WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:54:39" NOTE recognizability:0.841

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.921127763333333

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:01.640$ Let's get started because we

NOTE Confidence: 0.921127763333333

 $00:00:01.640 \longrightarrow 00:00:04.529$ have a lot to go through tonight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.921127763333333

 $00{:}00{:}04.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}07.000$ Welcome to smaller shares with

NOTE Confidence: 0.921127763333333

00:00:07.000 --> 00:00:09.871 primary care. Let's see, Renee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.921127763333333

 $00:00:09.871 \longrightarrow 00:00:13.230$ do we have the? Slides up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8605499425

00:00:16.960 --> 00:00:19.296 My name is, my name is Anne Chang.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8605499425

00:00:19.300 --> 00:00:21.652 I'm a medical oncologist and chief

NOTE Confidence: 0.8605499425

00:00:21.652 --> 00:00:24.140 integration Officer, Deputy CMO for SMILO,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8605499425

 $00:00:24.140 \longrightarrow 00:00:27.870$ and this is a series that Karen Brown.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830999295

 $00{:}00{:}30.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}36.120$ And I put together a really focusing on

NOTE Confidence: 0.830999295

 $00{:}00{:}36.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}39.456$ primary care and relationship with smile.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830999295

 $00:00:39.460 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.737$ Can you advance to the next slide?

NOTE Confidence: 0.830999295

 $00:00:43.740 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.743$ Thanks. So it's a monthly lecture series

00:00:46.743 --> 00:00:49.434 that really focuses on primary care

NOTE Confidence: 0.830999295

 $00:00:49.434 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.649$ perspectives on cancer and hematology

NOTE Confidence: 0.830999295

00:00:51.649 --> 00:00:54.613 and this is really done with Karen

NOTE Confidence: 0.830999295

 $00:00:54.613 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.972$ together the faculty panel has primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.830999295

 $00:00:56.972 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.436$ care docs as well as smaller physicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.830999295

 $00:00:59.436 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.400$ and we we'd like to focus on a a

NOTE Confidence: 0.830999295

 $00:01:02.400 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.624$ particular region even though our our

NOTE Confidence: 0.830999295

 $00:01:04.624 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.060$ audiences from across the entire network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830999295

00:01:07.060 --> 00:01:09.394 So today we're doing lung cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.830999295

00:01:09.394 --> 00:01:11.725 for lung Cancer Awareness Week and

NOTE Confidence: 0.830999295

 $00{:}01{:}11.725 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}13.837$ really in Bridgeport and this is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830999295

00:01:13.840 --> 00:01:16.290 Always the first Tuesday of the month

NOTE Confidence: 0.830999295

00:01:16.290 --> 00:01:18.977 from 5:00 to 6:00 PM and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830999295

 $00{:}01{:}18.977 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}21.988$ this is really to there's so much going

NOTE Confidence: 0.830999295

00:01:21.988 --> 00:01:24.928 on in cancer and hematology right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830999295

 $00:01:24.930 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.890$ That that we felt that this is

00:01:26.890 --> 00:01:28.409 really important to share with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830999295

 $00{:}01{:}28.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}30.595$ with primary care and specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.830999295

 $00:01:30.595 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.780$ around questions that you have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830999295

00:01:32.780 --> 00:01:34.348 Karen, do you want to add anything?

NOTE Confidence: 0.887864422333333

00:01:35.890 --> 00:01:37.494 Just echo, you're welcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887864422333333

 $00:01:37.494 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.344$ And we have an expression at NE

NOTE Confidence: 0.887864422333333

 $00:01:40.344 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.899$ Medical Group in primary care as we

NOTE Confidence: 0.887864422333333

 $00:01:42.899 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.598$ keep trying to work smarter not harder

NOTE Confidence: 0.887864422333333

 $00{:}01{:}45.598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}48.241$ and and that's because we we have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.887864422333333

 $00{:}01{:}48.241 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}50.420$ lot to do in the primary Care World.

NOTE Confidence: 0.887864422333333

 $00:01:50.420 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.540$ And so sessions like this are

NOTE Confidence: 0.887864422333333

00:01:53.540 --> 00:01:55.708 invaluable to help kind of guide us

NOTE Confidence: 0.887864422333333

 $00{:}01{:}55.708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}58.876$ in in the collaborative approach to

NOTE Confidence: 0.887864422333333

 $00{:}01{:}58.876 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}00.916$ new malignancy and making sure our

NOTE Confidence: 0.887864422333333

 $00:02:00.916 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.380$ patients get the care they need.

 $00:02:02.380 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.988$ So I'm, I'm thrilled that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.887864422333333

 $00:02:03.988 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.480$ getting this off the ground.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792512715

 $00{:}02{:}07.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}11.248$ Fantastic. So it's very case based.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792512715

 $00:02:11.250 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.350$ We actually have three cases to night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792512715

 $00:02:13.350 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.426$ I'm going to talk through aspects

NOTE Confidence: 0.792512715

 $00{:}02{:}15.426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}17.356$ of screening and locally advanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.792512715

00:02:17.356 --> 00:02:19.268 disease and metastatic disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792512715

 $00:02:19.270 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.468$ We do want to have some some

NOTE Confidence: 0.792512715

00:02:21.468 --> 00:02:23.829 time at the end for open Q&A.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792512715

 $00:02:23.830 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.318$ So if you have and we encourage you

NOTE Confidence: 0.792512715

 $00{:}02{:}26.318 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}28.130$ to submit your questions either

NOTE Confidence: 0.792512715

 $00{:}02{:}28.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}30.741$ through the chat you can do that

NOTE Confidence: 0.792512715

 $00:02:30.815 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.595$ during the the presentation or you

NOTE Confidence: 0.792512715

 $00:02:32.595 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.755$ can wait till the end or or unmute

NOTE Confidence: 0.792512715

 $00{:}02{:}34.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}36.470$ and and well actually I think I

NOTE Confidence: 0.792512715

 $00:02:36.530 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.258$ guess it goes through the chat.

 $00:02:38.260 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.524$ So, so with the with no further ado,

NOTE Confidence: 0.792512715

 $00{:}02{:}42.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}45.596$ let's get going and introduce our panelists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.792512715

 $00:02:45.600 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.560$ Karen, do you want to start?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83872366

 $00:02:47.960 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.480$ Sure. So I'd like to introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.83872366

00:02:51.480 --> 00:02:52.956 Doctor Steve Benaderet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927836825

00:02:52.960 --> 00:02:55.848 a Michigan native family

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927836825

 $00:02:55.848 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.736$ medicine specialist in Westport.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927836825

 $00:02:58.740 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.976$ Under his leadership,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927836825

 $00{:}02{:}59.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}02.448$ patient care and quality scores have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927836825

 $00:03:02.448 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.616$ been amongst the highest in any MG

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927836825

 $00:03:04.616 \longrightarrow 00:03:07.545$ and he is now the anchor of a new

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927836825

 $00{:}03{:}07.545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}09.280$ and expanded primary care office.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927836825

 $00:03:09.280 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.152$ He graduated from New York Medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927836825

 $00:03:11.152 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.861$ College and completed his family

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927836825

00:03:12.861 --> 00:03:14.896 practice residency at Stanford Hospital,

 $00:03:14.900 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.406$ where he also served as chief resident.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927836825

00:03:17.410 --> 00:03:19.986 He has 12 years of EMG practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927836825

 $00{:}03{:}19.986 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}22.589$ affiliation and is the Regional Medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927836825

 $00:03:22.589 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.433$ director for the Fair Fairfield area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927836825

 $00:03:25.440 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.350$ I'd also like to introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927836825

 $00:03:27.350 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.496$ Doctor Daniel Rudolph.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927836825

 $00:03:28.500 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.936$ Dan is a pulmonologist and Trumbull

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927836825

 $00:03:30.936 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.679$ and Fairfield and has over 40 years

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927836825

 $00{:}03{:}33.679 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}36.122$ of experience in the field and over

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927836825

 $00:03:36.194 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.140$ 35 years of affiliation with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927836825

 $00{:}03{:}39.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}41.104$ Bridgeport Hospital pulmonary group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927836825

 $00:03:41.110 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.646$ He has extensive experience in sleep apnea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927836825

 $00:03:45.650 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.198$ Pulmonary vascular conditions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927836825

 $00{:}03{:}47.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}49.520$ a very special interest in lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927836825

 $00:03:49.583 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.698$ cancer and developed the bronchoscope

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927836825

 $00{:}03{:}51.698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}53.813$ program at Bridgeport Hospital to

 $00:03:53.875 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.432$ include endobronchial ultrasound

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927836825

00:03:55.432 --> 00:03:57.508 for staging lung malignancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927836825

 $00:03:57.510 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.966$ and that's one of the reasons he's here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927836825

00:03:59.970 --> 00:04:02.155 He graduated from New York

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927836825

00:04:02.155 --> 00:04:03.388 University in 1982,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927836825

00:04:03.388 --> 00:04:05.178 attended medical school at NYU,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927836825

00:04:05.180 --> 00:04:07.220 and completed his pulmonary fellowship

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927836825

 $00:04:07.220 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.444$ at Albert Einstein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927836825

 $00:04:08.450 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.388$ He has offices in Fairfield and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927836825

 $00:04:10.388 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.484$ Trumbull and is accepting new patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8927836825

00:04:12.484 --> 00:04:14.344 highly regarded in the community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

 $00:04:16.580 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.221$ Fantastic. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

 $00{:}04{:}18.221 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}20.956$ I'll introduce Doctor Sarah Goldberg.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

 $00{:}04{:}20.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}23.354$ She got her MD from Mount Sinai

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

 $00:04:23.354 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.397$ and trained in internal medicine

00:04:25.397 --> 00:04:28.211 at MGH in Boston and completed

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

 $00{:}04{:}28.211 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}30.339$ her fellowship at Dana Farber.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

 $00:04:30.340 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.340$ She's an associate professor in medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

00:04:33.340 --> 00:04:35.132 the Division division chief

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

00:04:35.132 --> 00:04:36.476 for Thoracic Oncology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

 $00:04:36.480 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.825$ the research director for the Center of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

 $00:04:38.825 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.735$ Thoracic Cancers and Associate director

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

00:04:40.735 --> 00:04:42.850 of our Hemlock Fellowship program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

00:04:42.850 --> 00:04:44.950 Her research interests include

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

 $00:04:44.950 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.525$ personalized medicine immunotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

00:04:46.530 --> 00:04:48.096 A non small cell lung cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

 $00:04:48.096 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.510$ and a focus on EGFR,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

 $00:04:49.510 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.230$ mutant lung cancer and brain metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

 $00:04:53.230 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.242$ Doctor Mike Conundrum is a medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

 $00:04:56.242 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.050$ oncologist for Smilow Cancer hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

 $00{:}04{:}59.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}01.288$ His primary focus is lung cancer.

 $00:05:01.290 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.730$ He also sees breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

 $00:05:03.730 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.801$ He trained it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

 $00:05:04.801 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.586$ He went to Med school,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

00:05:06.590 --> 00:05:08.102 also at Mount Sinai,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

00:05:08.102 --> 00:05:10.370 and did his residency at Brown

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

 $00:05:10.453 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.829$ and his fellowship here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

 $00:05:12.830 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.230$ He participates in the disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

 $00:05:15.230 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.670$ affiliated research teams.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

 $00{:}05{:}16.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}19.856$ And thoracic oncology and breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

 $00{:}05{:}19.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}22.038$ He has been included on Connecticut

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

 $00{:}05{:}22.038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}24.283$ magazines Top Doc list for several

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

 $00:05:24.283 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.817$ years and his focus is patient centered,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

 $00{:}05{:}26.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}30.285$ compassionate care and and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

00:05:30.285 --> 00:05:33.057 finally Doctor Mays Benny.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

 $00{:}05{:}33.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}35.472$ Mays is did his thoracic fellowship

 $00:05:35.472 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.121$ at the Brigham and Women's Hospital

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

 $00{:}05{:}38.121 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}40.927$ completed his training as in 2016

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

 $00{:}05{:}40.927 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}43.369$ he's the site director for thoracic

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

 $00:05:43.369 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.064$ surgery at Bridgeport Hospital

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

00:05:45.064 --> 00:05:47.089 and Park Ave Medical Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

00:05:47.090 --> 00:05:50.170 He has over 24 years of army experience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

 $00:05:50.170 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.150$ both active and reserve time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

 $00:05:52.150 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.468$ and he's been the leader for

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

00:05:55.468 --> 00:05:57.127 lung cancer screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

00:05:57.130 --> 00:06:00.189 And and Smile and Bridgeport in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

00:06:00.189 --> 00:06:03.149 Bridgeport area and promoting military

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

 $00:06:03.149 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.489$ civilian medical affiliations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

 $00:06:05.490 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.675$ Welcome to our very distinguished

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

 $00{:}06{:}07.675 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}09.782$ panel to night. So fantastic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

 $00:06:09.782 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.094$ I'm going to turn it over now

NOTE Confidence: 0.79628776

 $00:06:14.094 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.250$ to Steve and and who's going to

 $00:06:17.250 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.790$ lead us off with case number one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889111136666667

 $00:06:20.620 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.838$ Great. Thank you so much and thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.889111136666667

 $00{:}06{:}21.838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}23.160$ you for the lovely introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889111136666667

 $00:06:23.160 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.920$ It is overstated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889111136666667

 $00:06:25.920 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.357$ So as a primary care doc like you all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889111136666667

 $00:06:30.360 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.495$ we run into these things

NOTE Confidence: 0.889111136666667

 $00:06:32.495 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.630$ all the time that we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889111136666667

 $00:06:34.630 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.558$ Do one test and find out something else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889111136666667

 $00:06:36.560 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.838$ So the first case is sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.889111136666667

 $00:06:37.838 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.010$ of based off of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889111136666667

