## WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "00:56:51.0800000"

NOTE recognizability:0.896

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:01.215 Good morning, everybody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:00:01.215 \longrightarrow 00:00:03.240$  Thank you for being here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:00:03.240 \longrightarrow 00:00:06.072$  Welcome to Grand Rounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:00:06.072 \longrightarrow 00:00:09.240$  This is the this Grand Rounds is

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:00:09.240 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.693$  in a special location, obviously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

00:00:10.693 --> 00:00:13.304 because we are linked today to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:00:13.304 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.190$  first of what we hope will be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:00:16.190 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.283$  really successful series of annual

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:00:18.283 \longrightarrow 00:00:20.200$  translational science retreats

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:00:20.200 \longrightarrow 00:00:22.810$  meant to highlight the amazing

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00{:}00{:}22.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}25.955$  resources that are present at Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00{:}00{:}25.955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}28.637$  Cancer Centre for people who do

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:00:28.640 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.590$  translational science and also to

 $00:00:32.590 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.720$  highlight some of the amazing stories

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00{:}00{:}34.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}37.236$  that that have come out of this work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:00:37.240 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.831$  And so no one better to to be our

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:00:40.831 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.396$  inaugural speaker than Doctor Katie Politi.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:00:44.400 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.046$  Katie studied biology at the University of

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

00:00:47.046 --> 00:00:50.036 Pavia in Italy and then moved to New York,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:00:50.040 \longrightarrow 00:00:52.640$  obtaining her PhD in genetics

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

00:00:52.640 --> 00:00:54.200 at Columbia University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:00:54.200 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.180$  She then joined Harold Varmus's

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:00:56.180 \longrightarrow 00:00:58.355$  lab at Memorial Sloan Kettering

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:00:58.355 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.292$  and began her life's work on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:01:01.292 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.557$  molecular basis of lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

00:01:03.560 --> 00:01:05.120 She continues this work at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00{:}01{:}05.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}07.458$  now as a professor in the Departments

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:01:07.458 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.340$  of Pathology and Internal Medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:01:09.340 \dashrightarrow 00:01:11.794$  in the section of Medical Oncology.

00:01:11.800 --> 00:01:13.834 Her laboratory is focused on studying

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:01:13.834 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.108$  the biology of lung cancer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:01:16.108 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.248$  uncovering mechanisms of resistance to

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:01:18.248 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.013$  targeted therapies and immunotherapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:01:20.013 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.677$  in in this disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

00:01:21.680 --> 00:01:25.665 She's also got a keen knowledge of

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

00:01:25.665 --> 00:01:26.933 essentially every mutation that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

00:01:26.933 --> 00:01:28.840 ever been described in lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:01:28.840 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.031$  And I know that doctors often call

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:01:31.031 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.919$  her up and say what drug should I use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:01:33.920 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.368$  She Co leads the cancer signaling

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00{:}01{:}36.368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}37.592$  networks research program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00{:}01{:}37.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}39.700$  She's the scientific director of

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

00:01:39.700 --> 00:01:41.800 the Center for Thoracic Cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:01:41.800 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.900$  Co Director of the Yale Sport in

 $00:01:43.900 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.597$  Lung Cancer and recently elected

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

00:01:45.597 --> 00:01:47.799 to the ACR Board of Directors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:01:47.800 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.010$  So we're really appreciative that

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

00:01:50.010 --> 00:01:52.999 you're going to kick us off today

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:01:53.000 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.968$  the the ID number there is to record

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00{:}01{:}56.968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}59.145$  your attendance and then we'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00{:}01{:}59.145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}01.515$  have questions both in the room

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00:02:01.520 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.360$  and online when we're done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919951375

 $00{:}02{:}05.360 --> 00{:}02{:}05.680$  Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956115768

00:02:10.200 --> 00:02:11.880 Thank you very much, Barbara,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956115768

 $00{:}02{:}11.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}14.600$  for that wonderful introduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.956115768

 $00:02:14.600 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.615$  and thank you very much for

NOTE Confidence: 0.956115768

00:02:16.615 --> 00:02:18.360 having me as a speaker today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956115768

00:02:18.360 --> 00:02:20.640 It really always is, I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956115768

 $00:02:20.640 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.650$  very special to speak at one's own

NOTE Confidence: 0.956115768

 $00:02:23.650 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.574$  institution and then especially

 $00:02:25.574 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.064$  also associated with this first

NOTE Confidence: 0.956115768

 $00{:}02{:}28.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}29.680$  translational science retreat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956115768

 $00:02:29.680 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.960$  So I'm really excited about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956115768

00:02:31.960 --> 00:02:34.200 And today what I'm going to do is

NOTE Confidence: 0.956115768

 $00{:}02{:}34.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}36.660$  I'm going to tell you about some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.956115768

 $00:02:36.660 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.212$  the work that we've been doing over

NOTE Confidence: 0.956115768

 $00:02:39.212 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.914$  the past few years in the laboratory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9136039925

 $00:02:45.800 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.440$  These are my disclosures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:02:49.840 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.143$  So we have a long standing interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00{:}02{:}52.143 \to 00{:}02{:}54.919$  in the lab on studying lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:02:54.920 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.720$  And as all of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00{:}02{:}56.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}58.480$  there are several histological

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

00:02:58.480 --> 00:03:00.240 subtypes of lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:03:00.240 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.680$  But one of the things that we've learned

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:03:02.680 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.037$  over the past 20 or so years is that

 $00:03:05.040 \longrightarrow 00:03:07.968$  lung cancer is not one entity and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00{:}03{:}07.968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}10.850$  there are in addition to different

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:03:10.850 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.435$  histological subsets of the disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:03:13.440 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.841$  there are also are a variety of laser

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:03:17.841 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.967$  pointer of molecular subsets and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00{:}03{:}20.967 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}23.920$  particular in lung adenocarcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

00:03:23.920 --> 00:03:26.416 Through various sequencing efforts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:03:26.416 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.536$  different mutations in genes that

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00{:}03{:}29.536 \longrightarrow 00{:}03{:}32.170$  encode either receptor tyrosine

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:03:32.170 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.850$  kinases or downstream signaling

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00{:}03{:}34.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}37.116$  components of receptor tyrosine

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:03:37.116 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.244$  kinase signaling pathways that

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:03:39.244 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.771$  regulate cell proliferation and cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:03:41.771 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.702$  survival have been identified as

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:03:43.702 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.478$  you can see here in this pie chart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:03:45.480 \longrightarrow 00:03:48.061$  And I think one of the things to

00:03:48.061 --> 00:03:49.766 really highlight is what we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00{:}03{:}49.766 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}51.897$  learned over the years is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:03:51.897 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.792$  these mutations are in addition to

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:03:54.792 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.826$  being molecular to establishing

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:03:56.826 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.756$  molecular subsets of the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:03:58.760 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.555$  They really also are clinically

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:04:01.555 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.791$  relevant because different targeted

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

00:04:03.791 --> 00:04:06.279 agents have been developed that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:04:06.279 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.084$  you be used to block the activity

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:04:09.084 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.958$  of these mutated oncogenic drivers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

