WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:56:09" NOTE recognizability:0.781

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.929807766

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:01.616$ Thank you for coming.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929807766

00:00:01.616 --> 00:00:04.040 I'm happy introduce two speakers today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929807766

 $00:00:04.040 \dashrightarrow 00:00:08.324$ Our first speaker is Doctor Ehud Mendel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929807766

00:00:08.330 --> 00:00:10.208 Who is executive Vice chair professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.929807766

 $00:00:10.208 \longrightarrow 00:00:12.042$ of neurosurgery here and director of

NOTE Confidence: 0.929807766

00:00:12.042 --> 00:00:13.890 the Spine and I called you program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929807766

 $00:00:13.890 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.852$ He actually joined Yale recently in

NOTE Confidence: 0.929807766

 $00:00:15.852 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.235$ September of this year where he came

NOTE Confidence: 0.929807766

00:00:18.235 --> 00:00:20.263 from the Wechsler Wexner Medical Center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929807766

00:00:20.270 --> 00:00:22.314 the Ohio State University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929807766

 $00{:}00{:}22.314 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}24.869$ and the James Cancer Hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929807766

 $00{:}00{:}24.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}26.580$ He received his medal called degree

NOTE Confidence: 0.929807766

 $00:00:26.580 \longrightarrow 00:00:27.720$ from Louisiana State University

00:00:27.771 --> 00:00:29.176 School of Medicine and further

NOTE Confidence: 0.929807766

 $00{:}00{:}29.176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}30.581$ clinical training at the University

NOTE Confidence: 0.929807766

 $00:00:30.629 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.087$ of South Carolina and the University

NOTE Confidence: 0.929807766

00:00:32.087 --> 00:00:33.516 of Florida School of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929807766

 $00:00:33.516 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.708$ And his team has pioneered new surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.929807766

 $00:00:36.708 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.397$ techniques to reconstruct the spine

NOTE Confidence: 0.929807766

 $00:00:39.397 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.434$ following surgery to remove spinal tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929807766

00:00:42.434 --> 00:00:43.862 including advancing minimally

NOTE Confidence: 0.929807766

 $00{:}00{:}43.862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}45.810$ invasive neurological spinal surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929807766

 $00:00:45.810 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.790$ So it's a great pleasure to welcome you to

NOTE Confidence: 0.929807766

 $00{:}00{:}47.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}50.020$ Yale and to your first grand rounds here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814022121363636

00:00:50.510 --> 00:00:52.706 Thank you, but I appreciate the

NOTE Confidence: 0.814022121363636

 $00:00:52.706 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.004$ opportunity to give this talk and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.814022121363636

00:00:55.004 --> 00:00:57.510 want to thank all of you and Renee too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814022121363636

 $00:00:57.510 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.736$ and make this arrangement for me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814022121363636

 $00:00:59.740 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.670$ So I really wanted to.

 $00:01:03.670 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.435$ Talk about these Sid topic did it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.814022121363636

00:01:06.440 --> 00:01:09.303 I've been very passionate about over many

NOTE Confidence: 0.814022121363636

 $00:01:09.303 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.029$ years and that's the surgical management

NOTE Confidence: 0.814022121363636

 $00{:}01{:}12.029 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}15.038$ of patients with spine tumors. So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814022121363636

00:01:15.038 --> 00:01:19.434 Uhm? Let's see how well this forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.613959866666667

 $00:01:22.110 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.800$ Would you rather.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7690019

 $00:01:25.610 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.160$ Arrows.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:01:29.950 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.906$ OK, so when we talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00{:}01{:}31.906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}33.210$ patients with spine tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:01:33.210 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.646$ we're talking about two kinds of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

00:01:35.650 --> 00:01:38.530 Population patients with primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:01:38.530 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.330$ spine tumors means the tumor is

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00{:}01{:}41.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}43.030$ growing directly from the bone

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:01:43.030 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.899$ itself within the spine itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:01:44.900 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.688$ These are primary bone

00:01:46.688 --> 00:01:48.923 tumors coming from the spine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:01:48.930 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.602$ The most common type of tumors are patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:01:51.602 \longrightarrow 00:01:54.553$ who have ministered disease to the spine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:01:54.553 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.308$ and those are two very

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

00:01:56.308 --> 00:01:57.800 different patient population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

00:01:57.800 --> 00:01:59.455 The primary tumor growing from

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:01:59.455 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.030$ within the bone of the spine versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:02:02.030 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.738$ the metastatic spine tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:02:03.740 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.984$ The goal is different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:02:04.984 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.850$ The adjuvant therapy is different and

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:02:06.911 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.987$ the surgical options are very different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:02:08.990 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.020$ So the goal for primary tumors are really

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:02:12.020 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.130$ to try and cure the patient of the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:02:14.130 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.838$ The idea is to try and get

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:02:15.838 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.170$ this tumor out of there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

00:02:17.170 --> 00:02:19.270 It's the only side of disease,

 $00:02:19.270 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.830$ and the idea is to get a tumor out without

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00{:}02{:}21.894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}24.029$ interfering with the tumor capsule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:02:24.030 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.352$ So the idea is that if you take the

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

00:02:26.352 --> 00:02:28.764 tumor out in one piece without really

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:02:28.764 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.660$ breaking into the tumor itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:02:30.660 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.850$ there is a potential of curing

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:02:32.850 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.310$ the patient of cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

00:02:34.310 --> 00:02:36.809 And sometimes, even if it's not feasible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:02:36.810 \dashrightarrow 00:02:39.020$ the idea is to at least give him long term.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:02:39.020 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.361$ Survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

00:02:39.361 --> 00:02:41.066 When you're dealing with patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:02:41.066 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.430$ with menist attic disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00{:}02{:}42.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}44.698$ which is the most common issue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:02:44.700 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.902$ then you're really dealing more with

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:02:46.902 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.730$ palliation and quality of life.

 $00:02:48.730 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.030$ The Advent therapy is different

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00{:}02{:}51.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}53.330$ for those two patient populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:02:53.330 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.346$ Multiple agile in therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:02:55.346 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.370$ options for patient with metastatic disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:02:58.370 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.758$ You can come in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

00:03:00.760 --> 00:03:03.856 And the primary ones, it's it's very limited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:03:03.860 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.445$ Surgical techniques are also very

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:03:05.445 \longrightarrow 00:03:07.630$ different to those two patient population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:03:07.630 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.276$ and I'm going to talk about both of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

00:03:10.280 --> 00:03:11.822 I'm going to talk first about

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:03:11.822 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.850$ the metastatic spine tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:03:12.850 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.880$ because these are the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

00:03:14.880 --> 00:03:16.098 common patient population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:03:16.100 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.636$ So there is about 1.2 million new cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:03:18.636 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.989$ cases per year in the United States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:03:20.990 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.797$ But more than half a million deaths per year.

 $00{:}03{:}24.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}27.110$ It's a major cause of death is

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00{:}03{:}27.110 \longrightarrow 00{:}03{:}29.420$ complication due to metastatic disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

00:03:29.420 --> 00:03:31.660 and if you look at this patient population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:03:31.660 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.109$ the skeletal system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:03:33.109 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.007$ the spine is the third most

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

00:03:36.010 --> 00:03:38.686 common site of disease after it

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:03:38.686 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.459$ spread to the lung and liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

00:03:41.460 --> 00:03:43.819 And the spinal column is the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:03:43.819 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.279$ common sites of skeletal metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

00:03:46.280 --> 00:03:49.059 So third of this third patient population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:03:49.060 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.584$ the spine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:03:49.584 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.680$ is a is the most common place for

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00{:}03{:}51.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}53.944$ it to end up with and as many as

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:03:53.944 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.240$ 90% of cancer patients will have

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:03:56.240 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.840$ spinal metastases at autopsy.

 $00:03:57.840 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.420$ Studies and out of those 90%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:04:00.420 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.206$ ten to 30% of this patient cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:04:03.206 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.110$ patient will suffer from symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

00:04:06.110 --> 00:04:07.360 Symptomatic symptom,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:04:07.360 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.350$ symptomatic spinal Mets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:04:08.350 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.660$ or whether they have severe pain or

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:04:10.718 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.808$ whether they're presenting with their.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

00:04:12.810 --> 00:04:15.058 Significant or logical issues?

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

00:04:15.058 --> 00:04:16.744 The primary tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:04:16.750 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.626$ the other group that I've talked about

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00{:}04{:}18.626 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}20.409$ a very different patient population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:04:20.410 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.090$ These are very unique tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

00:04:22.090 --> 00:04:23.370 They're growing typically from

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:04:23.370 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.680$ with the bone itself of the spine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:04:25.680 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.810$ and these are the osteoid osteomas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00{:}04{:}27.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}29.866$ the osteoblastoma giant cell

00:04:29.866 --> 00:04:31.913 tumor aneurysm bounces, kodamas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

00:04:31.913 --> 00:04:32.416 chondrosarcoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:04:32.416 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.422$ Ewing sarcomas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892182161

 $00:04:33.422 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.440$ and medical ecology should deal with

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

00:04:36.513 --> 00:04:38.667 these type of patients are very

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:04:38.667 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.830$ familiar with this type of tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:04:40.830 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.260$ So when we think about surgeries

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:04:43.260 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.880$ on this patient population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:04:44.880 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.256$ we have to keep in mind whether this

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:04:47.256 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.044$ patient population that we're dealing with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:04:49.050 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.529$ especially the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:04:50.529 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.008$ with metastatic disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00{:}04{:}52.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}53.950$ They are typically immuno compromised.

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:04:53.950 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.742$ They have decreased white blood cell count so

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

00:04:56.742 --> 00:04:59.605 they have higher risk of post op infection,

00:04:59.610 --> 00:05:01.210 high risk of bad infection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00{:}05{:}01.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}03.508$ They have lack of fever response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:05:03.510 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.988$ They have lack of appeal cytosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:05:05.990 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.862$ There are sometimes issues with these

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:05:07.862 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.444$ patients get cement injection into

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:05:09.444 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.879$ broken vertebraes those can get.

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:05:10.880 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.604$ Easily infected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:05:11.604 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.500$ which turns out to be a big problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:05:14.500 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.517$ Their nutritional status is not that great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:05:17.520 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.858$ They lose a lot of weight there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00{:}05{:}19.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}21.520$ They've increased catabolic state,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:05:21.520 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.479$ decrease intake their serum of human is low,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:05:24.480 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.427$ and so you have to think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:05:27.427 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.690$ preoperative nutritional support.

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:05:28.690 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.986$ They are typically on steroids to supplement

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:05:30.986 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.438$ some of the agents that they are on,

 $00:05:33.440 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.828$ which obviously leads to a multitude

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:05:35.828 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.570$ of side effects related to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:05:37.570 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.910$ steroids that are listed over

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:05:38.959 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.366$ here and for the sake of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:05:40.370 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.862$ I'm not going to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:05:41.862 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.354$ And go over it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:05:43.360 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.062$ They are a lot of patients are

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00{:}05{:}46.062 \to 00{:}05{:}47.725$ coagulopathic with Trump cytopenia

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:05:47.725 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.890$ they may not be ambulatory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:05:49.890 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.670$ so they've increased for DVTS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:05:51.670 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.658$ So you have to think if you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:05:53.658 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.286$ doing surgeries on these patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:05:55.286 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.799$ or sometimes if you don't about DVD

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00{:}05{:}57.799 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}59.320$ prophylaxis for these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:05:59.320 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.105$ And if you end up thinking about

 $00:06:01.105 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.380$ operating in these patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00{:}06{:}02.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}04.820$ some of these tumors are very vascular tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:06:04.820 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.488$ which means significant blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:06:06.488 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.573$ loss during the surgery itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

00:06:08.580 --> 00:06:11.020 If you think about the primary bond tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:06:11.020 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.568$ you know the aneurysmal bone cyst,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:06:12.570 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.202$ the giant cell tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:06:14.202 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.834$ the Himanshu Himanshu Paracetomol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:06:15.840 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.480$ These are known to be super vascular tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:06:18.480 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.111$ and as you get in there and

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00{:}06{:}20.111 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}21.359$ start removing this tumor out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:06:21.360 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.410$ you encounter significant blood loss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454 00:06:23.410 --> 00:06:23.948 In essence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:06:23.948 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.100$ any tumor that has the word him in

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

00:06:26.162 --> 00:06:28.410 it you have to worry about a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00{:}06{:}28.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}29.910$ vascular tumor during surgery.

 $00:06:29.910 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.534$ And these are just the primary tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

00:06:31.540 --> 00:06:33.304 If you talk about the metastatic patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00{:}06{:}33.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}34.870$ the renal circle cinemas there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:06:34.870 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.974$ potassium, local cinema,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454 00:06:35.974 --> 00:06:36.710 the thyroid, NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:06:36.710 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.065$ the pheochromocytoma are also highly

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

00:06:39.065 --> 00:06:42.322 vascular tumors and you have to anticipate

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:06:42.322 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.626$ it as you're planning on getting these out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:06:45.630 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.836$ So in addition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:06:46.836 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.248$ and you thinking about all those

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:06:49.248 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.708$ issues on this patient population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:06:51.710 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.314$ Sometimes you have to think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00{:}06{:}54.314 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}56.481$ wound closure and the reason is

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00{:}06{:}56.481 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}58.203$ because sometimes the tumors is large,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:06:58.210 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.782$ which leaves significant defects.

