WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "00:49:54.0420000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:03.675$ It's my pleasure to be host today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

 $00:00:03.680 \longrightarrow 00:00:04.943$ I'm Roy herbst.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

00:00:04.943 --> 00:00:07.048 Today, we're very fortunate we

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

 $00:00:07.048 \longrightarrow 00:00:09.854$ have Doctor Daniel Petre lack who's

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

 $00:00:09.854 \longrightarrow 00:00:12.214$ professor of medical oncology and

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

 $00:00:12.214 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.224$ urology and the leader of our GPU program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

 $00:00:15.230 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.102$ He also of course is the leader of

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

00:00:18.102 --> 00:00:19.940 the signal transduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

 $00:00:19.940 \longrightarrow 00:00:22.936$ One of those years of the signal

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

 $00{:}00{:}22.936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}25.498$ transduction program for the care center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

 $00{:}00{:}25.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}28.924$ Dan's well known to most of us course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

 $00:00:28.930 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.662$ He spent many years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

00:00:30.662 --> 00:00:32.394 I'm at Columbia University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

 $00:00:32.400 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.490$ Before he was recruited here,

 $00{:}00{:}34.490 --> 00{:}00{:}36.590$ now 7 plus years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

00:00:36.590 --> 00:00:39.936 almost eight and Dan is really, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

00:00:39.936 --> 00:00:42.444 been a leader in urologic cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

 $00:00:42.450 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.074$ bladder and prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

 $00{:}00{:}44.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}47.788$ Just in the last year with some of his

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

00:00:47.788 --> 00:00:50.182 major studies working with the PROTAC

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

00:00:50.182 --> 00:00:52.837 with Crag Cruzen Arvinas Anthy in

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

 $00{:}00{:}52.837 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}55.443$ for tunate event and in bladder cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

 $00:00:55.443 \longrightarrow 00:00:57.558$ Published in the new internal

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

00:00:57.558 --> 00:00:59.630 medicine to name a few.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

 $00:00:59.630 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.850$ He is a world leader.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

 $00:01:01.850 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.939$ In this area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

00:01:02.939 --> 00:01:05.480 he's also built a team in Geo

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

 $00:01:05.567 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.142$ Oncology that is putting patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

00:01:08.142 --> 00:01:11.286 on protocol and raising the bar

00:01:11.286 --> 00:01:14.172 for these diseases around our care

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

00:01:14.172 --> 00:01:16.734 centers and around our entire

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

 $00{:}01{:}16.734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}20.076$ network and at the main center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

 $00:01:20.080 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.590$ So then we're really excited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

00:01:21.590 --> 00:01:22.152 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

00:01:22.152 --> 00:01:24.119 one of the things we've been doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

 $00{:}01{:}24.119 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}25.688$ lately is having the different

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

 $00:01:25.688 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.228$ dogs presented grand rounds as

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

 $00{:}01{:}27.228 \longrightarrow 00{:}01{:}29.137$ a chance to sort of integrate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

00:01:29.140 --> 00:01:29.792 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

 $00{:}01{:}29.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}30.770$ the clinical translational

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

 $00:01:30.770 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.400$ program with the basic science

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

 $00:01:32.456 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.914$ and hopefully will have a plenty

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

 $00:01:33.914 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.480$ of time today for discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

 $00:01:35.480 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.198$ And with that I'll turn it over to you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78208655

 $00:01:38.200 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.307$ Dan, and thank you for coming today.

00:01:41.150 --> 00:01:43.173 Thanks Roy. It's it's a pleasure being

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00{:}01{:}43.173 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}45.358$ here today and thank you for inviting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00{:}01{:}45.360 \to 00{:}01{:}47.467$ You know it's actually about nine years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:01:47.470 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.963$ It's going to be September of 2012 that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:01:49.963 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.565$ came on board and it was the best decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:01:52.565 \longrightarrow 00:01:54.998$ I've ever made from a career standpoint.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:01:55.000 \longrightarrow 00:01:57.224$ And I thank you and an all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

00:01:57.224 --> 00:01:59.370 leadership of the Cancer Center for

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00{:}01{:}59.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}01.270$ being supportive over the years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:02:01.270 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.862$ And a lot of the work we're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:02:03.862 \dashrightarrow 00:02:06.387$ present here today was done by our group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

00:02:06.390 --> 00:02:07.782 including jochim, Mike Hurwitz,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:02:07.782 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.450$ and but I'd like to give a first

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:02:10.450 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.490$ a little bit of an overview as to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:02:12.555 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.557$ what is going on in prostate cancer

 $00:02:14.557 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.648$ and how do we think about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:02:17.650 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.696$ So as we know, prostate cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00{:}02{:}19.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}21.700$ is really two different diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

00:02:21.700 --> 00:02:24.269 There's the disease that you die with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:02:24.270 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.388$ and this is the prostate cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

00:02:26.388 --> 00:02:28.690 die from the Gleason 6 carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:02:28.690 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.530$ which is not none really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00{:}02{:}30.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}32.810$ In some some editorials have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00{:}02{:}32.810 \longrightarrow 00{:}02{:}35.679$ thought to not to be even a cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

00:02:35.680 --> 00:02:38.473 There was some thought about taking away

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:02:38.473 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.410$ from that particular classification that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:02:40.410 \longrightarrow 00:02:43.410$ was in the JC a couple of years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:02:43.410 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.120$ That's none.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

00:02:44.120 --> 00:02:46.250 That's really not going to cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:02:46.250 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.460$ a patient's demise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:02:47.460 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.127$ Even 90% chance of being biochemically free.

 $00:02:50.130 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.790$ A relapse at five years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:02:51.790 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.450$ no matter what local therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

00:02:53.450 --> 00:02:54.778 you go forth with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:02:54.780 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.324$ we're going to focus today on

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:02:57.324 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.020$ the castrate resistant disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:02:59.020 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.750$ And it's important to think about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:03:01.750 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.350$ disease in terms of clinical states.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:03:04.350 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.038$ As I mentioned before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00{:}03{:}06.038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}08.148$ there's a clinically localized prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00{:}03{:}08.148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}10.980$ cancer which can be cured with local

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

00:03:10.980 --> 00:03:12.544 treatment despite local treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:03:12.550 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.600$ No matter what you receive

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:03:14.600 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.240$ with its radiation therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:03:16.240 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.736$ hormonal therapy about one in three men in

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:03:19.736 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.386$ unselected cases will have a rising PSA.

 $00:03:22.390 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.022$ This can result in a biochemical relapse

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

00:03:25.022 --> 00:03:26.900 or eventual clinical metastases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00{:}03{:}26.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}29.636$ which is the hormone sensitive state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:03:29.640 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.370$ In the non castrate disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:03:31.370 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.737$ as we see in the upper portion of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:03:33.737 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.745$ this particular slide you also can

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00{:}03{:}35.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}37.916$ have a rising PSA without metastatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:03:37.916 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.448$ disease and this group of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00{:}03{:}40.448 \to 00{:}03{:}42.776$ is somewhat problematic and and when

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:03:42.776 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.536$ you install hormone therapy because

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

00:03:44.536 --> 00:03:46.775 there's some men that may never need

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:03:46.775 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.687$ to go on engine deprivation therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:03:48.687 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.937$ and this of course has significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

00:03:50.937 --> 00:03:52.760 side effects including weight gain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

00:03:52.760 --> 00:03:54.484 loss of muscle mass, fatigue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:03:54.484 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.860$ loss of sexual function.

 $00:03:55.860 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.303$ So this can have a significant impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

00:03:58.303 --> 00:04:00.448 on the patient's quality of life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00{:}04{:}00.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}02.670$ It's questionable whether implementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:04:02.670 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.000$ of hormone therapy at this state

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00{:}04{:}06.085 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}07.759$ will improve survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:04:07.760 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.136$ We then go on to the clinical metastases

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:04:10.136 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.729$ in the castrate state and there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

00:04:12.729 --> 00:04:14.659 multiple treatments that we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

00:04:14.725 --> 00:04:17.419 available and eventually we have pre

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:04:17.419 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.608$ chemotherapy and post chemotherapy patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:04:19.608 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.324$ The landscape has changed and I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:04:22.324 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.822$ the important thing to remember is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00{:}04{:}24.822 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}26.975$ this was at Doctor Charles Huggins

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

00:04:26.975 --> 00:04:29.150 presentation above prize in 1966.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:04:29.150 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.733$ He was the person that discovered that

 $00:04:31.733 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.040$ prostate cancer is a hormone sensitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:04:34.040 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.296$ disease that if you give androgens

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:04:36.296 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.487$ the disease will be stimulated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

00:04:38.490 --> 00:04:40.150 But this is not curative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:04:40.150 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.526$ despite regressions of great

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:04:41.526 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.590$ magnitude is obvious that there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

00:04:43.647 --> 00:04:45.257 many failures of endocrine therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.87824655

 $00:04:45.257 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.867$ to control the disease in

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:04:46.929 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.141$ cirrhotic that some of the greats of

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

00:04:49.141 --> 00:04:51.280 prostate cancer, including Doctor Huggins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:04:51.280 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.280$ actually died from Ictact disease themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:04:54.280 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.560$ So this is how we look

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:04:56.560 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.650$ at the disease in 2021.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:04:58.650 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.682$ If you think back to 2004 when Docetaxel

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

00:05:01.682 --> 00:05:03.810 was approved was pretty simple,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:05:03.810 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.498$ you had one treatment and this was useful

 $00:05:06.498 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.970$ for metastatic castration resistant disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00{:}05{:}08.970 \longrightarrow 00{:}05{:}11.666$ And then you went on to 2nd hormones

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00{:}05{:}11.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}14.917$ or or two to palliative bodies antra.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:05:14.920 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.056$ Now we have a variety of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:05:18.056 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.149$ treatments immunotherapy which will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:05:20.149 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.515$ talking bout with sipuleucel T as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:05:22.515 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.127$ as other agents agents that affect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

00:05:25.130 --> 00:05:27.150 DNA repair other chemotherapeutic agents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:05:27.150 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.418$ as well as other hormonal agents

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:05:29.418 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.590$ that show improvements in survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:05:31.590 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.610$ But the key point is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:05:33.610 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.711$ is that we're not curing anybody with

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

00:05:36.711 --> 00:05:39.060 this particular approach and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:05:39.060 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.420$ meeting survival is generally about

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:05:41.420 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.765$ increments of anywhere between three

 $00:05:43.765 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.339$ and five months in this situation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

00:05:46.340 --> 00:05:47.950 So with this massive data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:05:47.950 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.830$ how do I like to think about this disease?

