WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:42:00"

NOTE recognizability:0.833

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

 $00{:}00{:}00{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}04.080$ The Yale Smilow Cancer Center CME

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

 $00{:}00{:}04.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}07.160$ event on head and neck cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

 $00{:}00{:}07{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}09{.}337$ We are really happy to have you

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

 $00{:}00{:}09{.}337 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}12.596$ all join us and we're very happy to

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

 $00:00:12.596 \rightarrow 00:00:14.396$ have these illustrious speakers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

 $00:00:14.400 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.794$ It's an interesting topic that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

 $00{:}00{:}16.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}19.859$ think I hope you find interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

00:00:19.860 --> 00:00:20.670 I mean, you're logging in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

 $00:00:20.670 \rightarrow 00:00:22.540$ you saw the program, so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

00:00:22.540 --> 00:00:25.540 you know, obviously you do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

 $00{:}00{:}25.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}28.540$ This is interesting because our

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

 $00{:}00{:}28.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}31.076$ speakers in talking about redefining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

 $00:00:31.076 \dashrightarrow 00:00:33.980$ Resectability and head and neck cancer.

 $00:00:33.980 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.744$ None of them are actually had an

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

 $00{:}00{:}35{.}744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}36{.}979$ extra cancer surgeons, interestingly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

 $00:00:36.979 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.853$ But I think that's just speaks

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

 $00:00:39.853 \rightarrow 00:00:42.601$ to the importance of having a

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

 $00:00:42.601 \rightarrow 00:00:45.061$ multidisciplinary team in some of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

 $00{:}00{:}45{.}061 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}48{.}216$ most challenging head neck cancer cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

 $00{:}00{:}48.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}52.534$ So we have 4 speakers today and our

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

00:00:52.534 --> 00:00:56.536 first talk is by Doctor Mandell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

00:00:56.540 --> 00:00:58.988 He is a professor of neurosurgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

 $00:00:58.990 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.730$ executive vice chair and

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

 $00:01:00.730 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.470$ the director of Yales.

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

 $00:01:02.470 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.005$ Spine oncology program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

00:01:05.005 --> 00:01:07.540 Spine tumor program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

 $00:01:07.540 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.479$ He's going to be speaking to us

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

 $00{:}01{:}09{.}479 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}10{.}804$ about the surgical management

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

 $00:01:10.804 \rightarrow 00:01:12.892$ of head and neck spine tumors.

 $00{:}01{:}12{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}14{.}556$ We often look at these types of tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

00:01:14.560 --> 00:01:15.988 We look at their imaging and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

 $00:01:15.988 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.178$ kind of shriek and we wonder

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

 $00:01:17.178 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.600$ what to do as head next to it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

 $00:01:18.600 \rightarrow 00:01:20.166$ And even some of the, you know, the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

 $00{:}01{:}20.166 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}21.498$ the advanced head next turn would

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

00:01:21.498 --> 00:01:23.038 do some of the craziest surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

 $00:01:23.040 \rightarrow 00:01:25.196$ But when it starts involving the spine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

 $00{:}01{:}25{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}27{.}225$ we often start thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

 $00:01:27.225 \rightarrow 00:01:28.440$ unresectable ability palliative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

00:01:28.440 --> 00:01:30.804 But we're going to hear doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

 $00:01:30.804 \dashrightarrow 00:01:32.380$ Mandell's perspective on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

00:01:32.380 --> 00:01:32.920 Thank you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.691941887142857

00:01:32.920 --> 00:01:33.460 doctor Mendel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00{:}01{:}34{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}37{.}940$ Thank you, guys. So thank you sell

 $00:01:37.940 \rightarrow 00:01:41.640$ for inviting me to to give this talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00{:}01{:}41{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}43{.}943$ I've asked to talk about the surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00:01:43.943 \dashrightarrow 00:01:46.169$ management of head and explaine tumors. NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00:01:46.170 \dashrightarrow 00:01:48.654$ So Justin, I wanted to start with a couple NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00:01:48.654 \rightarrow 00:01:51.436$ of generic slides in a sense that spine

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

00:01:51.436 --> 00:01:54.293 tumors involving all cancer types and can NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00{:}01{:}54.293 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}56.468$ be aggressive and debilitating causing

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

00:01:56.468 --> 00:01:58.820 significant amount of pain and rapid

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00{:}01{:}58.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}00{.}348$ development of neurological problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00{:}02{:}00{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}02{.}380$ So especially when it comes to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00{:}02{:}02{:}02{:}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}04{.}522$ neck cervical problems, patient can

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

00:02:04.522 --> 00:02:07.066 progress to paraparesis paralysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00:02:07.070 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.570$ typically it can affect arms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

00:02:08.570 --> 00:02:10.114 legs, bowel, bladder fraction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00:02:10.114 \dashrightarrow 00:02:12.044$ increase all kind of problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00:02:12.050 \rightarrow 00:02:13.964$ And so the uniqueness of spine

 $00:02:13.964 \rightarrow 00:02:16.090$ oncology is that we're dealing with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

00:02:16.090 --> 00:02:18.234 All type of cancers and a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00:02:18.234 \rightarrow 00:02:20.059$ these cancers ended up in the neck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00{:}02{:}20.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}22.492$ So some of that wanted to start with

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00{:}02{:}22{.}492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}24{.}570$ these crazy slide at once I put

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00{:}02{:}24.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}26.352$ together and that is operative decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00:02:26.352 \dashrightarrow 00:02:28.960$ of algorithm of how to manage a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00:02:29.025 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.017$ of these problems as so mentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00{:}02{:}31{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}32.676$ you start seeing these lesions in

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00:02:32.676 \rightarrow 00:02:34.520$ the neck like what are you going

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00:02:34.520 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.386$ to do and all of these things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

00:02:36.386 --> 00:02:38.294 you see here and maybe not a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00{:}02{:}38{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}41{.}474$ science into what we do makes the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00{:}02{:}41.474 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}43.064$ decision making it very difficult

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00:02:43.064 \rightarrow 00:02:45.127$ and not just that there's actually

 $00:02:45.127 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.173$ a paper that published that the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00{:}02{:}47.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}49.916$ Impact of weekend hospital admissions on

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00:02:49.916 \rightarrow 00:02:52.520$ the timing of intervention and outcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00:02:52.520 \rightarrow 00:02:55.005$ after surgery shows that if we get.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00:02:55.010 \dashrightarrow 00:02:58.349$ Called for tumour cases on a Friday,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

00:02:58.350 --> 00:02:59.256 Saturday or Sunday.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00{:}02{:}59{.}256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}01{.}068$ Sometimes we don't do the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

00:03:01.068 --> 00:03:02.986 thing if we get called on a Monday,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00{:}03{:}02{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}05{.}780$ Tuesday or Wednesday.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

00:03:05.780 --> 00:03:06.390 So anyway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00:03:06.390 \dashrightarrow 00:03:08.220$ what's the uniqueness about this patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

00:03:08.220 --> 00:03:09.420 population? You all know about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00:03:09.420 \dashrightarrow 00:03:11.079$ I'm gonna go these streets very quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00:03:11.080 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.952$ These are sick patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00:03:12.952 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.760$ There's a long list of issues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00:03:15.760 \rightarrow 00:03:17.720$ including specifically to the spine,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316
- $00:03:17.720 \rightarrow 00:03:20.340$ unlikely fusion, poor bone quality,

 $00{:}03{:}20{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}23{.}196$ hardware failure, and then timing of surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00:03:23.200 \rightarrow 00:03:25.376$ When is the right time to do surgery?

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00:03:25.380 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.532$ These are all things that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00:03:26.532 \rightarrow 00:03:27.560$ going to go very fast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00{:}03{:}27{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}29{.}530$ But these patients are immunocompromised

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00:03:29.530 \rightarrow 00:03:31.500$ because of decreased white cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00{:}03{:}31{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}33{.}276$ There's issues with infected

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00:03:33.276 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.608$ vertebroplasty is now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00:03:34.610 \rightarrow 00:03:37.368$ Their nutritional status is not that great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00:03:37.370 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.405$ They have problems with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00{:}03{:}38{.}405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}39{.}870$ fact that they are on steroids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

00:03:39.870 - 00:03:42.390 which leads to all kind of, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00{:}03{:}42.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}44.790$ side effects associated with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316

 $00:03:44.790 \dashrightarrow 00:03:48.759$ A lot of these patients are coagulopathic.

- 00:03:48.760 --> 00:03:51.238 And from our point of view,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316
- 00:03:51.240 --> 00:03:51.514 uh,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316
- $00:03:51.514 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.432$ a lot of these cases require a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316
- $00:03:53.432 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.997$ plastic closure secondary to the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316
- $00{:}03{:}54{.}997 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}56{.}905$ fact that these patients may have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316
- $00{:}03{:}56{.}905 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}58{.}660$ already previously been radiated.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316
- $00:03:58.660 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.316$ They may need flaps,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316
- $00:04:00.316 \rightarrow 00:04:03.400$ they may need the vascularized bone grafts.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316
- $00:04:03.400 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.295$ Sometimes these wounds are big
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316
- 00:04:05.295 00:04:07.840 issues for us in spine surgery.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316
- $00{:}04{:}07{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}11.016$ This is a patient who had a sarcoma
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316
- $00:04:11.020 \rightarrow 00:04:13.820$ involving the posterior neck muscles
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316
- $00{:}04{:}13.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}16.160$ that's been resected locally three or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316
- 00:04:16.160 --> 00:04:18.840 four or five times and ultimately.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316
- 00:04:18.840 --> 00:04:19.326 Uh,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316
- $00:04:19.326 \dashrightarrow 00:04:22.242$ this cancer have invaded all the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316
- $00{:}04{:}22{.}242 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}24{.}540$ power musculature off the neck.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316
- $00{:}04{:}24{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}26{.}238$ The spine itself was not involved,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316
- $00:04:26.240 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.298$ but all the muscle itself were
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316
- $00:04:28.298 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.357$ involved with the tumor and we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316
- $00:04:30.357 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.585$ ended up resecting it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316
- $00{:}04{:}31{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}33{.}072$ And this is the kind of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845324316
- $00{:}04{:}33.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}34.060$ hardware that we ended
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077
- $00:04:34.115 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.077$ up needing to put there because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077
- 00:04:36.077 --> 00:04:37.385 all the posterior musculature
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077
- $00:04:37.445 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.107$ has been removed from the neck.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077
- 00:04:39.110 --> 00:04:40.482 So clearly, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077
- $00:04:40.482 \dashrightarrow 00:04:43.707$ this is some of the stuff that we can do,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077
- $00:04:43.710 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.733$ but we need our colleagues to be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077
- $00{:}04{:}45{.}733 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}47{.}722$ able to close this and actually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077
- $00:04:47.722 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.487$ publish the paper with the.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

00:04:49.490 --> 00:04:50.238 Plastic colleagues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00{:}04{:}50{.}238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}53{.}230$ Ian Valeria is right now the head of

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00:04:53.298 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.701$ plastic at the at the MGH that NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

00:04:55.701 --> 00:04:58.672 it is a multidisciplinary approach for

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00:04:58.672 \rightarrow 00:05:00.780$ complex oncological spine coverage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

00:05:00.780 --> 00:05:03.896 A lot of these cases require really a big NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00:05:03.896 \rightarrow 00:05:05.856$ consultation with our plastic colleagues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

00:05:05.860 - 00:05:08.476 So when it comes to to spine tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00{:}05{:}08{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}10{.}418$ the two kinds that we're talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00{:}05{:}10.418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}12.105$ about are the metastatic spine

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00{:}05{:}12.105 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}14.097$ tumors and the primary bone tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00:05:14.100 \rightarrow 00:05:16.900$ They are very distinct different

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00:05:16.900 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.020$ surgical plans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00{:}05{:}18{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}20{.}274$ If it's primary tumors then really we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00:05:20.274 \rightarrow 00:05:22.469$ trying to cure the patient of cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00:05:22.469 \rightarrow 00:05:24.639$ by removing the cancer or at least

 $00:05:24.639 \rightarrow 00:05:27.096$ the long term survival if it's metastatic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00:05:27.100 \longrightarrow 00:05:28.700$ the plan is palliation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

00:05:28.700 --> 00:05:30.300 Adjuvant therapy for primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00{:}05{:}30{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}32{.}700$ is very limited for metastatic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00:05:32.700 \rightarrow 00:05:34.656$ There is some adjuvant therapy available

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00{:}05{:}34.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}37.437$ and then when it comes to the surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00:05:37.437 \rightarrow 00:05:39.212$ technique for primary bone tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

00:05:39.220 -> 00:05:40.648 we're really trying to figure out

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00{:}05{:}40.648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}42.809$ a way not to get into the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00:05:42.809 \rightarrow 00:05:44.249$ itself so we minimize spillage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00:05:44.250 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.222$ And potential recurrence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00{:}05{:}45{.}222 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}47{.}166$ So we need to clean margins

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00{:}05{:}47.166 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}48.679$ around it versus metastatic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00{:}05{:}48.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}50.395$ which is really most of

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00:05:50.395 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.424$ the time intralesional.

 $00:05:51.430 \rightarrow 00:05:55.686$ Even though this is not a neck case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00{:}05{:}55{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}58{.}917$ I wanted to present it just for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

00:05:58.920 --> 00:05:59.829 Explaining this principle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00:05:59.829 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.656$ this is a 51 year old who comes in

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00:06:02.656 \dashrightarrow 00:06:04.582$ with one week of progressive foot

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00{:}06{:}04.582 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}06.800$ weakness and bound bladder dysfunction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00:06:06.800 \rightarrow 00:06:09.906$ And you can see right here that there is a a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00:06:09.906 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.102$ a lesion in the sacrum that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00{:}06{:}12.102 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}14.300$ filling up the sacral canal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00{:}06{:}14.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}16.071$ The question is whether to take these

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00{:}06{:}16.071 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}17.975$ guys to surgery because there's bound

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00:06:17.975 \rightarrow 00:06:19.795$ bladder incontinence and foot weakness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

00:06:19.800 --> 00:06:22.005 This patient was take it for an

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00:06:22.005 \rightarrow 00:06:23.562$ emergent decompression of the nerve

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00:06:23.562 \rightarrow 00:06:25.576$ roots and you can see a few more cuts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00:06:25.580 \rightarrow 00:06:27.000$ but the intraoperative biopsy

- NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077
- 00:06:27.000 --> 00:06:28.775 came back as cancer sarcoma,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077
- $00:06:28.780 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.820$ which is a primary bone.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077
- 00:06:30.820 --> 00:06:33.226 These primary bone tumors require unblocker
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077
- $00:06:33.226 \rightarrow 00:06:36.300$ section and not any traditional resection.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077
- $00:06:36.300 \rightarrow 00:06:38.160$ Where you're getting into the tumor,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077
- $00:06:38.160 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.448$ it's over this, this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077
- $00:06:39.448 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.736$ There's no clean margins.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077
- $00:06:40.740 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.660$ This tumor is going to recur.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077
- $00{:}06{:}42.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}44.320$ The clearly the patient symptoms
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077
- $00:06:44.320 \rightarrow 00:06:45.980$ got better after the decompression.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077
- $00:06:45.980 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.726$ The bowel,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077
- $00{:}06{:}46.726$ --> $00{:}06{:}48.218$ bladder symptoms got better,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077
- $00:06:48.220 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.080$ the food weakness got better,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077
- $00{:}06{:}50{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}52{.}551$ but the patient ended up coming back
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077
- $00{:}06{:}52{.}551 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}54{.}937$ complaining of some boldness and he says
- NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00:06:54.937 \rightarrow 00:06:57.399$ the school is sticking out of my back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

00:06:57.400 --> 00:06:58.640 Can you revise it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00:06:58.640 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.500$ And this is how he presented.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00:07:00.500 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.622$ And you can see this little

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00:07:01.622 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.928$ bump in the back of his back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00{:}07{:}02{.}930$ --> $00{:}07{:}05{.}042$ There was a year after his surgery and

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00:07:05.042 \dashrightarrow 00:07:06.837$ that bumped you can see in the red.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00{:}07{:}06.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}09.584$ You know was not as cool poking up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00{:}07{:}09{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}13{.}094$ This is a recurrence of tumor for an

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00{:}07{:}13.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}14.750$ unfortunate intralesional resection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00{:}07{:}14.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}16.829$ The transferring rendering

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00:07:16.829 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.908$ having cancer forever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00:07:18.910 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.170$ The only chance that he had

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00:07:20.170 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.430$ was with his first surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00{:}07{:}21.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}24.419$ He also had a broken rod that

NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077

 $00:07:24.419 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.673$ ultimately require removing this bump

- NOTE Confidence: 0.840470364423077
- $00:07:26.673 \rightarrow 00:07:29.367$ Unblocker section of this little mass,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375
- $00{:}07{:}29{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}31{.}730$ knowing that it's not going to be curative,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375
- $00:07:31.730 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.061$ and then devising is construct and then
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375
- 00:07:34.061 --> 00:07:36.740 doing a huge flap to be able to close
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375
- $00{:}07{:}36.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}38.969$ this one in order to clean this up.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375
- 00:07:38.970 --> 00:07:41.437 So I call it Bo, the triple W,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375
- 00:07:41.437 --> 00:07:43.171 the wrong operation on the wrong
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375
- $00:07:43.171 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.647$ patient by the wrong surgeon.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375
- 00:07:44.650 --> 00:07:46.046 Sometimes you gotta know
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375
- 00:07:46.046 --> 00:07:48.140 what it is you you doing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375
- 00:07:48.140 --> 00:07:49.694 And from our point of view
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375
- $00{:}07{:}49.694 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}50.730$ is no surgeons sometimes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375
- $00{:}07{:}50.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}53.285$ sometimes we put such a huge emphasis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375
- $00:07:53.285 \dashrightarrow 00:07:55.294$ on the neurological aspect, they've
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375
- $00:07:55.294 \rightarrow 00:07:57.658$ bowel bladder incontinence or foot weakness.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00:07:57.660 \dashrightarrow 00:07:59.721$ But a lot of these cases you got to

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00{:}07{:}59{.}721 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}01{.}628$ think about is an oncologist first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00:08:01.630 \rightarrow 00:08:03.374$ When it comes to knowing whether you dealing

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00:08:03.374 \rightarrow 00:08:05.090$ with the primary tumor or made a study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

00:08:05.090 --> 00:08:06.430 you gotta know the biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00:08:06.430 \dashrightarrow 00:08:08.817$ you gotta know what the results are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00:08:08.820 \rightarrow 00:08:11.158$ This always will allow you to establish

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00:08:11.158 \rightarrow 00:08:13.679$ whether you're dealing with the primary bone

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00{:}08{:}13.679 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}15.504$ tumor versus versus metastatic disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00:08:15.510 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.118$ This is a patient with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

00:08:17.118 --> 00:08:18.190 codoma quote UN quote.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

00:08:18.190 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:08:20.404 It was read they didn't wanna do a biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00:08:20.410 \dashrightarrow 00:08:22.588$ but this it turns out to be an ependymoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00:08:22.588 \rightarrow 00:08:24.750$ which was a completely different scenario.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00:08:24.750 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.004$ So how do you do these biopsies?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00:08:27.010 \rightarrow 00:08:29.188$ You can see right here in the neck a

- NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375
- $00:08:29.188 \rightarrow 00:08:30.959$ very large cervical with suspicious
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375
- $00{:}08{:}30{.}959 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}33{.}588$ of the cervical called DOMA and in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375
- $00{:}08{:}33.588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}35.784$ the sacrum and other large suspicious
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375
- $00{:}08{:}35{.}784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}36{.}882$ of cervical chordoma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375
- $00:08:36.890 \dashrightarrow 00:08:39.730$ You do not want to do a biopsy to the mouth.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375
- $00:08:39.730 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.746$ The the biopsy person are going
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375
- $00:08:41.746 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.345$ to look through the path of least
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375
- $00{:}08{:}44{.}345 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}45{.}925$ resistance and the shortest.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375
- $00{:}08{:}45{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}46{.}171$ Path,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375
- $00:08:46.171 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.340$ they obviously would like to go to the mouth.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375
- $00:08:48.340 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.318$ It's right there.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375
- $00{:}08{:}49{.}318 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}51{.}274$ And if you suspect that it's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375
- 00:08:51.274 --> 00:08:52.959 a primary bone tumor,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375
- $00{:}08{:}52{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}54{.}794$ don't go through the mouth because we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375
- $00{:}08{:}54.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}56.820$ can't resect it in an unblocked fashion.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00:08:56.820 \rightarrow 00:08:58.640$ That track is going to be contaminated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00:08:58.640 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.626$ so either go from the back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00:09:00.630 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.126$ Uh, and stay very close to the midline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00:09:03.130 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.066$ Here is what we do when we mark

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00:09:05.066 \rightarrow 00:09:05.550$ these incision,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00:09:05.550 \dashrightarrow 00:09:08.203$ these biopsy tracks we can get around NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00{:}09{:}08{.}203 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}10{.}408$ include the track with the specimen

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00:09:10.408 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.189$ is a couple of examples on the sake

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

00:09:13.189 --> 00:09:15.547 of chordomas where the track site

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00{:}09{:}15{.}547 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}17{.}418$ is included within the resection

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00{:}09{:}17{.}418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}19{.}826$ itself and then the track and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00:09:19.826 \dashrightarrow 00:09:21.960$ sacrum here came out in one piece.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00:09:21.960 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.535$ Stay away from having putting

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00:09:23.535 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.110$ those needles very far away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00:09:25.110 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.000$ Make sure that you're either posterior

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00:09:27.000 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.159$ or very close to the midline so

- NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375
- 00:09:29.159 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:09:30.839 that you can reset the track.

 $00:09:30.840 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.956$ With the biopsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

00:09:31.956 --> 00:09:34.188 So again these are the primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00:09:34.188 \rightarrow 00:09:36.218$ versus the metastatic disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00:09:36.220 \rightarrow 00:09:38.348$ Always think when it comes to surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00{:}09{:}38{.}348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}40{.}335$ whether this is an unblocker section

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00:09:40.335 \rightarrow 00:09:42.701$ for primary bone tumors when the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00{:}09{:}42.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}45.140$ borders are not violated or whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00:09:45.140 \dashrightarrow 00:09:46.760$ you do intralesional resection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

00:09:46.760 --> 00:09:49.344 Metastatic lesions are typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00:09:49.344 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.636$ intralesional resections.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00:09:50.640 \dashrightarrow 00:09:53.120$ It's a very prominent disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

00:09:53.120 --> 00:09:54.764 1.2 millions a year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00:09:54.764 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.819$ about half death per year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00:09:56.820 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.500$ It's a major cause of death

 $00:09:58.500 \rightarrow 00:10:00.060$ complication due to metastatic disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

00:10:00.060 --> 00:10:01.824 and the skeletal system is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00:10:01.824 \rightarrow 00:10:03.000$ third most common site.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00:10:03.000 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.872$ The spine is the most common

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00{:}10{:}04.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}06.120$ sight of skeletal Mets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00{:}10{:}06{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}08{.}352$ and as many as 90% of cancer patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.88869646375

 $00:10:08.352 \rightarrow 00:10:10.620$ will have spinal metastases and up to

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

 $00{:}10{:}10{.}682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}12{.}824$ 30 of those will have neck pain

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

 $00:10:12.824 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.220$ or some neurological issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

 $00:10:14.220 \rightarrow 00:10:16.380$ So here's a case that the Charles actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

 $00{:}10{:}16{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}18{.}498$ is very familiar with and I thought,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

 $00{:}10{:}18{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}20{.}378$ well, this might as well presented.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

 $00:10:20.380 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.468$ This is a 67 year old lady who has.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

 $00{:}10{:}22{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}24{.}648$ How would cancer start having significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

00:10:24.648 --> 00:10:27.298 amount of neck pain and radicular pain?

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

 $00:10:27.300 \rightarrow 00:10:29.316$ And you can see right here on the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- $00{:}10{:}29{.}316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}31{.}203$ X-rays that there is a pathologic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- $00:10:31.203 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.853$ fracture of the cervical spine.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- $00:10:32.860 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.317$ She's unable to move her neck well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- $00:10:35.320 \rightarrow 00:10:36.968$ she's already having radiculopathy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- $00{:}10{:}36{.}968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}39{.}440$ and this is clearly a metastatic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- $00:10:39.507 \rightarrow 00:10:41.567$ disease related to thyroid cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- 00:10:41.570 --> 00:10:42.970 Yeah, what are you gonna do about it?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- $00:10:42.970 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.780$ We obviously not going for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- $00:10:44.780 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.228$ a curative disease here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- $00:10:46.230 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.164$ but pain is an indication for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- 00:10:49.164 --> 00:10:51.697 surgery and neurological issues many
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- $00{:}10{:}51{.}697 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}54{.}167$ times is indication for surgery.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- $00:10:54.170 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.310$ You can see the MRI,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- $00{:}10{:}55{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}57{.}158$ there is code compression.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- $00:10:57.158 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.468$ The body is involved with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

 $00:10:59.468 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.326$ tumor proven as thyroid cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

 $00:11:01.326 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.420$ The the the the spinal

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

 $00:11:03.493 \rightarrow 00:11:04.768$ cord is compressed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

00:11:04.770 --> 00:11:06.660 She's at risk of losing function and

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

 $00:11:06.660 \rightarrow 00:11:08.428$ already have a lot of neck pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

 $00:11:08.430 \longrightarrow 00:11:10.390$ You can see on this on the axial

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

 $00:11:10.390 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.170$ view that the vertebral artery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

 $00:11:12.170 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.310$ Is involved with tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

 $00:11:13.310 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.139$ This is not gonna be a curative disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

00:11:16.140 --> 00:11:16.630 And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

 $00{:}11{:}16.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}18.590$ you can see there's a little bit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

 $00:11:18.649 \rightarrow 00:11:20.379$ tumor poking behind the vertebral

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

 $00:11:20.379 \rightarrow 00:11:22.483$ body above the vertebral artery is

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

 $00:11:22.483 \rightarrow 00:11:24.038$ clearly engulfed by these tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

 $00{:}11{:}24.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}26.380$ The CT scan shows pathologic fracture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

 $00:11:26.380 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.840$ This patient has significant

- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- 00:11:27.840 --> 00:11:28.935 mechanical neck pain.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- $00:11:28.940 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.076$ Is this spine stable or unstable?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- $00{:}11{:}31.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}33.352$ You can see right here that we have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- 00:11:33.352 --> 00:11:35.122 many ways of figuring out basis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- $00:11:35.122 \rightarrow 00:11:37.468$ on all kind of you know tables of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- $00:11:37.468 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.280$ deciding whether this is a stable
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- $00:11:39.346 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.266$ neck or whether there's a chance
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- $00:11:41.266 \rightarrow 00:11:43.130$ that this can continue to break.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- $00:11:43.130 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.670$ And then with thyroid cancer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- 00:11:44.670 --> 00:11:46.385 these are highly vascular tumors
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- $00:11:46.385 \rightarrow 00:11:48.936$ and you can see right here on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- $00:11:48.936 \dashrightarrow 00:11:50.846$ the angiogram that Charles did
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- $00{:}11{:}50{.}846 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}52{.}629$ how vascularized this tumor is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- $00{:}11{:}52.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}54.720$ which makes these surgeries somewhat
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- $00{:}11{:}54{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}58{.}750$ risky to be able to go in and go after these.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

00:11:58.750 --> 00:11:59.380 Christmas light,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

 $00{:}11{:}59{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}01{.}585$ the appearance of of her what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

 $00:12:01.585 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.083$ call a puff of smoke where there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

 $00:12:04.083 \rightarrow 00:12:05.700$ significant chance of bleeding as

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

 $00:12:05.700 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.947$ you're going in there because this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

 $00:12:07.947 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.220$ going to be an intralesional resection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

 $00:12:10.220 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.299$ So what we do here is there

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

00:12:12.299 --> 00:12:14.069 is an indication for surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

 $00:12:14.070 \rightarrow 00:12:16.107$ We can help with the neck pain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

 $00:12:16.110 \rightarrow 00:12:17.868$ we can decompress the spinal canal

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

 $00{:}12{:}17.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}20.253$ and this is the kind of construct that

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

 $00:12:20.253 \rightarrow 00:12:22.570$ we do where we replace the vertebra.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

 $00{:}12{:}22{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}24{.}166$ We have nice sets of retractors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

 $00{:}12{:}24.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}26.645$ Now it's Milo that we just got last month.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

 $00{:}12{:}26.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}28.204$ We can put these retractors to

NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

 $00:12:28.204 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.470$ be able to help us.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- $00:12:29.470 \rightarrow 00:12:31.094$ This is intraoperative pictures.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- $00:12:31.094 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.124$ You can see these beautiful
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- $00{:}12{:}33{.}124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}34{.}789$ retractors that are table mount.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- $00:12:34.790 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.810$ You can see the plate.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- $00:12:36.810 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.077$ And this is what it looks like
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- 00:12:38.077 --> 00:12:38.620 after the surgery.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- $00:12:38.620 \longrightarrow 00:12:40.748$ And you can see there's a nice cage
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- $00:12:40.748 \dashrightarrow 00:12:42.510$ that replace the broken vertebrae.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- $00{:}12{:}42.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}44.586$ There's a nice plate that's sitting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- 00:12:44.586 --> 00:12:46.909 across of it and that patient,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- $00:12:46.910 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.632$ you can see the CT scan with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- $00:12:48.632 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.124$ the reconstruction.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- $00:12:49.130 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.336$ There's complete replacement
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- $00:12:50.336 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.944$ of these vertebral body.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809
- $00{:}12{:}51{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}53{.}750$ This patient neck pain completely
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823938815523809

 $00:12:53.750 \rightarrow 00:12:55.550$ resolved immediately after this surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:12:55.550 \rightarrow 00:12:57.097$ This patient doesn't need to wear a

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:12:57.097 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.898$ collar for the rest of her life and

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:12:58.898 \rightarrow 00:13:00.430$ the neck pain has completely resolved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:13:00.430 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.698$ The fear of paralysis is gone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00{:}13{:}02{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}04{.}671$ And here is what the MRI looks like with NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:13:04.671 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.314$ the spinal cord now decompressed and

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:13:06.314 \rightarrow 00:13:08.393$ then we follow it with a new program

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00{:}13{:}08{.}393 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}10{.}808$ that we have here in our smile where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:13:10.808 \rightarrow 00:13:13.003$ do stereotactic radio surgery for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00{:}13{:}13{.}003 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}15{.}215$ residual tumor around the vertebral

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:13:15.215 \rightarrow 00:13:18.120$ artery to kill whatever tumors left behind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00{:}13{:}18{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}20{.}703$ We can mark exactly we go at the level

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:13:20.703 \rightarrow 00:13:23.805$ above and the level below and Lily keel

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:13:23.805 \rightarrow 00:13:26.159$ whatever microscopic cells that left behind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

00:13:26.160 --> 00:13:27.696 And you know in this particular

 $00:13:27.696 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.320$ case there were three levels that

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:13:29.320 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.700$ radio surgery that was done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:13:30.700 \rightarrow 00:13:32.600$ This is not conventional radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:13:32.600 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.965$ Patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:13:32.965 \rightarrow 00:13:35.885$ So the risk to the esophagus the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:13:35.885 \rightarrow 00:13:38.329$ vocal cords is significantly less.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:13:38.330 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.265$ This is a paper that we can do even

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00{:}13{:}40.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}42.214$ up to three or more contiguous that

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:13:42.214 \rightarrow 00:13:44.727$ your bodies is a paper from the James NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:13:44.727 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.737$ Cancer Hospital with all the radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:13:46.740 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.169$ oncologist he just came out in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00{:}13{:}49{.}169 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}51{.}326$ last few months and here is another

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00{:}13{:}51{.}326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}53{.}770$ case 55 year old and you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

00:13:53.770 --> 00:13:55.864 right here there's a T1 pathologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00{:}13{:}55{.}864 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}58{.}273$ fractures we may call you guys to help

 $00:13:58.273 \rightarrow 00:14:01.930$ us with access to get down to low.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

00:14:01.930 --> 00:14:04.695 A T1C7 sometimes T2 vertebrae where

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

00:14:04.695 --> 00:14:06.820 we wanna do vertebra ectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:14:06.820 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.420$ Unfortunately these tends to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:14:08.420 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.519$ behind the sternum and if you guys

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:14:10.519 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.610$ cannot get us to be able to do the

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00{:}14{:}12.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}14.577$ work then sometimes this is what it

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

00:14:14.577 --> 00:14:17.230 take where we plan on going from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

00:14:17.230 --> 00:14:17.758 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:14:17.758 \rightarrow 00:14:19.870$ through a typical NT approach to the neck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00{:}14{:}19{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}21{.}998$ But if it looks like we are unable

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:14:21.998 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.310$ to get down to T1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00{:}14{:}23{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}25{.}026$ then sometimes we need our thoracic

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:14:25.026 \rightarrow 00:14:27.142$ surgeon to do, to split the sternal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:14:27.142 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.707$ in essence to get down,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:14:28.710 \rightarrow 00:14:31.170$ to be able to get to do the vertebra ectomy.

