WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:04:52" NOTE recognizability:0.780

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.675779826666667

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.823$ 2 grand rounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.675779826666667

 $00:00:02.823 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.646$ Virtually yet again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.675779826666667

00:00:05.650 --> 00:00:10.300 And we have two speakers today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.675779826666667

00:00:10.300 --> 00:00:12.288 Doctor Michael Lippman and

NOTE Confidence: 0.675779826666667

00:00:12.288 --> 00:00:13.779 Doctor Carlos Fernandez,

NOTE Confidence: 0.675779826666667

 $00{:}00{:}13.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}15.652$ Hernando and Doctor Leibman,

NOTE Confidence: 0.675779826666667

 $00:00:15.652 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.856$ is gonna be first and let me

NOTE Confidence: 0.675779826666667

00:00:18.856 --> 00:00:22.010 just briefly introduce him.

NOTE Confidence: 0.675779826666667

 $00:00:22.010 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.370$ So Michael Liebman is an assistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.675779826666667

 $00:00:25.370 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.994$ professor of Urology and takes care of

NOTE Confidence: 0.675779826666667

 $00{:}00{:}28.994 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}32.700$ the full range of patients with Gu cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.675779826666667

 $00:00:32.700 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.675$ He graduated from Cornell University

NOTE Confidence: 0.675779826666667

 $00:00:34.675 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.137$ and received his medical degree from

00:00:37.137 --> 00:00:39.567 the University of Maryland in Baltimore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.675779826666667

 $00{:}00{:}39.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}41.830$ completing his general surgery and

NOTE Confidence: 0.675779826666667

00:00:41.830 --> 00:00:44.459 urology at Mount Sinai before moving

NOTE Confidence: 0.675779826666667

 $00:00:44.459 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.827$ on to UCSF where he did it two

NOTE Confidence: 0.675779826666667

 $00:00:46.827 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.966$ year urologic Oncology fellowship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.675779826666667

 $00:00:48.966 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.347$ He was recruited to Yale in 2016

NOTE Confidence: 0.675779826666667

 $00:00:53.347 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.882$ specializing in urologic oncology with

NOTE Confidence: 0.675779826666667

00:00:56.882 --> 00:01:01.320 an appointment at Yale and at the VA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.675779826666667

00:01:01.320 --> 00:01:04.519 His research has largely focused on risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.675779826666667

00:01:04.519 --> 00:01:07.280 stratification and and clinical outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.675779826666667

 $00{:}01{:}07.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}09.702$ and he is widely published and today

NOTE Confidence: 0.675779826666667

 $00:01:09.702 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.976$ is going to talk to us about Ken new

NOTE Confidence: 0.675779826666667

 $00:01:12.976 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.073$ risk assessment tools for prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.675779826666667

 $00:01:15.073 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.958$ cancer deliver better patient outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.675779826666667

00:01:17.960 --> 00:01:18.682 Michael welcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.675779826666667

 $00:01:18.682 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.126$ thank you very much.

 $00:01:20.630 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.905$ Well, thanks so much for the warm

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

00:01:22.905 --> 00:01:24.530 introduction and good afternoon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00{:}01{:}24.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}26.706$ so I'm happy to speak about this question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00:01:26.710 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.490$ Can new risk assessment technologies for

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00{:}01{:}30.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}33.990$ prostate cancer deliver better outcomes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

00:01:33.990 --> 00:01:36.358 I have no disclosures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00:01:36.360 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.078$ so I'm a urologist whose interest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00:01:39.080 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.772$ as mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00{:}01{:}39.772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}41.848$ are really focused on urologic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00:01:41.850 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.879$ specifically prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00:01:42.879 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.937$ and this has fueled my interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

00:01:44.937 --> 00:01:46.480 in understanding how technology

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00:01:46.480 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.390$ is aimed at decision making,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00{:}01{:}48.390 \to 00{:}01{:}50.448$ are used in men with prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00:01:50.450 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.754$ a disease with a high burden

 $00:01:52.754 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.906$ of decisional conflict.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182 00:01:53.910 --> 00:01:54.242 Specifically, NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00:01:54.242 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.902$ I'm interested in learning how

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

00:01:55.902 --> 00:01:57.852 they're being used whether or not

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

00:01:57.852 --> 00:01:59.287 they're meeting their intended goal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00:01:59.290 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.330$ and how they can be optimized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00:02:01.330 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.304$ So the overarching goal of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00{:}02{:}03.304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}05.564$ work is to improve how we screen

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00:02:05.564 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.594$ for how we diagnose and how we

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00:02:07.662 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.967$ manage early stage prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00{:}02{:}09.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}12.292$ So in my time I want to cover the

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

00:02:12.292 --> 00:02:14.059 rationale for active surveillance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00:02:14.060 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.070$ the why and how of it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00:02:16.070 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.687$ and then talk about a series of

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00:02:17.687 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.569$ advances in the past decade that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00{:}02{:}19.569 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}21.279$ been undertaken to help increase the

 $00:02:21.328 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.948$ precision of active surveillance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00{:}02{:}22.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}26.208$ focusing on prostate MRI and tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00{:}02{:}26.208 {\: --> \:} 00{:}02{:}28.380$ based gene expression signatures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00:02:28.380 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.096$ And then talk about our work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00:02:30.100 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.554$ Looking at real-world uptake and studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00:02:32.554 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.900$ to estimate the effectiveness of testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182 00:02:34.900 --> 00:02:35.578 And lastly, NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00{:}02{:}35.578 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}37.951$ take a close look at the question

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00{:}02{:}37.951 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}40.521$ of the equity of the dissemination

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00:02:40.521 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.676$ of new risk assessment tools.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00:02:42.680 \dashrightarrow 00:02:45.024$ I want to start with a patient example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00:02:45.030 \dashrightarrow 00:02:47.046$ A common scenario that we see in the clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00{:}02{:}47.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}49.510$ A gentleman referred for an elevated

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00{:}02{:}49.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}52.170$ PSA to 8.1 on routine screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00:02:52.170 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.550$ He has diabetes,

00:02:53.550 --> 00:02:54.732 hypertension, hyperlipidemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00{:}02{:}54.732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}57.687$ His father had localized prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00:02:57.687 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.430$ cancer but lived his mid 90s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

00:03:00.430 --> 00:03:02.686 He has a prostate biopsy showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00:03:02.686 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.790$ three corps police in 3 + 3 or grade

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

00:03:05.790 --> 00:03:07.703 Group One prostate cancer and has

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00:03:07.703 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.175$ come to see us for a second opinion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00{:}03{:}10.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}11.724$ Based on standard clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

00:03:11.724 --> 00:03:12.496 risk stratification,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00:03:12.500 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.420$ he falls in this green category.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00{:}03{:}14.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}19.470$ The low risk or very low risk criteria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00:03:19.470 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.950$ So this patient is presented

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00:03:20.950 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.430$ with a few different options.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

00:03:22.430 --> 00:03:24.798 He can have surgery to remove his prostate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00{:}03{:}24.800 \longrightarrow 00{:}03{:}26.284$ and that's what I do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00{:}03{:}26.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}28.210$ He can have radiation treatment

 $00:03:28.210 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.130$ to his prostate or monitoring

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00{:}03{:}30.194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}32.018$ known as active surveillance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00:03:32.020 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.651$ His inclination is to be monitored and

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00:03:33.651 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.458$ not be treated for his prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00:03:35.460 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.614$ He knows people who've had treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00:03:37.614 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.690$ and didn't like what he heard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00:03:39.690 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.878$ So understandably has many

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00:03:40.878 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.066$ questions about his options.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00:03:42.070 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.420$ How risky are the treatments?

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

00:03:43.420 --> 00:03:45.274 How might they affect his quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00:03:45.274 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.912$ of life and particularly his

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

00:03:46.912 --> 00:03:48.276 urinary and sexual function?

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00{:}03{:}48.280 --> 00{:}03{:}49.764$ And what are the risks if he

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00:03:49.764 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.400$ does active surveillance?

NOTE Confidence: 0.929726411818182

 $00:03:50.400 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.400$ Can the cancer spread?

00:03:53.510 --> 00:03:55.220 Our index patient is not alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92475964

 $00{:}03{:}55.220 \to 00{:}03{:}57.326$ Prostate cancer is the most commonly

NOTE Confidence: 0.92475964

00:03:57.326 --> 00:03:59.189 diagnosed non skin cancer in men,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92475964

 $00:03:59.190 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.646$ accounting for nearly 270,000

NOTE Confidence: 0.92475964

 $00:04:01.646 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.790$ diagnosis estimated in 2022.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92475964

 $00:04:04.790 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.226$ And although the incidence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92475964

 $00:04:06.226 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.021$ the ratio of incidents to

NOTE Confidence: 0.92475964

00:04:08.021 --> 00:04:09.469 mortality is heavily skewed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92475964

 $00{:}04{:}09.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}11.468$ prostate cancer is still the second

NOTE Confidence: 0.92475964

00:04:11.468 --> 00:04:13.708 leading cause of cancer death in males,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92475964

 $00{:}04{:}13.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}15.816$ and this finding reflects both the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92475964

00:04:15.816 --> 00:04:17.220 wide heterogeneity of prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.92475964

 $00:04:17.282 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.312$ cancer with some cancers bearing

NOTE Confidence: 0.92475964

 $00{:}04{:}19.312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}20.530$ highly aggressive features,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92475964

 $00:04:20.530 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.280$ while others demonstrate an indolent

NOTE Confidence: 0.92475964

 $00:04:22.280 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.987$ course and may never be capable of

 $00:04:24.987 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.775$ metastasis or regional progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903303

 $00{:}04{:}29.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}31.704$ For patients with low risk prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903303

 $00:04:31.710 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.790$ such as our patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903303

 $00:04:32.790 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.140$ we're fortunate that a vast

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903303

 $00:04:34.140 \longrightarrow 00:04:35.450$ amount of data has matured.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903303

 $00:04:35.450 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.840$ Regarding the safety and long

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903303

 $00:04:37.840 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.752$ term outcomes of surveillance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903303

00:04:39.760 --> 00:04:41.148 And by active surveillance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903303

 $00:04:41.148 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.230$ I'm referring to the careful process

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903303

 $00:04:43.289 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.914$ of monitoring low risk prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903303

 $00{:}04{:}44.914 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}46.980$ cancer with the intention of providing

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903303

 $00{:}04{:}46.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}49.260$ curative local treatment in the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903303

 $00{:}04{:}49.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}51.900$ If progression is identified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903303

00:04:51.900 --> 00:04:53.952 It is the preferred management for

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903303

00:04:53.952 --> 00:04:56.404 very low risk and low risk prostate

 $00:04:56.404 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.645$ cancer by the NCCN and in longitudinal

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903303

 $00{:}04{:}58.645 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}00.880$ studies it is safe with less than 1%

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903303

00:05:00.880 --> 00:05:03.118 risk of mortality within 10 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903303

 $00:05:03.120 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.160$ and it's effective at preserving

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903303

 $00:05:05.160 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.690$ long term quality of life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903303

 $00:05:07.690 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.112$ The monitoring that we refer to commonly

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903303

00:05:10.112 --> 00:05:11.830 involves periodic PSA monitoring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903303

00:05:11.830 --> 00:05:14.990 monitoring, prostate biopsy and imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903303

 $00{:}05{:}14.990 --> 00{:}05{:}17.960$ including prostate MRI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903303

 $00:05:17.960 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.116$ How strong is the data for surveillance

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903303

 $00:05:20.120 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.235$ in this randomized trial published

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903303

 $00:05:22.235 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.593$ in 2016 from the UK of nearly 1500

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903303

00:05:25.593 --> 00:05:28.180 patients randomized to receive surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903303

 $00:05:28.180 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.591$ radiotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903303

00:05:28.591 --> 00:05:30.646 or active monitoring for low

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903303

00:05:30.646 --> 00:05:31.879 risk prostate cancer,

 $00:05:31.880 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.704$ or the 10 year overall survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903303

00:05:33.704 --> 00:05:36.420 is nearly 100% in all groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903303

 $00:05:36.420 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.220$ without significant differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903303

 $00:05:38.220 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.055$ These striking findings cement the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903303

 $00:05:40.055 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.890$ long term safety of surveillance

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903303

 $00:05:41.950 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.540$ and its centrality in efforts

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903303

 $00:05:43.540 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.580$ to push back against decades of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92903303

 $00{:}05{:}45.580 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!\!>}\ 00{:}05{:}47.156$ overtreatment of prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930988486363636

 $00{:}05{:}49.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}50.742$ As part of this work and as part

NOTE Confidence: 0.930988486363636

 $00:05:50.742 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.320$ of this mission, we've undertaken

NOTE Confidence: 0.930988486363636

 $00:05:52.320 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.980$ formative qualitative interviews to

NOTE Confidence: 0.930988486363636

 $00:05:53.980 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.573$ gain insights about the perspectives of

NOTE Confidence: 0.930988486363636

 $00{:}05{:}56.573 \to 00{:}05{:}58.758$ patients diagnosed with prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930988486363636

 $00{:}05{:}58.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}00.200$ We spoke with patients recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.930988486363636

 $00:06:00.200 \dashrightarrow 00:06:02.000$ diagnosed with low risk prostate cancer.

 $00:06:02.000 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.562$ To get a deeper sense about

NOTE Confidence: 0.930988486363636

00:06:04.562 --> 00:06:05.416 their experiences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930988486363636

00:06:05.420 --> 00:06:06.880 And one patient poignantly told

NOTE Confidence: 0.930988486363636

 $00:06:06.880 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.759$ us it was very emotional for me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930988486363636

 $00:06:08.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.216$ My first doctor told me that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.930988486363636

 $00{:}06{:}10.216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}11.870$ needed to have surgery or radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930988486363636

 $00:06:11.870 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.600$ just very matter of fact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.930988486363636

 $00:06:13.600 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.896$ After I heard the word cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.930988486363636

 $00:06:14.900 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.776 \text{ I didn't know what to say.}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.930988486363636

 $00:06:15.780 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.568$ I just went blank.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870210902

 $00:06:18.610 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.870$ And another patient encapsulated it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870210902

00:06:20.870 --> 00:06:22.820 Quite simply, I wanted to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.870210902

 $00:06:22.820 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.678$ the reasons behind why my cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.870210902

 $00{:}06{:}24.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}26.166$ was low risk or high risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870210902

 $00:06:26.170 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.098$ and why active surveillance

NOTE Confidence: 0.870210902

 $00:06:27.098 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.258$ could be reasonable for me.

 $00:06:30.440 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.036$ So when faced with a diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314563725

00:06:32.036 --> 00:06:34.199 that many of us consider indolent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314563725

00:06:34.200 --> 00:06:35.825 patients frequently feel that their

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314563725

 $00:06:35.825 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.874$ life has been upended and sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314563725

 $00:06:37.874 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.064$ don't feel supported by their doctors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314563725

00:06:40.070 --> 00:06:41.003 In any circumstance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314563725

00:06:41.003 --> 00:06:42.869 the word cancer evokes very strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314563725

 $00:06:42.869 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.917$ and intense emotions and clinicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314563725

 $00:06:44.920 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.228$ including us, are very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314563725

 $00:06:47.228 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.536$ frequently unaware or unprepared.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314563725

 $00{:}06{:}49.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}50.224$ And most notably,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314563725

 $00:06:50.224 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.820$ many of our patients want to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314563725

00:06:51.868 --> 00:06:53.378 well informed about their cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314563725

 $00:06:53.378 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.888$ diagnosis and management to feel

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314563725

 $00:06:54.940 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.360$ agency in their decision making

 $00:06:56.360 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.780$ and assess their choices from

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314563725

 $00:06:57.780 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.860$ a variety of vantage points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314563725

 $00:06:59.860 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.358$ And it's this last point that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314563725

00:07:01.358 --> 00:07:02.738 really want to focus on today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314563725

 $00:07:02.740 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.356$ particularly the emergence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314563725

 $00:07:04.356 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.972$ precision diagnostic tools that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314563725

 $00:07:05.972 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.624$ seek to deliver on the goal of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314563725

00:07:08.624 --> 00:07:10.052 enhanced risk stratification and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314563725

 $00{:}07{:}10.052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}12.192$ begin to unpack how their news is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314563725

 $00:07:12.192 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.910$ is delivering on this promise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

 $00{:}07{:}16.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}19.106$ So although we commonly distill prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

 $00{:}07{:}19.106 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}21.380$ cancer into clinical risk groupings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

 $00:07:21.380 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.684$ the disease is in fact quite varied both in

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

 $00:07:23.684 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.816$ terms of its biology and clinical course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

 $00{:}07{:}25.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}27.468$ and I want to take a few minutes

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

00:07:27.468 --> 00:07:29.422 to also explain why A1 size fits

 $00:07:29.422 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.912$ all approach for prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

 $00{:}07{:}30.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}33.559$ Even low risk prostate cancer may still

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

 $00:07:33.559 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.890$ be too inflexible and not optimally

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

 $00:07:35.890 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.152$ meet the needs of our patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

00:07:38.152 --> 00:07:40.119 enrolled in active surveillance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

 $00:07:40.120 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.814$ So I showed you earlier at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

00:07:41.814 --> 00:07:43.459 excellent data from the PROTECT study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

 $00:07:43.460 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.620$ which randomized patients to observation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

 $00:07:45.620 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.922$ radiation or monitoring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

 $00:07:46.922 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.518$ I'm sorry, observation, radiation or surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

00:07:49.518 --> 00:07:52.230 In this study, patients did not

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

00:07:52.230 --> 00:07:53.640 receive intensive monitoring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

 $00{:}07{:}53.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}55.644$ but rather we only followed at

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

 $00:07:55.644 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.380$ arms length with PSA monitoring

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

00:07:57.380 --> 00:07:59.718 and only had further work up if

00:07:59.718 --> 00:08:01.629 they had overt progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

 $00{:}08{:}01.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}02.780$ This is pretty different from

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

 $00:08:02.780 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.930$ how we do things today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

 $00:08:03.930 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.530$ There is no MRI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

 $00:08:05.530 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.530$ There were no men mandated

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

 $00:08:07.530 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.650$ confirmatory biopsies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

 $00{:}08{:}08.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}10.115$ and although the overall survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

00:08:10.115 --> 00:08:11.910 at 10 years was quite good,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

 $00:08:11.910 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.914$ there were beginning to see significantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

00:08:13.914 --> 00:08:16.116 higher risks of local progression and

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

 $00{:}08{:}16.116 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}18.126$ metastatic progression in this group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

 $00:08:18.130 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.560$ likely due to misclassification and

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

 $00:08:20.560 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.990$ therefore this data highlights the

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

00:08:23.061 --> 00:08:25.036 extent to which active monitoring

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

 $00:08:25.036 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.011$ must in fact be active.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

 $00{:}08{:}27.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}29.252$ But just how good are are we at

 $00{:}08{:}29.252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}31.180$ predicting boost disease is going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

 $00:08:31.180 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.136$ progress overtime and whose will not

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

 $00{:}08{:}33.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}35.492$ our best clinical models based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

00:08:35.492 --> 00:08:38.057 PSA Gleason score and stage actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

 $00:08:38.057 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.372$ performed quite model only modestly

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

 $00:08:40.372 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.575$ with C indices ranging from .52 to 0.7?

