WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:27:41" NOTE recognizability:0.901

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.69964416

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:06.384 And I want to introduce Jason Shelter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69964416

 $00{:}00{:}06.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}08.982$ Jason is an assistant professor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.69964416

 $00:00:08.982 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.496$ surgery and received his PhD from MIT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.69964416

 $00:00:11.500 \longrightarrow 00:00:13.876$ where he worked in the laboratory

NOTE Confidence: 0.69964416

00:00:13.876 --> 00:00:16.788 of Doctor Angelika Amon in the Koch

NOTE Confidence: 0.69964416

00:00:16.788 --> 00:00:18.456 Institute for Cancer Research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69964416

00:00:18.460 --> 00:00:20.028 After completing his PhD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.69964416

 $00{:}00{:}20.028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}21.988$ he established his own research

NOTE Confidence: 0.69964416

 $00{:}00{:}21.988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}24.367$ group as an independent fellow at

NOTE Confidence: 0.69964416

 $00:00:24.367 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.292$ the Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69964416

 $00{:}00{:}26.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}28.604$ The Shelter Lab is broadly interested

NOTE Confidence: 0.69964416

 $00:00:28.604 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.648$ in understanding the genomic changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.69964416

00:00:30.648 --> 00:00:32.420 that drive cancer progression,

00:00:32.420 --> 00:00:33.174 particularly aneuploidy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.69964416

 $00:00:33.174 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.436$ which is found in more than

NOTE Confidence: 0.69964416

 $00:00:35.436 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.131$ 90% of human tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69964416

00:00:37.131 --> 00:00:37.568 Additionally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.69964416

 $00:00:37.568 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.753$ they're working to identify genomic

NOTE Confidence: 0.69964416

 $00:00:39.753 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.722$ alterations that create druggable

NOTE Confidence: 0.69964416

 $00:00:41.722 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.678$ therapeutic vulnerabilities and cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69964416

00:00:43.680 --> 00:00:45.632 They have recently discovered

NOTE Confidence: 0.69964416

 $00:00:45.632 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.584$ the first ever selective

NOTE Confidence: 0.69964416

 $00:00:47.584 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.950$ inhibitor of the kinase CDK 11,

NOTE Confidence: 0.69964416

 $00:00:49.950 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.094$ and developing CDK 11 inhibition as a new

NOTE Confidence: 0.69964416

 $00{:}00{:}53.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}56.426$ strategy to treat malignancies without.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69964416

 $00:00:56.426 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.256$ Further delay Jason all yours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

00:01:00.730 --> 00:01:03.509 Thanks so much for the kind introduction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00:01:03.510 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.868$ so I'm very excited to be able to share

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00{:}01{:}05.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}07.950$ with you today some research my lab

 $00:01:07.950 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.505$ has done about off target activity of

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00{:}01{:}10.505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}12.705$ cancer drugs undergoing clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00:01:12.710 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.768$ These are my disclosures and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

00:01:14.768 --> 00:01:16.723 project really comes from a journal

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

00:01:16.723 --> 00:01:18.811 article that I read a few years ago

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00:01:18.877 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.829$ that had a statistic in it that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00:01:20.829 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.872$ found to be just absolutely shocking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00{:}01{:}22.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}26.284$ If you look at all drugs that enter

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00:01:26.284 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.288$ clinical testing and oncology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

00:01:28.290 --> 00:01:30.984 97% of drug indication pairs that

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00{:}01{:}30.984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}33.740$ enter clinical trials fail during that

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00:01:33.740 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.386$ testing and don't end up receiving

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00{:}01{:}36.386 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}38.606$ FDA approval and this 97% failure

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00:01:38.606 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.574$ rate for oncology drugs is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00:01:40.574 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.657$ highest of any field of medicine.

00:01:42.660 --> 00:01:45.228 So more cancer drugs fail than

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00{:}01{:}45.228 \to 00{:}01{:}46.940$ psychiatric drugs or endocrinology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

00:01:46.940 --> 00:01:48.808 drugs, or infectious disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00:01:48.808 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.676$ drugs or anything else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00:01:50.680 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.864$ And if you look at the proximate causes

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00:01:53.864 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.501$ for trial failure, the most common,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00:01:56.501 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.703$ immediate causes that drugs run into

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

00:01:58.703 --> 00:02:01.080 are toxicity and limited efficacy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143 00:02:01.080 --> 00:02:01.644 That is, NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00:02:01.644 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.336$ the drugs have too many side

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00{:}02{:}03.336 \to 00{:}02{:}05.338$ effects for patients to safely take.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

00:02:05.340 --> 00:02:07.276 Or maybe the patients can safely take them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00:02:07.280 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.513$ but they have limited efficacy and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

00:02:09.513 --> 00:02:11.578 don't actually shrink the patient's tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00:02:11.580 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.267$ And while these are kind of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00:02:13.270 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.302$ Proximate causes for oncology

00:02:15.302 --> 00:02:16.826 drug trial failure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00:02:16.830 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.615$ I think the underlying reasons

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

00:02:18.615 --> 00:02:21.400 why so many drugs run into these

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

00:02:21.400 --> 00:02:23.710 problems isn't very well understood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

00:02:23.710 --> 00:02:25.950 and today I'm going to share some

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00:02:25.950 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.210$ evidence from my lab towards one

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

00:02:28.210 --> 00:02:30.260 potential explanation for this high

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00:02:30.260 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.404$ failure rate and the hypothesis that

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00{:}02{:}32.404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}35.068$ I'm going to argue for is that many

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00{:}02{:}35.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}37.402$ drugs are entering clinical testing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00:02:37.402 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.543$ oncology with an incorrect understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

00:02:39.543 --> 00:02:41.703 of their mechanism of action,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00{:}02{:}41.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}43.625$ and I think this mischaracterization

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00:02:43.625 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.774$ of cancer drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00:02:44.780 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.119$ Maybe one factor by no means the only factor,

 $00:02:48.120 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.440$ but one factor that contributes

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00{:}02{:}50.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}52.760$ to this extremely high failure

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00:02:52.835 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.927$ rate for oncology the rapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

00:02:54.930 --> 00:02:56.246 So in my lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00:02:56.246 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.891$ we've been interested in using

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

00:02:57.891 --> 00:02:59.731 genetic approaches to investigate

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

00:02:59.731 --> 00:03:02.136 the mechanisms of action of

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

00:03:02.136 --> 00:03:03.990 different experimental cancer drugs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00{:}03{:}03.990 \longrightarrow 00{:}03{:}06.246$ and by searching through the current

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

00:03:06.246 --> 00:03:08.116 literature and looking on clinicaltrials.gov,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00{:}03{:}08.116 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}10.678$ we put together a list of 12

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00:03:10.678 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.658$ different drugs targeting 7 different

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00:03:12.658 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.633$ cancer related proteins that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00:03:14.633 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.169$ were interested in studying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

00:03:16.170 --> 00:03:18.516 These drugs have been used in

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00:03:18.516 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.672$ about 30 different clinical trials

00:03:20.672 --> 00:03:23.247 targeting several 100 cancer patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00{:}03{:}23.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}25.980$ So six of these proteins are reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00{:}03{:}25.980 \to 00{:}03{:}28.869$ to be cancer genetic dependencies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00:03:28.870 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.005$ That is the function of these proteins

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00:03:31.005 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.408$ is reported to be essential for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00:03:33.408 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.550$ growth and proliferation of cancer cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00:03:35.550 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.384$ For instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00:03:36.384 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.469$ pack four is a kinase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00{:}03{:}38.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}40.708$ It's been reported that Pack 4

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

00:03:40.708 --> 00:03:42.595 kinase activity is essential for

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00{:}03{:}42.595 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}44.230$ the growth of colon cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00:03:44.230 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.718$ Lung cancer, breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00{:}03{:}45.718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}47.950$ and a few other cancer types.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00{:}03{:}47.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}50.896$ And because of that genetic data

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00:03:50.896 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.800$ concerning pack four that motivated.

