WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "01:01:10.5710000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8283998

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:01.950 We have two speakers today covering

NOTE Confidence: 0.8283998

00:00:01.950 --> 00:00:03.879 quite a diverse array of topics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8283998

 $00:00:03.880 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.480$ which is terrific. Both internal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8283998

00:00:05.480 --> 00:00:07.080 speakers and I encourage people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8283998

 $00:00:07.080 \longrightarrow 00:00:09.010$ If you have questions to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8283998

 $00:00:09.010 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.940$ type them into the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8283998

 $00{:}00{:}10.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}12.734$ And then we'll get those questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8283998

 $00:00:12.734 \longrightarrow 00:00:14.870$ answered when the talks are are finished.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8283998

 $00{:}00{:}14.870 \longrightarrow 00{:}00{:}16.977$ So our first speaker is Antonio Omuro.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8283998

 $00:00:16.980 \longrightarrow 00:00:19.390$ You may you may know he he is a professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8283998

 $00:00:19.451 \longrightarrow 00:00:21.628$ of neurology and the chief of Neuro

NOTE Confidence: 0.8283998

00:00:21.628 --> 00:00:23.651 Oncology here and Clinical Leader Program

NOTE Confidence: 0.8283998

00:00:23.651 --> 00:00:26.038 leader of the shin of your family,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8283998

 $00:00:26.040 \longrightarrow 00:00:27.512$ can bring tumor center,

 $00{:}00{:}27.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}29.720$ which is a new program here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8283998

 $00:00:29.720 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.948$ He received his initial

NOTE Confidence: 0.8283998

 $00:00:30.948 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.176$ medical training in Brazil,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8283998

 $00:00:32.180 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.404$ then worked at Memorial

NOTE Confidence: 0.8283998

 $00:00:33.404 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.934$ Sloan Kettering for a while,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8283998

 $00:00:34.940 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.805$ and began his faculty career

NOTE Confidence: 0.8283998

 $00:00:36.805 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.297$ at University of Miami.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8283998

 $00:00:38.300 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.810$ He joined us in 2012.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8283998

 $00{:}00{:}39.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}42.066$ He's an international leader in their

NOTE Confidence: 0.8283998

 $00{:}00{:}42.066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}44.569$ clinical care and research on brain tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8283998

00:00:44.570 --> 00:00:45.854 Leading leading pivotal research

NOTE Confidence: 0.8283998

 $00:00:45.854 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.780$ programs and treatment of these cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8283998

 $00{:}00{:}47.780 \longrightarrow 00{:}00{:}49.980$ the Genevier family Brain Tumor Center is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8283998

 $00:00:49.980 \longrightarrow 00:00:52.270$ new yellow initiative for the Comprehensive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8283998

 $00:00:52.270 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.287$ multidisciplinary brain tumor.

 $00:00:53.287 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.321$ Karen, perhaps you might hear a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8283998

00:00:55.321 --> 00:00:57.088 little bit about that from Antonio,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8283998

 $00:00:57.090 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.016$ so Antonio, the floor is yours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8283998

 $00:00:59.020 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.620$ Thank you for speaking today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84176815

00:01:02.690 --> 00:01:03.902 Thank you very much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84176815

 $00:01:03.902 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.720$ then super like to thank the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84176815

 $00:01:05.784 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.359$ organizers for inviting me to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84176815

 $00:01:07.359 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.619$ talk to you today and for today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84176815

 $00{:}01{:}09.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}11.195$ Specifically, I was asked to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84176815

00:01:11.195 --> 00:01:12.770 share with you what's happening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84176815

 $00{:}01{:}12.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}14.552$ Our division in terms of clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.84176815

 $00:01:14.552 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.458$ trials and how we're tapping into

NOTE Confidence: 0.84176815

00:01:16.458 --> 00:01:18.438 Yale talent to build our portfolio,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84176815

 $00:01:18.440 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.323$ but I would also like to share

NOTE Confidence: 0.84176815

 $00:01:20.323 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.418$ with you the state of our fields

NOTE Confidence: 0.84176815

00:01:22.418 --> 00:01:24.679 and the spirit of almost like an

 $00:01:24.679 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.459$ invitation to even more investigators

NOTE Confidence: 0.84176815

 $00{:}01{:}26.459 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}29.150$ and labs to join us in this task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

 $00:01:32.980 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.350$ So today we're going to concentrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

 $00:01:35.350 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.439$ on gliomas and the reason for that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

 $00:01:38.439 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.012$ that they account for the vast majority

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

 $00:01:41.012 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.764$ of the brain tumors and as you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

 $00:01:43.764 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.630$ see here this is a fight chart showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

00:01:46.630 --> 00:01:48.190 all malignant intracranial tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

00:01:48.190 --> 00:01:51.060 and the vast majority of the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

 $00{:}01{:}51.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}53.004$ have either glioblastoma or other

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

 $00:01:53.004 \longrightarrow 00:01:54.948$ forms of gliomas which for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

00:01:54.948 --> 00:01:56.718 most part our IDH mutants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

 $00:01:56.720 \longrightarrow 00:01:59.415$ which account for grades two and three

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

 $00:01:59.415 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.659$ others like Thomas and grades too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

 $00:02:01.660 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.418$ In three algorithms, this is 3 or

00:02:04.418 --> 00:02:06.950 by semester form as many Germans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

 $00:02:06.950 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.506$ In order rare tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

 $00:02:08.506 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.347$ But the bottom line here is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

00:02:11.347 --> 00:02:13.867 this even the most common tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

 $00:02:13.870 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.900$ which is unfortunately the great

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

 $00:02:15.900 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.524$ for glioma or glioblastoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

 $00{:}02{:}17.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}19.906$ still is a relatively rare disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

 $00:02:19.906 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.396$ with only three point 1 patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

 $00:02:22.396 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.048$ for each 100,000 people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

 $00:02:24.050 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.892$ So it is again relatively rare disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

00:02:26.892 --> 00:02:29.736 Fortunately, but it is, as you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

 $00:02:29.740 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.850$ a very devastating disease and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

 $00:02:31.850 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.850$ The reason why this is such a charming

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

 $00{:}02{:}34.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}37.411$ diseases that you know the anatomic

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

00:02:37.411 --> 00:02:39.576 location really doesn't help us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

 $00:02:39.580 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.926$ So these are places that presents

00:02:41.926 --> 00:02:43.987 with these large tumors with

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

 $00:02:43.987 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.687$ lots of surrounding edema,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

 $00:02:45.690 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.314$ an infiltrative microscopic disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

00:02:47.314 --> 00:02:49.344 These terms are highly vascularized,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

 $00{:}02{:}49.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}51.940$ so we're at the same time dealing

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

 $00:02:51.940 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.240$ with an uncle logic disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

 $00:02:54.240 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.275$ but truly we're dealing with

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

 $00:02:56.275 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.310$ a neurologic disease as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

00:02:58.310 --> 00:03:00.824 and you can imagine how challenging

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

 $00:03:00.824 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.500$ it is to manage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

 $00:03:02.500 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.318$ All of these symptoms were still

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

 $00:03:04.318 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.288$ trying to make a difference in

NOTE Confidence: 0.81148463

 $00{:}03{:}06.288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}07.970$ terms of uncle logic treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7932129

 $00:03:11.060 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.975$ Ends reflecting this challenge is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7932129

 $00:03:12.975 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.634$ the fact that the only drug that

 $00:03:15.634 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.644$ has shown to improve survival so

NOTE Confidence: 0.7932129

 $00:03:17.644 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.601$ far is this alkylating alkylating

NOTE Confidence: 0.7932129

 $00:03:19.601 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.770$ agent that is more than 20 years old.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7932129

 $00:03:22.770 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.490$ So this is the most dolomite and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7932129

 $00:03:25.490 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.755$ controllers here is saying that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7932129

 $00:03:27.755 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.615$ Missouri might improves both work

NOTE Confidence: 0.7932129

 $00:03:29.687 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.907$ for survival and overall survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7932129

 $00{:}03{:}31.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}33.800$ but even the experimental arm

NOTE Confidence: 0.7932129

 $00:03:33.800 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.312$ in the pivotal trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7932129

 $00:03:35.320 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.300$ which was published in 2005.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7932129

 $00{:}03{:}38.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}41.275$ Survival remained only 15 months for again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7932129

 $00:03:41.280 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.400$ newly diagnosed disease and further

NOTE Confidence: 0.7932129

 $00:03:43.400 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.415$ analysis of this data has shown that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7932129

 $00:03:46.415 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.875$ this survivor benefit is mostly driven

NOTE Confidence: 0.7932129

 $00:03:48.875 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.510$ by tumors that have this afternoon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7932129

00:03:51.510 --> 00:03:54.084 Check silence of the Mt gene

 $00:03:54.084 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.323$ promoter by methylation so these

NOTE Confidence: 0.7932129

 $00{:}03{:}56.323 \to 00{:}03{:}58.987$ patients with math Laden GMT tend

NOTE Confidence: 0.7932129

00:03:58.987 --> 00:04:01.728 to respond better to Tim's or mine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7932129

 $00:04:01.730 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.855$ but they account for only

NOTE Confidence: 0.7932129

 $00:04:03.855 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.024$ about 30% of the patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7932129

 $00:04:06.024 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.090$ So for the remainder of the patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7932129

 $00:04:09.090 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.865$ The only real treatment that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7932129

 $00:04:10.865 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.285$ is available is radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00:04:15.370 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.306$ And we did try a lot of agents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00:04:18.310 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.599$ and here you're looking at a slide

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00:04:20.599 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.691$ from 2005 where we were talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00{:}04{:}22.691 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}25.120$ about all of these clinical trials in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00{:}04{:}25.187 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}27.477$ glioblastoma and in other diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00:04:27.480 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.320$ Testing these novel target therapist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00:04:29.320 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.078$ So we're very excited that for

 $00:04:31.078 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.361$ the first time in would be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00{:}04{:}33.361 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}35.101$ to treat these patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00:04:35.101 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.305$ the rapies that would carry minimal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

00:04:37.305 --> 00:04:39.225 toxicities and tremendous efficacy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00:04:39.230 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.838$ but as you know, the story was much more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

00:04:43.840 --> 00:04:46.654 You know, harder than than what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00:04:46.654 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.228$ originally thought, and one by one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00:04:49.228 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.604$ All of these stars went on to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00:04:52.604 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.048$ fail in recurrent disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00:04:55.050 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.354$ The sad thing is that or maybe the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00{:}04{:}57.354 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}59.695$ lucky thing for other diseases is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00:04:59.695 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.277$ the majority of these drugs ended up

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00:05:02.277 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.337$ being approved for other indications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00:05:04.340 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.064$ but all of that rise in glucose

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00:05:08.064 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.660$ Thomas have failed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00:05:09.660 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.474$ And more challenging is the fact that

 $00:05:12.474 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.360$ we're not really sure what is it about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00:05:15.360 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.897$ the omens that all of these drugs

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

00:05:17.897 --> 00:05:20.465 actually fail one after the other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00:05:20.470 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.780$ is that because we are targeting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00:05:22.780 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.935$ the wrong targets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00:05:23.940 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.484$ maybe they're not sufficiently

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

00:05:25.484 --> 00:05:27.028 relevant for Uncle Genesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

00:05:27.030 --> 00:05:29.196 or there are too many feedback

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00:05:29.196 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.110$ loops and redundant pathways were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00:05:31.110 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.534$ now more and more learning about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00{:}05{:}33.534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}34.746$ temporal spatial variations?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00:05:34.750 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.180$ Or is it be 'cause these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00:05:37.180 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.260$ are the wrong drugs and?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

00:05:39.260 --> 00:05:41.580 We have problems you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00:05:41.580 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.436$ of achieving adequate concentrations,

 $00:05:43.436 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.828$ especially for drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

00:05:44.830 --> 00:05:47.150 They are not very potent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

00:05:47.150 --> 00:05:50.538 We do need to have better blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00{:}05{:}50.538 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}53.012$ brain barrier penetration because a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00:05:53.012 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.814$ lot of these microscopic disease is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00:05:55.814 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.128$ behind an intact blood brain barrier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00:05:59.130 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.454$ Also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00:05:59.454 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.398$ we still don't know how to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00{:}06{:}01.398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}03.230$ select basis for these drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00{:}06{:}03.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}05.502$ We're still not sure if it should select

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00{:}06{:}05.502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}07.729$ based on specific mutations or should

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00:06:07.729 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.420$ we go through transcription subgroups or not?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00:06:10.420 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.250$ Do any selection whatsoever and treat

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00{:}06{:}12.250 \longrightarrow 00{:}06{:}14.528$ a large number of patients then then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00:06:14.528 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.859$ identify the responders and then go after

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00:06:16.916 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.966$ the phenotypes that predict response.