00:04:10.958 --> 00:04:12.911 And in particular and in the work

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:04:12.911 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.520$  that I'll tell you about today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:04:14.520 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.480$  for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

00:04:15.480 --> 00:04:18.360 mutations were found 20 years ago

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:04:18.360 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.788$  now in Exxon's encoding the kinase

 $00:04:20.788 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.990$  domain of the epidermal growth factor

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00{:}04{:}23.049 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}28.399$  receptor after in about 15 to 4050%

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

00:04:28.399 --> 00:04:31.394 of lung and no arcinomas depending

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:04:31.394 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.640$  on which population you look at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:04:34.640 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.960$  And these are mutations that

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

00:04:38.960 --> 00:04:41.470 confer sensitivity to EGFR tyrosine

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

00:04:41.470 --> 00:04:42.474 kinase inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:04:42.480 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.080$  But there are many other

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00{:}04{:}44.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}45.360$  targeted the rapies as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

00:04:45.360 --> 00:04:48.948 So you can have rearrangements in

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:04:48.948 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.720$  the anaplastic lymphoma kinase and

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:04:51.720 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.645$  targeted therapies that are effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:04:53.645 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.047$  in that and so on for a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:04:57.047 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.520$  different oncogenic drivers and lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:04:59.520 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.160$  And so this has really transformed the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:05:02.160 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.870$  And so if we look at this diagram here of

00:05:06.870 --> 00:05:10.445 approved FDA approvals for lung cancer in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:05:10.445 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.420$  in recent years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:05:11.420 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.816$  what you'll see is it really has

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

00:05:13.816 --> 00:05:16.036 been an explosion in FDA approvals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:05:16.040 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.036$  especially from the early 2000s in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:05:19.036 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.025$  2000 and 10s and approvals now also

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:05:22.025 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.640$  in the first part of the twenty 20s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:05:24.640 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.734$  Most of these agents that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00{:}05{:}26.734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}28.556$  approved recently have been targeted

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:05:28.556 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.083$  agents and that really is linked to

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:05:31.083 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.953$  the discoveries of these molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:05:32.953 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.397$  subsets of the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00{:}05{:}34.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}37.244$  But also do I think one of the things

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:05:37.244 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.270$  that has been emerging also in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:05:39.270 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.127$  past 10 to 15 years really are the

 $00:05:42.127 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.771$  approvals of immunotherapies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00{:}05{:}43.771 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}46.693$  we hear a lot about agents that

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:05:46.693 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.313$  are targeting immune checkpoints

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:05:48.313 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.028$  like the anti PD1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:05:50.028 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.080$  anti PDL ONE Access and CTLA 4.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:05:53.080 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.114$  And so this has really been

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:05:55.114 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.000$  transformative in a lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

00:05:57.000 --> 00:05:59.736 And I'd like just like to point out

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00{:}05{:}59.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}02.600$  how in recent analysis what we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:06:02.600 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.120$  seeing is that there's actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00{:}06{:}05.205 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}07.666$  a decrease in mortality from lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:06:07.666 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.514$  cancer in recent years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:06:09.520 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.272$  And in the study published in the New

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

00:06:11.272 --> 00:06:12.917 England Journal of Medicine a few years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

 $00:06:12.920 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.195$  it was really shown that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.924020505

00:06:15.195 --> 00:06:17.370 decrease in mortality from lung

 $00:06:17.370 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.110$  cancer can't be accounted

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:06:19.187 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.329$  for just because of a decrease

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:06:21.329 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.400$  in incidence of the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:06:23.400 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.730$  But is likely reflects actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:06:25.730 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.641$  advances in the care and in the new

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

00:06:29.641 --> 00:06:31.637 therapeutics that have emerged,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:06:31.640 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.355$  including in particular in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:06:33.355 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.070$  years that were studied in

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}06{:}35.133 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}36.998$  this paper for targeted agents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:06:37.000 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.924$  And so I think this is a really nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:06:40.924 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.430$  example of how what we've learned over

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

00:06:44.430 --> 00:06:47.484 the years from from the biology and

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}06{:}47.484 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}49.830$  from the genetic studies of tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}06{:}49.904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}52.334$  really is having a profound impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:06:52.334 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.600$  for patients with this disease.

00:06:54.600 --> 00:06:57.264 And of course I would be remiss if I

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:06:57.264 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.693$  didn't point out how immunotherapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

00:06:59.693 --> 00:07:01.757 have also been transformative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:07:01.760 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.566$  And I think the continued decrease

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:07:03.566 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.387$  in mortality that we are continuing

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}07{:}05.387 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}07.619$  to see is actually going to show how

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:07:07.678 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.814$  it isn't only the targeted therapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}07{:}09.814 \longrightarrow 00{:}07{:}12.230$  but also the immunotherapies that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:07:12.230 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.705$  really contributing to this decrease

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:07:14.705 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.240$  in and mortality from lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}07{:}17.240 \longrightarrow 00{:}07{:}19.560$  So if you know you look at this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:07:19.560 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.068$  there's really these advances

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

00:07:21.068 --> 00:07:22.199 have been tremendous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:07:22.200 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.882$  But what we do know is that both

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:07:24.882 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.970$  primary and acquired resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}07{:}26.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}29.580$  to targeted the rapies and to

 $00{:}07{:}29.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}31.840$  immunotherapies are common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:07:31.840 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.004$  And here you can see an example of

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}07{:}35.004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}38.112$  scans from a patient with a tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

00:07:38.112 --> 00:07:40.798 with AK Ras G12C mutation treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

00:07:40.798 --> 00:07:44.440 with AK Ras G12C inhibitor and

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:07:44.440 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.600$  you can see the tumor regresses

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:07:46.600 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.917$  but then comes back and you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}07{:}48.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}50.700$  this is acquired resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:07:50.700 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.309$  And here if we look at this plot

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

00:07:54.309 --> 00:07:56.520 taken from a review looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:07:56.520 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.600$  at studies of immunotherapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}07{:}58.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}01.378$  you can see that across various

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}08{:}01.378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}03.230$  different indications but including

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}08{:}03.301 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}05.776$  in lung cancer here that in clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:08:05.776 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.000$  studies of immunotherapies,

 $00:08:07.000 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.424$  the response rates or to immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}08{:}09.424 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}11.839$  checkpoint inhibitors are not super high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

00:08:11.840 --> 00:08:14.010 We're not talking 7080% the way we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:08:14.010 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.080$  talking with some targeted therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

00:08:16.080 --> 00:08:17.166 Not only that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

00:08:17.166 --> 00:08:19.338 but also we see acquired resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:08:19.338 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.320$  commonly emerging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}08{:}20.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}22.488$  So there's a lot of work that needs

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:08:22.488 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.192$  to be done to really understand and

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:08:25.192 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.352$  optimize treatments for both targeted

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}08{:}27.352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}29.566$  agents and immunotherapies and to

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:08:29.566 \longrightarrow 00:08:31.270$  understand mechanisms of sensitivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}08{:}31.270 \longrightarrow 00{:}08{:}33.400$  and resistance to these agents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:08:33.400 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.304$  And So what do we do in my lab?