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

 $00:08:43.575 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.345$ So we're really not meeting the

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

 $00{:}08{:}45.345 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}47.368$ mark yet and has significant ground

NOTE Confidence: 0.931398748181818

 $00:08:47.368 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.650$ to cover in guiding our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880488586363636

 $00:08:52.070 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.402$ The questions that we want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.880488586363636

 $00:08:53.402 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.770$ know are actually very practical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880488586363636

00:08:54.770 --> 00:08:57.283 For example, how likely is a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.880488586363636

 $00{:}08{:}57.283 \rightarrow 00{:}08{:}59.450$ cancer to spread if not treated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.880488586363636

 $00:08:59.450 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.910$ how often will monitoring be needed

NOTE Confidence: 0.880488586363636

 $00:09:01.910 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.648$ and can treatment be given in time?

 $00:09:04.650 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.568$ Due to a very high prevalence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.880488586363636

 $00{:}09{:}06.568 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}09{:}08.183$ prostate cancer and it's desperate

NOTE Confidence: 0.880488586363636

 $00:09:08.183 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.659$ and it's decisional burden,

NOTE Confidence: 0.880488586363636

 $00:09:09.660 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.870$ there's perhaps equally important need

NOTE Confidence: 0.880488586363636

 $00:09:11.870 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.080$ to present this information coherently

NOTE Confidence: 0.880488586363636

 $00:09:14.144 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.433$ to our patients and enable them to

NOTE Confidence: 0.880488586363636

 $00:09:16.433 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.426$ make optimal decisions and also live

NOTE Confidence: 0.880488586363636

 $00{:}09{:}18.426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}20.322$ for years with their diagnosis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.880488586363636

 $00{:}09{:}20.322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}22.008$ manage the associated uncertainty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892628519615385

 $00:09:24.720 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.796$ Several new tests have been developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.892628519615385

 $00{:}09{:}26.796 \to 00{:}09{:}29.142$ and are now commercially integrated to

NOTE Confidence: 0.892628519615385

 $00:09:29.142 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.402$ improve prognostication for patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.892628519615385

 $00:09:31.402 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.253$ localized prostate cancer considering

NOTE Confidence: 0.892628519615385

 $00:09:33.253 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.438$ or enrolled on active surveillance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892628519615385

 $00:09:35.440 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.360$ These tests are all biopsy based.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892628519615385

 $00{:}09{:}37.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}39.495$ M RNA expression signatures that

00:09:39.495 --> 00:09:41.203 measure genes highly associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.892628519615385

00:09:41.203 --> 00:09:43.238 with prostate cancer outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892628519615385

 $00:09:43.240 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.280$ The decipher genomic classifier

NOTE Confidence: 0.892628519615385

 $00:09:45.280 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.820$ generates a score ranging from zero to

NOTE Confidence: 0.892628519615385

 $00:09:48.820 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.620$ 1 from microarray analysis of 22 genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892628519615385

00:09:51.620 --> 00:09:53.924 The uncle Type DX test measures

NOTE Confidence: 0.892628519615385

 $00:09:53.924 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.733$ the expression level of 12 genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.892628519615385

 $00:09:56.733 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.533$ reflecting androgen signaling

NOTE Confidence: 0.892628519615385

 $00:09:58.533 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.333$ cellular organization proliferation

NOTE Confidence: 0.892628519615385

 $00:10:00.333 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.870$ and stromal response pathways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892628519615385

 $00:10:02.870 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.397$ And lastly, the Polaris signature is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.892628519615385

 $00{:}10{:}05.397 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}07.254$ cell cycle progression score calculated

NOTE Confidence: 0.892628519615385

 $00{:}10{:}07.254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}10.094$ based on the expression levels of 31 genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892628519615385

 $00:10:10.100 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.110$ Each of these tests yields discrete

NOTE Confidence: 0.892628519615385

00:10:12.110 --> 00:10:13.450 predictions about cancer risk,

 $00:10:13.450 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.258$ including recommendations

NOTE Confidence: 0.892628519615385

 $00{:}10{:}14.258 \to 00{:}10{:}15.470$ for clinical management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891811376

00:10:18.990 --> 00:10:21.468 So all of these tests are independently

NOTE Confidence: 0.891811376

 $00:10:21.468 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.499$ provide prognostic value compared to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.891811376

00:10:23.499 --> 00:10:25.627 standard of care variables such as PSA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891811376

00:10:25.630 --> 00:10:28.480 Gleason, score, and clinical stage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891811376

 $00{:}10{:}28.480 \to 00{:}10{:}30.608$ The disciple classifier is now the best

NOTE Confidence: 0.891811376

 $00:10:30.608 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.004$ studied and has been validated as both

NOTE Confidence: 0.891811376

 $00:10:33.004 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.784$ a prognostic and predictive marker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891811376

00:10:34.790 --> 00:10:37.010 In one retrospective study where

NOTE Confidence: 0.891811376

 $00{:}10{:}37.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}39.230$ the decipher scores were calculated

NOTE Confidence: 0.891811376

00:10:39.299 --> 00:10:41.389 based on archival FFP specimens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891811376

 $00:10:41.390 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.290$ patients in the highest group

NOTE Confidence: 0.891811376

00:10:43.290 --> 00:10:45.190 faced substantially greater risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.891811376

 $00:10:45.256 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.540$ metastatic progression after treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891811376

00:10:47.540 --> 00:10:49.532 However, a key point is that each of

 $00:10:49.532 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.398$ these tests have been studied only

NOTE Confidence: 0.891811376

 $00:10:51.398 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.033$ in retrospective cohorts of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.891811376

 $00{:}10{:}53.033 \rightarrow 00{:}10{:}54.929$ who have previously been treated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891811376

 $00:10:54.930 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.445$ and comparatively little is known

NOTE Confidence: 0.891811376

00:10:56.445 --> 00:10:58.355 about their real-world use or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.891811376

 $00:10:58.355 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.080$ decisions that arise following testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90124940125

 $00:11:02.580 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.200$ The other major advancement

NOTE Confidence: 0.90124940125

 $00:11:04.200 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.820$ has been prostate MRI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90124940125

 $00:11:05.820 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.660$ something that Yale is truly a leader in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90124940125

 $00:11:08.660 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.678$ So high resolution prostate MRI affords

NOTE Confidence: 0.90124940125

00:11:11.678 --> 00:11:14.247 reliable identification of prostate cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.90124940125

 $00:11:14.247 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.175$ and facilitates directed or fusion biopsies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90124940125

00:11:17.180 --> 00:11:21.218 It also substantially improves local staging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90124940125

 $00:11:21.220 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.280$ And it's now the standard

NOTE Confidence: 0.90124940125

 $00:11:22.280 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.340$ of care in many countries,

 $00:11:23.340 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.920$ including the in the UK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90124940125

 $00:11:24.920 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.516$ where it's performed almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.90124940125

 $00:11:26.516 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.511$ universally in patients with known

NOTE Confidence: 0.90124940125

00:11:28.511 --> 00:11:30.569 or suspected prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90124940125

00:11:30.570 --> 00:11:32.302 And actually, at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90124940125

00:11:32.302 --> 00:11:34.900 in undertaking in the majority of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90124940125

 $00:11:34.981 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.686$ patients in our diagnostic process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90124940125

00:11:37.690 --> 00:11:40.007 In one randomized trial of 500 patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90124940125

 $00:11:40.010 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.386$ MRI led to increased detection of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90124940125

00:11:42.386 --> 00:11:43.970 clinically significant prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90124940125

 $00:11:43.970 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.560$ and in fact, and actually less

NOTE Confidence: 0.90124940125

 $00:11:45.560 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.030$ detection of low grade cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90124940125

 $00{:}11{:}47.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}48.948$ So here's the breakdown that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.90124940125

 $00:11:48.948 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.098$ see in this chart over here that

NOTE Confidence: 0.90124940125

00:11:51.098 --> 00:11:52.988 the majority of patients who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.90124940125

 $00{:}11{:}53.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}55.717$ a high suspicion lesion on MRI are

00:11:55.717 --> 00:11:57.912 found to have clinically clinically

NOTE Confidence: 0.90124940125

 $00{:}11{:}57.912 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}00.160$ significant or high grade cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90124940125

00:12:00.160 --> 00:12:01.825 Versus quite low on patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.90124940125

 $00:12:01.825 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.490$ who have a lower suspicion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92813383

 $00:12:07.370 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.898$ So based on improvements

NOTE Confidence: 0.92813383

00:12:08.898 --> 00:12:10.044 in diagnostic accuracy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92813383

 $00:12:10.050 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.745$ it's been assumed that the routine use

NOTE Confidence: 0.92813383

00:12:12.745 --> 00:12:15.689 of prostate MRI will also enhance the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92813383

 $00:12:15.689 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.257$ use and safety of active surveillance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92813383

 $00:12:18.260 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.129$ So in light of a major shift

NOTE Confidence: 0.92813383

 $00:12:20.129 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.400$ in the acceptance uptake,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92813383

 $00{:}12{:}21.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}23.038$ there is a pressing need to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.92813383

 $00:12:23.038 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.740$ how these two new forms of testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92813383

 $00:12:24.740 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.300$ genomic testing and prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.92813383

 $00{:}12{:}26.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}28.250$ MRI have impacted its practice.

 $00:12:30.710 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.852$ Use of active surveillance has increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.925548484545455

 $00:12:32.852 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.690$ significantly within the past decade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925548484545455

 $00:12:34.690 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.522$ Between 2010 and 2015,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925548484545455

 $00:12:36.522 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.873$ data from SEER indicates that the rates

NOTE Confidence: 0.925548484545455

 $00:12:39.873 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.530$ have increased from 14.5 percent 2010 to

NOTE Confidence: 0.925548484545455

00:12:44.530 --> 00:12:48.628 42.1% in 2015 among low risk patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925548484545455

00:12:48.630 --> 00:12:51.216 But it's also worth noting how

NOTE Confidence: 0.925548484545455

00:12:51.216 --> 00:12:52.940 substantially practice patterns differ

NOTE Confidence: 0.925548484545455

 $00:12:53.003 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.606$ for prostate cancer by geography in

NOTE Confidence: 0.925548484545455

00:12:55.606 --> 00:12:57.966 this elegant study recently published,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925548484545455

 $00{:}12{:}57.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}59.650$ the authors contrasted changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.925548484545455

00:12:59.650 --> 00:13:01.330 in active surveillance use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925548484545455

 $00:13:01.330 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.666$ which are these yellow bars on the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.925548484545455

 $00:13:03.666 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.725$ by sea region, and so Connecticut.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925548484545455

00:13:05.725 --> 00:13:07.025 We're doing quite well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925548484545455

 $00:13:07.030 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.046$ but we really see how market the

 $00:13:09.046 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.160$ differences are between, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925548484545455

 $00:13:11.160 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.660$ Connecticut and Greater Georgia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925548484545455

 $00:13:13.660 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.474$ Showing that although changes appear to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925548484545455 00:13:16.480 --> 00:13:16.815 Continuing, NOTE Confidence: 0.925548484545455

00:13:16.815 --> 00:13:18.825 there's also a really a substantial

NOTE Confidence: 0.925548484545455

 $00:13:18.825 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.830$ amount of heterogeneity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927587596956522

 $00:13:22.530 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.672$ So it's within this context that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.927587596956522

 $00{:}13{:}24.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}27.138$ aim to evaluate the uptake of risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.927587596956522

 $00:13:27.138 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.998$ assessment tools with a particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.927587596956522

 $00:13:28.998 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.050$ emphasis on regional considerations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927587596956522

 $00:13:31.050 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.898$ and in this analysis we focus

NOTE Confidence: 0.927587596956522

00:13:32.898 --> 00:13:34.130 on hospital referral regions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927587596956522

 $00{:}13{:}34.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}35.990$ which are Regional Health care markets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927587596956522

 $00:13:35.990 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.000$ Patricia Re medical care that

NOTE Confidence: 0.927587596956522

 $00:13:38.000 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.010$ have previously been defined and

 $00:13:40.075 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.707$ used to characterize variation

NOTE Confidence: 0.927587596956522

 $00{:}13{:}41.707 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}44.155$ in the intensity of health care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927587596956522

 $00:13:44.160 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.954$ So we first sought to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.927587596956522

 $00:13:45.954 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.150$ the use of prostate MRI and using

NOTE Confidence: 0.927587596956522

 $00:13:48.150 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.305$ Deidentified administrative claims

NOTE Confidence: 0.927587596956522

00:13:49.305 --> 00:13:51.230 from Blue Cross Blue Shield.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927587596956522

 $00:13:51.230 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.726$ We characterize the use of prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.927587596956522

00:13:53.726 --> 00:13:55.953 MRI among beneficiaries who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.927587596956522

 $00:13:55.953 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.428$ recently diagnosed with prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927587596956522

 $00:13:58.430 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.237$ And we found that overall use of

NOTE Confidence: 0.927587596956522

 $00{:}14{:}01.237 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}02.790$ prostate cancer increased from

NOTE Confidence: 0.927587596956522

 $00:14:02.790 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.070$ 7.2% among patients diagnosed in

NOTE Confidence: 0.927587596956522

 $00:14:06.070 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.307$ 2012 to 16.7% in 2018 and 2019.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927587596956522 00:14:12.310 --> 00:14:12.702 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.927587596956522

 $00:14:12.702 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.054$ it's clear that the vast variation

NOTE Confidence: 0.927587596956522

 $00:14:15.054 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.121$ by region continues to be a

00:14:17.121 --> 00:14:18.687 dominant theme in certain areas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927587596956522

 $00{:}14{:}18.687 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}21.060$ such as the Northeast and HRR in

NOTE Confidence: 0.927587596956522

00:14:21.131 --> 00:14:23.369 Connecticut are high users of Mr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927587596956522

00:14:23.370 --> 00:14:26.730 As our parts of the Mid Atlantic where,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927587596956522

 $00:14:26.730 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.400$ whereas others show minimal use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

00:14:31.790 --> 00:14:33.800 And genomic testing presents an

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00:14:33.800 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.006$ interesting distinction because,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

00:14:35.010 --> 00:14:36.314 in contrast to MRI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00:14:36.314 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.270$ which has been available for years

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

00:14:38.337 --> 00:14:40.027 but only rose in popularity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00{:}14{:}40.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}42.430$ slowly genomic testing has become approved

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00:14:42.430 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.974$ and reimbursed by payers at roughly all

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00:14:44.974 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.229$ at the same time beginning in 2013 and 2014.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00:14:49.230 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.186$ Another consideration is that testing is

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

00:14:51.186 --> 00:14:53.200 also performed at remote laboratories,

 $00:14:53.200 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.072$ so complex local infrastructure

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00{:}14{:}55.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}56.944$ is generally not needed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00:14:56.950 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.996$ And these tests are very much

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00:14:58.996 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.360$ discretionary at the discretion

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00:15:00.415 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.257$ of the position of the physician.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

00:15:02.260 --> 00:15:04.416 So to answer the question about uptake,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00:15:04.420 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.520$ we evaluated trends and testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00:15:06.520 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.620$ at the HRR level again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00:15:08.620 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.440$ In addition to evaluating the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

00:15:10.440 --> 00:15:11.896 presence of regional variation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00:15:11.900 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.260$ we sought to also understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00:15:14.260 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.676$ similarities among regions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00:15:15.680 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.128$ and we use something called group

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00{:}15{:}18.128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}20.250$ based trajectory modeling perform of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

00:15:20.250 --> 00:15:22.355 finite mixture modeling to identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00:15:22.355 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.039$ shared phenotypes of adoption.