 $00:06:18.970 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.334$ So in other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00:06:20.334 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.039$ regardless of what we do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00:06:22.040 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.092$ we certainly need to improve translation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00{:}06{:}24.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}25.460$ components within our trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

00:06:25.460 --> 00:06:27.170 improve the science before, during,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00:06:27.170 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.676$ and after the trial and this is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

00:06:29.680 --> 00:06:30.017 Actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00:06:30.017 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.365$ paradigm that we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8231038

 $00:06:31.365 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.376$ been following artificial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

00:06:36.310 --> 00:06:38.750 So the low hanging fruit is to try

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00{:}06{:}38.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}40.915$ to use the genomic information

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00:06:40.915 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.380$ that is now widely available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00{:}06{:}43.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}45.738$ Only streamers to see if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00{:}06{:}45.738 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}47.310$ can improve our outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00:06:47.310 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.604$ So as you know, global someone was the very

 $00:06:50.604 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.598$ first tumor sequenced by the TSJ effort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00{:}06{:}53.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}55.340$ and since then gene sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00:06:55.340 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.504$ has become the norm when managing

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

00:06:57.504 --> 00:06:59.274 these patients and here looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00:06:59.274 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.149$ at all types of biome's and these

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00:07:02.149 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.997$ different colors here represents

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00:07:03.997 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.382$ the different subtypes of gliomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00:07:06.382 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.350$ And you have no difficulty to see that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00{:}07{:}10.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}12.905$ The genomic signatures are very

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

00:07:12.905 --> 00:07:14.949 distinct across the different

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00{:}07{:}14.949 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}16.730$ histologies you can see here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00:07:16.730 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.015$ The quintessential signature of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00:07:19.015 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.994$ algal blooms, which is more penalty?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

00:07:21.994 --> 00:07:24.574 Q coalition ideate mutation Sir

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00:07:24.574 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.808$ promoter mutation and see I see

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

00:07:26.808 --> 00:07:30.559 and if you put P1 and here is the

 $00:07:30.559 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.587$ quintessential signature of Astros

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

00:07:32.587 --> 00:07:34.975 with guided meditation AT Rex,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00:07:34.975 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.250$ Magician or lost interpretive fermentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

00:07:37.250 --> 00:07:39.680 And here's this essential signatures

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00:07:39.680 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.138$ of global stoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

00:07:41.140 --> 00:07:43.513 Now we start to see Jeff Farm

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00:07:43.513 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.690$ to fication or mutation Pete.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

00:07:45.690 --> 00:07:48.660 Then loss or mutation and lots

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00:07:48.660 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.230$ of formalities in CD case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00:07:51.230 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.830$ So putting those patients now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00{:}07{:}53.830 \to 00{:}07{:}56.924$ arranging them into what kind of pathways

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00:07:56.924 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.070$ ended up being abnormally disturbers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00:08:00.070 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.563$ we can see the vast majority of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00{:}08{:}03.563 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}06.310$ patients follow this cake recipe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00:08:06.310 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.640$ So basically 1000 kinase pathway with

 $00:08:09.640 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.275$ PKU KTM Tor pathway activation and F1

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00:08:13.275 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.006$ you see also a lot of these patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00:08:17.006 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.510$ with arousing in the T3 pathway leading to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00:08:21.510 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.090$ Abnormalities in senescence and a pop

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00:08:24.090 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.354$ ptosis and a lot of these patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

00:08:27.354 --> 00:08:30.756 having a normality's in cell cycle control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00:08:30.760 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.560$ But then when we put all of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00:08:33.560 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.120$ patients a match to actually which

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00:08:36.120 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.790$ mutations have a track record of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00:08:38.874 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.242$ being drug and what you can see is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00{:}08{:}42.242 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}44.245$ unfortunately each of these mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00:08:44.245 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.555$ is actually very where we're not

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00:08:46.555 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.717$ being very good at identifying

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00:08:48.717 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.847$ drugs for those specific phenotypes

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

00:08:50.847 --> 00:08:53.366 we heavily rely on basket trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00{:}08{:}53.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}55.306$ But unfortunately basket trials

 $00{:}08{:}55.306 \to 00{:}08{:}57.242$ typically exclude patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00:08:57.242 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.622$ brain tumors were left with no

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00:08:59.622 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.644$ trials or very trials that address.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

00:09:01.650 --> 00:09:03.325 These questions we do have

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00:09:03.325 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.665$ some low hanging fruits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00:09:04.670 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.310$ Of course ideas mutation will

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00:09:06.310 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.710$ talk a little bit more about that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00:09:08.710 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.368$ but again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00{:}09{:}09{:}09{:}368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}11.671$ the message here is that it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

00:09:11.671 --> 00:09:13.737 very difficult to run start

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00:09:13.737 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.807$ therapy trials of these days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

00:09:15.810 --> 00:09:18.180 Because you really need to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00{:}09{:}18.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}20.249$ strategies to tackle the rarity

NOTE Confidence: 0.7912263

 $00:09:20.249 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.309$ of each of these phenotypes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00:09:24.330 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.382$ And adding to our challenges are how

 $00:09:27.382 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.181$ these tumors evolve overtime and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00{:}09{:}30.181 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}32.989$ they are heterogeneous to begin with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

00:09:32.990 --> 00:09:36.118 So this is a patient, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00:09:36.118 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.086$ that at diagnosis she was enrolled in

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00:09:39.086 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.065$ one of my trials of a notch inhibitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00:09:42.065 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.503$ and she had a very typical signature

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00:09:45.503 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.795$ of astrocytomas with identification

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

00:09:47.795 --> 00:09:50.630 interaxon to 53 mutations and several

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00{:}09{:}50.630 \to 00{:}09{:}52.310$ potential target targetable abnormalities

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00:09:52.310 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.490$ with other abnormalities, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00:09:54.490 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.570$ When this patient again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00:09:56.570 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.760$ she received the nearly diagnosed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

00:10:00.760 --> 00:10:02.968 Trial and then when she recovered,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00:10:02.970 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.820$ she was operated on again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00:10:04.820 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.764$ even though she had a very small tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00:10:07.770 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.614$ And what we found is that all of those

 $00:10:10.614 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.307$ potential target mutations are actually gone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00{:}10{:}13.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}15.150$ We're seeing some passengers here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

00:10:15.150 --> 00:10:17.160 But the reality that's what's driving

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

00:10:17.160 --> 00:10:19.761 this tumor now is actually probably about

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

00:10:19.761 --> 00:10:22.155 Melanie's at the OBGYN attic level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00{:}10{:}22.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}25.024$ and you can imagine that if at this

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

00:10:25.024 --> 00:10:27.971 point in time of her disease we work

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00:10:27.971 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.869$ to enroll her in a clinical trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00{:}10{:}30.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}33.145$ Most patients do not have another brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

00:10:33.145 --> 00:10:35.190 surgery to have another sequence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00{:}10{:}35.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}37.678$ so you go to archive tissue and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00{:}10{:}37.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}40.063$ would have selected her for trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00{:}10{:}40.063 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}42.173$ that probably were irrelevant for

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00:10:42.173 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.187$ her at this point in time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00:10:44.190 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.653$ Again,

 $00:10:44.653 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.431$ those are males that we thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00{:}10{:}47.431 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}50.069$ were present were actually gone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00:10:50.070 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.640$ This is another example of potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00{:}10{:}53.640 \to 00{:}10{:}56.569$ targetable mutations that actually were

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00:10:56.569 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.845$ very different at the time of recurrence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00:10:59.850 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.438$ And.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00{:}11{:}00.438 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}02.790$ Another difficult challenge are

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00:11:02.790 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.554$ these patients here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00{:}11{:}04.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}08.216$ So these are patients that we serve created.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00:11:08.220 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.541$ These is a result of the use of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00{:}11{:}11.541 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}14.987$ Mozilla might that can cause mutations in

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

00:11:14.987 --> 00:11:18.269 mismatch repair genes at typically MSH.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00:11:18.270 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.598$ 6 and what happens is that these patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00:11:21.598 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.959$ with mismatch repair defects start

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00:11:23.959 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.379$ accumulating all of these mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

00:11:26.379 --> 00:11:29.473 and you can imagine that developing

00:11:29.473 --> 00:11:32.033 target therapies for these folks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00:11:32.040 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.312$ Is much harder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00{:}11{:}33.312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}35.856$ And one of the surprising findings

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00:11:35.856 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.560$ of our studies have been that

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00:11:38.560 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.384$ these are actually much more common

NOTE Confidence: 0.808799

 $00:11:41.384 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.560$ than we previously thought.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

00:11:46.580 --> 00:11:49.044 So in moving forward what we're trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00{:}11{:}49.044 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}52.286$ do is to again improve the science linked

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

00:11:52.286 --> 00:11:54.520 through the early development trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00{:}11{:}54.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}57.005$ so we more and more relying Phase

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00{:}11{:}57.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}00.040$ Zero tries to show us if our drugs

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:12:00.040 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.460$ are actually getting into the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00{:}12{:}02.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}04.345$ especially in areas with intact

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:12:04.345 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.476$ blood brain barrier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:12:05.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.309$ We also want to see if the.

 $00:12:09.310 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.536$ The drugs are hitting their targets and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:12:11.536 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.373$ we like to look at the pharmacodynamic

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:12:14.373 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.638$ effects in these resected specimens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:12:16.640 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.912$ Be more and more have we have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

00:12:18.912 --> 00:12:21.390 work with their companies to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

00:12:21.390 --> 00:12:23.690 basket trials that actually include

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:12:23.690 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.910$ patients with our rare phenotypes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:12:25.910 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.840$ There's a shift towards more

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:12:27.840 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.770$ of a newly diagnosed disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

00:12:29.770 --> 00:12:32.080 Be 'cause these are easier patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00{:}12{:}32.080 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}12{:}34.015$ and the genomics information is

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

00:12:34.015 --> 00:12:35.945 actually fresh, and where we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:12:35.945 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.485$ dealing with recurrent disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:12:37.490 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.475$ we typically like to re

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:12:39.475 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.063$ sample specials for target.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:12:41.070 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.949$ Therapies, if anything,

 $00:12:41.949 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.000$ at least to exclude the hypermedia phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:12:44.000 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.232$ And we also like to of course update the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:12:46.232 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.686$ gene sequencing and the Uncle Genic trimers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

00:12:48.690 --> 00:12:50.734 Another trend in our field, this try

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:12:50.734 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.194$ to target these strong communications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:12:52.200 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.958$ but that's not an easy task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

00:12:53.960 --> 00:12:54.632 And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

00:12:54.632 --> 00:12:56.648 we're going to talk a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:12:56.648 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.160$ bit more about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:12:58.160 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.976$ But the vast majority of trials right now

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00{:}13{:}01.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}05.580$ is actually trying to find alternative

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:13:05.580 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.414$ strategies that address more stable targets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00{:}13{:}09.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}11.940$ So the low hanging fruit of stable

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:13:11.940 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.219$ targets is actually immuno therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:13:14.220 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.620$ So we do know that blue,

00:13:16.620 --> 00:13:19.020 blasphemous do grow in a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:13:19.020 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.220$ human suppressive microenvironment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:13:20.220 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.225$ And we have identified several

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

00:13:22.225 --> 00:13:25.075 emergent points that seem to be very

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:13:25.075 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.615$ important in this disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

00:13:26.620 --> 00:13:28.620 But on top of identifying

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:13:28.620 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.220$ the right even checkpoint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:13:30.220 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.620$ we have the challenges of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:13:32.620 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.820$ anatomic location itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:13:33.820 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.836$ So you can imagine that it's much harder

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00{:}13{:}36.836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}39.517$ to trigger him and logical response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:13:39.520 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.528$ In the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

00:13:40.528 --> 00:13:41.200 which is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00{:}13{:}41.200 --> 00{:}13{:}41.958 \ \mathrm{you} \ \mathrm{know},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:13:41.958 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.474$ traditionally considered the so-called

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:13:43.474 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.789$ sanctuary sites for the immune system.

 $00:13:45.790 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.401$ And we have to get these email

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:13:48.401 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.934$ responses to act fast because these

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:13:50.934 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.598$ are tumors that grow very rapidly

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

00:13:53.598 --> 00:13:56.154 and they cause symptoms and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

00:13:56.154 --> 00:13:58.592 don't have the luxury of waiting

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

00:13:58.592 --> 00:14:01.064 several months or years to react.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

00:14:01.070 --> 00:14:03.954 The benefits of the email of therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

00:14:03.960 --> 00:14:05.190 And of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

00:14:05.190 --> 00:14:06.830 if you're triggering inflammatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:14:06.830 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.510$ responses in the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:14:08.510 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.054$ we have to deal with the risks of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:14:11.054 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.870$ new log symptoms and neurotoxicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00{:}14{:}13.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}16.210$ An another important thing is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:14:16.210 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.758$ That this information could

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

00:14:17.758 --> 00:14:19.306 potentially mimic some aggression,

 $00:14:19.310 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.390$ so managing these patients can

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:14:21.390 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.470$ be challenging because we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:14:23.541 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.515$ to learn to how to recognize,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:14:25.520 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.460$ see the progression versus real

NOTE Confidence: 0.84145206

 $00:14:27.460 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.400$ tumor progression on the MRI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7355124

 $00:14:34.080 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.383$ But we did try and here you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.7355124

 $00:14:36.383 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.594$ looking at the very first results

NOTE Confidence: 0.7355124

 $00:14:38.594 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.261$ of the very first phase one trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.7355124

 $00:14:41.334 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.266$ utilizing image checkpoint inhibitors

NOTE Confidence: 0.7355124

 $00:14:43.266 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.870$ in global stoma and this was done in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7355124

 $00{:}14{:}46.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}49.670$ with VMS and in this trial we treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.7355124

 $00:14:49.755 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.605$ 40 patients both with nivolumab or

NOTE Confidence: 0.7355124

 $00{:}14{:}52.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}54.950$ two combinations of Nivola Bintulu

NOTE Confidence: 0.7355124

 $00:14:54.950 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.854$ map and what we found is that yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7355124

 $00:14:57.860 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.875$ the target definitely was present

NOTE Confidence: 0.7355124

00:14:59.875 --> 00:15:01.890 in the majority of patients,

 $00:15:01.890 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.632$ so 60% of the patients had

NOTE Confidence: 0.7355124

 $00:15:04.632 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.003$ PDL one expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7355124

00:15:06.010 --> 00:15:07.942 But we didn't see any brain toxicities

NOTE Confidence: 0.7355124

 $00:15:07.942 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.169$ which is good and perhaps bad because

NOTE Confidence: 0.7355124

 $00:15:10.169 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.844$ this could potentially reflect the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7355124

