WEBVTT

- NOTE duration:"00:55:48"
- NOTE recognizability:0.812
- NOTE language:en-us
- NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

 $00{:}00{:}00{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}03.800$ You can see it. Fred.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

 $00{:}00{:}03{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}06{.}020$ So with no further ado on

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

00:00:06.020 --> 00:00:07.500 behalf of Doctor Nita,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

00:00:07.500 --> 00:00:09.680 Hoosier Interim Cancer Center director,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

 $00:00:09.680 \rightarrow 00:00:12.200$ and actually this time next week,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

00:00:12.200 --> 00:00:13.850 your good friend Doctor Eric

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

 $00:00:13.850 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.981$ Wyner will be sitting in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

 $00:00:15.981 \rightarrow 00:00:18.056$ position as our permanent director.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

00:00:18.060 --> 00:00:21.077 We're really excited to have you here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

 $00:00:21.080 \longrightarrow 00:00:22.538$ even though it's not in person,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

 $00{:}00{:}22.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}24.815$ as the Julia Patricia Kingsbury

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

 $00{:}00{:}24.815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}26.635$ Memorial lecturer and lectureship

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

 $00:00:26.635 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.358$ that's been sponsored for.

 $00:00:28.360 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.957$ You know well over 2 decades by

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

00:00:30.957 -> 00:00:32.712 their family for me to introduce.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

 $00{:}00{:}32.712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}33.724$ Doctor Norton is like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

 $00:00:33.730 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.957$ Introducing a Rockstar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

 $00:00:34.957 \rightarrow 00:00:37.411$ Obviously he's a senior vice president

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

00:00:37.411 - > 00:00:39.647 in the office of the President,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

00:00:39.650 --> 00:00:40.838 Memorial Sloan Kettering,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

 $00:00:40.838 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.818$ the medical director of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

 $00{:}00{:}42.818 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}44.612$ Evelyn Lauder Breast Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

 $00:00:44.612 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.618$ I think you're also the founding

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

 $00{:}00{:}47.618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}50.218$ and incumbent N Norna Serafin

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

 $00:00:50.218 \longrightarrow 00:00:52.234$ clinical chair in oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

00:00:52.240 --> 00:00:53.062 Career started,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

00:00:53.062 --> 00:00:53.884 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

00:00:53.884 --> 00:00:55.939 undergraduate and undergraduate at Rochester,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

 $00{:}00{:}55{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}58{.}383$ then went on to Columbia for medical

- NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847
- $00{:}00{:}58.383 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}00.762$ school in Albert Einstein and the NCI

00:01:00.762 --> 00:01:03.020 for Training and Medicine and Oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

00:01:03.020 --> 00:01:03.600 And really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

 $00:01:03.600 \rightarrow 00:01:06.357$ you know the first time I was I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

 $00:01:06.357 \dashrightarrow 00:01:08.172$ privileged to meet Doctor Norton

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

 $00:01:08.172 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.580$ was in 2002 at the old CLG or

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

 $00:01:10.580 \dashrightarrow 00:01:12.249$ the cancer and Leukemia Group B.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

 $00{:}01{:}12.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}14.206$ And you know that committee which

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

 $00{:}01{:}14.206 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}16.547$ you chaired for such a long time and

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

 $00{:}01{:}16.547 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}19.814$ then passed on to doctor Doctor Weiner again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

00:01:19.820 --> 00:01:21.585 Our incoming director and Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

 $00{:}01{:}21.585 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}24.210$ Hudis just to see how masterfully.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

 $00:01:24.210 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.850$ The research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

 $00:01:24.850 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.490$ the work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

 $00:01:25.490 \rightarrow 00:01:28.070$ the care of your patients over the years,

 $00{:}01{:}28{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}30{.}142$ and then you know more recently in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

00:01:30.142 --> 00:01:32.450 last decade you know being involved with

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

 $00{:}01{:}32{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}34{.}185$ the breast Cancer Research Foundation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

00:01:34.190 --> 00:01:36.730 which you and Evelyn Lauder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

00:01:36.730 --> 00:01:38.258 the late Evelyn Lauder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

00:01:38.258 --> 00:01:39.450 and Leonard Lauder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

 $00{:}01{:}39{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}40{.}260$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

 $00:01:40.260 \rightarrow 00:01:42.690$ put together really bringing over 200,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

00:01:42.690 --> 00:01:43.268 I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

 $00:01:43.268 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.002$ probably close to 300 of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

 $00:01:45.002 \dashrightarrow 00:01:46.081$ world's leading investigators

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

 $00{:}01{:}46.081 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}47.869$ and breast Cancer Research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

 $00:01:47.870 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.530$ Really, for the cure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

 $00:01:49.530 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.605$ as defined as the founding

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

00:01:51.605 --> 00:01:52.730 scientific director.

NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847

 $00:01:52.730 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.074$ Gosh,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847
- $00:01:53.074 \rightarrow 00:01:54.450$ this is such a.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847
- $00:01:54.450 \rightarrow 00:01:56.880$ A great day for for a Yale and I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847
- 00:01:56.880 --> 00:01:58.915 know everyone is really excited
- NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847
- $00:01:58.915 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.740$ to hear your thoughts on the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847
- $00:02:00.740 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.860$ nature of breast neoplasia.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847
- $00:02:01.860 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.145$ So thank you Doctor Norton
- NOTE Confidence: 0.52924847
- $00:02:03.145 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.173$ for making the time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143
- 00:02:04.360 --> 00:02:06.054 OK, thank you. Thank you very much.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143
- $00{:}02{:}06.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}08.067$ I hope every body can hear me and thank you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143
- $00:02:08.067 \rightarrow 00:02:10.239$ for that really very gracious introduction.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143
- 00:02:10.240 --> 00:02:12.864 You know it's a it's it's totally shame.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143
- 00:02:12.870 --> 00:02:14.274 In the old days when you give a lecture
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143
- $00{:}02{:}14.274 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}15.624$ ship like this, you'd come in person.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143
- $00{:}02{:}15{.}624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}17{.}192$ You'd have a dinner you'd meet with a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143
- $00:02:17.192 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.222$ lot of people, one on one, and so many
- NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:02:19.222 \rightarrow 00:02:21.111$ of my great interactions in my career

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:02:21.111 \rightarrow 00:02:22.940$ started really by those kinds of events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00{:}02{:}22{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}24{.}712$ And so it's a. It's a shame that

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:02:24.712 \rightarrow 00:02:26.050$ we have to do this electronically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:02:26.050 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.227$ But it's a great pleasure to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:02:28.227 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.258$ here and and speak with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

00:02:30.258 --> 00:02:31.092 I'm, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00{:}02{:}31.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}33.020$ my neighbors in the Northeast about some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00{:}02{:}33.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}35.017$ the things that that I've been thinking of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:02:35.020 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.712$ What I've been doing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:02:36.712 \dashrightarrow 00:02:38.404$ it's called mathematical insights,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:02:38.410 \rightarrow 00:02:40.363$ but for those of you who are math phobic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:02:40.370 \dashrightarrow 00:02:43.010$ please don't don't run away screaming.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00{:}02{:}43.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}44.190$ You know it's a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00{:}02{:}44.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}46.650$ I'm only going to show one equation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:02:46.650 \rightarrow 00:02:48.170$ and it's not important really for the talk.

 $00:02:48.170 \rightarrow 00:02:49.790$ Basically, it is mathematical thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:02:49.790 \dashrightarrow 00:02:52.368$ and a lot of people don't know math.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:02:52.370 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.875$ Don't realize that what math

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:02:53.875 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.120$ is is not the equations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00{:}02{:}56{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}58{.}005$ The equivalency would be sheet

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00{:}02{:}58.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}59.136$ music for music.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:02:59.140 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.400$ The sheet music is not the music,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:03:00.400 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.516$ it's the sound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00{:}03{:}01{.}516 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}03{.}748$ And and with mathematics it's it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:03:03.748 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.416$ the the insights that you gain which

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

00:03:05.416 --> 00:03:06.939 you know in terms of how things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:03:06.940 \dashrightarrow 00:03:07.960$ In this case, how they grow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:03:07.960 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.629$ how they shrink,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00{:}03{:}08{.}629 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}10{.}718$ why they grow that way and and so on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:03:10.720 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.418$ how we take advantage of that.

 $00:03:12.420 \rightarrow 00:03:15.290$ The the equations are not really the

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

00:03:15.290 --> 00:03:17.116 mathematics for many years when I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:03:17.116 \rightarrow 00:03:19.063$ giving this talk I I skipped over a

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:03:19.063 \rightarrow 00:03:20.860$ lot of the early stuff that I did,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00{:}03{:}20.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}22.740$ but then I realized a few years back

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:03:22.740 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.666$ that a lot of the younger people

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:03:24.666 \rightarrow 00:03:26.450$ are unaware of that early stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:03:26.450 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.962$ And that really stuff is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:03:27.962 \rightarrow 00:03:28.970$ very important for understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:03:29.011 \dashrightarrow 00:03:30.349$ the later things that we're doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00{:}03{:}30{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}32{.}818$ So I am going to be talking about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

00:03:32.818 --> 00:03:33.310 I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

00:03:33.310 --> 00:03:35.318 it really happened to me a bunch of

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:03:35.318 \rightarrow 00:03:37.104$ years already that I was a visiting

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:03:37.104 \rightarrow 00:03:38.889$ professor and somebody presented a

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:03:38.889 \rightarrow 00:03:41.447$ case and and said this patient dose.

 $00:03:41.450 \rightarrow 00:03:45.323$ Dense chemotherapy with AC and Taxol, Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:03:45.323 \rightarrow 00:03:47.188$ Ordinary familiar with that regimen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00{:}03{:}47.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}48.858$ And that's when I realized that

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:03:48.858 \rightarrow 00:03:50.540$ that perhaps I should really cover

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00{:}03{:}50{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}52{.}339$ some of the early things that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00{:}03{:}52{.}339 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}54{.}227$ that that I've done and how it

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:03:54.227 \rightarrow 00:03:55.750$ relates to to the bigger picture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

00:03:55.750 --> 00:03:57.150 So I'm going to talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00{:}03{:}57{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}58{.}595$ About growth models and of

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00{:}03{:}58{.}595 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}00{.}040$ course the the premier growth

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

00:04:00.096 --> 00:04:01.746 model being from Howard skipper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

00:04:01.750 --> 00:04:04.606 I'll talk about the work that I did

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:04:04.606 \rightarrow 00:04:07.557$ in the 70s interpreting that growth NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00{:}04{:}07{.}557 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}10{.}773$ model in with the appreciation for

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:04:10.780 \rightarrow 00:04:12.450$ understanding a different pattern of

 $00:04:12.450 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.065$ the way that cancers grow than how it

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:04:15.065 \rightarrow 00:04:16.829$ skipper and and colleagues had shown.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:04:16.830 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.998$ How it led to the concept of dose

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:04:18.998 \rightarrow 00:04:20.381$ dense sequential therapy and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00{:}04{:}20{.}381 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}22{.}201$ are the results of that that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:04:22.263 \rightarrow 00:04:23.898$ just fairly recently summarized

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:04:23.898 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.090$ by the Oxford overview?

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:04:26.090 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.806$ And then talk about self seating

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00{:}04{:}27.806 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}30.160$ theory and how it relates to all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.837315272857143

 $00:04:30.160 \dashrightarrow 00:04:31.876$ that previous work and that will

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:04:31.943 \rightarrow 00:04:34.407$ bring me into the area of fractal geometry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:04:34.410 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.510$ which is where where another topic

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00{:}04{:}36{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}40{.}582$ in math comes in and how our that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00{:}04{:}40.582 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}44.943$ informing our current work on the tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:04:44.943 \rightarrow 00:04:45.649$ infiltrating leukocytes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00{:}04{:}45{.}649 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}47{.}767$ and the interpretation of their firm.

00:04:47.770 --> 00:04:49.730 And I don't know if David Rim is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:04:49.730 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.264$ you know, here among us today, but,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:04:52.264 \rightarrow 00:04:54.322$ but we've had a number of early

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:04:54.322 \rightarrow 00:04:56.159$ conversations a few years back about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

00:04:56.160 --> 00:04:57.980 The importance of fractal geometry

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:04:57.980 \dashrightarrow 00:04:59.436$ and understanding biology from

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:04:59.436 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.160$ a pathology point of view,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:05:01.160 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.107$ and then how that relates to concepts

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00{:}05{:}04{.}107 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}07{.}660$ of drug resistance and and the use of

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:05:07.660 \rightarrow 00:05:09.875$ immunotherapeutic agents and lately.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:05:09.880 \rightarrow 00:05:11.630$ Our work that we're doing on antibody

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00{:}05{:}11.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}12.960$ drug conjugates in that regard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:05:12.960 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.296$ but all informed by mathematical thinking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00{:}05{:}16{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}18{.}376$ Let's just start back with Hippocrates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:05:18.380 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.110$ the father of us all.

 $00:05:20.110 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.566$ The parent of us all in, in in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00{:}05{:}22.566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}23.850$ in, in medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:05:23.850 \rightarrow 00:05:25.390$ The actual quote translated from

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00{:}05{:}25{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}27{.}435$ the Greek is an illness is once

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00{:}05{:}27{.}435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}29{.}235$ you keep two things in mind to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00{:}05{:}29{.}295 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}31{.}107$ useful rather than cause no harm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

00:05:31.110 --> 00:05:31.986 That's frequently misquoted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:05:31.986 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.170$ as as first of all, do no harm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:05:34.170 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.610$ That's not quite what he said.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:05:35.610 \rightarrow 00:05:37.367$ What he said is don't be neutral,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:05:37.370 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.530$ but but, but, but be useful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:05:39.530 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.798$ and that is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:05:41.798 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.602$ is is a very important quote

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:05:44.602 \rightarrow 00:05:45.894$ because it relates very.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:05:45.900 \rightarrow 00:05:47.924$ Very directly to one of our major topics

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00{:}05{:}47{.}924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}50{.}135$ that we have to deal with in clinical

 $00:05:50.135 \rightarrow 00:05:51.720$ oncology all the time, which is OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:05:51.720 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.680$ I have a drug that works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00{:}05{:}52{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}54{.}728$ How should I use it and dose level of

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:05:54.728 \dashrightarrow 00:05:56.396$ course is a mix between the efficacy

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:05:56.396 \rightarrow 00:05:58.612$ of the drug that you're giving and the NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:05:58.612 \rightarrow 00:06:00.328$ toxicity that you're causing from it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:06:00.330 \rightarrow 00:06:02.282$ And I spent almost all of my youth

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:06:02.282 \rightarrow 00:06:03.903$ learning to be a medical oncologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:06:03.903 \rightarrow 00:06:05.840$ learning how to to avoid or manage

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:06:05.840 \dashrightarrow 00:06:07.653$ toxicity of the agents and pick

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

00:06:07.653 - 00:06:09.280 out the right dose level quotes

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00{:}06{:}09{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}10{.}930$ in the modern world has gotten

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00{:}06{:}10.981 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}12.606$ much more complicated than that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

00:06:12.610 --> 00:06:13.933 We have to not only look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:06:13.933 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.328$ at the at the dose level,

 $00:06:15.330 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.930$ but also the schedule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:06:16.930 \dashrightarrow 00:06:19.816$ The duration of Therapy will give it

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:06:19.816 \rightarrow 00:06:22.258$ impulses and that leads to various

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:06:22.258 \rightarrow 00:06:24.490$ changes in efficacy and toxicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

00:06:24.490 --> 00:06:26.350 Toxicity is not just acute toxicity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

00:06:26.350 --> 00:06:28.310 but late toxicities chronic

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:06:28.310 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.270$ toxicities that may arise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00{:}06{:}30{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}32{.}478$ The personal cost to the patient and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00{:}06{:}32{.}478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}34{.}389$ personal goals for the patient have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:06:34.389 \rightarrow 00:06:36.349$ taken into account and in planning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00{:}06{:}36{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}39{.}242$ dosing and scheduling as but also

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:06:39.242 \rightarrow 00:06:40.963$ societal cost that everything that

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00{:}06{:}40{.}963 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}42{.}720$ we do is going to have implications

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

00:06:42.772 --> 00:06:44.206 basically to all of our society.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:06:44.210 \dashrightarrow 00:06:46.786$ All of our patients and society in general.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00{:}06{:}46.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}48.842$ And how does all of this relate to a

 $00:06:48.842 \rightarrow 00:06:50.295$ very rapidly evolving the rapeutical

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:06:50.295 \rightarrow 00:06:52.629$ landscape so so dosing is scheduling

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:06:52.629 \rightarrow 00:06:54.496$ is actually a very germane topic

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00{:}06{:}54{.}496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}55{.}911$ in in the modern era,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00{:}06{:}55{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}57{.}020$ even when we're talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00{:}06{:}57{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}58{.}120$ some of the newer agents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00{:}06{:}58{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}59{.}470$ And and what we've learned in

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:06:59.470 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.061$ looking at the older agents, IE.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00{:}07{:}01.061 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}02.987$ Chemotherapy is directly related to how

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:07:02.987 \rightarrow 00:07:05.617$ we're going to be applying our newer agents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00:07:05.620 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.838$ and as I close the talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00{:}07{:}06{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}09{.}060$ I hope I'll be addressing some

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00{:}07{:}09{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}10{.}170$ of these points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889571411428571

 $00{:}07{:}10.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}13.370$ But the central dogma that led most of us in

NOTE Confidence: 0.858240378235294

 $00{:}07{:}13.452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}16.364$ our careers in medical on cology is this.