 $00:14:31.170 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.056$ And this is the illustration of

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00{:}14{:}34.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}35.980$ what it actually means.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:14:35.980 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.744$ So for us to be able to put this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:14:37.750 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.270$ the screws, to put the plate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:14:40.270 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.540$ to do all the reconstruction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:14:41.540 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.304$ the break is a philic vein can

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

00:14:43.304 --> 00:14:45.294 be sometimes in our vein, in our,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

00:14:45.294 --> 00:14:47.736 in our way, and and that's why.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:14:47.736 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.860$ Some of these illustrations show why

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:14:49.924 \rightarrow 00:14:52.452$ sometimes we need you guys to help us

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:14:52.452 \rightarrow 00:14:54.468$ with getting us the accesses, the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:14:54.468 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.240$ the accessibility to be able to do this work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00{:}14{:}57{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}59{.}298$ And sometimes on these low tho racic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

00:14:59.300 --> 00:14:59.742 upper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00{:}14{:}59{.}742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}02{.}394$ lower cervical region with short neck,

 $00:15:02.400 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.535$ those veins can be in our way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

00:15:04.540 --> 00:15:06.178 The manubrium you can see right here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:15:06.180 \rightarrow 00:15:07.794$ sometimes it's completely in the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:15:07.794 \rightarrow 00:15:09.733$ and we have to split the manubrium

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:15:09.733 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.616$ in order to get the down there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00{:}15{:}11.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}13.692$ And this is what it looks like

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:15:13.692 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.580$ after the reconstruction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00{:}15{:}14.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}16.285$ Sometimes we have fractures like

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:15:16.285 \rightarrow 00:15:17.990$ this case particularly where there

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:15:18.044 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.908$ was an advantage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00{:}15{:}18{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}20{.}772$ Fracture from a thyroid met and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00{:}15{:}20.772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}22.857$ this case what I ended up doing is

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:15:22.857 \rightarrow 00:15:24.409$ we did a cement injection through

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:15:24.409 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.769$ the back of the mouth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:15:25.770 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.884$ You can see right here this has

NOTE Confidence: 0.836801264761905

 $00:15:27.884 \rightarrow 00:15:29.745$ been published or with my ENT

 $00:15:29.745 \rightarrow 00:15:31.817$ colleagues you see on the left side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00:15:31.820 \rightarrow 00:15:33.950$ You guys use this cloud retractors

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00:15:33.950 \rightarrow 00:15:36.140$ moving the tongue out of the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00:15:36.140 \rightarrow 00:15:38.124$ moving the ugly out of the way and

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00{:}15{:}38{.}124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}40{.}292$ we can drive the needle right into

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00{:}15{:}40{.}292 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}42{.}319$ the C2 vertebral body and injects

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00:15:42.319 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.461$ cement right into the C2 vertebra

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00{:}15{:}44{.}461 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}46{.}584$ to stabilize that broken vertebrae

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00{:}15{:}46{.}584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}48{.}672$ saving an exhibitor cervical

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00:15:48.672 \dashrightarrow 00:15:51.190$ fixation for something like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00:15:51.190 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.607$ And we ended up using both the

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00{:}15{:}55{.}607 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}57{.}500$ stereotactic radio surgery and

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00{:}15{:}57{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}59{.}440$ stereotactic CT imaging guidance with

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00{:}15{:}59{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}01{.}780$ fluoroscopy to get these cases going.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00{:}16{:}01.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}02.976$ So this was a technical note.

 $00:16:02.976 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.421$ How it's getting done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00:16:04.421 \rightarrow 00:16:06.406$ There are many percutaneous techniques

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00{:}16{:}06{.}406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}09{.}095$ that there is a common thing to do for

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00:16:09.095 \rightarrow 00:16:11.245$ fractures and now we start looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00:16:11.245 \rightarrow 00:16:13.870$ actually doing it for cervical fractures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00:16:13.870 \rightarrow 00:16:15.643$ other things that we deal with in the neck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00{:}16{:}15{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}18{.}764$ This is an intradural lesion and

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00:16:18.764 \rightarrow 00:16:20.288$ this is a severe intradural lesion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00:16:20.290 \rightarrow 00:16:22.506$ You can see the code is being squashed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00:16:22.510 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.491$ This turned out to be a chloroma

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00{:}16{:}24{.}491 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}26{.}536$ that after you can see the Peskin

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00{:}16{:}26{.}536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}28{.}626$ was very active and we ended up

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00:16:28.626 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.588$ without the biopsy radiating it in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00:16:30.590 \rightarrow 00:16:32.654$ the tumor melted away and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00{:}16{:}32{.}654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}34{.}410$ is kind of like the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00{:}16{:}34{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}36{.}090$ Aspect of metastatic disease that we

 $00{:}16{:}36{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}38{.}408$ own on all of these fractures look and

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00{:}16{:}38{.}408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}40{.}816$ see whether we can help at least with

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00:16:40.816 \rightarrow 00:16:43.035$ the pain and decompress the spinal cord.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00:16:43.040 \rightarrow 00:16:44.846$ Primary tumor is really the key when

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00{:}16{:}44{.}846{\:}-{\:}>00{:}16{:}47{.}079$ it comes to a lot of work with the Ant

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00{:}16{:}47.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}49.047$ or ENT colleagues when it comes to

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00:16:49.047 \dashrightarrow 00:16:51.237$ really our goal is to cure the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00:16:51.240 \rightarrow 00:16:52.997$ These are the tumors we talking about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00{:}16{:}53.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}54.980$ They could domas the condo sarcomas

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00:16:54.980 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.300$ are the main ones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00:16:56.300 \rightarrow 00:16:58.064$ These require unblock resection

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00{:}16{:}58.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}00.710$ unblocker section means that you we

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00{:}17{:}00{.}778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}03{.}042$ have to find a way where we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00{:}17{:}03.042 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}05.190$ we remove the tumor in one piece.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

00:17:05.190 --> 00:17:08.414 You don't get into the tumor in order

 $00{:}17{:}08{.}414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}10{.}780$ to not have clean margins around it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00{:}17{:}10.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}13.076$ Here is a case of a cervical

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

00:17:13.076 --> 00:17:13.732 Congress sarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00:17:13.740 \rightarrow 00:17:15.356$ You can see the tumor in the neck,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00:17:15.360 \rightarrow 00:17:17.556$ it's wrapped around the vertebral artery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00{:}17{:}17{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}19{.}480$ And couple of cases here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00:17:19.480 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.440$ you can see the tumor in blue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00:17:21.440 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.744$ There's one vertebral artery

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00{:}17{:}22.744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}24.374$ that's completely in case with

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00:17:24.374 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.619$ this Congress sarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00:17:25.620 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.797$ And here is the tumor right here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00:17:27.800 \rightarrow 00:17:29.494$ This is definitely something we can do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

00:17:29.500 --> 00:17:30.580 an unblocker section.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00{:}17{:}30{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}33{.}494$ And so the way we approach doing these

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00:17:33.494 \rightarrow 00:17:35.769$ is we start in the back actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00{:}17{:}35{.}770$ --> $00{:}17{:}39{.}270$ To stabilize things and separate the tumor

 $00:17:39.270 \rightarrow 00:17:42.873$ away from the spinal cord, that's the key.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

00:17:42.873 - > 00:17:44.739 We put our hardware in there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00:17:44.740 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.954$ We, you can see right here the spinal cord

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00:17:46.954 \rightarrow 00:17:49.234$ and the bottom and the tumor in the neck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00:17:49.240 \rightarrow 00:17:51.840$ We can expose it from the back end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00{:}17{:}51{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}53{.}648$ We can put our huddle in the back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00:17:53.650 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.096$ We have to like get some

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00:17:55.096 \rightarrow 00:17:56.669$ nerve roots and not only that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00{:}17{:}56.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}58.014$ you can see the nerve roots right here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00{:}17{:}58{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}00{.}588$ The C3C4 and C2 nerve roots

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

00:18:00.588 --> 00:18:02.300 are being ligated away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00{:}18{:}02{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}04{.}379$ To be able to release these tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00{:}18{:}04{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}05{.}643$ We can also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

00:18:05.643 --> 00:18:07.327 Sacrifice the vertebral artery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00{:}18{:}07{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}08{.}726$ Get underneath the vertebral

 $00:18:08.726 \rightarrow 00:18:10.471$ artery and sacrifice the vertebral

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00:18:10.471 \longrightarrow 00:18:11.670$ artery above and below.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00:18:11.670 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.160$ In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00:18:12.160 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.602$ we put a coil on the top and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.870426595384615

 $00:18:14.602 \rightarrow 00:18:16.647$ ligated in the vertebral artery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00{:}18{:}16.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}18.708$ so we have no issues with bleeding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00{:}18{:}18{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}21{.}950$ And once this is the the tumor in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00{:}18{:}22.035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}25.248$ neck is separated from the spinal cord

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00:18:25.248 \rightarrow 00:18:28.129$ and instrumented we go from the front.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00:18:28.130 \rightarrow 00:18:30.410$ This lady particular didn't have a long neck,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00{:}18{:}30{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}33{.}026$ but with my ENT colleagues you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00:18:33.026 \rightarrow 00:18:35.746$ right here we can dissect The Karate Kid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00{:}18{:}35{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}38{.}277$ You know, the. They are all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00:18:38.277 \dashrightarrow 00:18:40.478$ venous structures to get down there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00:18:40.480 \rightarrow 00:18:42.058$ You can see that once everything

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00:18:42.058 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.440$ is moved to the side,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571
- $00:18:43.440 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.184$ we can cut through the front of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571
- $00{:}18{:}46{.}184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}48{.}358$ three vertebras and actually removed.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571
- $00:18:48.360 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.812$ You can see the three vertebrae
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571
- $00:18:49.812 \rightarrow 00:18:50.780$ with the pathologic fracture.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571
- $00:18:50.780 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.778$ All have been removed in one
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571
- $00:18:52.778 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.110$ piece with clean margins.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571
- 00:18:54.110 --> 00:18:55.346 And once it's out,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571
- $00:18:55.346 \rightarrow 00:18:57.820$ you can see through the opening the dura,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571
- $00{:}18{:}57{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}00{.}333$ you can see in fact the posterior
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571
- $00:19:00.333 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.960$ rod from the front.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571
- $00:19:01.960 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.330$ And then after it's done,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571
- $00:19:03.330 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.400$ we can put a cage in with a plate and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571
- $00{:}19{:}05{.}461 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}07{.}517$ that's what it looks like at the end
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571
- $00{:}19{:}07{.}517 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}09{.}608$ where the reconstruction is complete.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571
- $00:19:09.610 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.688$ And the tumor has been removed.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00{:}19{:}12.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}14.646$ This is a much more complicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00{:}19{:}14.646 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}15.950$ case on another codoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00:19:15.950 \rightarrow 00:19:18.308$ You can see the extent of this codoma tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00:19:18.310 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.606$ Again, we start in the back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00:19:19.610 \longrightarrow 00:19:21.962$ The idea is separating it away

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00:19:21.962 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.350$ and we start from the back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00:19:24.350 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.150$ We ligating the nerve roots.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00:19:26.150 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.164$ You can see right here the 3234 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00:19:29.164 \rightarrow 00:19:31.966$ five actually has been sacrificed here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

00:19:31.970 --> 00:19:33.951 You can see the Tibaldi has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

00:19:33.951 --> 00:19:35.415 skeletonized on the other side

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00:19:35.415 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.089$ with the nerve roots above it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00{:}19{:}37{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}38{.}620$ We then typically put a silastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00:19:38.620 \rightarrow 00:19:40.689$ sheath so we can see the difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00:19:40.690 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.762$ Between the spoiler code,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00:19:41.762 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.370$ when we come from the front,

 $00:19:43.370 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.506$ we put a long construct from the back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00{:}19{:}47{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}49{.}526$ This is what it looks like from the back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00{:}19{:}49{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}51{.}567$ We do between the front and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

00:19:51.567 --> 00:19:53.400 back and angiogram to make sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00{:}19{:}53{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}55{.}188$ there's no spasms to the vessels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00:19:55.190 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.910$ And then with you guys,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00{:}19{:}56{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}58{.}716$ this is a trans mandibular approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00:19:58.720 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.729$ which you can go through the mandible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00:20:00.730 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.990$ get to the back of the neck,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00:20:01.990 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.974$ mobilize the vessel, you can see the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00{:}20{:}04.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}06.966$ And through a transmittable approach you

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00{:}20{:}06{.}966 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}09{.}357$ can see the vertebral artery on one side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00{:}20{:}09{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}10{.}908$ That's how it looks like when

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00{:}20{:}10.908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}11.940$ the tumor is out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00{:}20{:}11{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}14{.}532$ This is a very big tumor and you can

 $00{:}20{:}14.532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}17.012$ see then we can sneak underneath a

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00:20:17.012 \rightarrow 00:20:19.505$ cage with the cage actually engaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00:20:19.505 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.754$ the C1 and C1 arch and that top school NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00:20:22.754 \rightarrow 00:20:25.125$ is actually going to the NTSC one

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00:20:25.125 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.999$ arch and into the tip of the odontoid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00{:}20{:}28{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}29{.}650$ This is the visualization of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00:20:29.650 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.635$ it looks like and you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

00:20:31.635 - 00:20:33.015 the nervous has been ligated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00:20:33.020 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.600$ the tumor is out and that's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00:20:35.600 \rightarrow 00:20:37.030$ The the way the construct,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00{:}20{:}37{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}38{.}542$ look at the end of this in order

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00:20:38.542 \rightarrow 00:20:40.190$ to try and do an alpaca section,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00:20:40.190 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.902$ and you can see on the top left

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00{:}20{:}41.902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}43.281$ hand picture how the screw and

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00:20:43.281 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.908$ the top goes to the anterior arch

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00:20:44.908 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.525$ and into the tip of the odontoid.

 $00:20:46.530 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.510$ And that's what it looks like at the end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

00:20:48.510 - 00:20:52.008 So yeah, these are complex cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

00:20:52.010 --> 00:20:52.548 And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932002701428571

 $00:20:52.548 \rightarrow 00:20:54.700$ I just wanted to get briefly to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00{:}20{:}54.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}56.050$ extent of these cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00{:}20{:}56.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}58.810$ A lot of those may require vascularized bone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00:20:58.810 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.826$ It can be used also in the neck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00{:}21{:}00{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}02{.}300$ This is vascularized bone that

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00:21:02.300 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.770$ we use for sacral lesions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00:21:03.770 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.094$ These are cases that are very complicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00:21:06.100 \rightarrow 00:21:07.510$ What we actually use the leg,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00:21:07.510 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.939$ the femur and the tibia to close,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00:21:09.940 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.776$ for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00{:}21{:}10.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}13.702$ a pelvic rings we have described these

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00{:}21{:}13.702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}15.760$ cases is an autologous bone graft,

 $00:21:15.760 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.368$ vascularized bone graft.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00{:}21{:}17.368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}19.888$ This works a mazing and you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00:21:19.888 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.855$ right here how you can see right here

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00{:}21{:}21{.}855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}24{.}023$ female with a vessel attached to it and

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00{:}21{:}24.081 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}25.897$ a tibia with a vessel attached to it

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00{:}21{:}25{.}897 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}28{.}898$ to be able to close this pelvic rings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00:21:28.900 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.040$ This is what it looks like

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00:21:30.040 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.280$ at the end of the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00{:}21{:}31{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}32{.}042$ This is a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00:21:32.042 \rightarrow 00:21:34.147$ This was cases that have been described by

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00:21:34.147 \rightarrow 00:21:36.315$ my plastic colleagues about how they do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

00:21:36.320 --> 00:21:37.160 Quotation of flaps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00:21:37.160 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.120$ and this is some of those exit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00:21:39.120 \rightarrow 00:21:41.045$ This is a patient after the surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00:21:41.050 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.744$ so these are obviously a big

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00:21:43.744 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.628$ time surgeries when it comes to

- NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143
- $00:21:45.628 \rightarrow 00:21:47.130$ doing these unblocker sections.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143
- $00{:}21{:}47{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}50{.}505$ But the the the the principle is the same.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143
- $00{:}21{:}50{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}52{.}670$ We always have to figure out if we can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143
- $00:21:52.670 \rightarrow 00:21:54.648$ put some sort of a vascularized bone
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143
- $00{:}21{:}54.648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}57.172$ graft to be able to get a nice fusion.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143
- $00{:}21{:}57{.}172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}59{.}510$ At the end of these cases there
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143
- $00:21:59.588 \rightarrow 00:22:01.623$ are some emerging technology that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143
- 00:22:01.623 > 00:22:04.459 I start working at the spine.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143
- 00:22:04.460 --> 00:22:06.595 Research Institute at Ohio State in our,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143
- 00:22:06.600 --> 00:22:06.966 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143
- $00{:}22{:}06{.}966 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}08{.}247$ I'm start working and doing it here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143
- $00{:}22{:}08{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}10{.}356$ There's a lot of 3D printers,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143
- 00:22:10.360 --> 00:22:11.776 a lot of 3D reconstruction that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143
- $00{:}22{:}11.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}13.459$ we did in the lumbar spine.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143
- $00:22:13.460 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.940$ Now we can do it in the neck,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00:22:14.940 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.680$ we can reconstruct the cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00{:}22{:}16.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}18.894$ We know where it is and we can then

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00:22:18.894 \rightarrow 00:22:20.913$ start thinking about the type of

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00:22:20.913 \rightarrow 00:22:23.000$ reconstructions that we can go about

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00:22:23.000 \rightarrow 00:22:25.504$ doing and then do a specific 3D printers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00{:}22{:}25{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}26{.}702$ those that have cycle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00:22:26.702 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.192$ there is a 3D printer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00:22:28.200 \rightarrow 00:22:30.750$ These are personalized vertebral bodies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00{:}22{:}30.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}33.736$ are fit right into the potential defect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00:22:33.736 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.260$ So in conclusions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00{:}22{:}35{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}37{.}228$ Management should be individualized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

00:22:37.228 --> 00:22:39.688 It clearly is a multidisciplinary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00{:}22{:}39{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}41{.}810$ This is one picture from the James Cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

00:22:41.810 --> 00:22:43.778 So you can see the magnitude of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00:22:43.778 \rightarrow 00:22:45.789$ many people are involved in these cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143

 $00:22:45.790 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.834$ you know between orthopedic

- NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143
- $00:22:46.834 \rightarrow 00:22:48.580$ neurosurgeon and on and on and on.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143
- $00:22:48.580 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.040$ There's just these are two
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143
- 00:22:51.040 --> 00:22:53.008 default type of surgery.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.858561577857143
- $00{:}22{:}53.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}54.520$ So thank you very much.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7847608216666667
- 00:22:56.560 --> 00:22:58.172 Thank you. Doctor Mendel,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.784760821666667
- $00{:}22{:}58{.}172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}00{.}144$ that's just very, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.784760821666667
- 00:23:00.144 --> 00:23:02.084 just amazing stuff to see
- NOTE Confidence: 0.784760821666667
- $00:23:02.084 \rightarrow 00:23:03.740$ what you're doing here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.784760821666667
- $00:23:03.740 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.801$ There are a bunch of questions in the chat.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.784760821666667
- 00:23:05.801 -> 00:23:07.320 So if you know if you can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.784760821666667
- 00:23:07.379 > 00:23:08.975 stay on for a few questions,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.784760821666667
- $00{:}23{:}08{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}11{.}580$ one individual asked about recordings,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.784760821666667
- $00{:}23{:}11.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}13.662$ yes, that will be available e-mail
- NOTE Confidence: 0.784760821666667
- $00{:}23{:}13.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}15.819$ to registered guests and available on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.784760821666667
- 00:23:15.820 --> 00:23:17.680 yalecancercenter.org and the YouTube channel.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.784760821666667

00:23:17.680 --> 00:23:21.264 But 22 clinical questions here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784760821666667

 $00:23:21.264 \rightarrow 00:23:24.660$ One has to do with prevertebral fascia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784760821666667

 $00{:}23{:}24.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}26.977$ so as head and neck cancer surgeons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7847608216666667

 $00:23:26.980 \rightarrow 00:23:29.876$ I'm doing a lot with squamous cell carcinomas

NOTE Confidence: 0.784760821666667

 $00:23:29.876 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.178$ of the pharynx and the throat and the neck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7847608216666667

 $00{:}23{:}33{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}36{.}048$ The prevertebral fascia has.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784760821666667

00:23:36.050 --> 00:23:39.190 Essentially been a T4B disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784760821666667

 $00:23:39.190 \rightarrow 00:23:41.758$ unresectable, don't even bother.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784760821666667

 $00:23:41.758 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.684$ Don't even try.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784760821666667

 $00:23:43.690 \rightarrow 00:23:47.148$ But what are your thoughts on, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784760821666667

 $00{:}23{:}47.148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}48.660$ if that is the only site of some

NOTE Confidence: 0.7847608216666667

 $00:23:48.710 \rightarrow 00:23:50.648$ questionable sometimes we're not even sure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784760821666667

 $00:23:50.650 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.568$ is it involved?

NOTE Confidence: 0.784760821666667

00:23:51.568 --> 00:23:52.992 Is it not, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784760821666667

 $00{:}23{:}52{.}992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}54{.}749$ and it can really change the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.784760821666667

 $00{:}23{:}54.749 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}55.882$ course of a patient's treatment

- NOTE Confidence: 0.784760821666667
- $00:23:55.882 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.748$ on if it's involved or not.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.784760821666667
- 00:23:57.750 --> 00:24:00.823 So can you just comment on local
- NOTE Confidence: 0.784760821666667
- $00:24:00.823 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.698$ tumors from the throat with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.784760821666667
- $00:24:03.698 \rightarrow 00:24:05.146$ prevertebral fascia involvement,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7847608216666667
- $00:24:05.146 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.220$ whether that's her?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.784760821666667
- 00:24:06.220 --> 00:24:07.340 Acceptable or not and especially
- NOTE Confidence: 0.784760821666667
- $00:24:07.340 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.684$ in those cases where we're just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.784760821666667
- $00:24:08.684 \rightarrow 00:24:09.944$ not sure if it's involved or not.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.784760821666667
- $00:24:09.950 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.958$ Any thoughts on that?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852319251428572
- 00:24:11.030 --> 00:24:13.782 Yes. So I'm going to go to this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852319251428572
- $00:24:13.782 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.698$ particular slide on the case that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852319251428572
- $00{:}24{:}15{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}17{.}332$ Charles and I have done right
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852319251428572
- $00{:}24{:}17{.}332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}19{.}370$ here and you can see right here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852319251428572
- $00{:}24{:}19{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}22{.}529$ this is the radio surgery picture of a tumor
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852319251428572
- $00{:}24{:}22{.}529 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}25{.}227$ that's involved the prevertebral fascia.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852319251428572

 $00:24:25.230 \rightarrow 00:24:27.156$ The radio surgery can map exactly

NOTE Confidence: 0.852319251428572

 $00{:}24{:}27.156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}29.803$ where we are going to deliver that

NOTE Confidence: 0.852319251428572

 $00{:}24{:}29{.}803 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}31{.}963$ radiation unlike the typical Convention

NOTE Confidence: 0.852319251428572

 $00:24:31.963 \rightarrow 00:24:33.952$ radiation that goes through everything

NOTE Confidence: 0.852319251428572

 $00:24:33.952 \rightarrow 00:24:36.416$ if there is any suspicion of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852319251428572

 $00{:}24{:}36{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}38{.}304$ Private table fascia here is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.852319251428572

 $00{:}24{:}38{.}304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}40{.}373$ sagittal and here is the axiom you

NOTE Confidence: 0.852319251428572

 $00{:}24{:}40{.}373 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}42{.}534$ can see that there is a dose of

NOTE Confidence: 0.852319251428572

 $00{:}24{:}42{.}534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}44{.}314$ radiation that's being given to

NOTE Confidence: 0.852319251428572

 $00:24:44.314 \rightarrow 00:24:46.058$ the private civil fashion itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852319251428572

 $00{:}24{:}46.058 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}48.920$ So if there is a if there is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.852319251428572

 $00{:}24{:}49.008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}51.934$ suspicion for it and on these cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.852319251428572

 $00{:}24{:}51{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}53{.}602$ with this you know whenever there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.852319251428572

 $00{:}24{:}53.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}55.381$ a cervical met the suspicion that

NOTE Confidence: 0.852319251428572

 $00:24:55.381 \rightarrow 00:24:57.229$ there is a primitive of fashionable

NOTE Confidence: 0.852319251428572

 $00:24:57.229 \rightarrow 00:24:59.078$ it always is high we always will

- NOTE Confidence: 0.852319251428572
- $00:24:59.078 \rightarrow 00:25:00.876$ include it in the radio surgery field.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852319251428572
- $00{:}25{:}00{.}876 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}02{.}724$ We don't necessarily you know we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852319251428572
- 00:25:02.724 --> 00:25:04.720 remove a lot of it in our approach
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852319251428572
- $00:25:04.720 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.429$ to get down to the vertebral.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852319251428572
- 00:25:06.430 --> 00:25:08.670 Buddy, but even if we don't remove it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852319251428572
- $00{:}25{:}08.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}10.175$ we feel very comfortable that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852319251428572
- $00:25:10.175 \rightarrow 00:25:11.680$ with radio surgery we can control
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852319251428572
- $00{:}25{:}11.734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}12.949$ the disease at that site.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80106988
- $00{:}25{:}14{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}15{.}890$ Yeah, that that is great.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80106988
- $00{:}25{:}15{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}17{.}890$ And I know you and I have discussed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80106988
- $00:25:17.890 \rightarrow 00:25:19.669$ some cases where there's some direct
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80106988
- 00:25:19.669 --> 00:25:21.499 invasion maybe or maybe not to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80106988
- $00{:}25{:}21{.}553 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}23{.}348$ the prevertebral fascia where we,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80106988
- 00:25:23.350 --> 00:25:24.370 you know, you say we're well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80106988
- $00:25:24.370 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.644$ you know what, you can get in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80106988

 $00:25:25.644 \rightarrow 00:25:27.232$ there and you can take down some

NOTE Confidence: 0.80106988

 $00{:}25{:}27{.}232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}28{.}228$ of the prevertebral fashion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80106988

 $00{:}25{:}28{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}30{.}060$ even drill down some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80106988

 $00:25:30.060 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.015$ bone on block resection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80106988

 $00{:}25{:}32.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}33.675$ So different techniques that

NOTE Confidence: 0.675297897692308

 $00{:}25{:}33{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}35{.}658$ these will file already a surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.675297897692308

 $00:25:35.658 \rightarrow 00:25:37.890$ because we can remove the growth aspect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.675297897692308

 $00{:}25{:}37.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}39.706$ the microscopic aspect will

NOTE Confidence: 0.675297897692308

00:25:39.706 --> 00:25:41.440 follow with radiosurgery. Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.709771133333333

 $00:25:41.450 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.426$ absolutely. So that's great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.709771133333333

 $00{:}25{:}42{.}426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}43{.}402$ And there's another question

NOTE Confidence: 0.709771133333333

 $00:25:43.402 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.299$ which you did touch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.709771133333333

 $00{:}25{:}44{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}47{.}560$ On about vascularized bone graft,

NOTE Confidence: 0.709771133333333

 $00:25:47.560 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.160$ specifically from the fibula for

NOTE Confidence: 0.709771133333333

 $00:25:50.160 \rightarrow 00:25:51.720$ cervical spine reconstruction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.709771133333333

 $00:25:51.720 \rightarrow 00:25:53.142$ either for osteoradionecrosis,

 $00:25:53.142 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.460$ which is a problem that we often

NOTE Confidence: 0.709771133333333

 $00:25:56.535 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.791$ see as head and neck cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.709771133333333

 $00:25:58.791 \rightarrow 00:26:01.200$ surgeons and also just in general.

NOTE Confidence: 0.709771133333333

 $00:26:01.200 \rightarrow 00:26:03.076$ What is what is your experience with

NOTE Confidence: 0.709771133333333

 $00:26:03.076 \rightarrow 00:26:04.889$ fibular bone grafts for cervical spines?

NOTE Confidence: 0.471775491

00:26:04.900 --> 00:26:07.780 Amazing. So we want Fusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.471775491

 $00:26:07.780 \rightarrow 00:26:11.174$ we want bone growth, artificial, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.471775491

00:26:11.174 --> 00:26:13.953 Bond Bank does don't work as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.471775491

00:26:13.960 --> 00:26:14.911 my experience. Vascular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.471775491

 $00:26:14.911 \rightarrow 00:26:17.130$ the bone growth is just absolutely amazing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.471775491

 $00:26:17.130 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.492$ So if there's any way to

NOTE Confidence: 0.471775491

00:26:18.492 --> 00:26:19.770 get a vascular bone graft,

NOTE Confidence: 0.471775491

 $00:26:19.770 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.625$ I think that's the way to go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.471775491

 $00{:}26{:}21.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}23.850$ So definitely it's require some coordination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.471775491

 $00:26:23.850 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.722$ uh, when it comes to work to take

 $00:26:25.722 \rightarrow 00:26:27.568$ it from how big do you need it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.471775491

00:26:27.570 --> 00:26:29.560 What's the likelihood that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.471775491

 $00:26:29.560 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.568$ will survive those kind of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.471775491

 $00{:}26{:}31{.}568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}33{.}078$ But I think whenever there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.471775491

00:26:33.078 --> 00:26:34.667 a opportunity to do it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.471775491

00:26:34.670 --> 00:26:36.020 especially for our point of view,

NOTE Confidence: 0.471775491

 $00:26:36.020 \rightarrow 00:26:37.847$ a lot of these patients end up not fusing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.471775491

 $00:26:37.850 \rightarrow 00:26:39.205$ right, unlike the trauma and

NOTE Confidence: 0.471775491

00:26:39.205 --> 00:26:40.289 the degenerative spine with

NOTE Confidence: 0.471775491

 $00:26:40.289 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.369$ bone growth over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.471775491

 $00{:}26{:}41{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}43{.}150$ And they implant becomes one

NOTE Confidence: 0.471775491

 $00:26:43.150 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.930$ with the surrounding in cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.471775491

 $00{:}26{:}44{.}996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}46{.}988$ after chemotherapy and radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.471775491

 $00{:}26{:}46{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}48{.}028$ it doesn't happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.471775491

 $00{:}26{:}48.028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}50.450$ So we completely relying on the hardware,

NOTE Confidence: 0.471775491

 $00:26:50.450 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.266$ so these vascularized.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.471775491
- 00:26:51.266 --> 00:26:53.560 Don't just give us the security of fusion,

 $00{:}26{:}53.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}54.860$ the bone growth around it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.471775491

 $00:26:54.860 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.280$ and heal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

 $00:26:56.370 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.828$ Yeah, no, that's great to hear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

 $00:26:57.830 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.890$ It's a great question too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

 $00:26:58.890 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.450$ because we often think of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

 $00:27:00.450 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.490$ fibula mainly for mandible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

00:27:01.490 --> 00:27:02.288 maxillary reconstruction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

 $00:27:02.288 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.283$ But let's not forget that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

 $00:27:04.283 \rightarrow 00:27:06.185$ our spine colleagues can use

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

00:27:06.185 --> 00:27:07.805 vascularized bone grafts as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

 $00{:}27{:}07{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}10{.}040$ even for ORN and complications

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

 $00{:}27{:}10.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}12.270$ of head neck cancer treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

 $00{:}27{:}12.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}14.088$ So they, thank you very much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

 $00:27:14.090 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.926$ Doctor Mandell,

 $00:27:14.926 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.598$ really appreciate that kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

 $00{:}27{:}16.598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}18.590$ of pushing the envelope for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

 $00:27:18.590 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.056$ you know, in in spinal surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

 $00:27:21.060 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.768$ And it's great to his head neck

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

 $00:27:22.768 \rightarrow 00:27:24.441$ surgeons to hear what is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

 $00:27:24.441 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.211$ available if you have the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

 $00{:}27{:}26.211 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}27.578$ expertise at your institution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

00:27:27.580 --> 00:27:30.200 Thank you you so much. Right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

 $00{:}27{:}30{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}32{.}840$ So our next speaker is another

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

 $00:27:32.840 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.720$ neurosurgeon executive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

 $00{:}27{:}33.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}35.880$ He's the vice chair of clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

00:27:35.880 --> 00:27:37.700 affairs of neurosurgery at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

 $00{:}27{:}37{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}39{.}948$ and he's a neurovascular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

00:27:39.948 --> 00:27:42.196 surgeon Doctor Charles Matouk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

00:27:42.200 --> 00:27:45.240 The next two talks kind of go hand in hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

 $00{:}27{:}45{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}46{.}850$ especially in my practice of head and

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667
- 00:27:46.850 --> 00:27:48.508 neck cancer surgeon, because again,

 $00{:}27{:}48.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}51.082$ the carotid artery, similar T4B disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

 $00:27:51.082 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.192$ We think of it as the some, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

 $00:27:54.192 \rightarrow 00:27:56.160$ often is thought of as an untouchable area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

 $00{:}27{:}56.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}57.804$ but there are cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

 $00{:}27{:}57{.}804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}00{.}270$ Doctor Matuk and Doctor Thomas and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

 $00:28:00.344 \rightarrow 00:28:02.808$ know that we we can take it down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

 $00{:}28{:}02{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}03{.}770$ We need to take it down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

 $00{:}28{:}03.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}05.290$ It is the best option.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

00:28:05.290 --> 00:28:05.678 Of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

 $00:28:05.678 \rightarrow 00:28:07.230$ we need to know if we can safely

NOTE Confidence: 0.878960786666667

 $00{:}28{:}07{.}281 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}08{.}674$ take it down and then what to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

00:28:08.674 --> 00:28:09.950 do after we've taken it down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

 $00{:}28{:}09{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}12{.}400$ And that's why Doctor Matuk and Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667

 $00{:}28{:}12{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}13{.}886$ Thomason are going to speak to us about that.