 $00:15:11.844 \longrightarrow 00:15:13.694$ fact that we are not achieving

NOTE Confidence: 0.7355124

00:15:13.694 --> 00:15:15.466 much and overall survival was very

NOTE Confidence: 0.7355124

 $00{:}15{:}15.466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}16.690$ similar to historical controls,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7355124

 $00{:}15{:}16.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}18.652$ although some places it seemed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7355124

 $00{:}15{:}18.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}21.010$ Mount more of an email response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7355124

 $00:15:21.010 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.850$ But this went on to be tested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7355124

 $00{:}15{:}23.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}26.079$ randomized trials and we are now

NOTE Confidence: 0.7355124

 $00{:}15{:}26.079 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}27.889$ reporting the final results of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7355124

 $00:15:27.889 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.321$ these shows and one by one they

NOTE Confidence: 0.7355124

 $00:15:30.321 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.015$ all failed to improve survival,

 $00:15:32.015 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.145$ both newly diagnose and recurrent disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

00:15:37.040 --> 00:15:39.238 So we're not giving up on immunotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00:15:39.240 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.230$ so I think our task now is to try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00:15:42.320 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.148$ send what is that about the brain?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00:15:45.150 \longrightarrow 00:15:48.146$ That in spite of PDL one expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

00:15:48.146 --> 00:15:50.865 we're not seeing any help from Anti

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00{:}15{:}50.865 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}53.818$ PD one or anti PDL one the rapies and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

00:15:53.818 --> 00:15:57.178 I think for for this question I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00{:}15{:}57.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}00.375$ it is great to have a helping hand of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

00:16:00.375 --> 00:16:02.993 people that study the CNS immunology

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00:16:02.993 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.834$ and in this project what we did is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00:16:06.834 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.204$ partner with Doctor David Hoffer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00{:}16{:}09.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}12.266$ I'll also Liliana Luca to look at how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00:16:12.266 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.646$ can we actually come up with better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00:16:15.650 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.070$ Image checkpoint inhibition that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00:16:18.070 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.981$ relevant for for this Mike environment

00:16:20.981 --> 00:16:24.229 and what the heifers lab came up with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00:16:24.229 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.400$ is that this image of point called digit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00:16:27.400 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.130$ seems to be much more relevant in the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00:16:31.130 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.578$ It was very interesting that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00:16:33.578 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.289$ their studies they found a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

00:16:36.289 --> 00:16:38.299 teacher expression in DBMS and not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00:16:38.299 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.370$ so much digit expression in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00:16:41.370 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.966$ quintessential inflammatory disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00:16:42.966 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.335$ which is multiple sclerosis and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00:16:45.335 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.510$ They went on to perform

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

00:16:47.510 --> 00:16:49.150 several studies utilizing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00{:}16{:}49.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}51.796$ so sequencing that sort of confirmed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00:16:51.796 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.930$ that T cell dysfunction was being driven

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00:16:54.930 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.522$ by digit in this particular disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00:16:57.530 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.176$ So to test this hypothesis in

00:17:00.176 --> 00:17:01.499 patients with design,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00:17:01.500 \dashrightarrow 00:17:06.316$ this clinical trial where we are looking at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

00:17:06.320 --> 00:17:08.768 A different cohorts of patients prior

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00:17:08.768 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.527$ to surgery where they will be treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

00:17:11.527 --> 00:17:13.991 with either infected or anti PD one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

00:17:14.068 --> 00:17:16.474 or the combination or placebo and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00{:}17{:}16.474 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}19.064$ then these patients will be brought to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00:17:19.064 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.837$ surgery and then we will do a tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00{:}17{:}21.837 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}24.219$ single cell RNA sequencing with an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

00:17:24.219 --> 00:17:27.662 axe as well as some studies to produce

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00{:}17{:}27.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}30.291$ some spatial validation of the findings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00:17:30.291 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.997$ And there will also follow these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00:17:32.997 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.444$ patients longitudinally to see if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00{:}17{:}35.444 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}37.824$ can monitor what's happening in the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00:17:37.830 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.566$ By analyzing the T cells in the periphery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00:17:40.570 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.616$ So it's a very exciting trial.

 $00:17:42.620 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.860$ So I wish we had started the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00:17:44.860 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.376$ development of Inter PD one this way

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00:17:47.376 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.236$ by understanding the science before

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

00:17:49.236 --> 00:17:51.598 going to more or larger studies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

00:17:51.598 --> 00:17:53.221 would end up being negative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00:17:53.221 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.608$ but we're very excited about this mechanism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00:17:55.610 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.166$ Action also is important to emphasize

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00:17:58.166 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.554$ that this combination of anti teachers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00:18:00.554 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.329$ and in fact PD one is very hot in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00:18:03.329 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.585$ the fields right now as you know it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00:18:05.585 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.302$ is already in phase 3IN.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

00:18:07.302 --> 00:18:09.092 Non small cell lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

00:18:09.100 --> 00:18:11.320 And we're very excited to bring

NOTE Confidence: 0.8497241

 $00:18:11.320 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.170$ this trial here to you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553554

 $00:18:15.700 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.550$ Also, to understand a little

00:18:17.550 --> 00:18:19.990 bit more of the immune system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553554

 $00:18:19.990 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.595$ we need a good models that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553554

 $00:18:23.595 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.910$ immunocompetent and one of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553554

00:18:25.910 --> 00:18:27.815 A richness of our environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553554

 $00{:}18{:}27.815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}30.180$ here is Doctor City chains work

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553554

00:18:30.180 --> 00:18:32.508 producing these jam models of global

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553554

00:18:32.508 --> 00:18:34.945 stomas where he can pretty much

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553554

 $00:18:34.945 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.351$ produce avatars for all of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553554

00:18:37.351 --> 00:18:39.740 phenotypes that I just showed you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553554

 $00:18:39.740 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.008$ and one of the ideas here is to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553554

 $00{:}18{:}42.008 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}18{:}44.585$ how these different phenotypes respond

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553554

 $00:18:44.585 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.845$ to these different immunotherapy's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553554

 $00{:}18{:}46.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}49.268$ So this is very exciting data

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553554

 $00:18:49.268 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.744$ which again illustrates how we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553554

 $00:18:51.744 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.724$ concomitantly to the development in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553554

 $00{:}18{:}53.724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}56.607$ the clinic to also try to understand.

00:18:56.610 --> 00:18:59.610 Are these treatments in parallel in the lab?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80247504

 $00:19:02.490 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.558$ Now another barrier for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80247504

 $00:19:06.560 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.095$ For the development of effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.80247504

 $00:19:09.095 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.630$ even responses is the work

NOTE Confidence: 0.80247504

 $00:19:11.716 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.380$ being done by the Iwasaki slab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80247504

00:19:14.380 --> 00:19:17.176 So Akiko has been working with

NOTE Confidence: 0.80247504

00:19:17.176 --> 00:19:19.760 Eric Song and General Thomas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80247504

 $00:19:19.760 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.757$ and she has recently had this nature

NOTE Confidence: 0.80247504

 $00:19:23.757 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.869$ paper where they showed that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80247504

 $00{:}19{:}26.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}29.075$ There is a defective lymphatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.80247504

 $00:19:29.075 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.788$ drainage from the brain that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.80247504

 $00:19:31.788 \dashrightarrow 00:19:33.908$ can correct utilizing the GFC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80247504

 $00{:}19{:}33.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}36.416$ So in her models that the combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.80247504

00:19:36.416 --> 00:19:39.667 of Veg FC and Anti PD one actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.80247504

 $00{:}19{:}39.667 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}41.762$ improves survival and was also

00:19:41.845 --> 00:19:44.475 interesting that they also produce

NOTE Confidence: 0.80247504

 $00{:}19{:}44.475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}47.105$ some experiments by injecting anti

NOTE Confidence: 0.80247504

 $00:19:47.110 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.518$ PD one directly into the CSF and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.80247504

00:19:50.518 --> 00:19:53.933 the results seem to be better than

NOTE Confidence: 0.80247504

 $00:19:53.933 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.850$ systemic administration of anti PD one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80247504

 $00:19:56.850 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.906$ So this is all giving rise to another

NOTE Confidence: 0.80247504

 $00:19:59.906 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.634$ generation of characterizing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80247504

 $00:20:01.634 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.728$ some new compounds that we hope to

NOTE Confidence: 0.80247504

 $00{:}20{:}04.728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}07.200$ bring to clinic in the mid term.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

00:20:09.870 --> 00:20:12.050 Now also again another important

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

00:20:12.050 --> 00:20:14.230 barrier in Spanish solid tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

00:20:14.230 --> 00:20:15.878 but particularly in glomus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

 $00:20:15.878 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.883$ is the role of tumor associated macrophages

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

 $00{:}20{:}18.883 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}21.637$ and how they produce these emails.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

 $00:20:21.640 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.948$ Suppressive tumor convergence

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

 $00:20:22.948 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.548$ and one of the ways that we could

00:20:26.548 --> 00:20:28.883 potentially intervene in this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

 $00:20:28.883 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.668$ discovered by an item here at go,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

 $00{:}20{:}31.670 \longrightarrow 00{:}20{:}34.729$ where she's looking at the role of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

00:20:34.729 --> 00:20:36.975 this little Robo one, signaling

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

 $00:20:36.975 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.005$ which seems to attract and polarize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

 $00:20:40.010 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.320$ Save Microfridge is in in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

00:20:42.320 --> 00:20:43.475 brain microenvironment and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

00:20:43.475 --> 00:20:44.709 Livingstone my confirming.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

00:20:44.710 --> 00:20:47.846 And when she did experiments to knock down,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

00:20:47.850 --> 00:20:50.587 slid to, or to block this pathway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

 $00:20:50.590 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.590$ she achieved better immune responses

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

 $00{:}20{:}52.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}54.910$ and inflammation of anti PD one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

00:20:54.910 --> 00:20:57.202 She had a really significant improvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

 $00:20:57.202 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.389$ in survival or in this tumor bearing mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

 $00:21:00.390 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.270$ So the idea here is now to generate

00:21:03.270 --> 00:21:05.824 enter Robo Nanobodies one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

 $00{:}21{:}05.824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}08.014$ barriers project would be then

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

 $00:21:08.014 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.338$ how can we get this number?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

 $00:21:10.340 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.888$ At least to penetrate into the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

00:21:12.890 --> 00:21:14.710 And since she's very resourceful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

 $00:21:14.710 \longrightarrow 00:21:16.810$ she has the answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

00:21:16.810 --> 00:21:18.385 It looks like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

00:21:18.390 --> 00:21:19.938 If you block antibodies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

 $00{:}21{:}19.938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}21.873$ if you use antibodies blocking

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

00:21:21.873 --> 00:21:23.817 this receptor called UNC 5B,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

 $00{:}21{:}23.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}26.148$ you conserve produce an on demand

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

00:21:26.148 --> 00:21:27.700 blood brain barrier opening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

 $00{:}21{:}27.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}30.868$ so this is less a few hours and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

 $00{:}21{:}30.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}33.907$ great for drugs up to 40 kilodaltons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

 $00:21:33.910 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.402$ So the idea here is that if this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

 $00:21:37.402 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.238$ successful, we could combine this.

 $00:21:39.238 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.410$ These agents with many of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

 $00:21:41.484 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.644$ chemotherapies in order target therapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

 $00:21:43.644 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.961$ that we are trying to use to treat

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

 $00:21:46.961 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.495$ these patients in a more efficient way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

 $00:21:49.500 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.456$ And overcome the problem of living

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

 $00:21:51.456 \longrightarrow 00:21:53.080$ there countries so very exciting

NOTE Confidence: 0.7626056

 $00:21:53.080 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.176$ work that we hope to see more of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00:21:57.340 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.216$ No moving on into.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

00:21:59.216 --> 00:22:02.030 It's still sticking to the Mockingbird,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00:22:02.030 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.306$ but moving on to partnerships with pharma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00{:}22{:}05.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}08.350$ One of the our partnerships

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00:22:08.350 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.782$ is with this drug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00{:}22{:}10.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}13.250$ This company called In Pharmaceuticals

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00:22:13.250 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.710$ and these folks have discovered

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

00:22:15.781 --> 00:22:17.929 a novel receptor with within the

00:22:17.929 --> 00:22:20.124 Alpha V beta three integrin that

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00{:}22{:}20.124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}22.532$ is started by this FP PMT drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00:22:22.532 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.895$ that seems to have an amazing

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00:22:24.895 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.910$ activity in their mouse models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00:22:26.910 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.798$ Really melting the mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00:22:28.798 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.158$ And this was the first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

00:22:31.160 --> 00:22:33.488 Now we're now designing the 1st

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

00:22:33.488 --> 00:22:35.500 in human trial here GAIL,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00{:}22{:}35.500 \to 00{:}22{:}38.660$ that will start in a couple of months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

00:22:38.660 --> 00:22:41.144 But to understand this better we

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00{:}22{:}41.144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}43.800$ did bring Yellow Labs into the mix

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00:22:43.800 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.325$ to better define how is this rug

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00{:}22{:}46.325 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}48.215$ really working and who are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

00:22:48.215 --> 00:22:50.205 best candidates by understand a

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00:22:50.205 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.617$ little bit more about the effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00{:}22{:}52.686 \rightarrow 00{:}22{:}55.031$ on cell invasion signaling networks

 $00:22:55.031 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.438$ and gene expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00:22:56.440 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.386$ So one of the assets that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00:22:59.390 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.685$ We're realizing in partnership with

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

00:23:01.685 --> 00:23:04.710 under left ankle is looking at these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00:23:04.710 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.356$ The use of his integrated platform,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00:23:07.360 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.726$ which is the so called race essay

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

00:23:09.726 --> 00:23:12.753 which is a disrupted analysis of cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00{:}23{:}12.753 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}15.173$ phenotype extremes where he uses