 $00:15:24.040 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.479$ So to just to say it's simply the big

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00:15:26.479 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.167$ picture goal here is to understand how

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00:15:29.167 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.207$ regional patterns cluster together and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00:15:31.207 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.847$ help understand what characteristics

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

00:15:32.847 --> 00:15:34.897 they might share in common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

00:15:34.900 --> 00:15:36.034 Using this approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00:15:36.034 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.924$ we uncovered 5 distinct regional

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00:15:37.924 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.270$ clusters of adoption.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00:15:39.270 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.881$ We can think of these as the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00:15:41.881 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.000$ rapid adopters red.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00:15:43.000 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.104$ Be slow or minimal adopters in the bottom

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00:15:46.104 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.385$ and those that sort of land in the middle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00:15:49.390 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.405$ Clusters of regions with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00{:}15{:}51.405 {\: --> \:} 00{:}15{:}53.017$ largest expansion of genomic

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00:15:53.017 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.123$ testing had hired median incomes

 $00:15:55.123 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.763$ and higher education levels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00:15:56.770 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.325$ and we did not notably find any

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

00:15:59.325 --> 00:16:00.928 significant differences by race

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

00:16:00.928 --> 00:16:02.904 provider density or historical

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00:16:02.904 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.639$ use of surgery or radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00:16:05.640 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.554$ And these findings are important

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00:16:07.554 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.571$ because they provide the first indication

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

00:16:09.571 --> 00:16:11.635 of the extent to which discretionary

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

00:16:11.635 --> 00:16:13.120 testing varies geographically and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00:16:13.120 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.600$ also proposes shared conditions

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00{:}16{:}14.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}16.908$ that may be associated with testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00{:}16{:}16.908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}18.978$ and from a practical perspective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00:16:18.980 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.190$ this work also reveals potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00{:}16{:}20.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}21.880$ gaps in how we are applying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00:16:21.880 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.696$ Testing and get can give us a better

NOTE Confidence: 0.83584552125

 $00{:}16{:}23.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}25.780$ sense of the need for consistency in

 $00{:}16{:}25.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}27.350$ our guidelines and care practices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951970950714286

 $00:16:30.390 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.900$ So understanding that the clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.951970950714286

00:16:31.900 --> 00:16:33.108 landscape is changing with

NOTE Confidence: 0.951970950714286

 $00:16:33.108 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.618$ the integration of new tools,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951970950714286

 $00:16:34.620 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.654$ we also wanted to understand the

NOTE Confidence: 0.951970950714286

 $00:16:36.654 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.926$ relation of taste testing to actual

NOTE Confidence: 0.951970950714286

 $00:16:38.926 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.056$ clinical management received by patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951970950714286

00:16:41.060 --> 00:16:42.845 But doing this experimentally is

NOTE Confidence: 0.951970950714286

 $00:16:42.845 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.630$ actually is difficult in observation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951970950714286

00:16:44.630 --> 00:16:46.766 ULL data, given the absence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.951970950714286

00:16:46.766 --> 00:16:48.190 granular clinical information and

NOTE Confidence: 0.951970950714286

 $00:16:48.253 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.869$ the absence of randomization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951970950714286

 $00{:}16{:}49.870 \longrightarrow 00{:}16{:}52.022$ a common theme in this work is seeking

NOTE Confidence: 0.951970950714286

 $00:16:52.022 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.049$ therefore to understand and account for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951970950714286

 $00:16:54.050 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.054$ These unmeasured bias is associated with

 $00:16:56.054 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.826$ who gets a test and doesn't get a test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951970950714286

 $00{:}16{:}58.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}00.630$ And this investigation may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.951970950714286

 $00:17:00.630 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.430$ increasingly valuable given the number

NOTE Confidence: 0.951970950714286

00:17:02.488 --> 00:17:04.450 of auxiliary services in cancer care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951970950714286

00:17:04.450 --> 00:17:06.988 including many like MRI and genomics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951970950714286

00:17:06.990 --> 00:17:10.252 whose clinical efficacy has not and may

NOTE Confidence: 0.951970950714286

 $00:17:10.252 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.507$ never be evaluated in a randomized trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951970950714286

00:17:13.510 --> 00:17:15.148 So we first sought to address

NOTE Confidence: 0.951970950714286

 $00{:}17{:}15.148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}16.690$ this question of the association

NOTE Confidence: 0.951970950714286

 $00:17:16.690 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.048$ between prostate MRI use and initial

NOTE Confidence: 0.951970950714286

 $00{:}17{:}19.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}20.670$ management for prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951970950714286

 $00:17:20.670 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.464$ Answer Medicare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951970950714286

 $00:17:21.464 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.449$ After identifying a cohort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.951970950714286

 $00:17:23.449 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.580$ patients with low risk prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.951970950714286

 $00:17:25.580 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.320$ cancer by clinical criteria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951970950714286

 $00:17:27.320 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.290$ we examine the association between

 $00:17:29.290 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.291$ receipt of a prostate MRI and initial

NOTE Confidence: 0.951970950714286

 $00:17:32.291 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.215$ observation for prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951970950714286

00:17:34.220 --> 00:17:36.760 And assess the association using

NOTE Confidence: 0.951970950714286

 $00:17:36.760 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.284$ conventional logistic regression

NOTE Confidence: 0.951970950714286

 $00:17:38.284 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.319$ and propensity score matching.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951970950714286

 $00:17:40.320 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.661$ In these analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951970950714286

 $00:17:41.661 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.343$ we consistently found a strong association

NOTE Confidence: 0.951970950714286

 $00{:}17{:}44.343 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}46.442$ between MRI use and and observation

NOTE Confidence: 0.951970950714286

 $00{:}17{:}46.442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}48.809$ with an odds ratio of nearly two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

 $00{:}17{:}52.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}53.955$ Taking advantage of the substantial

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

 $00:17:53.955 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.860$ of the substantial regional variation

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

00:17:55.919 --> 00:17:57.539 that we saw in earlier studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

 $00{:}17{:}57.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}00.263$ we wanted to study whether a region's

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

 $00:18:00.263 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.786$ adoption of prostate MRI genomic testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

 $00{:}18{:}02.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}05.360$ was also associated with changes in

 $00:18:05.360 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.940$ clinical management for prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

 $00{:}18{:}07.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}10.405$ To do this, we identified over $65{,}000$

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

00:18:10.405 --> 00:18:12.230 patients with prostate cancer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

 $00:18:12.230 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.497$ Blue Cross Blue Shield and assess

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

 $00:18:14.497 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.352$ both individual and regional adoption

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

00:18:16.352 --> 00:18:18.690 of prostate MRI and genomic testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

 $00:18:18.690 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.245$ And we sought to test the hypothesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

 $00:18:21.245 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.460$ that regions with high levels of

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

00:18:23.460 --> 00:18:26.476 uptake of MRI and genomic testing had

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

 $00:18:26.476 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.656$ greater changes favoring observation

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

 $00{:}18{:}28.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}31.400$ versus treatment for prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

 $00:18:31.400 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.591$ And what we found was that those

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

 $00:18:33.591 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.745$ eight hours in the highest quartile

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

00:18:35.745 --> 00:18:37.269 of adoption of MRI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

 $00:18:37.270 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.468$ or associated with a four point 1%

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

 $00:18:39.470 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.370$ increase in observation versus treatment

 $00:18:42.370 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.128$ and those in the highest quartile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

 $00{:}18{:}44.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}45.714$ Genomic testing were associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

 $00:18:45.714 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.070$ with a 2.5% adjusted increase in

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

 $00{:}18{:}49.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}51.630$ observation versus definitive treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

 $00{:}18{:}51.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}53.295$ So the way I think to look at this

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

 $00:18:53.295 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.930$ is that these findings suggest

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

 $00:18:54.930 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.330$ alignment between a regions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

 $00{:}18{:}56.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}58.400$ Use of a new risk stratification

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

 $00{:}18{:}58.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}00.264$ technique occurring at the extremes

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

 $00:19:00.264 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.948$ and changes in observation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

 $00:19:01.950 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.854$ ULL management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

00:19:02.854 --> 00:19:03.306 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

 $00{:}19{:}03.306 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}05.566$ owing to the limitations of

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

 $00:19:05.566 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.400$ this ecological study design,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

 $00:19:07.400 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.488$ we're very careful not to directly

00:19:09.488 --> 00:19:11.380 extrapolate these to patient effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

 $00{:}19{:}11.380 \to 00{:}19{:}13.543$ but I think the consistency of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

 $00:19:13.543 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.849$ associations and the practical

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

 $00:19:14.849 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.769$ observation that there seems to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

 $00:19:16.769 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.781$ a certain type or inclination of

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

00:19:18.781 --> 00:19:20.767 institutions or providers who are much

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

00:19:20.767 --> 00:19:22.936 more invested in the idea of surveillance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909013288125

 $00:19:22.940 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.028$ suggests that these two may go hand in hand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532116

 $00:19:28.920 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.992$ Another major focus of our work has

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532116

 $00:19:30.992 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.090$ been to understand the experiences that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532116

 $00:19:33.090 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.010$ patients with prostate cancer have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532116

 $00:19:35.010 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.800$ When using these patient facing tools.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532116

 $00:19:37.800 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.155$ Through in-depth interviews, we've also

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532116

 $00{:}19{:}40.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}42.510$ specifically focused on this point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532116

 $00:19:42.510 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.645$ And would speak and when

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532116

00:19:43.645 --> 00:19:44.326 speaking with patients,

 $00:19:44.330 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.586$ the responses are really quite humbling

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532116

 $00:19:46.586 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.990$ and often clarifying in their insight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532116

00:19:48.990 --> 00:19:50.436 Patients say, often say things like

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532116

 $00:19:50.436 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.310$ the more data you can get the better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532116

00:19:52.310 --> 00:19:53.890 especially if it's noninvasive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532116

 $00:19:53.890 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.260$ like an MRI or genomic test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532116

 $00:19:56.260 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.700$ But they also expressed uncertainty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532116

00:19:57.700 --> 00:20:00.420 I wasn't really sure about the genetic thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532116

 $00:20:00.420 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.764$ and we also hear very frank answers about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532116

 $00:20:02.764 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.437$ the experiences of going through it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532116

 $00:20:04.440 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.560$ The MRI was loud and I couldn't breathe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532116

 $00{:}20{:}06.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}08.904$ No one told me about it and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532116

 $00{:}20{:}08.904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}10.549$ wish I knew before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532116

 $00:20:10.550 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.982$ So many patients seem to express this sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532116

 $00:20:12.982 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.436$ of maximalist approach when it comes to

 $00:20:15.436 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.226$ information about their prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532116

00:20:17.230 --> 00:20:17.450 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532116

 $00:20:17.450 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.430$ we also have to realize that in the quest

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532116

 $00:20:19.476 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.366$ to deliver as much information as possible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532116

 $00:20:21.370 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.050$ we often fall short,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532116

 $00:20:23.050 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.150$ especially when it comes to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532116

 $00:20:25.150 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.069$ explaining complex predictions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532116

00:20:27.070 --> 00:20:28.638 Iterative testing is also

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532116

 $00:20:28.638 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.814$ not without downsides,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532116

 $00:20:29.820 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.398$ as even small low risk procedures

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532116

 $00{:}20{:}31.398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}33.058$ can be challenging for patients over

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532116

 $00:20:33.058 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.714$ the long course of their disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00:20:36.760 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.938$ And lastly, as we make strides in the science

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00:20:38.938 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.157$ and clinical implementation of these tools,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

00:20:41.160 --> 00:20:43.772 it's also vital to ask, are we ensuring

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00:20:43.772 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.196$ that access to testing is equitable?

00:20:46.200 --> 00:20:49.020 Or are we perhaps widening gulfs?

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00:20:49.020 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.926$ This is particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

00:20:49.926 --> 00:20:51.134 relevant in prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00:20:51.140 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.940$ where there are entrenched racial

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00:20:52.940 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.020$ disparities in diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00:20:54.020 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.920$ treatment, and outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

00:20:54.920 --> 00:20:56.720 Black men with prostate cancer in

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

00:20:56.720 --> 00:20:58.524 the United States are more likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00:20:58.524 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.358$ to be diagnosed with prostate cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

00:21:00.358 --> 00:21:01.998 less likely to receive guideline,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00:21:02.000 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.632$ concordant care and experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00:21:03.632 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.080$ A nearly two fold greater risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

00:21:06.152 --> 00:21:07.800 of prostate cancer death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00{:}21{:}07.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}09.835$ One mechanism through which differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

00:21:09.835 --> 00:21:12.334 in outcome might occur is less

 $00:21:12.334 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.704$ access and less use of diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

00:21:14.704 --> 00:21:16.864 technologies involved in the timely

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00:21:16.864 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.144$ detection of potentially lethal cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

00:21:19.150 --> 00:21:20.714 In our earliest work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

00:21:20.714 --> 00:21:22.278 we identified substantially lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

00:21:22.278 --> 00:21:23.949 use of prostate MRI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00:21:23.950 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.670$ even adjusting for clinical characteristics

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00:21:26.670 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.390$ among black versus white patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00{:}21{:}29.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}32.270$ 38% lower odds of prostate MRI

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00:21:32.270 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.318$ use in in patients with low

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00{:}21{:}35.318 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}37.176$ risk prostate cancer and although

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00:21:37.176 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.468$ there are stark disparities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00:21:38.470 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.130$ there are also very market

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00{:}21{:}40.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}41.126$ differences by region.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

00:21:41.130 --> 00:21:42.288 So, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

00:21:42.288 --> 00:21:44.604 in the Los Angeles City Registry,

00:21:44.610 --> 00:21:47.418 15% of patients of black patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

00:21:47.418 --> 00:21:49.686 with prostate cancer received an

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00{:}21{:}49.686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}51.794$ MRI versus 28% of white patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00:21:51.794 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.910$ We do see also disparities in Connecticut.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

00:21:54.910 --> 00:21:57.269 But this is contrasted by some regions

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00:21:57.269 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.554$ where things are relatively equal and

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

00:21:59.554 --> 00:22:01.966 Atlanta rates were at approximately 9%

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00:22:01.970 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.936$ for black patients and white patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00{:}22{:}05.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}07.510$ So despite a growing recognition

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00{:}22{:}07.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}09.080$ of the existence and pervasiveness

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00:22:09.134 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.118$ of these disparities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00:22:10.120 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.836$ little is known about the root causes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00{:}22{:}12.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}15.484$ And recently we aim to under to

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00:22:15.484 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.304$ identify factors that might underlie

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00:22:17.304 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.828$ this disparity in the use of prostate

00:22:19.828 --> 00:22:21.832 MRI using a technique known as

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00{:}22{:}21.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}23.569$ mediation analysis to breakdown the

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00:22:23.569 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.985$ total effect of a patient race on

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00:22:25.985 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.415$ their likelihood of receiving an MRI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00:22:28.420 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.130$ And essentially what we're trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00:22:30.130 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.227$ do is explain where does this 38%

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00:22:32.227 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.356$ difference come from, and to do this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00:22:35.360 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.212$ we proposed a model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00{:}22{:}37.212 \to 00{:}22{:}39.527$ Through which the observed disparity

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00:22:39.527 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.143$ may be explained by clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00:22:42.143 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.422$ mediators candidate mediators.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00:22:43.422 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.708$ In this sort of exist as

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

00:22:45.708 --> 00:22:46.470 intervening variables.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00:22:46.470 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.864$ Those might be explained by clinical factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

00:22:48.870 --> 00:22:50.619 socioeconomic status, geography,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907213545333333

 $00:22:50.619 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.368$ and structural racism.