 $00:06:59.782 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.612$ Sometimes there is a risk of just

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00{:}07{:}02.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}04.642$ the increase age they altered

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

00:07:04.642 --> 00:07:06.774 immune system capsia patients have

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:07:06.774 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.550$ been radiated on chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:07:08.550 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.294$ so their wounds don't heal as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:07:11.300 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.760$ and so they'll active won't

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:07:13.760 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.220$ breakdown or infection is higher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:07:16.220 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.632$ And so these are all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:07:18.632 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.240$ issues that gets into,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454 00:07:20.240 --> 00:07:20.840 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:07:20.840 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.940$ when do you need to start thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:07:22.940 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.743$ about the wound issues when it

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:07:24.743 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.850$ comes to home closure and so plastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

00:07:26.918 --> 00:07:28.822 surgery becomes to be a very good

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:07:28.822 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.835$ friend of us when it comes to

NOTE Confidence: 0.750648214545454

 $00:07:30.835 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.539$ ability to close this wound and

00:07:32.540 --> 00:07:34.775 minimize the post op complication

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

00:07:34.775 --> 00:07:36.563 related to home closure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:07:36.570 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.760$ And this is just some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:07:37.760 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.480$ issues we're dealing with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:07:38.480 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.003$ Here is a patient with sarcoma soft

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:07:41.003 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.121$ tissue tumor that invaded all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

00:07:43.121 --> 00:07:45.976 tissues of the spine so we can take this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00{:}07{:}45.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}48.437$ Out, but clearly we need our plastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

00:07:48.437 --> 00:07:50.470 care colleagues to be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:07:50.470 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.300$ deal with these types of tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:07:52.300 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.698$ And as we remove him out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

00:07:53.700 --> 00:07:55.916 it's not just about how to remove it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00{:}07{:}55.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}58.936$ but planning on once the tumor is removed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:07:58.940 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.536$ how to be able to close it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:08:00.540 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.103$ So these are a lot of these cases tends

 $00:08:04.103 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.018$ to be multidisciplinary in nature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:08:06.020 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.099$ In the with the ability to remove

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:08:08.099 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.145$ the tumor and then the ability to

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:08:10.145 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.474$ do some sort of flap to be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:08:12.474 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.738$ to close these wounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

00:08:13.740 --> 00:08:15.072 Sometimes you're dealing with

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:08:15.072 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.404$ a very large tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:08:16.410 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.366$ This is a large stake of

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00{:}08{:}18.366 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}19.670$ Chordoma with big reconstruction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74414826888888900:08:19.670 --> 00:08:20.059 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00{:}08{:}20.059 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}08{:}22.393$ gotta rely and plan on plastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:08:22.393 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.579$ closure to close these wounds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00{:}08{:}24.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}26.532$ so I wanted to go over some cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00{:}08{:}26.532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}28.337$ just to kind of give you the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:08:28.340 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.865$ Run over the meal patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:08:30.865 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.380$ population that eyes,

 $00:08:32.380 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.831$ spine tumor person and deal with in

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:08:35.831 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.080$ a commonly and let's just take these

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00{:}08{:}38.080 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}08{:}39.587$ cases and what's unique about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

00:08:39.587 --> 00:08:41.195 cases I'm going to show you is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

00:08:41.195 --> 00:08:42.727 they are all presenting the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:08:42.730 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.520$ so these are patients are

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:08:44.520 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.952$ presenting with back pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:08:45.960 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.878$ Here is a patient with multiple myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:08:47.880 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.260$ Is 57 years old,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:08:49.260 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.923$ has some pain in the back going to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:08:52.923 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.935$ legs already get maximum pain medications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00{:}08{:}55.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}57.734$ Biopsy revealed multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00{:}08{:}57.734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}59.528$ myeloma already underwent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:08:59.530 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.845$ Radiation stem stem cell transplantation

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00{:}09{:}01.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}03.697$ still have progressive disease

 $00:09:03.697 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.707$ and is not logically intact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

00:09:05.710 --> 00:09:07.294 so this is very common in

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:09:07.294 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.350$ the multiple myeloma ward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:09:08.350 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.065$ where patients come in and they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:09:10.065 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.989$ back pain and you can see right here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00{:}09{:}11.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}13.621$ Here's the MRI and you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:09:13.621 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.120$ there's a fracture of the vertebrae

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:09:15.120 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.370$ in the lumbar spine here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:09:16.370 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.350$ so there's a broken vertebra

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

00:09:18.350 --> 00:09:20.330 related to the multiple myeloma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00{:}09{:}20.330 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}09{:}21.989$ and so we get called and said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:09:21.990 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.230$ can you do something here?

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:09:23.230 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.818$ What can you do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:09:24.818 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.406$ You're the second case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:09:26.410 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.610$ presenting the same way, patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:09:29.610 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.074$ It can't be back.

00:09:31.074 --> 00:09:32.904 Pain has metastatic renal cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

00:09:32.904 --> 00:09:34.633 carcinoma and you can see here

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00{:}09{:}34.633 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}36.559$ the MRI kind of look the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:09:36.560 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.210$ There is a metastatic lesion here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

00:09:38.210 --> 00:09:41.150 At L1 is a little bit of a fracture here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

00:09:41.150 --> 00:09:44.070 Maybe even new fracture at T 12 here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:09:44.070 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.140$ but the presentation is the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:09:46.140 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.910$ The location of the tumor is

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:09:47.910 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.520$ in exactly the same place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:09:49.520 \dashrightarrow 00:09:51.760$ The patient has no no logical deficit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:09:51.760 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.750$ just back pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:09:52.750 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.070$ The only difference between

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00{:}09{:}54.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}56.346$ those two cases is that the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00{:}09{:}56.346 \rightarrow 00{:}09{:}57.574$ case was multiple myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:09:57.580 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.436$ The second case was a renal cell carcinoma.

 $00:10:00.440 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.528$ Are we going to treat it the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

00:10:02.530 --> 00:10:04.985 or is the tumor biology

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

00:10:04.985 --> 00:10:06.949 dictate the treatment option?

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:10:06.950 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.502$ Here's a third case on a 52 year

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:10:09.502 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.740$ old again or logically intact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

00:10:11.740 --> 00:10:14.434 Just having back pain has a

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:10:14.434 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.781$ diagnosis of chondrosarcoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

00:10:15.781 --> 00:10:17.929 based on a CT guided biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:10:17.930 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.940$ and you can see right here

NOTE Confidence: 0.744148268888889

 $00:10:19.940 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.280$ in other lumbar fracture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:10:21.280 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.345$ The first two cases were lumbar fracture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:10:23.350 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.450$ Multiple myeloma renal cell carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00{:}10{:}25.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}28.306$ Here is a chondrosarcoma with a lumbar

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00{:}10{:}28.306 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}30.804$ fracture right here at L4 representing

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

00:10:30.804 --> 00:10:33.198 exactly the same with back pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:10:33.200 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.684$ So should we treat that tumor the same way?

 $00:10:35.690 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.760$ With reading the multiple myeloma adrenal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857 00:10:37.760 --> 00:10:38.690 Tell casino. NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:10:38.690 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.260$ Here is a case 68 year old with thymic

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:10:42.260 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.390$ carcinoma coming in at the seed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00{:}10{:}44.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}46.010$ Already radiation and you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:10:46.010 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.209$ see right here at T11 and T12.

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:10:48.210 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.310$ There's lesions right here at those

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00{:}10{:}50.310 \rightarrow 00{:}10{:}52.566$ two variables which may be a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:10:52.566 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.498$ bit of a fracture right here again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:10:54.498 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.586$ Tarako lumbar junction location is about

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:10:56.586 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.528$ the same, but the different type of cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:10:59.530 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.701$ So the question that we always asking

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00{:}11{:}02.701 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}05.890$ ourselves all these cases require surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:11:05.890 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.674$ does their differences in the tumors or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

00:11:08.674 --> 00:11:11.020 tumor biology really makes a difference?

00:11:11.020 --> 00:11:13.372 And which approach should we take to

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

00:11:13.372 --> 00:11:16.169 treat and help these patient populations?

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

00:11:16.170 --> 00:11:18.450 And when it comes to my job as a surgeon

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:11:18.510 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.450$ dealing with these patients there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:11:20.450 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.565$ only these are the four options that I have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00{:}11{:}22.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}25.290$ I can do what we call an intralesional

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:11:25.290 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.275$ resection where we enter the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00{:}11{:}27.275 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}29.129$ with piece meal the tumor out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:11:29.130 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.232$ We take the tumor out in peace, mealing it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:11:32.232 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.116$ That's the intralesional component.

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00{:}11{:}35.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}37.379$ We can do what we call an unblocker section,

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:11:37.380 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.543$ where in one piece we take the

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

00:11:39.543 --> 00:11:40.470 tumor out without.

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:11:40.470 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.998$ Interrupting the terminal capsule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

00:11:41.998 --> 00:11:44.820 We don't necessarily have to do surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:11:44.820 \longrightarrow 00:11:46.640$ We can do just chemotherapy,

 $00:11:46.640 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.520$ immunotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

00:11:47.520 --> 00:11:49.280 conventional radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

00:11:49.280 --> 00:11:51.920 or stereotactic radiosurgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

00:11:51.920 --> 00:11:53.528 Or sometimes we can just inject

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:11:53.528 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.873$ some end into the vertebrae just to

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

00:11:55.873 --> 00:11:57.497 restore some mechanical stability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:11:57.500 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.996$ which is minimally invasive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:11:58.996 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.938$ So I wanted to show you some specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

00:12:01.938 --> 00:12:04.304 cases because the four cases that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:12:04.304 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.592$ showed there are presented with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

00:12:06.592 --> 00:12:08.532 neurological non or logical deficit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:12:08.540 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.768$ which always increase the level of concern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00{:}12{:}12.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}15.206$ But when they do have a neurological

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00{:}12{:}15.206 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}16.919$ deficit and things becomes even

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:12:16.919 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.343$ more urgent as to what can be done,

 $00:12:19.350 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.843$ here is a 23 year old patient who comes

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00{:}12{:}21.843 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}24.709$ in stood up complaining of some weakness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:12:24.710 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.229$ And when you look at the exam,

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:12:26.230 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.724$ the exam shows a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:12:27.724 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.050$ of weakness in her legs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:12:29.050 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.568$ Four out of five strength in

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:12:30.568 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.580$ both of her legs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

00:12:31.580 --> 00:12:34.766 And here is an MRI which showed 9 broken.

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:12:34.770 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.945$ There is severe tumor compressing

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:12:36.945 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.670$ the back of the spinal canal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00{:}12{:}39.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}41.320$ Pressing this power code and

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00{:}12{:}41.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}43.353$ unfortunately here she's in the emergency

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00{:}12{:}43.353 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}45.285$ room and there is no diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

00:12:45.290 --> 00:12:48.390 We do not know what this looks like a tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:12:48.390 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.280$ but she presented the emergency room

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:12:50.280 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.668$ and this is what the MRI looks like

 $00:12:52.670 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.819$ and the question is what to do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:12:54.820 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.368$ and that's where we get called.

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857 00:12:56.370 --> 00:12:56.665 Then. NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:12:56.665 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.140$ The unfortunate thing here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:12:58.140 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.954$ that unlike the first four cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:12:59.954 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.394$ where we knew the diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:13:01.400 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.664$ which can help us dictate what to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00{:}13{:}03.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}05.406$ here we are faced with the situation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00{:}13{:}05.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}08.344$ With a patient presenting with cord

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:13:08.344 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.074$ compression with mild weakness in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:13:11.074 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.404$ legs but no diagnosis and so here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00:13:13.404 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.883$ some of the views you can see on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.659837787142857

 $00{:}13{:}15.883 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}17.543$ axial cut severe cord compression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

00:13:17.550 --> 00:13:18.612 the podis squashed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

00:13:18.612 --> 00:13:21.090 There's a lot of tumor around the

 $00:13:21.161 \longrightarrow 00:13:23.590$ vertebral body and but the patient is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:13:23.590 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.110$ a very minimal weakness in her legs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:13:26.110 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.828$ And so these patients here is the CAT scan

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:13:28.828 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.618$ shows mild compression fracture at T9 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:13:31.618 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.930$ no surgical consultation was requested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

00:13:33.930 --> 00:13:36.194 And the question is should that each patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:13:36.194 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.587$ be taken emergently to the operating room?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00{:}13{:}38.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}40.998$ Because there is a little bit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00{:}13{:}40.998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}43.690$ weakness in the legs but no diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:13:43.690 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.003$ We don't know what it is or wait on

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00{:}13{:}46.003 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}13{:}48.185$ the surgery trying to establish a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00{:}13{:}48.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}50.435$ diagnosis and based on the diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:13:50.435 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.745$ make a decision of what to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:13:52.750 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.840$ And so on. This case, the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:13:54.840 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.605$ Make that accommodation by the nose surgeon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00{:}13{:}57.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}59.714$ On call was to take the patient to

 $00{:}13{:}59.714 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}01.388$ surgery and do a decompression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:14:01.390 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.853$ And so I Laminectomy was done and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:14:03.853 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.768$ you can see right here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00{:}14{:}04.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}06.604$ The back of the spine is removed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

00:14:06.610 --> 00:14:08.266 The canal has been opened up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:14:08.270 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.758$ You can see right here on

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:14:09.758 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.502$ the postoperative MRI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:14:10.510 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.558$ Did spinal cord looks a little bit better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

00:14:12.560 --> 00:14:14.480 There's nothing much compression there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:14:14.480 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.504$ but if you look at the axial cut

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:14:16.504 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.418$ the majority of the tumors left

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:14:18.418 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.103$ behind the entire vertebral bodies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00{:}14{:}20.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}24.907$ encased in tumor and all of that was not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:14:24.910 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.602$ Touched by their purpose of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

00:14:26.602 --> 00:14:28.389 surgery was really to just take

 $00:14:28.389 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.207$ the portion within the canal that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

00:14:30.207 --> 00:14:31.718 pressing on the spinal cord.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

00:14:31.720 --> 00:14:34.204 The postoperative specimen came

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:14:34.204 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.688$ back to be lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:14:36.690 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.310$ And so the question was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:14:38.310 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.921$ was that the right choice for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:14:40.921 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.387$ patient considering informer being

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

00:14:42.387 --> 00:14:44.288 a highly radiosensitive tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:14:44.288 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.633$ highly responding to adjuvant therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

00:14:47.633 --> 00:14:51.258 and rarely actually needs any surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00{:}14{:}51.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}52.856$ was there the right the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00{:}14{:}52.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}54.682$ choice for the patient and part one

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:14:54.682 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.327$ of the downsides is not a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:14:56.387 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.127$ needs to recover from the surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:14:58.130 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.104$ There's a fresh wound that will not

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:15:00.104 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.908$ tolerate with the Asian so quickly,

 $00:15:01.910 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.028$ so there's some downside for doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:15:04.028 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.525$ the surgery and now have to wait

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:15:06.525 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.539$ for the treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:15:07.540 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.300$ Now, let's say we take the same case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

00:15:09.300 --> 00:15:11.020 but instead of four out of five weakness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:15:11.020 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.496$ the patient only had two out