00:07:16.370 --> 00:07:17.866 If you want to kill more cancer cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858240378235294

 $00{:}07{:}17.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}19.270$ you have to use higher dose levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858240378235294

 $00{:}07{:}19{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}21{.}583$ So you want to use the highest possible dose

NOTE Confidence: 0.858240378235294

 $00:07:21.583 \rightarrow 00:07:23.648$ level you can to kill more cancer cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858240378235294

00:07:23.650 --> 00:07:24.698 Because the more cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.858240378235294

00:07:24.698 --> 00:07:26.008 more cancer cells you kill,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858240378235294

00:07:26.010 --> 00:07:28.026 the more benefit to the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.858240378235294

 $00:07:28.026 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.370$ either eradicating the cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858240378235294

 $00:07:29.370 \dashrightarrow 00:07:31.730$ If if that should actually be possible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858240378235294

 $00:07:31.730 \rightarrow 00:07:34.050$ or just buying time 'cause we have smaller.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858240378235294

 $00:07:34.050 \rightarrow 00:07:35.794$ Buying more tumors can take longer to regrow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858240378235294

 $00:07:35.800 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.040$ and that's going to be translated into

NOTE Confidence: 0.858240378235294

 $00:07:38.040 \rightarrow 00:07:40.650$ into improvement in duration of Disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.858240378235294

 $00{:}07{:}40.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}43.452$ Control for the patient and hence our

NOTE Confidence: 0.858240378235294

 $00:07:43.452 \rightarrow 00:07:45.576$ training was all about determining and

NOTE Confidence: 0.858240378235294

 $00:07:45.576 \dashrightarrow 00:07:47.509$ treating at maximum tolerated dose.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- $00:07:49.960 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.852$ I'm going back to another great
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- 00:07:52.852 --> 00:07:54.840 teacher of medicine, William Ostler.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- $00:07:54.840 \rightarrow 00:07:55.920$ The greater their ignorance,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- $00:07:55.920 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.028$ the greater the dogmatism,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- $00{:}07{:}57{.}028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}59{.}000$ and I believe that this this this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- $00{:}07{:}59{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}00{.}530$ This dog matism is really dominating
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- $00:08:00.530 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.407$ us even to the present day
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- 00:08:02.407 > 00:08:03.857 when we have targeted agents,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- $00:08:03.860 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.480$ and yet we're still trying to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- $00:08:05.480 \dashrightarrow 00:08:06.560$ achieve maximum tolerated dose.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- 00:08:06.560 00:08:07.760 Thinking that we're going to be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- $00:08:07.760 \dashrightarrow 00:08:08.919$ benefiting the patient by doing so,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- $00{:}08{:}08{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}11.092$ and I'd like to really question
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- $00{:}08{:}11.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}12.960$ that this this concept really came
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- 00:08:12.960 --> 00:08:14.980 from the work of Howard skipper,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

 $00:08:14.980 \rightarrow 00:08:16.810$ Franckesche Bold and Griswald and others

NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

 $00{:}08{:}16.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}19.089$ at at at Southern Research Institute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

 $00{:}08{:}19{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}21{.}826$ It was an extremely important part of my

NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

 $00:08:21.826 \rightarrow 00:08:24.210$ education in in medicine and oncology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

 $00:08:24.210 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.010$ in in the 60s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

00:08:26.010 -> 00:08:28.068 The concept was based on mooring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

 $00{:}08{:}28.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}30.040$ leukemia and Howard skipper made

NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

 $00:08:30.040 \dashrightarrow 00:08:32.702$ the observation that if you if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

 $00{:}08{:}32.702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}34.865$ inject a certain number of cells and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

 $00{:}08{:}34.865 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}37.150$ the mouse died at a certain time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

 $00:08:37.150 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.850$ that could tell you basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

 $00:08:38.850 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.550$ how many cells you injected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

00:08:40.550 --> 00:08:41.942 'cause it took a certain very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

 $00:08:41.942 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.327$ reproducible amount of time for those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

 $00{:}08{:}43.327 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}44.575$ cells to reach a lethal number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

 $00:08:44.580 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.516$ So all of his work was not really

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- 00:08:46.516 --> 00:08:47.680 measuring cancer cell numbers,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- $00:08:47.680 \rightarrow 00:08:49.210$ it was actually measuring animal.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- $00:08:49.210 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.562$ Death and extrapolating back
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- $00:08:50.562 \rightarrow 00:08:51.914$ to cancer cell members.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- 00:08:51.920 --> 00:08:53.225 And that's common,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- $00:08:53.225 \rightarrow 00:08:55.204$ not commonly appreciated is is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- $00:08:55.204 \rightarrow 00:08:56.889$ that it was all extrapolation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- $00{:}08{:}56{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}58{.}726$ but the the fundamental observation that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- $00:08:58.726 \dashrightarrow 00:09:01.369$ he made is that if you kill cancer cells,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- $00{:}09{:}01{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}03{.}806$ you can extend lifespan and the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- $00:09:03.806 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.650$ extension of lifespan was a.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- 00:09:05.650 --> 00:09:07.180 Basically it took time for lethal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- $00{:}09{:}07{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}09{.}272$ number of cells to arrive and you can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- $00{:}09{:}09{.}272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}11.089$ go back and extrapolate from that in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- 00:09:11.089 --> 00:09:12.734 terms of how many cells you killed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

 $00:09:12.734 \rightarrow 00:09:14.382$ because it would take that certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

 $00{:}09{:}14.382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}16.478$ number of cells that were left or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

 $00:09:16.478 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.452$ residual to lead to eventual or lethal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

 $00:09:18.452 \dashrightarrow 00:09:20.538$ number and and the death of the mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

 $00{:}09{:}20.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}23.901$ This led to a concept that is shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

 $00:09:23.901 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.110$ here by one of the one of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

 $00:09:27.110 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.665$ Very very often in my youth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

 $00:09:29.670 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.958$ especially reproduce figures is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

00:09:30.958 --> 00:09:33.454 that if you start off with a large

NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

 $00{:}09{:}33{.}454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}34{.}954$ number of cancer cells and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

00:09:34.954 --> 00:09:36.850 give a certain dose of therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

 $00{:}09{:}36.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}38.634$ you kill and will go back over this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

 $00:09:38.634 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.178$ a constant fraction of the cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

 $00:09:40.178 \dashrightarrow 00:09:41.750$ that are present with each dose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

00:09:41.750 - 00:09:42.930 Each chemotherapy core skills,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

 $00:09:42.930 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.815$ in this case,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- $00:09:43.820 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.195$ 2 logs of gotta get rid of this pop
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- $00:09:47.195 \dashrightarrow 00:09:50.447$ up two logs of kill means means you're
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- $00:09:50.447 \rightarrow 00:09:52.374$ you're killing 99% of the cells,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- $00:09:52.374 \rightarrow 00:09:53.890 90\%$ is 1 log killed,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- $00:09:53.890 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.775 99\%$ is a two log kill and you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- $00{:}09{:}55{.}775 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}57{.}831$ could drive to cure unless you get
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- $00:09:57.831 \rightarrow 00:09:59.486$ the emergence of drug resistance.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- $00:09:59.490 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.984$ Of course,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- $00:09:59.984 \dashrightarrow 00:10:01.713$ if you stop treating when the cancer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- $00:10:01.713 \rightarrow 00:10:03.627$ is disappeared, that's not enough,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- $00:10:03.627 \rightarrow 00:10:05.322$ because because there's plenty of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- $00{:}10{:}05{.}322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}06{.}968$ cancers left and they can grow
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- $00{:}10{:}06{.}968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}08{.}700$ back and and the concept of roses
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- $00{:}10{:}08{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}10{.}787$ that if you start a small of tumor
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667
- 00:10:10.787 --> 00:10:12.669 size that you can actually get rid
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

 $00:10:12.669 \rightarrow 00:10:14.403$ of the cancer cells before this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

 $00:10:14.403 \rightarrow 00:10:16.004$ emergence of drug resistance arises

NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

 $00{:}10{:}16{.}004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}18{.}573$ and hence the concept of Azure and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

 $00:10:18.573 \rightarrow 00:10:20.290$ chemotherapy came from this Vince

NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

 $00{:}10{:}20.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}21.900$ Davida long associated with Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

 $00{:}10{:}21{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}23{.}692$ was my great teacher and still

NOTE Confidence: 0.8640227666666667

 $00:10:23.692 \rightarrow 00:10:25.102$ is my great teacher and

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00:10:25.110 \rightarrow 00:10:26.958$ in oncology took these concepts and use

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00{:}10{:}26{.}958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}28{.}575$ them to develop the MOP chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00{:}10{:}28.575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}30.630$ regimen and those of you who have not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00:10:30.630 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.699$ Read Vince on his book of the Death of NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00{:}10{:}33{.}699 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}36{.}855$ Cancer about the early days where this

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

00:10:36.855 --> 00:10:39.392 figure was extrapolated into the cure

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00{:}10{:}39{.}392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}41{.}192$ of a solid tumor Hodgkin's disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00:10:41.192 \rightarrow 00:10:43.054$ You really should read it because it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00:10:43.054 \rightarrow 00:10:44.862$ it's an excellent book and it really

 $00:10:44.862 \rightarrow 00:10:46.383$ captures the excitement of those early

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00:10:46.383 \rightarrow 00:10:47.823$ days in oncology and the application

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00{:}10{:}47.823 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}49.810$ of this mathematical model to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00:10:49.810 \rightarrow 00:10:51.685$ development of a curative regimen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00:10:51.690 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.480$ Well, this was led in the in in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00:10:54.557 \rightarrow 00:10:57.350$ 60s to the concept of dose escalation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00:10:57.350 \rightarrow 00:10:59.690$ This way if you have no therapy and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00:10:59.690 \rightarrow 00:11:02.147$ have simple exponential growth like this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00:11:02.150 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.198$ you give one drug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

00:11:03.198 --> 00:11:05.170 You get certain log kill two drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00:11:05.170 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.270$ You get you double that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00{:}11{:}06{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}08{.}166$ If this causes one log kill and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00:11:08.166 \rightarrow 00:11:09.906$ causes one log kill then you get 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

00:11:09.906 --> 00:11:12.110 log kill 90% sale killing here and 90%

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00:11:12.110 \longrightarrow 00:11:14.514$ sale killing here since 99% sale of

 $00:11:14.514 \rightarrow 00:11:16.566$ killing three drugs should be should

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00{:}11{:}16.566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}18.510$ should cause disease eradication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00:11:18.510 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.855$ Therefore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

00:11:18.855 --> 00:11:20.580 four drugs should certainly cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00:11:20.580 \rightarrow 00:11:22.470$ disease eradication, which was very.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

00:11:22.470 --> 00:11:22.870 Influential,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00:11:22.870 \rightarrow 00:11:24.870$ they're thinking about Rob chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00{:}11{:}24.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}26.946$ but it also applies to doses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

00:11:26.950 --> 00:11:29.590 1 dose, 2 doses, 3 doses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

00:11:29.590 --> 00:11:31.702 all increased cell killin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

00:11:31.702 --> 00:11:33.286 causing DC radication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00{:}11{:}33{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}36{.}210$ So the 70s was a decade of enthusiasm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00:11:36.210 \rightarrow 00:11:38.149$ Fueled by this confidence in the skipper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00:11:38.150 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.254$ In the skippers model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00{:}11{:}39{.}254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}41{.}280$ there are many drugs that came along,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00:11:41.280 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.724$ such as the Cyclones,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476
- $00:11:42.724 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.807$ the platinum agents,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476
- $00:11:43.810 \rightarrow 00:11:45.480$ the concept of combination chemotherapy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476
- 00:11:45.480 --> 00:11:47.400 as I've just demonstrated to you,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476
- $00:11:47.400 \longrightarrow 00:11:48.868$ arose from these thinking.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476
- $00:11:48.868 \rightarrow 00:11:50.703$ And indeed we're getting successes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476
- $00:11:50.710 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.988$ Cure simply nysm.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476
- $00:11:51.988 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.692$ And and leukemia is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476
- 00:11:53.692 --> 00:11:54.970 infamous testicular cancer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476
- $00:11:54.970 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.332$ it really looked like we were
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476
- $00:11:56.332 \rightarrow 00:11:57.760$ moving in the right direction.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476
- $00:11:57.760 \rightarrow 00:11:59.524$ Getting high response rates and many
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476
- $00{:}11{:}59{.}524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}01{.}060$ other tumors including breast cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476
- $00{:}12{:}01{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}03{.}100$ The field that I eventually specialized
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476
- $00{:}12{:}03{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}05{.}140$ in the concept of postoperative attribute
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476
- $00:12:05.140 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.840$ chemotherapy rose from that period.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00{:}12{:}06{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}09{.}633$ Based on that on that mathematical idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00{:}12{:}09{.}633 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}12{.}300$ and an enthusiasm for those those level

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00:12:12.300 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.256$ escalation which we led to a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00:12:15.256 \rightarrow 00:12:17.614$ enthusiasm for a mega dose escalation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00{:}12{:}17.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}19.560$ which is bone marrow transplantation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00{:}12{:}19.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}22.530$ This enthusiasm was so was so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00:12:22.530 \rightarrow 00:12:25.518$ Pronounced that a mentor, not Vince,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00:12:25.520 \rightarrow 00:12:28.648$ is another mentor in 1976 cents Me Larry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00{:}12{:}28.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}29.810$ you still got a chance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

00:12:29.810 --> 00:12:30.910 You're young enough to change

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00:12:30.910 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.570$ your career path,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

00:12:31.570 --> 00:12:32.038 you're not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

00:12:32.038 --> 00:12:33.442 There's not gonna be any field

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00:12:33.442 \rightarrow 00:12:34.607$ of oncology in a few years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00{:}12{:}34.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}35.282$ All these combinations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00:12:35.282 \rightarrow 00:12:36.626$ All these agents are just going

 $00:12:36.626 \rightarrow 00:12:37.911$ to come together and cancer will

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

00:12:37.911 - > 00:12:39.210 be disappeared in a few years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00{:}12{:}39{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}40{.}290$ You better think about training

NOTE Confidence: 0.841825336190476

 $00:12:40.290 \rightarrow 00:12:40.938$ and something else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

00:12:42.980 --> 00:12:44.785 Well, I persisted against that

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00{:}12{:}44.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}47.100$ advice and kept working on cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

00:12:47.100 --> 00:12:49.116 And as you know it hasn't been that easy

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00{:}12{:}49{.}116 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}51{.}044$ and a lot of that enthusiasm is still

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00:12:51.044 \rightarrow 00:12:53.178$ there and we definitely making progress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00:12:53.180 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.288$ No question about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00:12:54.288 \rightarrow 00:12:55.950$ but the rate of progress really

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

00:12:56.011 --> 00:12:57.553 has has slowed even with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00:12:57.553 \rightarrow 00:12:59.000$ addition of of newer agents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00{:}12{:}59{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}01{.}058$ And we're not getting cures of

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

00:13:01.058 --> 00:13:02.430 metastatic colon cancers and

 $00:13:02.491 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.116$ and and and stomach cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00{:}13{:}04{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}05{.}704$ And and and and many

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00:13:05.704 \rightarrow 00:13:07.000$ lung cancers and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00:13:07.000 \rightarrow 00:13:08.505$ But certainly breast cancer as

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00:13:08.505 \rightarrow 00:13:10.400$ readily as we would have hoped.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00:13:10.400 \rightarrow 00:13:13.368$ Metastatic disease is still a big problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00:13:13.370 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.374$ So what went wrong?

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00{:}13{:}14{.}374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}16{.}197$ And this is my number one favorite

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

00:13:16.197 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:13:18.510 quote and kind of a kind of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00:13:18.510 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.174$ model for my life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00:13:19.174 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.170$ It's not what you don't know

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00:13:20.206 \rightarrow 00:13:21.086$ that gets you in trouble.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00:13:21.090 \rightarrow 00:13:23.575$ It's what you know that for sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00:13:23.575 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.860$ that turns out not to be true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00:13:25.860 \rightarrow 00:13:27.596$ And the thing that we knew for sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00:13:27.596 \rightarrow 00:13:29.573$ was that the skipper model worked

- NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909
- $00:13:29.573 \rightarrow 00:13:31.057$ because cancers grow exponentially,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909
- $00:13:31.060 \rightarrow 00:13:33.060$ but they don't grow exponentially,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909
- $00:13:33.060 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.174$ nor do they grow in a strictly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909
- $00:13:35.174 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.778$ linear fashion.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909
- $00:13:35.780 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.615$ And we know this because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909
- $00:13:36.615 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.450$ if that were the case,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909
- $00{:}13{:}37{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}39{.}613$ from the time of initial diagnosis to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909
- $00{:}13{:}39.613 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}41.912$ the time that the cancer would
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909
- $00{:}13{:}41{.}912 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}43{.}874$ cause problem would be too long.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909
- 00:13:43.880 --> 00:13:45.040 Exponential growth also doesn't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909
- $00:13:45.040 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.490$ make sense because for the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909
- 00:13:46.490 --> 00:13:47.798 time of initial diagnosis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909
- $00:13:47.800 \rightarrow 00:13:50.136$ the time of lethality would be too short.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909
- $00:13:50.140 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.780$ It's got to be somewhere
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909
- $00:13:51.780 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.760$ in between and indeed,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

00:13:53.760 --> 00:13:56.196 Benjamin Gompertz in 1825.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00{:}13{:}56{.}196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}59{.}388$ Then invented a curve of human mortality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00:13:59.390 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.028$ which we call the Gompertz curve is

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

00:14:01.028 --> 00:14:02.878 and kind of sigmoid curve sigmoid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00{:}14{:}02.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}04.872$ Because you see as as shape and

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00{:}14{:}04{.}872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}06{.}742$ and others had shown that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00:14:06.742 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.377$ Gompertz curves actually applied to

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00:14:08.377 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.429$ the growth of experimental tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00{:}14{:}10{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}13{.}165$ I got into this in the mid 70s and

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00:14:13.165 \rightarrow 00:14:16.350$ this early paper I wrote in nature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00{:}14{:}16.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}19.206$ In 1976 these are two rat tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00{:}14{:}19{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}21{.}130$ This is a mouse tumor and what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00:14:21.130 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.746$ found are working with Richard Simon

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00:14:22.746 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.238$ is that if you have a few early

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00:14:25.238 \rightarrow 00:14:26.574$ measurements that it actually fits

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00{:}14{:}26{.}574 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}27{.}960$ a pattern and you could predict

- NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909
- 00:14:28.005 00:14:29.269 later measurements that gone.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909
- 00:14:29.270 --> 00:14:31.466 Protein growth was really very predictable.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909
- $00:14:31.470 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.710$ This is really an important
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909
- $00{:}14{:}32{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}34{.}205$ observation that just sort of sat
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909
- 00:14:34.205 --> 00:14:35.689 there and up until the present day,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909
- 00:14:35.690 00:14:36.990 but it actually is rather
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909
- $00:14:36.990 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.770$ meaningful but nest.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909
- 00:14:37.770 --> 00:14:38.832 But but indeed,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909
- $00:14:38.832 \rightarrow 00:14:40.248$ Gompertz equations are applied
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909
- $00:14:40.248 \rightarrow 00:14:42.090$ and then not exponential,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909
- 00:14:42.090 --> 00:14:44.078 and so my my work was basically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909
- $00:14:44.078 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.710$ to see how do we apply the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909
- $00{:}14{:}46.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}47.988$ skipper Schaible principles.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909
- $00{:}14{:}47{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}49{.}930$ To the.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909
- 00:14:49.930 --> 00:14:52.222 How do we apply skipper Schaible
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00:14:52.222 \rightarrow 00:14:54.150$ principles to gum persien curves

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00{:}14{:}54{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}56{.}568$ and papers in the early days about

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00:14:56.568 \rightarrow 00:14:58.706$ this and they eventually led to

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00:14:58.706 \rightarrow 00:15:00.411$ the concept of sequential therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00:15:00.411 \rightarrow 00:15:02.390$ and then dose dense therapy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00:15:02.390 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.598$ And this was the work in the 70s NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00{:}15{:}04.598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}06.971$ and and again Vince DeVito was

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

00:15:06.971 - 00:15:09.151 was working closely with Johnny

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

00:15:09.151 --> 00:15:11.211 Bonadona in those days and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00{:}15{:}11{.}211 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}13{.}214$ some of these ideas got translated

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00{:}15{:}13{.}214 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}15{.}878$ and indeed this was actually a

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00:15:15.878 \rightarrow 00:15:18.325$ competition in the adjutant setting

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00{:}15{:}18.325 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}20.397$ between a other modelers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

 $00{:}15{:}20{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}21{.}865$ Called Goldie and Coldman and

NOTE Confidence: 0.854357669090909

00:15:21.865 --> 00:15:23.330 and myself and and Richard

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00:15:23.391 \rightarrow 00:15:25.372$ Simon that they predicted that if you

 $00:15:25.372 \rightarrow 00:15:27.063$ have agents like doxorubicin and see

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

00:15:27.063 --> 00:15:29.248 at math you should use them in an

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00{:}15{:}29{.}248 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}30{.}988$ alternating fashion because that would

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00:15:30.988 \rightarrow 00:15:34.003$ get this drug in these all the drugs in

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00:15:34.003 \rightarrow 00:15:36.382$ sooner to limit the emergence of drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00{:}15{:}36{.}382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}38{.}650$ resistance by random mutation whereas my

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00:15:38.650 \rightarrow 00:15:40.436$ modeling which I'll show you in a second,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00:15:40.440 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.960$ suggested that it would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00:15:41.960 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.480$ better to use them sequentially.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00:15:43.480 \rightarrow 00:15:45.853$ So we'll go over that modeling because

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00:15:45.853 \rightarrow 00:15:48.139$ this is buried in ancient literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00{:}15{:}48.139 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}49.938$ and was published before many of you

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00:15:49.938 \rightarrow 00:15:51.709$ who are listening to this lecture were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00{:}15{:}51{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}53{.}654$ Warren, so you're not aware of

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00:15:53.654 \rightarrow 00:15:55.490$ this work is that, of course,

 $00:15:55.490 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.110$ it's always better if you've got

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

00:15:57.110 --> 00:15:58.709 two agents or two combinations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00{:}15{:}58{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}00{.}806$ you gonna use them simultaneously if you can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00:16:00.810 \rightarrow 00:16:03.090$ that's going to give you maximum cell kill,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00{:}16{:}03.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}05.386$ but you can't really do this in most

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00{:}16{:}05{.}386 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}07{.}117$ situations without such toxicity that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00{:}16{:}07{.}117 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}09{.}509$ have to reduce the dose levels of the drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00:16:09.510 \rightarrow 00:16:10.610$ And by reducing the drugs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00:16:10.610 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.770$ dose levels of the drugs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00{:}16{:}11.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}13.184$ you're not going to get the maximum

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00:16:13.184 \rightarrow 00:16:14.328$ efficacy from any of the drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00:16:14.330 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.234$ So the question is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00:16:15.234 \rightarrow 00:16:17.357$ what can you do if you can't give

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00:16:17.357 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.127$ them in a simultaneous combination?

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

00:16:19.130 --> 00:16:20.750 The Goldie Coleman idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00:16:20.750 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.370$ is you alternate them.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333
- 00:16:22.370 --> 00:16:24.122 And by the Norton Simon modeling
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333
- $00:16:24.122 \rightarrow 00:16:26.070$ when we did it, we found well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333
- $00:16:26.070 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.630$ we got a very inferior cell
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333
- $00:16:27.630 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.007$ killed than if we did them.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333
- $00{:}16{:}29.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}30.670$ Obviously by simultaneous the rapy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333
- $00:16:30.670 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.160$ But if you give them in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333
- $00:16:33.241 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.888$ an alternating fashion.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333
- 00:16:34.890 --> 00:16:36.606 You can actually get cell killed.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333
- $00:16:36.610 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.311$ That's better than you can get by
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333
- 00:16:38.311 --> 00:16:40.129 giving him an alternating fashion,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333
- $00:16:40.130 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.760$ so the bonadona experiment which
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333
- 00:16:41.760 --> 00:16:44.506 started in the mid 80s was a was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333
- $00{:}16{:}44{.}506 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}45{.}906$ basically a competition between
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333
- $00{:}16{:}45{.}906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}47{.}849$ this approach and this approach.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333
- $00:16:47.850 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.028$ And of course this approach one.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00:16:50.030 \rightarrow 00:16:51.830$ Then, with the advent of grants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00:16:51.830 \rightarrow 00:16:53.394$ iconic stimulating factor where

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00:16:53.394 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.349$ you can actually squeeze the

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00:16:55.349 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.290$ doses of drugs closer together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

00:16:57.290 --> 00:16:58.748 you can actually get maximum self

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00{:}16{:}58{.}748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}00{.}618$ kill and even a better cell kill.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00:17:00.620 \rightarrow 00:17:03.280$ Then you can just with the simultaneous

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00:17:03.280 \rightarrow 00:17:06.306$ combination by the application of GCSF.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00:17:06.310 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.284$ So you can make a through cycle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00:17:08.290 \dashrightarrow 00:17:10.467$ for example into a two week cycle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

00:17:10.470 --> 00:17:12.180 Tax oil can be given even

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00{:}17{:}12.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}14.389$ without GCSF in a one week cycle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00{:}17{:}14.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}16.214$ and that's also a dose dental

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00:17:16.214 \rightarrow 00:17:17.126$ regimen as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00:17:17.130 \rightarrow 00:17:19.307$ So that's the understanding of dose density,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00{:}17{:}19{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}20{.}468$ which is kind of lost in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333
- $00:17:20.468 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.240$ history a little bit.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333
- $00:17:21.240 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.563$ And a lot of people don't appreciate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333
- $00:17:22.563 \rightarrow 00:17:23.539$ really where it came from.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333
- 00:17:23.540 --> 00:17:25.499 Water million means.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333
- $00{:}17{:}25{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}28{.}300$ Hence we designed this regimen
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333
- $00:17:28.300 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.980$ which basically started.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333
- 00:17:29.980 --> 00:17:35.615 In 1997, nineteen 9741 with Mark Citron,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333
- $00:17:35.620 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.636$ who we just lost very recently
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333
- $00{:}17{:}37{.}636 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}39{.}839$ from a from from a neoplasm.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333
- $00{:}17{:}39{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}41{.}488$ A great great Lawson,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333
- 00:17:41.488 --> 00:17:43.960 and really a great clinician and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333
- $00{:}17{:}44.038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}46.708$ and and a great clinical scientist.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333
- $00{:}17{:}46.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}48.705$ And this was A and the regimen
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333
- 00:17:48.705 --> 00:17:50.639 was a two by two design,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333
- $00{:}17{:}50.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}52.047$ and you'll notice those of you who
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00:17:52.047 \rightarrow 00:17:53.199$ are doing cooperative group studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00:17:53.200 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.964$ We don't do two by two designs

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

00:17:54.964 --> 00:17:55.720 very much anymore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00:17:55.720 \rightarrow 00:17:57.323$ and I really wish we did because

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00{:}17{:}57{.}323 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}59{.}077$ it would answer a whole lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.774361399333333

 $00{:}17{:}59{.}077 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}00{.}595$ questions faster than doing doing just

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00{:}18{:}00{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}02{.}000$ some of the some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00:18:02.000 \rightarrow 00:18:03.185$ regimens that we're now doing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00:18:03.185 \rightarrow 00:18:04.895$ which is comparing 2 treatments or

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00{:}18{:}04{.}895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}07{.}148$ now even not comparing it at all, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00:18:07.148 \rightarrow 00:18:08.876$ basically comparing it to historical data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00{:}18{:}08{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}14{.}610$ Which is, you know, Noninferiority designs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492364126666667

 $00{:}18{:}14.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}16.626$ For the topic about the the wisdom of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00:18:16.630 \rightarrow 00:18:18.352$ but, but certainly certainly these two

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00:18:18.352 \rightarrow 00:18:20.619$ by two designs get a lot of information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

00:18:20.620 -> 00:18:25.220 and we gave a. We gave adriamycin and

 $00:18:25.220 \rightarrow 00:18:27.286$ cyclophosphamide AC with paclitaxel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

00:18:27.286 --> 00:18:31.888 Either all the drugs in in in a Q3 $\,$

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

00:18:31.888 --> 00:18:34.230 week regimen, in a sequential fashion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00:18:34.230 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.465$ or the AC together 'cause you can do

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00:18:37.465 \rightarrow 00:18:39.745$ that without having dose modifications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00:18:39.750 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.647$ That's why it makes sense in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00:18:41.647 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.800$ three week Red room or squeeze these

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00:18:43.800 \rightarrow 00:18:45.714$ together in a two week regimen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00{:}18{:}45{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}47{.}520$ In a sequential way and squeeze

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00:18:47.520 \longrightarrow 00:18:48.720$ these together and this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00:18:48.720 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.622$ This of course became the standard

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

00:18:50.622 --> 00:18:53.056 because the the AC from axle 2 weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00{:}18{:}53.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}55.395$ was better than AC for Taxol 3 weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

00:18:55.395 --> 00:18:57.261 I would just emphasize that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00{:}18{:}57{.}261 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}58{.}786$ regimen and this regimen really came

 $00:18:58.786 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.747$ out the same and so they were pulled

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00{:}19{:}00{.}747 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}02{.}627$ together for the analysis and and if

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00{:}19{:}02.627 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}03.872$ you can't give the cyclophosphamide

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492364126666667

 $00:19:03.872 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.341$ with the age of my son together

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492364126666667

 $00:19:05.341 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.680$ and if you give it the end,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00{:}19{:}06{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}08{.}096$ it would be just as effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00{:}19{:}08{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}10{.}204$ I have done this in certain situations with

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00:19:10.204 \rightarrow 00:19:11.919$ patients we're running into into issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00:19:11.920 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.548$ For example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00:19:12.548 \rightarrow 00:19:14.746$ The other thing that I've done is

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00{:}19{:}14.746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}16.908$ basically substitute Murph for a driamycin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492364126666667

 $00:19:16.910 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.102$ In in this regimen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492364126666667

 $00:19:18.102 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.592$ if there's issues related to

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

00:19:19.592 --> 00:19:20.430 cardiac toxicity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00{:}19{:}20{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}22{.}230$ 'cause we know that CMF and

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00{:}19{:}22{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}23{.}830$ AC really are the same.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667
- $00:19:23.830 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.405$ In terms of efficacy in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667
- $00:19:25.405 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.665$ in the action setting,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667
- $00:19:26.670 \rightarrow 00:19:29.040$ and retrospectively I'll say I think
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667
- $00{:}19{:}29{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}32{.}590$ it was a shame that we did not do a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667
- $00:19:32.590 \rightarrow 00:19:35.074$ comparison between AC dose, dense AC.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667
- $00:19:35.074 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.684$ Those dents followed by Taxol.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667
- $00:19:37.690 \dashrightarrow 00:19:39.930$ Those stands compared to CMF.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667
- $00:19:39.930 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.175$ Those tents followed by Taxol
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667
- 00:19:41.175 --> 00:19:42.926 'cause I bet you they come out
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667
- $00{:}19{:}42{.}926$ --> $00{:}19{:}44{.}501$ the same and we wouldn't have all
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667
- 00:19:44.501 > 00:19:46.517 the drama about the potential for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667
- $00:19:46.517 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.909$ cardiac toxicity with anticyclone.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667
- $00{:}19{:}47{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}49{.}848$ Sorry I fear that we're throwing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667
- 00:19:49.848 --> 00:19:51.916 the baby out with the bathwater
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667
- $00:19:51.916 \rightarrow 00:19:54.046$ often when we're not using AC.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00:19:54.050 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.404$ Taxol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00:19:54.404 \rightarrow 00:19:56.528$ because we're afraid of cardiac toxicity

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00:19:56.528 \rightarrow 00:19:58.543$ and using other regimens that avoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00:19:58.543 \rightarrow 00:20:00.629$ the anti cycling and in doing so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00:20:00.630 \rightarrow 00:20:02.490$ we're also leaving out those density.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00:20:02.490 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.690$ I think that's a mistake and I'll show you NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00:20:05.690 \rightarrow 00:20:08.260$ why I think that's a mistake in a second.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

00:20:08.260 --> 00:20:09.352 I just also want to emphasize and

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

00:20:09.352 --> 00:20:10.578 I just wanna mention this quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00:20:10.580 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.239$ Is that the doses of the drugs

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00{:}20{:}12.239 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}14.287$ we use did not come from nowhere?

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00:20:14.290 \rightarrow 00:20:16.180$ We actually studied the doses and

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00{:}20{:}16.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}18.662$ we found out that moderate levels of

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00:20:18.662 \rightarrow 00:20:20.582$ the CF combination was equivalent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8492364126666667

 $00{:}20{:}20{.}582 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}22{.}835$ to higher levels that going higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667

 $00:20:22.835 \rightarrow 00:20:24.259$ doses was not better.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667
- $00:20:24.260 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.804$ Half doses were inferior.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667
- 00:20:25.804 --> 00:20:28.120 This network that Dan Budman did,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667
- $00{:}20{:}28{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}30{.}976$ and in this in the cancer Community,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667
- 00:20:30.980 --> 00:20:31.526 Group B,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667
- $00{:}20{:}31.526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}33.710$ and so the whole idea of going higher
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667
- 00:20:33.775 00:20:35.644 with doses to get more so killed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667
- $00:20:35.644 \rightarrow 00:20:37.816$ was just not borne out by the data.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667
- $00:20:37.820 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.580$ The same thing was done
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492364126666667
- $00:20:39.580 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.284$ with cyclophosphamide.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667
- 00:20:40.290 --> 00:20:42.068 And with Bernie Fisher in the NSA,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8492364126666667
- 00:20:42.070 --> 00:20:42.432 BP,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667
- $00{:}20{:}42{.}432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}44{.}242$ where they looked at higher
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849236412666667
- $00{:}20{:}44{.}242 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}45{.}690$ and higher doses of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762
- $00{:}20{:}45.761 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}47.781$ cyclophosphamide in the CF combination
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762
- $00{:}20{:}47.781 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}51.995$ and and it did not add in in the in in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00:20:51.995 \rightarrow 00:20:55.226$ the in in the various regimens they

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00:20:55.226 \rightarrow 00:20:58.394$ went to higher and higher doses and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00{:}20{:}58{.}394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}01{.}461$ did not add so that and and Eric which

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

00:21:01.461 --> 00:21:03.323 I he told me he'd be listening today

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00:21:03.323 \rightarrow 00:21:05.058$ did the same thing with paclitaxel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00{:}21{:}05{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}07{.}124$ going to higher dose of the 175 not

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

00:21:07.124 --> 00:21:08.986 showing any advantage in a study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00:21:08.986 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.642$ So this notion that just going

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00:21:10.642 \longrightarrow 00:21:11.470$ higher and higher?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00{:}21{:}11{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}12{.}870$ Doses you can get more cell kill.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

00:21:12.870 --> 00:21:14.480 It's just not borne out

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00:21:14.480 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.446$ by empirical evidence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00:21:15.450 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.349$ and we have to keep that in mind as

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00:21:17.349 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.945$ we question the original dogma that

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00:21:18.945 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.353$ led to a lot of what we're still

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00:21:21.353 \rightarrow 00:21:23.387$ currently doing in in our application.

 $00:21:23.390 \rightarrow 00:21:25.310$ Medicinal chemistry to the treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00:21:25.310 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.550$ of cancer of the present day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00:21:27.550 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.575$ Well, this led to 26 randomized trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

00:21:31.575 --> 00:21:33.554 over 37,000 randomized patients looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00:21:33.554 \rightarrow 00:21:36.170$ at various permutations at dose and schedule,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00{:}21{:}36{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}37{.}829$ and this was published in The Lancet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00:21:37.830 \rightarrow 00:21:41.160$ I'm just summarizing all this work is that if

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00:21:41.160 \rightarrow 00:21:43.910$ you use and they talk about intensity here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00{:}21{:}43{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}45{.}950$ but there's a very big choice of terms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00{:}21{:}45{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}47{.}465$ but nevertheless that was the

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00{:}21{:}47.465 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}49.310$ consensus that we use the term.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

00:21:49.310 --> 00:21:50.990 It's really dose density,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00{:}21{:}50{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}52{.}670$ standard schedule rather than

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00{:}21{:}52.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}54.909$ using a dose dense schedule,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00:21:54.910 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.071$ you get recurrences,

00:21:56.071 --> 00:21:57.619 reduced breast cancer mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00{:}21{:}57{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}59{.}295$ Reduced and this is over 37,000

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00:21:59.295 \rightarrow 00:22:01.640$ randomized patients, so this is hard data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00{:}22{:}01.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}01.949$ no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00:22:01.949 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.494$ No increase in death without

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00{:}22{:}03{.}494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}05{.}412$ recurrence and there is no incremental

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00:22:05.412 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.957$ toxicity from our agents by

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

00:22:06.957 --> 00:22:08.999 using them in dose dense fashion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00{:}22{:}09{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}11.045$ and indeed all 'cause mortality

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00:22:11.045 \rightarrow 00:22:13.090$ is reduced because reducing cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00{:}22{:}13.153 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}15.597$ specific mortality as you see here so

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00:22:15.597 \rightarrow 00:22:17.619$ clearly it's shown that the concepts

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00:22:17.619 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.103$ of those 10s therapy work and are

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00{:}22{:}20{.}103 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}22{.}203$ applicable and the reason why I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00:22:22.276 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.420$ saying this is oh and by the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00:22:24.420 \rightarrow 00:22:28.356$ is that and paclitaxel 80 weekly is superior.