- 00:28:13.890 --> 00:28:14.883 So doctor Matuk,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667
- $00{:}28{:}14.883 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}17.340$ if you could tell us about the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667
- 00:28:17.340 --> 00:28:19.690 carotid artery and doctor Matthew,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667
- $00:28:19.690 \rightarrow 00:28:20.670$ stop sharing for a moment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667
- 00:28:20.670 --> 00:28:22.610 Doctor Matute can pull up.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667
- $00{:}28{:}22.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}24.882$ So Doctor Matuk is going to talk to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667
- $00:28:24.882 \rightarrow 00:28:27.706$ us about carotid artery preoperative.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667
- 00:28:27.706 --> 00:28:28.982 Uh,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667
- $00:28:28.982 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.258$ assessment?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8789607866666667
- $00:28:30.260 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.610$ Thanks
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845513244285714
- 00:28:30.620 --> 00:28:33.552 so much, Sarah. Thanks for having me, Judy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845513244285714
- $00:28:33.552 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.560$ That's impossible to follow.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845513244285714
- $00:28:36.560 \rightarrow 00:28:40.028$ Sorry, what I am going to do see apology
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845513244285714
- $00{:}28{:}40{.}028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}42{.}418$ not accepted but very very impressive,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845513244285714
- $00:28:42.420 \longrightarrow 00:28:43.784$ very impressive slide that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845513244285714
- $00:28:43.784 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.489$ can work over the years.

 $00:28:45.490 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.446$ I'm going to try to let me

NOTE Confidence: 0.845513244285714

 $00{:}28{:}48{.}446 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}50{.}217$ see if I can do this here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845513244285714

00:28:50.220 --> 00:28:51.360 Can you guys see my screen?

NOTE Confidence: 0.845513244285714

00:28:51.360 --> 00:28:53.708 OK, just by chance

NOTE Confidence: 0.7913719025

 $00{:}28{:}53{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}55{.}550$ we see this some slides or

NOTE Confidence: 0.7913719025

 $00:28:55.550 \rightarrow 00:28:57.820$ something on this side as well, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.71969034

 $00:28:57.960 \rightarrow 00:29:00.889$ let me try to let me try to fix that up here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7329739

 $00:29:03.550 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.930$ But even if not, we can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84511531

 $00:29:04.930 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.620$ we can see it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82591287

00:29:08.840 --> 00:29:09.989 Even better. Perfect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365

 $00{:}29{:}10.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}13.419$ perfect. So what what I'm going to try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365

00:29:13.419 --> 00:29:16.354 do today is to to give you a an overview

NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365

 $00{:}29{:}16.354 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}19.139$ of how to think about the carotid artery

NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365

 $00{:}29{:}19{.}139 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}21{.}587$ and a preop assessment when you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365

 $00:29:21.587 \rightarrow 00:29:23.932$ looking to maximize an oncological

 $00:29:23.932 \rightarrow 00:29:26.379$ resection often or for recurrent but

NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365

 $00{:}29{:}26.379 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}28.539$ you know sometimes a primary tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365

 $00:29:28.540 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.096$ And what I again I'm going to sort of NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365

 $00{:}29{:}31{.}096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}34{.}171$ try to introduce you to some terms and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365

 $00{:}29{:}34.171 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}37.108$ some specific anatomy so that when you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365

 $00{:}29{:}37.108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}39.228$ considering these types of options.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365

00:29:39.230 --> 00:29:41.534 You can communicate I guess best

NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365

 $00:29:41.534 \rightarrow 00:29:43.971$ with us and hopefully that will

NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365

00:29:43.971 --> 00:29:46.779 translate to better you know decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365

00:29:46.779 --> 00:29:49.394 making for for our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365

00:29:49.400 --> 00:29:51.255 So we'll, we'll start a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365

 $00:29:51.255 \rightarrow 00:29:53.319$ with some new neurovascular anatomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365

00:29:53.320 --> 00:29:56.146 which you guys don't, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365

 $00:29:56.150 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.378$ necessarily always think about and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365

 $00{:}29{:}59{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}01{.}115$ talk about specifically balloon test

NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365

 $00:30:01.115 \rightarrow 00:30:03.455$ occlusion and some sort of Nuggets that

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- $00:30:03.455 \rightarrow 00:30:05.990$ you can take home with you after this talk.

00:30:05.990 --> 00:30:08.156 And then we'll just go quickly

NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365

 $00{:}30{:}08{.}156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}10.808$ through one case and see how we use

NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365

00:30:10.808 --> 00:30:13.220 it here at Yale New Haven Hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365

 $00:30:13.220 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.700$ So this is a, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365

 $00{:}30{:}16.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}19.229$ a 3D rendering of a CTA of the head

NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365

 $00:30:19.229 \rightarrow 00:30:21.818$ and neck of of one of our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365

00:30:21.820 --> 00:30:23.782 And it's just to make sure that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365

 $00:30:23.782 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.050$ we're on the same page.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365

00:30:25.050 --> 00:30:29.100 And for trainees that, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365

 $00:30:29.100 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.620$ we have two carotid arteries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365

 $00{:}30{:}30{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}32{.}960$ one on the right, one on the left that go on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365

 $00:30:32.960 \dashrightarrow 00:30:35.579$ you know, go up the front of our necks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365

 $00{:}30{:}35{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}37{.}729$ And we also have two paired vertebral

NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365

 $00{:}30{:}37{.}729 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}39{.}423$ arteries and here you can see

- $00:30:39.423 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.379$ it on the lateral.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- $00{:}30{:}40{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}42{.}160$ It's usually a smaller vessel,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- $00:30:42.160 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.504$ significantly smaller than
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- $00:30:43.504 \rightarrow 00:30:44.848$ the vertebral artery.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- $00{:}30{:}44.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}46.524$ And there's two paired vertebral arteries
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- $00{:}30{:}46{.}524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}48{.}599$ that go also up to the base of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- $00:30:48.599 \rightarrow 00:30:50.388$ skull and go on to supply the brain.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- 00:30:50.390 --> 00:30:52.010 And so you can think of,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- $00{:}30{:}52{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}55{.}321$ you can think of the neurovascular anatomy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- $00:30:55.321 \rightarrow 00:30:58.946$ as being supplied by these four neck vessels,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- 00:30:58.950 --> 00:30:59.173 right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- $00{:}30{:}59{.}173 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}00{.}957$ So the two carotid arteries in the front
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- $00:31:00.957 \rightarrow 00:31:02.925$ of the tuber tibial arteries in the back,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- $00:31:02.930 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.436$ you can think of it a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- $00{:}31{:}04{.}436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}05{.}890$ little bit like a stool.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- $00:31:05.890 \rightarrow 00:31:08.620$ And the the stool basically feeds a

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- $00:31:08.620 \dashrightarrow 00:31:11.257$ circle of vessels in the center of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- $00:31:11.257 \dashrightarrow 00:31:13.930$ the brain called the circle of Willis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- $00:31:13.930 \rightarrow 00:31:15.974$ And we're going to go specifically through.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- 00:31:15.980 --> 00:31:17.898 What you know what that means and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- $00{:}31{:}17{.}898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}20{.}500$ and why it's important to think about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- $00{:}31{:}20.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}22.216$ when we're entertaining decisions
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- $00{:}31{:}22.216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}24.572$ about carotid resection plus or minus
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- $00{:}31{:}24{.}572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}26{.}720$ reconstruction in the in the context
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- $00:31:26.720 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.636$ of head and neck cancer surgery.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- $00{:}31{:}29{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}32{.}224$ So this is the same patient that we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- $00:31:32.224 \rightarrow 00:31:34.962$ we just saw in the earlier slide and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- $00{:}31{:}34{.}962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}36{.}578$ I'm going to walk us through here you NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- $00:31:36.578 \rightarrow 00:31:38.317$ know what we call the circle of Willis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- $00{:}31{:}38{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}41{.}767$ So we're the two red dots are are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- $00{:}31{:}41.767 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}43.861$ both the the internal carotid arteries
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365

- $00:31:43.861 \dashrightarrow 00:31:46.196$ which are the the termination of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- $00{:}31{:}46.196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}47.345$ these carotid arteries.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- $00:31:47.350 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.726$ Well, inside the hub,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- $00{:}31{:}48.726 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}51.502$ so those are both the left ICA and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- $00{:}31{:}51{.}502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}52{.}450$ the right ICA.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- 00:31:52.450 --> 00:31:54.760 And what I've done here is that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- $00{:}31{:}54{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}57{.}545$ the I've tried to label the main
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- $00:31:57.545 \rightarrow 00:32:00.060$ bifurcation points of the terminal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- $00:32:00.060 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.710$ internal carotid artery,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- $00{:}32{:}01{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}04{.}050$ so it branches into two branches,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- 00:32:04.050 --> 00:32:04.824 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- $00{:}32{:}04.824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}06.759$ one is the anterior cerebral
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7684915365
- $00:32:06.759 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.920$ artery and this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666
- $00:32:07.996 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.786$ is called the A1 segment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666
- $00:32:09.790 \longrightarrow 00:32:11.380$ And and this goes towards
- NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666
- $00:32:11.380 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.970$ the nose or the midline,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666
- $00:32:12.970 \rightarrow 00:32:15.400$ and then laterally there's the middle
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666
- 00:32:15.400 -> 00:32:17.870 cerebral artery and this year's term,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666
- $00:32:17.870 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.720$ the M1 segment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666
- $00:32:20.720 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.876$ And that that those are the two
- NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666
- $00:32:22.876 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.671$ main sort of like bifurcation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666
- $00:32:24.671 \rightarrow 00:32:27.464$ points of the of the ICA termination
- NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666
- $00:32:27.464 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.911$ and that occurs on both the right
- NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666
- $00:32:29.911 \rightarrow 00:32:31.532$ and the left side obviously.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666
- $00:32:31.532 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.344$ So here again is the M1,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666
- $00:32:33.350 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.199$ here's the A1.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666
- 00:32:34.199 00:32:35.897 Here you can see the internal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666
- $00{:}32{:}35{.}897 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}37{.}366$ carotid heading down towards the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666
- $00{:}32{:}37{.}366 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}39{.}379$ skull base and then it sort of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666
- $00:32:39.379 \rightarrow 00:32:41.206$ goes up and then branches into The
- NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666
- $00{:}32{:}41.206 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}42.672$ Chew those two different vessels.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666

 $00:32:42.672 \rightarrow 00:32:45.530$ If we look at the back of the head,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666

 $00{:}32{:}45{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}47{.}728$ you can see here that the basilar

NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666

 $00:32:47.728 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.471$ artery forms from a fusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666

 $00:32:49.471 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.947$ of both vertebral arteries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666

00:32:50.950 --> 00:32:52.010 They're closing up the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666

 $00{:}32{:}52{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}53{.}340$ and left side of the head,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666

 $00:32:53.340 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.780$ so here's our of the neck,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666

 $00:32:54.780 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.700$ so here's the right side

NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666

 $00{:}32{:}55{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}56{.}620$ and here's the left side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666

 $00:32:56.620 \rightarrow 00:32:57.673$ And they fuse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666

 $00{:}32{:}57.673 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}59.779$ so it's a little bit different

NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666

 $00{:}32{:}59{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}01{.}620$ than the the carotid arteries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666

00:33:01.620 -> 00:33:04.338 which will sort of like go up on each

NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666

 $00{:}33{:}04{.}338 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}06{.}808$ side of the neck and then continue

NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666

00:33:06.810 --> 00:33:09.154 on the right and left side and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666

 $00:33:09.154 \rightarrow 00:33:11.399$ head before doing their bifurcations.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666
- 00:33:11.400 00:33:12.144 The vertebral arteries,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666
- $00:33:12.144 \longrightarrow 00:33:14.360$ which go up on both sides of the neck,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666
- $00:33:14.360 \longrightarrow 00:33:16.608$ fuse at the base of the skull and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666
- 00:33:16.608 00:33:19.334 then go up as a common basilar
- NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666
- $00:33:19.334 \rightarrow 00:33:21.010$ artery before bifurcating again.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666
- $00:33:21.010 \rightarrow 00:33:24.321$ And that has implications about how safe
- NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666
- $00:33:24.321 \rightarrow 00:33:27.430$ it is to take a vertebral artery and,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666
- $00:33:27.430 \dashrightarrow 00:33:28.765$ and doctor Mandel mentioned that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666
- 00:33:28.765 --> 00:33:30.718 a few times in his talk versus
- NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666
- $00:33:30.718 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.404$ a carotid artery in the workup.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666
- $00:33:32.410 \longrightarrow 00:33:33.678$ That's required for both.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666
- $00{:}33{:}33{.}678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}35{.}580$ Has to do with this an atomical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666
- $00:33:35.642 \rightarrow 00:33:37.452$ nuance that the vertebral arteries
- NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666
- $00{:}33{:}37{.}452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}39{.}262$ fuse into the basilar artery,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666
- 00:33:39.270 --> 00:33:40.215 a common channel,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666

 $00:33:40.215 \rightarrow 00:33:42.420$ before going on to supply the circle

NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666

 $00:33:42.485 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.883$ of Willis. Where's the carotids?

NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666

00:33:43.883 - 00:33:45.509 Do not they supply the circle

NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666

 $00:33:45.509 \rightarrow 00:33:46.890$ of Willis independently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666

 $00:33:46.890 \rightarrow 00:33:50.406$ each one right and left independently?

NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666

 $00{:}33{:}50{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}51{.}916$ As the basilar artery comes up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666

00:33:51.920 -> 00:33:53.420 it divides into these two branches,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666

 $00:33:53.420 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.130$ which we call the posterior

NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666

00:33:55.130 - 00:33:55.814 cerebral arteries,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666

 $00:33:55.820 \rightarrow 00:33:58.310$ labeled the right P1 and left

NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666

00:33:58.310 - > 00:34:01.360 P1 segments individually now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666

 $00:34:01.360 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.427$ There are communications that

NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666

 $00{:}34{:}03{.}427 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}05{.}772$ can occur between the posterior

NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666

 $00:34:05.772 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.963$ circulation supplied by the vertebral

NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666

 $00:34:07.963 \rightarrow 00:34:09.938$ artery and the anterior circulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666

 $00:34:09.938 \rightarrow 00:34:11.916$ supplied by the carotid arteries,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666
- $00{:}34{:}11{.}916 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}14{.}256$ and here's an example of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666
- $00:34:14.256 \rightarrow 00:34:15.660$ these communicating vessels.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666
- 00:34:15.660 --> 00:34:18.980 Which will, which will connect the right PCA,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666
- $00:34:18.980 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.152$ the P1 segment to the internal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666
- $00:34:21.152 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.876$ carotid artery.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666
- $00{:}34{:}21.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}23.900$ And here you can see that best on this side.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666
- $00:34:23.900 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.490$ There's a smaller vessel that we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666
- $00:34:25.490 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.299$ can see over here on this side.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666
- $00{:}34{:}27{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}29{.}620$ These are the natural communications
- NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666
- $00:34:29.620 \rightarrow 00:34:31.940$ between the anterior and posterior
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666
- 00:34:32.014 --> 00:34:32.800 circulation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666
- $00:34:32.800 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.260$ We also have a communicating artery
- NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666
- $00{:}34{:}35{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}38{.}020$ that connects the right A1 on the left,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666
- $00:34:38.020 \rightarrow 00:34:40.294$ a one that communicating artery which
- NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666
- $00{:}34{:}40{.}294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}43{.}298$ is often less than a millimeter in size.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666

00:34:43.300 - 00:34:45.844 Connect both hemispheres, so in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666

 $00:34:45.844 \rightarrow 00:34:48.520$ sense connects both carotid circulations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666

 $00:34:48.520 \rightarrow 00:34:50.830$ So now you essentially have this ring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666

 $00:34:50.830 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.854$ which was the top of the stool that

NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666

 $00:34:52.854 \longrightarrow 00:34:54.878$ we talked about in the last example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666

 $00:34:54.880 \dashrightarrow 00:34:57.165$ and this represents a complete

NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666

 $00{:}34{:}57.165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}58.536$ circle of Willis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666

 $00:34:58.540 \longrightarrow 00:34:58.891$ Right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666

00:34:58.891 - 00:35:00.646 And so in doing this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.883413316666666

 $00:35:00.650 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.110$ if you sacrifice 1 vessel

NOTE Confidence: 0.8834133166666666

 $00:35:02.110 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.570$ and we're going to go

NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667

 $00:35:03.637 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.377$ through this in more detail,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667

 $00{:}35{:}05{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}08{.}005$ you can see that the other vessels

NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667

 $00:35:08.005 \dashrightarrow 00:35:11.227$ have a route to get blood to the part

NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667

 $00{:}35{:}11{.}227 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}13{.}931$ of the brain that is now compromised

NOTE Confidence: 0.918129304666667

 $00:35:13.931 \rightarrow 00:35:16.487$ in terms of its blood supply.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667
- $00:35:16.490 \dashrightarrow 00:35:18.355$ So sometimes we're born without
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667
- 00:35:18.355 --> 00:35:20.220 a complete circle of Willis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667
- $00:35:20.220 \dashrightarrow 00:35:22.208$ and in fact that's that's the norm.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667
- $00{:}35{:}22{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}25{.}073$ The norm is that we don't have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667
- $00{:}35{:}25{.}073 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}26{.}902$ symmetric large pcom arteries
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918129304666667
- $00{:}35{:}26{.}902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}29{.}998$ bilaterally and a large acom artery.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667
- $00:35:30.000 \rightarrow 00:35:33.560$ And that's when we can get into problems
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918129304666667
- $00:35:33.560 \rightarrow 00:35:36.488$ with the rapeutic carotid artery sacrifice,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667
- $00{:}35{:}36{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}39{.}809$ because just by chance in the way
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667
- $00:35:39.809 \rightarrow 00:35:41.620$ we were born, sometimes we're born
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667
- $00:35:41.620 \rightarrow 00:35:43.720$ with an incomplete circle of Willis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918129304666667
- $00:35:43.720 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.946$ and that circle can be variably.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667
- $00{:}35{:}45{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}46{.}305$ Incomplete.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667
- 00:35:46.305 --> 00:35:48.435 So in some instances it might
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667
- $00{:}35{:}48{.}435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}51{.}043$ just be an asymmetry so that one
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918129304666667

 $00:35:51.043 \rightarrow 00:35:53.251$ pecom is smaller than the other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918129304666667

 $00:35:53.260 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.084$ or an acom artery is smaller

NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667

 $00:35:55.084 \rightarrow 00:35:56.300$ than it normally is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667

 $00:35:56.300 \rightarrow 00:35:58.000$ Sometimes there's complete aplasia

NOTE Confidence: 0.918129304666667

 $00:35:58.000 \rightarrow 00:36:00.125$ so there's like non development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667

 $00{:}36{:}00{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}00{.}928$ they're a plastic vessels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667

 $00:36:00.928 \rightarrow 00:36:03.180$ So there's like we don't see a vessel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667

 $00:36:03.180 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.200$ there's no vessel connecting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918129304666667

 $00:36:05.200 \rightarrow 00:36:07.341$ for example, the ICA termination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667

 $00{:}36{:}07{.}341 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}09{.}603$ there's like and the and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667

 $00:36:09.603 \rightarrow 00:36:11.840$ and the communicating artery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667

 $00:36:11.840 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.406$ For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667

 $00:36:12.406 \rightarrow 00:36:14.670$ there might be a missing A1 segment and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667

 $00:36:14.726 \rightarrow 00:36:16.735$ its entirety and you can't know that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667

 $00{:}36{:}16.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}19.260$ Unless you sort of spent some time

NOTE Confidence: 0.918129304666667

 $00:36:19.260 \rightarrow 00:36:21.800$ with the CTA and T is this out?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667
- 00:36:21.800 00:36:23.760 So in this example, we're going to say,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667
- 00:36:23.760 --> 00:36:25.004 well, let's say we,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667
- $00:36:25.004 \rightarrow 00:36:26.870$ we're going to sacrifice the carotid
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667
- $00:36:26.928 \rightarrow 00:36:28.536$ artery in the neck over here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667
- $00:36:28.540 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.340$ So that still means that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918129304666667
- $00:36:31.340 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.864$ that means what that means if
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667
- $00:36:32.864 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.148$ we sacrifice the carotid artery
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667
- $00{:}36{:}34{.}148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}35{.}208$ in the neck is that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667
- $00{:}36{:}35{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}37{.}212$ The MCA and the ACA territories of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918129304666667
- $00:36:37.212 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.454$ the brain can still get blood by
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667
- $00:36:39.454 \rightarrow 00:36:41.109$ traveling up the Basler artery,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918129304666667
- $00:36:41.110 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.970$ the P1 segment across here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667
- $00{:}36{:}43.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}45.755$ this pcom segment to the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667
- 00:36:45.755 --> 00:36:46.826 internal carotid artery,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667
- $00{:}36{:}46{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}49{.}674$ and then fill the A1 and the M1 segments
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918129304666667

 $00:36:49.674 \rightarrow 00:36:52.369$ and their corresponding territories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667

 $00{:}36{:}52{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}55{.}058$ Or you can get contralateral blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.918129304666667

 $00:36:55.058 \rightarrow 00:36:58.049$ coming from the right eye CA across

NOTE Confidence: 0.918129304666667

 $00:36:58.049 \rightarrow 00:37:00.765$ an acom artery to supply again the A1

NOTE Confidence: 0.918129304666667

 $00:37:00.765 \dashrightarrow 00:37:03.478$ segment on the left and the M1 segment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918129304666667

 $00:37:03.480 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.220$ Now let's say that you're born

NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667

 $00:37:05.220 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.920$ without a right A1 segment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667

 $00:37:06.920 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.444$ so this is gone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918129304666667

 $00:37:08.444 \rightarrow 00:37:11.459$ Or that the acom artery itself is gone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667

 $00:37:11.460 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.194$ and you don't have that just

NOTE Confidence: 0.918129304666667

 $00:37:13.194 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.929$ because you were born that way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667

 $00:37:14.930 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.544$ And that you were born without

NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667

 $00:37:16.544 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.239$ a pecom artery on this side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667

00:37:18.240 - > 00:37:19.794 Now you have what was referred

NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667

 $00:37:19.794 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.540$ to as an isolated circulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667

 $00:37:21.540 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.325$ so that if you take the internal

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667
- $00:37:23.325 \rightarrow 00:37:24.659$ carotid artery on this side,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667
- $00:37:24.660 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.935$ there can be no compensatory
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667
- $00{:}37{:}26{.}935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}29{.}164$ blood throw across from the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667
- $00:37:29.164 \rightarrow 00:37:31.324$ right carotid artery to supply.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667
- $00{:}37{:}31{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}32{.}746$ This left MCA territory.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918129304666667
- $00:37:32.746 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.279$ Either from the front or from the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667
- $00{:}37{:}35{.}279 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}37{.}666$ back through pecan and that's what we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918129304666667
- $00:37:37.666 \rightarrow 00:37:39.990$ would call an isolated circulation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667
- 00:37:39.990 --> 00:37:41.968 So if you were doing, for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667
- $00:37:41.968 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.663$ a carotid endarterectomy on this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667
- 00:37:43.663 > 00:37:45.793 patient and the patient was under
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918129304666667
- $00{:}37{:}45.793 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}47.520$ a general anesthetic and you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667
- $00{:}37{:}47{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}48{.}525$ were using electrophysiological
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667
- $00:37:48.525 \rightarrow 00:37:50.670$ monitoring to look at the patient,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667
- $00:37:50.670 \rightarrow 00:37:52.756$ you would put your cross clamp on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.918129304666667

 $00:37:52.756 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.374$ the internal carotid artery and

NOTE Confidence: 0.918129304666667

 $00:37:54.374 \rightarrow 00:37:55.698$ your electrophysiology would just

NOTE Confidence: 0.918129304666667

 $00{:}37{:}55{.}698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}58{.}102$ go flat to zero and then you would

NOTE Confidence: 0.918129304666667

 $00:37:58.102 \rightarrow 00:38:00.064$ take the clamp off and then over a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9181293046666667

 $00{:}38{:}00{.}064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}01{.}583$ few seconds it would come back up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819826194285714

 $00:38:01.590 \dashrightarrow 00:38:04.229$ So these are patients that you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819826194285714

 $00:38:04.230 \rightarrow 00:38:07.590$ That need a shunt right during the procedure

NOTE Confidence: 0.819826194285714

 $00:38:07.590 \rightarrow 00:38:09.798$ because otherwise their brain is going

NOTE Confidence: 0.819826194285714

 $00{:}38{:}09{.}798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}12{.}360$ to be ischemic for a period of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819826194285714

00:38:12.360 --> 00:38:13.488 Sufficiently, it just,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819826194285714

 $00:38:13.488 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.120$ it just takes like about 10 minutes

NOTE Confidence: 0.819826194285714

 $00:38:16.186 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.490$ or so to create an infarct which

NOTE Confidence: 0.819826194285714

 $00:38:18.490 \dashrightarrow 00:38:20.470$ is an irreversible brain injury.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819826194285714

 $00:38:20.470 \rightarrow 00:38:21.968$ So that's what we're trying to avoid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819826194285714

 $00{:}38{:}21{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}25{.}050$ And and because we don't have this

NOTE Confidence: 0.819826194285714

 $00:38:25.050 \rightarrow 00:38:27.300$ sort of information robustly or we

- NOTE Confidence: 0.819826194285714
- $00:38:27.300 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.470$ want to challenge it and test it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819826194285714
- $00:38:29.470 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.256$ that's the purpose of the balloon test
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819826194285714
- $00{:}38{:}32{.}256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}34{.}381$ occlusion is that we're essentially
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819826194285714
- $00:38:34.381 \rightarrow 00:38:36.943$ stressing the circle of Willis that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819826194285714
- $00{:}38{:}36{.}943 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}39{.}746$ we see on a CTA and to see whether
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819826194285714
- $00{:}38{:}39{.}746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}42{.}250$ or not the patient can tolerate it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819826194285714
- $00:38:42.250 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.474$ State in terms of.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819826194285714
- 00:38:44.474 --> 00:38:45.468 They're, they're,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819826194285714
- $00:38:45.468 \rightarrow 00:38:47.220$ they're aschematic tolerance, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8337793925
- $00:38:49.260 \longrightarrow 00:38:53.340$ Alright, so let's continue.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8337793925
- $00:38:53.340 \rightarrow 00:38:57.192$ So this is how it works in practice so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8337793925
- $00{:}38{:}57{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}58{.}864$ We've been through like multiple
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8337793925
- $00{:}38{:}58{.}864 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}00{.}228$ modifications of this technique
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8337793925
- $00{:}39{:}00{.}228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}01{.}916$ and every institution has a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8337793925
- 00:39:01.916 --> 00:39:03.180 different version of this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8337793925

00:39:03.180 --> 00:39:06.660 What we typically use is Umm we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337793925

 $00{:}39{:}06{.}660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}09{.}484$ 2 arterial punctures, 1 in the leg.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337793925

 $00:39:09.484 \rightarrow 00:39:11.605$ We place the big sheep in the leg and NOTE Confidence: 0.8337793925

 $00{:}39{:}11.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}13.600$ we place a smaller sheep in the arm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337793925

00:39:13.600 --> 00:39:14.980 Through the sheath and the leg.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337793925

 $00:39:14.980 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.660$ We can go up through the body and NOTE Confidence: 0.8337793925

 $00{:}39{:}16.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}18.249$ we can access the common carotid

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337793925

 $00:39:18.249 \rightarrow 00:39:20.400$ artery and we can inflate a balloon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812345985

 $00{:}39{:}21{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}22{.}488$ And once we inflate

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00:39:22.500 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.480$ that balloon, it's typically done

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00{:}39{:}24{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}26{.}460$ on the proximal internal carotid

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00:39:26.520 \rightarrow 00:39:28.432$ artery or in the OR in the, sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00{:}39{:}28{.}432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}30{.}476$ in the in the proximal internal carotid

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00{:}39{:}30{.}476 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}32{.}366$ artery or the distal common carotid

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00:39:32.366 \rightarrow 00:39:34.710$ artery depending on whether or not there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00{:}39{:}34.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}36.770$ a theromatous disease or sometimes these

- NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941
- $00:39:36.770 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.640$ patients have radiation induced changes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941
- $00:39:38.640 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.982$ So we don't want to, we don't want
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941
- $00:39:40.982 \rightarrow 00:39:43.466$ to disrupt that sort of pathology.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941
- $00{:}39{:}43{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}45{.}920$ We inflate the balloon and we then
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941
- $00:39:45.920 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.750$ examine the patient clinically.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941
- 00:39:47.750 --> 00:39:49.808 So these patients are awake so
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941
- $00:39:49.808 \rightarrow 00:39:52.529$ we can ask them like things like,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941
- $00:39:52.530 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.808$ do you know where you are,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941
- $00:39:53.810 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.567$ what year is it, what's the date,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941
- 00:39:55.570 -> 00:39:57.910 what's the day, spell world forward,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941
- $00:39:57.910 \longrightarrow 00:39:59.071$ spell world backwards?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941
- 00:39:59.071 --> 00:40:01.006 Can you repeat this sentence,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941
- $00{:}40{:}01{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}03{.}145$ do serial sevens backwards to try to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941
- $00{:}40{:}03.145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}05.804$ get a sense about whether or not these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941
- $00:40:05.804 \rightarrow 00:40:08.700$ patients are going to have language deficits.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

00:40:08.700 --> 00:40:11.028 And very often during these exams,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

00:40:11.030 --> 00:40:12.520 I'm trying to be conversational

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00:40:12.520 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.414$ with the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00:40:13.420 \rightarrow 00:40:15.422$ Because one of the first things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00{:}40{:}15{.}422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}17{.}743$ goes is their ability to sort of like

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

00:40:17.743 --> 00:40:19.590 tell small jokes if we're joking

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

00:40:19.590 --> 00:40:21.500 around or to understand contacts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00:40:21.500 \rightarrow 00:40:23.018$ they start to get more confused.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00{:}40{:}23.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}24.484$ And it's obvious that their brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00:40:24.484 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.050$ is is laboring a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00:40:26.050 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.606$ This sort of the sort of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

00:40:28.610 --> 00:40:30.745 you know, make it work as normal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00{:}40{:}30.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}33.150$ So we're also testing their motor

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00:40:33.150 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.205$ strength and their sensory and

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00:40:35.205 \rightarrow 00:40:37.145$ position sense of their joints.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00:40:37.150 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.070$ And we do this over a period of

 $00:40:39.070 \longrightarrow 00:40:41.273$ 20 to 30 minutes and during this

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00{:}40{:}41{.}273 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}43{.}580$ time when the balloon is inflated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00:40:43.580 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.540$ We can also then go through this

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00:40:45.540 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.630$ vessel here and we can inject the

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00{:}40{:}47.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}49.412$ other blood vessels in the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00:40:49.420 \rightarrow 00:40:51.730$ And we can angiographically show

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00:40:51.730 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.115$ that the a common pcom are actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

00:40:55.115 --> 00:40:58.030 supplying the brain and that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00{:}40{:}58.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}59.728$ timing of filling even though

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00{:}40{:}59.728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}02.162$ there's a balloon up in the carotid

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00:41:02.162 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.659$ is relatively symmetric.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

00:41:03.660 --> 00:41:05.760 And that the venous phase of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00{:}41{:}05.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}08.744$ angiogram so that the the blood is also

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

00:41:08.744 --> 00:41:10.759 emptying symmetrically from both hemispheres,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00:41:10.760 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.270$ even with the 1 carotid occluded.

