WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "00:52:59.1440000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.025$ Daddy, thank you so much

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00:00:02.025 \longrightarrow 00:00:03.645$ for joining us today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

00:00:03.650 --> 00:00:05.650 So for today's grand rounds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00{:}00{:}05.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}07.816$ I am thrilled to present our

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00:00:07.816 \dashrightarrow 00:00:10.234$ speaker to Steve Hardy from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

00:00:10.234 --> 00:00:12.030 Dana Farber Cancer Institute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00:00:12.030 \dashrightarrow 00:00:15.102$ Runs the Melanoma program and he also runs

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00:00:15.102 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.405$ the immuno Oncology program up at the Farber.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00:00:18.410 \longrightarrow 00:00:20.405$ He's a professor of medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00:00:20.405 \longrightarrow 00:00:22.400$ and has an endowed chair.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00:00:22.400 \dashrightarrow 00:00:24.400$ He's the Sharon Crowley market.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00:00:24.400 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.849$ Share in Melanoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

00:00:25.849 --> 00:00:28.747 I've known Steve for many years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00:00:28.750 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.675$ He's been on the faculty

 $00:00:30.675 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.600$ at Dana Farber since 1995.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00:00:32.600 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.755$ After finishing his

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00:00:33.755 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.295$ medical school at Cornell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

00:00:35.300 --> 00:00:37.335 Great in his graduate education

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00:00:37.335 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.963$ between the University of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

00:00:38.963 --> 00:00:40.298 Pennsylvania and the Farber,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00:00:40.300 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.225$ Steve has made major contributions

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00:00:42.225 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.765$ to the Melanoma field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00:00:43.770 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.465$ He's lead author or first author on

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00:00:46.465 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.440$ multiple publications in major journals

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

00:00:48.440 --> 00:00:51.464 such as the New England Journal of Medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

00:00:51.470 --> 00:00:52.240 Lancet, Lancet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00:00:52.240 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.780$ Onkologie, and the like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00:00:53.780 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.368$ He's made some similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

00:00:55.368 --> 00:00:56.559 breakthroughs in clinical.

 $00:00:56.560 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.144$ And care as well as in Preclinical Research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00:00:59.150 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.426$ He's pioneered two approaches

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00:01:00.426 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.340$ that I recall that have really

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00:01:02.395 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.213$ changed the landscape of what we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00:01:04.213 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.960$ studying and doing in Melanoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00:01:05.960 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.575$ One is combination of angiogenic

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

00:01:07.575 --> 00:01:08.544 therapies and immunotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00:01:08.550 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.194$ and the other one is combinations

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00{:}01{:}10.194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}12.439$ of GM CSF with with immunotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

00:01:12.440 --> 00:01:14.276 and I believe you'll be talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00:01:14.276 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.000$ at least about the letter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00:01:16.000 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.544$ He has a few other very novel gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

00:01:18.544 --> 00:01:20.208 therapy approaches that he's using,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00{:}01{:}20.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}22.464$ which I think he will be talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00:01:22.464 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.099$ to us about as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00{:}01{:}24.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}25.760$ so we actually originally wanted

00:01:25.760 --> 00:01:27.780 Steve to come down last June.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

00:01:27.780 --> 00:01:30.870 Marcus and I invited him as

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00:01:30.870 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.510$ part of the skins for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

00:01:33.510 --> 00:01:34.136 Administrative core,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00{:}01{:}34.136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}35.075$ but unfortunately wasn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00:01:35.075 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.640$ able to come last June.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00:01:36.640 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.887$ Glad to have him here today and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

00:01:38.887 --> 00:01:41.017 we look forward to seeing him in

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00{:}01{:}41.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}42.896$ person sometime in the near future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

00:01:42.896 --> 00:01:44.148 So without further ado,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00:01:44.150 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.040$ I'd like Steve to take the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00:01:46.040 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.909$ screen over and take it away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00:01:47.910 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.406$ And if you have any questions for Steve,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80248904

 $00{:}01{:}50.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}52.594$ please put them in the chat box.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

00:01:55.620 --> 00:01:57.468 But thank you very much, Harry,

00:01:57.468 --> 00:01:59.008 for the very kind introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:01:59.010 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.978$ And I do hope that we get

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:02:01.978 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.359$ to see every body very soon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

00:02:04.360 --> 00:02:08.077 Let me just get. And if you can verify,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

00:02:08.080 --> 00:02:09.910 you can see slides and everything,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:02:09.910 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.418$ OK, yes, we're seeing it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:02:11.418 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.282$ Well, great. Thanks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:02:12.282 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.405$ And I do hope we can all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

00:02:14.405 --> 00:02:16.010 see each other again soon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

00:02:16.010 --> 00:02:18.264 Everyone is doing well so it's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:02:18.264 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.646$ pleasure to join you all today and I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:02:20.646 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.993$ going to be kind of talking a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:02:22.993 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.465$ bit of leading up to some ways to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:02:25.465 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.990$ think about rational combinations of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:02:26.990 \dashrightarrow 00:02:28.210$ combining with checkpoint blockade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:02:28.210 \longrightarrow 00:02:29.730$ So as a clinical investigator,

 $00{:}02{:}29.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}31.305$ think of of cancer the rapeutics

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00{:}02{:}31.305 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}33.228$ and such that cancer and people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:02:33.228 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.920$ are present for a long time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:02:34.920 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.288$ so there's an opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:02:36.288 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.314$ for antigen recognition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:02:37.320 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.203$ There may be slightly different than what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:02:39.203 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.757$ we see in the transplantable tumor models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

00:02:41.760 --> 00:02:43.024 the transplantable tumors being

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:02:43.024 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.288$ key to understanding mechanisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00{:}02{:}44.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}46.208$ But we've also found in most more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:02:46.208 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.831$ recently that the translation into

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

00:02:47.831 --> 00:02:49.676 into people may be challenging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00{:}02{:}49.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}51.534$ Some of the aspects really to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:02:51.534 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.102$ agonist targets and other things

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

00:02:53.102 --> 00:02:54.992 in terms of the rate from ecology

 $00:02:54.992 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.660$ is also fairly challenging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:02:56.660 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.564$ so in the world in the world

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

00:02:58.564 --> 00:03:00.190 we're living in the checkpoint

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:03:00.190 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.045$ world that we're living in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:03:02.050 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.530$ Why do anti tumor responses are not do

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00{:}03{:}04.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}06.797$ not happen in order to clear cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:03:06.800 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.060$ so they've either never developed?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:03:09.060 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.034$ The immune cells are too few in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:03:11.034 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.780$ number or there are exhausted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:03:12.780 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.455$ and this obviously the exhaustion

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00{:}03{:}14.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}16.130$ is very important within the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

00:03:16.186 --> 00:03:17.426 PD one we're living in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:03:17.430 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.895$ they could physically be excluded

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00{:}03{:}18.895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}20.840$ from the tumor or the antigen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:03:20.840 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.375$ Presenting machinery is somehow disrupted

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:03:22.375 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.870$ with engine loss or or the machine itself,

 $00:03:24.870 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.006$ such as MSE loss,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00{:}03{:}26.006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}28.280$ which we're going to try to address.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:03:28.280 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.772$ Most of these over the next hour or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:03:31.780 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.062$ So in the beginning there was appealing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:03:34.062 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.943$ map antisocial foreign just to use

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:03:35.943 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.629$ Melanoma as as the paradigm example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:03:37.630 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.205$ Traditionally Melanoma would have about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

00:03:39.205 --> 00:03:41.330 11 months median survival for brain Mets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:03:41.330 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.834$ six months or less an now we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:03:43.834 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.646$ with hippie the 22% of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

00:03:45.646 --> 00:03:47.524 now having a long term survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:03:47.524 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.922$ with over 10 years of follow up and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:03:49.922 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.747$ notice on the survival curves that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00{:}03{:}51.747 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}53.625$ there's an inflection point or on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:03:53.625 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.790$ the two and after three year point

00:03:55.790 --> 00:03:57.960 and then the flattening of the curve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00{:}03{:}57.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}00.382$ But we also interesting we see with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:04:00.382 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.186$ no volume app anti PD one this is the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:04:03.190 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.534$ Going to combine data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:04:04.534 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.550$ little bit of mixing apples and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:04:06.620 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.986$ oranges in terms of dose and schedule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:04:08.990 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.354$ All previously treated patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:04:10.354 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.718$ then now those patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00{:}04{:}11.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}13.848$ about 35% are living long term with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

00:04:13.848 --> 00:04:15.894 no volume AB monotherapy and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00{:}04{:}15.894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}18.799$ moving on to the most recent update of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

00:04:18.799 --> 00:04:20.917 the Checkmate 067 trial in Melanoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:04:20.920 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.517$ which was a phase three trial that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:04:23.517 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.600$ combine Knievel plus it be and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

00:04:25.600 --> 00:04:27.400 compared it to Epyx alone Evo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00{:}04{:}27.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}29.446$ Plus it be compared to niveau.

 $00{:}04{:}29.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}30.722$ It was not designed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00{:}04{:}30.722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}32.630$ The caveat here not designed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

00:04:32.700 --> 00:04:33.620 compare Niveau.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

00:04:33.620 --> 00:04:35.796 Happy to Nivo but now with six years

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

00:04:35.796 --> 00:04:37.661 of follow-up you again see this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8336587

 $00:04:37.661 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.557$ inflection point around 2:00 and after

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:04:39.618 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.616$ three years flattening of the curves

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

00:04:41.616 --> 00:04:43.832 with needle plus Tippy, now 56 percent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:04:43.832 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.890$ 57% of patients to living a long

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:04:45.961 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.616$ long term with the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:04:47.620 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.170$ So so the question is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:04:49.170 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.969$ with this kind of as the the new baseline,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00{:}04{:}51.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}55.274$ how can we improve upon these results?

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:04:55.280 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.576$ And we look at very important biomarker data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:04:58.580 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.902$ so the the importance of CD

 $00:05:00.902 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.130$ 8 and the invasive margin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:05:03.130 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.190$ So it's the tumor stromal

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:05:05.190 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.838$ interface and the proximity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:05:06.840 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.675$ The closeness to the PD one PD

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:05:09.675 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.826$ interaction is highly predictive of

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00{:}05{:}11.826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}14.822$ responses to anti PD one therapy again

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:05:14.822 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.668$ in Melanoma and also that PDL one

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:05:17.668 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.842$ is a mark biomarker in now with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:05:20.842 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.189$ numerous diseases that PD one is looked at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:05:24.190 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.246$ PD1PD1 target therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00{:}05{:}25.246 \to 00{:}05{:}27.006$ The back seat when expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:05:27.006 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.819$ on immune infiltrating cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:05:28.820 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.630$ So the new cells themselves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00{:}05{:}30.630 --> 00{:}05{:}31.440 \ mostly \ macrophages,$

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:05:31.440 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.870$ are also predicted responses to hear

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

00:05:33.870 --> 00:05:36.470 anti PDL one because it was a mad

 $00:05:36.470 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.850$ in patients with lung cancer and now

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:05:38.850 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.800$ other countries with kidney cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:05:40.800 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.086$ So to briefly summarize other aspects

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:05:43.086 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.701$ that could be applied to improving upon

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00{:}05{:}45.701 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}48.420$ those results quite a few years ago now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

00:05:48.420 --> 00:05:49.144 Glenn Dranoff,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:05:49.144 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.954$ my longtime mentor and friend,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00{:}05{:}50.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}53.939$ and I did some anti slip or any body trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:05:53.939 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.516$ looking specifically in patients who had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

00:05:56.520 --> 00:05:58.338 Received a prior GMC based vaccine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00{:}05{:}58.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}00.158$ but notice pathologically when they received.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:06:00.160 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.675$ If you limit map that

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

00:06:01.675 --> 00:06:03.190 there was this in panel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:06:03.190 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.960$ a area central necrosis with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:06:04.960 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.820$ room available to around the outside,

 $00:06:06.820 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.764$ and that room available tumor was

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:06:08.764 \dashrightarrow 00:06:10.459$ heavily infiltrated with CD4CD8 CD 20s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:06:10.460 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.104$ But we notice throughout the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:06:12.104 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.965$ that there was an immune attack

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:06:13.965 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.730$ of the vascular vessels feeding

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:06:15.730 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.428$ the deposits depicted in panel F,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:06:17.430 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.470$ and that this these lymphocytes were

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:06:19.470 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.427$ attacking the blood vessels when the

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00{:}06{:}21.427 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}23.324$ product will be in the center and

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:06:23.324 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.084$ probably leading to this TNF like

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00{:}06{:}25.084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}26.869$ effect that we virtualized in pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:06:26.869 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.661$ And so it raises the question of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:06:29.661 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.728$ combination as he was alluding to

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:06:31.728 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.383$ of combining anti angiogenesis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:06:33.448 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.518$ immune checkpoint in the importance

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:06:35.518 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.346$ of hypoxemia.

