \rightarrow 00:15:10.744$  different clinical outcome because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- $00:15:10.744 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.878$  we had very long clinical.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9264830316666667
- 00:15:12.880 --> 00:15:14.740 These were also clinically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9264830316666667
- $00:15:14.740 \longrightarrow 00:15:16.600$  annotated samples as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- $00:15:16.600 \rightarrow 00:15:17.874$  And this is just kind of the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- 00:15:17.874 --> 00:15:19.118 final data slide related to this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- $00{:}15{:}19{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}21{.}535$  which is indeed when we kind of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- $00{:}15{:}21.535 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}23.504$  breakdown the samples based on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9264830316666667
- $00{:}15{:}23.504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}25.240$  their classical clinical group,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9264830316666667
- $00:15:25.240 \dashrightarrow 00:15:26.760$  based on the expression clusters,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- $00:15:26.760 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.225$  whether they were concordant or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9264830316666667
- 00:15:29.225 --> 00:15:31.197 discordant to that classification,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- $00:15:31.200 \rightarrow 00:15:33.984$  we could actually see differences in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9264830316666667
- $00:15:33.984 \rightarrow 00:15:35.840$  their clinical outcomes suggesting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- $00:15:35.907 \rightarrow 00:15:38.157$  that our expression cluster system
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- $00:15:38.157 \rightarrow 00:15:40.280$  was actually increasing the accuracy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- $00:15:40.280 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.520$  of what we're trying to do in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- $00:15:42.520 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.320$  terms of prognostication.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- $00:15:44.320 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.240$  So we've been really excited to,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- $00:15:46.240 \rightarrow 00:15:47.440$  I mean this is really,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:15:47.440 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.064$  this was really a Tour de force

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:15:49.064 \rightarrow 00:15:50.438$  effort to bring together not

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:15:50.438 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.993$  only all these different groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:15:51.993 \rightarrow 00:15:53.480$  together and their expertise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:15:53.480 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.000$  but also to layer on all of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00{:}15{:}57{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}59{.}440$  different genomic layers to kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:15:59.440 \rightarrow 00:16:00.988$  identify unique molecular subtypes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264830316666667

00:16:00.988 --> 00:16:04.079 And I do want to say that this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:16:04.080 \rightarrow 00:16:06.072$  these studies were samples that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:16:06.072 \rightarrow 00:16:08.798$  collected in the era of chemo immunotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:16:08.800 \rightarrow 00:16:11.635$  We are actively trying to look now

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264830316666667

00:16:11.635 - 00:16:14.753 how these relate to the modern era

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:16:14.753 \rightarrow 00:16:16.819$  of targeted inhibition and we also

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:16:16.819 \rightarrow 00:16:18.450$  are interested in in trying to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00{:}16{:}18{.}506 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}20{.}324$  at whether or not the different

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:16:20.324 \rightarrow 00:16:22.430$  molecular subtypes have differences

- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- $00:16:22.430 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.000$  in the rapeutic vulnerabilities.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- $00{:}16{:}26.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}28.008$  Now I think you know as we've gotten
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- $00{:}16{:}28.008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}29.598$  better with our the rapies we we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- $00:16:29.598 \rightarrow 00:16:31.428$  always have to kind of reckon what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9264830316666667
- $00{:}16{:}31{.}428 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}33{.}080$  is the area of most unmet need.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- 00:16:33.080 --> 00:16:35.330 And I think right now clinically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9264830316666667
- $00:16:35.330 \rightarrow 00:16:38.132$  for the for CLL there are so many
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- $00:16:38.132 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.158$  different therapies available,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9264830316666667
- $00{:}16{:}39{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}41{.}267$  but we are still really faced with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- 00:16:41.267 --> 00:16:43.239 the conundrum of Richter syndrome.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- $00:16:43.240 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.448$  This is really it's a rare,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- $00:16:46.448 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.492$  it occurs in five to 10% of patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- $00{:}16{:}49{.}492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}52{.}334$  with CLL but it is a transformation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- $00{:}16{:}52{.}334 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}55{.}160$  of a small indolent histological type
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667
- $00{:}16{:}55{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}58{.}288$  into a high grade lymphoid malignancy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

00:16:58.288 --> 00:17:00.384 90% have Histology similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:17:00.384 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.960$  to diffuse large B cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00{:}17{:}02{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}05{.}004$  large B cell lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926483031666667

 $00:17:05.004 \rightarrow 00:17:07.559$  The majority are clonally unrelated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00{:}17{:}07.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}09.582$  We know that because if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00{:}17{:}09{.}582 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}10{.}593$  follow their immunoglobulin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

00:17:10.600 - 00:17:11.455 the clonal immunoglobulin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00{:}17{:}11.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}13.920$  we could see the same in the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00{:}17{:}13{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}18{.}113$  Shown here is a micrograph that shows a

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

00:17:18.113 --> 00:17:20.024 sample where you can see the coexistence

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00{:}17{:}20.024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}21.992$  of these two entities within the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00{:}17{:}21.992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}24.457$  sample and you can see the really the

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00{:}17{:}24.457 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}26.197$  big kind of histological differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00{:}17{:}26.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}28.000$  These are the patients that we typically say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00{:}17{:}28{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}30{.}128$  I'm so sorry. Please get your affairs

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00{:}17{:}30{.}128$  -->  $00{:}17{:}31{.}904$  and orders that there's really not

 $00:17:31.904 \rightarrow 00:17:34.080$  much more that we can do for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00:17:34.080 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.582$  And it's been very difficult to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00:17:36.582 \rightarrow 00:17:38.748$  understand molecularly much about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00:17:38.748 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.898$  entity because there's been limitations

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00{:}17{:}40.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}43.912$  of tissue sampling and and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00{:}17{:}43.912 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}45.957$  really based on morphologic diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00{:}17{:}45{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}48{.}578$  There's been a lack of markers and

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00{:}17{:}48.578 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}50.429$  understanding of genetics and for

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

00:17:50.429 --> 00:17:52.300 a blood based malignancy like CLL,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00:17:52.300 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.840$  Richter's is really like a solid tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00:17:53.840 \longrightarrow 00:17:57.130$  I mean, this is really so unlike

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

00:17:57.130 --> 00:17:59.405 what I said before where there's ease

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00:17:59.405 \rightarrow 00:18:01.880$  in kind of having blood draws here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

00:18:01.880 --> 00:18:04.052 We have to get biopsies often

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00{:}18{:}04.052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}06.199$  FFP specimens in order to study.

00:18:06.200 --> 00:18:09.598 And and this has not been, not been easy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

00:18:09.600 --> 00:18:13.479 But I would say that over the past couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00:18:13.479 \rightarrow 00:18:15.393$  years that because of the availability

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00:18:15.393 \rightarrow 00:18:17.678$  of all these nice genomic platforms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00{:}18{:}17.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}19.435$  there's there's been really an

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00{:}18{:}19{.}435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}21{.}503$  explosion of new studies that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

00:18:21.503 - 00:18:23.717 come out in the past year and a half.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00{:}18{:}23.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}26.368$  And at the same time there's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00{:}18{:}26.368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}28.388$  modeling that's been done trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00:18:28.388 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.400$  to really put our attention to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

00:18:30.400 --> 00:18:32.200 how we can generate mouse models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00:18:32.200 \rightarrow 00:18:33.556$  whether they're PDXS or or Gem

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00{:}18{:}33{.}556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}35{.}280$  models to try to understand this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00:18:35.280 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.639$  And there's been actually a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00:18:37.639 \rightarrow 00:18:39.430$  progress in understanding the genome

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00:18:39.430 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.650$  that the genetics looking at the

 $00:18:41.650 \rightarrow 00:18:43.360$  epigenetics and the transcriptomics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00{:}18{:}43{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}45{.}138$  And So what I'm going to demonstrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00:18:45.138 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.002$  for you in the next couple slides

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00{:}18{:}47.002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}49.160$  is some of our efforts in this area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00{:}18{:}49{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}51{.}274$  This is really work that's been that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

00:18:51.274 --> 00:18:53.538 was led by Aaron Perry who is now

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00{:}18{:}53{.}538 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}55{.}660$  a new junior faculty member at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00:18:55.660 \rightarrow 00:18:57.879$  Dana Farber in the lymphoma group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

00:18:57.880 --> 00:19:01.030 Roman Guiz who's part of Philo back NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00:19:01.030 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.736$  in in France and Ignot Lechner who is NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

00:19:04.736 --> 00:19:07.032 now a junior faculty member at BU.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

00:19:07.040 --> 00:19:09.536 And what we tried to do was assemble

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00:19:09.536 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.839$  a nice paired matched cohort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00:19:11.840 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.512$  So in other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00:19:13.512 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.020$  not just Richter samples in isolation

 $00:19:16.096 \rightarrow 00:19:18.292$  but antecedent CLL matched together

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00:19:18.292 \rightarrow 00:19:20.740$  with the Richter's where we could track

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00:19:20.740 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.680$  evolution in time across these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00:19:22.680 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.320$  This was about 50 patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00:19:24.320 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.960$  that we collected samples on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00{:}19{:}25{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}27{.}514$  I think the point of emphasis that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00:19:27.514 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.274$  I want to show you on the left

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

00:19:29.274 --> 00:19:30.720 side here is the CLL course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00:19:30.720 \rightarrow 00:19:33.000$  the green is the different lines of therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

00:19:33.000 - 00:19:34.681 On the right side is the Richter's

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00{:}19{:}34.681 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}36.490$  and I want to show you that on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00:19:36.542 \rightarrow 00:19:37.970$  left side it's years where whereas

NOTE Confidence: 0.923745231111111

 $00:19:37.970 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.520$  on the right side it's months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00{:}19{:}39{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}41{.}710$  So this kind of gives you a sense of kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00{:}19{:}41.767 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}43.959$  of the the time course of these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111