 $00:41:14.270 \rightarrow 00:41:16.685$ Then after all that's done at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00{:}41{:}16.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}18.410$ we do a hypotensive challenge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00{:}41{:}18{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}20{.}320$ So I'll ask our anesthesiologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00:41:20.320 \rightarrow 00:41:22.860$ to lower the blood pressure by 20%

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00{:}41{:}22.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}25.870$ for more than 5 minutes from his

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00:41:25.870 \rightarrow 00:41:28.010$ baseline systolic blood pressure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

00:41:28.010 --> 00:41:29.666 And during that period of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00:41:29.670 \longrightarrow 00:41:31.445$ we're also testing the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00{:}41{:}31{.}445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}32{.}510$ clinically as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00:41:32.510 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.009$ So we use at Yale these three

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

00:41:35.009 --> 00:41:35.723 different parameters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00:41:35.730 \longrightarrow 00:41:37.040$ Early in my practice here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00:41:37.040 \rightarrow 00:41:39.527$ we also did a nuclear medicine test,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00:41:39.527 \rightarrow 00:41:41.669$ which is very easy to administer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00:41:41.670 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.614$ but I found it to be not very.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00:41:43.620 \rightarrow 00:41:46.356$ Helpful in terms of additive information,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941
- $00:41:46.360 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.620$ but essentially what it is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941
- $00:41:47.620 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.462$ is that you take a a lipophilic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941
- 00:41:50.462 --> 00:41:51.680 nuclear medicine tracer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941
- $00:41:51.680 \rightarrow 00:41:53.500$ you inject it transvenous sly.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941
- $00:41:53.500 \rightarrow 00:41:56.300$ So through an IV it then circulates and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941
- $00{:}41{:}56{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}59{.}334$ it's pumped out as a bolus through the heart.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941
- $00:41:59.340 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.335$ And when it gets when it goes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941
- $00:42:01.335 \rightarrow 00:42:02.996$ through the brain because the brain
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941
- $00{:}42{:}02{.}996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}04{.}732$ has a lot of fat in it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941
- $00{:}42{:}04.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}06.630$ the nuclear medicine tracer gets
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941
- $00:42:06.630 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.305$ stuck in the brain and you want
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941
- $00{:}42{:}09{.}305 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}11{.}447$ to see symmetric uptake again on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941
- $00:42:11.447 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.676$ both sides of the brain to pass.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941
- $00{:}42{:}13.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}15.132$ That particular task,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941
- $00{:}42{:}15{.}132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}16{.}584$ there's also modifications
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832516312352941

 $00:42:16.584 \rightarrow 00:42:18.520$ using perfusion imaging or

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

00:42:18.593 --> 00:42:19.949 transcranial Doppler,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00{:}42{:}19{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}21{.}744$ but I haven't found those to

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00:42:21.744 \rightarrow 00:42:22.940$ be particularly useful either.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00{:}42{:}22{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}25{.}980$ And in meta analysis of this technique that

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00:42:25.980 \rightarrow 00:42:29.309$ are done for the rapeutic carotid sacrifice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00{:}42{:}29{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}33{.}600$ there's no sort of like clear benefit to

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00:42:33.600 \rightarrow 00:42:35.910$ doing these sort of adjunctive measures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00{:}42{:}35{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}37{.}299$ including hypotensive challenge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00:42:37.299 \rightarrow 00:42:40.005$ But at Yale, we do these three and

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00{:}42{:}40.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}42.088$ we found it to be effective and

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00:42:42.088 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.164$ we used this sort of setup.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00{:}42{:}44{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}46{.}458$ Just some sort of like facts that you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00{:}42{:}46{.}458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}49{.}294$ take home with you after this talk if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00{:}42{:}49{.}294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}52{.}186$ did a non selective permanent sacrifice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00:42:52.190 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.422$ if the ICA for a head and neck

- NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333
- $00{:}42{:}54{.}422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}57{.}177$ cancer or an A giant an eurysm or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333
- $00:42:57.177 \rightarrow 00:42:59.302$ sometimes for direct carotid fistulas,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333
- $00:42:59.310 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.910$ things of that nature.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333
- $00:43:00.910 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.180$ We think that about 20 to 30% in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333
- $00:43:04.180 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.206$ literature at 17 to 40% of patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333
- $00:43:06.206 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.946$ will have an ischemic insult.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333
- $00:43:07.950 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.270$ What's interesting is that they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333
- 00:43:09.270 --> 00:43:10.326 often occur right away,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333
- 00:43:10.330 --> 00:43:11.760 but sometimes they occur after
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333
- $00:43:11.760 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.675$ three to four days with even
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333
- 00:43:13.675 00:43:14.947 like minimal physiological.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333
- $00:43:14.950 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.910$ Disruption.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333
- 00:43:16.910 --> 00:43:19.790 You know, such as a you know a Valsalva
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333
- $00:43:19.790 \longrightarrow 00:43:22.584$ maneuver when going to the bathroom or or or.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333
- $00{:}43{:}22.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}26.520$ Really minimal disruption so if you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00:43:26.520 \rightarrow 00:43:30.310$ use balloon test occlusion to inform,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00:43:30.310 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.486$ doing a safe carotid sacrifice or.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00:43:34.490 \rightarrow 00:43:36.420$ Whether you realize that they're,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00:43:36.420 \rightarrow 00:43:38.261$ they're not going to tolerate a carotid

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00{:}43{:}38{.}261 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}40{.}331$ sacrifice and you have to do some kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00:43:40.331 \rightarrow 00:43:41.930$ of reconstruction of the carotid artery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00{:}43{:}41{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}43{.}866$ whether that be endovascular

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00:43:43.866 \rightarrow 00:43:46.286$ or using open surgical bypass,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00:43:46.290 \rightarrow 00:43:48.649$ then the complication rate really falls to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00:43:48.650 \longrightarrow 00:43:50.750$ to, to less than 4%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

00:43:50.750 --> 00:43:53.018 Now 4% sounds like a small

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00{:}43{:}53.018 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}55.058$ number and you know, compared to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

00:43:55.058 --> 00:43:57.182 I don't know, UDI, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00:43:57.182 \rightarrow 00:43:59.402$ what are the complications that

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00:43:59.402 \longrightarrow 00:44:01.458$ you quote for, for those big,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

00:44:01.458 --> 00:44:02.219 you know, resections,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333
- $00:44:02.219 \longrightarrow 00:44:03.713$ maybe you don't even get to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333
- $00:44:03.713 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.950$ them because it's intuitive.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333
- $00:44:04.950 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.498$ That there's going to have high
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333
- $00:44:06.498 \rightarrow 00:44:07.560$ complication rates, right,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333
- $00:44:07.560 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.656$ but four, 4%,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333
- $00{:}44{:}09{.}656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}10{.}092$ right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333
- $00{:}44{:}10.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}13.580$ With you know what that means is that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333
- 00:44:13.580 --> 00:44:15.519 you know four out of 100 patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333
- $00{:}44{:}15{.}519 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}17{.}861$ that you do this to are going to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333
- 00:44:17.861 -> 00:44:19.775 have a problem even though they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333
- $00:44:19.775 \rightarrow 00:44:21.775$ passed the balloon test occlusion.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333
- $00:44:21.780 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.900$ So 4% is not nothing,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333
- 00:44:23.900 --> 00:44:24.231 right,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333
- 00:44:24.231 --> 00:44:26.879 like 4% is the rate of ischemic stroke
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333
- $00{:}44{:}26.879 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}29.576$ for a patient who presents with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00{:}44{:}29{.}576$ --> $00{:}44{:}31{.}871$ symptomatic high grade carotid stenosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

00:44:31.880 --> 00:44:32.228 right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00:44:32.228 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.668$ If you're any higher than 4% then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

00:44:34.668 --> 00:44:36.408 You probably shouldn't be doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00{:}44{:}36{.}408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}37{.}104$ the operation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00{:}44{:}37{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}40{.}078$ So even though 4% sounds good and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00{:}44{:}40.078 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}43.988$ is in the context of hopefully achieving

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00:44:43.988 \rightarrow 00:44:47.068$ like a curative oncological resection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00{:}44{:}47.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}48.870$ it is still a significant complication

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00{:}44{:}48.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}50.697$ rate compared to many things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

00:44:50.697 -> 00:44:52.407 we do because here we're really

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00{:}44{:}52{.}407 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}54{.}184$ talking about the rate of having a

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00:44:54.184 \rightarrow 00:44:57.090$ stroke and strokes can be disabling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

00:44:57.090 --> 00:44:57.496 Thankfully,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00{:}44{:}57{.}496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}59{.}932$ the rate of complications of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00:44:59.932 \rightarrow 00:45:02.428$ balloon test occlusion itself are very low.

 $00:45:02.430 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.086$ So it's like less than 1%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00:45:04.090 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.158$ It's like often quoted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

00:45:06.160 --> 00:45:07.904 0.8% from this Umm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00{:}45{:}07{.}904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}08{.}776$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

00:45:08.780 --> 00:45:10.652 sort of like well cited meta

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00:45:10.652 \rightarrow 00:45:11.900$ analysis of the literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00:45:11.900 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.150$ So I think that getting the

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00:45:14.150 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.650$ information is always helpful

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00{:}45{:}15{.}724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}17{.}699$ if you're considering a carotid

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00{:}45{:}17.699 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}20.096$ sacrifice that during a head and

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

00:45:20.096 --> 00:45:23.719 neck surgery discussion and workout.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

00:45:23.720 --> 00:45:24.956 Just very quickly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00{:}45{:}24.956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}27.840$ this is also a patient of Sara's

NOTE Confidence: 0.787923675833333

 $00{:}45{:}27{.}927 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}30{.}839$ that we recently have taken care of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

00:45:30.840 --> 00:45:33.038 But you know, a woman in her

 $00:45:33.038 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.160$ 60s had had tongue cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00{:}45{:}35{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}37{.}194$ She had a partial gloss ectomy years

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00{:}45{:}37{.}194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}39{.}707$ ago and she now comes back with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

00:45:39.707 -> 00:45:42.078 neck mass and they do a fine needle

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00{:}45{:}42.078 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}44.734$ as pirate of the neck mass and it comes

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

00:45:44.740 --> 00:45:46.460 back as squamous cell carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00:45:46.460 \rightarrow 00:45:48.917$ So then the question is on on the amaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00:45:48.920 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.704$ It looks like the left common carotid artery

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00:45:51.704 \rightarrow 00:45:54.278$ is really encased by the tumor and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00:45:54.280 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.866$ Can you safely resect and take

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00{:}45{:}56{.}866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}59{.}824$ down the carotid artery to achieve

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00:45:59.824 \rightarrow 00:46:01.549$ an oncological resection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00:46:01.550 \rightarrow 00:46:03.240$ understanding that there's other options,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

00:46:03.240 --> 00:46:05.152 including, you know, radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00:46:05.152 \rightarrow 00:46:07.769$ chemotherapy, and other things?

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

00:46:07.770 --> 00:46:09.930 Or do you need, you know,

 $00:46:09.930 \rightarrow 00:46:12.585$ if that's the decision to to to do something,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00:46:12.590 \rightarrow 00:46:14.534$ does it need to be augmented by something

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00:46:14.534 \rightarrow 00:46:16.585$ that Doctor Tomlinson is going to talk about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00:46:16.590 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.496$ which is some kind of like endovascular

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00:46:20.496 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.230$ or surgical bypass to augment

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00:46:23.230 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.126$ the carotid sacrifice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00:46:25.126 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.140$ So again, this is what it sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00:46:27.140 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.110$ of looks like in real life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00{:}46{:}28{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}29{.}643$ This is what the balloon looks like

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

00:46:29.643 --> 00:46:31.009 and the common carotid artery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00:46:31.010 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.678$ it's inflated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00{:}46{:}31.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}33.682$ We generally inflate it for about

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00{:}46{:}33{.}682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}36{.}514$ 25 to 30 minutes and then we test

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00{:}46{:}36{.}514 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}37{.}564$ the patient clinically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00:46:37.570 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.662$ With the balloon inflated,

00:46:38.662 --> 00:46:40.644 you can now see that injection of

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00:46:40.644 \rightarrow 00:46:42.420$ the right common carotid artery fills

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00:46:42.420 \rightarrow 00:46:43.929$ across this beautiful acom artery

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00:46:43.929 \rightarrow 00:46:45.339$ and you have beautiful symmetric

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00:46:45.339 \rightarrow 00:46:47.234$ filling of the left MCA territory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00:46:47.234 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.670$ And here you also have very nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00:46:49.742 \longrightarrow 00:46:52.556$ filling through a pcom artery of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00:46:52.556 \rightarrow 00:46:54.967$ internal product artery on the left side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00{:}46{:}54{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}58{.}290$ And so this is a patient that passed

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00:46:58.290 \rightarrow 00:47:01.863$ on both clinical angiographic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00{:}47{:}01{.}863 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}04{.}506$ hypotensive challenge grounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00{:}47{:}04{.}510$ --> $00{:}47{:}07{.}918$ So we would expect her rate of having.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00{:}47{:}07{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}09{.}990$ In a schema complication after

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00:47:09.990 \rightarrow 00:47:12.060$ therapeutic carotid sacrifice to be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00:47:12.060 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.060$ you know, less than 4%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667

 $00:47:14.060 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.098$ So that's the that's the sort of

- NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667
- 00:47:17.100 --> 00:47:19.242 way to think about I think carotid
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667
- $00{:}47{:}19{.}242 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}20{.}853$ sacrifice and how balloon test
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667
- 00:47:20.853 --> 00:47:22.755 occlusion can can sort of help
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857479084166667
- $00:47:22.755 \rightarrow 00:47:24.806$ you figure some of this stuff out.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83666209
- $00:47:27.360 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.500$ That's great, Charles.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83666209
- $00:47:28.500 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.020$ Thank you so much.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83666209
- $00:47:30.020 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.892$ I think this is exactly the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83666209
- $00{:}47{:}31{.}892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}33{.}599$ type of information that this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83666209
- $00:47:33.599 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.864$ audience was was looking for.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83666209
- $00:47:35.864 \rightarrow 00:47:39.511$ And it's very enlightening to hear Yale's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83666209
- $00{:}47{:}39{.}511 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}41{.}857$ approach to this under your leadership,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83666209
- $00{:}47{:}41.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}43.546$ the, the, the the three three
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83666209
- $00{:}47{:}43.546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}45.220$ techniques that that you look for.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83666209
- $00{:}47{:}45.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}46.616$ There are two questions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83666209
- 00:47:46.616 --> 00:47:48.710 Number one is do you involve
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83666209

 $00:47:48.783 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.955$ anesthesia in these cases at all?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83666209

 $00{:}47{:}50{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}52{.}276$ You do say patients are wide a wake,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83666209

 $00{:}47{:}52{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}54{.}344$ but can you just describe some of the NOTE Confidence: 0.83666209

 $00:47:54.344 \rightarrow 00:47:55.330$ anesthesia requirements for these?

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00:47:55.680 \rightarrow 00:47:57.444$ Yeah, it's important to have and and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

00:47:57.450 --> 00:47:58.766 Actually, my experience now

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

00:47:58.766 --> 00:48:01.040 having worked in a bunch of Umm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00{:}48{:}01{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}03{.}399$ you know the the other hospitals in

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

00:48:03.399 --> 00:48:05.916 our health system outside of Yale New

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00:48:05.916 \rightarrow 00:48:08.470$ Haven Hospital that have angiography,

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00{:}48{:}08{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}10{.}910$ the anesthesiologist there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

00:48:10.910 --> 00:48:13.130 usually outstanding because you

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00{:}48{:}13.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}15.602$ know what you what you need is a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00{:}48{:}15.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}18.165$ You do need to involve an esthesia and

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00{:}48{:}18{.}165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}20{.}909$ you need an anesthesia team that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00{:}48{:}20{.}909 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}24{.}041$ accustomed to doing sort of a wake

 $00:48:24.041 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.280$ interventions and manipulating blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

00:48:26.280 --> 00:48:30.270 pressure in an awake patient and not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

00:48:30.270 --> 00:48:32.657 you know, I think that very often

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

00:48:32.657 --> 00:48:35.137 my experience has been at Yale New

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00{:}48{:}35{.}137 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}37{.}554$ Haven Hospital where I think we

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

00:48:37.554 --> 00:48:39.709 do potentially like more complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00{:}48{:}39{.}709 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}42{.}626$ and like sicker patients that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00:48:42.626 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.481$ the there's definitely a bias.

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00{:}48{:}45{.}481 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}47{.}736$ Towards putting people to sleep

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00:48:47.736 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.089$ under general anesthetic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00{:}48{:}49{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}51{.}376$ And so often when I when I go to

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

00:48:51.376 --> 00:48:53.468 the more community hospitals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00:48:53.470 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.640$ people are actually very well

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00:48:54.640 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.389$ versed in how to do this stuff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00{:}48{:}56{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}58{.}994$ But you do need to involve an esthesia

 $00:48:58.994 \rightarrow 00:49:01.238$ and we often have the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00:49:01.238 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.511$ deeper at the beginning for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

00:49:03.511 --> 00:49:05.671 puncture so it's not so painful

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00:49:05.671 \rightarrow 00:49:08.316$ and uncomfortable and and then we

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

00:49:08.316 --> 00:49:10.526 lighten the sedation throughout you

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00:49:10.530 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.888$ know the subsequent minutes so that NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00{:}49{:}12.888 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}15.930$ we can get that an examination so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00:49:15.930 \longrightarrow 00:49:18.310$ If you have a patient that you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00{:}49{:}18.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}20.557$ twilight state where they're sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00:49:20.557 \rightarrow 00:49:22.487$ like agitated and not directable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00{:}49{:}22{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}24{.}632$ that's not going to be a productive

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00:49:24.632 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.550$ balloon test occlusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00{:}49{:}25{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}27{.}125$ And we unfortunately we've had

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00:49:27.125 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.110$ cases that we've had to abort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00{:}49{:}29{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}31{.}539$ You can always do a balloon test

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00:49:31.539 \rightarrow 00:49:33.969$ occlusion under a general anesthetic,

 $00:49:33.970 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.076$ and you can augment that with

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00{:}49{:}36.076 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}37.936$ electrophysiology or you can decide

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00:49:37.936 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.901$ that the angiographic criteria alone

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00:49:39.901 \rightarrow 00:49:42.182$ is sufficient that if you truly

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00:49:42.182 \longrightarrow 00:49:43.787$ have something that looks like

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00:49:43.787 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.355$ what's up on the screen now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

00:49:46.355 --> 00:49:48.495 That that's probably sufficient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

00:49:48.500 --> 00:49:49.424 but, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00:49:49.424 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.580$ it's I think that the proofs in

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00{:}49{:}51{.}652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}53{.}759$ the pudding and the sense that if

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

00:49:53.759 - 00:49:56.433 you have an awake patient you feel

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00{:}49{:}56{.}433 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}58{.}553$ much better that they've tolerated

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00{:}49{:}58{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}00{.}228$ this balloon test occlusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00{:}50{:}00{.}228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}02{.}313$ but it's not always possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.718566611428571

 $00:50:02.320 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.920$ So yeah,

 $00:50:02.920 \longrightarrow 00:50:04.870$ and asked me if I was needed

NOTE Confidence: 0.7369053896

 $00{:}50{:}05{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}06{.}970$ especially with that drop in the blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.7369053896

00:50:06.970 --> 00:50:08.946 pressure which is for us as surgeons

NOTE Confidence: 0.7369053896

 $00:50:08.946 \rightarrow 00:50:10.341$ another little safeguard because it

NOTE Confidence: 0.7369053896

 $00{:}50{:}10{.}341 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}12{.}216$ can happen during these big surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7369053896

 $00:50:12.220 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.171$ So that's great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7369053896

 $00{:}50{:}13.171 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}15.390$ Second question has to do with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7369053896

 $00:50:15.461 \longrightarrow 00:50:17.575$ risks of the test itself and what,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7369053896

 $00{:}50{:}17.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}19.756$ what do you tell your patients and is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7369053896

 $00{:}50{:}19.756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}22.022$ it different in a patient who's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.7369053896

 $00{:}50{:}22.022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}23.682$ radiated already has carotid disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7369053896

 $00{:}50{:}23.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}26.175$ you know and it is is versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.7369053896

00:50:26.175 --> 00:50:27.240 unirradiated Genova carotid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7369053896

00:50:27.240 --> 00:50:28.830 what risk do you tell patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.7369053896

 $00:50:28.830 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.890$ about this test itself?

NOTE Confidence: 0.866438644285714

 $00:50:30.400 \rightarrow 00:50:32.304$ So I think it's a great question.

 $00{:}50{:}32{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}34{.}222$ The real answer and and it for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.866438644285714

 $00:50:34.222 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.221$ surgeons of the artist it's intuitive is

NOTE Confidence: 0.866438644285714

 $00:50:36.221 \rightarrow 00:50:38.358$ that like not every carotid is the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866438644285714

 $00:50:38.360 \rightarrow 00:50:40.428$ not every thyroid cancer is the same, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866438644285714

 $00:50:40.428 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.118$ There's going to be nuances

NOTE Confidence: 0.866438644285714

 $00{:}50{:}42.118 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}43.930$ based on little technical detail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866438644285714

 $00{:}50{:}43{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}46{.}370$ So if a person has like an impossible

NOTE Confidence: 0.866438644285714

 $00{:}50{:}46.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}48.793$ a ortic arch that's full of calcium and

NOTE Confidence: 0.866438644285714

 $00{:}50{:}48.793 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}51.420$ has like radiation changes to his common

NOTE Confidence: 0.866438644285714

 $00:50:51.420 \longrightarrow 00:50:53.465$ carotid artery that's very friable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866438644285714

 $00:50:53.470 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.566$ that might be a patient that you look

NOTE Confidence: 0.866438644285714

 $00{:}50{:}55{.}566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}58{.}367$ at and say that the risk of doing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.866438644285714

 $00:50:58.367 \longrightarrow 00:51:00.629$ balloon test occlusion may not be warranted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866438644285714

00:51:00.630 --> 00:51:02.020 You know that the complication

NOTE Confidence: 0.866438644285714

 $00:51:02.020 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.132$ rate sort of increases.

 $00:51:03.140 \rightarrow 00:51:05.666$ Significantly, but for an average patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866438644285714

 $00:51:05.670 \longrightarrow 00:51:08.010$ I would say that we can do this with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.866438644285714

 $00:51:08.071 \longrightarrow 00:51:10.610$ less than 1% risk of having a stroke.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866438644285714

 $00:51:10.610 \rightarrow 00:51:11.554$ Just as a comment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866438644285714

00:51:11.554 - 00:51:13.682 if anyone does this in the, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866438644285714

 $00{:}51{:}13.682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}15.462$ if there's like an interventionist

NOTE Confidence: 0.866438644285714

 $00:51:15.462 \rightarrow 00:51:17.260$ in the in the crowd,

NOTE Confidence: 0.866438644285714

 $00:51:17.260 \rightarrow 00:51:19.600$ it's often like very nice to put this balloon

NOTE Confidence: 0.866438644285714

 $00:51:19.600 \rightarrow 00:51:22.036$ if you can in the internal carotid artery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866438644285714

 $00:51:22.040 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.605$ Because after 30 minutes there

NOTE Confidence: 0.866438644285714

 $00:51:23.605 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.962$ might be clot in theory that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.866438644285714

 $00:51:25.962 \longrightarrow 00:51:27.430$ accumulate below the balloon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866438644285714

 $00{:}51{:}27{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}29{.}698$ And so you can flush before

NOTE Confidence: 0.866438644285714

 $00:51:29.698 \rightarrow 00:51:31.617$ deflating the balloon and sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.866438644285714

 $00{:}51{:}31{.}617 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}33{.}927$ of wash out any debris into the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.866438644285714

00:51:33.930 --> 00:51:35.163 External carotid artery,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.866438644285714
- $00:51:35.163 \rightarrow 00:51:37.218$ which isn't an eloquent territory.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866438644285714
- $00{:}51{:}37{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}38{.}906$ So that adds I think a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866438644285714
- $00:51:38.906 \rightarrow 00:51:40.500$ level of safety and we do,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866438644285714
- $00:51:40.500 \rightarrow 00:51:42.005$ we use that approach as well when
- NOTE Confidence: 0.866438644285714
- $00{:}51{:}42.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}43.339$ we're looking at these patients.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.644918293333333
- 00:51:44.730 --> 00:51:46.098 Just quick question,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.644918293333333
- $00:51:46.098 \rightarrow 00:51:48.378$ any differences between the TLR
- NOTE Confidence: 0.644918293333333
- $00:51:48.378 \rightarrow 00:51:50.480$ occlusion versus karate occlusion?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857463805
- $00{:}51{:}50{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}51{.}934$ That's a great question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857463805
- $00{:}51{:}51{.}934 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}54{.}439$ And and I I talked a little bit
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857463805
- $00{:}51{:}54{.}439 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}56{.}637$ about like in terms of like you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857463805
- 00:51:56.637 --> 00:51:58.767 know what the the internal carotid
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857463805
- $00{:}51{:}58{.}767 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}01{.}701$ artery goes up on each side of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857463805
- $00{:}52{:}01{.}701 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}04{.}083$ head and we'll supply that circle
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857463805
- 00:52:04.083 --> 00:52:07.050 of Willis stool top individually.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857463805

 $00{:}52{:}07{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}09{.}840$ This is actually misleading because the

NOTE Confidence: 0.857463805

 $00{:}52{:}09{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}12.602$ vertebral artery fuses into the basilar

NOTE Confidence: 0.857463805

 $00:52:12.602 \rightarrow 00:52:14.706$ artery as it comes along the skull.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857463805

 $00{:}52{:}14.710$ --> $00{:}52{:}18.518$ Place like near the brain stem and then NOTE Confidence: 0.857463805

 $00:52:18.518 \longrightarrow 00:52:21.538$ divides again and so because of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.857463805

 $00{:}52{:}21{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}24{.}486$ taking a non dominant or codominant NOTE Confidence: 0.857463805

 $00:52:24.486 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.491$ vertebral artery is much much better

NOTE Confidence: 0.857463805

 $00{:}52{:}27{.}491 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}29{.}866$ tolerated and probably does not

NOTE Confidence: 0.857463805

00:52:29.866 --> 00:52:33.467 require a test occlusion because of

NOTE Confidence: 0.857463805

 $00{:}52{:}33{.}467 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}35{.}869$ that an atomical configuration, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.857463805

 $00{:}52{:}35{.}869 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}38{.}341$ Because of this fusion issue is that the NOTE Confidence: 0.857463805

 $00{:}52{:}38{.}341 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}40{.}629$ one vertebral artery will then supply

NOTE Confidence: 0.857463805

 $00{:}52{:}40{.}629 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}42{.}955$ the basilar artery which will then

NOTE Confidence: 0.857463805

 $00:52:42.955 \rightarrow 00:52:45.398$ supply the circle of Willis through both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857463805

 $00:52:45.400 \longrightarrow 00:52:47.722$ PC's, and that's a nice feature

NOTE Confidence: 0.857463805

 $00:52:47.722 \rightarrow 00:52:50.140$ where we get into issues is,

 $00{:}52{:}50{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}53{.}318$ and this happens occasionally when a tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.857463805

 $00:52:53.318 \rightarrow 00:52:55.959$ involves the dominant vertebral artery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857463805

 $00{:}52{:}55{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}59{.}362$ this now becomes an issue and you can do

NOTE Confidence: 0.779755122857143

 $00:53:01.700 \dashrightarrow 00:53:04.136$ a balloon test occlusion in that case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779755122857143

 $00{:}53{:}04{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}06{.}402$ But often the deficits that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.779755122857143

00:53:06.402 --> 00:53:08.367 looking for are more complicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.779755122857143

00:53:08.367 --> 00:53:10.671 in terms of like brain stem

NOTE Confidence: 0.779755122857143

00:53:10.671 -> 00:53:13.416 ischemia and it can be very scary

NOTE Confidence: 0.779755122857143

 $00:53:13.416 \rightarrow 00:53:15.316$ to see somebody become frankly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779755122857143

 $00:53:15.320 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.940$ Turned it on the table.

NOTE Confidence: 0.779755122857143

 $00:53:16.940 \longrightarrow 00:53:19.428$ And so often if a if a dominant

NOTE Confidence: 0.779755122857143

 $00{:}53{:}19{.}428 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}20{.}680$ vertebral arteries involved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.779755122857143

 $00{:}53{:}20.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}22.400$ we're talking about reconstructive

NOTE Confidence: 0.779755122857143

 $00{:}53{:}22{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}24{.}120$ strategies either with stenting

NOTE Confidence: 0.779755122857143

 $00{:}53{:}24{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}25{.}908$ or some sort of by pass.

- $00:53:28.870 \longrightarrow 00:53:29.430$ That's great.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95220999
- $00{:}53{:}29{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}31{.}021$ Thank you so much, Charles.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95220999
- 00:53:31.021 --> 00:53:32.905 Really appreciate you talking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95220999
- $00:53:32.905 \longrightarrow 00:53:35.850$ to all of us here today.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95220999
- $00:53:35.850 \longrightarrow 00:53:37.410$ If you want to stop sharing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95220999
- $00{:}53{:}37{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}38{.}450$ and introduce Doctor Conison,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95220999
- $00:53:38.450 \longrightarrow 00:53:40.880$ which is kind of the second
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95220999
- $00:53:40.880 \rightarrow 00:53:42.782$ part of redefining resectability
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95220999
- $00{:}53{:}42.782 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}45.512$ and head neck cancer, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95220999
- $00:53:45.512 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.138$ the three of us have definitely
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95220999
- $00:53:47.138 \longrightarrow 00:53:48.860$ worked on cases together where,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95220999
- $00:53:48.860 \rightarrow 00:53:49.670$ you know, we got to know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95220999
- $00:53:49.670 \longrightarrow 00:53:50.690$ can we take the crowd,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95220999
- $00:53:50.690 \longrightarrow 00:53:52.090$ what's going to happen?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95220999
- $00:53:52.090 \rightarrow 00:53:53.490$ And then Doctor Tonnison,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95220999
- $00:53:53.490 \rightarrow 00:53:55.810$ who is a vascular surgeon here at Yale,

 $00:53:55.810 \rightarrow 00:53:57.910$ is going to talk to us about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95220999

 $00:53:57.910 \longrightarrow 00:53:59.356$ well, what what do you do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.95220999

 $00{:}53{:}59{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}00{.}550$ After we've taken the carotid

NOTE Confidence: 0.95220999

 $00:54:00.550 \rightarrow 00:54:02.046$ artery and I think it's very

NOTE Confidence: 0.95220999

 $00{:}54{:}02.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}03.558$ critical that we we work together

NOTE Confidence: 0.95220999

 $00{:}54{:}03{.}558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}04{.}948$ in surgeries head neck surgeon

NOTE Confidence: 0.95220999

 $00:54:04.948 \longrightarrow 00:54:06.120$ with the vascular surgeon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95220999

 $00:54:06.120 \dashrightarrow 00:54:07.910$ So Doctor Thomason please share

NOTE Confidence: 0.95220999

 $00{:}54{:}07{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}10{.}100$ your screen and take it away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81334084962963

 $00{:}54{:}11{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}13{.}235$ Well, thanks. These two proceeding

NOTE Confidence: 0.81334084962963

00:54:13.235 --> 00:54:16.517 talks just remind me of why I initially

NOTE Confidence: 0.81334084962963

00:54:16.517 --> 00:54:19.100 wanted to be a neurosurgeon and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81334084962963

00:54:19.174 --> 00:54:21.778 anyway now I do something much more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81334084962963

00:54:21.780 --> 00:54:23.710 more boring vascular surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81334084962963

 $00{:}54{:}23.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}25.309$ So thank you both.

