WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:56:23.4000000"

NOTE recognizability:0.850

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333

00:00:02.880 --> 00:00:04.068 OK. Good morning, everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333

 $00:00:04.068 \rightarrow 00:00:06.559$ We're going to go ahead and get started.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333

00:00:06.560 --> 00:00:07.676 Thank you all for being here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333

 $00:00:07.680 \dashrightarrow 00:00:09.876$ We have a really special treat for you today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333

 $00:00:09.880 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.765$ So we are being joined

NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333

00:00:11.765 --> 00:00:13.273 by Doctor Kathy Bradley,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333

 $00{:}00{:}13.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}15.513$ who is Professor and Dean of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333

 $00{:}00{:}15{.}513 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}17{.}497$ Colorado School of Public Health as

NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333

 $00:00:17.497 \rightarrow 00:00:19.730$ well as the Deputy Director of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333

00:00:19.800 --> 00:00:22.120 University of Colorado Cancer Center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333

 $00{:}00{:}22.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}24.196$ where she also holds the Paul

NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333

 $00{:}00{:}24.196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}26.280$ Bunn Chair in Cancer Research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333

 $00:00:26.280 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.355$ So Prior to joining the

00:00:28.355 --> 00:00:29.926 University of Colorado Dean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333

 $00{:}00{:}29{.}926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}31{.}556$ Bradley was the founding Chair

NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333

 $00:00:31.556 \rightarrow 00:00:33.297$ of the Department of Healthcare

NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333

00:00:33.297 --> 00:00:35.157 Policy and Research for VCU.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333

 $00{:}00{:}35{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}36{.}990$ She's a health economist and

NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333

 $00{:}00{:}36{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}39{.}767$ received her PhD and MPA from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333

00:00:39.767 --> 00:00:41.515 University of North Carolina,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333

 $00:00:41.520 \longrightarrow 00:00:42.140$ Chapel Hill.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333

 $00{:}00{:}42.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}44.000$ She has served on the National

NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333

00:00:44.000 --> 00:00:45.120 Academies of Science,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333

00:00:45.120 --> 00:00:47.620 Engineering and Medicine's National Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333

 $00:00:47.620 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.595$ Policy Forum and formerly served on

NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333

 $00:00:50.595 \rightarrow 00:00:52.995$ the National Advisory Committee to HRQ.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333

 $00:00:53.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.285$ She currently serves on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333

 $00:00:55.285 \dashrightarrow 00:00:57.113$ Methodology Committee for Pacori.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333

00:00:57.120 --> 00:00:59.034 Doctor Bradley has received numerous awards

- NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333
- $00:00:59.034 \rightarrow 00:01:01.159$ and honors including the Women in Science,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333
- 00:01:01.160 --> 00:01:03.040 Dentistry and Medicine Professional
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333
- 00:01:03.040 --> 00:01:04.920 Achievement Award and Leadership,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333
- $00{:}01{:}04{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}07{.}182$ and she maintains an active resource
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333
- $00:01:07.182 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.208$ portfolio of NIH and Foundation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333
- $00{:}01{:}09{.}208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}11.872$ funded grants where she leads research
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333
- 00:01:11.872 --> 00:01:14.816 related to cancer disparities and outcomes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333
- $00:01:14.816 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.632$ financial burden,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333
- $00{:}01{:}15.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}17.672$ and labor market outcomes of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333
- 00:01:17.672 --> 00:01:18.760 cancer survivors.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900153588333333
- 00:01:18.760 --> 00:01:21.000 Please join me in welcoming Dean Bradley.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.968073910555555
- $00{:}01{:}26.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}28.700$ Good morning, every one and thank
- NOTE Confidence: 0.968073910555555
- $00:01:28.700 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.927$ you for that introduction and thank
- NOTE Confidence: 0.968073910555555
- $00{:}01{:}30{.}927 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}33{.}076$ you for the opportunity to be here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.968073910555555
- $00:01:33.080 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.066$ I'm so fortunate to have had
- NOTE Confidence: 0.968073910555555

 $00:01:35.066 \rightarrow 00:01:37.120$ two beautiful days in New Haven.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968073910555555

 $00:01:37.120 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.432$ It's just been fantastic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.968073910555555

 $00:01:38.432 \rightarrow 00:01:40.400$ I'm happy to report that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.968073910555555

 $00:01:40.463 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.035$ did get to try the pizza.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789162903636364

 $00{:}01{:}44.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}45.630$ Everyone, when I tell them

NOTE Confidence: 0.789162903636364

00:01:45.630 - 00:01:47.400 I was given a talk here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789162903636364

00:01:47.400 - 00:01:48.636 they were saying make sure you

NOTE Confidence: 0.789162903636364

 $00:01:48.636 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.959$ get out and try the pizza.

NOTE Confidence: 0.789162903636364

 $00{:}01{:}49{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}50{.}785$ So yesterday morning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.789162903636364

 $00{:}01{:}50.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}52.435$ that's what I had for breakfast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333

 $00{:}01{:}54{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}58{.}200$ So and this is not my first time being in

NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333

 $00:01:58.280 \rightarrow 00:02:01.600$ New Haven and giving grand rounds at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333

00:02:01.600 --> 00:02:03.370 The first time I did it

NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333

 $00:02:03.370 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.880$ was probably 20 years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333

 $00{:}02{:}04.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}07.491$ I was an assistant professor at Michigan

NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333

00:02:07.491 --> 00:02:09.959 State University and Doctor Ruth Mccorkle,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- 00:02:09.960 --> 00:02:11.598 who was a professor in nursing,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- $00:02:11.600 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.676$ invited me out and the room
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- 00:02:13.676 --> 00:02:14.714 was entirely different.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- 00:02:14.720 --> 00:02:16.477 And I was telling Michaela about it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- $00:02:16.480 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.556$ that it was in the this room that was like a,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- $00:02:19.560 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.653$ a well that looked down where they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- $00:02:21.653 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.068$ used to do the old grand rounds
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- $00:02:24.068 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.878$ with the patient down below.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- $00:02:25.880 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.121$ And it had to be probably one of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- $00:02:28.121 \rightarrow 00:02:30.558$ most intimidating things I've ever done,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- $00:02:30.560 \rightarrow 00:02:32.639$ being there as an assistant professor and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- $00:02:32.639 \rightarrow 00:02:35.080$ then sort of in that particular setting.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- $00:02:35.080 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.839$ But it is fantastic to be back.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- 00:02:38.840 --> 00:02:40.605 I titled my presentation The
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- $00:02:40.605 \dashrightarrow 00:02:42.370$ Winding Path between Medicaid and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333

00:02:42.436 --> 00:02:43.918 Cancer Health Disparities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333

 $00:02:43.920 \rightarrow 00:02:46.380$ And that's because nothing with Medicaid

NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333

00:02:46.380 --> 00:02:47.930 is straightforward and certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333

 $00:02:47.930 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.755$ nothing with cancer is straightforward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333

 $00:02:49.760 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.155$ That too tends to be quite circuitous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333

 $00{:}02{:}53{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}55{.}236$ So before launching into the presentation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333

 $00{:}02{:}55{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}57{.}460$ I want to sort of go ahead and do the

NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333

 $00:02:57.527 \rightarrow 00:02:59.711$ spoiler alert and talk about the three

NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333

 $00:02:59.711 \rightarrow 00:03:02.160$ things that I think are are takeaways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333

 $00{:}03{:}02{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}04.752$ The 1st is just the complexity of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333

 $00{:}03{:}04.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}07.600$ problem, and I think as researchers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333

00:03:07.600 - 00:03:10.008 we like a good problem, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333

 $00:03:10.008 \rightarrow 00:03:13.080$ So this one is a particularly good problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333

 $00:03:13.080 \rightarrow 00:03:15.635$ That is something with lots of facets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333

 $00:03:15.640 \rightarrow 00:03:17.280$ And as you think about your own research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333

 $00:03:17.280 \rightarrow 00:03:19.640$ especially if you're doing disparities,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- $00:03:19.640 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.320$ it's hard not to talk about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- 00:03:21.320 --> 00:03:22.440 Medicaid and Medicaid coverage.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- $00:03:22.440 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.248$ It's probably our best hope for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- $00{:}03{:}25{.}248 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}27{.}532$ narrowing disparities that just seem
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- $00:03:27.532 \rightarrow 00:03:30.236$ to be widening no matter what we do.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- $00{:}03{:}30{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}33{.}033$ But it does provide coverage to a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- $00:03:33.033 \dashrightarrow 00:03:35.919$ population that so desperately needs it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- $00:03:35.920 \rightarrow 00:03:38.495$ And so understanding the program
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- $00:03:38.495 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.040$ is pretty critical.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- $00:03:40.040 \rightarrow 00:03:43.202$ And in places that haven't expanded
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- 00:03:43.202 --> 00:03:45.310 Medicaid or really maligned
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- $00:03:45.400 \dashrightarrow 00:03:48.080$ against the Affordable Care Act,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- $00:03:48.080 \rightarrow 00:03:50.552$ one of the arguments you frequently
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- $00{:}03{:}50{.}552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}52{.}200$ hear is substandard care.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- $00:03:52.200 \dashrightarrow 00:03:55.264$ It's just terrible healthcare.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333

 $00:03:55.264 \rightarrow 00:03:58.760$ And we whether that's true or not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333

 $00{:}03{:}58.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}00.668$ it's something that is said frequently

NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333

 $00:04:00.668 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.320$ and it's up to us to figure that out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333

00:04:03.320 --> 00:04:05.320 And if it is substandard in some places,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333

 $00{:}04{:}05{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}07{.}210$ where are those places and how do

NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333

 $00:04:07.210 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.998$ we improve it in our policies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333

 $00{:}04{:}09{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}11{.}219$ So that's the first area is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333

 $00:04:11.219 \rightarrow 00:04:13.080$ really think about this problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333

 $00{:}04{:}13.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}15.156$ The second thing is around data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333

 $00:04:15.160 \dashrightarrow 00:04:18.598$ And we all need data to do our research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333

00:04:18.600 --> 00:04:19.960 But but you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333

 $00:04:19.960 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.800$ secretly we hate data because

NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333

 $00:04:21.800 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.200$ it's so hard to acquire.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333

 $00:04:24.200 \rightarrow 00:04:26.120$ And once we finally got it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333

 $00:04:26.120 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.588$ you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333

 $00:04:26.588 \rightarrow 00:04:28.460$ we get it from these agencies that are

- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- $00:04:28.511 \rightarrow 00:04:30.555$ holding data that aren't met for research.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- $00:04:30.560 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.636$ We take it and we're clever.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- $00:04:32.640 \rightarrow 00:04:33.756$ We're going to merge it with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- $00:04:33.756 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.760$ different data sets and stuff.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- $00:04:34.760 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.440$ But now what do we have in front of us?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- $00{:}04{:}37{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}39{.}500$ And it's understanding that data
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- $00:04:39.500 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.840$ and the importance of doing so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- $00:04:41.840 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.256$ And then the final take away is really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- $00{:}04{:}44.256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}46.440$ all about the data infrastructure.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- $00:04:46.440 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.254$ And by not having a good infrastructure
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- $00:04:49.254 \rightarrow 00:04:52.235$ because our health system in the United
- NOTE Confidence: 0.890891883333333
- $00:04:52.235 \dashrightarrow 00:04:54.355$ States is so incredibly fragmented
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966189317826087
- $00{:}04{:}54{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}56{.}940$ that we don't have a comprehensive
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966189317826087
- $00{:}04{:}56{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}59{.}547$ data infra structure that we can just
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966189317826087
- $00{:}04{:}59{.}547 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}01{.}592$ pull down and understand what's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966189317826087

 $00:05:01.592 \rightarrow 00:05:04.000$ happening with the quality of care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.966189317826087

 $00{:}05{:}04.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}07.360$ especially with those who most need it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966189317826087

 $00:05:07.360 \dashrightarrow 00:05:09.600$ So at the end of the presentation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.966189317826087

 $00:05:09.600 \rightarrow 00:05:11.200$ I leave time for questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966189317826087

 $00:05:11.200 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.594$ So please be thinking about those questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966189317826087

 $00:05:13.600 \rightarrow 00:05:15.320$ I really love that part.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966189317826087

 $00:05:15.320 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.560$ And being able to have a discussion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.966189317826087

 $00:05:17.560 \rightarrow 00:05:19.800$ I think that's the most interesting thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966189317826087

00:05:19.800 --> 00:05:23.204 So going forward, first I'd like to

NOTE Confidence: 0.966189317826087

 $00:05:23.204 \rightarrow 00:05:24.559$ just acknowledge the wonderful people

NOTE Confidence: 0.966189317826087

 $00{:}05{:}24.559 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}26.359$ I have the pleasure to work with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966189317826087

00:05:26.360 --> 00:05:27.470 Marcelo, Rich,

NOTE Confidence: 0.966189317826087

 $00{:}05{:}27{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}30{.}800$ Sarah and Faye All from Colorado.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966189317826087

00:05:30.800 --> 00:05:32.016 Lindsay Sabick,

NOTE Confidence: 0.966189317826087

 $00:05:32.016 \rightarrow 00:05:34.550$ University of Pittsburgh always love

NOTE Confidence: 0.966189317826087

 $00:05:34.550 \rightarrow 00:05:37.035$ working with her and then our colleagues

- NOTE Confidence: 0.966189317826087
- $00:05:37.035 \dashrightarrow 00:05:39.167$ at the cancer registry and civic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966189317826087
- $00:05:39.167 \dashrightarrow 00:05:41.719$ who holds the all payer claims data.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966189317826087
- $00{:}05{:}41.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}44.939$ Colorado is one of the few states that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966189317826087
- $00:05:44.939 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.250$ have an all payer claims database that's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966189317826087
- $00:05:48.340 \rightarrow 00:05:51.304$ available for research and they have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966189317826087
- $00{:}05{:}51{.}304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}53{.}512$ been wonderful about working with us,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966189317826087
- $00:05:53.520 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.520$ but they are not cheap.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572
- $00:05:57.040 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.920$ The agenda for the talk,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572
- $00{:}05{:}58{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}00{.}720$ just an overview of Medicaid
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572
- $00:06:00.720 \rightarrow 00:06:03.319$ getting us all on the same page,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572
- $00:06:03.320 \rightarrow 00:06:06.720$ factors that affect cancer outcomes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572
- 00:06:06.720 --> 00:06:09.320 specific factors about Medicaid and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572
- $00{:}06{:}09{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}11.816$ that enrollment that can affect what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572
- $00{:}06{:}11.816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}14.000$ happens to you once you're diagnosed,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572
- $00{:}06{:}14.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}17.156$ treated and become a cancer survivor.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00:06:17.160 \rightarrow 00:06:19.320$ Then the factors that affect research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00{:}06{:}19{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}21{.}198$ the incomplete data we might have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00{:}06{:}21{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}24{.}105$ the nuances of our data and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00:06:24.105 \rightarrow 00:06:25.640$ importance of understanding it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00:06:25.640 \rightarrow 00:06:28.040$ And then just to get a sense from all of you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00{:}06{:}28.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}30.188$ the disparities between Medicaid

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00{:}06{:}30{.}188 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}32{.}650$ and other forms of insurance

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00:06:32.650 \rightarrow 00:06:35.200$ are what is going on there?

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00{:}06{:}35{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}36{.}960$ Is it inadequate care?

