## WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "00:59:26.6130000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.580$  Agenda welcome everyone to Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:00:02.580 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.160$  Center grand rounds and really

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:00:05.250 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.400$  pleased to have three various themed

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

00:00:08.400 --> 00:00:11.548 colleagues presenting and I, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00{:}00{:}11.548 \to 00{:}00{:}15.216$  I think if there's one particular theme

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00{:}00{:}15.216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}18.921$  that I think emerges among many from

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

00:00:18.921 --> 00:00:22.619 today's forum is that we have what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:00:22.619 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.217$  really great is the number of talented

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00{:}00{:}26.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}28.140$  people across multiple disciplines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00{:}00{:}28.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}31.522$  Who are making progress on pivotal questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

00:00:31.522 --> 00:00:34.108 in cancer care in Cancer Research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00{:}00{:}34.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}36.830$  and I think today's forum is just you

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:00:36.830 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.802$  know one very clear of many examples

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:00:39.802 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.470$  of three individuals doing great work,

00:00:42.470 --> 00:00:44.997 each coming from it from a different

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:00:44.997 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.926$  discipline but working together towards

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:00:46.926 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.272$  really making a difference for patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:00:49.272 \longrightarrow 00:00:52.264$  for the field and and obviously advancing

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:00:52.264 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.404$  our research and educational agenda.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

00:00:54.410 --> 00:00:56.798 And I I would, I'll do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:00:56.800 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.188$  I'd like to do is really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:00:59.190 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.998$  Introduce one of our speakers and ask him

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:01:01.998 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.919$  to then introduce the other colleague.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:01:04.920 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.368$  So let me introduce Kevin Billingslea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:01:07.370 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.226$  who I think frankly in the past.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:01:10.230 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.093$  I guess nine months or so really

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

00:01:13.093 --> 00:01:14.320 needs no introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

00:01:14.320 --> 00:01:16.780 Kevin, as many of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:01:16.780 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.196$  joined us in in or about January.

 $00:01:20.200 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.168$  As our chief medical officer for the Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:01:23.168 \dashrightarrow 00:01:25.798$  Cancer Center and Smilow Cancer Hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:01:25.800 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.482$  And is also a professor in

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:01:28.482 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.270$  the Department of surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

00:01:30.270 --> 00:01:32.505 Kevin is responsible for our

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

00:01:32.505 --> 00:01:33.399 clinical enterprise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:01:33.400 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.630$  working with our leaders in

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:01:35.630 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.414$  nursing and other disciplines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00{:}01{:}37.420 \to 00{:}01{:}40.102$  and has really done an extraordinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:01:40.102 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.890$  job and certainly working.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187 00:01:41.890 --> 00:01:42.786 You know, NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00{:}01{:}42.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}45.474$  arriving here and nothing less stepping

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:01:45.474 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.150$  into the frying pan with Kovid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:01:48.150 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.904$  And really the need to work

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:01:50.904 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.740$  collaboratively across so many

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:01:52.821 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.747$  people to execute on what was heroic.

 $00:01:55.750 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.886$  An extraordinary response on so many parts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187 00:01:58.890 --> 00:01:59.347 Kevin, NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:01:59.347 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.632$  beyond his success as our

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:02:01.632 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.003$  chief medical officer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00{:}02{:}03.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}05.375$  is an international leader in

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:02:05.375 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.740$  the clinical care and research

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:02:07.827 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.877$  of patients with a paddle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:02:09.880 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.796$  biliary cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00{:}02{:}10.796 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}13.086$  as well as gastroint estinal ligatures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

00:02:13.090 --> 00:02:15.380 Legacies before joining us here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

00:02:15.380 --> 00:02:18.248 Kevin was a professor at Oregon

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:02:18.248 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.160$  Health and Science University

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00{:}02{:}20.247 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}22.785$  where he was the medical director

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00{:}02{:}22.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}25.466$  of the Knight Cancer Institute and

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:02:25.466 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.738$  the chief of surgical oncology,

 $00:02:27.740 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.060$  and Kevin is going to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00{:}02{:}30.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}32.310$  Take over with our discussion of

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:02:32.310 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.435$  evolving multidisciplinary management

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:02:33.435 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.309$  of colorectal liver metastases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:02:35.310 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.942$  and I'll let Kevin take over and

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

00:02:37.942 --> 00:02:40.159 introduce the other great faculty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

00:02:40.160 --> 00:02:42.131 Kevin, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187 00:02:42.131 --> 00:02:42.788 Charlie, NOTE Confidence: 0.85429435968399

00:02:42.790 --> 00:02:46.423 thanks, thank you so much for that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85429435968399

 $00:02:46.423 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.980$  really gracious introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85429435968399

 $00:02:47.980 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.440$  I'm thrilled to be here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85429435968399

 $00:02:50.440 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.528$  We're going to do kind of A tag

NOTE Confidence: 0.85429435968399

 $00:02:52.528 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.147$  team screen sharing here and let me

NOTE Confidence: 0.85429435968399

 $00{:}02{:}54.147 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}55.990$  see if I can get going with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879898011684418

00:03:09.560 --> 00:03:11.450 OK, is that working for folks?

NOTE Confidence: 0.863857507705688

00:03:11.990 --> 00:03:16.850 I I only see you Kevin. OK.

 $00:03:37.480 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.088$  There you go. Got

NOTE Confidence: 0.82470452785492

00:03:47.088 --> 00:03:54.560 it, how about that OK? Well, you

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:03:54.560 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.990$  know it's as Charlie alluded to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

00:03:56.990 --> 00:04:00.239 I've had the pleasure of spending much of my

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:04:00.239 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.297$  career as hepatobiliary surgical oncologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

00:04:03.300 --> 00:04:06.243 And you know, I will share that one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:04:06.243 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.363$  the most gratifying aspects of my time

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

00:04:09.363 --> 00:04:11.771 in surgical oncology is participating

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}04{:}11.771 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}14.381$  and witnessing the dramatic advances

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}04{:}14.381 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}18.198$  that we have had in the multidisciplinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}04{:}18.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}21.654$  care of patients with colorectal liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:04:21.654 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.415$  metastases and one of the things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}04{:}24.415 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}27.395$  I was most excited about is I prepared

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

00:04:27.395 --> 00:04:30.134 for my transition to the ill Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:04:30.134 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.616$  Center in Smilow.

 $00:04:31.616 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.592$  Cancer Hospital was the

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

00:04:33.592 --> 00:04:35.858 fact that we truly have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587 00:04:35.860 --> 00:04:36.758 Kind of. NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:04:36.758 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.452$  We essentially have a world class

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:04:39.452 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.117$  team of experts across disciplines

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}04{:}42.117 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}45.459$  who are contributing to the care

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

00:04:45.459 --> 00:04:48.807 of this unique group of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}04{:}48.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}53.260$  And we have all of the elements here and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:04:53.371 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.718$  want to with that as a jumping off point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:04:57.720 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.528$  Introduce my two partners in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}05{:}00.528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}01.932$  multidisciplinary grand rounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:05:01.940 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.800$  Doctor Michael Cicchini is a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:05:04.800 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.150$  A seasoned veteran of Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:05:07.150 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.964$  he's a graduate of the Albert

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

00:05:09.964 --> 00:05:11.840 Einstein School of Medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}05{:}11.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}15.116$  came here to New Haven for residency,

 $00:05:15.120 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.932$  stayed on for fellowship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:05:16.932 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.650$  and has just continued to rocket

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:05:19.728 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.680$  to prominence from there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:05:21.680 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.242$  Michael profited as many of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:05:24.242 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.551$  fellows have from the mentorship

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

00:05:26.551 --> 00:05:29.659 and guidance of doctor Jill Lacey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:05:29.660 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.936$  who is as most of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}05{:}32.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}36.230$  the Dean of our GI medical Oncologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}05{:}36.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}38.974$  Michael has carved out a really unique

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:05:38.974 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.730$  spot for himself and our organization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

00:05:41.730 --> 00:05:43.840 an increasingly across the country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}05{:}43.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}46.282$  In a mix of traditional clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}05{:}46.282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}48.371$  research and GI medical oncology

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:05:48.371 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.066$  as well as phase one clinical trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:05:51.066 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.150$  work and drug development.

 $00:05:53.150 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.139$  So delighted to have him with me

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:05:56.139 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.330$  today and as a clinical partner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587 00:05:59.330 --> 00:05:59.867 Next, NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

00:05:59.867 --> 00:06:03.626 doctor David made off is a relatively

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:06:03.626 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.340$  recent addition to the Yale team.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

00:06:06.340 --> 00:06:10.348 When I was preparing for my move here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:06:10.350 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.382$  he was one of the most one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}06{:}14.382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}17.746$  people that I was most excited

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:06:17.746 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.426$  to partner with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587 00:06:19.430 --> 00:06:20.005 David, NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}06{:}20.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}22.880$  his essentially written the book

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:06:22.880 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.480$  on portal vein, embolization, and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}06{:}25.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}27.655$  And optimization of the hepatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}06{:}27.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}30.268$  remnant for in preparation for

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

00:06:30.268 --> 00:06:32.116 complex hepatobiliary surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:06:32.120 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.913$  he spent much there earlier part of

00:06:34.913 --> 00:06:38.640 his career at the MD Anderson Cancer Center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:06:38.640 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.376$  then transitioned back here to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

00:06:41.376 --> 00:06:44.039 East Coast where he's at Cornell

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:06:44.039 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.039$  for a number of years and then more

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

00:06:47.123 --> 00:06:49.403 recently we've been fortunate to

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:06:49.403 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.804$  recruit him as the vice chair for

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:06:52.804 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.589$  clinical research and the section

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}06{:}55.589 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}58.180$  chief of Interventional radiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

00:06:58.180 --> 00:07:01.057 And I will say kind of guickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}07{:}01.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}03.500$  Is this side note one of the things

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

00:07:03.500 --> 00:07:06.733 that I enjoy most about caring for

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:07:06.733 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.278$  patients with colorectal liver metastases?

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}07{:}09.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}12.568$  Is that it really is a team sport.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:07:12.570 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.238$  This multidisciplinary grand rounds

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:07:14.238 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.575$  does highlight a number of us who are

00:07:17.575 --> 00:07:19.618 involved from surgery, medical oncology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}07{:}19.618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}20.506$  Interventional radiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

00:07:20.506 --> 00:07:23.540 I do feel little remiss in not

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:07:23.540 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.626$  having some other folks on a panel

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:07:25.626 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.350$  who were important contributors

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:07:27.350 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.466$  such as radiation oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:07:29.470 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.964$  And other disciplines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

00:07:30.964 --> 00:07:34.450 but I know we'll have other opportunities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}07{:}34.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}37.446$  So this is a very comprehensive field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:07:37.450 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.460$  We're not going to cover everything today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:07:40.460 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.600$  Our goal is a team is to kind of give

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

00:07:43.688 --> 00:07:46.363 you some broad brush overviews

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:07:46.363 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.038$  of developments and high points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:07:49.040 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.368$  I will be talking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:07:50.368 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.913$  I'll be giving an overview and talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:07:52.913 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.318$  about some surgical strategies mainly

 $00:07:55.318 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.469$  focusing on patients with advanced disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

00:07:58.470 --> 00:08:01.326 Doctor made off will be talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00{:}08{:}01.326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}04.630$  his real area of world class expertise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:08:04.630 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.626$  Which is various techniques to optimize the

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:08:07.626 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.838$  liver remnant to support complex resection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:08:10.840 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.864$  Role of Interventional radiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:08:12.864 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.516$  and Michael will be updating us on

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00{:}08{:}16.516 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}19.166$  the numerous advances and systemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:08:19.166 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.286$  chemotherapy for the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:08:21.290 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.344$  Well, the idea of resecting colorectal

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:08:24.344 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.800$  liver metastases is not new.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00{:}08{:}26.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}29.215$  You know surgeons have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:08:29.215 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.310$  doing this for 40 plus years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:08:32.310 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.268$  What is exciting is the developments

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:08:35.268 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.831$  that have been made in the safety

 $00:08:38.831 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.328$  of these operations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00{:}08{:}40.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}43.162$  The number and variety of technical

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

00:08:43.162 --> 00:08:46.206 approaches and the slow but steady

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:08:46.206 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.886$  increase in long-term survival that

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:08:48.886 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.939$  patients enjoy after these procedures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

00:08:51.940 --> 00:08:54.514 Why would we focus on aggressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

00:08:54.514 --> 00:08:56.820 liver directed therapy for this

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

00:08:56.820 --> 00:08:58.856 patient with liver metastases?

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00{:}08{:}58.860 --> 00{:}08{:}59.320 \ Well,$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:08:59.320 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.620$  as many of you understand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00{:}09{:}01.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}04.100$  the liver disease in metastatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:09:04.100 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.580$  colorectal cancer often serves as

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

00:09:06.662 --> 00:09:09.224 as the main source of Morbidity

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:09:09.224 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.894$  and mortality and affect the driver

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:09:11.894 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.528$  demise for folks with this disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:09:14.530 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.218$  And this occurs through a number of pathways.

