WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:58:51" NOTE recognizability:0.815

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.869160187272727

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:01.292$ So I'm Roy Herbst,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869160187272727

 $00:00:01.292 \dashrightarrow 00:00:03.720$ Deputy Director here at the Cancer Center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869160187272727

 $00:00:03.720 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.730$ and it's really my honor to

NOTE Confidence: 0.869160187272727

 $00:00:05.730 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.680$ introduce the Cal Brazy Lecture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869160187272727

 $00:00:08.680 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.105$ And this year you'll you'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.869160187272727

00:00:10.105 --> 00:00:10.960 meet Doctor Pasiani,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869160187272727

00:00:10.960 --> 00:00:13.040 who will be introduced by our lung program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869160187272727

 $00{:}00{:}13.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}15.325$ scientific leader Katie Poletti and

NOTE Confidence: 0.869160187272727

 $00:00:15.325 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.710$ clinical leader Sarah Goldberg.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869160187272727

 $00:00:16.710 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.120$ But first, I just want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.869160187272727

00:00:18.120 --> 00:00:19.398 say a word about Paul

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

 $00{:}00{:}21.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}23.944$ Paul. Cal Brazy is often referred to as

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

 $00:00:23.944 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.156$ the father of oncology and its influence

 $00:00:26.156 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.483$ here at Yale Cancer Center remains a

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

 $00:00:28.483 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.181$ former faculty member at Yale School

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

 $00:00:30.181 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.811$ of Medicine who was internationally

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

 $00:00:31.811 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.983$ recognized as an authority on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

 $00:00:33.983 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.758$ pharmacology of anti cancer agents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

 $00{:}00{:}35.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}37.695$ Doctor Calabrazi serves as director

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

 $00{:}00{:}37.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}40.052$ of the Yale Cancer Center's Advisory

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

 $00{:}00{:}40.052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}42.455$ Board until 2003 and we honor him

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

 $00{:}00{:}42.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}44.624$ with a conference room WW2 O 8

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

 $00:00:44.624 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.640$ where his picture hangs and I bet

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

 $00{:}00{:}46.717 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}49.037$ almost everyone here has visited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

 $00:00:49.040 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.028$ You can see here's the conference room

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

 $00{:}00{:}51.028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}53.077$ shown on the slide with a beautiful

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

 $00{:}00{:}53.077 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}55.400$ portrait of Paul and all the lecturers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

 $00:00:55.400 \longrightarrow 00:00:57.744$ the 13 who have given this lecture over

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

 $00:00:57.744 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.240$ the last 14 or 15 years have shown and

 $00:01:00.240 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.160$ Doctor Yanni's plaque is already there

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

 $00{:}01{:}02.160 \longrightarrow 00{:}01{:}03.798$ and you can see the outside of the room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

 $00:01:03.800 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.920$ So if you haven't been to the room,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428 00:01:04.920 --> 00:01:05.348 go visit. NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

00:01:05.348 --> 00:01:07.060 We were just there and it was just

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

00:01:07.118 --> 00:01:08.868 wonderful to be with the Cal Brazy

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

 $00:01:08.868 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.926$ family and I welcome them all here

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

 $00:01:10.926 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.999$ today and to take some photos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

 $00:01:13.000 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.960$ This is a list of the lecturers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

 $00{:}01{:}14.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}17.078$ This is a very important lecture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

00:01:17.080 --> 00:01:19.148 You know, Paul was you know who

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

 $00:01:19.148 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.518$ who here has AK12 award?

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

 $00:01:20.520 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.928$ Do we have any of our K12 awardees here?

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428 00:01:23.928 --> 00:01:25.096 They'll be. NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

 $00:01:25.096 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.160$ Yep, Yep so. So we have.

00:01:27.160 --> 00:01:29.956 So K12 is the Calabresi award.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

 $00{:}01{:}29.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}31.240$ Paul was all about mentorship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

00:01:31.240 --> 00:01:34.036 teaching, taking care of the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

 $00:01:34.040 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.520$ He was both a scientist and a clinician.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

 $00:01:36.520 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.123$ The the true what we used to

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

 $00:01:38.123 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.559$ call the three legged stool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

 $00:01:39.560 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.645$ So we try to invite people to these lectures

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

 $00:01:42.645 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.680$ and you can see the list of lecturers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

00:01:44.680 --> 00:01:46.520 And the very first one was Eddie Chu,

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

 $00:01:46.520 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.320$ also a mentee of Paul.

NOTE Confidence: 0.769715758571428

 $00:01:48.320 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.357$ And last year we had Steven Rosenberg.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876615902222222

 $00:01:53.160 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.959$ And here are just some photos over the years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876615902222222

 $00:01:55.960 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.192$ It's very special lectureship for me

NOTE Confidence: 0.8766159022222222

00:01:58.192 --> 00:02:01.119 because I actually met Paul 44 years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876615902222222

 $00:02:01.119 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.357$ And how did I meet Paul?

NOTE Confidence: 0.876615902222222

00:02:03.360 --> 00:02:04.416 I have a picture,

00:02:04.416 --> 00:02:06.771 I can only find 2 pictures on the left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876615902222222

 $00{:}02{:}06.771 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}08.313$ that's Paul behind his wife Seal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876615902222222

 $00:02:08.320 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.132$ And that's me at my friend

NOTE Confidence: 0.876615902222222

00:02:10.132 --> 00:02:11.056 Peter Calabresi's wedding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876615902222222

 $00:02:11.056 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.520$ the only picture Janice could find for me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8766159022222222

 $00:02:13.520 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.606$ But Paul was mentoring me and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.876615902222222

00:02:15.606 --> 00:02:18.157 to walk and stand up straight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876615902222222

 $00:02:18.160 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.716$ And then on the right Paul took this picture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876615902222222

 $00{:}02{:}20.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}21.600$ There's another picture with

NOTE Confidence: 0.876615902222222

 $00{:}02{:}21.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}22.920$ Paul but I couldn't find it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876615902222222

00:02:22.920 --> 00:02:25.088 But that's Peter and I just a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.876615902222222

 $00:02:25.088 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.933$ years ago probably around 1983.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876615902222222

 $00{:}02{:}26.933 \to 00{:}02{:}29.605$ You can see I'm I'm drinking a tab but,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876615902222222

 $00:02:29.605 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.880$ but, but but Paul was a mentor

NOTE Confidence: 0.876615902222222

 $00:02:31.880 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.718$ to me as to so many.

 $00:02:33.720 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.728$ That's always so special

NOTE Confidence: 0.876615902222222

 $00:02:34.728 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.240$ for me to have this lecture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876615902222222

 $00:02:36.240 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.060$ And here we have Paul's

NOTE Confidence: 0.876615902222222

 $00:02:38.060 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.880$ brother Guido in the audience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876615902222222

 $00:02:39.880 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.960$ His wife Ann was with us last night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876615902222222

 $00:02:41.960 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.800$ His sons Peter and Steven.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876615902222222

00:02:44.800 --> 00:02:46.772 His daughter Janice Mimi,

NOTE Confidence: 0.876615902222222

 $00:02:46.772 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.388$ who is Steven's wife.

NOTE Confidence: 0.876615902222222

 $00{:}02{:}48.388 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}50.272$ So it's just wonderful to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.876615902222222

 $00:02:50.272 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.600$ the Calabrazi family here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8766159022222222

00:02:51.600 --> 00:02:54.192 But now to introduce our guest of the day,

$$\label{eq:notes} \begin{split} &\text{NOTE Confidence: } 0.876615902222222\\ &00:02:54.200 --> 00:02:54.650 \text{ our speaker,} \end{split}$$

NOTE Confidence: 0.876615902222222

 $00:02:54.650 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.225$ I'm going to invite Sarah Goldberg and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8766159022222222

 $00:02:56.225 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.840$ Katie Paletti to introduce Doctor Yanni.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9634966

00:02:59.960 --> 00:03:00.030 Good NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:03:06.230 \longrightarrow 00:03:07.082$ morning everyone.

 $00:03:07.082 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.490$ So this is really so such so wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

00:03:10.573 --> 00:03:13.244 to see everyone here and to meet and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:03:13.244 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.393$ get to know the the Calabrazi family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

00:03:15.400 --> 00:03:16.877 But right now my my job is

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:03:16.877 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.960$ to introduce our speaker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:03:17.960 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.004$ So it is my absolute honor to

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

00:03:20.004 --> 00:03:21.559 introduce my colleague and friend,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00{:}03{:}21.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}24.248$ Doctor Pasiani as our guest lecturer for

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:03:24.248 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.040$ the Paul Calabresi Memorial Lecture Series.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00{:}03{:}27.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}29.830$ Doctor Yanni earned his MD as well as PhD

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

00:03:29.830 --> 00:03:32.478 degrees from the University of Pennsylvania.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:03:32.480 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.375$ He then completed postgraduate training

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00{:}03{:}34.375 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}36.641$ in internal medicine at Brigham and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00{:}03{:}36.641 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}38.531$ Women's Hospital and in Medical Oncology

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:03:38.531 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.440$ at Dana Farber Cancer Institute.

 $00:03:40.440 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.234$ He's currently the director of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:03:42.234 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.104$ Lowe Center for Thoracic Oncology and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:03:44.104 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.958$ the scientific director of the Belfer

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:03:45.958 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.800$ Center for Applied Cancer Science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:03:47.800 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.624$ And he's also professor of Medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:03:49.624 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.162$ at Harvard Medical School and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

00:03:51.162 --> 00:03:52.238 the David M Livingston,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

00:03:52.240 --> 00:03:54.720 MD Chair at Dana Farber.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:03:54.720 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.224$ So it was at Dana Farber that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

00:03:56.224 --> 00:03:57.835 first met posse when I was a fellow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:03:57.840 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.800$ It was several years ago now as we

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

00:03:59.800 --> 00:04:01.158 were reminiscing about last night,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

00:04:01.160 --> 00:04:03.040 I worked in his clinic and still now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:04:03.040 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.112$ you know as we both see patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:04:05.112 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.000$ with lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:04:06.000 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.478$ we we sometimes still share patients.

 $00:04:08.480 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.307$ And I can personally attest that he

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:04:10.307 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.552$ really is a fantastic oncologist who goes

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:04:12.552 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.160$ above and beyond for every single patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:04:15.160 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.856$ So I'm going to now turn over to

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00{:}04{:}16.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}19.067$ to Katie Politi to tell you a bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:04:19.067 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.223$ about Doctor Yanni's remarkable

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

00:04:20.281 --> 00:04:22.037 scientific contributions and PASI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:04:22.040 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.840$ I'm really looking forward to your lecture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

00:04:24.840 --> 00:04:26.625 Thank you, Sarah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00{:}04{:}26.625 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}30.195$ Bon giorno E benvenuti attuti specialmente

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:04:30.195 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.920$ a la familia calabresi E aldotor pasi Yanni.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

00:04:34.920 --> 00:04:36.198 As I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00{:}04{:}36.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}39.151$ good morning and welcome to every body

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

00:04:39.151 --> 00:04:41.936 and especially to the Calabrese

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

00:04:41.936 --> 00:04:45.359 family and to Doctor Pasiyani Today.

 $00:04:45.360 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.436$ The advances in lung cancer treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:04:47.436 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.142$ over the past 20 years have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:04:50.142 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.171$ remarkable and are contributing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

00:04:52.171 --> 00:04:54.433 a reduction in lung cancer deaths

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:04:54.433 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.637$ that we've seen in recent years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

00:04:56.640 --> 00:04:59.244 Doctor Yanni's research has played a

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:04:59.244 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.912$ central and critical role in contributing

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:05:01.912 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.474$ to the better outcomes for patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00{:}05{:}04.474 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}07.157$ with lung cancer that we see today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00{:}05{:}07.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}09.565$ His main research interests include

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:05:09.565 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.970$ studying the therapeutic relevance of

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:05:12.040 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.480$ on cogenic alterations in lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:05:14.480 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.104$ He was one of the Co discoverers of

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:05:17.104 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.644$ epidermal growth factor mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:05:18.644 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.104$ in lung cancer and has pioneered

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:05:21.104 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.847$ the development of the rapeutic

 $00{:}05{:}22.847 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}24.992$ strategies for patients with EGF

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00{:}05{:}24.992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}26.727$ receptor mutant lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00{:}05{:}26.727 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}29.856$ His lab based and clinical research has

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:05:29.856 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.703$ also focused on other oncogenic driver

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00{:}05{:}32.703 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}35.517$ subsets like those for those patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:05:35.597 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.279$ whose tumors harbor K Ras mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:05:38.280 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.640$ As you will see today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:05:39.640 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.765$ Doctor Yanni's laboratory research is

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

00:05:41.765 --> 00:05:44.290 at the forefront of addressing major

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00{:}05{:}44.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}46.312$ challenges in lung cancer and sets

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:05:46.312 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.775$ the stage for advancing approaches for

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:05:48.775 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.080$ clinical treatment of the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00{:}05{:}51.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}53.194$ Thank you Pasi for being here today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:05:53.200 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.600$ It's a pleasure to have you here

NOTE Confidence: 0.93304342875

 $00:05:54.600 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.200$ for this lecture.

 $00:05:59.000 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.010$ We're going to take a picture

NOTE Confidence: 0.60805017

 $00:06:01.010 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.420$ with a both Reef before

NOTE Confidence: 0.686923143076923

 $00:06:02.480 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.184$ we start because and for inviting

NOTE Confidence: 0.686923143076923

 $00:06:04.184 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.672$ child raising family to come up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.686923143076923

00:06:05.680 --> 00:06:07.157 I'm also going to ask Lori Pickens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.686923143076923

 $00{:}06{:}07.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}08.876$ our Senior Vice President from Smile,

NOTE Confidence: 0.686923143076923

 $00:06:08.880 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.528$ to join us and we'll take the obligate

NOTE Confidence: 0.686923143076923

 $00{:}06{:}11.528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}13.553$ picture that will be in direct

NOTE Confidence: 0.686923143076923

 $00{:}06{:}13.553 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}14.916$ connect and we're how do you want us?

NOTE Confidence: 0.468812895

 $00:06:28.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.940$ How was he going to do that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.468812895

 $00:06:29.940 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.760$ So why have to come? The

NOTE Confidence: 0.16836825

 $00:06:34.640 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.200$ screen, by

NOTE Confidence: 0.50573885 00:06:38.840 --> 00:06:38.920 the

NOTE Confidence: 0.421193961666667

 $00:06:41.800 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.676$ way, went to a shoe up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638395336363636

 $00:06:49.730 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.570$ What invited speaker knows they

NOTE Confidence: 0.638395336363636

00:06:51.570 --> 00:06:53.890 only get 15 minutes to clock,

 $00:06:53.890 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.414$ but we will have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638395336363636

 $00:06:54.414 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.476$ By the way, at the end,

NOTE Confidence: 0.638395336363636

 $00:06:55.476 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.364$ we're having mentorship testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638395336363636

00:06:56.370 --> 00:06:58.631 With any training you would like to

NOTE Confidence: 0.638395336363636

 $00:06:58.631 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.835$ say we're going to have all the images.