00:06:39.010 --> 00:06:42.082 You have your 63 year old male patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.889111136666667

 $00:06:42.082 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.350$ who's returning for his annual exam.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889111136666667

 $00{:}06{:}44.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}47.066$ He's been your patient for many years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889111136666667

 $00{:}06{:}47.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}50.666$ struggles with weight BMI 32.3 has lacked

NOTE Confidence: 0.889111136666667

 $00:06:50.666 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.250$ the motivation to exercise over the years,

 $00:06:53.250 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.320$ but he's generally healthy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.889111136666667

 $00{:}06{:}55.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}57.488$ socially drinks, has never smoked,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889111136666667

00:06:57.488 --> 00:07:00.164 has no real significant past medical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889111136666667

 $00:07:00.170 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.722$ surgical or family history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889111136666667

00:07:01.722 --> 00:07:04.050 Currently is not taking any medicines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889111136666667

 $00:07:04.050 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.850$ doesn't take any supplements

NOTE Confidence: 0.889111136666667

 $00:07:05.850 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.200$ during your exam.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889111136666667

00:07:07.200 --> 00:07:08.568 You know, no complaints.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889111136666667

 $00{:}07{:}08.568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}10.278$ He states he's doing great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889111136666667

 $00:07:10.280 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.505$ He's looking forward to his

NOTE Confidence: 0.889111136666667

 $00{:}07{:}12.505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}13.840$ upcoming upcoming retirement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889111136666667 00:07:13.840 --> 00:07:14.219 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.889111136666667

 $00{:}07{:}14.219 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}16.872$ in the past few years has LDL

NOTE Confidence: 0.889111136666667

 $00:07:16.872 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.957$ has continued to change and he

NOTE Confidence: 0.889111136666667

 $00:07:18.957 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.098$ started off in the low 100 years

NOTE Confidence: 0.889111136666667

 $00:07:21.098 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.388$ ago and it's been creeping,

 $00:07:22.390 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.540$ creeping, creeping.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889111136666667

00:07:23.540 --> 00:07:25.840 Now his LDL 158.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889111136666667

 $00:07:25.840 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.840$ So you appropriately order

NOTE Confidence: 0.889111136666667

00:07:27.840 --> 00:07:29.840 a coronary calcium score,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889111136666667

 $00{:}07{:}29.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}32.164$ which the great news is you get

NOTE Confidence: 0.889111136666667

 $00:07:32.164 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.958$ a coronary calcium score of 0.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889111136666667

00:07:33.960 --> 00:07:35.624 However incidentally found is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889111136666667

 $00:07:35.624 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.572$ and we're going to go over that

NOTE Confidence: 0.889111136666667

 $00:07:38.572 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.088$ case now with Doctor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923241833333333

00:07:43.290 --> 00:07:44.178 Thank you, Steve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

00:07:47.740 --> 00:07:49.810 Thank you, Steve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00{:}07{:}49.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}52.570$ This incidental pulmonary nodule

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00{:}07{:}52.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}55.927$ problem is challenging for both

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00:07:55.927 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.902$ primary care and for pulmonologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00:07:58.902 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.450$ because they're so common.

00:08:01.450 --> 00:08:02.563 The FLEISCHNER criteria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00:08:02.563 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.047$ which is what radiologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

00:08:04.047 --> 00:08:06.188 based some of their reading on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00:08:06.190 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.414$ was developed to evaluate

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00:08:08.414 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.638$ the risk of malignancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00:08:10.640 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.118$ So when we have cases like this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00:08:13.120 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.580$ what the radiologists don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00:08:14.580 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.770$ appreciate and what we have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00:08:16.840 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.885$ appreciate as primary care providers

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00:08:18.885 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.411$ and sub specialists who deal with

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00{:}08{:}21.411 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}23.456$ this is the risk stratification

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00:08:23.456 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.092$ for these pulmonary nodules.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

00:08:25.100 --> 00:08:27.680 That's part of the FLEYSHER criteria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00{:}08{:}27.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}31.238$ although the radiologist darn including it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00:08:31.240 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.186$ But when you look at the fleischner

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00:08:33.186 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.219$ criteria on the slide that I brought,

 $00:08:35.220 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.336$ you can see that it is complicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

00:08:39.340 --> 00:08:42.700 Algorithms, but if you simplify it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

00:08:42.700 --> 00:08:47.587 there is a low risk and high risk category.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00:08:47.590 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.650$ Which all patients should be evaluated for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00:08:51.650 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.250$ And as you probably know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

00:08:53.250 --> 00:08:55.299 risk includes smoking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

00:08:55.299 --> 00:08:57.348 chronic lung disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00:08:57.350 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.174$ occupational exposure to pulmonary

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

00:08:59.174 --> 00:09:01.910 toxins and family history of malignancy

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00:09:01.977 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.887$ or history of other malignancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00:09:03.890 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.626$ So when we look at these incidental nodules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00:09:06.630 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.670$ not only do we have to look at the size,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00{:}09{:}09{:}09{:}09{:}14.110$ the shape, the morphology, but also have to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00{:}09{:}14.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}17.536$ Understand the risk profile of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00:09:17.536 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.610$ patients that are being imaged.

00:09:20.610 --> 00:09:20.995 Now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00{:}09{:}20.995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}23.305$ one thing about coronary screening that

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00:09:23.305 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.210$ you probably know is doesn't have a complete.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85475152142857200:09:26.210 --> 00:09:26.856 Chest image.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

00:09:26.856 --> 00:09:29.890 It includes only about 2/3 of the lung image,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00:09:29.890 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.470$ so it's an incomplete image,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00:09:33.470 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.845$ and it very commonly can have associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00:09:37.845 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.867$ incidental nodules that have to be evaluated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00:09:41.870 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.898$ So as a pulmonologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00:09:42.898 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.183$ when I deal with this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00{:}09{:}44.190 --> 00{:}09{:}45.606$ the first thing I do is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00:09:45.610 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.350$ as I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00:09:47.350 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.250$ risk stratify the patient and

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00:09:50.250 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.630$ then look at the nodule size,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

00:09:52.630 --> 00:09:55.768 because size greater than 6 millimeters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00:09:55.770 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.150$ if we simplify the algorithm,

 $00:09:58.150 \longrightarrow 00:10:01.618$ is the most important distinguishing factor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00:10:01.620 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.280$ Larger than 6 millimeter nodules.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00:10:04.280 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.028$ Need more immediate follow-up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

00:10:08.030 --> 00:10:09.920 Smaller nodules don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

00:10:09.920 --> 00:10:11.810 necessarily need follow-up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00:10:11.810 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.575$ and unfortunately all the coronary

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

00:10:14.575 --> 00:10:16.938 screening of nodule cases very

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

00:10:16.938 --> 00:10:19.650 often need a complete CT image.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00:10:19.650 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.815$ So the question and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.854751521428572

 $00:10:20.815 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.180$ challenge is when do you do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00:10:25.500 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.308$ Tools which are two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00:10:27.308 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.568$ three or 4 millimeters are

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

00:10:29.568 --> 00:10:32.191 felt to be insignificant if

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

00:10:32.191 --> 00:10:34.796 the risk stratification is low.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00:10:34.800 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.470$ So unfortunately these are more

 $00:10:37.470 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.881$ complicated than a simple size and

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00:10:40.881 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.497$ recommendation from a radiologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00:10:43.500 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.936$ They really have to be looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

00:10:45.936 --> 00:10:47.390 carefully for risk size,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00:10:47.390 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.154$ shape, and sometimes require

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00:10:51.154 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.631$ more immediate follow-up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00{:}10{:}53.631 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}55.995$ Now classically the recommendations

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

00:10:55.995 --> 00:10:58.359 are six month follow-ups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00:10:58.360 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.936$ but sometimes if the risk profile is

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00:11:00.936 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.530$ is significant and the size of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00{:}11{:}03.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}05.660$ nodule is greater than 6 millimeters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00:11:05.660 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.570$ We actually look at a more

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00{:}11{:}08.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}10.510$ immediate 3 month follow-up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00:11:10.510 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.090$ But the biggest disadvantage is

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

00:11:12.090 --> 00:11:14.715 that we don't have a full CT image

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00:11:14.715 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.350$ when we're doing these scans.

00:11:16.350 --> 00:11:17.750 If you look at the next slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00{:}11{:}17.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}21.416$ I try to simplify the FLEISCHNER

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

00:11:21.416 --> 00:11:24.810 criteria for primary care doctors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00:11:24.810 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.808$ The size of 6 millimeters is

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00:11:26.808 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.140$ a real important issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

00:11:28.140 --> 00:11:29.532 Greater than 6 millimeters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00:11:29.532 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.170$ the risk of malignancy is much greater.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00{:}11{:}32.170 \longrightarrow 00{:}11{:}34.207$ The type of nodule is important too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00{:}11{:}34.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}36.610$ The ground glass nodules that

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00:11:36.610 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.562$ are reported are less risk for

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00:11:39.562 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.626$ malignant change than solid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00{:}11{:}41.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}43.465$ Nodules and there's an assortment

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

00:11:43.465 --> 00:11:45.764 of other findings that sometimes are

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00{:}11{:}45.764 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}47.769$ included in these reports including

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00{:}11{:}47.769 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}49.809$ calcification would suggest it's a

 $00:11:49.809 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.699$ granuloma benign tree bud abnormalities

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00{:}11{:}51.699 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}53.601$ would suggest chronic lung disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00:11:53.601 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.268$ So there's a lot of factors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

00:11:56.268 --> 00:11:59.279 could be taken into account when one

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

00:11:59.279 --> 00:12:01.760 makes a decision about follow up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

00:12:01.760 --> 00:12:05.353 The risk profile, if I can just emphasize,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00:12:05.353 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.591$ is really important and that's where

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00:12:07.591 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.680$ the radiologists don't have information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00{:}12{:}09.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}11.600$ You have to really look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00:12:11.600 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.560$ each individual case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00:12:12.560 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.350$ This is a patient with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

00:12:15.350 --> 00:12:16.280 coexisting malignancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

00:12:16.280 --> 00:12:18.623 chronic lung disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

00:12:18.623 --> 00:12:21.747 exposure to pulmonary toxins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00:12:21.750 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.718$ That group has to have more immediate follow

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00:12:24.718 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.156$ up and a full CT image within three months.

00:12:28.160 --> 00:12:31.597 Others very often that are low risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00:12:31.600 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.200$ less than 6 millimeters or

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00:12:34.200 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.760$ scattered multiple nodules.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

00:12:35.760 --> 00:12:39.634 We can do a longer interval follow-ups

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00:12:39.634 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.920$ and each one of those has to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00:12:43.920 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.120$ taken into account individually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

00:12:45.120 --> 00:12:47.220 Some, if they're very small,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

 $00:12:47.220 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.257$ two or three millimeters do not need,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863122007210526

00:12:49.260 --> 00:12:51.964 if they're low risk, a full CT image.

NOTE Confidence: 0.872048602307692

 $00{:}12{:}54.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}56.610$ So those are the simple fleischner

NOTE Confidence: 0.872048602307692

00:12:56.610 --> 00:12:59.479 principles that I thought we should discuss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867051573333333

 $00:13:01.610 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.326$ In this particular case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867051573333333

 $00{:}13{:}03.326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}06.868$ if the risk profile was low and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.867051573333333

 $00:13:06.868 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.526$ nodule was less than 6 millimeters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867051573333333

 $00:13:09.530 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.816$ we might not have to consider

00:13:11.816 --> 00:13:14.610 a full CT image and follow up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867051573333333

 $00{:}13{:}14.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}16.878$ particularly if the patient is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.867051573333333

 $00:13:16.878 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.010$ younger age group less than 50.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867051573333333

 $00:13:19.010 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.235$ If the patient's greater than

NOTE Confidence: 0.867051573333333

 $00:13:20.235 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.900$ 50 and there was risk factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867051573333333

 $00:13:21.900 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.108$ then we'd have to do a full CT

NOTE Confidence: 0.867051573333333

 $00:13:24.108 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.017$ image within three to six months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915961676666667

 $00:13:30.720 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.997$ We can move on to the next phase or?

NOTE Confidence: 0.6820987533333333

00:13:35.270 --> 00:13:39.266 A discussion of screening CT imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.682098753333333

 $00:13:39.270 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.536$ Steve, if you want to go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844805831666667

 $00{:}13{:}51.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}54.456$ Sorry about that. Stuck on mute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.844805831666667

 $00:13:54.460 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.320$ Um, so case number 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915297573333333

 $00:14:02.250 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.833$ So your 52 year old female patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.915297573333333

 $00{:}14{:}04.833 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}06.910$ returns for her annual exam.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915297573333333

00:14:06.910 --> 00:14:08.920 She's relatively new to your practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915297573333333

 $00:14:08.920 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.098$ She has a past medical history of

 $00:14:12.098 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.528$ controlled hypertension on an ARB pre

NOTE Confidence: 0.915297573333333

 $00:14:14.528 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.756$ diabetes that is currently diet controlled.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915297573333333

 $00:14:17.760 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.528$ Her family history includes

NOTE Confidence: 0.915297573333333

 $00:14:19.528 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.738$ a mother with breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915297573333333

00:14:21.740 --> 00:14:23.462 Fortunately, your patient is up to date

NOTE Confidence: 0.915297573333333

 $00:14:23.462 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.202$ with her mammograms, which are normal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915297573333333

 $00:14:25.202 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.872$ She rarely drinks and seems

NOTE Confidence: 0.915297573333333

 $00:14:26.872 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.618$ told to watch her sugar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915297573333333

 $00:14:28.620 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.039$ She exercises regularly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915297573333333

 $00:14:30.039 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.877$ but notes occasional mild dyspnea that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9152975733333333

 $00:14:32.877 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.677$ she believes is from deconditioning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915297573333333

00:14:35.680 --> 00:14:38.320 She has a history of smoking at least a pack,

NOTE Confidence: 0.915297573333333

 $00:14:38.320 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.001$ or maybe more a day through her

NOTE Confidence: 0.915297573333333

 $00:14:41.001 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.640$ college years and into her late 20s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.915297573333333

 $00:14:43.640 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.176$ She quit briefly when she decided to have.