 $00:03:53.800 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.924$ Wiser to develop a small molecule

NOTE Confidence: 0.959933932857143

 $00:03:55.924 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.986$ pack for inhibitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:03:59.020 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.995$ PF 3758309 which they then

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:04:00.995 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.575$ entered into clinical testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:04:02.580 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.274$ Caspase 3 is a little bit different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:04:04.280 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.160$ I'm going to talk about Caspase 3 separately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:04:07.160 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.274$ so we were interested in testing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:04:09.274 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.176$ mechanism of action of these drugs

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:04:11.176 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.054$ and seeing whether they killed cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:04:13.054 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.901$ cells through the inhibition of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00{:}04{:}14.901 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}16.998$ proteins and as a first step towards

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:04:16.998 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.126$ this process we wanted to confirm that

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:04:19.126 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.564$ the proteins these drugs were targeting

NOTE Confidence: 0.9582821444444444

 $00:04:21.564 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.639$ were truly cancer genetic dependencies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:04:23.640 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.770$ That is, they were essential.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

00:04:24.770 --> 00:04:27.570 For cancer growth and to investigate this,

 $00:04:27.570 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.394$ we set up a crisper competition

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00{:}04{:}29.394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}31.400$ as say to see what happened when

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:04:31.400 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.215$ we knocked these jeans out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

00:04:33.220 --> 00:04:34.910 To do this CRISPR assay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:04:34.910 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.940$ we transduced cancer cell lines

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:04:36.940 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.812$ with cast 9 and then we transduced

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:04:39.812 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.486$ them a second time with a guide

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:04:42.486 \dashrightarrow 00:04:45.060$ RNA coexpressed along with GFP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:04:45.060 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.148$ This would then create a mixed

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:04:47.148 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.925$ population of GFP positive cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.9582821444444444

 $00:04:48.925 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.151$ that had the guide RNA and caused

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:04:51.151 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.714$ mutations in the target gene and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00{:}04{:}53.714 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}55.579$ UN transduced non fluorescent cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:04:55.580 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.700$ We then measure the percentage

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:04:57.700 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.820$ of GFP cells over time.

 $00:04:59.820 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.190$ If the percent of GFP positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

00:05:02.190 --> 00:05:03.375 cells decreases overtime,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:05:03.380 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.096$ that tells us that whatever gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

00:05:05.096 --> 00:05:07.059 the guide RNA is knocking out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:05:07.060 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.328$ it must be required for cancer growth

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:05:09.328 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.900$ because the GFP positive cells are dying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00{:}05{:}11.900 --> 00{:}05{:}12.584 \ \mathrm{In \ contrast},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:05:12.584 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.636$ if the percent of GFP positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

00:05:14.636 --> 00:05:16.319 cells stays about the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:05:16.320 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.070$ then that's evidence that whatever

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00{:}05{:}18.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}19.820$ this guide RNA is targeting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:05:19.820 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.730$ it isn't important for cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:05:21.730 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.640$ growth because these GFP positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.9582821444444444

 $00{:}05{:}23.703 --> 00{:}05{:}25.218$ cells can grow just fine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:05:25.220 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.788$ So that's what the assay looked like we

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:05:27.788 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.230$ designed and cloned multiple guide RNA's.

 $00:05:30.230 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.180$ Targeting each of the putative

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:05:32.180 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.130$ cancer genetic dependencies we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

00:05:34.190 --> 00:05:36.321 interested in studying and then we

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

00:05:36.321 --> 00:05:37.983 did a bunch of competition assays

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:05:37.990 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.209$ and this is what one of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:05:40.209 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.520$ competition assays looks like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:05:41.520 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.608$ So here we're in MD AMB 231 sells

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00{:}05{:}44.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}46.153$ a triple negative breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:05:46.153 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.179$ cell line as negative controls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00{:}05{:}48.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}50.120$ We have guide RNA's targeting

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

00:05:50.120 --> 00:05:52.628 nonessential loci. Rosa 26 and eight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

00:05:52.628 --> 00:05:54.788 The S1 guide RNA's targeting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00{:}05{:}54.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}57.526$ These genes exhibit no drop out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:05:57.530 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.050$ As positive controls we have guide RNA's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:06:00.050 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.710$ targeting the essential replication genes,

00:06:02.710 --> 00:06:04.202 or PA3 and PC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:06:04.202 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.990$ and a guide RNA's targeting these genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:06:06.990 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.306$ which are required for DNA replication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:06:09.310 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.998$ Drop out between 50 fold and 200

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

00:06:11.998 --> 00:06:14.988 fold over 5 passages in culture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

00:06:14.990 --> 00:06:16.970 We then looked at the effects of guide RNA's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

00:06:16.970 --> 00:06:18.720 targeting each of the putative

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:06:18.720 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.120$ cancer genetic dependencies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:06:20.120 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.009$ we were interested in studying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:06:22.010 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.775$ and we were really astounded when the

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00{:}06{:}24.775 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}27.550$ guide RNA's targeting these cancer drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:06:27.550 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.930$ targets exhibited no dropout whatsoever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:06:29.930 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.690$ These guide RNAs behaved exactly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9582821444444444

 $00:06:31.690 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.450$ the same as guide RNA's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:06:33.450 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.382$ targeting known non essential

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:06:35.382 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.730$ genes like Rosa 26 and a VS1.