NOTE Confidence: 0.599663745

 $00:07:02.440 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.520$ Could we kill this just for a second?

NOTE Confidence: 0.599663745

 $00:07:03.520 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.560$ Just for a quick second? Sure. Thanks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.451984

 $00:07:22.550 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.270$ Good luck at lunch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.686743483333333

00:07:29.230 --> 00:07:31.070 Thank you so much. Thank you. I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.69919418

 $00:07:36.310 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.870$ put it over here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96965707

00:07:45.760 --> 00:07:48.733 Thank you for those wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.96965707

 $00:07:48.733 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.319$ introductions and thank you Roy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.96965707

 $00{:}07{:}51.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}53.798$ entire team for inviting me here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96965707

 $00:07:53.800 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.355$ And thank you for the Calabrese family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96965707

 $00:07:56.360 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.256$ It was levely to meet all of you yesterday

 $00:08:00.256 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.719$ at dinner and and and today as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96965707

 $00{:}08{:}03.720 \longrightarrow 00{:}08{:}10.550$ So I will focus my lecture today on

NOTE Confidence: 0.96965707

 $00:08:10.550 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.736$ on a specific area of lung Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.96965707

00:08:14.736 --> 00:08:18.784 Research that we call drug tolerant

NOTE Confidence: 0.96965707

 $00:08:18.784 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.764$ persisters and you'll see what

NOTE Confidence: 0.96965707

 $00:08:20.764 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.920$ that all means in a few moments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96965707

 $00:08:23.920 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.348$ These are my disclosures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96965707

00:08:25.348 --> 00:08:27.490 I work with lots of companies

NOTE Confidence: 0.96965707

 $00{:}08{:}27.563 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}29.513$ to try to develop new the rapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.96965707

 $00:08:29.513 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.360$ and hence the disclosures. So as

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00{:}08{:}35.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}37.895$ Doctor Goldberg mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:08:37.895 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.720$ lung cancer therapies have changed

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

00:08:41.720 --> 00:08:44.159 quite a bit and we think of lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

00:08:44.160 --> 00:08:45.198 especially lung adenocarcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:08:45.198 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.620$ which is the most common form of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00{:}08{:}47.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}52.444$ lung cancer today as as a cancer that

 $00:08:52.444 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.220$ harbors potentially targetable genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00{:}08{:}55.329 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}59.090$ alterations shown in this pie chart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00{:}08{:}59.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}02.902$ And if we actually look at what

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:09:02.902 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.212$ has been approved as therapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:09:06.212 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.020$ for these different alterations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:09:08.020 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.680$ we actually have a large number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:09:10.680 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.417$ therapies and more coming all the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00{:}09{:}13.417 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}16.160$ approved for specific subsets of lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

00:09:16.160 --> 00:09:18.959 And so when we see patients in the clinic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:09:18.960 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.976$ one of our first questions is to try

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:09:20.976 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.904$ to understand does the cancer in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:09:22.904 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.588$ individual harbor one of these genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00{:}09{:}24.588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}26.464$ alterations that we could then use one

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:09:26.464 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.615$ of the therapies on the right hand

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

00:09:28.615 --> 00:09:30.886 side or enroll that patient into a

 $00:09:30.886 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.944$ clinical trial that may be evaluating a

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00{:}09{:}32.944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}35.476$ new the rapy or a the rapeutic combination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:09:35.480 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.040$ And the therapies are successful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

00:09:39.040 --> 00:09:43.368 However, they still don't cure

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:09:43.368 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.078$ patients with advanced lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:09:46.080 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.220$ They're better than than what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

00:09:49.220 --> 00:09:51.698 would have had 2025 years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:09:51.698 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.916$ which is chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:09:52.920 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.754$ but we still need to continue to do better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

00:09:56.760 --> 00:09:58.320 And So what typically happens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00{:}09{:}58.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}00.632$ and this is an example of a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00{:}10{:}00.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}03.048$ with a lung cancer and he's treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:10:03.048 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.960$ with a targeted therapy and you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

00:10:06.036 --> 00:10:08.556 almost all of the cancer disappears,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:10:08.560 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.956$ but then it ultimately comes back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

00:10:11.960 --> 00:10:14.382 And what I'll focus my discussion today

 $00:10:14.382 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.117$ and what my lab has focused a lot is

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00{:}10{:}17.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}20.116$ trying to understand why does it almost,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

00:10:20.120 --> 00:10:23.492 almost completely disappears,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:10:23.492 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.676$ but not completely disappear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:10:25.680 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.292$ And if we made this sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:10:29.292 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.840$ intermediate state completely disappear,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:10:31.840 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.800$ would our therapies be more effective?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:10:35.800 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.808$ So let's look at it at a kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:10:38.808 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.450$ of A at this level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125 00:10:41.450 --> 00:10:42.080 So, NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00{:}10{:}42.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}44.304$ so example of a cancer we call this

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:10:44.304 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.880$ this sort of intermediate state the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00{:}10{:}46.880 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}10{:}49.280$ persistor state or the drug tolerant

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00{:}10{:}49.280 \to 00{:}10{:}51.637$ persistor state out of which cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:10:51.637 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.422$ various resistance mechanisms that we

00:10:54.422 --> 00:10:57.160 can detect clinically ultimately arise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00{:}10{:}57.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}58.693$ Sometimes resistance mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:10:58.693 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.248$ can pre-existing cancers and when

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

00:11:01.248 --> 00:11:04.070 you treat them with therapies they

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

00:11:04.070 --> 00:11:06.948 can out outgrow it and and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00{:}11{:}06.948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}08.233$ develop resistance in that way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:11:08.240 \longrightarrow 00:11:10.522$ But this is definitely as as shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:11:10.522 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.671$ in those scans before happens as

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:11:12.671 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.748$ well and so how,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125 00:11:13.748 --> 00:11:13.984 how, NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:11:13.984 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.800$ how can we do better well we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:11:15.800 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.940$ develop therapies that are more

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:11:17.940 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.080$ effective at this initial therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

00:11:20.150 --> 00:11:22.816 stage to eliminate this intermediate

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

00:11:22.816 --> 00:11:26.025 state or we can treat or figure out

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00{:}11{:}26.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}28.675$ what make what's unique about this

 $00:11:28.675 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.075$ intermediate state and how could

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:11:31.075 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.144$ we eliminate it and ultimately

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:11:34.144 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.764$ delay or prevent resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:11:36.764 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.964$ So one and and as as as as you

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

00:11:41.964 --> 00:11:43.045 heard from the introduction, I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00{:}11{:}43.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}45.320$ I focus on EGFR mutant lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:11:45.320 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.886$ which in that pie chart is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

00:11:47.886 --> 00:11:48.624 quite the biggest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:11:48.624 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.639$ sort of the second biggest piece of the pie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:11:50.640 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.510$ And we were involved in that initial

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00{:}11{:}53.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}56.204$ discovery and have subsequently tried

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:11:56.204 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.108$ to develop therapies for patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00{:}11{:}59.108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}01.276$ are treated with EGFR inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00:12:01.276 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.782$ And one of the things that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

00:12:03.782 --> 00:12:05.558 recently involved in was asking

 $00:12:05.560 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.184$ can we use another therapy such

NOTE Confidence: 0.87120651125

 $00{:}12{:}09.184 --> 00{:}12{:}11.600$ as chemotherapy that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.854648556363636

 $00:12:11.600 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.220$ commonly use in lung cancer in

NOTE Confidence: 0.854648556363636

 $00:12:13.220 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.840$ combination with an EGFR inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854648556363636

 $00:12:14.840 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.864$ And would that in fact lead to

NOTE Confidence: 0.854648556363636

 $00:12:17.864 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.336$ a better outcome for patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.854648556363636

 $00:12:20.336 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.670$ compared to an EGFR inhibitor alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854648556363636

 $00:12:23.670 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.010$ And that could be because it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.854648556363636

00:12:26.010 --> 00:12:27.559 more effective initially or it

NOTE Confidence: 0.854648556363636

 $00:12:27.560 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.320$ impacts this intermediate state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854648556363636

 $00{:}12{:}32.320 \longrightarrow 00{:}12{:}34.280$ And so this is a clinical trial that

NOTE Confidence: 0.854648556363636

 $00:12:34.280 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.058$ those of you who treat lung cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.854648556363636

 $00:12:36.058 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.414$ patients are probably familiar with

NOTE Confidence: 0.854648556363636

 $00:12:37.414 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.516$ called the FLORA two trial where

NOTE Confidence: 0.854648556363636

00:12:39.516 --> 00:12:41.850 the standard of care EGF inhibitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.854648556363636

 $00{:}12{:}41.925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}44.637$ ASA mertnib also known as Tagrisso

00:12:44.637 --> 00:12:46.445 was combined with chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.854648556363636

00:12:46.515 --> 00:12:48.879 compared to the ASA mertnib alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854648556363636

 $00:12:48.880 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.880$ And patients got combination chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.854648556363636

 $00:12:51.880 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.880$ and then followed by maintenance

NOTE Confidence: 0.854648556363636

 $00:12:54.963 \longrightarrow 00:12:57.638$ chemotherapy and ASA mertnib.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854648556363636

 $00:12:57.640 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.662$ And this trial turned out to

NOTE Confidence: 0.854648556363636

 $00:12:59.662 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.360$ be a positive in in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.854648556363636

 $00:13:03.360 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.425$ progression free survival sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.854648556363636

00:13:05.425 --> 00:13:07.077 delaying the likelihood of

NOTE Confidence: 0.836114335

 $00{:}13{:}09.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}14.536$ recurrence from or disease growth from

NOTE Confidence: 0.836114335

 $00{:}13{:}14.536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}16.632$ lung cancer significantly depending

NOTE Confidence: 0.836114335

 $00:13:16.632 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.407$ on how how it was looked at by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.836114335

 $00:13:20.407 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.839$ investigators or by blinded review.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836114335

 $00:13:22.840 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.520$ It delays that by about nine months which

NOTE Confidence: 0.836114335

 $00:13:26.520 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.615$ which has clinical implications as well.

 $00:13:30.615 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.285$ It was especially effective in patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.836114335

 $00{:}13{:}33.285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}35.524$ who whose cancer had metastasized

NOTE Confidence: 0.836114335

 $00:13:35.524 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.110$ to the brain this this difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.836114335

 $00:13:38.183 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.755$ is larger but even in patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.836114335

 $00:13:39.755 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.520$ who where that wasn't the case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836114335

 $00:13:41.520 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.528$ it was effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836114335

 $00:13:42.528 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.880$ And if we look at the common

NOTE Confidence: 0.836114335

00:13:44.958 --> 00:13:47.354 types of EGFR mutations about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.836114335

 $00{:}13{:}47.354 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}49.342$ of the common ones about 50% are

NOTE Confidence: 0.836114335

 $00:13:49.342 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.394$ these Exxon 19 deletions and 50%

NOTE Confidence: 0.836114335

 $00:13:51.400 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.038$ are these Li 58 arm mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836114335

 $00:13:53.040 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.431$ And in both cases chemotherapy improved

NOTE Confidence: 0.836114335

 $00:13:56.431 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.119$ the outcome of of of the patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836114335

 $00:14:02.120 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.970$ It's it's too early to know whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.836114335

 $00:14:05.970 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.620$ this improvement translates

NOTE Confidence: 0.836114335

00:14:07.713 --> 00:14:10.157 into patients living longer.

00:14:10.160 --> 00:14:11.995 We'll hopefully have some updates

NOTE Confidence: 0.836114335

 $00:14:11.995 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.439$ later on this year on on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836114335

 $00:14:14.440 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.160$ But it did delay the what we call

NOTE Confidence: 0.836114335

 $00:14:17.160 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.760$ the 2nd progression free survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836114335

 $00:14:18.760 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.440$ So the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:14:22.640 \longrightarrow 00:14:25.004$ of so patients who got chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

00:14:25.004 --> 00:14:28.180 and an EGFR inhibitor first even if

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00{:}14{:}28.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}31.130$ they got subsequent the rapy it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:14:31.130 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.800$ still that was still effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:14:33.800 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.920$ They they had a longer durability

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:14:36.920 \longrightarrow 00:14:39.872$ of benefit than if they just

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

00:14:39.872 --> 00:14:41.840 started the EGFR inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00{:}14{:}41.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}44.424$ Now if we look at kind of trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:14:44.424 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.744$ to understand what is what is

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:14:46.744 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.249$ chemotherapy doing now it turns out

 $00:14:49.249 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.230$ that the this is the EGFR inhibitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:14:51.291 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.116$ alone and this is chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:14:53.120 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.212$ these look very similar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:14:54.212 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.460$ This is, these are all individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:14:56.460 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.925$ patients and the degree or or so

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:14:58.925 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.710$ this is what we call a waterfall

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:15:00.778 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.305$ plot and these are all patients were

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

00:15:03.305 --> 00:15:05.080 measuring their tumor shrinkage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:15:05.080 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.800$ And what was maybe disappointing

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:15:08.800 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.440$ is that even with the addition of

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

00:15:12.440 --> 00:15:15.800 chemotherapy the the maximum or

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:15:15.800 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.136$ median best tumor shrinkage was

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:15:19.136 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.000$ 50% in the EGFR inhibitor and only

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:15:23.000 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.000$ 52.6% when you added chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909 00:15:26.000 --> 00:15:26.560 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:15:26.560 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.360$ the durability of that shrinkage

 $00:15:29.360 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.587$ was much longer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:15:30.587 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.041$ about nine months longer if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

00:15:33.041 --> 00:15:35.422 had chemotherapy compared to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:15:35.422 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.678$ EGFR inhibited by itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:15:36.680 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.280$ But it still means that there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:15:39.280 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.400$ cancer cells that are able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:15:42.400 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.424$ survive despite EGFR inhibition and

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00{:}15{:}44.424 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}47.503$ and and this is an area that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00{:}15{:}47.503 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}49.283$ have focused pre clinically quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:15:49.283 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.009$ a bit and asked the question what

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:15:52.009 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.391$ sort of dictates the dichotomy of

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:15:54.400 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.060$ a of a of a of a cancer cell from

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:15:57.144 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.616$ dying versus surviving these cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

00:15:59.616 --> 00:16:01.356 that have these EGFR mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:16:01.360 \longrightarrow 00:16:02.560$ This represents a cancer and

 $00:16:02.560 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.520$ these are individual cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:16:03.520 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.360$ All of the individual cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:16:05.360 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.832$ have the EGFR mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:16:06.840 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.872$ So it's not like the ones that survive

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

00:16:08.872 --> 00:16:10.478 don't have the EGFR alteration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

00:16:10.480 --> 00:16:12.678 they do but they figure out ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00{:}16{:}12.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}14.359$ to survive whereas others die.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:16:14.360 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.720$ And several years ago we we

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:16:18.720 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.504$ recognize that one of the downstream

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

00:16:21.504 --> 00:16:23.360 pathways from EGFR map,

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

00:16:23.360 --> 00:16:26.312 kinase pathway are here as measured

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:16:26.312 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.949$ here by phosphorylation of URC is

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

00:16:28.949 --> 00:16:31.741 turned here it's on here it's off but

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

00:16:31.741 --> 00:16:34.146 within a few days it comes back on

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

00:16:34.146 --> 00:16:36.632 and if you block this pathway with

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

00:16:36.632 --> 00:16:39.080 a a MEC inhibitor here trimetinib,

00:16:39.080 --> 00:16:42.440 you can prevent that from happening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:16:42.440 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.480$ So why is that important?