 $00:14:49.790 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.296$ In the past 10 to 50 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886586035714286

 $00:14:52.300 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.331$ Uh, you do the math. She's a smoker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886586035714286

00:14:55.331 --> 00:14:57.830 She's got a 20 pack year history

NOTE Confidence: 0.886586035714286

 $00:14:57.912 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.520$ and she is between the ages of say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886586035714286

 $00:15:00.520 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.816$ 50 and 80. So you order an initial

NOTE Confidence: 0.886586035714286

 $00:15:03.816 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.079$ lung cancer screening test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886586035714286

 $00:15:06.080 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.900$ And the results come back as follows.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00:15:17.740 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.596$ So let me just jump in

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00{:}15{:}20.596 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}15{:}22.500$ about lung cancer screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00:15:22.500 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.495$ There's a another radiologic classification

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00{:}15{:}25.495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}29.929$ we use called lung rats for evaluating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

00:15:29.930 --> 00:15:33.829 Pulmonary nodules in this high risk group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00:15:33.830 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.890$ it's categorized from one to five.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00:15:36.890 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.112$ This group includes 20 pack year

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00:15:40.112 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.777$ smokers who are over the age of 50.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00:15:43.780 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.236$ Of that group, again,

 $00:15:45.236 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.056$ I'm going to simplify this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00{:}15{:}47.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}50.316$ If the nodule is greater than 6 millimeters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00:15:50.320 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.834$ we have a much higher concern about

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00:15:53.834 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.520$ malignant potential and three months

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00:15:56.520 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.778$ to six month follow-up is recommended.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00:15:59.780 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.007$ Above 8 millimeters there is a great

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

00:16:03.007 --> 00:16:05.288 concern about malignancy and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

00:16:05.288 --> 00:16:07.736 further work up with pet imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00{:}16{:}07.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}10.300$ and possible biopsy is recommended.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00:16:10.300 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.992$ Greater than 15 millimeters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00{:}16{:}11.992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}14.107$ which is the highest classification

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

00:16:14.107 --> 00:16:15.947 usually is malignant and

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00{:}16{:}15.947 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}17.300$ requires immediate attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00:16:17.300 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.520$ So the screening process a lot similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00:16:20.520 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.597$ to incidentals is based on size, shape.

00:16:23.597 --> 00:16:25.882 However, this group of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

00:16:25.882 --> 00:16:27.710 have high risk categories,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00{:}16{:}27.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}29.550$ so they have more immediate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00:16:29.550 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.438$ Need for a follow up and all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

00:16:32.438 --> 00:16:35.348 them need yearly screening scans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

00:16:35.348 --> 00:16:38.060 low dose screening scans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00:16:38.060 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.810$ If I might make a pitch for

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

00:16:39.810 --> 00:16:40.980 our pulmonary nodule clinic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00{:}16{:}40.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}42.752$ because after reviewing all

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00:16:42.752 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.967$ this literature and seeing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00{:}16{:}44.967 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}46.963$ challenges of primary care doctors

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

00:16:46.963 --> 00:16:49.195 face in dealing with these things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00:16:49.200 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.308$ we developed a pulmonary nodule clinic

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00{:}16{:}52.308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}55.766$ at Smilow and Trumbull to try to deal

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00:16:55.766 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.610$ with the follow up communication

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00:16:58.610 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.260$ and evaluation of these nodules.

 $00:17:02.260 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.620$ We have a multidisciplinary clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00{:}17{:}05.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}08.560$ Megan and I karini is the APR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

00:17:08.560 --> 00:17:11.060 And who's developed it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00:17:11.060 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.486$ Who's leading some of the development

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

00:17:15.486 --> 00:17:18.430 of this community development?

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

00:17:18.430 --> 00:17:19.759 And many Mays,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00:17:19.759 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.417$ myself and a radiologist at Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

00:17:22.417 --> 00:17:25.124 named Doctor Gange is involved in

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00:17:25.124 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.970$ reading all of the scans for us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00{:}17{:}27.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}31.425$ She's a chest radiologist who's

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00:17:31.425 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.360$ assisted us and allowed us to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00{:}17{:}35.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}37.090$ Improve the excellence of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00{:}17{:}37.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}39.818$ clinic so we can give the best care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00:17:39.820 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.174$ If you feel that you need help,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00:17:44.180 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.636$ we have epic link that you can link

 $00:17:46.636 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.156$ into at the Montgomery nodule clinic

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00{:}17{:}49.156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}51.898$ and we will immediately contact the

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00:17:51.979 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.339$ patient and evaluate the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00:17:54.340 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.955$ Communicate back to the primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00{:}17{:}56.955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}59.570$ care providers and offer excellent

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00:17:59.652 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.920$ care evaluation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

00:18:00.920 --> 00:18:03.284 If need further staging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00:18:03.284 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.648$ we refer directly into.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00{:}18{:}05.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}08.060$ Doctor Macey's office Doctor Mike

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00{:}18{:}08.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}10.470$ Cohen Durham also is involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

00:18:10.552 --> 00:18:12.387 if we have any problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00{:}18{:}12.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}14.880$ So this is a great opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00{:}18{:}14.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}17.975$ for those who want to access some

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00{:}18{:}17.975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}20.272$ specialty help in this expanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

00:18:20.272 --> 00:18:23.284 group of patients that have incidental

NOTE Confidence: 0.897086277

 $00:18:23.284 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.759$ nodules or abnormalities on screening.

 $00:18:35.030 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.380$ I think we can move on to the third case

NOTE Confidence: 0.716962771428571

 $00:18:37.450 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.256$ actually. So Vinny,

NOTE Confidence: 0.716962771428571

00:18:39.256 --> 00:18:42.258 do you want to Doctor Mays,

NOTE Confidence: 0.716962771428571

 $00:18:42.258 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.602$ do you want to talk a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.716962771428571

00:18:43.602 --> 00:18:44.768 about that because you were trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.716962771428571

00:18:44.768 --> 00:18:46.109 to put some things in the chat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.716962771428571

 $00:18:46.110 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.235$ but that doesn't go to

NOTE Confidence: 0.716962771428571

 $00:18:47.235 \longrightarrow 00:18:48.360$ all the people who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.460514983333333

 $00:18:48.370 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.180$ attending. Can you

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146260875

00:18:49.190 --> 00:18:52.500 go, can you go to the next slide, please?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146260875

 $00:18:52.500 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.210$ But these are the names of the folks that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146260875

00:18:54.210 --> 00:18:56.226 Umm, you know, in the Bridgeport and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146260875

 $00{:}18{:}56.226 \to 00{:}18{:}58.885$ Park Ave area that are part of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146260875

 $00{:}18{:}58.885 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}00.615$ multidisciplinary team for whether it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146260875

 $00:19:00.677 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.155$ lung cancer screening or pulmonary nodules.

00:19:03.160 --> 00:19:04.892 You know, there's a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146260875

 $00:19:04.892 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.057$ there's an effort across Milo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146260875

00:19:07.060 --> 00:19:09.556 you know, at Greenwich, at L&M,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146260875

00:19:09.560 --> 00:19:11.780 at York Street, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146260875

 $00:19:11.780 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.248$ to basically these, these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146260875

 $00{:}19{:}15.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}17.090$ Capabilities, whether it's lung cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146260875

 $00{:}19{:}17.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}19.614$ screening or the nodule clinic or or

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146260875

00:19:19.614 --> 00:19:21.174 throughout the Smilo Cares network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146260875

 $00{:}19{:}21.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}23.210$ And there's currently, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146260875

 $00:19:23.210 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.872$ an effort over the past about

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146260875

00:19:24.872 --> 00:19:26.350 18 months to you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146260875

 $00:19:26.350 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.778$ standardize the processes at

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146260875

00:19:28.778 --> 00:19:31.206 each at each campus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146260875

 $00{:}19{:}31.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}34.216$ What I put in the chat was just for

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146260875

 $00:19:34.216 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.125$ folks that do get lung cancer screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146260875

 $00:19:37.125 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.112$ or have images on the third Friday

00:19:40.112 --> 00:19:43.626 of each month from 12:30 to 1:00,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146260875

 $00:19:43.630 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.527$ all of these folks here we review.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146260875

 $00:19:45.530 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.834$ All of the CAT scans over the past 30

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146260875

00:19:47.834 --> 00:19:49.829 days for a quality control perspective

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146260875

 $00{:}19{:}49.829 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}52.168$ and also any that you might want

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146260875

 $00:19:52.168 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.261$ to be reviewed to look at whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146260875

00:19:54.261 --> 00:19:56.280 it's your patients or a patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146260875

 $00:19:56.280 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.600$ You ordered a scan on their images to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146260875

00:19:59.600 --> 00:20:00.140 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146260875

 $00{:}20{:}00.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}02.300$ kind of review and see if all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146260875

00:20:02.300 --> 00:20:03.317 management's been appropriate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146260875

 $00:20:03.317 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.351$ It's also CME event and opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146260875

 $00{:}20{:}05.351 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}07.039$ over lunch if you're interested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146260875

 $00:20:07.040 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.480$ Again, it's the third.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146260875

 $00:20:09.480 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.232$ Friday of each month from 12:30

 $00:20:11.232 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.400$ to 1 via Zoom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146260875

 $00{:}20{:}12.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}14.896$ Like we review images and kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146260875

 $00:20:14.896 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.560$ of talk about them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88146260875

 $00{:}20{:}16.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}17.100$ Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897455446666667

00:20:24.190 --> 00:20:25.769 And now we're going to transition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897455446666667

 $00{:}20{:}25.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}27.858$ You know we talked about mostly

NOTE Confidence: 0.897455446666667

 $00:20:27.858 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.670$ the first two cases where

NOTE Confidence: 0.897455446666667

00:20:29.670 --> 00:20:31.298 patients with without symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897455446666667

 $00{:}20{:}31.298 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}20{:}33.740$ you know it was an incidental

NOTE Confidence: 0.897455446666667

00:20:33.808 --> 00:20:36.008 finding or lung cancer screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897455446666667

 $00{:}20{:}36.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}37.474$ Historically as patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.897455446666667

 $00:20:37.474 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.426$ that don't have symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897455446666667

 $00:20:39.430 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.155$ doctor Conarium is going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.897455446666667

 $00:20:41.155 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.300$ talk about this pet scan in

NOTE Confidence: 0.897455446666667

 $00:20:43.300 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.065$ particular was a patient that

NOTE Confidence: 0.897455446666667

 $00{:}20{:}45.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}47.947$ presented with a with a cough and.

 $00:20:47.950 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.904$ Gotta work up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897455446666667

00:20:48.904 --> 00:20:50.622 That wound up leading to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.897455446666667

 $00:20:50.622 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.948$ PET scan that you see here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735267356

00:20:54.170 --> 00:20:55.670 Hi, everybody. This is Michael.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735267356

 $00:20:55.670 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.774$ When you're ramp,

NOTE Confidence: 0.735267356

 $00:20:56.774 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.892$ as I'm sure you've all seen in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.735267356

 $00:20:59.892 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.652$ probably occurs as frequently as with

NOTE Confidence: 0.735267356

 $00:21:02.652 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.648$ the cardiac scans and screening scans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735267356

 $00:21:05.650 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.654$ Oftentimes you'll have a patient who

NOTE Confidence: 0.735267356

 $00{:}21{:}07.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}09.757$ presents with a persistent cough that

NOTE Confidence: 0.735267356

 $00:21:09.757 \longrightarrow 00:21:11.532$ are empirically treated for bronchitis

NOTE Confidence: 0.735267356

 $00:21:11.532 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.451$ or pneumonia that doesn't resolve at

NOTE Confidence: 0.735267356

 $00{:}21{:}13.451 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}15.627$ which point the next step is to go

NOTE Confidence: 0.735267356

 $00:21:15.627 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.163$ on to a CAT scan and that's exactly

NOTE Confidence: 0.735267356

00:21:18.163 --> 00:21:20.435 what happened with this patient and

 $00:21:20.435 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.570$ this led to a biopsy to the PET scan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735267356

 $00{:}21{:}23.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}26.630$ I mean obviously you can see a very large

NOTE Confidence: 0.735267356

 $00:21:26.630 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.087$ left sided mediastinal mass with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.735267356

 $00:21:29.087 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.088$ tumor very close to the cardiac border

NOTE Confidence: 0.735267356

 $00:21:32.088 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.196$ and that was really the challenge here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.735267356

00:21:35.200 --> 00:21:37.207 So then I don't know if you want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.735267356

00:21:37.207 --> 00:21:39.322 talk a little bit about how you would

NOTE Confidence: 0.735267356

 $00:21:39.322 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.483$ view this from the standpoint of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.735267356

 $00:21:41.483 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.457$ thoracic surgeon and what your thoughts

NOTE Confidence: 0.735267356

 $00:21:43.460 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.080$ would be about up front surgery?