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00:06:36.960 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.600$ What do we do about it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00{:}06{:}39{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}42{.}225$ And then wrapping up with

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00:06:42.225 \rightarrow 00:06:43.800$ some future directions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00:06:43.800 \rightarrow 00:06:45.600$ Nothing really to disclose except

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

00:06:45.600 --> 00:06:48.438 that this grant was funded by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

00:06:48.438 --> 00:06:51.290 National Cancer Institute and Marcelo

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00:06:51.290 \dashrightarrow 00:06:55.640$ and I are Co principal investigators.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572
- $00:06:55.640 \rightarrow 00:06:57.920$ So why this is such an interesting problem?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572
- $00:06:57.920 \dashrightarrow 00:07:00.300$ And I have the puzzle pieces there
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572
- 00:07:00.300 --> 00:07:01.852 because I'm guessing like me,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572
- 00:07:01.852 --> 00:07:04.360 many of you enjoy a good puzzle, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572
- $00{:}07{:}04.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}06.280$ Figure things out.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572
- $00{:}07{:}06.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}09.636$ So what we want to understand is Medicaid,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572
- $00:07:09.640 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.114$ is it a safety net savior or this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572
- 00:07:13.114 --> 00:07:15.199 malign purveyor of inadequate care?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572
- $00:07:15.200 \longrightarrow 00:07:16.488$ Which one is it?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572
- $00:07:16.488 \rightarrow 00:07:20.078$ So many years ago when I was here presenting,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572
- 00:07:20.080 --> 00:07:21.620 I was actually presenting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572
- $00{:}07{:}21.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}23.160$ about Medicaid and cancer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572
- $00:07:23.160 \dashrightarrow 00:07:25.169$ sort of the very first research I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572
- $00{:}07{:}25.169 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}27.628$ did in this area and was trying
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572
- $00:07:27.628 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.160$ to really understand things.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

00:07:29.160 --> 00:07:30.273 And you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

00:07:30.273 --> 00:07:32.870 I was young and and stupid

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

00:07:32.960 - 00:07:35.350 and many employees and working

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00:07:35.350 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.525$ with the state health department

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00{:}07{:}37{.}525 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}39{.}288$ around getting their Medicaid

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00{:}07{:}39{.}288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}41{.}640$ data and merging it with cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00{:}07{:}41.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}44.784$ And it was really a complicated process and

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00:07:44.784 \dashrightarrow 00:07:47.718$ they didn't want to let go of their data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

00:07:47.720 --> 00:07:50.580 And I have found that these individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00{:}07{:}50{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}54{.}360$ insured by Medicaid were had worse survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

00:07:54.360 --> 00:07:56.816 And I said something along the lines of

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00:07:56.816 \dashrightarrow 00:07:59.637$ it's a safety net just above the grave,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00{:}07{:}59{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}01{.}782$ which did not make the Medicaid people

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00:08:01.782 \dashrightarrow 00:08:04.080$ want to give me their data anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00{:}08{:}04{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}06{.}656$ And that wasn't a way to form

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00:08:06.656 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.392$ the relationship.

 $00:08:07.400 \rightarrow 00:08:10.400$ So an important lesson learned at that time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00:08:10.400 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.456$ but it formed kind of the basis really

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00:08:13.456 \rightarrow 00:08:16.002$ of understanding why is it that people

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

00:08:16.002 --> 00:08:18.367 who are insured by Medicaid did so

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00:08:18.367 \rightarrow 00:08:20.480$ much worse and was it the insurance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00:08:20.480 \longrightarrow 00:08:22.000$ Was it something about them?

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

00:08:22.000 --> 00:08:22.528 Was it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

00:08:22.528 --> 00:08:23.056 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

00:08:23.056 - 00:08:24.640 that they had tons of comorbidities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00:08:24.640 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.426$ got in late but kind of led

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00:08:27.426 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.400$ to this circuitous journey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00{:}08{:}29{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}31{.}544$ So the next point of enroll too late

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00{:}08{:}31{.}544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}33{.}518$ and lack of continuous coverage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00{:}08{:}33{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}35{.}235$ If you come in once you're diagnosed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00{:}08{:}35{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}37{.}285$ you probably have later stage

00:08:37.285 --> 00:08:39.330 disease and probably have other

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00{:}08{:}39{.}398 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}41.876$ problems that aren't being cared for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00:08:41.880 \rightarrow 00:08:44.316$ So what's the right comparison group?

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00:08:44.320 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.084$ Is it people have had insurance

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

00:08:46.084 --> 00:08:48.103 all along or people have Medicaid

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00:08:48.103 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.279$ insurance all along.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

00:08:49.280 --> 00:08:50.064 So anyway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00:08:50.064 \rightarrow 00:08:51.825$ thinking about that reimbursement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00{:}08{:}51{.}825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}53{.}580$ So over time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00{:}08{:}53.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}57.090$ we know Medicaid reimbursed at a

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00:08:57.188 \rightarrow 00:09:00.464$ much lower rate even for people

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00{:}09{:}00{.}464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}03{.}520$ who are diagnosed through the CDCS

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00{:}09{:}03{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}06{.}160$ National Cancer or breast and cervical

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00{:}09{:}06{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}08{.}381$ cancer early detection program that

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00:09:08.381 \rightarrow 00:09:10.326$ once they're enrolled in Medicaid

NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572

 $00:09:10.326 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.400$ for treatment of their cancer,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.936364572
- $00:09:12.400 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.175$ that care is reimbursed in

00:09:14.175 --> 00:09:15.950 some states at an even

NOTE Confidence: 0.925936984705882

 $00:09:16.030 \rightarrow 00:09:19.075$ lower rate than a normal Medicaid patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925936984705882

 $00:09:19.080 \rightarrow 00:09:22.056$ So there's all kinds of things that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.925936984705882

 $00{:}09{:}22.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}24.920$ do around reimbursement that prohibits

NOTE Confidence: 0.925936984705882

 $00:09:24.920 \dashrightarrow 00:09:27.216$ access and then data complexity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925936984705882

 $00{:}09{:}27.216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}29.236$ Medicaid data is a mess.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925936984705882

 $00:09:29.240 \rightarrow 00:09:31.640$ I mean, it is just a complete mess.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925936984705882

00:09:31.640 --> 00:09:32.984 People come into Medicaid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925936984705882

 $00:09:32.984 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.000$ they drop off the next month.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925936984705882

 $00:09:35.000 \rightarrow 00:09:37.198$ We don't know what happens to them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925936984705882

 $00{:}09{:}37{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}39{.}340$ And it's trying to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.925936984705882

 $00:09:39.340 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.480$ how they got into Medicaid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925936984705882

00:09:41.480 --> 00:09:44.000 And because Medicaid is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.925936984705882

 $00{:}09{:}44.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}46.520$ pair of absolute last resort,

 $00{:}09{:}46.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}48.824$ you may be missing claims if they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.925936984705882

 $00:09:48.824 \rightarrow 00:09:51.240$ any other type of health insurance. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.956897123181818

 $00:09:54.200 \dashrightarrow 00:09:56.350$ Medicaid is the largest insurance

NOTE Confidence: 0.956897123181818

 $00:09:56.350 \rightarrow 00:09:58.902$ program in the United States and

NOTE Confidence: 0.956897123181818

 $00{:}09{:}58{.}902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}01{.}184$ for most states it is larger now

NOTE Confidence: 0.956897123181818

 $00{:}10{:}01{.}184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}03{.}160$ than their education program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956897123181818

00:10:03.160 --> 00:10:07.082 So it is just a huge program across

NOTE Confidence: 0.956897123181818

 $00:10:07.082 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.248$ the country and in every state

NOTE Confidence: 0.956897123181818

00:10:09.248 --> 00:10:11.679 it is ministered differently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956897123181818

 $00:10:11.680 \rightarrow 00:10:17.024$ Large provider of people of color protects

NOTE Confidence: 0.956897123181818

00:10:17.024 --> 00:10:20.000 against major financial consequences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956897123181818

 $00{:}10{:}20{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}22{.}280$ which is what insurance is supposed to do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956897123181818

 $00:10:22.280 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.876$ is to give you that insurance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956897123181818

 $00:10:23.880 \rightarrow 00:10:26.320$ that insurance against losing everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956897123181818

 $00:10:26.320 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.960$ And then this huge variability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956897123181818

 $00:10:27.960 \rightarrow 00:10:31.362$ And the graph that I show is the proportion

- NOTE Confidence: 0.956897123181818
- $00:10:31.362 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.776$ of people by different racial ethnic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.956897123181818
- $00:10:33.776 \rightarrow 00:10:35.960$ groups that are covered by Medicaid
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913549963333333
- $00:10:38.720 \longrightarrow 00:10:39.944$ under the ACA.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913549963333333
- $00:10:39.944 \rightarrow 00:10:42.392$ We were supposed to expand Medicaid,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913549963333333
- $00:10:42.400 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.848$ but then it was left up to the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913549963333333
- 00:10:44.848 --> 00:10:47.344 states to do so. At this point,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913549963333333
- $00:10:47.344 \rightarrow 00:10:50.480$ 10 states have still not expanded Medicaid.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913549963333333
- $00{:}10{:}50{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}54{.}368$ North Carolina is set to begin was set
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913549963333333
- $00{:}10{:}54{.}368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}57{.}080$ to begin in the beginning of December
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913549963333333
- $00:10:57.080 \rightarrow 00:11:00.399$ if they are able to launch the program.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913549963333333
- $00:11:00.400 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.625$ So still some important holdouts
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913549963333333
- $00{:}11{:}03.625 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}06.506$ with Medicaid expansion and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913549963333333
- $00:11:06.506 \rightarrow 00:11:09.678$ in those particular states,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913549963333333
- $00{:}11{:}09.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}11.780$ the threshold for Medicaid to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913549963333333
- $00:11:11.780 \rightarrow 00:11:14.240$ qualify for Medicaid is quite low.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.913549963333333

 $00:11:14.240 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.570$ So one of the things we used to say in

NOTE Confidence: 0.913549963333333

 $00{:}11{:}16.635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}18.910$ Virginia was you really could not cut

NOTE Confidence: 0.913549963333333

00:11:18.910 --> 00:11:21.238 grass and still qualify for Medicaid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913549963333333

 $00:11:21.240 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.240$ The the level was 13% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.913549963333333

 $00:11:24.240 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.000$ the federal poverty line,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913549963333333

 $00:11:26.000 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.079$ pretty astounding right

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00{:}11{:}32{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}36{.}040$ As Medicaid expand, we do know that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00:11:36.040 \rightarrow 00:11:39.120$ provided a lot of good to a lot of people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00{:}11{:}39{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}41{.}400$ It did increase access to care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00{:}11{:}41{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}44{.}262$ We observed improvements in some health

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00{:}11{:}44{.}262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}47{.}147$ outcomes and it contributed to reductions

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00{:}11{:}47{.}147 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}49{.}841$ in racial dispar racial and ethnic

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

00:11:49.841 --> 00:11:52.240 disparities in healthcare coverage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00:11:52.240 \rightarrow 00:11:54.136$ So by and large it seemed to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00:11:54.136 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.715$ doing some of the things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00:11:55.715 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.275$ we had hoped that it would.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105
- $00:11:57.280 \longrightarrow 00:12:00.262$ We we've start to see improvements both

 $00{:}12{:}00{.}262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}03{.}560$ in access and in some health outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

00:12:03.560 - 00:12:05.240 In expansion states,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

00:12:05.240 --> 00:12:07.480 cancer survivors had greater

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00{:}12{:}07{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}10{.}360$ access to doctors and non compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00:12:10.360 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.960$ to non expansion states,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

00:12:11.960 --> 00:12:15.208 women had a lower odds of receiving

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

00:12:15.208 --> 00:12:17.520 recommended mammograms or Pap smears,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00{:}12{:}17.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}20.260$ and expansion was associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00:12:20.260 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.452$ earlier detection and appropriate

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00{:}12{:}22{.}452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}24{.}802$ cancer treatment and in reduced

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00{:}12{:}24.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}27.382$ mortality for those who were able

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00{:}12{:}27.458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}28.958$ to receive Medicaid coverage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

00:12:28.958 --> 00:12:31.611 So this is some of the work

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

00:12:31.611 --> 00:12:33.958 that Lindsay Sabek and I and

 $00:12:33.958 \rightarrow 00:12:35.794$ her colleagues were able to do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00{:}12{:}35{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}38{.}440$ showing pre and post expansion and

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00{:}12{:}38{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}40{.}640$ these differences that it made.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00{:}12{:}40{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}44{.}534$ So it does seem to be better than not

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00{:}12{:}44.534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}46.802$ having insurance and having to go

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00{:}12{:}46.802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}48.979$ through the traditional safety net of NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00:12:48.979 \rightarrow 00:12:51.640$ showing up at a safety net hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00:12:51.640 \rightarrow 00:12:53.712$ Despite these improvements though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

00:12:53.712 --> 00:12:56.820 we know that there's some variability

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00{:}12{:}56{.}896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}59{.}140$ that the same benefit was not

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00{:}12{:}59{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}00{.}636$ seen across the board.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00{:}13{:}00{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}04{.}392$ So we have here some evidence that

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00{:}13{:}04{.}392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}06{.}346$ newly diagnosed patients there

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00:13:06.346 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.076$ was improved 2 years survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

00:13:08.080 --> 00:13:10.960 But in cancer sites such as

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

00:13:10.960 --> 00:13:11.920 urologic malignancies,

 $00:13:11.920 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.077$ there was no change in stage at

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00:13:15.080 \rightarrow 00:13:17.195$ presentation And that the thyroid

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00{:}13{:}17.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}19.310$ cancer showed that Medicaid patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00:13:19.371 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.653$ were still likely to be diagnosed at

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00{:}13{:}21.653 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}24.142$ an advanced stage and less likely to

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00{:}13{:}24{.}142 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}26{.}314$ receive a guideline from coordinate care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00:13:26.320 \rightarrow 00:13:28.198$ So the pictures not really complete.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00{:}13{:}28{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}30{.}153$ We have evidence and that's a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00:13:30.153 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.200$ of what we do as researchers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00:13:32.200 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.616$ We we build a body of evidence and

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00:13:34.616 \rightarrow 00:13:36.639$ doesn't always agree with each other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00{:}13{:}36{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}38{.}848$ It's the body of evidence and we try

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00{:}13{:}38{.}848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}41{.}317$ to make the most out of the studies we NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00:13:41.317 \rightarrow 00:13:43.680$ have and to understand the validity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00:13:43.680 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.684$ the credibility that they do everything NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00:13:45.684 \rightarrow 00:13:48.017$ right and how does this body of

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00{:}13{:}48.017 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}50.194$ evidence build in One Direction or other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00:13:50.200 \rightarrow 00:13:52.520$ And what we see with cancer and Medicaid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00:13:52.520 \rightarrow 00:13:55.880$ it's not initially a clear story,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00{:}13{:}55{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}58{.}106$ but there's a signal and I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00{:}13{:}58{.}106 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}00{.}016$ it's a reasonably strong signal

NOTE Confidence: 0.892715105

 $00:14:00.016 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.796$ that Medicaid is beneficial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857

 $00:14:04.240 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.928$ So this is where I coming back to

NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857

 $00:14:06.928 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.426$ when I gave the talk here long ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857

 $00:14:10.426 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.266$ I looked at Medicaid merged

NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857

 $00{:}14{:}13.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}15.196$ with our state cancer registry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857

00:14:15.200 --> 00:14:18.960 We also merged in Medicare data as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857