 $00:54:25.309 \longrightarrow 00:54:26.624$ That was that was fantastic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7322738575

 $00{:}54{:}30{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}33{.}250$ He's trying to share.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7322738575

 $00{:}54{:}33{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}36{.}508$ Ohh spoiler alert.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7322738575

 $00:54:36.510 \rightarrow 00:54:39.440$ Trying to do the slideshow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7322738575

 $00{:}54{:}39{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}40{.}912$ All right. All set.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7322738575

 $00{:}54{:}40{.}912 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}42{.}752$ Everyone can see and hear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.700412302

 $00:54:43.290 \longrightarrow 00:54:44.780$ It looks great. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74752302675

 $00:54:44.830 \longrightarrow 00:54:46.411$ All right, amazing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.74752302675

00:54:46.411 --> 00:54:49.573 So I'm going to dove tail on

NOTE Confidence: 0.74752302675

 $00{:}54{:}49{.}573 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}52{.}689$ Charles's talk and go go into complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.74752302675

 $00:54:52.690 \longrightarrow 00:54:54.970$ carotid artery reconstruction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74752302675

00:54:54.970 --> 00:54:57.590 particularly focusing on neck surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74752302675

00:54:57.590 --> 00:54:59.006 of course, and I'll,

NOTE Confidence: 0.74752302675

 $00:54:59.006 \longrightarrow 00:55:00.776$ I'll go through all that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901352003333333

 $00{:}55{:}03{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}06{.}526$ So I'm going to briefly define carotid

NOTE Confidence: 0.901352003333333

 $00:55:06.526 \rightarrow 00:55:08.899$ artery reconstruction and the indications,

 $00:55:08.900 \rightarrow 00:55:11.840$ review some of the existing data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901352003333333

 $00{:}55{:}11{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}13{.}700$ and discuss some of the techniques

NOTE Confidence: 0.901352003333333

 $00:55:13.700 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.470$ as well as conduit choices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909665626666667

 $00:55:20.690 \longrightarrow 00:55:22.448$ But to take a step back,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909665626666667

 $00{:}55{:}22{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}25{.}216$ these are some of our standard

NOTE Confidence: 0.909665626666667

 $00:55:25.216 \rightarrow 00:55:26.599$ carotid revascularization options.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909665626666667

 $00:55:26.600 \rightarrow 00:55:29.720$ This is a much more common thing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.909665626666667

 $00:55:29.720 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.449$ that we deal with is vascular specialists

NOTE Confidence: 0.909665626666667

 $00{:}55{:}33.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}36.390$ to remove the plaque from a carotid

NOTE Confidence: 0.909665626666667

 $00:55:36.390 \rightarrow 00:55:37.990$ artery for a the rosclerotic disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909665626666667

 $00:55:37.990 \rightarrow 00:55:41.160$ And this is what it looks like in a pictorial

NOTE Confidence: 0.909665626666667

 $00{:}55{:}41{.}237 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}43{.}985$ form and then in histological specimen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909665626666667

00:55:43.990 --> 00:55:44.856 And similarly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909665626666667

 $00{:}55{:}44.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}47.887$ there is a a different technique now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909665626666667

 $00:55:47.890 \longrightarrow 00:55:49.730$ a very popular as well,

 $00:55:49.730 \longrightarrow 00:55:50.948$ trans carotid artery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909665626666667

 $00{:}55{:}50{.}948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}53{.}384$ Revascularization and and this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.909665626666667

 $00{:}55{:}53{.}384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}55{.}964$ used in order to insert a stent into

NOTE Confidence: 0.909665626666667

 $00:55:55.964 \rightarrow 00:55:58.055$ the artery and here's the stenosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.909665626666667

 $00{:}55{:}58.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}00.666$ and the internal carotid here and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.909665626666667

 $00:56:00.670 \rightarrow 00:56:02.008$ can actually see this little pocket.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909665626666667

 $00{:}56{:}02.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}04.971$ So this is an ulcerated stenosis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.909665626666667

 $00:56:04.971 \longrightarrow 00:56:07.820$ this artery is stented and as the

NOTE Confidence: 0.909665626666667

 $00{:}56{:}07{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}10{.}190$ stent is placed there's actually a

NOTE Confidence: 0.909665626666667

 $00{:}56{:}10.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}13.105$ system that reverses the blood flow to

NOTE Confidence: 0.909665626666667

 $00{:}56{:}13.105 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}15.607$ minimize the risk of plaque embolization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909665626666667

 $00:56:15.610 \rightarrow 00:56:18.361$ So I show these only because familiar

NOTE Confidence: 0.909665626666667

 $00:56:18.361 \rightarrow 00:56:21.298$ familiarity of the with the carotid artery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909665626666667

 $00:56:21.300 \rightarrow 00:56:24.490$ Really largely comes with experiences

NOTE Confidence: 0.909665626666667

 $00:56:24.490 \dashrightarrow 00:56:26.404$ with a therosclerotic disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909665626666667

00:56:26.410 --> 00:56:28.026 which is, you know,

 $00:56:28.026 \rightarrow 00:56:30.046$ probably 100 times more common

NOTE Confidence: 0.909665626666667

 $00{:}56{:}30{.}046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}32{.}576$ than what I'll be discussing in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.909665626666667

 $00:56:32.576 \longrightarrow 00:56:34.810$ rest of the rest of the talk here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910034008888889

00:56:38.880 --> 00:56:40.736 So revascularization is usually

NOTE Confidence: 0.910034008888889

 $00:56:40.736 \rightarrow 00:56:42.592$ dealing with atherosclerotic disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.910034008888889

 $00:56:42.592 \longrightarrow 00:56:45.331$ like I showed you in the carotid

NOTE Confidence: 0.910034008888889

 $00:56:45.331 \rightarrow 00:56:47.190$ artery is generally left incite you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910034008888889

 $00{:}56{:}47.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}49.080$ We don't remove chunks or parts of

NOTE Confidence: 0.910034008888889

 $00{:}56{:}49{.}138 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}51{.}287$ the carotid artery other than the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.910034008888889

 $00{:}56{:}51{.}287 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}52{.}930$ internal layers with an endarterectomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.910034008888889

 $00:56:52.930 \rightarrow 00:56:54.916$ and with with the carotid stent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910034008888889

 $00:56:54.920 \rightarrow 00:56:56.860$ we're not removing anything,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910034008888889

 $00{:}56{:}56{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}59{.}285$ but the reconstruction refers to

NOTE Confidence: 0.910034008888889

 $00{:}56{:}59{.}285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}01{.}540$ actually resecting full thickness of

NOTE Confidence: 0.910034008888889

 $00{:}57{:}01{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}04{.}260$ the artery wall that's damaged in some

 $00:57:04.260 \longrightarrow 00:57:07.020$ fashion or needs to be resected perhaps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910034008888889

 $00{:}57{:}07{.}020$ --> $00{:}57{:}08{.}703$ For oncological reasons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910034008888889

 $00{:}57{:}08.703 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}11.508$ the indications are quite different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910034008888889

00:57:11.510 --> 00:57:13.286 Carotid revascularization procedures

NOTE Confidence: 0.910034008888889

00:57:13.286 --> 00:57:16.246 are designed to prevent stroke,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910034008888889

 $00:57:16.250 \longrightarrow 00:57:17.790$ and that's pretty much it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910034008888889

 $00{:}57{:}17.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}19.630$ The indications for reconstruction

NOTE Confidence: 0.910034008888889

 $00:57:19.630 \longrightarrow 00:57:21.010$ are variable also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910034008888889

 $00{:}57{:}21.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}23.096$ as I'll show you in the next.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910034008888889

 $00:57:23.100 \rightarrow 00:57:26.764$ Slide revascularization, very common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910034008888889

 $00:57:26.764 \rightarrow 00:57:28.631$ Reconstruction? Not so much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910034008888889

 $00{:}57{:}28.631 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}30.216$ and the techniques are different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910034008888889

 $00{:}57{:}30{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}31{.}996$ Reconstruction will involve a

NOTE Confidence: 0.910034008888889

 $00:57:31.996 \longrightarrow 00:57:34.660$ vein graft or a PTFE graft,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910034008888889

 $00{:}57{:}34.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}35.888$ as I'll show you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910034008888889

 $00:57:35.888 \dashrightarrow 00:57:37.423$ And because of the complexity

- NOTE Confidence: 0.910034008888889
- $00:57:37.423 \longrightarrow 00:57:39.020$ of the reconstructions,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910034008888889
- $00:57:39.020 \rightarrow 00:57:41.192$ these are tend to be multidisciplinary
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910034008888889
- $00:57:41.192 \longrightarrow 00:57:43.499$ teams and have a higher risk
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910034008888889
- $00:57:43.499 \longrightarrow 00:57:45.079$ of cranial nerve injury.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429
- 00:57:50.370 --> 00:57:53.455 And so moving forward into
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429
- $00:57:53.455 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.689$ carotid reconstruction,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429
- $00:57:54.690 \rightarrow 00:57:56.844$ there are a variety of indications
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429
- $00{:}57{:}56{.}844 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}59{.}573$ for this and really I was asked to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429
- $00{:}57{:}59{.}573 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}01{.}846$ talk about the last one because this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429
- $00:58:01.846 \rightarrow 00:58:04.066$ of course coincides with what our.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429
- 00:58:04.070 --> 00:58:05.414 Oncological next surgeons do,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429
- 00:58:05.414 --> 00:58:08.222 but I'd be remiss if I didn't mention
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429
- 00:58:08.222 --> 00:58:10.394 some of these other reasons because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429
- $00{:}58{:}10{.}394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}13{.}627$ a lot of the information that we have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429
- $00{:}58{:}13.627 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}15.683$ about reconstruction for cancer is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00:58:15.683 \rightarrow 00:58:17.798$ extrapolated from similar techniques that

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00{:}58{:}17.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}20.879$ we use for these other other issues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00:58:20.880 \longrightarrow 00:58:21.867$ So for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00:58:21.867 \longrightarrow 00:58:24.557$ This is a patient who has had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00{:}58{:}24{.}557 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}26{.}755$ carotid stent and you can kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00{:}58{:}26.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}29.359$ see the outline of the stent here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00:58:29.360 \longrightarrow 00:58:31.442$ And this patient has a severe

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00:58:31.442 \longrightarrow 00:58:34.052$ in stent stenosis and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00{:}58{:}34.052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}35.964$ this can be treated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00:58:35.970 \longrightarrow 00:58:38.148$ Charles might opt to treat this

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00:58:38.148 \longrightarrow 00:58:39.237$ with a balloon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00{:}58{:}39{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}40{.}810$ a repeat of balloon angioplasty

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00:58:40.810 \longrightarrow 00:58:42.380$ and get a good result.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

00:58:42.380 --> 00:58:44.252 But what if it keeps coming back or they're,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00:58:44.260 \longrightarrow 00:58:44.894$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00:58:44.894 \longrightarrow 00:58:47.113$ for some reason the patient can't have

 $00:58:47.113 \dashrightarrow 00:58:49.993$ a balloon angioplasty of this area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00:58:49.993 \rightarrow 00:58:53.010$ OK well potentially you can actually resect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00:58:53.010 \longrightarrow 00:58:55.098$ All of this and put in a vein

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00{:}58{:}55{.}098 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}56{.}419$ graft or a bypass.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00:58:56.419 \longrightarrow 00:58:58.890$ So that's just an example of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00:58:58.979 \longrightarrow 00:59:02.509$ recurrent disease, and here's a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00:59:02.510 \rightarrow 00:59:05.910$ A patient of mine from several years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00:59:05.910 \longrightarrow 00:59:08.304$ This is a a 70 year old man who

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00{:}59{:}08{.}304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}10{.}481$ had a prior carotid endarterectomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00:59:10.481 \rightarrow 00:59:13.719$ for a high grade stenosis and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00:59:13.719 \rightarrow 00:59:16.233$ a couple of years later developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00{:}59{:}16.233 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}18.358$ a recurrent high grade stenosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00{:}59{:}18{.}358 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}20{.}962$ And this is actually quite rare

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

00:59:20.962 --> 00:59:22.745 because karate endarterectomies tend

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00:59:22.745 \longrightarrow 00:59:25.685$ to be quite durable in you know,

00:59:25.690 --> 00:59:27.770 90 plus percent of patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00{:}59{:}27{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}30{.}458$ but unfortunately not in this gentleman.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00:59:30.460 \rightarrow 00:59:32.476$ And he actually had some organized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00:59:32.480 \longrightarrow 00:59:34.028$ Rhombus on the inside of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

00:59:34.028 --> 00:59:35.470 carotid patch and just looked,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

00:59:35.470 --> 00:59:36.424 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00:59:36.424 \rightarrow 00:59:38.809$ it looked somewhat terrifying on

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00:59:38.809 \longrightarrow 00:59:39.763$ the ultrasound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00:59:39.770 \rightarrow 00:59:42.073$ And so after you know pretty extensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00{:}59{:}42.073 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}43.877$ discussion he was concerned about

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

00:59:43.877 --> 00:59:46.133 stroke recurrence and I was concerned

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00:59:46.133 \longrightarrow 00:59:48.254$ about that and we opted to do a

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00{:}59{:}48.254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}50.250$ a vein graft and so it's a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00:59:50.250 \longrightarrow 00:59:52.711$ it's a little video here it just it

NOTE Confidence: 0.822881618571429

 $00:59:52.711 \rightarrow 00:59:54.800$ basically just shows it pulsing but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88282749125

 $00:59:59.560 \rightarrow 01:00:01.320$ But you can see actually on the outside,

 $01:00:01.320 \longrightarrow 01:00:03.371$ here's the edges of the the patch

NOTE Confidence: 0.88282749125

 $01:00:03.371 \longrightarrow 01:00:05.531$ and this that I had to open up

NOTE Confidence: 0.88282749125

01:00:05.531 --> 01:00:07.455 and and the vein graft goes up

NOTE Confidence: 0.88282749125

 $01:00:07.455 \rightarrow 01:00:09.060$ from the proximal side here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88282749125

 $01:00:09.060 \rightarrow 01:00:10.878$ which is down towards the chest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88282749125

 $01:00:10.880 \longrightarrow 01:00:12.672$ up towards the internal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88282749125

 $01{:}00{:}12.672 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}15.360$ This is all internal carotid karada

NOTE Confidence: 0.88282749125

 $01:00:15.442 \rightarrow 01:00:17.908$ up that the up at the top of the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88282749125

 $01:00:17.910 \longrightarrow 01:00:19.110$ Top of the neck there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316

 $01:00:24.790 \rightarrow 01:00:27.275$ And so another situation that we may

NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316

01:00:27.275 --> 01:00:30.754 have to get into doing some sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316

 $01:00:30.754 \rightarrow 01:00:32.626$ carotid repair or reconstruction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316

 $01{:}00{:}32.630 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}35.260$ although not perhaps as as

NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316

 $01{:}00{:}35{.}260 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}37{.}770$ commonly is a carotid body tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316

 $01{:}00{:}37.770 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}39.658$ And so I, I like to mention these

01:00:39.658 --> 01:00:41.550 because I'm interested in these and I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316

01:00:41.550 --> 01:00:43.590 I I think they're very fascinated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316

 $01:00:43.590 \longrightarrow 01:00:45.558$ I think a lot of us are fascinated

NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316

 $01:00:45.558 \rightarrow 01:00:46.998$ with carotid body tumors because

NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316

 $01{:}00{:}46.998 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}48.786$ they have such a exotic pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316

 $01:00:48.786 \longrightarrow 01:00:50.490$ and they look very interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316

 $01:00:50.490 \rightarrow 01:00:52.175$ under a microscope and they're.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316

01:00:52.180 --> 01:00:53.550 You know, they're just very

NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316

 $01{:}00{:}53.550 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}54.646$ fascinating on little tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316

 $01:00:54.650 \rightarrow 01:00:57.598$ Fortunately, they're rarely malignant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316

 $01:00:57.600 \longrightarrow 01:01:00.162$ And this is from actually one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316

01:01:00.162 --> 01:01:03.266 my my older one of my old partners

NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316

 $01:01:03.266 \rightarrow 01:01:06.020$ Jeb Hallett did this 50 year old.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316

01:01:06.020 --> 01:01:08.150 50 year Mayo Clinic experience many

NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316

 $01{:}01{:}08{.}150 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}11{.}242$ years ago and it's really been a a

NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316

 $01:01:11.242 \rightarrow 01:01:12.846$ landmark paper which categorized

- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316
- $01:01:12.846 \rightarrow 01:01:15.614$ these tumors nicely into into the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316
- $01{:}01{:}15.614 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}18.069$ Shamblin state Shamblin stages here
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316
- $01{:}01{:}18.069 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}21.098$ and kind of showed a correlation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316
- $01{:}01{:}21.098 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}23.678$ between these three levels of.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316
- $01:01:23.678 \rightarrow 01:01:26.810$ Disease and the degree of complications
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316
- $01:01:26.899 \longrightarrow 01:01:29.314$ that you can expect and as you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316
- 01:01:29.314 --> 01:01:32.038 can see in cross section here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316
- $01{:}01{:}32.040 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}34.539$ Shamblin 1 tumor kind of sits between
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316
- $01:01:34.539 \rightarrow 01:01:36.540$ the internal and the external.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316
- $01{:}01{:}36{.}540 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}38{.}717$ And then a Shamblin 2 starts to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316
- $01:01:38.717 \rightarrow 01:01:40.960$ impinge upon the vessels a little bit
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316
- 01:01:40.960 --> 01:01:43.280 more and gets a little more dicey.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316
- $01:01:43.280 \longrightarrow 01:01:44.498$ And then a three, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316
- $01{:}01{:}44.500 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}46.772$ it just basically is like, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316
- $01:01:46.772 \longrightarrow 01:01:48.848$ is like a little fruit sitting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316

- $01:01:48.848 \rightarrow 01:01:50.699$ inside the jello mold here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316
- 01:01:50.700 --> 01:01:51.374 I mean,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316
- $01:01:51.374 \rightarrow 01:01:52.048$ it's really,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316
- $01:01:52.048 \rightarrow 01:01:53.396$ really encompassing the blood
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316
- $01{:}01{:}53{.}396 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}55{.}219$ vessels and these are of course.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316
- $01:01:55.220 \rightarrow 01:01:58.685$ The most difficult to manage and tend
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316
- $01{:}01{:}58.685 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}01{.}403$ to involve cranial nerves and and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316
- $01:02:01.403 \longrightarrow 01:02:05.106$ may have a higher end do and have a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316
- $01:02:05.106 \rightarrow 01:02:07.956$ higher risk of treating nerve palsy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316
- $01:02:07.960 \rightarrow 01:02:10.200$ But when it gets to carotid reconstructions,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316
- $01:02:10.200 \rightarrow 01:02:10.580$ fortunately,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316
- 01:02:10.580 --> 01:02:12.860 most of these do not require
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316
- 01:02:12.860 --> 01:02:14.000 a carotid reconstruction,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316
- $01:02:14.000 \rightarrow 01:02:16.514$ and the rate of carotid reconstruction
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316
- $01:02:16.514 \rightarrow 01:02:18.999$ has gone down over over time,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316
- $01:02:19.000 \longrightarrow 01:02:20.848$ as I'll show you in the.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316
- 01:02:20.850 --> 01:02:24.100 More recent, more recent series,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316
- $01:02:24.100 \longrightarrow 01:02:25.660$ but in this older series they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316
- $01:02:25.660 \longrightarrow 01:02:27.719$ had to do a lateral suture,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316
- $01:02:27.720 \longrightarrow 01:02:29.440$ meaning just a simple suture
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316
- $01:02:29.440 \longrightarrow 01:02:31.926$ repair in in about 9% of cases.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316
- $01:02:31.926 \longrightarrow 01:02:34.224$ And then some sort of little
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316
- $01:02:34.224 \rightarrow 01:02:38.430$ patch or or even a graft and 25%.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.909896930526316
- $01:02:38.430 \longrightarrow 01:02:39.489$ Of these patients.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118
- 01:02:42.790 --> 01:02:44.390 And so more more recently,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118
- $01:02:44.390 \rightarrow 01:02:46.028$ just a couple of months ago,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118
- $01:02:46.030 \longrightarrow 01:02:49.174$ this article from some of our
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118
- 01:02:49.174 --> 01:02:52.007 colleagues in Mexico came out and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118
- $01:02:52.007 \longrightarrow 01:02:54.521$ this is the largest database of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118
- $01:02:54.521 \longrightarrow 01:02:57.059$ carotid body tumors that actually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118
- $01:02:57.059 \rightarrow 01:03:00.107$ crosses 3 continents in 11 countries.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118

 $01:03:00.110 \longrightarrow 01:03:02.726$ And so there's a lot of information here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118

01:03:02.730 --> 01:03:04.375 I I put up the balloon occlusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118

 $01{:}03{:}04{.}375 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}05{.}955$ because I knew that the Charles

NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118

 $01:03:05.955 \longrightarrow 01:03:07.330$ will be talking about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118

 $01:03:07.330 \longrightarrow 01:03:09.040$ And they actually found that

NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118

 $01:03:09.040 \rightarrow 01:03:10.750$ balloon occlusion was only done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118

 $01:03:10.750 \longrightarrow 01:03:13.674$ only performed in about 22% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118

 $01:03:13.674 \rightarrow 01:03:14.766$ patients and interestingly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118

 $01:03:14.766 \dashrightarrow 01:03:20.080$ Only about 2% of those were positive and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118

 $01{:}03{:}20{.}080 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}22{.}593$ And about a third of these were

NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118

 $01{:}03{:}22.593 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}24.660$ categorized as Shamblin 3 or the more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118

 $01:03:24.660 \longrightarrow 01:03:28.008$ the more severe tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118

 $01:03:28.010 \rightarrow 01:03:30.773$ And you know what they what they did find

NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118

 $01{:}03{:}30.773 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}33.196$ though is that when the carotid artery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118

 $01:03:33.200 \longrightarrow 01:03:35.130$ Is involved as it may,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118

 $01:03:35.130 \rightarrow 01:03:38.714$ may likely be with more advanced tumors,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118
- $01:03:38.720 \longrightarrow 01:03:41.100$ the risk goes up and I think
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118
- $01:03:41.100 \longrightarrow 01:03:41.947$ that's intuitive, right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118
- $01:03:41.947 \longrightarrow 01:03:43.724$ So if you have to resect a part of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118
- $01:03:43.724 \rightarrow 01:03:45.299$ carotid artery in addition to the tumor,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118
- 01:03:45.300 --> 01:03:47.472 there's increased risk of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118
- $01:03:47.472 \longrightarrow 01:03:49.644$ blood loss and potentially.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118
- 01:03:49.650 --> 01:03:51.770 Stroke and cranial nerve problems.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118
- $01:03:51.770 \longrightarrow 01:03:53.654$ So the risk of stroke though
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118
- 01:03:53.654 --> 01:03:54.910 ultimately was pretty low,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118
- 01:03:54.910 --> 01:03:56.400 but they did find that, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118
- $01:03:56.400 \rightarrow 01:03:57.750$ doing something else with the carotid,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118
- $01:03:57.750 \longrightarrow 01:03:58.430$ whether that's.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118
- $01{:}03{:}58{.}430 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}00{.}470$ A graft, or whether that's an
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118
- $01{:}04{:}00{.}470 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}02{.}019$ endarterectomy or something else.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118
- $01{:}04{:}02{.}020 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}04{.}996$ That of course does elevate your.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118

- $01:04:05.000 \longrightarrow 01:04:06.116$ Risk of complications.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118
- 01:04:06.116 --> 01:04:06.488 Fortunately,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118
- $01:04:06.488 \longrightarrow 01:04:08.348$ most of the cranial nerve
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868715118
- 01:04:08.348 --> 01:04:09.860 palsies were just temporary.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7053235775
- 01:04:13.700 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:04:17.900 And so I take a little sidebar here just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7053235775
- $01{:}04{:}17{.}900 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}20{.}100$ to show about preoperative embolization.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7053235775
- $01{:}04{:}20{.}100 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}23{.}075$ I think the authors hopefully of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7053235775
- $01:04:23.075 \rightarrow 01:04:25.452$ capacity database here will maybe get
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7053235775
- $01:04:25.452 \longrightarrow 01:04:28.014$ into the details a little bit more,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7053235775
- $01{:}04{:}28.020 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}30.516$ perhaps in future articles on embolization.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7053235775
- $01:04:30.520 \longrightarrow 01:04:32.080$ They really didn't touch on it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7053235775
- $01:04:32.080 \longrightarrow 01:04:35.670$ too much in this in this article.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7053235775
- $01{:}04{:}35{.}670 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}38{.}547$ We we've looked at a few cases
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7053235775
- $01{:}04{:}38{.}547 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}40{.}650$ here at here at Yale.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7053235775
- 01:04:40.650 --> 01:04:44.227 One of my partners doctor on Missouri.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7053235775
- $01:04:44.230 \rightarrow 01:04:46.498$ We published this little article here.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7053235775
- 01:04:46.500 --> 01:04:48.400 It's got some cute pictures,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7053235775
- $01{:}04{:}48{.}400 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}50{.}514$ but what this shows is that here's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7053235775
- $01:04:50.514 \longrightarrow 01:04:52.835$ a carotid body tumor and this is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7053235775
- $01:04:52.835 \rightarrow 01:04:54.515$ the common carotid artery here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7053235775
- $01:04:54.520 \longrightarrow 01:04:56.090$ and then the internal carotid
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7053235775
- $01:04:56.090 \rightarrow 01:04:57.959$ artery and the external with all
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7053235775
- $01:04:57.959 \longrightarrow 01:04:59.399$ of the all of the branches,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7053235775
- $01:04:59.400 \longrightarrow 01:05:01.050$ the ascending pharyngeal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7053235775
- $01{:}05{:}01{.}050 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}02{.}700$ and etce tera etcetera.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7053235775
- $01:05:02.700 \longrightarrow 01:05:05.444$ And using these little micro coils of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7053235775
- $01{:}05{:}05{.}444 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}08{.}017$ platinum based coils to embolize all
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7053235775
- $01:05:08.017 \longrightarrow 01:05:10.217$ of these little branches potentially
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7053235775
- 01:05:10.220 --> 01:05:12.684 reduces your blood loss in the operating
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7053235775
- $01{:}05{:}12.684 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}15.468$ room and can decrease the vascularity.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7053235775
- $01:05:15.470 \rightarrow 01:05:17.942$ They have not yet been able to show
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7053235775

- $01{:}05{:}17.942 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}19.785$ an association between that and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7053235775
- 01:05:19.785 --> 01:05:22.095 decreased risk of cranial nerve injury,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7053235775
- $01{:}05{:}22{.}100 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}22{.}417$ however.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7053235775
- $01:05:22.417 \rightarrow 01:05:24.002$ Intuitively you would think that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7053235775
- $01:05:24.002 \longrightarrow 01:05:25.600$ that would be the case,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7053235775
- $01{:}05{:}25{.}600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}27{.}370$ but I guess further a larger
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7053235775
- $01:05:27.370 \longrightarrow 01:05:28.550$ studies will show that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926120883333333
- $01:05:31.180 \longrightarrow 01:05:33.820$ And this is just an example.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926120883333333
- 01:05:33.820 --> 01:05:35.460 Of a Shamblin 2 tumor.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926120883333333
- $01:05:35.460 \rightarrow 01:05:38.616$ So fortunately, this did not require
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926120883333333
- $01{:}05{:}38.616 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}40.720$ a carotid body reconstruction.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926120883333333
- $01{:}05{:}40.720 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}43.440$ You can see in cross section here the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926120883333333
- $01:05:43.440 \longrightarrow 01:05:45.270$ internal carotid artery and some of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926120883333333
- $01{:}05{:}45{.}270 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}47{.}480$ the little branch up here and the big,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926120883333333
- $01:05:47.480 \longrightarrow 01:05:48.916$ big tumor right here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.926120883333333
- $01:05:48.916 \rightarrow 01:05:51.699$ Hopefully I'm showing you this with my mouse.