00:22:57.330 --> 00:22:59.470 Using multiple additive regression trees,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900814584

 $00{:}22{:}59.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}02.207$ a tool of for predictive data mining,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900814584

 $00:23:02.210 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.610$ we perform mediation analysis to

NOTE Confidence: 0.900814584

 $00:23:04.610 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.530$ decompose these known disparities

NOTE Confidence: 0.900814584

 $00:23:06.530 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.910$ into their potential components.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900814584

00:23:08.910 --> 00:23:10.086 Using this approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900814584

 $00:23:10.086 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.046$ we estimated that variation in

NOTE Confidence: 0.900814584

 $00:23:12.046 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.231$ region accounted for 24% of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.900814584

 $00:23:14.231 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.166$ of the observed affective race,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900814584

 $00:23:16.170 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.318$ 19% to residential segregation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900814584

00:23:18.318 --> 00:23:21.003 a manifestation of structural racism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900814584

00:23:21.010 --> 00:23:22.962 19% to socioeconomic status.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900814584

00:23:22.962 --> 00:23:25.311 And 11% to dual eligibility.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900814584

 $00:23:25.311 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.510$ A marker for low income or disability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900814584

 $00:23:28.510 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.658$ And to our knowledge,

 $00:23:29.658 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.380$ these with the first analysis to

NOTE Confidence: 0.900814584

 $00:23:31.441 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.623$ propose upstream contributors

NOTE Confidence: 0.900814584

00:23:32.623 --> 00:23:34.593 to inequalities in access to

NOTE Confidence: 0.900814584

00:23:34.593 --> 00:23:36.130 prostate cancer technologies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900814584

 $00:23:36.130 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.840$ and we're hopeful that these results

NOTE Confidence: 0.900814584

00:23:37.840 --> 00:23:39.618 can help inform multi level efforts

NOTE Confidence: 0.900814584

 $00:23:39.618 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.382$ to improve equitable access and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.900814584

00:23:41.382 --> 00:23:43.045 quality of diagnostic cancer imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.900814584

 $00:23:43.045 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.418$ beginning with efforts in our own backyard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00:23:47.850 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.770$ So I want to start concluding here by

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

00:23:49.770 --> 00:23:51.763 saying that the way that we manage low

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

00:23:51.763 --> 00:23:53.629 risk prostate cancer is changing rapidly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00:23:53.630 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.359$ One major change that we may see

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00:23:55.359 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.406$ in the future is fewer diagnosis of

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

00:23:57.406 --> 00:23:58.966 low risk prostate cancer through

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00:23:58.966 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.286$ the use of use of refined pre biopsy

 $00{:}24{:}01.286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}03.636$ decision tools such as prostate MRI

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00:24:03.636 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.146$ and other biomarkers with better

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

00:24:06.146 --> 00:24:08.638 specificity for high risk disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

00:24:08.640 --> 00:24:10.356 But among patients with prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00{:}24{:}10.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}12.445$ we've also identified gaps in

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00:24:12.445 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.530$ access comprehension and support for

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00:24:14.601 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.877$ patients undergoing complex testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00:24:16.880 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.964$ To close this gap,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00:24:17.964 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.590$ I think that multifaceted efforts are

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00:24:19.644 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.330$ needed to help improve the consistency

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00:24:21.330 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.278$ and quality of care that we deliver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00:24:23.280 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.232$ and this is going to be a clear

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00{:}24{:}25.232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}27.367$ focus of ours in the years to come.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00{:}24{:}27.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}29.236$ There are also clear opportunities to

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00:24:29.236 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.304$ improve the quality of our predictions

 $00:24:31.304 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.840$ by leveraging institutional and

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00{:}24{:}32.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}35.092$ national data sources such as baseline

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00:24:35.092 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.420$ genomic and imaging characteristics

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00:24:36.420 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.430$ to refine how we predict risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00:24:38.430 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.810$ So I think it's likely that we'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00:24:40.810 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.693$ look back at these snapshots of gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00:24:43.693 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.341$ expression as pretty antiquated

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00:24:45.412 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.460$ relatively soon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00{:}24{:}46.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}46.942$ And lastly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00:24:46.942 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.388$ I think there's a great progress

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00{:}24{:}48.388 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}50.098$ in the form of advanced imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00:24:50.100 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.555$ including pet tracers with high

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00:24:52.555 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.519$ sensitivity and specificity for

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

00:24:54.519 --> 00:24:56.890 prostate cancer that will soon likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

00:24:56.890 --> 00:24:59.660 be part of our diagnosis and tracking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00:24:59.660 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.548$ So I want to stop there and conclude

00:25:01.548 --> 00:25:03.093 by saying that new technologies

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00:25:03.093 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.788$ have been deployed to increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00:25:04.788 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.753$ precision in the management of low

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00:25:06.753 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.096$ risk prostate cancer patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00:25:08.096 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.008$ When you speak to them clearly value

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00:25:11.008 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.416$ information about their cancer in one

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00:25:13.416 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.678$ agency in the decision making process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00:25:15.680 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.882$ Genomic testing and prostate MRI are

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00:25:17.882 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.250$ associated with increased use of observation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00:25:20.250 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.194$ but Kohl's relationship is

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00:25:22.194 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.138$ still not clearly defined.

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00:25:24.140 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.580$ And lastly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00:25:24.580 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.120$ as we make strides in the science,

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

00:25:26.120 --> 00:25:28.255 we need to sharpen our attention to

NOTE Confidence: 0.945461002727273

 $00:25:28.255 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.588$ disparities in access that may in fact

 $00:25:30.588 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.283$ widen racial and geographic disparities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.957587904545455

 $00:25:34.730 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.128$ And I just want to say

NOTE Confidence: 0.957587904545455

 $00:25:36.128 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.410$ thank you for your time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.957587904545455

 $00:25:37.410 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.954$ I'm incredibly grateful to my wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.957587904545455

 $00:25:39.954 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.590$ mentors at the Yale Copper Center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.957587904545455

00:25:41.590 --> 00:25:42.970 particularly Kerry Gross.

NOTE Confidence: 0.957587904545455

 $00:25:42.970 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.270$ Shelmet Mott have been instrumental

NOTE Confidence: 0.957587904545455

 $00:25:45.270 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.870$ in developing this work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.957587904545455

 $00{:}25{:}46.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}48.575$ Extremely grateful to my colleagues

NOTE Confidence: 0.957587904545455

00:25:48.575 --> 00:25:50.280 in the Department of Neurology

NOTE Confidence: 0.957587904545455

 $00{:}25{:}50.338 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}51.886$ and the Yale Cancer Center has

NOTE Confidence: 0.957587904545455

00:25:51.886 --> 00:25:53.041 also been generous supporters

NOTE Confidence: 0.957587904545455

 $00:25:53.041 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.526$ of this work as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.957587904545455 00:25:54.530 --> 00:25:54.940 Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931496585454546

 $00:25:56.820 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.400$ Thanks very much, Michael.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931496585454546

 $00:25:58.400 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.770$ If people have questions if they

 $00:26:00.839 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.505$ can put it in the chat and I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.931496585454546

 $00:26:04.505 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.985$ I'll ask a question while we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.931496585454546

00:26:06.985 --> 00:26:09.420 waiting to see what people have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931496585454546

 $00:26:09.420 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.516$ So is getting an MRI in it of itself

NOTE Confidence: 0.931496585454546

 $00:26:15.520 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.206$ something that leads to better care

NOTE Confidence: 0.931496585454546

 $00:26:17.206 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.731$ or is it a marker of doctors who

NOTE Confidence: 0.931496585454546

00:26:19.731 --> 00:26:21.741 provide a different kind of care?

NOTE Confidence: 0.743726158

 $00:26:22.750 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.210$ Yeah, it it's that's really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743726158

00:26:24.210 --> 00:26:25.386 I think that the main question

NOTE Confidence: 0.743726158

 $00:26:25.386 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.170$ we're wrestling with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743726158

 $00:26:26.170 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.026$ It probably is a little bit of both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743726158

00:26:28.030 --> 00:26:29.591 I mean, I think that the MRI

NOTE Confidence: 0.743726158

00:26:29.591 --> 00:26:31.193 you know if MRI is not even

NOTE Confidence: 0.743726158

 $00:26:31.193 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.780$ on the on the table for you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743726158

00:26:32.780 --> 00:26:34.810 you're probably receiving one type of care.

00:26:34.810 --> 00:26:36.430 But I think but you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743726158

 $00:26:36.430 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.565$ with these very powerful tools you can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743726158

 $00:26:38.570 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.365$ we can make.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743726158

 $00:26:39.365 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.573$ We can go in the wrong direction very

NOTE Confidence: 0.743726158

 $00:26:41.573 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.925$ easily because all of a sudden you have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743726158

 $00:26:43.930 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.016$ A vast amount of data and one

NOTE Confidence: 0.743726158

00:26:46.016 --> 00:26:48.052 potential concern is that we may

NOTE Confidence: 0.743726158

00:26:48.052 --> 00:26:49.484 overestimate risk because we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.743726158

 $00{:}26{:}49.484 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}52.027$ finding you know things that we never

NOTE Confidence: 0.743726158

 $00:26:52.027 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.782$ found before and then therefore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743726158

 $00:26:53.790 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.190$ patients veer off the path of

NOTE Confidence: 0.743726158

00:26:56.190 --> 00:26:57.790 surveillance because you've technically

NOTE Confidence: 0.743726158

 $00:26:57.850 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.955$ have found something that you had

NOTE Confidence: 0.743726158

 $00{:}26{:}59.955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}02.160$ to work very hard to look for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74372615800:27:02.160 --> 00:27:02.530 Sure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845781166

 $00:27:02.770 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.880$ thanks, and there's a question.

 $00:27:05.880 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.484$ Can you talk a little bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.845781166

 $00{:}27{:}08.484 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}11.351$ what we're doing as an organization

NOTE Confidence: 0.845781166

 $00:27:11.351 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.019$ to minimize disparities?

NOTE Confidence: 0.845781166

 $00:27:13.020 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.995$ And I'll I'll focus this

NOTE Confidence: 0.845781166

00:27:14.995 --> 00:27:16.575 specifically on prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845781166

 $00:27:16.580 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.810$ although it wasn't written that way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815031256666667

00:27:18.400 --> 00:27:19.480 Well, yeah, thank you. I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815031256666667

 $00{:}27{:}19.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}21.392$ I think that you know the first step

NOTE Confidence: 0.815031256666667

 $00{:}27{:}21.392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}23.340$ really is kind of understanding this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.815031256666667

 $00:27:23.340 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.838$ and I think that this when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.815031256666667

 $00:27:24.838 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.397$ you know we're so excited about

NOTE Confidence: 0.815031256666667

 $00:27:26.397 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.832$ the technology and we're only

NOTE Confidence: 0.815031256666667

 $00{:}27{:}27.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}29.439$ beginning to ask these questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815031256666667

 $00:27:29.440 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.352$ So it starts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815031256666667

 $00:27:30.352 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.480$ I think with just very basic quality

 $00:27:32.543 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.129$ improvement efforts and we have an

NOTE Confidence: 0.815031256666667

 $00{:}27{:}35.129 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}36.853$ outstanding quality improvement team

NOTE Confidence: 0.815031256666667

 $00:27:36.923 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.401$ within the Department of Urology that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.815031256666667

 $00:27:39.401 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.291$ focused specifically on this question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815031256666667

 $00:27:41.291 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.370$ And so I think that will be part of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.815031256666667

00:27:43.370 --> 00:27:44.489 Are you know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.815031256666667

00:27:44.489 --> 00:27:45.981 Interim reporting and quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.815031256666667

 $00:27:45.981 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.319$ improvement process to make sure that

NOTE Confidence: 0.815031256666667

 $00:27:48.319 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.244$ we are not disproportionately offering

NOTE Confidence: 0.815031256666667

 $00:27:50.244 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.088$ these services to certain groups?

NOTE Confidence: 0.888547425909091

 $00{:}27{:}53.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}55.716$ And and finally, so what's going

NOTE Confidence: 0.888547425909091

 $00:27:55.716 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.111$ on in California and Atlanta that

NOTE Confidence: 0.888547425909091

 $00:27:58.111 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.673$ that that we don't see the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.888547425909091

00:28:00.673 --> 00:28:03.578 kind of disparities? Any any clue?

NOTE Confidence: 0.897721886666667

00:28:04.750 --> 00:28:05.986 I, I think that I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897721886666667

 $00:28:05.990 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.970$ that's really where I think that

 $00:28:07.970 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.870$ that you know major centers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897721886666667

 $00:28:09.870 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.424$ You know it's this MRI and

NOTE Confidence: 0.897721886666667

00:28:11.424 --> 00:28:12.460 genomic testing are really

NOTE Confidence: 0.897721886666667

 $00:28:12.517 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.889$ an early adopter phenomenon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897721886666667

 $00:28:13.890 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.696$ So I think we have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.897721886666667

00:28:15.696 --> 00:28:16.298 disproportionate influence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897721886666667

00:28:16.300 --> 00:28:18.328 I think that in Los Angeles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897721886666667

 $00{:}28{:}18.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}20.005$ certain medical centers probably also

NOTE Confidence: 0.897721886666667

 $00{:}28{:}20.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}21.345$ have a disproportionate influence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897721886666667

 $00:28:21.350 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.870$ so all the more reason to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.897721886666667

00:28:23.870 --> 00:28:25.535 very circumspect and proactive

NOTE Confidence: 0.897721886666667

 $00:28:25.535 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.580$ in in when we roll the when

NOTE Confidence: 0.897721886666667

 $00:28:28.666 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.716$ we roll these things out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897721886666667 00:28:30.720 --> 00:28:31.150 Great,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7774961625

00:28:31.890 --> 00:28:33.213 well, I think we're going to move

 $00:28:33.213 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.640$ on to our next speaker, Michael.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7774961625

 $00{:}28{:}34.640 --> 00{:}28{:}35.600$ Thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7774961625

 $00:28:35.600 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.010$ It was really great. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7774961625

 $00:28:39.010 \longrightarrow 00:28:43.295$ So our next speaker is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7774961625

00:28:43.295 --> 00:28:45.866 Carlos Fernandez Fernando,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7774961625

00:28:45.870 --> 00:28:49.167 who is the Anthony and Brady Professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.7774961625

 $00:28:49.167 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.678$ of Comparative medicine and pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7774961625

00:28:51.678 --> 00:28:53.874 He studied biochemistry and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7774961625

00:28:53.874 --> 00:28:57.060 molecular biology at the University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7774961625

00:28:57.060 --> 00:28:59.008 Dodge Autonoma of Madrid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7774961625

 $00{:}28{:}59.008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}01.930$ and received his PhD at Hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7774961625

 $00:29:01.930 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.310$ Vermont in Madrid as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7774961625

00:29:05.310 --> 00:29:07.625 He did his postdoctoral work

NOTE Confidence: 0.7774961625

 $00:29:07.625 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.014$ with Doctor William.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7774961625

 $00:29:09.020 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.948$ Tessa here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7774961625

00:29:10.948 --> 00:29:12.876 His first position was

00:29:12.876 --> 00:29:14.818 faculty position was at NYU,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7774961625

 $00{:}29{:}14.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}18.558$ and then he returned to Yale where

NOTE Confidence: 0.7774961625

 $00:29:18.558 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.474$ his research seeks to identify novel

NOTE Confidence: 0.7774961625

 $00:29:21.474 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.549$ mechanisms by which cholesterol and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7774961625

 $00:29:24.549 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.900$ lipoprotein metabolism are regulated

NOTE Confidence: 0.7774961625

 $00:29:27.900 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.652$ and without further comments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7774961625

 $00:29:30.652 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.260$ I'm going to turn this over to Carlos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81487696

 $00:29:47.710 \longrightarrow 00:29:49.030$ You're still on mute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8595668

00:30:00.030 --> 00:30:03.156 OK, now I see this working well

NOTE Confidence: 0.82280917555556

 $00:30:03.790 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.350$ so we can't see your slides

NOTE Confidence: 0.822809175555556

 $00:30:05.350 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.598$ at this month. Now we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:30:07.250 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.698$ OK. Thanks very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00{:}30{:}10.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}13.388$ I really appreciate the invitation for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:30:13.388 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.660$ for giving the presentation today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

00:30:15.660 --> 00:30:17.604 Let me put this in full skin, uhm?

00:30:17.604 --> 00:30:21.015 As as you mentioned, I'm not a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

00:30:21.015 --> 00:30:23.325 I didn't never study much about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:30:23.325 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.980$ two more biology or counselor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00{:}30{:}25.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}28.682$ I did my PhD in biochemistry back

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00{:}30{:}28.682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}32.150$ in Madrid and at that time I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:30:32.150 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.370$ interested to study how cholesterol

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00{:}30{:}34.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}37.500$ metabolism and other lipids regulated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00{:}30{:}37.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}41.160$ leukemia cell proliferation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

00:30:41.160 --> 00:30:43.797 Since then here I moved to the field of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

00:30:43.797 --> 00:30:45.841 vascular biology for many years until,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

00:30:45.841 --> 00:30:47.887 like about four years ago I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:30:47.887 \longrightarrow 00:30:49.820$ get a very incredible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:30:49.820 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.418$ talented student come into my lap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:30:52.420 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.500$ To do that, PSD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:30:55.500 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.914$ As I do always with the people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:30:56.914 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.518$ who has this passion for science,

 $00:30:58.520 \longrightarrow 00:31:00.560$ I ask them whether it is the product

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:31:00.560 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.500$ they want to do it and then he told me

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:31:02.500 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.780$ that he was very interested in deep.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

00:31:03.780 --> 00:31:06.444 It's like he wanted to do something related

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00{:}31{:}06.444 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}08.960$ to two more biology and immunology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

00:31:08.960 --> 00:31:11.680 And then we came up with this project

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:31:11.680 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.660$ because has something related to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:31:13.660 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.523$ lipids and also it's a problem related

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:31:16.601 \longrightarrow 00:31:19.876$ to counter that is is based in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:31:19.876 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.530$ however city or lipid metabolism, Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:31:23.530 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.480$ Local phenomena using a mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:31:26.480 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.407$ Of the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:31:27.407 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.261$ Then I wouldn't guys like to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

00:31:29.261 --> 00:31:31.190 get full credit to Jonathan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:31:31.190 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.670$ who actually wears the person.