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:15:12.496 \longrightarrow 00:15:13.234$ of five weakness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:15:13.240 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.856$ and would that have made a difference when

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:15:15.856 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.686$ it comes to taking the patient to surgery?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

00:15:18.690 --> 00:15:21.858 So how much of a weakness is acceptable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

00:15:21.860 --> 00:15:24.380 and how much of a weakness is not acceptable?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00{:}15{:}24.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}26.045$ That becomes a very difficult

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

00:15:26.045 --> 00:15:28.068 question to decide whether to take

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:15:28.068 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.856$ to the patient's surgery or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:15:29.860 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.148$ It is another patient with a 51 year

 $00:15:32.148 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.109$ old 51 year old who comes in with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00{:}15{:}35.109 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}36.653$ weakness and some incontinence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:15:36.660 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.956$ and you can see there is a tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00{:}15{:}37.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}39.927$ In the sacrum there's a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

00:15:39.927 --> 00:15:42.738 tumor in the canal pressing on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

00:15:42.738 --> 00:15:44.634 spinal canal explained incontinence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

00:15:44.640 --> 00:15:47.139 and some of the weakness and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:15:47.139 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.427$ can see another MRI here shows

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00{:}15{:}49.427 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}15{:}51.707$ the finding of a broken sacrum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

00:15:51.710 --> 00:15:53.552 Some tumor in the canal and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

00:15:53.552 --> 00:15:54.780 the patient under men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00{:}15{:}54.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}56.211$ Emergent surgical intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

00:15:56.211 --> 00:15:58.596 with the Laminectomy and fixation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:15:58.600 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.048$ but unfortunately this turns out to be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:16:01.048 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.368$ primary bound tumor with a counter sarcoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7114232525

 $00:16:03.370 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.534$ and when they found out it

 $00:16:04.534 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.310$ was a conscious or

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:16:05.360 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.366$ comma, the patient underwent

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

00:16:07.366 --> 00:16:08.530 stereotactic radiosurgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

00:16:08.530 --> 00:16:10.684 Unfortunately, this is one of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00{:}16{:}10.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}12.438$ tumors were the recommendation is

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:16:12.438 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.244$ to try and do an unblocker section.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

00:16:14.250 --> 00:16:16.364 Once you enter this tumor and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:16:16.364 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.649$ take it in piece meal version,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:16:18.650 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.415$ then there is 100% chance of recurrence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:16:21.420 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.164$ So really the only chance of the queue

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

00:16:23.164 --> 00:16:25.066 for this patient would have been during

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:16:25.066 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.006$ the first surgery with attempt to remove

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00{:}16{:}27.006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}28.665$ it in one piece without entering it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

00:16:28.670 --> 00:16:29.456 unfortunately here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:16:29.456 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.421$ The patient may have done

 $00:16:31.421 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.610$ well with the decompression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00{:}16{:}33.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}35.773$ but the tumor have entered and death

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

00:16:35.773 --> 00:16:37.850 leads to spillage in the surrounding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:16:37.850 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.170$ which ultimately leads,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:16:39.170 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.022$ will lead 100% to recurrence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

00:16:41.022 --> 00:16:41.820 And sure enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:16:41.820 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.716$ this patient came back three years

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00{:}16{:}43.716 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}45.591$ later and presented with this little

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

00:16:45.591 --> 00:16:47.783 bump in the back they thought was maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:16:47.836 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.768$ one of the screws are getting loose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00{:}16{:}49.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}51.777$ But when you look at the MRI you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

00:16:51.777 --> 00:16:53.917 that the entire tumor is now recurring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:16:53.920 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.144$ and in fact that bump is actually a

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

00:16:57.144 --> 00:16:59.528 metastatic disease underneath the skin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:16:59.530 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.866$ which was expected considering

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:17:00.866 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.868$ that the surgery.

 $00:17:01.870 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.162$ It was done with unfortunately intralesional

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00{:}17{:}04.162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}06.369$ instead of an unblocker section in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:17:06.370 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.261$ Not only dead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

00:17:07.261 --> 00:17:09.718 Now the rise is broken and then ended up

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:17:09.718 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.610$ taking this back patient back to surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:17:11.610 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.820$ We remove the lesion itself

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:17:13.820 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.588$ in an unblock fashion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:17:15.590 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.438$ but it really makes no difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

00:17:17.438 --> 00:17:19.370 now since the tumor has spread,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:17:19.370 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.596$ and here is a specimen revising the

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:17:21.596 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.471$ cancer here and the plastic surgeons

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:17:23.471 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.480$ came by and did a flap to close this one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00{:}17{:}26.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}28.490$ So the take home message meant

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:17:28.490 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.360$ message in all these is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:17:30.360 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.310$ this could be a miss management.

00:17:32.310 --> 00:17:34.414 In a way of trying to think through

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:17:34.414 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.174$ the process of what to do here

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:17:36.174 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.136$ and it could be related to bad

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

00:17:38.136 --> 00:17:39.592 timing operations or sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

00:17:39.592 --> 00:17:41.312 operation with no diagnosis where

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

00:17:41.312 --> 00:17:43.146 you don't really know what to do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:17:43.150 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.998$ and so I'm just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

00:17:43.998 --> 00:17:46.088 I call it a triple W phenomena to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:17:46.088 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.270$ aware of the triple W of the wrong

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:17:48.270 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.040$ operation on the wrong patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00{:}17{:}50.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}51.750$ sometimes by the wrong surgeon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00{:}17{:}51.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}54.022$ or emphasizing neurological issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00{:}17{:}54.022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}56.294$ versus uncle logical issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00{:}17{:}56.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}58.162$ So when you're dealing with that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

00:17:58.162 --> 00:18:00.281 type of tumors and I'm going to go out

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:18:00.281 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.457$ fast just for the sake of time I mentioned.

 $00:18:02.460 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.175$ Some of these issues here when it

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:18:04.175 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.249$ comes to the goal and a lot of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:18:06.249 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.993$ things has to be done with making

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:18:07.993 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.655$ the right diagnosis and now what

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:18:09.655 \longrightarrow 00:18:11.520$ it is that you're dealing with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

00:18:11.520 --> 00:18:13.220 Biopsy is extremely critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:18:13.220 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.920$ as much as possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:18:14.920 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.680$ Anytime you have a chance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:18:16.680 \dashrightarrow 00:18:18.520$ you have an option of doing a biopsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:18:18.520 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.220$ Make sure that you do the biopsy up front.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00{:}18{:}21.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}23.425$ It is a patient that they supposedly

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:18:23.425 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.745$ thought that it has a contractor comma

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00{:}18{:}25.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}28.260$ or a chordoma will schedule the surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00{:}18{:}28.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}30.164$ A biopsy was done and it turned out

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:18:30.164 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.860$ that this was in a pending Mama,

 $00:18:31.860 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.516$ which was a completely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

00:18:33.516 --> 00:18:35.172 Different tumor require completely

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

00:18:35.172 --> 00:18:36.000 different surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:18:36.000 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.270$ so biopsies are very critical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00:18:38.270 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.150$ Make sure on this particular case

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

00:18:41.150 --> 00:18:45.280 is that you avoid a transol or a

NOTE Confidence: 0.800529711818182

 $00{:}18{:}45.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}47.396$ trans rectal biopsies because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:18:47.400 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.269$ If the idea is to take the

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

00:18:49.269 --> 00:18:50.770 entire piece of tumor out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:18:50.770 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.647$ the track itself can lead to contamination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:18:53.650 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.981$ and so we typically mark where the

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

00:18:55.981 --> 00:18:58.540 track of the biopsy is being done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:18:58.540 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.364$ and then we'll remove the entire

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:19:00.364 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.208$ specimen with the track itself to

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

00:19:02.208 --> 00:19:03.906 make sure that the whole specimen

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:19:03.906 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.667$ is being removed in one piece,

 $00:19:05.670 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.790$ and you can see right here some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:19:07.790 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.977$ the cases where the place where the

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:19:09.977 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.375$ skin was violated with that biopsy is

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

00:19:12.375 --> 00:19:14.589 being removed with the specimen itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:19:14.590 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.120$ which means that the biopsy needs

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:19:16.120 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.959$ to be very close to the midline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:19:17.960 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.623$ Stay away from these type of issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

00:19:20.623 --> 00:19:22.887 where the biopsy is done very far way

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:19:22.887 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.353$ out to the side where we are unable to

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:19:25.353 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.780$ remove the track itself with the specimen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

00:19:27.780 --> 00:19:29.394 The biopsy needs to be very

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:19:29.394 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.470$ close to the midline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00{:}19{:}30.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}33.032$ Uhm, I mentioned some of these intra

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:19:33.032 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.680$ lesional options unblock options.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:19:34.680 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.185$ These are really the surgical

 $00:19:36.185 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.389$ options that we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:19:37.390 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.470$ The Intralesional the

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:19:38.470 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.910$ mentions of peace meals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:19:39.910 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.460$ Here's a patient with two level

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00{:}19{:}42.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}44.770$ metastatic disease at T3 and T4.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

00:19:44.770 --> 00:19:46.765 We as surgeons need to be comfortable

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:19:46.765 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.310$ with being able to approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:19:48.310 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.885$ the spine from any direction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00{:}19{:}49.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}51.580$ Possible weather through the front

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:19:51.580 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.620$ to the side through the back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00{:}19{:}53.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}55.160$ It is a case where the spinal

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:19:55.160 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.390$ cord is being suspended.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:19:56.390 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.992$ The nerve roots has been ligated

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:19:57.992 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.260$ and you can see that gives us access

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:20:00.260 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.964$ to the interior of the tables.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:20:01.970 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.130$ Find through the vertebres we can.

 $00:20:04.130 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.076$ They then put the screws in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:20:06.076 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.068$ place and then we can actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:20:08.068 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.883$ remove the vertebral bodies through

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:20:09.883 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.985$ the back and then get underneath

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00{:}20{:}11.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}14.406$ this power cord and sneakers a cage

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:20:14.406 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.686$ to replace the broken vertebras.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:20:16.690 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.370$ And that's what it looks like

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:20:18.370 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.210$ after the surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

00:20:19.210 --> 00:20:20.855 The unblocker sections where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:20:20.855 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.136$ going in around the tumors are much

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:20:23.136 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.870$ more complicated is it is what

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

00:20:24.870 --> 00:20:26.689 we actually want to achieve with

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00{:}20{:}26.689 \rightarrow 00{:}20{:}28.459$ the entire segment of the spine

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:20:28.460 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.476$ is being removed in one piece

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:20:30.476 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.004$ without interrupting it and that.

 $00:20:32.004 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.803$ Takes a lot of planning when it

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

00:20:33.803 --> 00:20:35.488 comes to work to make the cuts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:20:35.490 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.284$ ultimately to be able to remove

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:20:37.284 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.398$ the specimen in one piece and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

00:20:39.398 --> 00:20:41.114 can see in this picture again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:20:41.120 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.686$ the tumor has not been violated

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

00:20:42.686 --> 00:20:44.544 on a primary bone tumor and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:20:44.544 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.301$ can see right here are the entire

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:20:46.355 \longrightarrow 00:20:47.687$ segment of the vertebrae.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:20:47.690 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.678$ Is able to be removed from around

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00{:}20{:}49.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}51.809$ the spinal cord without interruption.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

00:20:51.810 --> 00:20:53.270 Radiation obviously is a huge

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:20:53.270 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.730$ component to what we do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

00:20:54.730 --> 00:20:56.920 There is a conventional option just

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:20:56.920 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.529$ so the Asian there is a surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:20:59.529 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.319$ followed by radiation and then

 $00:21:01.319 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.450$ here it's Milo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

00:21:02.450 --> 00:21:04.942 And in major cancer hospital we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:21:04.942 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.509$ the option of spinal radiosurgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:21:07.510 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.617$ We've written about there's a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

00:21:09.617 --> 00:21:11.488 cases out there about radiotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:21:11.488 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.748$ and radiation treatment option for

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

00:21:13.748 --> 00:21:15.630 patients with metastatic disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:21:15.630 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.766$ and what are the indications for this thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00{:}21{:}17.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}19.768$ Recently we just published our series

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

00:21:19.768 --> 00:21:22.870 when I was at the James Cancer Hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:21:22.870 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.858$ but postoperative stereotactic body

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00{:}21{:}24.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}26.846$ radiotherapy for spa metastasis

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00{:}21{:}26.846 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}28.950$ and predictor of local control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.728553786666667

 $00:21:28.950 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.220$ and it's an amazing tool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:21:32.220 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.319$ To supplement our.

00:21:35.320 --> 00:21:38.260 You know our intervention and maintaining

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:21:38.260 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.840$ control of these these tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:21:40.840 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.968$ so you know the data is very promising.

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:21:42.970 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.166$ This is some of the cases you can see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:21:45.170 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.025$ These are cases that don't need surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667 00:21:47.030 --> 00:21:47.754 Single met. NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:21:47.754 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.288$ Here is a tumor with a recurrence

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

00:21:50.288 --> 00:21:51.909 around vertebral artery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:21:51.910 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.535$ and so really this is a totally

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:21:54.535 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.210$ non invasive alternative adjuvant

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:21:56.210 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.138$ treatment there to surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

00:21:58.140 --> 00:22:00.125 Cement injections allow you mentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:22:00.125 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.493$ this patient know that this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:22:02.493 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.401$ a very good tool for patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00{:}22{:}04.401 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}06.442$ with mild fractures who needs to

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:22:06.442 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.152$ continue going with treatment who

 $00{:}22{:}08.152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}09.908$ cannot go through major surgeries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

00:22:09.908 --> 00:22:12.400 So either you do it you plasty

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:22:12.466 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.363$ is or you can do a kyphoplasty

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:22:14.363 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.350$ is where we put a ballooning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:22:16.350 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.940$ You can inflate the balloon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:22:17.940 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.865$ correct some of the deformity

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:22:19.865 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.790$ removed the balloon and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:22:21.860 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.720$ inject cement into the bone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:22:23.720 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.886$ There's lots of papers that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:22:25.886 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.166$ would have been looked at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:22:28.166 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.071$ show that these treatments of

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

00:22:30.071 --> 00:22:32.010 cement injections are an amazing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

00:22:32.010 --> 00:22:33.435 not just diagnostic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:22:33.435 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.335$ but also the rapeutic indications

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:22:35.335 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.700$ for patients with spine tumors.