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:08:37.304 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.560$  And as part of the research program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:08:40.560 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.004$  we are really interested in understanding

 $00:08:45.004 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.576$  mechanistically biological processes

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:08:46.576 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.196$  that are involved in cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}08{:}49.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}52.680$  We like to integrate these with

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

00:08:52.680 --> 00:08:54.808 studying and addressing clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:08:54.808 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.936$  challenges and investigating specimens

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}08{:}56.936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}59.798$  and data from patients with cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:08:59.800 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.832$  And really the hope is that the work

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:09:01.832 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.960$  that we do collectively as a group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:09:03.960 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.302$  this is work that we do with many

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}09{:}07.302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}09.257$  different people is to discover

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

00:09:09.257 --> 00:09:11.300 things that will discover findings

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}09{:}11.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}14.086$  that will lead to clinical trials and

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}09{:}14.086 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}16.920$  new the rapeutic approaches to patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}09{:}16.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}20.190$  Central to our research program is

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:09:20.190 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.488$  the use of biological specimens from

 $00:09:23.488 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.800$  patients and analysis of these specimens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00{:}09{:}26.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}28.632$  And I think this slide is also going

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:09:28.632 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.825$  to be showed later in the day as an

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:09:30.825 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.560$  example of one of the resources that

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:09:32.560 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.250$  we have as part of the lung cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:09:35.250 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.560$  program to really be able to collect

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:09:39.560 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.360$  and use specimens from patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:09:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.232$  And this is just one of the examples

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

00:09:44.232 --> 00:09:46.154 of one of the resources I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.929720887619048

 $00:09:46.154 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.544$  you'll hear about a couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

00:09:47.605 --> 00:09:49.075 of others later on as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

00:09:49.080 --> 00:09:51.194 But really an effort that started many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

00:09:51.200 --> 00:09:54.692 many years ago working initially

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

00:09:54.692 --> 00:09:57.834 with Scott Genger and Anna

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

00:09:57.834 --> 00:10:00.198 Wertz and Roy Herbst and many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:10:00.200 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.160$  many people in this room now with

 $00:10:03.160 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.215$  Sarah and many of all of the thoracic

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:10:06.215 \dashrightarrow 00:10:09.120$  on cologists on the team and pathologists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:10:09.120 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.593$  Kurt for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:10:10.593 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.048$  really working on collecting specimens

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:10:13.048 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.551$  from patients who have advanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

00:10:15.551 --> 00:10:17.475 lung cancer through treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

00:10:17.480 --> 00:10:19.076 especially at the time of resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:10:19.080 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.907$  So that then we can take these

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:10:20.907 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.000$  specimens and analyze them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:10:22.000 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.100$  generate patient derived models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

00:10:24.100 --> 00:10:27.250 And really these have contributed extensively

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:10:27.326 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.356$  to the work that I will tell you about today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00{:}10{:}30.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}33.524$  And so I put a little cryovile here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:10:33.524 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.100$  And So what I'm going to do through the talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:10:37.100 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.040$  is when you see a cryovial on the slide,

 $00:10:40.040 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.995$  it actually is an example of data

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00{:}10{:}44.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}46.002$  that we've been able to analyse and

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:10:46.002 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.117$  use because of the specimens that

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:10:48.117 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.117$  were collected through this approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:10:50.120 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.396$  So you'll see that throughout the talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:10:53.400 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.504$  So what what am I going to tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:10:55.504 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.480$  you about today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:10:56.480 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.680$  So I think as most of you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:10:59.680 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.882$  we have a long standing interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

00:11:01.882 --> 00:11:04.188 in studying the biology of EGF

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00{:}11{:}04.188 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}11{:}05.720$  receptor driven lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:11:05.720 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.388$  And so when patients and really the

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00{:}11{:}09.388 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}12.538$  focus that we've had at least in

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:11:12.538 \longrightarrow 00:11:14.344$  the in the past or until recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:11:14.344 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.409$  has really been and because of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00{:}11{:}16.409 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}18.250$  sort of the clinical landscape has

 $00:11:18.250 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.960$  really been on advanced metastatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

00:11:19.960 --> 00:11:22.560 EGF receptor driven lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:11:22.560 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.032$  And so when patients are diagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

00:11:26.032 --> 00:11:28.600 with EGF receptor driven lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:11:28.600 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.602$  now they're mostly treated with tyrosine

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:11:32.602 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.612$  kinase inhibitors most recently and

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:11:34.612 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.296$  in the United States especially the

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00{:}11{:}37.296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}39.316$  tyrosine kinase inhibitor awe some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:11:39.320 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.936$  Merton if this is one of the newer

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:11:41.936 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.128$  generation of agents that has more

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

00:11:44.128 --> 00:11:46.368 activity on mutant EGFR compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:11:46.368 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.712$  to wild type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:11:47.720 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.745$  So hopefully decreasing its toxicity

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:11:49.745 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.850$  and has been shown to have superior

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00{:}11{:}52.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}55.365$  progression free survival and overall

 $00:11:55.365 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.925$  survival compared to standard of

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:11:57.925 \longrightarrow 00:11:59.881$  care earlier generation tyrosine

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:11:59.881 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.189$  kinase inhibitors in this disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:12:02.189 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.632$  And so this was an A really

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

00:12:04.632 --> 00:12:06.239 important advance in the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077 00:12:06.240 --> 00:12:06.578 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:12:06.578 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.944$  what we do know is that still

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

00:12:08.944 --> 00:12:12.013 resistance or acquired resistance two

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:12:12.013 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.385$  asamertinib occurs almost inevitably

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

00:12:16.385 --> 00:12:20.245 and it actually isn't very commonly

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00{:}12{:}20.245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}24.000$  associated with on target EGFR mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:12:24.000 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.720$  And this is different from some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:12:26.720 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.688$  earlier generations of tyrosine kinase

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:12:28.688 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.540$  inhibitors that instead where we saw

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

00:12:31.540 --> 00:12:34.240 commonly one most frequently observed

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:12:34.240 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.080$  on target EGF receptor mutation,

 $00:12:36.080 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.724$  the T79 TM mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:12:37.724 \longrightarrow 00:12:40.850$  But you see additional mechanisms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:12:40.850 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.000$  resistance met amplification for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

00:12:44.000 --> 00:12:45.640 so a bypass signaling pathway

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:12:45.640 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.800$  being one of the more common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:12:47.800 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.502$  Then we see a histologic changes in

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:12:50.502 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.854$  the tumors that occur quite frequently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00{:}12{:}52.854 \longrightarrow 00{:}12{:}55.056$  but then most of the mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00{:}12{:}55.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}57.284$  of resistance are really not known

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:12:57.284 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.358$  and poorly understood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:12:58.360 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.119$  And so one of the things that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

00:13:01.119 --> 00:13:04.233 been interested from when as we

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00{:}13{:}04.233 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}07.680$  think about these problems is really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:13:07.680 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.064$  really understanding these tough

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:13:10.064 \longrightarrow 00:13:12.448$  challenges like really understanding

00:13:12.448 --> 00:13:15.325 this part of the pie chart, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:13:15.325 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.275$  What are these mechanisms of resistance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:13:17.280 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.896$  What is happening in these tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.969507246923077

 $00:13:19.896 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.640$  where we don't really

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:13:21.719 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.287$  have a key genetic alteration that

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:13:24.287 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.932$  has changed that or clear process

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:13:26.932 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.194$  that is happening that we can target.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:13:30.200 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.524$  And so just a couple of thoughts

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:13:32.524 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.998$  that sort of guide our thinking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

00:13:35.000 --> 00:13:37.520 Targeted agents are probably not sufficient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:13:37.520 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.970$  We need to discover new untapped

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:13:40.970 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.807$  vulnerabilities of oncogene driven lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:13:43.807 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.669$  cancers and then the tackling resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:13:46.669 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.190$  requires new knowledge of the links between

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:13:50.268 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.273$  cancer cell plasticity and the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:13:53.273 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.077$  microenvironment and tumor heterogeneity.