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

 $00{:}21{:}45.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}48.020$ Yeah, thanks. We can go to the next

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

00:21:48.020 --> 00:21:50.334 slide and just please I'm I I'm a

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

00:21:50.334 --> 00:21:52.070 little chat deficient here and zoom,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

 $00:21:52.070 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.590$ but if you have any questions just throw them

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

 $00:21:54.590 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.215$ in the chat and see if we can answer them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

 $00:21:57.220 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.812$ And you know for the most part when we

00:21:59.812 --> 00:22:02.885 think about any of these patients it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

 $00:22:02.885 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.693$ really a multidisciplinary approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

 $00:22:04.700 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.284$ You know of those patients that are operable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

00:22:07.290 --> 00:22:08.930 it's a multi disciplinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

 $00:22:08.930 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.160$ multi targeted approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

00:22:10.160 --> 00:22:12.070 It's it's really each patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

00:22:12.070 --> 00:22:13.980 gets tailor made treatment whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

 $00{:}22{:}14.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}15.888$ they get neoadjuvant treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

 $00:22:15.890 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.896$ Before an operation or whether they

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

 $00:22:18.896 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.346$ get surgical treatment, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

 $00{:}22{:}21.346 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}23.238$ after they get chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

 $00:22:23.240 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.168$ the multidisciplinary setting happens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

00:22:27.170 --> 00:22:28.169 Across multiple domains,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

 $00:22:28.169 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.500$ there's a tumor board that meets Umm

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

 $00{:}22{:}30.555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}32.809$ at Bridgeport Hospital the 2nd and 4th

00:22:32.809 --> 00:22:34.647 Wednesday where it's a group of the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

00:22:34.650 --> 00:22:35.907 the thoracic surgeons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

00:22:35.907 --> 00:22:38.002 the the Radio Board certified

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

00:22:38.002 --> 00:22:39.950 radiologist and Thoracic surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

 $00:22:39.950 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.734$ Umm, the medical oncologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

00:22:41.734 --> 00:22:43.158 radiation oncologist, pulmonologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

00:22:43.158 --> 00:22:46.326 We review pretty much all the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454 00:22:46.330 --> 00:22:46.978 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

 $00{:}22{:}46.978 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}22{:}49.570$ new cases and complex cases to kind of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454 00:22:49.570 --> 00:22:50.190 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

 $00{:}22{:}50.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}52.050$ come up with a treatment paradigm

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

 $00:22:52.050 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.470$ that's tailored to the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

 $00:22:53.470 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.290$ that typically for these patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

 $00:22:55.290 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.830$ like the one that Mike presented.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

00:22:57.830 --> 00:22:58.745 Um, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

 $00:22:58.745 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.509$ that consists at least of some sort of media,

 $00:23:01.510 \longrightarrow 00:23:02.920$ media style staging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

00:23:02.920 --> 00:23:05.270 whether it's via endobronchial ultrasound,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

 $00:23:05.270 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.990$ which happens at Bridgeport,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

00:23:07.990 --> 00:23:09.456 or, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

 $00{:}23{:}09.456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}12.207$ I have a patient that recently got.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

 $00:23:12.210 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.622$ Sent to me that has a PET scan similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

 $00:23:14.622 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.571$ to that who's going to be getting a

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

 $00:23:17.571 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.360$ mediastinoscopy just for some staging

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

 $00:23:19.360 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.650$ as well as a brain MRI and really about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

00:23:23.650 --> 00:23:24.550 I would say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

00:23:24.550 --> 00:23:25.150 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

 $00:23:25.150 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.752$ probably up front about a third

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

 $00:23:26.752 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.244$ or 2/3 we can wind up doing some

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

 $00:23:29.244 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.470$ sort of surgical management and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

 $00:23:31.470 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.050$ know it happens in that manner.

00:23:34.050 --> 00:23:35.040 And then I think Mike,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

 $00:23:35.040 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.608$ you're going to talk about the folks

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

00:23:36.608 --> 00:23:38.195 that either aren't operable or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.824718839545454

 $00:23:38.195 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.359$ neoadjuvant or adjuvant treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.695248862857143

 $00:23:41.580 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.414$ Clear enough? We have the next slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.36182168

00:23:45.560 --> 00:23:49.485 Um, I apologize but if to pull this up really

NOTE Confidence: 0.36182168

 $00:23:49.485 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.096$ close because I have this on my phone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.36182168

00:23:52.100 --> 00:23:54.956 But you know as Vinnie said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.36182168

 $00{:}23{:}54.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}58.150$ there's really not a one-size-fits-all

NOTE Confidence: 0.36182168

 $00:23:58.150 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.070$ for these patients and it really truly

NOTE Confidence: 0.36182168

 $00:24:02.070 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.000$ requires multidisciplinary evaluation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.36182168

 $00:24:04.000 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.282$ I think with the input both of

NOTE Confidence: 0.36182168

 $00:24:06.282 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.124$ the thoracic surgeon that we have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.36182168

00:24:08.124 --> 00:24:10.244 doctor Mason, Dr Dettelbach, Dan here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.36182168

 $00:24:10.244 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.991$ we will also very frequently work with

NOTE Confidence: 0.36182168

 $00:24:12.991 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.504$ some of the thoracic surgeons up on

00:24:15.504 --> 00:24:17.827 who primarily operate at a New Haven,

NOTE Confidence: 0.36182168

 $00:24:17.830 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.386$ but really primarily this is through

NOTE Confidence: 0.36182168

 $00:24:20.386 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.012$ Doctor Mace with the main issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.36182168

00:24:23.012 --> 00:24:25.976 Being if this is locally advanced,

NOTE Confidence: 0.36182168

 $00:24:25.980 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.304$ be it stage two or stage three,

NOTE Confidence: 0.36182168

 $00:24:28.310 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.670$ and that is primarily dictated by

NOTE Confidence: 0.36182168

00:24:31.670 --> 00:24:34.400 the extent of lymph node involvement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.36182168

 $00:24:34.400 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.520$ Can they be offered surgery?

NOTE Confidence: 0.36182168

 $00:24:36.520 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.370$ Would they be better off with

NOTE Confidence: 0.36182168

00:24:39.370 --> 00:24:40.795 radiation based treatment?

NOTE Confidence: 0.36182168

 $00{:}24{:}40.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}42.642$ Are they physically able even if

NOTE Confidence: 0.36182168

 $00:24:42.642 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.740$ the surgery is an easy surgery?

NOTE Confidence: 0.36182168

 $00{:}24{:}44.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}46.540$ And also sometimes it's patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.36182168

 $00:24:46.540 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.260$ preference alone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.36182168

00:24:47.260 --> 00:24:49.635 sometimes patients have their own

00:24:49.635 --> 00:24:51.535 inherent biases about radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.36182168

 $00:24:51.535 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.798$ versus surgery and that drives us

NOTE Confidence: 0.36182168

 $00:24:53.798 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.201$ and fortunately we have a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.36182168

 $00:24:56.201 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.600$ options and really the most important

NOTE Confidence: 0.36182168

00:24:58.600 --> 00:25:01.677 thing is you know the vast majority

NOTE Confidence: 0.36182168

 $00:25:01.677 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.408$ of cases of new lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.36182168

 $00{:}25{:}04.408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}07.150$ Are not chipshot surgeries and with

NOTE Confidence: 0.36182168

 $00:25:07.240 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.886$ surgery alone still run a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.36182168

 $00{:}25{:}09.886 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}12.400$ high risk of recurrent disease?

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

 $00:25:15.060 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.237$ One of the options and what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

 $00{:}25{:}17.237 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}19.380$ offered the woman whose pet scan we

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

 $00:25:19.380 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.951$ just looked at was to give her up

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

 $00:25:21.951 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.531$ front chemoimmunotherapy in hopes

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

 $00:25:23.531 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.239$ that we could shrink the cancer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

 $00:25:26.239 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.680$ then allow her to go on to surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

 $00:25:29.680 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.962$ And actually I just went back and

 $00:25:31.962 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.400$ looked at the time of her surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

 $00:25:34.400 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.717$ She went from almost a 7 centimeter

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

00:25:36.717 --> 00:25:39.224 tumor to out of the 8 centimeter

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

 $00:25:39.224 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.024$ tumor bed that was removed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

 $00:25:41.030 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.916$ there was only 0.3 centimeters of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

00:25:43.920 --> 00:25:44.958 Viable tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

 $00:25:44.958 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.980$ so 92% of it was all dead necrotic tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

 $00:25:49.980 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.776$ So pretty close to a pathologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

 $00{:}25{:}52.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}55.112$ complete response which was seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

 $00:25:55.112 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.434$ in almost 1/4 of the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

 $00:25:57.434 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.259$ treated on the Checkmate 816 trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

 $00:26:00.260 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.884$ We don't quite yet know whether this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

 $00{:}26{:}02.884 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}05.518$ leading to increased long-term survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

00:26:05.520 --> 00:26:07.800 although we have a pretty strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

 $00:26:07.800 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.600$ suspicion that it will be.

 $00:26:09.600 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.954$ Umm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

00:26:09.954 --> 00:26:10.308 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

00:26:10.308 --> 00:26:12.786 if the patient is better off going

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

 $00:26:12.786 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.124$ to upfront surgery and there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

 $00:26:15.124 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.871$ always the concern that if we delay

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

00:26:17.871 --> 00:26:20.163 things with systemic therapy or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

 $00:26:20.163 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.000$ patient is the unfortunate patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

 $00:26:23.000 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.048$ who doesn't respond that we really

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

 $00{:}26{:}26.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}28.517$ want to get them to surgery quickly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

 $00{:}26{:}28.517 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}31.559$ we can simply offer a similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

 $00:26:31.559 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.310$ treatment backbone following surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

 $00:26:33.310 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.865$ And this is based on the empowered

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

 $00:26:35.865 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.322$ 10 study which used a slightly

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

00:26:38.322 --> 00:26:39.168 different immunotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

 $00:26:39.170 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.074$ It didn't use nivolumab, it used to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

 $00:26:41.080 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.896$ Azelis map,

 $00:26:41.896 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.752$ but really the hazard ratio in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

 $00:26:44.752 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.880$ of disease free survival was about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

 $00:26:47.880 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.620$ same with well over a 30% improvement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

 $00:26:52.620 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.396$ Sort of been there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891309204

 $00:26:53.396 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.560$ Anything else you want to say?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92693752

00:26:56.380 --> 00:26:57.676 You know, I think you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92693752

 $00:26:57.680 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.252$ clearly there's a lot of detail

NOTE Confidence: 0.92693752

 $00:26:59.252 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.664$ here and there's some nuances

NOTE Confidence: 0.92693752

 $00:27:00.664 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.229$ with regards to your patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92693752

 $00:27:02.230 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.922$ You know, one of the things I certainly want

NOTE Confidence: 0.92693752

 $00{:}27{:}03.922 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}05.755$ to talk about are are things that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92693752

 $00:27:05.760 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.278$ you may think about hopefully they'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.92693752

00:27:07.278 --> 00:27:09.518 come up in the Q& A section is you

know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92693752

 $00:27:09.520 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.420$ access to care. You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92693752

 $00:27:11.420 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.192$ at least for you know when we look across,

00:27:14.200 --> 00:27:16.324 you know, Park Ave and General

NOTE Confidence: 0.92693752

 $00:27:16.324 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.780$ Access to care is is very good,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92693752

 $00:27:18.780 \longrightarrow 00:27:20.440$ at least for thoracic surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92693752

00:27:20.440 --> 00:27:22.918 The access to care averages about

NOTE Confidence: 0.92693752

00:27:22.920 --> 00:27:25.680 10.7 days and for the most part it's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92693752

 $00:27:25.680 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.792$ it's a lot better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92693752

00:27:26.792 --> 00:27:28.783 And that there's there's a patient or

NOTE Confidence: 0.92693752

 $00{:}27{:}28.783 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}30.652$ two that occasionally just stops to you

NOTE Confidence: 0.92693752

00:27:30.652 --> 00:27:32.767 know not come within that week period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92693752

 $00{:}27{:}32.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}34.730$ So our goal is really you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.92693752

 $00{:}27{:}34.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}37.486$ one was to give you you know some

NOTE Confidence: 0.92693752

 $00{:}27{:}37.486 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}39.466$ information but also you know learn

NOTE Confidence: 0.92693752

 $00{:}27{:}39.466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}41.905$ from you with how we can serve you

NOTE Confidence: 0.92693752

 $00:27:41.905 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.510$ better and you know any issues that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92693752

 $00{:}27{:}44.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}46.785$ that we can either talk about or

00:27:46.785 --> 00:27:49.390 learn about from you to help make

NOTE Confidence: 0.92693752

 $00{:}27{:}49.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}50.950$ the patient experience better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92693752

 $00{:}27{:}50.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}52.414$ You know when they learn that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92693752

 $00:27:52.414 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.329$ they have a lung nodule that needs

NOTE Confidence: 0.92693752

 $00:27:54.329 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.263$ to be evaluated which for many.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92693752

00:27:56.263 --> 00:27:58.369 Is unsettling or two for patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.92693752

 $00:27:58.369 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.876$ that have a recent biopsy where they,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92693752

00:28:00.880 --> 00:28:01.374 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92693752

00:28:01.374 --> 00:28:03.350 they saw in my chart before anyone could

NOTE Confidence: 0.92693752

00:28:03.407 --> 00:28:05.290 reach them that they have lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868525761111111

 $00:28:19.940 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.713$ So it looks like Doctor Chang just told me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868525761111111

00:28:21.720 --> 00:28:24.480 So she put a slide in about next day access,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868525761111111

 $00:28:24.480 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.120$ which really I think dove tails nicely

NOTE Confidence: 0.8685257611111111

00:28:27.120 --> 00:28:29.800 with what Danny just brought up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868525761111111

 $00:28:29.800 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.020$ Can't see the actual data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868525761111111

 $00:28:32.020 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.618$ But I do know that relatively

00:28:34.618 --> 00:28:37.371 recently we built into our schedules

NOTE Confidence: 0.868525761111111

 $00:28:37.371 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.167$ save times for urgent cases just

NOTE Confidence: 0.868525761111111

00:28:40.167 --> 00:28:43.271 like this where they really need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.868525761111111

 $00:28:43.271 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.916$ be seen very quickly and we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.868525761111111

 $00:28:45.916 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.584$ often times see the patient either that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86233169

00:28:50.930 --> 00:28:53.163 And many of us are seeing about

NOTE Confidence: 0.86233169

 $00:28:53.163 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.687$ 2 cases a week on that basis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86233169

 $00{:}28{:}55.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}58.258$ And I think that really offers

NOTE Confidence: 0.86233169

00:28:58.258 --> 00:28:59.970 very timely expeditious therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86233169

 $00:28:59.970 \longrightarrow 00:29:02.106$ It's a relief to the referring

NOTE Confidence: 0.86233169

 $00:29:02.106 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.530$ physician and most importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86233169

 $00:29:03.530 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.074$ a real relief to the patient that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.86233169

 $00{:}29{:}06.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}08.887$ not only have this terrifying diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86233169

 $00:29:08.890 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.395$ but at least now they are under

NOTE Confidence: 0.86233169

 $00:29:10.395 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.503$ the watchful eye of someone

 $00:29:11.503 \longrightarrow 00:29:12.745$ and they have a game plan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67080015

 $00{:}29{:}24.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}25.944$ And Steve, I guess you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.67080015

00:29:25.944 --> 00:29:26.768 talking about Case 3?