 $00:22:37.700 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.300$ Sometimes we can't do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00{:}22{:}39.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}41.020$ You know if there's a lot of fracture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:22:41.020 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.836$ bad, fractional sometimes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:22:41.836 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.740$ That bone is already in the canal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:22:43.740 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.945$ The idea is to make sure that

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

00:22:45.945 --> 00:22:47.620 cement doesn't leak into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:22:47.620 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.600$ canal and press the spinal cord,

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:22:49.600 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.735$ then lead to us nor logical issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00{:}22{:}51.740 --> 00{:}22{:}52.855$ Or sometimes you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:22:52.855 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.970$ right here with the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:22:53.970 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.489$ It's through the back of the bone

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:22:55.489 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.999$ and write it right through it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00{:}22{:}57.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}58.520$ So there are some contraindications

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:22:58.520 \longrightarrow 00:23:00.270$ of when not to do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:23:00.270 \longrightarrow 00:23:02.153$ This is what we don't want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00{:}23{:}02.153 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}03.661$ see happen with cement leak

 $00:23:03.661 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.563$ into the spinal canal or right

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:23:05.563 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.841$ here where you can see a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00{:}23{:}07.841 \to 00{:}23{:}09.286$ cement was injected and cement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:23:09.286 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.216$ Its kind of overlying the

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

00:23:11.216 --> 00:23:12.760 entire pickle sake sometimes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

00:23:12.760 --> 00:23:14.458 You see cement in other places,

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:23:14.460 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.399$ even in the brain you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:23:16.399 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.799$ particle of cements going to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:23:17.800 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.529$ Here is a case where you don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:23:19.529 \longrightarrow 00:23:21.411$ want to see again with cement was

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:23:21.411 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.698$ injected in the a lot of the cement

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00{:}23{:}23.698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}25.798$ leak into the canal leading to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00{:}23{:}25.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}27.728$ patient presenting right after the

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:23:27.728 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.356$ surgery with neurological deficit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:23:29.360 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.935$ So you gotta watch for those things.

 $00:23:30.940 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.860$ Here is a patient who have a lesion

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00{:}23{:}33.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}37.285$ in the odon toid and we used to treat

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:23:37.285 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.809$ this with significant reconstruction

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:23:38.809 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.702$ of the cervical spine to help with

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:23:41.702 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.330$ mechanical mechanical neck pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667 00:23:43.330 --> 00:23:43.800 But now, NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:23:43.800 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.210$ if you really push the limits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:23:45.210 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.218$ cement is a huge tool and on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

00:23:47.218 --> 00:23:49.618 case is now we're getting to the point

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:23:49.618 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.129$ where we don't need to do big surgeries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

00:23:52.130 --> 00:23:53.964 We can actually go through the back

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:23:53.964 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.953$ of the mouth and injects cement

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:23:55.953 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.958$ directly into the odontoid and

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

00:23:57.958 --> 00:24:00.459 you can see what it looks like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:24:00.460 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.446$ what it looks like after the

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00{:}24{:}02.446 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}04.230$ surgery and we actually published

 $00:24:04.230 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.564$ this technique where we can use

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00{:}24{:}06.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}08.752$ the stereotactic CT guided images

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:24:08.752 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.708$ and fluoroscopy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

00:24:09.710 --> 00:24:11.502 unable to go through the back of

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

00:24:11.502 --> 00:24:12.900 the mouth without EMT colleagues

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:24:12.900 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.628$ and able to inject the cement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:24:14.630 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.080$ Right into the broken vertebrae.

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

00:24:16.080 --> 00:24:17.400 Instead of putting the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.585835226666667

 $00:24:17.400 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.720$ through some sort of an

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:24:18.769 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.038$ exhibit cervical fixation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00{:}24{:}20.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}21.645$ so some of those country

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:24:21.645 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.250$ indication we can refute them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:24:23.250 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.458$ and we actually publish

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:24:24.458 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.968$ our series at MD Anderson.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:24:25.970 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.608$ When it comes to when to do

 $00:24:27.608 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.158$ it and when not to do it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

00:24:29.160 --> 00:24:31.692 and a conclusion was that relative

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:24:31.692 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.380$ contraindications can be relaxed

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:24:33.450 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.750$ for patient without other options

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:24:35.750 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.590$ with no clinically significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:24:37.590 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.190$ increase in complications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

00:24:39.190 --> 00:24:41.863 So I want to, for the sake of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

00:24:41.870 --> 00:24:45.230 just go quickly through my last slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

00:24:45.230 --> 00:24:46.778 Here, you know the key if

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:24:46.778 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.120$ you want to take one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00{:}24{:}48.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}50.395$ Slide audible this is that this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:24:50.395 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.220$ when we're thinking about Sergio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:24:52.220 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.635$ We're thinking doing surgery for

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:24:53.635 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.327$ patient when we think that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:24:55.327 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.653$ can make a difference that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00{:}24{:}56.653 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}58.410$ can after the prognosis we think

 $00:24:58.410 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.240$ about doing surgery when there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:25:00.240 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.500$ significant spinal instability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:25:01.500 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.307$ The spine is so broken that the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

00:25:04.307 --> 00:25:06.330 patient unable to get out of bed

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:25:06.395 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.549$ with thinking to do surgery when

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:25:08.549 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.906$ there isn't nor logical deficits and

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:25:10.906 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.456$ painted by itself even without deficits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00{:}25{:}13.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}16.088$ is also an indication for surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:25:16.090 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.434$ And so. Spine instability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615 00:25:18.434 --> 00:25:19.020 Clearly, NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:25:19.020 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.469$ if you look at these MRI you

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:25:20.469 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.060$ can see that the fan is broken.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00{:}25{:}22.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}24.209$ This is something we can fix with

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00{:}25{:}24.209 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}26.212$ surgery and there are now a scale

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:25:26.212 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.170$ that helps us that we have developed

00:25:28.170 --> 00:25:30.414 to define what is finding stability

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:25:30.414 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.082$ in patients with metastatic disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

00:25:32.082 --> 00:25:34.440 And I'm not going to take you through it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:25:34.440 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.162$ but it's a very nice since code

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:25:36.162 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.992$ that you can Add all these numbers

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:25:37.992 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.913$ and if you Add all these numbers

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

00:25:39.913 --> 00:25:42.111 when it comes to location pain that

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:25:42.111 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.744$ abolition it is is there alignment

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00{:}25{:}43.744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}45.587$ or know how much of their bodies

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

00:25:45.587 --> 00:25:47.505 involved you can Add all of these?

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:25:47.510 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.072$ Points and that will lead to deciding

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:25:50.072 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.717$ whether the patient is stable and unstable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:25:52.720 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.590$ which may help deciding whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:25:54.590 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.460$ to do surgery or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:25:56.460 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.410$ Nor logical deficit is clearly

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:25:58.410 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.974$ something that we get called on and

 $00:26:00.974 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.506$ then we have to make a decision as to

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:26:03.506 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.858$ whether to go on with surgery or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:26:05.860 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.440$ And as I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:26:07.440 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.415$ just pain sometimes also helps

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:26:09.415 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.031$ us help with surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:26:11.031 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.473$ especially when we deal with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

00:26:13.473 --> 00:26:15.274 mechanical type NC traumatology

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

00:26:15.274 --> 00:26:17.038 which indicate a fracture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:26:17.040 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.410$ When you thinking about surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00{:}26{:}18.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}20.906$ just always make sure that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

00:26:20.906 --> 00:26:22.154 physically technically feasible

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:26:22.154 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.959$ to do adequate approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00{:}26{:}23.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}25.253$ Good strategy, satisfaction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

00:26:25.253 --> 00:26:26.977 reconstruction, and that ultimately

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:26:26.977 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.158$ it's going to give it doable.

00:26:29.160 --> 00:26:30.090 Patient benefits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:26:30.090 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.950$ because ultimately these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

00:26:31.950 --> 00:26:35.380 this can end up to be, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

00:26:35.380 --> 00:26:39.020 very very large lesion for these patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:26:39.020 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.441$ so I just want to get to the last

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:26:41.441 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.979$ part and that is the primary tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:26:43.980 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.380$ These are not metastatic disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:26:46.380 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.768$ these are the most challenging cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:26:48.770 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.342$ Some of them can be treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:26:50.342 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.128$ with adjuvant therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:26:51.130 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.362$ but most the converse of trauma

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:26:53.362 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.850$ in the chordoma cannot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00{:}26{:}54.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}56.872$ and some of them can response

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:26:56.872 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.883$ to preoperative chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:26:57.890 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.210$ But most don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:26:59.210 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.530$ They good example,

 $00:27:00.530 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.170$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:27:01.170 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.810$ is denosumab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

00:27:01.810 --> 00:27:03.730 which helps very much in patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:27:03.786 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.978$ with giant cell tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:27:04.980 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.690$ We used to think that all

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:27:06.690 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.830$ this patient needs surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:27:07.830 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.995$ but you can see they

NOTE Confidence: 0.832888775384615

 $00:27:08.995 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.160$ present with big holes in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

00:27:10.219 --> 00:27:11.788 the vertebrae. But on denosumab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:27:11.788 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.420$ here is a patient with an L3 fracture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:27:14.420 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.967$ You can see on the CAT scan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:27:15.970 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.258$ There is no L3,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

00:27:17.258 --> 00:27:19.190 it's completely eaten away by the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:27:19.190 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.290$ Tumor and you can see the PET scan over here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:27:22.290 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.440$ Here is the CT reconstruction

 $00:27:24.440 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.160$ of this L3 lesion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

00:27:26.160 --> 00:27:27.033 Very large region,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:27:27.033 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.465$ but you can see that with the NASA

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

00:27:29.465 --> 00:27:31.761 map you know the voter becomes very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

00:27:31.761 --> 00:27:33.783 calcified and strong to the point that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:27:33.783 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.230$ there may not be a role for surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:27:36.230 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.130$ anymore for this patient population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

00:27:38.130 --> 00:27:40.314 Here is a pharmacist again, the odontoid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:27:40.314 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.568$ The C2 vertebra is completely eaten away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:27:42.570 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.710$ There's almost nothing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:27:43.710 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.374$ left of the C2 vertebrae.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:27:45.374 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.800$ All we did here is we stabilize is fine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:27:47.800 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.564$ We did not take the tumor out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:27:49.570 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.640$ You can see right here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:27:50.640 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.644$ There's posterior stabilization and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00{:}27{:}52.644 \rightarrow 00{:}27{:}55.650$ within couple of months the entire

 $00:27:55.723 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.173$ bond filled in here and there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:27:58.173 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.518$ normal hall that was there before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:28:00.520 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.878$ so there is definitely a clear

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:28:02.880 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.624$ role for Asian therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

00:28:04.624 --> 00:28:06.804 Now why these unblock resections?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:28:06.810 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.132$ Because that's really the only way

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:28:09.132 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.208$ to give a chance for these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:28:12.210 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.953$ And here is a patient with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:28:13.953 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.479$ Seiko tumor that we can go in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:28:15.480 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.076$ We can like get a tickle

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:28:17.076 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.140$ sakkinen and unblock fashion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:28:18.140 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.652$ You can see the margins or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00{:}28{:}19.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}21.299$ clean all the way around it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:28:21.300 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.900$ And we know from all the data that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:28:23.900 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.252$ out there that unblock resection can be

 $00:28:26.252 \longrightarrow 00:28:29.370$ can lead to Q of this patient period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00{:}28{:}29.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}31.593$ The patient with sarcoma in the meter at 6

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:28:31.593 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.840$ pine we don't want to enter this terminal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:28:33.840 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.366$ We want to be able to remove

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:28:35.366 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.739$ this tumor out in one piece.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:28:36.740 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.546$ Will plan where we going to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

00:28:38.546 --> 00:28:40.064 our accounts to deliver this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:28:40.064 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.784$ vertebra from around the spinal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00{:}28{:}41.784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}43.680$ cord and ultimately able to remove.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:28:43.680 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.500$ The entire vertebrae in one piece

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:28:46.500 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.798$ without entering it and able to then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00{:}28{:}49.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}52.150$ reconstruct it and and give the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:28:52.150 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.430$ patient a chance for Q of patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:28:54.430 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.220$ The sacral tumors are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:28:56.220 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.010$ biggest ones to deal with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00{:}28{:}58.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}59.906$ and are the most complicated one.