 $00:09:18.220 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.535$  Patients with bulky disease can

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:09:20.535 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.850$  experience liver failure more commonly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:09:22.850 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.575$  They suffer from progressive biliary

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:09:25.575 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.755$  obstruction which is understandable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616 00:09:27.760 --> 00:09:28.306 untreatable, NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:09:28.306 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.128$  and once this occurs an they're jaundice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:09:32.130 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.870$  it is very difficult to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

00:09:34.870 --> 00:09:36.490 Provide ongoing effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:09:36.490 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.570$  systemic chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00{:}09{:}37.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}43.213$  and it leads to kind of a downhill spiral.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00{:}09{:}43.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}48.180$  The good news is that from multiple currents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:09:48.180 \dashrightarrow 00:09:51.090$  Case series we know that complete

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:09:51.090 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.555$  resection of colorectal liver metastases

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:09:53.555 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.628$  patients can enjoy up to and sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:09:56.628 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.652$  more of 50% five year survival rate,

 $00:09:59.652 \longrightarrow 00:10:01.950$  yet, there remain significant challenges.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616 00:10:01.950 --> 00:10:02.410 Roughly, NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:10:02.410 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.146$  only 20% of patients with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00{:}10{:}05.146 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!\!>}\ 00{:}10{:}07.495$  disease process are resectable at

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:10:07.495 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.287$  the time that presentation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:10:09.290 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.590$  and even with aggressive surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

00:10:11.590 --> 00:10:12.510 therapy recurrence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:10:12.510 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.884$  remains frustratingly high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:10:13.884 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.860$  Often you know 80% at about

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:10:16.860 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.140$  the five year mark.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

00:10:19.140 --> 00:10:21.858 I don't want to steal doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

00:10:21.858 --> 00:10:23.217 Cecchini's Thunder here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

00:10:23.220 --> 00:10:26.836 and I apologize for stepping on his turf,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:10:26.840 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.160$  but no self respecting surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:10:29.160 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.480$  oncologist would talk about progress

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:10:31.554 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.613$  in this area without some mention of

 $00:10:34.613 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.873$  the groundbreaking advances that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:10:36.873 \longrightarrow 00:10:39.068$  been made in systemic chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

00:10:39.070 --> 00:10:41.788 I think this timeline kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:10:41.788 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.147$  tells the story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:10:43.150 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.314$  We've gone from the 5F U era,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:10:46.320 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.968$  which was the case for many years is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616 00:10:49.970 --> 00:10:50.508 Really, NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00{:}10{:}50.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}52.660$  the only chemother apeutic option

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:10:52.660 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.777$  in this disease with a 12 to 14

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:10:56.777 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.441$  month median survival to our current

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

00:10:59.441 --> 00:11:01.859 modern regiments with Folfox,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

00:11:01.860 --> 00:11:03.928 Folfiri and increasingly triplet

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00{:}11{:}03.928 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}11{:}05.996$  chemotherapy or patients even

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

00:11:05.996 --> 00:11:07.030 without surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

00:11:07.030 --> 00:11:11.758 are enjoying survival of 29 plus months so.

00:11:11.760 --> 00:11:13.890 As much as we have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

00:11:13.890 --> 00:11:15.393 surgeons congratulate ourselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

00:11:15.393 --> 00:11:18.399 on our technical wizardry of big

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:11:18.399 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.364$  piece of the progress in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:11:21.364 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.272$  background is effective chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

00:11:23.280 --> 00:11:27.010 So this is what I is, a surgical oncologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:11:27.010 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.290$  Love to see a patient with

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:11:29.290 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.039$  easily resectable disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

00:11:31.040 --> 00:11:32.520 limited number of tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:11:32.520 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.740$  one maybe two tumors that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00{:}11{:}34.811 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}37.231$  peripherally placed not in close

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

00:11:37.231 --> 00:11:39.651 proximity to major vascular structures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:11:39.660 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.018$  and these folks are amenable to

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

00:11:42.018 --> 00:11:44.400 an atomic or segmental resection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:11:44.400 \longrightarrow 00:11:46.555$  The surgical options for managing

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

00:11:46.555 --> 00:11:48.710 folks like this are manifold.

 $00:11:48.710 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.296$  They can be treated well within

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00{:}11{:}51.296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}53.522$  traditional open operation. Lapre Scopic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00{:}11{:}53.522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}56.784$  Liver resection is now in the main stream,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:11:56.790 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.042$  and increasingly we're used

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

00:11:59.042 --> 00:12:01.294 utilizing the robotic platform

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:12:01.294 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.217$  to address some of these tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

00:12:04.220 --> 00:12:05.438 Now more commonly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00{:}12{:}05.438 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}07.468$  what we see particularly at

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

00:12:07.468 --> 00:12:09.419 large academic medical centers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:12:09.420 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.597$  such as we work in our patients who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:12:12.597 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.048$  advanced colorectal liver metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:12:15.050 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.074$  These are patients with multi focal disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00{:}12{:}18.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}20.140$  Often the diseases bilateral on

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:12:20.140 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.143$  both sides of the liver and often

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:12:23.143 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.747$  their bulky lesions which are in

 $00:12:25.747 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.233$  close proximity to major vascular

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

00:12:28.233 --> 00:12:30.285 structures or portal pedicles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:12:30.290 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.122$  So I'm going to share the story of

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:12:33.122 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.767$  a 48 year old woman who I treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:12:36.767 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.660$  in Portland about eight years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

00:12:39.660 --> 00:12:41.360 She presented with bulky,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:12:41.360 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.635$  complex liver disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

00:12:42.640 --> 00:12:44.612 Anna sigmoid non obstructed

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00{:}12{:}44.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}47.077$  sigmoid primary cancer in place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:12:47.080 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.817$  So not to dwell on too many

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:12:49.817 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.599$  liver technicalities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:12:50.600 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.973$  but she had a high central liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

00:12:52.973 --> 00:12:55.362 lesion in close proximity to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

00:12:55.362 --> 00:12:57.948 vena cava sitting right under the

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:12:57.948 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.368$  confluence of the three hepatic veins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00{:}13{:}00.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}02.625$  Should an additional bulky lesion

 $00:13:02.625 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.350$  in segment for the liver sitting

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:13:05.350 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.073$  in proximity to one of the pedicles

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:13:08.073 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.029$  in the in the middle hepatic vein?

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

00:13:11.030 --> 00:13:13.268 And then she had another bulky

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:13:13.268 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.443$  lesion in segment five and six

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:13:15.443 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.578$  on the right side of the liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:13:17.580 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.412$  So this is a perfect segue into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00{:}13{:}20.412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}22.529$ need for multidisciplinary multi

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00{:}13{:}22.529 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}25.173$  disciplinary strategies to address

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00{:}13{:}25.173 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}28.643$  patients like this with advanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

00:13:28.643 --> 00:13:30.878 colorectal liver metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:13:30.880 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.592$  And I'm going to talk today in my

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00{:}13{:}34.592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}37.867$  section about 3 strategies to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

00:13:37.867 --> 00:13:40.667 approach this group of patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:13:40.670 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.430$  all requiring the integration

 $00:13:43.430 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.500$  of multiple disciplines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00{:}13{:}45.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}49.189$  Probably the most common is what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

00:13:49.189 --> 00:13:52.030 described is conversion chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:13:52.030 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.005$  which involves the upfront utilization

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:13:55.005 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.790$  of multiagent chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:13:56.790 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.700$  Usually oxaliplatin based to downstage

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:13:59.700 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.050$  tumors within the range of respectability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:14:04.050 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.225$  Another approach that is increasingly

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:14:06.225 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.186$  used at high volume centers around the

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:14:09.186 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.026$  world is what we call staged habit ectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00{:}14{:}12.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}13.780$  This is breaking the surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:14:13.780 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.530$  treatment up into two sessions

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

00:14:15.591 --> 00:14:17.399 with an intervening procedure

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

00:14:17.399 --> 00:14:19.207 called portal vein embolization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:14:19.210 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.415$  which leads to optimization of

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00{:}14{:}21.415 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}24.310$  growth of the plant hepatic remnant.

 $00:14:24.310 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.683$  And then the last topic I will

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

00:14:26.683 --> 00:14:28.839 touch on briefly is something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:14:28.839 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.317$  many of us around the world are

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:14:31.389 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.419$  starting to do which is complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

00:14:34.419 --> 00:14:35.934 parenchymal sparing receptions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:14:35.940 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.968$  which allow simultaneous resection

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:14:37.968 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.503$  of multiple sites of disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00{:}14{:}40.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}42.388$  So the patient I described did

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:14:42.388 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.182$  go on to have eight cycles of

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

00:14:45.182 --> 00:14:47.134 Folfox with bevacizumab Avastin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:14:47.140 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.972$  and she was in the subset of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:14:49.972 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.210$  who enjoyed a stunning response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

00:14:52.210 --> 00:14:53.770 As you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:14:53.770 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.720$  the central lesion shrunk dramatically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

00:14:55.720 --> 00:14:59.620 You can start to see some width on the Ivy,

00:14:59.620 --> 00:15:02.446 see a little more space around

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00{:}15{:}02.446 \to 00{:}15{:}04.330$  the dip attic veins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:15:04.330 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.195$  This lesion is now shrunk

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:15:06.195 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.060$  away from the left portal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:15:08.060 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.436$  Pedicle involves the caudate lobe of

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:15:10.436 \longrightarrow 00:15:13.608$  the liver which is a bit of a tricky

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:15:13.608 \longrightarrow 00:15:16.638$  place to operate but now has good clearances.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:15:16.640 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.525$  The left pedicle segment for

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:15:18.525 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.033$  lesion significantly smaller and

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:15:20.033 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.860$  the right side liver lesion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83526104688644400:15:21.860 --> 00:15:22.570 also smaller.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:15:22.570 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.410$  This allowed us to take her to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:15:25.486 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.516$  operating room and one operative setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:15:28.520 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.458$  Treat her with a left hip.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:15:30.460 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.952$  It ectomy a caudate lobe resection segment

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:15:32.952 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.577$  6 or section in a sigmoid colectomy.

 $00:15:35.580 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.682$  This was her diseases that appeared

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:15:38.682 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.266$  in the operating room, stomach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

00:15:41.266 --> 00:15:43.846 liver, Gallbladder, and the caudate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

00:15:43.850 --> 00:15:47.010 Lesion shrunken in partially calcified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:15:47.010 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.125$  The segment 56 lesion again nice response

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:15:50.125 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.794$  partially calcified and what she wound

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

00:15:52.794 --> 00:15:55.308 up with his complex bilateral resection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:15:55.310 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.061$  but with plenty of good healthy liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

00:15:58.061 --> 00:16:00.783 remnant left and I know everyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:16:00.783 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.739$  reports their greatest success.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:16:02.740 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.080$  What happened with this lady is

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00{:}16{:}05.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}08.071$  she had a single side of recurrent

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00{:}16{:}08.071 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}11.200$  disease about two years after that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:16:11.290 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.026$  treated with a little wedge resection

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:16:14.026 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.251$  and she is disease free at last.

 $00:16:17.251 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.053$  Follow up about 8 years out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:16:20.060 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.406$  So what we've learned over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:16:22.406 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.149$  years in the French are really led

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:16:25.149 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.718$  the way in this is that patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

00:16:27.801 --> 00:16:30.456 can enjoy even after chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00{:}16{:}30.460 \longrightarrow 00{:}16{:}32.956$  Conversion can enjoy a very high

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

00:16:32.956 --> 00:16:34.620 rate of long-term survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:16:34.620 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.700$  This is data from Renee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

00:16:36.700 --> 00:16:39.196 A damn now presented years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:16:39.200 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.668$  but makes the point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:16:40.668 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.870$  They looked at their subset of

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:16:42.950 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.220$  patients from their entire spectrum

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:16:45.220 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.286$  of liver metastases who are respected

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:16:48.286 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.449$  after conversion chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

00:16:50.450 --> 00:16:52.982 And although this group of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:16:52.982 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.231$  who were converted to Resectable

 $00:16:55.231 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.117$  did not enjoy the survival that

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

00:16:58.117 --> 00:16:59.940 the primary population did,

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:16:59.940 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.540$  33% five year survival in a subset of

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

00:17:04.540 --> 00:17:07.445 patients extending out into the eight

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:17:07.445 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.250$  to 10 year mark as my patient did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:17:11.250 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.970$  I'd only just you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

00:17:12.970 --> 00:17:15.600 While I was there, my partner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00{:}17{:}15.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}19.240$  Sky Mayo and I took a kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:17:19.351 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.565$  a new is old or old.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:17:21.570 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.150$  Is new approach to kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:17:24.150 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.440$  optimizing this approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:17:25.440 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.160$  We started a hepatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00{:}17{:}27.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}28.450$  arterial infusion program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:17:28.450 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.600$  As many of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:17:30.600 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.886$  this involves the placement of a

00:17:32.886 --> 00:17:34.948 chemotherapy pump in a catheter

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00{:}17{:}34.948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}37.732$  into the hepatic artery to deliver

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:17:37.732 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.630$  focus chemotherapy with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:17:39.630 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.350$  aim of converting patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

00:17:41.350 --> 00:17:43.680 It's a complex operation involves

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

00:17:43.680 --> 00:17:46.010 dissection of the hepatic artery

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:17:46.088 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.252$  placement at the pump in a subcutaneous

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:17:49.252 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.179$  pocket with installation of FDR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

00:17:51.180 --> 00:17:54.252 We did this in combination with

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:17:54.252 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.300$  systemic chemotherapy with Folfox.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00{:}17{:}56.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}59.359$  I'm just going to quickly report these

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:17:59.359 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.930$  results we placed about 27 pumps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:18:01.930 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.528$  At this time we analyze data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:18:04.530 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.128$  We looked at the 1st 20.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:18:07.130 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.340$  Two of these pumps, all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00{:}18{:}09.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}14.980$  A subset for unresectable disease.