 $00:31:32.670 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.870$ The driving force here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:31:34.870 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.522$ Who did this work? Why?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

00:31:37.522 --> 00:31:38.878 Why this tumor?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:31:38.878 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.590$ Why we were interested in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

00:31:41.687 --> 00:31:44.419 particular carcinoma as this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

00:31:44.420 --> 00:31:45.186 No more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:31:45.186 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.060$ he said he became more prevalent right now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:31:48.060 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.400$ particularly with the situation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:31:49.400 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.288$ that we are with this crisis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:31:51.288 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.632$ So overeating and obesity

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:31:52.632 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.758$ and type 2 diabetes that is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:31:54.760 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.220$ having in all the Western societies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

00:31:57.220 --> 00:31:59.278 Then, as you probably are aware,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:31:59.280 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.898$ about 30% of the population in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:32:01.898 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.734$ United States that accumulates large

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00{:}32{:}03.734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}05.876$ amount of neutral lipids in the

 $00:32:05.876 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.805$ liver and cause this pathology called

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:32:08.805 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.857$ non-alcoholic fatty liver disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:32:10.857 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.216$ that is quite prevalent from this situation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:32:14.216 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.242$ We saw that about 25% of the people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:32:18.242 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.380$ that has Nathalie our transition to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:32:21.484 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.040$ an estate of nasty is non alcoholic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:32:25.040 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.495$ Like that is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:32:26.495 \longrightarrow 00:32:28.920$ which is characterized for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:32:28.920 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.428$ cyclonic inflammation that occurs

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:32:30.428 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.668$ in the liver and the fibrosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:32:34.670 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.366$ As well as by the damage and turnover

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:32:37.366 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.027$ that happened in this particular situation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:32:40.030 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.870$ then this is kind of a chronic disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:32:41.870 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.486$ but in about 5% of the patients they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334467725

 $00:32:44.486 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.040$ are able to transition to develop.

00:32:49.480 --> 00:32:51.622 This is actually a pretty bad kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.581718573333333

 $00{:}32{:}51.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}53.674$ of concert, since the survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.581718573333333

 $00:32:53.674 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.542$ rate is pretty low in general.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581718573333333

 $00:32:56.550 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.998$ Then when we set up the

NOTE Confidence: 0.581718573333333

 $00:32:58.998 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.450$ idea for for his thesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581718573333333

 $00:33:01.450 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.592$ we were actually looking at that

NOTE Confidence: 0.581718573333333

 $00:33:03.592 \longrightarrow 00:33:05.737$ time for developing and noble mouse

NOTE Confidence: 0.581718573333333

 $00{:}33{:}05.737 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}08.012$ models to start exist and also to

NOTE Confidence: 0.581718573333333

 $00{:}33{:}08.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}09.909$ apply novel technologies that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.581718573333333

 $00:33:09.909 \longrightarrow 00:33:12.165$ start coming out at that time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581718573333333

 $00{:}33{:}12.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}14.150$ We try to investigate the molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.581718573333333

 $00:33:14.150 \longrightarrow 00:33:16.330$ level where what could be the driver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581718573333333

 $00{:}33{:}16.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}19.842$ So the formation of the catalog in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.581718573333333

 $00:33:19.842 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.428$ model of obesity driving tumor formation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581718573333333

00:33:22.430 --> 00:33:24.738 Uhm? Around that time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581718573333333

 $00:33:24.738 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.623$ the group from Matthias Eichenwald,

 $00:33:27.630 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.290$ airing in the Cancer Research

NOTE Confidence: 0.581718573333333

 $00:33:30.290 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.886$ Center in Heidelberg,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581718573333333

00:33:31.890 --> 00:33:35.160 published this novel model of obesity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581718573333333

 $00:33:35.160 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.404$ driving part of local phenomena that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.581718573333333

00:33:38.404 --> 00:33:42.360 based in feeding the mice without killing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581718573333333

 $00:33:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.800$ defeating high fat diet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581718573333333

 $00:33:44.800 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.418$ In the upper panel you see a

NOTE Confidence: 0.581718573333333

 $00:33:47.420 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.580$ number of papers that this group

NOTE Confidence: 0.581718573333333

 $00{:}33{:}49.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}51.916$ publishes recently using this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581718573333333

00:33:51.916 --> 00:33:54.836 This model of the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581718573333333 00:33:54.840 --> 00:33:55.448 It's a, NOTE Confidence: 0.581718573333333

00:33:55.448 --> 00:33:58.540 it's a pretty good model in in our opinion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581718573333333 00:33:58.540 --> 00:33:59.118 because, uh, NOTE Confidence: 0.581718573333333

 $00:33:59.118 \longrightarrow 00:34:01.141$ the mice develop all the features that

NOTE Confidence: 0.581718573333333

00:34:01.141 --> 00:34:03.136 help the people that develop obesity,

 $00:34:03.140 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.308$ type 2 diabetes and ended up having this

NOTE Confidence: 0.581718573333333

 $00:34:06.308 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.970$ issue that is increasing body weight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581718573333333

 $00:34:09.970 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.800$ type 2 diabetes, insulin resistance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581718573333333

 $00:34:12.800 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.275$ and eventually none of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.581718573333333

00:34:16.280 --> 00:34:17.063 But you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.581718573333333

 $00:34:17.063 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.368$ substantial amount of mice develop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:34:20.580 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.484$ This is one of the benefits of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:34:22.484 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.153$ model that the capital quite well

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:34:24.153 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.863$ did not have any human disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:34:25.870 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.364$ The downside of the model is

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:34:27.364 \longrightarrow 00:34:29.270$ not not all the mice developed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:34:29.270 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.894$ but of local phenomena only on a

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:34:30.894 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.662$ small fraction of mice, around 20%

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:34:32.662 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.826$ of the mice and develop the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:34:35.826 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.140$ In 12 months we were unable

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:34:39.140 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.580$ to reproduce this high.

 $00:34:41.580 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.302$ Incidence of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:34:42.302 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.190$ In my mind we have to extend our

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:34:45.270 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.860$ studies to 15 months to see that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:34:47.860 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.905$ And one of the first thing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:34:50.905 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.994$ we did was to fed the blocks

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:34:53.994 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.666$ is miles for about 20 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:34:56.666 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.078$ With the this calling the fishing

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:34:59.078 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.532$ Haifa diet and we sacrifice this

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00{:}35{:}01.532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}03.507$ my son different time points

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00{:}35{:}03.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}05.295$ three months and states that

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:35:05.295 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.080$ is considered to have NFLD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:35:07.080 \dashrightarrow 00:35:09.817$ Six months the NASA state and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00{:}35{:}09.817 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}12.991$ we were waiting on the 15 months to

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:35:12.991 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.619$ study the formation of tumors in mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:35:15.620 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.042$ These are in police data from the

00:35:18.042 --> 00:35:20.571 the war from from Jonathan and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00{:}35{:}20.571 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}23.300$ this is in the upper left corner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:35:23.300 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.848$ You see the loyal to experiments that

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:35:25.848 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.785$ we did here and all the analysis that

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:35:28.785 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.954$ we did in every day and in every time

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

00:35:31.954 --> 00:35:33.986 point I'm going to show you only a

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:35:33.986 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.435$ few data about the this the whole study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:35:36.440 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.092$ But then we actually collect

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:35:39.092 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.252$ issues at three six 12115 months

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:35:41.252 \longrightarrow 00:35:42.656$ to do lipidomic analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

00:35:42.660 --> 00:35:44.268 Public functionary sequence

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:35:44.268 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.768$ in single sequencing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:35:45.768 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.480$ Oregon municipal Chemistry not

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:35:47.480 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.051$ only for delivery but also for

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00{:}35{:}50.051 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}52.211$ other tissues and also we track

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:35:52.211 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.144$ the glucosamine stasis and lipid

 $00:35:54.144 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.716$ metabolism every time point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:35:55.720 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.760$ As you can see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:35:56.760 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.188$ when you start feeling this match

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

00:35:58.188 --> 00:35:59.529 with Pauline defeating high fat diet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

00:35:59.530 --> 00:36:01.612 this might gain significantly amount of

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:36:01.612 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.800$ body weight and disappears very early on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

00:36:03.800 --> 00:36:05.956 After putting the mice in this diet

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:36:05.960 \longrightarrow 00:36:09.182$ and the increasing body weight is

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

00:36:09.182 --> 00:36:10.950 accounting because the increasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00{:}36{:}10.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}14.030$ pad mass and the lean mass in

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:36:14.030 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.956$ the masses similar during the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:36:15.956 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.532$ feeding time but the fat mass is

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:36:18.532 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.333$ significantly increased up on high

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00{:}36{:}20.333 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}22.824$ fat diet feeding and these mice in

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:36:22.824 \longrightarrow 00:36:25.020$ in addition to half obesity that

 $00:36:25.094 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.509$ develop significant dyslipidemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

00:36:27.510 --> 00:36:29.590 Down in the lower panels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:36:29.590 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.544$ So in the high levels of cholesterol

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:36:32.544 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.697$ interpolation that are in significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

00:36:34.697 --> 00:36:37.139 increase in the later time points

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:36:37.139 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.566$ and this increase in cholesterol

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:36:39.566 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.610$ correspond to an increase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:36:41.610 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.975$ Circulating levels of LDL lipoproteins

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:36:43.975 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.880$ and stone in the middle panel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:36:46.880 \longrightarrow 00:36:49.466$ We also perform GTIT assets to

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00{:}36{:}49.466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}51.840$ demonstrate that this mass has

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

00:36:51.840 --> 00:36:54.136 insistent and glucose intolerance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:36:54.140 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.340$ and I'll show you here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485 00:36:56.340 --> 00:36:56.705 Also, NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

00:36:56.705 --> 00:36:58.895 the fasting glucose in these mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:36:58.895 \dashrightarrow 00:37:01.559$ aspects are also significantly elevated.

 $00:37:01.560 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.864$ Then we have a model that developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:37:03.864 \dashrightarrow 00:37:05.908$ the three stages of the disease and

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:37:05.908 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.015$ also recapitulated quite well on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00{:}37{:}08.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}09.770$ metabolic alteration that is found

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:37:09.825 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.737$ in in in people with obesity and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:37:11.740 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.458$ Anti two diabetes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:37:14.460 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.480$ Then we also perform Mr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

00:37:16.480 --> 00:37:19.651 Local analysis in in these mice and

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:37:19.651 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.652$ Carter is well what's going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:37:21.652 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.936$ And as you can see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00{:}37{:}22.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}24.988$ this might develop significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:37:24.988 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.524$ accumulation of lipids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:37:26.530 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.318$ You see the ballooning also there

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:37:28.318 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.286$ in after three months and six months

NOTE Confidence: 0.6054106485

 $00:37:30.286 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.952$ in high point diet and also a

 $00:37:32.016 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.936$ significant fibrosis and damaging the liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.619640191333333

 $00:37:33.936 \longrightarrow 00:37:37.532$ as shown in the right panel by standing

NOTE Confidence: 0.619640191333333

 $00:37:37.532 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.000$ with serious right is most developed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.619640191333333

 $00:37:41.000 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.280$ Fibrosis early on as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.619640191333333

 $00:37:43.280 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.306$ and the formation of fibrosis is

NOTE Confidence: 0.619640191333333

 $00:37:47.306 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.548$ also correlated with a significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.619640191333333

 $00:37:50.548 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.474$ increase in inflammation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.619640191333333

 $00:37:52.480 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.928$ So in the analysis and the flow cytometry

NOTE Confidence: 0.619640191333333

 $00:37:54.928 \longrightarrow 00:37:56.560$ analysis, or in the lower panel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.619640191333333

 $00:37:56.560 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.205$ I'm analyzing the 3:45 positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.619640191333333

 $00{:}37{:}58.205 {\: -->\:} 00{:}38{:}00.724$ cells in the liver as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.619640191333333

00:38:00.724 --> 00:38:02.340 neutrophils and also monocytes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.619640191333333

 $00:38:02.340 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.890$ and Cooper feels as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.619640191333333

 $00:38:04.890 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.218$ Then one other result that we found here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.619640191333333

00:38:08.220 --> 00:38:12.576 We notice that after 12 months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.619640191333333

 $00:38:12.580 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.332$ efficiency of the of the the

 $00:38:14.332 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.941$ people of the development of

NOTE Confidence: 0.619640191333333

 $00{:}38{:}15.941 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}17.457$ commercial was quite restricted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.619640191333333

 $00:38:17.460 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.816$ We found seven of the 39 mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.619640191333333

 $00:38:19.816 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.324$ developed tumors and all of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.619640191333333

 $00:38:22.324 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.330$ correlate with the more or less with

NOTE Confidence: 0.619640191333333

 $00:38:25.330 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.420$ the simulating alpha fetal protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.619640191333333

 $00:38:27.499 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.037$ levels in circulation of the mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.619640191333333

 $00:38:30.040 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.990$ As you can see in the in the 15 months group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.42357280325

 $00:38:35.540 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.235$ The incidence of the two more simply

NOTE Confidence: 0.42357280325

00:38:38.235 --> 00:38:40.810 significantly many of the money the mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.42357280325

00:38:40.810 --> 00:38:42.980 around 50% of the mice develop tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.42357280325

 $00:38:42.980 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.558$ and also they feel levels are

NOTE Confidence: 0.42357280325

 $00{:}38{:}44.558 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}38{:}46.470$ very hot in the right panels you

NOTE Confidence: 0.42357280325

 $00:38:46.470 \longrightarrow 00:38:47.850$ can see a representative image,

NOTE Confidence: 0.42357280325

 $00:38:47.850 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.722$ so the kind of tumors that you observed

 $00:38:49.722 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.906$ in in this mouse model of the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81696308

 $00:38:54.600 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.060$ Then we asked two questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.81696308

 $00:38:56.060 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.126$ and I'm going to be kind of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81696308

00:38:58.126 --> 00:39:00.247 I'm going to sumarize all all all

NOTE Confidence: 0.81696308

 $00:39:00.247 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.516$ the other we have here, I mean.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81696308

00:39:02.516 --> 00:39:04.424 Happy to share more when next.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81696308

 $00:39:04.430 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.220$ I know you guys it can send us emails we can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81696308

 $00:39:07.220 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.879$ We can meet with all of you

NOTE Confidence: 0.81696308

 $00{:}39{:}08.879 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}10.360$ and you know so with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81696308

 $00:39:10.360 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.658$ But the two key aspects that

NOTE Confidence: 0.81696308

00:39:14.660 --> 00:39:16.459 you're not gonna want to address here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81696308

 $00:39:16.460 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.248$ we're still first delineated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81696308

 $00:39:18.248 \longrightarrow 00:39:21.549$ the metabolic changes that occurs in a party.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81696308

 $00{:}39{:}21.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}23.145$ They progress toward the Council

NOTE Confidence: 0.81696308

 $00:39:23.145 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.329$ felt I'm going to show you some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81696308

 $00:39:25.330 \dashrightarrow 00:39:27.598$ You know fewer slides about that.

 $00:39:27.600 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.544$ And the second part of the talk I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.81696308

 $00{:}39{:}29.544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}31.668$ going to focus a little bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.81696308

00:39:31.668 --> 00:39:33.243 is about identification or novel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81696308

 $00:39:33.250 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.646$ Potential targets that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.81696308

 $00:39:35.646 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.641$ associated in the development of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81696308

 $00:39:38.641 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.009$ the disease in this mouse model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81696308

00:39:42.010 --> 00:39:44.100 Particularly in this protein fatty

NOTE Confidence: 0.81696308

00:39:44.100 --> 00:39:46.190 acid binding protein five that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81696308

 $00:39:46.190 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.870$ as I will tell you in a minute,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81696308

 $00:39:47.870 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.096$ is a protein that is important not

NOTE Confidence: 0.81696308

 $00:39:50.096 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.130$ only in regulating lipid metabolism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81696308

 $00:39:52.130 \longrightarrow 00:39:54.680$ Also preparation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81696308

 $00:39:54.680 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.396$ Regulation of the suppression

NOTE Confidence: 0.81696308

 $00:39:56.396 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.541$ of this protein has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.81696308

 $00:39:58.541 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.229$ associated not only in liver.