 $01:05:51.700 \longrightarrow 01:05:53.844$ And then here you can see if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.926120883333333

 $01:05:53.844 \rightarrow 01:05:55.776$ look closely, here's the tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926120883333333

 $01:05:55.776 \longrightarrow 01:05:57.521$ there's a little loop around

NOTE Confidence: 0.926120883333333

 $01:05:57.521 \rightarrow 01:05:59.240$ the carotid artery here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926120883333333

 $01:05:59.240 \longrightarrow 01:06:01.792$ and then we're coming across one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.926120883333333

 $01:06:01.792 \rightarrow 01:06:03.939$ little branches and this little guy here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926120883333333

 $01:06:03.940 \longrightarrow 01:06:05.550$ This little coil, this little metal thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926120883333333

 $01:06:05.550 \rightarrow 01:06:06.744$ you actually when you come across

NOTE Confidence: 0.926120883333333

 $01:06:06.744 \longrightarrow 01:06:07.910$ some of these little branches,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926120883333333

01:06:07.910 --> 01:06:09.470 the little coils just, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926120883333333

01:06:09.470 --> 01:06:10.970 come out and and, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926120883333333

 $01:06:10.970 \longrightarrow 01:06:12.770$ there they are and they don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.926120883333333

 $01{:}06{:}12.770 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}13.970$ really cause much inflammation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926120883333333

 $01{:}06{:}13.970 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}15.890$ which is not really, really nice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926120883333333

 $01{:}06{:}15.890 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}18.235$ And then here is the external carotid

 $01:06:18.235 \longrightarrow 01:06:20.064$ artery and here's the internal

NOTE Confidence: 0.926120883333333

01:06:20.064 --> 01:06:21.954 carotid artery and the common

NOTE Confidence: 0.926120883333333

 $01:06:21.954 \rightarrow 01:06:24.128$ carotid artery with the yellow loop,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926120883333333

 $01:06:24.130 \rightarrow 01:06:26.510$ the internal again with the red loop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926120883333333

 $01{:}06{:}26{.}510 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}28{.}262$ And here's the tumor which it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.926120883333333

 $01:06:28.262 \rightarrow 01:06:29.626$ almost been fully mobilized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926120883333333

01:06:29.626 --> 01:06:31.566 It's almost ready to come,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926120883333333

 $01:06:31.570 \longrightarrow 01:06:34.055$ come popping out for our

NOTE Confidence: 0.926120883333333

 $01:06:34.055 \longrightarrow 01:06:35.049$ friendly pathologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926120883333333

 $01:06:35.050 \rightarrow 01:06:36.898$ Uh, but uh, in this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926120883333333

 $01:06:36.900 \longrightarrow 01:06:37.516$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926120883333333

 $01{:}06{:}37{.}516 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}39{.}056$ this tumor could be mobilized

NOTE Confidence: 0.926120883333333

 $01:06:39.056 \rightarrow 01:06:41.052$ and and no sutures actually had

NOTE Confidence: 0.926120883333333

 $01:06:41.052 \longrightarrow 01:06:43.062$ to be placed on the carotid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926120883333333

 $01:06:43.070 \longrightarrow 01:06:44.100$ Artery itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869654188

01:06:46.760 --> 01:06:50.648 And so now moving into more of the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.869654188
- $01:06:50.648 \rightarrow 01:06:52.880$ details of carotid artery reconstruction.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869654188
- $01{:}06{:}52.880 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}56.080$ And this is a perhaps a nice little
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869654188
- $01:06:56.080 \rightarrow 01:06:58.360$ figure that demonstrates this very simply.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869654188
- $01:06:58.360 \longrightarrow 01:07:00.320$ You have to remove a chunk of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869654188
- $01:07:00.320 \longrightarrow 01:07:01.760$ carotid artery and you have to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869654188
- $01:07:01.760 \longrightarrow 01:07:03.470$ replace it with some sort of graft,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869654188
- $01:07:03.470 \rightarrow 01:07:06.039$ whether that's vein or a prosthetic graft.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869654188
- $01:07:06.040 \longrightarrow 01:07:07.676$ And in this situation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869654188
- $01:07:07.676 \rightarrow 01:07:10.130$ the external carotid artery is just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869654188
- $01:07:10.205 \rightarrow 01:07:13.309$ ligated and that is tolerated quite well in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869654188
- $01:07:13.309 \longrightarrow 01:07:16.360$ this series of 41 patients over 17 years.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869654188
- 01:07:16.360 --> 01:07:17.054 So again.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869654188
- $01{:}07{:}17.054 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}19.483$ But this is not a common phenomenon,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869654188
- $01{:}07{:}19{.}490 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}21{.}950$ and this was done at UCLA.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869654188
- $01:07:21.950 \longrightarrow 01:07:24.495$ And this was published way back in 2008.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869654188

- $01:07:24.495 \longrightarrow 01:07:27.345$ They demonstrated all of these different
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869654188
- $01:07:27.345 \longrightarrow 01:07:29.860$ indications for carotid reconstruction.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869654188
- 01:07:29.860 --> 01:07:31.164 You know, interestingly enough,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869654188
- 01:07:31.164 --> 01:07:31.816 again none,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869654188
- $01:07:31.820 \longrightarrow 01:07:34.921$ none for a cancer at least were
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869654188
- $01:07:34.921 \longrightarrow 01:07:36.850$ were noted in this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869654188
- 01:07:36.850 --> 01:07:38.530 A particular article.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382
- $01:07:43.530 \longrightarrow 01:07:46.920$ And then. We really have to think
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382
- $01{:}07{:}46.920 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}48.839$ about the type of conduit we use.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382
- $01:07:48.840 \longrightarrow 01:07:49.960$ When I refer to conduit,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382
- 01:07:49.960 --> 01:07:51.514 I mean what are we replacing that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382
- $01:07:51.514 \rightarrow 01:07:53.287$ part of the carotid artery with?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382
- $01{:}07{:}53.287 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}56.095$ Are we replacing it with a vein or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382
- $01{:}07{:}56.095 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}58.400$ a some sort of prosthetic graft?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382
- $01:07:58.400 \rightarrow 01:07:59.936$ Those are pretty much the choices.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382
- $01:07:59.940 \rightarrow 01:08:01.150$ You can use saphenous vein.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382
- $01:08:01.150 \longrightarrow 01:08:02.833$ You can use a deep vein from the leg,

 $01{:}08{:}02.840 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}06.134$ you can use a Dacron or a PTFE graft.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382

 $01:08:06.140 \longrightarrow 01:08:08.234$ Some people have actually used superficial

NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382

 $01:08:08.234 \rightarrow 01:08:09.948$ femoral artery and then replaced

NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382

 $01:08:09.948 \longrightarrow 01:08:12.020$ the artery in the leg with a graft.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382

 $01:08:12.020 \longrightarrow 01:08:13.637$ OK, that's you know, you can use

NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382

 $01:08:13.637 \longrightarrow 01:08:15.469$ that too and I think you know the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382

 $01:08:15.470 \longrightarrow 01:08:16.910$ The decision making is important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382

 $01{:}08{:}16{.}910 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}19{.}060$ but here's an article from

NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382

 $01{:}08{:}19.060 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}21.174$ actually some former partners of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382

01:08:21.174 --> 01:08:23.646 mine up at Albany Medical Center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382

 $01:08:23.650 \rightarrow 01:08:26.954$ and they compare the types of conduits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382

 $01:08:26.960 \longrightarrow 01:08:28.488$ With regards to patency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382

 $01{:}08{:}28{.}488 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}30{.}398$ because really that that's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382

 $01:08:30.398 \rightarrow 01:08:32.638$ not determined in this particular

- $01:08:32.640 \rightarrow 01:08:36.240$ location and they found very similar.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382
- $01:08:36.240 \rightarrow 01:08:39.795$ Survival of the of the bypass over time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382
- 01:08:39.800 --> 01:08:41.700 Again, most of these indications
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382
- $01:08:41.700 \longrightarrow 01:08:43.616$ were not for cancer, though.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382
- $01:08:43.616 \rightarrow 01:08:47.322$ This was for occlusive disease, for trauma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382
- $01{:}08{:}47.322 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}48.183$ Infections.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382
- $01:08:48.183 \longrightarrow 01:08:50.766$ All the infections,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382
- $01:08:50.770 \rightarrow 01:08:52.080$ of course, got vein grafts.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382
- 01:08:52.080 --> 01:08:54.114 Would not be appropriate to do
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382
- $01:08:54.114 \rightarrow 01:08:56.210$ a prosthetic in that situation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382
- $01:08:56.210 \longrightarrow 01:08:58.742$ And then carotid an eurysms
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382
- 01:08:58.742 --> 01:09:00.528 or pseudoaneurysms notably,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382
- $01:09:00.528 \longrightarrow 01:09:03.118$ they had a preponderance of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382
- $01:09:03.118 \rightarrow 01:09:04.580$ prosthetic grafts in their series,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382
- $01:09:04.580 \longrightarrow 01:09:05.285$ which you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382
- $01:09:05.290 \rightarrow 01:09:09.350$ clearly shows their favoritism for,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382
- $01:09:09.350 \longrightarrow 01:09:12.140$ for that there were no differences

 $01:09:12.140 \longrightarrow 01:09:13.535$ in the outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9102382

 $01:09:13.540 \longrightarrow 01:09:14.060$ Either.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818

 $01:09:18.340 \rightarrow 01:09:21.204$ And so now we're getting into the discussion

NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818

 $01:09:21.204 \rightarrow 01:09:24.457$ of advanced neck cancers and what is the role

NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818

 $01{:}09{:}24.457 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}26.800$ for carotid sacrifice and reconstruction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818

 $01:09:26.800 \rightarrow 01:09:28.798$ And I always like to put up a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818

01:09:28.798 --> 01:09:30.519 rowing thing because I used to row,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818

01:09:30.520 --> 01:09:32.998 so I not always, but anyway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818

 $01:09:33.000 \longrightarrow 01:09:35.310$ there it is because it's such a

NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818

 $01:09:35.310 \dashrightarrow 01:09:36.662$ nice demonstration of teamwork.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818

01:09:36.662 --> 01:09:39.558 And I I apologize if I left somebody

NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818

01:09:39.558 --> 01:09:41.760 out here. I hope not, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818

 $01{:}09{:}41.760 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}45.600$ but all of these specialists and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818

 $01{:}09{:}45{.}600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}49{.}000$ And caregivers are a part of the team

 $01:09:49.000 \rightarrow 01:09:52.108$ that care for these patients with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818

 $01:09:52.110 \longrightarrow 01:09:53.658$ Had neck cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818

01:09:53.658 --> 01:09:56.182 So you know, I think one of the questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818

01:09:56.182 --> 01:09:57.450 that I always ask is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818

 $01:09:57.450 \rightarrow 01:09:59.330$ I can put the blood vessels back together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818

01:09:59.330 --> 01:09:59.912 but you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818

 $01:09:59.912 \rightarrow 01:10:01.790$ you guys help me out as this indicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818

 $01:10:01.790 \rightarrow 01:10:03.270$ Is this the best treatment?

NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818

 $01:10:03.270 \longrightarrow 01:10:04.578$ Is radiation better?

NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818

 $01:10:04.578 \rightarrow 01:10:05.886$ Is chemotherapy better?

NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818

 $01:10:05.890 \longrightarrow 01:10:07.636$ Is is this going to give

NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818

 $01:10:07.636 \rightarrow 01:10:09.190$ the patient what they want?

NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818

 $01{:}10{:}09{.}190 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}11{.}062$ Is this going to give the patient a

NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818

 $01:10:11.062 \rightarrow 01:10:13.157$ quality of life or are they going to have?

NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818

 $01:10:13.160 \longrightarrow 01:10:15.208$ You know a lot of morbidity from this

NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818

 $01:10:15.208 \rightarrow 01:10:17.327$ and and what are the patients goals.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818
- 01:10:17.327 --> 01:10:20.103 So I think having that sort of discussion
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818
- $01:10:20.103 \longrightarrow 01:10:22.318$ you know particularly with the.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818
- $01:10:22.320 \longrightarrow 01:10:23.790$ With the otolary ngologist
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818
- $01:10:23.790 \rightarrow 01:10:25.750$ and the medical oncologist,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818
- $01:10:25.750 \longrightarrow 01:10:27.043$ the radiation on cologist,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818
- $01:10:27.043 \rightarrow 01:10:29.198$ particularly when it gets into
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818
- $01:10:29.198 \longrightarrow 01:10:30.560$ these complex tumors,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818
- $01:10:30.560 \longrightarrow 01:10:31.348$ is really.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818
- $01:10:31.348 \rightarrow 01:10:33.712$ Really essential so that we can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818
- $01:10:33.712 \longrightarrow 01:10:35.973$ present to the patient what we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818
- $01:10:35.973 \longrightarrow 01:10:38.625$ think are the pros and cons and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818
- $01{:}10{:}38.625 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}41.005$ expectations for recovery as well
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818
- 01:10:41.005 --> 01:10:43.472 as the potential morbidity that the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.870226098181818
- $01{:}10{:}43.472 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}44.877$ patient may may not anticipate.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857703049545455
- $01{:}10{:}49{.}180 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}51{.}244$ So, you know, my understanding of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.857703049545455

 $01:10:51.244 \rightarrow 01:10:53.047$ the indications for carotid sacrifice

NOTE Confidence: 0.857703049545455

 $01{:}10{:}53.047 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}54.882$ and reconstruction are that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.857703049545455

01:10:54.882 --> 01:10:57.140 are advanced head and neck cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857703049545455

 $01:10:57.140 \rightarrow 01:10:59.498$ particularly a squamous cell cancers and

NOTE Confidence: 0.857703049545455

01:10:59.498 --> 01:11:02.360 a few others in case or or at least a

NOTE Confidence: 0.857703049545455

01:11:02.360 --> 01:11:05.156 **** and can involve the carotid artery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857703049545455

 $01{:}11{:}05{.}160 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}08{.}202$ And you know, oncologists and surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.857703049545455

 $01:11:08.202 \rightarrow 01:11:11.128$ oncologists need to get clean margins

NOTE Confidence: 0.857703049545455

 $01:11:11.128 \longrightarrow 01:11:14.392$ in order to be able to consider the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857703049545455

01:11:14.400 --> 01:11:15.460 Resection successful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857703049545455

 $01{:}11{:}15{.}460 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}19{.}170$ so peeling or shaving off the artery

NOTE Confidence: 0.857703049545455

 $01:11:19.170 \longrightarrow 01:11:21.259$ certainly I think is is done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857703049545455

01:11:21.260 --> 01:11:23.878 but does have risk of leaving behind

NOTE Confidence: 0.857703049545455

01:11:23.878 --> 01:11:25.606 microscopic disease and I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.857703049545455

 $01:11:25.606 \rightarrow 01:11:27.496$ in one series it was about 40%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857703049545455

 $01{:}11{:}27{.}500 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}29{.}859$ Moreover, there is a risk of weakening

 $01:11:29.859 \rightarrow 01:11:32.173$ the wall of the artery which can

NOTE Confidence: 0.857703049545455

 $01:11:32.173 \longrightarrow 01:11:35.431$ lead to the much feared complication

NOTE Confidence: 0.857703049545455

01:11:35.431 --> 01:11:38.539 of carotid blowout syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857703049545455

01:11:38.540 --> 01:11:39.944 So, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857703049545455

 $01:11:39.944 \rightarrow 01:11:42.240$ and then people talk about ligation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857703049545455

01:11:42.240 --> 01:11:44.280 but as as doctor Matuk mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857703049545455

 $01:11:44.280 \longrightarrow 01:11:46.156$ I think that really has a high

NOTE Confidence: 0.857703049545455

01:11:46.156 --> 01:11:48.229 risk of a of a stroke,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857703049545455

 $01:11:48.229 \longrightarrow 01:11:50.767$ just plain old ligation and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84677259875

 $01{:}11{:}52.840 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}55.006$ We think about doing a reconstruction

NOTE Confidence: 0.84677259875

 $01{:}11{:}55{.}006 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}57{.}649$ and when we think about the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.84677259875

 $01{:}11{:}57.649 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}59.899$ long term survival with regards to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84677259875

 $01{:}11{:}59{.}899 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}02{.}380$ the completeness of that resection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84677259875

01:12:02.380 --> 01:12:05.040 The largest series I could find was

NOTE Confidence: 0.84677259875

 $01{:}12{:}05{.}040 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}08{.}840$ from 2015 fifty one patients with

 $01:12:08.840 \rightarrow 01:12:11.576$ carotid sacrifice and reconstruction

NOTE Confidence: 0.84677259875

01:12:11.576 --> 01:12:15.097 over 17 year period for swimming,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84677259875

01:12:15.100 --> 01:12:17.560 both primary and recurrent

NOTE Confidence: 0.84677259875

 $01:12:17.560 \rightarrow 01:12:19.405$ squamous cell cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84677259875

01:12:19.410 --> 01:12:22.630 And a pretty good results I I

NOTE Confidence: 0.84677259875

 $01:12:22.630 \longrightarrow 01:12:27.900$ think only two strokes and 82%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84677259875

01:12:27.900 --> 01:12:30.950 Two year disease free survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896017275833333

 $01:12:33.890 \longrightarrow 01:12:35.330$ In this meta analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896017275833333

 $01{:}12{:}35{.}330 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}38{.}550$ the results are a little bit more sobering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896017275833333

 $01:12:38.550 \longrightarrow 01:12:39.912$ This meta analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896017275833333

01:12:39.912 --> 01:12:42.636 I believe it's from gosh believe

NOTE Confidence: 0.896017275833333

 $01:12:42.636 \rightarrow 01:12:46.568$ the Netherlands, published in 2000.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896017275833333

01:12:46.570 --> 01:12:50.122 18 and they reviewed, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896017275833333

 $01{:}12{:}50{.}122 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}51{.}306$ really a heterogeneous group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896017275833333

 $01{:}12{:}51{.}310 \dashrightarrow 01{:}12{:}53{.}166$ So maybe not best for a meta analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896017275833333

01:12:53.170 --> 01:12:54.328 But anyway, it was a review,

 $01:12:54.330 \rightarrow 01:12:57.296$ and they looked at 24 studies published over,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896017275833333

01:12:57.296 --> 01:12:58.948 you know, several decades,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896017275833333

 $01:12:58.950 \longrightarrow 01:13:01.644$ so just a total of 357 patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896017275833333

01:13:01.644 --> 01:13:04.703 And these included the squamous cell cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896017275833333

 $01:13:04.710 \rightarrow 01:13:08.826$ some salivary cancers and thyroid cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896017275833333

01:13:08.830 --> 01:13:10.584 Low mortality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896017275833333

 $01:13:10.584 \rightarrow 01:13:16.365 3.6\%$ and permanent stroke deficits were 3.6%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896017275833333

01:13:16.365 --> 01:13:18.940 As well, a carotid blowout,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732518912333333

 $01:13:20.960 \longrightarrow 01:13:22.412$ 1.4% and carotid blowout.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732518912333333

 $01:13:22.412 \longrightarrow 01:13:24.947$ I guess for the audience here just

NOTE Confidence: 0.732518912333333

 $01:13:24.947 \longrightarrow 01:13:27.462$ that refers to a, you know, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732518912333333

 $01{:}13{:}27{.}462 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}29{.}152$ basically where the carotid artery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732518912333333

01:13:29.160 --> 01:13:31.211 the wall is so weak that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.732518912333333

 $01:13:31.211 \longrightarrow 01:13:32.660$ begins bleeding and patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732518912333333

 $01{:}13{:}32.660 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}34.680$ you know, begins exsanguinating,

 $01:13:34.680 \longrightarrow 01:13:38.310$ which necessitates a urgent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732518912333333

01:13:38.310 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:13:41.590 A surgery, a covered stent can perhaps be

NOTE Confidence: 0.732518912333333

01:13:41.590 --> 01:13:45.426 used in that situation and in a in a pinch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732518912333333

 $01:13:45.430 \rightarrow 01:13:47.586$ And then they really noted that only

NOTE Confidence: 0.732518912333333

01:13:47.586 --> 01:13:49.946 in seven studies was it even mentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.732518912333333

 $01:13:49.946 \rightarrow 01:13:52.004$ the use of balloon occlusion testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732518912333333

 $01:13:52.010 \rightarrow 01:13:54.566$ So that was really inconsistently used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732518912333333

01:13:54.570 --> 01:13:55.350 And I really, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732518912333333

 $01:13:55.350 \longrightarrow 01:13:57.066$ I really love the, the protocol

NOTE Confidence: 0.732518912333333

 $01{:}13{:}57.066 \dashrightarrow 01{:}13{:}58.500$ that Doctor Matouk described here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732518912333333

01:13:58.500 --> 01:13:59.296 That's just,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732518912333333

01:13:59.296 --> 01:14:01.684 I think it it sounds very

NOTE Confidence: 0.732518912333333

 $01:14:01.684 \rightarrow 01:14:03.689$ comprehensive and and elegant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.732518912333333

 $01:14:03.690 \rightarrow 01:14:06.510$ The but the one year survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.732518912333333

 $01:14:06.510 \longrightarrow 01:14:07.880$ you know, is pretty sobering

NOTE Confidence: 0.8755535075

 $01{:}14{:}10.550 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}13.295$ 52.4%. It was a little better in the more

 $01:14:13.295 \rightarrow 01:14:15.827$ recent time period in this meta analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

 $01{:}14{:}19{.}900 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}24{.}342$ And so my old partner told me that his old

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

 $01:14:24.342 \rightarrow 01:14:26.350$ mentor told him that an open blood vessel NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

 $01:14:26.403 \rightarrow 01:14:28.300$ is better than a closed blood vessel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

01:14:28.300 --> 01:14:30.140 So like I carry around all these little,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

01:14:30.140 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:14:32.820 you know, words of wisdom from over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

 $01{:}14{:}32{.}820 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}35{.}674$ years and then repeat them as as need be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

 $01:14:35.680 \longrightarrow 01:14:37.759$ So this is one of the the things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

 $01{:}14{:}37.759 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}39.872$ stuck with me and I think, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

 $01:14:39.872 \longrightarrow 01:14:42.098$ that's of course not always true,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

 $01:14:42.100 \longrightarrow 01:14:44.098$ but I think in this situation

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

 $01:14:44.098 \longrightarrow 01:14:45.430$ it probably is true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

 $01{:}14{:}45{.}430 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}47{.}776$ And so we think about reconstructing

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

 $01{:}14{:}47.776 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}48.949$ the carotid arteries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

01:14:48.950 --> 01:14:50.612 I mean, I don't think there's

 $01:14:50.612 \longrightarrow 01:14:52.490$ a ton of downside to doing it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

01:14:52.490 --> 01:14:55.046 You have to have good exposure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

01:14:55.050 --> 01:14:56.362 proximal and distal control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

 $01{:}14{:}56{.}362 \dashrightarrow 01{:}14{:}59{.}031$ I wouldn't be a vascular surgeon if I

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

 $01:14:59.031 \rightarrow 01:15:00.963$ didn't mention proximal and distal control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

 $01{:}15{:}00{.}970 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}02{.}800$ which refers to having clamps or

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

 $01{:}15{:}02.800 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}04.967$ control of your blood vessels so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

 $01:15:04.967 \rightarrow 01:15:07.025$ they you don't lose control of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

 $01{:}15{:}07{.}030 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}09{.}438$ so that you're able to control and

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

 $01:15:09.438 \rightarrow 01:15:12.010$ manipulate both the inflow and the outflow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

01:15:12.010 --> 01:15:13.986 Co
existing a
therosclerotic disease inside

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

 $01:15:13.986 \rightarrow 01:15:16.950$ the carotid artery can be problematic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

01:15:16.950 --> 01:15:18.115 You certainly wouldn't want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

 $01:15:18.115 \longrightarrow 01:15:20.064$ place a clamp on a part of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

 $01{:}15{:}20.064 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}21.522$ blood vessel where it is diseased.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

 $01{:}15{:}21{.}530 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}22{.}934$ And severe a therosclerotic disease

- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- $01:15:22.934 \rightarrow 01:15:25.040$ would probably need to be managed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- 01:15:25.096 --> 01:15:27.106 a little bit differently than just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- $01{:}15{:}27{.}106 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}28{.}790$ a straightforward resection of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- $01:15:28.790 \rightarrow 01:15:30.778$ healthy part of the blood vessel that's,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- 01:15:30.780 --> 01:15:32.748 you know, involved with the tumor,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- $01{:}15{:}32{.}750 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}34{.}190$ the conduits of choice.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- $01:15:34.190 \rightarrow 01:15:36.554$ I mentioned saphenous vein, femoral vein.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- $01:15:36.554 \rightarrow 01:15:40.930$ I I like to use a Dacron or PTFE.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- $01{:}15{:}40{.}930 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}43{.}408$ This is a carotid. Clavian by pass here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- 01:15:43.410 --> 01:15:46.138 We use Dacron or PTFE frequently in this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- $01:15:46.138 \rightarrow 01:15:49.516$ sort of situation and it holds up quite well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- $01{:}15{:}49{.}520 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}51{.}816$ There's a lot of evidence that shows at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- $01{:}15{:}51{.}816 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}54{.}100$ least for carotid subclavian by passes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- 01:15:54.100 --> 01:15:55.696 if I can extrapolate a little bit,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- $01:15:55.700 \rightarrow 01:15:55.969$ again,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

 $01:15:55.969 \longrightarrow 01:15:57.852$ which I think I have to given

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

 $01:15:57.852 \rightarrow 01:15:59.599$ the rarity of these conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

01:15:59.600 --> 01:16:02.300 But we do some carotid subclavian

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

 $01:16:02.300 \longrightarrow 01:16:04.647$ by passes quite frequently for things

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

 $01{:}16{:}04.647 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}06.950$ like tho racic aneurysms and dissections.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

 $01{:}16{:}06{.}950 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}10{.}100$ And these by passes have a very good NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

 $01:16:10.174 \rightarrow 01:16:12.510$ longevity, probably because they're.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

01:16:12.510 --> 01:16:14.598 Short and wide little, little bypass,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

 $01{:}16{:}14.600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}16.357$ which is kind of similar to what

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

 $01{:}16{:}16{.}357 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}17{.}870$ I'm talking about with carotids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

 $01:16:17.870 \longrightarrow 01:16:18.910$ If there's going to be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

01:16:18.910 --> 01:16:21.465 or if you if you can possibly

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

01:16:21.465 --> 01:16:22.560 anticipate oropharyngeal contamination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

 $01:16:22.560 \longrightarrow 01:16:23.715$ you would want to use a vein

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

 $01:16:23.715 \longrightarrow 01:16:24.769$ graft and not a prosthetic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

 $01:16:24.770 \rightarrow 01:16:27.410$ Of course, shunting is really complex.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- 01:16:27.410 --> 01:16:27.786 I,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- 01:16:27.786 --> 01:16:29.666 I value the information from
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- $01:16:29.666 \longrightarrow 01:16:31.170$ the balloon occlusion test,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- $01{:}16{:}31{.}170 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}34{.}230$ but there are additional considerations.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- 01:16:34.230 --> 01:16:36.150 Umm, it's also showing that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- 01:16:36.150 --> 01:16:36.992 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- 01:16:36.992 --> 01:16:38.676 surgeons who routinely shunt
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- $01:16:38.676 \rightarrow 01:16:40.714$ during carotid and daughter ectomy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- 01:16:40.714 --> 01:16:43.890 have a lower risk of shunting.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- $01:16:43.890 \rightarrow 01:16:45.630$ Complications.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- $01:16:45.630 \rightarrow 01:16:48.144$ And perhaps somebody who shunts selectively
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- $01{:}16{:}48{.}144 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}49{.}820$ during a carotid endarterectomy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- 01:16:49.820 --> 01:16:51.976 So there's some issues with kind of,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- $01:16:51.980 \longrightarrow 01:16:52.904$ you know, practice.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- 01:16:52.904 --> 01:16:54.136 I'm a routine shunter,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245

- $01:16:54.140 \rightarrow 01:16:56.436$ but I think for something like replacing a,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- $01:16:56.440 \rightarrow 01:16:58.358$ a segment of the common carotid artery,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- $01:16:58.360 \longrightarrow 01:16:59.630$ sometimes it can be more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- $01{:}16{:}59{.}630 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}00{.}900$ cumbersome to insert the shunt.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- 01:17:00.900 --> 01:17:02.676 You're going to use it for one anastomosis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- $01:17:02.680 \longrightarrow 01:17:03.635$ you're going to take it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- $01:17:03.635 \longrightarrow 01:17:04.399$ out about halfway through.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- $01:17:04.400 \longrightarrow 01:17:05.396$ The other one,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- 01:17:05.396 --> 01:17:06.060 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- $01:17:06.060 \longrightarrow 01:17:08.535$ it kind of adds a lot of time and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843181245
- 01:17:08.535 --> 01:17:10.319 complexity that you may or may not
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774228663071429
- $01:17:10.320 \longrightarrow 01:17:11.454$ need to do.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774228663071429
- $01:17:11.454 \rightarrow 01:17:13.722$ So the the decision for shunting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774228663071429
- $01:17:13.722 \rightarrow 01:17:16.028$ is is really something to.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774228663071429
- $01{:}17{:}16.030 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}19.618$ That's really quite complex.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.774228663071429
- $01:17:19.620 \longrightarrow 01:17:21.532$ Heparin is, you know,

 $01:17:21.532 \rightarrow 01:17:23.158$ another staple of vascular surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774228663071429

01:17:23.158 --> 01:17:24.880 And I think once you're manipulating

NOTE Confidence: 0.774228663071429

 $01{:}17{:}24.926 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}26.630$ those blood vessels and touching them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774228663071429

01:17:26.630 --> 01:17:27.920 particularly if they,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774228663071429

01:17:27.920 --> 01:17:30.070 if they're healthy blood vessels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774228663071429

 $01:17:30.070 \longrightarrow 01:17:32.230$ they can go into spasm in a healthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.774228663071429

 $01:17:32.230 \longrightarrow 01:17:34.275$ person or a younger person if

NOTE Confidence: 0.774228663071429

 $01:17:34.275 \rightarrow 01:17:36.075$ they're not atherosclerotic and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.774228663071429

 $01{:}17{:}36.075 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}38.737$ can form throm bus and they're and

NOTE Confidence: 0.774228663071429

 $01:17:38.737 \rightarrow 01:17:40.525$ they're pro thrombotic prothrombotic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774228663071429

 $01:17:40.530 \longrightarrow 01:17:42.110$ So you know I like to give a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.774228663071429

01:17:42.158 --> 01:17:44.346 of Hepburn once you're kind of, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774228663071429

 $01{:}17{:}44{.}346 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}47{.}124$ messing around with the blood vessels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774228663071429

 $01{:}17{:}47{.}130 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}48{.}408$ And and these other things are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.774228663071429

01:17:48.410 --> 01:17:49.580 you know pretty standard I think,

 $01:17:49.580 \longrightarrow 01:17:51.512$ you know doing a muscle flap coverage

NOTE Confidence: 0.774228663071429

01:17:51.512 --> 01:17:53.397 if there's going to be not much

NOTE Confidence: 0.774228663071429

 $01{:}17{:}53{.}397 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}54{.}897$ tissue coverage over this area can

NOTE Confidence: 0.774228663071429

 $01{:}17{:}54{.}957 \dashrightarrow 01{:}17{:}56{.}749$ really be a valuable asset as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.774228663071429

 $01:17:56.750 \rightarrow 01:17:58.316$ Certainly adds time to the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90472867

01:18:01.250 --> 01:18:03.350 So this is all about getting good

NOTE Confidence: 0.90472867

 $01:18:03.350 \longrightarrow 01:18:05.109$ exposure of the blood vessel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90472867

 $01:18:05.110 \longrightarrow 01:18:06.465$ This is the left carotid

NOTE Confidence: 0.90472867

 $01{:}18{:}06.465 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}07.762$ artery here, of course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90472867

 $01:18:07.762 \longrightarrow 01:18:10.018$ But what this demonstrates is that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90472867

01:18:10.020 --> 01:18:11.244 you know, if you can't get

NOTE Confidence: 0.90472867

 $01:18:11.244 \longrightarrow 01:18:12.410$ proximal on the blood vessel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90472867

 $01:18:12.410 \longrightarrow 01:18:14.713$ you can't get below where you need

NOTE Confidence: 0.90472867

 $01{:}18{:}14.713 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}17.350$ to get to get proximal control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90472867

 $01:18:17.350 \longrightarrow 01:18:20.142$ You may need to have a sternotomy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.90472867

 $01{:}18{:}20{.}142 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}22{.}542$ call in our thoracic friends to help

- NOTE Confidence: 0.90472867
- $01:18:22.542 \rightarrow 01:18:24.630$ us out because the sternotomy right
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90472867
- $01{:}18{:}24.688 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}26.483$ down the middle actually provides
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90472867
- 01:18:26.483 --> 01:18:28.603 nice exposure of the carotid all
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90472867
- $01:18:28.603 \rightarrow 01:18:30.430$ the way down to the aortic arch,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90472867
- $01:18:30.430 \longrightarrow 01:18:31.618$ which is shown here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90472867
- $01:18:31.618 \rightarrow 01:18:33.920$ This is A and this is extrapolated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90472867
- $01:18:33.920 \longrightarrow 01:18:36.160$ from a a trauma textbook.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90472867
- 01:18:36.160 --> 01:18:37.093 But you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90472867
- $01:18:37.093 \rightarrow 01:18:38.648$ the same principles and exposure
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90472867
- $01:18:38.648 \rightarrow 01:18:40.460$ apply to all of these things.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90472867
- 01:18:40.460 --> 01:18:42.116 Distal exposure, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90472867
- $01{:}18{:}42.116 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}44.600$ that's something I would rely upon,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90472867
- $01{:}18{:}44.600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}45.890$ my skull based.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.90472867
- $01{:}18{:}45{.}890 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}48{.}040$ Surgeons to help out with.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91271046
- $01{:}18{:}50{.}540 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}53{.}260$ So I think this is my last slide.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91271046

01:18:53.260 --> 01:18:55.717 This is a case with Doctor Mera,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91271046

 $01{:}18{:}55{.}720 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}59{.}062$ gentleman who had a recurrent recurrent

NOTE Confidence: 0.91271046

 $01{:}18{:}59.062 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}01.825$ squamous cell carcinoma with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.91271046

 $01{:}19{:}01{.}825 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}04{.}723$ tumor budding the carotid Umm and

NOTE Confidence: 0.91271046

 $01{:}19{:}04.723 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}07.938$ we resected the carotid artery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91271046

01:19:07.940 --> 01:19:10.887 And then Doctor Merrick resected all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.91271046

 $01{:}19{:}10.887 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}13.878$ other stuff and and got clear margins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91271046

 $01:19:13.880 \longrightarrow 01:19:15.878$ which is a great. You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91271046

 $01{:}19{:}15{.}880 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}17{.}440$ this involves some cranial nerves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91271046

 $01{:}19{:}17{.}440 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}20{.}905$ He did have some dysphasia that resolved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91271046

 $01{:}19{:}20{.}910 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}23{.}260$ Fortunately, after about a month

NOTE Confidence: 0.91271046

 $01:19:23.260 \rightarrow 01:19:25.610$ and just just showing that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91271046

 $01:19:25.610 \rightarrow 01:19:27.157$ You know, everything we do is humbling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91271046

 $01{:}19{:}27.160 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}29.025$ This gentleman's desire was to

NOTE Confidence: 0.91271046

 $01:19:29.025 \longrightarrow 01:19:31.110$ get to his daughter's wedding and

NOTE Confidence: 0.91271046

 $01:19:31.110 \longrightarrow 01:19:33.350$ to live long enough to do that.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.91271046
- 01:19:33.350 --> 01:19:35.782 And he did, and he and he lived
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91271046
- $01:19:35.782 \longrightarrow 01:19:37.768$ another 14 months after this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91271046
- 01:19:37.770 --> 01:19:39.310 And so I think it it just,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91271046
- 01:19:39.310 --> 01:19:40.294 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91271046
- $01{:}19{:}40.294 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}43.505$ goes back to what is the patient wanted
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91271046
- $01:19:43.505 \rightarrow 01:19:45.572$ and what is their experience going
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91271046
- $01{:}19{:}45{.}572 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}48{.}360$ to be and how humbling it is to do
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91271046
- $01:19:48.360 \rightarrow 01:19:52.960$ these big operations and realizing that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91271046
- $01:19:52.960 \rightarrow 01:19:56.236$ That we can't always cure everybody.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.91271046
- 01:19:56.240 --> 01:19:56.750 Thank you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869256388571429
- 01:19:59.050 --> 01:20:00.178 Thank you, Doctor Thomas.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869256388571429
- $01{:}20{:}00.178 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}02.470$ And that was a really great talk
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869256388571429
- $01{:}20{:}02{.}470 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}05{.}010$ and really I think discuss a lot,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869256388571429
- $01{:}20{:}05{.}010 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}07{.}426$ but a lot of the complexities involved in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869256388571429
- $01:20:07.426 \longrightarrow 01:20:09.490$ the decision making about these cases,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.869256388571429

 $01:20:09.490 \longrightarrow 01:20:11.275$ which is really probably you know the

NOTE Confidence: 0.869256388571429

 $01:20:11.275 \rightarrow 01:20:12.810$ technical aspects what we're discussing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869256388571429

 $01:20:12.810 \longrightarrow 01:20:14.856$ But the decision making is also

NOTE Confidence: 0.869256388571429

 $01:20:14.856 \rightarrow 01:20:16.565$ perhaps even more challenging once

NOTE Confidence: 0.869256388571429

01:20:16.565 --> 01:20:18.275 you've done some of these two

NOTE Confidence: 0.869256388571429

 $01{:}20{:}18.275 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}20.435$ questions and in fact I think you

NOTE Confidence: 0.869256388571429

01:20:20.435 --> 01:20:21.990 may have actually answered these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869256388571429

01:20:21.990 --> 01:20:24.168 but so I'm going to go through them quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869256388571429

01:20:24.170 --> 01:20:27.131 One of them was about the choice

NOTE Confidence: 0.869256388571429

 $01:20:27.131 \longrightarrow 01:20:28.910$ of graft material when.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869256388571429

 $01:20:28.910 \longrightarrow 01:20:31.022$ Even if there's or if it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.869256388571429

01:20:31.022 --> 01:20:32.078 a composite resection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869256388571429

 $01:20:32.080 \longrightarrow 01:20:34.243$ so the oral cavity or France is

NOTE Confidence: 0.869256388571429

 $01:20:34.243 \longrightarrow 01:20:36.010$ involved with a carotid artery

NOTE Confidence: 0.869256388571429

01:20:36.010 --> 01:20:38.719 sacrifice and correct me if I'm wrong,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869256388571429

 $01:20:38.720 \longrightarrow 01:20:40.260$ but I think you said you prefer

 $01{:}20{:}40.260 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}42.509$ to use vein grafts in that case as

NOTE Confidence: 0.869256388571429

 $01{:}20{:}42.509 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}43.737$ opposed to synthetic materials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869256388571429

 $01{:}20{:}43.740 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}44.250$ is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.704103139375

 $01:20:44.720 \longrightarrow 01:20:45.512$ correct? I definitely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.704103139375

 $01:20:45.512 \rightarrow 01:20:47.807$ So if there's going to be oral pharyngeal

NOTE Confidence: 0.704103139375

 $01:20:47.807 \longrightarrow 01:20:49.797$ contamination or you anticipate that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.704103139375

 $01{:}20{:}49{.}800 \dashrightarrow 01{:}20{:}52{.}170$ then harvesting staff and Spain from

NOTE Confidence: 0.704103139375

 $01:20:52.170 \longrightarrow 01:20:54.240$ the leg would be a good choice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.704103139375

 $01:20:54.240 \longrightarrow 01:20:55.722$ On the other hand, sometimes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.704103139375

 $01:20:55.722 \longrightarrow 01:20:57.459$ vein is a little bit too small.