 $00:06:38.730 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.776$ This was incredibly surprising to us

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:06:40.776 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.145$ because right now there are patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

00:06:43.145 --> 00:06:45.336 are receiving anti htac 6 therapy and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:06:45.340 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.338$ Anti milk therapy and anti Kim.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:06:47.340 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.308$ One therapy based on the belief that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

00:06:50.308 --> 00:06:52.938 proteins are required for cancer growth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:06:52.940 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.784$ but this experiment suggests that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00{:}06{:}55.784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}58.126$ these experimental conditions in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:06:58.126 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.765$ cell line we can eliminate these genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.958282144444444

 $00:07:00.765 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.520$ without any effect on cancer whatsoever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:07:03.520 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.864$ So this is what it looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:07:04.864 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.080$ like in one cell line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00{:}07{:}06.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}08.814$ We ended up repeating this faceing 32

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:07:08.814 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.338$ different cancer cell lines from more

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:07:10.338 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.259$ than a dozen different cancer types,

 $00:07:12.260 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.568$ and in each of these experiments

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00{:}07{:}13.568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}14.810$ we got the same result.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

00:07:14.810 --> 00:07:17.411 There is no drop out of the guide RNA's

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:07:17.411 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.329$ targeting these drug targets and there

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:07:19.329 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.998$ was no evidence that any of these genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:07:21.998 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.434$ were actually dependency in any cancer type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

00:07:24.440 --> 00:07:26.610 So this made us take a step back and think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:07:26.610 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.780$ well, is there something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:07:27.780 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.480$ could be going wrong in this assay?

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:07:29.480 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.370$ Could we be you know doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:07:31.370 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.315$ something incorrect here?

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:07:32.320 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.624$ And so we thought.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:07:33.624 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.609$ Well, maybe with CRISPR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:07:35.609 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.268$ We're generating heterozygous

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:07:37.268 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.270$ mutations but not homozygous mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

00:07:40.270 --> 00:07:41.785 You know, maybe we're we're

00:07:41.785 --> 00:07:43.300 introducing mutations into these genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

00:07:43.300 --> 00:07:45.120 but we're not really knocking

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:07:45.120 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.576$ out the total protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:07:46.580 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.976$ So we thought OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:07:47.976 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.721$ instead of doing this population

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:07:49.721 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.700$ based approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

00:07:50.700 --> 00:07:52.722 let's make single cell Dr Knockout

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00{:}07{:}52.722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}55.371$ clones and be as sure as humanly

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:07:55.371 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.426$ possible that we were really

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:07{:}57.426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}00.160$ eliminating 100% of the target protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:08:00.160 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.196$ So we did that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:08:01.196 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.178$ We used A2 CRISPR guide RNA strategy

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00{:}08{:}03.178 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}05.747$ where we designed to guide RNA targeting

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00{:}08{:}05.747 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}07.575$ an upstream exon into downstream

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:08:07.575 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.633$ exon so that we could physically

 $00:08:09.633 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.068$ cut the gene out of the genome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:08:12.070 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.009$ And there would be no protein left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:08:14.010 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.278$ So we sorted a single cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:08:16.278 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.790$ that were double positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:08:17.790 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.070$ That picked up both guide RNA's

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:08:20.070 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.942$ that we transduced in and then we

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:08:22.942 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.958$ verified target knockout using

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00{:}08{:}24.958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}26.470$ two independent antibodies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

00:08:26.470 --> 00:08:29.039 So for instance 1 gene we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:08:29.039 \longrightarrow 00:08:31.048$ interested in studying as math K14.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00{:}08{:}31.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}32.736$ This is the gene that encodes

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:08:32.736 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.112$ the kinase P38 alpha.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:08:34.112 \dashrightarrow 00:08:36.548$ We generated knock out clones and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:08:36.548 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.198$ verified complete target knockout

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:08:38.198 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.520$ using one antibody and then verified

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:08:40.520 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.877$ it again using a second antibody.

 $00:08:42.880 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.120$ So that we could be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818 00:08:44.120 --> 00:08:44.830 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:08:44.830 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.960$ as sure as physically possible that

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:08:46.960 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.918$ we had truly eliminated all trace of

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:08:49.918 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.790$ these putative cancer drivers from the cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818 00:08:52.790 --> 00:08:53.120 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:08:53.120 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.100$ when we tested the fitness effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

00:08:55.100 --> 00:08:56.530 of these knockout clones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:08:56.530 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.354$ we got exactly the same result

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00{:}08{:}58.354 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}00.643$ that we got from the competition

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

00:09:00.643 --> 00:09:03.163 assays knocking out these putative

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00{:}09{:}03.163 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}05.079$ cancer genetic dependencies had

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00{:}09{:}05.079 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}06.749$ no effect on cancer growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:09:06.750 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.054$ So here, for instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:09:08.054 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.684$ is a proliferation assay in

 $00:09:09.684 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.268$ a Melanoma cell line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00{:}09{:}11.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}13.508$ We have three map K14 knock out

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

00:09:13.508 --> 00:09:15.859 clones and then two control rows

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:09:15.859 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.575$ of 26 clones and these map K14

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:09:18.575 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.390$ knockout clones grow exactly as

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:09:20.390 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.743$ well as the rows of 26 control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96502196818181800:09:22.743 --> 00:09:23.076 Jones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:09:23.076 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.740$ we could also put these cells in soft

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:09:25.811 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.326$ Agar challenge their clonogenic ability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:09:28.330 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.565$ We saw no difference in

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00{:}09{:}30.565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}31.906$ Clonogenic ability either.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00{:}09{:}31.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}34.898$ These knock out cells grew just fine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:09:34.900 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.736$ So to sum up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:09:35.736 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.272$ a whole bunch of data that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:09:37.272 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.616$ don't have time to show you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00{:}09{:}38.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}40.804$ We ended up eliminating all six

 $00:09:40.804 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.260$ different cancer driver genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:09:42.321 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.659$ that we were studying in at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

00:09:44.659 --> 00:09:46.379 three different cancer types each,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:09:46.380 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.840$ and there was no fitness effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:09:48.840 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.140$ whatsoever that we could discuss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:09:51.140 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.568$ So this was a really strange

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:09:52.568 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.472$ finding to us and it made us try

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

00:09:54.472 --> 00:09:56.020 to figure out what was going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:09:56.020 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.478$ So we were looking at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.965021968181818

 $00:09:57.478 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.450$ targets of 12 different

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00{:}09{:}58.503 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}00.375$ anti cancer drugs in various stages

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00{:}10{:}00.375 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}01.988$ of clinical development and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00{:}10{:}01.988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}03.830$ looked at these drug targets with

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00{:}10{:}03.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}05.240$ multiple different CRISPR techniques.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:10:05.240 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.640$ We did CRISPR competition assays.

00:10:07.640 --> 00:10:09.584 We made CRISPR knockouts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

00:10:09.584 --> 00:10:10.556 but concordantly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:10:10.560 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.262$ They both showed that we could eliminate

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:10:13.262 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.456$ these jeans without a detrimental

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:10:15.456 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.388$ effect on cancer proliferation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:10:17.390 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.346$ This then raised the question well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:10:19.350 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.821$ why were these genes believed to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:10:21.821 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.850$ cancer essential in the 1st place?

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:10:23.850 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.698$ And when we looked into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:10:25.698 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.930$ literature on these genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00{:}10{:}26.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}29.346$ we found the two main lines of evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

00:10:29.346 --> 00:10:31.237 had identified these genes as cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:10:31.240 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.130$ essential initially.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

00:10:32.130 --> 00:10:34.800 The first line of evidence identifying

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:10:34.800 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.562$ these genes as cancer essential were

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

00:10:37.562 --> 00:10:39.822 experiments done using RNA interference.