00:18:14.980 --> 00:18:17.878 Many of these were high risk disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:18:17.880 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.358$  36% with the K Ras mutant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

00:18:20.360 --> 00:18:22.016 All had synchronous disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:18:22.016 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.086$  All had multiple liver lesions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:18:24.090 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.695$  The point relate relative to this talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:18:27.695 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.420$  that I'd like to point out is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:18:31.420 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.982$  Of the 13 patients that we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:18:33.982 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.499$  aiming to convert to respectability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:18:36.500 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.810$  a subset we were able to eventually

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:18:38.810 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.511$  get to the operating room with

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00{:}18{:}41.511 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}43.687$ very extensive bilateral disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:18:43.690 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.504$  so this is yet another kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00{:}18{:}46.504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}48.234$  regional chemotherapy strategy to

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00{:}18{:}48.234 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}50.030$  convert patients to Resectable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:18:50.030 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.315$  Another approach that is done

 $00:18:52.315 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.600$  throughout the world that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

00:18:54.685 --> 00:18:56.735 facilitated by David's work is

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:18:56.735 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.339$  a two stage HEPA tech to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:18:59.340 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.220$  This is for patients with complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

00:19:02.220 --> 00:19:02.860 Lateral disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:19:02.860 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.420$  they go to the operating room in one

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:19:05.481 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.617$  session and either have reception of

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00{:}19{:}07.617 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}09.829$  the left liver disease or ablation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:19:09.830 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.598$  Then they go on to portal vein embolization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

00:19:12.600 --> 00:19:14.310 which leads to hypertrophy of

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:19:14.310 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.020$  the left liver and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:19:16.090 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.065$  remainder of the disease is

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:19:18.065 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.040$  respected in a second operation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:19:20.040 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.113$  And this is a strategy that allows

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:19:23.113 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.528$  us to treat what can be otherwise

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}19{:}27.528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}29.778$  completely unrespectable disease.

 $00{:}19{:}29.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}32.062$  So series from around the world to

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:19:32.062 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.971$  demonstrate that even for patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

00:19:33.971 --> 00:19:35.715 with advanced bilateral disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:19:35.720 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.680$  if we can complete the two stage resection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:19:38.680 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.535$  we can provide patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}19{:}40.535 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}41.648$  excellent long-term survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:19:41.650 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.290$  There is always going to be a subset

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}19{:}44.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}47.078$  of patients who dropped out due to

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:19:47.078 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.726$  progression between the operations

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:19:48.726 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.926$  or in the course of therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

00:19:50.930 --> 00:19:51.670 And unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:19:51.670 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.520$  those folks don't do well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}19{:}53.520 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}19{:}56.460$  but this is a great strategy to

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:19:56.460 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.520$  get patients to the operating room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:19:59.520 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.800$  One of the things that I in a

 $00:20:01.800 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.667$  number of other surgeons that

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

00:20:03.667 --> 00:20:06.103 started to do in recent years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:20:06.110 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.160$  is exploit the concept of

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:20:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.800$  the R1 Vascular margin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:20:09.800 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.560$  This is related to the fact that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:20:12.560 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.307$  is going to be a subset of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

00:20:15.307 --> 00:20:17.873 like this who have bulky tumors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:20:17.873 \longrightarrow 00:20:20.207$  the diseases in close proximity to

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}20{:}20{:}20{:}20{:}07 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}22{:}400$  a major vein or poorly pedicle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

00:20:22.400 --> 00:20:25.172 But it is possible if you can get a

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}20{:}25.172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}27.258$  wide resection margin on the rest

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:20:27.258 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.282$  of it and get a very narrow margin

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:20:30.282 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.194$  that's positive only on the vein to

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:20:33.200 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.900$  get excellent local Disease Control

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:20:34.900 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.090$  in that part of the liver and still

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}20{:}38.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}39.994$  preserve significant liver parenchyma.

 $00{:}20{:}40.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}42.000$  My Friend Doctor Guido Tort

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

00:20:42.000 --> 00:20:44.465 Caelian Milano has been kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

00:20:44.465 --> 00:20:46.430 the primary proponent of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:20:46.430 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.414$  and I think that this is a strategy

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:20:49.414 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.227$  that is gaining traction and many

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

00:20:52.227 --> 00:20:55.191 HP be centers around the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:20:55.200 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.434$  Guido and his team have reported their

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}20{:}58.434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}01.433$  experience and one of the things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}21{:}01.433 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}04.328$  I think his striking is that patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:21:04.328 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.460$  who have you compare their survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

00:21:07.460 --> 00:21:10.344 When you compare R0 resection with R1

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:21:10.344 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.910$  resection from the liver parenchyma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}21{:}12.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}15.180$  there's clearly decrement in survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523 00:21:15.180 --> 00:21:15.624 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:21:15.624 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.732$  the group that have an R1 margin

 $00:21:18.732 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.990$  only on a vessel have a survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}21{:}21.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}24.695$  It is virtually identical to

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:21:24.695 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.400$  those that are R0 resection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:21:27.400 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.984$  I think that this is a great example

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:21:29.984 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.745$  of a theme that we see across surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}21{:}33.745 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}21{:}36.949$  on cology and multiple diseases breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:21:36.950 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.068$  The most notable here where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

00:21:39.068 --> 00:21:42.221 overtime have gone to a multi modality

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

00:21:42.221 --> 00:21:43.886 approach involving chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:21:43.890 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.980$  radiation and much more limited

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}21{:}45.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}48.070$  surgery going from radical mastectomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:21:48.135 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.530$  to breast conservation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:21:49.530 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.512$  Now moving towards even eliminate ING the

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}21{:}52.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}55.607$  axle airy component of breast cancer surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:21:55.610 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.840$  Same in head and neck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}21{:}57.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}58.812$  Laryngeal preservation,

00:21:58.812 --> 00:22:00.756 possibly preservation of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}22{:}00.756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}02.700$  rectum in Rectal carcinomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:22:02.700 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.644$  Sarcomas off ajil cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:22:04.644 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.588$  The theme is consistent

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

00:22:06.588 --> 00:22:07.560 throughout integration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:22:07.560 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.504$  Multi modality therapy allows

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:22:09.504 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.448$  a more conservative operation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}22{:}11.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}14.732$  and I think we're getting there

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:22:14.732 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.373$  and liver cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:22:16.380 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.748$  This was one of my cases from Portland,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

00:22:18.750 --> 00:22:19.930 not a great picture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}22{:}19.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}22.300$  but a patient who I took care of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}22{:}22.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}23.956$  You had multifocal disease in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:22:23.956 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.058$  upper part of the right side of

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

00:22:26.058 --> 00:22:27.942 the liver and left liver requiring

 $00:22:27.942 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.745$  reception down on to the hepatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}22{:}29.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}31.180$  veins and right point medical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:22:31.180 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.835$  Kind of a wedge resection on the left side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:22:33.840 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.467$  all able to do this in a single operation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:22:37.470 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.115$  The greatest thing about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:22:39.115 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.760$  is that all of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806

 $00:22:40.833 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.798$  strategies do require multidisciplinary care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806

 $00:22:43.800 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.971$  An integration, as I take off my

NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806

00:22:46.971 --> 00:22:50.129 surgeon hat and put on my CMO hat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806

 $00:22:50.130 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.470$  all of these strategies come together

NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806

 $00:22:52.470 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.553$  in the fact that our aim at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806

 $00:22:55.553 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.719$  Yale Cancer Center through both our

NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806

 $00:22:57.798 \longrightarrow 00:23:00.792$  care signature effort as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806

 $00{:}23{:}00.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}02.788$ our multidisciplinary disease teams,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806

 $00:23:02.790 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.541$  is to create a wrap around set

NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806

00:23:05.541 --> 00:23:07.920 of services for our patients.

00:23:07.920 --> 00:23:10.699 As I mentioned, it's not just liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806

00:23:10.699 --> 00:23:12.620 surgery and medical oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806

00:23:12.620 --> 00:23:13.904 An Interventional radiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806

 $00:23:13.904 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.472$  We need to coordinate care for

NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806

 $00:23:16.472 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.169$  many of these patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806

 $00:23:18.170 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.448$  including radiation therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806

 $00:23:19.448 \longrightarrow 00:23:21.584$  Image Ng, including nuclear medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806

00:23:21.584 --> 00:23:23.292 Of course, oncology, nursing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806

00:23:23.292 --> 00:23:24.570 pathology, social work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806

 $00{:}23{:}24.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}26.695$  our colleagues in colorectal cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806

 $00:23:26.695 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.700$  surgery need to be involved in genetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806

 $00:23:29.700 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.256$  and I think that's the promise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806

 $00:23:32.260 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.816$  An fun of what we're doing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806

 $00:23:34.820 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.795$  so I'd like to hand off now

NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806

 $00:23:37.795 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.580$  to doctor made off.

 $00:23:39.580 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.369$  Thank you David.

NOTE Confidence: 0.912310719490051

00:23:42.690 --> 00:23:43.878 I guess I need

NOTE Confidence: 0.900341939926147

 $00:23:43.880 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.040$  to stop my screen. Share

NOTE Confidence: 0.852466821670532

 $00:23:46.040 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.060$  my screen here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788426756858826

 $00:23:47.760 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.440$  And.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904296815395355

 $00:23:57.470 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.498$  OK, so can you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.904296815395355

 $00:24:00.500 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.920$  you will hear me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923315286636353

00:24:05.810 --> 00:24:08.600 Yes, we can hear you. So what I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

00:24:08.600 --> 00:24:10.826 first like to thank Charlie and Kevin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00:24:10.830 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.302$  as well as my for giving me the

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00{:}24{:}13.302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}15.274$  opportunity today to speak at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00:24:15.274 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.884$  El Cancer Center grand rounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00:24:16.890 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.978$  As you may recall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

00:24:17.978 --> 00:24:19.610 I didn't discuss this topic of

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

00:24:19.675 --> 00:24:21.680 liver regeneration in last December,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

00:24:21.680 --> 00:24:23.824 but today I will be focusing on how

 $00:24:23.824 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.436$  these techniques relate to optimizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00:24:25.436 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.136$  the anticipated future liver remnant

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

00:24:27.136 --> 00:24:29.008 prior to resection in patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00:24:29.010 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.930$  really with only colorectal liver metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00:24:30.930 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.720$  Very happy to be here in terms of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

00:24:33.720 --> 00:24:36.030 fact that this is, like Kevin said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00:24:36.030 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.950$  this is really in my life's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00{:}24{:}37.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}40.962$  Work and passion and having the

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00{:}24{:}40.962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}45.570$  opportunity is for me very, very nice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

00:24:45.570 --> 00:24:50.190 So. As you just heard from Kevin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00:24:50.190 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.490$  there have been tremendous

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

00:24:51.490 --> 00:24:52.790 advances and Pat ability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00{:}24{:}52.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}53.765$  Every surgical techniques,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00:24:53.765 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.040$  such that death is now considered rare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962 00:24:56.040 --> 00:24:56.365 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962  $00:24:56.365 \longrightarrow 00:24:57.990$  complications such as fluid retention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962 00:24:57.990 --> 00:24:58.626 Cola stasis, NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00:24:58.626 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.216$  an impaired synthetic function still

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00:25:00.216 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.890$  contribute to prolong recovery times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00:25:01.890 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.218$  An extended hospital stays.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00:25:03.218 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.546$  This is particularly true

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00:25:04.546 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.790$  instead of an extent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00:25:05.790 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.390$  but did have a tech to me where 5 or

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00{:}25{:}08.462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}10.988$  more per node segments are removed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962 00:25:10.990 --> 00:25:11.965 and in fact, NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00{:}25{:}11.965 --> 00{:}25{:}13.265$  as you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

00:25:13.270 --> 00:25:15.430 the mortality in this setting

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00:25:15.430 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.590$  can approach 8 to 10%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

00:25:17.590 --> 00:25:20.446 This can be seen from this French study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00:25:20.450 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.485$  There is direct correlation between

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00:25:22.485 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.520$  the number of overall complications

 $00:25:24.587 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.540$  and the size of the liver remnant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00:25:26.540 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.860$  and this is not in regards to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00:25:28.860 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.188$  severity of the overall complications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00:25:31.190 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.956$  but really only the complication rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00:25:33.960 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.243$  So at this time there's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00:25:36.243 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.910$  no limit to how smaller liver in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:25:47.180 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.628$  In order to reduce the morbidity of Petra

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:25:50.628 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.601$  section at least 20% mushrooming in patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:25:53.601 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.163$  with normal underlying liver that is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:25:56.170 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.492$  For example, patients that have colon

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

00:25:58.492 --> 00:26:01.539 cancer Mets to the liver without ever

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

00:26:01.539 --> 00:26:04.210 having touch chemotherapy 30% in injured

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00{:}26{:}04.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}07.290$  liver such as those that have had extensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:26:07.364 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.799$  chemotherapy or Seattle hepatitis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:26:09.799 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.430 40\%$  in those with underlying cirrhosis.

 $00:26:12.430 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.525$  So there's many preoperative strategies

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:26:14.525 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.610$  to prepare the liver for resection and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

00:26:17.610 --> 00:26:19.206 These include Portland Embolization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:26:19.206 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.802$  which was briefly discussed

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:26:20.802 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.760$  by Kevin radiation lobectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00{:}26{:}22.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}25.280$  There's an apps procedure that will

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

00:26:25.280 --> 00:26:28.365 get into briefly and also something

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00{:}26{:}28.365 \rightarrow 00{:}26{:}30.348$  called liberties deprivation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00{:}26{:}30.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}31.719$  Support and embolization is

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:26:31.719 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.748$  the original strategy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:26:32.750 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.590$  first described by professional recruiters

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

00:26:34.590 --> 00:26:37.210 group from the University of Tokyo in 1990.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:26:37.210 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.506$  It's been used to redirect portal blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

00:26:39.506 --> 00:26:42.357 flow to the future liver remnant or FLR,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:26:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.656$  and by doing so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:26:43.656 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.600$  initiate hypertrophy of the non embolized

 $00:26:45.660 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.940$  segments and by doing this we can reduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:26:47.940 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.792$  the number of overall perioperative

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:26:49.792 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.832$  complications and increase the pool

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:26:51.832 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.649$  of potential surgical candidates who

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:26:53.649 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.647$  have what we call marginal anticipated

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

00:26:55.647 --> 00:26:57.098 future liver remnant volumes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:26:57.100 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.270$  Please note that the goal is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00{:}26{:}59.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}01.422$  not to improve the overall survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:27:01.422 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.347$  after resection is compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:27:03.347 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.360$  those that did not require PV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

00:27:05.360 --> 00:27:07.634 It's just really to achieve similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:27:07.634 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.616$  survival rates to those patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00{:}27{:}09.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}11.596$  who want to undergo surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

00:27:11.600 --> 00:27:13.550 It did not actually require

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:27:13.550 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.500$  PV an ultimate until recently.