00:06:36.350 --> 00:06:38.758 If 1A making veg F that not only

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00{:}06{:}38.758 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}40.788$ involved into Genesis but Veg F

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

00:06:40.788 --> 00:06:42.488 being a very potent suppression

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:06:42.488 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.470$ of dentrix cell maturation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00{:}06{:}44.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}46.255$ Another important myeloid as important

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:06:46.255 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.350$ suppressor cells that you might like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

00:06:48.350 --> 00:06:50.545 My Lloyd Wright suppressor cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00{:}06{:}50.545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}52.301$ also make important engineering

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00{:}06{:}52.301 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}54.564$ factors such as Veg F that lead

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00{:}06{:}54.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}56.109$ to also this suppressive feature

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:06:56.109 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.293$ and whether there was a role for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:06:58.300 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.002$ Andrew Genesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:06:59.002 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.459$ in terms of not just being blocked

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:07:01.459 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.630$ for for blood vessel formation but

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:07:03.630 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.002$ also its role in immune activation

 $00:07:06.002 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.658$ and immune suppression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:07:07.660 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.000$ So we looked into this further

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:07:10.000 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.390$ summarizing some work from a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:07:12.390 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.275$ years ago of combining simplified

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:07:14.275 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.638$ paid with entry into Genesis based

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:07:16.638 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.966$ on the vasculopathy that we saw.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:07:18.970 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.675$ I thought the pathologically the

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:07:20.675 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.283$ role of veg F and decrease in

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00{:}07{:}23.283 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}25.363$ the Newcastle maturation work by

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

00:07:25.363 --> 00:07:27.160 George Lucas and others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

00:07:27.160 --> 00:07:28.174 Overcash Jenna natural.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

00:07:28.174 --> 00:07:30.202 Also had shown that blocking Veg

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00{:}07{:}30.202 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}32.158$ F and proved himself trafficking

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:07:32.158 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.498$ across Endothelia and we worked with

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:07:34.562 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.620$ Jed Walchak memorial looking at pre

NOTE Confidence: 0.78244525

 $00:07:36.620 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.002$ treatment levels of Veg F which were

 $00:07:39.002 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.340$ associated with the higher the bowls with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:07:41.404 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.270$ poor outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:07:42.270 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.406$ Both less responses and less reliable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:07:44.410 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.546$ Again guilt by discussed by association.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:07:46.550 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.175$ Then Jeff may play a role with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:07:49.175 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.070$ the new checkpoint blockade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:07:51.070 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.150$ So this is just kind of some summary of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:07:54.150 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.230$ Here's a pet scan of a patient on the top.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

00:07:57.230 --> 00:07:59.519 The CAT scan portion shows his liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:07:59.519 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.895$ metastasis and then that is lit up by pet

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00{:}08{:}01.895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}04.292$ and after just a few months of treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:08:04.292 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.464$ delivery tasks hasn't changed in size,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00{:}08{:}06.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}08.534$ but it has become metabolically cold

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:08:08.534 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.298$ and this trial would it be alone had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:08:11.298 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.758$ response rate of about 35% and 50% of

 $00:08:13.758 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.014$ patients doing doing well with controlled

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:08:16.014 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.547$ the disease for six months or more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:08:18.550 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.220$ So this is a background.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:08:20.220 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.341$ I think the correlatives give you the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:08:22.341 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.538$ basis for this for this combination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:08:24.540 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.231$ and that we look at the pre and on

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:08:27.231 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.398$ treatment on the left and comparing

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:08:29.398 \longrightarrow 00:08:31.594$ to patients who received it be

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00{:}08{:}31.594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}33.876$ alone on treatment on the right and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

00:08:33.876 --> 00:08:35.862 some some control samples and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00{:}08{:}35.862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}37.860$ patients received Bevis is a map.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:08:37.860 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.408$ Anti Veg F had changes in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:08:40.408 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.189$ endothelium as depicted by CD 31,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00{:}08{:}42.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}43.860$ where they morphologically look plumper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

00:08:43.860 --> 00:08:45.192 columnar and biochemically were

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

00:08:45.192 --> 00:08:47.190 activated with up regulation of E.

 $00:08:47.190 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.534$ Selectin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00{:}08{:}47.534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}48.910$ These morphologic and biochemical

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:08:48.910 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.630$ changes and then to filium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:08:50.630 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.380$ Were associated with kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:08:52.380 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.130$ a robot robust in brisk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:08:54.130 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.230$ lymphocytic infiltrated on the larger insets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:08:56.230 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.462$ Here you can see that some red cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

00:08:58.462 --> 00:09:01.303 in the center of the endothelium and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:09:01.303 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.513$ lymphocytes complete surrounding it in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:09:03.582 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.372$ comparison to what we see with it be alone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:09:06.380 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.130$ which is really very little

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:09:08.130 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.180$ changes and aphelion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:09:09.180 \dashrightarrow 00:09:11.630$ In addition, the endothelium with with veg.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:09:11.630 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.422$ F blockade would be versus a mad had

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:09:14.422 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.286$ upregulation of other important anchor

 $00:09:16.286 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.660$ molecules such as the Cam and I Cam that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:09:19.660 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.327$ you can see highlighted and Ethereum here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:09:22.330 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.960$ That also suggests that by

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

00:09:23.960 --> 00:09:26.190 blocking Veg F you can improve,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:09:26.190 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.215$ potentially improve the trafficking of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

00:09:28.215 --> 00:09:31.278 lymphocytes into the to my crime and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00{:}09{:}31.278 \longrightarrow 00{:}09{:}33.222$ this approaching one of the concerns

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:09:33.222 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.670$ we had at the beginning of the talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00{:}09{:}35.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}37.455$ which was whether the lymphocytes

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00{:}09{:}37.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}39.240$ immune cells can actually make

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

00:09:39.297 --> 00:09:40.577 it into my environment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:09:40.580 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.340$ or if they were excluded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:09:42.340 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.251$ So based on this then check went

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

00:09:44.251 --> 00:09:46.428 on to do some bevacizumab trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:09:46.428 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.002$ and they did something very smart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:09:49.010 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.320$ They gave a single dose of bevacizumab

 $00:09:51.320 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.980$ before combining with checkpoint.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:09:52.980 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.500$ Did biopsies before and after above

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:09:55.500 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.584$ an fortunately showed that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

00:09:57.584 --> 00:09:59.908 Bev the Veg FA blockade by itself

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00{:}09{:}59.908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}01.929$ had changes in the endothelium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

00:10:01.930 --> 00:10:03.482 Ann had improved lymphocyte

NOTE Confidence: 0.7596663

 $00:10:03.482 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.258$ trafficking cross.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00{:}10{:}10{:}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}12.934$ Is your one and also the fact that

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:10:12.934 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.600$ the Arnie seek in the tumors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00{:}10{:}15.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}18.356$ had a myeloid signature seems to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

00:10:18.356 --> 00:10:20.558 better when they receive this map.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:10:20.560 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.008$ Again, tying in that myeloid suppressor

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00{:}10{:}23.008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}26.341$ function in the veg F function with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:10:26.341 \longrightarrow 00:10:28.789$ suppressive aspect of the immune system

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:10:28.858 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.616$ and that those patients who had those

00:10:31.616 --> 00:10:34.340 those myeloid cells there and receive Bev

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00{:}10{:}34.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}37.290$ had a market improvement in their outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:10:37.290 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.454$ So this concept has very rapidly progressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:10:40.454 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.152$ and obviously in important issues such

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:10:43.152 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.507$ as kidney cancer that is driven by hip

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

00:10:46.507 --> 00:10:49.115 1A and in Genesis here is anti PDL,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:10:49.120 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.270$ one to value map plus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:10:51.270 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.114 \text{ XD Neb better far}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

00:10:53.114 --> 00:10:55.419 123 inhibitor TKI showing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

00:10:55.419 --> 00:10:57.099 that combination improvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:10:57.099 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.909$ over soon to be alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

00:10:59.910 --> 00:11:02.190 Another day to Pember Lism AB

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:11:02.190 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.529$ plus extended so anti PD 1 + 54.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:11:04.530 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.265$ One 2-3 and innovation versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:11:06.265 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.475$ ended up having improvement in both

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:11:08.475 \longrightarrow 00:11:10.545$ progression free and overall survival.

00:11:10.550 --> 00:11:13.007 And this kind of concept has expanded

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

00:11:13.007 --> 00:11:15.749 very quickly to non small cell lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:11:15.749 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.173$ cancer with some data showing improvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:11:18.247 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.699$ with angiogenesis combinations

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:11:19.699 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.603$ and either will or has probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:11:22.603 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.175$ checkpoint plus anti Andrew Genesis be

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:11:25.175 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.843$ approvals in hepatocellular carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00{:}11{:}26.850 \to 00{:}11{:}29.868$ an amitra carcinoma and with other many

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:11:29.868 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.880$ combinations being being developed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:11:31.880 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.571$ So one of the questions we had is what

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:11:34.571 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.349$ is the role of the immune system in

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:11:37.349 \longrightarrow 00:11:40.204$ this anti into Genesis in terms of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00{:}11{:}40.204 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}42.582$ role of anti genesis and it distract

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:11:42.582 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.070$ effect on on immunity and so in some

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

00:11:45.143 --> 00:11:47.460 of our patients we have a banking

00:11:47.460 --> 00:11:49.688 aspect we collect from the biopsies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00{:}11{:}49.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}51.122$ try isolate Melanoma cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00{:}11{:}51.122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}53.270$ We also collect tumor associated fuel

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:11:53.334 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.266$ cells and also to associated comma stem

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

00:11:55.266 --> 00:11:57.419 cells in a very simple experiment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:11:57.420 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.667$ Western blot or mean blinding here looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:11:59.667 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.920$ at before and after treatment with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:12:01.920 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.700$ Anti introduces checkpoint combinations

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00{:}12{:}03.700 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}12{:}06.370$ and found that patients post treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

00:12:06.436 --> 00:12:08.524 from their human immunity had either

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00{:}12{:}08.524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}10.323$ recognized new an energy targets

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:12:10.323 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.381$ or had higher antibody titers to

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

00:12:12.381 --> 00:12:14.445 certain targets and just of note

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:12:14.445 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.860$ the bottom left hand corner is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:12:16.932 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.157$ these two are individual cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00{:}12{:}19.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}21.666$ Do make tube formation so they are

 $00:12:21.666 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.221$ functional that and so we were interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

00:12:24.221 --> 00:12:26.333 in trying to isolate what those

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:12:26.399 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.949$ targets are because they were seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:12:28.949 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.088$ someone else somewhere seen actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00{:}12{:}31.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}33.278$ on the endothelial cells themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:12:33.280 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.705$ Calls other medical mental illnesses

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:12:34.705 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.517$ and it comes stem cells within

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:12:36.517 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.849$ the tumor micro environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:12:37.850 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.796$ So one of the factors that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

00:12:39.796 --> 00:12:42.602 found in in in doing our kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

00:12:42.602 --> 00:12:44.126 Sidney expression library screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:12:44.126 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.584$ of endothelial cells with this other

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00{:}12{:}46.584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}48.923$ energetic factor in your put into

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00{:}12{:}48.923 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}51.587$ an so it kind of shows that patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:12:51.587 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.661$ can at least make any bodies against

00:12:54.661 --> 00:12:57.358 another engine factory here at age 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00{:}12{:}57.358 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}59.687$ and age 2 is involved with in Genesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:12:59.687 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.186$ through spreading and edit this on migration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

00:13:02.190 --> 00:13:04.200 It's involved with actually anchoring

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:13:04.200 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.890$ the ilium to parasites and kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:13:06.890 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.415$ a scaffold of the deathly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:13:08.420 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.320$ For them to gain structure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:13:10.320 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.092$ and it's also been shown to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:13:13.092 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.860$ involved with resistance to bed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:13:14.860 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.376$ This is a map,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00{:}13{:}16.376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}18.650$ so in many trials mostly chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:13:18.650 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.590$ Those pieces who developed resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.74153435

 $00:13:20.590 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.530$ had higher levels of Angel

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

00:13:22.593 --> 00:13:25.202 Queen too, as a kind of a resistant I

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00{:}13{:}25.202 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}28.264$ now to tie in the Ender Genesis back

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:13:28.264 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.880$ with the potential for immune regulation

 $00:13:30.880 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.743$ and repeating 2 not only signals by

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

00:13:33.743 --> 00:13:36.086 that I receptor found on endothelium,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

00:13:36.086 --> 00:13:38.360 but also tide to accept it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:13:38.360 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.820$ which can be found.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:13:39.820 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.010$ Onto your training monocytes and macrophages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:13:42.010 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.580$ So again, the myeloid component

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:13:43.580 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.959$ here being tide in as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00{:}13{:}45.959 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}47.459$ the link between angiogenesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:13:47.460 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.506$ an macrophage biology and immune suppression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:13:49.510 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.138$ So there could be a dual role for entropy

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:13:52.138 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.477$ into both in terms of the androgenic

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:13:54.477 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.010$ component as well as immune regulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:13:57.010 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.229$ so we were further interest in just

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:13:59.229 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.871$ kind of taking a look at this and

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

00:14:01.871 --> 00:14:03.923 found for number of combinations that

 $00:14:03.923 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.131$ Angel Queen 2 levels were associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:14:06.131 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.263$ with outcomes to treatment so so

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:14:08.263 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.968$ patients who had higher levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00{:}14{:}09.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}10.975$ Andrew Queen 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

00:14:10.975 --> 00:14:13.320 Or changes in between 2 levels did

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:14:13.390 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.042$ worse and that patients who developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

00:14:16.042 --> 00:14:18.620 antibodies to interpret into did better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:14:18.620 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.550$ showing both for patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:14:20.550 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.950$ it be on the bottom here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

00:14:22.950 --> 00:14:26.206 And for patients who received it be plus

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

00:14:26.206 --> 00:14:29.249 businesses map on the top right hand corner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:14:29.250 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.620$ which is the ratio of antibodies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:14:31.620 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.978$ Change two afterwards to pre levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:14:33.980 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.170$ There are a couple of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

00:14:36.170 --> 00:14:38.565 who had high titer antibodies and

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:14:38.565 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.133$ whether entropy to go up there.