 $00:10:39.830 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.092$ The second line of evidence were

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00{:}10{:}42.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}44.589$ experiments done using small molecule drugs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:10:44.590 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.606$ many of which had then gone

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:10:46.606 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.590$ on to enter clinical testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:10:48.590 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.590$ So we wanted to see if we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:10:50.590 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.253$ backtrack a little and understand why

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

00:10:52.253 --> 00:10:54.889 we had come to such a different result

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:10:54.890 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.230$ than these previous experiments done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:10:57.230 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.527$ Using RNA I and small molecule drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:11:00.530 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.364$ So I'll first show you what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:11:02.364 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.087$ learned when we looked at some

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00{:}11{:}04.087 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}05.833$ of the prior RNA I experiments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00{:}11{:}05.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}07.751$ So this is an RNA I experiment

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00{:}11{:}07.751 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}09.622$ published in the literature a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:11:09.622 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.608$ years ago that had identified the

00:11:11.608 --> 00:11:13.509 kinase pack for as essential for

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00{:}11{:}13.509 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}15.528$ the growth of colon cancer cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:11:15.528 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.052$ In this experiment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

00:11:17.052 --> 00:11:18.968 the investigators took SI

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:11:18.968 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.520$ RNA's targeting pack four.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

00:11:20.520 --> 00:11:23.478 They introduced them into HCT 116,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:11:23.480 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.590$ colon cancer cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

00:11:24.590 --> 00:11:27.785 and they found that the SI RNAs decreased

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00{:}11{:}27.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}30.598$ colon cancer cell survival data like

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

00:11:30.598 --> 00:11:33.111 this motivated Pfizer to enter a pack

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:11:33.111 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.729$ for inhibitor into clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:11:35.730 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.930$ We had found no fitness effect when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

00:11:37.930 --> 00:11:39.968 had knocked out packed 4 using CRISPR,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:11:39.970 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.322$ so we wanted to see if we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:11:42.322 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.614$ recapitulate this result that

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00{:}11{:}43.614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}45.546$ had been published using RNA I.

 $00:11:45.550 \longrightarrow 00:11:48.175$ Two of these SI RNA constructs were

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

00:11:48.175 --> 00:11:49.729 commercially available and we had

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

00:11:49.730 --> 00:11:51.865 HCT 116 cells growing in my lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:11:51.870 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.970$ so we purchased these siren's

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

00:11:53.970 --> 00:11:56.070 from this prior publication and

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:11:56.143 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.207$ then tested them in our cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

00:11:58.210 --> 00:11:59.918 We transfected these siren's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

00:11:59.918 --> 00:12:02.480 the same from the prior publication

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

00:12:02.547 --> 00:12:03.800 into HCT 116 cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:12:03.800 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.110$ and we could confirm by Western blot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

00:12:06.110 --> 00:12:09.160 These SI RNAs decrease protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:12:09.160 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.845$ expression as expected and we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00{:}12{:}11.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}13.840$ a self survival assay and we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00{:}12{:}13.908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}16.258$ confirm that they killed HCT 116.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

00:12:16.258 --> 00:12:17.914 Colon cancer cells exactly

 $00:12:17.914 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.570$ as had been reported.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00{:}12{:}19.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}20.743$ However, using CRISPR,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:12:20.743 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.480$ we were also able to generate a

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:12:23.554 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.900$ pack for knockout clone in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:12:25.900 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.900$ exact same cancer cell line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:12:27.900 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.105$ So here we had a pack for knockout clone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:12:30.110 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.406$ You can see there's no pack for

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:12:32.406 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.277$ expression in either the control

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

00:12:34.277 --> 00:12:35.917 or the knockdown condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:12:35.920 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.999$ And then when we did a self

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00{:}12{:}37.999 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}39.760$ survival assay on these cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:12:39.760 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.920$ we found that transfecting the

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

00:12:41.920 --> 00:12:44.853 pack 4 knockout cells with pack 4

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:12:44.853 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.872$ targeting SI RNA had exactly the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:12:47.872 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.337$ detrimental impact on colon cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:12:50.337 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.284$ survival as it did in the pack for

00:12:53.284 --> 00:12:55.459 expressing Rosa 26 control cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00{:}12{:}55.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}57.959$ So these packed 4 targeting SI RNAs

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:12:57.959 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.979$ are killing colon cancer cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:12:59.980 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.816$ but their ability to kill colon

NOTE Confidence: 0.908104099333333

 $00:13:01.816 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.040$ cancer cells is entirely

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:13:03.095 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.527$ independent of the expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:13:04.527 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.317$ of pack four because they're.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:13:06.320 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.134$ Exactly as lethal in the control cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00{:}13{:}09.134 \to 00{:}13{:}12.045$ expressing pack four as they are in the pack.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

00:13:12.050 --> 00:13:13.890 4 knockout clones that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

00:13:13.890 --> 00:13:14.994 generated using crisper.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

00:13:15.000 --> 00:13:16.960 So this prior experiment was

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00{:}13{:}16.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}18.136$ was totally reproducible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:13:18.140 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.338$ These sirens killed colon cancer cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:13:21.340 \longrightarrow 00:13:23.704$ but just the interpretation was wrong

00:13:23.704 --> 00:13:26.319 because the toxicity of these Sir nase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:13:26.320 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.120$ is just entirely independent

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

00:13:28.120 --> 00:13:29.920 of pack for expression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:13:29.920 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.230$ and this seems to be commonly

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:13:32.230 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.776$ the case where we test SIRN as

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00{:}13{:}34.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}36.534$ and SH RNA's in the literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

00:13:36.540 --> 00:13:39.070 Over CRISPR derived knockout clones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

00:13:39.070 --> 00:13:42.310 The SI and SH RNA's may kill cancer cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

00:13:42.310 --> 00:13:44.676 but it's just independent of the expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:13:44.676 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.718$ of the gene that they were designed against.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00{:}13{:}47.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}49.176$ The next thing that we wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:13:49.176 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.794$ figure out was what was going on

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:13:50.794 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.974$ with the small molecule drugs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:13:51.980 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.563$ many of which had then gone on to enter

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:13:54.563 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.441$ clinical testing and I'll show you

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

00:13:56.441 --> 00:13:58.749 what happened with one of those drugs.

 $00:13:58.750 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.982$ So pack one is a drug that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00{:}14{:}00.982 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}03.214$ described with few years ago in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

00:14:03.214 --> 00:14:04.824 paper in nature chemical biology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:14:04.830 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.595$ It was developed as a Caspase 3

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:14:07.595 \longrightarrow 00:14:09.677$ activator compound so the apoptosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

00:14:09.677 --> 00:14:12.251 enzyme caspase 3 is normally present

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

00:14:12.251 --> 00:14:14.952 in an inactive procaspase state in

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00{:}14{:}14.952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}17.785$ the cell and pack one was developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00{:}14{:}17.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}20.221$ to catalyze the conversion of caspase

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:14:20.221 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.246$ 3 from its inactive procaspase

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

00:14:22.246 --> 00:14:25.060 state to its active caspase 3 state,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:14:25.060 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.076$ at which point it would then

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

00:14:27.076 --> 00:14:29.116 kill cancer cells in this drug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:14:29.116 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.826$ Has been entered into three

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:14:30.826 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.970$ different clinical trials.