 $00:19:43.960 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.941$  The black dots are the different samples

- NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111
- $00{:}19{:}45{.}941 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}47{.}717$  that we collected on the CLL course.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111
- $00:19:47.720 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.360$  The yellow here is the Richter
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111
- 00:19:50.360 --> 00:19:51.240 diagnostic sample.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111
- $00:19:51.240 \rightarrow 00:19:51.491$  Unfortunately,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92374523111111
- $00:19:51.491 \rightarrow 00:19:52.997$  there's a lot of red here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799
- $00:19:53.000 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.600$  which is that the patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799
- $00:19:54.600 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.200$  did succumb to their disease.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799
- $00:19:56.200 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.920$  There's a number here with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799
- $00:19:57.920 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.360$  black arrows that are living.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799
- $00:19:59.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.540$  For the most part,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799
- $00:20:00.540 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.720$  these are patients who.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799
- $00{:}20{:}01{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}03{.}304$  We received the rapy and then went
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799
- $00{:}20{:}03{.}304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}05{.}003$  on to stem cell transplant and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799
- $00{:}20{:}05{.}003 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}08{.}320$  really did a complete overhaul.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799
- $00{:}20{:}08{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}10.864$  So we we obtained eggsomes on most of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00:20:10.864 \rightarrow 00:20:12.919$  these patients also had some genomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

00:20:12.920 --> 00:20:14.712 RNA sequencing and single

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00:20:14.712 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.572$  cell sequencing data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

00:20:15.572 --> 00:20:17.220 But I want to point out to you

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00:20:17.269 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.711$  that you know a lot of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00{:}20{:}18.711 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}20{.}079$  studies are really quite different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00{:}20{:}20{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}22{.}180$  I think that the the conundrum that

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00{:}20{:}22{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}24{.}778$  we've met with Richter's is that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00{:}20{:}24.778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}27.438$  really two malignancies in the same sample.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00{:}20{:}27{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}30{.}312$  So how do you pull apart the genomic

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00{:}20{:}30{.}312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}32{.}518$  contributions of one versus the other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00:20:32.520 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.080$  And for that we had a come up

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00:20:36.080 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.424$  with a computational approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00:20:37.424 \rightarrow 00:20:38.942$  that was quite challenging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00:20:38.942 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.700$  but we were able to succeed where

NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799

 $00:20:41.775 \rightarrow 00:20:44.139$  we really optimize the copy number

- NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799
- $00:20:44.139 \rightarrow 00:20:46.656$  analysis to deal with FFPE artifact.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799
- $00{:}20{:}46.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}49.932$  We had a number of different filters
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799
- $00{:}20{:}49{.}932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}52{.}582$  that allowed us to kind of increase
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799
- $00:20:52.582 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.292$  the sensitivity of our analysis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799
- $00{:}20{:}54{.}292 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}56{.}110$  and deal with contamination of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.872428799
- $00:20:56.110 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.480$  whether tumor in the normal or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83463598444444
- $00:21:01.800 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.536$  the reverse.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83463598444444
- $00{:}21{:}02{.}536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}05{.}112$  As I said the artifact from FFPE.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83463598444444
- $00:21:05.120 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.532$  And then we were able to put in our
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83463598444444
- $00:21:07.532 \rightarrow 00:21:09.380$  algorithms that allow us to identify
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83463598444444
- $00:21:09.380 \rightarrow 00:21:12.495$  clones and then also establish phylogeny.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83463598444444
- 00:21:12.495 --> 00:21:14.000 So at the end of the day,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83463598444444
- $00{:}21{:}14.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}16.086$  we were able to separate out the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83463598444444
- 00:21:16.086 --> 00:21:17.600 contributions of the CLL clones
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83463598444444
- $00{:}21{:}17.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}18.720$  compared to the Richter's clones.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83463598444444

 $00:21:18.720 \rightarrow 00:21:20.680$  And in doing so then we could look

NOTE Confidence: 0.83463598444444

 $00{:}21{:}20.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}22.921$  at start to look at phylogeny and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83463598444444

 $00:21:22.921 \rightarrow 00:21:24.606$  understand which branches were CLL

NOTE Confidence: 0.83463598444444

 $00{:}21{:}24.667 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}26.839$  versus Richter's and look across time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83463598444444

00:21:26.840 --> 00:21:29.440 So again, Long story short,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83463598444444

 $00:21:29.440 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.465$  I think one of the questions that has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.83463598444444

00:21:31.465 --> 00:21:33.237 asked in the field is it is Richter's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83463598444444

 $00:21:33.240 \rightarrow 00:21:35.000$  is it a distinct entity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83463598444444

 $00:21:35.000 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.248$  is it similar or is it different

NOTE Confidence: 0.83463598444444

 $00:21:38.248 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.955$  from the Novo DLBCL?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83463598444444

 $00{:}21{:}39{.}955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}42{.}475$  And here we had the advantage of being

NOTE Confidence: 0.83463598444444

 $00{:}21{:}42.475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}44.665$  able to access older data of more

NOTE Confidence: 0.83463598444444

00:21:44.665 --> 00:21:47.286 than 300 samples of lymphoma that our

NOTE Confidence: 0.83463598444444

 $00:21:47.286 \rightarrow 00:21:49.916$  colleague market ship had collected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83463598444444

 $00:21:49.920 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.648$  And then using those data we

NOTE Confidence: 0.83463598444444

00:21:54.648 --> 00:21:56.607 performed unbiased NMF clustering.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83463598444444
- 00:21:56.607 --> 00:21:59.330 And you can see across the purple
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83463598444444
- $00:21:59.401 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.771$  on the top that the Richter's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83463598444444
- 00:22:01.771 -> 00:22:02.956 really stand different.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83463598444444
- $00:22:02.960 \rightarrow 00:22:05.584$  They're you know separately
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83463598444444
- $00{:}22{:}05{.}584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}10{.}696$  from DLBCL and so the the,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83463598444444
- $00:22:10.696 \rightarrow 00:22:14.140$  so this is clonally unrelated Richter.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83463598444444
- $00{:}22{:}14.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}17.360$  So these are the few samples here
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83463598444444
- 00:22:17.360 --> 00:22:21.240 do appear to be like de Novo DLBCL,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83463598444444
- $00:22:21.240 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.000$  but the vast majority,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83463598444444
- $00:22:23.000 \rightarrow 00:22:25.320$  the clonally related stand separately
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586
- 00:22:27.560 --> 00:22:28.912 among the Richter's itself.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586
- $00:22:28.912 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.335$  We were also because of all the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586
- $00:22:31.335 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.291$  genomic alterations that we found we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586
- $00{:}22{:}33{.}291 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}35{.}688$  were able to also perform unbiased
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586
- $00{:}22{:}35{.}688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}37{.}943$  clustering and discern that there's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586

 $00:22:37.943 \rightarrow 00:22:41.055$  actually it appears to be molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586

 $00{:}22{:}41.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}43.520$  subtypes within Richter's itself

NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586

 $00:22:43.520 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.205$  and these TP 53 has long been

NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586

 $00:22:47.205 \rightarrow 00:22:49.060$  associated with Richter's but we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586

 $00:22:49.060 \rightarrow 00:22:50.235$  see that there's different flavors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586

 $00{:}22{:}50{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}54{.}048$  So this one here has enrichment in NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586

 $00:22:54.048 \rightarrow 00:22:56.784$  whole genome doubling this group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586

 $00{:}22{:}56.784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}00.096$  Here RS3 has Co occurrence with

NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586

00:23:00.096 --> 00:23:04.140 Notch one also deletion 15 Q which

NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586

 $00{:}23{:}04{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}08{.}972$  covers MGA which is effects Mick

NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586

 $00{:}23{:}08{.}972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}13{.}135$  and then RS5 also has Notch one

NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586

 $00:23:13.135 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.554$  as well wild type Notch one and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586

 $00:23:16.554 \rightarrow 00:23:18.239$  lot of copy number alterations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586

 $00{:}23{:}18{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}19{.}460$  There were also two other

NOTE Confidence: 0.912607754827586

 $00:23:19.460 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.680$  subtypes that did not have

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00:23:22.720 \rightarrow 00:23:25.656$  TP53K Ras S Pen, Notch one together with

00:23:25.656 --> 00:23:28.712 Trisomy 12 and also SF3B1 with EGR Two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00{:}23{:}28{.}712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}30{.}802$  And again these different subgroups

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

00:23:30.802 --> 00:23:32.904 appear to have different clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00{:}23{:}32{.}904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}35{.}328$  behavior where the ones that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

00:23:35.400 - 00:23:37.759 TP 53 seem to have worse prognosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00:23:37.760 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.307$  Now what is the meaning of kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00:23:39.307 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.405$  of trying to look at all these

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00:23:41.405 \rightarrow 00:23:42.440$  different genomic alterations?