 $00:13:55.080 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.750$  And so these are some of the and so I

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00{:}13{:}56.797 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}58.579$  think of these that like the the not the

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

00:13:58.579 --> 00:14:00.127 low hanging fruit but the fruit really

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

00:14:00.127 --> 00:14:03.840 at the top of the tree that we're trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:14:03.840 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.228$  to really grasp and understand when we.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

00:14:07.228 --> 00:14:09.864 And and really if we look at EGF receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

00:14:09.864 --> 00:14:12.840 driven lung cancer and we think about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:14:12.840 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.240$  one of the things that we know is

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00{:}14{:}15.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}17.208$  that with with the targeted agents

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

00:14:17.208 --> 00:14:19.616 that I've told you about today is

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:14:19.616 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.680$  we do see this acquired resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:14:21.680 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.780$  But not only that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00{:}14{:}22.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}25.284$  We also know that when we use the

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:14:25.284 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.254$  targeted agents they don't completely

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:14:27.254 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.645$  eradicate all the tumor cells and

00:14:29.645 --> 00:14:31.931 there's variability in the depth and

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:14:31.931 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.560$  duration of responses in patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:14:33.560 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.192$  And you can see this really in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00{:}14{:}36.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}37.952$  waterfall plot where there's some

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

00:14:37.952 --> 00:14:39.477 tumors that shrink dramatically

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:14:39.477 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.479$  and others that shrink less.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:14:41.479 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.992$  And so we've been interested in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:14:43.992 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.843$  question of what accounts for this

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:14:46.843 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.418$  heterogeneity and disease progression and

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:14:49.418 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.037$  sensitivity to tyrosine kinase inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00{:}14{:}52.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}53.818$  And so the first thing that I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

00:14:53.818 --> 00:14:56.007 going to go through is some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

00:14:56.007 --> 00:14:58.248 work that we've done to study how

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:14:58.248 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.288$  different EGF receptor mutations can

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:15:00.288 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.226$  actually have distinct properties.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

00:15:02.226 --> 00:15:05.756 And so first of all,

00:15:05.760 --> 00:15:07.587 I've sort of told you about EGF

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00{:}15{:}07.587 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}15{:}09.199$  receptor mutations and one could think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:15:09.200 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.475$  oh, we can lump them all together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

00:15:11.480 --> 00:15:12.515 But in reality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00{:}15{:}12.515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}15.965$  what we do know and what is becoming I

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:15:15.965 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.809$  think increasingly clear in recent years

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

00:15:18.809 --> 00:15:21.595 is that you have their different EGF

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

00:15:21.595 --> 00:15:23.800 receptor mutations and not only that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:15:23.800 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.640$  the different EGF receptor mutations have

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:15:27.640 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.756$  different properties both biological,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:15:29.756 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.216$  biochemical and also in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:15:32.216 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.200$  of TKI sensitivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00{:}15{:}34.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}35.880$  And so when we look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00{:}15{:}35.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}36.720$  EGF receptor mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:15:36.720 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.639$  there are two major categories of mutations.

00:15:39.640 --> 00:15:43.720 There's the L858R point mutation and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:15:43.720 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.280$  there's a set of small in frame deletion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:15:46.280 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.640$  some of them more complex and Exxon 19.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:15:49.640 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.022$  The most common of these is

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:15:52.022 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.498$  this E 746 to a 750 mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:15:54.498 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.584$  But then there are these other in

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

00:15:56.584 --> 00:15:58.576 Dells that are found at, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:15:58.576 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.116$  variable frequencies in these tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:16:00.120 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.704$  but they exist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:16:01.704 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.896$  And So what does it mean?

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:16:03.896 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.316$  Are all these mutations alike?

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{NOTE Confidence: } 0.839592348 \\ & 00:16:05.320 --> 00:16:05.621 \text{ Well,} \end{aligned}$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:16:05.621 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.330$  one of the things that we know is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00{:}16{:}08.406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}10.698$  even if you just broadly categorize

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

00:16:10.698 --> 00:16:13.985 the L858R mutations and the e.g FRXN 19

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00{:}16{:}13.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}16.911$  deletion mutations and you look at the

 $00{:}16{:}16.911 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}18.916$  survival curves on ossumertinib from

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

00:16:18.916 --> 00:16:21.992 the trial of frontline osumertinib,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:16:21.992 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.095$  you see that even just the

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

00:16:25.095 --> 00:16:26.555 Exxon 19 deletion mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:16:26.560 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.480$  the overall survival is about

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:16:28.480 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.400$  40 months in that study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:16:30.400 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.840$  But for the L858 Rs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:16:31.840 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.356$  it's about 33 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.839592348

 $00:16:33.356 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.630$  And this is consistent over across

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

00:16:35.703 --> 00:16:37.182 different tyrosine kinase

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:16:37.182 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.154$  inhibitors that are used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}16{:}39.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}42.758$  So the L858R subset does worse with

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}16{:}42.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}45.637$  TKIS compared to the Exxon 19 subset.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:16:45.640 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.720$  We also found several years ago in

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:16:49.720 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.850$  work that we did together with Sarah

 $00:16:52.850 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.964$  Goldberg and Mark Lemon is that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}16{:}55.964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}58.772$  there's a small in frame deletion

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:16:58.772 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.400$  in a Proline insertion mutation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:17:02.400 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.815$  one of the Exxon 19 deletions that

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:17:04.815 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.272$  actually if you look at that mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}17{:}07.272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}09.448$  and you look in upon treatment with

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:17:09.448 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.480$  irlatinib this was a few years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:17:11.480 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.646$  So one of the early generation

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

00:17:13.646 --> 00:17:15.566 tyrosine kinase inhibitors that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:17:15.566 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.198$  progression free survival duration

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}17{:}17.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}19.575$  of a treatment overall survival were

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:17:19.575 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.160$  all worse for the for erlontinib in

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:17:22.160 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.777$  that subset compared to the more

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

00:17:24.777 --> 00:17:27.277 common Exxon 19 deletion mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

00:17:27.280 --> 00:17:29.950 And this along with some laboratory

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:17:29.950 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.495$  studies really piqued our interest in

 $00:17:32.495 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.239$  studying these differences a little bit more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}17{:}35.240 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}17{:}38.159$  And here you see the cryovile appear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:17:38.160 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.776$  This is also work that was Zenta Walther

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:17:41.776 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.640$  was really central to helping us