00:14:31.970 --> 00:14:32.368 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:14:32.368 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.756$ this mechanism of action was worked

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:14:34.756 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.221$ out based on in vitro biochemistry

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:14:37.221 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.007$ and no one had described a mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:14:40.083 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.219$ in Caspase 3 that conferred resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00{:}14{:}42.219 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}44.982$ to it or had assessed the effects of

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00{:}14{:}44.982 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}48.029$ this drug in a Caspase 3 knockout cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

00:14:48.030 --> 00:14:50.664 So using CRISPR we generated multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:14:50.664 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.159$ Caspase 3 knockout clones and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:14:53.159 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.145$ we did a dose response curve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

00:14:55.150 --> 00:14:57.420 Examining the viability of wildtype

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:14:57.420 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.230$ and Caspase 3 knockout clones in

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:15:00.230 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.560$ different concentrations of pack one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:15:02.560 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.170$ So this is what it looked like

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:15:04.170 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.260$ for two control clones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

00:15:05.260 --> 00:15:08.880 2 clones expressing Arosa 26 guide RNA pack,

 $00:15:08.880 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.680$ one is a potent anti cancer agent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00{:}15{:}11.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}13.976$ You can see it has an IC50 value

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:15:13.976 \longrightarrow 00:15:16.128$ of around one or two micromolar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579 00:15:16.130 --> 00:15:16.467 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

00:15:16.467 --> 00:15:19.500 when we did the same assay in the Caspase

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

00:15:19.577 --> 00:15:22.007 3 knockout clones that we generated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:15:22.010 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.775$ we ended up getting exactly

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:15:23.775 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.187$ the same drug curve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:15:25.190 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.390$ This drug is exactly as potent in caspase

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00{:}15{:}28.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}31.447$ 3 knockout clones as it is in caspase

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

00:15:31.447 --> 00:15:34.130 3 expressing Rosa 26 control clones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:15:34.130 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.570$ It has an IC50 value of 1 to 2 micromolar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00{:}15{:}37.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}39.370$ regardless of whether these

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:15:39.370 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.170$ cells express caspase 3,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579 $00{:}15{:}41.170 --> 00{:}15{:}42.229 \text{ so this drug},$

00:15:42.229 --> 00:15:43.994 which entered clinical trials as

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:15:43.994 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.149$ a caspase 3 activating compound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

00:15:46.150 --> 00:15:48.850 Its anti cancer activity actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:15:48.850 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.010$ comes from something entirely

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

00:15:51.010 --> 00:15:52.974 independent of caspase 3 and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:15:52.974 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.426$ is actually the case for many

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:15:54.426 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.157$ of the drugs that we studied.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:15:56.160 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.040$ So to show you a few more examples,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:15:58.040 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.590$ HDK 6 is a histone deacetylase

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

00:16:00.590 --> 00:16:02.670 Celgene has developed each DAC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00{:}16{:}02.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}05.250$ 6 inhibitors sitter in a statin

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:16:05.250 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.110$ richelain ISTAT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929160932631579

 $00:16:06.110 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.150$ We knocked out HDK 6 but we saw no change

NOTE Confidence: 0.820004865555556

 $00:16:09.228 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.084$ in sensitivity to these

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:16:11.084 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.940$ putative HDK 6 inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:16:12.940 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.090$ Milk is a cancer related

 $00:16:15.090 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.380$ kinase uncle therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

00:16:16.380 --> 00:16:17.940 Science is developed this drug,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

00:16:17.940 --> 00:16:19.732 Novartis, developed this drug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:16:19.732 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.420$ We use CRISPR to knockout milk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:16:22.420 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.458$ We saw no change in sensitivity to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:16:25.458 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.620$ these milk inhibitory compounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:16:27.620 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.330$ So to sum up a whole bunch of data

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00{:}16{:}29.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}31.037$ that I don't have time to show you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:16:31.040 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.056$ we found that target knockouts conferred

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00{:}16{:}33.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}34.813$ no resistance for 12 different

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:16:34.813 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.937$ cancer drugs that we were studying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00{:}16{:}36.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}38.692$ We made these knock outs and did

NOTE Confidence: 0.820004865555556

 $00{:}16{:}38.692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}40.725$ these tests in at least three

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:16:40.725 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.317$ different cancer types each,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:16:42.320 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.020$ so this kind of leaves us in an odd position.

00:16:46.020 --> 00:16:48.300 We were studying 12 different preclinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:16:48.300 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.419$ or clinical anti cancer drugs and

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:16:50.419 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.571$ in each of these cases we found that

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:16:52.630 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.560$ the reported mechanism of action.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:16:54.560 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.745$ Was actually incorrect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:16:55.745 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.510$ This then raised the question well if

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:16:58.577 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.111$ these drugs are killing cancer cells at

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

00:17:01.111 --> 00:17:03.499 nanomolar or low micromolar potency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820004865555556

 $00:17:03.500 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.000$ how is it they actually work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:17:05.000 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.536$ What is it they're actually targeting?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:17:06.540 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.404$ We wanted to see if we could figure

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00{:}17{:}08.404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}10.608$ out how they were actually functioning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:17:10.610 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.884$ We've had the best success so

NOTE Confidence: 0.820004865555556

 $00:17:12.884 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.598$ far with one drug called O TS964.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:17:15.598 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.796$ This is what the drug looks like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

00:17:17.800 --> 00:17:20.448 It was described in a paper in science

 $00:17:20.448 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.864$ Translational Medicine a few years

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

00:17:21.864 --> 00:17:24.149 ago as an inhibitor of a kinase called PBK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

00:17:24.150 --> 00:17:26.787 which is also called Pop K in the literature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:17:26.790 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.765$ but using CRISPR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

00:17:27.765 --> 00:17:29.715 We knocked out PVK and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:17:29.715 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.570$ saw no effect whatsoever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

00:17:31.570 --> 00:17:33.710 On sensitivity to this compound

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00{:}17{:}33.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}36.784$ telling us that this drug O TS964

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00{:}17{:}36.784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}39.586$ must have some other cellular target.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00{:}17{:}39.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}41.326$ To see if we could figure out

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

00:17:41.326 --> 00:17:43.199 what this drug was actually doing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:17:43.200 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.170$ we used a genetic based approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.820004865555556

 $00:17:46.170 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.430$ for this approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

00:17:47.430 --> 00:17:49.110 We took highly mutagenized

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:17:49.110 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.994$ colon cancer cells, HCT 116.