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00{:}23{:}42{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}44{.}589$  Well one thing we realized is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

00:23:44.589 --> 00:23:46.836 maybe we could harness all of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00:23:46.836 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.720$  and actually look to see this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

00:23:48.720 --> 00:23:51.600 whether this could help us devise a non

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00{:}23{:}51{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}54{.}296$  invasive approach to identifying Richter's

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00{:}23{:}54{.}296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}57{.}800$  and getting us to earlier detection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00{:}23{:}57{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}01{.}226$  And it turns out that with simply ultra

00:24:01.226 --> 00:24:04.915 low pass genome sequencing \$150.00 a pop,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00{:}24{:}04{.}915 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}08{.}170$  you can focus on these different alterations

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00{:}24{:}08{.}258 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}10{.}736$  that we identified and start to look.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00{:}24{:}10.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}12.320$  And in fact we were able

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00:24:12.386 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.796$  to see in this example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00{:}24{:}13.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}16.124$  this is a patient where we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00{:}24{:}16{.}124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}17{.}518$  identify the Richter's alterations

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00{:}24{:}17.518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}19.842$  even close to five to six months

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00{:}24{:}19{.}842 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}21{.}600$  before the actual diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00:24:21.600 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.600$  So if you follow this in the blood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00{:}24{:}23.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}26.470$  the blood cells have CLL at this

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00{:}24{:}26{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}28{.}395$  time early on and it's a very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00:24:28.400 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.746$  very quiet genomic profile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00:24:31.746 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.344$  Whereas the plasma shows all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00{:}24{:}34{.}344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}36{.}135$  these different alterations that

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00:24:36.135 \rightarrow 00:24:38.691$  match very similarly to what was

- NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602
- $00{:}24{:}38.691 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}41.038$  detected much later when the actual

 $00{:}24{:}41.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}43.398$  the the tissue diagnosis was made.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00:24:43.400 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.400$  We've been able to see that in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00:24:45.400 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.278$  number of different other cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00{:}24{:}47{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}48{.}080$  This is a nut.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

 $00:24:48.080 \rightarrow 00:24:51.000$  Whoopsie, this is another case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

00:24:51.000 -> 00:24:51.760 Well anyway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76865602

00:24:51.760 --> 00:24:52.520 let's see

NOTE Confidence: 0.95134685

 $00{:}24{:}54{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}59{.}920$  where the in the plasma we were able

NOTE Confidence: 0.95134685

 $00{:}24{:}59{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}02{.}719$  to again follow find those kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.95134685

 $00{:}25{:}02{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}04{.}192$  Richter's genomic alterations that

NOTE Confidence: 0.95134685

 $00{:}25{:}04.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}08.320$  was not evident in the blood cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95134685

 $00:25:08.320 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.464$  And finally, this is a case of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.95134685

 $00{:}25{:}10.464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}12.718$  patient who went through transplant and NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:25:15.200 \rightarrow 00:25:18.175$  we were able to identify post transplant

 $00:25:18.175 \rightarrow 00:25:20.740$  relapse months before the actual diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}25{:}20{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}23{.}170$  and then institute the rapy and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}25{:}23{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}25{.}838$  see those alterations go away again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}25{:}25{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}26{.}872$  So I think just to summarize

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}25{:}26.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}27.800$  this part of the talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}25{:}27{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}31{.}440$  I I would say that we've been able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}25{:}31{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}33{.}510$  actually find that the majority of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}25{:}33{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}35{.}655$  Richter's does evolve from CLS subclones

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}25{:}35{.}655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}38{.}120$  through acquisition of additional drivers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:25:38.120 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.240$  Clonally related Richter's is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

00:25:40.240 --> 00:25:42.992 distinct from de Novo DLBCL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}25{:}42.992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}45.520$  There are molecular subtypes

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}25{:}45{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}48{.}088$  of Richter's that have and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}25{:}48.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}49.800$  these different subcategories do

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}25{:}49.869 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}51.840$  have prognostic significance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}25{:}51{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}54{.}136$  And then the we're very excited about

 $00{:}25{:}54{.}136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}56{.}895$  the self free DNA as a way to get us

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}25{:}56.895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}58.470$  to non invasive earlier diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:25:58.470 \rightarrow 00:26:01.172$  because I think this could be really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:26:01.172 \rightarrow 00:26:04.880$  quite impactful for our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:26:04.880 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.356$  I think we're always trying to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}26{:}06{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}09{.}525$  So I'm going to transition now in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}26{:}09{.}525 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}13{.}155$  terms of talking about the immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

00:26:13.155 --> 00:26:14.312 microenvironment for Richter's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

00:26:14.312 --> 00:26:14.864 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}26{:}14.864 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}16.820$  I think we're always trying to gain

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}26{:}16.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}18.570$  a bird's eye view of the landscape

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}26{:}18.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}20.615$  and really the advent of single

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

00:26:20.615 --> 00:26:22.840 cell analysis has really been

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}26{:}22{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}24{.}640$  so impact ful all around.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}26{:}24.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}26.656$  This is something I put together with

 $00{:}26{:}26{.}656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}28{.}637$  one of my postdoctoral fellows where

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:26:28.637 \rightarrow 00:26:31.498$  we tried to look at across the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

00:26:31.498 --> 00:26:33.694 You know single cell sequencing was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:26:33.694 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.379$  named the method of the year in 2013

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:26:36.379 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.346$  and then subsequently 2019 in multi

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}26{:}38{.}346$  -->  $00{:}26{:}40{.}747$  ohmic analysis was the method of the year. NOTE Confidence:  $0{.}9313210728$ 

 $00:26:40.747 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.120$  CLL has had a bit of a lag time in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:26:43.120 \rightarrow 00:26:45.200$  terms of the the rest of the field,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

00:26:45.200 --> 00:26:47.853 but again the easy access to material

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}26{:}47.853 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}50.381$  has really kind of stimulated us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:26:50.381 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.359$  start to look a little bit more closely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:26:53.360 \rightarrow 00:26:55.236$  We've been able to apply this approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}26{:}55{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}57{.}240$  Again I mentioned that Richter's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}26{:}57{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}00{.}800$  is this area where the the rapeutic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

00:27:00.800 --> 00:27:04.706 opportunities are not great,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:27:04.706 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.972$  but what has caught the attention of

 $00{:}27{:}07{.}972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}10{.}746$  many is that it turns out that there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}27{:}10.746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}12.775$  a response to immune checkpoint blockade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}27{:}12.775 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}16.062$  So fit 42 to 65% responses to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

00:27:16.062 --> 00:27:18.278 PD1 blockade in Richter's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:27:18.280 \rightarrow 00:27:20.248$  This is really quite remarkable because

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}27{:}20{.}248 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}22{.}549$  a lot of blood B cell malignancies

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:27:22.549 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.712$  do not have a great response to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}27{:}24.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}30.364$  to PD anti PD one and so this sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:27:30.364 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.874$  of across these many studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:27:31.880 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.415$  This raises the question are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}27{:}33{.}415 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}34{.}643$  there determinants of response

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}27{:}34{.}643 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}36{.}294$  and resistance to PD1 blockade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}27{:}36{.}294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}38{.}256$  We were able to partner together

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}27{:}38.256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}40.517$  with our colleagues at MD Anderson.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}27{:}40{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}42{.}879$  Again this is the work of Aaron

 $00{:}27{:}42.879 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}45.180$  Perry where they had already started

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}27{:}45.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}47.690$  a trial where they had patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}27{:}47.690$  -->  $00{:}27{:}50.270$  initially on nivolumab and then then NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:27:50.270 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.370$  after the first cycle then ibrutinib

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}27{:}52{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}54{.}364$  was started and then response

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}27{:}54{.}364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}56{.}559$  assessment happened at three months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}27{:}56{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}59{.}032$  And so we were able to collect bone

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:27:59.032 \rightarrow 00:28:00.960$  marrow samples from these patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}28{:}00{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}03{.}472$  a number in the green that had either NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:28:03.472 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.742$  a partial or complete response to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}28{:}05{.}742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}07{.}490$  patients that had progression even

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:28:07.490 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.200$  at the three month time point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}28{:}09{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}12{.}004$  And then just for comparison to CLL,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}28{:}12.004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}14.296$  patients were treated on the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00{:}28{:}14.296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}16.283$  trial and what Erin did was she was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728

 $00:28:16.283 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.098$  able to take marrow samples from

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728
- $00:28:18.098 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.873$  these patients and through flow
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9313210728
- $00:28:19.873 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.520$  cytometry you can see that the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.759661734285714
- 00:28:23.560 -> 00:28:25.394 the small cells were the CLL cells,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.759661734285714
- 00:28:25.400 00:28:27.364 the large cells were the Richter's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.759661734285714
- $00{:}28{:}27{.}364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}29{.}184$  and then there was another
- NOTE Confidence: 0.759661734285714
- $00:28:29.184 \rightarrow 00:28:31.003$  population here which was neither
- NOTE Confidence: 0.759661734285714
- $00:28:31.003 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.765$  and this was the immune cells
- NOTE Confidence: 0.759661734285714
- $00:28:32.765 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.235$  that were in the bone marrow.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.759661734285714
- $00{:}28{:}34{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}35{.}950$  And then she was able to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.759661734285714
- $00:28:35.950 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.200$  perform a single cell
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332
- $00:28:39.240 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.628$  characterization.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332
- 00:28:39.628 --> 00:28:43.120 And again to summarize a large body of work,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332
- $00:28:43.120 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.150$  what was really clear is that the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332
- $00{:}28{:}45.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}46.599$  responders compared to the non
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332
- $00{:}28{:}46{.}599 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}48{.}153$  responders when you started to look
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:28:48.153 \rightarrow 00:28:50.600$  at all of those single cell transcriptomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}28{:}50.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}52.632$  those there was a kind of a cluster

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}28{:}52{.}632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}54{.}442$  of cells that kind of segregated

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:28:54.442 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.997$  with a unique phenotype and

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}28{:}55{.}997 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}57{.}758$  we called this cluster one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:28:57.760 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.634$  It turns out it was high

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:29:00.634 \rightarrow 00:29:02.550$  expression for a transcriptional

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

00:29:02.638 --> 00:29:04.994 factor called Hobbit ZNF 683.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}29{:}04{.}994 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}07{.}016$  And as she started to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:29:07.016 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.640$  at this population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

00:29:08.640 --> 00:29:11.300 she was able to perform some functional

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}29{:}11{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}13{.}198$  studies and demonstrate through cut

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

00:29:13.198 --> 00:29:15.606 and cut and run and various various

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

00:29:15.606 --> 00:29:17.940 different sort of over expression and

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}29{:}17{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}20{.}842$  knock out kind of analysis that ZNF