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:05:50.830 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.014$ Well, like to think of this in

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:05:53.014 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.690$ terms of classes of agents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:05:54.690 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.573$ We have really 4 main classes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00{:}05{:}56.573 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}58.890$ we use from a the rapeutic standpoint

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:05:58.890 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.826$ for castration resistant disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

00:06:00.830 --> 00:06:01.284 Namely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:06:01.284 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.100$ immunotherapeutic agents such as

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00{:}06{:}03.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}06.172$ Sipuleucel T Pember lose map for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

00:06:06.172 --> 00:06:08.380 small percentage of patients will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:06:08.380 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.156$ talking about a few minutes hormonal agents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:06:11.160 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.680$ Castrate resistant disease retains

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:06:12.680 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.454$ its hormonal axis and if you look

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00{:}06{:}15.454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}17.170$ at androgen receptor expression

00:06:17.170 --> 00:06:18.886 in specimens from patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:06:18.890 \dashrightarrow 00:06:20.518 \ {\rm castration} \ {\rm resistant} \ {\rm prostate} \ {\rm cancer},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:06:20.518 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.983$ you'll find that about 90% still

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

00:06:22.983 --> 00:06:25.098 have an active androgen receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00{:}06{:}25.098 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}27.618$ axis and we're going to exploit

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:06:27.618 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.874$ that with some of these agents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:06:29.880 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.694$ In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:06:30.694 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.136$ it's known that some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:06:33.136 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.465$ chemotherapeutic agents, such as docetaxel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:06:35.465 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.495$ may actually work by hormonal mechanism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:06:38.500 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.786$ What do we mean by that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:06:40.790 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.172$ Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:06:41.172 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.700$ when you bind testosterone

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:06:42.700 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.228$ to the Android receptor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:06:44.230 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.330$ it has to translocate the New

 $00:06:46.330 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.161$ York nucleus and microtubules are

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00{:}06{:}48.161 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}50.369$ essential to the transport of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:06:50.369 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.364$ particular complex into the nucleus

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:06:52.364 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.439$ I mentioned cytotoxic agents such

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:06:54.439 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.071$ as docetaxel cabazitaxel their

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

00:06:56.071 --> 00:06:58.357 isotopes that damage DNA radium 223.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:06:58.360 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.034$ We're not going to get into those,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

00:07:01.040 --> 00:07:03.140 but focus of investigation recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00{:}07{:}03.140 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}07{:}05.240$ have been part inhibitors such

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:07:05.304 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.518$ as elaborate Kappa rib and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:07:07.518 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.971$ appropriate for about 10 to 20% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00{:}07{:}09.971 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}12.095$ patients with cast ration resistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:07:12.095 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.157$ prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:07:13.160 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.482$ So we've been behind the long

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:07:15.482 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.934$ investigators as well as the breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:07:17.934 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.009$ investigators because for a long

 $00{:}07{:}20.009 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}22.795$ period of time we've used clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:07:22.795 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.256$ characteristics to determine

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:07:24.256 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.778$ how we sequence our agents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

00:07:26.778 --> 00:07:28.482 Symptomatic versus asymptomatic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:07:28.482 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.890$ the tendency was to give hormonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:07:31.976 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.586$ therapy to those patients who had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:07:34.590 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.918$ Who are asymptomatic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

00:07:35.918 --> 00:07:37.246 safe chemotherapy for later?

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00{:}07{:}37.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}39.357$ That may not be the right way

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:07:39.357 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.676$ to sequence patients visceral

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

00:07:40.676 --> 00:07:42.421 versus non visceral disease and

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:07:42.421 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.550$ then pre and post those heat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00{:}07{:}44.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}46.713$ Axel was initially used as a way

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:07:46.713 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.705$ of approving drugs for castration

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

00:07:48.705 --> 00:07:50.199 resistant prostate cancer.

 $00:07:50.200 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.127$ The other issue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00{:}07{:}51.127 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}53.657$ now which is coming into play as we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00{:}07{:}53.657 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}55.771$ seen in breast cancer and I'm not

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

00:07:55.771 --> 00:07:58.337 going to go into the specifics of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:07:58.340 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.488$ but agents which have been used

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00{:}08{:}00.488 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}01.920$ traditionally to treat cast ration

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:08:01.980 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.715$ resistant disease have been moved

NOTE Confidence: 0.75882185

 $00:08:03.715 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.450$ up into the hormone sensitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.87356883

 $00{:}08{:}05.509 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}07.982$ state. And is actually a greater improvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.87356883

 $00:08:07.982 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.670$ in the hazard ratio when drugs such as.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:08:16.640 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.440$ Hazard ratio is about .6.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00{:}08{:}18.440 \to 00{:}08{:}20.715$ It's pretty significant so so that state

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:08:20.715 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.494$ will affect what you're going to be doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:08:23.494 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.234$ in castration resistance because the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

00:08:25.296 --> 00:08:27.406 resistance patterns may be different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:08:27.410 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.419$ and we're only going to start seeing

00:08:29.419 --> 00:08:32.106 now how that may influence the treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:08:32.106 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.866$ of castration resistant disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:08:33.870 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.195$ Because the downstream effect only

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:08:36.195 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.349$ started about three to four years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:08:39.350 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.806$ So as I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:08:40.806 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.626$ we've been behind our colleagues

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:08:42.626 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.079$ in lung cancer and breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:08:45.079 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.099$ and using targeted therapy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:08:47.172 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.327$ molecular markers and the three,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:08:49.330 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.724$ I'm going to focus on today

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:08:51.724 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.320$ or the androgen receptor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:08:53.320 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.328$ those of DNA repair,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00{:}08{:}55.328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}57.838$ and immune markers such as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00{:}08{:}57.838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}59.470$ microcycle instability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:08:59.470 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.710$ So immune therapy is an

 $00:09:01.710 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.054$ FDA approved category.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:09:03.060 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.024$ Four of the treatment of metastatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:09:06.024 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.435$ castration resistant prostate cancer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:09:08.435 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.976$ the agent that's approved in the United

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:09:10.976 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.840$ States is something called sipuleucel T.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:09:13.840 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.738$ So this is an ecologist T cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

00:09:16.738 --> 00:09:19.040 product that's made by taking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:09:19.040 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.465$ the patient's own immune cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00{:}09{:}21.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}24.590$ culturing them with a fusion protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:09:24.590 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.150$ that uses both prostatic acid

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00{:}09{:}27.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}29.908$ phosphatase as well as a GM CSF.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

00:09:29.910 --> 00:09:31.820 Fusion protein prostatic acid phosphates

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:09:31.820 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.362$ expressed about 90% of prostate cancer cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:09:34.362 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.569$ so this is very specific to prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:09:37.570 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.244$ This APC takes up this particular antigen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:09:40.250 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.878$ It's presented on the surface and

 $00:09:42.878 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.068$ you have a fully activated T cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:09:46.068 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.197$ by the time this is reinfused back

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:09:49.291 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.099$ to the patient three days later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:09:52.100 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.710$ So the impact trial was published

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:09:54.710 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.659$ in 2010 and this took patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:09:57.659 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.454$ who had had prior chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

00:10:00.460 --> 00:10:04.646 All forms of treatment and randomize them

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:10:04.646 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.960$ to receive sipuleucel T or a placebo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:10:07.960 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.634$ And it was shown that there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00{:}10{:}10.634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}12.313$ significant improvement in overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:10:12.313 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.618$ survival in the patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00{:}10{:}14.618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}16.462$ received this particular product.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:10:16.470 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.396$ It was about the hazard ratio 0.775.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:10:19.400 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.808$ Now what was seen in this trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:10:21.808 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.921$ and what was not seen as opposed

 $00:10:24.921 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.917$ to classic chemotherapy trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00{:}10{:}26.920 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}10{:}30.245$ You did not see an improvement in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00{:}10{:}30.245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}32.610$ progression free survival and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:10:32.610 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.599$ led to a lot of skepticism initially

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:10:35.599 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.846$ because the PFS did not correlate with OS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:10:38.850 \longrightarrow 00:10:39.903$ Objective responses and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

00:10:39.903 --> 00:10:41.307 soft tissue are infrequent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

00:10:41.310 --> 00:10:42.012 Now again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00{:}10{:}42.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}44.469$ this is a select group of patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:10:44.470 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.570$ so those patients were entered in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00{:}10{:}46.570 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}10{:}48.538$ The study had bone only disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:10:48.538 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.779$ or a minimal lymph node disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:10:50.780 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.886$ They did not have visceral disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:10:52.890 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.645$ He really couldn't see whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:10:54.645 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.049$ there was a response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00{:}10{:}56.050 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}10{:}58.170$ but generally the soft tissue

 $00:10:58.170 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.866$ disease did not respond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

00:10:59.870 --> 00:11:01.330 PSA responses were rare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:11:01.330 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.790$ We do see them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

00:11:02.790 --> 00:11:04.980 We do see predominantly PSA stabilization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00{:}11{:}04.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}07.740$ but despite this we do see a correlation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

00:11:07.740 --> 00:11:10.009 between the PSA quartiles at study

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:11:10.009 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.277$ entry or on this particular trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

00:11:12.280 --> 00:11:14.800 So those with low PSA's have higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

00:11:14.800 --> 00:11:17.177 hazard ratios of survival or better

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:11:17.177 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.625$ hazard ratios than those with high

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

00:11:19.625 --> 00:11:21.977 PSA's and again leading to the fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:11:21.977 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.736$ that we want to use immune therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00{:}11{:}24.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}27.748$ early in the course of disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:11:27.750 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.142$ So for number of years we tried to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:11:30.142 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.439$ explain why this happens and Ravi Medan

00:11:32.439 --> 00:11:34.790 at the NCI is actually published.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

00:11:34.790 --> 00:11:36.800 A very very elegant paper in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:11:36.800 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.140$ the oncologist in 2010.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:11:38.140 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.830$ Looking at the differences in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8302439

 $00:11:39.830 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.520$ terms of how we look

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

00:11:41.597 --> 00:11:43.823 at outcomes and in an immune therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:11:43.823 \longrightarrow 00:11:46.070$ as well as cytotoxic therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

00:11:46.070 --> 00:11:50.320 So on the Y axis we see tumor burden X axis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:11:50.320 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.015$ the time. And as we see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:11:53.020 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.449$ we expect the patient to be progressing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00{:}11{:}55.449 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}58.039$ rapidly if you give cytotoxic therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:11:58.040 \longrightarrow 00:12:00.833$ you have a decline in your tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:12:00.833 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.209$ volume or two to our burden

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00{:}12{:}03.209 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}05.757$ and then you see a take off.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:12:05.760 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.705$ Once they become resistant and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

00:12:07.705 --> 00:12:10.468 often you see a parallel or an

00:12:10.468 --> 00:12:12.694 increased slope to what you've seen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:12:12.700 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.985$ When these patients were prior

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:12:14.985 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.356$ to their chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

00:12:16.360 --> 00:12:18.550 With immune therapy or vaccine therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:12:18.550 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.979$ what you tend to see is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:12:20.979 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.930$ blunting of that PSA curve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

00:12:22.930 --> 00:12:25.120 So what you're actually doing this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:12:25.120 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.620$ but potentially missing progression

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:12:26.620 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.495$ events or seeing progression events

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00{:}12{:}28.495 \rightarrow 00{:}12{:}30.227$ and missing an overall effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:12:30.230 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.850$ So really, the hazard ratio

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:12:31.850 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.250$ is what I think is important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00{:}12{:}34.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}36.440$ and the overall three year survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:12:36.440 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.828$ and unfortunately with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

00:12:37.828 --> 00:12:39.910 sipuleucel T trials they did not

00:12:39.969 --> 00:12:41.909 follow patients past three years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:12:41.910 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.346$ and I've actually been after the company

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:12:44.346 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.169$ to look at that particular question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:12:47.170 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.162$ To see whether there is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

00:12:49.162 --> 00:12:52.020 difference in five year, survivals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

00:12:52.020 --> 00:12:54.444 The question of molecular markers, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:12:54.444 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.676$ we know that immune therapy with PDL one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:12:57.680 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.892$ an prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00{:}12{:}58.892 \to 00{:}13{:}01.720$ really doesn't have a great response rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:13:01.720 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.356$ Generally about 5 to 10% at best in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00{:}13{:}05.356 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}07.780$ of objective response in unselected patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:13:07.780 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.759$ So this is a study that came out of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

00:13:10.759 --> 00:13:13.430 Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00{:}13{:}13.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}15.746$ looking at 1033 patients who had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

00:13:15.746 --> 00:13:17.880 MSI high in prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:13:17.880 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.560$ It's only about a 3%.