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00:23:15.173 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.188$ the cell migration as a surrogate

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00:23:18.188 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.643$ marker of tumor aggressiveness and

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00{:}23{:}20.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}23.870$ and then you can test the multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00{:}23{:}23.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}26.154$ drugs utilizing this essay as

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

00:23:26.154 --> 00:23:28.184 a form of drug screening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00:23:28.190 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.910$ and he's applying this rug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00:23:30.910 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.406$ Your days were very interesting results

 $00:23:33.406 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.928$ and we hope to then identify partners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00:23:36.930 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.498$ Which are the best genomic candidates

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

00:23:39.498 --> 00:23:42.268 and then see if we can optimize

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00:23:42.268 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.254$ the trial as we go by in reaching

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00:23:45.254 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.444$ with either best candidates or

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00:23:48.444 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.358$ potentially novel combinations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00:23:50.360 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.226$ So again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00:23:51.226 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.391$ that's just to illustrate that

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00{:}23{:}53.391 \rightarrow 00{:}23{:}55.782$ it's very important to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

00:23:55.782 --> 00:23:57.300 involve our laboratories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00:23:57.300 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.660$ Even in trials that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

00:24:00.660 --> 00:24:03.348 being conducted by pharma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00:24:03.350 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.415$ Now sticking again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00:24:04.415 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.545$ not now moving on to other

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00:24:06.545 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.020$ more stable targets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00:24:08.020 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.908$ and one of them is ideas with patient

00:24:10.908 --> 00:24:13.751 and this story came out of Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00:24:13.751 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.579$ Kendra's lab where he found it ideas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

00:24:16.580 --> 00:24:18.746 Mutations change DNA repair through the

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

00:24:18.746 --> 00:24:20.860 production of two hydroxy obliterate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00:24:20.860 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.576$ which is the byproduct of this mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00:24:23.580 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.100$ and this results in sort of brokenness

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00:24:26.100 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.408$ that then can be targeted by PARP inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00:24:29.410 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.770$ So he has several clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00:24:31.770 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.130$ trials of these park inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00:24:34.130 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.748$ And we are now hoping to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00{:}24{:}36.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}39.331$ if this will actually improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.74804413

 $00:24:39.331 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.067$ outcomes for these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75027966

 $00:24:44.290 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.786$ Also, again sticking to the DNA repair thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75027966

 $00:24:47.790 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.541$ we recently submitted a United team led

NOTE Confidence: 0.75027966

 $00:24:50.541 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.702$ by Mayo Clinic and John Jennifer Correa

 $00:24:53.702 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.087$ in partnership with even colonies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75027966

 $00:24:56.090 \longrightarrow 00:24:57.834$ Doctor Bindra and I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75027966

 $00:24:57.834 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.014$ So we have two projects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75027966

 $00:25:00.020 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.009$ One is trying to optimize MDM two

NOTE Confidence: 0.75027966

 $00:25:03.009 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.690$ inhibition for these patients and 80

NOTE Confidence: 0.75027966

 $00:25:05.690 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.324$ Rd in ambition for these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75027966

 $00:25:08.330 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.347$ And this will again bring two other

NOTE Confidence: 0.75027966

 $00:25:11.347 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.318$ Phase 0 slash 1 clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75027966

00:25:14.320 --> 00:25:16.570 To our portfolio, hopefully soon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:25:20.570 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.544$ Now we don't have time to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00{:}25{:}22.544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}24.710$ review all of our portfolio,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:25:24.710 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.122$ but we do have partnerships with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:25:27.122 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.139$ industry for opening other tries

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00{:}25{:}29.139 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}31.463$ to fill in gaps in our portfolio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

00:25:31.470 --> 00:25:33.305 Doctor Blundin has activated the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00{:}25{:}33.305 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}36.048$ Agile trial which is a multi drug

 $00{:}25{:}36.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}38.568$ multi arm clinical trial that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00{:}25{:}38.568 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}25{:}40.787$ happening worldwide so we have access

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:25:40.787 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.749$ to these drugs for our patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:25:42.750 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.389$ and have a bunch of other choice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00{:}25{:}45.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}47.766$ But the theme here is really to focus on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:25:47.766 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.181$ early the rapeutic development and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

00:25:50.181 --> 00:25:52.273 participating inside cooperative groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:25:52.280 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.040$ Please, for those extremely rare phenotypes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:25:55.040 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.960$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:25:55.960 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.800$ byref mutant craniopharyngioma switch,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00{:}25{:}57.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}00.992$ again very difficult to find patience

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00{:}26{:}00.992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}05.425$ and for those we do need to partner

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:26:05.425 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.731$ with other places around the country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:26:08.740 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.452$ And I could go on and on talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:26:11.452 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.801$ about all of the years signs

 $00:26:13.801 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.177$ that is going in brain tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:26:16.180 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.412$ I select a few stories that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:26:18.412 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.900$ are closest to clinic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:26:19.900 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.315$ but all these people in this picture

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:26:22.315 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.041$ and many others that I'm not even

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

00:26:25.041 --> 00:26:27.066 mentioning today are producing amazing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00{:}26{:}27.066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}29.651$ size that we can actually use into

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

00:26:29.651 --> 00:26:32.128 our portfolio and bring it in anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00{:}26{:}32.128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}33.552$ Let's say intelligent trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:26:33.552 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.150$ ranging from data science,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00{:}26{:}35.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}37.290$ junior imaging and all sorts

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:26:37.290 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.574$ of the approaches.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:26:38.580 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.600$ So in conclusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:26:39.600 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.980$ so we're lucky enough to have this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:26:42.051 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.536$ unique breath of scientific expertise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

00:26:44.540 --> 00:26:46.832 Our focus is really on investigating

 $00{:}26{:}46.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}49.189$ shaded trials that are home grown

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00{:}26{:}49.189 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}51.863$ and our other focuses on early stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

00:26:51.863 --> 00:26:53.669 development with former partners,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:26:53.670 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.650$ but also bringing along our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:26:55.650 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.234$ own labs email collagen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

00:26:57.240 --> 00:27:00.019 a repair have emerged as leading teams,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:27:00.020 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.188$ but here there we have many patents about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:27:03.190 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.390$ although many are not ready

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:27:05.390 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.590$ for complication and need a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:27:07.670 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.910$ lot of help for development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00{:}27{:}09.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}11.630$ We certainly need more work

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

00:27:11.630 --> 00:27:13.006 on existing available drugs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00{:}27{:}13.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}14.675$ for example coming from Seatac

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

00:27:14.675 --> 00:27:17.435 and Pharma and a lot of work in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:27:17.435 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.135$ functional genomics so that we

 $00:27:19.135 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.585$ can figure out finally how to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00{:}27{:}21.585 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}23.285$ target these undruggable targets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:27:23.290 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.754$ So that I would like to finish

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:27:25.754 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.959$ by thanking all of the people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:27:27.960 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.108$ So when we talk clinical trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00{:}27{:}30.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}33.755$ really the merit is all of others of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:27:33.755 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.220$ labs of the all of the infrastructure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:27:37.220 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.554$ I would also like to acknowledge

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00{:}27{:}39.554 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}41.500$ our division attendings and aips,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

00:27:41.500 --> 00:27:43.052 or actually managing treating

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:27:43.052 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.992$ these patients in the trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:27:45.000 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.492$ I would like to thank again the Cito staff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

00:27:48.500 --> 00:27:50.450 They're going through rough times,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00{:}27{:}50.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}53.446$ but right Decker is navigating and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:27:53.446 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.879$ going to get us out of this situation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:27:56.880 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.162$ A big thanks to the PRC reviewers

 $00:27:59.162 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.845$ 'cause one of my hats is actually as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:28:01.845 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.370$ the Pearcey chair and we we acknowledge

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:28:04.370 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.830$ along with Barbara Burtness that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:28:06.830 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.420$ was a lot of work that goes into

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00{:}28{:}09.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}12.357$ this and that I would like to thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

00:28:12.357 --> 00:28:14.462 them publicly at this opportunity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:28:14.470 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.289$ Lots of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

00:28:15.289 --> 00:28:17.200 So why CCI that help us with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:28:17.264 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.500$ investigating share clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00:28:19.500 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.104$ All of the people that have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

00:28:22.104 --> 00:28:23.885 enabling this research and finally

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00{:}28{:}23.885 \rightarrow 00{:}28{:}26.261$ a big thank to the YCC and Smile

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00{:}28{:}26.337 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}28.297$ leadership with more Pickens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

00:28:28.300 --> 00:28:30.350 Kevin versus Kevin Beans loosely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

 $00{:}28{:}30.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}32.954$ and neither will all understand importance

 $00:28:32.954 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.430$ of our clinical trials portfolio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8357312

00:28:35.430 --> 00:28:37.030 Last but not least, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413786

 $00:28:37.030 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.294$ I would like to thank the show her

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413786

 $00:28:39.294 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.189$ family for their generous gift.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413786

 $00:28:41.190 \longrightarrow 00:28:43.122$ In fact, then I'm not going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413786

 $00:28:43.122 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.111$ talk about this today because we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413786

00:28:45.111 --> 00:28:46.946 still working on the details,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413786

 $00:28:46.950 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.470$ but the word is out of the receive a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413786

00:28:49.470 --> 00:28:51.428 generous gift from that foundation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413786

 $00:28:51.430 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.516$ and we're hoping to put together a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413786

 $00{:}28{:}53.516 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}55.481$ nice program that will again enable

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413786

 $00:28:55.481 \longrightarrow 00:28:57.503$ and expand on our research efforts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413786

 $00{:}28{:}57.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}59.729$ Thank you very much and I'll take

NOTE Confidence: 0.8413786

00:28:59.729 --> 00:29:01.670 some points if you have time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210776

 $00:29:02.790 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.596$ OK, thank you very much Antonio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210776

 $00:29:04.600 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.941$ Very interesting work.

 $00:29:05.941 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.623$ Are there any questions that people

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210776

 $00:29:08.623 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.776$ want to enter into the chat?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210776

00:29:10.780 --> 00:29:11.698 While we're waiting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210776

 $00:29:11.698 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.228$ I have a quick question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210776

 $00:29:13.230 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.450$ You mentioned this idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210776

 $00:29:14.450 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.975$ of opening up the bread.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210776

 $00:29:15.980 \longrightarrow 00:29:17.788$ The blood brain barrier

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210776

 $00:29:17.788 \longrightarrow 00:29:19.596$ by targeting a molecule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210776

 $00:29:19.600 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.343$ Is it worth going back to some

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210776

 $00:29:21.343 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.810$ of the earlier drugs that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210776

 $00{:}29{:}22.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}24.138$ weren't terribly effective to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210776

 $00:29:24.138 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.106$ see that whether or not that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85210776

00:29:26.106 --> 00:29:27.566 might help them work better?

NOTE Confidence: 0.71716356

 $00:29:28.430 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.020$ Yes, I think there is a whole list of drugs

NOTE Confidence: 0.71716356

 $00:29:33.132 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.297$ that perhaps will need to be revisited.

 $00:29:37.300 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.544$ Although most of these drugs would

NOTE Confidence: 0.71716356

 $00{:}29{:}39.544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}42.199$ actually be again in rare phenotypes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71716356

00:29:42.200 --> 00:29:44.240 because I think those are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71716356

 $00:29:44.240 \longrightarrow 00:29:46.688$ we still need to select them

NOTE Confidence: 0.71716356

 $00:29:46.688 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.320$ by those specific mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71716356

 $00:29:48.320 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.768$ The problem of copy number remains

NOTE Confidence: 0.71716356

 $00:29:50.768 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.400$ regardless of flipping connectors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71716356

00:29:52.400 --> 00:29:55.256 I don't think blood brain barrier penetration

NOTE Confidence: 0.71716356

 $00:29:55.256 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.152$ was the reason why we couldn't target

NOTE Confidence: 0.71716356

 $00:29:58.152 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.558$ EGFR amplification or Pete and loss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71716356

 $00{:}30{:}00.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}03.409$ I think that is a different question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71716356

 $00:30:03.410 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.308$ but if we are to even answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.71716356

 $00:30:06.308 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.130$ those we still need.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71716356

 $00{:}30{:}08.130 --> 00{:}30{:}09.038$ This kind of approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71716356

 $00:30:09.038 \dashrightarrow 00:30:10.999$ 'cause it makes our life so much easier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8856998

 $00:30:13.660 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.550$ Are there any questions from the audience?

 $00:30:22.920 \longrightarrow 00:30:26.412$ I was also struck by the lots of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.79346305

 $00:30:26.412 \dashrightarrow 00:30:28.850$ mutations upon recurrence. He showed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7935335

 $00:30:31.150 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.060$ What is that thought to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7935335

 $00:30:33.060 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.719$ be due to is just so high

NOTE Confidence: 0.7935335

 $00{:}30{:}35.720 \longrightarrow 00{:}30{:}37.630$ per foration rate of these tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7935335

 $00:30:37.630 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.530$ Yeah, well, I think so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7935335

 $00:30:39.530 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.183$ First of all, these tumors are very

NOTE Confidence: 0.7935335

00:30:42.183 --> 00:30:44.084 heterogeneous to begin with, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7935335

 $00:30:44.084 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.268$ So these are guns that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.7935335

 $00:30:46.268 \longrightarrow 00:30:48.300$ were there to begin with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7935335

 $00{:}30{:}48.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}50.960$ but it looks like the treatment process

NOTE Confidence: 0.7935335

 $00:30:50.960 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.038$ ends up eliminating a lot of this so

NOTE Confidence: 0.7935335

 $00{:}30{:}54.038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}55.530$ called cancer associated mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7935335

 $00:30:55.530 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.612$ Another unknown mutations emerge and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.7935335

 $00:30:57.612 \longrightarrow 00:31:00.548$ a lot of these are actually epigenetic.