 $00:27:15.500 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.620$  The general consensus was the PV was the

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00{:}27{:}18.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}21.350$  standard of care at most had ability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00{:}27{:}21.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}23.290$  every centers worldwide or safe

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:27:23.290 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.842$  and effective generate generation

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:27:24.842 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.336$  or generation of the FLR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00{:}27{:}27.336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}29.958$  It's now been over a decade since

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:27:29.958 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.048$  the first meta analysis of usefulness

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:27:32.048 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.476$  of PV was published,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00{:}27{:}33.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}36.096$  and in this study they would do 37

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:27:36.096 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.814$  publications with over 1000 patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00{:}27{:}37.814 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}40.145$  and found a previous states with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

00:27:40.212 --> 00:27:42.059 low mortality and morbidity rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538 00:27:42.060 --> 00:27:42.363 Further,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:27:42.363 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.484$  85% of the patients were able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

00:27:44.484 --> 00:27:46.169 get their proposed surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538 00:27:46.170 --> 00:27:46.452 However,

 $00:27:46.452 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.708$  as you can see down here is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:27:48.708 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.833$  there was a substantial group of

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:27:50.833 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.608$  patients that were not respected

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:27:52.669 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.322$  and this was due mostly to disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00{:}27{:}55.322 \to 00{:}27{:}56.459$  progression or insufficient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:27:56.460 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.088$  I purchased it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

00:27:59.090 --> 00:28:01.442 I stated previously the goal of PV

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:28:01.442 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.673$  in the setting of colorectal cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

00:28:03.673 --> 00:28:06.361 is to get similar survival rates to

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:28:06.431 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.965$  those patients who do not require PV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

00:28:08.970 --> 00:28:11.166 Here we see two actually French

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:28:11.166 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.630$  studies and pretty old.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00{:}28{:}12.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}14.350$  There actually showed where

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:28:14.350 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.500$  these outcomes were born out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:28:16.500 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.905$  Now PV E not only causes the

00:28:18.905 --> 00:28:19.934 Liberty purchase fee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:28:19.940 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.776$  but it also results in improved

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:28:21.776 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.068$  function of the FLR and this has

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

00:28:24.068 --> 00:28:25.748 been shown by nuclear medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:28:25.748 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.614$  studies with alobar function shifted

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:28:27.614 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.882$  from the embolized than an embolism

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:28:29.882 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.980$  for after the further in patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

00:28:31.980 --> 00:28:33.356 with Hilar Cholangio Carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:28:33.360 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.388$  who had biliary drainage catheters more

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

00:28:35.388 --> 00:28:37.832 bile is produced in the non embolized

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:28:37.832 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.240$  bourbon in the embolized liver and Lastly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00{:}28{:}40.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}43.024$  We can see that less alterations in liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:28:43.024 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.836$  function tests after resection occur

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

00:28:44.836 --> 00:28:47.530 following PV even knows in which P VE.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:28:47.530 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.577$  Was not performed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

00:28:48.577 --> 00:28:51.020 So for decades CT has been the

 $00:28:51.092 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.332$  cornerstone for surgical planning and

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00{:}28{:}53.332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}56.674$  when assessing the FL are we do our

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:28:56.674 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.061$  calculations based only on the size of

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

00:28:59.070 --> 00:29:01.254 the liver. Remaining siti does directly

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:29:01.254 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.795$  measure the future liver remnant and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

00:29:03.795 --> 00:29:06.182 total liver volume is not actually measured,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

00:29:06.190 --> 00:29:07.995 but rather it's estimated from

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:29:07.995 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.439$  the Association between the

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:29:09.439 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.820$  liver and patient size.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

00:29:10.820 --> 00:29:13.668 And this is based on body waiting patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:29:13.670 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.638$  body surface area or a larger

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:29:15.638 \longrightarrow 00:29:17.940$  patient would need a large deliver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:29:17.940 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.446$  Smaller patient may need a smaller liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00{:}29{:}20.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}22.543$  The FL R2 total estimated liver volume

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:29:22.543 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.137$  ratio can then allow for uniform comparison.

00:29:25.140 --> 00:29:27.150 Adefa lower volume parts of resection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00{:}29{:}27.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}29.160$  whether or not PVE was performed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:29:29.160 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.973$  And this is the formula which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:29:30.973 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.079$  based on a linear regression equation

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:29:33.079 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.189$  from over 500 Western adults.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00{:}29{:}35.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}37.085$  It's critical to understand the

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:29:37.085 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.561$  denominator does not change on the pre

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:29:39.561 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.230$  and post CT scans because it is an estimate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:29:42.230 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.534$  One must realize that PV E does cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:29:44.534 \longrightarrow 00:29:46.517$  atrophy of the emboli segments that

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

00:29:46.517 --> 00:29:48.997 there have been cases where the total

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:29:48.997 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.997$  liver volume is directly measured.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

00:29:51.000 --> 00:29:53.376 And went down after PV E.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349 00:29:53.380 --> 00:29:53.775 Therefore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

00:29:53.775 --> 00:29:56.940 even if the numerator is unchanged, the FL,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:29:56.940 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.915$  our percentage may inadvertently increase,

 $00:29:58.920 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.242$  giving once a false sense of

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00{:}30{:}01.242 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}03.280$  belief that hypertrophy did occur.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:30:03.280 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.260$  And unfortunately patients have died

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:30:05.260 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.240$  after surgery when this happens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:30:07.240 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.344$  So if we look at this patient with

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:30:10.344 \longrightarrow 00:30:12.102$  colorectal liver metastases here

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

00:30:12.102 --> 00:30:14.796 we see approximately 4 weeks later

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00{:}30{:}14.796 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}17.529$  that the FLR grew from 17 to 30%

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:30:17.530 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.906$  or degree of hypertrophy of 13%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:30:19.910 \longrightarrow 00:30:21.358$  This patient also had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:30:21.358 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.168$  Kinetic growth rate of 3.5%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:30:23.170 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.613$  which is a good indicator and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00{:}30{:}25.613 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}27.637$  will be discussed in much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:30:27.637 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.147$  detail in a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:30:31.500 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.403$  In recent years,

 $00:30:32.403 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.908$  there's actually been controversy as

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00{:}30{:}33.908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}36.197$  to be appropriate limit for resection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:30:36.200 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.510$  and this may depend on the institution

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:30:38.510 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.239$  and the formula being used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:30:40.240 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.000$  In Europe, for example, therapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:30:42.000 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.938$  uses the cut off for the need for pbe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:30:44.940 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.217$  That said, we showed during my time at MD

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:30:47.217 \longrightarrow 00:30:48.923$  Anderson there statistically significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:30:48.923 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.990$  differences in outcome, whether it

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

00:30:50.990 --> 00:30:53.000 be from liver insufficiency or death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:30:53.000 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.690$  If you have less than percent, FL are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

00:30:55.690 --> 00:30:57.365 however, no differences were found.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:30:57.370 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.386$  Once you had more than 20%

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

00:30:59.390 --> 00:31:02.170 of your FL are remaining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

00:31:02.170 --> 00:31:02.738 And further,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:31:02.738 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.726$  we wanted to see the impact of

00:31:04.726 --> 00:31:06.719 PV in the patient population

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:31:06.719 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.359$  in this patient population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:31:08.360 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.418$  We found that in those patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:31:10.418 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.150$  that had at least 20%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

00:31:12.150 --> 00:31:13.860 meaning that they already either

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

00:31:13.860 --> 00:31:16.620 had 20\% not requiring PV or had 20\%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:31:16.620 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.615$  and in those days we did it

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00{:}31{:}18.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}20.765$  with a higher environment to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:31:20.765 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.805$  if there was any difference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:31:22.810 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.267$  and we compared them to those patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:31:25.267 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.969$  who had less than 20% and actually had

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

00:31:27.969 --> 00:31:30.720 at least 20% and did not require PV,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00{:}31{:}30.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}33.496$  E and compared them to those also that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

00:31:33.500 --> 00:31:36.636 And did not have 20% and needed PV E.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:31:36.636 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.486$  And we found that as long as the

 $00:31:39.486 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.670$  patient after PV at least 20%

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:31:41.670 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.440$  of the future liver remnant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:31:43.440 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.542$  it really was no difference in

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:31:46.542 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.610$  what happened after resection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:31:48.610 \longrightarrow 00:31:50.416$  So we talked about the brief

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

00:31:50.416 --> 00:31:51.620 hypertrophy a little earlier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:31:51.620 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.727$  So what is the degree of purchasing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539 00:31:53.730 --> 00:31:53.973 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

00:31:53.973 --> 00:31:56.160 it's the post PV eff R minus the pre

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

00:31:56.219 --> 00:31:58.284 PV EOFLR which gives you a dynamic

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00{:}31{:}58.284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}59.935$  measure of liver regeneration and

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:31:59.935 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.700$  this is important because there

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:32:01.700 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.964$  is an amount of high purchase that

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:32:03.964 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.168$  is necessary to review.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:32:05.170 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.221$  If you have complications in our study

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

00:32:07.221 --> 00:32:09.078 published in MD Anderson in 2007,

 $00:32:09.080 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.952$  you can see that those patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:32:10.952 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.389$  that have more than 5% degree if I

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

00:32:13.389 --> 00:32:15.054 purchase he had significantly less

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:32:15.054 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.021$  complications that had more than 5% FL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:32:17.021 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.810$  So here we have a tale of two rivers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

00:32:20.810 --> 00:32:22.262 one patient with Cirrhosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

00:32:22.262 --> 00:32:24.440 and HTC who underwent a right

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:32:24.509 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.529$  hip attacked me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:32:25.530 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.345$  the other with colorectal liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:32:27.345 \dashrightarrow 00:32:29.521$  metastases and only had 5% steatosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00{:}32{:}29.521 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}31.326$  who underwent an extended right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:32:31.330 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.594$  He protected me here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00{:}32{:}32.594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}34.490$  We see that the cirrhotic patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

00:32:34.553 --> 00:32:36.050 had excellent hypertrophy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:32:36.050 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.953$  while the other patient had only 1% growth.

00:32:38.953 --> 00:32:40.768 Interesting Lee, the cirrhotic patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:32:40.770 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.190$  did well after surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:32:42.190 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.320$  while the patient that had the

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:32:44.395 \dashrightarrow 00:32:46.747$  normal liver or what we thought was

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:32:46.747 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.809$  a pretty normal liver actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:32:48.810 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.226$  Died after their reception.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81140035390853900:32:50.226 --> 00:32:50.580 Therefore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:32:50.580 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.180$  if we see that you can use these

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:32:53.180 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.418$  numbers to see that patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

00:32:55.418 --> 00:32:58.690 have at least 20% FLR and at least 5%

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:32:58.690 \dashrightarrow 00:33:01.168$  degree of hypertrophy had a zero percent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:33:01.170 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.226$  90 day mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:33:02.226 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.634$  And like I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:33:03.640 \longrightarrow 00:33:05.788$  this information can be used when

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:33:05.788 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.627$  trying to understand whether a

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00{:}33{:}07.627 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}09.332$  patient should be indicated for

 $00:33:09.332 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.696$  their reception after PV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00{:}33{:}10.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}13.596$  So now that we know the floor cut

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:33:13.596 \longrightarrow 00:33:16.370$  off numbers that is 20% for normal liver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

00:33:16.370 --> 00:33:18.890 30% for injured liver from chemotherapy and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:33:18.890 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.883 40\%$  for Cirrhosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:33:19.883 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.739$  and we know that if I purchased the 5\%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:33:22.740 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.987$  is this enough to really be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:33:24.987 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.950$  to predict which

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:33:26.022 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.447$  patients should have their surgeries?

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:33:28.450 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.907$  So we now know that we've had

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:33:30.907 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.899$  purchase fees based on the timing

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

00:33:32.899 --> 00:33:35.425 of the image Ng and that a true

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00{:}33{:}35.425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}38.089$  assessment of the epilogue growth is

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:33:38.089 \longrightarrow 00:33:40.196$  difficult to compare among patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:33:40.196 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.494$  Therefore, we developed a new variable

00:33:42.494 --> 00:33:44.916 called the kinetic growth rate or KJR,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:33:44.920 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.380$  which is the degree of hypertrophy

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:33:47.380 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.396$  over the time elapsed from

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:33:49.396 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.510$  PV E in the number of weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

00:33:51.510 --> 00:33:53.706 So here we see three patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:33:53.706 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.170$  with colorectal liver metastases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

00:33:55.170 --> 00:33:57.360 each with a degree of hypertrophy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:33:57.360 \longrightarrow 00:33:59.929$  well within the amount needed for successful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00{:}33{:}59.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}00.600$  safer section.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:34:00.600 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.945$  However, the patient on the bottom actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00{:}34{:}02.945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}05.090$  died from liver failure after section,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:34:05.090 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.722$  so we went back and reviewed the cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00{:}34{:}07.722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}10.657$  and found that the time for the first 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

00:34:10.657 --> 00:34:13.456 patients with 35 days to get these results,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

00:34:13.460 --> 00:34:16.140 while we found that the patient had died,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:34:16.140 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.150$  it actually occurred in 70 days.