00:14:41.140 --> 00:14:42.898 They had the highest danger point

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

00:14:42.898 --> 00:14:44.859 to any bodies that were present,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:14:44.860 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.841$ so the one of the questions is

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

00:14:46.841 --> 00:14:48.466 whether the humoral immunity being

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:14:48.466 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.251$ developed is actually functional an

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:14:50.251 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.489$ we have some data that the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:14:52.489 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.465$ who are making any bias to interpret

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00{:}14{:}54.465 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}56.295$ into some of those antibodies can

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

00:14:56.295 --> 00:14:58.192 actually block Type 2 signaling both

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

00:14:58.192 --> 00:14:59.737 on endothelium and in macrophages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:14:59.740 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.540$ so so the immune system may be very

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

00:15:01.540 --> 00:15:03.603 smart in terms of actually making

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00{:}15{:}03.603 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}04.770$ functional antibodies against

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:15:04.770 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.250$ an engineering factor here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:15:06.250 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.590$ such as interpreting 2.

00:15:08.590 --> 00:15:11.062 So here is some of the data showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:15:11.062 \longrightarrow 00:15:13.451$ that as a function of treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

00:15:13.451 --> 00:15:15.571 patients get higher levels of

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

00:15:15.571 --> 00:15:18.300 agent into anybody's he both with

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:15:18.300 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.530$ patients received hippy dippy Bev.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

00:15:20.530 --> 00:15:24.370 We have data showing anti PD one patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

00:15:24.370 --> 00:15:26.891 also developed any bias to injure

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00{:}15{:}26.891 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}30.050$ Queen 2 as a function of treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00{:}15{:}30.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}32.360$ So one of the questions again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:15:32.360 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.295$ maybe goodbye Sociation is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00{:}15{:}34.295 \to 00{:}15{:}36.979$ is the expression of a gene two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00{:}15{:}36.980 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}15{:}39.367$ which seems to be suppressed by Veja

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00{:}15{:}39.367 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}41.619$ blockade at times associated with these

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:15:41.619 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.935$ myeloid cells in the tumor microman

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:15:43.935 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.217$ now in preclinical animal models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00{:}15{:}46.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}48.915$ people find M1 macrophages as more tumor.

 $00:15:48.920 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.608$ Sidell M2 is more suppressive in humans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00{:}15{:}51.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}53.458$ It's a bit more challenging to

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:15:53.458 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.259$ define M1 versus 2 and it's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:15:56.259 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.765$ more functional characteristics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:15:57.770 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.230$ but he wanted to look at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:16:00.230 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.326$ Patients received if he alone would be Bev.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:16:03.330 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.946$ So we had these samples to look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:16:05.946 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.929$ Angel Queen two expression before

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:16:07.929 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.443$ and after treatment and what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

00:16:10.443 --> 00:16:12.998 found is that with businesses amab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00{:}16{:}13.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}15.712$ if you look both in the tumor and

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:16:15.712 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.074$ and acylium that you get decrease

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00{:}16{:}18.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}20.074$ in entropy into expression as

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:16:20.074 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.289$ a function of the decade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00:16:22.290 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.220$ But with hippie alone endocrine

 $00:16:24.220 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.982$ two seems to increase in both the

NOTE Confidence: 0.78150344

 $00{:}16{:}26.982 \to 00{:}16{:}29.256$ tumor cells and in the endothelium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:16:29.260 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.636$ We then correlated the level of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

00:16:31.640 --> 00:16:33.670 Of Andrew Queen two that we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:16:33.670 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.216$ find in the ilium, to see 163 cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:16:36.216 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.992$ in the tumor marker firemint, CDC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:16:37.992 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.260$ Once we can be a marker for my Lord

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

00:16:40.327 --> 00:16:42.327 suppressor cells, or dendritic cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00{:}16{:}42.327 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}45.297$ and what we found in the dozen or so cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:16:45.297 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.794$ that there was a seems to be a correlation

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00{:}16{:}47.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}50.244$ with age 2 expression in CD163 cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:16:50.250 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.080$ So the higher the age 2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00{:}16{:}52.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}54.816$ the more CD wants 3 cells that were noted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:16:54.820 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.770$ The tumor marker aren't these city

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:16:56.770 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.211$ wants 3 cells would be the cells that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

00:16:59.211 --> 00:17:01.606 had the Type 2 receptors on them and

 $00:17:01.606 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.724$ would part of the myeloid suppression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:17:03.730 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.146$ And so there was kind of a correlation

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:17:06.146 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.445$ between that levels of age 2 in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:17:08.445 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.369$ my suppressor cells and tumor marker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:17:10.370 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.603$ Violent again giving data to suggest that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

00:17:12.603 --> 00:17:14.899 maybe this would be an important target

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:17:14.899 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.813$ to consider from both an androgenic

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:17:16.875 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.970$ standpoint and from immune regulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:17:18.970 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.866$ So moving on to some other plan today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00{:}17{:}21.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}24.396$ working with Summer Amanar Group whose

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00{:}17{:}24.396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}26.510$ the rapist in geologist we preceded

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:17:26.510 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.044$ with a Phase 1B study that tested

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00{:}17{:}29.044 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}31.568$ the activity of PEMBLE is a map anti

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:17:31.568 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.038$ PD one with TRIBUTED which is in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

00:17:34.038 --> 00:17:36.000 Pepto body that blocks both Angel

 $00:17:36.078 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.520$ Green one and interpreting two now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:17:38.520 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.327$ The goal here would be hopefully to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:17:41.327 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.469$ get the interpreting to blockade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

00:17:43.470 --> 00:17:45.432 Black entropy and one would maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

00:17:45.432 --> 00:17:47.749 potentially do a slightly different effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:17:47.750 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.549$ but we know that both veg F in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00{:}17{:}50.549 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}53.998$ cartoon here as well as age 2 have some

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:17:53.998 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.564$ overlapping functions into distinct

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:17:55.564 \dashrightarrow 00:17:58.818$ functions both on their veg F and H2 effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

00:17:58.818 --> 00:18:00.250 On myeloid suppressor cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00{:}18{:}00.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}02.085$ making important factors of style

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:18:02.085 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.658$ 10 and such the potential role for

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00{:}18{:}04.658 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}06.338$ PDL 1 onto associated macrophages

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:18:06.338 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.181$ in the role that the entropy do in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:18:09.181 \longrightarrow 00:18:11.346$ twin two increases that expression on

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:18:11.346 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.276$ tumor social macrophages went back

00:18:13.276 --> 00:18:14.820 to one day regional.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:18:14.820 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.540$ Slide showing how PD one

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:18:16.540 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.260$ immune cells into my crime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00{:}18{:}18.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}20.374$ It was predictive of a Tesla smab

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:18:20.374 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.806$ responses to in lung cancer and other

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

00:18:22.806 --> 00:18:24.990 myeloid cells such as neutrophils and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:18:25.058 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.170$ that are becoming more highlighted in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:18:27.170 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.486$ terms of their role in immune suppression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:18:29.486 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.964$ So we're going to show you the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:18:31.964 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.806$ group of patients that were rolled

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00{:}18{:}33.806 \rightarrow 00{:}18{:}36.072$ in this study were 17 microsatellite

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00{:}18{:}36.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}38.207$ stable patients with clinical cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:18:38.210 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.166$ All had been heavily pretreated meeting

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00{:}18{:}40.166 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}42.680$ time of 2.6 years since her diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:18:42.680 \longrightarrow 00:18:45.432$ In this trial there are no DLT's in

 $00:18:45.432 \longrightarrow 00:18:48.120$ that 30 mixture kid was was determined

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:18:48.120 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.640$ to be the MTD for the Pepto body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:18:51.640 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.920$ There were some truly adverse events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

00:18:53.920 --> 00:18:54.660 Download distention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:18:54.660 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.250$ diarrhea of some some adima which has

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:18:57.250 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.616$ been shown with injury and inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:18:59.620 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.732$ That did you get a little bit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

00:19:02.732 --> 00:19:05.557 third spacing some level of Tita

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00{:}19{:}05.557 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}08.007$ abnormalities but but nothing there

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:19:08.007 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.617$ was there was dose limiting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00{:}19{:}10.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}12.881$ This is the dose escalation for those

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

00:19:12.881 --> 00:19:14.961 treated on the left with multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:19:14.961 \dashrightarrow 00:19:17.397$ different cancers and then on the on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:19:17.400 \dashrightarrow 00:19:19.766$ The right is the colorectal cancer court.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

00:19:19.770 --> 00:19:21.810 Specifically in the first quarter pants

NOTE Confidence: 0.7170105

 $00:19:21.810 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.840$ record, so in the dust escalation,

 $00:19:23.840 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.880$ a number of cancers were treated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00{:}19{:}25.880 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}19{:}26.894$ including colorectal cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00{:}19{:}26.894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}28.900$ ovarian renal cell, showing that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:19:28.900 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.210$ were a couple of partial responses include

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:19:31.270 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.328$ rectal cancer and some stable disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:19:33.330 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.890$ and the writer the spaghetti

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:19:34.890 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.881$ plots for spider plots showing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

00:19:36.881 --> 00:19:38.736 clinical activity in patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00{:}19{:}38.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}40.220$ colorectal cancer who received.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:19:40.220 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.635$ This combination in the color

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:19:41.635 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.050$ took or hurt the meantime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:19:43.050 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.706$ Depression was 2.8 months that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

00:19:44.706 --> 00:19:46.449 meaning of survival of nine months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:19:46.450 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.742$ We're going to go through a

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:19:48.742 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.750$ little just now with this.

 $00:19:50.750 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.518$ So we applied site off which is looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00{:}19{:}53.518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}56.882$ at at staining immune cells in the from

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:19:56.882 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.162$ the purple blood using any bodies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

00:20:00.162 --> 00:20:02.617 have heavy metal conjugation which,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:20:02.620 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.170$ as opposed to doing flow cytometry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:20:05.170 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.290$ are permitted to do 3540

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:20:07.290 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.410$ markers at at a time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:20:09.410 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.888$ and this is looking at patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00{:}20{:}11.888 \rightarrow 00{:}20{:}15.662$ the 1st 12 weeks of cycles of the rapy in

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:20:15.662 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.970$ specifically focusing on the colorectal

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

00:20:17.970 --> 00:20:21.337 cohort and in splitting up patients who.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:20:21.340 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.030$ Received clinical benefit as defined

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:20:23.030 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.190$ as either response or stable disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:20:25.190 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.020$ lasting six months or more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:20:28.020 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.162$ What we found is that there were

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00{:}20{:}30.162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}31.940$ certainly higher CC-3 positive cells

00:20:31.940 --> 00:20:33.925 in patients with clinical benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00{:}20{:}33.925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}36.089$ following their first mission therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00{:}20{:}36.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}38.070$ Both C4G8 cells decrease in patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:20:38.070 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.499$ that did not have clinical benefit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

00:20:40.500 --> 00:20:41.964 CD 8 effector cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:20:41.964 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.330$ specifically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:20:42.330 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.220$ the memory phenotypes decrease the

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:20:44.220 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.498$ patients that do not have clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:20:46.498 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.745$ benefit in both the CD four and

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

00:20:48.745 --> 00:20:50.639 8603 cells decreased in patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:20:50.639 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.609$ who had no clinical benefit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

00:20:52.610 --> 00:20:53.394 In particular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00{:}20{:}53.394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}56.138$ was noted in patients who had rapid

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:20:56.138 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.488$ progressive disease that these cells were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

00:20:58.490 --> 00:21:01.696 Were quite were quite low in decreased,

 $00:21:01.700 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.469$ almost non detectable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:21:04.470 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.950$ We're looking at other phenotypes

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

00:21:05.950 --> 00:21:08.309 in terms of an NK cell analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:21:08.310 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.230$ Those and we're going to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:21:10.230 \longrightarrow 00:21:11.510$ further discussed the potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00{:}21{:}11.562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}13.107$ role for innate immunity here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:21:13.110 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.214$ So this is going to be the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:21:15.214 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.291$ kind of hinted that there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00{:}21{:}17.291 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}19.505$ trends that increases in the city.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