 $00:31:00.550 \longrightarrow 00:31:00.971$ Changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7935335

 $00{:}31{:}00.971 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}03.918$ So there's a whole line of research

NOTE Confidence: 0.7935335

 $00:31:03.918 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.623$ trying to then understand this and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7935335

00:31:06.623 --> 00:31:09.737 more canals are interested in in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7935335

 $00{:}31{:}09.737 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}12.299$ line of research and other labs to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7935335

 $00:31:12.299 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.005$ see how we can target these tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.7935335

 $00:31:15.005 \longrightarrow 00:31:17.270$ at recurrence that are sort of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7935335

00:31:17.270 --> 00:31:18.040 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7935335

00:31:18.040 --> 00:31:20.350 very simple from a genomic standpoint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7935335

 $00:31:20.350 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.430$ but not so simple at the epigenetic level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7935335

 $00:31:23.430 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.970$ Well, thank you very

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255094

 $00:31:24.970 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.338$ much. Very interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255094

 $00:31:26.338 \dashrightarrow 00:31:31.030$ We have to move on to the second speaker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255094

 $00{:}31{:}31.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}33.598$ In our second stewartii climb down

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255094

00:31:33.598 --> 00:31:36.166 from the Hill from Science Hill,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255094

 $00{:}31{:}36.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}38.970$ is Seth hairs on who's the Milton

00:31:38.970 --> 00:31:40.663 Harris professor of Chemistry

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255094

 $00:31:40.663 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.568$ received his PhD at Harvard and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255094

00:31:43.568 --> 00:31:46.437 post Doc at University of Illinois,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255094

 $00:31:46.440 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.290$ and he's interested in natural

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255094

 $00:31:49.290 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.570$ product's particular products that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255094

 $00:31:51.570 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.518$ affect the synthesis or damaged DNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255094

00:31:54.520 --> 00:31:55.988 And he's received numerous

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255094

 $00{:}31{:}55.988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}57.456$ multiple Young Investigator awards

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255094

 $00{:}31{:}57.456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}59.279$ and working with Jason Crawford

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255094

 $00:31:59.279 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.659$ is a terrific collaboration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255094

 $00:32:00.660 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.749$ Looking at them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255094

 $00:32:01.749 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.927$ the metabolites made by the human

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255094

 $00{:}32{:}03.927 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}05.762$ microbiota and identified some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255094

 $00:32:05.762 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.880$ them that actually damaged DNA and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255094

 $00:32:07.880 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.328$ therefore contribute to cancer.

00:32:09.328 --> 00:32:11.148 So Seth, we're looking forward

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255094

00:32:11.148 --> 00:32:12.930 to hearing about your work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83255094

 $00:32:12.930 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.650$ Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794826

00:32:15.060 --> 00:32:16.875 OK, thanks Dan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794826

 $00:32:16.875 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.295$ thanks for the introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794826

 $00:32:19.300 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.955$ And thanks to all to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794826

 $00:32:20.955 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.280$ everyone for the invocation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794826

 $00:32:22.280 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.538$ Comment for attending the lecture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794826

 $00{:}32{:}25.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}27.360$ I will talk today about work we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794826

00:32:27.360 --> 00:32:29.340 been doing in the human microbiome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8794826

00:32:29.340 --> 00:32:30.500 but actually ignore it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70541836

 $00{:}32{:}33.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}37.570$ Just calling on ever. Snap because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70541836

 $00:32:37.570 \dashrightarrow 00:32:40.216$ Cave against drug resistant TMZ resistant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70541836

 $00:32:40.220 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.314$ GBM that we're very excited about but

NOTE Confidence: 0.70541836

 $00:32:43.314 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.850$ that will be a story for another day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:32:48.900 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.572$ And so right. So today I'll talk

00:32:51.572 --> 00:32:53.928 about a project that's been ongoing

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00{:}32{:}53.928 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}32{:}56.469$ in my group for about 6 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

00:32:56.470 --> 00:32:59.668 And we've been looking to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:32:59.668 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.200$ the molecular basis of a carcinogen

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:33:03.200 \longrightarrow 00:33:05.820$ carcinogenic phenotype that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:33:05.820 \longrightarrow 00:33:09.048$ observed from certain gut bacteria so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:33:09.048 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.631$ I'll go through sort of the sequence

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00{:}33{:}11.631 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}14.631$ of events to kind of outline sequence

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

00:33:14.631 --> 00:33:17.260 of discoveries to outline the problem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00{:}33{:}17.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}20.923$ and so in 2006 this was the paper that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:33:20.923 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.825$ set off a lot of interest in this area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00{:}33{:}24.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}27.920$ Eric Oswald and coworkers identified

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00{:}33{:}27.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}31.010$ certain strains of commensal and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:33:31.102 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.861$ pathogenic E coli that had a biosynthetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00{:}33{:}34.861 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}38.268$ gene cluster known as the CLB cluster.

 $00{:}33{:}38.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}42.270$ So by that I mean that gene genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:33:42.270 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.730$ locus contains the coding for enzymes

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00{:}33{:}45.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}49.270$ that make a secondary metabolite and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:33:49.370 \longrightarrow 00:33:52.790$ he took these CLB containing bacteria

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:33:52.790 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.535$ and did a transient infection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

00:33:55.535 --> 00:33:58.325 HeLa cells with them and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:33:58.325 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.527$ looked at the effect on the cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00{:}34{:}01.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}04.158$ and he found that they underwent

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00{:}34{:}04.158 --> 00{:}34{:}05.472 \text{ cell cycle arrest.}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

00:34:05.480 --> 00:34:08.546 Meglos cytosis and using a comet assay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00{:}34{:}08.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}12.358$ Another Gamage to XD he saw that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:34:12.358 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.619$ they accumulated double strand

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

00:34:14.619 --> 00:34:16.719 breaks in their DNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:34:16.720 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.960$ And so this is a very interesting phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:34:18.960 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.760$ It's not the first time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

00:34:21.760 --> 00:34:23.260 Microbes, have, you know,

00:34:23.260 --> 00:34:24.385 produced Gina toxins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00{:}34{:}24.390 \to 00{:}34{:}27.510$ but it was was a very interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:34:27.510 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.758$ example and I'll come to in a second wait.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

00:34:30.760 --> 00:34:34.348 Why it's attracting so much attention?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:34:34.350 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.942$ Subsequent to that report,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:34:36.942 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.534$ there's been numerous studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:34:39.534 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.899$ trying to ascertain whether or not

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:34:42.899 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.567$ there is a role for these bacteria

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:34:46.567 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.043$ in colorectal cancer formation

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:34:49.043 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.554$ and from the same group in 2010.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:34:53.554 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.796$ It was shown that in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

00:34:57.796 --> 00:35:00.839 intestinal loop models of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00{:}35{:}00.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}04.319$ My step or infected with CLB bacteria

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00{:}35{:}04.319 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}07.288$ they observe DNA damage in vivo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:35:07.290 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.580$ They observed gamma H2 X they observed

 $00:35:10.580 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.663$ increased mutations in the HP RT&TK

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00{:}35{:}13.663 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}16.318$ loci and then also hyperproliferation

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:35:16.318 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.699$ following exposure to the bacteria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:35:18.700 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.899$ So they seem to be driving tumorigenesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:35:21.899 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.424$ and then there were subsequent

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:35:24.424 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.084$ studies following up looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:35:27.084 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.098$ similar types of in vivo effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:35:30.100 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.798$ So using IL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

00:35:31.798 --> 00:35:32.930 Knockout mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:35:32.930 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.936$ it was shown that infection with

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00{:}35{:}35.936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}38.960$ these bacteria leads to a higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:35:38.960 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.750$ rate of tumor formation and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:35:41.750 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.740$ there were three groups that did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:35:44.740 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.719$ Meta analysis of of **** samples

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:35:47.719 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.833$ from from CRC patients and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:35:50.833 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.073$ we find is that about 60 to 70% of

 $00:35:55.073 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.538$ CRC patients have these bacteria

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:35:57.538 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.518$ and that's and that's versus about

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:36:00.518 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.368$ 20% in the healthy population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:36:02.368 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.314$ And the other sort of bit is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:36:05.314 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.284$ the preponderance of these bacteria

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00{:}36{:}07.284 \to 00{:}36{:}10.077$ tracks with the severity of the cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:36:10.080 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.285$ so people with more advanced CRC were

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:36:12.285 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.840$ at the high end of that correlation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00{:}36{:}14.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}17.300$ whereas people with early stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00{:}36{:}17.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}20.810$ CRC were more at the lower end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00{:}36{:}20.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}23.099$ And so it wasn't really until last

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00{:}36{:}23.099 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}25.575$ year that a causal relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00{:}36{:}25.575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}27.708$ was unequivocally established.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:36:27.710 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.584$ There were two studies from mayor

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:36:30.584 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.619$ and then Boxtel and Cleavers an

 $00:36:33.619 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.667$ in the Cleavers study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:36:35.670 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.515$ They generated an organoid and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:36:39.515 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.360$ infected that organoid chronically for

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:36:43.472 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.917$ about three or four months with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

00:36:47.917 --> 00:36:51.640 CLB positive bacteria and what they

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:36:51.640 \longrightarrow 00:36:55.616$ showed is that you get the mutational

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00:36:55.620 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.764$ signature transformation and proliferation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88522273

 $00{:}36{:}58.764 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}03.480$ We also find that that mutational

NOTE Confidence: 0.84878147

 $00:37:03.589 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.530$ signature is found.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84878147

00:37:05.530 --> 00:37:08.379 Enriched in in CRC patients as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84878147

 $00{:}37{:}08.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}10.816$ and so the mayor study came

NOTE Confidence: 0.84878147

 $00:37:10.816 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.034$ to similar conclusions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84878147

 $00:37:12.040 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.889$ and essentially these two papers you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84878147

 $00:37:14.890 \dashrightarrow 00:37:17.722$ this is a rare example in the microbiome

NOTE Confidence: 0.84878147

 $00:37:17.722 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.185$ where you actually establish causation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84878147

 $00:37:20.185 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.880$ So these two papers brought this

 $00:37:22.880 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.608$ phenotype to the two sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84878147

00:37:25.608 --> 00:37:28.058 a causal level and what my lab

NOTE Confidence: 0.84878147

 $00:37:28.058 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.758$ has been trying to do of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84878147

 $00:37:30.760 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.452$ is understand the molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.84878147

 $00:37:32.452 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.649$ basis for all of this OK and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

00:37:38.520 --> 00:37:41.530 Oswald, in his initial paper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00:37:41.530 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.550$ had done a series of very nice and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00:37:47.550 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.970$ robust control experiments to establish

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00{:}37{:}50.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}53.706$ that this genotoxic phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00{:}37{:}53.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}56.068$ Is due to the final biosynthetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00{:}37{:}56.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}58.579$ product product of the CLB cluster.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00:37:58.580 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.422$ In other words, if one modifies any

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00{:}38{:}01.422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}04.270$ of the enzymes in the CLB pathway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00:38:04.270 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.700$ you lose this genotoxic phenotype OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00:38:06.700 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.661$ and so the implication then is that

00:38:09.661 --> 00:38:12.720 it's the fully elaborated molecule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00:38:12.720 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.920$ That is the active toxin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00:38:14.920 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.000$ not something in route to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00:38:18.000 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.848$ another another product.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00:38:19.850 \longrightarrow 00:38:23.000$ And we call that molecule Coley bactine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00:38:23.000 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.875$ And. So the field basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00:38:25.875 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.260$ set out to do what we do best,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00:38:30.260 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.900$ which is isolate compounds and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

00:38:32.900 --> 00:38:35.240 classic way of isolating natural

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00:38:35.240 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.740$ product secondary metabolites is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00:38:37.740 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.490$ culture the Organism of interest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00:38:40.490 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.925$ In the case of bacterial

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

00:38:42.925 --> 00:38:43.899 secondary metabolite,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

00:38:43.900 --> 00:38:47.309 you might grow it in liquid culture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00:38:47.310 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.049$ growing on scale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

00:38:50.050 --> 00:38:52.155 Extract start to fractionate by

 $00:38:52.155 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.560$ HPLC and then we typically do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00{:}38{:}54.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}57.020$ It's known as activity guided fractionation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

00:38:57.020 --> 00:38:59.198 where you're essentially testing each of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00:38:59.198 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.940$ these fractions for a particular phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00:39:01.940 \dashrightarrow 00:39:04.978$ And then you keep purifying and testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00:39:04.978 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.916$ and purifying testing until you get to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

00:39:07.916 --> 00:39:10.550 a single compound and you characterize it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00:39:10.550 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.530$ The problem is that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00{:}39{:}12.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}15.060$ approach does not work for Kohli.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

00:39:15.060 --> 00:39:16.130 Bakhtin, OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00{:}39{:}16.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}19.340$ so the molecule is very unstable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00:39:19.340 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.612$ It is very difficult to get the bacteria

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00{:}39{:}23.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}27.296$ to express the CLB pathway ex vivo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00:39:27.300 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.016$ And what we find is that because of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

00:39:32.020 --> 00:39:32.880 You know,

 $00:39:32.880 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.460$ primarily anaerobic environment of the gut.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00:39:35.460 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.675$ The molecule actually undergoes oxidative

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00:39:37.675 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.620$ degradation when you attempt to isolate it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00:39:40.620 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.630$ Sort of on the bench under air,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00:39:43.630 \longrightarrow 00:39:46.578$ and just to to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00:39:46.580 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.110$ Give you an example of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00:39:48.110 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.875$ challenging this is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

00:39:48.880 --> 00:39:50.920 This is not work from our own laboratory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00:39:50.920 \dashrightarrow 00:39:54.898$ This is a group at at Berkeley and scripts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

00:39:54.900 --> 00:39:56.680 They've been pursuing Cali,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00:39:56.680 \longrightarrow 00:39:59.350$ backed in in the isolated this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00:39:59.350 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.032$ Molecule here in 2019 they obtained 50

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00{:}40{:}03.032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}06.958$ micrograms from a 2000 liter fermentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00:40:06.960 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.880$ If anyone can imagine that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

 $00:40:09.880 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.805$ so we're talking about literally

NOTE Confidence: 0.83618563

00:40:12.805 --> 00:40:14.560 vanishingly small quantities.