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:29:20.842 \rightarrow 00:29:23.656$  683 does appear to regulate T cell

 $00:29:23.656 \rightarrow 00:29:26.400$  pathways with activation cytotoxicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}29{:}26{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}28{.}542$  When we started to look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:29:28.542 \rightarrow 00:29:30.249$  trajectories the ZNF 683 high

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:29:30.249 \rightarrow 00:29:32.427$  seemed to be a divergent pathway

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:29:32.427 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.320$  from terminal exhaustion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}29{:}34{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}37{.}278$  We also looked across other different

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}29{:}37{.}278 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}40.060$  solid tumor till settings and it turns

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:29:40.060 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.915$  out that the ZNF 683 high does mark

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}29{:}42.915 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}45.244$  a population that's of patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}29{:}45{.}244 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}47{.}918$  have better response to PD one the rapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:29:47.920 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.160$  Notably we looked at Melanoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}29{:}50{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}52{.}826$  across and other settings and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}29{:}52.826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}56.290$  in she was also able to see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}29{:}56{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}59{.}851$  you know we did our analysis in

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

00:29:59.851 --> 00:30:02.660 the marrow but to make it more

 $00:30:02.660 \rightarrow 00:30:04.480$  clinically facile could could this

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:30:04.548 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.840$  be actually detected in the blood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}30{:}06{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}09{.}468$  And so she was able to look at independent

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:30:09.468 \rightarrow 00:30:11.300$  patients who are responders or non

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}30{:}11{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}13{.}240$  responders on the MD Anderson trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}30{:}13{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}15{.}697$  And in fact the responders have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}30{:}15.697 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}18.125$  very distinct profile in the blood T

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}30{:}18.125 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}20.081$  cells compared to the non responders

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}30{:}20.146 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}22.012$  where there is high expression of

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}30{:}22.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}24.880$  Z and F683 and and other cluster

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}30{:}24.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}30.888$  one genes as well and this is we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

00:30:30.888 --> 00:30:33.680 So we were very proud of Aaron and

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:30:33.680 \dashrightarrow 00:30:36.350$  Camila to get this into cancer cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:30:36.350 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.760$  We actually tried to for a cover.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}30{:}40.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}42.008$  It did not work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:30:42.008 \rightarrow 00:30:44.388$  So you will never see this published

- NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332
- $00:30:44.388 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.998$  only here in the seminar series.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332
- $00{:}30{:}47.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}50.159$  But we were trying to make a play on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332
- $00{:}30{:}50{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}52{.}608$  ZNF 683 and The Hobbit and the idea
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332
- $00:30:52.608 \rightarrow 00:30:55.662$  that if those of you were Middle
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332
- $00{:}30{:}55.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}58.760$  Earth aficionados or token lovers,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332
- $00:30:58.760 \longrightarrow 00:31:00.080$  you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332
- $00:31:00.080 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.744$  the idea that you can either take
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332
- $00:31:03.744 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.143$  a path and get to the valley of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332
- $00{:}31{:}06{.}143 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}08{.}120$  death with exhaustion or you can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332
- $00:31:08.120 \dashrightarrow 00:31:10.091$  take a divergent pathway and end
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332
- 00:31:10.091 -> 00:31:11.675 up back in the Shire happy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332
- $00{:}31{:}11{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}15{.}172$  So that was our idea. Didn't work.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332
- $00{:}31{:}15{.}172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}15{.}838$  Whatever.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332
- $00{:}31{:}15.838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}17.836$  So, so that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332
- $00:31:17.840 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.054$  I'm going to move on to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:31:20.054 \rightarrow 00:31:22.160$  the second set of study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}31{:}22.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}24.175$  second chapter shall we say

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

00:31:24.175 --> 00:31:27.040 in trying to look at function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:31:27.040 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.909$  And here you know in the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}31{:}28{.}909 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}30{.}040$  way that in the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:31:30.040 \rightarrow 00:31:33.050$  in the genetic realm we've been able

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:31:33.050 \rightarrow 00:31:36.456$  to study heterogeneity in patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

00:31:36.456 --> 00:31:37.200 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

00:31:37.200 --> 00:31:40.520 can we not actually generate mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}31{:}40{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}43{.}912$  that are actually faithful to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}31{:}43{.}912 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}46{.}406$  disease through the by mimicking

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}31{:}48{.}321 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}50{.}211$  that we've identified And then

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00{:}31{:}50{.}211 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}52{.}239$  that provides us a platform with

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:31:52.239 \rightarrow 00:31:53.611$  studying mechanism of disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332

 $00:31:53.611 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.885$  and testing novel therapies.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332
- 00:31:54.885 --> 00:31:57.485 And I just want to point out that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896807332
- $00{:}31{:}57{.}485 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}59{.}958$  there are different flavors of models.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.726405345384615
- $00:31:59.960 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.800$  I I don't need to tell this audience
- NOTE Confidence: 0.726405345384615
- $00:32:01.800 \rightarrow 00:32:03.440$  or folks that yelled at, but
- NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945
- 00:32:05.560 00:32:07.360 the GEM models in general in,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945
- 00:32:07.360 --> 00:32:08.977 in particular I just want to point
- NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945
- $00{:}32{:}08{.}977 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}10{.}823$  out have the advantage that this is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945
- $00:32:10.823 \dashrightarrow 00:32:12.473$  kind of in a physiologic setting.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945
- $00{:}32{:}12{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}16{.}449$  It does allow us to look at tumor evolution
- NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945
- $00:32:16.449 \rightarrow 00:32:19.960$  and also immune micro environment analysis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945
- 00:32:19.960 --> 00:32:22.800 And so for the past period of time,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945
- $00{:}32{:}22{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}23{.}930$  my group has really been
- NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945
- $00:32:23.930 \rightarrow 00:32:25.106$  interested in this question, well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945
- 00:32:25.106 --> 00:32:27.042 how do you get from AB cell,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945
- $00:32:27.042 \rightarrow 00:32:29.149$  what are the kind of pathway hits
- NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

 $00:32:29.149 \rightarrow 00:32:31.316$  that happen that gets you to CLL?

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

 $00:32:31.320 \rightarrow 00:32:33.720$  And can we study some of these alterations

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

 $00:32:33.720 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.326$  that we spent a lot of time genomically

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

00:32:36.326 --> 00:32:40.853 identifying such as SF3B1 or IK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

 $00{:}32{:}40.853 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}45.066$  CF3 or DMT3A and so and so forth and

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

 $00{:}32{:}45.066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}47.194$  can we start to look at these things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

 $00:32:47.200 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.816$  So I won't go over these past studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

 $00:32:49.816 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.931$  only to say that it has in fact been

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

 $00{:}32{:}52{.}931 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}54{.}770$  very gratifying to generate these

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

 $00{:}32{:}54.770$  -->  $00{:}32{:}57.200$  mouse models and to demonstrate that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

 $00{:}32{:}57{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}00{.}230$  yes, these putative drivers that

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

 $00:33:00.230 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.654$  we've identified through sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

00:33:02.654 --> 00:33:04.997 actually generate CLL in mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

 $00:33:05.000 \rightarrow 00:33:07.826$  Most recently we had a very nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.648140945

00:33:07.826 --> 00:33:10.160 study ELISA 10 Hacken generated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

 $00{:}33{:}12.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}14.540$  the setting where using CRISPR she

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- $00:33:14.540 \longrightarrow 00:33:16.435$  was able to introduce combinations
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- $00{:}33{:}16{.}435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}18{.}980$  of different alterations and release
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- $00:33:18.980 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.032$  combinatorial study the different models
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- $00:33:21.032 \rightarrow 00:33:23.440$  of CLL and Richter's that we identified.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- 00:33:23.440 --> 00:33:25.407 But for today, I'm going to talk
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- $00{:}33{:}25{.}407 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}26{.}817$  about new unpublished data where
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- 00:33:26.817 -> 00:33:28.602 we've been focused on one of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- $00:33:28.602 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.317$  newer drivers that we identified,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- $00:33:30.320 \dashrightarrow 00:33:33.113$  RPS 15 and some of the insights
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- $00:33:33.113 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.840$  that we've identified there.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- 00:33:34.840 --> 00:33:38.200 So RPS 15, what is it?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- $00{:}33{:}38{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}41{.}572$  It is a ribosomal protein.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- 00:33:41.572 -> 00:33:45.099 It's identified in 5% of CLL patients.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- $00{:}33{:}45.099 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}47.864$  It's enriched in patients who
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- $00{:}33{:}47.864 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}50.759$  are relapsed following the rapy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

 $00{:}33{:}50.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}53.260$  It's associated with shorter

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

 $00:33:53.260 \rightarrow 00:33:56.385$  progression free survival and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

 $00:33:56.385 \rightarrow 00:33:58.219$  commonly Co expresses with TP53.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

 $00:33:58.219 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.410$  One of the things that we found

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

 $00{:}34{:}00{.}477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}02{.}626$  interesting about RPS 15 is that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

 $00:34:02.626 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.758$  does seem to be a hotspot region

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

 $00:34:04.760 \dashrightarrow 00:34:07.154$  where a lot of the alterations happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

 $00:34:07.160 \rightarrow 00:34:09.536$  And so this kind of piqued our interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

 $00:34:09.536 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.837$  in trying to learn more about RPS 15.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

 $00{:}34{:}11{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}13{.}649$  I do want to put this in the context

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

 $00:34:13.649 \rightarrow 00:34:15.517$  that they're across different cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

 $00{:}34{:}15{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}19{.}118$  There's been a lot of different ribosomal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

 $00:34:19.120 \dashrightarrow 00:34:22.344$  mutations that have been found for CLLR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