00:13:21.560 --> 00:13:21.998 Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:13:21.998 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.064$ but hit rate with that particular marker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:13:25.070 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.806$ But what's interesting is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:13:26.806 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.976$ that you can see this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:13:28.980 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.626$ develop overtime that if you look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:13:31.626 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.859$ sequential specimens in patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

00:13:33.859 --> 00:13:35.919 castrate resistant prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

00:13:35.920 --> 00:13:38.090 you can see up regulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:13:38.090 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.826$ or development of MSI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:13:39.830 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.441$ So this is something that you really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00{:}13{:}42.441 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}45.765$ do need to check regularly and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:13:45.765 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.420$ our patients with castrate resistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:13:48.420 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.706$ disease and also seven of those 32 MSI

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:13:51.706 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.191$ high patients had a dream sideline

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:13:54.191 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.979$ mutation in Lynch's assistance.

00:13:55.980 --> 00:13:58.659 Syndrome associated gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00{:}13{:}58.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}01.166$ Does this have an effect on response

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:14:01.166 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.770$ to checkpoint inhibition therapy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:14:02.770 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.770$ The answer is yes. This is their series.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:14:05.770 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.127$ Looking at both PDL one as well as PD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:14:09.130 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.215$ One inhibitors and castrate resistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

00:14:11.215 --> 00:14:13.792 disease and about half of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

00:14:13.792 --> 00:14:15.877 will have objective soft tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00{:}14{:}15.877 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}18.054$ responses and a higher percentage

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:14:18.054 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.664$ of patients will have PSA declines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

00:14:20.670 --> 00:14:22.138 So in my opinion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:14:22.138 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.340$ and I think that many thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:14:24.419 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.449$ leaders feel the same way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

00:14:26.450 --> 00:14:28.095 all patients with castrate resistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:14:28.095 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.309$ prostate cancer need to be checked

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:14:30.309 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.839$ for microsatellite instability.

00:14:31.840 --> 00:14:34.129 Pember Lism AB is an FDA approved

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00{:}14{:}34.129 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}36.633$ drug in this state of disease and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:14:36.633 \longrightarrow 00:14:39.362$ this it can be administered in those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:14:39.362 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.224$ patients who have that particular marker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

00:14:42.230 --> 00:14:45.094 Rushan ion has looked at CDK 12 in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00{:}14{:}45.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}47.108$ these patients in castrate resistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:14:47.108 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.544$ patients as well as we know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:14:49.550 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.505$ BIALLELIC mutations are formed a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00{:}14{:}51.505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}53.460$ distinct class of prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:14:53.460 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.728$ This leads to genomic instability as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:14:55.728 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.249$ well as the development of neoantigens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00{:}14{:}58.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}01.196$ and rule is also demonstrated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00{:}15{:}01.196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}04.154$ this particular marker that you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8165383

 $00:15:04.154 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.884$ see increased T cell infiltration and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

00:15:06.890 --> 00:15:09.730 Also, responses in men with

 $00:15:09.730 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.002$ castrate resistant prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

00:15:12.010 --> 00:15:14.242 So we actually LED a phase

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:15:14.242 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.730$ one study of atezolizumab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

00:15:15.730 --> 00:15:17.206 an castrate resistant disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00{:}15{:}17.206 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}19.051$ This was published in clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

00:15:19.051 --> 00:15:20.939 Cancer Research earlier this year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00{:}15{:}20.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}24.288$ Joe Kim and I were coauthors on this patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:15:24.290 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.145$ This particular study and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

00:15:26.145 --> 00:15:27.629 had two different cohorts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

00:15:27.630 --> 00:15:30.606 In initial phase, one cohort of 10 patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00{:}15{:}30.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}33.207$ and then a 15 patient expansion cohort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:15:33.210 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.070$ As we can see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00{:}15{:}35.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}37.303$ the response rates with a Tesla some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:15:37.303 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.170$ AB were somewhat disappointing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:15:39.170 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.944$ About 50%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:15:39.944 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.266$ These are patients who had multiple.

 $00:15:42.270 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.650$ Prior chemotherapies or immunol hormonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00{:}15{:}44.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}47.950$ the rapies and we did see a fairly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

00:15:47.950 --> 00:15:50.476 good meeting survival of 14.7 months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:15:50.480 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.016$ but this is unselected and there were two

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00{:}15{:}54.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}56.409$ partial responses by resist criteria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:15:56.410 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.988$ Overall now we tried to look for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:16:00.990 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.379$ Molecular characterization that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:16:02.379 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.157$ may lead us to understand better

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00{:}16{:}05.157 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}07.389$ why these patients responded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00{:}16{:}07.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}10.470$ We saw some higher levels of CD8

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00{:}16{:}10.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}13.289$ in these patients after treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00{:}16{:}13.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}16.242$ We did not see any significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

00:16:16.242 --> 00:16:17.718 PDL one expression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:16:17.720 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.666$ nor did we find microsatellite instability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

00:16:20.670 --> 00:16:24.114 And this is one of our responders

 $00:16:24.114 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.590$ who is microsatellites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00{:}16{:}25.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}28.674$ Table had really not a particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

00:16:28.674 --> 00:16:31.340 higher level 22 mutation rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:16:31.340 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.644$ But he did have a mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:16:33.644 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.720$ in ATM which you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:16:35.720 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.328$ Again, there been others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:16:37.328 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.338$ Some others have correlated responses

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

00:16:39.338 --> 00:16:41.289 with these DNA repair enzymes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00{:}16{:}41.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}44.377$ but this was really 2 number too

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

00:16:44.377 --> 00:16:47.908 small to make any great conclusions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00{:}16{:}47.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}50.052$ So where are we moving and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:16:50.052 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.766$ immunotherapy yellow?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

00:16:50.770 --> 00:16:52.852 And what's or some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:16:52.852 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.070$ trials that are open right now?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:16:55.070 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.218$ Well, we've been looking at it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

00:16:57.220 --> 00:16:59.236 Ralph, up with a bio excel and

 $00:16:59.236 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.510$ this is in resistant patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:17:01.510 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.240$ both newer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:17:02.240 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.430$ castrate resistant as well as small

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:17:04.430 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.168$ cell carcinoma of the prostate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

00:17:06.170 --> 00:17:08.342 Looking at DP 8-9 inhibition with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:17:08.342 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.517$ the Excel 701201 combined with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:17:10.517 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.503$ volume in this trial is ongoing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:17:12.503 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.400$ and occurring patients right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:17:14.400 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.976$ Joe Kim in the Phase one group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:17:16.980 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.416$ Is looking at a novel combination of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00{:}17{:}19.416 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}21.342$ at eez allusion mab, along with cables,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00{:}17{:}21.342 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}23.895$ and which is a TKI which is which

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00{:}17{:}23.895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}26.025$ actually was originally looked in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:17:26.030 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.606$ Prostate cancer number of years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:17:29.610 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.958$ But really, a failed phase, three studies.

 $00:17:31.958 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.628$ And as we saw before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:17:33.630 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.975$ it is losing members and 8% response

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00{:}17{:}35.975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}38.320$ rate cables got a 5% response rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:17:38.320 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.665$ You put the two of them together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:17:40.670 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.704$ They've got a 30% response rate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:17:42.704 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.018$ and Joe is working in the phase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:17:45.020 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.156$ One group is also designing a phase one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:17:48.156 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.228$ study to look at biological markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

00:17:51.230 --> 00:17:53.210 Small select group of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:17:53.210 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.398$ with this combination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00{:}17{:}54.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}56.782$ we've completed a vaccine study of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:17:56.782 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.750$ APSA construct with Tremolux Moab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

00:17:58.750 --> 00:18:01.126 The interesting thing about this trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:18:01.130 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.110$ it was just presented yesterday.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:18:03.110 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.658$ Tasco is that we saw responses in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:18:05.658 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.701$ patients who are hormone sensitive

 $00{:}18{:}07.701 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}09.449$ with a rising PSA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:18:09.450 \longrightarrow 00:18:11.766$ Really didn't see any really significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

00:18:11.766 --> 00:18:13.800 activity in castrate resistant disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:18:13.800 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.768$ but unless we did have a couple of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00{:}18{:}16.768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}18.924$ responses were still looking some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:18:18.924 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.600$ of the biologic korelitz and then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:18:21.600 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.002$ Finally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:18:22.002 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.414$ We completed accrual in a phase

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:18:24.414 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.991$ three trial of Docetaxel plus and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00{:}18{:}26.991 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}29.146$ minus pember Lism AB International

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

00:18:29.146 --> 00:18:31.668 Pi on that and hopefully we'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.8367151

 $00:18:31.668 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.038$ see a positive result of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

00:18:34.040 --> 00:18:35.860 particular study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:18:35.860 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.786$ Now I mentioned before that in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00{:}18{:}38.786 \rightarrow 00{:}18{:}40.914$ castration resistant state you still

00:18:40.914 --> 00:18:43.302 have an active androgen receptor and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00{:}18{:}43.302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}45.406$ there could be a different number

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:18:45.406 \longrightarrow 00:18:48.020$ of ways in which we can see this

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:18:48.020 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.540$ receptor receptor become activated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:18:49.540 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.096$ In fact, Jack Keller,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

00:18:51.096 --> 00:18:54.858 who was actually in the lab till his mid 90s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

00:18:54.860 --> 00:18:57.900 believe it or not who was at UCSD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:18:57.900 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.938$ was one of the first people to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00{:}19{:}00.938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}03.868$ describe the fact that if you took.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:19:03.870 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.090$ Prostate cancer specimens an measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00{:}19{:}06.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}08.310$ them for test osterone after these

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00{:}19{:}08.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}10.600$ patients had undergone either chemical

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:19:10.600 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.820$ or physical castration that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:19:12.890 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.482$ would find that there was increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:19:15.482 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.210$ levels of testosterone overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:19:17.210 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.810$ So there's an interesting

 $00:19:18.810 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.410$ pathway of androgen synthesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:19:20.410 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.010$ There are also alternative

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:19:22.010 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.810$ splicing mechanisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

00:19:22.810 --> 00:19:24.810 There's aberrant function these mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

00:19:24.810 --> 00:19:27.610 which will give you gain of function,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:19:27.610 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.210$ which will will talk about in a few moments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:19:31.210 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.175$ But all these particular pathways

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:19:33.175 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.631$ can lead to deregulation of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00{:}19{:}35.631 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}37.726$ and rogen receptor despite the fact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00{:}19{:}37.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}40.508$ That there are serum levels of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:19:40.508 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.360$ testosterone that are castrated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:19:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.656$ So one way that we can overcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

00:19:44.656 --> 00:19:45.640 this of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

00:19:45.640 --> 00:19:47.384 is shutting down testosterone

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:19:47.384 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.256$ synthesis completely.