 $00:40:16.940 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.950$ And they they advanced this molecule

NOTE Confidence: 0.84360933

 $00:40:18.950 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.640$ as a candidate calling back,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84360933

 $00:40:20.640 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.950$ and unfortunately this was derived from a

NOTE Confidence: 0.84360933

00:40:22.950 --> 00:40:24.670 triple mutant Frankenstein like bacteria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84360933

 $00:40:24.670 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.350$ and I wrote a commentary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84360933

 $00:40:26.350 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.282$ If you're interested on this at

NOTE Confidence: 0.84360933

 $00:40:28.282 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.208$ the general thinking in the field

NOTE Confidence: 0.84360933

 $00:40:30.208 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.930$ is this is probably not relevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.84360933

 $00:40:31.930 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.739$ to the genotoxic phenotype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84360933

 $00:40:33.740 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.044$ But the point is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84360933

 $00:40:35.044 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.000$ these are the links that people

NOTE Confidence: 0.84360933

 $00:40:37.070 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.163$ are willing to go to to try

NOTE Confidence: 0.84360933

 $00:40:39.163 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.800$ and isolate these molecules.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

00:40:43.630 --> 00:40:46.696 And so, how do we approach this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00:40:46.700 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.452$ So, as Dan mentioned,

 $00:40:48.452 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.080$ we've been collaborating with Jason Crawford.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00:40:51.080 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.702$ Jason is one of the leaders

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

00:40:53.702 --> 00:40:55.013 in understanding Kohli,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00:40:55.020 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.184$ backed in biosynthesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00:40:56.184 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.443$ And So what we've been doing is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00:40:59.443 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.803$ taking knowledge from the biosynthetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00:41:01.803 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.086$ pathway and trying to infer what types

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

00:41:05.086 --> 00:41:07.720 of substructures might be within Kohli.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00:41:07.720 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.160$ Backed in itself and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00:41:10.160 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.624$ those might interact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00{:}41{:}11.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}14.526$ With DNA and so one of the sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

00:41:14.526 --> 00:41:17.778 of models that came out of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

00:41:17.778 --> 00:41:20.208 biosynthetic studies is that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00:41:20.305 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.135$ have these fully linear products

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00:41:23.135 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.470$ offloaded from the PKS assembly line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00:41:26.470 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.970$ There's a searing protease that

00:41:28.970 --> 00:41:32.179 removes this residue and blew this ACL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00:41:32.180 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.230$ Asparagine residue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

00:41:34.230 --> 00:41:36.250 That generates a primary amine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

00:41:36.250 --> 00:41:38.742 and once you format that can start

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00:41:38.742 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.666$ to wrap up and ultimately lead to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00:41:41.666 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.324$ this compound on the bottom here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

00:41:44.330 --> 00:41:46.784 which has a cyclopropane ring in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00:41:46.784 \longrightarrow 00:41:49.578$ conjugation with with it with the Alpha,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00{:}41{:}49.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}51.575$ beta unsaturated imming and for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00{:}41{:}51.575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}54.042$ those in the audience that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

00:41:54.042 --> 00:41:55.638 worked with Gina toxins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00:41:55.640 \longrightarrow 00:41:57.660$ you know that these electrophilic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00{:}41{:}57.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}59.276$ cyclopropane's are not uncommon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

00:41:59.280 --> 00:42:02.264 This is a sort of a pharmacophore that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00:42:02.264 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.460$ found in a variety of genotoxic natural.

00:42:05.460 --> 00:42:07.170 Products and so this was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00:42:07.170 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.828$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

00:42:07.828 --> 00:42:09.473 sort of very logically following

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00:42:09.473 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.269$ from that type of precedent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00:42:11.270 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.006$ The problem is that the problem is this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00:42:14.010 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.056$ No one had isolated these imines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00:42:16.060 \longrightarrow 00:42:18.796$ No one had any spectroscopic data on them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00:42:18.800 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.510$ All we had was this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00:42:20.510 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.876$ This kind of this mechanistic hypothesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00:42:23.880 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.448$ And so we set out to make it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00{:}42{:}26.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}28.898$ and I'm not going to have time to go

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

00:42:28.898 --> 00:42:31.313 through all of the synthetic work that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00:42:31.313 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.509$ that went into developing these roots.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00{:}42{:}33.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}35.757$ But the key steps are shown here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

00:42:35.760 --> 00:42:37.923 So we start from this linear precursor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00:42:37.923 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.519$ and what we find is that if we

00:42:40.519 --> 00:42:42.500 concentrate this down from dilute acid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00:42:42.500 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.148$ we can get this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

00:42:45.150 --> 00:42:46.860 Carbon and nitrogen to condense

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00:42:46.860 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.919$ onto the ketone. You found this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00:42:48.919 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.948$ Finally this image.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00:42:49.950 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.116$ We then do a bond formation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

00:42:52.116 --> 00:42:54.254 deprotect the Bach route to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00:42:54.254 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.126$ to this compound on the left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00:42:56.130 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.698$ we isolate this as as it's TFA salt.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

00:42:59.700 --> 00:43:01.730 But if you neutralize this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00:43:01.730 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.755$ it's snapshot and so this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00:43:03.755 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.375$ carbon attacks this ketone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00{:}43{:}05.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}08.050$ you lose water, any formatting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8315405

 $00:43:08.050 \longrightarrow 00:43:08.830$ And.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8187508

 $00:43:10.920 \longrightarrow 00:43:12.838$ The assay that we use, that's 'cause

 $00:43:12.838 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.400$ it's nice to give us a lot of detail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8187508

00:43:15.400 --> 00:43:17.080 It's inexpensive, it's fast, is is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

 $00{:}43{:}19.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}20.934$ Taking linearized plasmid DNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

00:43:20.934 --> 00:43:22.618 incubating with the molecule,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

00:43:22.620 --> 00:43:24.300 running a denaturing gel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

00:43:24.300 --> 00:43:26.820 and basically if you look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

 $00:43:26.905 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.930$ the right hand lanes here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

 $00:43:28.930 \longrightarrow 00:43:31.597$ you see these streaks on the gel

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

00:43:31.597 --> 00:43:34.742 going down to about 100 animal or what

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

 $00:43:34.742 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.229$ that tells us is that at 100 animal

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

00:43:38.229 --> 00:43:40.719 or concentration of this compound,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

 $00:43:40.720 \longrightarrow 00:43:41.983$ we're getting extensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

 $00:43:41.983 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.667$ degradation of the DNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

 $00:43:43.670 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.190$ These are smaller fragments that

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

 $00:43:46.190 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.140$ have higher mobility on the gel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

 $00:43:49.140 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.436$ And so that was very exciting to us.

00:43:52.440 --> 00:43:53.607 And you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

 $00{:}43{:}53.607 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}55.552$ we hypothesized again that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

 $00:43:55.552 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.840$ was this nucleotide addition to

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

 $00:43:57.840 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.230$ the cyclopropane that was leading

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

 $00:44:00.230 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.989$ to this degradation of the DNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

 $00:44:02.990 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.806$ And so to probe that in a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

00:44:05.806 --> 00:44:07.200 bit more detail,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

 $00:44:07.200 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.874$ we made a couple of control compounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

 $00:44:09.880 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.924$ So the first one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

 $00{:}44{:}11.924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}14.479$ Was this dimeric structure up

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

 $00:44:14.479 \longrightarrow 00:44:17.804$ top here and so the hypothesis is

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

00:44:17.804 --> 00:44:21.219 that if this is alkylating DNA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

 $00:44:21.220 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.166$ perhaps we can induce two fold

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

 $00:44:24.166 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.451$ alkylation and perhaps we can then

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

00:44:27.451 --> 00:44:29.907 detect and interstrand crosslink?

00:44:29.910 --> 00:44:32.815 And when you incubate with that compound,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

 $00{:}44{:}32.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}36.140$ indeed you can see down here Crosslink Band.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

00:44:36.140 --> 00:44:38.780 This corresponds to our positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

 $00:44:38.780 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.892$ control for crosslinking cisplatin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

 $00:44:40.900 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.300$ And then the other thing we did was

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

 $00:44:43.300 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.209$ we made a negative control where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

 $00:44:46.209 \longrightarrow 00:44:48.444$ took that cyclopropane and converted

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

 $00:44:48.515 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.957$ it to the gem dimethyl substituent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

00:44:50.960 --> 00:44:52.895 The hypothesis being if the

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

00:44:52.895 --> 00:44:54.443 cyclopropane is truly involved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

00:44:54.450 --> 00:44:56.180 this compound should be inactive

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

 $00:44:56.180 \longrightarrow 00:44:58.700$ and going up to half millimolar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

00:44:58.700 --> 00:45:00.681 We don't detect any damage in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

 $00:45:00.681 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.517$ assay and so without characterizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

00:45:02.517 --> 00:45:04.822 the product without even having

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

 $00{:}45{:}04.822 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}06.830$ isolated the natural products,

 $00:45:06.830 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.926$ we were able to sort of formulate this

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

 $00{:}45{:}09.926 \to 00{:}45{:}12.758$ proposal for how these things might be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.808242

 $00:45:12.760 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.528$ Might be alkylating DNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

 $00:45:16.690 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.610$ And. We are, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

 $00:45:19.610 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.518$ we sort of at that point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

 $00:45:21.520 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.188$ Got stocks so that was around

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

 $00:45:23.188 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.340$ 2018 when we had identified this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

00:45:25.340 --> 00:45:26.925 You know, this DNA reactive

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

00:45:26.925 --> 00:45:28.193 substructure in the molecule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

 $00{:}45{:}28.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}30.108$ We knew that it was incomplete.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

 $00:45:30.110 \longrightarrow 00:45:31.061$ In other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

 $00:45:31.061 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.646$ there were other functional groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

 $00{:}45{:}32.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}35.186$ other rings and things with in Cali bactine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

 $00:45:35.190 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.144$ But we didn't know what they were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

00:45:38.150 --> 00:45:40.544 And as I mentioned in the beginning,

 $00:45:40.550 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.230$ the you know the classical

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

 $00{:}45{:}42.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}43.910$ isolation approach is not very

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

 $00:45:43.974 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.038$ successful in this in this context,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

 $00:45:46.040 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.850$ and so we were stuck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

 $00:45:48.850 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.010$ Until this paper came out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

 $00:45:51.010 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.880$ and so this is also from the Oswald Group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

 $00{:}45{:}54.880 {\: -->\:} 00{:}45{:}57.035$ They did a beautiful experiment

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

 $00:45:57.035 \longrightarrow 00:45:59.190$ where they took the collie,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

00:45:59.190 --> 00:46:00.914 backed in producing bacteria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

 $00:46:00.914 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.500$ grew them up in liquid media,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

00:46:03.500 --> 00:46:04.793 added exogeneous DNA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

 $00:46:04.793 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.948$ isolated that DNA following incubation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

 $00:46:06.950 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.078$ and ran a denaturing gel and the point

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

 $00:46:10.078 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.609$ is that they observed interstrand

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

00:46:12.609 --> 00:46:15.927 crosslinks in that DNA that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

 $00{:}46{:}15.927 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}18.949$ exposed to these bacteria OK and so.

 $00:46:18.950 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.970$ I have a note here at the bottom to remind

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

 $00{:}46{:}22.044 \to 00{:}46{:}24.844$ me you know if you're paying attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

 $00:46:24.850 \longrightarrow 00:46:26.238$ The original female phenotype

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

 $00:46:26.238 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.626$ was double strand breaks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

00:46:27.630 --> 00:46:29.706 Now I'm talking about cross links.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

 $00:46:29.710 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.139$ Those two lesions are are intimately related,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

00:46:32.140 --> 00:46:34.162 and I'll talk about that at

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

 $00:46:34.162 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.950$ the end if there's time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

 $00:46:35.950 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.996$ But basically we're very excited about

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

 $00:46:37.996 \longrightarrow 00:46:40.461$ this paper because you can imagine that

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

00:46:40.461 --> 00:46:42.890 Kohli bactine is entrained in that crosslink,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

 $00{:}46{:}42.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}43.204 \ right?$

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

00:46:43.204 --> 00:46:43.832 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

 $00:46:43.832 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.474$ if that's what's causing the crossing at that

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

00:46:46.474 --> 00:46:48.790 point wasn't completely certain that either,

 $00:46:48.790 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.097$ but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

 $00:46:49.097 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.860$ Assuming that it is all we have to do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

 $00:46:51.860 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.804$ all we have to do is isolate that

NOTE Confidence: 0.826165012

 $00:46:53.804 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.180$ crosslink can characterize it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

00:46:57.290 --> 00:47:01.560 And so at this point in time, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:47:01.560 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.240 90\%$ of the biosynthetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:47:03.240 \longrightarrow 00:47:05.290$ pathway had been mapped out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

00:47:05.290 --> 00:47:08.706 We had a very good understanding of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:47:08.706 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.703$ went in of the amino acids that went into

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:47:12.703 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.880$ the pathway and where they ended up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00{:}47{:}15.880 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}47{:}17.820$ Following sort of offloading

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

00:47:17.820 --> 00:47:19.760 of the biosynthetic products,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:47:19.760 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.640$ and so for example, it was known through

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

00:47:23.640 --> 00:47:27.040 work that Jason did very early on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:47:27.040 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.950$ and then others that this amino