 $00{:}14{:}18{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}21{.}360$ one of the first states to do that

NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857

 $00{:}14{:}21{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}24{.}507$ and my long term colleague who is

NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857

 $00{:}14{:}24{.}507 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}27{.}496$ still around had lots and lots of

NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857

 $00:14:27.496 \rightarrow 00:14:30.400$ experience in working with data sets.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857
- 00:14:30.400 --> 00:14:31.993 Very patient person,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857
- $00:14:31.993 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.117$ good contrast to me,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857
- $00:14:34.120 \rightarrow 00:14:36.115$ especially at that time in my life.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857
- $00:14:36.120 \rightarrow 00:14:38.563$ And he said the reason we're doing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857
- $00{:}14{:}38{.}563 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}40{.}913$ this is because we don't have a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857
- $00:14:40.913 \rightarrow 00:14:43.040$ meat grinder to put our hand in.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857
- $00:14:43.040 \rightarrow 00:14:46.840$ And so it was kind of an interesting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857
- $00:14:46.840 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.048$ way to think about having to go out
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857
- $00{:}14{:}49{.}048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}51{.}596$ and get this data from the state agency
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857
- $00{:}14{:}51{.}596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}54{.}069$ who had never used it for research
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857
- 00:14:54.069 --> 00:14:56.307 purposes and was in a completely
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857
- 00:14:56.307 --> 00:14:57.990 different part of the state agency
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857
- $00:14:57.990 \rightarrow 00:14:59.600$ where the cancer registry was held.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857
- $00:14:59.600 \rightarrow 00:15:00.640$ And we were just very,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857
- $00:15:00.640 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.776$ very fortunate that they were all
- NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857

 $00{:}15{:}02.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}04.814$ willing to work together and do

NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857

 $00{:}15{:}04{.}814 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}06{.}238$ this and create this resource.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857

 $00{:}15{:}06{.}238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}08{.}782$ And what we found there is that among

NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857

 $00:15:08.782 \rightarrow 00:15:10.878$ people who were insured by Medicaid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857

 $00:15:10.880 \longrightarrow 00:15:13.080$ So we have only Medicaid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857

00:15:13.080 --> 00:15:15.708 The differences between black and white

NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857

 $00{:}15{:}15{.}708$ --> $00{:}15{:}17{.}876$ women and mortality disappeared when

NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857

 $00:15:17.876 \rightarrow 00:15:20.354$ they received the same kind of treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857

 $00{:}15{:}20{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}21{.}400$ And that at the time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857

 $00:15:21.400 \rightarrow 00:15:22.680$ that's my most cited paper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857

00:15:22.680 --> 00:15:23.608 interestingly enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857

 $00{:}15{:}23.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}27.320$ and it was when I first published it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857

 $00{:}15{:}27{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}30{.}264$ Most of the papers that cited it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857

 $00:15:30.264 \rightarrow 00:15:32.376$ pointing out that's not the case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857

 $00:15:32.376 \rightarrow 00:15:34.440$ that there are important racial differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857

 $00:15:34.440 \rightarrow 00:15:36.680$ And now in recent years the citations are,

 $00:15:36.680 \rightarrow 00:15:39.008$ you know that is probably the case that

NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857

00:15:39.008 --> 00:15:41.438 if you do treat everybody the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857

00:15:41.440 --> 00:15:42.970 you're probably going to get the

NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857

 $00:15:42.970 \rightarrow 00:15:43.480$ same outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857

 $00{:}15{:}43{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}45{.}760$ The differences aren't that great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857

 $00{:}15{:}45{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}48{.}440$ So it was an interesting study and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857

 $00{:}15{:}48{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}50{.}670$ place that where having Medicaid data

NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857

 $00:15:50.670 \rightarrow 00:15:53.895$ and being able to look at people that

NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857

 $00:15:53.895 \rightarrow 00:15:56.673$ are about the same socioeconomic status,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905944137142857

 $00:15:56.680 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.640$ being able to see if there are differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

 $00{:}16{:}05{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}09{.}822$ So sorry, it looks like something has

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

 $00{:}16{:}09{.}822 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}12{.}237$ got out of order and apologies for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

 $00:16:12.240 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.640$ So we're going to start

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

 $00{:}16{:}13.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}15.040$ with the enroll too late.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

 $00{:}16{:}15{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}19{.}352$ So why is it that despite being able

 $00:16:19.352 \rightarrow 00:16:23.005$ to show that there are promising, NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623 00:16:23.005 --> 00:16:25.905 there's promising evidence towards NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623 00:16:25.905 --> 00:16:28.384 Medicaid being a beneficial NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623 $00:16:28.384 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.920$ expansion for individuals? NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623 $00:16:29.920 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.454$ Why is it that some of the NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623 $00:16:32.454 \rightarrow 00:16:34.200$ disparities continue to persist? NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623 $00{:}16{:}34{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}36{.}248$ And this is a study that I did NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623 $00:16:36.248 \rightarrow 00:16:38.608$ with the National Cancer Institute NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623 $00:16:38.608 \rightarrow 00:16:40.850$ colleagues where we actually NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623 00:16:40.850 --> 00:16:43.600 took national RCR Medicare group, NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623 $00:16:43.600 \rightarrow 00:16:45.525$ was interested in expanding to NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623 $00{:}16{:}45{.}525 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}48{.}213$ CR Medicaid and we merged the two NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623 $00:16:48.213 \rightarrow 00:16:50.277$ and started looking at the data. NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623 $00:16:50.280 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.158$ And what you find that many people NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623 00:16:54.160 --> 00:16:56.266 don't get into Medicaid until after NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623 $00:16:56.266 \rightarrow 00:16:58.120$ they've been diagnosed with cancer.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623
- $00{:}16{:}58{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}59{.}308$ And by many people,

 $00:16:59.308 \rightarrow 00:17:01.901$ I mean more than 1/3 or so really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

 $00:17:01.901 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.103$ don't show up into the system

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

 $00:17:04.103 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.600$ until they're diagnosed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

 $00{:}17{:}05{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}07{.}700$ So they go to an emergency

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

00:17:07.700 --> 00:17:08.400 department somewhere,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

 $00:17:08.400 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.500$ sometimes for something else,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

00:17:10.500 -> 00:17:12.600 sometimes it's for symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

 $00:17:12.600 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.992$ Some tests get done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

 $00{:}17{:}13{.}992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}16{.}080$ find out there's cancer and there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

00:17:16.150 --> 00:17:18.140 a social worker financial person

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

 $00{:}17{:}18{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}20{.}130$ at with associated the hospital

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

 $00{:}17{:}20.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}22.158$ who really whose job is to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

 $00{:}17{:}22.158 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}23.323$ sure they get paid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

 $00:17:23.323 \rightarrow 00:17:25.584$ They figure out that the person's eligible

 $00:17:25.584 \rightarrow 00:17:27.718$ for Medicaid and they get them enrolled.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

 $00{:}17{:}27.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}31.280$ Medicaid then becomes a retrospective

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

 $00{:}17{:}31.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}34.697$ coverage going back and picks up the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

 $00:17:34.697 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.399$ claims that occurred from diagnosis forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

 $00:17:38.400 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.675$ When they come in at that point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

 $00:17:40.680 \rightarrow 00:17:42.520$ it's because they're symptomatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

 $00:17:42.520 \rightarrow 00:17:44.360$ and they're having problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

00:17:44.360 --> 00:17:45.707 So of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

 $00{:}17{:}45{.}707 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}48{.}401$ Medicaid doesn't have much of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

 $00{:}17{:}48{.}401 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}51{.}156$ chance to really provide them the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

 $00:17:51.156 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.052$ kind of care where you're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

00:17:53.052 --> 00:17:55.673 to see the same mortality outcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

00:17:55.673 --> 00:17:58.838 even if they have screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

 $00{:}17{:}58.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}01.120$ So the breast and cervical cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

 $00:18:01.120 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.253$ program is an interesting one that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

 $00:18:03.253 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.150$ we were able to look at 'cause

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623
- $00:18:05.150 \rightarrow 00:18:07.717$ we could see how people came into

 $00:18:07.717 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.833$ the Medicaid program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

00:18:08.840 --> 00:18:11.549 So the CD CS program has been around a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

 $00:18:11.549 \rightarrow 00:18:14.720$ long time and it provides site specific care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

 $00{:}18{:}14.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}18.560$ So free screening to women who do not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

 $00{:}18{:}18{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}21{.}518$ have insurance coverage or who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

 $00{:}18{:}21{.}518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}23{.}448$ under insured but they don't qualify

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

 $00{:}18{:}23.448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}25.797$ for Medicaid can get free screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

 $00{:}18{:}25{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}27{.}914$ So they have a little bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

 $00:18:27.920 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.095$ money income resources than your

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

 $00{:}18{:}31.095 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}33.635$ typical Medicaid insured person.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

 $00{:}18{:}33{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}35{.}849$ So if they go through, get the screening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

 $00{:}18{:}35{.}849 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}38{.}267$ they are then enrolled in Medicaid

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

 $00{:}18{:}38{.}267 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}39{.}520$ for their care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623

00:18:39.520 --> 00:18:40.880 And you might ask, well,

- 00:18:40.880 --> 00:18:42.053 Gee, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623
- $00{:}18{:}42.053 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}44.399$ they have a higher income status.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623
- $00:18:44.400 \rightarrow 00:18:45.800$ They don't qualify for Medicaid.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623
- $00:18:45.800 \rightarrow 00:18:48.594$ They might be better off and we'd
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623
- $00:18:48.594 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.793$ expect them to do better than say,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623
- $00{:}18{:}51{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}53{.}768$ the person who's been enrolled in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623
- 00:18:53.768 --> 00:18:54.752 Medicaid all along.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623
- $00:18:54.760 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.920$ What we did in this study is we looked at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623
- $00{:}18{:}58{.}003 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}01{.}396$ women who came in through the CD CS program.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623
- $00{:}19{:}01{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}03{.}494$ We looked at women who've been
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623
- 00:19:03.494 --> 00:19:05.691 insured by Medicaid all along and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623
- $00{:}19{:}05{.}691 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}08{.}157$ those who came in after diagnosis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623
- $00:19:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.384$ And we're able to show that the across
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623
- $00:19:12.384 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.440$ the board that those who enrolled
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623
- $00:19:15.440 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.152$ in Medicaid all along did better,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623
- $00:19:18.152 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.932$ did better than those that

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623
- $00:19:19.932 \rightarrow 00:19:21.600$ came in through the CDC.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623
- $00{:}19{:}21.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}24.300$ Those who came in after
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623
- 00:19:24.300 --> 00:19:25.780 diagnosis and Medicaid,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623
- $00:19:25.780 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.600$ while not the same as privately
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623
- $00{:}19{:}28{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}30{.}807$ insured and we showed that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9370623
- $00:19:30.807 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.640$ here that they are still doing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897386908571429
- $00:19:34.640 \rightarrow 00:19:35.684$ much, much better.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897386908571429
- 00:19:35.684 --> 00:19:37.076 And in cervical cancer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897386908571429
- $00:19:37.080 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.190$ those who were continuously enrolled
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897386908571429
- $00:19:39.190 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.755$ in Medicaid actually did better than
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897386908571429
- 00:19:41.755 --> 00:19:43.720 women who were privately insured.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897386908571429
- $00{:}19{:}43.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}47.220$ So we are seeing a difference that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897386908571429
- $00{:}19{:}47.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}49.490$ doesn't the outcomes are not the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897386908571429
- $00{:}19{:}49{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}51{.}816$ same in terms of detection and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897386908571429
- $00{:}19{:}51{.}816$ --> $00{:}19{:}53{.}760$ mortality as private insurance.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897386908571429

- $00:19:53.760 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.624$ They're just not.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897386908571429
- $00{:}19{:}54.624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}56.880$ I mean, these are individuals with other
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897386908571429
- $00:19:56.880 \rightarrow 00:19:59.240$ kinds of problems and other challenges,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897386908571429
- $00:19:59.240 \rightarrow 00:20:01.880$ but if they have continuous coverage,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897386908571429
- $00{:}20{:}01{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}03{.}236$ they do better.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897386908571429
- $00{:}20{:}03{.}236 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}05{.}948$ It's the fact that Medicaid is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897386908571429
- $00:20:05.948 \rightarrow 00:20:08.691$ picking them up when it's already
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897386908571429
- $00:20:08.691 \rightarrow 00:20:11.913$ fairly late in their disease process.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897386908571429
- $00{:}20{:}11{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}13{.}608$ And if we think of it as a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897386908571429
- 00:20:13.608 --> 00:20:14.480 public insurance program,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897386908571429
- $00:20:14.480 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.117$ is that the best way to spend our money?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897386908571429
- $00:20:17.120 \rightarrow 00:20:19.760$ We're not going to have the best outcomes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897386908571429
- $00:20:19.760 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.632$ It is expensive care.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897386908571429
- $00:20:21.632 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.036$ At this point,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897386908571429
- $00{:}20{:}23.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}25.434$ isn't it better to have them in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897386908571429
- 00:20:25.434 --> 00:20:27.040 a program continuously covered,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.897386908571429
- 00:20:27.040 --> 00:20:28.194 getting screening,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897386908571429
- $00{:}20{:}28{.}194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}32{.}233$ getting less expensive care and having much,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.897386908571429
- $00{:}20{:}32{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}34{.}520$ much better outcomes over time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782776304285714
- $00{:}20{:}37{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}40{.}876$ We also looked at Medicaid and and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782776304285714
- $00{:}20{:}40.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}43.235$ found across different cancer sites
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782776304285714
- $00:20:43.235 \rightarrow 00:20:45.590$ in Michigan that people enrolled
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782776304285714
- 00:20:45.663 --> 00:20:47.603 in Medicaid after diagnosis had
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782776304285714
- 00:20:47.603 -> 00:20:49.960 an 8 year lower survival rate.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782776304285714
- $00{:}20{:}49{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}53{.}290$ So big big difference that compared
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782776304285714
- $00:20:53.290 \rightarrow 00:20:55.672$ to Medicaid enrolled continuously
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782776304285714
- $00:20:55.672 \rightarrow 00:20:58.520$ and non Medicaid patients.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782776304285714
- $00{:}20{:}58{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}00{.}212$ There are other studies that have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782776304285714
- $00{:}21{:}00{.}212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}02{.}433$ been done both in North Carolina and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782776304285714
- $00{:}21{:}02{.}433 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}04{.}148$ Missouri that has similar findings
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782776304285714
- $00{:}21{:}04.148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}06.401$ and that they attributed also to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.782776304285714

 $00:21:06.401 \rightarrow 00:21:08.963$ the timing of enrollment and we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.782776304285714

 $00{:}21{:}08{.}963 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}11{.}280$ able to see this survival gap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9668048425

 $00:21:13.920 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.705$ The next question about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9668048425

00:21:15.705 - 00:21:18.199 problem is can they see a doctor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9668048425

 $00{:}21{:}18{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}20{.}420$ Is it these low reimbursement

NOTE Confidence: 0.9668048425

 $00:21:20.420 \rightarrow 00:21:22.640$ rates that hinder accessing care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9668048425

 $00:21:22.640 \rightarrow 00:21:24.840$ So you give them care and they can't get in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9668048425

 $00:21:24.840 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.896$ And this was a study by

NOTE Confidence: 0.9668048425

 $00{:}21{:}26.896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}28.240$ one of your colleagues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9668048425