00:19:48.260 --> 00:19:49.445 Testosterone is predominately

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00{:}19{:}49.445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}51.420$ made with from the testicles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:19:51.420 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.400$ but as I mentioned before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

00:19:53.400 --> 00:19:55.896 the prostate cancer cells can make

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:19:55.896 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.722$ their own testosterone as well as the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:19:58.722 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.254$ adrenals that is actually about 20% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00{:}20{:}01.254 \longrightarrow 00{:}20{:}03.732$ all the testosterone is created so you

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:20:03.732 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.428$ drink or text to peripheral tissues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00{:}20{:}06.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}08.920$ You can shut down these particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:20:08.920 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.168$ pathways by 1720 lyase inhibition

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00{:}20{:}11.168 \to 00{:}20{:}13.718$ with a drug called a biraterone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:20:13.720 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.680$ And this is the chemical

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

00:20:15.680 --> 00:20:17.236 structure of Apparate, Rhone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

00:20:17.236 --> 00:20:19.492 There's a second way of blocking

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

00:20:19.492 --> 00:20:21.190 the Android receptor pathway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:20:21.190 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.542$ which is FDA approved.

 $00{:}20{:}22.542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}24.232$ And that's using anti androgens

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:20:24.232 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.951$ which directly antagonized the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:20:25.951 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.711$ receptors enzalutamide was rationally

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:20:27.711 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.255$ designed from a series of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00{:}20{:}30.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}32.200$ compounds that was selected for

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:20:32.200 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.372$ androgen receptor antagonism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:20:33.372 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.724$ The interesting thing about this drug,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:20:35.730 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.640$ although overtime it's been shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:20:37.640 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.425$ that we see very very we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00{:}20{:}40.425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}42.435$ this occasionally is that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:20:42.435 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.641$ no known agonist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:20:43.650 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.636$ Activity see this occasionally with patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00{:}20{:}45.640 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!\!>}\ 00{:}20{:}47.596$ There is some of these anti

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:20:47.596 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.290$ androgens when you stop them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:20:49.290 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.236$ The PSA actually goes down and we

00:20:51.236 --> 00:20:53.699 still have not been able to correctly

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00{:}20{:}53.699 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}55.263$ explain that particular effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00{:}20{:}55.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}56.930$ So you can decrease testosterone

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:20:56.930 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.590$ levels and block the receptors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:20:58.590 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.711$ Now the fact is these both of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:21:00.711 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.569$ these drugs are FDA approved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:21:02.570 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.165$ They both improve survival again

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:21:04.165 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.230$ by about three to four months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:21:06.230 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.938$ As we've seen before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:21:07.938 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.073$ Do we sequence them because

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

00:21:10.073 --> 00:21:12.677 these drugs in terms of toxicity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:21:12.680 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.291$ seemed to be less toxic than giving

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

00:21:15.291 --> 00:21:17.189 attack scene such as kabasi,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

00:21:17.190 --> 00:21:18.570 Taxol, docetaxel, unfortunately?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:21:18.570 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.870$ This cross resistance between these

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:21:20.870 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.837$ agents PSA responses are generally 10 to 20%,

 $00:21:23.840 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.395$ and you see a progression free survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:21:26.395 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.578$ of about three to four months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:21:28.580 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.154$ If you sequence Abby after ends

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:21:31.154 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.870$ or ends after Abby.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

00:21:32.870 --> 00:21:35.210 And also refining to that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:21:35.210 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.465$ taxing's are less effective and vice versa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:21:38.470 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.278$ These drugs are also less effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

00:21:41.280 --> 00:21:43.788 Act after Taxing's and there may

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00:21:43.788 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.410$ be some slight cross resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00{:}21{:}46.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}49.224$ So kimchi at University of Vancouver

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

00:21:49.224 --> 00:21:51.550 is actually summarized this data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00{:}21{:}51.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}54.609$ and if we look across the board

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329831

 $00{:}21{:}54.609 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}55.920$ as I mentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:21:56.024 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.252$ before, a best we see a survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:21:59.252 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.820$ of 8.7 about 12 months.

 $00:22:01.820 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.510$ If you give these drugs sequentially.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

00:22:05.510 --> 00:22:08.326 And PSA decline rates of 20 to 30%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:22:08.330 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.095$ whereas the single agent drugs

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:22:10.095 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.184$ are about 50 to 60% overall.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:22:12.184 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.776$ So how do we look at this in

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

00:22:14.776 --> 00:22:17.069 terms of resistance resistance?

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

00:22:17.070 --> 00:22:18.909 I mentioned before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:22:18.909 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.361$ you could have upregulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

00:22:21.361 --> 00:22:23.200 of different pathways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

00:22:23.200 --> 00:22:25.450 And particularly CYP 17 you could

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00{:}22{:}25.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}27.640$ also see these splice variants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:22:27.640 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.896$ You can see induction of glucocorticoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:22:29.896 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.358$ expression that also may be related

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:22:32.358 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.699$ to enzalutamide resistance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

00:22:33.700 --> 00:22:36.046 so they again are multiple pathways

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:22:36.046 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.550$ that we can go forth with.

 $00:22:38.550 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.578$ One is error V7.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00{:}22{:}41.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}44.156$ Play RV 7 is is a truncated

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00{:}22{:}44.156 \longrightarrow 00{:}22{:}46.929$ version of the androgen receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:22:46.930 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.710$ The Android receptor has

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00{:}22{:}48.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}50.045$ three different components.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00{:}22{:}50.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}53.179$ One is the the DNA binding region,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:22:53.180 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.724$ the other one is the liggen binding region

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00{:}22{:}56.724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}00.305$ and then the other is the hinge region.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:23:00.310 \longrightarrow 00:23:02.907$ So the login biting region is deleted

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:23:02.907 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.821$ in a RV 7 so this can be constituency

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:23:06.821 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.326$ activated and then 'cause activation

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:23:09.326 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.346$ of the androgen receptor pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:23:12.350 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.062$ It has to dimerize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:23:14.062 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.774$ So that's actually important

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:23:15.774 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.630$ fact as we see here.

00:23:17.630 --> 00:23:19.142 From this particular slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:23:19.142 \longrightarrow 00:23:21.410$ this is from my colleague Daniel

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

00:23:21.480 --> 00:23:23.308 Interactice at Johns Hopkins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:23:23.310 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.404$ If you look at those patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:23:25.404 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.818$ who are AR V7 negative versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

00:23:27.818 --> 00:23:30.620 those who are very 7 positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:23:30.620 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.858$ they have a better progression free

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:23:32.858 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.301$ survival when you're treating these drugs

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00{:}23{:}35.301 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}37.516$ in patients with a biraterone enzalutamide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

00:23:37.520 --> 00:23:39.550 you also better PSA responses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

00:23:39.550 --> 00:23:42.469 So if you make a RV 7.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

00:23:42.470 --> 00:23:44.375 You're less likely to respond

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

00:23:44.375 --> 00:23:46.280 to these particular drugs now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

00:23:46.280 --> 00:23:48.947 Taxing's are a little bit more responsive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:23:48.950 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.855$ but the response rate with

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:23:50.855 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.760$ taxes or not as good.

00:23:52.760 --> 00:23:53.522 But again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:23:53.522 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.189$ you see a difference between the RV,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

00:23:56.190 --> 00:23:58.090 7 positives and the negatives,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:23:58.090 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.659$ but overall taxes do have a better

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

00:24:00.659 --> 00:24:03.038 response in those who are positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:24:03.040 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.870$ so this data was performed

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:24:05.870 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.700$ in patients with CTC's so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:24:08.700 \longrightarrow 00:24:11.496$ This is associated with primary resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

00:24:11.500 --> 00:24:13.835 The positive patients may still

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:24:13.835 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.703$ become sensitive to taxanes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:24:15.710 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.574$ but in positive men,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:24:17.574 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.904$ taxanes may be more effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00{:}24{:}19.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}23.216$ Acacius and there may be comparative

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:24:23.216 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.484$ efficacy with targeted agents in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:24:26.484 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.929$ negative patients compared to the.

 $00:24:28.930 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.336$ The the these next generation ages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:24:31.340 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.759$ such tabron enzalutamide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:24:32.759 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.229$ So this leads us to a trial called card.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:24:37.230 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.530$ And this is important political

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:24:39.530 \longrightarrow 00:24:41.830$ implications to our patients because

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00{:}24{:}41.905 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}44.271$ What Car did was it took patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

00:24:44.271 --> 00:24:46.190 who had received abiraterone or

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

00:24:46.190 --> 00:24:48.680 enzalutamide for one year or less,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:24:48.680 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.140$ then went on to receive docetaxel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

00:24:51.140 --> 00:24:52.343 And of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00{:}24{:}52.343 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}54.348$ the dilemma that physicians have

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:24:54.348 \longrightarrow 00:24:57.137$ in the situation is whether you

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00{:}24{:}57.137 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}59.517$ treat with an alternative anti

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00{:}24{:}59.517 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}02.220$ and rogen or to give a chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:25:02.220 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.940$ agent such as cabazitaxel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:25:03.940 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.858$ And this trial,

00:25:04.858 --> 00:25:05.470 I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:25:05.470 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.258$ lends credence to the fact that

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:25:07.258 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.132$ these AR V7 mutants may actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:25:09.132 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.974$ persist for a period of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:25:10.980 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.031$ because if you give a second androgen

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

00:25:13.031 --> 00:25:14.958 signaling agent so the opposite agent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

00:25:14.960 --> 00:25:16.490 if they've got Abby first,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00{:}25{:}16.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}17.710$ then they get enzalutamide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:25:17.710 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.930$ If they get enzalutamide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:25:18.930 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.990$ then they get abiraterone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:25:21.990 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.120$ You have a better survival with

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:25:24.120 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.609$ cabazitaxel then with the secondary agent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00:25:26.610 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.968$ and that's both in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.820178

 $00{:}25{:}28.968 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}25{:}30.540$ both progression free as

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

00:25:30.618 --> 00:25:32.886 oh as well as overall survival

 $00:25:32.886 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.079$ at the hazard ratio of 0.64.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00{:}25{:}35.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}37.558$ So in sequence we tend to use

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:25:37.558 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.700$ chemotherapy earlier in these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:25:39.700 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.780$ So what are we working on at Yale?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

00:25:42.780 --> 00:25:45.332 That may be a way of moving forward

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

00:25:45.332 --> 00:25:47.400 with this particular pathway?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:25:47.400 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.330$ Well, number of years ago?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

00:25:49.330 --> 00:25:50.515 Roy, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00{:}25{:}50.515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}52.885$ one of the things I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

00:25:52.890 --> 00:25:54.330 You need about Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00{:}25{:}54.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}57.090$ and I think the pandemic is really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:25:57.090 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.777$ As really hurt is this seminar we

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00{:}25{:}58.777 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}00.657$ used to have on Tuesday afternoons

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

00:26:00.657 --> 00:26:02.125 between the chemistry department

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:26:02.125 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.329$ and the Medical oncology department.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

00:26:04.330 --> 00:26:06.796 Roy was really instrumental in getting

00:26:06.796 --> 00:26:08.998 this going forward forward and Craig

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

00:26:08.998 --> 00:26:11.353 Crews came up to me at one of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00{:}26{:}11.353 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}13.565$ meetings and said you have a need