00:21:19.510 --> 00:21:20.706 Fix ceases, Dim CD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

00:21:20.706 --> 00:21:22.201 60 negative cells in patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

00:21:22.201 --> 00:21:23.668 with no clinical benefit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:21:23.670 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.590$ and that is in the CD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:21:25.590 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.510$ 16 positive cells were higher in

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

00:21:27.510 --> 00:21:28.790 patients with clinical benefit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:21:28.790 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.710$ which goes along with the potential

 $00:21:30.710 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.350$ indeed aspects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:21:31.350 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.312$ There are no differences in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:21:33.312 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.293$ CD fix excels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

00:21:34.300 --> 00:21:37.065 According to the cycle or clinical benefits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:21:37.070 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.324$ it didn't seem that it was had

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:21:39.324 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.670$ to do with timing over treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:21:41.670 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.694$ and the CD 56 positive cells were

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:21:44.780 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.865$ higher in patients clinical benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:21:46.865 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.060$ as well as the NK marker Pete 3056

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00{:}21{:}50.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}51.910$ positive cells were higher in

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00{:}21{:}51.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}53.699$ patients received clinical benefit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:21:53.700 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.670$ This was consistent across really

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

00:21:55.670 --> 00:21:58.106 all of the patients with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:21:58.106 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.440$ significance look in my Lloyd cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:22:00.440 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.044$ which you would assume that the Pepto

 $00:22:03.044 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.700$ body might be affecting my itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:22:05.700 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.570$ That Mila cells increased in

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:22:07.570 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.440$ patients with no clinical benefit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:22:09.440 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.350$ but the myeloid derived suppressor

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

00:22:11.350 --> 00:22:13.260 cell phenotypes seems to increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00{:}22{:}13.321 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}15.116$ in patients with no clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00{:}22{:}15.116 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}16.911$ benefit in the classical monocytes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

00:22:16.920 --> 00:22:17.293 Also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:22:17.293 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.158$ increasing patient clinical benefit these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

00:22:19.160 --> 00:22:20.672 The M2 like macrophages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:22:20.672 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.940$ which the markers again are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:22:23.013 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.768$ as well defined in humans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:22:24.770 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.700$ trended to be higher in patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:22:26.700 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.630$ clinical benefit but did not

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

 $00:22:28.700 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.728$ reach statistical significance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76763356

00:22:30.730 --> 00:22:32.599 So the activity of anti PD one

 $00:22:32.599 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.400$ plus the end

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00{:}22{:}33.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}35.490$ two pepta body probando combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:22:35.490 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.884$ may be hindered by increasing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

00:22:37.884 --> 00:22:40.019 price of my life cells leading to

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00{:}22{:}40.019 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}41.620$ decrease in memory and effector

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

00:22:41.620 --> 00:22:42.940 T cell populations interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:22:42.940 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.590$ There was an association between

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

00:22:44.590 --> 00:22:45.910 baseline NK cell activity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

00:22:45.910 --> 00:22:47.926 an expansion of the cytolytic NK

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:22:47.926 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.270$ cells and think that the role of

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:22:50.270 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.136$ the of checkpoint and other things

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:22:52.136 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.490$ on a native beauty needs to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

00:22:54.490 --> 00:22:56.102 fully explored will talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:22:56.102 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.146$ it a bit and we're currently in

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:22:58.146 \longrightarrow 00:23:00.099$ the midst of another enrolling.

 $00:23:00.100 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.900$ Another group of 25 patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00{:}23{:}01.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}03.085$ At Microsoft stable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00{:}23{:}03.085 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}05.850$ colorectal cancer that that core is just

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:23:05.915 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.165$ about finished enrolling right now and

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:23:08.165 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.028$ hope to get further analysis coming out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:23:11.030 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.403$ So I think in general the role

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:23:13.403 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.013$ of angiogenesis and combinations

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:23:15.013 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.588$ is very important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:23:16.590 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.570$ It has the immune activation

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:23:18.570 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.154$ seen by Vjet blockade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00{:}23{:}20.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}21.748$ The benefits and efficacy

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:23:21.748 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.939$ across multiple cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:23:22.940 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.592$ except taxes are manageable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:23:24.592 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.657$ but also to further consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

00:23:26.657 --> 00:23:28.692 other targets an Angel into

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00{:}23{:}28.692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}30.627$ being the next potential target

00:23:30.627 --> 00:23:32.529 serum age 2 levels increase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:23:32.530 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.098$ Increase their social worse

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

00:23:34.098 --> 00:23:36.058 outcomes that veg appliqued me

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:23:36.058 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.578$ temporarily decrease into expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

00:23:37.578 --> 00:23:39.708 in effect things into my Chrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:23:39.710 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.966$ It needs to be a mechanism for resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

00:23:42.966 --> 00:23:45.598 to checkpoint blockade that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00{:}23{:}45.598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}48.214$ think is deserving of further studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:23:48.220 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.286$ So one of the questions and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:23:51.286 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.482$ Harry was alluding to is how can

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:23:54.482 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.722$ we improve the therapeutic index?

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:23:56.730 \longrightarrow 00:23:59.523$ So one of the aspects is bringing

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00{:}23{:}59.523 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}02.560$ bring back the concept of cytokinin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:24:02.560 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.185$ So if you think about the original

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00{:}24{:}05.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}07.305$ reports for SQL Blockchain frequent

 $00:24:07.305 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.053$ battles by Jim Allison that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

00:24:10.053 --> 00:24:13.024 effect of C2 for blockade wasn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:24:13.024 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.499$ really well understood or appreciated

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

00:24:15.499 --> 00:24:18.455 until it was combined with GMCS

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:24:18.455 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.419$ screening tumor cell vaccines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:24:20.420 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.990$ That the really demonstrated the

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:24:22.990 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.046$ synergetic simplistic potential in

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

00:24:25.046 --> 00:24:26.981 preclinical animal models and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00{:}24{:}26.981 \rightarrow 00{:}24{:}29.340$ work that Glenn Dranoff and I did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:24:29.340 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.260$ Together with James accept subpoena to

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:24:31.260 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.000$ missile vaccines with checkpoint blockade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

00:24:33.000 --> 00:24:34.670 Suggested a potential synergistic effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

00:24:34.670 --> 00:24:36.560 but work by Craig Sling off and

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:24:36.560 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.535$ others and many others have had

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:24:38.535 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.375$ concerned that GM may induce

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

00:24:40.375 --> 00:24:42.227 negative regulatory aspects of the

00:24:42.227 --> 00:24:43.987 immune response by promoting Mayo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00{:}24{:}43.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}46.042$ It's impression cells or T regs

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:24:46.042 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.424$ that are in specific in a number

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:24:48.424 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.989$ of studies have been done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:24:49.990 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.321$ so that was kind of the concern

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:24:52.321 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.986$ going into this. So originally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

00:24:53.986 --> 00:24:56.650 Again, going back to just things in Melanoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:24:56.650 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.310$ as a proof of principle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:24:58.310 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.640$ and if he is the first proof of principle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:25:01.640 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.370$ We did this randomized study that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:25:04.370 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.803$ Combined,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:25:04.803 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.267$ hippie noted to be here 10 mix working

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00{:}25{:}08.267 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}11.382$ which is higher than the approved dose

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00{:}25{:}11.382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}14.329$ and had a maintenance portion to it

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:25:14.329 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.390$ with systemic GM CSF versus it be alone.

 $00:25:17.390 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.490$ An date is been published.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00{:}25{:}19.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}21.170$ Progression free survival between

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:25:21.170 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.690$ the two arms was not significant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:25:23.690 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.642$ but interestingly overall survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:25:25.642 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.570$ was significant for the GM's arm

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:25:28.651 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.898$ with the one year rate of survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:25:30.898 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.719$ for it be alone being 52.9 versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:25:33.719 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.503$ 16.9 for the combination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00{:}25{:}35.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}37.234$ Sargramostim or GM CSF.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:25:37.234 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.601$ What will talk about this potential in just

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:25:40.601 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.409$ a moment when we looked at side effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:25:43.410 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.014$ Usually when you combine things you

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00{:}25{:}46.014 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}48.558$ have control over more side effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.76873326

 $00:25:48.558 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.486$ but we looked at the GMR mscramms to

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

00:25:51.568 --> 00:25:53.288 arm versus it be alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:25:53.290 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.285$ The patients in the combination

00:25:55.285 --> 00:25:57.280 had fewer high grade adverse

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

00:25:57.348 --> 00:25:59.208 events compared to be alone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:25:59.210 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.280$ and specifically when we looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:26:01.280 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.204$ at the toxicity types there was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00{:}26{:}04.204 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}06.159$ profound decrease in GI toxicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

00:26:06.160 --> 00:26:08.060 Diarrhea colitis in Poly toxicity

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

00:26:08.060 --> 00:26:10.392 so colitis diarrhea is the biggest

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00{:}26{:}10.392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}12.237$ concern for Epyx itself in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

00:26:12.240 --> 00:26:13.760 In pneumonitis, lung inflammation

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

00:26:13.760 --> 00:26:16.040 being a primary concern for NTP,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:26:16.040 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.940$ one based therapy so found

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:26:17.940 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.840$ that these two target organs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00{:}26{:}19.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}21.740$ having improved benefits with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

00:26:21.740 --> 00:26:22.836 combination, fairly intriguing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:26:22.836 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.984$ So what is the potential mechanisms

 $00:26:24.984 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.347$ that GM improves survival but does

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:26:27.347 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.332$ not improve pressure free survival?

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:26:29.340 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.240$ So GM recruits to drick cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

00:26:31.240 --> 00:26:32.404 macrophages, and presentation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:26:32.404 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.732$ and when you combine this with

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:26:34.732 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.902$ counteracting introductory elements

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

00:26:35.902 --> 00:26:38.074 such as would simply for blockade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

00:26:38.080 --> 00:26:41.048 you could see if surgery happening there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:26:41.050 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.235$ Maybe Jim improves depletion of

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:26:43.235 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.920$ of of regulatory cells that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00{:}26{:}45.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}48.452$ be that there would be important

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:26:48.452 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.019$ when the President GM that's that

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:26:51.019 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.054$ was suggested by Alan Korman.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:26:53.060 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.588$ The importance of of C play 4 in

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:26:55.588 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.670$ terms of depleting regulatory cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

00:26:57.670 --> 00:27:01.470 could be amplified by GM in this UN

 $00:27:01.470 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.644$ coupling of overall survival and progression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00{:}27{:}04.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}06.385$ free survival has been previously

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:27:06.385 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.120$ described for other GMC based

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

00:27:08.180 --> 00:27:10.220 immunological therapeutic approaches,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:27:10.220 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.960$ specifically supercell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:27:10.960 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.440$ Tea and prostate cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

00:27:12.440 --> 00:27:13.920 dendritic cell vaccine has,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00{:}27{:}13.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}16.170$ as part of its component GM put into it

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00{:}27{:}16.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}18.777$ and there was a also a disconnection

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:27:18.777 \longrightarrow 00:27:20.773$ between progression free survival and

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:27:20.773 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.758$ overall survival with an improvement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:27:22.760 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.460$ Overall survival in those studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

00:27:24.460 --> 00:27:25.820 that included super Salty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:27:25.820 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.520$ so it's teen prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:27:27.520 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.220$ So there's another basis for

 $00:27:29.220 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.920$ this observation in the past.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:27:30.920 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.300$ But when we look at the possible

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

00:27:33.300 --> 00:27:35.000 mechanisms of improved Palmer TX,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:27:35.000 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.272$ is Glenn Dranoff had had done the GM

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:27:37.272 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.876$ knockout mouse and and those gym mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

00:27:39.876 --> 00:27:41.460 develop significant lymphoid hyperplasia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:27:41.460 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.880$ This around the Airways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:27:42.880 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.300$ in the lung vasculature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00{:}27{:}44.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}45.784$ They're really clearly demonstrates

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:27:45.784 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.819$ the role of GM in Palmer home stasis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:27:48.820 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.388$ and you can see in panel A is

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:27:51.388 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.816$ a normal mouse lung and panel

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

00:27:53.816 --> 00:27:56.354 B being the GM knockout mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:27:56.360 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.868$ showing the profound inflammation

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:27:57.868 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.999$ in the Airways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:27:59.000 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.698$ In addition,

 $00:27:59.698 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.792$ GM is a cytokinins involved in

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:28:01.792 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.766$ the coastal homeostasis in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:28:03.766 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.786$ really promotes GI equal healing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

00:28:05.790 --> 00:28:07.716 The knockout mice have colitis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:28:07.716 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.981$ this can be partially reversed with

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:28:09.981 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.817$ administering systemic James half.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

00:28:11.820 --> 00:28:14.178 If you look at idiopathic bowel

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00{:}28{:}14.178 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}15.357$ inflammatory bowel disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:28:15.360 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.346$ Jim may have a role there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00{:}28{:}17.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}19.408$ so there are trials of giving GM

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00{:}28{:}19.408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}21.340$ to patient with Crohn's disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:28:21.340 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.604$ They have shown improvement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00{:}28{:}22.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}24.500$ a subset of patients with Crohn's

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:28:24.555 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.275$ disease have high titer neutralizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:28:26.275 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.307$ antibodies to GM.