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:47:29.950 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.890$ cyclopropane comes from methionine,

 $00:47:31.890 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.230$ and these thiazole rings

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:47:34.230 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.570$ derived from cysteine OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

00:47:36.570 --> 00:47:37.770 And So what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:47:37.770 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.570$ This allowed us to do is conduct

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:47:40.663 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.823$ essentially isotope labeling experiments

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:47:43.823 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.773$ where we generated auxotrophic strains,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:47:47.780 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.140$ either deficient in methionine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:47:50.140 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.910$ or cysteine biosynthesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:47:51.910 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.430$ and then supplemented those cultures

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:47:55.430 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.400$ with C13 labeled amino acid OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:47:59.400 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.661$ And so we can take the wild

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

00:48:01.661 --> 00:48:03.680 type strain the oxygen riffs

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00{:}48{:}03.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}06.100$ with their amino acids incubate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:48:06.100 \longrightarrow 00:48:08.858$ Here we're using linearized puck 19 DNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:48:08.860 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.072$ We can run a gel to verify

00:48:11.072 --> 00:48:13.190 that we got crosslinking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00{:}48{:}13.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}16.014$ and then we can try and isolate that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

00:48:16.014 --> 00:48:18.310 cross link and characterize it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00{:}48{:}18.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}20.767$ and one of the things that's worth

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:48:20.767 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.938$ pointing out is that to do these assays

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

00:48:23.938 --> 00:48:25.978 were talking about 250 microliters

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:48:26.049 \longrightarrow 00:48:28.159$ of culture versus 2000 liters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

00:48:28.160 --> 00:48:30.240 You know using the old?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:48:30.240 \longrightarrow 00:48:32.585$ The sort of the old fashioned method,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:48:32.590 \longrightarrow 00:48:34.822$ and so to give you an idea of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00{:}48{:}34.822 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}36.989$ what the data looks like and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:48:36.989 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.281$ why we do this isotope labeling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:48:39.290 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.960$ I'll show you this slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00{:}48{:}40.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}41.887$ So for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:48:41.887 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.050$ we can spot these ions that I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:48:44.120 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.990$ marked in colored boxes here,

 $00:48:45.990 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.095$ and the top chromatogram is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:48:48.095 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.779$ the wild type strain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:48:49.780 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.636$ And what you can see in the Sistine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

00:48:52.636 --> 00:48:54.947 Auxotroph the middle graph is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:48:54.947 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.740$ those ions are shifted by three units,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:48:57.740 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.365$ and so that's very useful to us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:49:00.365 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.669$ because it tells us two things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:49:02.670 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.372$ One is that that Ion is probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

00:49:05.372 --> 00:49:08.064 contains Poly back in or the vestiges

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:49:08.064 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.242$ of Cali Bactine and then two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

00:49:10.250 --> 00:49:12.140 It contains one thiazole residue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00{:}49{:}12.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}14.870$ there was one cysteine incorporated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:49:14.870 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.508$ into that unit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:49:16.510 \longrightarrow 00:49:18.274$ And we can play the same game

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:49:18.274 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.600$ with the methionine auxotroph.

 $00:49:19.600 \longrightarrow 00:49:22.129$ So here we get a shift by plus four.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:49:22.130 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.118$ So that tells us there's 11 amino

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

00:49:24.118 --> 00:49:25.565 cyclopropane and tells us it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:49:25.565 \longrightarrow 00:49:26.905$ also related to Cali Bactine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:49:26.910 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.870$ OK, so this was the initial work that we did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:49:30.870 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.070$ We had to carry out a lot more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:49:34.070 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.545$ labeling in order to get the full

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

00:49:37.545 --> 00:49:40.615 structure assignment and So what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00{:}49{:}40.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}43.815$ did was we generated a series of we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:49:43.815 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.450$ had our cysteine and methionine auxotroph.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00{:}49{:}46.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}49.000$ We generated steering and glaci Knox

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:49:49.000 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.694$ Atros because those are also incorporated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:49:51.694 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.454$ into the into the natural product.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00{:}49{:}54.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}58.060$ And then we also did Universal labeling C

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:49:58.060 \longrightarrow 00:50:01.430$ 13 labeling with glucose an 15 labeling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:50:01.430 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.864$ With ammonium chloride.

 $00:50:02.864 \longrightarrow 00:50:06.210$ And we can run the same experiment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

00:50:06.301 --> 00:50:08.857 where we incubate with the DNA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:50:08.860 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.000$ isolate the crosslink,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

00:50:10.000 --> 00:50:10.760 digest it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:50:10.760 \dashrightarrow 00:50:13.964$ analyze it by 10MM S and we can then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:50:13.964 \longrightarrow 00:50:17.176$ see different shifts in those ions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

00:50:17.180 --> 00:50:19.484 And this data turned out to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

00:50:19.484 --> 00:50:21.340 be very powerful for us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:50:21.340 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.230$ because without isolating the compound

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00{:}50{:}23.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}25.120$ without getting any spectroscopic data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:50:25.120 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.798$ we can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377484

 $00:50:25.798 \longrightarrow 00:50:28.510$ we can glean an incredible amount of insight

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

 $00{:}50{:}28.581 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}30.409$ into the molecule structure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

 $00:50:30.410 \longrightarrow 00:50:32.300$ So from the glucose labeling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

 $00:50:32.300 \longrightarrow 00:50:34.946$ we get a shift by 37 units.

 $00:50:34.950 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.840$ That tells us, of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

 $00.50.36.840 \longrightarrow 00.50.38.730$ that it has 37 carbons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

00:50:38.730 --> 00:50:40.620 Ammonia shifts by 8 units,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

00:50:40.620 --> 00:50:42.762 we have eight nitrogens we can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

 $00:50:42.762 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.149$ that in the methionine auxotroph,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

00:50:45.150 --> 00:50:47.466 and I'm talking about a higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

00:50:47.466 --> 00:50:49.010 molecular weight ion here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

 $00:50:49.010 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.422$ At the top we get a shift by 8

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

 $00:50:51.422 \longrightarrow 00:50:53.372$ carbons and so that told us that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

00:50:53.372 --> 00:50:55.348 we had two of these cyclopropane

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

 $00{:}50{:}55.348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}58.253$ residues or what was left of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

 $00:50:58.260 \longrightarrow 00:51:00.228$ Two thiazole rings based on A6

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

 $00:51:00.228 \longrightarrow 00:51:02.195$ carbon shift in this in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

 $00{:}51{:}02.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}04.246$ Sistine Extra if you get the idea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

 $00:51:04.250 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.642$ and so we can basically tease out a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

 $00{:}51{:}06.642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}09.138$ lot of structural data to sort of

 $00:51:09.138 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.097$ see what pieces are need to be put

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

 $00{:}51{:}12.097 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}14.233$ together here to make the molecule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

00:51:14.240 --> 00:51:18.152 And so at any rate we found this

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

 $00:51:18.152 \longrightarrow 00:51:21.029$ higher molecular weight ion at 956.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

00:51:21.030 --> 00:51:22.298 Using all that data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

 $00{:}51{:}22.298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}25.452$ we were able to fit it to this structure

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

 $00:51:25.452 \longrightarrow 00:51:28.189$ here and so it contains one adenine

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

00:51:28.266 --> 00:51:31.320 residue and have explicitly drawn the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

00:51:31.320 --> 00:51:34.182 Adenine without connectivity to the base,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

 $00:51:34.182 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.470$ because at the time that we did this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

00:51:37.470 --> 00:51:39.114 we couldn't specify where

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

 $00:51:39.114 \longrightarrow 00:51:41.169$ it was bonded to adenine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

00:51:41.170 --> 00:51:44.047 We now know that that's in three,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

 $00:51:44.050 \longrightarrow 00:51:47.749$ but had one adenine on the right hand side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

 $00:51:47.750 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.620$ You have a cyclopropane that's still intact,

 $00:51:50.620 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.909$ OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

 $00{:}51{:}50.909 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}53.510$ And then you've got the rest of the core

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

00:51:53.583 --> 00:51:56.019 molecule sort of linking it together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

00:51:56.020 --> 00:51:58.225 and so it's it's almost C2 symmetric,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

 $00:51:58.230 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.820$ it's it's a hetero dimer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

00:51:59.820 --> 00:52:01.400 It's not quite C2 symmetric.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

 $00:52:01.400 \longrightarrow 00:52:02.924$ If you look carefully at these

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

00:52:02.924 --> 00:52:04.422 thiazole rings they have different

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

 $00:52:04.422 \longrightarrow 00:52:06.158$ appendages in different connectivity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

00:52:06.160 --> 00:52:07.740 but it's very close OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

 $00:52:07.740 \longrightarrow 00:52:09.325$ and this structure fit RMS

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

 $00.52:09.325 \longrightarrow 00.52:10.593$ data within one PPM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7990484

 $00:52:10.600 \longrightarrow 00:52:12.496$ so we're very excited about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:52:14.640 \longrightarrow 00:52:17.848$ And so if that is simply a mono

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

00:52:17.848 --> 00:52:20.657 adenine addict and we're getting icy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:52:20.660 \longrightarrow 00:52:22.380$ else, presumably there's a

 $00:52:22.380 \longrightarrow 00:52:24.960$ dinucleotide add up and we went,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:52:24.960 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.260$ and we were able to find the dyad an addict.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:52:29.260 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.130$ OK, and this fits, fits within 1/2 PPM error.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

00:52:33.130 --> 00:52:35.280 OK, and so working backwards,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:52:35.280 \longrightarrow 00:52:38.720$ if that's the dyad, and in an act,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

00:52:38.720 --> 00:52:41.730 then this is the structure of Kohli,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00.52:41.730 \longrightarrow 00.52:44.140$ bactine on the bottom here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

00:52:44.140 --> 00:52:47.899 OK, and so we've got two cyclopropane's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:52:47.900 \longrightarrow 00:52:52.366$ And in the middle we have this

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

00:52:52.366 --> 00:52:54.770 1/2 dicarbonyl residue OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:52:54.770 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.402$ There's a detail here

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:52:56.402 \longrightarrow 00:52:58.034$ which is worth mentioning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00{:}52{:}58.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}01.712$ which is that this is this kind of compound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:53:01.720 \longrightarrow 00:53:04.672$ On the bottom is what we characterized what

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:53:04.672 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.858$ we expect based on the biosynthetic pathway.

 $00:53:07.860 \longrightarrow 00:53:11.124$ Is the self amino ketone at the top,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

00:53:11.130 --> 00:53:13.594 but we've done work that shown that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:53:13.594 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.116$ this thing is unstable towards aerobic

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

00:53:16.116 --> 00:53:18.894 oxidation to an Alpha keto imine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:53:18.900 \longrightarrow 00:53:21.959$ and then hydrolysis 212 die ketone and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00{:}53{:}21.959 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}24.697$ so working under air on the bench.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00{:}53{:}24.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}27.171$ This is this is the compound that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:53:27.171 \longrightarrow 00:53:29.490$ you would have expected to get.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:53:29.490 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.620$ And still so no ones isolated

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:53:31.620 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.040$ calling back in yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:53:33.040 \longrightarrow 00:53:35.170$ And so how do you prove

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:53:35.170 \longrightarrow 00:53:36.235$ the structure assignment?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:53:36.240 \longrightarrow 00:53:39.426$ We can go back and try and make it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:53:39.430 \longrightarrow 00:53:42.286$ and so we spent some time developing a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:53:42.286 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.757$ synthesis of the molecule and it was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:53:44.760 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.890$ It was not straightforward because of

 $00:53:46.890 \longrightarrow 00:53:50.210$ its instability, but we could make it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00{:}53{:}50.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}54.107$ And then we can do an LCMS coinjection and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:53:54.107 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.207$ we see that has the same retention time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:53:58.210 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.821$ It has the same tandem Ms as

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:54:00.821 \longrightarrow 00:54:03.670$ the as the natural material,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:54:03.670 \longrightarrow 00:54:06.645$ and then finally we did a crosslinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:54:06.645 \longrightarrow 00:54:09.772$ assay where we basically ran that same

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:54:09.772 \longrightarrow 00:54:13.230$ experiment that we ran with the bacteria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00{:}54{:}13.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}15.526$ except replace the bacteria

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:54:15.526 \longrightarrow 00:54:17.248$ with our compound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00{:}54{:}17.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}19.464$ And so this thing will crosslink

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

00:54:19.464 --> 00:54:21.676 add up to about, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00{:}54{:}21.676 --> 00{:}54{:}23.516$ down to about 500 nanomolar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:54:23.520 \longrightarrow 00:54:26.200$ And then we can do the tandem Ms

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:54:26.200 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.320$ analysis of those cross links.