 $00:34:22.344 \rightarrow 00:34:24.704 \text{ PS15}$  is the only ribosomal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

 $00{:}34{:}24.704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}27.120$  mutation that's been identified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

 $00:34:27.120 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.700$  But certainly across other

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- 00:34:28.700 --> 00:34:30.280 cancers including breast cancer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- 00:34:30.280 --> 00:34:31.784 Melanoma, myeloma,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- $00:34:31.784 \rightarrow 00:34:35.396$  you see that this biology seems to be there.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- $00{:}34{:}35{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}37{.}204$  And carbosomopathies have been
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- $00:34:37.204 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.459$  associated with a variety of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- 00:34:39.459 00:34:41.160 different altered functions,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- 00:34:41.160 --> 00:34:44.580 so including DNA damage,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- $00{:}34{:}44{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}46{.}900$  proteasomal alteration and metabolic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- $00:34:46.900 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.480$  rewiring.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- $00:34:47.480 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.352$  So we were interested in trying to dig
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- $00:34:50.352 \rightarrow 00:34:53.800$  a little bit deeper about this in CLL.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- $00:34:53.800 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.680$  So we used our,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- $00{:}34{:}55{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}57{.}568$  we used this in a similar fashion to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- $00{:}34{:}57{.}568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}59{.}437$  the other mice that we've generated.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- $00{:}34{:}59{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}04{.}039$  We introduced one of these hotspot mutations
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

 $00{:}35{:}04.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}06.798$  that was intercross with CD19 cream mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

 $00{:}35{:}06{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}09{.}448$  So this alteration is only present in B

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

 $00{:}35{:}09{.}448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}12{.}316$  cells in the context of CD19 expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

 $00{:}35{:}12{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}15{.}833$  So in B cells we were able to generate both

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

00:35:15.833 --> 00:35:18.198 heterozygous and homozygous mutated mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

 $00:35:18.200 \rightarrow 00:35:21.714$  We also intercross also with deletion 15,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

00:35:21.720 --> 00:35:23.592 sorry TP 53,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

 $00:35:23.592 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.140$  so that they were also mice that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

 $00:35:27.140 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.880$  had double mutations as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

 $00:35:30.880 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.320$  And so this is just a bit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

 $00:35:32.320 \longrightarrow 00:35:33.120$  the targeting strategy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

 $00:35:33.120 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.866$  This was really studies led by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

 $00:35:34.866 \rightarrow 00:35:37.954$  an MDPHD student and currently

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

 $00{:}35{:}37{.}954 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}40{.}873$  at MGH as a as an intern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

 $00:35:40.880 \dashrightarrow 00:35:43.666$  And then Marwan Kwok is a awesome postdoc

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

 $00:35:43.666 \rightarrow 00:35:45.297$  in my group right now who's leading

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- $00:35:45.297 \rightarrow 00:35:47.037$  up on some of the functional studies.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- $00{:}35{:}47.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}50.022$  Neil Ruthin is in grad Graduate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- $00{:}35{:}50{.}022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}52{.}445$  School in the New York area
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- $00:35:52.445 \rightarrow 00:35:53.600$  for computational biology.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- 00:35:53.600 --> 00:35:55.276 So RPS 15 mutations,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- $00{:}35{:}55{.}276 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}58{.}383$  we we're very able through our mouse
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- $00{:}35{:}58{.}383 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}01{.}897$  models to confirm that it does have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- 00:36:01.897 --> 00:36:03.949 oncogenic potential because certainly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- $00{:}36{:}03{.}949 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}07{.}586$  over time we're able to identify that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- $00:36:07.586 \rightarrow 00:36:11.684$  there is a population of RPS 15 mice
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- $00:36:11.684 \rightarrow 00:36:14.840$  that are do have expanded B cells.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- $00{:}36{:}14.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}17.990$  You can see this also in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- $00{:}36{:}17{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}19{.}528$  screen sizes over time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- $00{:}36{:}19{.}528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}21{.}480$  It does take quite a bit of time
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667
- $00{:}36{:}21.545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}23.480$  consistent with the human disease.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

 $00:36:23.480 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.560$  It does take about 15,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

 $00{:}36{:}27{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}28{.}607$  about 818 months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

 $00:36:28.607 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.050$  18 to 218 months to two years

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

 $00:36:31.133 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.918$  in order to see disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

 $00:36:32.920 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.840$  So this is really a labor of love.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

 $00{:}36{:}35{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}39{.}065$  But I would say that for sure with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081899566666667

 $00{:}36{:}39.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}42.110$  the RPS 15 mutations mutant mice we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

 $00:36:42.198 \rightarrow 00:36:45.800$  do see onset of disease less so with

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00{:}36{:}45{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}49{.}357$  just the TP single mutant TP 53 but

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

00:36:49.357 --> 00:36:52.096 with a double mutant we also see not NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00{:}36{:}52.096$  -->  $00{:}36{:}54.640$  only CLL but evidence of Richter's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

 $00{:}36{:}54{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}56{.}810$  But what was interesting is in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

 $00:36:56.810 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.467$  setting of hypo hyper proliferation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

00:36:58.467 --> 00:37:01.099 when we look early on it seems to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

 $00:37:01.163 \rightarrow 00:37:03.398$  there seems to be hypoproliferation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

 $00:37:03.400 \rightarrow 00:37:05.712$  So if we measure the B cell percentages

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667
- $00:37:05.712 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.862$  in the homozygous mice in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667
- $00:37:07.862 \rightarrow 00:37:10.124$  setting of pre leukemia it's actually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667
- $00{:}37{:}10.189 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}12.199$  depressed compared to wild type.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667
- $00:37:12.200 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.640$  So what is going on?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667
- $00:37:13.640 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.622$  How is this kind of hypoproliferation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667
- $00:37:16.622 \rightarrow 00:37:18.113$  turning into hyper?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667
- 00:37:18.120 --> 00:37:20.000 And so to kind of gain some clues,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667
- $00:37:20.000 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.328$  we really focused on these pre
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667
- $00{:}37{:}22{.}328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}24{.}410$  leukemic mice for which we collected
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667
- $00:37:24.410 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.024$  B cells and started off by just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667
- $00:37:27.024 \rightarrow 00:37:29.154$  looking at gene expression profiling.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667
- $00:37:29.160 \dashrightarrow 00:37:31.120$  And it became quite evident that there
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667
- $00:37:31.120 \dashrightarrow 00:37:33.360$  was quite a few different altered
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667
- 00:37:33.360 --> 00:37:35.600 pathways including cell cycle checkpoints,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667
- $00{:}37{:}35{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}37{.}624$  MIC targets, DNA repair.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

00:37:37.624 --> 00:37:40.154 And looking close more closely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

 $00{:}37{:}40.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}42.302$  we could see that this was related

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

 $00:37:42.302 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.381$  to either reduction in proliferative

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

 $00:37:44.381 \rightarrow 00:37:47.790$  capacity as well as there was increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

 $00{:}37{:}47.865 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}50.940$  G1 checkpoint activity after mitogenic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

 $00:37:50.940 \dashrightarrow 00:37:53.400$  stimulation and increased apoptosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

 $00{:}37{:}53{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}57{.}648$  Now these alterations in in cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

 $00:37:57.648 \rightarrow 00:38:00.880$  cycle could be due to cell stress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

 $00{:}38{:}00{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}03{.}600$  So we started to look at the question

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

 $00{:}38{:}03{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}06{.}518$  of whether or not there was changes in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

 $00{:}38{:}06{.}518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}09{.}510$  oxidative stress and in fact using a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

00:38:09.510 --> 00:38:13.080 Mitosox assay in our homozygous mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

 $00{:}38{:}13.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}15.691$  we do see evidence both at baseline

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

 $00{:}38{:}15.691 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}17.790$  and with stimulation that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

 $00{:}38{:}17.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}19.602$  is increased enhanced oxidative

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

 $00:38:19.602 \rightarrow 00:38:22.126$  stress which is supported by the

 $00:38:22.126 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.352$  fact that when we use the inhibitor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

 $00:38:24.360 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.076$  so that pro oxidant we actually see

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

 $00{:}38{:}27.076 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}30.285$  that the RPS 15 mice are more sensitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

 $00:38:30.285 \rightarrow 00:38:33.878$  to this inhibitor than the wild type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

 $00{:}38{:}33{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}35{.}560$  Now because of the cellular stress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00:38:35.560 \rightarrow 00:38:39.040$  does this actually can this actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

 $00:38:39.040 \rightarrow 00:38:44.144$  support acquisition of genotoxic injury?