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909 00:16:43.480 --> 00:16:43.952 Well, NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:16:43.952 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.784$ the way EGFR inhibitors cause cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:16:46.784 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.109$ cells to die is they down regulate

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:16:50.109 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.232$ this pathway as I've shown here that

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:16:53.232 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.584$ bath that leads to up regulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00{:}16{:}55.584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}57.692$ of a proipoptotic protein called

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:16:57.692 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.118$ BIM and then leads to cell death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00{:}17{:}00.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}02.843$ And so now EGFR inhibition is decoupled

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

00:17:02.843 --> 00:17:05.119 from down regulating of this pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

00:17:05.120 --> 00:17:07.268 Now you've provided a a way

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

00:17:07.268 --> 00:17:09.440 for the cells to survive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:17:09.440 \longrightarrow 00:17:14.456$ turn this pathway on and and survive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:17:14.456 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.194$ And so here we can block it with a

 $00:17:17.194 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.904$ drug trimetinib, our MEC inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:17:18.904 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.511$ And we're trying to evaluate this in

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:17:21.511 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.513$ the clinic by doing a clinical trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:17:23.520 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.705$ combining an EGFR inhibitor here

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00{:}17{:}25.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}28.920$ with a MEC inhibitor called solumettinib.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

00:17:28.920 --> 00:17:32.372 And here we have to give it

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:17:32.372 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.676$ intermittently 4 days on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:17:33.680 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.318$ three days off because when these

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

00:17:35.318 --> 00:17:37.040 drugs are given by themselves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:17:37.040 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.536$ they have side effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00{:}17{:}38.536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}40.406$ typically skin side effects and

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:17:40.406 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.237$ fevers and other side effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:17:42.240 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.032$ And so we can't give both the EGFR

NOTE Confidence: 0.663055519090909

 $00:17:45.032 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.839$ inhibitor and the MEC inhibitor every day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880460655454545

 $00:17:47.840 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.828$ Now whether this intermittent

NOTE Confidence: 0.880460655454545

 $00:17:49.828 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.313$ schedule achieves the same biologic

 $00:17:52.313 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.645$ outcome that we saw in the laboratory

NOTE Confidence: 0.880460655454545

 $00:17:55.645 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.638$ setting remains to be seen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880460655454545

00:17:58.640 --> 00:18:02.760 Now despite doing those two therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.880460655454545

00:18:02.760 --> 00:18:06.920 if we look at cells under the microscope,

NOTE Confidence: 0.880460655454545

00:18:06.920 --> 00:18:08.720 they're still surviving cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:18:11.280 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.680$ even when we add those

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:18:12.680 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.520$ two combinations together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:18:13.520 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.879$ And if we analyze the cells that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00{:}18{:}15.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}17.364$ after one day of giving the drugs

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

00:18:17.364 --> 00:18:19.159 or 21 days after giving the drugs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:18:19.160 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.023$ we can see that all of the sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:18:21.023 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.081$ of EGFR and pathways are turned

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00{:}18{:}23.081 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}24.947$ off including ERC because we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

00:18:24.947 --> 00:18:27.239 using that the MEC inhibitor here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

00:18:27.240 --> 00:18:28.716 If you withdraw those,

00:18:28.716 --> 00:18:31.480 if you then wash out the drugs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:18:31.480 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.465$ the cancer actually regrow regrows

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00{:}18{:}33.465 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}36.038$ that we call rebound cells and all

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:18:36.038 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.480$ of those pathways are once again on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:18:39.480 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.736$ And so we had wondered how is

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:18:43.736 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.908$ it that they survive and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

00:18:46.908 --> 00:18:49.216 survive through up regulating

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

00:18:49.216 --> 00:18:51.120 another signaling pathway called

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

00:18:51.120 --> 00:18:52.880 the Hippo signaling pathway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

00:18:52.880 --> 00:18:57.660 namely a protein called Yap that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00{:}18{:}57.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}01.879$ normally when it's turned on or

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00{:}19{:}01.879 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}03.474$ up regulated which happens in

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

00:19:03.474 --> 00:19:05.720 response to EGFR and MEC inhibition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00{:}19{:}05.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}10.277$ it turns off the expression of A

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:19:10.280 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.840$ pro apoptotic sensitizer called BMF.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:19:12.840 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.440$ And so if you now block this

 $00:19:15.440 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.440$ in any way genetically deleted

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:19:17.440 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.680$ or use drugs against this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

00:19:19.680 --> 00:19:21.960 you now up regulate this protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:19:21.960 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.777$ It can release more of the apoptotic

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

00:19:25.777 --> 00:19:28.262 proteins namely BIM from anti

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:19:28.262 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.747$ apoptotic proteins and it can

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:19:30.747 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.237$ shift cell survival to cell death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:19:33.240 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.784$ And so that's another.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:19:34.784 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.714$ So it's basically another counter

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:19:36.714 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.051$ regulatory mechanism by which

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:19:38.051 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.605$ cancer is used to survive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

00:19:39.605 --> 00:19:42.525 And this is just to prove that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:19:42.525 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.865$ actually need if you if you use

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

00:19:44.865 --> 00:19:47.120 genetic tools to knock out this BMF,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:19:47.120 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.500$ you don't see the the increased

 $00:19:49.500 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.562$ cell death here compared to if

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:19:51.562 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.512$ it's if it's not knocked out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:19:53.520 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.992$ And the good thing is there are now

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:19:55.992 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.760$ companies that make TEED inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:19:58.760 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.611$ This Yap protein interacts with

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

00:20:01.611 --> 00:20:03.296 another protein called TEED and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:20:03.296 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.989$ there are multiple companies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:20:04.989 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.594$ are making these inhibitors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:20:06.594 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.400$ if we use these inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:20:08.400 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.960$ Here if we measure cell death in red,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:20:09.960 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.920$ when we add one of these inhibitors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:20:11.920 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.320$ they increase cell death from

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:20:13.320 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.950$ blue to red and we hope that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:20:15.950 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.117$ is clinically meaningful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:20:17.120 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.960$ They're being mostly tested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:20:19.960 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.104$ initially in malignant mesothelioma,

 $00:20:22.104 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.320$ but they there are hopes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:20:25.405 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.555$ these will move towards testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:20:27.555 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.200$ in in lung cancers as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

00:20:30.200 --> 00:20:32.516 So I mentioned the two kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

00:20:32.516 --> 00:20:33.674 of regulatory pathways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:20:33.680 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.780$ We then wanted to ask another

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:20:35.780 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.803$ question by studying this state and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00{:}20{:}37.803 \longrightarrow 00{:}20{:}39.682$ ask is there something that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00{:}20{:}39.682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}42.165$ you know if we these are to enhance

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00{:}20{:}42.165 \to 00{:}20{:}44.193$ the initial effect of the therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00{:}20{:}44.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}46.504$ I'll shift to talking about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

00:20:46.504 --> 00:20:49.569 cell state and ask are these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00{:}20{:}49.569 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}51.497$ the unique vulnerabilities within

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

00:20:51.497 --> 00:20:53.480 this actual cell state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93936686125

 $00:20:53.480 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.342$ And when we did this prior study

 $00:20:55.342 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.874$ where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.616356996

 $00:20:59.960 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.440$ found this Yap teed pathway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.616356996

 $00:21:02.440 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.008$ we recognize that the cells that

NOTE Confidence: 0.616356996

00:21:05.008 --> 00:21:07.150 survive in after a inhibition with

NOTE Confidence: 0.616356996

 $00:21:07.150 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.367$ an EGFR inhibitor or any other

NOTE Confidence: 0.616356996

 $00:21:09.367 \longrightarrow 00:21:11.959$ inhibitor in the right genetic context,

NOTE Confidence: 0.616356996

 $00:21:11.960 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.560$ they have features of cellular senescence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.616356996

00:21:15.560 --> 00:21:18.878 so aging cells and it it doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.616356996

 $00{:}21{:}18.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}21.440$ matter how you characterize them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.616356996

 $00:21:21.440 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.078$ they all have this is a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.616356996

 $00{:}21{:}23.080 \to 00{:}21{:}25.078$ they're often they stain blue and

NOTE Confidence: 0.616356996

 $00:21:25.078 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.410$ this beta galactosidase stain

NOTE Confidence: 0.616356996

 $00:21:26.466 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.050$ and they have other features that

NOTE Confidence: 0.616356996

 $00:21:28.050 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.799$ are all found in these cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.616356996

 $00{:}21{:}29.800 \longrightarrow 00{:}21{:}32.332$ Now it's not true cellular senescence

NOTE Confidence: 0.616356996

 $00{:}21{:}32.332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}34.880$ because true senescence is irreversible

 $00:21:34.880 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.359$ unfortunately as all of us are aging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.616356996

 $00:21:38.360 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.800$ But this is a reversible state

NOTE Confidence: 0.616356996

 $00:21:39.800 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.035$ because as I mentioned earlier

NOTE Confidence: 0.616356996

 $00:21:41.035 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.355$ if you take the drugs off,

NOTE Confidence: 0.616356996

 $00:21:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.384$ the cancer cells will start to to grow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.616356996

 $00:21:45.384 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.560$ And and there is a whole field

NOTE Confidence: 0.616356996

 $00:21:47.560 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.575$ of developing drugs trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.616356996

00:21:50.575 --> 00:21:53.590 treat senescent cells and they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.616356996

00:21:53.693 --> 00:21:56.758 often referred to as Senalytics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.616356996

 $00:21:56.760 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.675$ And what we so we wanted to do is

NOTE Confidence: 0.616356996

 $00:22:00.680 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.590$ first treat our cancer cells with

NOTE Confidence: 0.616356996

 $00{:}22{:}03.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}06.834$ an EGFR inhibitor and then treat him

NOTE Confidence: 0.616356996

 $00{:}22{:}06.834 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}10.183$ with another drug to ask can we in

NOTE Confidence: 0.616356996

00:22:10.183 --> 00:22:13.472 this red example can we find drugs

NOTE Confidence: 0.616356996

00:22:13.472 --> 00:22:15.314 that would specifically eliminate

 $00:22:15.314 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.826$ or be toxic to those cells that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.616356996

 $00{:}22{:}17.826 \to 00{:}22{:}20.355$ in this state And and when we look

NOTE Confidence: 0.616356996

 $00:22:20.355 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.718$ through and and screened all of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.616356996

 $00:22:22.720 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.839$ the ones that

NOTE Confidence: 0.854549174

 $00:22:26.440 \longrightarrow 00:22:31.620$ scored in the top are inhibitors of BCLXL

NOTE Confidence: 0.854549174

 $00:22:31.620 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.860$ which is an anti apoptotic protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854549174

00:22:36.860 --> 00:22:40.150 So by inhibiting that you can again

NOTE Confidence: 0.854549174

 $00:22:40.150 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.156$ shift cells more to dying as opposed

NOTE Confidence: 0.854549174

 $00{:}22{:}43.156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}45.573$ to surviving and this is enriched

NOTE Confidence: 0.854549174

 $00:22:45.573 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.440$ in the in the senescent state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9664974425

 $00:22:50.640 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.680$ So if that's true

NOTE Confidence: 0.906612749130435

 $00:22:51.680 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.800$ then we should be able to show that

NOTE Confidence: 0.906612749130435

 $00:22:53.800 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.618$ experimentally and so we first did this

NOTE Confidence: 0.906612749130435

 $00:22:55.618 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.438$ experiment where we took mice that have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

00:22:59.720 --> 00:23:02.160 carry a xenograft of an EGFR mutant cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00:23:02.160 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.613$ We treated them with a control or

 $00:23:04.613 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.851$ with the EGFR and MEC inhibitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00{:}23{:}06.851 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}09.039$ combination for three weeks and after

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00:23:09.039 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.488$ three weeks we split half the mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

00:23:11.488 --> 00:23:13.952 to continue the EGFR MEC inhibitor or

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00:23:13.952 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.372$ added a BCLXL inhibitor Nabita Clex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00:23:16.372 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.320$ And then we treated for another three weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00:23:20.320 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.040$ and then we stopped all the drug treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00:23:22.040 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.678$ And we asked is there is there a

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00:23:25.678 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.880$ difference in growth regrowth of the of

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00:23:27.880 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.785$ the cancer in the in the model that just

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00{:}23{:}30.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}33.333$ got the EGFR MEC inhibitor compared to

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00{:}23{:}33.409 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}35.670$ the one that got the BCLXL inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

00:23:35.670 --> 00:23:39.310 Because if we if if our hypothesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00:23:39.397 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.615$ is correct at this state that persistent

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

00:23:41.615 --> 00:23:43.304 state has been established and if

00:23:43.304 --> 00:23:44.876 they're sensitive to the nevita clax,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00:23:44.880 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.112$ we should eliminate more of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00:23:47.112 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.947$ cells and then it should delay the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00:23:49.947 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.639$ regrowth of the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

00:23:51.640 --> 00:23:54.175 And it does a little bit in green

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

00:23:54.175 --> 00:23:56.064 here although you could argue that

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00:23:56.064 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.594$ this is probably pretty marginal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00:23:57.600 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.920$ This is so the treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00{:}23{:}58.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}01.836$ this is the day day 42 when we with draw

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00:24:01.836 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.358$ the the drugs and then compare growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00{:}24{:}05.360 --> 00{:}24{:}07.411$ And here if you look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00:24:07.411 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.997$ individual animals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00:24:08.000 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.800$ here's the three drug combination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00:24:09.800 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.104$ you can see that most of them still

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

00:24:12.104 --> 00:24:14.640 grow back although there are some

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00:24:14.640 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.600$ that are completely eliminated.