 $00:22:28.360 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.352$ 75 and it's a dose 10 schedule and

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00{:}22{:}30{.}352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}31{.}746$ the sideman showed this because

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

00:22:31.746 --> 00:22:32.976 it's being given every week.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00{:}22{:}32{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}34{.}888$ Rather than reading every three weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00{:}22{:}34.888 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}36.605$ and the dose response relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00{:}22{:}36.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}38.915$ for paclitaxel as Eric Weiner showed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00:22:38.920 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.722$ is not is not steep and that you are

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00:22:42.722 \rightarrow 00:22:44.738$ accomplishing at least 1/3 as much

NOTE Confidence: 0.862027931904762

 $00:22:44.738 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.850$ efficacy with 80 as you are with 175.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849411774411765

 $00{:}22{:}49{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}51{.}780$ So the reason why I show all this most first

NOTE Confidence: 0.849411774411765

 $00{:}22{:}51{.}848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}54{.}200$ of all is to catch some historical facts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849411774411765

 $00{:}22{:}54.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}55.800$ For those of you who are not familiar

NOTE Confidence: 0.849411774411765

 $00{:}22{:}55{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}57{.}280$ with them but also make this point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849411774411765

 $00{:}22{:}57{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}59{.}870$ it's gone pretty and growth is true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849411774411765

 $00{:}22{:}59{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}01{.}809$ And you can use growth gun purchasing

 $00:23:01.809 \rightarrow 00:23:03.829$ growth kinetics to improve cancer therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849411774411765

 $00{:}23{:}03{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}05{.}636$ which leads us with the big question

NOTE Confidence: 0.849411774411765

 $00:23:05.636 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.329$ what is the etiology of gun?

NOTE Confidence: 0.849411774411765

 $00:23:07.330 \rightarrow 00:23:08.125$ Pretty and growth?

NOTE Confidence: 0.849411774411765

 $00{:}23{:}08{.}125 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}09{.}980$ I was at something called the Ideas

NOTE Confidence: 0.849411774411765

00:23:10.038 --> 00:23:12.046 Festival in Aspen one year and I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.849411774411765

 $00{:}23{:}12.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}14.105$ having trouble parking my car so I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.849411774411765

 $00{:}23{:}14.105 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}15.598$ blocking somebody else from getting out

NOTE Confidence: 0.849411774411765

 $00:23:15.598 \rightarrow 00:23:17.550$ of her parking spot and she got really

NOTE Confidence: 0.849411774411765

 $00{:}23{:}17.607 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}19.367$ angry and she came running up to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849411774411765

 $00:23:19.370 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.768$ With her hands on her hips,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849411774411765

 $00{:}23{:}20.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}22.750$ and I pulled down my window and she and she.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849411774411765

 $00:23:22.750 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.183$ And she was really angry, said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849411774411765

 $00{:}23{:}24.183 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}25.581$ what's your problem and I said

NOTE Confidence: 0.849411774411765

 $00{:}23{:}25{.}581 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}27{.}463$ my problem is the etiology of gun

NOTE Confidence: 0.849411774411765

 $00:23:27.463 \rightarrow 00:23:28.883$ protein growth what's your problem?

 $00:23:28.890 \rightarrow 00:23:30.717$ She obviously thought I was a lunatic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849411774411765

00:23:30.720 --> 00:23:31.806 which I probably am and she

NOTE Confidence: 0.849411774411765

00:23:31.806 --> 00:23:32.530 walked away from me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849411774411765

 $00:23:32.530 \rightarrow 00:23:34.126$ But this has been my preoccupation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849411774411765

 $00:23:34.130 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.368$ For many years is understanding what

NOTE Confidence: 0.849411774411765

 $00:23:36.368 \rightarrow 00:23:39.149$ is the etiology of compression growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849411774411765

00:23:39.150 --> 00:23:39.832 And so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849411774411765

 $00:23:39.832 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.878$ thinking about this in the early

NOTE Confidence: 0.849411774411765

 $00{:}23{:}41.878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}44.671$ 2000s I I got a phone call from a

NOTE Confidence: 0.849411774411765

00:23:44.671 --> 00:23:46.710 Jean massage my great collaborator

NOTE Confidence: 0.849411774411765

00:23:46.710 --> 00:23:48.890 here at Morrison Kettering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849411774411765

00:23:48.890 --> 00:23:50.336 He had just published his paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.849411774411765

 $00:23:50.336 \rightarrow 00:23:51.059$ by Andy Mineo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849411774411765

 $00{:}23{:}51.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}53.580$ was about to publish his paper by Andy Min,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849411774411765

 $00{:}23{:}53{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}55{.}610$ where they were looking at the etiology,

 $00:23:55.610 \rightarrow 00:23:57.610$ molecular etiology and metastasis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849411774411765

 $00:23:57.610 \longrightarrow 00:23:59.610$ and found this tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849411774411765

00:23:59.610 --> 00:24:00.054 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849411774411765

 $00{:}24{:}00{.}054 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}00{.}720$ which is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

00:24:03.530 --> 00:24:07.100 MMDA MB 231. Sometimes is rushing ahead,

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

 $00{:}24{:}07{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}08{.}620$ which had a certain gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

 $00:24:08.620 \rightarrow 00:24:09.228$ expression profiling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

 $00{:}24{:}09{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}10{.}590$ Machines being locked, these genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

 $00{:}24{:}10.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}12.569$ being on and the tumor sticks here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

 $00{:}24{:}12.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}14.663$ But occasionally you get along with tax

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

 $00{:}24{:}14.663 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}17.040$ assist and if you get a long metastasis

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

 $00:24:17.040 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.984$ and you take the cells out of the lung,

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

 $00:24:18.990 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.838$ wash them and put them back into

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

 $00{:}24{:}20{.}838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}22{.}699$ the memory fat pad several times,

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

 $00:24:22.700 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.700$ you can develop a cell line 4175,

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

 $00:24:25.700 \rightarrow 00:24:28.100$ now called the lung metastasis signature,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- $00:24:28.100 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.455$ which has a signature which
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- $00{:}24{:}30{.}455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}32{.}339$ predicts lung metastasis because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- $00:24:32.339 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.226$ the mouse develops long itassis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- $00{:}24{:}34{.}226 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}35{.}836$ He's done this for other
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- $00{:}24{:}35{.}836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}36{.}970$ other organs as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- $00:24:36.970 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.895$ Well in this paper they had
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- 00:24:38.895 --> 00:24:40.130 this very interesting figure.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- $00:24:40.130 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.083$ It showed that the tumor that goes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- $00:24:42.083 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.464$ to the lung more readily that has
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- $00:24:44.464 \rightarrow 00:24:46.314$ this gene expression profile also
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- $00:24:46.314 \rightarrow 00:24:48.566$ grows faster in the mammary fat pad.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- $00:24:48.570 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.866$ The one that doesn't go to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- $00:24:49.866 \rightarrow 00:24:51.139$ the lung doesn't grow as fast,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- $00{:}24{:}51{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}53{.}996$ and the intermediate steps
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- $00:24:53.996 \rightarrow 00:24:56.138$ have an intermediate.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

00:24:56.140 --> 00:24:58.499 Intermediate growth rate in terms of memory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

 $00{:}24{:}58{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}59{.}214$ fat pad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

 $00:24:59.214 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.356$ So the question that I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

 $00:25:01.356 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.696$ asked in on a phone call is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

00:25:03.700 --> 00:25:04.810 Number one, is it true?

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

 $00{:}25{:}04{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}06{.}441$ As a clinician that cancers that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

 $00:25:06.441 \rightarrow 00:25:07.948$ metastatic tend to be faster growing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

00:25:07.950 --> 00:25:09.930 And I said that's true and he said Larry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

 $00{:}25{:}09{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}11{.}918$ can you figure out why and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

 $00:25:11.918 \rightarrow 00:25:13.720$ answer was really rather obvious.

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

 $00:25:13.720 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.734$ Is that yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

 $00:25:14.734 \rightarrow 00:25:16.762$ they're getting metastatic to distant sites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

00:25:16.770 --> 00:25:17.143 Why?

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

 $00:25:17.143 \rightarrow 00:25:19.754$ Why were they stop them from getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

 $00:25:19.754 \rightarrow 00:25:21.949$ metastatic back to the original site?

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

 $00:25:21.950 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.950$ And he and the so the query was

- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- $00:25:23.950 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.056$ but the S phase fraction the KS
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- $00{:}25{:}26.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}28.244$ 67 was not different and I said
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- $00:25:28.244 \rightarrow 00:25:30.288$ that's makes a whole lot of sense,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- $00{:}25{:}30{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}32{.}225$ because basically if the tumor
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- $00:25:32.225 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.386$ that goes metastatic,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- $00:25:33.390 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.570$ let's say to the lung,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- 00:25:34.570 --> 00:25:37.810 also gets meta meta static back to itself,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- $00{:}25{:}37{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}39{.}738$ then in this case we have like 3
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- $00:25:39.738 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.244$ lumps that are growing independently
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- $00:25:41.244 \rightarrow 00:25:43.484$ and each of them growing at 5%.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- 00:25:43.490 --> 00:25:45.008 Let's say you're still going to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- $00:25:45.008 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.349$ have a growth fraction of 5%,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- $00:25:46.350 \rightarrow 00:25:48.350$ but you're going to grow three times faster.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- $00{:}25{:}48.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}49.800$ 'cause three things going at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- $00:25:49.800 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.6025\%$ each is going to grow faster
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

 $00:25:51.602 \rightarrow 00:25:52.959$ than one thing growing at 5%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

 $00{:}25{:}52{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}54{.}766$ And so therefore it makes sense that

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

00:25:54.766 - 00:25:56.777 they carry 67 would not be different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

 $00:25:56.780 \rightarrow 00:25:58.698$ and yet you would get faster growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

 $00{:}25{:}58{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}00{.}320$ And because it's being metastatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

 $00:26:00.320 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.940$ back to back to itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

 $00:26:01.940 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.190$ so Jean message and I labeled

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

 $00:26:04.190 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.550$ this self seating and did

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

 $00:26:06.550 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.118$ subsequent work in this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

 $00:26:08.120 \rightarrow 00:26:10.878$ This was a hypothesis me on Kim.

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

 $00:26:10.880 \rightarrow 00:26:12.840$ Did this work published in 2009?

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

 $00:26:12.840 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.590$ And this was just a brilliant experiment

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

 $00:26:14.590 \rightarrow 00:26:16.200$ of the exact same tumor implanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

 $00{:}26{:}16.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}18.185$ in two different fat pads but with

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

 $00:26:18.185 \rightarrow 00:26:19.915$ different fluorescent proteins in them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286

 $00:26:19.920 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.460$ So they're different colors.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- $00:26:21.460 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.000$ And indeed they exchange.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- $00:26:23.000 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.820$ And this would be this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- $00{:}26{:}23.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}24.980$ The left side of tumor.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- $00:26:24.980 \rightarrow 00:26:26.220$ This would be the right side of tumor.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- $00{:}26{:}26{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}28{.}516$ This started green and then turn
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- $00{:}26{:}28{.}516$ --> $00{:}26{:}30{.}638$ red because red cells moved over.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- $00{:}26{:}30{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}32{.}236$ This started red and moved and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- $00:26:32.236 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.034$ and developed green.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- 00:26:33.040 --> 00:26:34.280 'cause green cells moved over
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- $00:26:34.280 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.815$ and there's an exchange of cells
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- $00:26:35.815 \rightarrow 00:26:37.070$ between the two tumor sites.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.662351875714286
- $00{:}26{:}37.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}39.670$ On much more work was in this paper.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8556101385
- 00:26:39.670 --> 00:26:41.986 Obviously if you inject a non
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8556101385
- $00{:}26{:}41{.}986 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}44{.}318$ seeding tumor here and then inject
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8556101385
- $00:26:44.318 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.166$ the LM 2 seating tumor to the heart,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8556101385

 $00:26:47.170 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.830$ it will see that tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556101385

 $00:26:48.830 \rightarrow 00:26:50.105$ Here's an interesting observation which

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556101385

00:26:50.105 --> 00:26:51.930 I still think is very provocative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556101385

 $00:26:51.930 \rightarrow 00:26:53.550$ When you inject the tumor cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556101385

 $00:26:53.550 \rightarrow 00:26:55.430$ they they light up the whole body obviously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556101385

 $00:26:55.430 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.798$ but then over a period of 42 days

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556101385

 $00:26:57.798 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.048$ they grow in the implanted tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556101385

 $00{:}27{:}00{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}02{.}678$ That's not metastatic on this side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556101385

 $00:27:02.680 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.188$ Why is this interesting?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556101385

00:27:04.188 --> 00:27:05.696 Because you're not developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556101385

 $00:27:05.696 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.450$ lung metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556101385

 $00:27:06.450 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.356$ In other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556101385

 $00:27:07.356 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.168$ the tumor is citing the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556101385

00:27:09.168 --> 00:27:10.899 cells that you're injecting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556101385

 $00:27:10.900 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.946$ which were developed to siedlung are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556101385

 $00:27:12.946 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.607$ not going to the lung 'cause they

00:27:15.607 - 00:27:17.657 preferentially going to the tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556101385

 $00:27:17.660 \longrightarrow 00:27:20.018$ and indeed to follow this out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556101385

 $00{:}27{:}20{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}22{.}108$ If you give the tumor cells into a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556101385

 $00:27:22.108 \rightarrow 00:27:24.212$ tail vein injection and get lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556101385

 $00{:}27{:}24{.}212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}26{.}112$ metastases first and then implanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556101385

 $00:27:26.112 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.784$ tumor that implanted tumor will

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556101385

 $00:27:27.784 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.872$ then suck cells out of the lungs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556101385

 $00:27:29.872 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.800$ As you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556101385

 $00{:}27{:}30{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}32{.}280$ the recipient tumor of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556101385

 $00:27:32.280 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.464$ cells will grow at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556101385

 $00{:}27{:}33{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}35{.}054$ Here 'cause it's sucking sells out

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556101385

00:27:35.054 --> 00:27:37.019 a lung and these mice can actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556101385

 $00{:}27{:}37{.}019 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}38{.}729$ live longer because they you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556101385

 $00{:}27{:}38{.}729 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}40{.}411$ live longer with a subcutaneous tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556101385

 $00{:}27{:}40{.}411 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}42{.}960$ than you can with a long list full

 $00{:}27{:}42.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}45.384$ of metastases and and these these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556101385

 $00:27:45.384 \rightarrow 00:27:47.414$ these have really profound implications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556101385

 $00{:}27{:}47{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}49{.}355$ We think not all of which we followed up

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556101385

 $00:27:49.355 \rightarrow 00:27:51.470$ on in terms of the rapeutic implications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556101385

 $00:27:51.470 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.646$ but perhaps if we have time we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556101385

 $00{:}27{:}52.646 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}53.380$ can talk about them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

00:27:56.040 --> 00:27:58.172 What I want to focus in on, however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00{:}27{:}58{.}172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}00{.}566$ is that if cancers are growing at

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00{:}28{:}00{.}566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}02{.}493$ least partially by cells that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00{:}28{:}02{.}493 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}04{.}647$ spreading and coming back to the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00{:}28{:}04.647 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}06.915$ mass from the outside in rather than

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00{:}28{:}06{.}915 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}08{.}726$ just growing from the inside out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00:28:08.726 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.171$ as we always anticipated that

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

00:28:10.171 --> 00:28:12.428 they would grow, it would grow in

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00:28:12.428 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.088$ this fashion like a snowflake,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00{:}28{:}14.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}15.470$ and this is this.

 $00{:}28{:}15{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}18{.}002$ This pattern of growth is with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

00:28:18.002 --> 00:28:19.844 skinny Franz is reminiscent of

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00:28:19.844 \rightarrow 00:28:21.634$ what the physics physicists called

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

00:28:21.634 --> 00:28:23.020 Diffusion limited aggregation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00{:}28{:}23{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}25{.}138$ and it's because a water molecule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00:28:25.140 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.060$ Or sell coming here is more likely to

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00:28:27.060 \rightarrow 00:28:29.284$ stick here than work its way into the middle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00:28:29.290 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.910$ And if you do that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00{:}28{:}30{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}32{.}730$ you actually get a pattern of growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00:28:32.730 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.638$ That's from Purtian because

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00:28:34.638 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.546$ as objects get larger.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00{:}28{:}36{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}38{.}713$ The ratio of their surface to their

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00{:}28{:}38{.}713 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}40{.}677$ volume decreases and we're going to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00{:}28{:}40.677 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}42.969$ more about that in in in a few minutes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00:28:42.970 \longrightarrow 00:28:43.870$ and you could actually.