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

00:26:13.565 --> 00:26:15.572 for Brooke drugs and prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:26:15.572 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.999$ and it's absolutely he's would you want

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:26:17.999 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.120$ to go forth with another hormonal age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

00:26:20.120 --> 00:26:21.436 And I said absolutely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00{:}26{:}21.436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}23.410$ there's room for that because there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00{:}26{:}23.468 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}26{:}25.058$ a mechanistic approach to it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:26:25.060 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.482$ and it turns out that the company

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00{:}26{:}27.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}29.339$ that Craig previously had founded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00{:}26{:}29.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}31.944$ CEO had died from metastatic prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:26:31.950 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.326$ so he was on a mission to find

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:26:34.326 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.683$ other agents and this was really

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:26:36.683 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.371$ the perfect collaboration between

 $00:26:38.371 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.530$ a bench and Ben's bedside.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:26:40.530 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.768$ So this is a novel drug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:26:42.770 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.434$ This is a RV110 and what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:26:45.434 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.210$ the science behind this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

00:26:47.210 --> 00:26:50.346 Well, we're trying to degrade the proteins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:26:50.350 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.590$ so there's a natural pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:26:52.590 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.096$ The proteasome pathway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:26:54.096 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.606$ which we basically can degrade

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:26:56.606 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.310$ proteins with a bug within our body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

00:26:59.310 --> 00:27:01.908 Soap Protex are ways of basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00{:}27{:}01.908 \to 00{:}27{:}04.230$ accelerating this ubiquit in based pathway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:27:04.230 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.366$ so you have a disease causing protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:27:07.370 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.506$ E3 ubiquitin ligase will bind to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:27:10.510 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.018$ The Pro tech will actually accelerate

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:27:13.018 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.335$ that and then this induces

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:27:15.335 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.140$ ubiquitination of the target protein.

00:27:18.140 --> 00:27:18.784 And this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00{:}27{:}18.784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}20.716$ I think the neat thing about

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:27:20.716 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.569$ this drug is it's recycled.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:27:22.570 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.280$ You can have as many as 400.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00{:}27{:}26.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}28.040$ Throat androgen receptors proteins

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:27:28.040 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.800$ that can be taken out by this pro tech.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

00:27:31.800 --> 00:27:35.128 In a given cell and then it basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

00:27:35.128 --> 00:27:37.709 is destroyed by the protein cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:27:37.710 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.657$ So why is this called a dumbbell?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:27:40.660 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.614$ Well, this is the shape of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00{:}27{:}43.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}46.483$ There's a protein login domain which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:27:46.483 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.099$ the warhead targets a specific protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00{:}27{:}49.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}51.866$ It's linked to the ligase Lagann

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:27:51.866 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.220$ which recruits the E3 ubiquitin

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:27:54.220 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.146$ ligase and so all three of these

00:27:57.146 --> 00:28:00.468 play a role in protein degradation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00{:}28{:}00.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}03.221$ So how is this related to prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

00:28:03.221 --> 00:28:04.730 cancer with a RV?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:28:04.730 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.439$ 110 is a pro tech that targets

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:28:07.439 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.600$ the engine receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:28:08.600 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.530$ So as we mentioned before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:28:10.530 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.680$ you can have amplification and

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:28:12.680 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.293$ receptor mutations and this this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00{:}28{:}15.293 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}17.627$ developed both in an in androgen

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

00:28:17.627 --> 00:28:19.660 insulin resistant as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:28:19.660 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.848$ sensitive cell lines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00{:}28{:}20.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}23.724$ So there are variety of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:28:23.724 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.673$ mutations that this pro tech will

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00{:}28{:}26.673 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}29.028$ degrade the T878H75Y the F877L

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

00:28:29.028 --> 00:28:31.600 the MV895 point mutations but not

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:28:31.600 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.385 \text{ L } 2702 \text{ an AR V7}.$

00:28:33.390 --> 00:28:36.398 So does that mean that it's not going

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00{:}28{:}36.398 \to 00{:}28{:}39.670$ to work in these particular subtypes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

00:28:39.670 --> 00:28:42.590 The answer is no because if you look

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

00:28:42.590 --> 00:28:45.067 at Doctor Interactice paper carefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:28:45.067 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.397$ for New England Journal of Medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:28:48.397 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.577$ you'll find that in addition to having.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:28:51.580 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.705$ The air B7.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:28:52.705 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.830$ This usually amplification

NOTE Confidence: 0.82304096

 $00:28:53.830 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.330$ of and wild type

NOTE Confidence: 0.81504536

00:28:55.399 --> 00:28:57.479 and receptor which could be

NOTE Confidence: 0.81504536

 $00{:}28{:}57.479 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}59.559$ affected by the different Protex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81504536

 $00:28:59.560 \longrightarrow 00:29:02.423$ So this may also affect amplification of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81504536

 $00:29:02.423 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.550$ the wild type receptor as we see here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81504536

 $00:29:05.550 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.110$ It's going to degrade 90% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81504536

 $00:29:08.110 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.960$ the engine receptor in vitro.

 $00:29:10.960 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.984$ So two years ago we opened up the phase

NOTE Confidence: 0.81504536

00:29:13.984 --> 00:29:17.573 one study that looked at AR V110IN men

NOTE Confidence: 0.81504536

 $00{:}29{:}17.573 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}20.270$ with castrate resistant prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81504536

 $00:29:20.270 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.802$ They had to have at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.81504536

 $00:29:22.802 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.068$ two prior therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81504536

 $00:29:24.070 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.446$ We did not basically eliminate those

NOTE Confidence: 0.81504536

 $00:29:26.446 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.730$ patients who had extensive treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81504536

 $00:29:28.730 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.268$ We had required that they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.81504536

 $00:29:31.268 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.960$ either abiraterone or enzalutamide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

00:29:35.080 --> 00:29:37.516 It took us a little while to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00{:}29{:}37.516 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}40.348$ get to the 140 milligram dose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:29:40.350 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.989$ which is what was important in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:29:42.989 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.800$ laboratory to achieve activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:29:44.800 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.635$ So this is the minimal efficacious dose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:29:47.640 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.744$ As I mentioned before on the day 15

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

00:29:50.744 --> 00:29:54.273 AUC and Cmax this was achieved at

 $00:29:54.273 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.933$ 140 milligrams or greater orally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:29:56.940 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.750$ So here's some some evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:29:58.750 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.120$ that we are hitting the target.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:30:01.120 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.598$ This is a patient of ours that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:30:03.598 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.035$ was treated with ARVs 110 and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:30:06.035 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.621$ have both a baseline and it big

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

00:30:08.621 --> 00:30:10.881 posttreatment biopsy that shows

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:30:10.881 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.706$ downregulation of the Android receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:30:13.710 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.054$ Remember these are heavily

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:30:15.054 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.726$ pretreated patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:30:15.730 \dashrightarrow 00:30:18.089$ This is our presentation from last year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:30:18.090 \dashrightarrow 00:30:20.365$ We see that there is one patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

00:30:20.365 --> 00:30:23.153 out at 35 weeks of duration of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:30:23.153 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.751$ treatment and we did see responses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:30:25.760 \longrightarrow 00:30:28.898$ As measured by a PSA decline,

00:30:28.900 --> 00:30:32.098 we saw two patients with PSA

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00{:}30{:}32.098 --> 00{:}30{:}35.320$ declines of at least 50% an.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:30:35.320 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.270$ Lo and behold,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:30:37.270 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.520$ these patients had degradable engine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:30:40.520 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.609$ receptor mutations T87A at 875Y.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:30:43.610 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.158$ And we see here that that one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

00:30:46.158 --> 00:30:48.709 patient with the RV 7 did have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

00:30:48.709 --> 00:30:50.424 a very minor PSA decline,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:30:50.430 \dashrightarrow 00:30:52.936$ but he also had a concomitant mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:30:52.940 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.596$ So are responding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

00:30:54.596 --> 00:30:57.356 Patient here at Yale head.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

00:30:57.360 --> 00:30:58.320 Multiple treatments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:30:58.320 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.280$ including docetaxel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:30:59.280 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.760$ abiraterone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:30:59.760 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.425$ radium and enzalutamide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

00:31:01.425 --> 00:31:04.755 he had eight eight 7597-A mutation

 $00{:}31{:}04.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}07.915$ and he had a 74% PSA reduction after

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00{:}31{:}07.915 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}10.340$ his treatment was administered and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:31:10.340 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.168$ his time of the presentation is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:31:13.170 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.038$ Duration of response was 30 weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:31:16.040 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.430$ This is a patient from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

00:31:18.430 --> 00:31:20.342 Nick Vogelsang at Nevada,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:31:20.350 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.390$ also with a very with the same mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:31:25.390 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.407$ pattern showing a PSA reduction of 97%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:31:29.410 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.718$ And soft tissue responses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:31:30.718 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.680$ So where are we going with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:31:32.751 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.359$ this particular treatment?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:31:34.360 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.776$ We still have two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:31:35.776 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.900$ We have a phase two trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:31:37.985 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.080$ with two open sub cohorts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00{:}31{:}40.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}42.252$ Those patients who harbored a RT

 $00:31:42.252 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.953$ 7-8 or 75 mutation were taking up

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

00:31:44.953 --> 00:31:47.317 to 20 patients were still accruing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:31:47.320 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.516$ and then those patients who received

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:31:49.516 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.439$ a prior second generation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:31:51.439 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.409$ androgens and no prior chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:31:53.410 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.172$ The subgroup,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:31:54.172 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.220$ one subgroup force are now close to accrual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:31:57.220 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.985$ At least there an accrual hold it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:31:59.990 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.097$ This particular point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:32:01.097 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.942$ so hopefully will open those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:32:02.942 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.510$ in the near future,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:32:04.510 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.222$ and I'd like to know the last minutes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:32:07.222 \dashrightarrow 00:32:10.106$ of this talk talk about some of Jochems

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:32:10.106 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.189$ work in in with with PARP inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:32:13.190 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.342$ As we know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:32:14.342 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.262$ DNA repair mutations are present

00:32:16.262 --> 00:32:18.461 in about 10% of patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00{:}32{:}18.461 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}19.969$ castrate resistant prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:32:19.970 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.101$ These are predominantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

00:32:21.101 --> 00:32:22.986 bracket one and bracket twos,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:32:22.990 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.838$ but we see a variety of other other

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:32:26.838 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.539$ mutations such as ATM check too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:32:29.540 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.824$ Powerbi Tunan rad 51.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:32:31.824 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.537$ As we know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:32:33.540 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.048$ Prop inhibitors work by the mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:32:36.048 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.533$ of synthetic lethality where this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00{:}32{:}38.533 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}40.765$ involved in single stranded DNA repair,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00{:}32{:}40.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}43.680$ whereas other agents are involved in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00{:}32{:}43.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}47.029$ double stranded breaks and the two of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:32:47.029 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.693$ them combined together can cause synergy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

00:32:49.700 --> 00:32:52.170 So elaborate has been evaluated

 $00:32:52.170 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.146$ in castration resistant prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:32:54.146 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.177$ cancer in a phase two trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:32:56.180 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.604$ This was published in the journal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

00:32:58.604 --> 00:33:01.360 by Joe Johann de Bono's group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:33:01.360 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.224$ 49 patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:33:02.224 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.656$ Overall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:33:02.656 \longrightarrow 00:33:05.252$ the response rate was 32.7% when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:33:05.252 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.980$ they went back retrospectively

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00{:}33{:}06.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}08.708$ looked at genomic analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00:33:08.710 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.925$ They found that third of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8112661

 $00{:}33{:}10.925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}13.140$ patients with mutations in DNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:33:13.227 \longrightarrow 00:33:14.759$ repair 2/3 did not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:33:14.760 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.567$ 14 of those 16 patients with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00{:}33{:}17.567 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}19.610$ DNA repair mutations responded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:33:19.610 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.794$ Where is only two patients who did not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00{:}33{:}21.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}23.888$ have that repair mutation responded.