00:24:16.600 --> 00:24:19.664 So we were wondering why may that be

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00{:}24{:}19.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}22.956$ the the case and one possibility is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00:24:22.960 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.522$ are we delivering the drugs to

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00:24:25.522 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.151$ the to these persistent cells in

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00:24:28.151 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.835$ in an efficient manner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00:24:29.840 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.560$ And to get at that problem,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

00:24:32.560 --> 00:24:34.796 we've worked with AbbVie,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00{:}24{:}34.796 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}37.591$ A pharmaceutical company that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00:24:37.591 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.345$ developed an antibody against EGFR

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00{:}24{:}40.345 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}43.556$ that's coupled to a BCLXL inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00{:}24{:}43.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}45.940$ So this is a more of a targeted

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00{:}24{:}46.006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}48.116$ called an antibody drug conjugate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00{:}24{:}48.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}50.605$ So it's a more targeted way of

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00{:}24{:}50.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}52.322$ delivering the BCLXL inhibitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00:24:52.322 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.592$ specifically to cells that express

 $00:24:54.592 \longrightarrow 00:24:57.646$ EGFR like the cancer cells that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00{:}24{:}57.646 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}00.390$ interested in And it and that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00:25:00.390 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.226$ the advantage of avoiding potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00:25:02.226 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.756$ systemic toxicities because if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00:25:03.756 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.479$ just give the inhibitor by itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00:25:05.480 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.202$ one of the toxicities that's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00:25:07.202 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.891$ seen in the clinic is thrombocytopenia

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

00:25:08.891 --> 00:25:10.746 or lowering of platelet counts

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00{:}25{:}10.746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}12.650$ because this protein is important

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00:25:12.650 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.480$ for maturation of the platelets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00:25:14.480 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.505$ And so if you give the drugs will there

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00:25:16.505 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.716$ go to the tumor and to the bone marrow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00:25:18.720 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.620$ you'll start to see patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.6015004

 $00:25:20.620 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.760$ platelet counts decrease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

00:25:24.040 --> 00:25:26.920 And this is just to show that in from ADVI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

 $00:25:26.920 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.000$ if they use a small molecule inhibitor,

 $00:25:31.000 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.040$ here's normal platelets, they go down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

 $00{:}25{:}33.040 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}25{:}35.238$ But if he uses antibody drug conjugate

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

 $00:25:35.238 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.713$ since the this is not cleaved normally

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

00:25:37.713 --> 00:25:40.280 except when it's internalized into the cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

 $00:25:40.280 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.020$ you don't see that much

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

 $00:25:42.020 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.040$ of A platelet reduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

 $00:25:46.040 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.296$ So and in ABB Vie's experiments

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

 $00{:}25{:}48.296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}50.795$ when they've done given the EGFR

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

 $00:25:50.795 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.110$ inhibitor together with this antibody

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

00:25:53.110 --> 00:25:55.501 drug conjugate from the beginning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

 $00:25:55.501 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.936$ they can certainly delay the

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

 $00{:}25{:}57.936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}00.314$ regrowth of cancer cancers in

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

 $00:26:00.314 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.399$ in these two different models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

 $00:26:02.400 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.340$ But that wasn't exactly the

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

 $00:26:03.340 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.280$ question that we were after.

 $00:26:04.280 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.120$ We were after this question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

 $00:26:05.120 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.596$ what happens in that persistent state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

 $00:26:06.600 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.232$ So we kind of redid that experiment here

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

 $00:26:09.232 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.026$ using the EGFR inhibitor alone where

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

 $00:26:12.026 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.056$ we then after 21 days half the mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

00:26:15.056 --> 00:26:16.959 will continue the EGFR inhibitor alone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

 $00:26:16.960 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.844$ half the mice will continue the

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

00:26:18.844 --> 00:26:21.960 EGFR inhibitor and get this e.g.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

00:26:21.960 --> 00:26:24.020 FRBCLXL antibody drug conjugate for

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

 $00:26:24.020 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.474$ another three weeks and then we

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

 $00:26:26.474 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.600$ with draw the drugs and follow outgrowth

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

 $00:26:28.600 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.628$ and here we see a much more dramatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

 $00:26:31.628 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.196$ difference and green is the the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

 $00:26:34.200 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.200$ the, the double combination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

 $00:26:36.200 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.314$ You can see that here individual animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

 $00:26:38.320 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.134$ So it's much more impressive than than

 $00:26:41.134 \longrightarrow 00:26:44.088$ the than using the nevitoclax alone and

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

 $00{:}26{:}44.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}47.660$ the and the animals tolerate it quite well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

 $00:26:47.660 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.952$ There are some some over

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

 $00:26:49.952 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.520$ long periods of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

 $00:26:51.520 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.804$ This is like you know six months later

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

 $00:26:54.804 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.520$ we can look at the we can look at the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085 00:26:57.520 --> 00:26:57.800 the, NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

 $00:26:57.800 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.080$ the, NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

 $00:26:58.080 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.760$ the animals and not all of them are cured.

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

 $00:27:00.760 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.594$ Some of them do regrow and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

 $00{:}27{:}02.594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}04.660$ can detect the regrowth by using

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

 $00{:}27{:}04.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}06.280$ antibodies that specifically detect

NOTE Confidence: 0.845170085

 $00:27:06.280 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.040$ the mutiny GFR protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848916826

 $00:27:10.280 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.680$ Sometimes we see immune infiltrates

NOTE Confidence: 0.848916826

 $00:27:13.680 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.360$ and if he if you if we compare.

 $00:27:16.360 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.048$ So we can cure some of the animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.848916826

 $00:27:18.048 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.558$ with the EGFR inhibitor alone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848916826

 $00:27:19.560 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.426$ but we can cure many more

NOTE Confidence: 0.848916826

 $00:27:21.426 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.640$ when we add this other agent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848916826

 $00:27:23.640 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.968$ In the middle of treatment is

NOTE Confidence: 0.848916826

 $00:27:27.968 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.608$ another model that kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.848916826

 $00{:}27{:}29.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}31.752$ shows the same phenomenon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848916826

 $00:27:31.752 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.328$ Unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.848916826

 $00{:}27{:}32.328 \to 00{:}27{:}35.784$ they also do start to regrow

NOTE Confidence: 0.848916826

 $00:27:35.784 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.598$ after a period of time and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71174131875

 $00{:}27{:}40.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}42.160$ So we see that some mice are cured,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71174131875

 $00:27:42.160 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.680$ others are not and that could be for

NOTE Confidence: 0.71174131875

 $00:27:44.680 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.920$ lots of reasons, it's a duration of

NOTE Confidence: 0.71174131875

 $00{:}27{:}46.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}48.120$ treatment important in the clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71174131875

 $00:27:48.120 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.040$ We would typically continue a second

NOTE Confidence: 0.71174131875

 $00:27:50.040 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.219$ therapy for much longer periods of time

 $00:27:52.219 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.193$ than we did in the animal experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71174131875

 $00{:}27{:}54.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}57.320$ And of course there are other proteins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71174131875

 $00:27:57.320 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.600$ other antipoptotic proteins that

NOTE Confidence: 0.71174131875

00:27:59.600 --> 00:28:01.913 can sort of compensate for BCLXL

NOTE Confidence: 0.71174131875

 $00:28:01.913 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.478$ inhibition such as MCL one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71174131875

 $00:28:03.480 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.636$ And that may be the reason that

NOTE Confidence: 0.71174131875

 $00:28:05.636 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.758$ we're seeing some of those relapses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71174131875

 $00:28:07.760 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.360$ But ultimately we want to ask is this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71174131875

 $00:28:10.360 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.700$ is this something that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.71174131875

 $00:28:11.700 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.559$ be applied in the clinic?

NOTE Confidence: 0.71174131875

 $00:28:14.560 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.448$ And this is, this is a drug that

NOTE Confidence: 0.71174131875

 $00:28:16.448 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.199$ is being tested in the clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71174131875

 $00{:}28{:}18.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}23.400$ It's called a BVAB BV637 made by Avi.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71174131875

 $00:28:23.400 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.564$ And at this year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71174131875

00:28:24.564 --> 00:28:26.496 this past year's ESMO meeting,

 $00{:}28{:}26.496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}29.664$ my colleague Julia Rotor from Dana

NOTE Confidence: 0.71174131875

 $00{:}28{:}29.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}32.046$ Farber presented the clinical data

NOTE Confidence: 0.71174131875

 $00:28:32.046 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.944$ of giving this agent by itself or

NOTE Confidence: 0.71174131875

00:28:35.029 --> 00:28:37.193 in combination with chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.71174131875

 $00:28:37.193 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.234$ or with with awesome mertinib.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71174131875

00:28:39.234 --> 00:28:41.460 So here it's given monthly and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.71174131875

 $00:28:41.522 \longrightarrow 00:28:43.612$ awe some mertinib is given every day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71174131875

00:28:43.612 --> 00:28:46.380 And the good thing is that the combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.71174131875

 $00{:}28{:}46.446 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}48.596$ is actually quite well tolerated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71174131875

00:28:48.600 --> 00:28:50.536 There's some liver function

NOTE Confidence: 0.71174131875

 $00{:}28{:}50.536 \to 00{:}28{:}52.956$ abnormalities that you can see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71174131875

 $00{:}28{:}52.960 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}28{:}55.480$ But no, there was no major interactions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71174131875

 $00:28:55.480 \longrightarrow 00:28:57.435$ there's no major platelet decreases

NOTE Confidence: 0.71174131875

 $00{:}28{:}57.435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}59.989$ as we'd expect from the preclinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.71174131875

 $00:28:59.989 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.516$ data and no bad toxicities that would

NOTE Confidence: 0.71174131875

 $00:29:04.516 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.611$ get us worried about potentially

00:29:06.611 --> 00:29:07.956 moving this combination forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71174131875

 $00:29:07.956 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.721$ So our our plan is to try to move

NOTE Confidence: 0.71174131875

 $00:29:10.721 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.165$ that forward and use it in that same

NOTE Confidence: 0.71174131875

 $00:29:13.165 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.319$ scenario and patients that we saw in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00:29:17.360 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.960$ in the mouse models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

00:29:18.960 --> 00:29:21.360 And in fact in that presentation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00:29:21.360 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.551$ these were all patients that have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00{:}29{:}23.551 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}24.880$ treated previously with Asamertinib

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

00:29:24.880 --> 00:29:27.246 and in some of those patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00:29:27.246 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.778$ combination actually led to tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

00:29:28.778 --> 00:29:32.264 shrinkage which was very nice to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00:29:32.264 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.719$ and encouraging to helps move that

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00{:}29{:}35.719 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}40.640$ forward for clinical development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

00:29:40.640 --> 00:29:43.384 So another, so I talked about that

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00:29:43.384 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.800$ vulnerability and then the other option,

 $00:29:45.800 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.921$ other thing that we're doing is asking

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00{:}29{:}47.921 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}50.200$ of this sort of intermediate state,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00:29:50.200 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.350$ are there novel targets that could be

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00:29:53.350 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.014$ expressed in this state that we could

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

00:29:56.014 --> 00:29:58.615 go after with the rapies that are in

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00:29:58.615 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.051$ the clinic or therapies that need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00:30:01.124 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.879$ be developed for clinical application.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00:30:03.880 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.589$ And so we've done some RNA sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00{:}30{:}06.589 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}30{:}08.744$ analysis and untreated cells and cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00:30:08.744 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.406$ that are in this sort of persist or

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00:30:11.406 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.536$ state focusing on specifically looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00:30:13.536 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.932$ at cell surface proteins as targets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00:30:15.932 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.008$ And for many cell surface proteins

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

00:30:18.008 --> 00:30:19.846 there are antibody drug conjugates

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00:30:19.846 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.359$ which are that are in the clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00:30:22.360 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.360$ So antibodies linked to not in the, not,

00:30:25.360 --> 00:30:27.920 not the BCL XL inhibitor that I showed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00{:}30{:}27.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}29.840$ but to chemotherapy and so having

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00{:}30{:}29.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}32.510$ a more sort of targeted way of

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00:30:32.510 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.715$ delivering chemotherapy to two cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00:30:34.720 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.715$ And these are just three of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00:30:36.720 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.664$ And we see them that they're both sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00:30:39.664 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.352$ of enriched in that sort of state after

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00{:}30{:}43.352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}46.164$ treatment with an EGFR inhibitor here

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00:30:46.164 \dashrightarrow 00:30:49.285$ you can see them by by Western blotting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00{:}30{:}49.285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}51.630$ You can see in these EGFR mutant

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00:30:51.700 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.710$ cancers this black band is this

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00{:}30{:}53.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}55.988$ TROP 2 protein that's enriched after

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00{:}30{:}55.988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}58.158$ treatment with an EGFR inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00:30:58.160 \longrightarrow 00:31:00.904$ This is full R1 which is a folate

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00{:}31{:}00.904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}02.885$ receptor that's also increased and

 $00:31:02.885 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.355$ it's not just limited to EGFR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00{:}31{:}04.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}06.118$ Here are cells with other genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00:31:06.118 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.840$ alterations and ALK rearranged cell lines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00:31:07.840 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.238$ We're treated with an ALK inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

00:31:09.240 --> 00:31:10.878 You can see the same thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

00:31:10.880 --> 00:31:12.840 a Med amplified cell line treated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00{:}31{:}12.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}14.899$ a Med inhibitor or K Ras mutant cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00:31:14.899 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.640$ line treated with AK Ras inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904953389

 $00:31:16.640 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.838$ You can see the the same things

NOTE Confidence: 0.9736366 00:31:21.040 --> 00:31:21.760 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00:31:24.000 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.716$ again ALK and raw cell lines

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00:31:25.716 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.519$ showing the showing the same thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00:31:27.520 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.655$ This is for the folate receptor and

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00:31:29.655 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.228$ this is for trope trope too and we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00:31:34.228 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.006$ also used our animal models and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00:31:36.006 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.880$ and and some are cell line models,

 $00:31:37.880 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.836$ some are patient derived models to

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00:31:40.836 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.328$ study that state that we I mentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00{:}31{:}43.328 \to 00{:}31{:}45.485$ earlier in the presentation where

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00:31:45.485 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.016$ we initially studied it from cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

00:31:48.016 --> 00:31:49.808 and grown in plastic but here we

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

00:31:49.808 --> 00:31:51.543 can study it from animals and here

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00:31:51.543 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.443$ you can you can see the animals

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00{:}31{:}53.443 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}55.118$ are treated with EGFR inhibitor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

00:31:55.120 --> 00:31:56.860 EGFR MEC inhibitor and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00:31:56.860 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.600$ have these very nice responses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00{:}31{:}58.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}01.120$ So the time of this maximum response

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00:32:01.120 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.604$ we dissect out the kind of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00{:}32{:}03.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}05.720$ residual area where the tumor is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00:32:05.720 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.256$ We purify the tumor cells and

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00:32:07.256 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.280$ can do all all different types of

 $00:32:09.280 \longrightarrow 00:32:11.478$ analysis on the tumor cells to ask.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00:32:11.480 \longrightarrow 00:32:14.324$ This has also happened in in in vivo as

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00:32:14.324 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.000$ opposed to just in a tissue culture model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00:32:17.000 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.656$ And so here's one example of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

00:32:20.656 --> 00:32:22.640 models treated with Asamertinib,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00{:}32{:}22.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}24.160$ Rasamertinib in the MEC inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00:32:24.160 \dashrightarrow 00:32:26.040$ This is the what the tumors look like

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00:32:26.040 \longrightarrow 00:32:28.002$ when we dissect them out in the in