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:17:44.640 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.880$  identify these patients for this study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:17:47.880 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.672$  And so working with lots of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

00:17:51.672 --> 00:17:54.454 groups here we were able to show that

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:17:54.454 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.698$  this proline insertion for example what

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}17{:}56.698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}59.671$  you see in Western blots is when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:17:59.671 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.732$  treat with tyrosine kinase inhibitors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:18:01.732 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.792$  it's less sensitive to various

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:18:04.792 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.240$  tyrosine kinase inhibitors compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:18:07.323 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.124$  to the canonical e.g.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

00:18:09.124 --> 00:18:11.316 FRXN 19 deletion mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:18:11.320 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.244$  Not only that,

00:18:12.244 --> 00:18:14.400 when you actually go and look biochemically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:18:14.400 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.235$  and this is work that was spearheaded by a

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:18:17.235 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.998$  former student that Mark Lemon and I shared.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

00:18:20.000 --> 00:18:21.656 Eris von Alderweil,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:18:21.656 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.347$  von Rosenberg showing that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}18{:}24.347 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}27.521$  proline insertion mutation has AKM for

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

00:18:27.521 --> 00:18:30.956 ATP that is more more closer to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:18:30.956 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.728$  wild type in contrast to some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:18:33.728 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.952$  other variants that instead are more

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:18:35.952 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.077$  sensitive to tyrosine kinase inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:18:38.080 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.768$  So really is that affinity of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

00:18:40.768 --> 00:18:42.940 kinase for ATP that is probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:18:42.940 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.840$  rendering it more resistant to

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:18:44.840 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.360$  these tyrosine kinase inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

00:18:46.360 --> 00:18:49.078 So really from the clinical observations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:18:49.080 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.620$  from some of the laboratory

00:18:50.620 --> 00:18:52.160 studies going to the biochemistry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:18:52.160 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.834$  we're really able to figure out what

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:18:54.834 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.960$  was happening with this variant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:18:56.960 \longrightarrow 00:18:59.936$  And this led to work that we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:18:59.936 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.649$  together with Mike Grant and Sarah

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:19:02.649 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.880$  Goldberg really putting together a multi

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:19:05.880 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.040$  institutional cohort of patients with e.g.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

00:19:09.040 --> 00:19:10.930 Fr XL19 deletion mutations treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:19:10.930 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.190$  with a sumertinib because we wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}19{:}13.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}15.032$  look at the tyrosine kinase inhibitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:19:15.032 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.679$  that was really clinically relevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:19:16.679 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.017$  for patients right now and that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}19{:}19.017 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}21.130$  being used to see what outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

00:19:21.130 --> 00:19:23.564 were for patients with this Proline

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:19:23.564 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.920$  insertion mutation with asumertinib.

 $00:19:25.920 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.970$  It's pretty rare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}19{:}26.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}30.344$  So you have to really work together and put

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:19:30.344 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.918$  together a cohort from various institutions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:19:32.920 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.612$  And so Mike and Sarah assembled

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

00:19:37.612 --> 00:19:40.214 this cohort including data from

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

00:19:40.214 --> 00:19:42.722 our Yale cohort and actually showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:19:42.722 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.619$  that in patients whose tumors have

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

00:19:45.619 --> 00:19:47.543 this proline insertion mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00{:}19{:}47.543 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}49.279$  treated with ossomatinib,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:19:49.280 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.640$  you have worse progression free survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:19:52.640 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.200$  Then if you look at the common e.g.

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

00:19:55.200 --> 00:19:57.100 Fr XM19 deletion mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:19:57.100 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.475$  the overall survival isn't quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

00:19:59.475 --> 00:20:00.800 statistically significant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:20:00.800 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.226$  but you can see that there is a trend

NOTE Confidence: 0.867878751764706

 $00:20:04.226 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.360$  in in in in worse outcomes there as well.

 $00:20:07.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.076$  And So what does this mean?

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:20:09.080 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.360$  What does this make us think?

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:20:11.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.570$  I think the message here is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:20:14.570 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.480$  not all mutations are the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

00:20:17.480 --> 00:20:19.604 And now we have the tools and the drugs

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:20:19.604 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.036$  to better match mutations with therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:20:22.040 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.840$  We aren't the only ones who

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:20:23.840 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.040$  are thinking about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:20:25.040 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.040$  There's some other work from

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00{:}20{:}28.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}29.656$  Jacqueline Robichaud and John

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

00:20:29.656 --> 00:20:31.676 Haymack's group at MD Anderson,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

00:20:31.680 --> 00:20:35.118 work from Christine Lovely at Vanderbilt,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00{:}20{:}35.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}36.998$  all really pointing in this direction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:20:37.000 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.317$  We need to know about the biology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:20:39.320 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.160$  the biochemistry of the mutations,

 $00:20:41.160 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.505$  and that can help us think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00{:}20{:}43.505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}45.870$  perhaps how to better optimize these

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:20:45.870 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.438$  therapies now that we have them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

00:20:48.440 --> 00:20:49.607 Another point, yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:20:49.607 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.163$  the structural and biochemical

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

00:20:51.163 --> 00:20:53.086 understanding of the effects of

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:20:53.086 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.841$  the mutation can guide predictions

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

00:20:54.841 --> 00:20:56.760 for TKI sensitivity and resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

00:20:56.760 --> 00:20:57.624 And of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:20:57.624 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.352$  the other question that comes along

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:20:59.352 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.172$  is how do we translate to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:21:01.172 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.078$  clinic this to the clinic now what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:21:03.080 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.159$  What are the next steps that we can take?

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

00:21:05.160 --> 00:21:09.633 So we can test trials of like optimal TKI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:21:09.640 \longrightarrow 00:21:11.957$  So now we have all these reagents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:21:11.960 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.878$  we can test other agents and other

00:21:13.878 --> 00:21:15.393 drugs on these different variants

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00{:}21{:}15.393 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}17.905$  and see if there's some that are more

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:21:17.963 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.318$  effective for specific mutational subsets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:21:20.320 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.796$  But then the other question is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:21:21.800 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.464$  are there other agents that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:21:24.464 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.896$  should be thinking about for certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:21:26.896 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.864$  subsets of the disease in combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:21:28.864 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.080$  with also Mertinib?

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:21:30.080 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.816$  And I think this will be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:21:31.816 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.259$  recurring theme throughout the talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

00:21:33.259 --> 00:21:34.946 So for example, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:21:34.946 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.184$  should we be thinking about specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00{:}21{:}37.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}39.172$  antibody drug conjugates or other

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:21:39.172 \longrightarrow 00:21:41.524$  approaches to target tumors with that

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:21:41.524 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.718$  don't do as well with monotherapy?

 $00:21:43.720 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.580$  Awesome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

00:21:44.580 --> 00:21:47.565 Or so after you know thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:21:47.565 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.840$  about the different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

00:21:48.840 --> 00:21:51.878 So we talked about how different EGF

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00{:}21{:}51.878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}53.550$  receptor mutations themselves can

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

00:21:53.550 --> 00:21:55.772 have an impact and have distinct properties,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

00:21:55.772 --> 00:21:57.437 but what about Co mutations?