 $00:33:23.890 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.308$ This led to the profound trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:33:26.308 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.300$ which looked at aerolab rib.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

00:33:28.300 --> 00:33:30.586 And two different cohorts of patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:33:30.590 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.876$ both those who are a bracket,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

00:33:32.880 --> 00:33:35.178 one bracket, two or ATM positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:33:35.180 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.617$ or other DNA repair mutations in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

00:33:38.617 --> 00:33:41.362 patients were randomized to receive either

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

00:33:41.362 --> 00:33:44.850 a lap RIV or physicians choice of therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

00:33:44.850 --> 00:33:47.125 The trial did meet its primary endpoint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

00:33:47.130 --> 00:33:48.426 which was radio graphic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00{:}33{:}48.426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}49.398$ progression free survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:33:49.400 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.675$ This was in the bracket one bracket,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00{:}33{:}51.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}52.708$ two or ATM cohorts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:33:52.708 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.132$ There was about a four month difference in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:33:55.132 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.197$ radio graphic progression free survival,

 $00:33:57.200 \longrightarrow 00:33:59.150$ and when you look at all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

00:33:59.150 --> 00:34:00.450 cohorts of DNA repair,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:34:00.450 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.725$ there was about a two month difference,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:34:02.730 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.066$ but the hazard ratio was 0.49.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

00:34:06.070 --> 00:34:07.578 Now this I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:34:07.578 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.710$ is one of the important slides from this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:34:10.710 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.612$ This paper we see that those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:34:12.612 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.361$ patients who have ATM mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:34:14.361 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.421$ really don't have a particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:34:16.421 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.840$ great response to PARP inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:34:18.840 \longrightarrow 00:34:19.612$ In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

00:34:19.612 --> 00:34:22.314 their hazard ratio is one for death,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:34:22.320 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.205$ and that's that's really different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:34:24.205 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.969$ than what we see with the bracket.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00{:}34{:}26.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}29.762$ One and bracket twos and the PR2 3RA's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:34:29.762 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.000$ actually do worse with partition.

 $00:34:32.000 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.709$ This is the survival from the trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:34:34.710 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.140$ We see that there is a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:34:37.140 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.948$ Improvement in overall survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:34:38.948 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.660$ has ratio 0.64 and big difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

00:34:41.737 --> 00:34:43.986 in response rate 33% versus 2.3%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:34:43.986 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.554$ So this drug is FDA approved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:34:46.560 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.368$ The second FDA approved drug is recap rib

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

00:34:49.368 --> 00:34:52.977 in a slightly different group of patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00{:}34{:}52.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}55.010$ whereas patients in the profound

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:34:55.010 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.040$ trial were either refractory to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00{:}34{:}57.112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}59.397$ chemotherapy or two next generation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:34:59.400 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.684$ And are androids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00{:}35{:}00.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}03.680$ This study was a phase two trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:35:03.680 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.482$ not a phase three trial that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:35:06.482 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.350$ led to accelerated approval.

 $00:35:08.350 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.835$ In those patients who had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

00:35:10.835 --> 00:35:12.326 DNA repair mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00{:}35{:}12.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}16.460$ who would be progressed after either a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

00:35:16.460 --> 00:35:20.228 Apparate Ronan's little mind or apalutamide?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:35:20.230 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.058$ As we see from this slide here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:35:23.060 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.130$ we predominantly have those patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:35:25.130 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.910$ who have bracket one bracket choose an.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

00:35:27.910 --> 00:35:28.716 Not surprisingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:35:28.716 \dashrightarrow 00:35:31.537$ a similar response rate with CAP ribbon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00{:}35{:}31.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}33.964$ The Bracco Bracco one bracket use

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:35:33.964 \dashrightarrow 00:35:36.390$ 44% but again the same pattern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:35:36.390 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.856$ No real difference in no objective

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00{:}35{:}38.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}40.922$ responses in those patients have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:35:40.922 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.379$ ATM mutations and the same as far

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:35:43.379 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.170$ as biochemical responses concerned

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:35:45.170 \longrightarrow 00:35:46.892$ 51% bracket one bracket,

 $00:35:46.892 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.004$ two said at least a 50% PSA decline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:35:50.004 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.030$ Where is none,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:35:51.030 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.938$ had declines in ATM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:35:53.940 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.540$ So in this time just click add a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:35:56.540 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.948$ little bit and think about what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:35:58.948 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.048$ we've been thinking about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:36:01.050 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.578$ Yale in terms of strategy as far as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:36:03.578 \longrightarrow 00:36:06.329$ how we can potentially improve the OR

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:36:06.329 \longrightarrow 00:36:09.649$ at least expand the use of part numbers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:36:09.650 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.030$ Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:36:10.030 \longrightarrow 00:36:11.930$ there are variety of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:36:11.930 \longrightarrow 00:36:13.868$ agents that will synergize with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00{:}36{:}13.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}15.628$ PARPAN inhibitors in in vitro.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:36:15.630 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.500$ These include Becca Mecca, hitters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

00:36:17.500 --> 00:36:19.750 bet inhibitors, PR, 3 kinase inhibitors,

 $00:36:19.750 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.872$ androgen receptor pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00{:}36{:}20.872 \longrightarrow 00{:}36{:}24.248$ That is, we're planning a trial of of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

00:36:24.250 --> 00:36:27.292 Everyone 10 plus abiraterone but also

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:36:27.292 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.699$ antiangiogenic agents and Joakim is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:36:29.699 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.131$ and and his also looked at the trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

00:36:32.131 --> 00:36:35.010 of this of elaborate combined with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8081576

 $00:36:35.010 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.510$ Sadir nib Ancaster resistant disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:36:37.510 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.604$ as we see here, it's an inducer

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00{:}36{:}40.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}42.368$ of hypoxemia, sadir treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00{:}36{:}42.368 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}36{:}44.563$ Patient treated cells do have

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00{:}36{:}44.563 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}46.789$ more hypoxi than the vehicle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:36:46.790 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.366$ and we know that elaborate works in about

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:36:50.366 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.260$ 10 to 20% of all prostate cancer patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:36:54.260 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.920$ And from the data from Doctor Bender's

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:36:56.920 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.923$ laboratory in preclinical data showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:36:58.923 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.918$ that angiogenesis may be involved,

 $00:37:00.920 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.368$ the combination of elaborate and steered

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00{:}37{:}03.368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}05.793$ have seemed to be moving illogical

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

00:37:05.793 --> 00:37:08.665 and come forth with this was for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

00:37:08.737 --> 00:37:11.101 presentation at ASCO early ask AGERE

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:37:11.101 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.925$ earlier in the year looking at a

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:37:13.925 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.000$ randomized phase two trial comparing

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:37:16.000 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.582$ elaborate plus a deer nib to steerman

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:37:18.582 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.920$ along these were non selected patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:37:20.920 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.664$ We wanted to look at this in

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:37:23.664 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.922$ retrospective pet fashion. This is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:37:25.922 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.627$ Was spearheaded by Joseph Kim.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

00:37:28.630 --> 00:37:31.066 Overall, we enrolled 90 patients nationally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

00:37:31.070 --> 00:37:32.750 45 in the combination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:37:32.750 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.360$ Each of the each different arms of the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:37:36.360 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.802$ These were patients with a median

 $00:37:38.802 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.430$ PSA of about 60.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:37:40.430 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.872$ They could have had prior anti

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:37:42.872 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.500$ androgen such as abiraterone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:37:44.500 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.540$ enzalutamide and also prior chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:37:46.540 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.305$ So these again are heavily

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:37:49.305 \longrightarrow 00:37:51.517$ pretreated group of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:37:51.520 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.145$ So if we look at the prevalence

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:37:54.145 \longrightarrow 00:37:56.920$ of DNA or repair mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:37:56.920 \longrightarrow 00:37:59.620$ overall 31% had some form of

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:37:59.620 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.970$ DNA repair mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00{:}38{:}00.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}04.378$ either bracket one or bracket 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:38:04.380 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.431$ The trial did meet its primary endpoint

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:38:06.431 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.930$ in unselected patients progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:38:07.930 \longrightarrow 00:38:10.296$ Free survival was better in the combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:38:10.296 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.099$ arm than it was in the single agent arm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00{:}38{:}13.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}15.354$ but we started looking at the data.

 $00:38:15.360 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.013$ We see some patterns which I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:38:18.013 \dashrightarrow 00:38:21.479$ could lead us to where we can go

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00{:}38{:}21.479 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}23.729$ forward with this particular approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:38:23.730 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.229$ We don't see really an improvement in

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00{:}38{:}26.229 \to 00{:}38{:}28.009$ progression free survival in those

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00{:}38{:}28.009 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}29.629$ patients who are HR proficient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:38:29.630 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.406$ We do see that in the deficient ones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00{:}38{:}32.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}34.867$ be interesting to see whether it does

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

00:38:34.867 --> 00:38:37.603 seem to be somewhat better than what

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00{:}38{:}37.603 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}40.530$ we see with the POP inhibitor alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:38:40.530 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.815$ But there does seem to be in a very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:38:43.820 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.640$ very small number of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00{:}38{:}45.640 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}38{:}47.470$ Some response in those patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00{:}38{:}47.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}49.720$ at least improvement in PFS in

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:38:49.720 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.480$ those patients or ATM positive.