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00:32:28.002 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.799$ the sort of minimal residual state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00:32:29.800 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.760$ And if we look for expression of trope 2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00{:}32{:}33.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}35.839$ we can see that it's a membrane

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00:32:35.839 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.433$ bound protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00:32:36.440 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.436$ So you can see it expressed here

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00:32:39.440 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.108$ more intensely than you see it

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00:32:41.108 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.359$ in the in the untreated models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00:32:43.360 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.896$ although you do see some expression

 $00:32:44.896 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.920$ in the untreated models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00:32:45.920 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.840$ And if we quantify this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00{:}32{:}47.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}51.010$ the models tend to have some baseline

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00:32:51.010 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.440$ expression which is then enhanced with e.g.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

00:32:54.440 --> 00:32:56.316 FREGFR MEC treatment and it kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00:32:56.316 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.512$ varies a little bit from model to model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00:32:58.512 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.992$ This is the same experiment

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00:32:59.992 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.440$ for this folate receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00{:}33{:}01.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}03.492$ It seems to be much you don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00{:}33{:}03.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}06.064$ find it in the untreated but you

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00:33:06.064 \longrightarrow 00:33:08.080$ do find it in the treated one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00:33:08.080 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.343$ So we like these kinds of examples

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00{:}33{:}11.343 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}14.024$ because the hope would be that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00:33:14.024 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.674$ is something that's specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.793071963333333

 $00:33:15.674 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.098$ induced in the tumor cells and

00:33:18.098 --> 00:33:20.319 hence any therapeutic strategy

NOTE Confidence: 0.960245975

 $00:33:20.600 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.440$ should be should hopefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:33:22.440 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.904$ have a wider therapeutic index that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:33:24.904 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.382$ targeting the tumor and not normal tissues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:33:27.382 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.262$ But wait to see that and here it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:33:31.262 \longrightarrow 00:33:33.439$ we look at it by RNA sequencing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:33:33.440 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.575$ same idea, we can look for these

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:33:35.575 \longrightarrow 00:33:37.506$ different cell surface proteins

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:33:37.506 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.135$ that are up regulated and for which

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:33:41.135 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.560$ there are antibody drug conjugates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00{:}33{:}43.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}46.066$ And we also have a trial where

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:33:46.066 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.196$ we're trying to understand this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:33:47.200 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.960$ This actually happened in

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00{:}33{:}48.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}50.798$ patients and so this is a trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:33:50.800 \longrightarrow 00:33:52.490$ a very straightforward trial where

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:33:52.490 \longrightarrow 00:33:54.180$ newly diagnosed lung cancer patients

00:33:54.227 --> 00:33:55.559 were treated with Osamerton,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:33:55.560 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.157$ they've been the EGFR inhibitor in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

00:33:58.157 --> 00:34:01.267 primary goal of the trial was to study

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

00:34:01.267 --> 00:34:03.400 how do cancers develop resistance to

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:34:03.400 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.920$ Asamerton when it's clinically visible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:34:05.920 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.000$ But what we built into this trial is

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:34:08.000 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.142$ that during the sort of maximal time that

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:34:10.142 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.437$ the person has had a response to the rapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00{:}34{:}12.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}15.192$ we biopsy that area if we can find

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:34:15.192 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.731$ it and do analysis to see can we

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:34:18.731 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.000$ find these proteins expressed that

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00{:}34{:}21.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}23.358$ I showed in the preclinical models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00{:}34{:}23.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}25.160$ This is just an example of a patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

00:34:25.160 --> 00:34:27.416 Here's two months of ASA Merton if not

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

00:34:27.416 --> 00:34:29.264 the most dramatic reduction but and

 $00:34:29.264 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.240$ here you may able to see the biopsy needle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:34:32.240 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.040$ we're biopsy in the individual and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:34:35.040 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.680$ study only has on treatment biopsy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:34:37.680 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.591$ So we don't have the pre treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:34:39.591 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.640$ to compare it to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

00:34:40.640 --> 00:34:42.768 But at least by single cell RNA sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00{:}34{:}42.768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}44.764$ in the on treatment biopsies we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00{:}34{:}44.764 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}46.783$ find a cluster of tumor cells that

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:34:46.783 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.991$ express trope here in this case trope 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:34:49.000 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.456$ So at least we think that this is has

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00{:}34{:}52.456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}56.605$ some real relevance in patience and

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:34:56.605 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.065$ are trying to validate it further.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:35:01.065 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.040$ So what is Trope 2?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:35:03.040 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.316$ Trope 2's may be familiar for

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:35:06.320 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.799$ our clinical audience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00{:}35{:}07.799 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}10.400$ but it's a intracellular calcium

 $00:35:10.400 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.640$ signal transducer that's over

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:35:12.640 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.520$ expressed in many epithelial cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:35:15.520 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.397$ There are agents that target trope 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:35:18.400 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.520$ Here's an antibody linked to A

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:35:21.520 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.305$ chemotherapeutic agent in red here

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:35:24.305 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.533$ that's still infused intravenously

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:35:26.533 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.405$ and then binds the tumor cells and

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00{:}35{:}29.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}30.945$ then this chemother apeutic agent

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:35:30.945 \longrightarrow 00:35:33.197$ is internalized and cleaved in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00{:}35{:}33.197 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}35.440$ tumor cells like a Trojan horse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:35:35.440 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.900$ And then specifically can can

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:35:38.900 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.520$ kill the tumor cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00{:}35{:}40.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}42.344$ And if we use this agent

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:35:42.344 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.560$ in lung cancer patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:35:43.560 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.744$ you can see about 1/4 of patients

 $00:35:45.744 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.083$ have tumor shrinkage and some of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:35:48.083 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.753$ have more dramatic tumor shrinkage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:35:49.760 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.056$ This is given to a wide variety

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:35:52.056 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.196$ of patients with lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:35:54.200 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.126$ What we've learned over the last

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:35:56.126 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.959$ couple years is that it works

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:35:57.960 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.320$ perhaps particularly well in cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:36:00.320 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.680$ that have the EGFR mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:36:02.680 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.228$ If we isolate this experiment to patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:36:05.228 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.599$ whose cancers have genetic alterations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:36:07.600 \longrightarrow 00:36:09.220$ about a third of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:36:09.220 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.516$ have real tumor shrinkage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:36:10.520 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.518$ And if you look at the specifics of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:36:12.520 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.501$ most of these have EGFR mutant cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:36:14.501 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.596$ although there are others in there as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

00:36:16.600 --> 00:36:18.358 And but this year's ESMO meeting

00:36:18.358 --> 00:36:20.040 or last year's ESMO meeting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00{:}36{:}20.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}21.600$ this was studied in more detail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

00:36:21.600 --> 00:36:24.400 And patients that have an EGFR mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:36:24.400 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.710$ they tend to have a greater response

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:36:26.710 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.640$ than cancers that have other

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:36:28.640 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.380$ genetic alterations for reasons

NOTE Confidence: 0.862701431538462

 $00:36:30.380 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.120$ that nobody understands yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814160468888889

 $00:36:32.120 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.985$ But it's something that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.814160468888889

 $00{:}36{:}34.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}37.277$ keenly interested in investigating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814160468888889

 $00{:}36{:}37.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}39.296$ So we then use that the same sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.814160468888889

 $00:36:39.296 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.477$ in vivo model and ask the experiment

NOTE Confidence: 0.814160468888889

 $00{:}36{:}41.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}44.035$ if we now target this stroke two

NOTE Confidence: 0.814160468888889

 $00{:}36{:}44.035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}46.161$ protein after this persistent state

NOTE Confidence: 0.814160468888889

 $00:36:46.161 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.868$ has been established, doesn't matter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814160468888889

00:36:47.868 --> 00:36:50.360 So again treat the mice with asamertinib,

 $00:36:50.360 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.678$ some are, some continue on asamertinib

NOTE Confidence: 0.814160468888889

 $00:36:53.678 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.770$ and some are given this troph

NOTE Confidence: 0.814160468888889

 $00:36:56.770 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.564$ 2 antibody drug conjugate which

NOTE Confidence: 0.814160468888889

 $00:36:58.564 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.319$ is approved in breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814160468888889

 $00:37:00.320 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.382$ not lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814160468888889

 $00{:}37{:}01.382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}03.860$ And in fact the clinical trial and

NOTE Confidence: 0.814160468888889

00:37:03.929 --> 00:37:06.106 lung cancer just failed unfortunately

NOTE Confidence: 0.814160468888889

 $00:37:06.106 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.837$ and again treat him and then we

NOTE Confidence: 0.814160468888889

 $00{:}37{:}09.837 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}11.970$ with draw the drugs and there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.814160468888889

 $00:37:11.970 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.080$ a little bit of a difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814160468888889

 $00:37:13.080 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.947$ It's not humongous,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814160468888889

 $00:37:13.947 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.347$ but there's a little bit of a difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.814160468888889

 $00:37:16.347 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.538$ in the tumors that got treated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.814160468888889

 $00{:}37{:}18.538 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}20.760$ a Trop2antibody drug conjugate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814160468888889

 $00:37:20.760 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.660$ On the other hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.814160468888889

 $00:37:22.660 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.120$ when we take this out longer days,

 $00:37:26.120 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.320$ they all start to regrow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.814160468888889

 $00:37:27.320 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.504$ So we didn't really cure any of

NOTE Confidence: 0.814160468888889

 $00:37:29.504 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.799$ the mice here using this approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:37:35.120 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.415$ So this Trop 2 protein expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00{:}37{:}38.415 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}40.315$ increases following the rapies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

00:37:40.315 --> 00:37:42.482 directed directed at the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:37:42.482 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.512$ genetic alteration in lung cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

00:37:44.520 --> 00:37:47.195 Adding this antibody drug conjugate

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00{:}37{:}47.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}50.419$ once this tolerant state has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:37:50.419 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.432$ formed didn't really eradicate these

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:37:52.432 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.372$ cells because otherwise the cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:37:54.372 \dashrightarrow 00:37:56.276$ wouldn't have been able to grow back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:37:56.280 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.398$ And so where do we go from here?

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:38:00.400 \longrightarrow 00:38:02.145$ There are other antibody drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:38:02.145 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.192$ conjugates targeting this

00:38:03.192 --> 00:38:04.920 protein that may be more potent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:38:04.920 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.036$ which could be an issue here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

00:38:06.040 --> 00:38:10.416 one made by Dai Ichi and being developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:38:10.416 \dashrightarrow 00:38:14.626$ by Dai Chi and Astra Zeneca called DS1062A.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:38:14.626 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.222$ Do we need to increase the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

00:38:16.222 --> 00:38:17.600 duration of the treatment?

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:38:17.600 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.578$ Is that an issue here or can we

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:38:20.578 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.008$ develop some novel strategies here

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00{:}38{:}22.008 --> 00{:}38{:}24.103$ And and I'll I'll show you one

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

00:38:24.103 --> 00:38:25.713 novel strategy that we're evaluating

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:38:25.713 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.513$ that that and that is developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00{:}38{:}28.513 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}33.466$ CAR T cells directed at trope 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00{:}38{:}33.466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}36.860$ So chimeric antigen receptor T cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00{:}38{:}36.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}41.165$ therapy type of immune therapy is being

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:38:41.165 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.315$ used in lots of hematologic malignancies

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:38:44.315 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.956$ and has done wonders there on a therapy.

 $00:38:48.960 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.585$ Just this strategy in general

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00{:}38{:}51.585 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}54.160$ has struggled in solid tumors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:38:54.160 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.851$ part of the issue is that you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:38:56.851 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.317$ targeting you have to target a

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:38:59.317 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.879$ a specific cell surface protein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:39:01.880 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.542$ If that cell surface protein is

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

00:39:03.542 --> 00:39:05.120 also present in normal tissues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:39:05.120 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.424$ then you're then you're delivering this

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:39:08.424 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.784$ effective therapy to normal tissues

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:39:09.784 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.836$ and that can lead to a lot of toxicities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:39:11.840 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.936$ And so you need to try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

00:39:15.936 --> 00:39:17.520 identify two unique proteins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

00:39:17.520 --> 00:39:18.176 tumor antigens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:39:18.176 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.144$ proteins present on the surface of

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:39:20.144 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.278$ tumor cells that are not found on

 $00:39:22.278 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.042$ normal cells and that's remained a

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00{:}39{:}24.042 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}25.716$ challenge in the solid tumor field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:39:25.720 \longrightarrow 00:39:28.125$ And this is work we've done with Eric

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:39:28.125 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.800$ Smith and Elliot Brea at Dana Farber.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:39:31.800 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.056$ So this just shows you what

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:39:34.056 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.360$ these things look like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:39:36.360 \dashrightarrow 00:39:38.826$ And so if we use these cells in again

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:39:38.826 \longrightarrow 00:39:41.626$ in a tissue culture model and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00{:}39{:}41.626 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>}\ 00{:}39{:}44.464$ take those EGFR immune cancer cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:39:44.464 \longrightarrow 00:39:46.720$ and genetically remove trope 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00{:}39{:}46.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}48.656$ So the target of where the antibody is

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:39:48.656 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.640$ supposed to bind the cells do nothing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

00:39:50.640 --> 00:39:53.790 Here in red and in green is a non

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:39:53.790 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.015$ specific or a a CAR T cell against the B

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:39:57.015 \longrightarrow 00:39:59.640$ cell antigen that isn't expressed at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:39:59.640 \dashrightarrow 00:40:02.331$ So if you knock it out or make a CAR

00:40:02.331 --> 00:40:04.113 T cell against an irrelevant protein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:40:04.120 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.300$ nothing happens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

00:40:05.300 --> 00:40:08.840 If you enter these knockout cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

00:40:08.840 --> 00:40:12.277 replace the normal form of trope too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

00:40:12.280 --> 00:40:15.560 and now you can see less cells survive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:40:15.560 \longrightarrow 00:40:16.920$ or in the endogenous cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

00:40:16.920 --> 00:40:19.620 less cell survives versus targeting

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

00:40:19.620 --> 00:40:23.200 AB cell antigen doesn't do anything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:40:23.200 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.544$ We of course wanted to make sure that

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

00:40:25.544 --> 00:40:27.283 the EGFR inhibitors weren't toxic

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

00:40:27.283 --> 00:40:29.824 to these CAR T cells and they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:40:29.888 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.464$ not except when you get to very

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:40:32.464 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.200$ high concentrations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:40:33.200 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.344$ So then we then we asked the experiment

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:40:35.344 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.667$ of first treating them with the EGFR

 $00:40:37.667 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.799$ inhibitor and tissue culture model and

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00{:}40{:}39.799 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}42.991$ then to set up that drug tolerance state

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:40:42.991 \longrightarrow 00:40:46.473$ and then expose them to the CAR T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435 00:40:46.480 --> 00:40:46.782 And. NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

00:40:46.782 --> 00:40:47.386 And similarly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:40:47.386 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.198$ if you've knocked out trope 2,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

 $00:40:49.200 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.160$ nothing happens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

00:40:50.160 --> 00:40:53.472 And in the endogenous EGFR immune cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94540435

00:40:53.472 --> 00:40:54.876 they're very effective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00:40:54.880 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.580$ Very few cells survive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00:40:56.580 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.573$ And if you've replaced the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00{:}40{:}58.573 \longrightarrow 00{:}41{:}00.038$ normal into this knock out cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00:41:00.040 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.797$ replace the normal form of trope 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00:41:01.800 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.028$ So now it's expressed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

00:41:03.028 --> 00:41:04.563 Now they're once again effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00:41:04.563 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.157$ like in the normal situation.