 $00:21:28.240 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.486$ Victoria Marks here that did a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9668048425

00:21:31.486 --> 00:21:34.145 fascinating study of calling and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9668048425

 $00{:}21{:}34{.}145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}36{.}462$ trying to get paid an appointment

NOTE Confidence: 0.9668048425

 $00{:}21{:}36.462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}38.919$ and and found that they could not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9668048425

00:21:38.919 --> 00:21:41.782 get in that many people just simply

NOTE Confidence: 0.9668048425

00:21:41.782 --> 00:21:44.545 didn't accept Medicaid or in some

NOTE Confidence: 0.9668048425

 $00:21:44.545 \rightarrow 00:21:47.120$ safety net institutions what they

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9668048425
- 00:21:47.120 --> 00:21:49.397 do and they they did this at VCU,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9668048425
- $00{:}21{:}49{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}52{.}039$ which was a large safety net institution.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9668048425
- $00{:}21{:}52{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}54{.}735$ They booked four people who had Medicaid
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9668048425
- $00{:}21{:}54.735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}57.028$ insurance for the same slot that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9668048425
- $00{:}21{:}57{.}028$ --> $00{:}21{:}59{.}836$ would come in because they anticipated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9668048425
- $00:21:59.836 \rightarrow 00:22:02.359$ no shows difficulty getting there,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9668048425
- $00:22:02.360 \rightarrow 00:22:05.960$ not coming in And so incredible wait times.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9668048425
- $00:22:05.960 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.509$ So really fascinating problem that if you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9668048425
- 00:22:09.509 --> 00:22:13.160 don't at least get reimbur
sement up to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9668048425
- $00:22:13.160 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.952$ the point of Medicare may be difficult
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9668048425
- $00:22:16.952 \rightarrow 00:22:19.296$ getting in managed care programs.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9668048425
- 00:22:19.296 --> 00:22:22.040 A lot of states have Medicaid managed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9668048425
- $00{:}22{:}22{.}107 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}24{.}183$ care as their approach to Medicaid
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9668048425
- $00{:}22{:}24.183 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}26.740$ delivery to try to offset some of that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9668048425
- $00:22:26.740 \rightarrow 00:22:29.280$ to bring in a more managed program.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.876093383076923

 $00:22:32.400 \rightarrow 00:22:34.794$ Savick and colleagues dug a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.876093383076923

 $00:22:34.794 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.519$ bit deeper in this and found that

NOTE Confidence: 0.876093383076923

 $00:22:37.520 \rightarrow 00:22:39.968$ a mostly positive impact on breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.876093383076923

 $00:22:39.968 \rightarrow 00:22:42.062$ and cervical cancer screening with

NOTE Confidence: 0.876093383076923

 $00:22:42.062 \rightarrow 00:22:44.007$ increased physician payments and under

NOTE Confidence: 0.876093383076923

 $00{:}22{:}44.007 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}46.720$ a fee for service managed care plan

NOTE Confidence: 0.876093383076923

 $00{:}22{:}46{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}49{.}120$ reimbursement had less of an impact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876093383076923

 $00:22:49.120 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.220$ Says that those kind of delivery

NOTE Confidence: 0.876093383076923

 $00:22:51.220 \rightarrow 00:22:53.356$ plans had already was doing some

NOTE Confidence: 0.876093383076923

 $00{:}22{:}53{.}356 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}55{.}680$ things to manage and get people in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876093383076923

00:22:55.680 --> 00:22:57.850 They had agreed to take on Medicaid

NOTE Confidence: 0.876093383076923

 $00:22:57.850 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.932$ patients to begin with and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.876093383076923

 $00{:}22{:}59{.}932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}02{.}188$ the reimbursement did not matter

NOTE Confidence: 0.876093383076923

 $00:23:02.188 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.476$ as much as you would expect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876093383076923

 $00:23:05.480 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.396$ So I'll take a little breather at this point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876093383076923

 $00:23:08.400 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.958$ And are there disparities,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.876093383076923
- $00:23:10.958 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.100$ what do you think it are there
- NOTE Confidence: 0.876093383076923
- $00{:}23{:}13.162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}15.172$ disparities in the way that people
- NOTE Confidence: 0.876093383076923
- $00{:}23{:}15{.}172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}16{.}953$ are treated on Medicaid insurance
- NOTE Confidence: 0.876093383076923
- $00:23:16.953 \rightarrow 00:23:20.136$ compared to other forms of insurance?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.876093383076923
- $00:23:20.136 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.276$ So disparities there not there,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.876093383076923
- $00:23:24.280 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.400$ seen a lot of nods.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.876093383076923
- 00:23:25.400 --> 00:23:26.908 Yep, there's still disparities.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.876093383076923
- $00{:}23{:}26{.}908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}29{.}170$ Do you think it's mostly because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.876093383076923
- $00:23:29.230 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.880$ of the timing of enrollment,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838714925
- $00:23:34.920 \rightarrow 00:23:39.557$ OK reimbursement, there are lots
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838714925
- $00{:}23{:}39{.}557 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}41{.}711$ of nods on the reimbursement or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838714925
- $00:23:41.711 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.506$ is it just they do provide a poor
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838714925
- $00:23:44.506 \rightarrow 00:23:46.860$ quality of care and this is a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838714925
- 00:23:46.860 --> 00:23:48.200 difficult to treat population.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838714925
- $00:23:48.200 \rightarrow 00:23:50.260$ Is it something endogenous?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838714925

 $00:23:50.260 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.561$ In other words there yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838714925

 $00:23:52.561 \rightarrow 00:23:54.489$ not a, not a lot of people buying

NOTE Confidence: 0.838714925

 $00:23:54.489 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.879$ that particular argument.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838714925

 $00:23:55.880 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.600$ Lot of times

NOTE Confidence: 0.909359223333333

 $00:23:58.800 \rightarrow 00:23:59.422$ providers, clinicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909359223333333

 $00:23:59.422 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.599$ they don't know what kind of insurance NOTE Confidence: 0.909359223333333

00:24:01.599 --> 00:24:03.423 their patient has when they get in front NOTE Confidence: 0.909359223333333

 $00:24:03.423 \rightarrow 00:24:05.405$ of them by the time they're there and

NOTE Confidence: 0.909359223333333

 $00{:}24{:}05{.}405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}07{.}400$ the same kind of treatment is provided,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909359223333333

 $00{:}24{:}07{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}11{.}153$ it's getting in the door is the problem

NOTE Confidence: 0.909359223333333

 $00{:}24{:}11{.}153 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}14{.}320$ and it's once they are there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909359223333333

00:24:14.320 --> 00:24:16.162 I don't think that those who

NOTE Confidence: 0.909359223333333

 $00:24:16.162 \rightarrow 00:24:18.026$ actually treat them and lay hands

NOTE Confidence: 0.909359223333333

 $00:24:18.026 \rightarrow 00:24:20.014$ on them really at that point know

NOTE Confidence: 0.909359223333333

 $00:24:20.014 \rightarrow 00:24:21.840$ what kind of health insurance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909359223333333

 $00:24:21.840 \rightarrow 00:24:24.479$ They may know at some point the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.909359223333333
- $00{:}24{:}24{.}479 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}26{.}644$ treatment trajectory as they go forward

 $00:24:26.644 \rightarrow 00:24:28.399$ around reimbursement rates and things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909359223333333

00:24:28.400 - 00:24:32.920 But initially that cares that no. OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

 $00:24:36.680 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.266$ So let's understand the data that

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

 $00:24:39.266 \rightarrow 00:24:42.112$ we're working with the research so far.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

00:24:42.112 --> 00:24:43.276 To just recap,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

00:24:43.280 --> 00:24:45.278 Medicaid is an important safety net,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

 $00:24:45.280 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.520$ but it does appear to have some holes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

 $00{:}24{:}47{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}49{.}495$ There's a problem with enrollment

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

 $00:24:49.495 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.680$ and continuous care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

00:24:50.680 --> 00:24:52.380 People who qualify for Medicaid

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

 $00{:}24{:}52{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}54{.}080$ aren't enrolled in the program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

 $00:24:54.080 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.380$ They just don't realize they

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

 $00{:}24{:}56{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}58{.}394$ are certainly in Colorado.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

 $00{:}24{:}58{.}394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}02{.}020$ We see a lot of people coming

 $00:25:02.122 \rightarrow 00:25:04.130$ in who qualify for Medicaid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

 $00:25:04.130 \rightarrow 00:25:06.950$ but they're worried about their citizenship

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

 $00{:}25{:}06{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}09{.}320$ status and Colorado has a don't ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

 $00:25:09.320 \rightarrow 00:25:11.994$ policy and we just bring them in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

 $00:25:12.000 \rightarrow 00:25:13.680$ It tends to be more general,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

 $00:25:13.680 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.690$ but they don't want to approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

 $00:25:15.690 \rightarrow 00:25:17.560$ the health system because of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

 $00{:}25{:}17.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}19.580$ Various other reasons that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

 $00{:}25{:}19{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}22{.}040$ see that people are shying away,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

 $00:25:22.040 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.996$ but they qualify none the less.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

 $00:25:24.000 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.400$ So we have a problem there

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

 $00:25:26.400 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.600$ with continuous coverage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

 $00{:}25{:}27.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}30.316$ and then we need to understand once

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

 $00{:}25{:}30{.}316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}33{.}119$ again and what is really happening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

 $00:25:33.120 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.598$ And our team then began to wonder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

 $00:25:35.600 \rightarrow 00:25:35.906$ well,

 $00:25:35.906 \rightarrow 00:25:38.048$ what if the data are not telling

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

 $00:25:38.048 \rightarrow 00:25:39.080$ the complete story?

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

 $00:25:39.080 \rightarrow 00:25:40.870$ What if there's something inherently

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

 $00:25:40.870 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.055$ wrong with being able to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

00:25:43.055 -> 00:25:44.720 because most of the research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

 $00:25:44.720 \rightarrow 00:25:46.076$ because as my colleague said about

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

00:25:46.076 --> 00:25:47.588 having a meat get grinder to put

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

 $00:25:47.588 \dashrightarrow 00:25:49.160$ our hands in to get all this data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

 $00:25:49.160 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.975$ It's complicated and it's costly

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

00:25:50.975 - 00:25:53.761 and it takes years of forming those

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

 $00:25:53.761 \rightarrow 00:25:55.561$ relationships and being incredibly

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

 $00:25:55.561 \rightarrow 00:25:59.118$ patient to get all of those pieces in place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

 $00{:}25{:}59{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}00{.}050$ As a result,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

00:26:00.050 --> 00:26:01.600 many people use cancer registry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

00:26:01.600 - 00:26:02.880 They use the CR data,

 $00:26:02.880 \rightarrow 00:26:06.240$ which they can be able to pull easy or NCDB,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

 $00:26:06.240 \rightarrow 00:26:08.484$ other kinds of cancer registry data

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

 $00:26:08.484 \rightarrow 00:26:11.118$ that they can get their hands on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

 $00:26:11.120 \rightarrow 00:26:13.200$ And the question we began to ask well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

 $00:26:13.200 \rightarrow 00:26:16.476$ what if those data are not right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.941170548888889

00:26:16.480 --> 00:26:16.800 So

NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053

00:26:19.240 --> 00:26:21.158 turning to this part of the study

NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053

 $00:26:21.158 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.556$ is from a paper that just recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053

00:26:23.556 --> 00:26:25.933 got published in JAMA Health Forum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053

 $00:26:25.933 \rightarrow 00:26:28.398$ And there we started to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053

 $00:26:28.400 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.116$ we took the all pair claims data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053

 $00:26:31.120 \rightarrow 00:26:33.794$ merged it with our state cancer registry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053

 $00{:}26{:}33{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}36{.}288$ And for the first time I was able

NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053

 $00:26:36.288 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.002$ to actually compare to private

NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053

 $00:26:38.002 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.375$ insurance and to be able to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053

 $00:26:40.447 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.820$ lots of controls in the data to

- NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053
- $00:26:42.820 \rightarrow 00:26:44.494$ get an equivalent control group.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053
- 00:26:44.494 --> 00:26:46.129 So it's pretty exciting to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053
- $00:26:46.129 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.680$ be able to do this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053
- $00:26:47.680 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.726$ We started off with the question
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053
- $00{:}26{:}49.726 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}51.583$ of are there treatment disparities
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053
- $00:26:51.583 \rightarrow 00:26:53.723$ and radiation and hormonal therapy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053
- $00:26:53.723 \rightarrow 00:26:55.984$ among women covered by Medicaid
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053
- $00:26:55.984 \rightarrow 00:26:57.920$ compared to private insurance.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053
- $00{:}26{:}57{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}00{.}600$ And we compared what was in a cancer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053
- $00:27:00.600 \rightarrow 00:27:02.440$ registry versus insurance claims.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053
- $00:27:02.440 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.384$ And to be able to do this and this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053
- $00{:}27{:}04{.}384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}05{.}997$ step of our research project,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053
- $00:27:06.000 \rightarrow 00:27:07.760$ this wasn't what we intended to start to,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053
- $00{:}27{:}07.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}08.992$ was really our validation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053
- $00{:}27{:}08{.}992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}11{.}418$ We were trying to figure out where the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053

 $00:27:11.418 \rightarrow 00:27:15.516$ data good and where might some holes be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053

 $00{:}27{:}15{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}17{.}600$ And this is the step we all do in our data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053

00:27:17.600 --> 00:27:18.700 And we think, OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053

 $00:27:18.700 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.800$ we're done with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053

00:27:19.800 --> 00:27:21.360 Nobody's going to be interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053

 $00:27:21.360 \rightarrow 00:27:25.518$ but that ended up being the story,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053

00:27:25.520 --> 00:27:26.723 our research question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053

 $00{:}27{:}26.723 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}29.530$ we knew that there the literature was

NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053

 $00{:}27{:}29{.}604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}32{.}271$ filled with papers that women insured by

NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053

 $00:27:32.271 \rightarrow 00:27:34.960$ Medicaid did not get radiation therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053

00:27:34.960 --> 00:27:37.132 They were not put on hormonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053

00:27:37.132 --> 00:27:39.036 therapy relative to women of

NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053

 $00{:}27{:}39{.}036$ --> $00{:}27{:}40{.}956$ other forms of health insurance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053

 $00{:}27{:}40.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}42.717$ So we started there and we thought,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053

 $00:27:42.720 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.107$ OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053

00:27:43.107 -> 00:27:45.429 we're going to compare to private

- NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053
- $00:27:45.429 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.299$ insurance because this is a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053
- $00:27:47.299 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.909$ group that were picked women
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053
- $00:27:48.909 \rightarrow 00:27:50.720$ who were younger than age 65.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053
- $00:27:50.720 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.904$ Then we were going to go through and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053
- $00:27:52.904 \rightarrow 00:27:54.840$ just do this toughest comparison
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053
- $00:27:54.840 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.045$ private insurance where they should
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053
- $00:27:57.045 \rightarrow 00:27:59.320$ be getting the best care compared
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053
- $00{:}27{:}59{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}02{.}440$ to to a public insurance program.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053
- $00{:}28{:}02{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}04{.}560$ And there's some nuances about Colorado's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053
- 00:28:04.560 --> 00:28:06.000 Medicaid that I'll get back to,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053
- $00:28:06.000 \rightarrow 00:28:09.268$ but this was the setup for our
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053
- $00{:}28{:}09{.}268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}11{.}225$ study and here are some of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053
- $00:28:11.225 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.660$ other studies that showed under
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053
- 00:28:12.660 --> 00:28:14.502 use of adjuvant radiation therapy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053
- $00:28:14.502 \rightarrow 00:28:16.512$ and post breast conserving surgery
- NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053