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00:38:44.144 \rightarrow 00:38:45.730$  And in this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

 $00{:}38{:}45{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}48{.}300$  we were able to use gamma H2 AX and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

 $00:38:48.300 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.421$  we see in the homozygous mice that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

00:38:50.421 - > 00:38:52.593 there is increase in gamma H2AX.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

 $00{:}38{:}52{.}593 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}55{.}158$  And as we started to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

 $00{:}38{:}55{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}56{.}636$  there's a lot of we sterns that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

 $00{:}38{:}56{.}636 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}57{.}880$  I could have shown you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

 $00:38:57.880 \dashrightarrow 00:39:00.575$  But suffice it to say that through

00:39:00.575 - > 00:39:02.679 examination of the mutant mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

 $00{:}39{:}02{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}04{.}948$  we do see impaired cell cycle

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

00:39:04.948 --> 00:39:07.000 checkpoint response to DNA damage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

 $00:39:07.000 \rightarrow 00:39:08.431$  impaired response signaling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

 $00{:}39{:}08{.}431 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}11{.}293$  abrogation of ATM and CHECK 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.917190518666667

 $00:39:11.293 \rightarrow 00:39:13.470$  signaling and heightened intrinsic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171905186666667

 $00{:}39{:}13.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}15.634$ aberrant DNA damage response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:39:18.720 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.472$  And Despite that, there's also

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00{:}39{:}22.472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}23.636$  increased proliferation signaling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00{:}39{:}23{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}26{.}800$  So one of our highest hits in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

00:39:26.800 --> 00:39:28.360 our gene expression was ZAP 70,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:39:28.360 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.480$  which has relevance to CLL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:39:30.480 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.720$  So we see that here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:39:31.720 \rightarrow 00:39:34.276$  And there's also enhanced ABCR signaling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00{:}39{:}34{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}36{.}165$  So definitely a balance between

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

00:39:36.165 - 00:39:37.673 different forces at play.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616
- $00:39:37.680 \rightarrow 00:39:41.766$  Going on, our next question was that is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616
- $00:39:41.766 \rightarrow 00:39:44.159$  seeing these different sort of phenotypes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616
- 00:39:44.160 -> 00:39:46.800 since this is a ribosomal protein,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616
- $00:39:46.800 \rightarrow 00:39:48.948$  is there actually alteration?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616
- 00:39:48.948 --> 00:39:51.666 Is there effects of mutant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616
- 00:39:51.666 --> 00:39:53.878 RPS 15 on translation?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616
- $00{:}39{:}53{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}56{.}610$  And so we asked could RPS 15
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616
- $00:39:56.610 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.482$  mutation cause ribosomes to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616
- $00:39:58.482 \rightarrow 00:40:00.958$  preferentially translate certain genes?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616
- $00{:}40{:}00{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}02{.}940$  Could the mutation cause ribosomes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616
- $00{:}40{:}02{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}05{.}512$  for example to stall at specific
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616
- $00{:}40{:}05{.}512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}07{.}554$  protein coding sequence motifs
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616
- $00:40:07.554 \rightarrow 00:40:09.542$  interrupting translation of certain
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616
- $00{:}40{:}09{.}542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}11.895$  genes or could it read through
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616
- $00:40:11.895 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.321$  stop codons and then result in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

00:40:14.321 --> 00:40:16.317 misfolded and degraded proteins?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:40:16.320 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.822$  And so for this we performed

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00{:}40{:}18.822 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}20.073$  A ribosomal profiling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00{:}40{:}20.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}22.719$  And when we started to look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00{:}40{:}22.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}24.876$  whether or not there was evidence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

00:40:24.876 --> 00:40:25.800 differential translation efficiency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:40:25.800 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.404$  there were certainly many genes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:40:28.404 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.330$  were appeared to be have enhanced or

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

00:40:32.330 --> 00:40:33.680 depressed translational efficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00{:}40{:}33.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}37.276$  And as we started to look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

00:40:37.276 --> 00:40:38.916 pathways that were impacted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:40:38.920 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.654$  these included many of those pathways

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00{:}40{:}40{.}654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}42{.}620$  that I already talked to you about

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:40:42.620 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.875$  in the pre leukemic setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

00:40:43.880 --> 00:40:45.320 So cell cycle, MC target,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:40:45.320 \rightarrow 00:40:48.560$  cell cycle checkpoints and DNA replication.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616
- $00{:}40{:}48.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}50.702$  And specific examples that we could

 $00:40:50.702 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.448$  see were genes that are have very well

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:40:53.448 \rightarrow 00:40:57.880$  known roles in these different pathways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:40:57.880 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.820$  We were able to support this this

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00{:}41{:}00{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}03{.}160$  kind of ribosome Riboseek analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:41:03.160 \rightarrow 00:41:05.860$  by looking at protein expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00{:}41{:}05{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}09{.}559$  and we can confirm that what we saw

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:41:09.559 \rightarrow 00:41:11.796$  as as having depressed translation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:41:11.796 \longrightarrow 00:41:15.147$  So the GPX one we could actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:41:15.147 \longrightarrow 00:41:18.570$  confirm at the protein level for

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:41:18.570 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.172$  GPX 1 and O2O2 four and increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:41:24.172 \longrightarrow 00:41:27.600$  expression in PTP 4A2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00{:}41{:}27.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}30.624$  So that was actually very nice to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00{:}41{:}30{.}624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}32{.}877$  that linkages between translation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:41:32.877 \rightarrow 00:41:36.195$  the the pathways that we were impacting.

 $00:41:36.200 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.320$  When we started to look at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00{:}41{:}41{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}44{.}491$  we were also able to see evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

00:41:44.491 --> 00:41:47.360 not only in a in a murine cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:41:47.360 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.160$  but also in a human cell line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:41:50.160 \rightarrow 00:41:53.716$  We saw evidence of stop codon stalling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00{:}41{:}53.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}56.184$  So you can see kind of a pile

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:41:56.184 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.820$  up here in terms of the relative

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:41:58.820 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.840$  position to the stop codon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00{:}42{:}00{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}03{.}168$  but we also saw evidence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:42:03.168 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.720$  stop codon read through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00{:}42{:}04.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}08.716$  And so we do see that there's enrichment of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:42:08.716 \rightarrow 00:42:13.440$  certain codons in that kind of stop site,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:42:13.440 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.640$  suggesting that this is not a random process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:42:15.640 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.485$  but there's actually motifs that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00{:}42{:}17{.}485 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}19{.}920$  are kind of guiding this process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:42:19.920 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.624$  And finally,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616
- $00{:}42{:}20.624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}23.088$  as we started to look at the

00:42:23.088 --> 00:42:24.080 leukemic B cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:42:24.080 \rightarrow 00:42:28.200$  we could see up regulation of Mick targets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

00:42:28.200 --> 00:42:29.800 And I'm going to just skip over this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:42:29.800 \longrightarrow 00:42:31.354$  but only to say that as we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

00:42:31.354 --> 00:42:32.911 start to go through our model

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:42:32.911 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.836$  of what we think is going on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:42:34.840 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.438$  we do see that in this mutated

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00{:}42{:}38{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}40{.}785$ ribosomal protein that there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00{:}42{:}40.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}42.661$  evidence of altered translation

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00{:}42{:}42{.}661 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}44{.}809$  through a couple of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00{:}42{:}44.809 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}46.824$  mechanisms that these do initially

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:42:46.824 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.679$  lead to hypoproliferation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

00:42:48.680 --> 00:42:53.800 There is elevated ZAP 70 and BCR

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00:42:53.800 \rightarrow 00:42:55.760$  signaling as well as make activation.

 $00:42:55.760 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.305$  But in initially there's P53

NOTE Confidence: 0.7715616

 $00{:}42{:}58{.}305 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}00{.}850$  mediated apoptosis and cell cycle

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

00:43:00.938 --> 00:43:03.340 checkpoint changes that are leading

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

 $00:43:03.340 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.920$  to that hyper proliferation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

 $00{:}43{:}04{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}06{.}870$  but that over time there's acquisition

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

00:43:06.870 --> 00:43:08.857 of DNA damage and genomic instability NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

 $00:43:08.857 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.361$  that tip the balance and get us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

 $00:43:11.426 \rightarrow 00:43:12.960$  the state of hyper proliferation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

 $00{:}43{:}12.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}15.760$  So just to conclude this part of the talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

00:43:15.760 --> 00:43:19.324 I'll just say that again our our new

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

 $00{:}43{:}19{.}324 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}21{.}610$  work suggests that RPS 15 mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

 $00{:}43{:}21.687 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}23.973$  is ACL driver and reinforces the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

 $00{:}43{:}23{.}973 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}26{.}501$  notion that CLL has these core

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

 $00{:}43{:}26{.}501 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}28{.}357$  pathways that are affected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

00:43:28.360 --> 00:43:30.436 So I didn't go into this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

 $00:43:30.440 \rightarrow 00:43:33.608$  but across our different mouse models

 $00{:}43{:}33{.}608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}36{.}853$  we are seeing common pathways through NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

 $00{:}43{:}36{.}853 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}40{.}159$  different mechanisms that appear to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

 $00{:}43{:}40{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}43{.}680$  involved and current ongoing work is

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

 $00{:}43{:}43{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}46.015$  starting to look at the immune micro NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

00:43:46.015 --> 00:43:48.346 environment so that we can start to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

00:43:48.346 --> 00:43:52.254 link the genotype with whether or not

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

 $00{:}43{:}52{.}254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}53{.}544$  they're related to distinct changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.83020986

 $00{:}43{:}53{.}544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}55{.}238$  in the immune micro environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935381125

 $00{:}43{:}57{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}59{.}368$  In the final slides,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935381125

00:43:59.368 --> 00:44:02.671 I just want to say that you know I

NOTE Confidence: 0.935381125

 $00{:}44{:}02.671 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}04.333$  think that where we're going next

NOTE Confidence: 0.935381125

00:44:04.333 --> 00:44:06.319 in sort of sort of our studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.935381125

 $00{:}44{:}06{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}08{.}579$  a lot of the CLO work until now I

NOTE Confidence: 0.935381125

00:44:08.579 --> 00:44:10.956 think across the field has been really

NOTE Confidence: 0.935381125

 $00:44:10.960 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.756$  focused on the blood easy access,