 $00{:}28{:}59.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}01.970$ There are lots of methodologies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00{:}29{:}01.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}03.572$ and I'm sharing some slides here

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

00:29:03.572 --> 00:29:05.340 about how we approach these tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:29:05.340 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.328$ There are lots of techniques that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

00:29:07.328 --> 00:29:08.854 I'm not going to get into this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

00:29:08.860 --> 00:29:12.140 Most surgery oriented talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:29:12.140 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.450$ about how to be able to remove.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:29:14.450 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.571$ A lot of these sacral tumor and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00{:}29{:}16.571 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}18.320$ ultimately able to achieve an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:29:18.320 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.265$ unblocker section on these tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:29:20.270 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.838$ Some of these techniques we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:29:22.838 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.184$ described here is some big example of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

00:29:25.184 --> 00:29:27.063 the counter sarcoma that's going up

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:29:27.063 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.231$ to the lumbar spine up to the pelvis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:29:29.240 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.810$ List is obviously combined with

00:29:31.810 --> 00:29:32.838 multidisciplinary approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:29:32.840 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.740$ or an unblocker section was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:29:34.740 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.260$ performed with orthopedics and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

00:29:36.260 --> 00:29:37.858 urology and plastic surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:29:37.860 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.870$ and this tumor was removed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:29:39.870 \longrightarrow 00:29:41.478$ with vascularized bone graft.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:29:41.480 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.992$ We published this technical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:29:42.992 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.882$ aspect of using a talaga.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:29:44.890 \longrightarrow 00:29:46.112$ Bone graft.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

00:29:46.112 --> 00:29:48.556 And dental reconstruction obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00{:}29{:}48.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}51.684$ was significant issue about how to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:29:51.684 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.540$ reconstruct these tumors when it comes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:29:54.631 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.808$ to be able to do this type of surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:29:57.810 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.132$ This is these are very highly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:30:00.132 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.129$ very is a big time surgeries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644207125

 $00:30:03.130 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.474$ This is one of the stories

 $00:30:04.474 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.370$ that we've done that

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:30:05.427 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.861$ ended up being the front cover

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:30:06.861 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.250$ of the general node surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:30:08.250 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.935$ These are highly complex type

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:30:09.935 \dashrightarrow 00:30:12.349$ surgeries when it comes to do and

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:30:12.349 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.335$ you can see interactive pictures of

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:30:14.335 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.328$ the vascular grafts that has been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:30:16.330 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.270$ Use on this particular patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:30:19.270 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.810$ and these patients ultimately

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:30:20.810 \longrightarrow 00:30:21.965$ fuses very well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:30:21.970 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.446$ This is the post operative picture

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:30:23.446 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.019$ of the patient a year later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00{:}30{:}25.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}27.258$ so there are some fair frontiers

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

00:30:27.258 --> 00:30:30.195 that have been looked at when it

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:30:30.195 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.475$ comes to getting engineering involved

 $00:30:32.475 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.709$ with personalized model 3D printers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:30:34.710 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.095$ Try to predict which voters

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:30:36.095 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.203$ are going to break.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

00:30:37.210 --> 00:30:40.258 We're looking at animal models in

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:30:40.258 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.782$ unblock tissue characterization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:30:41.790 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.954$ We aiming toward personalized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:30:43.954 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.200$ Surgeries and its patients take the

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

00:30:47.283 --> 00:30:50.067 patients CAT scan the patient MRI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00{:}30{:}50.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}52.250$ creating these 3D reconstruction

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:30:52.250 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.430$ models for these patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:30:54.430 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.453$ creating those models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

00:30:55.453 --> 00:30:57.158 and then ultimately figuring out

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

00:30:57.158 --> 00:30:59.035 this is some of the 3D models

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:30:59.035 \longrightarrow 00:31:00.816$ that we have done on the lady

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

00:31:00.816 --> 00:31:02.276 with breast cancer and figuring

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:31:02.276 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.594$ out what type of surgeries with

 $00:31:04.594 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.861$ benefits these patients at the most.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:31:06.861 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.240$ And then you can see some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:31:09.240 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.466$ implants some of the 3D implants

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:31:11.466 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.202$ vertebres that can be patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:31:13.202 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.086$ specific for the patient you can see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

00:31:16.090 --> 00:31:19.010 Half his sacrum patient specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:31:19.010 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.854$ for these particular patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

00:31:20.854 --> 00:31:22.698 we're looking at different

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

00:31:22.698 --> 00:31:25.159 modeling to reconstruct the spine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524 00:31:25.160 --> 00:31:25.573 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:31:25.573 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.225$ these are all specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:31:27.225 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.978$ for the patients itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00{:}31{:}28.978 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}32.534$ so it's just some of the slides

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:31:32.534 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.920$ that we are doing right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:31:34.920 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.850$ So in conclusion,

00:31:35.850 --> 00:31:37.442 the management is challenging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00{:}31{:}37.442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}39.432$ it can restore and protect

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:31:39.432 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.400$ neurological function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:31:40.400 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.524$ It can improve pain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:31:41.524 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.929$ it can impact the quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:31:42.929 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.300$ of the patients life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:31:44.300 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.020$ Understanding the biology of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:31:46.020 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.740$ tumors is critical in defining

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:31:47.793 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.865$ the goal of treatment in a given

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

00:31:49.865 --> 00:31:51.678 patient and determining the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

00:31:51.678 --> 00:31:52.980 appropriate therapeutic options.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:31:52.980 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.635$ Surgeons dealing with this neoplasm

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:31:54.635 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.290$ really should be familiar with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00{:}31{:}56.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}59.140$ All surgical approaches as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:31:59.140 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.040$ complex anterior posterior construction

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00{:}32{:}01.105 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}03.125$ techniques in order to provide

 $00:32:03.125 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.145$ optimal care for these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:32:05.150 \mathrel{--}{>} 00:32:07.680$ So overall I want to end up as saying it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:32:07.680 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.990$ try it. Don't try to be good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:32:09.990 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.468$ Uhm, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752332653809524

 $00:32:12.470 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.780$ OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856067879285714

 $00:32:12.790 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.118$ thank you very much for a really fascinating

NOTE Confidence: 0.856067879285714

 $00:32:15.118 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.129$ talk and let it challenging field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856067879285714

 $00:32:17.130 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.990$ Unfortunately, since we're running late,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856067879285714

 $00:32:18.990 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.250$ we won't have time for questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856067879285714

 $00:32:20.250 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.470$ I know there are some,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856067879285714

 $00:32:21.470 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.125$ so please direct your questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.856067879285714

00:32:23.125 --> 00:32:25.010 directly to Doctor Mandel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856067879285714

 $00:32:25.010 \dashrightarrow 00:32:26.610$ but we do have a second speaker today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856067879285714

 $00{:}32{:}26.610 --> 00{:}32{:}27.914$ Thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856067879285714

00:32:27.914 --> 00:32:29.670 Thank you so our second speaker

00:32:29.670 --> 00:32:31.273 today is Henry Park and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.856067879285714

 $00{:}32{:}31.273 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}32.833$ can may be get your slides up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856067879285714

 $00:32:32.833 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.048$ Henry is an assistant professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.856067879285714

 $00:32:35.048 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.334$ of the apeutic radiology here and

NOTE Confidence: 0.856067879285714

 $00:32:37.334 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.549$ chief of Rip Thoracic radiotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856067879285714

 $00:32:39.550 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.734$ He received his undergraduate

NOTE Confidence: 0.856067879285714

 $00:32:40.734 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.214$ and medical degrees from Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.856067879285714

 $00:32:42.214 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.879$ and completed internal medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856067879285714

 $00{:}32{:}43.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}46.574$ Training of the Harvard system then

NOTE Confidence: 0.856067879285714

 $00:32:46.574 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.418$ returned to Yale for radiation oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856067879285714

 $00:32:49.420 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.418$ He specializes in radiation therapy for

NOTE Confidence: 0.856067879285714

00:32:51.418 --> 00:32:53.766 lung cancer and had neck cancer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.856067879285714

 $00:32:53.766 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.140$ brain tumors and is also quite active

NOTE Confidence: 0.856067879285714

 $00:32:56.140 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.880$ in comparative effectiveness in health

NOTE Confidence: 0.856067879285714

 $00:32:57.936 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.976$ services research as well as Serbian.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856067879285714

 $00:32:59.980 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.208$ As our program Director,

 $00:33:02.208 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.436$ residency director in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.856067879285714

 $00:33:04.436 \longrightarrow 00:33:05.550$ therapeutic radiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856067879285714

 $00{:}33{:}05.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}07.867$ So Henry on the floor is yours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946993222

00:33:08.340 --> 00:33:10.640 OK, thank you very much for

NOTE Confidence: 0.946993222

 $00:33:10.640 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.560$ the very kind introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946993222

00:33:11.560 --> 00:33:13.342 So today I'll be speaking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.946993222

 $00:33:13.342 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.149$ the new directions in Lung SBRT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8504905925

 $00:33:18.050 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.418$ So here my disclosures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

 $00:33:21.490 \longrightarrow 00:33:23.786$ So that my my my goals today to

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

 $00{:}33{:}23.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}25.316$ discuss updated evidence on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

 $00{:}33{:}25.316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}27.104$ role of SBRT in early stage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

 $00{:}33{:}27.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}28.762$ Non small cell lung cancer as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

 $00{:}33{:}28.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}30.138$ as long although ministered disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

 $00:33:30.138 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.280$ will also be reviewing our lung cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

 $00:33:32.280 \longrightarrow 00:33:33.678$ clinical trials that involve longest

00:33:33.678 --> 00:33:37.829 period T that we've had open here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

00:33:37.830 --> 00:33:39.167 So first we'll start with early stage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

 $00:33:39.170 \longrightarrow 00:33:40.814$ non small cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

00:33:40.814 --> 00:33:42.458 medically inoperable patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

 $00:33:42.460 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.876$ So if here we have an elderly patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

 $00:33:44.876 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.970$ with lung nodule that's deemed

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

 $00:33:46.970 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.783$ medically inoperable to because

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

00:33:48.783 --> 00:33:50.748 of the patients pulmonary status,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

 $00:33:50.750 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.126$ how do we treat so really?

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

 $00{:}33{:}53.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}54.565$ It's all about the real estate mantra.

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

 $00{:}33{:}54.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}57.402$ It's about location, location,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75037358210526300:33:57.402 --> 00:33:58.110 location.

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

00:33:58.110 --> 00:34:00.660 How we end up treating this so SPFT

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

 $00{:}34{:}00.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}02.250$ those fractionation just to kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

 $00:34:02.250 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.306$ walk you through a few terms here

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

 $00:34:04.306 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.781$ when we talk about conventionally

00:34:05.838 --> 00:34:07.518 factoring fractionated radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

 $00:34:07.520 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.249$ we talk about low dose per fraction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

00:34:09.250 --> 00:34:11.610 about two grade per day over many fractions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

00:34:11.610 --> 00:34:13.770 usually about 30 to 35 fractions

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

 $00:34:13.770 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.720$ over six to seven weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

 $00:34:15.720 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.106$ Hypofractionated radiation is

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

00:34:17.106 --> 00:34:19.416 a moderate dose per fraction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

 $00:34:19.420 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.967$ about three to seven grade per day

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

 $00:34:20.967 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.618$ over a fewer number of fractions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

00:34:22.620 --> 00:34:24.708 about 8 to 20 on SBRT would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

 $00{:}34{:}24.708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}26.579$ a high dose per fraction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

 $00:34:26.580 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.160$ so usually tend to 18,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

 $00{:}34{:}28.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}30.296$ but really up to even 34 grave over

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

 $00:34:30.296 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.418$ a very few number of fractions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

 $00:34:32.420 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.212$ which is defined in the US as

 $00:34:34.212 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.670$ one to five fractions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

00:34:35.670 --> 00:34:37.260 I'll also talk about this concept,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

 $00:34:37.260 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.230$ called biologically effective dose orbed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

 $00:34:40.230 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.080$ This speed increases with higher dose

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

 $00:34:43.080 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.820$ per fraction and actually increases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

 $00:34:45.820 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.608$ With a lower number of fractions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

 $00:34:47.610 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.836$ so 54 Gray and three fractions

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

 $00:34:49.836 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.900$ is actually higher in bedded,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

00:34:51.900 --> 00:34:54.288 in 60 Gray and five fractions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

 $00:34:54.290 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.988$ and that is higher than 60

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

00:34:55.988 --> 00:34:56.837 grade 15 fractions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

 $00:34:56.840 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.024$ which is there which is afterwards are

NOTE Confidence: 0.750373582105263

 $00:34:59.024 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.440$ higher than 60 Gray in in 30 fractions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707227175

 $00:35:03.490 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.767$ So in an effort for us for tumors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.707227175

00:35:05.767 --> 00:35:07.959 are outside was called No fly zone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.707227175

 $00:35:07.960 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.095$ we call this the the fly zone,

 $00:35:10.100 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.164$ which is within two centimeters of

NOTE Confidence: 0.707227175

 $00:35:12.164 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.540$ proximal tracheal bronchial tree.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707227175

 $00:35:13.540 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.532$ Anything that's peripheral to that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.707227175

 $00:35:15.532 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.929$ outside of it can be treated in in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.707227175

 $00:35:17.930 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.107$ in in the user with a high

NOTE Confidence: 0.707227175

 $00:35:20.107 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.710$ dose 3 fraction regimen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.707227175

 $00:35:21.710 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.041$ so we know from the chisel trial the best

NOTE Confidence: 0.707227175

00:35:24.041 --> 00:35:26.256 party is is superior to conventionally

NOTE Confidence: 0.707227175

 $00:35:26.256 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.156$ fractionated radiation for stage one

NOTE Confidence: 0.707227175

 $00:35:28.215 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.308$ non small cell lung cancers like this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707227175

 $00:35:30.310 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.970$ But we know that if you're treating

NOTE Confidence: 0.707227175

 $00:35:32.970 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.240$ within the central region of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707227175

 $00:35:35.240 \dashrightarrow 00:35:38.219$ This area, then the plastic can be too high,

NOTE Confidence: 0.707227175

 $00:35:38.220 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.530$ whereas the opening treat outside of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707227175

 $00:35:40.530 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.394$ The outcomes of an excellent 98% control at

 $00:35:43.394 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.718$ three years and 90% control in five years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00:35:47.960 \dashrightarrow 00:35:49.920$ Uh so so we did some work here as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00:35:49.974 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.815$ as some other places where we looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00:35:51.815 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.836$ at for central tumors. How do we?

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00:35:53.836 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.740$ How do we proceed with SBRT here?

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00:35:55.740 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.796$ So this is a program that was started

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00:35:57.796 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.701$ by Roy Decker several years ago

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00:35:59.701 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.699$ and really to decrease the dose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00:36:01.700 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.440$ but also standard fractionation

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00:36:03.440 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.745$ to five sessions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

00:36:04.750 --> 00:36:07.956 So using looking at your retrospective data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00:36:07.960 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.138$ we found that overall survival local

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00:36:10.138 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.056$ control and toxicity were similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00:36:12.056 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.076$ between central and peripheral tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00:36:14.076 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.339$ that have been followed up with an

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00:36:16.340 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.629$ RPG 0813 trial phase one two study.