 $00{:}28{:}16{.}512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}18{.}572$ in North Carolina and in Georgia

NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053

 $00{:}28{:}18.572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}20.920$ we see the same sort of thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053

00:28:20.920 --> 00:28:23.080 A Missouri study showed a delay

NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053

 $00:28:23.080 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.857$ in treatment and increased risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053

 $00{:}28{:}24.857 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}26.483$ of death and related it all

NOTE Confidence: 0.910391788421053

 $00{:}28{:}26{.}483 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}27{.}960$ to differences in treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

 $00:28:30.400 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.580$ We link the cancer registry

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

 $00:28:32.580 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.760$ with all payer claims data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

 $00{:}28{:}34.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}36.680$ Did not take long to do the linkage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

 $00:28:36.680 \longrightarrow 00:28:38.180$ It took about a year and

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

 $00{:}28{:}38{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}41{.}400$ a half to get the data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

00:28:41.400 - 00:28:43.864 getting everybody to agree,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

 $00:28:43.864 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.960$ yes, you can have the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

 $00{:}28{:}45{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}48{.}399$ And just as we were about to get it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

 $00{:}28{:}48{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}52{.}144$ the privacy officer at the state decided,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

00:28:52.144 --> 00:28:53.296 you know what,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- 00:28:53.296 --> 00:28:56.905 we're only going to give you year of death,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- 00:28:56.905 --> 00:28:59.080 not and year of diagnosis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00:28:59.080 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.720$ not month and year.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00{:}29{:}00{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}03{.}640$ And we're saying how exactly are we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00{:}29{:}03.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}05.908$ going to do survival analysis if
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00{:}29{:}05{.}908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}09{.}239$ we only have the year and ended up
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00:29:09.239 \longrightarrow 00:29:12.043$ in another big discussion of trying
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00:29:12.043 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.248$ to convince the privacy officer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00{:}29{:}14.248 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}17.012$ that we could indeed have the both
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00:29:17.012 \longrightarrow 00:29:19.734$ the month and the year and that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00:29:19.734 \rightarrow 00:29:21.834$ delayed our project by another,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00{:}29{:}21{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}23{.}919$ I don't know eight months or so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00{:}29{:}23{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}26{.}792$ And we had to get every body at every
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00{:}29{:}26.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}28.855$ level involved and eventually they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00{:}29{:}28.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}31.393$ ended up changing the regulation for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

 $00:29:31.393 \rightarrow 00:29:34.140$ the state because we had one privacy

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

00:29:34.140 --> 00:29:35.660 officer after everybody agreed

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

00:29:35.660 --> 00:29:37.680 after we'd received the funding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

 $00:29:37.680 \rightarrow 00:29:40.226$ the letter of support everything decide no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

 $00:29:40.226 \rightarrow 00:29:42.994$ So I'm going to be really cautious today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

 $00{:}29{:}43.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}45.936$ So all of these things just to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

 $00:29:45.936 \rightarrow 00:29:48.379$ it happen and with secondary data

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

 $00:29:48.379 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.400$ you think it's going to be easier

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

 $00{:}29{:}51{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}53{.}278$ but it can be quite difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

 $00{:}29{:}53{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}55{.}478$ We this is our five year linkage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

 $00{:}29{:}55{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}57{.}232$ We've actually updated it now and

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

 $00:29:57.232 \rightarrow 00:29:59.680$ we have it through 2021 incredibly

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

00:29:59.680 --> 00:30:02.880 high quality and with Medicaid

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

 $00:30:02.880 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.812$ this was 93% overall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

 $00{:}30{:}04{.}812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}06{.}680$ but Medicaid it was 98%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

 $00:30:06.680 \rightarrow 00:30:10.338$ They were our best data and then we

- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00:30:10.338 \dashrightarrow 00:30:12.460$ found that the APCD was reliable
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00{:}30{:}12.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}14.560$ with treatment and insurance status.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00{:}30{:}14.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}16.415$ When we went through and really tried
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00:30:16.415 \dashrightarrow 00:30:18.876$ to look at the quality of the APCD data,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00{:}30{:}18.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}21.880$ we were new to this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00:30:21.880 \rightarrow 00:30:25.120$ If we had used the cancer registry alone,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00:30:25.120 \longrightarrow 00:30:27.528$ we know that there are going to be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00{:}30{:}27.528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}29.974$ problems and all of you know as well
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00{:}30{:}29{.}974 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}32{.}121$ that they collect data of individuals
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00:30:32.121 \rightarrow 00:30:33.917$ diagnosed with cancer including
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00{:}30{:}33{.}917 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}36{.}290$ patient and tumor level diagnosis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00:30:36.290 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.280$ at date at both date and stage.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00:30:40.280 \rightarrow 00:30:41.800$ The outpatient treatment includes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00:30:41.800 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.080$ or al agents we know are under
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- 00:30:44.147 --> 00:30:45.959 reported in cancer registries.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

 $00:30:45.960 \rightarrow 00:30:48.480$ It's just tough to get that data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

00:30:48.480 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:30:50.990 Registries record the first course

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

00:30:50.990 --> 00:30:52.998 of cancer directed treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

 $00{:}30{:}53{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}55{.}365$ and Medicaid and rural residence

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

 $00:30:55.365 \rightarrow 00:30:58.680$ treatment data appear to be incomplete.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

00:30:58.680 --> 00:30:59.745 And it's funny.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

00:30:59.745 --> 00:31:01.520 Our beautiful state of Colorado,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

 $00:31:01.520 \longrightarrow 00:31:03.732$ most of the populations kind of in

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

 $00:31:03.732 \rightarrow 00:31:06.040$ Denver through what's called the Front Range,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

 $00:31:06.040 \rightarrow 00:31:08.120$ Denver up through Fort Collins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

 $00{:}31{:}08{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}09{.}842$ And then there's the Rocky Mountains

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

 $00:31:09.842 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.600$ and the rest of the state,

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

 $00:31:11.600 \rightarrow 00:31:13.296$ which is pretty far-flung.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

 $00:31:13.296 \rightarrow 00:31:16.426$ And so the state is mostly rural

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

 $00{:}31{:}16.426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}17.438$ and frontier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347

00:31:17.440 - > 00:31:18.838 And when we think about Colorado,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00:31:18.840 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.120$ we think Aspen and Vail.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00:31:20.120 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.524$ And by the way,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00:31:21.524 \rightarrow 00:31:23.279$ they're rural counties as well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00{:}31{:}23{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}24{.}860$ really different outcomes than
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- 00:31:24.860 --> 00:31:26.440 your typical rural county,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00:31:26.440 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.460$ as you might imagine.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00:31:28.460 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.480$ And those particular places.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00{:}31{:}30{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}32{.}132$ And then the rest of the state
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- 00:31:32.132 --> 00:31:33.798 being very rural except for Denver,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00:31:33.800 \rightarrow 00:31:35.695$ and we're the only comprehensive
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00{:}31{:}35{.}695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}38{.}006$ Cancer Center and getting to us
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00{:}31{:}38.006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}39.398$ can be quite complicated.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00{:}31{:}39{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}41{.}010$ And you have to sort of think
- NOTE Confidence: 0.802546347
- $00:31:41.010 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.439$ through all of those things.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.956500385714286
- $00{:}31{:}42{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}46{.}115$ When you use our particular cancer registry,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.956500385714286

 $00:31:46.120 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.496$ we know insurance data are incomplete

NOTE Confidence: 0.956500385714286

 $00{:}31{:}48{.}496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}51{.}293$ and in fact it's overwritten in

NOTE Confidence: 0.956500385714286

 $00:31:51.293 \longrightarrow 00:31:52.958$ the hospitals that record it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956500385714286

 $00:31:52.960 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.128$ So you get the insurance at the time of

NOTE Confidence: 0.956500385714286

 $00:31:56.128 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.565$ when it's reported, which can change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956500385714286

 $00{:}31{:}58{.}565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}00{.}040$ You can come in uninsured,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956500385714286

00:32:00.040 --> 00:32:02.630 get Medicaid, pick it up or privately

NOTE Confidence: 0.956500385714286

 $00:32:02.630 \rightarrow 00:32:04.839$ insured and lose your insurance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956500385714286

 $00{:}32{:}04{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}05{.}992$ And we know that it's more

NOTE Confidence: 0.956500385714286

 $00:32:05.992 \rightarrow 00:32:06.760$ than two years old,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956500385714286

00:32:06.760 --> 00:32:08.600 whereas APC data is getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.956500385714286

00:32:08.600 - 00:32:10.640 pretty real time claims data in

NOTE Confidence: 0.868853958571428

 $00:32:13.120 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.120$ it's able to overcome some

NOTE Confidence: 0.868853958571428

 $00:32:15.120 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.720$ of these limitations because

NOTE Confidence: 0.868853958571428

00:32:16.720 --> 00:32:18.757 you get all medical claims,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868853958571428

00:32:18.760 --> 00:32:20.880 pharmacy claims, dental claims,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.868853958571428
- $00{:}32{:}20.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}22.470$ eligibility and provider
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868853958571428
- $00:32:22.470 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.198$ files and you can link them.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868853958571428
- 00:32:25.200 --> 00:32:27.720 You get you get a unique identifier.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868853958571428
- $00:32:27.720 \dashrightarrow 00:32:30.856$ So I know when someone moves from
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868853958571428
- $00{:}32{:}30{.}856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}33{.}148$ private to Medicaid or the other
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868853958571428
- $00:32:33.148 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.360$ way around and you'll be able to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868853958571428
- $00:32:35.438 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.020$ tell all payer claims data is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868853958571428
- $00:32:38.020 \rightarrow 00:32:40.200$ really some claims of some payers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868853958571428
- $00:32:40.200 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.248$ To be completely honest,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868853958571428
- $00:32:42.248 \rightarrow 00:32:45.320$ not all payers are in there.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868853958571428
- 00:32:45.320 --> 00:32:48.128 Payers covered under ARISA are not
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868853958571428
- $00{:}32{:}48.128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}50.742$ required to submit claims and that's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868853958571428
- $00:32:50.742 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.339$ about 30% of payers oddly enough in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868853958571428
- $00{:}32{:}53{.}339 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}55{.}879$ Colorado most of them voluntarily do so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868853958571428
- $00:32:55.880 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.305$ So we having somewhat neat
- NOTE Confidence: 0.868853958571428

 $00:32:58.305 \rightarrow 00:32:59.760$ near complete data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868853958571428

 $00{:}32{:}59{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}03{.}070$ we can look at a cross and in our state

NOTE Confidence: 0.868853958571428

00:33:03.157 --> 00:33:05.957 it includes 36 commercial payers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868853958571428

 $00:33:05.960 \rightarrow 00:33:08.066$ Our main managed care payer happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.868853958571428

 $00{:}33{:}08.066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}10.319$ be Kaiser and Medicaid and Medicare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854940624285714

 $00:33:12.400 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.722$ Our cohort or women ages 21 to 63,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854940624285714

 $00:33:15.722 \rightarrow 00:33:18.620$ we wanted to get them before they aged into

NOTE Confidence: 0.854940624285714

 $00:33:18.691 \rightarrow 00:33:22.194$ Medicare and to see this cleanest Co group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854940624285714

 $00{:}33{:}22.194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}24.798$ the cleanest sample we could find,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854940624285714

 $00:33:24.800 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.032$ the CR summary stage of local

NOTE Confidence: 0.854940624285714

 $00:33:27.032 \rightarrow 00:33:28.520$ or regional breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854940624285714

 $00{:}33{:}28{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}30{.}490$ enrolled in Medicaid or private

NOTE Confidence: 0.854940624285714

 $00{:}33{:}30{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}33{.}240$ insurance at the time of diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854940624285714

 $00:33:33.240 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.164$ had continual coverage within

NOTE Confidence: 0.854940624285714

 $00{:}33{:}35{.}164 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}37{.}569$ three months of diagnosis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.854940624285714

 $00:33:37.569 \rightarrow 00:33:39.717$ continuously enrolled in nine months.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.854940624285714
- $00:33:39.720 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.360$ So I intentionally wanted to get those who've
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854940624285714
- $00:33:42.360 \dashrightarrow 00:33:45.396$ been in Medicaid sometime prior to diagnosis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854940624285714
- $00{:}33{:}45{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}46{.}648$ We already know there's a problem
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854940624285714
- $00:33:46.648 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.839$ with those who come in late.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854940624285714
- $00{:}33{:}47.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}49.605$ Let's look at the continuous
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854940624285714
- $00{:}33{:}49.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}51.370$ coverage people now and compare
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854940624285714
- $00:33:51.430 \longrightarrow 00:33:52.960$ them to our gold standard,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854940624285714
- 00:33:52.960 --> 00:33:54.700 hopefully of privately insured
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854940624285714
- 00:33:54.700 -> 00:33:56.875 individuals and see what happens.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854940624285714
- $00:33:56.880 \longrightarrow 00:33:59.785$ So able to control for this and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854940624285714
- $00:33:59.785 \dashrightarrow 00:34:02.412$ then for those who were supposed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854940624285714
- $00{:}34{:}02{.}412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}04{.}436$ to receive radiation the rapy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854940624285714
- $00:34:04.440 \longrightarrow 00:34:06.780$ they had breast conserving surgery
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854940624285714
- $00{:}34{:}06{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}09{.}517$ and for hormonal the rapy it was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854940624285714
- 00:34:09.517 --> 00:34:12.109 women who had surgery and also
- NOTE Confidence: 0.854940624285714

00:34:12.109 - 00:34:14.824 had estrogen receptor positive or

NOTE Confidence: 0.854940624285714

 $00:34:14.824 \rightarrow 00:34:17.200$ progesterone receptor positive cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231

 $00:34:20.800 \rightarrow 00:34:23.008$ Our methods, what is descriptive statistics

NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231

 $00:34:23.008 \rightarrow 00:34:25.519$ the standard of what you would expect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231

 $00:34:25.520 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.360$ We used a follow up time of nine

NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231

 $00:34:28.360 \rightarrow 00:34:30.595$ months following the month of last

NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231

 $00:34:30.595 \rightarrow 00:34:32.940$ surgery as our observation period.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231

 $00:34:32.940 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.920$ In this data set,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231

00:34:34.920 --> 00:34:37.422 93% of all surgeries regardless of

NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231

 $00:34:37.422 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.090$ insurance occurred within three

NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231

 $00{:}34{:}39{.}162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}41{.}094$ months of diagnosis and that gave

NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231

 $00:34:41.094 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.466$ us a total follow up time of 12

NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231

 $00:34:43.466 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.640$ months to look at whether or not

NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231

00:34:45.640 - 00:34:46.920 they received these therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231

 $00:34:46.920 \rightarrow 00:34:51.040$ estimated logistic regression and reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231