 $00:34:18.150 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.474$  So when we went back and calculated

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:34:20.474 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.840$  the kinetic growth rate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:34:21.840 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.850$  the patient that I had only

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:34:23.850 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.176$  1 zero point 3% per week,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:34:26.176 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.641$  while the other two had

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:34:28.641 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.790$  much higher growth rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:34:30.790 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.474$  Therefore, when assessing patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:34:32.474 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.158$  which should have surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

00:34:34.160 --> 00:34:37.949 we found that in order to really be safe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:34:37.950 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.936$  that we really need 2% per week.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

00:34:40.936 --> 00:34:43.151 That led to know he patic

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

00:34:43.151 --> 00:34:45.710 insufficiency or 90 day mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00{:}34{:}45.710 --> 00{:}34{:}46.002 \ \mathrm{So},$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

00:34:46.002 --> 00:34:48.046 is Kevin stated earlier we can now

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:34:48.046 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.384$  extend the boundaries for safer section

 $00:34:50.384 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.499$  by using advanced surgical strategies

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00{:}34{:}52.499 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}54.447$  such as the two stage protecting

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:34:54.447 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.250$  me like for this pain titlebar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

00:34:56.250 --> 00:34:57.610 colon article, living metastases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

00:34:57.610 --> 00:34:58.970 patient first had systemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:34:58.970 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.330$  chemotherapy with excellent response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:35:00.330 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.030$  then had surgery to clear

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:35:02.030 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.390$  the left lateral liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646 00:35:03.390 --> 00:35:04.070 The FL, NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:35:04.070 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.430$  our volumes were calculated

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00{:}35{:}05.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}07.886$  to be 16% of PV was performed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:35:07.886 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.868$  and then FLR was then found to be 26%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00{:}35{:}10.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}12.742$  So the definitive resection

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:35:12.742 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.146$  was then performed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:35:14.150 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.058$  So to assess the benefit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

00:35:16.058 --> 00:35:17.840 the two stage separate ectomy,

 $00:35:17.840 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.605$  we reviewed patients who had

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:35:19.605 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.017$  invented the advanced strategy

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00{:}35{:}21.017 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}22.773$  with extended pack resection and

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:35:22.773 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.789$  compared those that did not

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:35:24.853 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.974$  have tumor in their FL are therefore

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:35:26.974 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.498$  not really needing second stage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:35:28.498 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.322$  Our results had shown that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00{:}35{:}30.322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}32.483$  was no difference in overall and

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:35:32.483 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.348$  disease free survival in those

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:35:34.348 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.356$  patients that required the two stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:35:36.356 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.274$  protect me as compared with those

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:35:38.274 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.278$  that only required the one stage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00{:}35{:}40.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}42.856$  And as Kevin showed from our study from

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:35:42.856 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.339$  MD Anderson in a really highly selected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:35:45.340 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.284$  And she cohort who did complete

 $00:35:47.284 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.256$  the second stage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:35:48.260 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.192$  We were able to achieve a

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:35:50.192 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.495$  60% five year survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:35:51.495 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.120$  which is really considered amazing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

00:35:53.120 --> 00:35:56.095 Anything about a decade ago given to

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:35:56.095 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.628$  buy Alobar nature of the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646 00:35:58.630 --> 00:35:58.991 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:35:58.991 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.157$  there is some concerns regarding team

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00{:}36{:}01.157 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}03.915$  be one of the major concerns is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:36:03.915 \longrightarrow 00:36:06.264$  potential drop out of up to 35% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:36:06.264 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.968$  patients due to an insufficient FL

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:36:07.968 \longrightarrow 00:36:09.975$  are or or tumor progression within

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:36:09.975 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.516$  the four to six week waiting period

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:36:12.579 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.994$  from the TV to the definitive resection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84782058000564600:36:15.000 --> 00:36:15.508 Therefore, NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

00:36:15.508 --> 00:36:17.540 other approaches are needed.

 $00:36:17.540 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.406$  So one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00{:}36{:}18.406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}20.571$  Issue that has been entertained

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:36:20.571 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.736$  has been that PV can lead to

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

00:36:23.736 --> 00:36:25.068 expedited tumor growth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:36:25.070 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.654$  so to that end the recent

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:36:26.654 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.710$  data supports that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:36:27.767 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.422$  can use chemotherapy during the

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00{:}36{:}29.422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}31.778$  waiting period and also can be used

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00{:}36{:}31.778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}33.058$  within the postoperative period

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00{:}36{:}33.058 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}35.264$  which at one time was thought to

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

00:36:35.264 --> 00:36:36.891 maybe limit for generation. However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:36:36.891 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.410$  that has not borne out to be the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00{:}36{:}40.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}42.280$  So there are other alternative

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:36:42.280 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.150$  approaches that we can use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:36:44.150 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.642$  It is Interventional radiologist.

 $00:36:45.642 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.134$  This is a case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00{:}36{:}47.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}49.478$  This is a study that was performed

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:36:49.478 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.233$  from Korea where TV did not leave

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00{:}36{:}52.233 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}53.496$  the sufficient regeneration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

00:36:53.500 --> 00:36:55.365 Anna Korean group found that

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

00:36:55.365 --> 00:36:56.484 later performing right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

00:36:56.490 --> 00:36:58.078 having bane embolization in

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:36:58.078 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.013$  addition to the PV is shown here

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00{:}37{:}01.013 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}03.418$  actually result in better outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:37:03.420 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.040$  We've also used something

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:37:05.040 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.255$  called radiation lobectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:37:06.260 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.724$  This is done by the Transarterial Bar

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:37:08.724 \dashrightarrow 00:37:10.730$  Administration of Y-90 microparticles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:37:10.730 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.780$  She's now an established means

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:37:12.780 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.830$  of providing local tumor control

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:37:14.902 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.009$  within the liver.

 $00:37:16.010 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.826$  That said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:37:16.826 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.866$  there was an unintended phenomenon

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00{:}37{:}18.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}21.332$  of contralateral liver I8 and seven

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:37:21.332 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.908$  in several retrospective studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

00:37:22.910 --> 00:37:23.722 Contralateral hypertrophy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:37:23.722 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.158$  A curd from 21 to 47%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:37:26.160 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.650$  and therefore this has been suggested

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:37:28.650 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.543$  as an alternative to PV with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:37:31.543 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.468$  benefit of local tumor control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:37:33.470 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.610$  And the test of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

00:37:35.610 --> 00:37:38.368 So we had talked about this earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

00:37:38.370 --> 00:37:40.590 but nuclear medicine is showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00{:}37{:}40.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}43.209$  improved liver function after PV E

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

00:37:43.209 --> 00:37:45.456 in this small study of 13 patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

00:37:45.460 --> 00:37:47.240 with some being colorectal liver

00:37:47.240 --> 00:37:48.664 metastases who underwent some

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

00:37:48.664 --> 00:37:50.189 nuclear medicine scintigraphy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:37:50.190 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.145$  They showed that using radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

00:37:52.145 --> 00:37:53.709 lobectomy actually can cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:37:53.709 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.698$  changes in regional liver function,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:37:55.700 \dashrightarrow 00:37:58.376$  and this correlated with the functional

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:37:58.376 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.523$  liver absorbed doses from Y-90

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:38:00.523 \longrightarrow 00:38:02.883$  and pack and then in 2014 a group

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:38:02.883 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.975$  from France compared 141 patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

00:38:04.975 --> 00:38:07.567 who underwent right PV with third.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00{:}38{:}07.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}09.735$ 35 patients who underwent radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

00:38:09.735 --> 00:38:12.436 lobectomy at two centers that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:38:12.436 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.766$  matched for criterion known to

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00{:}38{:}14.766 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}16.970$  influence liver regeneration after PVD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:38:16.970 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.133$  The radiation was performed if the authors

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

00:38:19.133 --> 00:38:21.260 found the case would be challenging,

 $00:38:21.260 \longrightarrow 00:38:23.240$  provid PB and to be honest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

00:38:23.240 --> 00:38:25.550 I'm not sure why this would be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:38:25.550 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.078$  but when they match the patients they found

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

00:38:28.078 --> 00:38:29.839 significantly more hypertrophy after PV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

00:38:29.840 --> 00:38:31.772 They concluded that while the hyper

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:38:31.772 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.060$  chicken radiation lobectomy substantial

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

00:38:33.111 --> 00:38:34.786 and doesn't minimize tumor progression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:38:34.790 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.440$  PV can induce significantly greater,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:38:36.440 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.402$  and I purchased the in radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:38:38.402 \dashrightarrow 00:38:40.070$  lobectomy with these the rapeutic doses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

00:38:40.070 --> 00:38:42.230 So how do you decide if you should

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:38:42.230 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.360$  use PV or radiation lobectomy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00{:}38{:}44.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}46.010$  The decision should be based

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:38:46.010 \longrightarrow 00:38:47.000$  on achievement intent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907 00:38:47.000 --> 00:38:47.618 such as. NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907  $00:38:47.618 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.781$  Is the patient a candidate for section

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:38:49.781 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.439$  now and what is the plan resection if

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:38:52.439 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.642$  the reception should be done now you

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:38:54.642 \longrightarrow 00:38:57.086$  should just go ahead and perform the P PE.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

00:38:57.086 --> 00:38:59.138 You also need to know what type of

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:38:59.138 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.316$  malignancy patient has and whether the

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:39:01.316 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.259$  patient has underlying liver disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:39:03.260 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.970$  Cases where patients have bottle

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:39:04.970 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.338$  bar colorectal metastases that

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:39:06.338 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.500$  require a Tuesday check.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00{:}39{:}07.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}08.325$  Her protect me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:39:08.325 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.700$  There is likely really no

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:39:09.700 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.409$  role for radiation lobectomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:39:11.410 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.498$  as you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

00:39:12.498 --> 00:39:14.603 I'm personally not in favor of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

00:39:14.603 --> 00:39:16.628 radiation lobectomy in this approach,

 $00:39:16.630 \longrightarrow 00:39:19.069$  as you would really need to do 190 of

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:39:19.069 \dashrightarrow 00:39:21.083$  both lobes and it seems appropriate

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:39:21.083 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.799$  in the setting of HTC with cirrhosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:39:23.800 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.061$  But I don't think it really is

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

00:39:26.061 --> 00:39:28.133 appropriate in the setting of

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:39:28.133 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.678$  colorectal liver metastasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:39:29.680 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.944$  Another approach is Alps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00{:}39{:}30.944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}32.840$  which is short for associating liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}39{:}32.894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}34.679$  partition and portal vein ligation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}39{:}34.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}36.345$  This approach was proposed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:39:36.345 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.010$  replace pbe with two surgeries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:39:38.010 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.322$  He performed interactive right

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}39{:}39.322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}40.634$  portal vein ligation followed

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}39{:}40.634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}41.999$  by completely divest arising.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:39:42.000 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.908$  Segment four of the liver and

 $00:39:43.908 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.939$  at the same session is surgeon

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:39:45.939 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.654$  clears the floor of tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:39:47.660 \longrightarrow 00:39:49.688$  The patient is then closed with

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:39:49.688 \dashrightarrow 00:39:51.660$  the tumor bearing liver in place,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:39:51.660 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.652$  while the FLL rapidly have Portuguese

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:39:53.652 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.613$  and then the Sturgeon returns within

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:39:55.613 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.909$  7 to 10 days for a second laparotomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:39:57.967 \dashrightarrow 00:40:00.047$  to complete the definitive resection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:40:00.050 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.422$  And early reports actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:40:01.422 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.137$  showed very strong tumor growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:40:03.140 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.980$  I mean, fellow growth and was believed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}40{:}05.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}09.218$  have a lower risk for tumor progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:40:09.220 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.072$  That being said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

00:40:10.072 --> 00:40:12.060 when comparing PV 2 apps that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

00:40:12.120 --> 00:40:13.730 the massive infest or hypertrophy

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:40:13.730 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.018$  in the Alps Group,

 $00:40:15.020 \longrightarrow 00:40:17.668$  but it came at a much higher cost

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:40:17.668 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.308$  of major complications and death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

00:40:20.310 --> 00:40:20.650 Interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:40:20.650 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.350$  while the kinetic growth rate

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}40{:}22.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}24.599$  was found to be higher electron

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:40:24.599 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.669$  microscopy studies from Japan showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:40:26.669 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.804$  that the hepatocytes were not mature

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:40:28.804 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.750$  and not really able to handle the

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:40:30.750 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.186$  increased blood flow to the FL are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:40:33.190 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.530$  Therefore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:40:33.530 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.250$  it was shown that it is not simply

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:40:36.250 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.709$  regenerating the liver rapidly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:40:37.710 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.670$  but also in a way that allows

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:40:39.670 \longrightarrow 00:40:41.071$  the hepatocytes maturan function

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

00:40:41.071 --> 00:40:42.580 appropriately so interesting.