 $00:38:51.480 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.028$ So this may be lead for future

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00{:}38{:}54.028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}55.914$ investigation as one would expect

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:38:55.914 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.413$ in such a small trial like this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

00:38:58.420 --> 00:39:01.340 you're not going to see a survival benefit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:39:01.340 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.160$ but there is, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

00:39:03.160 --> 00:39:03.874 But again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:39:03.874 \dashrightarrow 00:39:06.016$ that's something we need to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:39:06.016 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.583$ at in the properly powered study

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00{:}39{:}08.583 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}10.808$ so it really does summarize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00{:}39{:}10.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}13.302$ We see a difference in the combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00{:}39{:}13.302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}15.410$ the rapy in terms of progression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

00:39:15.410 --> 00:39:16.978 free survival and exploratory

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:39:16.978 \longrightarrow 00:39:19.802$ analysis is seeing that that in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:39:19.802 \dashrightarrow 00:39:21.827$ particular subgroups there does seem

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:39:21.827 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.218$ to be an improvement in our PFS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:39:24.220 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.873$ so this is something I think this

 $00:39:26.873 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.190$ speaks plourd further in this disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00{:}39{:}29.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}31.488$ so leave some time for questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:39:31.488 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.254$ so conclusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

00:39:32.260 --> 00:39:34.155 All patients with castrate resistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:39:34.155 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.474$ prostate cancer in terms of molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:39:36.474 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.640$ markers need to be evaluated for

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

00:39:38.640 --> 00:39:40.380 DNA repair enzymes mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:39:40.380 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.300$ As well as Microsoft instability

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:39:42.300 \dashrightarrow 00:39:45.110$ program should be used early in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00{:}39{:}45.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}47.175$ course of castration resistant disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:39:47.180 \longrightarrow 00:39:49.562$ Air V110 has clinical activity in

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:39:49.562 \dashrightarrow 00:39:50.753 \ \mathrm{metastatic} \ \mathrm{castration} \ \mathrm{resistant}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

00:39:50.753 --> 00:39:51.580 prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:39:51.580 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.380$ and then we both elaborate in recap,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75593275

 $00:39:54.380 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.984$ rip are approved in these patients

 $00:39:56.984 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.720$ with castration resistant disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.810913899999999

00:39:58.784 --> 00:40:01.232 and we're looking forward to going

NOTE Confidence: 0.810913899999999

 $00:40:01.232 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.621$ forth with novel combinations to expand

NOTE Confidence: 0.810913899999999

00:40:03.621 --> 00:40:06.029 the spectrum of patients who may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.810913899999999

 $00:40:06.029 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.920$ eligible to receive part. In addition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810913899999999

00:40:09.920 --> 00:40:13.256 I'd like to thank all of our colleagues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810913899999999

00:40:13.260 --> 00:40:16.179 I know if miss people in this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810913899999999

00:40:16.180 --> 00:40:18.260 but but Joakim Mike Hurwitz,

 $00:40:18.260 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.124$ inheritance Bondy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810913899999999

 $00:40:19.124 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.148$ who have really contributed greatly and work

 $00:40:22.148 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.929$ real hard in moving these trials forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810913899999999

00:40:24.930 --> 00:40:26.598 Our research associates, particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.810913899999999

00:40:26.598 --> 00:40:28.683 Shelby Carleo and Ebony Williams,

 $00:40:28.690 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.186$ who helped to see the patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810913899999999

 $00:40:31.190 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.438$ manage the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810913899999999 00:40:32.438 --> 00:40:33.270 And really,

 $00:40:33.270 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.766$ they're invaluable to our operation map.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810913899999999

 $00:40:35.770 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.278$ Piscatelli leftists about two weeks ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810913899999999

00:40:38.280 --> 00:40:40.020 but Kristen Fleshman has really

NOTE Confidence: 0.810913899999999

 $00:40:40.020 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.530$ done a great job and in helping

NOTE Confidence: 0.810913899999999

 $00:40:42.530 \longrightarrow 00:40:44.636$ us out during this time period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810913899999999

 $00:40:44.640 \longrightarrow 00:40:46.852$ I know I've missed a bunch of

NOTE Confidence: 0.810913899999999

 $00:40:46.852 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.224$ different people in this area that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.810913899999999

 $00:40:49.224 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.267$ really helped us, and I apologize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810913899999999

00:40:51.267 --> 00:40:52.962 Apologize to those who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.810913899999999

 $00:40:52.962 \longrightarrow 00:40:54.540$ not included in this slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810913899999999 00:40:54.540 --> 00:40:55.200 so Roy, NOTE Confidence: 0.810913899999999

 $00:40:55.200 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.520$ thank you for your

NOTE Confidence: 0.8008387

 $00:40:56.520 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.830$ attention and turn it over to questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8008387

 $00:40:58.830 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.951$ OK thanks Dan. What a wonderful Tour

NOTE Confidence: 0.8008387

00:41:00.951 --> 00:41:03.447 de force in Geo Oncology I'll start.

 $00:41:03.450 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.760$ Please put your questions into the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8008387

 $00:41:05.760 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.320$ But yes, we used to call it the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8008387

00:41:08.320 --> 00:41:09.760 cancer chemistry colloquium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8008387

 $00:41:09.760 \longrightarrow 00:41:12.048$ And we used to have that on Tuesday

NOTE Confidence: 0.8008387

00:41:12.048 --> 00:41:13.946 afternoons up on the hill. Scott Miller,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8008387

00:41:13.946 --> 00:41:15.892 the chair of Chemistry at the time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8008387

 $00:41:15.900 \longrightarrow 00:41:17.568$ and, you know, we organize that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8008387

00:41:17.570 --> 00:41:19.250 That's when Julie Boyer was here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8008387

 $00{:}41{:}19.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}21.514$ and I'm glad to hear that the pro

NOTE Confidence: 0.8008387

 $00:41:21.514 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.429$ tech where it came out of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8008387

 $00{:}41{:}23.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}25.510$ So my question for you is how can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8008387

 $00:41:25.510 \longrightarrow 00:41:27.899$ we do more of these here at Yale?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8008387

 $00:41:27.900 \longrightarrow 00:41:29.568$ Then you have a great mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.8008387

 $00:41:29.568 \longrightarrow 00:41:30.402$ for clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8008387

 $00:41:30.410 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.078$ You know that you've set up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8008387

 $00:41:32.080 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.748$ You have a good patient population.

 $00:41:33.750 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.591$ What are the next step next agents

NOTE Confidence: 0.8008387

00:41:35.591 --> 00:41:36.820 coming through Yale Science?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8008387

 $00:41:36.820 \longrightarrow 00:41:37.380$ Do you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

00:41:37.380 --> 00:41:39.804 think? Well, I mean, I think that there's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

 $00:41:39.810 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.510$ There's a next generation pro tech.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

 $00:41:42.510 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.486$ That looks more active potentially than a RV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

00:41:45.490 --> 00:41:48.150 Then the every 110 and we're moving

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

 $00:41:48.150 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.088$ forth with that in the phase one trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

 $00:41:51.090 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.680$ but I think that the real next

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

 $00{:}41{:}53.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}56.309$ generation will be had had a sequence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

00:41:56.310 --> 00:41:58.290 These had to combine these using

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

 $00:41:58.290 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.526$ our tumor bank to understand how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

 $00{:}42{:}00.526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}02.646$ to use these particular drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

 $00:42:02.650 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.798$ I think also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

 $00:42:04.800 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.705$ I didn't really get into this during

 $00:42:07.705 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.030$ the talk, but but how can we use?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

00:42:11.030 --> 00:42:13.520 How can we include other ethnic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

 $00:42:13.520 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.180$ groups in our treatments?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

 $00:42:15.180 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.250$ It's actually an interesting phenomenon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

 $00:42:17.250 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.215$ Vet there have been publications

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

 $00:42:19.215 \longrightarrow 00:42:21.180$ looking at response rates in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

 $00:42:21.252 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.060$ or survival to chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

00:42:23.060 --> 00:42:25.135 immune therapy and next generation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

 $00{:}42{:}25.135 \to 00{:}42{:}27.210$ hormone therapy in African Americans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

00:42:27.210 --> 00:42:29.898 And it's actually better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

 $00{:}42{:}29.900 --> 00{:}42{:}32.612$ And so we need to get the word

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

 $00:42:32.612 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.289$ out that these trials are open,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

 $00:42:35.290 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.275$ that all should be included

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

 $00:42:37.275 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.909$ an that we don't want to see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

 $00:42:39.910 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.190$ People missed their opportunities to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

00:42:42.190 --> 00:42:44.918 drugs that they can move forward with,

 $00:42:44.920 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.307$ but I I was really surprised to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

 $00:42:47.307 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.828$ see that this data we've actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

 $00:42:49.828 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.654$ been involved with this since our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

 $00:42:52.654 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.833$ original swax these 2004 when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

 $00:42:54.833 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.892$ saw a very very big difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

00:42:56.892 --> 00:42:59.424 in in favor of African Americans

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

 $00:42:59.424 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.690$ with docetaxel chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

 $00:43:00.690 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.868$ Numbers were too low to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

00:43:02.868 --> 00:43:04.320 make any real conclusions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

 $00:43:04.320 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.558$ but Susan Hobby is actually published

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

00:43:06.558 --> 00:43:08.777 on this with combined databases and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

 $00:43:08.777 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.080$ this is something we really have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

 $00{:}43{:}11.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}14.085$ be to move forward with in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8245518

 $00:43:14.085 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.570$ understanding how patients respond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8258163

00:43:16.230 --> 00:43:17.560 Right, I can tell you,

00:43:17.560 --> 00:43:19.688 in my interim role here in the CTO,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8258163

 $00:43:19.690 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.307$ it's been quite noticeable to me that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8258163

00:43:21.307 --> 00:43:23.127 we do need to have more diversity

NOTE Confidence: 0.8258163

00:43:23.127 --> 00:43:24.741 in our populations and that means

NOTE Confidence: 0.8258163

 $00:43:24.798 \longrightarrow 00:43:26.338$ reaching out and building trust.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8258163

 $00{:}43{:}26.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}28.725$ And I know you've been doing some of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8258163

 $00:43:28.730 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.128$ You know, with the cultural ambassadors

NOTE Confidence: 0.8258163

 $00:43:30.128 \longrightarrow 00:43:31.919$ and other groups and providing navigators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8258163

 $00{:}43{:}31.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}33.789$ we have a question from Darrell Martin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8258163

00:43:33.790 --> 00:43:35.449 Renee, do you want to unmute Darrell

NOTE Confidence: 0.8258163

 $00:43:35.449 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.510$ so he can ask the question himself?

NOTE Confidence: 0.69033444

 $00:43:40.250 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.760$ Darrell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9307016

 $00:43:44.660 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.860$ If not, I'll ask it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553526

 $00:43:50.740 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.940$ Well, actually I can ask you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8553526

00:43:51.940 --> 00:43:53.180 'cause you just raised your hand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8123636

 $00:43:59.800 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.923$ OK, any other questions for for Dan Dan tell

00:44:02.923 --> 00:44:06.089 me a little bit about about your Darden.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8123636

 $00:44:06.090 \longrightarrow 00:44:08.802$ Now with Isaac Kim coming as the new

NOTE Confidence: 0.8123636

00:44:08.802 --> 00:44:11.640 chair of Urology, any plans to forge

NOTE Confidence: 0.8123636

00:44:11.640 --> 00:44:13.490 some new collaborations you know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8123636

00:44:13.490 --> 00:44:15.710 Build out the multi modality presence?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8123636

00:44:15.710 --> 00:44:18.670 I know it's still early and he's just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8123636

00:44:18.670 --> 00:44:20.520 been announced, but some thoughts?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8123636

 $00:44:20.520 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.260$ Well, I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8123636

00:44:21.260 --> 00:44:23.850 I've had a couple of conversations already.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8123636

 $00{:}44{:}23.850 \longrightarrow 00{:}44{:}26.524$ You know he's he's really been one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8123636

 $00{:}44{:}26.524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}28.858$ of the Champions. In looking at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81962115

 $00:44:30.890 \longrightarrow 00:44:33.900$ Local treatment in terms of patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.81962115

 $00:44:33.900 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.480$ have metastatic disease, so this actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.81962115

 $00:44:36.480 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.490$ has been known for quite some time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81962115

 $00:44:39.490 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.787$ In fact, one of the sister presentations

 $00:44:42.787 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.694$ that original meeting at presentation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81962115

 $00{:}44{:}45.694 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}48.991$ the texture data at ASCO demonstrated those

NOTE Confidence: 0.81962115

 $00:44:49.072 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.186$ patients who had a radical prostatectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81962115

00:44:52.190 --> 00:44:55.030 As part of their history did better with

NOTE Confidence: 0.81962115

 $00:44:55.030 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.907$ chemotherapy than those who did not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81962115

 $00:44:56.910 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.269$ and this may be a selection factor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81962115

 $00:44:59.270 \longrightarrow 00:45:00.950$ but this has been observed

NOTE Confidence: 0.81962115

 $00:45:00.950 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.958$ by numerous investigators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81962115

 $00{:}45{:}01.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}04.095$ so I zic is actually looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.81962115

 $00:45:04.095 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.670$ a protocol he's bringing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81962115

 $00:45:05.670 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.505$ It was with this with him to evaluate local

NOTE Confidence: 0.81962115

 $00:45:08.505 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.730$ treatment in terms of metastatic disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81962115

 $00:45:10.730 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.070$ Through these patients receive

NOTE Confidence: 0.81962115

 $00:45:12.070 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.075$ a radical prostatectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81962115

 $00:45:13.080 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.488$ Often my patients will ask me that question

NOTE Confidence: 0.81962115

 $00:45:15.488 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.797$ should they get local radiation treatment.