NOTE Confidence: 0.704103139375

 $01:20:57.460 \longrightarrow 01:20:58.944$ It's, you know, it could be 3/4.

NOTE Confidence: 0.704103139375

 $01{:}20{:}58{.}950 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}00{.}612$ Millimeters, and if you're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.704103139375

 $01:21:00.612 \longrightarrow 01:21:02.025$ replace the common carotid artery

NOTE Confidence: 0.704103139375

01:21:02.025 --> 01:21:03.555 or something a little bit larger,

NOTE Confidence: 0.704103139375

 $01:21:03.560 \longrightarrow 01:21:04.440$ or the veins too small,

- $01:21:04.440 \longrightarrow 01:21:05.808$ it may be better.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.704103139375
- $01:21:05.808 \longrightarrow 01:21:07.176$ To use femoral vein,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.704103139375
- $01:21:07.180 \longrightarrow 01:21:08.965$ you can use the deep femoral vein
- NOTE Confidence: 0.704103139375
- $01:21:08.965 \rightarrow 01:21:10.544$ and they're you know some side
- NOTE Confidence: 0.704103139375
- $01:21:10.544 \rightarrow 01:21:12.068$ effects of that but usually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.704103139375
- $01:21:12.068 \longrightarrow 01:21:13.835$ we can we can work around that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.704103139375
- $01{:}21{:}13.840 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}15.288$ So that's another alternative.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.704103139375
- 01:21:15.288 --> 01:21:17.540 You could also use superficial
- NOTE Confidence: 0.704103139375
- 01:21:17.540 --> 01:21:19.250 femoral artery provided that arteries
- NOTE Confidence: 0.704103139375
- $01:21:19.250 \longrightarrow 01:21:21.659$ is healthy but I would not use
- NOTE Confidence: 0.704103139375
- $01{:}21{:}21{.}659 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}23{.}249$ a prosthetic in that situation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706
- $01{:}21{:}24{.}100 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}26{.}732$ And the second question had to do with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706
- $01:21:26.732 \rightarrow 01:21:28.944$ flap coverage afterwards which you also
- NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706
- 01:21:28.944 --> 01:21:31.059 did discuss but I'll just reiterate.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706
- $01:21:31.059 \longrightarrow 01:21:33.057$ So oftentimes when we are as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706
- $01:21:33.057 \rightarrow 01:21:35.272$ head next surgeons at the point

 $01:21:35.272 \rightarrow 01:21:36.724$ where we're talking about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706

01:21:36.730 --> 01:21:37.612 Saccharin, carotid artery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706

01:21:37.612 --> 01:21:39.376 It's not just a carotid artery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706

 $01:21:39.380 \rightarrow 01:21:41.126$ It's general cloud of mastoid muscle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706

01:21:41.130 --> 01:21:42.666 It's, you know, basically, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706

 $01{:}21{:}42.670 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}43.846$ sometimes the skin of the neck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706

 $01{:}21{:}43.850 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}47.756$ And so there can be a very large soft

NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706

 $01{:}21{:}47.756 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}50.760$ tissue defect with a prosthetic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706

 $01:21:50.760 \longrightarrow 01:21:52.496$ Graft in there, some type of graft,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706

 $01:21:52.500 \longrightarrow 01:21:54.156$ even a venous graft in there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706

01:21:54.160 --> 01:21:55.713 And so I would say, yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706

 $01{:}21{:}55{.}713 \dashrightarrow 01{:}21{:}58{.}071$ we would would routinely use flop

NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706

01:21:58.071 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:22:00.380 coverage in those cases of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706

 $01{:}22{:}00{.}380 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}02{.}883$ pectoral is muscle flap is a great option,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706

 $01{:}22{:}02{.}883 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}05{.}564$ which is a a flap first described

 $01:22:05.564 \longrightarrow 01:22:09.146$ here at Yale as well in 1979 or 1980.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706

01:22:09.146 --> 01:22:11.756 So it's it's a great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706

01:22:11.760 --> 01:22:12.712 Yeah, I think it's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706

 $01:22:12.712 \longrightarrow 01:22:14.140$ it's very important all work together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706

01:22:14.140 --> 01:22:15.128 Thank you very much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706

 $01:22:15.128 \longrightarrow 01:22:16.116$ Doctor Thompson for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706

 $01{:}22{:}16{.}120 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}18{.}064$ I think it was a great follow up to

NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706

01:22:18.064 --> 01:22:19.877 Doctor Matouk's talk as well and just

NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706

 $01:22:19.880 \longrightarrow 01:22:21.335$ emphasizes the multidisciplinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706

 $01:22:21.335 \rightarrow 01:22:23.950$ team required for this. All right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706

 $01:22:23.950 \longrightarrow 01:22:24.620$ Well, great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706

 $01{:}22{:}24.620 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}27.436$ So our next talk is Doctor Bhatia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706

 $01:22:27.436 \longrightarrow 01:22:29.896$ So if you want to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706

01:22:29.900 --> 01:22:31.000 if you have stopped sharing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706

 $01{:}22{:}31.000 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}33.080$ so Doctor Bhatia and hers get hers going.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706

 $01:22:33.080 \rightarrow 01:22:34.343$ But redefining respectability

 $01{:}22{:}34{.}343 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}37{.}290$ and head neck cancer again is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706

01:22:37.365 --> 01:22:39.237 topic of today's discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706

 $01:22:39.240 \longrightarrow 01:22:42.528$ And an important part of that is induction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706

 $01:22:42.530 \rightarrow 01:22:45.098$ Therapy so I'm going to stop sharing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706

 $01:22:45.098 \rightarrow 01:22:47.416$ have Doctor Bhatia pull up her slides.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706

 $01:22:47.420 \longrightarrow 01:22:49.310$ Doctor Bhatia is an esteemed

NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706

01:22:49.310 --> 01:22:51.630 medical on cologist who works at Edna

NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706

 $01:22:51.630 \rightarrow 01:22:53.455$ Cancer here and associate professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706

 $01{:}22{:}53.455 \dashrightarrow 01{:}22{:}57.070$ at Yale School of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784040511764706

 $01:22:57.070 \longrightarrow 01:22:57.400$ Thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.5733074972

 $01:22:57.410 \longrightarrow 01:22:59.630$ you. Carol. Am I hurt? OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868190885454545

 $01:23:00.850 \longrightarrow 01:23:01.765$ Everything's good. We see our

NOTE Confidence: 0.868190885454545

 $01{:}23{:}01{.}765 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}02{.}860$ slides and we can hear you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625

 $01:23:04.430 \longrightarrow 01:23:05.483$ Good evening, everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625

 $01{:}23{:}05{.}483 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}07{.}238$ Thank you for the opportunity.

- $01:23:07.240 \longrightarrow 01:23:08.508$ Today I'll be reviewing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01:23:08.508 \longrightarrow 01:23:09.776$ the role of induction,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01:23:09.780 \rightarrow 01:23:12.240$ systemic therapy and head neck cancers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01:23:12.240 \longrightarrow 01:23:14.718$ It's a very broad and evolving topic.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01{:}23{:}14.720 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}16.504$ So you know, keeping the time in mind,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- 01:23:16.510 --> 01:23:18.050 I'm going to breathe through
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01{:}23{:}18.050 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}19.590$ some of the slides here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- 01:23:19.590 --> 01:23:21.893 So you know, as I've been it's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- 01:23:21.893 --> 01:23:23.290 been discussed extensively today,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- 01:23:23.290 --> 01:23:24.626 definitive local treatment with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01:23:24.626 \rightarrow 01:23:27.005$ surgery obviously forms a key part of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- 01:23:27.005 --> 01:23:28.605 curative intent treatment and head,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- 01:23:28.610 --> 01:23:30.895 neck cancers, but sometimes can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01:23:30.895 \rightarrow 01:23:32.723$ be associated with morbidity,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01:23:32.730 \longrightarrow 01:23:33.663$ loss of function,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01:23:33.663 \rightarrow 01:23:35.840$ especially when disease is in the vicinity

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01:23:35.896 \rightarrow 01:23:37.918$ of critical structures like the tongue,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01:23:37.920 \longrightarrow 01:23:38.732$ the larynx,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01{:}23{:}38.732 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}39.950$ the orbit, etcetera.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01:23:39.950 \rightarrow 01:23:42.044$ And the integration of chemo into
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01{:}23{:}42.044 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}44.399$ the treatment plan has allowed to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- 01:23:44.399 --> 01:23:46.191 formulate organ sparing surgery
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- 01:23:46.191 --> 01:23:47.983 or even radiation treatments.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01:23:47.990 \longrightarrow 01:23:49.640$ So chemo is incorporated one of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01:23:49.640 \longrightarrow 01:23:51.050$ three ways in treating head,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01{:}23{:}51{.}050 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}53{.}525$ neck cancers either as induction
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01:23:53.525 \longrightarrow 01:23:54.515$ or neoadjuvant,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01{:}23{:}54{.}520 \dashrightarrow 01{:}23{:}56{.}608$ which is given prior to definitive
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- 01:23:56.608 --> 01:23:58.550 surgery or radiation or concurrently
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- 01:23:58.550 --> 01:24:00.980 with radiation as upfront treatment
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01{:}24{:}00{.}980 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}02{.}924$ or adjacently following surgery,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625

 $01:24:02.930 \longrightarrow 01:24:05.530$ usually in combination with radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625

 $01{:}24{:}05{.}530 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}08{.}484$ Today's talk will focus on the clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625

 $01:24:08.484 \rightarrow 01:24:11.019$ utility of induction systemic therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625

 $01:24:11.020 \longrightarrow 01:24:13.036$ So the first trial probably that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625

 $01:24:13.036 \rightarrow 01:24:14.898$ showed the utility of sequential

NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625

 $01:24:14.898 \longrightarrow 01:24:16.698$ chemo followed by radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625

 $01:24:16.698 \longrightarrow 01:24:18.498$ as a surgical alternative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625

01:24:18.500 --> 01:24:20.340 Curative treatment treat creatively

NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625

 $01{:}24{:}20{.}340 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}23{.}100$ treating larynx cancers was the VA

NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625

 $01{:}24{:}23.174 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}25.262$ larix trial and patients who patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625

 $01{:}24{:}25{.}262 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}28{.}440$ got 2 cycles of Platinum 5A few induction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625

 $01{:}24{:}28{.}440 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}30{.}379$ Those that had at least a 50%

NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625

 $01{:}24{:}30{.}380 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}32{.}024$ response to two cycles went on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625

 $01{:}24{:}32{.}024 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}34{.}208$ to get a third cycle and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625

 $01:24:34.208 \rightarrow 01:24:36.254$ radiation and surgery was used as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625

 $01{:}24{:}36{.}254 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}38{.}172$ salvage for any residual disease

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01:24:38.172 \rightarrow 01:24:40.440$ for patients on the experimental arm.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01{:}24{:}40{.}440 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}42{.}552$ And there was no difference in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01:24:42.552 \rightarrow 01:24:44.439$ overall survival between the surgical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01{:}24{:}44{.}439 \dashrightarrow 01{:}24{:}46{.}499$ arm and the sequential induction
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01:24:46.499 \rightarrow 01:24:48.450$ chemo followed by radiation arm.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- 01:24:48.450 --> 01:24:50.160 But larynx preservation was able
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01:24:50.160 \longrightarrow 01:24:52.976$ to be achieved in about 64% of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01:24:52.976 \rightarrow 01:24:55.188$ patients versus obviously 0%
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01:24:55.190 \longrightarrow 01:24:56.990$ in the salvage surgery arm.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01:24:56.990 \longrightarrow 01:24:58.560$ There were differences in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01{:}24{:}58{.}560 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}00{.}603$ patterns of failure with less distant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01:25:00.603 \rightarrow 01:25:02.688$ metastases with patients getting chemo,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01{:}25{:}02.690 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}05.138$ but more local regional failures in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01{:}25{:}05{.}138$ --> $01{:}25{:}07{.}638$ the chemo arm and similarly in Europe.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01{:}25{:}07.638 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}10.508 \to \mathrm{RTC}$ ran a phase three trial in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625

 $01:25:10.508 \rightarrow 01:25:12.304$ patients with locally advanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625

 $01:25:12.304 \rightarrow 01:25:14.601$ hypopharynx cancer and which until

NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625

 $01:25:14.601 \longrightarrow 01:25:16.746$ then required surgical resection of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625

 $01:25:16.746 \rightarrow 01:25:19.242$ the larynx and patients were randomized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625

 $01{:}25{:}19{.}242 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}21{.}172$ Again to either induction Platinum

NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625

01:25:21.172 --> 01:25:23.688 5 FU followed by radiation for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625

 $01:25:23.688 \rightarrow 01:25:25.420$ complete responders versus surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625

 $01:25:25.420 \rightarrow 01:25:27.436$ followed by radiation and patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625

01:25:27.436 --> 01:25:29.718 with a partial or no response to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625

01:25:29.718 --> 01:25:31.520 induction also underwent surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625

 $01{:}25{:}31{.}520 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}33{.}970$ Only complete responders got radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625

 $01{:}25{:}33{.}970 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}35{.}618$ The endpoints were progression

NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625

 $01{:}25{:}35{.}618 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}37{.}678$ free and overall survival and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625

 $01{:}25{:}37.678$ --> $01{:}25{:}39.647$ survival with a functional larynx.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625

 $01{:}25{:}39.650 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}41.600$ Complete response was seen in over

NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625

 $01{:}25{:}41.600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}43.837$ half the patients on the induction

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01:25:43.837 \rightarrow 01:25:46.022$ arm and survival larynx preservation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01{:}25{:}46.022 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}47.704$ distant metastatic rate while
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01:25:47.704 \rightarrow 01:25:49.528$ all improved on the chemo arm,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01:25:49.530 \rightarrow 01:25:51.330$ so making that a new standard of care.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01:25:51.330 \longrightarrow 01:25:53.450$ In Europe as well?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01:25:53.450 \longrightarrow 01:25:56.100$ Subsequently 2 phase three trials
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01{:}25{:}56{.}100 \dashrightarrow 01{:}25{:}58{.}712$ Tax 323 which was conducted in Europe
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01{:}25{:}58.712 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}01.295$ and Tax 324 which was conducted in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01{:}26{:}01{.}295 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}04{.}074$ the US explored adding a third agent
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- $01:26:04.074 \longrightarrow 01:26:06.516$ as an induction regimen so attack
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- 01:26:06.516 --> 01:26:08.957 saying to platinum and five FU.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- 01:26:08.960 --> 01:26:11.138 The primary endpoint for these trials
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- 01:26:11.138 --> 01:26:12.840 was progression free survival for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8803823625
- 01:26:12.840 --> 01:26:15.526 tax 323 and overall survival for tax
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827628728181818
- $01:26:15.530 \longrightarrow 01:26:17.925$ 324. There were minor differences
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827628728181818

 $01:26:17.925 \longrightarrow 01:26:20.990$ in doses between the two trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827628728181818

 $01{:}26{:}20.990 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}23.015$ Both progression free and overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.827628728181818

 $01{:}26{:}23.015 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}25.040$ survival were improved with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.827628728181818

 $01:26:25.103 \rightarrow 01:26:26.471$ addition of taxane compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.827628728181818

 $01:26:26.471 \longrightarrow 01:26:28.523$ to Platinum 5 if you alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827628728181818

 $01{:}26{:}28{.}530 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}30{.}354$ So this became the new standard

NOTE Confidence: 0.827628728181818

01:26:30.354 --> 01:26:31.570 of care induction regimen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827628728181818

 $01:26:31.570 \rightarrow 01:26:33.999$ As far as possible there were more

NOTE Confidence: 0.827628728181818

01:26:33.999 --> 01:26:35.836 toxicities with the addition of

NOTE Confidence: 0.827628728181818

 $01:26:35.836 \rightarrow 01:26:38.008 1/3$ drug which is not surprising.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827628728181818

 $01{:}26{:}38.010 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}40.050$ So more neutropenia is more febrile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827628728181818

01:26:40.050 --> 01:26:42.234 Neutropenia as or hospital

NOTE Confidence: 0.827628728181818

 $01{:}26{:}42{.}234 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}44{.}418$ admissions for some patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.827628728181818

 $01:26:44.420 \longrightarrow 01:26:46.706$ So this is a difficult regimen

NOTE Confidence: 0.827628728181818

 $01{:}26{:}46.706 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}48.946$ in general for patients who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.827628728181818

 $01:26:48.946 \longrightarrow 01:26:51.136$ frail or elderly and tax 324 like

- NOTE Confidence: 0.827628728181818
- $01{:}26{:}51{.}136 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}52{.}864$ I mentioned similar trial but on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827628728181818
- $01:26:52.864 \longrightarrow 01:26:54.833$ in in the United States adding
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827628728181818
- $01{:}26{:}54{.}833 \dashrightarrow 01{:}26{:}57{.}281$ a taxane to platinum and five a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827628728181818
- $01:26:57.281 \rightarrow 01:26:59.246$ few again improvement in overall
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827628728181818
- $01:26:59.246 \rightarrow 01:27:01.145$ and progression free survival but
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827628728181818
- $01:27:01.145 \longrightarrow 01:27:03.095$ at a cost of more toxicities.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827628728181818
- $01:27:03.100 \longrightarrow 01:27:05.158$ So these this regimen the three drug
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827628728181818
- 01:27:05.158 --> 01:27:07.322 taxane Platinum 5 FU is in general
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827628728181818
- $01{:}27{:}07{.}322 \dashrightarrow 01{:}27{:}08{.}847$ pretty unsuitable for frail and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827628728181818
- $01:27:08.847 \rightarrow 01:27:10.848$ elderly patients we have to carefully.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827628728181818
- $01:27:10.850 \longrightarrow 01:27:12.542$ Like what kind of patient can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.827628728181818
- $01:27:12.542 \longrightarrow 01:27:13.388$ tolerate this treatment?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.033521146
- 01:27:15.450 --> 01:27:21.140 Umm. See? I'm sorry. Saturday.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333
- $01{:}27{:}25{.}220 \dashrightarrow 01{:}27{:}26{.}352$ OK, sorry about that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333
- $01{:}27{:}26.352 \dashrightarrow 01{:}27{:}28.690$ So now that we knew that TPF the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01:27:28.690 \rightarrow 01:27:30.713$ taxane Platinum 5 if you the three

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

01:27:30.713 --> 01:27:32.589 drug combination was a better

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01:27:32.589 \rightarrow 01:27:34.875$ induction regimen than Platinum 5 FU.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

01:27:34.880 - 01:27:36.644 The GORTEX trial was a European

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01:27:36.644 \rightarrow 01:27:38.589$ trial that sought to compare the

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01{:}27{:}38{.}589 \dashrightarrow 01{:}27{:}40{.}369$ two regimens for their larynx

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01{:}27{:}40{.}369 \dashrightarrow 01{:}27{:}42{.}344$ preserving ability in patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01{:}27{:}42.344 \dashrightarrow 01{:}27{:}44.040$ larynx and hypopharynx cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01{:}27{:}44.040 \dashrightarrow 01{:}27{:}45.993$ So if you remember the VA larynx

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

01:27:45.993 --> 01:27:47.470 trial compared just use platinum

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01{:}27{:}47{.}470 \dashrightarrow 01{:}27{:}48{.}925$ five if he was induction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01{:}27{:}48{.}930 \dashrightarrow 01{:}27{:}52{.}763$ This trial used taxane Platinum 5

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01{:}27{:}52.763 \dashrightarrow 01{:}27{:}55.128$ FU 220 patients were randomized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01{:}27{:}55{.}130 \dashrightarrow 01{:}27{:}57{.}245$ With larynx and hypopharynx cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01:27:57.245 \rightarrow 01:27:59.917$ where surgery would require our total

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

01:27:59.917 --> 01:28:02.387 laryngectomy and responders to induction,

 $01{:}28{:}02{.}390 \dashrightarrow 01{:}28{:}05{.}036$ chemo went on to get 70 degree of radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01{:}28{:}05{.}036 \dashrightarrow 01{:}28{:}07{.}548$ with or without concurrent chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01{:}28{:}07{.}550 \dashrightarrow 01{:}28{:}09{.}656$ Non responders went on to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01:28:09.656 \rightarrow 01:28:11.491$ salvage surgery followed by radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

01:28:11.491 --> 01:28:12.967 plus or minus chemo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

01:28:12.970 --> 01:28:15.304 And although Cytopenias were again seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01{:}28{:}15{.}304 \dashrightarrow 01{:}28{:}17{.}870$ more frequently in the three drug arm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

01:28:17.870 --> 01:28:20.310 in the taxane Platinum 5 FU more patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01{:}28{:}20{.}310 \dashrightarrow 01{:}28{:}22{.}773$ were able to have objective responses

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01:28:22.773 \rightarrow 01:28:24.565$ and achieve larynx preservation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01:28:24.570 \longrightarrow 01:28:25.870$ So this became a preferred.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01{:}28{:}25{.}870 \dashrightarrow 01{:}28{:}27{.}310$ Measurement for induction even

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01{:}28{:}27{.}310 \dashrightarrow 01{:}28{:}29{.}110$ for these patients and although

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

01:28:29.110 --> 01:28:31.483 I won't be showing the data or

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01:28:31.483 \longrightarrow 01:28:32.787$ discussing those slides here,

 $01:28:32.790 \longrightarrow 01:28:35.597$ but we do use induction chemo at

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

01:28:35.597 --> 01:28:37.774 our institution as an approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01{:}28{:}37{.}774 \dashrightarrow 01{:}28{:}40{.}014$ for chemo selection for T4

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

01:28:40.014 --> 01:28:42.460 larynx patients to help improve,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01:28:42.460 \longrightarrow 01:28:44.458$ to help improve patient selection for

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01{:}28{:}44{.}458 \dashrightarrow 01{:}28{:}47{.}111$ those that would respond to radiation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

01:28:47.111 --> 01:28:48.799 ultimately recover laryngeal function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01{:}28{:}48{.}800 \dashrightarrow 01{:}28{:}50{.}410$ This practice comes from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

01:28:50.410 --> 01:28:51.698 University of Michigan experience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01{:}28{:}51{.}700 \dashrightarrow 01{:}28{:}54{.}577$ which was able to improve the larynx

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01:28:54.577 \longrightarrow 01:28:56.579$ preservation rate from 40 to 50%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01{:}28{:}56{.}580 \dashrightarrow 01{:}28{:}59{.}130$ With chemo radiation up front versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01:28:59.130 \longrightarrow 01:29:01.279$ chemo selection followed by chemoradiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01:29:01.279 \longrightarrow 01:29:03.897$ then it went up closer to 60%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01{:}29{:}03{.}900 \dashrightarrow 01{:}29{:}06{.}564$ Although the role of induction was

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01:29:06.564 \rightarrow 01:29:08.340$ established for organ preservation,

 $01:29:08.340 \longrightarrow 01:29:10.092$ what really remained unclear at this

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01:29:10.092 \rightarrow 01:29:12.493$ point was how it improved survival when

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01:29:12.493 \rightarrow 01:29:14.453$ compared to chemo radiation alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01{:}29{:}14.460 \dashrightarrow 01{:}29{:}16.320$ And the Spanish trial attempted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01:29:16.320 \longrightarrow 01:29:17.964$ answer this question by randomizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01{:}29{:}17.964 \dashrightarrow 01{:}29{:}20.393$ patients to one of three treatment arms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01{:}29{:}20{.}400 \dashrightarrow 01{:}29{:}22{.}507$ So they either got chemo radiation alone

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

01:29:22.507 -> 01:29:24.885 or the two drug induction followed by

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01{:}29{:}24.885 \dashrightarrow 01{:}29{:}27.660$ chemo radiation or the three drug induction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01:29:27.660 \longrightarrow 01:29:29.100$ Followed by chemoradiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01:29:29.100 \longrightarrow 01:29:31.500$ There were no significant differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01{:}29{:}31{.}500 \dashrightarrow 01{:}29{:}33{.}605$ between progression free time to

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01:29:33.605 \rightarrow 01:29:35.405$ treatment failure or overall survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01{:}29{:}35{.}410 \dashrightarrow 01{:}29{:}36{.}790$ Across the three arms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01:29:36.790 \longrightarrow 01:29:38.170$ the plants have flattened,

 $01{:}29{:}38{.}170 \dashrightarrow 01{:}29{:}40.662$ doses were high and almost half the

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01:29:40.662 \rightarrow 01:29:42.926$ patients were unable to complete the

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01:29:42.926 \rightarrow 01:29:45.551$ treatment as was indicated in the protocol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01:29:45.560 \rightarrow 01:29:47.600$ Subsequently, there were other trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01{:}29{:}47.600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}29{:}49.210$ I'm probably going to skip all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01:29:49.210 \longrightarrow 01:29:51.340$ data in it, but the D side trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01:29:51.340 \longrightarrow 01:29:52.960$ the paradigm trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01:29:52.960 \longrightarrow 01:29:55.120$ and the tremplin trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01{:}29{:}55{.}120 \dashrightarrow 01{:}29{:}57{.}540$ all of them compared induction

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01{:}29{:}57{.}540 \dashrightarrow 01{:}29{:}59{.}960$ chemo followed by chemo radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01{:}30{:}00.043 \dashrightarrow 01{:}30{:}02.239$ versus chemo radiation alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01:30:02.240 \longrightarrow 01:30:04.058$ And although some of them were

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01:30:04.058 \rightarrow 01:30:05.632$ underpowered to detect a difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01:30:05.632 \rightarrow 01:30:07.450$ in the two arms because they

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01:30:07.450 \rightarrow 01:30:09.300$ didn't meet the planned accrual,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333

 $01:30:09.300 \longrightarrow 01:30:11.911$ in general there was no difference in

- NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333
- $01:30:11.911 \rightarrow 01:30:13.923$ survival between induction followed by
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333
- $01:30:13.923 \longrightarrow 01:30:16.413$ chemo radiation versus chemo radiation alone.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333
- $01:30:16.420 \longrightarrow 01:30:18.030$ So although it's a great
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333
- 01:30:18.030 --> 01:30:19.318 strategy for organ preservation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333
- $01:30:19.320 \longrightarrow 01:30:21.474$ it doesn't really add meaning to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333
- $01:30:21.474 \rightarrow 01:30:23.327$ patients where your your outcomes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333
- $01:30:23.327 \rightarrow 01:30:25.791$ with cure are pretty high and no
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333
- $01:30:25.791 \longrightarrow 01:30:27.858$ critical organ is at risk with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.767965279333333
- $01{:}30{:}27.858 \dashrightarrow 01{:}30{:}29.150$ chemo radiation up front.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765
- $01:30:32.260 \rightarrow 01:30:34.070$ Subsequently, there was a meta
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765
- 01:30:34.070 01:30:36.276 analysis of TPLF in India as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765
- $01:30:36.276 \longrightarrow 01:30:38.214$ used as induction from 5 trials,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765
- $01{:}30{:}38{.}220 \dashrightarrow 01{:}30{:}41{.}250$ which included about 1700 patients and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765
- $01{:}30{:}41{.}250 \dashrightarrow 01{:}30{:}44{.}924$ although TPF decreased the hazard for depth
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765
- $01{:}30{:}44.924 \dashrightarrow 01{:}30{:}47.544$ progression free and distant disease.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01:30:47.550 \longrightarrow 01:30:49.461$ You know, in terms of how it

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

01:30:49.461 --> 01:30:50.630 compared to Chemoradiation alone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01:30:50.630 \rightarrow 01:30:53.950$ similar similar patterns of findings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01{:}30{:}53{.}950 \dashrightarrow 01{:}30{:}55{.}670$ The absolute survival benefit was

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01{:}30{:}55{.}670 \dashrightarrow 01{:}30{:}58{.}015$ very comparable to what was reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01{:}30{:}58.015 \dashrightarrow 01{:}30{:}59.707$ with concurrent chemoradiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01{:}30{:}59{.}710 \dashrightarrow 01{:}31{:}02{.}941$ and May in fact lead to even inferior local

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

01:31:02.941 --> 01:31:05.788 regional control compared to CHEMORADIA-TION.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01{:}31{:}05{.}790 \dashrightarrow 01{:}31{:}07{.}842$ There were also concerns about patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01{:}31{:}07{.}842 \dashrightarrow 01{:}31{:}10{.}440$ being actually able to start and complete

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

01:31:10.440 --> 01:31:12.004 definitive radiation following induction

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01:31:12.004 \rightarrow 01:31:14.537$ in the meta analysis with the TPF's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01:31:14.537 \longrightarrow 01:31:16.322$ only 73% of patients were

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01:31:16.322 \rightarrow 01:31:17.750$ able to initiate subsequent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

01:31:17.750 --> 01:31:18.065 Party.