 $00:40:42.580 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.900$  Lee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}40{:}42.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}44.500$  a systematic review and meta

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:40:44.500 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.780$  analysis for colorectal liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:40:45.838 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.110$  metastases was performed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:40:47.110 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.210$  confirming the findings of the faster

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:40:49.210 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.950$  kinetic growth rate with Alps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}40{:}50.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}52.755$  But with the increased morbidity

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:40:52.755 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.477$  and mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:40:53.480 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.290$  So for this reason numerous

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

00:40:55.290 --> 00:40:56.738 modifications have been proposed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:40:56.740 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.948$  many of which negated distinct advantages

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

00:40:58.948 --> 00:41:02.169 of why Alps was proposed in the 1st place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878 00:41:02.170 --> 00:41:02.894 And Lastly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}41{:}02.894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}05.428$  I want to show a new procedure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

00:41:05.430 --> 00:41:08.688 one that we will now be using it Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:41:08.690 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.616$  while While I already showed sequential

00:41:10.616 --> 00:41:12.670 PV into Patty being embolization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:41:12.670 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.836$  Liberty is deprivation is performing PV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

00:41:14.840 --> 00:41:17.012 And how do you been embolization

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:41:17.012 \longrightarrow 00:41:18.460$  in a single session?

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:41:18.460 \longrightarrow 00:41:20.658$  The goal is to shorten and optimize

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:41:20.658 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.849$  the phase of liver preparation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:41:22.850 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.218$  Or surgery without the

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

00:41:24.218 --> 00:41:25.586 aggressive nature of Alps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:41:25.590 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.962$  and in this early

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

00:41:26.962 --> 00:41:28.334 feasibility study from 2016,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:41:28.340 \longrightarrow 00:41:30.566$  the procedure was found to be safe

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}41{:}30.566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}32.679$  and feasible in a small patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

00:41:32.679 --> 00:41:34.504 cohort of only seven patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}41{:}34.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}36.743$  and then the same group then added

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

00:41:36.743 --> 00:41:39.203 embolization of the middle of having pain

00:41:39.203 --> 00:41:41.363 to the right hepatic vein embolization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:41:41.370 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.225$  and they found that by doing so

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:41:43.225 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.668$  they can get safe and provide the

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

00:41:45.668 --> 00:41:47.653 most marketing rapid elevation in

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

00:41:47.653 --> 00:41:49.259 hypertrophy and liver function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

00:41:49.260 --> 00:41:50.980 Unprecedented for an IR procedure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:41:50.980 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.346$  and just soon Kevin and I will

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:41:53.346 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.840$  be the Copia eyes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:41:54.840 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.650$  Or yell prospective clinical trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:41:56.650 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.146$  Looking at Libertines deprivation

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}41{:}58.146 {\: \hbox{--}}{>}\ 00{:}42{:}00.390$  called Dragon One and Dragon 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}42{:}00.452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}02.438$  drag on one is a feasibility study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:42:02.440 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.156$  and Dragon 2 actually will compare it

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:42:05.156 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.867$  to the standard of care which is PV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

00:42:07.870 --> 00:42:08.911 So in conclusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:42:08.911 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.646$  liver regeneration is critical to

00:42:10.646 --> 00:42:12.219 managing colorectal liver metastases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

00:42:12.220 --> 00:42:14.747 and, as I hope to have shown,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:42:14.750 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.198$  there are numerous strategies

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:42:16.198 \longrightarrow 00:42:18.008$  that can regenerate the liver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:42:18.010 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.176$  either percutaneously or by surgical means.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878 00:42:20.180 --> 00:42:20.561 Currently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:42:20.561 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.466$  the understanding of Liberal generation

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:42:22.466 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.329$  in this area is really at its infancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:42:25.330 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.550$  Any apples opportunities do

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:42:26.550 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.465$  exist for research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:42:27.470 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.015$  so I'm looking forward to

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

00:42:29.015 --> 00:42:30.560 working with your team and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85053288936615

 $00{:}42{:}30.624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}32.028$  looking forward working with

NOTE Confidence: 0.85053288936615

 $00:42:32.028 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.134$  Kevin on this dragon study and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85053288936615

 $00:42:34.194 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.919$  thank you for your attention.

 $00:42:35.920 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.160$  Thank you David, that was awe some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.729231476783752

 $00:42:43.790 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.980$  Thanks bikini.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781764268875122

 $00:42:48.940 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.228$  Kevin David, can you stop

NOTE Confidence: 0.781764268875122

00:42:51.230 --> 00:42:54.440 sharing? OK, stop the sharing here, yeah?

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

00:42:55.640 --> 00:42:58.740 Alright, so I'm Michael Cicchini.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

00:42:58.740 --> 00:43:01.840 I'm a medical Oncologist and

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:43:01.840 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.522$  I'm going to talk about the chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:43:05.522 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.309$  in the Peri operative management of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:43:10.309 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.189$  liver metastases for colorectal cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

00:43:14.190 --> 00:43:17.868 So first I'm going to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}43{:}17.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}20.320$  about the molecular profiling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:43:20.320 \longrightarrow 00:43:22.196$  That's important to decide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:43:22.196 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.010$  Chemotherapy agents as well as sightedness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:43:25.010 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.170$  which is not truly molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

00:43:27.170 --> 00:43:28.898 profiling but certainly hasn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:43:28.898 \longrightarrow 00:43:31.110$  impacted the chemotherapy selection.

00:43:31.110 --> 00:43:34.386 The two types of patients we encounter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}43{:}34.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}37.015$  the unrespectable patiently up respectable

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

00:43:37.015 --> 00:43:40.514 biologics and then some of the damage

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:43:40.514 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.286$  our agents can do that can complicate

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:43:43.286 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.119$  the role of complicated surgery so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:43:46.120 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.850$  Molecular profiling for colorectal cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:43:47.850 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.922$  What information do I really need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:43:49.922 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.329$  know to make a chemotherapy decision?

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:43:52.330 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.486$  Wrap the grass in the raft status

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:43:54.486 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.376$  are very important and they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:43:56.376 \longrightarrow 00:43:58.188$  been so for some time mismatch

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:43:58.188 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.268$  repair status microsatellite status,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}44{:}00.270 \longrightarrow 00{:}44{:}01.944$  which is analogous to that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:44:01.944 \longrightarrow 00:44:03.516$  then the sightedness has become

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:44:03.516 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.136$  increasingly important for determining

00:44:05.136 --> 00:44:07.161 determining which biologic to use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:44:07.170 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.897$  So the origin of the primary tumor was at

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:44:09.897 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.028$  a left sided tumor or right sided tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:44:13.030 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.920$  So to remind ourselves why Rasen

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:44:14.920 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.890$  rap status is so important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

00:44:16.890 --> 00:44:20.238 We need to go back to the EGFR pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:44:20.240 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.472$  So EGFR here in this purple

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:44:22.472 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.960$  is upstream of crowds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

00:44:23.960 --> 00:44:25.336 B RAF MEK Erk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:44:25.336 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.400$  So in our ask mutated cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:44:27.487 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.167$  or at mutated cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:44:29.170 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.402$  This is this pathways constituently activated

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:44:31.402 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.000$  below the level of the ship receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:44:34.000 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.860$  We have drugs syntax Mammon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:44:35.860 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.404$  Panitumumab two monoclonal antibodies

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:44:37.404 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.426$  to target EGFR receptor that we add on

00:44:40.426 --> 00:44:42.186 to chemotherapy, so they're effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}44{:}42.186 \to 00{:}44{:}44.790$  If this pathway is not constituent active,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084 00:44:44.790 --> 00:44:45.552 blow it. NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:44:45.552 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.457$  So in a rash wild.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

00:44:47.460 --> 00:44:52.014 I porra filetype we add on

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:44:52.014 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.291$  Panitumumab Humanized Monoclonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

00:44:54.291 --> 00:44:58.299 Antibody an and or so or sucks Mad A.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:44:58.300 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.377$  A chimeric antibody,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:44:59.377 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.531$  but for the patients that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:45:01.531 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.450$  mutated in rats,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:45:02.450 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.865$  we have to take a different approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:45:04.870 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.600$  So bad this is mab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}45{:}06.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}08.574$  Kevin talked about a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:45:08.574 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.890$  to monoclonal monoclonal antibody

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:45:09.943 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.743$  against veg that Jeff Vascular

00:45:11.743 --> 00:45:12.823 endothelial growth factor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:45:12.830 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.287$  and that's also added on to chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}45{:}15.287 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}17.070$  be Rapids become important just

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:45:17.070 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.056$  in the last couple of years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:45:19.060 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.142$  Now we have targeted agents for

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

00:45:21.142 --> 00:45:23.559 that and Grafton if insta tox mad,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

00:45:23.560 --> 00:45:25.570 but for the Intents and purposes

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:45:25.570 \longrightarrow 00:45:28.045$  of this stock graph is used as

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}45{:}28.045 {\: -->\:} 00{:}45{:}29.110$  a negative prognostic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

00:45:29.110 --> 00:45:30.505 Mutation and most of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}45{:}30.505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}32.340$  patients are not can be included

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:45:32.340 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.245$  in the consideration of surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:45:34.250 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.326$  So why is the mismatch repair

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:45:36.326 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.364$  status so important?

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:45:37.370 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.590$  So to answer that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:45:38.590 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.420$  we first have to remember what

 $00:45:40.485 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.229$  mismatch repair proteins do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

00:45:42.230 --> 00:45:45.970 So Emily age 1:00 PM S 2 Ms H2, and six.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:45:45.970 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.140$  These are the four most most clinically

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

00:45:48.140 --> 00:45:49.868 relevant mismatch repair proteins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:45:49.870 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.410$  Their function is to follow

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

00:45:51.410 --> 00:45:52.950 the DNA polymerase machine DNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

00:45:53.006 --> 00:45:54.921 polymerase machinery along as it

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}45{:}54{.}921 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}56{.}453$  undoubtedly makes some mistakes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:45:56.460 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.889$  It fixed these these single base mismatches,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:45:58.890 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.319$  which are most prevalent in these areas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:46:01.320 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.046$  called microsatellites,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:46:02.046 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.861$  which are dynamically Titan tribe

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}46{:}03.861 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}05.480$  nucleotide repeats across the genome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}46{:}05.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}07.208$  You can imagine this DNA machinery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:46:07.210 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.645$  It's really caught up on

 $00:46:08.645 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.793$  all this repetitive DNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:46:09.800 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.510$  Lots of mistakes are made so

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:46:11.510 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.260$  we know when these are lost.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

00:46:13.260 --> 00:46:14.795 Tumors have very high tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

00:46:14.795 --> 00:46:16.330 mutational burden that which leads

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:46:16.388 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.578$  to a lot of Neoantigens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:46:17.580 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.232$  We've known for some time that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:46:19.232 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.376$  are some of the most sensitive cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:46:21.376 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.756$  to immunostimulatory therapies such

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:46:22.756 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.778$  as anti PD one and four therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:46:24.780 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.223$  So they've been approved in the refractory

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

00:46:27.223 --> 00:46:29.650 setting for you for a few years but

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:46:29.650 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.700$  only recently just a few months ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:46:31.700 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.597$  Did we get to see their activity

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:46:33.597 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.260$  in the first line setting?

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:46:35.260 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.030$  You can see very dramatic separation

 $00:46:37.030 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.530$  of these two curves here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

00:46:38.530 --> 00:46:40.020 Green being Pember Lizum app,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:46:40.020 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.684$  purple being the chemo arm for a first line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

00:46:42.690 --> 00:46:43.282 Microsatellite instability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:46:43.282 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.170$  High collector cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

00:46:44.170 --> 00:46:47.440 I mean if we look at the two year mark here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:46:47.440 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.216$  you're seeing 48% of patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:46:49.216 \longrightarrow 00:46:50.104$  are microsatellite instability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

00:46:50.110 --> 00:46:51.600 higher progression, free and alive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00{:}46{:}51.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}54.272$  At at two years versus only 19% with chemo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00{:}46{:}54.272 --> 00{:}46{:}55.456$  But for today's top,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:46:55.460 \longrightarrow 00:46:56.950$  what's really important to look?

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00{:}46{:}56.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}58.410$  Actually look at this part

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:46:58.410 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.510$  of the curve on the far left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:47:00.510 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.710$  because that's where anybody

 $00:47:01.710 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.510$  that's going to surgery would be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:47:03.510 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.044$  Maybe early on in their treatment journey

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:47:06.044 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.327$  and you can actually see Pembroke behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:47:09.330 \longrightarrow 00:47:11.328$  A bit more inferior to chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

00:47:11.330 --> 00:47:14.327 In this setting in a very rapid drop off,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:47:14.330 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.606$  even with Pam bro,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:47:15.606 \longrightarrow 00:47:18.320$  which obviously has a tail on this curve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:47:18.320 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.060$  So immunotherapy in the new edge

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:47:20.060 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.731$  of insteading for somebody that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

00:47:21.731 --> 00:47:23.316 initially resectable, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:47:23.316 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.647$  is definitely not ready for prime time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

00:47:25.650 --> 00:47:27.648 And I think future directions will

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

00:47:27.648 --> 00:47:28.980 certainly be chemo immunotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:47:28.980 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.645$  and hopefully will negate some

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:47:30.645 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.310$  of the early drop off.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:47:32.310 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.678$  Why is sightedness important?

 $00{:}47{:}33.678 \operatorname{{\mathsf{-->}}} 00{:}47{:}35.730$  So we've known for some time

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00{:}47{:}35.793 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}37.564$  that high and got the left sided

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:47:37.564 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.689$  Colon is a different embryologic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:47:39.690 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.014$  Origin in the right right colon so

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:47:42.014 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.249$  hindgut for left and got for right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00{:}47{:}44.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}46.206$  Right sided tumors generally worse prognosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:47:46.210 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.188$  more methylated tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00{:}47{:}47.188 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}48.818$  higher beer after some degree.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

00:47:48.820 --> 00:47:51.277 Higher crass and left side it more

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:47:51.277 \longrightarrow 00:47:53.010$  than more traditional APC mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:47:53.010 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.159$  in TP 53 mutations and we know

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

00:47:55.159 --> 00:47:57.285 that even if your rash wild type,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00{:}47{:}57.290 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}47{:}59.030$  it matters whether or not you

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00{:}47{:}59.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}00.982$  respond to a EGFR antibodies such

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:48:00.982 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.160$  as anti tumor map or cetuximab.