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

 $00:29:28.350 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.162$ Yep. I think it's that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

 $00:29:30.162 \longrightarrow 00:29:31.700$ going to the next one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

 $00:29:31.700 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.715$ This is the one that we we primary care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

00:29:34.720 --> 00:29:36.436 People dread is when people are

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

 $00:29:36.436 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.880$ symptomatic and not feeling well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

 $00:29:37.880 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.302$ You're 74 year old male patient returns

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

 $00:29:40.302 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.497$ to your office for a sick visit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

 $00:29:42.500 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.215$ He's been your patient for many years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

00:29:44.220 --> 00:29:46.895 He's very compliant with any

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

 $00{:}29{:}46.895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}48.500$ recommendations and medication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

 $00:29:48.500 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.628$ This past medical history includes

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

 $00:29:51.628 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.968$ controlled hypertension on an ace.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

 $00:29:54.970 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.377$ Hydrochlorothiazide and routine.

00:29:56.377 --> 00:29:58.722 He has controlled high cholesterol

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

 $00:29:58.722 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.932$ with statins and he successfully

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

00:30:00.932 --> 00:30:02.947 takes allopurinol for chronic gout,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

 $00:30:02.950 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.990$ which is rarely an issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

 $00:30:04.990 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.090$ His family history includes a maternal

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

 $00:30:07.090 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.930$ grandfather dying of lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

 $00:30:08.930 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.634$ However, he worked in the coal

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

 $00:30:10.634 \dashrightarrow 00:30:12.690$ industry and it was blamed on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

00:30:12.690 --> 00:30:16.080 His father passed in his 90s of old age and

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

 $00:30:16.168 \dashrightarrow 00:30:19.246$ his mother died of a stroke in her age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

 $00:30:19.250 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.888$ He is the oldest of four siblings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

 $00:30:20.890 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.462$ all of which have high blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

 $00{:}30{:}22.462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}23.510$ pressure and high cholesterol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

00:30:23.510 --> 00:30:26.030 One brother has survived in MI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

 $00{:}30{:}26.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}28.151$ He rarely drinks no more than a

00:30:28.151 --> 00:30:30.410 glass of wine and a family party.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

 $00:30:30.410 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.618$ He has a very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

00:30:31.618 --> 00:30:33.128 very remote history of smoking

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

 $00:30:33.128 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.849$ for years in the military,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

 $00:30:34.850 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.146$ but never that much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

 $00:30:36.146 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.090$ He's been in the office in

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

 $00:30:38.163 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.819$ the past for bronchitis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

 $00:30:39.820 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.745$ and he even had an ammonia many years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

 $00:30:42.750 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.525$ He presents today with complaints

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

 $00:30:44.525 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.075$ of some upper back pain and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

 $00{:}30{:}47.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}48.850$ persistent dry nagging cough that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

 $00:30:48.850 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.286$ been around for as he closed a while,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

 $00:30:51.290 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.530$ which when he questioned him,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

 $00:30:52.530 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.594$ it's been present off and on

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

 $00:30:54.594 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.970$ for six plus months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

00:30:55.970 --> 00:30:57.846 You decide to order a CAT scan

 $00:30:57.846 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.989$ of his chest and this is where

NOTE Confidence: 0.839241734545455

 $00{:}30{:}59.989 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}01.897$ Doctor Sarah gets him down South.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

00:31:05.590 --> 00:31:08.806 So hi everyone. I'm Sarah Goldberg,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

 $00:31:08.810 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.386$ a medical oncologist and at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

 $00:31:12.390 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.280$ And it's really a pleasure to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

 $00:31:14.280 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.568$ here and to talk about lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

 $00:31:16.570 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.440$ So this is has Steve

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

 $00:31:18.440 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.880$ said this is a case that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

 $00{:}31{:}20.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}22.260$ You know as a medical oncologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

 $00{:}31{:}22.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}24.237$ I I feel like occurs all the time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

 $00{:}31{:}24.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}25.968$ but I think in in reality it may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

 $00:31:25.968 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.708$ it's not quite as common but it

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

 $00{:}31{:}27.708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}29.391$ does happen where a patient comes

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

00:31:29.391 --> 00:31:30.879 in who's extremely symptomatic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

 $00:31:30.880 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.260$ so a cough, severe pain,

 $00:31:33.260 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.210$ a lot of times more systemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

00:31:35.210 --> 00:31:36.185 symptoms like fatigue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

 $00:31:36.190 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.872$ weight loss,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

 $00:31:36.872 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.600$ anorexia and so in this case this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

 $00:31:39.670 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.910$ a not exactly the same patient but

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

00:31:41.910 --> 00:31:44.749 it's a good example of something that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

00:31:44.750 --> 00:31:45.551 You know, the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

00:31:45.551 --> 00:31:47.153 the patient that was presented might

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

 $00{:}31{:}47.153 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}48.936$ might actually have on imaging a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

 $00:31:48.936 \longrightarrow 00:31:50.774$ of times there's much more disease than

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

 $00:31:50.774 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.678$ we even would realize based on symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

 $00:31:52.680 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.218$ And so this cat scan here

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

00:31:55.218 --> 00:31:56.910 shows multiple lung nodules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

00:31:56.910 --> 00:31:58.900 really innumerable nodules as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

 $00:31:58.900 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.099$ as adenopathy in the hilum and in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

 $00:32:02.099 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.994$ mediastinum and bone metastases or

 $00:32:03.994 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.189$ bone lesions throughout the spine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

 $00:32:06.190 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.838$ After we see this on a chest CT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

 $00:32:07.840 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.744$ we often will then get a pet CT

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

 $00:32:09.744 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.957$ that's that's typically the next

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

00:32:10.957 --> 00:32:12.870 step in in a work up for this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

 $00:32:12.870 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.990$ And you can see here on this pet

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

 $00:32:14.990 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.830$ scan that you see multiple FDG

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

 $00:32:16.830 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.982$ avid lung nodules as well as lymph

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

 $00:32:18.982 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.026$ nodes that are avid and also liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

 $00{:}32{:}21.026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}22.879$ lesions and bone lesions all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

00:32:22.879 --> 00:32:24.087 which have FDG avidity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

 $00{:}32{:}24.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}26.810$ So really wide spread metastatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.65516164

 $00{:}32{:}26.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}29.326$ disease that we can see on imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:32:31.850 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.118$ So I'm going to talk about about how we

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:32:36.118 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.130$ think about work up and treatment for

 $00:32:39.130 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.198$ advanced lung cancer and then we'll go

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

00:32:41.198 --> 00:32:43.370 back to the case and I'll follow up on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:32:43.370 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.990$ on what happened with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:32:44.990 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.610$ patient who's imaging we see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

00:32:46.610 --> 00:32:49.599 So it's actually I think pretty amazing

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:32:49.599 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.539$ how much we've learned in the last.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:32:52.540 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.927$ 15 to 20 years about the molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:32:54.927 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.876$ characteristics of lung cancer and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

00:32:56.876 --> 00:32:59.299 this in this slide here I'm showing you

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:32:59.299 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.714$ specifically of non small cell lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:33:01.720 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.904$ Back in 2004 we were first starting

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:33:03.904 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.043$ to learn about the the molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:33:06.043 \longrightarrow 00:33:08.323$ underpinnings of non small cell lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:33:08.323 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.520$ cancer specifically adenocarcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

00:33:10.520 --> 00:33:12.172 I'm showing you there that that back

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:33:12.172 \longrightarrow 00:33:14.323$ in in that time we knew about EGFR

 $00{:}33{:}14.323 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}16.027$ mutations and care as mutations in

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:33:16.027 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.629$ lung cancer although we didn't really

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00{:}33{:}17.629 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}20.540$ know much of what to do with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:33:20.540 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.710$ Over the years and I have another

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:33:22.710 \longrightarrow 00:33:23.938$ time point of 2009,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:33:23.938 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.726$ we started learning about more alterations

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:33:25.726 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.500$ in non small cell lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00{:}33{:}27.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}30.272$ We learned about ALK fusions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:33:30.272 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.658$ B RAF mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

00:33:31.660 --> 00:33:33.388 We were starting to get more

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:33:33.388 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.540$ familiar with targeted therapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00{:}33{:}34.596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}35.851$ for these mutations which I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00{:}33{:}35.851 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}37.580$ show you more about in a minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:33:37.580 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.435$ By 2014 we again learned a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:33:39.435 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.544$ more we should found out about an

 $00:33:41.544 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.416$ alteration called Ross One and Red

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:33:43.484 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.500$ and met and we're really starting

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:33:45.500 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.415$ to become more advanced with

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:33:47.415 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.240$ our molecular profiling and DNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:33:50.240 \longrightarrow 00:33:52.032$ Sequencing so that we can we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:33:52.032 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.521$ find more and more alterations

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

00:33:53.521 --> 00:33:55.489 in non small cell lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:33:55.490 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.780$ If you click again we'll show

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00{:}33{:}56.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}57.970$ you where we are today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:33:57.970 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.400$ So this is today I I find it really

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00{:}34{:}00.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}02.334$ amazing how many alterations you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:34:02.334 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.129$ find when you look for them in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:34:05.129 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.633$ specifically in lung adenocarcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00{:}34{:}06.633 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}08.760$ as opposed to almost 20 years

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:34:08.760 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.740$ ago now where most patients had

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00{:}34{:}10.740 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}34{:}12.953$ no known alteration because our

00:34:12.953 --> 00:34:15.223 sequencing wasn't wasn't so good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00{:}34{:}15.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}17.666$ We now can find a molecular alteration

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:34:17.666 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.530$ in almost all patients with lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:34:20.530 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.436$ And so in the next slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:34:21.440 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.344$ we'll show you why this is relevant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:34:23.350 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.793$ So it's relevant because now we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:34:25.793 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.245$ some really outstanding targeted

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00{:}34{:}27.245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}29.639$ the rapies that we use for lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:34:29.640 \longrightarrow 00:34:31.352$ And so this is that same high chart

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

00:34:31.352 --> 00:34:33.207 that I just saw on the last slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:34:33.210 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.415$ but attached to it are all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:34:35.415 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.669$ different targeted the rapies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00{:}34{:}36.669 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}38.391$ we have to treat lung cancer in

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:34:38.391 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.796$ this case specifically advanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:34:39.796 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.508$ or metastatic lung cancer.

00:34:41.510 --> 00:34:43.015 There's different types of drugs

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:34:43.015 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.435$ that we use for each of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:34:45.435 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.941$ different alterations in the kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:34:46.941 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.809$ of that brownish color.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:34:47.810 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.170$ These are small molecule

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:34:49.170 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.190$ tyrosine kinase inhibitors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:34:50.190 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.363$ they're oral drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00{:}34{:}51.363 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}52.927$ We have monoclonal antibodies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

00:34:52.930 --> 00:34:54.906 In some cases you can see that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:34:54.906 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.959$ listed in blue for each year some

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00{:}34{:}56.959 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}58.769$ EGFR mutations and then kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:34:58.769 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.540$ the the newest class of drugs that

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00{:}35{:}00.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}02.602$ we use to target alterations in

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:35:02.602 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.542$ cancer or antibody drug conjugates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:35:04.550 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.314$ These are antibodies that go after

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:35:06.314 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.787$ a specific alteration in cancer

 $00:35:07.787 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.495$ linked to a chemotherapy is kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00{:}35{:}09.495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}11.582$ like a smart chemo that finds the

NOTE Confidence: 0.948076336666667

 $00:35:11.582 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.127$ cancer and direct directly delivers

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:35:13.130 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.714$ the chemotherapy drug and we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:35:14.714 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.569$ that now for her two mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:35:16.570 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.320$ You could see many of these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:35:18.320 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.263$ small pieces of the pie but when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00{:}35{:}20.263 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}22.386$ add them up almost 50% of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

00:35:22.386 --> 00:35:24.338 Um with lung adenocarcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:35:24.340 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.290$ we'll have a targeted therapy that

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:35:26.290 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.610$ we could consider for use either in

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:35:28.610 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.843$ standard practice or on a clinical trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:35:30.850 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.822$ And next slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00{:}35{:}31.822 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}33.766$ actually next part of this slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:35:33.770 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.910$ So why is this important?

00:35:34.910 --> 00:35:36.632 Well, it's because it's been shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:35:36.632 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.675$ over the years that targeted therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:35:38.675 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.355$ can improve survival sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:35:40.355 \longrightarrow 00:35:42.401$ quite significantly in patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:35:42.401 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.267$ advanced non small cell lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:35:44.270 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.150$ So on the top right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00{:}35{:}45.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}46.662$ I'm showing you a Kaplan Meier

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:35:46.662 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.417$ curve for this is really looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00{:}35{:}48.417 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}50.147$ at multiple mutations across the

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00{:}35{:}50.147 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}52.357$ board and patients who have a driver

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00{:}35{:}52.357 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}54.025$ mutation are basically those that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:35:54.030 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.724$ listed in the pie chart there and

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:35:55.724 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.360$ they receive a targeted therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:35:57.360 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.652$ That's what TTX is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:35:58.652 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.267$ They have a significant improvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:36:00.267 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.489$ in their survival.