 $00:36:18.630 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.248$ How that that was using those escalation

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00{:}36{:}21.248 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}23.333$ with five fractions to see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00:36:23.333 \longrightarrow 00:36:25.426$ there really it it really all there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00:36:25.430 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.996$ the doses that they were using.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00:36:27.000 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.090$ This is safe and effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00:36:29.090 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.250$ For central tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00:36:31.250 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.714$ So we have a trial here that I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00{:}36{:}32.714 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}33.812$ been working with Doctor Peters

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00:36:33.812 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.957$ for the last several years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00:36:34.960 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.490$ This is a dose dees calated

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00:36:36.490 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.408$ 3 fraction measurement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00:36:37.410 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.258$ We need to call it dream.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

00:36:39.260 --> 00:36:41.006 This is for central but not

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00:36:41.006 --> 00:36:42.170 \ {\rm Ultra\ central\ lung\ tumors}.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00:36:42.170 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.222$ So either primary non small cell

 $00:36:44.222 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.985$ lung cancers or metastases that

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00{:}36{:}45.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}48.302$ uses a similar BB to current five

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00:36:48.302 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.030$ fraction regiments but lower than

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00:36:50.030 \longrightarrow 00:36:51.374$ current three fraction regiments

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00:36:51.374 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.518$ to be more convenient for patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

00:36:53.518 --> 00:36:55.398 Given that we're not necessarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00:36:55.398 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.694$ sure that those D escalation as

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

00:36:57.694 --> 00:36:59.238 well as extending fractionation

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00{:}36{:}59.238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}01.490$ is really essential in this case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00:37:01.490 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.170$ So we're looking at a lower dose 3

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00{:}37{:}04.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}06.420$ fraction instead for the central tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00:37:06.420 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.436$ we define this as being within 2 centimeters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

00:37:08.440 --> 00:37:11.000 but not a budding the the Safa,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00:37:11.000 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.928$ guess hard or or the tricky monkey tree.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00:37:13.930 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.680$ So as a phase one two study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

00:37:15.680 --> 00:37:17.408 we're targeting 60 patients in total

 $00:37:17.408 \longrightarrow 00:37:19.190$ over the course of five years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00:37:19.190 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.686$ We had this open for the past year

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00:37:20.686 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.237$ and have a clear about 13 patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00:37:22.240 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.080$ So we were pretty much on target here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00:37:24.080 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.610$ Our primary endpoints are grade three

NOTE Confidence: 0.852341551904762

 $00:37:25.610 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.410$ plus toxicity as well as local control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

00:37:30.290 --> 00:37:31.930 Also, for Ultra Central tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00{:}37{:}31.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}34.346$ were there either a budding or within a

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:37:34.346 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.608$ centimeter or critical central structures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00{:}37{:}36.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}38.479$ Do we avoid SBRT altogether and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

00:37:38.479 --> 00:37:40.589 use a more fractionated Benjamin?

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:37:40.590 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.050$ It's the highest trial here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00{:}37{:}42.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}43.688$ just published this year that looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

00:37:43.688 --> 00:37:45.424 at high dose 8 fraction regiments

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:37:45.424 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.776$ with a similar be the to the current

 $00:37:47.836 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.441$ five fraction regiment and found

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:37:49.441 \longrightarrow 00:37:51.778$ actually the closer you get to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

00:37:51.778 --> 00:37:53.598 mainstem bronchi or the trachea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:37:53.600 \longrightarrow 00:37:56.030$ the higher likelihood of fatal toxicities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:37:56.030 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.840$ So it's a very serious.

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:37:58.840 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.156$ This news in general,

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

00:38:00.156 --> 00:38:01.801 but but actually even having

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:38:01.801 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.566$ grade 5 toxicity was up to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:38:03.566 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.356$ even the 30 to 40% of Maine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:38:05.356 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.582$ When you get that close to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

00:38:07.582 --> 00:38:09.199 mainstem bronchi or trachea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:38:09.200 \longrightarrow 00:38:10.866$ A much lower risk if you're on

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00{:}38{:}10.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}12.580$ your low bar bronchus instead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00{:}38{:}12.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}14.505$ So these are things that are very

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

00:38:14.505 --> 00:38:16.663 concerning to us with using these very

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:38:16.663 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.577$ high doses and ultra central tumors.

 $00:38:18.580 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.463$ So what do we do instead when

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:38:20.463 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.809$ they're not candidates for SBRT

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

00:38:21.809 --> 00:38:23.510 based on either tumor size or based

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00{:}38{:}23.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}25.357$ on location like we talked about?

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:38:25.360 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.041$ You know we've been doing some work

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:38:28.041 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.958$ with with this medical students here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:38:30.960 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.616$ Nadia Saeed and all sassy and using the

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00{:}38{:}33.616 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}38{:}35.610$ National Cancer database of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00{:}38{:}35.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}37.225$ with retrospective studies showing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:38:37.225 \longrightarrow 00:38:39.350$ there was higher survival among stage one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00{:}38{:}39.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}41.252$ Non small cell lung cancer patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:38:41.252 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.520$ who are receiving hyperfractionated

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00{:}38{:}42.568 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}38{:}44.356$ radiation compared to conventionally

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:38:44.356 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.250$ fractionated radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:38:45.250 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.112$ especially when using a higher higher BD.

 $00:38:48.112 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.548$ So we also look I'm looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00{:}38{:}50.548 {\:\hbox{--}\!>}\ 00{:}38{:}53.028$ at a yield databases as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00{:}38{:}53.028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}55.224$ Comparing a lower dose 15 fraction

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

00:38:55.224 --> 00:38:57.340 regiment to a higher dose eating

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:38:57.340 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.325$ fraction regimen to those who are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00{:}38{:}59.325 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}01.249$ candidates for SBRT and we hope that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:39:01.250 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.430$ One day I will be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:39:02.430 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.020$ We can maybe compare this winter

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:39:04.020 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.370$ to do an SPRT veg.

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:39:05.370 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.380$ And for those who are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:39:06.380 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.980$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:39:06.980 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.145$ maybe a lower dose SP regimen to see what

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:39:10.145 \dashrightarrow 00:39:13.330$ works best for these ultra central teams.

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:39:13.330 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.530$ On a different topic here

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:39:15.530 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.850$ for multiple targets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:39:16.850 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.100$ if we have multiple nodes,

00:39:18.100 --> 00:39:19.189 muscle lung cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:39:19.189 \longrightarrow 00:39:21.004$ which we sometimes do encounter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:39:21.010 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.859$ can they be treated simultaneously with SPRT?

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:39:23.860 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.785$ This is work that we just published

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

00:39:25.785 --> 00:39:27.376 this past week that looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:39:27.376 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.382$ and we look at our own data here

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:39:29.382 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.128$ among 60 patients treated over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00{:}39{:}31.128 \to 00{:}39{:}33.882$ last 12 years to 126 lesions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:39:33.882 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.264$ found 87% local control and 70%

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:39:36.264 \dashrightarrow 00:39:38.430$ overall survival at the two year

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00{:}39{:}38.502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}40.510$ mark with acceptable toxicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

00:39:40.510 --> 00:39:43.492. 3% Grade 2 toxicity and only 3% grade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:39:43.492 \dashrightarrow 00:39:45.636$ Three plus toxicity in both of those cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:39:45.636 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.000$ we would have used a different regimen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.671477112

 $00:39:47.000 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.926$ These days those were both treated.

 $00:39:51.150 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.738$ A long time ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

 $00{:}39{:}53.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}56.057$ So now moving on to SBRT plus

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

00:39:56.057 --> 00:39:57.729 systemic therapy so you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

 $00:39:57.730 \longrightarrow 00:39:59.234$ we know from the surgical that is shared.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

 $00:39:59.240 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.536$ Putting some some words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

 $00:40:00.536 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.166$ you know those done done here from Dan

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

 $00:40:03.166 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.357$ Buffa and his group that that patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

00:40:05.360 --> 00:40:07.688 may who undergo surgery may benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

 $00{:}40{:}07.688 \mathrel{\text{--}}{>} 00{:}40{:}10.000$ from chemotherapy and even more recent

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

00:40:10.000 --> 00:40:12.150 trials showing that immunotherapy may

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

 $00:40:12.150 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.700$ help in selected patients as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

00:40:14.700 --> 00:40:16.986 So can SBRT patients also benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

 $00:40:16.986 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.910$ from systemic therapy as well?

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

 $00:40:18.910 \longrightarrow 00:40:21.358$ We looked at our data here that showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

 $00:40:21.358 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.838$ that patients who were perceived.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

 $00:40:23.838 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.464$ Mantis stomach therapy,

 $00:40:25.470 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.986$ you know did have a lower risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

00:40:28.986 --> 00:40:30.798 of regional distant failure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

 $00:40:30.800 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.024$ so but we do also do know that

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

 $00:40:33.024 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.823$ chemotherapy is is challenging in

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

00:40:34.823 --> 00:40:36.788 this offering fail SPT population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

 $00:40:36.790 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.051$ which has garnered a lot of interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

00:40:39.051 --> 00:40:40.520 in using immunotherapy instead,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

 $00:40:40.520 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.160$ so can be immune checkpoint inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

 $00{:}40{:}43.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}45.016$ One study we have two studies open here

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

 $00:40:45.016 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.205$ at Yale that are looking at this question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

 $00:40:47.210 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.046$ One is the key note 867 trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

 $00:40:49.050 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.216$ which is a phase three study

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

00:40:51.216 --> 00:40:52.299 targeting 500 patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

 $00{:}40{:}52.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}53.956$ This would look at SBRT plus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

 $00:40:53.960 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.056$ Concurrent in admin panel is a man versus.

 $00:40:58.060 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.740$ For stage one and two non small

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

00:40:59.740 --> 00:41:01.169 cell lung cancer this is open.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

 $00:41:01.170 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.858$ It's been opened at the in New Haven

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

00:41:02.858 --> 00:41:04.476 and North Haven only at this point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

 $00:41:04.480 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.003$ but this would be a cute free week infusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

 $00:41:08.003 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.889$ Either way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

 $00:41:08.889 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.990$ whether you get the immunotherapy or placebo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

00:41:11.990 --> 00:41:14.006 But the endpoints being event free

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

00:41:14.006 --> 00:41:15.350 survival and overall survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

 $00:41:15.350 \longrightarrow 00:41:17.191$ we also are about ready to activate

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

 $00:41:17.191 \longrightarrow 00:41:17.980$ this new study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

00:41:17.980 --> 00:41:19.054 SWOG S 1914,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

 $00:41:19.054 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.560$ which has been activated nationally but ready

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

 $00:41:21.626 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.155$ to open here and all of our care centers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

 $00:41:24.160 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.620$ So it's a phase three that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

 $00:41:26.620 \longrightarrow 00:41:27.850$ targeting 480 patients.

 $00:41:27.850 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.578$ Similar question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

 $00:41:28.578 \longrightarrow 00:41:31.126$ but the slightly different SBRT plus minus

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

 $00:41:31.126 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.697$ MU Advent concurrent attachment therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

 $00:41:33.700 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.746$ which will be in this case

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

00:41:35.746 --> 00:41:38.940 different mean therapy would be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

 $00:41:38.940 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.460$ No friend only for six months instead of 12.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861891185

 $00:41:41.460 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.880$ A big difference is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

00:41:46.370 --> 00:41:49.051 Truly just got the SBRT and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00{:}41{:}49.051 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}51.122$ there's only including high risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00{:}41{:}51.122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}53.672$ factors which are size greater than

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:41:53.672 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.256$ two centimeters SUV Max of 6.2 or a

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:41:56.256 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.510$ grade of two to three on lapsing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00{:}41{:}58.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}01.633$ And again this will be open at all care

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:42:01.633 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.048$ centers that have yellow radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:42:04.050 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.694$ So moving out the early station

 $00:42:05.694 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.790$ as muscle lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

00:42:06.790 --> 00:42:08.065 Medically operable patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

00:42:08.065 --> 00:42:10.615 so can ask European alternative to

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:42:10.615 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.019$ surgery for medically operable patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:42:13.020 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.330$ First was work from there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

00:42:14.330 --> 00:42:15.968 from James you and Kerry Gross.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

00:42:15.970 --> 00:42:17.586 Looking at CR Medicare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:42:17.586 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.010$ looking at a retrospective study here

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:42:20.010 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.180$ that founded overall survival was

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

00:42:22.180 --> 00:42:24.232 improved for patients who received

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:42:24.232 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.596$ surgery versus those who received SPRT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:42:26.600 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.305$ The short term plasticity did

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:42:28.305 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.669$ seem to favor SPRT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:42:29.670 \longrightarrow 00:42:31.826$ but then even out by by about

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:42:31.826 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.611$ two years out in in the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00{:}42{:}33.611 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}35.046$ National Cancer Database from Denver

00:42:35.046 --> 00:42:36.503 Office Group found that overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:42:36.503 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.165$ survival was higher among those who

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

00:42:38.165 --> 00:42:40.106 got surgery than those who got SPRT

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

00:42:40.106 --> 00:42:43.112 even after adjusting for known confounders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:42:43.112 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.373$ including selecting for only

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:42:45.373 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.097$ patients who had refused.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:42:47.100 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.044$ He had refused surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

00:42:49.044 --> 00:42:50.988 but we're recommending surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:42:50.988 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.823$ for those who had no committees

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

00:42:53.823 --> 00:42:55.607 or Hello Committee score.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826 00:42:55.610 --> 00:42:55.901 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:42:55.901 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.647$ we know that selection and indication

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00{:}42{:}57.647 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}59.683$ bias is a concern when comparing

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:42:59.683 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.159$ surgery and SBRT retrospectively

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:43:01.159 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.318$ there have been there's work out of

 $00:43:03.318 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.157$ here as well as other places showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00{:}43{:}05.157 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}06.842$ that patients who are considered

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

00:43:06.842 --> 00:43:08.943 operable who do receive SPRT do

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:43:08.943 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.683$ have higher overall survival and

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:43:10.683 \longrightarrow 00:43:12.104$ progression free survival compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:43:12.104 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.729$ to those who are inoperable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:43:13.730 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.629$ so it's difficult to compare these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:43:17.630 \longrightarrow 00:43:19.475$ Contracted an apples to apples

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

00:43:19.475 --> 00:43:21.747 comparison even though they do have

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:43:21.747 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.246$ similar local control and regional

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00{:}43{:}24.246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}27.450$ distant failure as well among operable

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:43:27.538 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.170$ versus inoperable SBRT patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:43:30.170 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.620$ So I think that because like this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826 00:43:32.620 --> 00:43:33.296 this this, NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

00:43:33.296 --> 00:43:35.324 this four part reviews here that

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:43:35.330 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.370$ I've drawn a few figures from.