 $00:40:02.360 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.000$ Humans, but also as a highly

NOTE Confidence: 0.722093108

 $00{:}40{:}05.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}06.760$ associated with prostate tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.722093108

 $00:40:06.760 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.920$ as engaging with the 1st.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722093108

 $00:40:09.920 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.789$ And part of the the first talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.722093108

 $00:40:11.789 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.858$ of the in the meeting today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722093108

00:40:13.860 --> 00:40:15.615 Then if I will be 5 is highly elevated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.722093108

00:40:15.620 --> 00:40:16.475 doesn't prostate tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.722093108

 $00:40:16.475 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.470$ and there are a number of groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.722093108

 $00:40:18.470 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.787$ particularly were collaborators

NOTE Confidence: 0.722093108

 $00:40:19.787 \longrightarrow 00:40:21.982$ that are looking at selling

NOTE Confidence: 0.722093108

 $00:40:21.982 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.780$ the efficacy of everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722093108

 $00{:}40{:}23.780 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}40{:}26.370$ In treating prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00{:}40{:}28.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}32.670$ Then this is a cartoon. That's true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:40:32.670 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.330$ Marissa little bit the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:40:35.330 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.650$ The son of the first experiment we did,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:40:37.650 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.656$ we, we took a pentag here the 10 the

 $00:40:40.656 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.758$ the single cell RNA transcriptomics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

00:40:43.760 --> 00:40:46.434 Fight change in the pattern of gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:40:46.434 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.857$ expression not only in the patio sites but

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:40:48.857 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.677$ also in the non parenchymal health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:40:51.680 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.280$ particularly in both illegal sales.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:40:53.280 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.779$ Only minute sales.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:40:55.780 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.778$ And then we will turn to look into it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:40:57.780 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.321$ Where where are the changes that occurs

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

00:41:00.321 --> 00:41:03.434 in the tumor progression and how this

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:41:03.434 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.829$ has been associated with the disease?

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:41:05.830 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.518$ Then with the support of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:41:08.518 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.310$ liver center here ideal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00{:}41{:}10.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}12.795$ we were able to isolate this quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

00:41:12.795 --> 00:41:15.978 well and and you're not on set up a

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:41:15.978 \longrightarrow 00:41:18.168$ very good protocol for keep this high

00:41:18.168 --> 00:41:20.100 school Bible on these cells and try

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

00:41:20.161 --> 00:41:22.093 to isolate these cells as soon as

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:41:22.093 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.527$ possible just to avoid any kind of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:41:24.527 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.072$ target effect giving their solution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:41:26.080 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.621$ Process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:41:26.621 \longrightarrow 00:41:29.867$ Then we did the analysis in

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

00:41:29.867 --> 00:41:32.430 different stages here and here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:41:32.430 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.010$ You have couple of humor plots.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

00:41:35.010 --> 00:41:38.776 Then in the left plot the thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:41:38.776 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.447$ that you see here is all the single

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:41:42.447 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.601$ events thereby shown by dots that

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:41:44.601 \longrightarrow 00:41:46.558$ corresponding are grouped in different

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

00:41:46.558 --> 00:41:48.473 colors that correspond with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

00:41:48.473 --> 00:41:49.835 different cellular populations that

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:41:49.835 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.060$ you all share in the in the livers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:41:54.060 \longrightarrow 00:41:57.008$ Then here are input.

 $00:41:57.010 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.910$ Five different for different groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:41:58.910 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.409$ One of them is the mice that

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:42:01.409 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.662$ are filled with the child diet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:42:03.662 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.070$ Then they might that they were filled with

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00{:}42{:}07.153 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}10.260$ the five fat diet with low AFP expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

00:42:10.260 --> 00:42:13.080 Then others would have high FPS

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:42:13.080 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.935$ present and then we also input

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00{:}42{:}15.935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}18.020$ directly the DDST carcinoma here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00{:}42{:}18.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}20.620$ Then you can see here how all these

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

00:42:20.687 --> 00:42:23.865 sales group quite well and in the in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:42:23.865 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.998$ right panel that in that you see now is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00{:}42{:}27.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}29.418$ How the diverse populations can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:42:29.418 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.062$ clustered and based in the differential

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00{:}42{:}32.062 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}34.462$ gene expression and different stages

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

00:42:34.462 --> 00:42:37.124 from mice that are affecting child

 $00:42:37.124 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.410$ idea with versus mice that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00{:}42{:}39.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}42.560$ fed with this calling deficient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:42:42.560 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.415$ Then you see there the path

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:42:44.415 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.087$ aside and the concepts and cluster

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:42:46.087 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.520$ and that is in the in the red box.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:42:48.520 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.360$ And as you can see here by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:42:50.360 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.409$ color you can see how the population

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:42:52.409 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.633$ shifted to the right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:42:53.640 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.160$ Since you had the 1st in in normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:42:57.160 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.024$ diet and how they transition to these

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00{:}43{:}00.024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}02.064$ cancer cells that are highlighted

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:43:02.064 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.336$ in the purple.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:43:03.336 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.320$ These are the purple dots in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:43:06.320 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.296$ path aside group correspond to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:43:09.296 \longrightarrow 00:43:12.038$ the cancer cells also within the two

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00{:}43{:}12.038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}14.627$ more you see. Highly abundant also.

 $00{:}43{:}14.627 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}18.221$ The details suspected that is also

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:43:18.221 \longrightarrow 00:43:19.940$ in this plot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

00:43:19.940 --> 00:43:21.806 Then doing this kind of analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:43:21.810 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.700$ you can infer all the information

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:43:23.700 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.781$ coming from all the gene expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

00:43:25.781 --> 00:43:27.742 for every single event during

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:43:27.742 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.902$ the transition from the side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:43:29.902 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.366$ The healthy side do the content fell

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:43:32.370 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.770$ and you can do this kind of analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:43:34.770 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.028$ called silver time that can tell you

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00:43:37.028 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.995$ how these cells can transition from

NOTE Confidence: 0.5618215964

 $00{:}43{:}38.995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}41.180$ the healthy to the contact stage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00{:}43{:}45.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}46.090$ Then this is the nicest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:43:46.090 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.440$ Save the time that you're not

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:43:47.440 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.810$ funded and you can see here.

00:43:48.810 --> 00:43:51.210 You can actually Coop at this quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00{:}43{:}51.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}53.130$ well in the trajectories that instills

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:43:53.130 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.989$ come followed in the cellar time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:43:54.990 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.566$ and can group is also very well in

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

00:43:57.566 --> 00:43:59.890 the violent plug in the right panel

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:43:59.890 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.482$ you can see here probably better

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:44:02.482 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.108$ where the IPA or the pathways that

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

00:44:05.108 --> 00:44:07.100 appears to be deregulated in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00{:}44{:}07.165 \to 00{:}44{:}09.607$ process of the converting this healthy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:44:09.610 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.118$ but aside to two more two more

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:44:13.118 \longrightarrow 00:44:15.200$ two more cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00{:}44{:}15.200 --> 00{:}44{:}17.815$ One of these boys are

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:44:17.815 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.166$ now under investigation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00{:}44{:}19.166 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}21.644$ I'm going to talk about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

00:44:21.650 --> 00:44:22.799 Like binding protein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:44:22.799 \longrightarrow 00:44:25.097$ but also we did metabolic analysis

00:44:25.097 --> 00:44:26.635 here in collaboration with

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

00:44:26.635 --> 00:44:28.819 Rachel Berry and follow up very

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:44:28.819 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.628$ well on these findings but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:44:30.630 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.723$ Things that you can see here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:44:32.723 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.174$ that there is a number of bad ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:44:35.174 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.436$ that appears to be regulated during

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:44:37.436 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.435$ the transition from the healthy to

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

00:44:39.435 --> 00:44:42.180 the malignant L and including the

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00{:}44{:}42.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}44.140$ lipid oxidation understand toxic

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:44:44.140 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.200$ radical and then you have also

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00{:}44{:}46.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}47.770$ significant and in regulation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:44:47.828 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.768$ further progress as people lipids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00{:}44{:}49.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}52.930$ including the importance of transport.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:44:52.930 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.694$ Then the the molecule I'm going

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:44:54.694 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.290$ to tell you about today,

00:44:56.290 --> 00:44:57.820 fatty acid binding protein actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:44:57.820 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.870$ play an important role in this pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:44:59.870 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.950$ So I want to show you why this

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:45:01.950 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.478$ could be very relevant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:45:04.480 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.768$ One of the question when you do

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00{:}45{:}06.768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}08.833$ single task to mix now we are trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:45:08.833 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.260$ to do a special transcriptomics

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:45:10.260 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.295$ to see where I'm located.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:45:12.300 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.230$ These cells within the tumor and how.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:45:17.230 \longrightarrow 00:45:20.429$ How even is this pressing of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00{:}45{:}20.429 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}22.879$ these genes across the tumors?

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:45:22.880 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.872$ This is decent ongoing war with

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00{:}45{:}24.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}26.480$ the collection with sticking one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:45:26.480 \longrightarrow 00:45:28.552$ One of the things that we did in

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:45:28.552 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.332$ parallel in another different study

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00{:}45{:}30.332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}32.332$ that the Inmaculada Root Maldonado

 $00:45:32.332 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.548$ help help in this this world is

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00{:}45{:}34.548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}35.988$ trying to develop another mouse

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:45:35.988 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.740$ model here that is a rainbow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

00:45:37.740 --> 00:45:41.499 Mice that allow you to study more

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:45:41.499 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.145$ clonality this this mouse model that

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:45:44.145 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.818$ thing that does is randomly labeled

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:45:46.818 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.276$ the patio sites in three different colors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:45:50.280 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.408$ As you can see in the center pictures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:45:53.410 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.030$ These are the control mice and

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:45:55.030 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.408$ then you can see here where is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00{:}45{:}57.408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}59.268$ random distribution of all the paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00{:}45{:}59.328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}01.188$ cites in the three different colors

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00{:}46{:}01.188 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}03.855$ and in green color are stain and

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:46:03.855 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.705$ the cells that are non epicycles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:46:06.710 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.060$ This correspond to the endothelial cells.

00:46:12.060 --> 00:46:13.956 And the mother was quite well to study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00{:}46{:}13.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}15.344$ Regeneration is something I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

00:46:15.344 --> 00:46:17.074 not going to touch today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:46:17.080 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.692$ but here in the right panel you see in

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:46:20.692 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.716$ a model of liver injury that treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:46:23.716 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.057$ with carbon tetrachloride that induce death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:46:27.057 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.139$ And then you can start regeneration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

00:46:29.140 --> 00:46:31.678 You can see how you see a very nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00{:}46{:}31.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}34.147$ clonal expansion of some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:46:34.147 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.839$ existing apotheosized to develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:46:35.839 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.217$ these patches in different colors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:46:38.220 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.893$ Then we also employ in this same

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:46:39.893 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.502$ model to see where we actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00{:}46{:}41.502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}43.441$ happen in the context of aging and

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:46:43.496 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.380$ the context and situation where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:46:45.380 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.240$ have a chronic metabolic damage.

00:46:47.240 --> 00:46:49.640 What's happened with naphthalene,

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00{:}46{:}49.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}51.716$ and this is actually very interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.724906122

 $00:46:51.720 \longrightarrow 00:46:52.720$ as you can see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

00:46:52.720 --> 00:46:54.911 because even in US you see their

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:46:54.911 \longrightarrow 00:46:56.897$ selection on some specific clones that

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

00:46:56.897 --> 00:46:59.620 occurs in the liver in the left panel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:46:59.620 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.126$ and this is probably because you

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00{:}47{:}01.126 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}02.800$ have this damage and regeneration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

00:47:02.800 --> 00:47:04.620 Delivery is a very interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:47:04.620 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.076$ organ to study that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

00:47:06.080 --> 00:47:08.768 And you can see also accumulation of fat

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:47:08.768 \longrightarrow 00:47:11.399$ here with showing this like dark spot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00{:}47{:}11.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}14.240$ But why I want to why I want to illustrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:47:14.312 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.360$ you this model and why it's very interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:47:17.360 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.064$ This model is because you can

 $00:47:19.064 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.200$ actually start to mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00{:}47{:}20.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}22.420$ Then you can not only see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:47:22.420 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.076$ Diversity of two more sales by

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:47:24.076 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.760$ single seller and just get to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:47:25.760 \longrightarrow 00:47:27.725$ But you can actually interrogate

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00{:}47{:}27.725 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}29.794$ whether the two more unit for one

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:47:29.794 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.000$ cell that expanding a single clone of

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:47:32.000 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.058$ is coming from two different clones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:47:34.060 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.204$ And the thing that we are seeing now

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:47:36.204 \longrightarrow 00:47:38.260$ is preliminary is that many of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00{:}47{:}38.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}39.780$ two more oligoclonal or monoclonal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:47:39.780 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.656$ This is the right you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:47:41.656 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.677$ only two cells or maybe 2 patches

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:47:43.677 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.399$ and only in blue and yellow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:47:45.400 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.298$ suggesting that some of this too much

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00{:}47{:}48.298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}50.498$ pressure originated one or two cells.

 $00:47:50.500 \longrightarrow 00:47:53.464$ Doug knows the kissing status and

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:47:53.464 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.440$ started providing status started

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:47:55.522 \longrightarrow 00:47:57.916$ the United two more so in there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

00:47:57.920 --> 00:48:01.907 And we're using these kind of tools to map.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:48:01.910 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.010$ The the molecular mechanism how?

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:48:05.010 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.689$ How diet induce?

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

00:48:07.690 --> 00:48:09.626 Obviously this is actually a very nice model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

00:48:09.630 --> 00:48:11.470 Also to study metastasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:48:11.470 \longrightarrow 00:48:13.770$ The model can do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:48:13.770 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.052$ You can actually track all this Cape

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

00:48:16.052 --> 00:48:18.036 and track those cells in different

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:48:18.036 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.595$ colors to see where the mass is being

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00{:}48{:}20.595 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}22.618$ caused by the tumor's oriented in

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

00:48:22.618 --> 00:48:25.250 blue color or unity in jello color.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:48:25.250 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.743$ And then this can be a stand and be

 $00:48:27.743 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.328$ using for other kind of tumors as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:48:30.330 \longrightarrow 00:48:32.130$ Then one other thing that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:48:32.130 \longrightarrow 00:48:34.131$ trying to look here is OK where

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:48:34.131 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.734$ you know this could be important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

00:48:35.734 --> 00:48:36.886 That may drive this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:48:36.890 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.170$ and this is when we identify 55

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:48:39.170 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.890$ then then in the in the left panel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:48:41.890 \longrightarrow 00:48:43.038$ This is uhm again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:48:43.038 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.134$ So in the suppression of alpha fetal

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

00:48:45.134 --> 00:48:47.346 protein and this is a totally restricted

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00{:}48{:}47.346 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}49.649$ in most of all the content cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:48:49.649 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.753$ that is not actually expressed in

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:48:51.753 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.658$ this adult in the adult hepatocytes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:48:54.658 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.286$ Then if I will be 5,

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:48:57.290 \longrightarrow 00:48:59.618$ it's identified here as a very.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:48:59.620 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.414$ You know remarkable and very specific

 $00:49:01.414 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.741$ for this tumour cells and and you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:49:03.741 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.660$ actually interpret this in the cellar time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:49:05.660 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.028$ if I will be 5 here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:49:07.030 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.997$ When you import the seller time and

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:49:08.997 \longrightarrow 00:49:11.251$ put here the events in different colors

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:49:11.251 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.760$ again in these dots corresponds to

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

00:49:13.760 --> 00:49:17.240 the patricide in different diets and

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

00:49:17.240 --> 00:49:20.299 coming from from different animals with low,

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00{:}49{:}20.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}23.135$ high or directly from the Patella carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:49:23.140 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.165$ And you can see here that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

00:49:25.165 --> 00:49:27.475 expression of every five in healthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:49:27.475 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.968$ liver is pretty much nothing over.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:49:29.970 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.741$ Very lowest price,

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:49:30.741 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.909$ but then they start to be highly suppressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:49:32.909 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.811$ when when the match start developing

 $00:49:34.811 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.028$ tumors which is actually there came out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:49:37.030 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.262$ Very interesting for us because if you want

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:49:39.262 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.508$ to target something or silence something,

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:49:41.510 \longrightarrow 00:49:42.638$ it's better to silence

NOTE Confidence: 0.667202877047619

 $00:49:42.638 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.330$ something in the liver that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.80565626

00:49:44.386 --> 00:49:46.086 not expressing a healthy tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80565626

 $00{:}49{:}46.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}48.295$ Then you should expect a very low

NOTE Confidence: 0.80565626

00:49:48.295 --> 00:49:51.451 or non non off target effect or

NOTE Confidence: 0.80565626

 $00{:}49{:}51.451 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}53.050$ the the rapeutical intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80565626

00:49:53.050 --> 00:49:54.891 That is something is by expressing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80565626

 $00:49:54.891 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.995$ liver and maybe you are messing around

NOTE Confidence: 0.80565626

 $00:49:56.995 \longrightarrow 00:49:58.575$ with another different function that

NOTE Confidence: 0.80565626

 $00:49:58.575 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.408$ could be important for other things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80565626

 $00{:}50{:}00.410 \to 00{:}50{:}02.666$ And This is why it was very interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.80565626

 $00:50:02.666 \longrightarrow 00:50:04.830$ for us to follow at this target.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80565626

 $00:50:04.830 \longrightarrow 00:50:06.435$ Then then Jonathan went ahead

 $00:50:06.435 \longrightarrow 00:50:08.962$ here and try to identify also buy

NOTE Confidence: 0.80565626

 $00:50:08.962 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.670$ monistat demonstrate expression of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80565626

 $00:50:10.670 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.260 \text{ F } 55 \text{ in in in tomorrow's theses}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.80565626

 $00:50:13.260 \longrightarrow 00:50:15.356$ animals committee analysis so in very

NOTE Confidence: 0.80565626

 $00:50:15.356 \longrightarrow 00:50:17.186$ clearly here in the green color.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80565626

00:50:17.190 --> 00:50:19.556 The highest president of 85 and again

NOTE Confidence: 0.80565626

 $00:50:19.556 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.507$ highly restricted to 2 more when

NOTE Confidence: 0.80565626

 $00{:}50{:}21.507 \longrightarrow 00{:}50{:}23.253$ you compare with a healthy agent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80565626

 $00:50:23.260 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.732$ Healthy liver in the in the lower in

NOTE Confidence: 0.80565626

 $00:50:25.732 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.529$ the lower panel and again this this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80565626

00:50:29.530 --> 00:50:31.206 It's pretty much whistle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80565626

 $00:50:31.206 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.301$ so corroborated by Western blot

NOTE Confidence: 0.80565626

 $00{:}50{:}33.301 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}35.000$ analysis in the right place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80565626

 $00:50:35.000 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.010$ As I mentioned to you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80565626

00:50:36.010 --> 00:50:37.984 and this was very exciting and now

 $00:50:37.984 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.386$ when you go to the human teeth that

NOTE Confidence: 0.80565626

 $00{:}50{:}40.386 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}50{:}44.690$ you can see that but you know not only

NOTE Confidence: 0.80565626

 $00:50:44.690 \longrightarrow 00:50:48.320$ my mentioned before so caustic content.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80565626

 $00:50:48.320 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.451$ Has high levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80565626

 $00:50:49.451 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.060$ I think that's enough and not

NOTE Confidence: 0.80565626

 $00:50:52.060 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.260$ only is very elevated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80565626

 $00:50:54.260 \longrightarrow 00:50:57.442$ but also the overall survival of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80565626

 $00:50:57.442 \longrightarrow 00:51:00.394$ the persons with phenomenal at fast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80565626

 $00{:}51{:}00.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}02.105$ high levels of advice significantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.80565626

 $00:51:02.105 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.810$ diminished with those that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.80565626

 $00:51:03.866 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.630$ low expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.669405791875

 $00:51:07.400 \longrightarrow 00:51:10.217$ Then then well, what is 55 doing and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.669405791875

 $00:51:10.217 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.718$ when you start to see the territory?