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:21:57.440 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.306$  How can Co mutations influence tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:22:01.306 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.636$  progression but also TKI sensitivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:22:04.640 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.956$  And so many years ago now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00{:}22{:}06.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}09.252$  I probably started working on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:22:09.252 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.697$  actually almost exactly 20 years ago

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00{:}22{:}11.697 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}14.235$  when EGF receptor mutations were discovered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:22:14.240 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.060$  I think it was May 2004 that I started

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:22:18.060 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.120$  generating these mouse models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00{:}22{:}20.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}23.824$  We generated genetically engineered

 $00:22:23.824 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.008$  mouse models of EGF receptor driven

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:22:27.008 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.520$  lung cancer in which we could express

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00{:}22{:}29.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}31.650$  the EGF receptor mutants inducibly

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:22:31.650 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.354$  in the lung epithelium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:22:33.360 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.320$  And this was really these were really

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:22:35.320 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.419$  to be able to study the biology

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:22:37.419 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.319$  of the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:22:38.320 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.642$  And we've used these mice extensively

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:22:40.642 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.759$  over the years to study signaling by

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00{:}22{:}43.759 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}46.254$  mutant EGF receptor discover resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

00:22:46.254 --> 00:22:49.160 mutations to tarsine kinase inhibitors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:22:49.160 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.176$  identify the rapeutic strategies to

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00{:}22{:}51.176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}54.200$  overcome or prevent and or prevent

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00{:}22{:}54.200 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}22{:}55.975$  drug resistance and study the

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:22:55.975 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.645$  effects of targeted the rapies on

00:22:57.645 --> 00:22:58.380 the immune microenvironment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00{:}22{:}58.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}00.200$  And here you can see MRI images.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:23:00.200 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.637$  We use MRI imaging for our mice to

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

00:23:03.637 --> 00:23:05.410 look at the lungs and see or you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

00:23:05.461 --> 00:23:07.085 see lungs full of tumors you treat

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

00:23:07.085 --> 00:23:09.198 them with a tyrosine kinase inhibitors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:23:09.200 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.158$  the tumors shrink and go away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:23:12.160 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.029$  Over time the tumors come back and

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:23:14.029 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.199$  we can study those resistant tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00:23:16.200 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.560$  So a few years ago we decided to

NOTE Confidence: 0.965352661666667

 $00{:}23{:}19.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}24.960$  upgrade our our mouse model and

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:23:24.960 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.815$  use a slightly different system

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

00:23:26.815 --> 00:23:29.408 that would allow us then also to

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:23:29.408 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.400$  be able to modulate other genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:23:31.400 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.262$  Because we know that EGF receptor mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:23:33.262 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.199$  and lung cancer don't occur in a vacuum.

 $00:23:35.200 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.624$  There are other mutations in the tumors there

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:23:37.624 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.155$  and we wanted to be able to model that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:23:40.160 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.108$  So we decided to take this still

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

00:23:44.108 --> 00:23:46.280 this tetracycline inducible EGFR

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:23:46.280 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.838$  allele across it to another mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

00:23:49.840 --> 00:23:53.646 That in which using Cree recombinase

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

00:23:53.646 --> 00:23:56.230 you can then turn on expression of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00{:}23{:}56.296 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}> 00{:}23{:}57.565$ reverse tetracycline transactivator

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:23:57.565 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.103$  which can bind the tetromotor in

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00{:}24{:}00.103 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}02.356$  the presence of doxycycline and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9328226794444444

00:24:02.356 --> 00:24:04.053 induce expression of EGF receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

00:24:04.053 --> 00:24:06.097 And we also crossed it to AP

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00{:}24{:}06.097 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}07.348$ 53 phloxed allele.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

00:24:07.348 --> 00:24:09.850 But using this mouse what happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

00:24:09.930 --> 00:24:12.220 is we can deliver Cree recombinase,

 $00:24:12.220 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.940$  we deliver it with a Lantivirus

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

00:24:15.940 --> 00:24:18.000 into the lungs of mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

00:24:18.000 --> 00:24:19.800 turn on mutated EGF receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:24:19.800 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.480$  Simultaneously we can delete P53.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

00:24:23.480 --> 00:24:24.392 And here's some images,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:24:24.392 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.760$  these are the lungs of mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:24:25.760 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.760$  You can see the by MRI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

00:24:28.760 --> 00:24:32.026 you can see here by Histology and a a

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:24:32.026 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.233$  bigger magnification of the Histology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:24:35.233 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.197$  So we said OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:24:37.200 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.800$  so we have this mouse model with now

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

00:24:39.800 --> 00:24:42.448 EGFR and mutants and P53 deficient tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

00:24:42.448 --> 00:24:45.080 The P53 deficient tumors are higher grade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9328226794444444

 $00:24:45.080 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.604$  they're nastier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:24:45.604 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.914$  I see Rob Homer here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:24:46.920 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.356$  He has helped us extensively over the

 $00:24:49.356 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.559$  years characterize and study these tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:24:51.560 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.681$  And so one of the questions that

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:24:53.681 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.392$  we had is well in addition to P53,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:24:56.392 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.504$  what role do other mutations in

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:24:58.504 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.776$  EGF receptor play in EGF receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:25:00.776 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.919$  driven lung cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:25:01.920 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.200$  How do they affect tumor progression?

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00{:}25{:}04.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}05.946$  How do they affect TKI resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:25:05.946 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.382$  and how do they affect the molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:25:08.382 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.800$  properties and phenotypes of the tumors?

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:25:10.800 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.582$  And So what we did is we worked with

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

00:25:12.582 --> 00:25:14.557 a colleague at Stanford University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

00:25:14.560 --> 00:25:15.448 Monty Winslow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:25:15.448 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.556$  who had developed an approach in and

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:25:18.556 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.471$  used it in K Ras driven tumors to

00:25:21.471 --> 00:25:24.717 really be able to inactivate using CRISPR,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

00:25:24.720 --> 00:25:26.487 CAS 9 technology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:25:26.487 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.843$  different tumor suppressor genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:25:28.843 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.840$  simultaneously in the lungs of mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:25:31.840 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.072$  So not all of them in the same cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:25:34.080 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.824$  but you can deliver this kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:25:36.824 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.032$  pool of lentiviruses and in different

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:25:39.032 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.752$  cells you can then inactivate

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00{:}25{:}40.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}42.440$  different tumor suppressor genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:25:42.440 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.932$  And then you can use a computational

NOTE Confidence: 0.9328226794444444

 $00:25:44.932 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.013$  approach that he developed called

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

00:25:47.013 --> 00:25:48.893 tumor barcode sequencing which

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00{:}25{:}48.893 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}51.420$  based on various controls that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9328226794444444

 $00:25:51.420 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.262$  spiked in and based on barcode IDs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

00:25:54.262 --> 00:25:56.848 You can actually look and quantify

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:25:56.848 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.569$  the effect of inactivating that tumor

00:25:59.569 --> 00:26:02.245 suppressor gene on the number and

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:26:02.319 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.960$  size of tumors in in, in a screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

00:26:04.960 --> 00:26:06.448 It's essentially a way of doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:26:06.448 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.440$  an in vivo screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:26:07.440 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.460$  And so we applied,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:26:09.460 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.590$  we took this pool of lentiviruses