 $00:41:06.160 \longrightarrow 00:41:09.625$ So we do think it's doing what what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00{:}41{:}09.625 \to 00{:}41{:}12.840$ expected to be doing at least in in vitro.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

00:41:12.840 --> 00:41:15.366 And we've now also again finally

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00:41:15.366 \longrightarrow 00:41:17.437$ taken the same experiment and

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00:41:17.437 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.593$ are starting to do it in vivo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00:41:19.600 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.677$ Treat the tumors for 10 days or 21 days.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00:41:23.680 \longrightarrow 00:41:27.796$ Randomize them to continue EGFR inhibition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00:41:27.800 \longrightarrow 00:41:30.122$ Use the continue with EGFR inhibition

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00{:}41{:}30.122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}33.611$ and the and the trope to antibody drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00{:}41{:}33.611 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}36.810$ conjugate or a CAR T cell against

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00{:}41{:}36.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}40.283$ the B cell antigen or against trope

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00:41:40.283 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.320$ to just delivered once and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00{:}41{:}43.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}46.680$ ask what happens to these animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00:41:46.680 \longrightarrow 00:41:48.416$ So they're delivered here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00:41:48.416 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.500$ This is the schedule for the ADC

00:41:51.500 --> 00:41:54.220 delivery and then the CAR T cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00:41:54.220 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.756$ are delivered also here at day 21.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00:41:56.756 \longrightarrow 00:41:59.300$ And you can see that the ones that

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00:41:59.383 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.933$ are treated with the EGFR inhibitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00:42:01.933 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.240$ alone all managed to regrow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00{:}42{:}04.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}06.956$ The ones that are treated with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00:42:06.960 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.912$ targeting an irrelevant protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00:42:08.912 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.840$ also regrow and purple behind it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00:42:11.840 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.792$ And the ones that are treated with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

00:42:13.792 --> 00:42:16.100 CAR T cell or in this case the ADC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00{:}42{:}16.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}18.620$ the Sazotuzumabe and Goba T can't

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00:42:18.620 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.880$ have the separation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00:42:19.880 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.240$ And if we look at this long term,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00:42:22.240 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.764$ we certainly see that the ones

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00:42:23.764 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.476$ that receive the trope 2 CAR T

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00:42:25.476 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.754$ cell have a much better outcome.

 $00{:}42{:}26.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}28.235$ There are some escapers here

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00:42:28.235 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.710$ and we're trying to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00:42:29.767 \longrightarrow 00:42:31.117$ why do they escape therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00:42:31.120 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.947$ All of the ones treated with the ADC like

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00:42:32.947 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.960$ in our prior experiments start to regrow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00:42:34.960 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.319$ Similarly with the EGFR inhibitor by itself

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00:42:37.319 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.960$ and and also most of the ones that are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00:42:39.960 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.081$ there's one here most of the ones

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00:42:42.081 \longrightarrow 00:42:43.712$ that are treated with irrelevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00:42:43.712 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.357$ or B cell antigen CAR,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

00:42:45.360 --> 00:42:47.232 T cell also start to regrow

NOTE Confidence: 0.90106514125

 $00:42:47.232 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.480$ as as we'd expect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00{:}42{:}51.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}55.236$ So I talked about this drug tolerant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:42:55.236 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.055$ persistent state that can give rise

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:42:57.055 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.102$ to a broad range of actual drug

00:42:59.102 --> 00:43:00.906 resistance mechanisms and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

00:43:00.906 --> 00:43:03.880 really one step why are one reason,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:43:03.880 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.988$ not the only reason but one reason

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

00:43:06.988 --> 00:43:09.605 why are effective targeted therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:43:09.605 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.013$ precision therapies in lung cancer although

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:43:13.013 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.678$ effective they're not effective forever,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:43:15.680 \longrightarrow 00:43:18.240$ they ultimately resistance happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:43:18.240 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.731$ in most if not all patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

00:43:21.731 --> 00:43:22.604 And this state,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:43:22.604 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.696$ what I'm trying to was trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00{:}43{:}24.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}26.698$ convince you is this state has some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

00:43:26.698 --> 00:43:28.489 unique biologic properties and expressed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:43:28.489 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.424$ potentially novel cell surface targets

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:43:30.424 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.359$ which can be leveraged therapeutically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:43:34.360 \longrightarrow 00:43:36.896$ And if we prevent the formation of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00{:}43{:}36.896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}39.375$ state or specifically treat the state,

 $00:43:39.375 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.519$ we may be able to extend the benefits of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:43:42.520 \longrightarrow 00:43:44.368$ of our genotype directed the rapies and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00{:}43{:}44.368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}46.520$ lung cancers and maybe in other cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:43:46.520 \longrightarrow 00:43:50.120$ But this needs clinical validation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:43:50.120 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.535$ of course the issue that I mentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:43:52.535 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.361$ that some of these proteins that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:43:54.361 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.059$ expressed in these drug tolerant states

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:43:56.059 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.952$ also expressed in normal tissues which

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:43:57.952 \longrightarrow 00:44:01.024$ may limit the therapeutic window and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:44:01.024 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.930$ and again one reason why or 111 big

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:44:04.930 \longrightarrow 00:44:08.200$ reason why clinical validation is needed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:44:08.200 \longrightarrow 00:44:10.278$ So I just wanted to thank just acknowledge

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00{:}44{:}10.278 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}12.231$ the many members of my laboratory who've

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:44:12.231 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.040$ been worked on these various projects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:44:14.040 \longrightarrow 00:44:17.020$ Here on the left hand side in the

 $00:44:17.020 \longrightarrow 00:44:18.520$ middle are my long term collaborators

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:44:18.520 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.760$ in the in this field,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

00:44:19.760 --> 00:44:21.956 Nathaniel Gray who's a medicinal chemist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

00:44:21.960 --> 00:44:25.075 Mike Eck who is a structural biologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:44:25.080 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.150$ biochemist and Kwak Wong who does

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:44:27.150 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.040$ animal models of lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

00:44:29.040 --> 00:44:29.990 We've worked,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:44:29.990 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.840$ had the pleasure to work together

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:44:32.840 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.072$ for the last 10 years or so except

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

00:44:35.072 --> 00:44:36.734 during that time both Nathaniel

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

00:44:36.734 --> 00:44:38.474 and Kwak left Dana Farber.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:44:38.480 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.615$ But we still continue to work together

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:44:40.615 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.116$ and still just submitted APO one together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:44:43.120 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.120$ So we'll hopefully be able to do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:44:46.120 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.954$ The clinical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:44:46.954 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.873$ we have a lot of wonderful clinicians

 $00:44:49.873 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.105$ and clinical trialists who will run the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00{:}44{:}53.105 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}55.800$ clinical trials that I mentioned to you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:44:55.800 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.984$ That couldn't be done without our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:44:57.984 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.992$ clinical research staff and patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00{:}44{:}59.992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}02.986$ and families who participate in clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:45:02.986 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.154$ trials or translational research

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

00:45:05.160 --> 00:45:07.680 undergoing on treatment biopsies which

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

00:45:07.680 --> 00:45:11.148 may not benefit them directly but may

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00{:}45{:}11.148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}13.247$ ultimately help develop new therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00{:}45{:}13.247 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}16.183$ We use a lot of bioinformatics in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

00:45:16.183 --> 00:45:18.808 analysis and with that couldn't be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:45:18.808 \longrightarrow 00:45:20.556$ done without the bioinformaticians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00{:}45{:}20.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}22.674$ the Belfer Centre that I helped run.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:45:22.680 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.465$ These are many of the members are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:45:24.465 \longrightarrow 00:45:26.921$ there and of course we need to have

 $00:45:26.921 \longrightarrow 00:45:28.556$ collaborators in the pharma industry

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00{:}45{:}28.613 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}30.419$ who are developing many of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8474694

 $00:45:30.419 \longrightarrow 00:45:31.720$ drugs to be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.781017591

 $00{:}45{:}34.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}35.988$ to carry them out and hear some

NOTE Confidence: 0.781017591

 $00:45:35.988 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.720$ collaborators from AstraZeneca,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781017591

00:45:36.720 --> 00:45:39.880 Daiichi Sanchio and AbbVie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781017591

00:45:39.880 --> 00:45:43.010 My collaborator Dave Barbie on

NOTE Confidence: 0.781017591

 $00:45:43.010 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.960$ the and Eric Smith and Elliot

NOTE Confidence: 0.781017591

00:45:45.960 --> 00:45:48.762 Abrea works with Eric and Dave

NOTE Confidence: 0.781017591

 $00:45:48.762 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.834$ on the on the car T cell studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781017591

 $00{:}45{:}51.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}53.040$ I just want to acknowledge them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781017591

 $00:45:53.040 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.588$ And of course, none of the work

NOTE Confidence: 0.781017591

 $00:45:55.588 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.599$ would be possible without funding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781017591

 $00:45:57.599 \longrightarrow 00:45:59.513$ And these are many of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.781017591

 $00:45:59.513 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.760$ funding agencies that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.883675986666667

 $00:46:03.520 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.680$ supported the work over the years.

 $00:46:05.680 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.032$ So I will stop there and happy to

NOTE Confidence: 0.883675986666667

 $00:46:08.032 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.039$ take any questions. Thank you again

NOTE Confidence: 0.883675986666667

 $00:46:10.039 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.317$ for the invitation to be here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891138492

00:46:22.180 --> 00:46:23.380 Thank you so much, Posse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.891138492

 $00:46:23.380 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.908$ for really a fantastic talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891138492

 $00:46:25.908 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.420$ It was so clinically relevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.731016719

00:46:28.420 --> 00:46:29.560 and you're doing amazing work

NOTE Confidence: 0.731016719

 $00:46:29.560 \longrightarrow 00:46:30.700$ to really advance this field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888756576666667

 $00:46:30.740 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.228$ So thank you again for all

NOTE Confidence: 0.888756576666667

 $00:46:32.228 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.940$ of that and for being here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.888756576666667

 $00:46:33.940 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.960$ So as is tradition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.888756576666667

 $00:46:34.960 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.235$ the first question goes back

NOTE Confidence: 0.888756576666667

 $00{:}46{:}36.235 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}37.500$ to Vito Calabrese. I don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.427580245

00:46:41.140 --> 00:46:41.580 know. All right. OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.598923358333333

00:46:42.360 --> 00:46:44.238 Additionally, I asked a first question,

 $00:46:44.240 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.914$ even if I have nothing to say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.598923358333333

 $00:46:46.920 \longrightarrow 00:46:50.595$ But I was wondering whether in other

NOTE Confidence: 0.598923358333333

 $00:46:50.595 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.226$ types of cancers like Melanoma which

NOTE Confidence: 0.598923358333333

 $00:46:54.226 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.200$ got treated from nothing and then had

NOTE Confidence: 0.598923358333333

 $00:46:58.200 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.710$ the same intermediate stage developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.598923358333333

 $00{:}47{:}00.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}03.132$ where there were some cells of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.598923358333333

00:47:03.132 --> 00:47:05.433 sort and they found ways of going

NOTE Confidence: 0.598923358333333

 $00:47:05.433 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.209$ after them or whether there was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.598923358333333

 $00:47:08.209 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.560$ total treatment from the first time. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.924440378888889

 $00:47:12.560 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.513$ depends a little bit on the type of therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924440378888889

 $00{:}47{:}14.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}16.698$ But this sort of intermediate state

NOTE Confidence: 0.924440378888889

00:47:16.698 --> 00:47:19.330 does exist in other cancers if they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.924440378888889

 $00:47:19.330 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.280$ especially if they're treated with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.924440378888889

 $00:47:21.280 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.799$ targeted therapies that I mentioned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924440378888889

 $00:47:22.800 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.240$ I think the difference in

NOTE Confidence: 0.924440378888889

 $00:47:24.240 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.040$ Melanoma is that it's a very,

 $00:47:26.040 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.770$ it's a cancer that we can effectively

NOTE Confidence: 0.924440378888889

 $00:47:28.770 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.917$ treat with immune therapies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.924440378888889

 $00:47:30.917 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.270$ are already exist and were developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.924440378888889

 $00:47:33.270 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.920$ in Melanoma and other cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924440378888889

 $00:47:34.920 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.800$ They do work in lung cancers as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924440378888889

00:47:36.800 --> 00:47:38.728 They just don't work in the lung cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.924440378888889

 $00:47:38.728 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.295$ that have these genetic alterations

NOTE Confidence: 0.924440378888889

 $00{:}47{:}40.295 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}42.353$ where we use these targeted the rapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924440378888889

 $00:47:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.836$ And so that's why we need

NOTE Confidence: 0.924440378888889

 $00:47:43.840 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.502$ different approaches.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924440378888889

 $00:47:44.502 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.157$ But it isn't this example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924440378888889

00:47:46.160 --> 00:47:47.760 This sort of pattern isn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.924440378888889

 $00{:}47{:}47.760 --> 00{:}47{:}49.040 \ unique \ to \ lung \ cancer,$

NOTE Confidence: 0.924440378888889

 $00:47:49.040 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.000$ does happen in other cancers as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614070866

00:47:53.080 --> 00:47:55.735 Hi, I'm. I'm Steve Calabresi, Dr.