 $00:28:27.310 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.284$ They show a mechanism weijia may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:28:29.284 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.630$ important in some inflammatory bowel disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

00:28:31.630 --> 00:28:32.954 Patients actually have decreased

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

00:28:32.954 --> 00:28:34.278 levels of GM receptors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:28:34.280 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.648$ again making this combination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:28:35.648 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.453$ So we moved on to doing a randomized

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:28:38.453 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.505$ phase two three trial of submission

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:28:40.505 \longrightarrow 00:28:43.046$ or GM CSF plus nivo it be versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:28:43.046 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.238$ Navy would be alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:28:44.240 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.900$ The primary endpoints were overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00{:}28{:}45.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}47.520$ survival relapse, free survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:28:47.520 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.420$ In in potential reduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00{:}28{:}49.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}52.169$ toxicities Anne's hair and I were

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

00:28:52.169 --> 00:28:53.725 talking right before this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:28:53.730 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.460$ The trial is ongoing in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.76278245

 $00:28:56.460 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.280$ midst of phase three,

00:28:58.280 --> 00:29:02.375 so I think the role of GM is interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

00:29:02.380 --> 00:29:04.416 possibly from exclamation point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:29:04.416 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.961$ but particularly from his possible

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:29:06.961 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.868$ reduction in in side effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:29:08.868 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.854$ in that cytokines may behave in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:29:10.920 \longrightarrow 00:29:12.840$ different organs differently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:29:12.840 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.120$ so the lung and gut,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:29:15.120 \longrightarrow 00:29:17.946$ which is the mainstay of protection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

00:29:17.950 --> 00:29:18.892 Against invading microorganisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

00:29:18.892 --> 00:29:20.776 GM may play a very important

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:29:20.776 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.610$ homeostatic role there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:29:21.610 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.548$ and they play different roles systemically

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

00:29:23.548 --> 00:29:25.269 throughout the other parts of body,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:29:25.270 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.442$ and this is other areas were

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:29:27.442 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.890$ interested in looking at.

 $00:29:28.890 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.164$ So what what drives response to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00{:}29{:}31.164 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}33.328$ checkpoint blockade is kind of next

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:29:33.328 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.351$ next question and we set up some

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

00:29:35.351 --> 00:29:37.415 site off panels looking at patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

00:29:37.415 --> 00:29:39.752 who had received it be alone and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:29:39.752 \longrightarrow 00:29:41.964$ receive PD one alone and spent a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:29:41.964 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.239$ long time saying these panels up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

00:29:44.240 --> 00:29:46.640 And would you find out with the site

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00{:}29{:}46.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}48.454$ offers that doing the experiments

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

00:29:48.454 --> 00:29:50.369 may may become much easier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00{:}29{:}50.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}53.023$ but the analysis of the data from

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:29:53.023 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.160$ computational biologist endpoint

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:29:54.218 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.164$ can be quite onerous and such,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:29:56.170 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.419$ but these are some of the Disney plots that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:29:59.420 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.376$ Be a established looking at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:30:01.376 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.992$ different subsets of cells that

 $00{:}30{:}02.992 --> 00{:}30{:}04.763$ we could look at in the purple

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:30:04.763 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.562$ blood and again focused on patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:30:06.562 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.416$ who had received it be alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:30:08.420 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.760$ Initially in Pembroke alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

00:30:10.760 --> 00:30:13.644 And what we found is that patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:30:13.644 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.309$ who between those two chords,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

00:30:16.310 --> 00:30:18.346 lymphocytes and monocytes frequencies

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00{:}30{:}18.346 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}21.400$ very much differ between responders and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:30:21.468 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.967$ non responders where you can you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:30:23.967 \dashrightarrow 00:30:26.557$ with patients who responded to Penn Bro,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00{:}30{:}26.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}28.268$ there's actually less lymphocytes

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:30:28.268 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.976$ in the purple blood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00{:}30{:}29.980 --> 00{:}30{:}30.358 \ \mathrm{Well},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:30:30.358 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.382$ if that's not the case and that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:30:33.382 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.171$ also are higher monocytes in patients

00:30:36.171 --> 00:30:39.369 responding in the blood to Japan bro.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:30:39.370 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.128$ And then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:30:40.128 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.490$ Sanders and that is not the case with 50.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:30:43.490 \longrightarrow 00:30:45.303$ One of the things that kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:30:45.303 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.751$ fell out in the data set is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

00:30:47.751 --> 00:30:49.722 patients who failed response to anti

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:30:49.722 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.829$ PD one had lower expression of CD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:30:51.830 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.142$ 69 would be in case also kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00{:}30{:}54.142 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}55.404$ activated circulating NK cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:30:55.404 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.294$ in the peripheral blood an we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00{:}30{:}57.294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}59.098$ subsequently have a couple of other

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:30:59.098 \longrightarrow 00:31:00.766$ other datasets working on the kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00{:}31{:}00.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}02.260$ of has confirmed these observations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

00:31:02.260 --> 00:31:04.262 It really brings out the question of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:31:04.262 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.440$ what the role of innate immunity is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:31:06.440 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.414$ Here we know that in case cells

00:31:08.414 --> 00:31:10.310 have PD one in the surface,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00{:}31{:}10.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}12.098$ but when you the most profound

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:31:12.098 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.700$ effects have been on these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

00:31:13.700 --> 00:31:15.552 Circulating activated CD-69 that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00{:}31{:}15.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}18.844$ would be positive NK cells in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:31:18.844 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.442$ peripheral blood that seems to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:31:21.442 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.439$ associated most with pembrolizumab

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:31:23.439 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.290$ efficacy in normal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:31:25.290 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.858$ So with that as a basis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:31:27.860 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.756$ we wanted to say what other aspects of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:31:30.756 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.870$ the tool micro vironment contribute to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00{:}31{:}33.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}35.940$ Maybe Check Point resistance or

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00{:}31{:}35.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}38.957$ efficacy and there we could have many

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:31:38.957 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.585$ lectures on all the areas investigation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:31:41.590 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.990$ We've seen that ACR Anasco recently

00:31:43.990 --> 00:31:47.021 of areas are looking at quote unquote

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:31:47.021 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.733$ resistant mechanisms to PD one blockade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:31:49.740 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.449$ so we talked the importance of having

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:31:52.449 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.379$ seen infiltrate the importance of interferon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:31:55.380 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.432$ In the two microenvironment and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:31:57.432 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.491$ things such as beta two mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

00:31:59.491 --> 00:32:01.987 that may be part of the APC machinery

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:32:02.049 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.150$ or Jack mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:32:03.150 \mathrel{--}{>} 00:32:05.034$ Part of interferon signaling that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

00:32:05.034 --> 00:32:06.870 may be important resistant mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00{:}32{:}06.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}08.555$ but we were further interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.7772081

 $00:32:08.555 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.903$ in looking into that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

00:32:09.910 --> 00:32:12.199 My work with Scott Rodick was simply

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:32:12.199 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.648$ want to look at the protein level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:32:14.650 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.706$ What class one and Class 2 as part

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:32:16.706 \dashrightarrow 00:32:18.646$ of the APC mechanisms are doing

 $00:32:18.646 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.680$ as part of this resistance in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:32:20.748 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.760$ relative to checkpoint blockade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:32:22.760 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.119$ So we took advantage of this study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:32:25.120 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.875$ The so called Checkmate 064

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

00:32:26.875 --> 00:32:27.928 Study investigation study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:32:27.930 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.794$ That randomized patients untreated

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

00:32:29.794 --> 00:32:32.124 patients mellema to either receive

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

00:32:32.124 --> 00:32:34.172 first niveau induction followed by

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

00:32:34.172 --> 00:32:36.446 a four switch to Epyx induction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:32:36.450 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.694$ or the other half of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:32:38.694 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.103$ received it be first induction for

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

00:32:41.103 --> 00:32:43.617 12 weeks followed by NIVEAU and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00{:}32{:}43.617 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}46.248$ then after both induction periods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:32:46.250 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.800$ all the patients got needle maintenance

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:32:48.800 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.360$ and everyone got biopsies before hand.

 $00:32:51.360 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.490$ In between the two inductions

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

00:32:53.490 --> 00:32:54.768 in falling induction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:32:54.770 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.866$ two as well as a collection

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:32:57.866 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.930$ of peripheral blood samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:32:59.930 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.754$ And we want to look specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:33:01.754 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.970$ at the protein level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:33:02.970 \longrightarrow 00:33:05.162$ so this is work and penalty by Scott

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:33:05.162 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.058$ Rodick and looking at class one

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00{:}33{:}07.058 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}09.350$ expression in the left hand column in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:33:09.350 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.790$ Class 2 expression in the right hand column.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00{:}33{:}11.790 \longrightarrow 00{:}33{:}14.830$ And there's a little bit of our part to this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:33:14.830 \longrightarrow 00:33:16.972$ so if you look in a room normal 1/3

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

00:33:16.972 --> 00:33:19.294 and five you have high levels of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:33:19.294 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.369$ class one expression which you should

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

00:33:21.369 --> 00:33:23.334 see in all nucleated cells, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:33:23.334 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.158$ All nucleus should have high class.

 $00:33:25.160 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.134$ My expression three is decrease and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:33:27.134 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.419$ five is almost absence of of class one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:33:29.420 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.000$ But interesting in this tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

00:33:31.000 --> 00:33:32.776 You have your control cells there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:33:32.780 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.550$ You have immune cells there that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:33:34.550 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.435$ have class one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

00:33:35.440 --> 00:33:36.920 That kind of show you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

00:33:36.920 --> 00:33:37.574 I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:33:37.574 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.209$ fairly profoundly that the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00{:}33{:}39.209 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}41.346$ itself is last class one and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00{:}33{:}41.346 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}43.328$ on the right hand column for 246

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:33:43.328 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.972$ you have Class 2 expression of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

00:33:44.972 --> 00:33:46.079 high Class 2 expression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:33:46.079 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.474$ which is typically not all

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:33:47.474 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.060$ that common in cancer Melanoma.

 $00:33:49.060 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.517$ You have medium and then you have no Class

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:33:51.517 \longrightarrow 00:33:54.087$ 2 expression in panel 6 on the bottom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00{:}33{:}54.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}55.734$ Figure what we've done is we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:33:55.734 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.026$ color coded on the top the the level

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:33:58.026 \longrightarrow 00:33:59.736$ of class one expression across all

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:33:59.791 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.486$ the tumors that were evaluated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:34:01.490 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.902$ So the darker has the highest

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:34:03.902 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.108$ class my expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:34:05.110 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.120$ Approaching level all the way down

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:34:07.120 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.507$ to kind of complete loss of classics

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00{:}34{:}09.507 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}11.803$ pressure on the right and then right

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:34:11.874 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.475$ below that is by HC is Class 2 expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:34:14.480 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.190$ Then we looked at both Arnie

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:34:16.190 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.030$ seek and whole exome sequencing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:34:18.030 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.179$ For these samples and so the middle

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00{:}34{:}20.179 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}22.078$ panel shows you Barney seek class

00:34:22.078 --> 00:34:23.914 one expression HLA B&C and on

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:34:23.914 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.004$ the bottom shows you where there

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:34:26.004 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.042$ are mutations and the things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

00:34:28.042 --> 00:34:29.974 you would be most interested in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00{:}34{:}29.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}32.234$ such as beta two and Jack Stack.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:34:32.240 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.676$ So of note there were very few.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

00:34:34.680 --> 00:34:36.606 Mutations and beta to attract Staten.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

00:34:36.610 --> 00:34:38.530 Actually one of the major mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:34:38.530 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.802$ If you look at the left hand portion

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00{:}34{:}40.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}43.222$ and purple where there was a detective

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00{:}34{:}43.222 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}45.007$ beta mutation actually had very

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

00:34:45.071 --> 00:34:46.611 high level of classification by

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00{:}34{:}46.611 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}49.123$ Etsy and we when we look into there

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:34:49.123 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.728$ that that actually patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:34:50.728 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.669$ tumor had about was estimated about

 $00:34:52.669 \longrightarrow 00:34:54.580$ 7% of reeds had abated mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:34:54.580 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.506$ so most of them did not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

00:34:56.510 --> 00:34:58.646 but it was probably a minor

NOTE Confidence: 0.7773844

 $00:34:58.646 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.070$ population and maybe suggest

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:35:00.141 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.428$ mechanisms of acquired

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00{:}35{:}01.428 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}03.573$ resistance going down the road.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00{:}35{:}03.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}06.205$ So we looked at the initial response

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:35:06.205 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.845$ to patients who either on the top