- $00:44:13.760 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.988$  lots of tumor there.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.935381125
- $00:44:14.988 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.830$  But I think increasingly we do
- NOTE Confidence: 0.935381125
- $00:44:16.892 \longrightarrow 00:44:18.878$  need to look at these specialized
- NOTE Confidence: 0.935381125
- $00:44:18.880 \rightarrow 00:44:21.495$  hematolymphoid organs where there is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.935381125
- $00{:}44{:}21.495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}23.587$  a specialized immune microenvironment
- NOTE Confidence: 0.935381125
- $00{:}44{:}23.587 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}25.839$  that we can understand better.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.935381125
- $00{:}44{:}25{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}27{.}160$  I think that there is a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503
- 00:44:29.200 --> 00:44:30.760 priority and interest in trying
- NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503
- $00{:}44{:}30{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}32{.}320$  to go earlier in disease.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503
- $00:44:32.320 \rightarrow 00:44:35.560$  So how can we understand those early events?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503
- $00:44:35.560 \rightarrow 00:44:37.880$  How can we intervene early?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503
- 00:44:37.880 --> 00:44:39.920 How can we change Natural History?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503
- $00:44:39.920 \rightarrow 00:44:41.838$  We're only going to get there by
- NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503
- $00{:}44{:}41{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}44{.}976$  understanding a little bit more about this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503
- $00:44:44.976 \rightarrow 00:44:47.324$  earlier time Multiomic profiling for sure.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503
- $00:44:47.324 \rightarrow 00:44:50.111$  There's so much data out there and how

 $00:44:50.111 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.327$  can we link them all together and kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:44:52.390 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.679$  of not have them as separate entities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:44:54.680 \rightarrow 00:44:57.350$  but really trying to coalesce

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:44:57.350 \longrightarrow 00:45:00.636$  into kind of archetypes that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}45{:}00{.}636 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}02{.}562$  can understand spatial analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}45{:}02.562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}05.208$  So our group is actively working

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:45:05.208 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.301$  on efforts to try to look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

00:45:08.301 - > 00:45:10.155 architecture of lymph nodes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

00:45:10.155 --> 00:45:14.068 bone marrow to see how malignant

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}45{:}14.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}16.636$  cells are organized and also in

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}45{:}16.636 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}18.600$  relationship to their genotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

00:45:18.600 --> 00:45:22.104 So their mutations and do specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}45{:}22{.}104$  -->  $00{:}45{:}25{.}240$  clones segregate with specific types

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}45{:}25{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}29{.}490$  of niches and are they organized

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}45{:}29{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}31{.}240$  in certain type of neighborhoods.

00:45:31.240 --> 00:45:33.120 And finally I I touched upon with our

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}45{:}33{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}35{.}113$  self free DNA work some of the early

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}45{:}35{.}113 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}37{.}121$  detection I'm going to end with the NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

00:45:37.121 --> 00:45:39.071 last couple slides speaking about early

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

00:45:39.071 --> 00:45:41.280 intervention we hope in the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}45{:}41.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}43.688$  But another big part of the work

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}45{:}43.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}46.514$  that my group does is think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:45:46.514 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.798$  cancer neo antigens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}45{:}47.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}51.076$  And from all the genomic studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:45:51.080 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.632$  that we've been doing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}45{:}52.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}54.572$  we've realized that there there

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:45:54.572 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.805$  is the opportunity for these

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}45{:}56.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}59.020$  mutations to generate epitopes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:45:59.020 \rightarrow 00:46:01.440$  can be recognized by T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

00:46:01.440 --> 00:46:03.800 I'm not going to go into this in

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:46:03.800 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.038$  great length only to say that there's

 $00:46:06.040 \rightarrow 00:46:07.400$  straightforward algorithms by now

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

00:46:07.400 --> 00:46:10.170 that allow us to take start with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}46{:}10.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}12.856$  sequencing data and identify for us NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:46:12.856 \rightarrow 00:46:15.880$  what those new antigens might be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}46{:}15{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}17{.}995$  I want to say that some of our earliest

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}46{:}17.995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}19.794$  work in the new antigen field and

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}46{:}19.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}21.413$  kind of setting up these pipelines

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}46{:}21{.}413 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}23{.}359$  were in CLL because that is where

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}46{:}23.359 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}28.200$  we had the data and all the tools to

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}46{:}28.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}31.380$  help us construct some of the these

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:46:31.380 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.120$  first pipelines that were available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

00:46:33.120 --> 00:46:37.504 And certainly our vaccine neo antigen

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}46{:}37{.}504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}40{.}671$  work that Doctor Weiner alluded to has NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

00:46:40.671 --> 00:46:44.119 taken our group very far a<br/>field from CLL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}46{:}44{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}46{.}528$  We've gone into the solid tumors and

00:46:46.528 --> 00:46:48.792 we've been able to conduct some early

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

00:46:48.792 --> 00:46:50.856 proof of concept studies that such

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}46{:}50{.}856$  -->  $00{:}46{:}53{.}329$  an approach of starting with tumor NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

00:46:53.329 --> 00:46:55.389 looking for genomic alterations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}46{:}55{.}455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}58{.}035$  generating a personal vaccine is feasible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}46{:}58.040$  -->  $00{:}47{:}00.032$  But I've always been super interested NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

00:47:00.032 --> 00:47:02.320 in trying to bring it back to CLL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

00:47:02.320 --> 00:47:04.368 And so I'm happy to say that right

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}47{:}04{.}368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}07{.}800$  now we have a phase one study for

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}47{:}07{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}11{.}190$  patients with unmutated IGHV led by NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}47{:}11{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}14{.}375$  ine on and supported by Matt Davids NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}47{:}14.375 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}17.745$  and Jennifer Brown to study and

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}47{:}17.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}21.070$  look at the impact of this vaccine

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

00:47:21.174 --> 00:47:23.585 alone vaccine together with low dose

NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00{:}47{:}23.585 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}26.305$  cyclophosphamide as a way to kind of NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503

 $00:47:26.305 \rightarrow 00:47:28.170$  alter the immune micro environment

- NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503
- $00:47:28.170 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.048$  and maybe address T regs.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503
- $00:47:30.048 \rightarrow 00:47:33.400$  And then also a third cohort to actually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.864112503
- $00:47:33.400 \rightarrow 00:47:37.040$  add immune checkpoint blockade together and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435
- $00:47:39.480 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.692$  we already have enrolled in a number
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435
- $00:47:41.692 \rightarrow 00:47:44.060$  the first three patients we're already
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435
- $00:47:44.060 \rightarrow 00:47:45.860$  seeing interesting immune responses
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435
- $00:47:45.860 \rightarrow 00:47:48.558$  compared to our solid tumor settings.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435
- 00:47:48.560 00:47:50.410 These are patients who actively
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435
- $00:47:50.410 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.520$  have circulating disease.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435
- $00:47:51.520 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.968$  So is it possible to even vaccinate and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435
- $00:47:54.968 \rightarrow 00:47:57.518$  generate meaningful responses when there's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435
- $00{:}47{:}57{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}00{.}000$  leukemia that's that's in circulation?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435
- $00{:}48{:}00{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}01{.}400$  And the the short answer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435
- $00{:}48{:}01{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}02{.}392$  it seems like yes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435
- $00{:}48{:}02{.}392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}04{.}256$  So we're we we are actually seeing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435

00:48:04.256 --> 00:48:05.846 very nice brisk immune responses

NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435

 $00{:}48{:}05{.}846$  -->  $00{:}48{:}07{.}880$  to actually some of our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435

 $00:48:07.880 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.162$  So I hope you stay tuned and

NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435

 $00:48:10.162 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.092$  hopefully we'll have more to say

NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435

 $00:48:12.092 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.000$  about that in the time to come.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435

 $00{:}48{:}14.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}15.620$  I've tried to acknowledge

NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435

 $00:48:15.620 \rightarrow 00:48:17.240$  folks along the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435

 $00{:}48{:}17{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}19{.}760$  but here's a more extensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435

00:48:19.760 --> 00:48:22.280 list and I really appreciate

NOTE Confidence: 0.839589959130435

 $00:48:22.378 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.400$  your attention and thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9254318166666667

 $00:48:32.500 \longrightarrow 00:48:34.576$  Yes. So how do you think

NOTE Confidence: 0.832267378333333

 $00:48:34.620 \longrightarrow 00:48:36.498$  about driver mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832267378333333

 $00:48:36.498 \longrightarrow 00:48:38.376$  specific driver mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.832267378333333

 $00:48:38.380 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.140$  related to transformation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832267378333333

 $00:48:41.140 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.060$  related to potential for

NOTE Confidence: 0.68811598

 $00:48:47.760 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.252$  these differentiation B cells

- NOTE Confidence: 0.68811598
- $00:48:49.252 \rightarrow 00:48:51.117$  leading to the clinical outcome?

 $00{:}48{:}51{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}53{.}250$  You listed a whole list of

NOTE Confidence: 0.68811598

 $00:48:53.250 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.315$  potential driver mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68811598

 $00:48:54.320 \rightarrow 00:48:56.336$  It's not clearly what the individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.68811598

 $00:48:56.336 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.680$  driver mutations are doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68811598

00:48:57.680 --> 00:48:59.018 And how you think about getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.68811598

 $00:48:59.018 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.360$  the answer to that question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68811598

 $00:49:00.360 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.560$  if it is an important question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

00:49:03.760 --> 00:49:05.026 yeah, no, I think I skipped

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

 $00:49:05.026 \longrightarrow 00:49:06.240$  over a lot of stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

 $00{:}49{:}06{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}08{.}427$  And so I think one of the things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

 $00{:}49{:}08{.}427 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}10.747$  we can do when we have these driver

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

00:49:10.747 --> 00:49:13.944 lists because we can see whether they

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

 $00{:}49{:}13{.}944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}15{.}880$  segregate into particular pathways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

 $00:49:15.880 \rightarrow 00:49:19.640$  And by virtue of kind of separating

00:49:19.640 --> 00:49:22.240 out the CLL versus Richter clones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

 $00{:}49{:}22.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}24.608$  we were able to kind of identify which

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

00:49:24.608 --> 00:49:26.719 of those drivers seem to be CLL,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

 $00{:}49{:}26.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}29.555$  which were Richter's and which were which

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

 $00{:}49{:}29{.}555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}33{.}680$  were in a path on the way to transformation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