00:54:28.320 --> 00:54:31.632 And so let me explain what's on this slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00{:}54{:}31.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}34.209$ So when we do the bacterial experiment

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

00:54:34.209 --> 00:54:37.180 where we treat the DNA with the bacteria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:54:37.180 \longrightarrow 00:54:39.020$ we can isolate the crosslink.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:54:39.020 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.870$ You then run tandem Ms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:54:40.870 \longrightarrow 00:54:43.446$ you get a whole list of ions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:54:43.450 \longrightarrow 00:54:45.802$ primary and secondary and tertiary ions

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:54:45.802 \longrightarrow 00:54:49.098$ that you see from those crosslinks and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00{:}54{:}49.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}50.790$ You know the argument is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:54:50.790 \longrightarrow 00:54:53.022$ If we're making the same molecule

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:54:53.022 \longrightarrow 00:54:55.100$ that the bugs are making.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00{:}54{:}55.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}57.425$ Are synthetic compound oughta interact

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:54:57.425 \longrightarrow 00:55:01.411$ with DNA in the same way and it ought to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

00:55:01.411 --> 00:55:04.727 blow apart in a mass spec in the same way?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:55:04.730 \longrightarrow 00:55:07.426$ And So what this plot shows on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:55:07.426 \longrightarrow 00:55:10.106$ X axis or all of the ions that

 $00:55:10.106 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.494$ we found in the tenant and Ms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:55:13.494 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.510$ the bacteria derived crosslinks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:55:15.510 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.709$ we see all of those ions with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:55:18.709 \longrightarrow 00:55:20.080$ our synthetic material.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:55:20.080 \longrightarrow 00:55:22.840$ And the Y axis is simply the experimental

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:55:22.840 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.713$ minus theoretical error for those

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:55:24.713 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.578$ ions using this synthetic material.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:55:26.580 \longrightarrow 00:55:29.840$ And so the point is we get all the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:55:29.926 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.436$ ions that we get when we use the bacteria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:55:33.440 \longrightarrow 00:55:35.320$ They're all within with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00{:}55{:}35.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}37.769$ exception of 1 within two PPM OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7616281

 $00:55:37.770 \longrightarrow 00:55:41.240$ and so we don't have an NMR of Cali bactine

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00:55:41.328 \longrightarrow 00:55:42.459$ to compare to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00{:}55{:}42.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}44.638$ But we can say that structure

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

00:55:44.638 --> 00:55:46.789 that we made interacts with DNA.

 $00:55:46.790 \longrightarrow 00:55:49.142$ It crosslinks DNA and then it blows

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00{:}55{:}49.142 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}51.210$ apart in attend imeson exactly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00{:}55{:}51.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}53.031$ In indistinguishable fashion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

00:55:53.031 --> 00:55:56.066 And So what about this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

00:55:56.070 --> 00:55:58.368 I see LDS be, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00:55:58.370 \longrightarrow 00:55:59.104$ apparent contradiction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00:55:59.104 \longrightarrow 00:56:02.040$ so there's been a lot of debate in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

00:56:02.105 --> 00:56:04.130 the literature between you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00{:}56{:}04.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}06.370$ debating the mechanism of action

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00:56:06.370 \longrightarrow 00:56:08.610$ because Oswald had originally observed

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00:56:08.677 \longrightarrow 00:56:11.015$ DNA double strand breaks using a comet

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

00:56:11.015 --> 00:56:13.347 assay and then came along and said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00:56:13.350 \longrightarrow 00:56:15.270$ no wait, it's cross links,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00:56:15.270 \longrightarrow 00:56:17.974$ and for any of you that are familiar

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00:56:17.974 \longrightarrow 00:56:19.879$ with these repair pathways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00:56:19.880 \longrightarrow 00:56:22.178$ you know that these two phenotypes

00:56:22.178 --> 00:56:24.413 are intimately linked, right? And so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00{:}56{:}24.413 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}26.940$ When you start to repair an ICL,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00:56:26.940 \longrightarrow 00:56:29.046$ you actually form a DSP that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00{:}56{:}29.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}31.457$ leads to activation of HR and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

00:56:31.457 --> 00:56:33.662 you're going to see gamma, H2, X.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

00:56:33.662 --> 00:56:35.292 You're going to see streaking

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00:56:35.292 \longrightarrow 00:56:36.710$ in your comment essay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00:56:36.710 \longrightarrow 00:56:38.885$ and so the two phenotypes

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00:56:38.885 \longrightarrow 00:56:40.190$ are entirely consistent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

00:56:40.190 --> 00:56:42.896 And we actually identified another pathway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00:56:42.900 \longrightarrow 00:56:45.606$ which is just a spontaneous pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00{:}56{:}45.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}48.522$ So it's well known in the old sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00{:}56{:}48.522 \to 00{:}56{:}51.487$ of Gina toxin literature that N

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00:56:51.487 \longrightarrow 00:56:54.172$ 3 adenine addicts are unstable

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00:56:54.172 \longrightarrow 00:56:55.930$ towards depurination.

00:56:55.930 --> 00:56:58.667 And if we run our crosslinking assay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00:56:58.670 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.846$ we sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00:56:59.846 \longrightarrow 00:57:02.590$ We modify the assay to be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00:57:02.691 \longrightarrow 00:57:05.309$ to sort of get at this data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00:57:05.310 \longrightarrow 00:57:07.692$ but this is the conclusion is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00{:}57{:}07.692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}09.710$ essentially that these these Icl's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00:57:09.710 \longrightarrow 00:57:11.550$ undergo a slow, deep urination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00:57:11.550 \longrightarrow 00:57:13.830$ And then there's a second elimination

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00{:}57{:}13.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}16.047$ of the phosphate that occurs to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

00:57:16.047 --> 00:57:18.135 lead to a single strand break.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00{:}57{:}18.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}20.380$ And you can imagine then you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00:57:20.380 \longrightarrow 00:57:22.204$ in tandem with the repair pathways

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00:57:22.204 \longrightarrow 00:57:23.880$ and also other alkylation lesions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00{:}57{:}23.880 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}57{:}26.568$ Eventually you're going to get those

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00:57:26.568 \longrightarrow 00:57:29.151$ single strand breaks close enough to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00:57:29.151 \dashrightarrow 00:57:31.999$ each other to get a double strand break.

 $00:57:32.000 \longrightarrow 00:57:33.813$ And so this brings us to where

NOTE Confidence: 0.7819776

 $00:57:33.813 \longrightarrow 00:57:35.960$ we're at in the project, and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

00:57:38.700 --> 00:57:41.148 What we're currently doing is working

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

00:57:41.148 --> 00:57:43.960 with this molecule on the bottom here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

 $00:57:43.960 \dashrightarrow 00:57:46.504$ And this is not Kohli backed in itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

 $00{:}57{:}46.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}49.597$ It is a analog of Kohli Bakhtin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

 $00:57:49.600 \longrightarrow 00:57:51.868$ The differences are highlighted in green,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

 $00:57:51.870 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.355$ and so the dye ketonen Kohli backed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

 $00{:}57{:}54.355 \longrightarrow 00{:}57{:}57.560$ in on the top here is very unstable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

00:57:57.560 --> 00:57:59.828 You can't work with this compound,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

 $00{:}57{:}59.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}02.194$ it would be, you know Sufficcient

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

 $00:58:02.194 \longrightarrow 00:58:05.277$ asked to try and use this in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

 $00{:}58{:}05.277 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}07.407$ series of essays to examine it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

 $00:58:07.410 \longrightarrow 00:58:08.790$ Sort of cellular activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

 $00:58:08.790 \longrightarrow 00:58:11.594$ And so we made what we call the

 $00{:}58{:}11.594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}13.911$ Dez di ketone analog on the bottom

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

 $00{:}58{:}13.911 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}16.130$ and working with Christian Jobin

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

00:58:16.130 --> 00:58:18.400 at the University of Florida.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

 $00:58:18.400 \longrightarrow 00:58:19.750$ Christians been basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

 $00:58:19.750 \longrightarrow 00:58:21.100$ taking this compound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

00:58:21.100 --> 00:58:23.781 Through all of the essays that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

 $00{:}58{:}23.781 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}27.172$ been run by by Oswald and Box Tone

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

00:58:27.172 --> 00:58:29.878 Cleavers and others looking at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

 $00:58:29.878 \longrightarrow 00:58:32.662$ genotoxic phenotype of the bacteria and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

 $00:58:32.662 \longrightarrow 00:58:34.922$ seeing if the molecule recapitulates

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

 $00:58:34.922 \longrightarrow 00:58:38.376$ it and up until now, it seems too

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

 $00:58:38.376 \longrightarrow 00:58:41.400$ so we see we get activation again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

 $00:58:41.400 \longrightarrow 00:58:43.470$ My page 2X.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

 $00:58:43.470 \longrightarrow 00:58:44.850$ Fancy D2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

00:58:44.850 --> 00:58:47.202 What he's doing right now is basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

 $00:58:47.202 \longrightarrow 00:58:50.123$ looking to see if this induces the same

 $00:58:50.123 \longrightarrow 00:58:52.343$ type of mutational signature that one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

 $00{:}58{:}52.343 \to 00{:}58{:}54.823$ gets with the bacteria and that will be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

 $00:58:54.830 \longrightarrow 00:58:56.888$ you know, sort of the end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

 $00:58:56.890 \longrightarrow 00:58:57.793$ The end point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

00:58:57.793 --> 00:58:59.298 Hopefully you know it will

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

 $00.58.59.298 \longrightarrow 00.59.01.019$ be a positive result,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

 $00:59:01.020 \longrightarrow 00:59:03.694$ but whatever it is that will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

 $00:59:03.694 \longrightarrow 00:59:06.529$ sort of the endpoint for this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

 $00:59:06.530 \longrightarrow 00:59:08.420$ And so I just acknowledge all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

 $00:59:08.420 \longrightarrow 00:59:10.330$ the people that did the work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

 $00:59:10.330 \longrightarrow 00:59:11.915$ I'll just go through this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

 $00:59:11.915 \longrightarrow 00:59:13.183$ quickly to save time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

00:59:13.190 --> 00:59:15.086 But this is my collaborator Jason.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

00:59:15.090 --> 00:59:16.670 Many of you know him.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

 $00:59:16.670 \longrightarrow 00:59:18.590$ Many people from my group contributed

 $00:59:18.590 \longrightarrow 00:59:20.480$ to this project over the years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

 $00:59:20.480 \dashrightarrow 00:59:22.699$ acknowledged the NCI and Yale for funding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

 $00:59:22.700 \longrightarrow 00:59:24.305$ Thanks again for the invitation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

 $00:59:24.305 \longrightarrow 00:59:26.574$ and I'm happy to stay on and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8687447

 $00:59:26.574 \longrightarrow 00:59:28.084$ take any questions you have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345129

00:59:32.140 --> 00:59:33.570 Thank you Seth, very interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345129

00:59:33.570 --> 00:59:34.710 It makes me appreciate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345129

 $00:59:34.710 \longrightarrow 00:59:35.850$ that I'm a microbiologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345129

 $00:59:35.850 \longrightarrow 00:59:37.560$ It's not nowhere near as hard

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345129

 $00:59:37.560 \longrightarrow 00:59:39.820$ as being a chemist, I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8345129

 $00{:}59{:}39.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}43.180$ Are there other questions for process?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81077635

00:59:48.670 --> 00:59:50.930 I want question of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81077635

 $00:59:50.930 \longrightarrow 00:59:53.636$ DNA damaging agents can cause cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81077635

 $00:59:53.640 \longrightarrow 00:59:57.630$ but there also used to treat cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81077635

 $00:59:57.630 \longrightarrow 00:59:59.680$ Is there any thought? Is there

NOTE Confidence: 0.81077635

 $00:59:59.680 \longrightarrow 01:00:01.380$ possibility of using these compounds

01:00:01.380 --> 01:00:02.748 therapeutically? Absolutely yeah, that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8513931

01:00:02.750 --> 01:00:04.450 something we're very excited about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8513931

 $01:00:04.450 \longrightarrow 01:00:06.496$ so I didn't get into it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8513931

01:00:06.500 --> 01:00:08.614 You know, one of the challenges that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8513931

 $01:00:08.614 \longrightarrow 01:00:11.608$ we in my group is always the chemistry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8513931

01:00:11.610 --> 01:00:13.500 You know, the chemistry work is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8513931

 $01:00:13.500 \longrightarrow 01:00:15.448$ a general chemistry to make these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8513931

 $01:00:15.448 \longrightarrow 01:00:17.618$ molecules is very robust and we started

NOTE Confidence: 0.8513931

01:00:17.618 --> 01:00:19.799 to characterize them with Ranjeet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8513931

01:00:19.800 --> 01:00:21.505 Bindra slab and he's found

NOTE Confidence: 0.8513931

01:00:21.505 --> 01:00:23.210 that in bracket two mutants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8513931

 $01:00:23.210 \longrightarrow 01:00:25.926$ these things are hyperactive and so that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8513931

 $01{:}00{:}25.926 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}28.030$ the immediate direction we're going in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8513931

01:00:28.030 --> 01:00:30.748 Long term, we're looking to see if we can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8513931

01:00:30.750 --> 01:00:32.616 you know, optimize the properties of

 $01:00:32.616 \longrightarrow 01:00:34.369$ these molecules a little bit more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8513931

 $01:00:34.370 \longrightarrow 01:00:36.568$ You know it's more than just another

NOTE Confidence: 0.8513931

 $01:00:36.568 \longrightarrow 01:00:38.598$ crosslinker because I didn't get into it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8513931

 $01:00:38.600 \longrightarrow 01:00:40.178$ but there's a mechanism by which

NOTE Confidence: 0.8513931

 $01:00:40.178 \longrightarrow 01:00:42.322$ we can gauge the activity of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8513931

 $01:00:42.322 \longrightarrow 01:00:44.037$ molecule and potentially target it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8513931

 $01:00:44.040 \longrightarrow 01:00:45.550$ and so there's a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8513931

01:00:45.550 --> 01:00:47.958 Yeah, there's a lot that we can do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8513931

 $01:00:47.960 \longrightarrow 01:00:49.778$ and that's sort of the phase

NOTE Confidence: 0.8513931

 $01:00:49.778 \longrightarrow 01:00:51.280$ that we're entering into with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8546458

 $01{:}00{:}51.280 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}53.092$ the project traffic we are after

NOTE Confidence: 0.8546458

01:00:53.092 --> 01:00:54.606 1:00 o'clock, so if people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8546458

01:00:54.606 --> 01:00:56.116 have other questions for Seth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8546458

01:00:56.120 --> 01:00:59.016 just email him I'm sure. Be happy too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8546458

01:00:59.016 --> 01:01:01.326 Talking thank both speakers for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8546458

 $01:01:01.326 \longrightarrow 01:01:03.600$ really stimulating talk today. Thank

 $01:01:03.600 \longrightarrow 01:01:05.049$ you thanks everyone.