 $00:28:43.870 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.770$ Here's my only equation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

00:28:44.770 --> 00:28:46.142 I'm going to show you you could

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00:28:46.142 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.290$ actually write an equation that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00:28:47.290 \rightarrow 00:28:48.850$ called the Norton mass gay equation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00:28:48.850 \rightarrow 00:28:50.174$ which basically summarizes that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00{:}28{:}50{.}174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}52{.}160$ and it's been much more subsequent

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00:28:52.217 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.947$ mathematical work on this equation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00:28:53.950 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.610$ And really what it means.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00:28:55.610 \rightarrow 00:28:57.466$ But what it really means to me now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00:28:57.470 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.318$ and I want to get into this topic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

00:28:59.320 --> 00:28:59.890 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00:28:59.890 \longrightarrow 00:29:01.885$ with the limited time that we have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00{:}29{:}01{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}04{.}440$ is that this pattern of

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00:29:04.440 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.480$ growth explains a lot of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00:29:06.480 \rightarrow 00:29:07.956$ Things that we know already about

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00{:}29{:}07{.}956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}09{.}392$ clinical medicine and not the least

- NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857
- $00{:}29{:}09{.}392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}10{.}820$ of which is the pattern of growth.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857
- 00:29:10.820 00:29:13.860 For example, take a look at this MRI.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857
- $00{:}29{:}13.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}15.659$ This is a breast cancer MRI we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857
- $00:29:15.659 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.161$ we see this all the time and we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857
- $00{:}29{:}18.161 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}19.493$ call these satellite lesions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857
- $00{:}29{:}19.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}21.660$ But frankly, it's all satellite lesions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857
- $00:29:21.660 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.540$ This is a lesion.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857
- $00:29:22.540 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.072$ This is a delusion, this illusion.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857
- $00:29:24.072 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.724$ This illusion.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857
- 00:29:24.724 --> 00:29:26.354 It's got long skinny tendrils
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857
- $00:29:26.354 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.740$ sticking out like a snowflake.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857
- $00{:}29{:}27.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}29.315$ It's the pattern of growth of what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857
- $00{:}29{:}29{.}315 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}31.169$ you'd see if the cells are coming in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857
- 00:29:31.169 --> 00:29:32.866 from the outside and so self seeding
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857
- $00{:}29{:}32.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}34.420$ actually explains a lot about what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00:29:34.420 \rightarrow 00:29:36.980$ we see in in the anatomy of cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

00:29:36.980 --> 00:29:38.180 At least the gross anatomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00:29:38.180 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.785$ And we'll get into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

00:29:39.785 --> 00:29:41.390 microscopic anatomy in a second,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00{:}29{:}41{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}43{.}545$ because this pattern of growth

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00{:}29{:}43.545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}45.269$ is called a fractal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00{:}29{:}45{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}47{.}976$ and a fractal is repeated patterns

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00{:}29{:}47.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}50.100$ at different scales and fractals

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00{:}29{:}50{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}52{.}025$ have what's called a dimension.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00{:}29{:}52{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}53{.}866$ So now I'm going to go to a discussion

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00:29:53.866 \rightarrow 00:29:55.360$ of dimensionality because I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00:29:55.360 \rightarrow 00:29:57.508$ this is very important for some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00:29:57.508 \rightarrow 00:29:59.209$ the work that we're doing right now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00:29:59.210 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.562$ and is implications particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00:30:01.562 \dashrightarrow 00:30:03.326$ tumor infiltrating leukocytes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00:30:03.330 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.398$ Now in Euclidean geometry.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857
- 00:30:05.398 00:30:06.949 Dimensions are simple.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857
- $00:30:06.950 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.550$ A point has no dimension.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857
- $00{:}30{:}08{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}10{.}806$ A straight line only has length as one
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857
- $00{:}30{:}10.806 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}12.947$ dimension a a sheet has two dimensions,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857
- $00:30:12.950 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.972$ length and and and and and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857
- $00{:}30{:}14.972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}16.790$ and height and a cube.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857
- $00{:}30{:}16.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}18.428$ A solid cube has three dimensions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857
- $00:30:18.430 \rightarrow 00:30:20.050$ You're adding you're adding the depth.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857
- 00:30:20.050 00:30:21.823 That's simple dimensionality
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857
- $00:30:21.823 \rightarrow 00:30:23.596$ in Euclidean space.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857
- $00:30:23.600 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.435$ In fractals it's a little
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857
- $00{:}30{:}25{.}435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}26{.}536$ bit more complicated.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857
- 00:30:26.540 --> 00:30:28.190 Let's just take one of our
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857
- $00{:}30{:}28.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}29.979$ sheets that we had before that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857
- $00:30:29.979 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.857$ had a civil dimension of two,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.914196397142857

 $00:30:31.860 \dashrightarrow 00:30:33.740$ and let's look on it and cross section.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075

 $00{:}30{:}33{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}35{.}380$ Well, if you start to crumble it up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075

00:30:35.380 --> 00:30:36.916 if you if you crumple up the sheet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075

 $00{:}30{:}36{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}39{.}152$ it's going to be a little bit more than

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075

 $00{:}30{:}39{.}152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}41{.}256$ just a flat sheet and dimensionality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075

 $00:30:41.260 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.838$ Here is actually 2.1 number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075

 $00{:}30{:}42.838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}44.920$ flat sheet is a dimension of two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075

 $00:30:44.920 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.733$ If you crumple it some more it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075

 $00:30:46.733 \longrightarrow 00:30:48.456$ gets a higher dimension. 2.3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075

 $00{:}30{:}48.456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}50.528$ Now let's say that it's really getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075

 $00{:}30{:}50{.}528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}52{.}498$ more and more crumpled overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075

00:30:52.500 - 00:30:54.705 Well, it starts to. Have the appearance

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075

 $00:30:54.705 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.130$ of something that's thicker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075

 $00:30:56.130 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.042$ This is a dimension of 2.6.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075

 $00:30:58.042 \longrightarrow 00:31:00.113$ This is dimension of 2.8.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075

 $00{:}31{:}00{.}113 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}02{.}171$ A dimension 3 would mean you're

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- 00:31:02.171 --> 00:31:04.384 prompted so much that it's now just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- 00:31:04.384 --> 00:31:06.710 a solid mass of of sheet material,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- $00{:}31{:}06{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}08{.}654$ but it's in a solid mass, so now it's a.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- $00:31:08.654 \rightarrow 00:31:09.659$ It's a it's it's got.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- $00:31:09.660 \rightarrow 00:31:10.844$ It's got the dimensionality
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- $00:31:10.844 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.620$ of a 3 dimensional object or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- $00:31:12.678 \rightarrow 00:31:14.318$ having dimensionality of three.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- $00{:}31{:}14{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}16{.}516$ So these are things to keep in mind and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- $00{:}31{:}16{.}516$ --> $00{:}31{:}18{.}878$ and it's a big difference between a 2.6
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- 00:31:18.878 --> 00:31:21.002 and and a 2.8 dimensionality you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- $00:31:21.002 \dashrightarrow 00:31:23.627$ can see in terms of the thickness well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- $00{:}31{:}23.627 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}26.126$ Fractals occur in nature all the time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- 00:31:26.130 --> 00:31:27.410 These are artificial fractals
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- $00{:}31{:}27{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}29{.}010$ on top of various sorts.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- $00{:}31{:}29{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}30{.}492$ These are the kinds of fractals
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075

- $00:31:30.492 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.150$ that occur in nature all the time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- 00:31:32.150 --> 00:31:34.120 Plants and animals and and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- $00{:}31{:}34{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}36{.}090$ and and diffusion in in.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- 00:31:36.090 --> 00:31:40.010 In substances like like ice or plastic,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- 00:31:40.010 --> 00:31:41.654 these these the fractals
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- 00:31:41.654 --> 00:31:43.709 are just common in nature.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- $00{:}31{:}43.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}44.865$ Mandelbrot was discovered,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- $00{:}31{:}44.865 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}46.405$ there's been more Mandelbrot
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- $00{:}31{:}46{.}405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}47{.}910$ and written extensively about,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- $00{:}31{:}47{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}48{.}910$ and there's been an extensive
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- $00:31:48.910 \dashrightarrow 00:31:49.910$ explosion of literature in this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- $00:31:49.910 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.590$ Written this in this regard.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- $00{:}31{:}51{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}53{.}880$ So what we've done is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- $00:31:53.880 \dashrightarrow 00:31:56.360$ We we've looked at this in the context
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- $00:31:56.360 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.156$ of self seating and the context of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- $00:31:59.156 \rightarrow 00:32:01.153$ leukocytes and why leukocytes because

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- 00:32:01.153 00:32:03.657 as me and Kim showed in this paper,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- $00{:}32{:}03.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}06.964$ we join mask and colleagues is an
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- $00{:}32{:}06{.}964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}09{.}380$ unseated state compared to a seated state.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- $00:32:09.380 \dashrightarrow 00:32:11.620$ This would be an unseated tumor and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- $00{:}32{:}11.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}14.218$ this would be a tumor that's received.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- $00{:}32{:}14.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}15.710$ Received cells that have come
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- $00:32:15.710 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.604$ from the outside,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- 00:32:16.610 --> 00:32:16.984 Ellen,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- $00{:}32{:}16{.}984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}19{.}228$ two cells in the blood vessels
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- $00{:}32{:}19{.}228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}21{.}861$ are brought in with the seeds and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- 00:32:21.861 --> 00:32:23.966 they're mostly bone marrow derived
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- $00:32:23.966 \dashrightarrow 00:32:25.650$ endothelial cell precursors that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- $00{:}32{:}25.712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}27.668$ close that blood level of growth.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- $00{:}32{:}27.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}29.320$ But I was particularly fascinated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- $00{:}32{:}29{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}31.866$ by the fact that that when you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075

 $00:32:31.866 \rightarrow 00:32:34.242$ get seating and this is these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075

 $00{:}32{:}34{.}242 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}36{.}510$ seated cells that they're staying green,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075

 $00:32:36.510 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.420$ the green for some protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075

 $00:32:38.420 \rightarrow 00:32:40.540$ Not they have for some protein not staying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075

 $00:32:40.540 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.508$ but they're obvious here in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075

 $00:32:42.508 \dashrightarrow 00:32:43.820$ particular setting they bring

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075

 $00:32:43.876 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.196$ white cells in with them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075

 $00:32:45.200 \rightarrow 00:32:46.406$ CD 45 cells in with them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075

 $00:32:46.410 \rightarrow 00:32:48.696$ and so the seating process bringing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075

 $00:32:48.696 \rightarrow 00:32:51.069$ bringing brings white cells in with them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075

00:32:51.070 --> 00:32:51.319 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075

 $00:32:51.319 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.813$ if it's bringing white cells in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075

 $00:32:52.813 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.190$ with them from the outside,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075

 $00:32:54.190 \rightarrow 00:32:56.080$ perhaps the growth the pattern of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075

 $00:32:56.080 \rightarrow 00:32:58.079$ white cells that we're going to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075

 $00:32:58.079 \rightarrow 00:33:00.110$ in a tumor is also going to follow,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- 00:33:00.110 --> 00:33:01.982 or fractal geometric pattern,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- 00:33:01.982 --> 00:33:04.503 and so with, with Matthew, Hannah,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- 00:33:04.503 --> 00:33:07.052 and and and and, and George Reese,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- $00:33:07.052 \rightarrow 00:33:08.468$ Philo, Hannah when Ebro,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- $00{:}33{:}08{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}12{.}740$ G and and and and others we've looked at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- $00{:}33{:}12.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}15.276$ this by actually looking at at tumors.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- 00:33:15.276 --> 00:33:16.082 Conventional tumors.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9064683075
- $00:33:16.082 \rightarrow 00:33:18.500$ These are triple negative breast cancers
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111
- $00:33:18.557 \dashrightarrow 00:33:21.013$ and using image analysis in this acute pack.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111
- $00:33:21.020 \rightarrow 00:33:22.620$ Roughly available image analysis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111
- 00:33:22.620 --> 00:33:24.620 program visual image analysis program
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111
- $00{:}33{:}24.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}26.613$ to actually segment the white cells
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111
- $00{:}33{:}26.613 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}29.040$ from the tumor cells so that we can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111
- $00{:}33{:}29{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}30{.}714$ actually measure the number of cells
- NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111
- $00:33:30.714 \rightarrow 00:33:33.052$ in each region of interest and then
- NOTE Confidence: 0.76381644111111

 $00:33:33.052 \rightarrow 00:33:34.420$ using various mathematical techniques,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00:33:34.420 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.190$ mathematical tricks that we've developed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

00:33:36.190 --> 00:33:38.248 We can then calculate the fractal dimension

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00{:}33{:}38{.}248 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}40{.}472$ of those white cells and what we found

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00:33:40.472 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.274$ in this is preliminary work and much

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

00:33:42.274 --> 00:33:44.098 more work is going on in this topic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.76381644111111

00:33:44.100 --> 00:33:45.748 so this is not a take home message

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00:33:45.748 \dashrightarrow 00:33:47.734$ just to show you that we've done it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76381644111111

 $00{:}33{:}47.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}48.628$ We looked at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00:33:48.628 \rightarrow 00:33:50.404$ This is the very first experiment

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00{:}33{:}50{.}404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}52{.}020$ that we did three cases of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00:33:52.020 \rightarrow 00:33:53.852$ Triple negative breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00:33:53.852 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.226$ and not neoadjuvant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00:33:55.230 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.070$ These are patients treating

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00{:}33{:}56{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}56{.}700$ the agent setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

00:33:56.700 - 00:33:58.430 They're small tumors versus non

 $00{:}33{:}58{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}00{.}160$ cases without recurrence at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00{:}34{:}00{.}218$ --> $00{:}34{:}01{.}666$ fractal dimensions are different

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00{:}34{:}01.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}03.838$ and in fact the fractal dimension

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00:34:03.901 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.829$ of the of the white cells in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00{:}34{:}05{.}829 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}08{.}124$ cancer that that that that recurred

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00{:}34{:}08{.}124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}10.645$ or that became metastatic was 2.77

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00:34:10.645 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.514$ on the average and it was 2.65.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

00:34:12.514 --> 00:34:14.706 So it's like 2.8 verse 2.6 like I

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00{:}34{:}14.706 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}16.918$ showed you in previous diagram and

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

00:34:16.918 --> 00:34:18.793 a statistically significant P tire.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

00:34:18.800 --> 00:34:20.492 Much more work is going on in this direction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00{:}34{:}20{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}21{.}907$ but I think this is a very.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00{:}34{:}21{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}23{.}989$ Interesting area for us to think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00{:}34{:}23.989 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}25.769$ the application of fractal geometry

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00{:}34{:}25.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}27.492$ motivated by the concept of self

00:34:27.492 --> 00:34:29.590 seeding in terms of analyzing tills,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00:34:29.590 \rightarrow 00:34:31.302$ and of course we're doing much more work

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00:34:31.302 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.799$ in terms of characterizing those cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.76381644111111

 $00:34:32.799 \rightarrow 00:34:34.809$ and and and other aspects of this work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00:34:34.810 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.028$ that would be a separate talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00{:}34{:}36{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}38{.}330$ Hopefully at another time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00:34:38.330 \rightarrow 00:34:40.674$ What are those white cells doing in there?

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

00:34:40.680 --> 00:34:41.133 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

00:34:41.133 --> 00:34:44.304 previous work again from John Massage shop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00:34:44.310 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.482$ In this one area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00{:}34{:}45{.}482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}46{.}068$ Korea published.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00{:}34{:}46.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}48.126$ This shows one of the things that they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00{:}34{:}48.126 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}50.526$ doing is that they can actually provide

NOTE Confidence: 0.76381644111111

 $00:34:50.526 \rightarrow 00:34:52.506$ resistance to chemotherapy the white cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00{:}34{:}52{.}506 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}54{.}550$ and this is work that's published here

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00:34:54.608 \longrightarrow 00:34:58.228$ in cell in 2012 under stress of any sort
$00{:}34{:}58{.}228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}01{.}969$ releases a substance that causes TNF alpha.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00{:}35{:}01{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}05{.}260$ This pop up just driving me crazy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00:35:05.260 \dashrightarrow 00:35:05.755$ Right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00:35:05.755 \rightarrow 00:35:06.250$ right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00:35:06.250 \dashrightarrow 00:35:08.437$ So I'm gonna now gonna go move with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.76381644111111

 $00:35:08.437 \dashrightarrow 00:35:09.752$ lightning speed and custom stuff out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

00:35:09.752 --> 00:35:11.490 I have no idea why that happened,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00:35:11.490 \dashrightarrow 00:35:13.611$ but nevertheless here we are is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00:35:13.611 \dashrightarrow 00:35:16.439$ when you do when when we when I showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00:35:16.439 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.394$ you about self seeding and when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00:35:18.394 \dashrightarrow 00:35:20.060$ have self seeding white cells come in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00{:}35{:}20.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}21.936$ So we hypothesize that the white cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00{:}35{:}21{.}936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}23{.}998$ that come in the CD 45 positive cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00{:}35{:}23.998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}26.111$ here are coming in as a reflection of

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

00:35:26.111 --> 00:35:28.092 the seating process and we can uncover NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00:35:28.100 \rightarrow 00:35:30.494$ that by looking at their fractal geometry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00{:}35{:}30{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}31{.}710$ And indeed we've looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00:35:31.710 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.920$ this is work with Matthew,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

00:35:32.920 - 00:35:33.919 Hannah and colleagues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00{:}35{:}33{.}919 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}35{.}917$ We've we've done this with a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

00:35:35.920 --> 00:35:37.184 Two paths you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00:35:37.184 \rightarrow 00:35:38.764$ method for being for segmenting

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00{:}35{:}38.764 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}40.697$ between white cells and cancer cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00{:}35{:}40{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}42{.}744$ And indeed it is indeed fractal and

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00{:}35{:}42.744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}44.306$ the fractal dimension is different

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00{:}35{:}44{.}306 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}45{.}891$ in triple negative breast cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

00:35:45.891 - 00:35:47.602 that recur then triple negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.76381644111111

 $00{:}35{:}47.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}49.357$ breast cancers that don't recur.