01:31:18.065 - 01:31:20.270 So about 1/4 of patients cannot and

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01:31:20.270 \rightarrow 01:31:23.069$ only half of them were able to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01:31:23.069 \dashrightarrow 01:31:24.473$ the plan concurrent chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01:31:24.480 \rightarrow 01:31:26.760$ Again highlighting just the need for

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01:31:26.760 \rightarrow 01:31:28.610$ careful patient selection in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01:31:28.610 \rightarrow 01:31:30.714$ of who might be able to tolerate a NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

01:31:30.714 --> 01:31:32.982 long course of induction followed

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01:31:32.982 \rightarrow 01:31:34.437$ by concurrent chemoradiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01:31:34.440 \rightarrow 01:31:36.330$ So given the significant tolerability

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01:31:36.330 \rightarrow 01:31:38.530$ concerns that we see with TPF,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01{:}31{:}38{.}530 \dashrightarrow 01{:}31{:}40.695$ ECOG studied and alternative induction

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01:31:40.695 \rightarrow 01:31:43.234$ regimen of Carbo Taxol cetuximab which

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01:31:43.234 \rightarrow 01:31:45.411$ is a weekly treatment just six weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01{:}31{:}45{.}411 \dashrightarrow 01{:}31{:}48{.}106$ and done and followed by the same regimen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01:31:48.110 \longrightarrow 01:31:49.160$ Concurrent with radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01:31:49.160 \longrightarrow 01:31:52.040$ but at lower doses of the chemo drugs.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765
- $01:31:52.040 \longrightarrow 01:31:53.775$ And although it hasn't been
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765
- 01:31:53.775 --> 01:31:55.163 compared head-to-head with TPF,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765
- $01:31:55.170 \rightarrow 01:31:57.420$ which still remains the gold standard,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765
- $01{:}31{:}57{.}420 \dashrightarrow 01{:}31{:}59{.}585$ the three-year overall and event
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765
- 01:31:59.585 --> 01:32:01.750 free survival were very similar
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765
- $01{:}32{:}01{.}821 \dashrightarrow 01{:}32{:}04.095$ with this regimen compared to TPF
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765
- $01{:}32{:}04.095 \dashrightarrow 01{:}32{:}06.289$ and contrasting to the TPF data,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765
- $01:32:06.290 \rightarrow 01:32:08.712 90\%$ of patients were actually able to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765
- $01:32:08.712 \longrightarrow 01:32:10.360$ complete subsequent chemo radiation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765
- 01:32:10.360 --> 01:32:12.022 making it a very attractive option
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765
- $01:32:12.022 \rightarrow 01:32:13.630$ for elderly and frail patients.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765
- $01:32:13.630 \longrightarrow 01:32:15.422$ So we tend to use this regimen
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765
- $01:32:15.422 \longrightarrow 01:32:16.974$ actually quite a bit and have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765
- $01{:}32{:}16{.}974 \dashrightarrow 01{:}32{:}18{.}426$ had quite a bit of success.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765
- $01:32:18.430 \longrightarrow 01:32:21.130$ As an alternative to TPF.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

- $01:32:21.130 \longrightarrow 01:32:21.716$ And finally,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765
- $01:32:21.716 \rightarrow 01:32:24.515$ I'm just going to run through some of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765
- $01{:}32{:}24.515 \dashrightarrow 01{:}32{:}26.837$ other indications where we do induction
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765
- $01:32:26.837 \rightarrow 01:32:28.830$ chemotherapy with head neck cancers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765
- 01:32:28.830 --> 01:32:30.828 The nasopharynx cancer is is a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765
- 01:32:30.828 --> 01:32:33.262 definite where we offer induction or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765
- $01:32:33.262 \rightarrow 01:32:35.342$ adjuvant chemotherapy in addition
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765
- $01{:}32{:}35{.}342 \dashrightarrow 01{:}32{:}36{.}902$ to concurrent chemoradiation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765
- $01:32:36.910 \rightarrow 01:32:39.678$ And that data comes from this meta analysis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765
- $01:32:39.680 \longrightarrow 01:32:41.730$ which actually showed an improvement
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765
- $01{:}32{:}41{.}730 \dashrightarrow 01{:}32{:}44{.}246$ in survival for both concurrent chemo
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765
- $01:32:44.246 \rightarrow 01:32:46.436$ radiation and concurrent followed by
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765
- 01:32:46.436 --> 01:32:48.635 adjuvant chemo radiation with adjuvant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765
- $01{:}32{:}48.635 \dashrightarrow 01{:}32{:}51.119$ chemo actually adding an incremental benefit.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765
- $01:32:51.120 \longrightarrow 01:32:53.142$ When compared to concurrent alone and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765
- $01:32:53.142 \rightarrow 01:32:55.380$ in addition to this meta analysis,

 $01:32:55.380 \rightarrow 01:32:57.744$ there have been multiple randomized trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

01:32:57.744 --> 01:32:59.320 comparing different induction regimens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01:32:59.320 \rightarrow 01:33:02.405$ whether it be TPDF or gemcitabine, cisplatin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01:33:02.405 \longrightarrow 01:33:04.780$ All of these trials come

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01:33:04.780 \longrightarrow 01:33:06.680$ from EBV positive patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01{:}33{:}06.680 \dashrightarrow 01{:}33{:}09.020$ And in general, there's an improvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

01:33:09.020 --> 01:33:10.580 in recurrence free survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

01:33:10.580 --> 01:33:11.882 failure, free survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01:33:11.882 \longrightarrow 01:33:13.618$ overall survival with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

01:33:13.618 --> 01:33:14.920 addition of chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

01:33:14.920 --> 01:33:16.060 So as a community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01{:}33{:}16.060 \dashrightarrow 01{:}33{:}17.770$ we all just strongly believe that

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01:33:17.833 \longrightarrow 01:33:19.663$ induction or adjuvant chemo in

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01{:}33{:}19.663 \dashrightarrow 01{:}33{:}21.127$ addition to concurrent chemo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

01:33:21.130 --> 01:33:23.090 Radiation as a survival benefit

 $01:33:23.090 \rightarrow 01:33:25.050$ and nasopharynx cancers and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

01:33:25.118 --> 01:33:27.020 is the current standard of care

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01:33:27.020 \longrightarrow 01:33:29.292$ in HPV disease induction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

01:33:29.292 --> 01:33:29.860 Platinum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01{:}33{:}29.860 \dashrightarrow 01{:}33{:}31.966$ taxane and cetuximab were used as

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

01:33:31.966 --> 01:33:33.777 a means to subsequently deescalate NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

01:33:33.777 --> 01:33:35.841 radiation doses to 54 Gray instead

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01{:}33{:}35{.}841 \dashrightarrow 01{:}33{:}38{.}420$ of 70 Gray for responders and their

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01:33:38.420 \longrightarrow 01:33:40.634$ primary endpoint for this trial was NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01:33:40.640 \rightarrow 01:33:43.310$ two year progression free survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01:33:43.310 \longrightarrow 01:33:45.770$ 70% of patients achieved primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.786345469411765

 $01{:}33{:}45{.}770 \dashrightarrow 01{:}33{:}48{.}230$ site complete response and two

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

01:33:48.316 --> 01:33:50.930 year PFS and OS were 96% each for

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01:33:50.930 \rightarrow 01:33:53.030$ patients who had not high risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01:33:53.030 \longrightarrow 01:33:54.230$ So less than T4,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01:33:54.230 \longrightarrow 01:33:55.730$ less than bilateral neck disease,

01:33:55.730 --> 01:33:57.984 less than 10 pack your smoking history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01:33:57.990 \rightarrow 01:33:59.538$ and significantly fewer patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01:33:59.538 \rightarrow 01:34:01.860$ had dysphagia to solids or impaired

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01{:}34{:}01{.}924 \dashrightarrow 01{:}34{:}04{.}090$ nutrition at 12 months post treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01:34:04.090 \longrightarrow 01:34:06.450$ So this is as a de escalation strategy

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01:34:06.450 \rightarrow 01:34:09.167$ worthy of further investigation and is being

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01:34:09.167 \rightarrow 01:34:11.910$ moved through the ECOG community right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01:34:11.910 \rightarrow 01:34:14.358$ Induction chemo also is fairly well

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01{:}34{:}14{.}358 \dashrightarrow 01{:}34{:}15{.}990$ established and locally advanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

01:34:16.050 --> 01:34:17.777 paranasal sinus cancer, especially

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01:34:17.777 \longrightarrow 01:34:20.206$ those that involve close to the orbit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01{:}34{:}20{.}210 \dashrightarrow 01{:}34{:}21{.}599$ And there's retrospective

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01:34:21.599 \longrightarrow 01:34:23.680$ data from about 123 patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01{:}34{:}23.680 \dashrightarrow 01{:}34{:}27.170$ most of which had T4 disease at MD Anderson.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01{:}34{:}27.170 \dashrightarrow 01{:}34{:}30.000$ These patients had a 63% response rate,

01:34:30.000 - 01:34:33.030 81% orbit preservation rate and very

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01:34:33.030 \rightarrow 01:34:35.828$ encouraging overall survival for the cohort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

01:34:35.830 --> 01:34:37.618 Likewise for sinonasal

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

01:34:37.618 --> 01:34:38.810 undifferentiated cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01{:}34{:}38{.}810 \dashrightarrow 01{:}34{:}40{.}290$ which is another very aggressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01:34:40.290 \rightarrow 01:34:42.070$ type of sinonasal cancers we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01:34:42.070 \longrightarrow 01:34:44.260$ Retrospective data that showed almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01:34:44.260 \rightarrow 01:34:46.670 a 70\%$ response rate to induction

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01:34:46.670 \longrightarrow 01:34:48.246$ platinum etoposide with responders

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01:34:48.246 \rightarrow 01:34:50.258$ doing better with subsequent chemo

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01{:}34{:}50{.}258 \dashrightarrow 01{:}34{:}51{.}814$ radiation and non responders

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01{:}34{:}51{.}814 \dashrightarrow 01{:}34{:}53{.}758$ doing better with pelvic surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01{:}34{:}53.760 \dashrightarrow 01{:}34{:}55.356$ So it serves a dual purpose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01{:}34{:}55{.}360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}34{:}57{.}664$ one of improving outcomes and two

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01:34:57.664 \rightarrow 01:34:59.200$ of actually selecting patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01:34:59.260 \rightarrow 01:35:01.738$ that might do better with surgery

 $01{:}35{:}01{.}738 \dashrightarrow 01{:}35{:}02.977$ afterwards versus radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

01:35:02.980 --> 01:35:03.734 And lastly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01:35:03.734 \rightarrow 01:35:05.619$ immunotherapy has been looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01{:}35{:}05{.}619 \dashrightarrow 01{:}35{:}07{.}582$ recently as an induction regimen

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01{:}35{:}07{.}582 \dashrightarrow 01{:}35{:}09{.}880$ in patients with high risk oral

NOTE Confidence: 0.740191026666667

01:35:09.880 --> 01:35:11.739 cavity HPV negative tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01{:}35{:}11{.}740 \dashrightarrow 01{:}35{:}13{.}300$ This was a small prospectively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

01:35:13.300 --> 01:35:15.210 Treated cohort from Dana Farber,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

01:35:15.210 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:35:17.429 36 patients who got a single dose

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

01:35:17.429 --> 01:35:19.130 of Pembroke prior to surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01{:}35{:}19{.}130 \dashrightarrow 01{:}35{:}20{.}810$ The treatment was safe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.740191026666667

 $01:35:20.810 \rightarrow 01:35:23.950$ You know there were no surgical delays,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01{:}35{:}23.950 \dashrightarrow 01{:}35{:}25.695$ post-op radiation or chemo radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01{:}35{:}25{.}695 \dashrightarrow 01{:}35{:}28{.}165$ were done as a standard of care

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01:35:28.165 \rightarrow 01:35:29.825$ based on pathology and adjuvant.

 $01:35:29.830 \dashrightarrow 01:35:32.245$ Pembroke was also used in high risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01:35:32.245 \rightarrow 01:35:34.007$ patients with positive margins or

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01:35:34.007 \rightarrow 01:35:36.005$ extranodal extension and grade 3 or NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

01:35:36.005 - 01:35:38.128 4 adverse events or delay of surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

01:35:38.128 --> 01:35:40.874 did not occur like I said and the one

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01:35:40.874 \dashrightarrow 01:35:43.320$ year relapse rate for this high risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01:35:43.320 \dashrightarrow 01:35:44.870$ Cohort was actually really good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01{:}35{:}44{.}870 \dashrightarrow 01{:}35{:}46{.}806$ It was only about 16% versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01{:}35{:}46.806 \dashrightarrow 01{:}35{:}48.386$ what we expect to see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01:35:48.390 \longrightarrow 01:35:50.190$ which is about 30 to 40%

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01:35:50.190 \longrightarrow 01:35:53.150$ in high risk patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01{:}35{:}53{.}150 \dashrightarrow 01{:}35{:}55{.}286$ They also classified pathologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01{:}35{:}55{.}286 \dashrightarrow 01{:}35{:}59{.}107$ tumor response by grade and PTR 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01{:}35{:}59{.}107 \dashrightarrow 01{:}36{:}02{.}263$ was actually the highest degree of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01:36:02.263 \rightarrow 01:36:04.690$ pathologic tumor response over 50%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

 $01:36:04.690 \longrightarrow 01:36:06.578$ which was seen in almost 1/4 of the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667
- $01:36:06.578 \rightarrow 01:36:08.639$ patients on this trial with just that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667
- 01:36:08.639 --> 01:36:10.240 single dose of immunotherapy, preop.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667
- $01:36:10.240 \longrightarrow 01:36:12.830$ And another quarter of almost 1/4 of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667
- $01{:}36{:}12.830 \dashrightarrow 01{:}36{:}15.497$ patients had a minor pathologic response,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667
- 01:36:15.500 --> 01:36:17.412 so 25 to 50%,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667
- $01:36:17.412 \longrightarrow 01:36:19.802$ which is also not insignificant.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667
- $01:36:19.810 \rightarrow 01:36:21.594$ And then another group,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.740191026666667
- $01:36:21.594 \longrightarrow 01:36:22.486$ the radiation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667
- $01{:}36{:}22{.}490 \dashrightarrow 01{:}36{:}24{.}884$ Group at Dana Farber also looked at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667
- $01{:}36{:}24.884 \dashrightarrow 01{:}36{:}27.661$ an EVO versus ibinabo as induction for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667
- 01:36:27.661 --> 01:36:30.175 again high risk oral cavity tumors.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667
- $01{:}36{:}30{.}180 \dashrightarrow 01{:}36{:}32{.}448$ Although some patients did have adverse
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667
- $01{:}36{:}32{.}448 \dashrightarrow 01{:}36{:}35{.}157$ events with the Nivo and IPI plus nivo,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667
- $01{:}36{:}35{.}160 \dashrightarrow 01{:}36{:}36{.}918$ there were no surgical delays again.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667
- 01:36:36.920 --> 01:36:37.616 In addition,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667

- $01:36:37.616 \rightarrow 01:36:39.356$ there wasn't evidence of response
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667
- $01:36:39.356 \longrightarrow 01:36:40.400$ in both arms.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667
- $01:36:40.400 \rightarrow 01:36:42.938$ Major responses over 90% were seen
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667
- $01:36:42.938 \longrightarrow 01:36:45.719$ in four of these 29 patients,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667
- $01{:}36{:}45{.}720 \dashrightarrow 01{:}36{:}47{.}456$ three in the Nivo plus IPI arm
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667
- $01{:}36{:}47{.}456 \dashrightarrow 01{:}36{:}49{.}479$ and one in the NIEVO alone arm.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667
- $01{:}36{:}49{.}480 \dashrightarrow 01{:}36{:}51{.}256$ Again together these data just suggests
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667
- $01:36:51.256 \rightarrow 01:36:53.110$ that there is clinical tolerability.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667
- $01:36:53.110 \rightarrow 01:36:55.255$ And possibly effectiveness for neoadjuvant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7401910266666667
- $01:36:55.255 \rightarrow 01:36:57.400$ immuno
therapy alone in a small
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845275909411765
- $01:36:57.462 \longrightarrow 01:36:59.206$ proportion of patients which
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845275909411765
- $01:36:59.206 \rightarrow 01:37:00.514$ are carefully selected.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845275909411765
- 01:37:00.520 --> 01:37:03.028 Finally, just to summarize the indications
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845275909411765
- $01:37:03.028 \longrightarrow 01:37:05.327$ for induction chemo and head and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845275909411765
- $01:37:05.327 \longrightarrow 01:37:07.559$ neck that we tend to use at spinal,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845275909411765
- $01:37:07.560 \longrightarrow 01:37:09.370$ we broadly categorize these as

- NOTE Confidence: 0.845275909411765
- 01:37:09.370 --> 01:37:10.818 fairly definitive for induction
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845275909411765
- $01{:}37{:}10.818 \dashrightarrow 01{:}37{:}13.002$ and those were induction can be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845275909411765
- $01{:}37{:}13.002 \dashrightarrow 01{:}37{:}14.414$ considered at our institution.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845275909411765
- $01:37:14.420 \dashrightarrow 01:37:16.835$ We almost always consider induction for T4,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845275909411765
- 01:37:16.840 --> 01:37:18.510 larynx and hypopharynx cancer patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845275909411765
- $01:37:18.510 \longrightarrow 01:37:21.382$ to select out those that would be most
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845275909411765
- 01:37:21.382 --> 01:37:22.910 appropriate for organ preservation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845275909411765
- $01{:}37{:}22.910 \dashrightarrow 01{:}37{:}24.640$ without with definitive radiation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845275909411765
- $01:37:24.640 \longrightarrow 01:37:26.776$ And we also recommended for nasopharynx,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845275909411765
- $01{:}37{:}26.780 \dashrightarrow 01{:}37{:}29.304$ paranasal sinus and sinonasal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845275909411765
- $01{:}37{:}29{.}304 \dashrightarrow 01{:}37{:}30{.}566$ undifferentiated cancers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845275909411765
- $01{:}37{:}30{.}570 \dashrightarrow 01{:}37{:}32{.}886$ It is worth considering induction for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845275909411765
- $01:37:32.886 \rightarrow 01:37:34.430$ highly symptomatic patients where
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845275909411765
- $01{:}37{:}34{.}492 \dashrightarrow 01{:}37{:}36{.}287$ rapid tumor shrinkage is desired.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845275909411765
- $01:37:36.290 \longrightarrow 01:37:37.451$ So for instance,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.845275909411765

01:37:37.451 --> 01:37:39.386 for airway protection for bleeding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845275909411765

 $01:37:39.390 \longrightarrow 01:37:41.430$ we also consider it when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.845275909411765

 $01:37:41.430 \longrightarrow 01:37:42.790$ suspect early metastatic disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.845275909411765

 $01:37:42.847 \longrightarrow 01:37:45.055$ to assess response prior to more

NOTE Confidence: 0.845275909411765

 $01{:}37{:}45.055 \dashrightarrow 01{:}37{:}46.527$ definitive surgery or radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845275909411765

 $01:37:46.530 \longrightarrow 01:37:47.224$ And finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845275909411765

 $01:37:47.224 \rightarrow 01:37:49.306$ immunotherapy as an induction is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.845275909411765

 $01:37:49.306 \longrightarrow 01:37:51.009$ for carefully selected patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845275909411765

01:37:51.010 --> 01:37:52.546 Like I said, high risk PD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845275909411765

 $01{:}37{:}52{.}550 \dashrightarrow 01{:}37{:}54{.}812$ one positive and preferably within the

NOTE Confidence: 0.845275909411765

 $01{:}37{:}54{.}812 \dashrightarrow 01{:}37{:}57{.}334$ context of a clinical trial since the

NOTE Confidence: 0.845275909411765

 $01:37:57.334 \rightarrow 01:38:00.361$ data really is very early in terms of how.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845275909411765

01:38:00.361 --> 01:38:02.885 Motions do with neoadjuvant

NOTE Confidence: 0.845275909411765

 $01:38:02.885 \rightarrow 01:38:04.840$ immunotherapy and that's all I have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845275909411765

01:38:04.840 --> 01:38:06.346 Thank you for your attention and

NOTE Confidence: 0.845275909411765

 $01:38:06.346 \longrightarrow 01:38:08.130$ be happy to take any questions.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.720665317875
- 01:38:10.120 --> 01:38:12.040 Thank you doctor Batcha.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.720665317875
- $01:38:12.040 \longrightarrow 01:38:13.960$ That was a great,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.720665317875
- 01:38:13.960 --> 01:38:15.640 efficient overview of induction,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.720665317875
- $01:38:15.640 \dashrightarrow 01:38:18.160$ chemotherapy and head and neck cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.720665317875
- $01:38:18.160 \longrightarrow 01:38:19.608$ There is one question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849776535714286
- $01{:}38{:}22{.}370 \dashrightarrow 01{:}38{:}25{.}849$ When? You present this option to patients,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849776535714286
- 01:38:25.850 --> 01:38:28.208 it seems like almost like magic.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849776535714286
- 01:38:28.210 --> 01:38:30.926 Oh, you can give me some medicine,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849776535714286
- $01{:}38{:}30{.}930 \dashrightarrow 01{:}38{:}33{.}282$ and the surgery that the surgeon just told
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849776535714286
- $01:38:33.282 \longrightarrow 01:38:35.562$ me about could be less morbid or have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849776535714286
- $01{:}38{:}35{.}562 \dashrightarrow 01{:}38{:}37{.}808$ a higher chance of getting it all out.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849776535714286
- $01{:}38{:}37{.}810 \dashrightarrow 01{:}38{:}39{.}694$ But when you see these patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849776535714286
- $01{:}38{:}39{.}694 \dashrightarrow 01{:}38{:}40{.}950$ and to discuss induction,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849776535714286
- $01{:}38{:}40.950 \dashrightarrow 01{:}38{:}41.844$ chemotherapy, what are,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849776535714286
- $01:38:41.844 \rightarrow 01:38:44.708$ what do you tell them are some of the risks,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849776535714286

01:38:44.710 --> 01:38:46.310 why? It's not just why,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849776535714286

 $01:38:46.310 \longrightarrow 01:38:48.172$ why we're not just doing it in

NOTE Confidence: 0.849776535714286

 $01:38:48.172 \longrightarrow 01:38:49.570$ everybody in every situation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849776535714286

01:38:49.570 --> 01:38:50.466 Well, how do you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849776535714286

 $01:38:50.466 \rightarrow 01:38:51.586$ how do you counsel patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.849776535714286

 $01:38:51.586 \longrightarrow 01:38:52.560$ about the use of this?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667

 $01{:}38{:}53{.}870 \dashrightarrow 01{:}38{:}56{.}330$ I'm pretty up front about what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667

 $01{:}38{:}56{.}330 \dashrightarrow 01{:}38{:}58{.}436$ odds of response, what the odds of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667

 $01{:}38{:}58{.}436 \dashrightarrow 01{:}38{:}59{.}750$ tumor progression are going to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667

 $01{:}38{:}59{.}750 \dashrightarrow 01{:}39{:}01{.}532$ So across the board we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667

 $01:39:01.532 \longrightarrow 01:39:03.776$ about 70 to 80% of patients in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667

 $01:39:03.776 \rightarrow 01:39:05.184$ general responding to inductions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667

 $01:39:05.190 \longrightarrow 01:39:08.106$ So the odds are high but it's not 100%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667

 $01:39:08.110 \dashrightarrow 01:39:10.270$ So that's what I clarify right at the get go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667

 $01{:}39{:}10{.}270 \dashrightarrow 01{:}39{:}12{.}819$ There is a 20 to 30% risk that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667

 $01{:}39{:}12.819 \dashrightarrow 01{:}39{:}14.877$ disease might either not shrink or

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667
- $01:39:14.877 \rightarrow 01:39:16.637$ actually progress with induction and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667
- 01:39:16.637 01:39:19.150 that may risk surgery in the future.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667
- 01:39:19.150 01:39:20.893 So you know where surgery is an
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667
- $01:39:20.893 \rightarrow 01:39:22.614$ option now and we're trying to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667
- $01:39:22.614 \rightarrow 01:39:24.139$ shrink it to preserve organs.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667
- $01:39:24.140 \dashrightarrow 01:39:26.415$ We may lose that window for surgery.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667
- 01:39:26.420 --> 01:39:27.782 Now we of course do try
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667
- $01:39:27.782 \longrightarrow 01:39:28.690$ to minimize that risk.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667
- 01:39:28.690 --> 01:39:29.252 You know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667
- $01:39:29.252 \rightarrow 01:39:30.657$ we're clinically following them closely.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667
- 01:39:30.660 --> 01:39:33.040 If I have any doubts about progression,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667
- $01{:}39{:}33{.}040 \dashrightarrow 01{:}39{:}35{.}200$ I do get scans pretty frequently.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667
- $01{:}39{:}35{.}200 \dashrightarrow 01{:}39{:}37{.}232$ So we do scans like almost every three
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667
- $01{:}39{:}37{.}232 \dashrightarrow 01{:}39{:}39{.}692$ to four weeks with induction if we have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667
- $01:39:39.692 \dashrightarrow 01:39:41.540$ any doubts about a lack of response.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667

- $01:39:41.540 \dashrightarrow 01:39:44.060$ But that is something I counsel
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667
- $01{:}39{:}44.060 \dashrightarrow 01{:}39{:}45.740$ patients on pretty extensively.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667
- 01:39:45.740 --> 01:39:47.696 You know, they're obviously for those
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667
- 01:39:47.696 01:39:49.960 that are standing to lose an eye now,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667
- 01:39:49.960 --> 01:39:50.494 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667
- $01:39:50.494 \rightarrow 01:39:52.096$ hopefully that if it doesn't shrink,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667
- $01:39:52.100 \longrightarrow 01:39:53.535$ they still stand to lose an eye.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667
- $01{:}39{:}53{.}540 \dashrightarrow 01{:}39{:}54{.}540$ So a lot of them.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667
- $01{:}39{:}54{.}540 \dashrightarrow 01{:}39{:}56{.}515$ Will actually agree to induction
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667
- $01:39:56.515 \longrightarrow 01:39:59.396$ if they have that little chance of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667
- $01:39:59.396 \rightarrow 01:40:02.308$ preserving the eye or preserving the larynx.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667
- 01:40:02.310 --> 01:40:03.147 But, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667
- $01:40:03.147 \rightarrow 01:40:05.380$ I I'm pretty forthright about what I say,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667
- $01:40:05.380 \longrightarrow 01:40:07.060$ and I do tell them that, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667
- $01:40:07.060 \rightarrow 01:40:08.542$ some of them will tell me afterwards, oh,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667
- $01:40:08.542 \rightarrow 01:40:10.096$ we've responded so nicely to an induction.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667
- $01:40:10.100 \longrightarrow 01:40:11.300$ Do we even need the surgery?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667
- 01:40:11.300 --> 01:40:11.548 So,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667
- 01:40:11.548 --> 01:40:12.044 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667
- $01:40:12.044 \longrightarrow 01:40:13.780$ I'm clear about induction is as a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667
- $01:40:13.836 \rightarrow 01:40:16.158$ means to get to surgery or get to radiation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667
- 01:40:16.160 --> 01:40:18.566 It's not going to replace eventually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8243392866666667
- 01:40:18.566 --> 01:40:20.170 needing that surgery anyway.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432
- $01:40:22.440 \longrightarrow 01:40:23.391$ That's really great.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432
- $01:40:23.391 \rightarrow 01:40:25.610$ Thank you for clarifying that you want
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432
- $01:40:25.665 \rightarrow 01:40:27.597$ to stop sharing and share my screen.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432
- $01{:}40{:}27.600 \dashrightarrow 01{:}40{:}30.468$ So I think this that what you just presented,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432
- $01:40:30.470 \longrightarrow 01:40:33.251$ Dr Bhatti is just a great example of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432
- 01:40:33.251 --> 01:40:35.338 need for multidisciplinary management
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432
- $01{:}40{:}35{.}338 \dashrightarrow 01{:}40{:}38{.}173$ of these patients and discussion.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432
- 01:40:38.180 --> 01:40:39.176 I mean, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432

 $01:40:39.176 \longrightarrow 01:40:40.670$ you'll take phone calls from me

NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432

 $01:40:40.721 \longrightarrow 01:40:42.371$ about patients that were considering

NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432

01:40:42.371 --> 01:40:44.380 induction and I think you're right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432

 $01:40:44.380 \longrightarrow 01:40:46.054$ As a surgeon, what we want to know is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432

 $01:40:46.060 \rightarrow 01:40:47.028$ well, if he doesn't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432

 $01{:}40{:}47.028 \dashrightarrow 01{:}40{:}49.439$ if this patient he or she does not respond,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432

01:40:49.440 --> 01:40:50.676 if it progresses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432

 $01:40:50.676 \longrightarrow 01:40:52.736$ what's the worst case scenario?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432

 $01{:}40{:}52{.}740 \dashrightarrow 01{:}40{:}54{.}660$ And as you pointed out if you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432

 $01:40:54.660 \rightarrow 01:40:56.270$ we're already going to take their

NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432

 $01{:}40{:}56.270 \dashrightarrow 01{:}40{:}57.890$ carotid artery and it progresses a

NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432

 $01:40:57.940 \longrightarrow 01:40:59.710$ little you know we're already prepared

NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432

 $01:40:59.710 \longrightarrow 01:41:01.745$ for that over saving and I we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432

 $01{:}41{:}01{.}745 \dashrightarrow 01{:}41{:}04{.}177$ going to take ways and we have some

NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432

 $01{:}41{:}04{.}177 \dashrightarrow 01{:}41{:}06{.}337$ progression towards the the eye you

NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432

 $01:41:06.337 \rightarrow 01:41:08.867$ know what do we lose by trying induction.

 $01{:}41{:}08.867 \dashrightarrow 01{:}41{:}10.312$ I think those are the discussions

NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432

 $01{:}41{:}10{.}312 \dashrightarrow 01{:}41{:}12{.}435$ that we have in our tumor boards and

NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432

 $01:41:12.435 \longrightarrow 01:41:14.179$ it's not always so clear cut and as

NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432

01:41:14.179 --> 01:41:16.118 you point out I think the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432

 $01{:}41{:}16.118 \dashrightarrow 01{:}41{:}17.970$ need to understand the risks involved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432

 $01:41:17.970 \longrightarrow 01:41:19.670$ So thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432

01:41:19.670 --> 01:41:21.924 I wanted to thank all of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432

 $01:41:21.924 \rightarrow 01:41:23.920$ presenters here on talking about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432

01:41:23.920 --> 01:41:26.064 Redefining Resectability and head

NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432

01:41:26.064 --> 01:41:28.500 neck cancers, as you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432

 $01:41:28.500 \longrightarrow 01:41:31.128$ we do need to work as a team with

NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432

01:41:31.128 --> 01:41:31.932 our neurosurgery colleagues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432

 $01{:}41{:}31{.}940 \dashrightarrow 01{:}41{:}33{.}764$ vascular surgery colleagues and

NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432

 $01{:}41{:}33.764 \dashrightarrow 01{:}41{:}35.588$ of course the multidisciplinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432

01:41:35.588 --> 01:41:37.319 head neck cancer team.

- $01:41:37.320 \rightarrow 01:41:39.910$ So thank you to all our presenters
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432
- $01{:}41{:}39{.}910 \dashrightarrow 01{:}41{:}42{.}907$ and I really appreciate that we are.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432
- 01:41:42.910 --> 01:41:43.794 Pretty much on time,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432
- $01:41:43.794 \longrightarrow 01:41:44.678$ not too far behind,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432
- $01{:}41{:}44{.}680 \dashrightarrow 01{:}41{:}46{.}160$ so thank you all very much and thank
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432
- $01{:}41{:}46.160 \dashrightarrow 01{:}41{:}47.836$ you for the attendees for all joining.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432
- $01{:}41{:}47{.}840 \dashrightarrow 01{:}41{:}50{.}767$ This will be posted at yale cancercenter.org
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432
- $01:41:50.767 \rightarrow 01:41:53.476$ and will be emailed to the many
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432
- $01:41:53.476 \longrightarrow 01:41:55.480$ people who registered as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432
- $01:41:55.480 \longrightarrow 01:41:57.450$ Thank you so much.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82624432
- $01:41:57.450 \longrightarrow 01:41:57.850$ Thank you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.48713689
- $01:41:57.860 \longrightarrow 01:42:00.000$ So thank you. Thank you.