 $00:34:51.040 \rightarrow 00:34:54.240$ marginals for ease of interpretation.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231
- $00:34:54.240 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.382$ And then we compared what we saw
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231
- $00:34:56.382 \longrightarrow 00:34:58.440$ if we used registry alone,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231
- $00:34:58.440 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.888$ if we used APCD or if we use them
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231
- $00{:}35{:}00{.}888 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}03{.}205$ both what kinds of treatments they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231
- $00{:}35{:}03{.}205 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}05{.}160$ got and did a sensitivity analysis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231
- $00{:}35{:}05{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}07{.}359$ because one of the arguments is that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231
- $00:35:07.359 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.105$ those insured by Medicaid takes longer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231
- 00:35:09.105 -> 00:35:10.958 for them to get their surgeries,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231
- $00:35:10.960 \dashrightarrow 00:35:12.916$ they can't get in complicated lives,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231
- $00:35:12.920 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.826$ all those things.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231
- $00:35:13.826 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.638$ So we increased our follow up
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231
- $00{:}35{:}15.638 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}16.760$ time to make sure,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231
- 00:35:16.760 --> 00:35:19.850 but we still saw no statistically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231
- $00:35:19.850 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.880$ significant differences.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231
- $00{:}35{:}20.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}23.652$ And then we looked at poverty quartile
- NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231

 $00{:}35{:}23.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}26.075$ and variables for clinician of whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231

 $00{:}35{:}26.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}28.791$ the clinician was in a rural area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231

 $00:35:28.800 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.104$ whether they practice there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231

 $00:35:30.104 \rightarrow 00:35:32.060$ And that ended up being really

NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231

 $00{:}35{:}32{.}117 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}33{.}717$ an important variable because

NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231

 $00{:}35{:}33{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}35{.}118$ if you're in Aspen or Vail,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231

 $00:35:35.120 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.982$ chances are you're going to figure out

NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231

 $00:35:36.982 \rightarrow 00:35:39.676$ how to get to Denver and get your healthcare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231

 $00{:}35{:}39{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}42{.}053$ But if the clinician treating you is

NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231

 $00:35:42.053 \rightarrow 00:35:44.592$ in a rural area means that you are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231

 $00{:}35{:}44{.}592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}47{.}198$ you are a person who can't get to Denver

NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231

 $00:35:47.200 \dashrightarrow 00:35:49.680$ and is your care going to be different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231

 $00:35:49.680 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.948$ So that ended up being a really

NOTE Confidence: 0.786905970769231

 $00{:}35{:}51{.}948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}54{.}317$ interesting part of our analysis as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909126082222222

 $00:35:56.760 \rightarrow 00:35:58.944$ So descriptively just starting to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.909126082222222

 $00:35:58.944 \rightarrow 00:36:01.080$ at our data, we see that there are,

 $00:36:01.080 \rightarrow 00:36:04.398$ there are big differences now and

NOTE Confidence: 0.909126082222222

 $00:36:04.398 \dashrightarrow 00:36:06.610$ the reporting between Medicaid

NOTE Confidence: 0.909126082222222

 $00:36:06.699 \rightarrow 00:36:09.119$ and private to the registry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909126082222222

 $00:36:09.120 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.772$ the registry actually doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.909126082222222

 $00:36:10.772 \longrightarrow 00:36:13.250$ pick up nearly the amount of

NOTE Confidence: 0.909126082222222

 $00:36:13.321 \rightarrow 00:36:15.596$ data that you see with the APCD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909126082222222

 $00:36:15.600 \rightarrow 00:36:19.051$ The APCD is adding a big chunk

NOTE Confidence: 0.909126082222222

00:36:19.051 - 00:36:22.024 of claims that the registry never

NOTE Confidence: 0.909126082222222

 $00:36:22.024 \dashrightarrow 00:36:25.000$ sees on treatment that's coming in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909126082222222

 $00:36:25.000 \dashrightarrow 00:36:27.838$ So people who are Medicaid providers

NOTE Confidence: 0.909126082222222

 $00:36:27.840 \rightarrow 00:36:30.514$ aren't reporting as much of the registry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909126082222222

 $00:36:30.520 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.596$ They're either in places that don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.909126082222222

 $00:36:32.596 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.917$ have systems in place or that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.909126082222222

 $00{:}36{:}34{.}917 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}36{.}663$ don't have the resources to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.909126082222222

 $00:36:36.663 \rightarrow 00:36:38.598$ able to get it to the registry.

 $00{:}36{:}38{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}41{.}526$ But there's not the kind of support

NOTE Confidence: 0.909126082222222

 $00{:}36{:}41.526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}44.896$ that you get in the Denver and our

NOTE Confidence: 0.909126082222222

 $00{:}36{:}44{.}896$ --> $00{:}36{:}47{.}136$ University Hospital to the registry

NOTE Confidence: 0.909126082222222

 $00{:}36{:}47.136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}49.982$ so huge under reporting that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.909126082222222

 $00:36:49.982 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.480$ we initially see that could lead

NOTE Confidence: 0.909126082222222

 $00:36:52.480 \dashrightarrow 00:36:56.080$ you to a very different conclusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909126082222222

 $00{:}36{:}56{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}57{.}400$ And in fact it did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909126082222222

 $00:36:57.400 \rightarrow 00:37:00.277$ If we used our cancer registry alone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909126082222222

 $00{:}37{:}00{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}02{.}940$ we saw that women insured by Medicaid

NOTE Confidence: 0.909126082222222

 $00:37:02.940 \rightarrow 00:37:05.034$ were four percentage points less

NOTE Confidence: 0.909126082222222

 $00{:}37{:}05{.}034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}07{.}234$ likely to receive radiation the rapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.909126082222222

 $00:37:07.240 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.432$ than privately insured women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.909126082222222

 $00{:}37{:}09{.}432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}12{.}720$ When we add APCD data in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.909126082222222

 $00:37:12.720 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.120$ there are no differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00{:}37{:}16.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}18.458$ So an important part of just

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00:37:18.458 \rightarrow 00:37:21.080$ trying to take the problem apart.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172
- 00:37:21.080 --> 00:37:22.942 And now I've got this group of

 $00{:}37{:}22.942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}25.039$ people who are continuously insured.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00{:}37{:}25.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}28.043$ I've got a state with some geographical

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00:37:28.043 \rightarrow 00:37:30.159$ challenges to say the least,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00{:}37{:}30{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}32{.}720$ and I'm not seeing differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00:37:32.720 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.280$ when I'm using claims data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00:37:35.280 \rightarrow 00:37:37.758$ Hormonal therapy would do the same thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00:37:37.760 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.760$ 10 percentage point difference in Medicaid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

00:37:40.760 --> 00:37:43.000 Insured women less likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

00:37:43.000 - 00:37:45.240 to receive hormonal therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00{:}37{:}45{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}47{.}769$ But when we bring in our claims data and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00{:}37{:}47.769 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}50.358$ can look at the actual pharmacy claims,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00{:}37{:}50{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}52{.}478$ there's no difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00{:}37{:}52.478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}55.634$ They're still getting the their

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00:37:55.634 \rightarrow 00:37:58.219$ same treatment as our privately

 $00:37:58.219 \rightarrow 00:38:00.879$ insured cohort once they get in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00{:}38{:}00{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}02{.}672$ So now this gives us a different

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00{:}38{:}02.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}04.868$ look and a different view about these

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00{:}38{:}04.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}07.556$ disparities of and when we can get this

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00{:}38{:}07{.}556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}09{.}600$ data and have a true control group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00{:}38{:}09{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}12{.}169$ And these comparisons even after beating up

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00{:}38{:}12.169 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}14.992$ on the data with our sensitivity analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00:38:14.992 \dashrightarrow 00:38:19.440$ we still find the same kind of results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

00:38:19.440 --> 00:38:20.358 At the end of the day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00{:}38{:}20{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}24{.}024$ we end up seeing that despite the fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00{:}38{:}24.024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}26.712$ that there are differences at disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00:38:26.720 \rightarrow 00:38:29.317$ at the stage of disease at diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00:38:29.320 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.740$ we really are seeing under

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00{:}38{:}31.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}34.160$ reportment or reporting of treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00{:}38{:}34{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}35{.}784$ And we tried to figure out whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00:38:35.784 \rightarrow 00:38:37.159$ that was just the provider,

 $00{:}38{:}37{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}39{.}624$ whether it was the location they were in

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00{:}38{:}39{.}624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}42{.}279$ and in a far-flung part of the state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00{:}38{:}42{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}45{.}129$ But there is under reporting and some

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00:38:45.129 \rightarrow 00:38:47.816$ of the when we cared and compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00:38:47.816 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.320$ to the cancer registry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00{:}38{:}49{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}51{.}560$ APCD has some under reporting as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00{:}38{:}51{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}54{.}539$ but it was so much less and they were

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00:38:54.539 \dashrightarrow 00:38:57.559$ able to pick up these Medicaid claims.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00{:}38{:}57{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}59{.}975$ So disparities were only observed

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00:38:59.975 \rightarrow 00:39:02.920$ when using the cancer registry alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00{:}39{:}02{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}05{.}005$ This has serious implications for

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00{:}39{:}05{.}005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}07{.}548$ if you rely on, if you go out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00{:}39{:}07{.}548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}08{.}633$ And SEAR is no different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00{:}39{:}08.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}10.719$ When we did the SEAR Medicaid linkage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00:39{:}10.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}13.945$ we the agreement between whatever SEAR

 $00:39:13.945 \rightarrow 00:39:17.120$ had as the insurance was entirely different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

00:39:17.120 --> 00:39:18.716 And as some of you may know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

00:39:18.720 --> 00:39:21.168 SEAR no longer reports insurance data

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00:39:21.168 \rightarrow 00:39:23.600$ because it's so terribly unreliable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00{:}39{:}23.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}26.237$ But if those are the kind of data that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00:39:26.237 \dashrightarrow 00:39:28.760$ you're using to do disparities research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00{:}39{:}28.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}30.875$ there's there's both incorrect data

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00:39:30.875 \dashrightarrow 00:39:32.990$ about what the actual insurance

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00{:}39{:}33.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}35.104$ carrier is and the data they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00:39:35.104 \rightarrow 00:39:36.660$ are greatly under reported.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93835172

 $00{:}39{:}36{.}660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}40{.}360$ If it's like what we observed in Colorado,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143

 $00:39:42.800 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.568$ there are limitations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143

00:39:43.568 --> 00:39:45.104 Colorado is 1 state and as

NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143

 $00:39:45.104 \rightarrow 00:39:46.518$ I said at the beginning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143

 $00:39:46.520 \dashrightarrow 00:39:49.478$ there are 50 different Medicaid programs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143

 $00:39:49.480 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.860$ There is something unique

- NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143
- 00:39:50.860 --> 00:39:52.240 about our Medicaid program.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143
- $00:39:52.240 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.717$ We are a fee for service state,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143
- $00:39:53.720 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.144$ not a managed care,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143
- $00:39:55.144 \dashrightarrow 00:39:57.280$ which is unusual across the state.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143
- $00:39:57.280 \rightarrow 00:39:59.576$ That made us though feel even more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143
- $00{:}39{:}59{.}576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}01{.}279$ comfortable with our claims data
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143
- $00:40:01.280 \rightarrow 00:40:03.996$ because it is mostly fee for service.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143
- $00{:}40{:}04{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}05{.}720$ The sample and omitted women
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143
- 00:40:05.720 --> 00:40:07.440 who did not receive surgery,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143
- $00:40:07.440 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.312$ although 93% of the women in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143
- 00:40:09.312 --> 00:40:10.560 our sample received surgery,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143
- $00:40:10.560 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.480$ so there probably wasn't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143
- $00{:}40{:}12{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}14{.}400$ a disparity there either.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143
- $00{:}40{:}14{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}17{.}196$ We didn't look at treatment completion.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143
- $00{:}40{:}17.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}19.222$ And didn't measure the amount of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143

 $00:40:19.222 \rightarrow 00:40:21.360$ treatment that would be a next step.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143

 $00{:}40{:}21.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}23.117$ And then as I also mentioned ERISA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143

00:40:23.120 --> 00:40:24.880 cover plans are not required,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143

 $00:40:24.880 \rightarrow 00:40:27.694$ but about half of them do voluntarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143

 $00{:}40{:}27.694 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}30.200$ in Colorado for whatever reason.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143

 $00{:}40{:}30{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}32{.}036$ So here's where we ended up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143

 $00:40:32.040 \rightarrow 00:40:34.600$ Medicaid does a better job than we think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143

 $00:40:34.600 \rightarrow 00:40:37.155$ The disparities are not quite as great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143

 $00{:}40{:}37.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}39.584$ The evidence does suggest the need

NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143

 $00:40:39.584 \rightarrow 00:40:41.772$ for continuous coverage and I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143

 $00{:}40{:}41.772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}43.716$ this last point is pretty important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143

 $00{:}40{:}43.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}46.276$ need to support the data infra structure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143

 $00:40:46.280 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.880$ We are providing the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143

 $00:40:47.880 \rightarrow 00:40:49.160$ that policy makers use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143

 $00{:}40{:}49{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}51{.}869$ And in some States and I've heard

NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143

 $00:40:51.869 \rightarrow 00:40:53.799$ this state stated in Texas,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143
- $00:40:53.800 \longrightarrow 00:40:54.940$ the reason they haven't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143
- $00:40:54.940 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.080$ expanded Medicaid as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143
- $00:40:56.080 \rightarrow 00:40:57.248$ It's just crappy coverage.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143
- $00:40:57.248 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.000$ We want to do something else,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143
- $00{:}40{:}59{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}01{.}177$ but they don't really have a good
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143
- $00{:}41{:}01{.}177 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}02{.}543$ alternative or any alternative
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143
- $00:41:02.543 \longrightarrow 00:41:04.769$ to Medicaid and the data don't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143
- $00{:}41{:}04{.}769 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}06{.}600$ really support that conclusion.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143
- $00:41:06.600 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.885$ It's we don't provide the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143
- 00:41:09.885 --> 00:41:11.199 continuous coverage.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143
- $00{:}41{:}11{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}13{.}090$ So next steps really is replicate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143
- 00:41:13.090 --> 00:41:15.336 somebody else to do the similar kind
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143
- $00{:}41{:}15{.}336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}17{.}499$ of things somewhere else and for us
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143
- $00{:}41{:}17.559 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}19.519$ to look at other sites of cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143
- $00{:}41{:}19.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}21.476$ If we continue to do this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143

 $00:41:21.480 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.488$ None the less we have built a body

NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143

00:41:24.488 --> 00:41:26.672 of evidence that I think supports

NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143

 $00:41:26.672 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.820$ the policy form of both Medicaid

NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143

 $00:41:28.890 \longrightarrow 00:41:31.116$ expansion and in fact to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143

 $00{:}41{:}31{.}116 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}33{.}076$ continuous coverage and to increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143

 $00{:}41{:}33.076 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}35.096$ our data infra structure so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143

 $00:41:35.096 \longrightarrow 00:41:37.380$ we provide the right evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143

 $00:41:37.380 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.680$ for policy makers to use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143

00:41:40.680 --> 00:41:41.310 Thank you all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143

00:41:41.310 --> 00:41:42.360 Thank you for your time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928597327857143

 $00:41:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.960$ attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.941600479

 $00:41:47.200 \rightarrow 00:41:50.040$ and I think we're at the stage of let's talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

 $00:42:01.740 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.332$ thank you so much for this really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

 $00:42:04.332 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.060$ important talk, especially the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

 $00:42:06.060 \rightarrow 00:42:08.172$ the conclusion that being covered by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

 $00{:}42{:}08{.}172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}10{.}063$ Medicaid is associated with similar