 $00:47:55.735 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.640$ Calabresi's son. And I'm a law professor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614070866

00:47:58.640 --> 00:48:01.356 So this question may not be

NOTE Confidence: 0.614070866

00:48:01.356 --> 00:48:04.536 thoroughly relevant, but my father

NOTE Confidence: 0.614070866

 $00:48:04.536 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.117$ had a cancer of the tongue in 1975

NOTE Confidence: 0.614070866

 $00:48:09.117 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.973$ on the left side of the tongue and

NOTE Confidence: 0.614070866

 $00:48:11.973 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.400$ was given a 15% chance of surviving.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614070866

 $00:48:15.400 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.492$ He ended up living another 25 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614070866

 $00:48:17.492 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.471$ The way he treated the cancer of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.614070866

 $00:48:20.471 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.921$ tongue was to have surgery on his

NOTE Confidence: 0.614070866

 $00:48:22.921 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.226$ tongue and to have the glands on

NOTE Confidence: 0.614070866

00:48:25.226 --> 00:48:27.960 the left side of his neck removed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.614070866

 $00:48:27.960 \longrightarrow 00:48:29.900$ which turned out to have cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.614070866

00:48:29.900 --> 00:48:32.920 cells in them. He had chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.614070866

 $00:48:32.920 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.133$ He had radiation therapy with

NOTE Confidence: 0.614070866

00:48:35.133 --> 00:48:37.139 radioactive needles in his tongue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.614070866

 $00:48:37.139 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.966$ and he even used, in 1975,

 $00:48:39.966 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.596$ a primitive form of immunotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614070866

 $00{:}48{:}42.600 \rightarrow 00{:}48{:}45.200$ And his idea was to throw everything,

NOTE Confidence: 0.614070866

 $00:48:45.200 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.073$ everything at it, basically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614070866

 $00:48:47.073 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.539$ And so I wondered with these

NOTE Confidence: 0.614070866

 $00:48:49.539 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.601$ persistent can cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.614070866

 $00:48:50.601 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.489$ can you once you reduce the size of

NOTE Confidence: 0.614070866

 $00:48:53.489 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.119$ the cancer to a smaller location,

NOTE Confidence: 0.614070866

 $00:48:56.120 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.280$ is there any chance of gaining

NOTE Confidence: 0.614070866

 $00:48:58.280 \longrightarrow 00:48:59.960$ anything by surgically removing it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.614070866

 $00:48:59.960 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.680$ Obviously microscopic cancer cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.614070866

 $00{:}49{:}01.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}03.976$ might remain but may be those would

NOTE Confidence: 0.614070866

 $00:49:03.976 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.959$ could be targeted by chemotherapy or

NOTE Confidence: 0.720138036

 $00:49:05.960 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.200$ yeah so in in the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.720138036

 $00:49:07.200 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.888$ in the EGFR example and Roy knows

NOTE Confidence: 0.720138036

 $00:49:09.888 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.898$ is very well since he led the the,

00:49:12.898 --> 00:49:15.094 the, the trials patients who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.720138036

 $00{:}49{:}15.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}17.558$ earlier stage lung cancer which we

NOTE Confidence: 0.720138036

 $00:49:17.558 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.588$ can potentially cure with surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.720138036

 $00{:}49{:}19.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}21.557$ although it can still recur.

NOTE Confidence: 0.720138036

 $00:49:21.560 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.228$ We now use these effective like the

NOTE Confidence: 0.720138036

 $00:49:25.228 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.910$ the EGFR inhibitor as an adjuvant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.720138036

 $00:49:27.910 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.130$ So after surgery patients may get

NOTE Confidence: 0.720138036

 $00:49:30.130 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.362$ chemotherapy and then they get the EGFR

NOTE Confidence: 0.720138036

 $00{:}49{:}32.362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}34.235$ inhibitor for multiple years there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.720138036

 $00:49:34.235 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.731$ We know that that not only reduces the

NOTE Confidence: 0.720138036

00:49:37.731 --> 00:49:40.395 likelihood of the cancer coming back,

NOTE Confidence: 0.720138036

 $00:49:40.400 \longrightarrow 00:49:43.316$ but it makes people live longer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.720138036

00:49:43.320 --> 00:49:45.045 Now whether whether it ultimately

NOTE Confidence: 0.720138036

 $00:49:45.045 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.080$ cures those cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.720138036

00:49:46.080 --> 00:49:47.238 I think we don't know yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.720138036

 $00:49:47.240 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.354$ but at least the early signs are

 $00:49:49.354 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.679$ all going in the right direction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.720138036

 $00:49:51.680 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.800$ So yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.720138036

 $00:49:52.800 \longrightarrow 00:49:55.600$ absolutely we're trying trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.720138036

 $00:49:55.600 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.490$ take what we learn in studying

NOTE Confidence: 0.720138036

 $00:49:57.490 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.181$ patients with advanced lung cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.720138036

 $00:49:59.181 \longrightarrow 00:50:01.056$ and moving the effective therapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.720138036

 $00:50:01.056 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.190$ into earlier settings where we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.720138036

00:50:03.190 --> 00:50:04.428 hopefully cure more patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.720138036

 $00:50:04.428 \longrightarrow 00:50:06.102$ the with the disease as as long

NOTE Confidence: 0.720138036

 $00{:}50{:}06.102 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}07.694$ as we can find the the cancers in

NOTE Confidence: 0.720138036

 $00:50:07.694 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.179$ the earlier stage which remains

NOTE Confidence: 0.720138036

 $00:50:09.179 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.120$ a challenge still

NOTE Confidence: 0.88103646

 $00{:}50{:}12.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}14.800$ really nice talk. I'm wondering if

NOTE Confidence: 0.88103646

 $00:50:14.800 \longrightarrow 00:50:17.346$ drug therapy is acquired through

NOTE Confidence: 0.88103646

00:50:17.346 --> 00:50:19.320 somatic mutations or if there are

 $00:50:19.800 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.904$ pre-existing cells that then

NOTE Confidence: 0.831825115

00:50:21.904 --> 00:50:24.920 grow out that account for the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831825115

 $00:50:24.920 \longrightarrow 00:50:26.816$ Yeah, both can happen and there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.831825115

 $00:50:26.816 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.247$ and and and certainly there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.831825115

00:50:29.247 --> 00:50:31.449 examples in lung cancer and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.831825115

00:50:31.449 --> 00:50:33.755 EJFR space where you can find the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831825115

00:50:33.760 --> 00:50:35.904 you know, you know one in a million

NOTE Confidence: 0.831825115

 $00:50:35.904 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.124$ cells you can find the resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.831825115

 $00:50:38.124 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.149$ mechanism cancer with a resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.831825115

 $00:50:40.149 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.592$ mechanism already there and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.831825115

 $00:50:42.592 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.640$ over time it gets selected for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831825115

 $00:50:45.640 \longrightarrow 00:50:48.180$ But the other way around,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831825115

 $00:50:48.180 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.710$ the other other is also true

NOTE Confidence: 0.831825115

 $00{:}50{:}49.764 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}51.036$ that you may not find it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831825115

 $00:50:51.040 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.477$ but it's this intermediate state for

NOTE Confidence: 0.831825115

 $00:50:54.477 \longrightarrow 00:50:57.013$ whatever reason then is denied us for many

 $00:50:57.013 \longrightarrow 00:50:58.926$ different resistance things to evolve,

NOTE Confidence: 0.831825115

 $00{:}50{:}58.926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}00.624$ and part of the reason to

NOTE Confidence: 0.831825115

 $00:51:00.624 \longrightarrow 00:51:02.679$ to of course go after that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831825115

 $00:51:02.680 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.680$ But both do exist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831825115

 $00:51:04.680 \longrightarrow 00:51:08.160$ Both both paths to resistance are possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.831825115

00:51:08.160 --> 00:51:09.918 Doesn't mean they can't coexist either.

NOTE Confidence: 0.658929428571429

00:51:14.760 --> 00:51:16.608 Hi, Pasi, it's good to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.658929428571429

 $00:51:16.608 \longrightarrow 00:51:17.976$ you and thanks for coming.

NOTE Confidence: 0.658929428571429

 $00:51:17.976 \longrightarrow 00:51:20.000$ It's beautiful work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.658929428571429

 $00{:}51{:}20.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}22.300$ I wondered if in the studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.658929428571429

 $00:51:22.300 \longrightarrow 00:51:24.842$ that you use the combination of

NOTE Confidence: 0.658929428571429

00:51:24.842 --> 00:51:26.688 your BCLXADC and ASA Mertonib,

NOTE Confidence: 0.658929428571429

 $00{:}51{:}26.688 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}51{:}29.020$ did you add, did you do any

NOTE Confidence: 0.658929428571429

00:51:29.020 --> 00:51:30.320 studies combining that with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.658929428571429

00:51:30.320 --> 00:51:31.773 MEC inhibitor because it looks

00:51:31.773 --> 00:51:32.945 like that's your preclinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.658929428571429

 $00:51:32.945 \longrightarrow 00:51:34.479$ data with support that triplet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.646076198333333

 $00:51:34.600 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.958$ Yeah, We we didn't, we didn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.646076198333333

00:51:36.960 --> 00:51:39.480 And part of it is that it's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.646076198333333

 $00:51:39.480 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.126$ it's tough to take the MEC inhibitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.646076198333333

00:51:42.126 --> 00:51:43.260 combinations forward clinically

NOTE Confidence: 0.646076198333333

 $00:51:43.323 \longrightarrow 00:51:45.435$ because of the MEC inhibitor toxicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.646076198333333

00:51:45.440 --> 00:51:48.560 And so we wanted to stick to strategies

NOTE Confidence: 0.646076198333333

 $00:51:48.560 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.976$ that we could ultimately test in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.646076198333333

 $00:51:50.976 \longrightarrow 00:51:53.679$ clinic in the form of a clinical trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.646076198333333

 $00:51:53.680 \longrightarrow 00:51:54.704$ And as you said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.646076198333333

 $00:51:54.704 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.240$ we're doing a trial of ASA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.646076198333333

00:51:56.240 --> 00:51:57.904 Merton and Ben Celimetin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.646076198333333

 $00:51:57.904 \longrightarrow 00:52:01.127$ but even that and even giving it an

NOTE Confidence: 0.646076198333333

 $00:52:01.127 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.200$ intermediate or intermittent dose levels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.646076198333333

 $00:52:03.200 \longrightarrow 00:52:04.840$ not everybody can tolerate it.

 $00:52:04.840 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.072$ The MEC inhibitor toxicity adds up over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.646076198333333 00:52:10.072 --> 00:52:10.520 Thanks. NOTE Confidence: 0.881945735454545

 $00:52:12.360 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.770$ I'm going to ask a question

NOTE Confidence: 0.881945735454545

 $00:52:13.770 \longrightarrow 00:52:15.241$ as I walk over here POSI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881945735454545

00:52:15.241 --> 00:52:17.169 I think you know one of the studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.881945735454545

00:52:17.169 --> 00:52:19.086 that I was really struck by is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.881945735454545

 $00:52:19.086 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.750$ the study that you did where you

NOTE Confidence: 0.881945735454545

 $00:52:20.750 \longrightarrow 00:52:22.400$ buy up did on treatment biopsies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881945735454545

 $00:52:22.400 \longrightarrow 00:52:24.609$ I think it's something we a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.881945735454545

 $00:52:24.609 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.067$ trials have them as optional biopsies

NOTE Confidence: 0.881945735454545

00:52:26.067 --> 00:52:27.936 and I think sometimes we feel it's hard

NOTE Confidence: 0.881945735454545

 $00:52:27.936 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.880$ to to have patients go through that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881945735454545

 $00{:}52{:}29.880 \to 00{:}52{:}31.548$ I'm just curious your experience in

NOTE Confidence: 0.881945735454545

 $00{:}52{:}31.548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}33.254$ the clinic because it's such important

NOTE Confidence: 0.881945735454545

00:52:33.254 --> 00:52:35.137 samples how how it was talking to

00:52:35.137 --> 00:52:36.873 patients about that and getting those

NOTE Confidence: 0.881945735454545

 $00:52:36.873 \longrightarrow 00:52:38.080$ samples and the importance of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.867367971111111

 $00:52:39.400 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.910$ most most patients that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.867367971111111

 $00:52:42.910 \longrightarrow 00:52:46.564$ trial and other trials as you

NOTE Confidence: 0.867367971111111

 $00:52:46.564 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.674$ mentioned require on study biopsies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867367971111111

00:52:48.680 --> 00:52:52.905 And I think we're most of our patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.867367971111111

 $00:52:52.905 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.578$ are willing to assuming it's safe and

NOTE Confidence: 0.867367971111111

 $00:52:55.578 \longrightarrow 00:52:57.992$ the tumors in a location that can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.867367971111111

 $00:52:57.992 \longrightarrow 00:53:00.080$ biopsied are willing to undergo that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867367971111111

 $00:53:00.080 \longrightarrow 00:53:03.580$ You know after we explain to them and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.867367971111111

 $00{:}53{:}03.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}05.960$ know although it may not help them directly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867367971111111

 $00:53:05.960 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.934$ it'll help the development of medicines

NOTE Confidence: 0.867367971111111

00:53:07.934 --> 00:53:09.855 that we're trying to develop and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8673679711111111

 $00:53:09.855 \longrightarrow 00:53:11.773$ it'll help others in the future and

NOTE Confidence: 0.867367971111111

 $00:53:11.773 \longrightarrow 00:53:14.034$ we do we we are have been able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.867367971111111

 $00:53:14.034 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.845$ be successful in that but it is it,

00:53:16.845 --> 00:53:19.515 it is optional in most cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.867367971111111

 $00:53:19.515 \longrightarrow 00:53:21.640$ optional typically means not done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867367971111111

 $00:53:21.640 \longrightarrow 00:53:27.240$ So so yeah it it remains a challenge

NOTE Confidence: 0.867367971111111

 $00:53:27.240 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.080$ a really great talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.683729291111111

 $00:53:29.440 \longrightarrow 00:53:30.168$ as a radiation oncologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.683729291111111

00:53:30.168 --> 00:53:31.314 One thing I worry about is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.683729291111111

 $00:53:31.314 \longrightarrow 00:53:32.933$ is there evidence of the senesa state

NOTE Confidence: 0.683729291111111

 $00{:}53{:}32.933 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}34.653$ being more or less ready resistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.683729291111111

00:53:34.653 --> 00:53:37.008 initial tumor and clinically it might

NOTE Confidence: 0.683729291111111

 $00{:}53{:}37.008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}38.580$ be relevant patients got you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.683729291111111

00:53:38.632 --> 00:53:40.081 a handful of brain Mets and right

NOTE Confidence: 0.683729291111111

 $00{:}53{:}40.081 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}41.486$ now if they have an EGFR option

NOTE Confidence: 0.683729291111111

 $00{:}53{:}41.486 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}42.626$ do we do radio surgery upfront,

NOTE Confidence: 0.683729291111111

 $00{:}53{:}42.626 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}44.536$ do we just do EGFR therapy and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.683729291111111

 $00:53:44.536 \longrightarrow 00:53:45.880$ watch wait for it to come back.