00:17:50.994 --> 00:17:53.178 They have a very high mutation rate

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:17:53.178 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.727$ because they're microsatellite unstable

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00{:}17{:}54.727 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}57.457$ and then we expose these drugs to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

00:17:57.526 --> 00:17:59.446 a nearly lethal concentration of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

00:17:59.450 --> 00:18:03.046 O TS96 four such that about 99.9%

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:18:03.046 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.538$ of cells on the plate were killed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820004865555556 00:18:05.540 --> 00:18:05.858 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.820004865555556

 $00:18:05.858 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.766$ there were a few stragglers that

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

00:18:07.766 --> 00:18:09.487 remained when we cut these cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:18:09.487 \longrightarrow 00:18:11.469$ in the drug for a period of weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.820004865555556

 $00:18:11.469 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.387$ until these cells were able to grow

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:18:13.387 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.077$ and form little micro colonies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:18:15.077 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.351$ We then subjected these cells to

NOTE Confidence: 0.820004865555556

00:18:17.351 --> 00:18:19.654 whole exome sequencing and when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

00:18:19.654 --> 00:18:22.020 did sequencing on the resistant clones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:18:22.020 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.444$ what we were hoping to see was a

 $00:18:24.444 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.709$ mutation that blocked whatever it was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:18:26.710 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.600$ This drug was actually targeting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:18:28.600 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.090$ Maybe these cells could survive a

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

00:18:31.090 --> 00:18:33.214 lethal treatment because they had

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:18:33.214 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.189$ some mutation preventing drug binding

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

00:18:35.189 --> 00:18:38.288 to whatever O TS96 or was actually doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

00:18:38.290 --> 00:18:40.208 So when we did whole exome sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:18:40.208 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.030$ on these clones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:18:41.030 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.333$ we were really excited to see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:18:43.333 \longrightarrow 00:18:45.566$ every clone that we looked at had

NOTE Confidence: 0.820004865555556

 $00:18:45.566 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.036$ the same mutation in it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00{:}18{:}47.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}48.726$ Every drug resistant clone had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.820004865555556

 $00:18:48.726 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.779$ mutation in the cyclin dependent kinase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820004865555556 00:18:50.780 --> 00:18:51.610 CDK 11. NOTE Confidence: 0.820004865555556

00:18:51.610 --> 00:18:54.515 They had a glycine to serine substitution,

00:18:54.520 --> 00:18:56.886 right smack dab in the middle of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:18:56.886 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.079$ the CDK 11 kinase domain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:18:59.080 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.740$ So this immediately suggested to

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:19:00.740 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.400$ us that maybe this drug,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:19:02.400 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.456$ which had been developed as a PDK inhibitor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

00:19:05.460 --> 00:19:07.208 was actually functioning through

NOTE Confidence: 0.82000486555556

 $00:19:07.208 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.956$ inhibition of CDK 11.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

00:19:08.960 --> 00:19:10.620 Instead, one potential limitation

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:19:10.620 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.552$ to this is that, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:19:12.552 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.456$ there actually isn't a precedent for this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00{:}19{:}14.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}17.718$ CDK 11 hasn't been previously dropped,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:19:17.720 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.312$ so we wanted to see if this mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

00:19:20.312 --> 00:19:22.463 actually had anything to do with

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

00:19:22.463 --> 00:19:25.940 sensitivity to OTS 964 in order to do that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:19:25.940 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.704$ we wanted to see whether this mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:19:27.704 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.310$ that we discovered in the resistance.

 $00:19:29.310 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.715$ Jones was actually sufficient to

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

00:19:31.715 --> 00:19:33.988 confer resistance to OTS 964.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:19:33.988 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.032$ To test this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

00:19:35.032 --> 00:19:37.120 we used a CRISPR knockin strategy

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:19:37.189 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.294$ where we introduced this glycine

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00{:}19{:}39.294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}41.399$ to serine substitution that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:19:41.474 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.749$ recovered in drug resistant cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

00:19:43.750 --> 00:19:45.904 We knocked it into drug naive

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

00:19:45.904 --> 00:19:48.093 cancer cells and then tested its

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00{:}19{:}48.093 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}50.241$ effects on on O TS964 sensitivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:19:50.241 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.503$ This is what it looked like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

00:19:52.510 --> 00:19:54.175 Here we have four different

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:19:54.175 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.261$ cancer cell lines treated with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00{:}19{:}56.261 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}58.104$ lethal concentration of O TS964,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:19:58.104 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.396$ with a negative control guide RNA.

 $00:20:00.400 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.870$ Or if we just cut in the CDK 11 gene,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00{:}20{:}02.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}05.258$ we have no cancer cell viability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:20:05.260 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.956$ But if we introduce a repair template that

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:20:07.956 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.597$ includes the glycine to serine substitution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:20:10.600 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.225$ then we can restore viability

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:20:12.225 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.442$ in the presence of an otherwise

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

00:20:14.442 --> 00:20:16.634 lethal concentration of O TS964.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:20:16.634 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.090$ So this tells us that this mutation is

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:20:19.156 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.508$ in fact both necessary and sufficient

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:20:21.508 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.730$ for resistance to this compound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:20:23.730 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.878$ We then followed this up with

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:20:25.878 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.952$ some biochemical assays.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

00:20:26.960 --> 00:20:30.888 We confirmed that O TS964 inhibits CDK 11.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:20:30.890 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.557$ With an IC50 value of around 40

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:20:33.557 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.828$ to 50 animal or in vitro,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:20:35.830 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.798$ and we did a cell based target engagement

00:20:38.798 --> 00:20:40.870 assay using mass spectrometry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:20:40.870 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.132$ we found that 100 animal or

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

00:20:43.132 --> 00:20:44.724 treatment with O TS964.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

00:20:44.724 --> 00:20:47.643 It didn't bind to hundreds of other

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

00:20:47.643 --> 00:20:50.099 cellular kinases, but it bound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:20:50.099 \longrightarrow 00:20:53.250$ It caused about 70% of binding site

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

00:20:53.250 --> 00:20:56.362 occlusion for CDK 11, and only CDK 11.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:20:56.362 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.799$ So from this work we concluded that

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

00:20:58.799 --> 00:21:00.503 by profiling a mischaracterized

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:21:00.503 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.217$ anti cancer agent we were actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

00:21:03.217 --> 00:21:05.762 able to serendipitously discover the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

00:21:05.762 --> 00:21:10.030 first selective inhibitor of CDK 11.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00{:}21{:}10.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}12.590$ So to sum up what I told you so far,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00{:}21{:}12.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}14.564$ we're kind of operating in a space

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:21:14.564 \longrightarrow 00:21:16.790$ in which the vast majority of new

00:21:16.790 --> 00:21:18.770 therapies that get tested in human

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

00:21:18.830 --> 00:21:21.350 patients in oncology don't end up working,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00{:}21{:}21.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}22.856$ and we put together a collection

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:21:22.856 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.220$ of these drugs to study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:21:24.220 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.299$ And one thing that we found while