00:43:37.370 --> 00:43:39.080 You know one thing you should

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:43:39.080 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.962$ use was showing here is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:43:40.962 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.672$ randomized phase three trials of

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

00:43:42.672 --> 00:43:44.440 surgery versus SBRT are ongoing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

00:43:44.440 --> 00:43:46.215 but have been historically difficult

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

00:43:46.215 --> 00:43:48.580 to recruit in these four here have

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:43:48.580 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.248$ accrued a total of 2.9% of the targets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:43:51.248 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.300$ so really they all had the

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00{:}43{:}53.378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}55.710$ clothes being very underpowered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00{:}43{:}55.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}58.095$ There's a Fowler study at the VA that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

00:43:58.095 --> 00:44:00.200 probably the most promising ongoing trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:44:00.200 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.351$ So far as accrued more than I think more

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00{:}44{:}02.351 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}04.299$ than all these have combined so far,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:44:04.300 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.996$ yet this is targeting 670 patients and is

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:44:06.996 \longrightarrow 00:44:10.214$ not due to read out for at least five years.

 $00:44:10.220 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.580$ So in the meantime,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:44:11.580 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.940$ what do we do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:44:12.940 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.928$ What do we do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:44:13.928 \longrightarrow 00:44:15.891$ We know that the stars and roselle

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

00:44:15.891 --> 00:44:17.971 trials have been actually merged

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:44:17.971 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.635$ together and pooled analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:44:19.700 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.420$ that again both underpowered and

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:44:21.420 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.456$ closed early due to poor accrual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:44:23.456 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.720$ They did find it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80560848434782600:44:24.720 --> 00:44:25.620 In this case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00{:}44{:}25.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}27.120$ A surprising result that SBRT

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

00:44:27.120 --> 00:44:28.718 patients actually had higher overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

00:44:28.718 --> 00:44:30.288 survival and in this population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

00:44:30.290 --> 00:44:32.747 Which was a very small population again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:44:32.750 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.634$ but it was similar in recurrence Crucible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00{:}44{:}35.640 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}44{:}37.422$ A larger study was done more

00:44:37.422 --> 00:44:38.610 recently a nonrandomized study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

00:44:38.610 --> 00:44:41.586 so single ARM SBRT trial that that had

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

00:44:41.586 --> 00:44:43.919 better accrual but from Indy Anderson

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:44:43.919 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.478$ that looked at that actually had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.805608484347826

 $00:44:46.478 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.930$ protocol specified comparison to

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:44:47.989 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.999$ an institutional surgical cohort and

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

00:44:49.999 --> 00:44:52.086 found that certain outcomes in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

00:44:52.086 --> 00:44:53.546 population was similar to surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00{:}44{:}53.546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}55.170$ and overall survival progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

00:44:55.170 --> 00:44:56.995 Free survival and other outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

00:44:56.995 --> 00:44:58.820 and and again surprising results

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:44:58.885 \longrightarrow 00:45:00.076$ that 87% overall survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00{:}45{:}00.076 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}03.037$ At five years for SBRT so hard to know

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00{:}45{:}03.037 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}05.291$ how to extrapolate some of the older

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:45:05.291 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.656$ studies that included patients who were

 $00:45:07.656 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.030$ not great surgical candidates in terms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:45:10.030 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.370$ especially in terms of overall survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:45:12.370 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.714$ So at the end you know with

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:45:13.714 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.910$ the fact that it beckoned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:45:14.910 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.292$ and several Members who are here

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:45:17.292 \longrightarrow 00:45:20.098$ right now is at the love you

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00{:}45{:}20.098 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}21.706$ know from different disciplines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00{:}45{:}21.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}23.566$ We all got together and and it's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00{:}45{:}23.566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}25.586$ working on this four part yield guideline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:45:25.590 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.048$ That's a collaboration

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

00:45:27.048 --> 00:45:28.506 that that transformative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:45:28.510 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.700$ practical framework for weighing short term

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:45:30.700 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.960$ versus long term benefits and downsides.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:45:32.960 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.967$ So this is one of many figures in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

00:45:34.967 --> 00:45:37.136 paper that are are looking at, you know how?

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:45:37.136 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.690$ How can we go through all the

00:45:38.751 --> 00:45:40.407 data that's out there right now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:45:40.410 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.178$ as imperfect as it is, and?

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

00:45:42.178 --> 00:45:44.386 Trying to figure out which patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

00:45:44.386 --> 00:45:46.740 you may benefit from SPRT, lobectomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:45:46.740 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.820$ sublumbar resection, or ablation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:45:48.820 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.356$ and as you can see in this figure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:45:50.360 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.458$ there's nuances with and patient selection

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:45:54.460 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.218$ that's really critical and and and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:45:56.220 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.450$ and that was very proud of

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:45:57.450 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.839$ how well our team has worked,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:45:58.840 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.835$ as in in in a tumor board,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:46:00.840 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.016$ as well as just on the phone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00{:}46{:}02.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}05.328$ Otherwise working through these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:46:05.330 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.685$ Working through the decisions about

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:46:07.685 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.700$ how to handle each individual patient.

 $00:46:10.700 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.968$ So I'm moving on to long arguments

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:46:12.968 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.616$ that disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:46:13.620 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.905$ uhm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:46:13.905 \longrightarrow 00:46:16.470$ I so here we pick up all the mess

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00{:}46{:}16.545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}19.377$ that disease as a intermediate state

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

00:46:19.377 --> 00:46:21.870 between local and systemic disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:46:21.870 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.198$ where the kind of original

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00{:}46{:}24.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}26.096$ helmet and excellent definition was

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:46:26.096 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.063$ that there may be a small subset

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:46:28.063 \longrightarrow 00:46:30.271$ for whom radical local treatment of

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00{:}46{:}30.271 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}32.635$ primary cancer and almost at legions

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

00:46:32.640 --> 00:46:34.280 might have a curative potential,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:46:34.280 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.439$ often defined as 123 or one to five patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:46:37.440 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.352$ So we know the surgery or radiation may

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:46:39.352 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.610$ have a larger role in localized disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:46:41.610 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.020$ With an stomach there be having

00:46:43.020 --> 00:46:44.430 a much smaller role in Wylie,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:46:44.430 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.008$ metastatic disease is really the opposite,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

00:46:46.010 --> 00:46:48.670 where it's stomach therapy is a primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

00:46:48.670 --> 00:46:50.809 role over surgery and radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:46:50.810 \longrightarrow 00:46:52.126$ But alchemist axes disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:46:52.126 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.490$ there may be a role for both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:46:54.490 \longrightarrow 00:46:57.024$ So here this is some going for

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:46:57.024 \longrightarrow 00:46:59.415$ the papers here that some trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:46:59.415 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.869$ that are phase two looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:47:01.869 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.089$ local consolidative therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:47:04.090 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.578$ In this case the Gomez studied in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:47:06.578 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.037$ Anderson showed that either surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00{:}47{:}08.037 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}09.990$ or SBRT for stage four non small

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:47:10.049 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.226$ cell lung cancer patients with one to

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00{:}47{:}12.226 \to 00{:}47{:}13.864$ three metastases and no progression

 $00:47:13.864 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.796$ after three plus months of chemo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:47:15.800 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.620$ actually had increased progression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:47:17.620 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.895$ free survival and overall survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:47:19.900 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.588$ Median of 41 versus 17 months

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:47:22.588 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.380$ compared to to not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:47:24.380 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.917$ Using a local resolves OK and then use it

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:47:27.917 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.877$ stomach therapy alone after this point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:47:30.880 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.826$ This was also shown you know this

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:47:32.826 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.995$ this study just the best PRT but

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:47:34.995 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.585$ similar population stage four non

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00{:}47{:}36.585 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}38.724$ small cell lung cancer from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:47:38.724 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.132$ southwestern after three plus

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

00:47:40.132 --> 00:47:41.706 months of chemotherapy this had

NOTE Confidence: 0.768281687222222

 $00:47:41.706 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.530$ to be stopped early because of

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:47:43.591 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.646$ the very clear progression free

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00{:}47{:}45.646 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}47.004$ survival improvement this was.

 $00:47:47.004 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.873$ This was shut down by the IRB

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

00:47:48.873 --> 00:47:50.686 because it's not about to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:47:50.686 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.166$ ethical to continue this study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:47:52.170 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.634$ Uh, and then come see if a comment

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:47:54.634 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.925$ looked at at all domestic cases of

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:47:56.925 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.404$ any primary and found the five year

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:47:59.404 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.578$ overall survival of 42% versus 18%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00{:}48{:}01.578 \longrightarrow 00{:}48{:}03.672$ So actually quite similar to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:48:03.672 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.596$ Golden study showed that really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00{:}48{:}05.596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}07.567$ that's where 4.5% in this case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:48:07.567 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.121$ So they they did have three

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

00:48:09.121 --> 00:48:10.458 that's in this population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00{:}48{:}10.460 \longrightarrow 00{:}48{:}12.091$ but there was no quality of life

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

00:48:12.091 --> 00:48:13.220 difference among among the best.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

00:48:13.220 --> 00:48:14.660 So you know, there's always caution.

 $00:48:14.660 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.291$ Of course it needs to be taken

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

00:48:16.291 --> 00:48:17.688 when you when you do this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:48:17.690 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.287$ all those were the chemotherapy alone era.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:48:20.290 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.354$ Now we're in the immunotherapy area as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:48:22.360 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.694$ So energy LU002 is the current

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00{:}48{:}24.694 \rightarrow 00{:}48{:}26.778$ ongoing phase three study that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

00:48:26.778 --> 00:48:29.038 looking at a similar population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:48:29.040 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.834$ but now allowing for immunotherapy in

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:48:30.834 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.009$ there in the most recent amendment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

00:48:33.010 --> 00:48:36.195 So I think we still quite relevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00{:}48{:}36.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}37.700$ question to ask at this point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:48:37.700 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.392$ They do require that you use

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:48:39.392 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.259$ SBRT for at least one lesion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:48:41.260 \longrightarrow 00:48:43.942$ but can use surgery for other

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:48:43.942 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.283$ regions as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:48:45.290 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.048$ So now for long, oligo progressive disease.

 $00:48:48.050 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.372$ This is a somewhat different concept

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00{:}48{:}50.372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}52.600$ in that there you may not necessarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:48:52.600 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.599$ have just a few minutes up front.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:48:54.600 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.336$ You may have several types of disease and

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

00:48:56.336 --> 00:48:58.047 have good control with stomach therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:48:58.050 \longrightarrow 00:48:59.954$ but when you stay on this systemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

00:48:59.954 --> 00:49:01.749 therapy or when you're off of it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:49:01.750 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.837$ if one or a few areas grow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:49:04.840 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.210$ then you're faced with choices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

00:49:07.210 --> 00:49:09.534 Do you switch therapy to continue therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:49:09.540 \longrightarrow 00:49:11.832$ or do you add therapy and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:49:11.832 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.939$ so so so this is some workouts

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00{:}49{:}13.939 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}15.960$ from from staff get injured.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00{:}49{:}15.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}17.815$ I'm looking at the SBRT for oligo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

00:49:17.820 --> 00:49:19.640 progressive mounts, muscle lung cancer.

00:49:19.640 --> 00:49:20.644 After immunotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:49:20.644 \longrightarrow 00:49:23.656$ a small study of 26 patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:49:23.660 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.725$ who had acquired resistance to

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

00:49:25.725 --> 00:49:26.964 immune checkpoint inhibitors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:49:26.970 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.287$ 15 of them had local therapy without

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

00:49:29.287 --> 00:49:30.760 immediate salvage systemic therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:49:30.760 \longrightarrow 00:49:33.022$ so they either were treatment holiday

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

00:49:33.022 --> 00:49:34.898 systemically or just remain on

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00{:}49{:}34.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}36.734$ their on their same amino the rapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:49:36.740 \longrightarrow 00:49:38.987$ It's a somewhat busy the figure here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:49:38.990 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.586$ but the in essence the green

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:49:40.586 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.170$ is where they were able to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:49:42.170 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.226$ The circles are where you got local therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:49:44.230 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.950$ The green is when they were able to stay on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

00:49:46.950 --> 00:49:48.330 Immunotherapy and then Gray is when

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:49:48.330 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.777$ they had to switch to something

 $00:49:49.777 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.795$ else to your overall survival was

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:49:51.795 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.818$ 92% and quite a few patients were

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:49:53.818 \longrightarrow 00:49:55.421$ able to maintain mean checkpoint

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:49:55.421 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.729$ inhibitors for years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:49:56.730 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.546$ In some cases after local therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:50:00.550 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.170$ So this is a state from

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

00:50:02.170 --> 00:50:02.980 Redecan Allison Campbell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:50:02.980 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.677$ an investigator initiated trials here that

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

00:50:05.677 --> 00:50:08.176 looked at SBRT for all the progressive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:50:08.180 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.480$ non small cells lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00{:}50{:}09.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}10.736$ After immunotherapy as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:50:10.736 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.620$ this is a 21 patients faced

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00{:}50{:}12.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}15.001$ one and two study where he gave

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

00:50:15.001 --> 00:50:15.994 Pembroke until progression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:50:16.000 \longrightarrow 00:50:17.735$ then SBRT and then then

00:50:17.735 --> 00:50:18.776 restarted Kimbrough again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00{:}50{:}18.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}20.535$ Right afterwards the endpoint was

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:50:20.535 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.755$ overall response rate and non irradiated

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:50:22.755 \longrightarrow 00:50:24.795$ legions to really to investigate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

 $00:50:24.800 \longrightarrow 00:50:26.016$ Can you reinvigorate this

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

00:50:26.016 --> 00:50:27.536 immune response and maybe even

NOTE Confidence: 0.872031570588235

00:50:27.536 --> 00:50:29.120 get enough scope of response

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

 $00:50:29.120 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.980$ to sites that were not treated?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

 $00{:}50{:}30.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}33.760$ Disease Control overall was 57%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

 $00:50:33.760 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.760$ but interestingly there were two patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

 $00{:}50{:}36.760 \longrightarrow 00{:}50{:}38.824$ 10% of the group that had a partial

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

 $00:50:38.824 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.746$ response for more than a year and and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

 $00:50:40.750 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.564$ and there's lots of ongoing studies now

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

 $00:50:43.564 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.746$ investigating more about about who this

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

 $00:50:45.746 \longrightarrow 00:50:48.237$ you know the the factors that may

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

 $00:50:48.237 \longrightarrow 00:50:50.435$ have led to that for those patients.