NOTE Confidence: 0.669405791875

00:51:12.720 --> 00:51:16.972 I'm not only in the context of the cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.669405791875

 $00:51:16.972 \longrightarrow 00:51:18.676$ feel like another field,

NOTE Confidence: 0.669405791875

 $00:51:18.680 \longrightarrow 00:51:20.824$ you see that you know we have as

00:51:20.824 --> 00:51:22.983 many scenes and it's not clear still

NOTE Confidence: 0.669405791875

 $00{:}51{:}22.983 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}25.260$ is the mechanism of action that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.669405791875

 $00:51:25.260 \longrightarrow 00:51:27.228$ have passed in the tumor pressing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.669405791875

 $00:51:27.230 \longrightarrow 00:51:29.610$ Then I tell you be 5 and the renal name

NOTE Confidence: 0.669405791875

 $00{:}51{:}29.677 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}32.221$ was given like SVP 5 because it's highly

NOTE Confidence: 0.669405791875

 $00{:}51{:}32.221 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}34.584$ abundant in the epidermis is highly

NOTE Confidence: 0.669405791875

 $00:51:34.584 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.230$ expressed. Their win was was found.

NOTE Confidence: 0.669405791875

 $00:51:37.230 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.678$ Uhm, has been associated with multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.669405791875

 $00{:}51{:}39.678 \longrightarrow 00{:}51{:}40.990$ tumors, as I mentioned to you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.669405791875

 $00:51:40.990 \longrightarrow 00:51:43.909$ Long trusted and then the mechanism faction

NOTE Confidence: 0.669405791875

 $00:51:43.909 \longrightarrow 00:51:47.548$ that has been ascribed or if it be 5 is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.669405791875

 $00{:}51{:}47.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}49.965$ there are several one of them that

NOTE Confidence: 0.669405791875

 $00{:}51{:}49.965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}51.850$ there probably is the most established

NOTE Confidence: 0.669405791875

 $00:51:51.850 \longrightarrow 00:51:54.688$ is that the F B5 is a little chop

NOTE Confidence: 0.669405791875

00:51:54.688 --> 00:51:56.536 around that binds to this party.

00:51:58.550 --> 00:52:00.242 Particularly by Mary got it appears

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:52:00.242 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.079$ to be a very potent Liam for that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

00:52:03.079 --> 00:52:05.538 activate the people better then and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

00:52:05.538 --> 00:52:07.788 regulate fatty acid synthesis and storage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:52:07.790 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.926$ but also regulates a lot preparation as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00{:}52{:}10.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}12.906$ There were also a number of other papers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

00:52:12.910 --> 00:52:14.498 particularly in San Fran,

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:52:14.498 \longrightarrow 00:52:17.458$ and it appears that they studied the role

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00{:}52{:}17.458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}19.852$ of T cells die actually discovered at

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:52:19.852 \longrightarrow 00:52:22.890$ 55 is actually a mitochondrial protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00{:}52{:}22.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}24.810$ There that may be the transfer of

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:52:24.810 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.520$ fatty acids and it's important for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

00:52:27.520 --> 00:52:29.790 Christian morphology in the mitochondrion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00{:}52{:}29.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}31.760$ also controlling the fatty oxidation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:52:31.760 \longrightarrow 00:52:32.876$ Please dance as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:52:32.876 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.906$ And also there were a number of

 $00:52:34.906 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.070$ other papers out there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

00:52:36.070 --> 00:52:39.094 Point out that 55 can control

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

00:52:39.094 --> 00:52:42.350 actually ER and maybe content and

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:52:42.350 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.550$ therefore control translation control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:52:44.550 \longrightarrow 00:52:46.525$ Also the activation of standard

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:52:46.525 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.500$ transcription factor that resides in

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:52:48.566 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.718$ the ER and also may regulate as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:52:50.720 \longrightarrow 00:52:54.577$ The ER stress in in these cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:52:54.580 \longrightarrow 00:52:58.528$ Then then we have generated the

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

00:52:58.530 --> 00:53:00.105 conditional local mass model that

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

00:53:00.105 --> 00:53:02.748 now are on the diet we we don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:53:02.750 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.072$ We we're looking forward for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00{:}53{:}05.072 --> 00{:}53{:}05.846 \ \mathrm{genetic \ model},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00{:}53{:}05.850 \longrightarrow 00{:}53{:}08.978$ but in the mean time we call out my

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00{:}53{:}08.978 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}11.441$ Martin Passando Gemma who got a

 $00:53:11.441 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.835$ multimillion ground with a group in

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00{:}53{:}13.918 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}16.853$ Cold Spring Harbor to use the fighting

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:53:16.853 \longrightarrow 00:53:20.168$ hitters for treating prostate contact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:53:20.170 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.459$ Main character is so nice in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:53:22.459 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.880$ left the doctor organized the chair.

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:53:24.880 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.054$ Of that chemistry and they developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:53:28.054 \longrightarrow 00:53:30.511$ those specific incubators for 75.

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:53:30.511 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.195$ Then we call them Adam, basing the size.

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:53:33.195 \longrightarrow 00:53:35.470$ Large amount of this indicator for us

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:53:35.536 \longrightarrow 00:53:38.330$ for treating the HTC in this model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00{:}53{:}38.330 \longrightarrow 00{:}53{:}40.754$ Then the thing that we did here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:53:40.754 \longrightarrow 00:53:42.740$ treating blacks eat mice with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00{:}53{:}42.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}44.708$ high fat diet calling defeating diet

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:53:44.770 \longrightarrow 00:53:45.619$ for 12 months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

00:53:45.620 --> 00:53:47.654 then inject the inhibitor and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:53:47.654 \longrightarrow 00:53:50.194$ track the two more progression for the

 $00:53:50.194 \longrightarrow 00:53:54.820$ next demo and evaluate also potential tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:53:54.820 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.276$ And this is a data that was really incredible

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00{:}53{:}58.276 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}01.208$ for us because the the data was stunning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572 00:54:01.208 --> 00:54:01.904 I mean, NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

00:54:01.904 --> 00:54:04.795 we we we were not expecting such

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:54:04.795 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.119$ as a nice outcome in this model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:54:08.120 \longrightarrow 00:54:09.864$ The thing that you see here is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:54:09.864 \longrightarrow 00:54:11.922$ when you leave the mice to progress

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:54:11.922 \longrightarrow 00:54:13.487$ without treating or vehicle treated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00{:}54{:}13.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}15.618$ you see that again we were able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:54:15.618 \longrightarrow 00:54:17.477$ reproduce the data we saw previously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:54{:}17.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}20.680$ That about 50% of the mice developed tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00{:}54{:}20.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}23.950$ But when you treat these mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:54:23.950 \longrightarrow 00:54:26.421$ For the last three months and keeping

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

00:54:26.421 --> 00:54:29.850 the mice and I do see that the two more

 $00:54:29.850 \longrightarrow 00:54:31.690$ incidents in significantly reviews

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:54:31.690 \longrightarrow 00:54:34.726$ which we only observe only 6 of 20.

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:54:34.730 \longrightarrow 00:54:35.555$ Did not work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:54:35.555 \longrightarrow 00:54:37.480$ Even more remarkable is when we actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:54:37.531 \longrightarrow 00:54:39.667$ measure that replating anything this nice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572 00:54:39.670 --> 00:54:40.114 Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:54:40.114 \longrightarrow 00:54:41.890$ you can see that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:54:41.890 \longrightarrow 00:54:45.422$ There you see levels increase in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:54:45.422 \longrightarrow 00:54:47.366$ mice that progress towards the disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:54:47.370 \longrightarrow 00:54:50.610$ but those miles adapted with the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

00:54:50.610 --> 00:54:54.306 Incubator not only you stop the progression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00.54.54.306 \longrightarrow 00.54.56.308$ but you see also a regulation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:54:56.308 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.850$ these bodies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:54:56.850 \longrightarrow 00:54:59.866$ Then this telling us that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

00:54:59.866 --> 00:55:02.746 track price not only preventing

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:55:02.750 \longrightarrow 00:55:05.870$ but it's only private private from

 $00:55:05.870 \longrightarrow 00:55:07.526$ something that we had to study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00{:}55{:}07.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}09.840$ We had to do further studies with

NOTE Confidence: 0.545212474428572

 $00:55:09.840 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.236$ imaging and use my miles to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:55:13.240 \longrightarrow 00:55:16.240$ make this as a conclusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:55:16.240 \longrightarrow 00:55:19.417$ Then you Nathan did a number of studies here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00{:}55{:}19.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}21.695$ and this summarizing 3 or 4 slides.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:55:21.700 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.400$ But this is the pathway analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:55:24.400 \longrightarrow 00:55:27.930$ that he did in this tumor cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00{:}55{:}27.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}30.079$ This is coming also from the single

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00{:}55{:}30.079 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}31.459$ cell RNA transcriptomics as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

00:55:31.460 --> 00:55:34.658 Then this is actually restricted to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:55:34.660 \longrightarrow 00:55:38.531$ The the other side within the the phenomena.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00{:}55{:}38.531 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}41.128$ But things that you can see again is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:55:41.128 \longrightarrow 00:55:45.032$ You see also that the suppression of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:55:45.040 \longrightarrow 00:55:46.937$ five you see an increase in pressure.

 $00:55:46.940 \longrightarrow 00:55:48.684$ Someone living metabolism Bedok

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:55:48.684 \longrightarrow 00:55:51.690$ sedation things that you sit down in

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:55:51.690 \longrightarrow 00:55:54.194$ the model you start to see higher here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:55:54.200 \longrightarrow 00:55:58.780$ Uhm? He's also a number of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:55:58.780 \longrightarrow 00:56:01.676$ And all that analysis in in human cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:56:01.680 \longrightarrow 00:56:05.290$ Then this is the study that he did in

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

00:56:05.387 --> 00:56:08.968 in age who is 7 human local cinema line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

00:56:08.968 --> 00:56:14.158 And try to go even induce you know deeper

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:56:14.158 \longrightarrow 00:56:17.650$ study here where he treat with inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

00:56:17.650 --> 00:56:20.648 These tales for 48 hours and they are

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:56:20.648 \longrightarrow 00:56:23.088$ not sequencing analysis and again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:56:23.090 \longrightarrow 00:56:25.449$ He found many pathways that it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:56:25.449 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.876$ being out there and one of them that

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:56:27.876 \longrightarrow 00:56:30.130$ was remarkable clear is that they are

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

00:56:30.130 --> 00:56:32.809 stress and I'm going to show you some

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:56:32.809 \longrightarrow 00:56:34.429$ data regarding that thing appears

 $00:56:34.429 \longrightarrow 00:56:36.142$ to be significantly up regulated

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00{:}56{:}36.142 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}40.340$ in my city with the the typing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:56:40.340 \longrightarrow 00:56:43.176$ Then for the Penguin analysis, the the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:56:43.176 \longrightarrow 00:56:45.528$ These data suggest that this incubator

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:56:45.528 \longrightarrow 00:56:47.823$ influence or regulate the pool of

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:56:47.823 \longrightarrow 00:56:49.658$ industrial loan chain fatty acids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:56:49.660 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.495$ We are now doing a bit American

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00{:}56{:}52.495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}55.032$ alloces and those tumors and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00{:}56{:}55.032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}56.680$ that induced lipid peroxidation

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00{:}56{:}56.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}58.328$ and free radical accumulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:56:58.330 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.555$ Jonathan follow up is I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:56:59.555 \longrightarrow 00:57:00.780$ going to show you another,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00{:}57{:}00.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}03.020$ but he has also data in these cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:57:03.020 \longrightarrow 00:57:05.456$ proving that at the biochemical level

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:57:05.460 \longrightarrow 00:57:07.224$ and also so very clear data showing

 $00:57:07.224 \longrightarrow 00:57:08.792$ that the oxidative stress also in

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00{:}57{:}08.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}10.316$ the US here stressing these tales.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

00:57:10.320 --> 00:57:10.745 Unluckily,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:57:10.745 \longrightarrow 00:57:13.720$ this induced cell death in this match.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:57:13.720 \longrightarrow 00:57:16.254$ In there in these two more cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00.57:16.260 \longrightarrow 00.57:19.100$ This is the heat map from scientist pathways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:57:19.100 \longrightarrow 00:57:22.592$ You can see that the pair UTR padway being

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:57:22.592 \longrightarrow 00:57:24.831$ significantly unregulated in the mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

00:57:24.831 --> 00:57:26.179 And just to finish,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

00:57:26.180 --> 00:57:28.782 because I'm running out of time, yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00{:}57{:}28.782 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}32.226$ the last couple of slides this is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:57:32.230 \longrightarrow 00:57:34.414$ An example of some of the experiments

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:57:34.414 \longrightarrow 00:57:35.350$ he did here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:57:35.350 \longrightarrow 00:57:38.972$ and when we did with the cells and she

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:57:38.972 \longrightarrow 00:57:41.450$ very nicely over with very bad way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

00:57:41.450 --> 00:57:42.614 And not only that,

 $00:57:42.614 \longrightarrow 00:57:44.682$ but when he did also understanding here

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00{:}57{:}44.682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}46.826$ with PIE and also an accident to track.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:57:46.830 \longrightarrow 00:57:51.398$ I felt better so that their treatment with

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

00:57:51.398 --> 00:57:55.365 the SP FY103 in DSL data higher dose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

00:57:55.370 --> 00:57:55.676 Obviously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

00:57:55.676 --> 00:57:57.818 one thing that we're really must looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

00:57:57.818 --> 00:58:00.446 for ways to the data with the genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:58:00.446 \longrightarrow 00:58:02.450$ model that we're developing right now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:58:02.450 \longrightarrow 00:58:04.550$ we know that this inhibitor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:58:04.550 \longrightarrow 00:58:06.335$ despite you know they show a high

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

00:58:06.335 --> 00:58:07.444 efficacy and how specificity

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:58:07.444 \longrightarrow 00:58:09.160$ of inhibitor we know that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00{:}58{:}09.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}10.888$ can have some after that fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:58:10.890 \longrightarrow 00:58:13.098$ and we're trying to combine this with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:58:13.098 \longrightarrow 00:58:15.190$ genomic data just to demonstrate the role.