 $00:49:33.680 \rightarrow 00:49:38.384$  And so some of those pathways that

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

 $00:49:38.384 \rightarrow 00:49:43.520$  we see affected are related to Mick,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

 $00:49:43.520 \rightarrow 00:49:47.280$  for example, they're related to cell cycles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

 $00{:}49{:}47{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}50{.}196$  So this it's not a surprise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

 $00:49:50.200 \rightarrow 00:49:54.155$  but it and metabolic rewiring as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

 $00:49:54.160 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.400$  So there's many.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

 $00{:}49{:}57{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}00{.}970$  So I think the drivers do help us think

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

 $00:50:00.970 \rightarrow 00:50:03.720$  about the biology of what is going on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

 $00{:}50{:}03{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}06{.}820$  but I think that I hope that we can also

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

 $00:50:06.900 \rightarrow 00:50:11.120$  use them as ways to for early detection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727

 $00:50:11.120 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.520$  I don't know if this is answers

- NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727
- 00:50:12.520 --> 00:50:12.920 your question,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.831612027272727
- $00{:}50{:}12{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}13{.}160$  but
- NOTE Confidence: 0.48386598
- 00:50:15.200 --> 00:50:16.960 yeah, I don't want the questions online,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8877212066666667
- 00:50:16.960 00:50:19.360 but what what do you think about the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8877212066666667
- $00:50:19.360 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.220$  role of RGS 15 in normal CD5B cells?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8877212066666667
- $00:50:23.220 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.280$  So it's there, yes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8877212066666667
- $00:50:25.280 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.583$  So the question is what is its
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8877212066666667
- $00:50:27.583 \rightarrow 00:50:29.279$  function in thinking about what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8877212066666667
- 00:50:29.280 --> 00:50:32.121 CD5B cells are doing in terms of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8877212066666667
- $00:50:32.121 \rightarrow 00:50:33.189$  maintenance and tolerance for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8877212066666667
- $00:50:33.189 \rightarrow 00:50:34.631$  example and their potential product
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8877212066666667
- $00:50:34.631 \rightarrow 00:50:36.116$  activity and where they are,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911263191428571
- $00:50:36.320 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.315$  right. So we haven't looked into that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911263191428571
- $00{:}50{:}38{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}43{.}600$  I mean I think we we have the tools and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911263191428571
- $00:50:43.600 \rightarrow 00:50:47.298$  so we've we've really been focused on the,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911263191428571

 $00:50:47.298 \longrightarrow 00:50:48.825$  the mutant setting. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911263191428571

00:50:48.825 --> 00:50:53.080 But I I think it's a really interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.911263191428571

 $00{:}50{:}53{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}57{.}960$  question and I think that it would

NOTE Confidence: 0.911263191428571

 $00:50:57.960 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.795$  be a separate question where it

NOTE Confidence: 0.911263191428571

 $00{:}50{:}59{.}795 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}01{.}839$  could be like all of these different

NOTE Confidence: 0.803011412

 $00:51:04.160 \rightarrow 00:51:06.560$  mutations that we're finding. Yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803011412

 $00{:}51{:}06{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}08{.}480$  Yes the the genes and and what are

NOTE Confidence: 0.803011412

 $00{:}51{:}08{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}09{.}960$  their roles in in normal business.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34478727

00:51:12.320 --> 00:51:14.754 I think I I I think you are

NOTE Confidence: 0.34478727

00:51:14.754 --> 00:51:17.320 absolutely correct. Yeah. Yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.34478727

00:51:17.920 --> 00:51:21.210 Yeah I'm I'm getting discredited I think NOTE Confidence: 0.34478727

 $00{:}51{:}21{.}210$  -->  $00{:}51{:}23{.}586$  you said that the unmutated CLLS have NOTE Confidence: 0.34478727

 $00:51:23.586 \rightarrow 00:51:25.637$  a re urgent headed with the nursery

NOTE Confidence: 0.775221385555556

 $00{:}51{:}26.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}30.624$  so yeah so the quest so the unmutated

NOTE Confidence: 0.775221385555556

 $00{:}51{:}30{.}624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}34{.}444$  has there are there's a far longer

NOTE Confidence: 0.775221385555556

 $00{:}51{:}34{.}444 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}38{.}000$  list of mutated drivers in unmutated

 $00{:}51{:}39{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}41{.}816$  CLLI see. So I guess the question then

NOTE Confidence: 0.79460037111111

 $00:51:41.816 \longrightarrow 00:51:44.638$  is do you think that the mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.79460037111111

 $00{:}51{:}44.638 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}48.110$  that's leading to the mutations of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79460037111111

 $00:51:48.110 \rightarrow 00:51:51.512$  IGH locus is unrelated to the genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.794600371111111

 $00{:}51{:}51{.}512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}53.696$  diversity that we're getting or is there

NOTE Confidence: 0.79460037111111

 $00{:}51{:}53{.}696$  -->  $00{:}51{:}55{.}720$  a relations to them and how does that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.841131801428571

 $00:51:55.720 \rightarrow 00:51:57.078$  I I think that's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841131801428571

 $00{:}51{:}57{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}58{.}920$  So the the question is whether or not

NOTE Confidence: 0.848722992

 $00{:}52{:}00{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}06{.}640$  how the immunoglobulin mutational status

NOTE Confidence: 0.848722992

 $00:52:06.640 \rightarrow 00:52:10.518$  relates to kind of the genetic diversity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848722992

00:52:10.520 --> 00:52:13.160 So. So yeah, it's been understood

NOTE Confidence: 0.848722992

00:52:13.160 --> 00:52:16.926 that whether or not the CL LS have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.848722992

00:52:16.926 --> 00:52:18.645 mutated or unmutated immunoglobulin

NOTE Confidence: 0.848722992

 $00{:}52{:}18.645 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}22.035$  relates to their cell of origin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848722992

 $00{:}52{:}22{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}25{.}152$  kind of where are they in kind of B

 $00:52:25.152 \rightarrow 00:52:27.540$  cell development and model whether or

NOTE Confidence: 0.848722992

 $00{:}52{:}27.628 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}30.114$  not those kind of normal physiological

NOTE Confidence: 0.848722992

 $00{:}52{:}30{.}114 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}32{.}799$  mutational processes are are present.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848722992

 $00:52:32.800 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.352$  So I think it does speak to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.848722992

 $00:52:36.352 \rightarrow 00:52:39.064$  underlying biology of that cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.848722992

 $00{:}52{:}39{.}064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}42{.}220$  of origin and probably it helps us

NOTE Confidence: 0.848722992

 $00{:}52{:}42.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}44.716$  understand why there there could be

NOTE Confidence: 0.848722992

 $00{:}52{:}44.716 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}50.400$  more mutations in in these different

NOTE Confidence: 0.848722992

 $00{:}52{:}50{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}53{.}960$  genes compared to the unmutated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848722992

 $00{:}52{:}53{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}55{.}280$  So that that would be a way

NOTE Confidence: 0.848722992

 $00:52:55.280 \rightarrow 00:52:56.160$  to put it together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.504185542

 $00:52:59.200 \rightarrow 00:53:02.760$  I have some questions. OK, yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.504185542

00:53:02.760 --> 00:53:06.217 So Marcus Bosenberg asks are

NOTE Confidence: 0.504185542

 $00:53:06.217 \longrightarrow 00:53:08.302$  there any recurrent genetic or

NOTE Confidence: 0.504185542

 $00:53:08.302 \rightarrow 00:53:10.639$  epigenetic changes in CLL arising

NOTE Confidence: 0.504185542

 $00:53:10.639 \rightarrow 00:53:13.600$  at later time points in RPS 15?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.873417537
- 00:53:15.720 --> 00:53:18.008 Marcus, hello, great question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873417537
- $00:53:18.008 \rightarrow 00:53:21.440$  We haven't actually looked at that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873417537
- $00{:}53{:}21{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}23{.}442$  I think that's a great question and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873417537
- $00{:}53{:}23{.}442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}25{.}024$  and probably something I should take
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873417537
- $00:53:25.024 \rightarrow 00:53:26.880$  back to the group and we should look,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873417537
- $00:53:26.880 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.088$  but we we haven't,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873417537
- $00:53:28.088 \longrightarrow 00:53:29.598$  we haven't looked at that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873417537
- $00:53:29.600 \longrightarrow 00:53:30.560$  So thank you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873190474285714
- 00:53:35.440 --> 00:53:36.811 One last question,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873190474285714
- 00:53:36.811 --> 00:53:38.639 there's George Miller asks,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873190474285714
- $00:53:38.640 \rightarrow 00:53:41.560$  can you comment on the role of Epstein
- NOTE Confidence: 0.873190474285714
- $00{:}53{:}41{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}44{.}076$  Barr virus in conversion of CLL to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6933937
- 00:53:46.520 --> 00:53:46.680 PLVCL?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.72661042
- 00:53:50.960 --> 00:53:54.170 I really can't maybe yes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.72661042
- $00{:}53{:}54{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}55{.}640$  we we have not looked at that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.72661042

 $00:53:55.640 \rightarrow 00:53:58.680$  It's a great question and certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.7092547766666667

 $00:54:01.640 \longrightarrow 00:54:05.748$  EBB does is does play a role in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7092547766666667

 $00{:}54{:}05{.}748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}08{.}318$  immortalization of B cell lines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7092547766666667

00:54:08.320 --> 00:54:10.917 But but I I don't have much

NOTE Confidence: 0.7092547766666667

 $00:54:10.920 \longrightarrow 00:54:12.884$  deep thoughts about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7092547766666667

 $00:54:12.884 \longrightarrow 00:54:14.840$  So my regrets. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7092547766666667

 $00{:}54{:}14{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}16{.}838$  Well, thank you very much for

NOTE Confidence: 0.7092547766666667

 $00:54:16.840 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.000$  visiting us. Yes, thank you.