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

00:21:26.299 --> 00:21:28.564 studying them is that many of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00{:}21{:}28.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}30.199$ drugs have actually been designed

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:21:30.199 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.434$ to target proteins that have no

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:21:32.434 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.279$ detectable role in cancer growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

00:21:34.280 --> 00:21:34.685 Furthermore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

00:21:34.685 --> 00:21:37.520 while these drugs do kill cancer cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:21:37.520 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.265$ they largely kill cancer cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:21:39.265 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.010$ through off target effects rather

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:21:41.073 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.233$ than through the target that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

00:21:43.233 --> 00:21:44.673 were initially designed against,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00{:}21{:}44.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}46.423$ and I think that this can increase

 $00:21:46.423 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.372$ the burden of side effects and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:21:48.372 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.802$ decrease the efficacy when some

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:21:49.802 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.700$ of these drugs are actually used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

00:21:51.700 --> 00:21:53.575 We don't truly understand how

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00{:}21{:}53.575 \longrightarrow 00{:}21{:}55.917$ they're working or where their anti

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:21:55.917 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.517$ cancer activity comes from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

00:21:57.520 --> 00:22:00.061 Think this conclusion has a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:22:00.061 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.039$ important considerations and caveats though.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:22:02.040 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.480$ For instance, there could be

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:22:04.480 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.432$ unrecognized cell type specificity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:22:06.440 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.912$ We did these competitions

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:22:07.912 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.752$ in 32 cancer cell lines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00{:}22{:}09.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}11.124$ We generated knock out clones

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:22:11.124 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.829$ in three cancer types each,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89901102625

 $00:22:12.830 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.328$ but it was,

00:22:13.328 --> 00:22:13.660 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00:22:13.660 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.408$ physically, impossible for us to test

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00:22:16.408 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.558$ every subtype of leukemia or every subtype.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

00:22:19.560 --> 00:22:20.788 Kidney cancer in existence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00:22:20.788 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.630$ and so we can't fully recognize

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

00:22:22.686 --> 00:22:24.376 rule out some unrecognized cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

00:22:24.376 --> 00:22:26.066 type specificity that hasn't been

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00:22:26.124 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.996$ reported in the literature on these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

00:22:28.000 --> 00:22:30.267 Targets. Secondly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00:22:30.267 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.652$ we specifically tested the hypothesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00{:}22{:}32.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}35.118$ that these proteins are required

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

00:22:35.118 --> 00:22:37.528 for cell autonomous cancer growth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00:22:37.530 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.007$ that is, cells going from you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00:22:39.010 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.846$ one cancer cell to 2:00 to 4:00 to 8:00,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00:22:40.850 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.235$ and so on, and this had been reported for

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00:22:43.235 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.468$ each of the drugs that we had studied.

00:22:45.470 --> 00:22:47.126 However, if it turned out that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00:22:47.130 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.979$ say, pack four had some role in

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00{:}22{:}49.979 \longrightarrow 00{:}22{:}52.509$ angiogenesis or in immune evasion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

00:22:52.510 --> 00:22:54.890 or some other non cell autonomous process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00:22:54.890 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.260$ that wouldn't be ruled out for

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

00:22:57.260 --> 00:22:58.840 the cell autonomous proliferation

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00:22:58.905 \longrightarrow 00:23:00.820$ focused assays that we've done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00{:}23{:}00.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}02.686$ I think a third important consideration

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00:23:02.686 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.679$ is while our data suggests that

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00:23:04.679 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.399$ these drugs are promiscuous and

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00:23:06.399 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.292$ may have multiple targets in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00:23:08.292 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.161$ cell just because a cancer drug is

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00{:}23{:}10.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}11.466$ promiscuous doesn't necessarily mean

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00:23:11.466 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.840$ that it will fail in the clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

00:23:13.840 --> 00:23:15.944 There are a number of drugs like sunitinib,

00:23:15.950 --> 00:23:18.920 Serafin, IB which do have multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00{:}23{:}18.920 --> 00{:}23{:}20.900$ targets in the cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846 00:23:20.900 --> 00:23:21.610 And so, NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00:23:21.610 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.385$ just because something is promiscuous

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00:23:23.385 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.418$ doesn't necessarily mean that it will fail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846 00:23:25.420 --> 00:23:25.763 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

00:23:25.763 --> 00:23:28.507 I think that if our goal in cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00:23:28.507 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.324$ biology is to kind of reach a plateau

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00{:}23{:}31.324 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}33.206$ of targeted precision medicine where

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

00:23:33.206 --> 00:23:35.272 you sequence a patient's tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00{:}23{:}35.272 \longrightarrow 00{:}23{:}37.502$ you identify the mutations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00:23:37.502 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.220$ amplifications and alterations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00{:}23{:}39.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}41.140$ then design a drug cocktail based

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00:23:41.140 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.020$ on that particular genetic profile

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00:23:43.020 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.658$ in order to get to that level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00:23:44.660 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.486$ I think we need to have a really good

00:23:47.486 --> 00:23:49.746 understanding of what drugs do and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00:23:49.746 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.049$ their anti cancer activity actually arises.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00:23:52.050 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.390$ And what we'd suggest is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

00:23:54.390 --> 00:23:55.950 pre clinical genetic validation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

00:23:55.950 --> 00:23:58.488 particularly using CRISPR instead of RNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00{:}23{:}58.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}00.682$ I may help us get genetic insight into

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

00:24:00.682 --> 00:24:03.156 how anti cancer drugs work and may

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00{:}24{:}03.156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}05.021$ decrease the number of investigational

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00:24:05.084 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.009$ drugs that enter clinical trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00:24:07.010 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.328$ but end up failing during clinical testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00:24:10.330 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.290$ So this is work that was done by my group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

00:24:12.290 --> 00:24:13.008 In particular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00{:}24{:}13.008 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}24{:}14.444$ two really talented students

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00:24:14.444 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.470$ and Lynn and Chris Giuliano.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00:24:16.470 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.595$ I'd like to acknowledge the

00:24:17.595 --> 00:24:18.990 funding and thank you so much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00:24:18.990 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.268$ I'd be happy to answer any

NOTE Confidence: 0.829353936153846

 $00:24:20.268 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.120$ questions that you have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951348497777778

 $00:24:24.680 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.892$ Thanks very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95134849777778

 $00:24:25.892 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.316$ I thought that was really great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951348497777778

 $00{:}24{:}28.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}32.100$ I think you know one of the one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95134849777778

 $00:24:32.100 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.871$ things we're all aware of is that when

NOTE Confidence: 0.951348497777778

 $00:24:34.871 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.163$ we combine drugs that the toxicity

NOTE Confidence: 0.951348497777778

00:24:37.163 --> 00:24:40.118 goes way up and you know of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951348497777778

 $00:24:40.120 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.143$ much of the reason for that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.951348497777778

00:24:42.143 --> 00:24:44.208 that many of these drugs are

NOTE Confidence: 0.951348497777778

 $00:24:44.208 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.088$ promiscuous and are doing much