00:58:15.190 --> 00:58:17.914 Sucky role of fighting into more

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:58:17.914 \longrightarrow 00:58:19.690$ pressing then this is a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

 $00:58:19.690 \longrightarrow 00:58:21.626$ bit the summary of this of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.797661448

00:58:21.626 --> 00:58:23.294 work I'm doing so many things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.63618844

 $00:58:23.300 \longrightarrow 00:58:25.793$ but the thing that we. Trump

NOTE Confidence: 0.63618844

00:58:25.793 --> 00:58:27.958 carries that the suppression of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4711104252

 $00:58:30.050 \longrightarrow 00:58:33.210$ Mr accumulation of fatty acid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4711104252

 $00:58:33.210 \longrightarrow 00:58:35.454$ Resulting in increasing years, just new

NOTE Confidence: 0.4711104252

 $00{:}58{:}35.454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}37.858$ Paris Ponce leading to Apple classes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4711104252

 $00.58:37.858 \longrightarrow 00.58:41.706$ This is only like 50% on the part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.4711104252

 $00{:}58{:}41.706 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}44.615$ the story because the thing that he

NOTE Confidence: 0.4711104252

 $00:58:44.615 \longrightarrow 00:58:47.345$ Jonathan also observed here is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.4711104252

 $00:58:47.350 \longrightarrow 00:58:50.570$ not only the inhibitor has a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.4711104252

 $00:58:50.570 \longrightarrow 00:58:54.020$ important effect controlling the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4711104252

 $00:58:54.020 \longrightarrow 00:58:56.245$ And the cancer cell metabolism

NOTE Confidence: 0.4711104252

 $00{:}58{:}56.245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}58.470$ reducing this year stress and

 $00:58:58.546 \longrightarrow 00:59:00.986$ and dependent apoptosis in in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4711104252

 $00:59:00.986 \longrightarrow 00:59:03.446$ Directly related to other side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.4711104252

 $00:59:03.450 \longrightarrow 00:59:05.515$ but also he found a very interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.4711104252

 $00:59:05.515 \longrightarrow 00:59:07.639$ wire in the two Micron violent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4711104252

 $00:59:07.640 \longrightarrow 00:59:09.053$ In these tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4711104252

 $00:59:09.053 \longrightarrow 00:59:13.890$ One thing that was very clear for the single.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4711104252

00:59:13.890 --> 00:59:17.826 Analysis is that that would be 5 positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4711104252

00:59:17.830 --> 00:59:20.248 Macrophage has more kind of and

NOTE Confidence: 0.4711104252

 $00:59:20.248 \dashrightarrow 00:59:22.737$ inflammatory terms and then when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.4711104252

 $00:59:22.737 \longrightarrow 00:59:25.143$ actually suppress this you leave more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.4711104252

00:59:25.150 --> 00:59:27.382 The formation of 19 presentation failed

NOTE Confidence: 0.4711104252

 $00:59:27.382 \longrightarrow 00:59:29.919$ that they stimulate more T cells and this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.646646158235294

 $00{:}59{:}32.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}34.092$ Activation have working activity

NOTE Confidence: 0.646646158235294

 $00:59:34.092 \longrightarrow 00:59:36.828$ on these two more cells and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.646646158235294

 $00:59:36.828 \longrightarrow 00:59:38.880$ we we think that this inhibitor,

00:59:38.880 --> 00:59:40.300 which is actually very interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.646646158235294

 $00:59:40.300 \longrightarrow 00:59:41.820$ is working in different ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.646646158235294

 $00:59:41.820 \longrightarrow 00:59:44.550$ not only reacting in the counterfoils.

NOTE Confidence: 0.646646158235294

00:59:44.550 --> 00:59:48.033 But those show acting at the in the two

NOTE Confidence: 0.646646158235294

 $00:59:48.033 \longrightarrow 00:59:51.679$ more microenvironment at the level of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.646646158235294

 $00:59:51.680 \longrightarrow 00:59:54.356$ immune response within the two months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.646646158235294

 $00:59:54.360 \longrightarrow 00:59:56.592$ Then with this I would like to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.646646158235294

 $00:59:56.592 \longrightarrow 00:59:58.419$ finish the presentation again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.646646158235294

 $00:59:58.420 \longrightarrow 00:59:59.920$ I put them in capital letter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.646646158235294

 $00:59:59.920 \longrightarrow 01:00:02.720$ Jonathan served all the credit for this work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.646646158235294

 $01:00:02.720 \longrightarrow 01:00:05.904$ He took the challenge to do it and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.646646158235294

 $01:00:05.910 \longrightarrow 01:00:08.610$ Did many models to study this

NOTE Confidence: 0.646646158235294

01:00:08.610 --> 01:00:10.410 and employing novel technology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.646646158235294

 $01:00:10.410 \longrightarrow 01:00:12.797$ then then he really did the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.646646158235294

01:00:12.797 --> 01:00:14.873 person who decided credit for this

NOTE Confidence: 0.646646158235294

 $01{:}00{:}14.873 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}16.798$ work and also my laboratory has

01:00:16.798 --> 01:00:18.383 been actively collaborating all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.646646158235294

 $01:00:18.383 \longrightarrow 01:00:21.570$ time with the laboratory Suarez.

NOTE Confidence: 0.646646158235294

01:00:21.570 --> 01:00:23.634 I would like to thank so Steven and

NOTE Confidence: 0.646646158235294

 $01:00:23.634 \longrightarrow 01:00:25.791$ you Meow who are helping us with

NOTE Confidence: 0.646646158235294

01:00:25.791 --> 01:00:27.644 the Murphy's technology to map out

NOTE Confidence: 0.646646158235294

 $01:00:27.644 \longrightarrow 01:00:30.045$ the special task atomic level with

NOTE Confidence: 0.646646158235294

 $01:00:30.045 \longrightarrow 01:00:32.240$ this happening in these tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.646646158235294

01:00:32.240 --> 01:00:35.150 Also Rachel Berry City than

NOTE Confidence: 0.646646158235294

 $01:00:35.150 \longrightarrow 01:00:36.314$ unbelievable work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.646646158235294

01:00:36.320 --> 01:00:38.500 Stop trying to show that I'm doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.646646158235294

 $01:00:38.500 \longrightarrow 01:00:40.400$ the metabolic analysis that happen

NOTE Confidence: 0.646646158235294

 $01:00:40.400 \longrightarrow 01:00:42.541$ within these tumors with this pinned

NOTE Confidence: 0.646646158235294

 $01{:}00{:}42.541 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}44.501$ analysis that is doing in her lab

NOTE Confidence: 0.646646158235294

 $01:00:44.501 \longrightarrow 01:00:46.720$ is fantastic collaboration and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.646646158235294

01:00:46.720 --> 01:00:50.264 marketing Stony Brook for providing

01:00:50.264 --> 01:00:53.162 not only inhibitor but also the FTP

NOTE Confidence: 0.646646158235294

 $01:00:53.162 \longrightarrow 01:00:55.237$ Firefox miles that they developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.646646158235294

01:00:55.237 --> 01:00:57.960 in the laboratory and with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.646646158235294

 $01:00:57.960 \longrightarrow 01:00:59.439$ habit technique question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.646646158235294

01:00:59.440 --> 01:00:59.670 So NOTE Confidence: 0.946931856

01:00:59.680 --> 01:01:01.400 thank you very much, Carlos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946931856

 $01:01:01.400 \longrightarrow 01:01:03.338$ We're a little short on time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946931856

 $01:01:03.340 \longrightarrow 01:01:05.979$ but there are a couple of questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.946931856

 $01:01:05.979 \longrightarrow 01:01:09.000$ in and why don't we get to those?

NOTE Confidence: 0.946931856

 $01:01:09.000 \longrightarrow 01:01:11.457$ So the first is based on your mouse model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946931856

 $01:01:11.460 \longrightarrow 01:01:13.722$ Do you have any explanation why

NOTE Confidence: 0.946931856

01:01:13.722 --> 01:01:16.277 Nash related liver cancer is less

NOTE Confidence: 0.946931856

01:01:16.277 --> 01:01:18.221 responsive to tyrosine kinase

NOTE Confidence: 0.946931856

 $01:01:18.221 \longrightarrow 01:01:19.679$ inhibitors or immunotherapy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.946931856

 $01:01:19.680 \longrightarrow 01:01:21.400$ Then viral related patterns

NOTE Confidence: 0.946931856

 $01:01:21.400 \longrightarrow 01:01:22.690$ cited against her.

01:01:23.720 --> 01:01:25.028 Well, I think it's a great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803543863333334

01:01:25.030 --> 01:01:26.200 I think it's a great question

NOTE Confidence: 0.803543863333334

 $01:01:26.200 \longrightarrow 01:01:27.688$ and I think we will take note

NOTE Confidence: 0.803543863333334

01:01:27.688 --> 01:01:29.014 of that because I didn't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803543863333334

 $01:01:29.020 \longrightarrow 01:01:31.432$ But the thing that we see is a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.803543863333334

01:01:31.432 --> 01:01:33.560 strong component in the muni response

NOTE Confidence: 0.803543863333334

01:01:33.560 --> 01:01:35.990 in these tumors with high fat diet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803543863333334

 $01:01:35.990 \longrightarrow 01:01:38.018$ we don't think that they discuss

NOTE Confidence: 0.803543863333334

01:01:38.018 --> 01:01:39.987 actually with with Jonathan is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.803543863333334

01:01:39.987 --> 01:01:41.919 look into the other data from Michael,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803543863333334

 $01:01:41.920 \longrightarrow 01:01:44.476$ Karen and others that use models

NOTE Confidence: 0.803543863333334

 $01:01:44.476 \longrightarrow 01:01:46.596$ of cathedral in induced coma

NOTE Confidence: 0.803543863333334

 $01:01:46.596 \longrightarrow 01:01:48.260$ and just to compare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803543863333334

 $01:01:48.260 \longrightarrow 01:01:51.669$ where are the immune landscape in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.803543863333334

01:01:51.669 --> 01:01:55.088 tumors compared with the high fidelity user?

01:01:55.090 --> 01:01:57.267 Then, and this is a great question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803543863333334

 $01:01:57.270 \longrightarrow 01:02:01.715$ then about the map kinase we got.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803543863333334

01:02:01.720 --> 01:02:05.280 We got a pilot grants here at the L

NOTE Confidence: 0.803543863333334

01:02:05.280 --> 01:02:07.492 and we partner with Anthony Bennett

NOTE Confidence: 0.803543863333334

 $01:02:07.492 \longrightarrow 01:02:10.054$ who actually work in mechanics and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.803543863333334

 $01:02:10.054 \longrightarrow 01:02:13.129$ we are looking in this pilot for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.803543863333334

01:02:13.129 --> 01:02:14.900 transition between novelty and mass,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803543863333334

 $01:02:14.900 \longrightarrow 01:02:16.440$ but the part of the things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.803543863333334

 $01:02:16.440 \longrightarrow 01:02:17.993$ they are going to study is with

NOTE Confidence: 0.803543863333334

 $01:02:17.993 \longrightarrow 01:02:19.550$ issues that we have in this nice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803543863333334

 $01{:}02{:}19.550 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}21.538$ We're going to look how all these

NOTE Confidence: 0.803543863333334

01:02:21.538 --> 01:02:23.182 mechanics activity is being affected

NOTE Confidence: 0.803543863333334

 $01:02:23.182 \longrightarrow 01:02:25.017$ during the transition of friendliness

NOTE Confidence: 0.803543863333334

 $01:02:25.017 \longrightarrow 01:02:26.560$ and potentially in the cinema,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803543863333334

 $01:02:26.560 \longrightarrow 01:02:27.940$ but both both are great questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.803543863333334

 $01:02:27.940 \longrightarrow 01:02:29.469$ and we are looking into that.

01:02:30.160 --> 01:02:33.715 And in that question was from her to Chow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.636165254444444

 $01{:}02{:}33.720 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}36.776$ and this is from Claire Flannery great talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.636165254444444

01:02:36.780 --> 01:02:39.522 Thank you. We're experiments for HTC

NOTE Confidence: 0.636165254444444

 $01:02:39.522 \longrightarrow 01:02:41.790$ development done in female mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.636165254444444

 $01:02:41.790 \longrightarrow 01:02:44.598$ If so, were there any difference in HTC

NOTE Confidence: 0.636165254444444

 $01:02:44.598 \longrightarrow 01:02:46.749$ development time relative to male mice?

NOTE Confidence: 0.618185414

 $01:02:47.280 \longrightarrow 01:02:48.522$ Well, great question also and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.618185414

 $01:02:48.522 \longrightarrow 01:02:49.944$ think and I think it's a great

NOTE Confidence: 0.618185414

01:02:49.944 --> 01:02:51.066 question because you know today

NOTE Confidence: 0.618185414

01:02:51.066 --> 01:02:52.518 that the user not granted NIH.

NOTE Confidence: 0.618185414

 $01:02:52.520 \longrightarrow 01:02:54.080$ You have to have both. Then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865495698571429

 $01:02:55.380 \longrightarrow 01:02:56.486$ Then I want to point out that

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

 $01:02:56.500 \longrightarrow 01:02:58.215$ we did this experiment with with money

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

 $01:02:58.215 \longrightarrow 01:03:00.178$ that it was not supported by grants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

 $01:03:00.180 \longrightarrow 01:03:01.800$ And obviously I mean you can.

 $01:03:01.800 \longrightarrow 01:03:03.520$ You can analyze the standard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

01:03:03.520 --> 01:03:04.940 The study take two years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

 $01:03:04.940 \longrightarrow 01:03:07.685$ but I'm going to be short in the answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

 $01:03:07.690 \longrightarrow 01:03:09.300$ We need only in males,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

 $01:03:09.300 \longrightarrow 01:03:11.040$ but will be extremely interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

 $01:03:11.040 \longrightarrow 01:03:12.780$ to do in females then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

 $01{:}03{:}12.780 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}14.876$ Then we did some experiments in female there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

 $01:03:14.880 \longrightarrow 01:03:16.710$ Rainbow studies were done in females

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

 $01{:}03{:}16.710 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}19.241$ and the two more incidents actually in

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

 $01:03:19.241 \longrightarrow 01:03:21.737$ females are significantly lower than males.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

01:03:21.740 --> 01:03:24.305 OK, then you have to wait even like two

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

 $01:03:24.305 \longrightarrow 01:03:26.612$ years in high fat diet and the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

 $01:03:26.612 \longrightarrow 01:03:29.390$ extent is not the thing that you see in then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

 $01:03:29.390 \longrightarrow 01:03:31.130$ It's difference in male and females.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

 $01:03:31.130 \longrightarrow 01:03:34.603$ This has been shown this in modern cinema.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

01:03:34.603 --> 01:03:36.660 In mice I I know, I know,

01:03:36.660 --> 01:03:38.375 much aware about the literature in human,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

 $01{:}03{:}38.380 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}40.900$ I should be more aware now after I

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

01:03:40.900 --> 01:03:43.252 sent him again, I will read more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

 $01:03:43.252 \longrightarrow 01:03:46.750$ but but at least in mouse models OK?

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

 $01:03:46.750 \longrightarrow 01:03:50.224$ Because being shown that in in the in

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

 $01:03:50.224 \longrightarrow 01:03:53.471$ other models the females asked happen

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

 $01:03:53.471 \longrightarrow 01:03:56.727$ here develop significantly as less tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

 $01{:}03{:}56.727 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}00.066$ and this has been associated in part

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

 $01:04:00.070 \longrightarrow 01:04:02.968$ to the adipose tissue production of

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

 $01:04:02.968 \longrightarrow 01:04:05.450$ adiponectin and another or modes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

 $01:04:05.450 \longrightarrow 01:04:07.226$ Then it's not clear whether this

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

01:04:07.226 --> 01:04:08.410 is translated to human,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

 $01{:}04{:}08.410 --> 01{:}04{:}10.601$ but looks at all the depots that

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

 $01:04:10.601 \longrightarrow 01:04:12.645$ are different fat depots that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

 $01:04:12.645 \longrightarrow 01:04:14.761$ different in male and female appears

 $01:04:14.761 \longrightarrow 01:04:17.107$ to be affecting the hormone secretion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

01:04:17.110 --> 01:04:19.590 It has an impact on the tumor formation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

01:04:19.590 --> 01:04:20.714 at least in mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

 $01:04:20.714 \longrightarrow 01:04:23.210$ Then then it's a great question we should do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

 $01:04:23.210 \longrightarrow 01:04:25.149$ We have the rainbow Maes was done

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

 $01:04:25.149 \longrightarrow 01:04:27.218$ in females and This is why I tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

 $01:04:27.218 \longrightarrow 01:04:29.077$ you that in this model the two

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

01:04:29.077 --> 01:04:30.742 more incidences is less, but yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

01:04:30.742 --> 01:04:32.038 I mean we should actually try

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571

 $01:04:32.038 \longrightarrow 01:04:33.009$ to do them more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786601756428571 01:04:33.010 --> 01:04:33.260 yeah?

NOTE Confidence: 0.845287186

 $01:04:34.090 \longrightarrow 01:04:35.240$ Well, there are other questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845287186

 $01{:}04{:}35.240 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}36.703$ but I think we're gonna have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.845287186

 $01:04:36.703 \longrightarrow 01:04:38.030$ end because it's five after one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63971587

 $01:04:38.280 \longrightarrow 01:04:40.416$ You guys can send me by my email.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63971587

01:04:40.420 --> 01:04:42.048 Yeah, thank you. Yeah

 $01:04:42.460 \longrightarrow 01:04:43.870$ thanks thanks so much thanks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.755850848

 $01{:}04{:}43.870 \longrightarrow 01{:}04{:}45.660$ Thanks to thank you Carlos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.755850848

 $01{:}04{:}45.660 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}47.928$ And thanks to both of our speakers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.755850848

 $01:04:47.930 \longrightarrow 01:04:48.930$ See you all next week.