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00{:}35{:}08.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}11.005$ here received hippie first or so

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

00:35:11.086 --> 00:35:13.540 simply for blockade on the bottom,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00{:}35{:}13.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}16.088$ received niveau or anti PD one and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:35:16.088 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.813$ what we found is that the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

00:35:18.813 --> 00:35:21.135 who had reduction in class one

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:35:21.219 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.886$ which was determined to be 50% or

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

00:35:23.886 --> 00:35:26.202 more reduction class one did really

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00{:}35{:}26.202 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}28.820$ poorly to CFO blockade tippy while

 $00:35:28.820 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.120$ patients with reduction class one

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00{:}35{:}31.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}34.238$ did not seem to affect the response

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:35:34.238 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.353$ rates for outcomes to Geneva.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:35:36.360 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.793$ Interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:35:36.793 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.824$ patients who had any Class 2 expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:35:39.824 \longrightarrow 00:35:42.646$ as defined by 1% did really well to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

00:35:42.646 --> 00:35:45.420 Nivo had improvement in outcome to Nivo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:35:45.420 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.528$ but do not seem to affect all

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:35:48.528 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.405$ their clinical outcomes to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00{:}35{:}50.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}52.705$ see full blockade with hippie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:35:52.710 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.310$ So these are the Catman.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:35:54.310 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.658$ Alesis and Gray are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00{:}35{:}55.658 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}35{:}57.680$ Some patients were not a valuable

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:35:57.749 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.744$ few patients an an here we have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:35:59.750 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.350$ the blue being patients who

 $00:36:01.350 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.630$ had intact class one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00{:}36{:}02.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}05.208$ So if greater than 50% or intact class

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:36:05.208 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.149$ one in the red being patients who had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:36:08.149 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.950$ reduction of 50% or or loss of Class 1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:36:10.950 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.515$ The patients who received it

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:36:12.515 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.470$ be first and went to Nivo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:36:14.470 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.030$ You can see here did much much better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:36:17.030 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.130$ Who if they had class one intact

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

00:36:19.130 --> 00:36:20.826 if they had reduction class

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:36:20.826 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.980$ we did very poorly in red.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00{:}36{:}22.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}24.968$ And actually took us a little while

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:36:24.968 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.151$ to figure this out because there were

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

00:36:27.151 --> 00:36:29.059 a number of patients who received

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:36:29.119 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.869$ it be first who are quote unquote

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:36:30.869 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.950$ in not not valuable at 1st and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:36:32.950 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.653$ reason is because some of those

 $00:36:34.653 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.519$ patients who had the lowest class,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00{:}36{:}36{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}38{.}548$ one expression or complete loss of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:36:38.548 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.900$ classic session unfortunately never

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:36:39.957 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.982$ made it to the first set of staging scans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

00:36:41.990 --> 00:36:43.355 They either progress very rapidly

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:36:43.355 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.395$ or passed away early on in their

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:36:45.395 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.017$ treatment course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:36:46.020 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.988$ This is compared to a panel on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00{:}36{:}47.988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}49.793$ right who received niveau 1st and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:36:49.793 \dashrightarrow 00:36:52.070$ there was no difference on their class.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00{:}36{:}52.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}54.908$ One expression on outcomes to Nevo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00{:}36{:}54.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}56.260$ Here's Class 2 expression again

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:36:56.260 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.340$ on the left patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:36:57.340 \longrightarrow 00:36:59.500$ receiving it be first in the right place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:36:59.500 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.850$ For seeing you go first,

 $00:37:00.850 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.010$ there was a slight trend, but not squeeze.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:37:03.010 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.630$ Some big difference for me first,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:37:04.630 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.877$ but the patients who had any Class

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:37:06.877 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.855$ 2 expression did really well to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:37:08.855 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.745$ niveau as depicted by the blue

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:37:10.745 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.636$ line on the right hand panel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:37:12.640 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.200$ So we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:37:13.200 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.160$ Had the Arnie seek data and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00{:}37{:}15.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}17.446$ want to further kind of investigate

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:37:17.446 \longrightarrow 00:37:19.761$ what genes could be related with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:37:19.761 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.316$ a focus on the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:37:21.320 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.364$ Received Niveau 1st and these are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:37:23.364 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.513$ top top jeans that were so sheated

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:37:25.513 \dashrightarrow 00:37:27.688$ with with good outcomes but had

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

00:37:27.688 --> 00:37:29.440 low class one expressions and many

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:37:29.440 \dashrightarrow 00:37:32.120$ of these are in a fair and target genes.

00:37:32.120 --> 00:37:34.304 As you can see as you would expect

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:37:34.304 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.756$ and of no down near the bottom is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:37:36.756 \longrightarrow 00:37:39.277$ I'll 15 which would be a target in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:37:39.277 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.572$ case it was also significant in that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:37:41.572 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.284$ So we looked at the interferon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:37:44.290 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.080$ Genes are associated with outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.7429117

 $00:37:46.080 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.870$ in the patients receive needle

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:37:47.929 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.095$ first. We also had the interference

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00{:}37{:}50.095 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}51.563$ publishing secure from from

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

00:37:51.563 --> 00:37:52.951 mercantile we visited, looked,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:37:52.951 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.117$ would they looked at Penn Bro.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:37:55.120 \dashrightarrow 00:37:57.640$ We had our top 25 jeans and of course

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:37:57.640 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.010$ any good computational group would want

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:38:00.010 \dashrightarrow 00:38:03.060$ to have their own their own signature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:38:03.060 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.587$ So they develop their own infernal legions.

 $00:38:05.590 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.958$ Was had some overlap so that on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00{:}38{:}07.958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}10.270$ left or patient received needle first

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:38:10.270 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.230$ on the writer page received it first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:38:13.230 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.312$ In the patients who had these

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

00:38:15.312 --> 00:38:17.170 signatures and had low class,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

00:38:17.170 --> 00:38:20.026 one expression still did very well to niveau,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:38:20.030 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.514$ but the expression of these genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:38:22.514 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.592$ most of interferon target genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:38:24.592 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.656$ do not affect their outcomes to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

00:38:26.656 --> 00:38:29.659 Epyx and so this would is what you

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00{:}38{:}29.659 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}31.554$ would have would have accepted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:38:31.560 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.128$ When we looked at the patients receive me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:38:34.130 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.167$ go first and had low class one

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:38:36.167 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.488$ expression and looked at what other gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:38:38.488 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.228$ signatures were associated with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:38:40.230 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.470$ The patients again who got needle first.

 $00:38:42.470 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.144$ There were some gammadelta cells that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00{:}38{:}45.144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}47.657$ associated with outcomes as well as an in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

00:38:47.657 --> 00:38:49.539 case signature as depicted on the left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:38:49.540 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.275$ and those signatures were not

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:38:51.275 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.663$ influential for patient received

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:38:52.663 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.669$ it be first in terms of outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:38:54.670 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.672$ we were able to in those patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00{:}38{:}56.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}59.117$ who had low class one expression and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

00:38:59.117 --> 00:39:01.403 good outcomes were able to identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:39:01.470 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.437$ in the two mark apartment by C.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:39:03.440 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.120$ Both Gamma, Delta and in case files.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:39:05.120 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.089$ There were few,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00{:}39{:}06.089 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}08.027$ but they were detectable in those

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:39:08.027 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.719$ in those patients by HC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

00:39:09.720 --> 00:39:11.736 Anne's kind of hinted before I'll

 $00:39:11.736 \longrightarrow 00:39:13.482$ 15 specifically in the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:39:13.482 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.534$ who had low class and expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:39:15.534 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.559$ or single first was associ with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:39:17.559 \longrightarrow 00:39:19.437$ better outcomes in on the left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:39:19.440 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.618$ Here an we're not influencing the outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:39:22.618 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.759$ to page received it first on the right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

00:39:25.760 --> 00:39:27.482 So we were interested trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:39:27.482 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.411$ confirm in some way another group

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

00:39:29.411 --> 00:39:31.571 of samples that were well clinically

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

00:39:31.571 --> 00:39:33.305 annotated for outcomes and went

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00{:}39{:}33.305 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}34.890$ to the Checkmate 069 study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:39:34.890 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.878$ which was a study that had got

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

00:39:36.878 --> 00:39:39.128 the proof on evil Epion Melanoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

00:39:39.130 --> 00:39:41.776 and so there was one Group A source evil

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

00:39:41.776 --> 00:39:44.666 it be an another group that received it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00{:}39{:}44.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}47.082$ be alone and had samples available

 $00:39:47.082 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.886$ for analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:39:47.890 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.114$ While we were able to show is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:39:50.114 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.243$ the patients got it be alone who

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

00:39:52.243 --> 00:39:53.753 are downregulation of class one

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:39:53.814 \dashrightarrow 00:39:55.436$ basically had 100% negative predicted

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:39:55.436 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.104$ value for for both response and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:39:57.104 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.830$ an for poor clinical outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:39:58.830 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.014$ So if they had loss of class one

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:40:01.014 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.949$ again they did very very poorly

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:40:02.949 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.604$ for the patient seen evil.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:40:04.610 \longrightarrow 00:40:06.598$ Plus it be there were there were

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00{:}40{:}06.598 \rightarrow 00{:}40{:}08.558$ trends but there was nothing stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00{:}40{:}08.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}08.884$ Again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:40:08.884 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.476$ Obviously this is not ideal that it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:40:11.476 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.429$ combination that is hard to dissect out.

 $00:40:13.430 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.254$ There was a trend toward the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

00:40:15.254 --> 00:40:16.470 Class 2 being beneficial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:40:16.470 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.300$ but it does not reach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:40:18.300 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.108$ Sickle significance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

00:40:19.108 --> 00:40:21.936 Here are the the mayor analysis for

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

00:40:21.936 --> 00:40:24.019 patients with class one expression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00{:}40{:}24.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}25.982$ again high versus low class profession

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:40:25.982 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.383$ with iffy on the left and evil clip

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00{:}40{:}28.383 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}30.672$ in the right and you can see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:40:30.672 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.742$ difference received it be with low

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:40:32.742 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.613$ class xpression doing very poorly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7566492

 $00:40:34.613 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.350$ It was no difference for patient received

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:40:37.419 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.049$ new needle plus it being.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:40:39.050 \longrightarrow 00:40:41.855$ Nearest Class 2 expression that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:40:41.855 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.369$ again reached had a trend but

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:40:45.369 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.809$ did not reach significance.

00:40:47.810 --> 00:40:50.365 So it appears that secretly for blockade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00{:}40{:}50.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}52.512$ responses are dependent on MHC class

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00{:}40{:}52.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}54.654$ one expression while PD one blockade

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:40:54.654 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.289$ is not entirely dependent class

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00{:}40{:}56.289 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}58.925$ one but is improved with Class 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:40:58.925 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.915$ expression and with also previously

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

00:41:00.915 --> 00:41:02.050 described interference signatures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:41:02.050 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.875$ they really show the importance

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:41:03.875 \longrightarrow 00:41:04.970$ of interfering there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00{:}41{:}04.970 \longrightarrow 00{:}41{:}07.594$ I think this raises a lot of questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:41:07.594 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.806$ and on what other aspects interest to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:41:10.810 \longrightarrow 00:41:12.934$ We are interested in looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:41:12.934 \longrightarrow 00:41:15.112$ other other cancers in the Class

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:41:15.112 \longrightarrow 00:41:17.194$ 2 component which has been also

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:41:17.194 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.598$ reported by other groups importantly.