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- 00:42:10.063 00:42:11.739 outcomes as private insurance.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- 00:42:11.740 --> 00:42:14.352 And I'd like to hear you discuss
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- $00:42:14.352 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.881$ a little bit more how to inform
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- 00:42:16.881 --> 00:42:18.589 policy changes with Medicaid
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- $00{:}42{:}18.589 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}21.078$ expansion in some of those states.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- $00{:}42{:}21.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}23.940$ Like is this data enough or if you show
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- $00:42:23.940 \rightarrow 00:42:25.920$ that has to occur in other states as well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- $00:42:25.920 \rightarrow 00:42:28.800$ how can we get the states that don't
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- 00:42:28.800 --> 00:42:31.350 have Medicaid expansion to expand?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- 00:42:31.350 --> 00:42:35.235 Yeah. I mean it's it's interesting I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- $00{:}42{:}35{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}38{.}144$ how there can be an argument at this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- $00:42:38.144 \rightarrow 00:42:40.550$ point against expansion and not being NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- 00:42:40.550 --> 00:42:43.409 having some care and being able to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- $00:42:43.409 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.104$ get into the system is so critically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- $00{:}42{:}46.104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}48.200$ important and to be able to show this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

 $00{:}42{:}48{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}50{.}726$ And our Lieutenant governor and both

NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

 $00{:}42{:}50{.}726 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}52{.}908$ our Governor and Lieutenant Governor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

 $00:42:52.908 \rightarrow 00:42:55.048$ are very much about healthcare

NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

 $00:42:55.048 \rightarrow 00:42:56.760$ and making it affordable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

 $00{:}42{:}56{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}58{.}665$ And the Lieutenant Governor has

NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

00:42:58.665 - 00:43:00.570 the awkwardly named office of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

 $00:43:00.635 \rightarrow 00:43:02.760$ saving people money in healthcare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

 $00:43:02.760 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.112$ Literally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

00:43:03.112 --> 00:43:04.168 And I quote,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

00:43:04.168 --> 00:43:06.608 I mean it's just like really anyway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

 $00{:}43{:}06{.}608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}09{.}552$ But she has this office and and really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

 $00:43:09.552 \rightarrow 00:43:12.520$ pays attention to this kind of evidence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

 $00{:}43{:}12.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}15.096$ And she herself is a four time cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

 $00:43:15.096 \rightarrow 00:43:17.290$ survivor that she says all the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

00:43:17.290 --> 00:43:19.280 And she visits our Cancer Center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

00:43:19.280 --> 00:43:21.398 she is on our Advisory Board,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- $00:43:21.400 \rightarrow 00:43:23.843$ comes in and she is always talking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- $00{:}43{:}23.843 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}25.513$ about the affordability of healthcare
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- $00{:}43{:}25{.}513 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}27{.}729$ and access and for us to be able
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- $00{:}43{:}27.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}28.878$ to show this data,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- $00:43:28.880 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.765$ she was completely on board
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- $00:43:30.765 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.273$ and resonating with it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- 00:43:32.280 --> 00:43:34.440 And they support the APCD,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- $00:43:34.440 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.005$ the civic they organization that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- $00{:}43{:}37.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}40.679$ manages it and wants it to be used.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- $00:43:40.680 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.282$ If you're in a state where
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- 00:43:42.282 --> 00:43:44.040 that's just not your philosophy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- $00{:}43{:}44{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}46{.}077$ you know where you don't believe data,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- $00:43:46.080 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.480$ where you don't trust the data,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- $00:43:48.480 \longrightarrow 00:43:50.580$ where you're looking for ways
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- $00:43:50.580 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.560$ to reduce the public safety net,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

 $00:43:53.560 \rightarrow 00:43:55.115$ it sometimes feel like there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

00:43:55.115 - 00:43:56.359 just not enough evidence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

00:43:56.360 --> 00:43:58.285 But I think we have to keep

NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

 $00:43:58.285 \rightarrow 00:43:59.928$ trying and that's our job,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

 $00:43:59.928 \rightarrow 00:44:03.400$ to be able to keep putting this out in front.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

 $00:44:03.400 \rightarrow 00:44:05.640$ When we started this part of the project,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

 $00:44:05.640 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.585$ it really was that tedious

NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

 $00{:}44{:}07{.}585 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}09{.}800$ validation component that we all do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

00:44:09.800 --> 00:44:12.279 And then it became the story like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

00:44:12.279 --> 00:44:13.476 wait a minute,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

00:44:13.476 --> 00:44:15.471 we're not seeing any differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

 $00:44:15.471 \longrightarrow 00:44:17.685$ we expected to, but we're not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

 $00{:}44{:}17.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}20.167$ And then even when I mentioned this to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

 $00:44:20.167 \rightarrow 00:44:22.291$ true believers at the National Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

00:44:22.291 --> 00:44:24.479 Institute that runs the SEER registry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

 $00:44:24.480 \longrightarrow 00:44:25.440$ they said,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- $00:44:25.440 \longrightarrow 00:44:25.920$ well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- $00:44:25.920 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.280$ are you just getting the claims later
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- $00:44:29.280 \rightarrow 00:44:31.944$ or do they eventually show up in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- 00:44:31.944 --> 00:44:34.279 Medicaid or in the cancer registry?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- $00:44:34.280 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.520$ No, they never showed up.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- $00{:}44{:}36{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}38{.}950$ Even when we expanded our linkage
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- 00:44:38.950 --> 00:44:40.092 out to 2021,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- $00:44:40.092 \rightarrow 00:44:42.084$ the people we saw being diagnosed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- $00{:}44{:}42.084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}44.317$ in the earlier part of our cohort,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- $00{:}44{:}44{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}47{.}520$ their claims never made it to the registry.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- $00:44:47.520 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.280$ It just doesn't come in.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- $00{:}44{:}49{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}52{.}880$ And providers who are doing care
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- 00:44:52.880 --> 00:44:55.280 for large Medicaid populations,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- $00{:}44{:}55{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}57{.}536$ We don't have the data infrastructure
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667
- $00{:}44{:}57{.}536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}59{.}040$ that's being reported up.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

 $00{:}44{:}59{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}01{.}912$ And when I showed this to our cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

00:45:01.912 --> 00:45:03.976 registrar in the state, he said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

 $00:45:03.976 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.316$ yeah, that sounds about right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

00:45:05.320 --> 00:45:06.280 We're, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8979884866666667

 $00:45:06.280 \rightarrow 00:45:08.520$ wasn't actually a surprising finding to him.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93240497444445

 $00{:}45{:}08{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}10{.}596$ He says, yeah, we're trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.932404974444445

 $00:45:10.596 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.468$ provide more support to these other

NOTE Confidence: 0.93240497444445

 $00:45:12.468 \rightarrow 00:45:14.400$ providers that we know that need it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93240497444445

 $00:45:14.400 \rightarrow 00:45:16.836$ So the infrastructure is pretty important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286

 $00:45:19.280 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.318$ I have a question as a clinician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286

 $00{:}45{:}22{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}23{.}400$ slightly different perspective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286

 $00{:}45{:}23{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}26{.}285$ When we, when our patients get Medicaid

NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286

 $00{:}45{:}26.285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}29.664$ or free care where we call it here

NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286

 $00:45:29.664 \rightarrow 00:45:31.846$ we're our team is just ecstatic because

NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286

 $00:45:31.846 \rightarrow 00:45:33.960$ now we can actually get reimbursed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286

 $00{:}45{:}33{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}35{.}395$ We can do the care as we

- NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286
- $00:45:35.395 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.940$ would normally have it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286
- $00:45:37.940 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.216$ So I think that that delay
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286
- $00:45:41.216 \rightarrow 00:45:42.880$ to enrollment certainly resonates,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286
- $00:45:42.880 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.736$ but I think that once they get into
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286
- $00{:}45{:}44.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}46.528$ our system and then we can start to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286
- $00{:}45{:}46{.}528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}48{.}249$ hook them up with primary care and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286
- $00:45:48.249 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.160$ all the things that they haven't had.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286
- $00:45:50.160 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.460$ So that that's my perspective
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286
- $00:45:52.460 \longrightarrow 00:45:54.980$ in terms of that piece of it is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286
- $00:45:54.980 \rightarrow 00:45:56.680$ that once we get that coverage,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286
- $00:45:56.680 \rightarrow 00:46:00.464$ we're trying to provide the the exact same
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286
- $00{:}46{:}00{.}464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}03{.}960$ care as we do it as our other patients.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286
- 00:46:03.960 --> 00:46:05.856 Yeah, I agree with you completely
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286
- $00{:}46{:}05{.}856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}08{.}568$ and I think that is the case in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286
- $00:46:08.568 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.981$ institutions like ours, right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286

00:46:09.981 --> 00:46:12.267 You know, if you're a private

NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286

 $00:46:12.267 \rightarrow 00:46:14.520$ provider out in the community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286

 $00:46:14.520 \rightarrow 00:46:16.080$ especially way out in the community,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286

 $00:46:16.080 \rightarrow 00:46:18.720$ you might be more sensitive to how many

NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286

 $00:46:18.720 \rightarrow 00:46:20.719$ Medicaid patients you put on your panel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286

00:46:20.720 --> 00:46:22.508 But I think what you described

NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286

 $00:46:22.508 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.080$ is very much the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286

00:46:24.080 --> 00:46:24.752 And you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286

 $00{:}46{:}24.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}26.639$ the key is being able to get them

NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286

 $00:46:26.639 \rightarrow 00:46:28.466$ here and get them into these kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286

 $00:46:28.466 \rightarrow 00:46:29.928$ of centers where they're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286

 $00:46:29.928 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.393$ to get really good care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286

 $00:46:31.400 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.810$ And they're and we've actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286

 $00:46:33.810 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.093$ done studies to show that if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286

 $00{:}46{:}37.093 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}39.445$ get to an NCI designated center

NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286

00:46:39.445 --> 00:46:43.040 or even a COC designated center,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.830218165714286
- $00:46:43.040 \rightarrow 00:46:45.280$ you're going to get the same care.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572
- 00:46:47.680 --> 00:46:51.064 Yes. So I first was going to follow up
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572
- $00:46:51.064 \rightarrow 00:46:53.797$ Melinda's comment about policy changes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572
- $00:46:53.800 \longrightarrow 00:46:56.382$ So I mean I I think what we're all
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572
- $00:46:56.382 \rightarrow 00:46:58.746$ probably saying and this kind of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572
- $00{:}46{:}58.746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}00.961$ agrees with our clinician perspective
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572
- 00:47:00.961 -> 00:47:03.960 is is once the patient has Medicaid,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572
- $00{:}47{:}03{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}06{.}035$ their treatment is similar at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572
- $00{:}47{:}06{.}035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}08{.}600$ least at a place like this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572
- $00{:}47{:}08.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}11.669$ So what kind of policy changes do can be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572
- $00:47:11.669 \rightarrow 00:47:14.465$ done to deal with that very compelling
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572
- $00:47:14.465 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.543$ data you have that the people who
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572
- $00{:}47{:}17.543 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}19.913$ the pre-existing enrollees do well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572
- $00{:}47{:}19{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}22{.}454$ the people who get diagnosed at time
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572
- $00{:}47{:}22{.}454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}24{.}613$ who get insurance at Medicaid at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

 $00:47:24.613 \rightarrow 00:47:26.958$ the time of diagnosis do less Well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

 $00{:}47{:}26{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}31{.}799$ What can you do to to to fix that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

 $00:47:31.800 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.496$ You know is it are are states trying NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

 $00:47:34.496 \rightarrow 00:47:36.991$ not to are not enrolling people as

NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

00:47:36.991 - 00:47:39.373 as proactively as they can because

NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

00:47:39.373 --> 00:47:41.375 obviously that increased short term NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

 $00:47:41.375 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.656$ costs or is it is this something

NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

 $00:47:43.656 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.686$ that we haven't figured out how

NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

 $00:47:45.686 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.078$ to enroll those patients?

NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

 $00:47:47.080 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.688$ Yeah, it varies a lot by

NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

 $00:47:48.688 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.760$ state like everything else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

00:47:49.760 --> 00:47:51.056 So take Massachusetts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

 $00:47:51.056 \rightarrow 00:47:54.080$ it has a very low uninsured baseline

NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

00:47:54.163 --> 00:47:56.368 on insurance rate and in pre ACA

NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

 $00{:}47{:}56{.}368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}58{.}943$ they had a very low baseline on

NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

 $00:47:58.943 \rightarrow 00:48:01.760$ insurance rate and they were one of

- NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572
- $00:48:01.760 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.640$ the first states to expand their
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572
- $00:48:04.640 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.156$ Medicaid and offer a way to have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572
- 00:48:07.156 --> 00:48:08.770 insurance if you don't qualify for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572
- $00:48:08.833 \rightarrow 00:48:10.793$ Medicaid to be able to get into it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572
- $00{:}48{:}10.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}13.356$ And ACA was modeled after it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572
- $00{:}48{:}13.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}14.998$ So they tended to do a really good job,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572
- $00:48:15.000 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.650$ but they had a low baseline
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572
- $00:48:16.650 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.475$ on insurance rates,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572
- $00{:}48{:}17{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}19{.}680$ so it didn't cost them as much to begin with.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572
- $00:48:19.680 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.432$ If you're in Alabama where it's a state
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572
- $00:48:22.432 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.341$ you don't have a lot of resources and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572
- 00:48:25.341 --> 00:48:27.759 much of your population is uninsured,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572
- $00{:}48{:}27.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}29.720$ there's not as aggressive approach
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572
- $00:48:29.720 \longrightarrow 00:48:32.520$ to go out and get insurance.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572
- $00{:}48{:}32{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}34{.}095$ And Alabama is one of the states
- NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

00:48:34.095 --> 00:48:35.720 that have an expanded Medicaid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

00:48:35.720 --> 00:48:36.908 not unsurprising.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

 $00:48:36.908 \rightarrow 00:48:40.225$ So it's it's more than I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

 $00:48:40.225 \rightarrow 00:48:42.640$ I it goes beyond the political philosophy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

 $00{:}48{:}42.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}44.705$ but what's the burden on the state

NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

00:48:44.705 --> 00:48:47.501 budget then to go out If you have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

 $00:48:47.501 \rightarrow 00:48:49.142$ large uninsured population and you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

 $00:48:49.142 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.206$ not a particularly wealthy state to

NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

 $00:48:51.206 \rightarrow 00:48:54.800$ begin with and this is a state-run program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

 $00{:}48{:}54{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}56{.}900$ those states are not as willing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

 $00{:}48{:}56{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}59{.}399$ go out and be aggressive about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

00:48:59.400 --> 00:49:03.118 So Virginia just expanded not long ago and I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

 $00{:}49{:}03{.}118 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}05{.}032$ they are really worried about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

 $00:49:05.032 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.320$ out of the woodwork phenomenon that

NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

 $00:49:07.320 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.116$ if you offer Medicaid now all these

NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

 $00:49:10.116 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.636$ people who now know about the program

NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

00:49:12.636 --> 00:49:15.340 are going to seek it and really

NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

 $00:49:15.340 \longrightarrow 00:49:18.040$ increase it beyond what they thought.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

00:49:18.040 --> 00:49:20.133 I don't know that states have really

NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

 $00:49:20.133 \rightarrow 00:49:22.159$ seen a huge bump in that way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

00:49:22.160 --> 00:49:22.992 Just depends,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

00:49:22.992 --> 00:49:26.920 A lot of it is going to be to and

NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

00:49:26.920 --> 00:49:28.880 politically this is so hard to do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

 $00:49:28.880 \longrightarrow 00:49:31.580$ but it's the nationalize these programs

NOTE Confidence: 0.823068691428572

 $00{:}49{:}31{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}34{.}120$ and standardize them across the board.