00:36:01.490 --> 00:36:03.332 Compared to patients who either don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00{:}36{:}03.332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}05.127$ have a driver alteration or even

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:36:05.127 \longrightarrow 00:36:06.669$ those who have a driver alteration

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:36:06.669 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.380$ but don't get a targeted therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:36:08.380 \longrightarrow 00:36:09.180$ And then on the bottom,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:36:09.180 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.895$ I'm showing you one specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

00:36:10.895 --> 00:36:12.610 example pulling out the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00{:}36{:}12.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}14.298$ with EGFR mutant lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:36:14.300 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.980$ There have been many studies over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:36:15.980 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.633$ last several years looking at targeted

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00{:}36{:}17.633 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}19.108$ therapy in this patient population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:36:19.110 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.000$ This is one of those trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00{:}36{:}21.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}22.540$ This is a trial that was published

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00{:}36{:}22.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}23.946$ in New England Journal of Medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:36:23.946 \longrightarrow 00:36:25.577$ a few years ago showing that our

 $00:36:25.629 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.977$ third generation drug OSIMERTINIB

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00{:}36{:}26.977 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}28.662$ has a significant improvement in

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

00:36:28.662 --> 00:36:30.345 survival over or even our prior

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:36:30.345 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.270$ generation of drugs or Latin adventure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:36:32.270 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.866$ But I think maybe the most important

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:36:33.866 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.736$ take away here is that figure on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:36:35.736 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.219$ bottom right which is showing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:36:37.219 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.709$ overall survival for these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:36:38.710 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.481$ And you can see this this the

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:36:40.481 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.537$ follow up in this study at this

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

00:36:42.537 --> 00:36:44.026 point ends around 24 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

00:36:44.026 --> 00:36:47.045 But you can see well more than half of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:36:47.045 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.860$ patients who received osimertinib were

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:36:48.860 \longrightarrow 00:36:51.348$ still alive 24 months and and beyond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:36:51.350 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.765$ And so the the prognosis for patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:36:53.765 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.215$ with advanced non small cell lung

 $00:36:56.215 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.019$ cancer has significantly improved

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

00:36:58.019 --> 00:37:00.638 because of alterations like this and

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667

 $00:37:00.638 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.633$ targeted therapies like those summer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854323884166667 $00:37:02.640 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.110$ Next slide. NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:37:05.300 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.056$ We've also seen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:37:06.056 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.568$ really sorry about the formatting here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353823511111111

 $00:37:07.570 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.130$ We've also seen significant advances

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00{:}37{:}10.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}12.510$ in immune the rapy for patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

00:37:12.510 --> 00:37:14.190 advanced non small cell lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:37:14.190 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.910$ I'm just showing you a few examples here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353823511111111

 $00:37:15.910 \longrightarrow 00:37:17.214$ There's many other ones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:37:17.214 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.844$ Basically every trial gets published

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00{:}37{:}18.844 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}20.881$ in New England Journal of Medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00{:}37{:}20.881 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}22.536$ because they're all a significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:37:22.592 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.487$ smashing success when you compare

00:37:24.487 --> 00:37:26.003 immune therapy with chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:37:26.010 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.885$ Overall patients do much better

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:37:27.885 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.385$ with advanced lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:37:29.390 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.166$ This is showing you that on the left

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

00:37:31.166 --> 00:37:32.868 with that study with pembrolizumab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:37:32.870 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.990$ one of our PD1 inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:37:33.990 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.817$ On the right, a study with atezolizumab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

00:37:35.820 --> 00:37:37.758 PDL one inhibitor patients do much

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:37:37.758 \longrightarrow 00:37:39.481$ better when they receive immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

00:37:39.481 --> 00:37:40.969 therapy compared to chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:37:40.969 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.145$ when they have the biomarker which

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:37:43.145 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.916$ in this case is called PDL one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

00:37:44.920 --> 00:37:46.698 And so with high PDL one expression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:37:46.700 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.965$ these patients are doing really

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:37:47.965 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.660$ well with a chemo free regimen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

00:37:49.660 --> 00:37:51.466 And again you can look at for

 $00:37:51.466 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.064$ survival several years out more than

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:37:53.064 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.612$ half of these patients are still

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:37:54.612 \longrightarrow 00:37:56.210$ alive and that is a significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

00:37:56.210 --> 00:37:59.530 difference than just a few years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111 $00:37:59.530 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.230$ Next slide. NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

00:38:01.230 --> 00:38:02.476 So you can kind of click through,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:38:02.480 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.070$ there's a couple of animations here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:38:04.070 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.438$ So this is just really showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

00:38:05.438 --> 00:38:06.770 you an overview of all the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:38:06.770 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.602$ I think there's one more of all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353823511111111

 $00{:}38{:}08.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}10.368$ advances that well may be not all of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:38:10.370 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.966$ but many of the advances we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00{:}38{:}11.966 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}13.591$ seen from non small cell lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:38:13.591 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.369$ cancer in the last 20 plus years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:38:15.370 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.972$ First we got some better chemotherapies

 $00:38:16.972 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.630$ but those were never good enough.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00{:}38{:}18.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}19.965$ We then have targeted the rapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:38:19.965 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.874$ and you can see multiple of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:38:21.874 \longrightarrow 00:38:23.446$ listed there and now immune therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:38:23.450 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.316$ And so we've really seen significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:38:25.316 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.397$ advances and so that that has has

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:38:27.397 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.224$ changed the way that we think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:38:29.288 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.468$ treating patients with advanced disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

00:38:31.470 --> 00:38:33.300 Next slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:38:33.300 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.665$ I think this is my last slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:38:34.670 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.610$ So what has this done?

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:38:35.610 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.780$ Well you know the the we always

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:38:37.780 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.157$ are every year they come out with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353823511111111

 $00{:}38{:}40.157 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}42.660$ overall data for how we're doing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

00:38:42.660 --> 00:38:44.515 in mortality and other statistics

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

00:38:44.515 --> 00:38:46.963 in cancer this is looking at on

 $00:38:46.963 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.610$ the top left is looking at men and

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

00:38:49.610 --> 00:38:51.843 on the bottom left is is women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:38:51.850 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.075$ Overall in terms of mortality

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:38:54.075 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.410$ for different cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:38:55.410 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.904$ lung cancer continues to be the

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

00:38:56.904 --> 00:38:58.480 number one cause of cancer mortality

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:38:58.480 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.307$ in the US that's that red line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:39:00.310 \dashrightarrow 00:39:02.221$ So still the the highest cause of

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:39:02.221 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.809$ cancer deaths in this country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

00:39:03.810 --> 00:39:04.402 And worldwide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353823511111111

00:39:04.402 --> 00:39:06.770 but you can see and highlighted in blue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:39:06.770 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.480$ there's been a significant decline

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353823511111111

 $00{:}39{:}08.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}10.190$ over the last several decades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00{:}39{:}10.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}11.842$ And you can see in that table

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:39:11.842 \longrightarrow 00:39:13.725$ on the right that incidence has

 $00:39:13.725 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.580$ declined but mortality has declined

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:39:15.580 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.229$ even faster than incidence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:39:17.230 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.110$ And so why is that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:39:18.110 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.364$ Well, there's probably a couple of reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:39:20.370 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.014$ Reduction in smoking has

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:39:22.014 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.247$ probably improved the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:39:23.250 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.786$ the incidence has reduced the incidence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

00:39:24.790 --> 00:39:25.990 I think that's pretty clear cut,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:39:25.990 \dashrightarrow 00:39:27.694$ but I think also the improvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

00:39:27.694 --> 00:39:28.830 in screening has helped.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

00:39:28.830 --> 00:39:30.090 But I guess maybe I'm partial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:39:30.090 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.187$ but I think in in large part it's because

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

00:39:32.187 --> 00:39:34.180 of our improvements in therapy and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8353823511111111

 $00:39:34.180 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.482 \text{ In},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:39:34.482 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.784$ in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835382351111111

 $00:39:34.784 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.086$ out,

 $00{:}39{:}35.086 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}37.200$ because metastatic disease is so common in

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:39:37.260 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.147$ lung cancer, the improvements in

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:39:39.147 \longrightarrow 00:39:41.192$ immune therapy and targeted therapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:39:41.192 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.299$ have really changed the outlook

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:39:43.299 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.339$ for patients with advanced disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:39:45.340 \longrightarrow 00:39:46.868$ Next slide. Oh, I have one more slide

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:39:46.868 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.299$ which is going back to this case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:39:48.300 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.276$ So this is really an amazing case because

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:39{:}50.276 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}51.778$ this patient was very symptomatic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00{:}39{:}51.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}54.572$ had a biopsy of a liver lesion that

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:39:54.572 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.440$ showed a denocarcinoma from lung primary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:39:56.440 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.970$ had molecular testing that showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00{:}39{:}57.970 --> 00{:}39{:}58.888$ an EGFR mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:39:58.890 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.378$ That was one of those pieces of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

00:40:00.378 --> 00:40:02.115 pie I showed you found in about 20%

 $00:40:02.120 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.075$ of patients with lung adenocarcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00{:}40{:}04.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}05.639$ was started on osimertinib.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

00:40:05.640 --> 00:40:08.079 That was at a study I showed you where

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:40:08.079 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.778$ there was a benefit of osimertinib versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:40:10.778 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.159$ other targeted therapies and he had

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:40:13.159 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.373$ a significant improvement in in symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

00:40:15.380 --> 00:40:16.948 Pain and cough even in just a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

00:40:16.948 --> 00:40:18.555 weeks and we see that quite commonly

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:40:18.555 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.222$ where even just a couple of weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:40:20.222 \longrightarrow 00:40:21.590$ people start to feel better when

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:40:21.590 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.743$ they get a successful therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

00:40:22.743 --> 00:40:24.690 And then you can see on the right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:40:24.690 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.970$ the post treatment scans look amazing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:40:26.970 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.878$ So all those lung nodules are

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:40:28.878 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.150$ either gone or decreased.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:40:30.150 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.230$ The bone lesions are better,

 $00:40:31.230 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.590$ the liver lesions are better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:40:32.590 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.474$ And this patient had an ongoing

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:40:34.474 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.699$ response still going on after two years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:40:36.700 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.317$ And again this is something we often

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:40:38.317 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.356$ will see in clinic where people have

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00{:}40{:}40{:}356 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}41.648$ significant reductions in disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:40:41.648 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.309$ that can last for many years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:40:43.310 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.627$ It's not a curative therapy our targeted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00{:}40{:}45.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}47.160$ The rapies and immune the rapies when

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00{:}40{:}47.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}48.690$ we treat advanced disease don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:40:48.738 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.106$ cure the disease like like binny's

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:40:50.106 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.001$ able to do when he does surgery or

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00{:}40{:}52.001 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}53.399$ we're able to do with radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:40:53.400 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.843$ But we really can see a mazing benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

00:40:55.843 --> 00:40:58.430 with some of our newer treatments.

 $00:40:58.430 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.912$ Next slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00{:}40{:}58.912 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}00.840$ So sorry this is this went a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:41:00.892 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.737$ bit of out of order here but I wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00{:}41{:}02.737 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}04.777$ to just briefly mention some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:41:04.777 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.448$ side effects because this is something

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

00:41:06.448 --> 00:41:08.121 I think in primary care you you'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

00:41:08.121 --> 00:41:09.823 you'll you either already have seen or

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

00:41:09.823 --> 00:41:11.607 you're going to start to see more often.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:41:11.610 \longrightarrow 00:41:13.031$ Because as we see the benefit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:41:13.031 \longrightarrow 00:41:14.509$ so many of our treatments we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

00:41:14.509 --> 00:41:15.869 using them more and more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:41:15.870 \longrightarrow 00:41:17.220$ We use them in metastatic disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:41:17.220 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.108$ to start and now you heard from from

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:41:19.108 \longrightarrow 00:41:20.512$ the others about how we're using

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:41:20.565 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.189$ them in early stage disease as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:41:22.190 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.486$ And so when we use immune the rapies

 $00:41:24.486 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.138$ we're activating the immune system

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

00:41:26.138 --> 00:41:28.266 to fight the cancer but we're it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:41:28.266 \longrightarrow 00:41:29.689$ not specific specific.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:41:29.690 \longrightarrow 00:41:31.972$ And so you can see immune related

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

00:41:31.972 --> 00:41:33.354 adverse events fairly commonly

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

00:41:33.354 --> 00:41:35.382 from our treatments and here's just

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:41:35.382 \longrightarrow 00:41:37.529$ a summary of all the different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:41:37.530 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.754$ Inflammatory conditions that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:41:38.754 \longrightarrow 00:41:39.978$ cause with these treatments,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:41:39.980 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.794$ really any organ system can be involved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

00:41:42.800 --> 00:41:44.252 It's important to know that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:41:44.252 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.220$ onset can be variable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:41:45.220 \longrightarrow 00:41:46.795$ It could be immediate or a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:41:46.795 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.820$ weeks or months later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:41:47.820 \longrightarrow 00:41:49.717$ but it also could be months or

 $00:41:49.717 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.299$ years after the rapy is completed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:41:51.300 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.705$ So absolutely something that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

00:41:52.705 --> 00:41:54.110 should know about when you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

00:41:54.159 --> 00:41:55.569 seeing patients who were treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:41:55.569 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.697$ with these these drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

00:41:56.700 --> 00:41:58.685 Most of the adverse events

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:41:58.685 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.273$ are treatable with steroids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

 $00:42:00.280 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.760$ but you know sometimes they

NOTE Confidence: 0.807515220833333

00:42:01.760 --> 00:42:02.944 require a long course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885710072857143

 $00:42:02.950 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.704$ So something to be aware of and to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.885710072857143

 $00{:}42{:}05.704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}07.877$ consider when someone presents with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885710072857143

 $00:42:07.880 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.160$ A new symptom who's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.885710072857143

 $00:42:09.160 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.184$ treated with these drugs?