00:41:19.600 --> 00:41:21.676 Suggest that maybe CD four cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00{:}41{:}21.676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}23.994$ making game in assure on could be

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:41:23.994 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.010$ very important for for PD one

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

00:41:26.082 --> 00:41:28.197 blockade dependence on class one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

00:41:28.200 --> 00:41:30.944 For 64 we've always been interested in using.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:41:30.950 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.670$ This is a predictive marker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:41:32.670 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.716$ Prognostic studies and are looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

00:41:34.716 --> 00:41:36.799 other groups of patients both needle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00{:}41{:}36.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}39.770$ plus if it in order to to try to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:41:39.851 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.101$ say if patient has downregulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00{:}41{:}42.101 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}45.190$ class one which should it be considered

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:41:45.190 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.857$ or not as part of the regiment?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

00:41:47.860 --> 00:41:50.734 So we're really now applying newer

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00{:}41{:}50.734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}53.319$ technologies to understand the spatial

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

00:41:53.319 --> 00:41:55.679 relationships with immune cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:41:55.680 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.654$ tumor environment,

 $00:41:56.654 \longrightarrow 00:41:59.576$ doing both MIDI and other other

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00{:}41{:}59.576 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}42{:}01.410$ important imaging techniques,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:42:01.410 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.512$ sisyphe and others to understand the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:42:04.512 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.140$ interactions of these immune cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

00:42:07.140 --> 00:42:09.224 Myeloid cells CD4 CD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:42:09.224 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.308$ eight other other aspects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:42:11.310 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.070$ as well as the APC machinery

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00{:}42{:}14.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}16.686$ nearest neighbors to understand the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:42:16.686 \longrightarrow 00:42:18.759$ interaction between requirement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:42:18.760 \longrightarrow 00:42:21.336$ We think it's important to better define

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:42:21.336 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.460$ the role of Class 2 and CD4 cells as

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:42:24.460 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.108$ well as the potential for Nate immunity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:42:27.110 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.920$ We have some hints there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:42:28.920 \longrightarrow 00:42:31.153$ both from their early site off as

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:42:31.153 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.928$ well as some of the aspects and

 $00:42:33.928 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.113$ looking the difference between needle

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

00:42:36.113 --> 00:42:38.214 versus AP or simply for speedy

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:42:38.214 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.536$ one or the control for NK cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

00:42:40.536 --> 00:42:41.988 Even with checkpoint blockade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

00:42:41.990 --> 00:42:43.290 important role of cytokines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:42:43.290 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.717$ both the efficacy as well as some

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:42:45.717 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.365$ examples of possible reduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:42:47.365 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.013$ in in toxicities combination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:42:49.020 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.316$ Drink factors and really using data for

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:42:51.316 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.721$ rational combinations of checkpoint

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:42:52.721 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.917$ blockade based therapies in the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:42:54.920 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.936$ So many of the things I've discussed

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:42:56.936 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.537$ with you today were investigating

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00{:}42{:}58.537 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}00.232$ initiated and it's always very

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:43:00.232 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.549$ hard to do investigation studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:43:02.550 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.196$ And this is just a list of the many

00:43:05.196 --> 00:43:07.715 folks that were involved with these

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00{:}43{:}07.715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}10.246$ studies in the approaches that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:43:10.246 \longrightarrow 00:43:12.353$ result for them and I just want

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00:43:12.353 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.964$ to pay pay thanks to them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7055627

 $00{:}43{:}14.964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}17.970$ And these are my disclosures too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9041823

 $00:43:22.570 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.290$ Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85573786

 $00:43:25.710 \longrightarrow 00:43:26.601$ Thank you Steve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85573786

 $00{:}43{:}26.601 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}28.680$ I'm going to ask folks to please

NOTE Confidence: 0.85573786

 $00:43:28.746 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.810$ enter questions into the chat box,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85573786

 $00{:}43{:}30.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}33.085$ but while folks do that I will

NOTE Confidence: 0.85573786

 $00:43:33.085 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.640$ start with one or two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85573786

 $00:43:34.640 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.728$ That's OK with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85573786

 $00{:}43{:}37.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}39.596$ So first question was the dose

NOTE Confidence: 0.85573786

00:43:39.596 --> 00:43:41.399 delivery better when you gave the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85573786

00:43:41.399 --> 00:43:43.156 AP and the GM CSF versus APLON?

 $00:43:43.160 \longrightarrow 00:43:44.030$ In other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85573786

 $00:43:44.030 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.310$ were you able to get more cycles in?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7635931

 $00:43:47.310 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.710$ Now that's a very it's very good question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7635931

 $00:43:49.710 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.170$ So when and when we looked at that and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7635931

 $00:43:52.230 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.806$ response to some of the reviewers comments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7635931

 $00:43:54.810 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.436$ is there was good question there

NOTE Confidence: 0.7635931

 $00{:}43{:}56.436 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}43{:}58.542$ done not seem to be a significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.7635931

 $00:43:58.542 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.147$ difference in number of cycles

NOTE Confidence: 0.7635931

 $00{:}44{:}00.147 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}02.007$ there were between the two arms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7635931

 $00:44:02.010 \longrightarrow 00:44:03.810$ so so that's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7635931

 $00{:}44{:}03.810 --> 00{:}44{:}05.610$ So if you reduce the we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7635931

 $00:44:05.610 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.810$ know that super blockade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7635931

 $00:44:06.810 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.458$ we know that if he is dose dependent, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7635931

 $00:44:09.458 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.690$ We know going from 3 to 10 you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.7635931

00:44:11.756 --> 00:44:13.706 more toxicity and more responses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7635931

 $00:44:13.710 \longrightarrow 00:44:15.648$ and so the question is great

 $00:44:15.648 \longrightarrow 00:44:17.322$ question is whether you could

NOTE Confidence: 0.7635931

00:44:17.322 --> 00:44:19.236 just get more more Dragon and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7635931

00:44:19.240 --> 00:44:20.638 Watching at least between the storms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7635931

 $00:44:20.640 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.271$ do not seem to be a significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.7635931

 $00:44:22.271 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.220$ difference between between that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7635931

 $00:44:23.220 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.977$ but that's a great question and something

NOTE Confidence: 0.7635931

 $00:44:24.977 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.798$ we need to continue to look into as

NOTE Confidence: 0.7635931

 $00:44:26.798 \longrightarrow 00:44:28.827$ we move on to the needle at the study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842177

00:44:29.650 --> 00:44:32.110 Let's not thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842177

00:44:32.110 --> 00:44:33.558 Expression is So what

NOTE Confidence: 0.842177

 $00{:}44{:}33.558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}35.006$ directions are you planning

NOTE Confidence: 0.842177

 $00:44:35.006 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.660$ on taking for class one?

NOTE Confidence: 0.842177

00:44:36.660 --> 00:44:37.360 Deficient melanomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.796128

 $00:44:38.600 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.749$ Yeah, we we number one have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.796128

 $00:44:40.749 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.800$ interested in looking at whether the

 $00:44:42.800 \longrightarrow 00:44:44.580$ class one observation is important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.796128

 $00{:}44{:}44.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}46.869$ Other cancers Sony would be is now

NOTE Confidence: 0.796128

00:44:46.869 --> 00:44:49.395 a lung cancer and kidney cancer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.796128

 $00:44:49.395 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.956$ we've been trying to gain access to

NOTE Confidence: 0.796128

 $00:44:51.956 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.196$ some of them just to see you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.796128

 $00:44:54.200 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.198$ does does class one influence that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.796128

 $00:44:56.200 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.860$ So that's the first thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.796128

 $00:44:57.860 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.981$ The other thing is we were kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.796128

 $00{:}44{:}59.981 \to 00{:}45{:}02.168$ of interested to look at a just

NOTE Confidence: 0.796128

00:45:02.168 --> 00:45:03.683 even a biomarker driven trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.796128

 $00{:}45{:}03.683 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}05.819$ for classroom expression to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.796128

 $00:45:05.820 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.580$ should patients who have low

NOTE Confidence: 0.796128

 $00:45:07.580 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.636$ class work session.

NOTE Confidence: 0.796128

 $00:45:08.640 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.522$ Getting it be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.796128

00:45:09.522 --> 00:45:11.286 or if there's an efficacy change,

NOTE Confidence: 0.796128

 $00:45:11.290 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.916$ we just haven't been able to

 $00{:}45{:}12.916 \to 00{:}45{:}14.942$ get traction to do such trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.796128

 $00:45:14.942 \longrightarrow 00:45:16.259$ and logistical standpoint.

NOTE Confidence: 0.796128

 $00:45:16.260 \longrightarrow 00:45:16.540$ Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8072471

00:45:16.540 --> 00:45:17.910 it's very complicated and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8072471

 $00:45:17.910 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.870$ got a lot of them going on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8072471

 $00:45:19.870 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.538$ so there's a question from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8072471

00:45:21.538 --> 00:45:22.650 audience from Antonio Muro.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8072471

 $00{:}45{:}22.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}24.040$ Thank you for your presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8072471

 $00:45:24.040 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.708$ By the way, thank you for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8072471

 $00:45:25.708 \longrightarrow 00:45:26.820$ the presentation is a wesome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8072471

00:45:26.820 --> 00:45:28.766 It's going to end up with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8072471

 $00:45:28.770 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.160$ but in the GBM trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8072471

 $00{:}45{:}30.160 {\: \hbox{\scriptsize -->}}\> 00{:}45{:}30.994$ comparing neighbor versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.8072471

 $00:45:30.994 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.106$ Beth patients with PD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8072471

00:45:32.110 --> 00:45:33.218 L1 expression survived longer

 $00:45:33.218 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.326$ if they received bags.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8072471

 $00:45:34.330 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.004$ Do you have any ideas as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8072471

 $00:45:36.004 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.390$ to why that could be?

NOTE Confidence: 0.93798274

 $00:45:38.660 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.000$ Yeah so. One of the questions that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.93798274

 $00:45:43.000 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.380$ be confusing is whether the PEO expressions

NOTE Confidence: 0.93798274

 $00:45:45.380 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.739$ on the tumor on the mileage component

NOTE Confidence: 0.93798274

 $00:45:47.806 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.362$ so in in brain tumors you gonna have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93798274

 $00:45:50.362 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.300$ myeloid like cells or run that probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.93798274

 $00{:}45{:}52.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}54.808$ have a lot of people and expression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93798274

 $00:45:54.810 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.636$ and so one of the hints from the Genentech

NOTE Confidence: 0.93798274

 $00{:}45{:}57.636 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}00.569$ trial is that the immune cells express PD L1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93798274

 $00:46:00.570 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.810$ The myeloid cells also for both markers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93798274

 $00:46:02.810 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.844$ For predicting this to improve outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.93798274

00:46:04.844 --> 00:46:07.329 to budget blockade and so depending upon

NOTE Confidence: 0.93798274

 $00:46:07.329 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.465$ you know sometimes maybe more myeloid

NOTE Confidence: 0.93798274

 $00:46:09.465 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.515$ dependent on my my Lord Rich and others.

00:46:11.520 --> 00:46:13.578 Include by blocking veg F for engineering

NOTE Confidence: 0.93798274

 $00:46:13.578 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.459$ factors you actually having a greater

NOTE Confidence: 0.93798274

00:46:15.459 --> 00:46:17.044 effect on that myeloid suppressor

NOTE Confidence: 0.93798274

00:46:17.044 --> 00:46:18.586 compartment that my suppressor effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.93798274

 $00:46:18.586 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.574$ then then actually on the tumor itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93798274

 $00:46:20.580 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.392$ So that would mean without having

NOTE Confidence: 0.93798274

 $00:46:22.392 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.298$ a definitive answer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93798274

 $00:46:23.300 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.484$ that that would be one of the hypotheses

NOTE Confidence: 0.93798274

 $00:46:25.484 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.627$ is that you're when you're blocking with

NOTE Confidence: 0.93798274

00:46:27.627 --> 00:46:30.165 Bev that you that you may be affecting

NOTE Confidence: 0.93798274

 $00:46:30.165 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.356$ both your immune cells that are pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.93798274

 $00:46:32.360 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.416$ one positive as well as as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.93798274

 $00{:}46{:}34.416 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}36.279$ the myeloid component that affects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93798274

 $00:46:36.280 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.300$ and so if the tumor is is is more dependent

NOTE Confidence: 0.93798274

 $00:46:39.371 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.963$ on myeloid cells or in such that you.

 $00:46:41.970 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.897$ Milo suppressive cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93798274

00:46:42.897 --> 00:46:44.751 You may be having a benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.93798274

 $00:46:44.751 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.439$ by blocking benchev there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93798274

 $00:46:46.440 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.855$ That's again something that needs to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.93798274

00:46:48.855 --> 00:46:50.569 more definitively determine in patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93798274

 $00:46:50.570 \longrightarrow 00:46:52.628$ but I think a reasonable hypothesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769605800000001

 $00:46:53.450 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.417$ Now then, maybe Antonio can respond to

NOTE Confidence: 0.769605800000001

00:46:55.417 --> 00:46:57.288 the question of whether it's actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.769605800000001

00:46:57.288 --> 00:46:59.226 primarily expressed in the my load

NOTE Confidence: 0.769605800000001

 $00:46:59.226 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.376$ cells or in the glioma cells themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769605800000001

 $00:47:01.380 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.272$ 'cause I've seen some really strongly

NOTE Confidence: 0.769605800000001

 $00:47:04.272 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.200$ positive gliomas tumor cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769605800000001

 $00:47:06.200 \longrightarrow 00:47:07.082$ It is interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769605800000001

 $00:47:07.082 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.846$ then why folks into other questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769605800000001

00:47:08.850 --> 00:47:11.325 I'm going to ask you a question about your

NOTE Confidence: 0.769605800000001

 $00:47:11.325 \longrightarrow 00:47:13.837$ early date on the vasculopathy with the CTA.

 $00:47:13.840 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.868$ Four at that pic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769605800000001

00:47:14.868 --> 00:47:16.153 That figure that your shoulder

NOTE Confidence: 0.769605800000001

 $00:47:16.153 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.670$ is actually quite stunning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769605800000001

00:47:17.670 --> 00:47:19.714 Do you think that that's organ dependent?