NOTE Confidence: 0.763816441111111

 $00:35:49.360 \rightarrow 00:35:51.313$ Much more work is going on in this direction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00{:}35{:}51{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}52{.}470$ and I discussed I described

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00:35:52.470 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.620$ it a few minutes ago,

 $00:35:53.620 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.924$ but I can't go back over it now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00{:}35{:}54{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}56{.}616$ We'll have to do another lecture

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00:35:56.616 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.740$ on this particular topic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00:35:57.740 \rightarrow 00:35:59.476$ One of those white cells doing one

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00:35:59.476 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.338$ of the things that they're doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00:36:01.338 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.754$ is providing drug resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

00:36:02.760 --> 00:36:04.356 His work of sworn ally Acarya,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

00:36:04.360 --> 00:36:06.260 and John Messages Laboratory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00{:}36{:}06{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}09{.}110$ If you stress the cancer cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00:36:09.110 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.890$ And with anything chemotherapy or radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00{:}36{:}12.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}14.154$ or or even heat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00{:}36{:}14.154 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}16.830$ you can get the secretion of TNF alpha,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00{:}36{:}16.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}19.336$ which causes the secretion of CXCL one

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00:36:19.336 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.988$ which goes through receptor on white cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00{:}36{:}21{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}24{.}230$ which causes the release of S 100

 $00:36:24.230 \rightarrow 00:36:26.670$ proteins and can save the cancer cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00{:}36{:}26.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}28.782$ as a mechanism of drug resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00:36:28.790 \rightarrow 00:36:31.436$ We showed this by actually showing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00:36:31.436 \rightarrow 00:36:33.989$ the inhibited by itself does nothing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00:36:33.990 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.754$ but that if you give a stress

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00:36:35.754 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.470$ in this case a chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00:36:37.470 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.216$ you can up regulate the loop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

00:36:39.220 --> 00:36:40.220 And kill cancer cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00:36:40.220 \dashrightarrow 00:36:42.280$ but some are being saved by this loop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00{:}36{:}42.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}43.896$ And by Ablating that loop we can get

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

00:36:43.896 --> 00:36:45.620 a much higher degree of cell kill.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00{:}36{:}45{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}48{.}042$ So one of the things that those

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00:36:48.042 \rightarrow 00:36:50.241$ infiltrating white cells is doing is

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00{:}36{:}50{.}241 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}52{.}425$ providing a mechanism of drug resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00{:}36{:}52{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}55{.}544$ We've also and I I told I I gave

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00:36:55.544 \rightarrow 00:36:58.688$ you a a wonderful anecdote here.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103
- $00{:}36{:}58{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}01{.}889$ That was a that that is lost.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103
- 00:37:01.890 --> 00:37:03.480 Now for very history about how
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103
- $00:37:03.480 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.890$ why we did this work.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103
- $00{:}37{:}04.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}06.807$ But we looked at the at at those white
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103
- $00:37:06.807 \dashrightarrow 00:37:08.818$ cells that are infiltrating human cancers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103
- 00:37:08.820 00:37:10.829 And we found that very often indeed,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103
- $00:37:10.830 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.218$ in most cases they have leukemia
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103
- $00:37:13.218 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.810$ genetic mutations in them.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103
- 00:37:14.810 --> 00:37:16.181 Tumor infiltrating leukocytes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103
- 00:37:16.181 --> 00:37:18.009 are not genetically normal,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103
- $00:37:18.010 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.670$ they are mutant,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103
- $00{:}37{:}18.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}19.110$ and they,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103
- 00:37:19.110 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:37:20.434 however known leukemia Jennifer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103
- $00{:}37{:}20{.}434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}22{.}089$ mutations and not only that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103
- $00:37:22.090 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.506$ but if the patient is followed.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00:37:23.510 \rightarrow 00:37:24.938$ In developing secondary leukemia

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00{:}37{:}24{.}938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}26{.}723$ much later in the future,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00{:}37{:}26.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}28.355$ those secondary leukemias have have

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00{:}37{:}28.355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}30.770$ the same mutations that you found in

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00:37:30.770 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.246$ the tumor infiltrating leukocytes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

00:37:32.250 --> 00:37:34.368 In many cases many years earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00{:}37{:}34{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}35{.}990$ there's something else that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00:37:35.990 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.179$ exploring and and doing work on on

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00{:}37{:}38.179 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}39.971$ what role mutant white cells may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00:37:39.971 \rightarrow 00:37:42.008$ playing and actually and actually growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00:37:42.010 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.414$ Promotion of the cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00:37:43.414 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.520$ as well as providing a potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00{:}37{:}45.582 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}47.430$ mechanism for drug resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00:37:47.430 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.718$ The last point I made in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00{:}37{:}48.718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}49.868$ regard or second to last point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00:37:49.870 \dashrightarrow 00:37:52.334$ I made this regard that you missed

 $00:37:52.334 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.533$ is that the that all of this could

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

00:37:55.533 --> 00:37:57.114 be exploited because circulating

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00:37:57.114 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.834$ cancer cells in self seeding

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00:37:58.834 \rightarrow 00:38:00.909$ can only return to the cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00:38:00.910 \rightarrow 00:38:02.604$ But you can have circulating cancer cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00{:}38{:}02{.}604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}04{.}548$ going from one metastatic site to another,

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00:38:04.550 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.420$ and it's been shown in both xenografts

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00:38:07.420 \dashrightarrow 00:38:09.352$ by Jonathan Weissman and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00:38:09.352 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.564$ been shown in in lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

00:38:11.570 --> 00:38:13.185 Clinical lung cancer specimens as

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00{:}38{:}13.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}15.225$ well as some breast cancer specimens

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00:38:15.225 \rightarrow 00:38:17.397$ obviously can't read the details now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00:38:17.400 \rightarrow 00:38:19.542$ But this could all be exploited by

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

00:38:19.542 --> 00:38:21.995 giving some form of local therapy to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00:38:21.995 \rightarrow 00:38:24.070$ tumor to cause secretion of antigens,

 $00:38:24.070 \rightarrow 00:38:26.518$ which then you can use checkpoint

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00{:}38{:}26{.}518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}28{.}150$ inhibitors and checkpoint inhibitors

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00:38:28.214 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.994$ to get stimulation and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00:38:29.994 \rightarrow 00:38:31.774$ theoretically in this concept kill

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00{:}38{:}31{.}833 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}33{.}668$ circulating cancer cells that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

00:38:33.668 --> 00:38:35.853 self seeding being drawn back to

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00{:}38{:}35{.}853 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}37{.}568$ the area of inflammation that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.801118103

 $00:38:37.568 \rightarrow 00:38:38.940$ caused by this particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.892513605454546

 $00{:}38{:}38{.}998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}41{.}292$ procedure. We did this with with Becky

NOTE Confidence: 0.892513605454546

 $00{:}38{:}41{.}292 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}43{.}939$ Weights did this with Jim Allison when Jim

NOTE Confidence: 0.892513605454546

 $00{:}38{:}43{.}939 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}46{.}087$ Allison was at Memorial Sloan Kettering,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892513605454546

 $00{:}38{:}46.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}47.728$ where we looked at an animal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892513605454546

 $00:38:47.730 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.990$ Model, in this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892513605454546

 $00:38:48.990 \rightarrow 00:38:51.330$ the one that's growing in in green.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892513605454546

00:38:51.330 --> 00:38:53.269 If you just give anti CTA forward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892513605454546

 $00:38:53.270 \longrightarrow 00:38:53.794$ nothing happens.

00:38:53.794 --> 00:38:55.104 If you just a BLT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892513605454546

 $00:38:55.110 \rightarrow 00:38:57.610$ a contralateral tumor, nothing happens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892513605454546

 $00{:}38{:}57{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}00{.}364$ but the combination of ablation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.892513605454546

 $00:39:00.364 \rightarrow 00:39:04.074$ and anti CTA 4 gets a 90% cell kill,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892513605454546

00:39:04.074 --> 00:39:05.370 and Heather MacArthur,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892513605454546

 $00:39:05.370 \rightarrow 00:39:07.596$ who's now in Dallas has been exploiting

NOTE Confidence: 0.892513605454546

 $00:39:07.596 \rightarrow 00:39:10.568$ this in a number of interesting studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892513605454546

 $00{:}39{:}10{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}12{.}551$ This is a work that she did

NOTE Confidence: 0.892513605454546

00:39:12.551 --> 00:39:14.100 at Memorial Sloan Kettering,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892513605454546

 $00:39:14.100 \rightarrow 00:39:16.480$ where a primary breast tumor was ablated

NOTE Confidence: 0.892513605454546

 $00:39:16.480 \rightarrow 00:39:18.948$ with crir ablation and the remaining tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892513605454546

 $00:39:18.950 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.600$ Inside the two is profoundly

NOTE Confidence: 0.892513605454546

00:39:20.600 -> 00:39:22.694 Immunogen IK and we showed and

NOTE Confidence: 0.892513605454546

 $00{:}39{:}22.694 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}24.230$ published in several papers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892513605454546

00:39:24.230 --> 00:39:26.084 Now in a new paper coming out of Elizabeth,

 $00:39:26.090 \rightarrow 00:39:29.468$ Coleman has just a first authored

NOTE Confidence: 0.892513605454546

 $00{:}39{:}29{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}31{.}522$ that that you can increase the

NOTE Confidence: 0.892513605454546

 $00{:}39{:}31{.}522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}33{.}355$ immunogenicity of that residual tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.892513605454546

 $00:39:33.355 \rightarrow 00:39:35.380$ by giving immune checkpoint inhibitors

NOTE Confidence: 0.892513605454546

 $00{:}39{:}35{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}37{.}170$ and indeed combinations work better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892513605454546

 $00{:}39{:}37{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}38{.}578$ And this is now being looked at in

NOTE Confidence: 0.892513605454546

 $00{:}39{:}38{.}578 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}39{.}999$ terms of the rapeutic implications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00{:}39{:}42.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}43.946$ Coming and this could be done with

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00:39:43.946 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.889$ radiation as well as with prior ablation

NOTE Confidence: 0.778110919444444

 $00:39:45.889 \rightarrow 00:39:47.097$ which we're currently exploring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778110919444444

00:39:47.100 --> 00:39:49.060 Combinations of immune checkpoint

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00{:}39{:}49.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}51.020$ inhibitors and other thoughts

NOTE Confidence: 0.778110919444444

 $00{:}39{:}51.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}53.280$ related to educated T cells in

NOTE Confidence: 0.778110919444444

 $00:39:53.280 \rightarrow 00:39:55.478$ terms of car T cells, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00:39:55.478 \rightarrow 00:39:57.886$ as well as inducing trans genes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00:39:57.886 \rightarrow 00:40:00.284$ actually may make the the inflammation

 $00{:}40{:}00{.}284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}02{.}150$ that were causing even greater.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00:40:02.150 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.150$ So, so this is where I left off

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00:40:04.150 \longrightarrow 00:40:06.792$ and I just want to give you one

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00:40:06.792 \rightarrow 00:40:08.850$ other quick thought about geometry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00:40:08.850 \rightarrow 00:40:11.070$ You all remember that a sphere,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

00:40:11.070 --> 00:40:12.768 something I mentioned to you earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00{:}40{:}12.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}14.709$ is that the surface area is related

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00:40:14.709 \longrightarrow 00:40:16.390$ to the square of the radius,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00{:}40{:}16.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}18.007$ whereas the volume is related to Cuba.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00:40:18.010 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.666$ The radius.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

00:40:18.666 - 00:40:20.634 This explains why mice are furry

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00{:}40{:}20{.}634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}22{.}639$ because they're very small and so they

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00:40:22.639 \rightarrow 00:40:24.609$ have a very high surface area related

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00:40:24.609 \rightarrow 00:40:26.481$ to their volume and therefore they

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00{:}40{:}26{.}481 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}28{.}478$ lose heat easily and they need to be

 $00:40:28.478 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.149$ very furry to hold their heat in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.778110919444444

 $00:40:30.150 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.274$ You get to a large animal like an elephant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00{:}40{:}32.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}34.506$ Is bald and doesn't need for her

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

00:40:34.506 - 00:40:36.423 because its surface area is very

NOTE Confidence: 0.778110919444444

 $00:40:36.423 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.913$ low related to its volume.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00{:}40{:}37{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}39{.}719$ Its problem is getting rid of heat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00{:}40{:}39{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}41{.}232$ which is why orphans Jen tend not to

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00:40:41.232 \rightarrow 00:40:43.081$ want to run very very quickly because

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00{:}40{:}43.081 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}44.217$ generating heat is uncomfortable

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00:40:44.217 \rightarrow 00:40:45.792$ for them 'cause they don't get rid

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

00:40:45.792 --> 00:40:47.342 of heat very very readily,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00{:}40{:}47{.}342 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}49{.}869$ and that is something else that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00:40:49.869 \rightarrow 00:40:51.614$ can exploit the rapeutically because

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00:40:51.614 \longrightarrow 00:40:54.673$ the fact is that as tumors grow

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

00:40:54.680 --> 00:40:56.200 just 'cause they're getting bigger,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00:40:56.200 \rightarrow 00:40:58.256$ the ratio of their surface area is there,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444
- $00:40:58.260 \rightarrow 00:40:59.880$ volume drops comes down.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444
- $00:40:59.880 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.310$ So you're converting basically a mouse.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444
- $00:41:02.310 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.441$ Into an elephant,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444
- $00:41:03.441 \rightarrow 00:41:05.703$ it comes down faster for well
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444
- $00{:}41{:}05.703 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}07.578$ differentiated cancers than for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444
- 00:41:07.578 --> 00:41:09.009 poorly differentiated cancers,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444
- $00:41:09.010 \rightarrow 00:41:11.747$ and this is because of fractal geometry.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444
- 00:41:11.750 --> 00:41:13.094 You know if they're interested in that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444
- 00:41:13.100 00:41:14.367 we could talk about the reasons why,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444
- $00:41:14.370 \longrightarrow 00:41:16.040$ but that's the reason why.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444
- 00:41:16.040 --> 00:41:17.138 So that actually,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444
- 00:41:17.138 --> 00:41:19.700 if you have a tumor that's growing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444
- $00{:}41{:}19{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}22{.}668$ and you do A and the surface area
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444
- $00{:}41{:}22.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}24.598$ decreases related to the volume
- NOTE Confidence: 0.778110919444444
- $00{:}41{:}24.598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}26.824$ while it's growing and then shrink
- NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00:41:26.824 \rightarrow 00:41:28.580$ it with chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00:41:28.580 \rightarrow 00:41:30.296$ That the surface area to volume

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

00:41:30.296 --> 00:41:31.800 ratio is going to rise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00:41:31.800 \rightarrow 00:41:34.380$ and since we when when we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

00:41:34.380 --> 00:41:36.100 talking about immuno immunotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00{:}41{:}36{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}37{.}455$ we're talking about a relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00{:}41{:}37{.}455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}39{.}305$ between the surface of the cancer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00:41:39.305 \rightarrow 00:41:40.859$ white cells that are trying to kill

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00{:}41{:}40.859 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}42.870$ the cancer is that is that the best

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00{:}41{:}42.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}44.967$ time to use this kind of ablation

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00{:}41{:}44{.}967 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}47{.}079$ would be after an initial induction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00{:}41{:}47.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}49.131$ And to take this idea and exploit

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00:41:49.131 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.180$ it by inducing small tumor first,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778110919444444

 $00:41:51.180 \rightarrow 00:41:53.436$ increasing the surface area to volume

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00{:}41{:}53{.}436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}56{.}119$ ratio and then coming in with your

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00:41:56.119 \rightarrow 00:41:58.709$ oblated therapy and then combining that with.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444
- $00:41:58.710 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.358$ Combining that with your.

 $00:42:02.360 \rightarrow 00:42:04.904$ Your immune checkpoint inhibition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

00:42:04.904 --> 00:42:05.540 Now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00:42:05.540 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.965$ the same concept can apply to in

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00{:}42{:}08{.}965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}10{.}960$ to one of the really most exciting

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

00:42:10.960 --> 00:42:13.077 areas in terms I think most exciting

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00{:}42{:}13.077 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}15.619$ areas in terms of of modern medicinal

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00:42:15.620 \rightarrow 00:42:16.613$ therapy of cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00:42:16.613 \rightarrow 00:42:18.599$ which is the antibody drug conjugates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00:42:18.600 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.480$ as we all know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00:42:19.480 \rightarrow 00:42:21.340$ they attacked a target antigen in the cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.778110919444444

 $00:42:21.340 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.980$ so they have increased payload delivery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00:42:23.980 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.455$ but their penetration could be

NOTE Confidence: 0.77811091944444

 $00:42:25.455 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.930$ poor and this is something

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00{:}42{:}26{.}991 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}28{.}827$ that has to be exploited when

 $00:42:28.827 \rightarrow 00:42:30.404$ they're internalized that the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00:42:30.404 \rightarrow 00:42:32.332$ payload is reduced, it is is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00:42:32.332 \rightarrow 00:42:33.880$ released in 'cause the cancer cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00:42:33.880 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.028$ But more than that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00{:}42{:}35{.}028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}37{.}186$ In terms of the activity of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00:42:37.186 \rightarrow 00:42:39.388$ payloads on killing the cancer cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00:42:39.390 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.646$ they often leak out and they can kill

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00:42:41.646 \rightarrow 00:42:43.449$ adjacent cells that don't necessarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00:42:43.449 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.029$ have that particular target.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00:42:45.030 \rightarrow 00:42:47.424$ This or this work of Josh Drago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00{:}42{:}47{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}49{.}638$ Well, this can be exploited by the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00:42:49.638 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.522$ way is that when you if you used your

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00:42:52.522 \rightarrow 00:42:54.579$ antibody drug conjugate to a large tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00:42:54.580 \rightarrow 00:42:57.149$ you get down regulation of the target,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00:42:57.150 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.980$ and that's not not what you