00:45:17.800 --> 00:45:20.474 It's actually part of some of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.81962115

 $00{:}45{:}20.474 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}22.559$ treatment regimen's already to begin with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81962115

 $00:45:22.560 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.100$ So he's going to bring a unique

NOTE Confidence: 0.81962115

 $00:45:24.100 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.610$ look at this particular area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81962115

 $00{:}45{:}25.610 \rightarrow 00{:}45{:}27.038$ and we're going to be collaborating

NOTE Confidence: 0.81962115

 $00:45:27.038 \longrightarrow 00:45:28.697$ on those trials as brothers as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.81962115

 $00:45:28.697 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.237$ as some other trials that will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.81962115

 $00:45:30.288 \longrightarrow 00:45:31.700$ targeting the androgen receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7644819

 $00:45:33.450 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.581$ Excellent excellent yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7644819

 $00:45:34.581 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.605$ He's already called me as to move start

NOTE Confidence: 0.7644819

 $00{:}45{:}37.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}40.422$ moving it through the CTL so we started OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7644819

00:45:40.430 --> 00:45:42.518 Each young Kim had a question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7644819

00:45:42.520 --> 00:45:44.970 Dan at the time of castration resistance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7644819

 $00:45:44.970 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.406$ either primary or secondary, do we do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7644819

 $00:45:47.410 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.498$ We routinely sequence AR androgen receptor?

00:45:51.660 --> 00:45:53.736 So I I've been running, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559534

 $00:45:53.736 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.404$ routinely running this as part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559534

00:45:55.404 --> 00:45:57.256 a platform because we're looking to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559534

 $00:45:57.256 \longrightarrow 00:45:59.110$ select these patients for the trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559534

 $00:45:59.170 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.078$ as part of routine clinical practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559534

 $00:46:01.080 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.388$ The answer is no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559534

00:46:03.390 --> 00:46:06.211 I do not look at and receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559534

00:46:06.211 --> 00:46:08.948 mutations or AR V7 and the reason

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559534

 $00{:}46{:}08.948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}12.268$ why I don't look at it is that the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559534

 $00:46:12.270 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.839$ We do the trial that extra micro,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559534

 $00{:}46{:}14.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}17.132$ which was RPI on this particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559534

00:46:17.132 --> 00:46:19.408 study number of years ago of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559534

00:46:19.408 --> 00:46:21.078 a drug called Glitter Own,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559534

 $00:46:21.080 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.374$ which was supposed to be active in a RV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559534

00:46:24.380 --> 00:46:26.228 7 positive patients and the selection

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559534

 $00{:}46{:}26.228 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}46{:}27.953$ criteria where RV 7 positive

00:46:27.953 --> 00:46:29.685 ITI and minimally symptomatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559534

00:46:29.685 --> 00:46:30.984 or asymptomatic disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559534

 $00:46:30.990 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.699$ and then this is what killed study

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559534

 $00:46:33.699 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.847$ because those patients who are air

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559534

 $00:46:35.847 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.982$ V7 positive tend to be sicker and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559534

 $00:46:38.058 \longrightarrow 00:46:40.028$ have more rapid progression than

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559534

 $00:46:40.028 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.252$ those patients who are in who.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559534

00:46:42.252 --> 00:46:42.756 Or not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559534

 $00{:}46{:}42.756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}44.520$ so I'm not going to really waste

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559534

 $00:46:44.582 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.232$ time on an anti androgen that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559534

 $00:46:46.232 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.141$ I know doesn't work such as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559534

 $00:46:48.141 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.645$ abiraterone enzalutamide go directly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559534

 $00{:}46{:}49.645 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}52.260$ to chemotherapy and we saw that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559534

 $00:46:52.260 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.035$ from the card trial before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559534

 $00:46:55.040 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.098$ So I think that sequencing should be

00:46:57.098 --> 00:46:59.527 done in terms of clinical trials in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559534

00:46:59.527 --> 00:47:01.387 terms of understanding the biology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559534

 $00:47:01.390 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.728$ but not right now in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8559534

 $00:47:03.728 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.730$ routine clinical practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77731293

 $00:47:05.480 \longrightarrow 00:47:07.034$ Thanks Dan, I see that Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.77731293

 $00:47:07.034 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.480$ Bothwell has his hand raised.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77731293

00:47:08.480 --> 00:47:10.664 How do you want to unmute and well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77731293

 $00:47:10.670 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.308$ I have you asked your question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.795827

 $00{:}47{:}15.770 \longrightarrow 00{:}47{:}16.920$ When they will unmute you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7467469

 $00:47:23.180 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.728$ The fear still muted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805553

 $00:47:30.320 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.468$ OK, any other questions or comments?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8805553

 $00:47:32.470 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.630$ So this has been really great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060155

 $00:47:36.810 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.002$ Then tell us a little bit about you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060155

 $00:47:39.002 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.215$ know the network or most of the trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.8060155

 $00:47:41.215 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.599$ open at at at the different sites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994135

 $00{:}47{:}44.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}46.562$ So we've been trying to focus on

 $00:47:46.562 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.764$ what's the best way to balance

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994135

 $00{:}47{:}48.764 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}51.002$ things in terms of our portfolio,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994135

00:47:51.010 --> 00:47:53.834 so we are the phase three type trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994135

 $00:47:53.834 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.739$ or open should be open at the clip.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994135

 $00:47:56.740 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.813$ That care centers we did have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994135

 $00:47:59.813 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.877$ Taxotere Pembroke trial open and we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994135

 $00:48:02.877 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.373$ have a Pembroke enzalutamide study open

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994135

 $00:48:05.454 \longrightarrow 00:48:08.230$ as well at some of the care centers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994135

 $00:48:08.230 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.230$ So we've been trying to expand those trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994135

 $00:48:11.230 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.286$ that are are would normally be seen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994135

 $00:48:14.290 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.190$ In practice, we doing more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994135

 $00:48:16.190 \longrightarrow 00:48:18.836$ The phase one is tripe type trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994135

 $00{:}48{:}18.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}20.735$ Here we actually have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994135

 $00:48:20.735 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.630$ putting patients on at Greenwich.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994135

 $00:48:22.630 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.308$ One of our tax dear patients.

 $00:48:26.310 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.562$ On the Merck study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994135

00:48:27.562 --> 00:48:29.440 is was on there as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994135

 $00:48:29.440 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.856$ so so we are looking to expand these

NOTE Confidence: 0.7994135

 $00:48:31.856 \longrightarrow 00:48:34.140$ trials out to all the different care

NOTE Confidence: 0.78489655

00:48:34.140 --> 00:48:36.018 centers. Great, OK, well we'll give

NOTE Confidence: 0.78489655

00:48:36.018 --> 00:48:37.580 Doctor Bothwell one more chance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81234676

00:48:41.320 --> 00:48:43.259 If not, I think well will end,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81234676

 $00:48:43.260 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.700$ but I just have one thought as we end then

NOTE Confidence: 0.81234676

00:48:45.760 --> 00:48:47.965 it has been nine years and apologized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81234676

00:48:47.970 --> 00:48:49.769 But you know, I've been here 10

NOTE Confidence: 0.81234676

 $00:48:49.769 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.951$ years and one of the first calls I

NOTE Confidence: 0.81234676

 $00:48:51.951 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.941$ got yellows from Jose Milo and who's

NOTE Confidence: 0.81234676

 $00:48:53.941 \longrightarrow 00:48:55.985$ house are are hospital bears his name

NOTE Confidence: 0.81234676

 $00{:}48{:}55.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}58.232$ and he says Roy why do all my friends

NOTE Confidence: 0.81234676

 $00:48:58.232 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.580$ have to go to New York to go in

NOTE Confidence: 0.81234676

 $00:49:00.580 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.369$ clinical trials for prostate cancer?

 $00:49:02.370 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.309$ And I said they will fix that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81234676

 $00{:}49{:}04.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}05.920$ And Dan you certainly have and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.81234676

 $00:49:05.920 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.908$ made us the destination for prostate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81234676

 $00:49:07.910 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.198$ bladder and other tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81234676

 $00:49:09.198 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.486$ And congratulations on your

NOTE Confidence: 0.81234676

 $00{:}49{:}10.486 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}12.169$ program and I think many on the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81234676

00:49:12.170 --> 00:49:14.858 The call here today will now perhaps have

NOTE Confidence: 0.81234676

00:49:14.858 --> 00:49:16.632 opportunities to collaborate with you

NOTE Confidence: 0.81234676

 $00:49:16.632 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.061$ 'cause and build lab to clinic studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81234676

 $00:49:19.070 \longrightarrow 00:49:21.140$ So thank you all for coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.81234676

 $00:49:21.140 \longrightarrow 00:49:22.520$ to grand Rounds today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81234676

 $00:49:22.520 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.150$ I'll just remind everyone that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81234676

 $00{:}49{:}24.150 --> 00{:}49{:}26.572$ on June 25th in the morning we

NOTE Confidence: 0.81234676

00:49:26.572 --> 00:49:28.387 have our annual ASCO review.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81234676

 $00:49:28.390 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.460$ It is virtual again this year.

00:49:30.460 --> 00:49:31.792 It's a little shorter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81234676

 $00{:}49{:}31.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}34.599$ but we're going to be reviewing many topics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81234676

 $00:49:34.600 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.008$ Dan will be there hopefully as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81234676

 $00:49:37.010 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.425$ and actually for a very special treat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81234676

 $00:49:39.430 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.566$ we're going to have Vince Devita

NOTE Confidence: 0.81234676

00:49:41.566 --> 00:49:42.990 interviewed by his daughter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81234676

 $00:49:42.990 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.290$ Talking about the 50th anniversary

NOTE Confidence: 0.81234676

00:49:44.290 --> 00:49:45.590 of the National Cancer Act,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81234676

 $00{:}49{:}45.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}47.410$ so that's going to be very special,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81234676

 $00:49:47.410 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.034$ so I hope to see everyone there

NOTE Confidence: 0.81234676

 $00{:}49{:}49.034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}50.789$ and have a good day everyone.