 $00:53:45.880 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.020$ When's the right time to kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.683729291111111

 $00:53:47.020 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.400$ of incorporate

NOTE Confidence: 0.632910193333333

 $00:53:47.400 \longrightarrow 00:53:48.600$ right. And there there is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.632910193333333

00:53:48.600 --> 00:53:50.340 there are studies that are looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.632910193333333

 $00:53:50.340 \longrightarrow 00:53:52.639$ at this you know for EGFR therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.632910193333333

00:53:52.640 --> 00:53:55.226 you know patients who have sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.632910193333333

 $00:53:55.226 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.240$ of maximal response radiating the

NOTE Confidence: 0.632910193333333

00:53:57.240 --> 00:53:58.822 sort of the remaining areas and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.632910193333333

 $00:53:58.822 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.376$ and there are some studies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.632910193333333

 $00:54:00.376 \longrightarrow 00:54:02.032$ suggest that that may be beneficial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.632910193333333

 $00{:}54{:}02.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}06.644$ And we typically have a radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.632910193333333

00:54:06.644 --> 00:54:08.184 oncologist see our patients have

NOTE Confidence: 0.632910193333333

 $00:54:08.184 \longrightarrow 00:54:10.007$ that they've had a maximal response

NOTE Confidence: 0.632910193333333

00:54:10.007 --> 00:54:11.447 to whatever targeted therapy to

NOTE Confidence: 0.632910193333333

 $00:54:11.447 \longrightarrow 00:54:12.362$ ask is it feasible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.632910193333333

 $00:54:12.362 \longrightarrow 00:54:14.616$ is it in a location that can

 $00:54:14.616 \longrightarrow 00:54:16.777$ you know that that is can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.632910193333333

00:54:16.777 --> 00:54:18.840 done in terms of brain lesions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96419372

 $00:54:21.840 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.654$ I think as medical oncologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.96419372

 $00:54:23.654 \longrightarrow 00:54:25.744$ we prefer to have pharmacologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.96419372

 $00{:}54{:}25.744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}27.879$ approaches to treat brain lesions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96419372

 $00:54:27.880 \longrightarrow 00:54:30.448$ although we rely heavily on our

NOTE Confidence: 0.96419372

 $00:54:30.448 \longrightarrow 00:54:31.732$ radiation oncology colleagues

NOTE Confidence: 0.96419372

 $00:54:31.732 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.754$ for stereotactic radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96419372

 $00:54:32.754 \longrightarrow 00:54:34.833$ But if we can avoid things like

NOTE Confidence: 0.96419372

 $00{:}54{:}34.833 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}36.348$ whole brain radiation with

NOTE Confidence: 0.96419372

 $00{:}54{:}36.348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}37.515$ using pharmacologic agents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96419372

 $00{:}54{:}37.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}40.400$ I think that would be preferable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96419372

00:54:40.400 --> 00:54:43.045 But not all of our agents as you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.96419372

 $00:54:43.045 \longrightarrow 00:54:44.120$ across the blood brain barriers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.782650837111111

 $00:54:45.640 \longrightarrow 00:54:46.860$ Posse, thanks so much for

 $00:54:46.860 \longrightarrow 00:54:47.836$ being our visiting professor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.782650837111111

00:54:47.840 --> 00:54:48.876 As you know as well as anyone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.782650837111111

 $00:54:48.880 \longrightarrow 00:54:51.176$ it's now 20 years since the EGF

NOTE Confidence: 0.782650837111111

 $00:54:51.176 \longrightarrow 00:54:52.160$ reputations were discovered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.782650837111111

 $00:54:52.160 \longrightarrow 00:54:53.875$ Your lab was of course one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.782650837111111

 $00:54:53.875 \longrightarrow 00:54:55.842$ the key labs in that and it's so

NOTE Confidence: 0.782650837111111

 $00:54:55.842 \longrightarrow 00:54:57.147$ tantalizing to have these oral

NOTE Confidence: 0.782650837111111

 $00:54:57.147 \longrightarrow 00:54:58.519$ agents and patients live longer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.782650837111111

 $00:54:58.520 \longrightarrow 00:54:59.868$ But as you mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.782650837111111

 $00:54:59.868 \longrightarrow 00:55:01.553$ no one's ever really cured.

NOTE Confidence: 0.782650837111111

00:55:01.560 --> 00:55:03.247 So now you've described to us adding

NOTE Confidence: 0.782650837111111

 $00{:}55{:}03.247 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}04.799$ different agents in that add toxicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.782650837111111

 $00:55:04.800 \longrightarrow 00:55:06.256$ So my question is going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.782650837111111

 $00:55:06.256 \longrightarrow 00:55:07.731$ about that it really does change

NOTE Confidence: 0.782650837111111

 $00:55:07.731 \longrightarrow 00:55:09.374$ the course of a patient's life as

NOTE Confidence: 0.782650837111111

 $00{:}55{:}09.374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}11.103$ you start adding in some of these

00:55:11.103 --> 00:55:13.020 toxicities with you have to come in

NOTE Confidence: 0.782650837111111

 $00:55:13.020 \longrightarrow 00:55:14.164$ for intravenous infusions exactly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.782650837111111

00:55:14.164 --> 00:55:15.872 So my specific question is going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.782650837111111

 $00:55:15.872 \longrightarrow 00:55:17.480$ be something we're interested in here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.782650837111111

 $00:55:17.480 \longrightarrow 00:55:19.244$ some of the pulmonary toxicity we

NOTE Confidence: 0.782650837111111

 $00:55:19.244 \longrightarrow 00:55:20.980$ see with these antibody drug targets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.782650837111111

 $00:55:20.980 \longrightarrow 00:55:22.480$ Is there anything that's known

NOTE Confidence: 0.782650837111111

 $00{:}55{:}22.480 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}55{:}23.963$ about structure function and will

NOTE Confidence: 0.782650837111111

 $00:55:23.963 \longrightarrow 00:55:25.397$ there be ways to ameliorate that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.782650837111111

 $00:55:25.400 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.504$ Because certainly you take

NOTE Confidence: 0.782650837111111

 $00:55:26.504 \longrightarrow 00:55:28.160$ someone with a long life span,

NOTE Confidence: 0.782650837111111

 $00:55:28.160 \longrightarrow 00:55:29.441$ but you if they end up having

NOTE Confidence: 0.782650837111111

 $00{:}55{:}29.441 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}30.479$ a pulmonary crisis that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.782650837111111

00:55:30.479 --> 00:55:31.594 be of course very devastating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946696772

 $00:55:31.800 \longrightarrow 00:55:36.696$ Yeah. I don't think we as a field

 $00:55:36.696 \longrightarrow 00:55:39.420$ completely understand why some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.946696772

 $00:55:39.420 \longrightarrow 00:55:41.420$ these antibody drug conjugates

NOTE Confidence: 0.946696772

 $00:55:41.420 \longrightarrow 00:55:44.240$ give a rise to pulmonary toxicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946696772

 $00:55:44.240 \longrightarrow 00:55:48.300$ Or of course it is the the, the one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.946696772

 $00:55:48.300 \longrightarrow 00:55:52.474$ the more feared toxicities because A,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946696772

00:55:52.474 --> 00:55:54.112 that can be symptomatic and B typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.946696772

 $00:55:54.112 \longrightarrow 00:55:56.158$ means you have to stop using that treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946696772

 $00{:}55{:}56.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}58.022$ even though if it's if it's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.946696772

 $00{:}55{:}58.022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}59.560$ effective because you don't want to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946696772

00:55:59.560 --> 00:56:01.000 you know, make the toxicity worse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946696772

 $00:56:01.000 \longrightarrow 00:56:04.330$ But our mechanistic understanding of

NOTE Confidence: 0.946696772

 $00:56:04.330 \longrightarrow 00:56:07.328$ what gives rise to that I think is at

NOTE Confidence: 0.946696772

00:56:07.328 --> 00:56:09.773 its infancy still and I think something

NOTE Confidence: 0.946696772

 $00:56:09.773 \longrightarrow 00:56:12.160$ that we should continue to work on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946696772

00:56:12.160 --> 00:56:15.888 And they're not great models like mice don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.946696772

 $00:56:15.888 \longrightarrow 00:56:18.280$ get interstitial lung disease from that.

 $00:56:18.280 \longrightarrow 00:56:19.477$ So you have to have a good

NOTE Confidence: 0.946696772

 $00:56:19.477 \longrightarrow 00:56:20.799$ model to be able to study in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893544244545455

 $00:56:22.200 \longrightarrow 00:56:23.768$ I think we have time for one and

NOTE Confidence: 0.893544244545455

 $00:56:23.768 \longrightarrow 00:56:25.480$ maybe two questions. So just

NOTE Confidence: 0.806565596666667

00:56:25.480 --> 00:56:27.760 my question come from pathology NGS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806565596666667

 $00:56:27.760 \longrightarrow 00:56:29.400$ so this persistent cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806565596666667

 $00:56:29.400 \longrightarrow 00:56:31.020$ So when we get that tumor treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.806565596666667

 $00:56:31.020 \longrightarrow 00:56:32.960$ and recurrent, we see additional

NOTE Confidence: 0.806565596666667

 $00{:}56{:}32.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}35.160$ mutation in GFR amplification,

NOTE Confidence: 0.806565596666667

00:56:35.160 --> 00:56:36.410 some tumor exchange to become

NOTE Confidence: 0.806565596666667

 $00:56:36.410 \longrightarrow 00:56:37.546$ neuron decrin and squamous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.806565596666667

 $00:56:37.546 \longrightarrow 00:56:39.476$ These persistent tumor cells where

NOTE Confidence: 0.806565596666667

 $00{:}56{:}39.476 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}42.440$ they are located in in these pathways

NOTE Confidence: 0.7271285

00:56:43.720 --> 00:56:46.260 typically as I showed you pre

NOTE Confidence: 0.7271285

 $00:56:46.260 \longrightarrow 00:56:47.680$ clinically typically if we take

 $00:56:47.742 \longrightarrow 00:56:49.034$ one of these persistent

NOTE Confidence: 0.803133007333333

 $00:56:49.034 \longrightarrow 00:56:50.400$ cells and do NGS on them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803133007333333

 $00:56:50.400 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.386$ they don't have any other genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.803133007333333

 $00:56:52.386 \longrightarrow 00:56:54.200$ alterations compared to the parental

NOTE Confidence: 0.803133007333333

 $00:56:54.200 \longrightarrow 00:56:55.544$ because they're basically just

NOTE Confidence: 0.803133007333333

 $00:56:55.544 \longrightarrow 00:56:57.560$ rewired to be able to survive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803133007333333

 $00:56:57.560 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.810$ And if you in that preclinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.803133007333333

00:56:59.810 --> 00:57:02.238 experiment if you take off the drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.803133007333333

00:57:02.240 --> 00:57:04.166 they regrow and they're the signaling

NOTE Confidence: 0.803133007333333

 $00:57:04.166 \longrightarrow 00:57:05.997$ pathways look like look the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.803133007333333

 $00:57:05.997 \longrightarrow 00:57:07.712$ as they do in the parental cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803133007333333

00:57:07.720 --> 00:57:11.040 So it's it's it's sort of an adapt,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803133007333333

 $00{:}57{:}11.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}13.420$ it would fall under sort of an

NOTE Confidence: 0.803133007333333

 $00:57:13.420 \longrightarrow 00:57:14.944$ adaptive resistance that allows

NOTE Confidence: 0.803133007333333

00:57:14.944 --> 00:57:17.086 survival but not necessarily driven

NOTE Confidence: 0.803133007333333

 $00:57:17.086 \longrightarrow 00:57:19.240$ by a specific genomic mechanism. OK,

00:57:21.440 --> 00:57:22.400 David, I think this will

NOTE Confidence: 0.608082976

 $00{:}57{:}22.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}25.760$ be the last question from pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.608082976

 $00:57:25.760 \longrightarrow 00:57:27.704$ So the protein expression of

NOTE Confidence: 0.608082976

 $00:57:27.704 \longrightarrow 00:57:29.912$ both trope 2 and EGFR spans

NOTE Confidence: 0.608082976

 $00:57:29.912 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.957$ about a two log dynamic range.

NOTE Confidence: 0.608082976

 $00:57:31.960 \longrightarrow 00:57:33.745$ Have you ever looked at the levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.608082976

 $00:57:33.745 \longrightarrow 00:57:35.057$ of protein expression to correlate

NOTE Confidence: 0.608082976

00:57:35.057 --> 00:57:36.560 with your ADADC effects that you see?

NOTE Confidence: 0.956039637142857

 $00:57:37.240 \longrightarrow 00:57:39.950$ So clinically that's been looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.956039637142857

 $00:57:39.950 \longrightarrow 00:57:42.525$ at and disappointingly has no

NOTE Confidence: 0.956039637142857

00:57:42.525 --> 00:57:45.000 correlation with the efficacy of

NOTE Confidence: 0.956039637142857

 $00:57:45.000 \longrightarrow 00:57:47.440$ Trope 2AD CS or her three AD CS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956039637142857

 $00{:}57{:}47.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}50.080$ Now may be it's because we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.956039637142857

 $00{:}57{:}50.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}54.392$ have the right assets to look at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956039637142857

 $00:57:54.392 \longrightarrow 00:57:56.784$ Maybe it's because other things you

 $00:57:56.784 \longrightarrow 00:57:59.360$ you need the expression of the target,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956039637142857

 $00:57:59.360 \longrightarrow 00:58:00.320$ but you need other things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956039637142857

 $00:58:00.320 \longrightarrow 00:58:02.637$ The antibody has to bind the target.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956039637142857

 $00.58:02.640 \longrightarrow 00.58:03.800$ It has to be internalized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956039637142857

 $00:58:03.800 \longrightarrow 00:58:06.016$ It has to be transported to the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.956039637142857

 $00:58:06.016 \dashrightarrow 00:58:07.600$ cell compartment where then the the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956039637142857

 $00:58:07.600 \longrightarrow 00:58:10.050$ the the conjugate is cleaved and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.956039637142857

 $00{:}58{:}10.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}12.677$ and then can kill the tumor cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956039637142857

 $00{:}58{:}12.680 \to 00{:}58{:}14.969$ So may be maybe there are other things

NOTE Confidence: 0.956039637142857

 $00:58:14.969 \longrightarrow 00:58:17.159$ that are important in that in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.956039637142857

 $00{:}58{:}17.159 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}19.350$ overall efficacy as well not just the

NOTE Confidence: 0.956039637142857

 $00:58:19.350 \longrightarrow 00:58:21.958$ expression of the of the of the target.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63022959

 $00:58:24.400 \longrightarrow 00:58:26.165$ Great. Well possibly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63022959

 $00{:}58{:}26.165 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}58{:}28.445$ Again, thank you so much for really a

NOTE Confidence: 0.63022959

 $00:58:28.445 \longrightarrow 00:58:30.239$ fantastic talk and for coming to visit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63022959

00:58:30.240 --> 00:58:31.698 I will just make one announcement

 $00:58:31.698 \longrightarrow 00:58:33.491$ which is after this in the next

NOTE Confidence: 0.63022959

 $00{:}58{:}33.491 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}35.033$ couple minutes we're going to gather

NOTE Confidence: 0.63022959

 $00:58:35.033 \longrightarrow 00:58:36.508$ outside and and the fellows and

NOTE Confidence: 0.63022959

 $00{:}58{:}36.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}38.300$ other trainees are going to have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.63022959

 $00:58:38.300 \longrightarrow 00:58:40.385$ chance to ask you more questions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.63022959

 $00:58:40.385 \dashrightarrow 00:58:41.960$ really look forward to that as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63022959

 $00:58:41.960 \longrightarrow 00:58:42.560$ Thank you again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76574013

 $00:58:44.320 \longrightarrow 00:58:44.760$ Thank you.