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00:42:58.980 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.710$ want to optimize the effect.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333
- $00:43:00.710 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.348$ So one of the things we're exploring,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333
- $00:43:02.350 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.526$ and this is not in the clinic yet.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333
- $00:43:03.530 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.114$ This is just an experiment experiment
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333
- $00:43:05.114 \rightarrow 00:43:06.390$ that we're doing right now,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333
- $00:43:06.390 \rightarrow 00:43:08.445$ preclinical in preparation for clinical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333
- $00{:}43{:}08{.}445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}11.710$ experiment is by giving a non ADC induction.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333
- $00{:}43{:}11.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}13.485$ First we can increase the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333
- $00:43:13.485 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.260$ surface area to volume ratio.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333
- $00{:}43{:}15{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}17{.}195$ And then come in with the ADC as a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333
- $00{:}43{:}17.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}18.493$ late intensification and therefore
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333
- $00:43:18.493 \rightarrow 00:43:21.274$ it should be even more active in this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333
- $00:43:21.274 \rightarrow 00:43:23.260$ area to get tumor volume eradication.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333
- $00:43:23.260 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.940$ And if the animal experiments work,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333
- $00{:}43{:}24{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}26{.}554$ I think there's something else that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333
- $00:43:26.554 \rightarrow 00:43:27.866$ could be exploited extremely easily
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00:43:27.866 \rightarrow 00:43:29.346$ in the clinic 'cause we have a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00:43:29.346 \longrightarrow 00:43:31.120$ of drugs in breast cancer that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00:43:31.120 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.427$ cause tumor volume regression that NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

00:43:32.427 --> 00:43:34.366 are not Adcs and then instead of

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

00:43:34.366 -> 00:43:36.285 waiting for the tumor to grow and

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

00:43:36.285 --> 00:43:38.312 using using your Adcs in as a salvage NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00:43:38.312 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.203$ if you use them at time of maximum

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00:43:40.203 \rightarrow 00:43:41.918$ tumor volume regression and this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00:43:41.920 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.553$ by the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00{:}43{:}42.553 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}44.030$ could be determined not just by actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00:43:44.074 \rightarrow 00:43:45.700$ watching the cancer shrink with imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00:43:45.700 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.402$ But also by by the burden of

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

00:43:48.402 --> 00:43:50.260 of circulating cancer and DNA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00:43:50.260 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.676$ which would be another way of

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00:43:51.676 \rightarrow 00:43:52.620$ actually when that plateaus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00:43:52.620 \rightarrow 00:43:54.504$ you know you've achieved your maximum

- NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333
- $00:43:54.504 \rightarrow 00:43:56.227$ volume regression would be the best
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333
- $00:43:56.227 \rightarrow 00:43:59.400$ time to come in with your ABC's.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333
- $00:43:59.400 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.696$ Last slide and I'm not going
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333
- 00:44:00.696 --> 00:44:01.925 to talk about this, obviously,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333
- $00{:}44{:}01{.}925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}03{.}750$ is that we're exploiting exploiting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333
- $00{:}44{:}03.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}06.705$ all of this in much more sophisticated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333
- $00{:}44{:}06.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}09.095$ mathematics with a number of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333
- $00{:}44{:}09{.}095 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}10{.}051$ mathematical collaborators.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333
- $00{:}44{:}10.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}13.119$ I don't album and Jodeci in particular.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333
- 00:44:13.120 --> 00:44:15.130 Arena Elkin and jungle in terms
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333
- $00:44:15.130 \longrightarrow 00:44:17.351$ of actually looking at this same
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333
- 00:44:17.351 --> 00:44:19.805 mathematical concepts in terms of gene
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333
- $00:44:19.805 \rightarrow 00:44:21.870$ gene interactions and their networks.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333
- $00{:}44{:}21.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}23.422$ The same thing that works at the cell
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333
- $00:44:23.422 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.883$ level and the the tumor of brain
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00:44:24.883 \rightarrow 00:44:26.578$ leukocyte level may work at the gene level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00{:}44{:}26{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}28{.}068$ This would have a different fractal

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00:44:28.068 \rightarrow 00:44:29.260$ dimension than this, for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00:44:29.260 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.800$ 'cause we have a lower fractal dimension.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00:44:30.800 \rightarrow 00:44:32.515$ This would have a higher fractal dimension.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00:44:32.520 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.368$ You can look at gene networks in

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00:44:34.368 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.561$ the same way as another term for

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00:44:36.561 \rightarrow 00:44:37.566$ this cord curvature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

00:44:37.570 --> 00:44:39.310 Obviously I can't get into it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00{:}44{:}39{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}41{.}109$ but this is giving us some great

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00{:}44{:}41.109 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}42.990$ insights and we recently published a

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00:44:42.990 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.084$ paper in ovarian cancer that actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00{:}44{:}45{.}084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}47{.}392$ showed that the the structure of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00:44:47.392 \rightarrow 00:44:49.027$ gene gene interaction network has

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00:44:49.027 \rightarrow 00:44:51.229$ predicted values in terms of response

NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333

 $00:44:51.229 \rightarrow 00:44:53.040$ to immune checkpoint inhibition.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333
- $00:44:53.040 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.120$ In this situation and,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333
- $00:44:54.120 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.740$ and indeed that you can actually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333
- $00:44:55.791 \rightarrow 00:44:57.411$ predict which patients with ovarian
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896994353333333
- $00:44:57.411 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.031$ cancer there's not supposed to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8251976656
- $00:44:59.083 \rightarrow 00:45:00.948$ respond to immune checkpoint ambition.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8251976656
- $00{:}45{:}00{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}04{.}302$ Will respond on the basis of the the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8251976656
- $00:45:04.302 \rightarrow 00:45:06.088$ mathematical analysis of their.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8251976656
- $00{:}45{:}06.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}07.698$ Gene Gene interactive networks.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8251976656
- $00:45:07.698 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.400$ So what I've been able to do,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8251976656
- $00:45:10.400 \rightarrow 00:45:12.392$ I hope in this lightning talk made even
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8251976656
- $00:45:12.392 \rightarrow 00:45:14.235$ more lightning by the loss of the Internet.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8877959
- $00{:}45{:}16{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}19{.}348$ It's just described where this all came from.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8877959
- $00:45:19.350 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.476$ Skippers model being modified to the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8877959
- $00{:}45{:}22{.}476 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}25{.}574$ compression growth model and leading to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8877959
- $00{:}45{:}25{.}574$ --> $00{:}45{:}28{.}766$ a clinical advance and then why tumors
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8877959

 $00:45:28.766 \rightarrow 00:45:31.460$ grow in that kind protein fashion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8877959

 $00:45:31.460 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.294$ The whole self seating concept which led

NOTE Confidence: 0.8877959

 $00{:}45{:}33.294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}35.548$ us into the concept of fractal geometry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8877959

 $00:45:35.550 \rightarrow 00:45:38.214$ which is now one of my most active areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8877959

 $00:45:38.220 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.860$ Investigation how,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8877959

 $00:45:38.860 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.100$ how can we actually quantify tills and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8877959

 $00:45:41.100 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.900$ what is the prognostic significance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8877959

 $00:45:42.900 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.680$ of them using fractal geometry?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8877959

 $00{:}45{:}44.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}46.222$ How does all of this relate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8877959

 $00:45:46.222 \rightarrow 00:45:46.993$ to drug resistance?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8877959

00:45:47.000 --> 00:45:49.075 And optimizing immunotherapy and optimizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8877959

 $00:45:49.075 \rightarrow 00:45:52.150$ new agents such as antibody drug conjugates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8877959

00:45:52.150 -> 00:45:53.770 Forgive me for speaking too fast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8877959

00:45:53.770 -> 00:45:55.340 but I I know we have to end on time

NOTE Confidence: 0.8877959

 $00:45:55.390 \rightarrow 00:45:56.830$ and thank you all for listening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8877959

 $00:45:56.830 \rightarrow 00:45:58.475$ I apologize that we lost the Internet.

00:45:59.770 --> 00:46:00.778 Thank you Larry. That

NOTE Confidence: 0.77946363368421

 $00{:}46{:}00{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}02{.}482$ was if we have a couple of minutes let

NOTE Confidence: 0.77946363368421

 $00:46:02.482 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.285$ you know if I can do a couple of talks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.77946363368421

 $00:46:04.290 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.658$ I can stay later if people want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.6598767775

 $00{:}46{:}05{.}670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}08{.}270$ stay late. We have a couple of questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6598767775

 $00{:}46{:}08{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}10{.}476$ First of all, thank you so much for you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6598767775

00:46:10.476 --> 00:46:13.256 Know it just to me. It's amazing for

NOTE Confidence: 0.6598767775

 $00{:}46{:}13.256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}15.914$ a Conservatory trained musician to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.6598767775

 $00{:}46{:}15{.}914$ --> $00{:}46{:}17{.}960$ such mathematician at the same time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6598767775

 $00{:}46{:}17.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}20.057$ I don't know how both sides of the border

NOTE Confidence: 0.7978283416666667

 $00:46:20.070 \rightarrow 00:46:21.379$ link they get. They link together music

NOTE Confidence: 0.797828341666667

 $00{:}46{:}21.379 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}23.094$ and math is the same, the same the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7978283416666667

 $00{:}46{:}23.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}24.420$ You know part of the brain. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.726744671428571

 $00{:}46{:}25{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}27{.}575$ we have some questions from Pat Larussa

NOTE Confidence: 0.726744671428571

 $00{:}46{:}27.575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}29.697$ and David Rim to start with there.

 $00:46:29.700 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.800$ Kind of on the same pattern on

NOTE Confidence: 0.726744671428571

 $00{:}46{:}31.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}33.240$ the fractal pattern differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.726744671428571

 $00:46:33.240 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.160$ between hormone receptor positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.726744671428571

 $00:46:35.160 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.560$ and triple negative breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.726744671428571

 $00{:}46{:}37.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}38.970$ Are there differences that you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.726744671428571

 $00{:}46{:}38{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}41{.}107$ not only for the tumor, but the tills?

NOTE Confidence: 0.726744671428571

 $00{:}46{:}41.107 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}42.841$ And then does that work in

NOTE Confidence: 0.726744671428571

 $00:46:42.841 \rightarrow 00:46:44.308$ terms of the agency used

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00:46:44.920 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.185$ colleagues?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00:46:45.185 \rightarrow 00:46:46.775$ That's what we work in progress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00{:}46{:}46{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}48{.}730$ but but the answer is almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

00:46:48.730 --> 00:46:50.400 certainly so because you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00:46:50.400 \rightarrow 00:46:51.990$ I'm not doing anything with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00:46:51.990 \rightarrow 00:46:53.660$ fractal geometry that the pathologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00:46:53.660 \rightarrow 00:46:55.265$ they scope pathologist is doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00:46:55.265 \rightarrow 00:46:56.706$ with their eyes. You know,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918
- $00:46:56.706 \rightarrow 00:46:58.134$ a skilled pathologist looking and says,

 $00:46:58.140 \longrightarrow 00:46:59.300$ hey, this is well differentiated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00:46:59.300 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.100$ This poor differentiated differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00:47:01.100 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.900$ Is poor differentiated means

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

00:47:02.900 --> 00:47:04.910 a high fractal dimension,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00{:}47{:}04{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}06{.}394$ whereas a well differentiated

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00:47:06.394 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.249$ means a low fractal dimension?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00:47:08.250 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.125$ And so basically I'm just

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00:47:10.125 \longrightarrow 00:47:11.250$ basically just quantifying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00{:}47{:}11.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}13.266$ I'm quantifying something that that the eyes

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00{:}47{:}13.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}15.426$ of the beholder have seen is seen already.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00{:}47{:}15{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}17{.}260$ So clearly we're gonna see this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00:47:17.260 \longrightarrow 00:47:18.616$ But you're talking here about fractional

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00:47:18.616 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.770$ dimension of the cancer cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00:47:19.770 \rightarrow 00:47:21.858$ which is obviously something we're exploring.

- $00:47:21.860 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.145$ I was talking about fractal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918
- $00{:}47{:}23.145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}24.790$ dimension of of the tills,

 $00{:}47{:}24.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}27.445$ but it all relates together and and I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00:47:27.445 \rightarrow 00:47:29.830$ what makes it really intriguing to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00:47:29.830 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.462$ Just personally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00{:}47{:}30{.}462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}32{.}049$ may be nobody else, but to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00{:}47{:}32.049 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}33.327$ Is that it relates to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00:47:33.327 \rightarrow 00:47:34.687$ concept of a pattern of growth?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00{:}47{:}34.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}36.538$ This self seeding pattern of growth?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00{:}47{:}36{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}39{.}015$ One thing you gotta know about math is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00{:}47{:}39.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}41.687$ you know even if self seeding didn't happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00:47:41.690 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.406$ If if things an atomically look the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00{:}47{:}44.406$ --> $00{:}47{:}46.890$ they would happen were it to happen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00:47:46.890 \rightarrow 00:47:49.420$ it still is biologically significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00:47:49.420 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.842$ That's that's the way that's the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00:47:50.842 \rightarrow 00:47:52.160$ way math mathematics works alright.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918
- $00:47:52.160 \longrightarrow 00:47:53.396$ You don't have to have the

 $00{:}47{:}53.396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}54.619$ the example you know the the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00{:}47{:}54.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}56.550$ The same mathematics works for

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00:47:56.550 \rightarrow 00:47:58.094$ gravity and for magnetism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00:47:58.100 \rightarrow 00:48:00.038$ even though the mechanisms are different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00:48:00.040 \rightarrow 00:48:01.200$ we don't understand the mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00:48:01.200 \rightarrow 00:48:02.696$ but we know they're different at the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00{:}48{:}02.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}03.660$ the same, the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00:48:03.660 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.140$ The same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00{:}48{:}04{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}05{.}260$ You know the same mathematics

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00{:}48{:}05{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}07{.}010$ you know works for the theory of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00{:}48{:}07.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}08.460$ universal gravitation works the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00:48:08.460 \rightarrow 00:48:10.164$ So so once we actually understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00{:}48{:}10.164 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}11.016$ the mathematical principles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00:48:11.020 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.352$ they can generalize even if the

 $00:48:12.352 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.355$ thing that got us into that which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00:48:14.355 \rightarrow 00:48:15.690$ substituting concept is not valid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00:48:15.690 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.944$ But I really do think the self

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00:48:17.944 \rightarrow 00:48:19.390$ seeding thing is valid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00{:}48{:}19{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}20{.}776$ But based on the on the accumulating

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00:48:20.776 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.090$ body of evidence that we're seeing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00:48:22.090 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.155$ so I'm just basically trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.81089918

 $00:48:23.155 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.794$ to quantify that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879511855652174

 $00{:}48{:}24{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}26{.}820$ Thank you we have another question

NOTE Confidence: 0.879511855652174

 $00{:}48{:}26{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}28{.}792$ on the implications of Gumpertz and

NOTE Confidence: 0.879511855652174

 $00{:}48{:}28.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}30.814$ growth for the rate of survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.879511855652174

 $00:48:30.814 \rightarrow 00:48:32.419$ and proliferation of cancer cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879511855652174

 $00{:}48{:}32{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}34{.}592$ What are there implications and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.879511855652174

 $00{:}48{:}34{.}592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}37{.}430$ does it imply that proliferation slows?

NOTE Confidence: 0.879511855652174

 $00:48:37.430 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.866$ And if so, why are the clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.879511855652174

 $00:48:39.866 \rightarrow 00:48:41.920$ implications of that slowed growth?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.879511855652174
- 00:48:41.920 --> 00:48:42.070 All
- NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667
- 00:48:42.080 --> 00:48:43.742 right? You know, you're asking for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667
- $00{:}48{:}43.742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}45.400$ treatise and a little bit comma,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667
- $00{:}48{:}45{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}47{.}311$ and I wrote a really nice review
- NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667
- $00:48:47.311 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.878$ article about the clinical implications
- NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667
- $00{:}48{:}48{.}878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}51{.}300$ of cancer self seating so you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667
- $00{:}48{:}51{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}51{.}852$ COMEN and Norton.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667
- $00:48:51.852 \rightarrow 00:48:53.619$ You can Google it and go with that paper.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667
- 00:48:53.620 --> 00:48:55.260 Really, really, really very quickly.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667
- 00:48:55.260 00:48:56.970 When we go into all that in great depth,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667
- $00:48:56.970 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.654$ first of all,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667
- $00{:}48{:}57{.}654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}59{.}022$ gun person growth has to happen.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667
- 00:48:59.030 --> 00:49:00.892 'cause if it didn't happen we we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667
- $00{:}49{:}00{.}892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}03{.}008$ would have no chance against cancer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667
- $00{:}49{:}03.008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}04.610$ because with exponential growth I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667

00:49:04.610 - > 00:49:06.380 mean from the time of diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667

 $00{:}49{:}06{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}08{.}800$ time of death would be a matter of of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667

 $00{:}49{:}08{.}866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}11{.}226$ of weeks at even even for solid tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667

 $00:49:11.230 \rightarrow 00:49:12.878$ So we know there's gotta be a tailing

NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667

00:49:12.878 --> 00:49:14.764 off of growth rates and it really

NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667

 $00:49:14.764 \longrightarrow 00:49:15.904$ has great profound implications

NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667

00:49:15.904 --> 00:49:17.509 in terms of our understanding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667

 $00:49:17.510 \rightarrow 00:49:20.478$ growth and and planning for therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667

 $00{:}49{:}20{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}22{.}181$ I I think it's a shame that we haven't

NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667

 $00:49:22.181 \rightarrow 00:49:23.617$ used those dense sequential therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667

 $00{:}49{:}23.617 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}25.411$ for more tumors beside breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667

 $00{:}49{:}25{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}26{.}792$ There's been a little bit of work

NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667

 $00:49:26.792 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.900$ in lymphomas in this regard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667

 $00:49:27.900 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.108$ A little bit of work in other tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667

 $00:49:29.110 \rightarrow 00:49:31.036$ but we haven't optimally exploited it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667

 $00:49:31.040 \rightarrow 00:49:32.321$ and I think that we could actually

 $00:49:32.321 \rightarrow 00:49:33.461$ do better even with existing agents

NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667

00:49:33.461 --> 00:49:35.231 if we were able to take some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667

 $00:49:35.231 \rightarrow 00:49:36.575$ principles we learned with breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667

 $00:49:36.580 \longrightarrow 00:49:38.260$ move them into that setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667

00:49:38.260 --> 00:49:40.018 But right now where I'm focusing

NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667

 $00{:}49{:}40{.}018 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}40{.}897$ in on instead,

NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667

 $00:49:40.900 \rightarrow 00:49:42.930$ is how do we use some of the newer agents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667

 $00:49:42.930 \longrightarrow 00:49:43.624$ particularly Adcs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667

 $00{:}49{:}43.624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}46.053$ and apply some of the things we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667

 $00:49:46.053 \rightarrow 00:49:47.785$ learned from chemotherapy to it

NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667

 $00:49:47.785 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.765$ using gun protein growth and using

NOTE Confidence: 0.641229542166667

 $00{:}49{:}49{.}829 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}51{.}709$ our concepts with tumor geometry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870274622

 $00{:}49{:}53{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}57{.}390$ And may be the last question is from

NOTE Confidence: 0.870274622

 $00{:}49{:}57{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}59{.}150$ Doctor Bafan. Thoracic surgery?