NOTE Confidence: 0.769605800000001 00:47:19.720 --> 00:47:20.308 More likely. NOTE Confidence: 0.769605800000001

 $00:47:20.308 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.366$ See it in the liver or whatever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769605800000001

 $00:47:22.370 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.470$ Or do you think and maybe you've

NOTE Confidence: 0.769605800000001

 $00:47:24.470 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.779$ had a chance to look at that now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7719938

 $00:47:26.780 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.544$ so we've so, at least in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7719938

 $00:47:28.544 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.720$ that very early stage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7719938

 $00{:}47{:}29.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}31.841$ Way back when they do not seem

NOTE Confidence: 0.7719938

 $00:47:31.841 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.539$ to be organized and we had,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7719938

 $00:47:33.540 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.018$ we saw the best drop, the many different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7719938

 $00:47:36.018 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.034$ many different organs that were biopsied.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7719938

 $00:47:38.040 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.600$ And. In so we've also as many are looking

 $00:47:42.600 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.679$ at tertiary lyford's liquid structures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7719938

00:47:44.680 --> 00:47:45.968 That anti interests may

NOTE Confidence: 0.7719938

 $00:47:45.968 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.256$ also be influenced in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7719938

00:47:47.260 --> 00:47:49.676 So we actually saw a large I didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.7719938

 $00:47:49.676 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.790$ think into this into much detail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7719938

00:47:51.790 --> 00:47:53.400 A number of tertiary lymphoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.7719938

00:47:53.400 --> 00:47:54.688 structures associated with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7719938

 $00:47:54.690 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.776$ and you think that maybe those are

NOTE Confidence: 0.7719938

 $00{:}47{:}56.776 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}47{:}58.797$ making any bodies and those mission

NOTE Confidence: 0.7719938

 $00:47:58.797 \longrightarrow 00:48:00.402$ with good outcomes, but that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7719938

 $00:48:00.402 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.299$ but as we're looking at for these

NOTE Confidence: 0.7719938

00:48:02.299 --> 00:48:03.410 traditional infrastructures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7719938

 $00:48:03.410 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.348$ we also saw these vast properties

NOTE Confidence: 0.7719938

 $00:48:05.348 \longrightarrow 00:48:06.640$ in many different organs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7719938

 $00:48:06.640 \longrightarrow 00:48:08.578$ so there's different degrees of it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7719938

 $00:48:08.580 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.990$ but but it does not seem to be working.

 $00:48:11.990 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.190$ It.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7564188

00:48:13.280 --> 00:48:15.518 Interesting, and then if you followed

NOTE Confidence: 0.7564188

00:48:15.518 --> 00:48:18.448 followed up on your studies of the angio,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7564188

 $00:48:18.450 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.180$ the edge two expression in the Tigers

NOTE Confidence: 0.7564188

 $00{:}48{:}21.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}23.607$ in patients who got deep in Eva.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7564188

 $00:48:23.610 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.830$ No, there's blood studies that your

NOTE Confidence: 0.7564188

 $00:48:25.830 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.670$ shirt yeah yeah yeah, yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7564188

 $00:48:27.670 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.340$ So we so with evil if he also and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7564188

00:48:30.419 --> 00:48:33.331 do have some data that we're putting

NOTE Confidence: 0.7564188

 $00:48:33.331 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.878$ together that while the antibody titers

NOTE Confidence: 0.7564188

 $00:48:35.878 \longrightarrow 00:48:38.734$ are not as the increase in anybody,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7564188

 $00:48:38.740 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.590$ titers are not as high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7564188

 $00{:}48{:}40.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}42.870$ If the patient had received which.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7564188

00:48:42.870 --> 00:48:44.660 Maybe again suggest maybe there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7564188

 $00:48:44.660 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.450$ some humanity effects to anti

 $00:48:46.516 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.281$ Backdraft patients who did develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.7564188

 $00{:}48{:}48.281 \longrightarrow 00{:}48{:}50.390$ antibodies to enter into an evil.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7564188

 $00:48:50.390 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.889$ If he did seem to do better

NOTE Confidence: 0.7564188

 $00:48:52.889 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.680$ than patients who did not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7564188

 $00:48:54.680 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.828$ but the waiting to do our

NOTE Confidence: 0.7564188

00:48:56.828 --> 00:48:58.260 confirmatory cord right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

 $00:48:59.550 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.464$ That's great, and then so you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

00:49:01.464 --> 00:49:03.427 planning on following up on those

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

 $00{:}49{:}03.427 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}04.987$ studies with the engine point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

 $00:49:04.990 \longrightarrow 00:49:06.650$ and we wanted to inhibitors

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

 $00:49:06.650 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.674$ in other cancers or with EPI

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

 $00:49:08.674 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.426$ Nevo as opposed to a dragon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

 $00:49:10.430 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.990$ Yeah, so I heard you're always my therapist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

00:49:12.990 --> 00:49:15.234 It's always been challenging to gain

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

 $00:49:15.234 \longrightarrow 00:49:17.857$ access to these drugs for grade to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

 $00{:}49{:}17.857 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}20.029$ some of the the stage you want to,

 $00:49:20.030 \longrightarrow 00:49:22.270$ so that's been one of the challenges,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

 $00:49:22.270 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.811$ but there are. There are some companies

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

 $00:49:24.811 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.067$ that are looking at at dual veg F.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

00:49:27.070 --> 00:49:28.334 H2 inhibition in cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

00:49:28.334 --> 00:49:31.100 and I think that those studies will probably.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

00:49:31.100 --> 00:49:32.300 People shed further light,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

 $00:49:32.300 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.100$ so when you block veg F,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

 $00:49:34.100 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.095$ Ange two goes down temporarily and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

00:49:36.095 --> 00:49:38.487 H2 comes up is kind of resistant mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

 $00:49:38.487 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.639$ and so the question is whether you

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

00:49:40.639 --> 00:49:42.788 whether the blocking age 2 would would

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

 $00:49:42.788 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.467$ also be beneficial with veg F and likelihood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

00:49:46.470 --> 00:49:49.088 It probably would be in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

 $00:49:49.088 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.009$ if these hypotheses are right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

 $00:49:51.010 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.523$ but effect PFS because because you'll be

00:49:53.523 --> 00:49:56.299 blocking into an once resistance comes out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

 $00:49:56.300 \longrightarrow 00:49:58.610$ so those some of those trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

 $00:49:58.610 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.460$ are being done early on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

 $00:50:00.460 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.686$ H2 inhibitors that were studied were

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

 $00:50:02.686 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.373$ many of the companies have shelved that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

 $00:50:05.373 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.635$ as a target because the inhibitors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

 $00{:}50{:}07.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}09.788$ when combined with chemo, didn't seem

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

 $00:50:09.788 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.549$ to do any better than blocking VEGF,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

 $00{:}50{:}12.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}14.818$ combining chemo, and so there was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

00:50:14.820 --> 00:50:16.452 I think, less enthusiasm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

00:50:16.452 --> 00:50:17.676 At that point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

 $00:50:17.680 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.362$ hopefully some of the mechanism questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

 $00:50:20.362 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.081$ and the questions and combining with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

 $00:50:23.081 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.637$ checkpoint blockade may may give a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

 $00:50:25.637 \longrightarrow 00:50:28.394$ little bit of impetus to to look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

 $00:50:28.394 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.416$ this further in in cancer the rapeutics

 $00:50:30.416 \longrightarrow 00:50:32.804$ by combining with checkpoint and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

 $00:50:32.804 \longrightarrow 00:50:34.798$ the complexities of indigenous actors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

 $00:50:34.800 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.785$ so hopefully there will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

 $00:50:36.785 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.373$ some reinitiation of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7686038

 $00:50:38.380 \longrightarrow 00:50:39.568$ So what happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.766523

 $00{:}50{:}39.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}42.475$ to entry point into levels when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.766523

00:50:42.475 --> 00:50:45.709 give of edge of receptor inhibitor?

NOTE Confidence: 0.766523

 $00:50:45.710 \longrightarrow 00:50:47.166$ As opposed to especially

NOTE Confidence: 0.766523

00:50:47.166 --> 00:50:48.610 The Dirty inhibitors, yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79777086

 $00:50:48.610 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.102$ I don't. I don't think I haven't seen any

NOTE Confidence: 0.79777086

 $00:50:52.102 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.356$ data that specifically looked at that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79777086

 $00{:}50{:}55.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}58.506$ We went yeah yeah but I think yeah

NOTE Confidence: 0.79777086

 $00:50:58.506 \longrightarrow 00:51:02.044$ yeah so I think that you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.79777086

 $00:51:02.044 \longrightarrow 00:51:05.170$ the the kidney cancer and and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79777086

 $00:51:05.170 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.430$ The all those, all those states

 $00:51:06.430 \longrightarrow 00:51:07.879$ could look at the issue levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79777086

00:51:07.880 --> 00:51:09.914 I have not been able to look at that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79777086

 $00:51:09.920 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.040$ but that's a very good question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79777086

 $00:51:13.040 \longrightarrow 00:51:15.164$ Maybe even even the Lebanon studies have

NOTE Confidence: 0.79777086

 $00:51:15.164 \longrightarrow 00:51:17.491$ been coming out in Melanoma and others

NOTE Confidence: 0.79777086

00:51:17.491 --> 00:51:19.633 are also very interesting in Aladdin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79777086

00:51:19.640 --> 00:51:20.960 Bullhead veg F receptors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79777086

 $00:51:20.960 \longrightarrow 00:51:22.610$ also FGF in those studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79777086

 $00{:}51{:}22.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}24.476$ Some of them just recently presented

NOTE Confidence: 0.79777086

 $00{:}51{:}24.476 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}26.821$ at ASCO are also kind of indicative

NOTE Confidence: 0.79777086

 $00:51:26.821 \longrightarrow 00:51:28.546$ of this of this combination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79777086

 $00:51:28.550 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.860$ so then looking at other its effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79777086

 $00{:}51{:}30.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}32.305$ another energetic factors age 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.79777086

00:51:32.305 --> 00:51:34.490 I think would be an opportunity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85894936

00:51:36.790 --> 00:51:38.646 So Steve is a final question for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85894936

00:51:38.650 --> 00:51:40.232 What do you think the next big

 $00:51:40.232 \longrightarrow 00:51:41.919$ hit is going to be in Melanoma?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85894936

 $00{:}51{:}41.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}43.516$ Let's put aside the leg 3 because

NOTE Confidence: 0.85894936

 $00:51:43.516 \longrightarrow 00:51:44.950$ we already know about that one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150727

00:51:46.580 --> 00:51:49.199 Yeah, I, I think that the live Adam data

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150727

00:51:49.199 --> 00:51:51.396 also looks very interesting, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150727

00:51:51.396 --> 00:51:53.472 In terms of combinations where that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150727

00:51:53.472 --> 00:51:55.904 fits into the portfolio of options for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150727

 $00:51:55.904 \longrightarrow 00:51:58.280$ patients in terms of line and such,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150727

00:51:58.280 --> 00:52:01.367 it will need to be kind of further detailed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150727

 $00:52:01.370 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.379$ I do think that as a field

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150727

00:52:03.379 --> 00:52:05.838 for me in the rapy in general,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150727

 $00:52:05.840 \longrightarrow 00:52:08.696$ making hints to it that we have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150727

 $00{:}52{:}08.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}10.660$ understand the role of an aide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150727

 $00:52:10.660 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.100$ immunity and that so some of the targets

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150727

 $00:52:13.100 \longrightarrow 00:52:15.669$ for NK cells and targeting my light

 $00:52:15.669 \longrightarrow 00:52:17.950$ cells I think are interesting very.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150727

00:52:17.950 --> 00:52:18.973 Early in development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150727

 $00:52:18.973 \longrightarrow 00:52:21.360$ but will Melanoma and other cancers have?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150727

 $00:52:21.360 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.862$ P1 is a base will be an opportunity to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150727

 $00:52:23.862 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.477$ test those aspects with innate immunity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150727

00:52:26.480 --> 00:52:28.526 It's not a matter of getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150727

 $00:52:28.526 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.890$ the innate immunity started,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150727

 $00:52:29.890 \longrightarrow 00:52:32.242$ but I think more of how how durable

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150727

 $00:52:32.242 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.980$ that those interactions are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150727

00:52:33.980 --> 00:52:36.708 So in case else, or may be interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150727

 $00{:}52{:}36.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}39.006$ but how do you propagate them long

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150727

 $00:52:39.006 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.158$ term so I would say like 3 looks good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150727

00:52:42.160 --> 00:52:44.547 I will say in Genesis looks good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150727

 $00:52:44.550 \longrightarrow 00:52:46.250$ We'll see what GM does,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150727

 $00:52:46.250 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.040$ but that the future interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150727

 $00:52:48.040 \longrightarrow 00:52:49.114$ in other excitement.

 $00{:}52{:}49.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}50.380$ In addition to the checkpoints

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150727

 $00{:}52{:}50.380 \rightarrow 00{:}52{:}51.969$ would probably be how we manipulate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150727

 $00:52:51.969 \longrightarrow 00:52:53.643$ innate immunity to to help patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8150727

00:52:53.643 --> 00:52:55.039 with cancer in the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8208993

 $00:52:56.810 \longrightarrow 00:52:58.105$ Steve, I think that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8208993

 $00:52:58.105 \longrightarrow 00:52:59.141$ are no other questions.