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:26:12.590 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.365$  targeting different tumor suppressor genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:26:15.365 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.719$  that were frequently altered in lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:26:18.720 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.574$  not necessarily in EGF receptor driven

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:26:20.574 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.499$  lung cancer but in lung cancer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:26:22.499 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.556$  he had used it in the K Ras model

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:26:24.556 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.160$  previously and so we applied it to our e.g.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00{:}26{:}27.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}30.597~\mathrm{FRL}$ 850 at RP53 model and in particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.9328226794444444

00:26:30.597 --> 00:26:32.550 we had also crossed the model that

NOTE Confidence: 0.932822679444444

 $00:26:32.605 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.180$  I just told you about with one

 $00:26:34.180 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.037$  that has an inducible CAS 9 Ileo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}26{:}36.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}38.596$  So these are experimental animals here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:26:38.600 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.612$  These are controls because

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:26:39.612 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.877$  they don't have CAS nine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:26:40.880 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.896$  You can't do CRISPR CAS 9 mediated genome

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:26:43.896 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.398$  editing when you don't have CAS 9:00.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:26:46.400 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.080$  So we transduced the lungs of the mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:26:50.080 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.160$  waited 11 weeks and then took the lungs

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:26:53.160 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.599$  of the mice and did tumor barcode

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:26:56.599 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.104$  sequencing in our control animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}26{:}58.104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}59.580$  When you look at the relative

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}26{:}59.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}01.117$  tumor size compared to controls,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:27:01.120 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.120$  you don't really see any.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:27:03.120 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.488$  The tumor suppressor gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

00:27:04.488 --> 00:27:06.198 inactivation doesn't have any effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

00:27:06.200 --> 00:27:08.120 but that's because you don't have CAS 9,

 $00:27:08.120 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.680$  so you shouldn't see anything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:27:09.680 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.736$  So that was reassuring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

00:27:10.736 --> 00:27:13.317 What do we see in the mice with CAS 9?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:27:13.320 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.480$  So one of the things that we saw is

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:27:15.480 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.885$  that when you inactivate APC from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

00:27:18.885 --> 00:27:23.206 wind signaling pathway RBM 10 and RB1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:27:23.206 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.298$  these three tumor suppressor

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}27{:}25.298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}27.910$  genes when inactivated had the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

00:27:27.910 --> 00:27:29.828 biggest effect on tumor growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}27{:}29.828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}31.712$  So the tumors grew faster when

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}27{:}31.712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}33.864$  you were inactivating these tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:27:33.864 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.913$  suppressor genes compared to controls.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}27{:}36.913 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}39.677$  We also noticed interestingly

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:27:39.677 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.958$  that SET D2 and LKB 1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:27:42.960 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.615$  both of these putative tumor

 $00:27:44.615 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.618$  suppressor genes I'd say actually had

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

00:27:46.618 --> 00:27:48.358 a negative effect on tumor growth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:27:48.360 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.512$  which was quite interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:27:49.512 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.952$  and is and I'll go,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:27:50.960 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.956$  I'll tell you a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:27:51.956 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.000$  more about that in a minute,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:27:53.000 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.280$  but it's a topic of interest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:27:55.280 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.480$  interesting work that we're doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:27:56.480 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.475$  And then there were a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:27:58.475 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.937$  tumor suppressor genes that really

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

00:27:59.937 --> 00:28:01.599 had no effect on tumor growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:28:01.600 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.426$  We went ahead and we validated

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:28:04.426 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.180$  these using single SGRNAS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:28:06.180 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.560$  This is towards APC and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:28:08.560 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.728$  to RBM 10 which is an RNA binding

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:28:11.728 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.198$  protein and a splicing factor.

 $00:28:14.200 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.440$  And you can see that when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}28{:}16.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}18.532$  inactivate them you see these bigger

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:28:18.532 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.935$  tumors and tumors progress faster

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:28:20.935 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.376$  than in the EGF receptor P53 model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:28:24.376 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.224$  So what does this mean though

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:28:26.224 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.479$  in the context of human cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:28:28.480 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.040$  And so if we,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:28:31.040 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.848$  what we did at that time is we

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}28{:}33.848 \to 00{:}28{:}35.462$  actually interrogated the ACR

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

00:28:35.462 --> 00:28:36.719 Project Genie database,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}28{:}36.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}39.015$  which is a large data set that has a

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:28:39.015 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.200$  lot of mutational information that

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}28{:}41.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}44.028$  has been contributed to this data

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

00:28:44.028 --> 00:28:46.408 set from various institutions that

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

00:28:46.408 --> 00:28:49.318 are from their tumor sequencing

 $00:28:49.320 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.752$  efforts at their institutions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}28{:}51.752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}55.312$  And when we look in this data set at e.g.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

00:28:55.320 --> 00:28:57.736 F RP53 driven tumors and we look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:28:57.736 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.863$  the frequency with which there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

00:28:59.863 --> 00:29:01.683 alterations in this Co occurring

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:29:01.683 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.159$  tumor suppressor genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:29:03.160 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.869$  you actually see that the top hits

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:29:05.869 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.292$  RBM 10 RB one and APC are where the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}29{:}09.292 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}13.120$  top hits in our functional screen in mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:29:13.120 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.432$  So we think that our screen in mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}29{:}15.432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}17.412$  is actually telling us something

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:29:17.412 \longrightarrow 00:29:19.632$  about the functional relevance of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}29{:}19.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}21.449$  these alterations in the human

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:29:21.449 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.472$  tumors and arid 1A didn't come out

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:29:23.480 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.598$  in our screen at 11 weeks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:29:25.600 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.166$  but we actually did another time

 $00:29:27.166 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.158$  point at 19 weeks and it popped up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00{:}29{:}29.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}32.190$  So perhaps it's more important later

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

00:29:32.190 --> 00:29:34.210 in tumorigenesis And interestingly

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

00:29:34.290 --> 00:29:36.000 Genes SDK 11 is LKB one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:29:36.000 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.840$  it's really not frequently altered

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:29:37.840 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.753$  and that was the one that I showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.82526931

 $00:29:40.753 \longrightarrow 00:29:43.091$  you seemed to have a negative effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:29:43.167 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.637$  in our in vivo screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:29:44.640 \longrightarrow 00:29:46.059$  So we've actually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:29:46.059 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.897$  this has been a really powerful

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00{:}29{:}48.897 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}51.607$  system and we've actually been able

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:29:51.607 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.140$  to do broader screens with more

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00{:}29{:}54.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}57.485$  genes and try to learn a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:29:57.485 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.744$  more about what genes are important

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:29:59.744 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.952$  for the progression of these tumors.