NOTE Confidence: 0.870274622

 $00:49:59.150 \rightarrow 00:50:01.691$ Is the self seating limited to cancer

 $00:50:01.691 \longrightarrow 00:50:04.832$ cells or do other employee put in stem

NOTE Confidence: 0.870274622

 $00:50:04.832 \rightarrow 00:50:07.270$ cells from normal cellular turnover,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870274622

 $00:50:07.270 \rightarrow 00:50:09.310$ preferentially land and tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870274622

 $00:50:09.310 \rightarrow 00:50:13.986$ for example to gastro intestinal stem cells?

NOTE Confidence: 0.870274622

 $00:50:13.990 \dashrightarrow 00:50:16.474$ Go on to blood, still some lines and other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00:50:16.580 \longrightarrow 00:50:17.550$ Yeah, it's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00{:}50{:}17{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}19{.}182$ It's a great question because it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00:50:19.182 \rightarrow 00:50:21.100$ something that that we are on verbal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00{:}50{:}21.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}22.647$ Yeah, stem cells and seeds I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00:50:22.647 \rightarrow 00:50:23.939$ is the same thing. Basically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00{:}50{:}23{.}939 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}26{.}251$ I think that's the capacity of stem cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00:50:26.251 \rightarrow 00:50:28.384$ is being able to move around and and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00{:}50{:}28{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}29{.}685$ And frankly it's not such a stretch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00:50:29.690 \rightarrow 00:50:31.286$ 'cause that's what happens in Embryology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

00:50:31.290 --> 00:50:32.490 I mean, that's that's how

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00:50:32.490 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.450$ the embryo forms is,

 $00:50:33.450 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.130$ that is that the the stem cells move

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00{:}50{:}35{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}36{.}809$ from one spot to another in a very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00:50:36.810 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.970$ very logical kind of fashion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00:50:38.970 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.035$ It isn't that and people

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

00:50:40.035 --> 00:50:41.100 always ask that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00{:}50{:}41{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}43{.}089$ you know what draws them self to that site.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00{:}50{:}43.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}44.530$ It isn't drawn to that site.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00:50:44.530 \rightarrow 00:50:46.123$ We know this from this from the self seeding

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00:50:46.123 \rightarrow 00:50:47.608$ work that's been done in the laboratory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00:50:47.610 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.532$ The cells go all over, it's just

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00:50:49.532 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.008$ where they stick that really matters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

00:50:51.010 --> 00:50:52.490 So it looks like it's drawn to that

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00{:}50{:}52{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}54{.}054$ site only 'cause they stuck there and

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00{:}50{:}54{.}054$ --> $00{:}50{:}55{.}490$ and it's that sticking their stickiness

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00{:}50{:}55{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}57{.}156$ that I think is something that that

 $00{:}50{:}57{.}156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}59{.}380$ that that's being scored by a number

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00{:}50{:}59{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}02{.}370$ of by a number of investigators.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00:51:02.370 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.550$ You know that particular phenomenon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00:51:04.550 \rightarrow 00:51:05.985$ but I'm sure this happens in general.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00:51:05.990 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.858$ Look at wound healing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00{:}51{:}06.858 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}07.726$ I mean wound healing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

00:51:07.730 --> 00:51:08.810 You know you heal your wound,

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00:51:08.810 \rightarrow 00:51:09.212$ your surgeons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00{:}51{:}09{.}212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}10.820$ you don't heal your wound because of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00:51:10.861 \rightarrow 00:51:12.508$ cells that are right there where you cut you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00:51:12.510 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.650$ The cells are brought in there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00:51:13.650 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.992$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00{:}51{:}13{.}992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}15{.}018$ married to rod cells are brought

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00{:}51{:}15.018 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}16.169$ in there and that's what allows.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00{:}51{:}16.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}17.980$ The wound to heal so that so that I I

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00{:}51{:}18.031 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}19.705$ think seating is a general biological

- NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316
- $00{:}51{:}19.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}21.847$ phenomena and a lot of things that we're

 $00{:}51{:}21{.}847 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}23{.}762$ doing in cancer may relate to other things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

00:51:23.762 --> 00:51:25.364 such As for instance, wound healing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

00:51:25.364 --> 00:51:26.078 Uhm, uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00{:}51{:}26.078 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}28.850$ that that we're starting to think you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00:51:28.850 \rightarrow 00:51:30.848$ you know about the cytokine release

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00:51:30.848 \rightarrow 00:51:32.989$ syndrome that we're seeing with COVID-19,

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00{:}51{:}32{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}34{.}398$ and how that relates to the mobility of

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00{:}51{:}34{.}398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}36{.}168$ of of white cells in that regard as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

00:51:36.170 - 00:51:37.118 In response to inflammation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00:51:37.118 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.968$ So so it may be a much

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00{:}51{:}38{.}968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}40{.}090$ more general phenomenon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00{:}51{:}40.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}41.280$ The cool thing for me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00{:}51{:}41{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}41{.}596$ and again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00{:}51{:}41{.}596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}42{.}386$ I'm just speaking for me,

 $00:51:42.390 \longrightarrow 00:51:43.515$ is that the mathematics we

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

00:51:43.515 --> 00:51:44.913 workout in one area may relate

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00:51:44.913 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.488$ to all these other areas as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00:51:46.490 \rightarrow 00:51:47.680$ And that once we understand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00:51:47.680 \longrightarrow 00:51:48.984$ developed these mathematical principles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00{:}51{:}48{.}984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}51{.}621$ that we can actually use them to generalize NOTE Confidence: $0.707{11}2316$

 $00:51:51.621 \rightarrow 00:51:53.186$ beyond cancer into heart disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00:51:53.190 \rightarrow 00:51:55.647$ We know that colonial meta polices cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00{:}51{:}55{.}647 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}57{.}402$ are are important in arteriosclerotic

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00{:}51{:}57{.}402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}59{.}962$ heart disease as well as as as we

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00:52:00.031 \rightarrow 00:52:02.149$ just discussed with cancer as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00:52:02.150 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.866$ so that these principles may generalize

NOTE Confidence: 0.707112316

 $00:52:03.866 \rightarrow 00:52:06.300$ and and have much more replicability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.669345702166667

 $00:52:06.770 \rightarrow 00:52:08.450$ Can we squeeze one more question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.669345702166667

 $00:52:08.450 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.500$ This is from an Chang former

NOTE Confidence: 0.669345702166667

00:52:10.500 - 00:52:12.250 memorial colleague who are now
- NOTE Confidence: 0.669345702166667
- $00:52:12.250 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.650$ our Chief Network Officer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.669345702166667
- 00:52:13.650 --> 00:52:15.966 She's asked, can we exploit Atascosa
- NOTE Confidence: 0.669345702166667
- $00{:}52{:}15{.}966 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}18{.}534$ specific or other gene processes in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.669345702166667
- $00:52:18.534 \rightarrow 00:52:20.919$ the tumor microenvironment to prevent?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.669345702166667
- 00:52:20.920 --> 00:52:22.810 Self seating in the niche of growth.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- $00{:}52{:}23{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}25{.}140$ Yeah yeah, great another great question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- $00:52:25.140 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.188$ Another great hot topic.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- 00:52:26.188 --> 00:52:27.900 You know something that Joe and I,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- $00{:}52{:}27{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}28{.}542$ Joe and Megan.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- $00:52:28.542 \rightarrow 00:52:30.332$ I thought a very early days when we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- $00{:}52{:}30{.}332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}31{.}778$ started doing this when we started
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- 00:52:31.778 --> 00:52:33.507 doing this work and I remember that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- $00:52:33.507 \rightarrow 00:52:34.905$ we published the paper with 2009,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- $00{:}52{:}34{.}905 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}36{.}545$ so it's been a lot of time this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- 00:52:36.545 --> 00:52:38.017 past and and and you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00{:}52{:}38{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}40{.}008$ we know that that that cytokines in

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

00:52:40.008 --> 00:52:41.212 flammatory cytokines are important

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

00:52:41.212 --> 00:52:43.030 for the process and that's already

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00{:}52{:}43{.}030$ --> $00{:}52{:}44{.}518$ that's already been demonstrated and

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00{:}52{:}44{.}518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}46{.}373$ that may be why inflammation is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00{:}52{:}46{.}373 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}47{.}996$ such a problem is related to cancer. NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00{:}52{:}48.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}49.528$ But I want to get the things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00{:}52{:}49{.}528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}50{.}909$ are more targetable than that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

00:52:50.910 --> 00:52:52.618 And so that's one of the reasons

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00{:}52{:}52{.}618$ --> $00{:}52{:}54{.}750$ why that very last slide that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00:52:54.750 \rightarrow 00:52:56.425$ showed you that very complicated NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00{:}52{:}56{.}425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}57{.}929$ mathematical slide is is is we we,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00{:}52{:}57{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}00{.}274$ we are right now doing a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00{:}53{:}00{.}274 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}02{.}110$ different studies looking at gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00{:}53{:}02{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}03{.}690$ interactive networks using the

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00{:}53{:}03{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}05{.}560$ same basic mathematical principles.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- $00:53:05.560 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.130$ In fact,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- $00{:}53{:}06{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}08{.}125$ trying to see what are the gene
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- $00:53:08.125 \longrightarrow 00:53:10.036$ interactions that may may underlie that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- $00:53:10.036 \rightarrow 00:53:11.946$ process, because that will tell us what,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- $00:53:11.950 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.326$ what,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- $00:53:12.326 \longrightarrow 00:53:14.206$ what genes we may be have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- $00:53:14.206 \rightarrow 00:53:15.334$ development chemicals to,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- $00:53:15.340 \rightarrow 00:53:17.030$ medicines to to be able to be able to target,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- $00:53:17.030 \longrightarrow 00:53:18.186$ to interfere with this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- $00:53:18.186 \rightarrow 00:53:19.920$ the just there's something in that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- $00:53:19.974 \rightarrow 00:53:21.864$ regard I think is is really important.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- $00:53:21.870 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.328$ Is that?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- $00:53:22.328 \rightarrow 00:53:24.160$ We focused on so much of our energy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- $00{:}53{:}24{.}212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}26{.}018$ in terms of medicinal chemistry on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- 00:53:26.018 --> 00:53:27.750 targeting genes or gene products,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00{:}53{:}27{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}29{.}478$ and one of the things we're learning by

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

00:53:29.478 --> 00:53:31.041 using that mathematics and looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

00:53:31.041 --> 00:53:32.890 gene interaction networks is this is yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00{:}53{:}32{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}35{.}305$ indeed is the action of individual genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00{:}53{:}35{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}36{.}594$ but it's not the action of

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00:53:36.594 \rightarrow 00:53:37.450$ individual genes by themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00:53:37.450 \rightarrow 00:53:39.208$ They're all interacting with each other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00:53:39.210 \longrightarrow 00:53:41.100$ and it's the whole network of

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00{:}53{:}41{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}43{.}112$ genes that actually forms a a

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00{:}53{:}43.112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}44.488$ meaningful biological entity and

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00:53:44.488 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.270$ not just the individual genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00:53:46.270 \longrightarrow 00:53:48.167$ So we're going to have to target

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00{:}53{:}48{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}49{.}642$ target those interactions rather

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00{:}53{:}49{.}642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}51{.}482$ than target the genes themselves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00:53:51.490 \rightarrow 00:53:53.275$ and that's not something that we commonly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

00:53:53.280 --> 00:53:53.834 Do you?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- $00:53:53.834 \rightarrow 00:53:55.496$ Although we we probably do it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- $00:53:55.500 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.166$ we don't realize we do it with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- $00:53:57.166 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.880$ with with the rapy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- $00{:}53{:}57{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}59{.}644$ When you give steroids to a patient
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- $00:53:59.644 \longrightarrow 00:54:01.657$ for all the reasons that we give
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- $00{:}54{:}01{.}657 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}03{.}457$ Google Corticoids for a patient you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- 00:54:03.517 --> 00:54:05.287 you're attaching to Google Corticoid
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- $00:54:05.287 \longrightarrow 00:54:07.057$ receptors all over the place,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- $00:54:07.060 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.620$ not just in a particular place,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- 00:54:08.620 --> 00:54:09.824 and you're basically affecting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- $00:54:09.824 \rightarrow 00:54:11.329$ cell cell interactions all over
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- $00{:}54{:}11{.}329 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}12{.}863$ the place and you're affecting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- $00:54:12.863 \rightarrow 00:54:14.343$ gene interaction networks all over
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- $00{:}54{:}14{.}343 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}16{.}084$ the place by using some of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765
- $00{:}54{:}16.084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}17.822$ most powerful drugs that we have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00:54:17.822 \rightarrow 00:54:19.827$ actually are not targeted therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00{:}54{:}19{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}21{.}720$ it's starting to question the notion of

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00{:}54{:}21.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}23.928$ are we really better off using targeted

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00:54:23.928 \rightarrow 00:54:25.944$ therapy when we're dealing with complex?

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00{:}54{:}25{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}28{.}778$ Processes or should we be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00:54:28.778 \rightarrow 00:54:30.968$ target the complexity itself so so

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00:54:30.968 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.571$ that's one of the things that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00{:}54{:}32{.}571 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}34{.}308$ zeroing in on on that particular thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00:54:34.310 \longrightarrow 00:54:34.547$ Now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00:54:34.547 \longrightarrow 00:54:37.092$ how do we find those drugs is that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

00:54:37.092 --> 00:54:37.466 Basically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00:54:37.466 \rightarrow 00:54:40.310$ if you understand the networks and you can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00:54:40.310 \longrightarrow 00:54:42.081$ you could then screen a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00:54:42.081 \longrightarrow 00:54:43.572$ different drugs and see how it

NOTE Confidence: 0.797651765

 $00{:}54{:}43{.}572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}45{.}161$ affects the network and so you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8630956566666667

 $00:54:45.219 \rightarrow 00:54:47.060$ actually as as possible that even old

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8630956566666667
- $00:54:47.060 \rightarrow 00:54:48.742$ drugs could be repurposed for this reason.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8630956566666667
- 00:54:48.742 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:54:50.764 And you may not be able to put your
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8630956566666667
- $00:54:50.764 \rightarrow 00:54:52.042$ finger on exactly why they work,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8630956566666667
- $00:54:52.050 \longrightarrow 00:54:53.160$ but you could just show that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8630956566666667
- $00:54:53.160 \longrightarrow 00:54:54.290$ they are working in the show.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8630956566666667
- $00:54:54.290 \longrightarrow 00:54:55.574$ They have clinical utility.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8630956566666667
- 00:54:55.574 --> 00:54:57.500 And and that's that's really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8630956566666667
- $00{:}54{:}57{.}559 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}59{.}659$ kind of a very different way of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8630956566666667
- $00:54:59.659 \rightarrow 00:55:01.150$ thinking about medicinal chemistry.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8630956566666667
- 00:55:01.150 00:55:02.326 Rather than saying I,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8630956566666667
- 00:55:02.326 --> 00:55:04.090 I'm gonna go after the specific
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863095656666667
- $00{:}55{:}04{.}153 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}06{.}003$ target to actually go after
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8630956566666667
- $00:55:06.003 \dashrightarrow 00:55:07.483$ basically the biological effect.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8630956566666667
- 00:55:07.490 --> 00:55:08.069 Or you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8630956566666667
- $00{:}55{:}08.069 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}09.227$ in in general with your agents
- NOTE Confidence: 0.863095656666667

 $00:55:09.227 \dashrightarrow 00:55:10.646$ and then and then move them into

NOTE Confidence: 0.8630956566666667

 $00{:}55{:}10.646 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}11.850$ clinic on that kind of basis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8630956566666667

 $00{:}55{:}11.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}13.050$ So those are some of the things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8630956566666667

 $00:55:13.050 \rightarrow 00:55:13.858$ we're thinking about right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841433167142857

00:55:14.800 --> 00:55:15.457 Thank you Larry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841433167142857

 $00{:}55{:}15{.}457 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}16{.}771$ This is been really great and

NOTE Confidence: 0.841433167142857

00:55:16.771 - 00:55:18.300 we really appreciate your time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841433167142857

 $00{:}55{:}18{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}20{.}442$ I know next year Eric will want

NOTE Confidence: 0.841433167142857

 $00{:}55{:}20{.}442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}22{.}658$ to have you here in person again

NOTE Confidence: 0.841433167142857

 $00{:}55{:}22.658 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}25.563$ to talk to us and this was really

NOTE Confidence: 0.841433167142857

00:55:25.563 --> 00:55:27.057 just phenomenal lecture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841433167142857

00:55:27.060 --> 00:55:27.884 Even though you dropped

NOTE Confidence: 0.841433167142857

 $00:55:27.884 \longrightarrow 00:55:28.914$ off for a few minutes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841433167142857

 $00:55:28.920 \rightarrow 00:55:30.584$ if you were able to bring everything back

NOTE Confidence: 0.796250152857143

 $00:55:30.850 \dashrightarrow 00:55:32.194$ and and and please thank thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.796250152857143

 $00:55:32.194 \rightarrow 00:55:33.448$ the person who actually called me

NOTE Confidence: 0.796250152857143

00:55:33.448 --> 00:55:35.176 on my cell phone so that I they

NOTE Confidence: 0.796250152857143

00:55:35.180 --> 00:55:36.647 got to me so I was able to come

NOTE Confidence: 0.796250152857143

 $00:55:36.647 \longrightarrow 00:55:37.819$ back in I I appreciate it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.796250152857143

 $00{:}55{:}37{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}38{.}828$ Thank you all very much for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8540798

00:55:38.840 --> 00:55:40.288 listening. Thank you Larry.