NOTE Confidence: 0.885710072857143

 $00:42:10.190 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.426$ So that's all that I had

NOTE Confidence: 0.885710072857143

 $00:42:11.426 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.790$ and happy to take questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889052358461539

 $00:42:23.040 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.488$ So now we are are to the question

 $00:42:27.488 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.706$ and answer part of our program and

NOTE Confidence: 0.889052358461539

 $00{:}42{:}30.706 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}33.050$ I'm glad to see that we have about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889052358461539

 $00:42:33.050 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.540$ 10 or 15 minutes left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889052358461539

 $00:42:35.540 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.688$ Before we do that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889052358461539

 $00:42:36.688 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.861$ I would like to ask those attending

NOTE Confidence: 0.889052358461539

 $00:42:38.861 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.135$ to make sure and complete that

NOTE Confidence: 0.889052358461539

 $00:42:41.135 \longrightarrow 00:42:43.320$ survey to receive the CME credit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889052358461539

 $00:42:43.320 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.868$ And and we'd love to have you

NOTE Confidence: 0.889052358461539

00:42:45.868 --> 00:42:48.381 come back again in December on

NOTE Confidence: 0.889052358461539

 $00{:}42{:}48.381 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}51.051$ anemia and the first Tuesday in

NOTE Confidence: 0.889052358461539

 $00{:}42{:}51.051 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}53.000$ February on gynecologic oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889052358461539

 $00:42:53.000 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.500$ So I'm going to turn it over

NOTE Confidence: 0.889052358461539

 $00:42:56.600 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.148$ to Karen to to for the Q&A.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908779042857143

 $00{:}43{:}00.840 --> 00{:}43{:}03.563$ And so far, I'm seeing only one

NOTE Confidence: 0.908779042857143

00:43:03.563 --> 00:43:06.678 question and I know people have more.

 $00:43:06.680 \longrightarrow 00:43:08.200$ So please type it in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908779042857143

00:43:08.200 --> 00:43:11.816 And while you do, I'm going to ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.908779042857143

 $00:43:11.816 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.937$ Dan actually a question to tee off.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908779042857143

00:43:14.940 --> 00:43:17.736 So we use many different radiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.908779042857143

 $00:43:17.736 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.235$ services for lung cancer screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.908779042857143

00:43:20.235 --> 00:43:22.419 and many different radiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.908779042857143

 $00:43:22.419 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.149$ services for any imaging where

NOTE Confidence: 0.908779042857143

 $00:43:25.229 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.549$ incidental nodules are found.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908779042857143

 $00:43:28.550 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.104$ And it is possible that some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.908779042857143

00:43:32.104 --> 00:43:34.694 the radiologists may give slightly

NOTE Confidence: 0.908779042857143

 $00{:}43{:}34.694 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}37.795$ different advice on whether it exactly

NOTE Confidence: 0.908779042857143

00:43:37.795 --> 00:43:40.270 follows Fleshner criteria or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908779042857143

 $00:43:40.270 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.269$ Or have you seen that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.908779042857143

00:43:42.269 --> 00:43:45.622 And how quick are you to kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.908779042857143

 $00:43:45.622 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.672$ of overrule a bottom line on a

NOTE Confidence: 0.908779042857143

 $00{:}43{:}49.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}51.826$ radiologist suggestion for the

00:43:51.826 --> 00:43:53.506 interval of follow-up imaging if

NOTE Confidence: 0.908779042857143

 $00:43:53.506 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.315$ it doesn't match your understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.908779042857143

00:43:55.315 --> 00:43:57.745 based on the criteria you outlined?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

 $00:43:59.110 \longrightarrow 00:44:01.750$ That's the great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

00:44:01.750 --> 00:44:04.486 I'll first say for medical legal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

 $00:44:04.486 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.978$ reasons it's very difficult to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

00:44:06.978 --> 00:44:09.346 overrule a radiology recommendation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

 $00:44:09.350 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.765$ particularly if you decide the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

 $00:44:11.765 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.697$ intervals should be longer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

00:44:13.700 --> 00:44:16.940 Most important issue is risk profiles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

 $00{:}44{:}16.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}18.765$ and the radiologists don't really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

 $00:44:18.765 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.524$ have a sense of what type of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

 $00{:}44{:}21.524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}23.240$ patients they're dealing with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

 $00:44:23.240 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.509$ And I know that there are different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

 $00:44:26.509 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.421$ opinions and different radiologists will

00:44:29.421 --> 00:44:32.269 view different imaging differently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

 $00:44:32.270 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.454$ So we're kind of in a situation where

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

 $00:44:36.454 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.598$ you have to seek other opinions unless

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

 $00:44:39.598 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.370$ you feel confident as a primary care

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

 $00:44:42.446 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.246$ provider that you can make that decision.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

 $00:44:45.250 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.602$ There is a problem in our community

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

 $00:44:47.602 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.740$ with two different radiology groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

 $00:44:49.740 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.030$ Sometimes the imaging from one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

 $00:44:53.030 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.529$ service is not available to the other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

00:44:55.530 --> 00:44:57.870 so very often I'm digging through

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

 $00{:}44{:}57.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}01.001$ old imaging to see if there's any

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

 $00:45:01.001 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.486$ previous imaging that wasn't compared.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

 $00:45:03.490 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.591$ Comparison to prodder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

 $00:45:04.591 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.668$ Imaging is also an issue if you're using

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

00:45:07.668 --> 00:45:10.314 different groups for primary care doctors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

 $00:45:10.320 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.475$ so my recommendation to you

 $00:45:12.475 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.170$ is to stick with the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

 $00{:}45{:}15.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}19.436$ Radiology group as the original scan

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

 $00:45:19.440 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.016$ and I would follow the radiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

 $00:45:23.016 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.400$ recommendation unless you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

00:45:25.497 --> 00:45:28.285 a another subspecialty opinion

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

 $00:45:28.285 \longrightarrow 00:45:31.073$ that supports your decision.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

00:45:31.080 --> 00:45:33.555 Particularly if it's to ignore

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

 $00:45:33.555 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.030$ the frequency or the immediacy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

 $00:45:36.111 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.139$ of the follow-up imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

 $00:45:38.140 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.938$ because the last thing you want is to find

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

 $00{:}45{:}41.938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}45.666$ out that there is a significant change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

00:45:45.670 --> 00:45:48.561 In a nodule that you have decided

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

00:45:48.561 --> 00:45:51.028 was benign at a later date.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

 $00{:}45{:}51.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}53.470$ So I don't overrule radiologists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

00:45:53.470 --> 00:45:56.422 I do bring patients in to to make

 $00:45:56.422 \longrightarrow 00:45:59.595$ certain of the risk profile and then I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

 $00:45:59.600 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.939$ Very often take command of of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

00:46:02.939 --> 00:46:07.548 I do in terms of follow-up imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505414

 $00:46:07.550 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.438$ If that helps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880366627777778

00:46:09.910 --> 00:46:12.088 Well, I think end of life and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.880366627777778

 $00:46:12.090 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.425$ life expectancy and informed decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.880366627777778

 $00:46:14.425 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.263$ making around that is probably something

NOTE Confidence: 0.88036662777778

00:46:17.263 --> 00:46:19.738 we could also justify you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.880366627777778

 $00{:}46{:}19.738 \to 00{:}46{:}21.658$ with or without specialty consultation

NOTE Confidence: 0.880366627777778

 $00:46:21.658 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.908$ with very explicit discussion that I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.880366627777778

 $00{:}46{:}23.910 --> 00{:}46{:}25.500$ I, I do see your point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71231378

 $00{:}46{:}26.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}28.290$ I think they're older folks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71231378

00:46:28.290 --> 00:46:30.504 I mean I have you know 90 year old

NOTE Confidence: 0.71231378

00:46:30.504 --> 00:46:32.031 patients that have incidental nodules

NOTE Confidence: 0.71231378

 $00:46:32.031 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.296$ and at that age the real question

NOTE Confidence: 0.71231378

 $00{:}46{:}34.296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}36.206$ they have other medical issues.

 $00:46:36.210 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.268$ Do you really need to go through

NOTE Confidence: 0.71231378

 $00{:}46{:}38.268 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}46{:}40.650$ the process of follow up and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.71231378

 $00:46:40.650 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.792$ that's where the primary care doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.71231378

 $00:46:42.862 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.154$ can be instrumental in dictating what

NOTE Confidence: 0.71231378

 $00:46:45.154 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.176$ the patients wishes are and needs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71231378

 $00:46:47.176 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.877$ But when you're dealing with a younger

NOTE Confidence: 0.71231378

00:46:48.877 --> 00:46:50.677 age group it's a different challenge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.733057128

 $00:46:55.930 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.130$ And then Steve, you've unmuted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.733057128

 $00{:}46{:}58.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}59.789$ I thought maybe you had a question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

00:47:01.530 --> 00:47:03.777 I do have a question and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

00:47:03.777 --> 00:47:06.099 would say I put this up to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

 $00:47:06.100 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.100$ Any of the other panelists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

 $00{:}47{:}08.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}11.138$ So as primary care one of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

00:47:11.138 --> 00:47:13.873 concerns is is sometimes we just

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

 $00:47:13.873 \longrightarrow 00:47:16.981$ want to know how to get the

 $00:47:17.082 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.239$ attention of of the of you guys.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

 $00:47:20.240 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.578$ So we know we can sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

 $00:47:22.578 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.398$ arbitrarily enter or referral into

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

 $00:47:24.398 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.995$ epic and we click a send button.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

00:47:27.000 --> 00:47:28.520 But sometimes you don't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

00:47:28.520 --> 00:47:30.420 you don't know everything and

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

 $00:47:30.420 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.309$ and sometimes we want to just

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

 $00:47:32.309 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.410$ type in the in the box that

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

 $00{:}47{:}34.410 --> 00{:}47{:}36.352$ says help because we want to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857 00:47:36.352 --> 00:47:37.028 Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

 $00{:}47{:}37.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}39.346$ So how would you recommend with these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

 $00:47:39.346 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.313$ you know people on the on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

00:47:41.313 --> 00:47:43.059 line here who are primary care

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

 $00:47:43.059 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.374$ physicians that that we sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

 $00:47:44.374 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.155$ of say well is this the urgent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

 $00:47:46.155 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.350$ urgent case is this is there,

 $00:47:48.350 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.510$ is there certain things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

00:47:49.510 --> 00:47:51.191 you might want us to look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

 $00{:}47{:}51.191 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}52.583$ differently so that we can raise

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

 $00:47:52.583 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.340$ that red flag a little differently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857 00:47:54.340 --> 00:47:54.782 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

 $00:47:54.782 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.329$ I was fortunate when he came into

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

 $00:47:56.329 \longrightarrow 00:47:57.647$ my office and literally sadly

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

00:47:57.647 --> 00:47:59.405 a week later I had somebody he

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

 $00{:}47{:}59.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}00.815$ did you know lung cancer surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

 $00{:}48{:}00.815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}02.517$ and I happened to seen him and

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

 $00{:}48{:}02.517 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}04.061$ I got his phone number and so I

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

 $00:48:04.116 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.677$ called him and he got someone in

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

 $00{:}48{:}05.677 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}07.200$ the next day for me and that was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

 $00{:}48{:}07.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}08.970$ Catholic but but not everyone on

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

 $00:48:08.970 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.990$ this has each others phone numbers.

 $00:48:10.990 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.866$ We don't all see each other and

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

 $00:48:12.866 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.020$ we also primary care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

 $00:48:14.020 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.996$ We don't want to raise the red flag

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

 $00:48:15.996 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.657$ for someone who's not a red flag,

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

 $00:48:17.660 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.020$ but we also don't want to to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

 $00:48:20.020 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.325$ the 10.4 days when it should

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

 $00:48:21.325 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.640$ have been the next day visit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

00:48:22.640 --> 00:48:24.747 Any advice you could give us on

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

 $00{:}48{:}24.747 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}27.190$ how to sort of let you guys know

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

 $00:48:27.190 \longrightarrow 00:48:29.464$ whether that's a a my chart message

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

 $00{:}48{:}29.464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}31.532$ is that how do we let you guys

NOTE Confidence: 0.865295777142857

 $00:48:31.532 \longrightarrow 00:48:32.890$ know that we might be worried?