00:48:03.160 --> 00:48:05.337 So it's really the rash wildtype left

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:48:05.337 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.286$  sided tumors that we're thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:48:07.286 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.020$  using these drugs in the first line setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

00:48:10.020 --> 00:48:12.484 And even if rash while typing right

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:48:12.484 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.261$  sided data SIM it at this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

00:48:15.261 --> 00:48:16.911 map should still be considered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:48:16.920 \longrightarrow 00:48:19.544$  So what are the drugs that we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:48:19.544 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.040$  at our disposal to help these

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:48:22.040 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.800$  patients so full Fox?

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

00:48:23.800 --> 00:48:26.086 We've probably all heard full box,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

00:48:26.090 --> 00:48:28.020 full fury, full Fox series.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00{:}48{:}28.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}30.916$  And when we use in pancreatic cancer here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:48:30.920 \longrightarrow 00:48:32.003$  full fear knocks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

00:48:32.003 --> 00:48:33.808 but they're slightly different approaches.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:48:33.810 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.258$  So what is folfox?

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

00:48:35.258 --> 00:48:36.706 So five fluoro uracil,

00:48:36.710 --> 00:48:38.876 which is patented by Charlie Heidelberger.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:48:38.880 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.246$  I think in 1957 and is still

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:48:41.246 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.870$  around and going strong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:48:42.870 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.802$  Is a family space inhibitor so

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

00:48:44.802 --> 00:48:46.934 you don't have time to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

00:48:46.934 --> 00:48:48.869 around for rapidly dividing cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

00:48:48.870 --> 00:48:50.635 Luca born potentiates the activity

NOTE Confidence: 0.79830956459045400:48:50.635 --> 00:48:51.694 of five FU.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

00:48:51.700 --> 00:48:53.818 It's a vitamin that we give

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:48:53.818 \longrightarrow 00:48:55.230$  along with the chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

00:48:55.230 --> 00:48:57.694 Oxaliplatin is the oxen in full box,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:48:57.700 \longrightarrow 00:48:59.982$  and that's in platinum agent that causes

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00{:}48{:}59.982 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}02.145$  DNA addicts and ultimately results in

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:49:02.145 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.756$  double stranded breaks and are in Attican,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:49:04.760 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.624$  which is the IRI. In full fury is.

 $00:49:07.630 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.436$  Ultimately converted into its active form.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

00:49:09.440 --> 00:49:10.600 About summarize, one inhibitor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:49:10.600 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.340$  SN 38 and ultimately also end

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:49:12.396 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.966$  result is double stranded breaks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:49:13.970 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.386$  so these are the main agents we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

00:49:16.390 --> 00:49:18.148 We started out again with just

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:49:18.148 \longrightarrow 00:49:20.593$  5F U and this is about what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:49:20.593 \longrightarrow 00:49:22.730$  were doing back in the early 90s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:49:22.730 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.052$  so we had about a median survival of 12

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:49:25.052 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.257$  year for patients with mosaic answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

00:49:27.260 --> 00:49:29.078 When we started to have doublet

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

00:49:29.078 --> 00:49:31.189 chemotherapy's in full box and full theory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:49:31.190 \longrightarrow 00:49:33.899$  we move this out about the two year mark.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:49:33.900 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.316$  Now we're really between the two and three,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

00:49:36.320 --> 00:49:38.426 or mark or median overall survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:49:38.430 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.942$  For most, for most of our active trials

00:49:40.942 --> 00:49:43.117 with colorectal cancer with folfox theory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:49:43.120 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.479$  the triple combination that's a bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

00:49:45.479 --> 00:49:47.534 toxic and reserved for younger patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

00:49:47.534 --> 00:49:49.816 is about a 32 month median survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:49:49.820 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.835$  so we have to ask ourselves at tumor board,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

00:49:52.840 --> 00:49:55.178 what does this patient have it with?

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

00:49:55.180 --> 00:49:56.328 Cash pathway to cure?

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:49:56.328 \longrightarrow 00:49:58.870$  So what our main goals with chemotherapy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:49:58.870 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.545$  We've heard a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:00.545 \longrightarrow 00:50:01.550$  about conversion therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:01.550 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.886$  so converting the unrespectable

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:02.886 \longrightarrow 00:50:04.556$  patient to a respectable patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00{:}50{:}04.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}06.905$  If we have a patient that's upfront,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:06.910 \dashrightarrow 00:50:08.495$  resectable chemotherapy can still be

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:08.495 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.660$  useful to reduce the surgical complexity.

00:50:10.660 --> 00:50:11.701 Eradicate micrometastatic disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:11.701 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.783$  which is also hopefully doing for

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:13.783 \longrightarrow 00:50:15.362$  the unrespectable patient and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:15.362 \longrightarrow 00:50:17.126$  also assess the biology of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:17.181 \longrightarrow 00:50:18.629$  aggressiveness of the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

00:50:18.630 --> 00:50:20.285 Is somebody actually getting a

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

00:50:20.285 --> 00:50:21.278 response through chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

00:50:21.280 --> 00:50:22.940 or they just rapidly progressing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

00:50:22.940 --> 00:50:24.932 That's not a patient you want

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:24.932 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.928$  to surgery anyway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:25.930 \longrightarrow 00:50:28.099$  and if we know that even in the best

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:28.099 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.864$  of circumstances the patients never

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:29.864 \longrightarrow 00:50:32.513$  going to get to a surgical option

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

00:50:32.513 --> 00:50:34.895 that the treatment is prolonging life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:34.900 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.555$  hopefully by controlling disease in

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:36.555 \longrightarrow 00:50:37.879$  improving tumor related symptoms,

 $00:50:37.880 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.868$  so we should think about this as two groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00{:}50{:}40.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}41.950$  the unrespectable patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:41.950 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.390$  And the resectable patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:43.390 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.460$  So the upfront receptable patient and

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:45.460 \longrightarrow 00:50:48.157$  just there's no right way to integrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:48.157 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.829$  chemotherapy into these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74936562776565600:50:49.830 --> 00:50:50.964 By the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:50.964 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.854$  different centers take different approaches,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:52.860 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.750$  but there's more nuanced than

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:54.750 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.884$  just this slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:55.890 \longrightarrow 00:50:58.550$  But when to consider a front reception?

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:58.550 \longrightarrow 00:51:00.440$  Generally, for fewer liver metastasis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

00:51:00.440 --> 00:51:01.306 chemotherapy response?

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656 00:51:01.306 --> 00:51:02.172 Not really. NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:51:02.172 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.337$  The surgeon doesn't really think

00:51:04.337 --> 00:51:06.102 chemotherapy response is going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00{:}51{:}06.102 \to 00{:}51{:}08.016$  lower the complexity of the operation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:51:08.020 \longrightarrow 00:51:10.639$  But when do we do it when there's more

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

00:51:10.639 --> 00:51:13.377 than four suspicious knodel involvement?

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:51:13.380 \longrightarrow 00:51:14.274$  My Liberty disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

00:51:14.274 --> 00:51:16.360 But again, there's more nuanced to this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:51:16.360 \longrightarrow 00:51:19.033$  so we have to we have to take everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:51:19.040 \longrightarrow 00:51:21.126$  Every aspect of the patient into account.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

00:51:21.130 --> 00:51:23.506 Do they have a lot of other comorbidities?

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:51:23.510 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.596$  Where if they if they are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00{:}51{:}25.596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}26.490$  tolerating chemotherapy well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:51:26.490 \longrightarrow 00:51:27.682$  we're expecting significant increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:51:27.682 \longrightarrow 00:51:28.874$  in liver liver damage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

00:51:28.880 --> 00:51:30.370 which could complicate in operation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:51:30.370 \longrightarrow 00:51:32.380$  Is there reason to suspect that

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00{:}51{:}32.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}33.720$  they have particularly aggressive

00:51:33.781 --> 00:51:35.765 disease and you want to give him the

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:51:35.765 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.509$  tincture of time on chemotherapy to

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

00:51:37.509 --> 00:51:39.303 make sure they're not just rapidly

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

00:51:39.310 --> 00:51:40.978 progressing and you're going to put

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:51:40.978 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.580$  them through an unnecessary operation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

00:51:42.580 --> 00:51:44.778 Did they recently received full Fox for?

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

00:51:44.780 --> 00:51:47.980 For management of primary primary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00{:}51{:}47.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}51.688$  so could a slight response results

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:51:51.688 \longrightarrow 00:51:54.160$  in maybe converting an

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:51:54.273 \longrightarrow 00:51:58.827$  open reception to a laprascopic reception.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00{:}51{:}58.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}00.245$  So what, what chemotherapy do

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:52:00.245 \longrightarrow 00:52:01.668$  we typically use? So again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:52:01.668 \dashrightarrow 00:52:03.656$  these are patients that could be respected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:52:03.660 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.080$  most likely, so full Fox,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:52:05.080 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.932$  a doublet chemotherapy,

 $00:52:05.932 \longrightarrow 00:52:07.352$  perhaps with the biologic bevacizumab

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

00:52:07.352 --> 00:52:08.200 panitumumab receptors amount,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:52:08.200 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.328$  but the important part is to limit the

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:52:10.328 \longrightarrow 00:52:12.749$  number of chemo cycles as much as possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

00:52:12.750 --> 00:52:14.703 These patients that can get to surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

00:52:14.703 --> 00:52:16.717 quickly and should get to surgery quickly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:52:16.720 \longrightarrow 00:52:18.484$  and we want to do as little

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:52:18.484 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.263$  damage is possible to the to

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00{:}52{:}20.263 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}21.547$  the liver without chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:52:21.550 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.405$  So we should image patients early and

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00{:}52{:}23.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}25.806$  as soon as thought as soon as feasible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:52:25.810 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.798$  These patients should be taken into surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00{:}52{:}27.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}29.528$  We generally plan to do six

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:52:29.528 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.680$  months total of chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:52:30.680 \longrightarrow 00:52:32.800$  But the rest would be reserved for later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:52:32.800 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.456$  So what about the unresectable patient?

 $00:52:34.460 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.122$  Now this patient can't get this

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

00:52:36.122 --> 00:52:37.230 surgery without a response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:52:37.230 \longrightarrow 00:52:38.338$  so it's a different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

00:52:38.338 --> 00:52:39.446 It's a different approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:52:39.450 \longrightarrow 00:52:41.389$  so we talked a little bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:52:41.389 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.220$  what is undetectable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234 00:52:42.220 --> 00:52:42.830 But so, NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:52:42.830 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.355$  how likely is this understandable

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:52:44.355 \longrightarrow 00:52:46.040$  patient going to get going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:52:46.040 \longrightarrow 00:52:47.474$  be able to get an operation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

00:52:47.480 --> 00:52:49.013 Perhaps as high as a third 1/3

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

00:52:49.013 --> 00:52:50.873 of the time that we will get

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00{:}52{:}50.873 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}52.308$  enough cited reduction to convert

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

00:52:52.308 --> 00:52:53.849 this patient to respectable?

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:52:53.850 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.240$  But what regiment is best?

00:52:55.240 --> 00:52:56.896 Again, if you look at guidelines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:52:56.900 \longrightarrow 00:52:58.280$  both guidelines are very so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:52:58.280 \longrightarrow 00:52:59.388$  The guidelines don't really

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:52:59.388 \longrightarrow 00:53:00.773$  take much of a stand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:53:00.780 \longrightarrow 00:53:02.810$  They say Folfox Folfiri Anna tumor map.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:53:02.810 \longrightarrow 00:53:04.350$  But this is a mad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:53:04.350 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.686$  They kind of leave it up to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:53:06.686 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.720$  treating oncologists asthma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:53:07.720 \longrightarrow 00:53:08.948$  On the other hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

00:53:08.948 --> 00:53:10.483 takes a more dogmatic approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00{:}53{:}10.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}12.450$  It says full flux is the recommendation

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:53:12.450 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.860$  or for unresectable metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

00:53:13.860 --> 00:53:14.799 Considerable Fox series,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:53:14.799 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.364$  so that's that's the approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:53:16.364 \longrightarrow 00:53:17.849$  that we would take here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:53:17.850 \longrightarrow 00:53:19.435$  predominantly in the United States

 $00{:}53{:}19.435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}21.230$  that most most centers would do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:53:21.230 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.765$  But certainly here at Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

00:53:22.765 --> 00:53:24.300 for the younger fit patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:53:24.300 \longrightarrow 00:53:26.449$  we would be for an unrespectable patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:53:26.450 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.340$  We would do full foxy reefer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

00:53:28.340 --> 00:53:30.316 This is a map, but for our upfront,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:53:30.320 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.548$  resectable patients we would

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

00:53:31.548 --> 00:53:33.390 again just be doing a doublet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

00:53:33.390 --> 00:53:35.388 So I'm going to skip through

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:53:35.388 \longrightarrow 00:53:37.339$  this in the interest of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:53:37.340 \longrightarrow 00:53:38.656$  especially since Kevin showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:53:38.656 \longrightarrow 00:53:40.630$  some of the slides are ready.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00{:}53{:}40.630 \longrightarrow 00{:}53{:}42.270$  So what about our biologics?

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:53:42.270 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.580$  So this is another level of nuance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

00:53:44.580 --> 00:53:46.548 Again, to do our chemotherapy here.