NOTE Confidence: 0.951348497777778

 $00:24:46.088 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.529$ more than what we need them to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951348497777778

 $00:24:48.530 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.976$ There's a there was a question a minute ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951348497777778

 $00:24:52.980 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.460$ Uh oh, so the from from Jeffrey Townsend.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951348497777778

 $00:24:58.460 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.868$ How were the original 12 drugs selected

 $00:25:00.868 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.810$ and assembled for investigation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.885461179230769

 $00:25:03.060 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.436$ Yep, so I didn't have time to discuss

NOTE Confidence: 0.885461179230769

00:25:06.436 --> 00:25:08.380 that extensively in this talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885461179230769

 $00:25:08.380 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.678$ but what we were interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.885461179230769

00:25:10.680 --> 00:25:12.510 our underlying hypothesis is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.885461179230769

 $00:25:12.510 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.747$ the gold standard for knowing a

NOTE Confidence: 0.885461179230769

00:25:14.747 --> 00:25:16.805 cancer drugs mechanism of action is

NOTE Confidence: 0.885461179230769

 $00{:}25{:}16.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}18.575$ the identification of a mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.885461179230769

 $00:25:18.575 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.275$ that confers resistance to it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885461179230769

 $00{:}25{:}20.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}22.290$ The classic example here is Gleevec

NOTE Confidence: 0.885461179230769

 $00:25:22.290 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.169$ and the mutations in BCR ABL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885461179230769

 $00{:}25{:}24.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}26.234$ Set block, Liebeck activity and our

NOTE Confidence: 0.885461179230769

 $00{:}25{:}26.234 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}28.388$ thinking was that drugs that lacked

NOTE Confidence: 0.885461179230769

 $00:25:28.388 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.168$ that level of genetic validation

NOTE Confidence: 0.885461179230769

 $00:25:30.168 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.262$ were less likely to be acting

 $00:25:32.262 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.867$ through an on target mechanism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885461179230769

 $00{:}25{:}33.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}35.400$ So we selected drugs that

NOTE Confidence: 0.885461179230769

 $00:25:35.400 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.336$ specifically did not have that level

NOTE Confidence: 0.885461179230769

 $00:25:37.336 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.066$ of genetic evidence behind them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.600856868

 $00:25:40.760 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.120$ And from from Mike Hurwitz.

NOTE Confidence: 0.600856868

 $00:25:45.120 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.260$ Sort of along that line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.600856868

00:25:46.260 --> 00:25:47.814 Do you find it striking that every

NOTE Confidence: 0.600856868

00:25:47.814 --> 00:25:49.590 single one of your targets was wrong?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87856613

 $00:25:49.920 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.140$ Yeah, so for the sake of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87856613

 $00:25:53.140 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.538$ yeah, for the sake of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87856613

 $00{:}25{:}54.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}57.172$ I focused on the ones that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.87856613

 $00:25:57.172 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.289$ where we discovered that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87856613

 $00{:}25{:}59.289 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}01.554$ mechanism of action was incorrect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87856613

 $00:26:01.560 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.968$ However, we did have a few examples

NOTE Confidence: 0.87856613

 $00:26:03.968 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.899$ where we could validate it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87856613

 $00:26:05.900 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.700$ and I'm just trying to here.

00:26:07.700 --> 00:26:09.685 I'm going to show just

NOTE Confidence: 0.87856613

00:26:09.685 --> 00:26:11.670 one example of that now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87856613

 $00:26:11.670 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.731$ So this is not Lynn 3A.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87856613

00:26:14.731 --> 00:26:17.619 This is a drug that's been reported to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87856613

 $00:26:17.619 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.921$ function through P53 activation blocks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87856613

00:26:19.921 --> 00:26:22.206 The interaction between MDM two

NOTE Confidence: 0.87856613

00:26:22.206 --> 00:26:25.555 and P53 we generated P53 knockout

NOTE Confidence: 0.87856613

 $00{:}26{:}25.555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}28.445$ clones using crisper and when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.87856613

 $00{:}26{:}28.445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}30.370$ did this drug sensitivity curve

NOTE Confidence: 0.87856613

 $00:26:30.370 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.794$ we found that a nutlin has no

NOTE Confidence: 0.87856613

00:26:32.794 --> 00:26:34.781 effect on the P53 knockout clones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87856613

00:26:34.781 --> 00:26:37.192 while it kills the P53 expressing

NOTE Confidence: 0.87856613

00:26:37.192 --> 00:26:39.236 Rosa 26 control phones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87856613

 $00:26:39.240 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.878$ So in general so this is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87856613

 $00:26:40.880 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.944$ What we would expect for a drug that

00:26:42.944 --> 00:26:44.778 acts for an on target activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87856613

 $00{:}26{:}44.780 \longrightarrow 00{:}26{:}46.796$ You know a huge delta between the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87856613

00:26:46.796 --> 00:26:48.299 target knockouts and the target,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87856613

 $00:26:48.300 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.710$ expressing control clones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87856613

 $00:26:49.710 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.500$ and we found a few examples of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87856613 00:26:53.500 --> 00:26:53.860 OK, NOTE Confidence: 0.9160527775

 $00:26:54.300 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.282$ and I think this is the last

NOTE Confidence: 0.9160527775

00:26:57.282 --> 00:27:00.020 question from from Karen Anderson.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9160527775

 $00{:}27{:}00.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}01.940$ Did you make the searing mutant of CDK

NOTE Confidence: 0.9160527775

 $00:27:01.940 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.469$ 11 and show that the inhibitor was no

NOTE Confidence: 0.9160527775

 $00{:}27{:}04.469 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}06.230$ longer effective in biochemical assays?

NOTE Confidence: 0.861997609

 $00:27:06.920 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.852$ So we have been doing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.861997609

00:27:08.852 --> 00:27:10.422 biochemical assays through ACR, oh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861997609

 $00:27:10.422 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.396$ at the moment, we are not skilled

NOTE Confidence: 0.861997609

00:27:12.396 --> 00:27:14.938 in in vitro biochemistry ourselves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861997609

 $00:27:14.940 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.544$ and so we've just done it with

 $00:27:17.544 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.833$ the the through the CR out and

NOTE Confidence: 0.861997609

 $00:27:19.833 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.744$ we'd be glad to to launch the

NOTE Confidence: 0.861997609

 $00:27:21.744 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.280$ collaboration to investigate that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861997609

 $00:27:23.280 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.760$ because I think that would be very powerful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880617993333333

 $00{:}27{:}26.060 \to 00{:}27{:}29.894$ Well, I want to thank both Jason and Kurt.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880617993333333

 $00{:}27{:}29.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}33.020$ It makes me proud to have these kinds

NOTE Confidence: 0.880617993333333

 $00:27:33.020 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.400$ of presentations on my first day here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880617993333333

 $00:27:35.400 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.932$ So thank you very, very much

NOTE Confidence: 0.880617993333333

 $00:27:37.932 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.999$ and we'll see you all next week.