NOTE Confidence: 0.78087145

00:48:39.810 --> 00:48:40.200 Steve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79765779625

 $00{:}48{:}44.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}47.434$ I'll, I'll comment that you know I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79765779625

00:48:47.440 --> 00:48:49.704 Any one of us I will maybe speak

NOTE Confidence: 0.79765779625

00:48:49.704 --> 00:48:51.412 through would be happy to help

00:48:51.412 --> 00:48:53.032 guide you if you reach out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79765779625

 $00{:}48{:}53.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}56.064$ You don't need a phone number to e-mail

NOTE Confidence: 0.79765779625

 $00{:}48{:}56.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}58.460$ us or to you know, epic message us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79765779625

 $00:48:58.460 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.380$ I and I often get calls or texts

NOTE Confidence: 0.79765779625

00:49:01.380 --> 00:49:03.858 or emails from people saying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79765779625

 $00:49:03.860 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.940$ well this is what's going on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79765779625

 $00:49:04.940 \longrightarrow 00:49:06.172$ what are you suggested?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79765779625

 $00:49:06.172 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.712$ I actually think it's helpful

NOTE Confidence: 0.79765779625

 $00:49:07.712 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.830$ for a few reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79765779625

 $00:49:08.830 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.206$ First of all we can help get the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79765779625

 $00{:}49{:}10.206 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}11.623$ person in if it really is urgent or

NOTE Confidence: 0.79765779625

 $00:49:11.623 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.168$ even if it's not urgent but the person

NOTE Confidence: 0.79765779625

 $00{:}49{:}13.168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}14.616$ just really wants to be seen right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79765779625

 $00:49:14.616 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.128$ Sometimes even if it's not medically urgent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79765779625

00:49:16.130 --> 00:49:17.222 it's like emotionally urgent

 $00:49:17.222 \longrightarrow 00:49:18.860$ that the patient is seen and

NOTE Confidence: 0.79765779625

 $00:49:18.909 \longrightarrow 00:49:20.249$ that's really important too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79765779625

 $00:49:20.250 \longrightarrow 00:49:21.858$ But we could also suggest some

NOTE Confidence: 0.79765779625

00:49:21.858 --> 00:49:23.982 maybe some work up it you know to

NOTE Confidence: 0.79765779625

 $00:49:23.982 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.550$ get things going while the while

NOTE Confidence: 0.79765779625

 $00:49:25.550 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.150$ the appointment details are are

NOTE Confidence: 0.79765779625

 $00:49:27.150 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.141$ processing you know we I might say

NOTE Confidence: 0.79765779625

 $00:49:29.141 \longrightarrow 00:49:31.050$ oh you have a CAT scan that's great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79765779625

 $00{:}49{:}31.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}32.170$ We would also probably want a PET

NOTE Confidence: 0.79765779625

00:49:32.170 --> 00:49:33.453 scan and a brain MRI you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.79765779625

 $00{:}49{:}33.453 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}34.742$ like let's get that started. So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79765779625

00:49:34.742 --> 00:49:37.066 So I I think it's actually great

NOTE Confidence: 0.79765779625

 $00:49:37.066 \longrightarrow 00:49:38.838$ to reach out to us and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79765779625

 $00{:}49{:}38.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}39.419$ Um, I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79765779625

 $00:49:39.419 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.167$ I think any of us would be happy

NOTE Confidence: 0.79765779625

 $00{:}49{:}41.167 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}42.857$ to help with initial guidance

 $00:49:42.857 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.209$ and and expediting appointments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799193958

00:49:45.550 --> 00:49:48.033 Yeah, and I think, Umm, I asked Renee

NOTE Confidence: 0.799193958

 $00:49:48.033 \longrightarrow 00:49:50.309$ to put my cell phone in the chat too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799193958

00:49:50.310 --> 00:49:54.164 You can call, you know, call me anytime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799193958

 $00:49:54.164 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.432$ Just what Sarah said is sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.799193958

 $00:49:56.432 \longrightarrow 00:49:58.809$ that quick phone call we can say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799193958

 $00:49:58.810 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.903$ well, I'll see them and if you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.799193958

00:50:00.903 --> 00:50:02.626 OK ordering a pet, we're getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.799193958

00:50:02.626 --> 00:50:04.397 enough a different kind of a CT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799193958

 $00:50:04.400 \longrightarrow 00:50:06.170$ It helps when we see them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799193958

 $00{:}50{:}06.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}08.683$ What I try and do is typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.799193958

00:50:08.683 --> 00:50:11.170 what happens is when you call us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799193958

 $00{:}50{:}11.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}12.906$ you know, it's likely that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.799193958

 $00:50:12.910 \longrightarrow 00:50:15.534$ you're in the room with the patient and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799193958

 $00:50:15.540 \longrightarrow 00:50:18.088$ Should I try and do is Umm,

 $00:50:18.090 \longrightarrow 00:50:19.920$ you know touch base with them

NOTE Confidence: 0.799193958

 $00{:}50{:}19.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}21.437$ hopefully either that night or

NOTE Confidence: 0.799193958

00:50:21.437 --> 00:50:23.124 the next day myself just so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.799193958

 $00{:}50{:}23.124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}24.865$ they know that we've talked and at

NOTE Confidence: 0.799193958

00:50:24.865 --> 00:50:26.550 least for me you can always give

NOTE Confidence: 0.799193958

 $00{:}50{:}26.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}27.990$ my cell phone to the patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.799193958

 $00:50:27.990 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.184$ I'm happy to have them text

NOTE Confidence: 0.799193958

 $00:50:29.184 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.370$ or call me directly as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87523999

00:50:33.730 --> 00:50:36.096 Great. And I am seeing right here

NOTE Confidence: 0.972425966666667

 $00:50:39.530 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.000$ 8 O 27344231 especially for those

NOTE Confidence: 0.972425966666667

 $00{:}50{:}43.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}44.530$ of you in the Bridgeport region.

NOTE Confidence: 0.972425966666667

 $00:50:44.530 \longrightarrow 00:50:47.995$ I think the the other thing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.972425966666667

 $00:50:47.995 \longrightarrow 00:50:50.560$ probably bears repeating again is

NOTE Confidence: 0.972425966666667

 $00:50:50.560 \longrightarrow 00:50:53.530$ access to you know the pulmonary

NOTE Confidence: 0.972425966666667

 $00:50:53.530 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.262$ nodule programs and how that

NOTE Confidence: 0.972425966666667

00:50:55.262 --> 00:50:57.010 looks in the various regions.

00:50:57.010 --> 00:50:58.788 It looks like Bridgeport has

NOTE Confidence: 0.972425966666667

 $00:50:58.788 \longrightarrow 00:51:00.178$ a great one built out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.972425966666667

 $00{:}51{:}00.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}02.010$ What what's the status of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.972425966666667

 $00:51:02.010 \longrightarrow 00:51:02.925$ in other regions?

NOTE Confidence: 0.972425966666667

 $00:51:02.930 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.764$ Where we have any MG and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.972425966666667

 $00:51:04.764 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.220$ other primary care clinicians.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905801578571429

00:51:10.080 --> 00:51:11.179 And do you want to take that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89944494

 $00:51:12.940 \longrightarrow 00:51:15.597$ Yeah, I was trying to get the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.882973588421052

 $00{:}51{:}15.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}18.708$ so Renee actually put into the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.882973588421052

 $00{:}51{:}18.708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}21.360$ question and answer and I'm not

NOTE Confidence: 0.882973588421052

 $00:51:21.360 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.826$ sure that everybody can see that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882973588421052

 $00:51:23.830 \longrightarrow 00:51:26.385$ But that's the link to the lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.882973588421052

 $00{:}51{:}26.385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}28.256$ screening that's across the network

NOTE Confidence: 0.882973588421052

 $00:51:28.256 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.720$ and it actually shows you who the

NOTE Confidence: 0.882973588421052

00:51:30.720 --> 00:51:32.666 contact person is in New Haven,

00:51:32.670 --> 00:51:35.174 Lynn, Tenui, Polly, Sather,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882973588421052

 $00:51:35.174 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.870$ who the contact person is in Bridgeport

NOTE Confidence: 0.882973588421052

 $00{:}51{:}38.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}41.237$ and then in Greenwich, etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882973588421052

00:51:41.237 --> 00:51:44.086 And so that's probably the best way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882973588421052

 $00:51:44.090 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.186$ And somebody also asked for the epic link.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882973588421052

00:51:46.190 --> 00:51:47.682 And I guess, Renee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882973588421052

 $00:51:47.682 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.250$ there's also if we go back to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882973588421052

 $00:51:50.250 \longrightarrow 00:51:54.114$ for example, I think the slide deck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882973588421052

 $00:51:54.120 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.360$ Dan had provided the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882973588421052

 $00:51:56.360 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.480$ The epic referral to pulmonary nodule clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88297358842105200:52:00.480 --> 00:52:02.050 So that

NOTE Confidence: 0.818296885

 $00:52:02.060 \longrightarrow 00:52:04.460$ actually bears putting up again because

NOTE Confidence: 0.818296885

 $00:52:04.460 \longrightarrow 00:52:07.480$ it's not intuitive when you search for it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818296885

 $00:52:07.480 \longrightarrow 00:52:09.336$ You have to kind of know the name.

NOTE Confidence: 0.725613045

00:52:10.660 --> 00:52:12.140 So I don't know, Renee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.725613045

 $00:52:12.140 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.830$ you can share that again.

 $00:52:16.210 \longrightarrow 00:52:19.206$ Megan, I think if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.59198725

00:52:19.206 --> 00:52:20.388 search pulmonary nodule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831350914

00:52:20.390 --> 00:52:23.259 On the Epic order platform, it'll come up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820855937333333

00:52:24.160 --> 00:52:26.280 It says Jan was in my office with

NOTE Confidence: 0.820855937333333

00:52:26.280 --> 00:52:28.480 Megan and he actually just tried it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820855937333333

00:52:28.480 --> 00:52:30.928 I just opened up a patient's chart and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.820855937333333

 $00:52:30.928 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.871$ typed in the word poll nodule and the that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820855937333333

00:52:33.871 --> 00:52:36.373 It came up quite easily actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820855937333333

 $00:52:36.380 \longrightarrow 00:52:38.354$ So it was it was pretty similar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940035911666667

00:52:39.010 --> 00:52:44.520 And if you keep on going, oops, go back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940035911666667

 $00:52:44.520 \longrightarrow 00:52:46.580$ There's ambulatory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940035911666667

00:52:46.580 --> 00:52:49.080 Referral to pulmonary nodule clinic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940035911666667

 $00{:}52{:}49.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}51.336$ you know I think we've done a really

NOTE Confidence: 0.940035911666667

 $00{:}52{:}51.336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}53.691$ great job of of creating a whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.940035911666667

 $00:52:53.691 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.411$ network for lung screening that's

 $00:52:55.477 \longrightarrow 00:52:57.382$ actually work that's done that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.940035911666667

00:52:57.382 --> 00:53:00.202 been headed by shavir Laurent and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.940035911666667

 $00:53:00.202 \longrightarrow 00:53:02.026$ genetics prevention and screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940035911666667

 $00:53:02.030 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.439$ And we have regular meetings of all

NOTE Confidence: 0.940035911666667

 $00:53:05.439 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.506$ the medical physician leaders but

NOTE Confidence: 0.940035911666667

 $00:53:07.506 \longrightarrow 00:53:10.040$ also of all the the the coordinators

NOTE Confidence: 0.940035911666667

 $00:53:10.111 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.428$ just to make sure that we're learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.940035911666667

00:53:12.428 --> 00:53:14.737 from each other and and doing things

NOTE Confidence: 0.940035911666667

 $00{:}53{:}14.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}16.518$ in a standard way across the network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789182196

 $00:53:20.750 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.180$ Hopefully that answers the question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92960034

00:53:24.470 --> 00:53:26.426 Yeah. No, I think we've actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.92960034

 $00:53:26.426 \longrightarrow 00:53:27.730$ addressed all the questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92960034

 $00:53:27.730 \longrightarrow 00:53:30.212$ Are there on Steve or anybody else?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92960034

 $00:53:30.212 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.544$ Do do we have any questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.92960034

 $00:53:31.550 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.128$ for each other or should we?

NOTE Confidence: 0.847217285

00:53:34.220 --> 00:53:38.890 Call it a successful program. Give everybody

 $00:53:38.900 \longrightarrow 00:53:39.839$ back 4 minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909024561428571

 $00:53:42.840 \longrightarrow 00:53:45.955$ Yeah. So, so thanks everyone for coming.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909024561428571

 $00:53:45.960 \longrightarrow 00:53:48.480$ Again we we have an emia

NOTE Confidence: 0.909024561428571

 $00:53:48.480 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.000$ that's teed up for December.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909024561428571

 $00:53:51.000 \longrightarrow 00:53:53.457$ Please send us every send us some

NOTE Confidence: 0.909024561428571

 $00:53:53.457 \longrightarrow 00:53:55.439$ some feedback about this program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909024561428571

00:53:55.440 --> 00:53:57.784 Again, we're really trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.909024561428571

 $00:53:57.784 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.952$ to to dial into questions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.909024561428571

 $00{:}54{:}00.952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}02.944$ perspectives from primary care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909024561428571

 $00:54:02.950 \longrightarrow 00:54:05.260$ I think the the overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.909024561428571

 $00{:}54{:}05.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}06.920$ picture for lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909024561428571

 $00:54:06.920 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.995$ I'm also a thoracic medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.909024561428571

 $00{:}54{:}08.995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}10.741$ on cologist as Sarah said and

NOTE Confidence: 0.909024561428571

 $00:54:10.741 \longrightarrow 00:54:12.637$ Mike said folks on the screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909024561428571

 $00:54:12.640 \longrightarrow 00:54:14.950$ Is that there really has been an

 $00:54:14.950 \longrightarrow 00:54:17.245$ amazing amount of research and people

NOTE Confidence: 0.909024561428571

 $00:54:17.245 \longrightarrow 00:54:19.705$ are living longer and longer for

NOTE Confidence: 0.909024561428571

 $00{:}54{:}19.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}22.034$ something that previously was really a

NOTE Confidence: 0.909024561428571

 $00:54:22.034 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.794$ terminal sentence of a year or less.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909024561428571

00:54:24.794 --> 00:54:26.150 People, not everybody,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909024561428571

 $00{:}54{:}26.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}28.630$ but there are many people who are doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.909024561428571

 $00:54:28.630 \longrightarrow 00:54:31.510$ much better and living longer life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909024561428571

 $00:54:31.510 \longrightarrow 00:54:33.028$ So we're really excited about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909024561428571

 $00:54:33.030 \longrightarrow 00:54:34.734$ So thank you very much everybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.909024561428571

 $00:54:34.734 \longrightarrow 00:54:36.610$ and have a good, have a great night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909024561428571

 $00{:}54{:}37.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}39.000$ Thank you.