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

00:49:36.880 --> 00:49:39.718 Carrie, oh, sorry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

00:49:39.720 --> 00:49:42.918 Thank you so much for your.

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

 $00{:}49{:}42{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}45{.}840$ Thank you so much for your for your visit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

 $00{:}49{:}45{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}49{.}112$ talking for your body of work be assuring

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

00:49:49.112 --> 00:49:51.640 with regards to the the value of data

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

 $00{:}49{:}51.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}53.839$ and the importance of Medicaid question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

 $00:49:53.839 \rightarrow 00:49:55.431$ I just wanted to ask you to take

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

 $00{:}49{:}55{.}431 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}57{.}119$ a step back as someone who's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

 $00:49:57.119 \longrightarrow 00:49:58.537$ working in this field typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

 $00:49:58.537 \rightarrow 00:50:01.279$ Medicaid for quite a while now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

00:50:01.280 --> 00:50:03.560 It's just a troubling trend nationwide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

 $00{:}50{:}03{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}07{.}280$ 50% of all Medicaid beneficiary nation
wide

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

 $00:50:07.280 \rightarrow 00:50:12.240$ are now covered by a privately insured plan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

 $00:50:12.240 \rightarrow 00:50:14.440$ One of there's five companies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

00:50:14.440 --> 00:50:19.610 five 14100 companies or now basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

 $00:50:19.610 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.176$ managing their 50% of our many benefits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

00:50:23.176 --> 00:50:25.485 Yeah. Their revenues of those five

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

 $00:50:25.485 \rightarrow 00:50:28.239$ companies range from 30 billion in Molinas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

 $00{:}50{:}28.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}32.456$ over 300 billion for United Health care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

00:50:32.456 --> 00:50:34.892 So just wanted to ask your thoughts

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

 $00{:}50{:}34.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}36.489$ about privatization of Medicaid

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

 $00:50:36.489 \rightarrow 00:50:39.440$ and what what's driving it?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333
- 00:50:39.440 --> 00:50:41.672 Yeah, I mean, so this comes back to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333
- $00:50:41.672 \rightarrow 00:50:43.718$ that last slide around Replicate,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333
- $00:50:43.720 \rightarrow 00:50:46.555$ right, and try to get those differences
- NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333
- $00{:}50{:}46{.}555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}49{.}087$ to see what privatization has
- NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333
- $00:50:49.087 \rightarrow 00:50:51.344$ actually done in these,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333
- $00:50:51.344 \rightarrow 00:50:52.880$ in these companies.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333
- $00:50:52.880 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.146$ And that's a great question to be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333
- $00:50:56.146 \longrightarrow 00:50:57.318$ able to do it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333
- $00{:}50{:}57{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}00{.}920$ And you know we can start if you get a,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333
- 00:51:00.920 --> 00:51:03.286 you know we're still one state if
- NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333
- $00:51:03.286 \rightarrow 00:51:06.222$ we were able to get APC DS and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333
- 00:51:06.222 --> 00:51:07.838 registries across several States
- NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333
- $00{:}51{:}07{.}838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}10{.}911$ and be able to make exactly those
- NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333
- $00:51:10.911 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.122$ comparisons because we can identify
- NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333
- $00:51:13.122 \rightarrow 00:51:16.020$ what insurance company it is and find
- NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

 $00:51:16.020 \rightarrow 00:51:18.360$ all of that information out around.

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

00:51:18.360 --> 00:51:20.872 I can look at whether they have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

00:51:20.872 --> 00:51:22.796 high deductible plan or not and be

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

 $00:51:22.796 \rightarrow 00:51:24.602$ able to make these kind of comparisons

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

 $00{:}51{:}24.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}26.577$ and to be able to look at what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

 $00:51:26.577 \rightarrow 00:51:28.399$ happening in the Medicaid population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

00:51:28.400 --> 00:51:30.720 Great question and I'm sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

 $00:51:30.720 \longrightarrow 00:51:32.400$ I didn't see that you haven't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

 $00:51:32.400 \longrightarrow 00:51:33.014$ No, fine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

 $00:51:33.014 \rightarrow 00:51:36.120$ Thank you so much for all for all of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

 $00:51:36.120 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.132$ My question comes sort of to

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

 $00:51:39.132 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.000$ as we've seen some really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

00:51:42.000 --> 00:51:44.372 really impactful advances in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

00:51:44.372 --> 00:51:47.032 you know, cancer surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

 $00:51:47.032 \rightarrow 00:51:49.240$ immunotherapy, targeted therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

 $00:51:49.240 \rightarrow 00:51:52.630$ What are sort of the methodologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

 $00:51:52.630 \rightarrow 00:51:55.560$ challenges to taking the same approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

00:51:55.560 - 00:51:57.810 to something that maybe actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

00:51:57.810 -> 00:52:00.399 has a bigger impact on outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

 $00:52:00.400 \longrightarrow 00:52:02.640$ but that is not as simple as did

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

00:52:02.640 --> 00:52:04.878 you get referred for radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

 $00:52:04.880 \longrightarrow 00:52:07.764$ but are these things going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

 $00:52:07.764 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.715$ able to be approached from large

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

 $00{:}52{:}10.715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}14.474$ databases or are you going to need

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

00:52:14.480 --> 00:52:16.489 you know more granular work in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

 $00{:}52{:}16{.}489 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}18{.}151$ single counties or something to

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

 $00{:}52{:}18{.}151 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}20{.}090$ address Great question I think and

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

 $00{:}52{:}20.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}22.125$ the reason we looked at hormonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

 $00:52:22.125 \longrightarrow 00:52:24.105$ therapy is because it's oral

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

 $00:52:24.105 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.197$ outpatient therapy and we actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

 $00{:}52{:}26.197 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}28.397$ was looking at immuno therapy too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333

- $00:52:28.400 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.320$ But the sites of it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333
- 00:52:30.320 --> 00:52:31.062 you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333
- $00:52:31.062 \rightarrow 00:52:33.562$ we're not a huge state in terms
- NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333
- $00:52:33.562 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.204$ of population.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333
- 00:52:34.204 --> 00:52:37.292 And so when you get out into our rural
- NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333
- $00:52:37.292 \dashrightarrow 00:52:39.476$ areas and gets really teeny tiny.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333
- 00:52:39.480 --> 00:52:40.610 But immunotherapies,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333
- $00:52:40.610 \rightarrow 00:52:43.435$ therapies in these oral treatments
- NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333
- $00{:}52{:}43{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}45{.}970$ are really under reported to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333
- $00:52:45.970 \longrightarrow 00:52:47.290$ registries for obvious reasons.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333
- $00{:}52{:}47{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}49{.}541$ And you're going to need to get these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333
- $00:52:49.541 \longrightarrow 00:52:51.676$ claims datas from other kinds of sources.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333
- $00{:}52{:}51{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}52{.}332$ So it's.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333
- 00:52:52.332 --> 00:52:52.984 You're right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333
- $00{:}52{:}52{.}984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}55{.}379$ As we make these in advances and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333
- $00:52:55.379 \rightarrow 00:52:57.605$ they're doing more and more in

- NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333
- 00:52:57.605 --> 00:52:59.228 the outpatient setting. Yeah.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.355375013333333
- $00{:}52{:}59{.}228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}02{.}276$ The data challenges get much greater.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.701433638923077
- 00:53:02.280 --> 00:53:04.134 Yeah. And Tim. Oh, looks like
- NOTE Confidence: 0.701433638923077
- $00:53:04.134 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.358$ we have one on Zoom as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.701433638923077
- $00:53:06.360 \longrightarrow 00:53:08.480$ How do you do it?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.701433638923077
- 00:53:08.480 --> 00:53:09.040 I don't even know.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.701433638923077
- $00:53:09.040 \longrightarrow 00:53:11.400$ Where's the where's the mouse?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.701433638923077
- $00:53:11.400 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.000$ There it is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294
- $00:53:18.560 \longrightarrow 00:53:20.312$ That's the only reason why a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294
- $00{:}53{:}20{.}312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}21{.}946$ patient with the same socioe conomic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294
- $00:53:21.946 \rightarrow 00:53:24.394$ status would say they will qualify.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294
- $00{:}53{:}24{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}27{.}158$ Yes, that with a known cancer diagnosis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294
- $00:53:27.160 \longrightarrow 00:53:29.680$ The only reason. The question is,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294
- 00:53:29.680 --> 00:53:32.004 isn't the key issue that in some
- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294
- $00{:}53{:}32{.}004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}33{.}812$ states they known cancer diagnosis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00:53:33.812 \rightarrow 00:53:36.416$ is the only reason why the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00{:}53{:}36{.}416 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}38{.}527$ with the same socioe conomic status

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00:53:38.527 \rightarrow 00:53:41.035$ was able to qualify for Medicaid?

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

00:53:41.040 --> 00:53:43.614 So, and I don't know for sure if I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00:53:43.614 \rightarrow 00:53:45.360$ interpreting your question correctly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00:53:45.360 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.760$ but first and foremost,

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00:53:46.760 \rightarrow 00:53:48.510$ cancer is not a qualifying

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00:53:48.510 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.679$ condition for Medicaid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

00:53:49.680 --> 00:53:50.895 Unless you're diagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00:53:50.895 \rightarrow 00:53:52.515$ through the CDC program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00{:}53{:}52{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}54{.}158$ cancer does not get you on Medicaid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00:53:54.160 \dashrightarrow 00:53:56.435$ You still have to spend down if

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00:53:56.435 \rightarrow 00:53:58.231$ you're above the income requirements

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00:53:58.231 \rightarrow 00:54:01.215$ to be able to get into the Medicaid

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00:54:01.285 \longrightarrow 00:54:03.320$ program or to have qualified all

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00:54:03.320 \rightarrow 00:54:05.120$ along just simply not knowing it.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294
- $00:54:05.120 \longrightarrow 00:54:06.204$ But for many people,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294
- $00:54:06.204 \rightarrow 00:54:08.124$ there is a spend down period that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294
- $00:54:08.124 \rightarrow 00:54:09.748$ they have to go through and get
- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294
- $00:54:09.748 \longrightarrow 00:54:11.717$ on to the program and then they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294
- $00:54:11.717 \longrightarrow 00:54:14.088$ get the coverage that they need.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294
- $00:54:14.088 \longrightarrow 00:54:19.147$ So the the SES, it's the same.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294
- $00:54:19.147 \rightarrow 00:54:22.920$ If you meet that threshold within a state,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294
- $00:54:22.920 \rightarrow 00:54:24.525$ you could be similar socioeconomic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294
- $00{:}54{:}24{.}525 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}26{.}928$ status but still have to spend down some
- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294
- $00:54:26.928 \rightarrow 00:54:29.518$ assets to be able to bring in to the program.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294
- 00:54:29.520 --> 00:54:31.088 And I'm not sure if I answered
- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294
- $00:54:31.088 \longrightarrow 00:54:31.760$ that question exactly,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294
- 00:54:31.760 --> 00:54:33.839 but I hope so or if not,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294
- $00{:}54{:}33{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}36{.}400$ there's a follow up.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294
- $00:54:36.400 \longrightarrow 00:54:37.724$ Tim, great talk.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

00:54:37.724 --> 00:54:39.434 It's more of a philosophical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00:54:39.440 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.272$ political question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00:54:40.272 \rightarrow 00:54:42.201$ But with Medicare, you mentioned the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00:54:42.201 \rightarrow 00:54:43.109$ the importance of maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00:54:43.109 \dashrightarrow 00:54:44.120$ having a nationalized program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

00:54:44.120 --> 00:54:45.680 Medicare, we had a nationalized,

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00:54:45.680 \longrightarrow 00:54:46.560$ but Medicaid we don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00{:}54{:}46{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}47{.}880$ Do you think there's any fundamental

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

00:54:47.917 --> 00:54:48.997 differences between the programs

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00:54:48.997 \longrightarrow 00:54:50.077$ that have prevented that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00{:}54{:}50{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}50{.}860$ Or, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00{:}54{:}50{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}52{.}680$ is there a path forward to getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00:54:52.742 \longrightarrow 00:54:54.477$ a national approach to Medicaid?

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

00:54:54.480 --> 00:54:56.680 Yeah, I don't know. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00:54:56.680 \rightarrow 00:54:59.248$ With it's if you think of all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00:54:59.248 \dashrightarrow 00:55:01.879$ challenges to the ACA that's already been,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294
- $00{:}55{:}01.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}04.056$ I was a moderator for a panel with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294
- $00{:}55{:}04.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}05.675$ a National Cancer Policy Forum
- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294
- $00:55:05.675 \rightarrow 00:55:07.703$ where we brought together for the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294
- 00:55:07.703 > 00:55:09.797 10 year anniversary of the ACA.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294
- $00:55:09.800 \rightarrow 00:55:12.040$ And we were talking to Donna Shalala,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294
- $00{:}55{:}12.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}14.128$ the people who really were at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294
- $00:55:14.128 \longrightarrow 00:55:16.381$ the table when they crafted the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294
- 00:55:16.381 --> 00:55:18.356 ACA and brought it forward.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294
- $00{:}55{:}18.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}20.360$ And I the question I asked them was,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294
- $00:55:20.360 \rightarrow 00:55:22.640$ was there something you do differently?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294
- $00:55:22.640 \longrightarrow 00:55:23.664$ And the answer was,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294
- 00:55:23.664 --> 00:55:23.920 Yep,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294
- $00{:}55{:}23.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}27.015$ we would not have compromised that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294
- $00{:}55{:}27.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}29.920$ when we ended up because we we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294
- $00{:}55{:}29{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}32{.}639$ compromised on so many places in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00:55:32.639 \rightarrow 00:55:35.720$ bill in hopes for bipartisan support.

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00{:}55{:}35{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}36{.}952$ And when it passed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

00:55:36.952 --> 00:55:38.800 it went right down party lines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00:55:38.800 \rightarrow 00:55:41.720$ not a single bipartisan vote.

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00{:}55{:}41.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}43.424$ So their answer was the reason

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00{:}55{:}43{.}424 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}45{.}495$ the ACA isn't what we wanted it

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00:55:45.495 \dashrightarrow 00:55:47.235$ to be is because we compromised.

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00:55:47.240 \longrightarrow 00:55:48.720$ If we did it again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00{:}55{:}48.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}50.547$ we would not have done that because

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00:55:50.547 \rightarrow 00:55:52.358$ they were never going to play ball.

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00:55:52.360 \dashrightarrow 00:55:55.879$ So you're going to have to have a different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00{:}55{:}55{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}58{.}640$ you know, so it's it's a heavy lift.

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00{:}55{:}58{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}01{.}349$ And I think the evidence that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00{:}56{:}01{.}349 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}04{.}107$ provide and the care that we put

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00{:}56{:}04{.}107 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}06{.}381$ in our research is so critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00:56:06.462 \rightarrow 00:56:09.200$ and that we keep just pushing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

 $00:56:09.200 \longrightarrow 00:56:11.200$ we have really valid findings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.699103258235294

00:56:11.200 --> 00:56:13.076 We're being more creative with our data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956324035

 $00{:}56{:}13.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}16.055$ We're finding this and putting it out

NOTE Confidence: 0.956324035

 $00{:}56{:}16.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}21.076$ there in hopes that there's an audience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956324035

00:56:21.080 --> 00:56:23.400 Well, thank you so much. I'd like to take.