 $00:53:46.550 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.534$  So we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:53:47.534 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.502$  We have agents such as bad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00{:}53{:}49.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}51.638$  This is a map which is a vascular

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:53:51.638 \longrightarrow 00:53:53.074$  endothelial growth factor antibody

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:53:53.074 \longrightarrow 00:53:54.778$  against speculative growth factor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:53:54.780 \longrightarrow 00:53:56.999$  which is created by the tumors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:53:56.999 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.390$  stimulates blood vessel growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:53:58.390 \longrightarrow 00:53:59.710$  Because the tumor needs

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

00:53:59.710 --> 00:54:00.370 increased vascularity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00{:}54{:}00.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}02.010$  so we know that be vacizumab

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

00:54:02.010 --> 00:54:02.994 increases response rates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:54:03.000 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.670$  but it can potentially increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:54:04.670 \longrightarrow 00:54:05.338$  perioperative complications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:54:05.340 \longrightarrow 00:54:07.464$  We see vascular events such as

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:54:07.464 \longrightarrow 00:54:09.234$  such as arterial thrombi, Venus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

00:54:09.234 --> 00:54:10.650 thrombi, on occasion perforations,

00:54:10.650 --> 00:54:13.128 but the big concern is wound healing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:54:13.130 \longrightarrow 00:54:14.970$  so we generally would hold

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:54:14.970 \longrightarrow 00:54:16.442$  bevacizumab within six weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:54:16.442 \longrightarrow 00:54:18.077$  prior to any server dream.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:54:18.080 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.355$  There is some data that supports it

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00.54:20.355 \longrightarrow 00.54:23.038$  up to four weeks prior to surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:54:23.040 \longrightarrow 00:54:25.378$  but but the concern is how you

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:54:25.378 \longrightarrow 00:54:27.829$  when you hold it preoperatively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:54:27.830 \longrightarrow 00:54:30.217$  So this is actually been looked at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:54:30.220 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.146$  The addition of bevacizumab in systemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:54:32.146 \longrightarrow 00:54:33.990$  therapy for unresectable liver metastasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:54:33.990 \longrightarrow 00:54:36.244$  So we have a cohort of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00{:}54{:}36.244 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}38.430$  here split up into two groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:54:38.430 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.005$  These are all kras mutated

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:54:40.005 \longrightarrow 00:54:41.580$  patients or patients that are

 $00:54:41.642 \longrightarrow 00:54:43.218$  perfect fit for bevacizumab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00{:}54{:}43.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}44.865$  So full blocks plus bevacizumab

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:54:44.865 \longrightarrow 00:54:46.980$  in arm a in Folfox alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:54:46.980 \longrightarrow 00:54:49.716$  In R&B you can see that the reception,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

00:54:49.720 --> 00:54:52.107 the rate of R0 Resection complete resection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:54:52.110 \longrightarrow 00:54:53.820$  22 verses about 6% without

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:54:53.820 \longrightarrow 00:54:55.530$  the berbasis matters so very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:54:55.530 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.898$  very big difference about

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

00:54:56.898 --> 00:54:58.266 getting to surgery there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

00:54:58.270 --> 00:55:00.755 What about progression free survival 9 1/2

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00{:}55{:}00.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}03.094$  months median versus about 5 1/2 months

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:55:03.094 \longrightarrow 00:55:05.260$  median favoring Bevis is maben blue here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:55:05.260 \longrightarrow 00:55:06.930$  Same thing with overall survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00{:}55{:}06.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}08.262$  Less less striking difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:55:08.262 \longrightarrow 00:55:09.927$  about 25 versus 20 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00{:}55{:}09.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}11.154$  Both are statistically significant.

 $00:55:11.154 \longrightarrow 00:55:14.016$  So bad this is a map should be used

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:55:14.016 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.948$  in the Peri operative management with

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:55:15.948 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.249$  Folfox 4 correct meeting liver metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

00:55:18.250 --> 00:55:20.248 What about the EGFR antibody face?

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:55:20.250 \longrightarrow 00:55:22.272$  These are highly effective therapies for

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:55:22.272 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.239$  patients that are crashed while type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:55:24.240 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.459$  You think you think we see a

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00{:}55{:}26.459 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}28.239$  similar a similar story here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:55:28.240 \longrightarrow 00:55:29.428$  In fact, we're.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

00:55:29.428 --> 00:55:31.484 In exact opposite, so again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:55:31.484 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.644$  we have two groups here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:55:33.650 \longrightarrow 00:55:36.248$  This is a new epoch study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

00:55:36.250 --> 00:55:37.549 split into chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

00:55:37.549 --> 00:55:38.848 perioperatively before and

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

00:55:38.848 --> 00:55:40.580 after surgery with cetuximab,

 $00:55:40.580 \longrightarrow 00:55:42.360$  an without stuck some,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00{:}55{:}42.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}46.238$  and so without cytoxan map is here in blue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:55:46.240 \longrightarrow 00:55:47.380$  Progression free survival curve

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:55:47.380 \longrightarrow 00:55:49.880$  here so you can see the red group

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:55:49.880 \longrightarrow 00:55:51.352$  chemotherapy plastic doing inferior

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

00:55:51.352 --> 00:55:53.190 to the chemotherapy alone group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:55:53.190 \longrightarrow 00:55:54.850$  Same thing with overall survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:55:54.850 \longrightarrow 00:55:55.843$  What's really interesting?

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00{:}55{:}55.843 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}57.829$  If you look at these curves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:55:57.830 \longrightarrow 00:56:00.470$  these are these are the Times of surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00{:}56{:}00.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}02.456$  so this curve have not separated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:56:02.460 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.513$  They separate later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:56:03.513 \longrightarrow 00:56:05.268$  An obvious explanation to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:56:05.268 \longrightarrow 00:56:07.087$  oh would be an awesome app.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:56:07.090 \longrightarrow 00:56:08.745$  Is creating some increase in

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

00:56:08.745 --> 00:56:09.407 perioperative complications?

 $00{:}56{:}09.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}11.506$  But that's not the case and the fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:56:11.506 \longrightarrow 00:56:13.694$  that the changes in progression free

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:56:13.694 \longrightarrow 00:56:15.699$  and overall survival happened later

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

00:56:15.699 --> 00:56:17.665 again speaks to. They did that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:56:17.665 \longrightarrow 00:56:19.190$  It's not an actual increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:56:19.190 \longrightarrow 00:56:20.680$  in proper complications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788 00:56:20.680 --> 00:56:21.003 Frankly, NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

00:56:21.003 --> 00:56:22.941 I haven't seen any good explanation

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:56:22.941 \longrightarrow 00:56:25.161$  for why this we're seeing these

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

00:56:25.161 --> 00:56:26.749 these these confusing results,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

00:56:26.750 --> 00:56:28.997 but I think we should proceed with

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

00:56:28.997 --> 00:56:30.840 caution when using our bodies

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:56:30.840 \longrightarrow 00:56:32.460$  in the perioperative setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:56:32.460 \longrightarrow 00:56:34.875$  I think a lot of my colleagues

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:56:34.875 \longrightarrow 00:56:36.739$  have not bought into this,

 $00:56:36.740 \longrightarrow 00:56:38.744$  and I again uncertain on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00{:}56{:}38.744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}40.535$  rest of the biological rationale

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:56:40.535 \longrightarrow 00:56:42.445$  of why we're seeing this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:56:42.450 \longrightarrow 00:56:44.235$  but it's a very striking

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:56:44.235 \longrightarrow 00:56:45.306$  overall survival difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:56:45.310 \longrightarrow 00:56:46.650$  It's almost three years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

00:56:46.650 --> 00:56:47.655 Median overall survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:56:47.655 \longrightarrow 00:56:49.140$  difference without the antibody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00{:}56{:}49.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}50.217$  So chemotherapy associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:56:50.217 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.012$  liver diseases will close on

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

00:56:52.012 --> 00:56:53.897 South are drugs can do damage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:56:53.900 \longrightarrow 00:56:56.717$  That's why the name that our approach is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:56:56.717 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.338$  limit the number of cycles when feasible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:56:59.340 \longrightarrow 00:57:00.020$  so Oxaliplatin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:57:00.020 \longrightarrow 00:57:01.380$  cut static sinusoidal abnormalities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00{:}57{:}01.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}03.270$  You can see the dilations here in

 $00:57:03.270 \longrightarrow 00:57:05.082$  light of these sinusoids that directly

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00{:}57{:}05.082 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}07.287$  from oxide Platt and you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:57:07.343 \longrightarrow 00:57:09.200$  nodular regenerative hyperplasia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

00:57:09.200 --> 00:57:11.240 This, like lighter pink nodule here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

00:57:11.240 --> 00:57:12.940 Crowding out the more healthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:57:12.940 \longrightarrow 00:57:13.960$  liver tissue here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:57:13.960 \longrightarrow 00:57:15.320$  which creates noncirrhotic portal

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:57:15.320 \longrightarrow 00:57:16.713$  hypertension, both from Oxaliplatin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:57:16.713 \longrightarrow 00:57:17.766$  where Uniti Concuss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:57:17.770 \longrightarrow 00:57:19.185$  Seattle hepatitis so fatty fatty

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:57:19.185 \longrightarrow 00:57:20.890$  infiltration and inflammation of the liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:57:20.890 \longrightarrow 00:57:22.026$  Here very severe case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00{:}57{:}22.026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}23.730$  and the less of your case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797431170940399

 $00:57:23.730 \longrightarrow 00:57:25.440$  But frankly there shouldn't be fact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797431170940399

 $00:57:25.440 \longrightarrow 00:57:26.992$  Neither of these images.

 $00:57:26.992 \longrightarrow 00:57:28.544$  So in in conclusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797431170940399

 $00:57:28.550 \longrightarrow 00:57:30.260$  we took the talked about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.797431170940399

 $00:57:30.260 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.400$  importance of molecular results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797431170940399

 $00:57:31.400 \longrightarrow 00:57:33.038$  Those are things that need to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.797431170940399

 $00:57:33.038 \longrightarrow 00:57:35.063$  sent on every patient so that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.797431170940399

00:57:35.063 --> 00:57:36.643 medical oncologist can know what

NOTE Confidence: 0.797431170940399

 $00:57:36.643 \longrightarrow 00:57:38.239$  treatment approach should be done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797431170940399

 $00:57:38.240 \longrightarrow 00:57:39.292$  The role of sightedness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797431170940399

 $00{:}57{:}39.292 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}40.607$  our approach to the unrespectable

NOTE Confidence: 0.797431170940399

 $00:57:40.607 \longrightarrow 00:57:41.950$  in the upfront respectable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797431170940399

 $00:57:41.950 \longrightarrow 00:57:44.506$  and some of the damage our agents can do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797431170940399

 $00:57:44.510 \longrightarrow 00:57:45.650$  Thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

 $00:57:48.500 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.222$  Michael, thank you for high speed yet

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

00:57:54.222 --> 00:57:57.470 incredibly comprehensive overview of

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

 $00:57:57.597 \longrightarrow 00:58:02.967$  rapidly evolving and complicated field and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

 $00:58:02.970 \longrightarrow 00:58:06.538$  David, I want to thank you as well.

 $00:58:06.540 \longrightarrow 00:58:11.013$  Given the time, I don't think we have much

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

 $00:58:11.013 \dashrightarrow 00:58:14.435$  leftover for discussion, I would just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

 $00{:}58{:}14.435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}17.620$  Close by saying that this is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

00:58:17.725 --> 00:58:21.469 incredibly exciting field to work in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

00:58:21.470 --> 00:58:24.606 I think the overview that my partners

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

 $00{:}58{:}24.606 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}27.599$  have given gives the audience a

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

 $00:58:27.599 \longrightarrow 00:58:30.731$  sense of the enormous complexity yet

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

 $00:58:30.731 \longrightarrow 00:58:33.499$  opportunity involved for our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

00:58:33.500 --> 00:58:37.360 And I think for all of us who work together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

 $00:58:37.360 \longrightarrow 00:58:39.370$  it is yet another call.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

 $00:58:39.370 \longrightarrow 00:58:42.436$  For an extraordinary level of teamwork,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

00:58:42.440 --> 00:58:43.460 integration, communication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

 $00:58:43.460 \longrightarrow 00:58:47.540$  all of these clinical services have to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

 $00:58:47.617 \longrightarrow 00:58:50.242$  linked together by meticulous communication

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

00:58:50.242 --> 00:58:53.892 between us is clinicians as well as

 $00:58:53.892 \longrightarrow 00:58:56.267$  our nurses and administrative Staffs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

 $00{:}58{:}56.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}59.654$  As we kind of navigate care

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

 $00:58:59.654 \longrightarrow 00:59:02.770$  throughout a very complex system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

 $00:59:02.770 \longrightarrow 00:59:04.270$  Charlie, anything to add.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875126302242279

00:59:04.270 --> 00:59:06.874 Oh, I just want to thank David,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875126302242279

 $00:59:06.880 \longrightarrow 00:59:08.750$  Michael and Kevin for really

NOTE Confidence: 0.875126302242279

00:59:08.750 --> 00:59:10.246 just a brilliant discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875126302242279

00:59:10.250 --> 00:59:12.489 And thank you for your leadership,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875126302242279

00:59:12.490 --> 00:59:14.440 your collaboration and building a

NOTE Confidence: 0.875126302242279

00:59:14.440 --> 00:59:16.979 team around a pivotal area of care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875126302242279

 $00{:}59{:}16.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}19.338$  research and thanks everyone for joining

NOTE Confidence: 0.875126302242279

 $00:59:19.338 \longrightarrow 00:59:22.717$  us and think giving us a lot to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.875126302242279

 $00:59:22.717 \longrightarrow 00:59:24.452$  about and really exciting progress.