 $00:50:50.440 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.552$ So just Astro the last month was presented

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

 $00{:}50{:}54.552 \to 00{:}50{:}57.786$ this curb study out of MSK that looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

 $00:50:57.786 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.334$ at a similar population of knots,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

00:50:59.340 --> 00:51:00.648 muscle lung cancer patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

00:51:00.648 --> 00:51:01.956 or breast cancer patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

 $00:51:01.960 \longrightarrow 00:51:02.718$ and interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

 $00:51:02.718 \longrightarrow 00:51:05.750$ found a large PFS benefit for SBRT for

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

 $00{:}51{:}05.816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}08.210$ non small cell lung cancer patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

 $00{:}51{:}08.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}09.860$ but not for breast cancer patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

 $00{:}51{:}09.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}12.585$ You can see in lung 44 nine weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

 $00:51:12.585 \longrightarrow 00:51:15.180$ whereas breast was 18 versus 19.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

 $00:51:15.180 \longrightarrow 00:51:16.440$ No difference there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

 $00{:}51{:}16.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}17.736$ Paper still yet to come out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

 $00:51:17.740 \longrightarrow 00:51:18.846$ so I think there's still a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

 $00:51:18.846 \longrightarrow 00:51:20.331$ of questions here, but this is.

 $00:51:20.331 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.090$ Intriguing data that maybe this is not this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

 $00{:}51{:}23.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}24.606$ Maybe Histology independent in

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

00:51:24.606 --> 00:51:27.574 terms of the the role of SBRT

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

 $00:51:27.574 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.006$ in this context stuff Joe Hung is

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

 $00:51:30.006 \longrightarrow 00:51:32.045$ about to activate this study as

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

 $00:51:32.045 \longrightarrow 00:51:33.570$ well for renal cell carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

 $00:51:33.570 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.110$ This is a phase two study where

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

00:51:35.110 --> 00:51:36.560 we're looking at ICI until

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

 $00{:}51{:}36.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}38.535$ disease progression and then SPRT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

 $00:51:38.540 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.412$ And then I see I again at the end

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

 $00:51:40.412 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.377$ point being progression free survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

 $00{:}51{:}42.380 \to 00{:}51{:}44.018$ So there's there's a lot going

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

 $00:51:44.018 \longrightarrow 00:51:45.110$ on in this arena.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

 $00{:}51{:}45.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}46.608$ Just again for the CD three study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

00:51:46.610 --> 00:51:49.250 We do include all capacities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

 $00:51:49.250 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.670$ all progressive disease as well.

 $00:51:50.670 \longrightarrow 00:51:53.230$ This teams that are central,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

 $00:51:53.230 \longrightarrow 00:51:55.165$ so we've had this review here that we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

 $00:51:55.165 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.897$ last here on local updated therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

00:51:56.900 --> 00:51:58.340 If you want more details,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

 $00:51:58.340 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.582$ feel free to refer to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.82679625

 $00:51:59.582 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.630$ on other studies that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.958946765

 $00:52:00.860 \longrightarrow 00:52:01.568$ come out as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702190201538462

 $00:52:03.200 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.951$ So in summary, we come we for

NOTE Confidence: 0.702190201538462

 $00{:}52{:}05.951 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}08.889$ early season of muscle lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702190201538462

 $00:52:08.890 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.434$ You know our future directions here are that

NOTE Confidence: 0.702190201538462

00:52:11.434 --> 00:52:13.742 we're really looking to optimize patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.702190201538462

 $00{:}52{:}13.742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}15.757$ selection and SBRT dose fractionation

NOTE Confidence: 0.702190201538462

 $00{:}52{:}15.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}18.148$ for peripheral tumors for central tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702190201538462

00:52:18.150 --> 00:52:20.040 or we have this D3 trial like I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702190201538462

 $00:52:20.040 \longrightarrow 00:52:21.660$ as well as Ultra central tumors,

00:52:21.660 --> 00:52:22.872 which we're currently investigating

NOTE Confidence: 0.702190201538462

00:52:22.872 --> 00:52:23.781 retrospectively and hopefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.702190201538462

00:52:23.781 --> 00:52:25.430 leading to a prospective study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702190201538462

00:52:25.430 --> 00:52:27.642 At some point we're looking to add

NOTE Confidence: 0.702190201538462

 $00:52:27.642 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.616$ immunotherapy to SPRT and seeing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.702190201538462

 $00:52:29.616 \longrightarrow 00:52:31.870$ investigate whether that is helpful or not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702190201538462

 $00:52:31.870 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.156$ in which populations may be useful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702190201538462

00:52:34.160 --> 00:52:36.906 Two ongoing trials open it, yell as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702190201538462

 $00:52:36.906 \longrightarrow 00:52:38.416$ The keynote and the swab

NOTE Confidence: 0.702190201538462

00:52:38.416 --> 00:52:39.616 studies Olga Ministik.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702190201538462

 $00{:}52{:}39.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}40.960$ Another progressive disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702190201538462

00:52:40.960 --> 00:52:42.301 optimizing patient selection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702190201538462

 $00:52:42.301 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.089$ and those fractionation as

NOTE Confidence: 0.702190201538462

 $00:52:44.089 \longrightarrow 00:52:45.430$ well as sequencing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.702190201538462

00:52:45.430 --> 00:52:47.230 combining SPRT without CI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.702190201538462 00:52:47.230 --> 00:52:48.130 So we

 $00:52:49.450 \longrightarrow 00:52:52.820$ make sure that the prospective

NOTE Confidence: 0.76480165

00:52:52.820 --> 00:52:55.182 studies. If you're if credit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76480165

 $00:52:55.182 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.838$ that's his name going forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887258881818182

 $00:52:58.050 \longrightarrow 00:52:59.382$ So outside the scope today we

NOTE Confidence: 0.887258881818182

00:52:59.382 --> 00:53:01.094 have a lot of exciting, ongoing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887258881818182

 $00:53:01.094 \longrightarrow 00:53:02.614$ pending trials at and pending

NOTE Confidence: 0.887258881818182

 $00:53:02.614 \longrightarrow 00:53:04.520$ trials at the yield a lung dart,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887258881818182

 $00{:}53{:}04.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}05.927$ as well as the T Red Dart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887258881818182

00:53:05.930 --> 00:53:07.450 I'm not going to get into this today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887258881818182

 $00{:}53{:}07.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}09.070$ but locally advanced non small cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.887258881818182

 $00:53:09.070 \longrightarrow 00:53:10.755$ lung cancer limited stage and extensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.887258881818182

 $00{:}53{:}10.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}12.145$ stage small cell lung cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887258881818182

 $00:53:12.150 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.920$ There's a lot going on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887258881818182

 $00:53:13.920 \longrightarrow 00:53:17.208$ so we're excited to be working on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887258881818182

 $00:53:17.210 \longrightarrow 00:53:19.400$ And finally, like to acknowledge our

00:53:19.400 --> 00:53:20.860 yield domestic radiotherapy program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887258881818182

 $00:53:20.860 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.924$ the members of our team have been phenomenal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887258881818182

00:53:22.930 --> 00:53:23.790 We've been working weekly

NOTE Confidence: 0.887258881818182

 $00:53:23.790 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.865$ and chart rounds ever since.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887258881818182

 $00:53:24.870 \longrightarrow 00:53:27.142$ I had the honor of taking this over

NOTE Confidence: 0.887258881818182

00:53:27.142 --> 00:53:29.190 this program in 2019 from Roy Decker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887258881818182

00:53:29.190 --> 00:53:30.888 who's been just amazing mentor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.887258881818182

00:53:30.888 --> 00:53:32.998 mine and we really started the SPRT

NOTE Confidence: 0.887258881818182

 $00:53:32.998 \longrightarrow 00:53:35.133$ program here at Yale several years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887258881818182

 $00:53:35.140 \longrightarrow 00:53:37.090$ and among our six sides,

NOTE Confidence: 0.887258881818182

 $00{:}53{:}37.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}39.246$ we go over all of radiation plans

NOTE Confidence: 0.887258881818182

00:53:39.246 --> 00:53:41.549 having to do with lung cancer every

NOTE Confidence: 0.887258881818182

00:53:41.549 --> 00:53:43.636 week to be sure we're improving

NOTE Confidence: 0.887258881818182

 $00{:}53{:}43.636 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>}\ 00{:}53{:}45.420$ communication and quality assurance

NOTE Confidence: 0.887258881818182

 $00:53:45.420 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.650$ and standardizing practice and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.887258881818182

 $00:53:47.714 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.478$ sharing and sharing information.

 $00:53:49.480 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.912$ So I I just I'm very blessed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.887258881818182

 $00:53:51.912 \longrightarrow 00:53:54.088$ work with this wonderful team.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887258881818182

00:53:54.090 --> 00:53:55.539 Thank you all very much for your time today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888486175714286

 $00:53:57.660 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.734$ Thank you Henry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888486175714286

 $00:53:58.734 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.166$ It's very impressive results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888486175714286

 $00:54:00.170 \longrightarrow 00:54:02.552$ Some of the trials you showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.888486175714286

 $00:54:02.552 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.140$ us are there questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888486175714286

 $00:54:04.140 \longrightarrow 00:54:05.534$ I see some one has a hand up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888486175714286

 $00:54:05.534 \longrightarrow 00:54:06.950$ but I can't see who that is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9155051

 $00:54:09.180 \longrightarrow 00:54:10.530$ Or the questions in the chat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9374584

 $00:54:22.930 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.840$ No questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97351617

 $00:54:26.350 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.770$ So so Henry in your time here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97351617

 $00:54:28.770 \longrightarrow 00:54:30.240$ what do you think has been the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97351617

 $00:54:30.240 \longrightarrow 00:54:33.760$ biggest step advance? In radiotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.886416345

 $00:54:33.770 \longrightarrow 00:54:37.750$ specifically, I think our ability

 $00:54:37.750 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.250$ to really use the imaging that

NOTE Confidence: 0.886416345

 $00:54:40.250 \longrightarrow 00:54:43.708$ we have of and and be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.886416345

00:54:43.708 --> 00:54:45.803 target even more precisely overtime,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886416345

 $00:54:45.810 \longrightarrow 00:54:47.224$ and I think you know we're excited

NOTE Confidence: 0.886416345

 $00:54:47.224 \longrightarrow 00:54:48.940$ to have a new reflection machine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886416345

 $00:54:48.940 \longrightarrow 00:54:51.569$ You know that's coming in soon to be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.886416345

 $00:54:51.569 \longrightarrow 00:54:53.170$ to target all of them attached season Algo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886416345

 $00:54:53.170 \longrightarrow 00:54:53.866$ Progressive disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886416345

 $00{:}54{:}53.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}55.954$ I think that's really the future

NOTE Confidence: 0.886416345

 $00:54:55.954 \longrightarrow 00:54:58.330$ here in terms of, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886416345

 $00:54:58.330 \longrightarrow 00:55:01.300$ using local therapy to supplement the

NOTE Confidence: 0.886416345

 $00:55:01.300 \longrightarrow 00:55:02.840$ excellent events that we've made in systemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886416345

 $00:55:02.840 \longrightarrow 00:55:03.173$ Therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886416345

 $00:55:03.173 \longrightarrow 00:55:04.838$ There's been so impressive and

NOTE Confidence: 0.886416345

 $00:55:04.838 \longrightarrow 00:55:06.600$ and especially in lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886416345

 $00:55:06.600 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.520$ where we see just, you know,

 $00:55:08.520 \longrightarrow 00:55:09.755$ so much better survival than

NOTE Confidence: 0.886416345

 $00:55:09.755 \longrightarrow 00:55:10.743$ we've ever seen before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886416345

 $00:55:10.750 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.132$ Vestige for patients you know and

NOTE Confidence: 0.886416345

00:55:13.132 --> 00:55:15.556 combine this with with you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.886416345

 $00:55:15.556 \longrightarrow 00:55:17.646$ with with targeted agents and

NOTE Confidence: 0.886416345

 $00:55:17.646 \longrightarrow 00:55:19.090$ immunotherapy and chemotherapy to

NOTE Confidence: 0.886416345

00:55:19.090 --> 00:55:21.470 really extend survival and and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886416345

00:55:21.470 --> 00:55:22.618 you know, are we going to seek?

NOTE Confidence: 0.886416345

00:55:22.620 --> 00:55:23.645 You know we're seeing more

NOTE Confidence: 0.886416345

 $00:55:23.645 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.920$ patients at that 10 year Mark?

NOTE Confidence: 0.886416345

 $00{:}55{:}24.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}27.272$ Now you know even more who are still

NOTE Confidence: 0.886416345

 $00:55:27.272 \longrightarrow 00:55:29.220$ disease free, so you know, it's it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886416345

 $00{:}55{:}29.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}31.215$ It's very exciting time that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886416345

 $00:55:31.220 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.050$ as a technology improves in our.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886416345

 $00:55:33.050 \longrightarrow 00:55:34.730$ Ability to select patients

 $00:55:34.730 \longrightarrow 00:55:36.410$ properly for this improves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886416345

 $00:55:36.410 \longrightarrow 00:55:38.540$ We're hoping to really see

NOTE Confidence: 0.886416345

00:55:38.540 --> 00:55:40.244 more patients benefit from,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886416345

00:55:40.250 --> 00:55:40.802 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886416345

00:55:40.802 --> 00:55:41.906 from from using SBRT

NOTE Confidence: 0.7572500875

 $00:55:41.920 \longrightarrow 00:55:43.620$ in this setting. Perfect

NOTE Confidence: 0.69801159

 $00:55:43.690 \longrightarrow 00:55:46.540$ we have doctor D. Do you have a question?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8902136575

 $00:55:49.530 \longrightarrow 00:55:53.750$ I see a hand. Uhm, maybe not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8902136575

00:55:53.750 --> 00:55:55.680 OK, well thank both speakers again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8902136575

 $00{:}55{:}55.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}56.884$ It was a terrific series and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8902136575

 $00:55:56.884 \longrightarrow 00:55:58.290$ learned a lot and I hope the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8902136575

00:55:58.290 --> 00:55:59.580 audience did. Also thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.970883715

 $00:56:00.600 \longrightarrow 00:56:01.130$ Thank you.