 $00:30:01.960 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.000$  And I'd just like to highlight

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00{:}30{:}04.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}07.906$  an example of work that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:30:07.906 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.038$  did continuing this continuing

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

00:30:11.038 --> 00:30:14.206 this effort with D2G Oncology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:30:14.206 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.264$  a company that was founded Co

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:30:16.264 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.927$  founded by our collaborators

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:30:17.927 \dashrightarrow 00:30:20.197$  Monty Winslow and Dmitry Petrov.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:30:20.200 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.840$  And we work together on doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:30:22.840 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.266$  this screen of additional tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

00:30:25.266 --> 00:30:27.636 suppressor genes in the context of

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00{:}30{:}27.636 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}29.857$  EGFR tumors but also in the context

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

00:30:29.857 --> 00:30:32.356 of K Ras driven tumors for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:30:32.360 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.470$  And you know I just like to go back to

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00{:}30{:}35.561 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}38.203$  LKB one for example showing how this

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:30:38.203 \longrightarrow 00:30:41.280$  has a negative effect on EGFR driven tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

00:30:41.280 --> 00:30:44.720 It's not really a contributing,

 $00:30:44.720 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.200$  it doesn't really Co occur

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:30:47.200 \longrightarrow 00:30:49.680$  mutationally with EGFR driven tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00{:}30{:}49.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}52.064$  So it seems to be like a synthetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:30:52.064 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.520$  lethality with these tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:30:53.520 \dashrightarrow 00:30:55.578$  But it's an amazing contrast with what

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:30:55.578 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.994$  we see in Keras driven tumors where it

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

00:30:57.994 --> 00:31:00.997 is one of the major drivers of tumor growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00{:}31{:}01.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}02.757$  And so this is I think telling

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:31:02.757 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.354$  us and it's frequently mutated

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:31:04.354 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.314$  with Keras in human tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:31:06.320 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.528$  So we're really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00{:}31{:}08.528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}11.420$  we're really think that this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00{:}31{:}11.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}13.830$  cool system to be able to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:31:13.830 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.678$  how Co occurring alterations

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:31:15.680 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.160$  impact the fitness of tumors.

00:31:18.160 --> 00:31:20.519 And Fran Exposito in the lab is

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:31:20.519 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.020$  really working a lot to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:31:23.020 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.375$  this synthetic lethality and is

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:31:25.375 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.831$  doing experiments to knock it LKB

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00{:}31{:}28.831 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}30.973$  one out and established EGF receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00{:}31{:}30.973 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}33.439$  tumors and see what happens and

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:31:33.439 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.071$  also to understand mechanistically

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

00:31:35.071 --> 00:31:37.399 what is happening in these tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00{:}31{:}37.400 --> 00{:}31{:}39.703$  So stay tuned for for data on

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00{:}31{:}39.703 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}41.960$  these studies that I think will

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:31:41.960 \longrightarrow 00:31:43.160$  be really fascinating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:31:43.160 \longrightarrow 00:31:45.246$  And there are some other targets that

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:31:45.246 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.524$  we're studying along these lines as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

00:31:47.524 --> 00:31:50.198 So I think a very powerful system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

00:31:50.200 --> 00:31:53.567 We've also used this approach not just

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:31:53.567 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.840$  to study mechanisms of tumor progression,

 $00:31:56.840 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.451$  but also use this type of approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:31:59.451 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.379$  to really understand what genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

00:32:01.379 --> 00:32:03.424 can modulate the sensitivity to

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:32:03.424 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.840$  tyrosine kinase inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:32:04.840 \dashrightarrow 00:32:08.546$  So we did the same experiment and instead

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

00:32:08.546 --> 00:32:11.997 of just waiting and collecting the tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:32:12.000 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.908$  what we did is we also had an arm

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:32:13.908 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.352$  where we treated for two weeks with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:32:16.352 \longrightarrow 00:32:18.000$  tyrosine kinase inhibitor osumertinib.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

00:32:18.000 --> 00:32:19.911 You see here the tumors go away

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

00:32:19.911 --> 00:32:21.160 or they're shrinking mostly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:32:21.160 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.690$  They're not completely going away at

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00{:}32{:}22.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}24.676$  two weeks, but you do see a response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:32:24.680 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.984$  And so we did the same tumor bar

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:32:26.984 \longrightarrow 00:32:28.652$  code sequencing and what we found

 $00:32:28.652 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.356$  here is so this is the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:32:30.360 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.402$  this is the plot that I showed you earlier

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:32:33.402 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.120$  looking at what is affecting tumor growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002 00:32:36.120 --> 00:32:36.400 Well, NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:32:36.400 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.520$  when we add Asamertinib,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:32:37.520 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.643$  one of the things that we saw is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:32:40.643 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.680$  keep 1 the tumor suppressor gene,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

00:32:42.680 --> 00:32:45.321 keep one that really didn't have much

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:32:45.321 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.641$  of an effect on the growth of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

00:32:47.641 --> 00:32:50.105 tumors in the absence of drug now

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:32:50.105 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.120$  limits the sensitivity to Asamertinib.

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:32:52.120 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.560$  In other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00{:}32{:}53.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}55.765$  the tumors aren't shrinking as

NOTE Confidence: 0.922542002

 $00:32:55.765 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.970$  much as wild wild type

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:32:58.053 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.753$  or control tumors do

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:32:59.753 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.878$  when keep one is present.

00:33:01.880 --> 00:33:03.476 What do we think is happening here?

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:33:03.480 \longrightarrow 00:33:07.288$  Well, we know that keep one is important

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:33:07.288 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.398$  to sequester NRF 2 in the cytoplasm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:33:10.400 \longrightarrow 00:33:12.116$  When you knock out KEEP 1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:33:12.120 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.873$  NRF 2 can then go into the nucleus and

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:33:15.880 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.485$  activate antioxidant response elements and

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:33:18.485 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.631$  those gene expression programs that allow

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}33{:}21.631 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}24.439$  cells to really with stand oxidative stress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}33{:}24.440 \to 00{:}33{:}27.460$  And when we take our mice and we just use

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:33:27.543 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.719$  an individual SGR and a targeting keep one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}33{:}30.720 --> 00{:}33{:}32.180$  these are the control mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

00:33:32.180 --> 00:33:33.640 that don't have CAS nine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}33{:}33.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}36.678$  you use Asamertinib, the tumors go away,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}33{:}36.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}38.080$  you don't really see anything

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:33:38.080 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.200$  left in the lungs.

 $00:33:39.200 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.272$  But if you have the experimental mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:33:41.272 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.162$  that have CAS 9 and you use the SGR and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

00:33:44.162 --> 00:33:46.277 targeting keep one treat with Asamertinib,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:33:46.280 \longrightarrow 00:33:49.080$  you see tumors are still left over.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:33:49.080 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.211$  And so again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:33:50.211 \longrightarrow 00:33:52.473$  what does that mean for patients?

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:33:52.480 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.072$  So at the time what we did is we

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}33{:}55.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}57.904$  worked with Jessica Hellier and Heather

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}33{:}57.904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}01.241$  Wakeley at Stanford University who had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:34:01.241 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.992$  collection of data from patients with e.g.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}34{:}04.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}06.720$  F RP53 driven lung cancer and looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:34:06.720 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.727$  whether there were mutations in genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:34:08.727 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.520$  in the keep one access in these tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

00:34:11.520 --> 00:34:14.238 And you can see here in this blue line,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:34:14.240 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.753$  the patients who had mutations in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}34{:}16.753 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}19.384$  keep One access in their tumors had

 $00{:}34{:}19.384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}22.168$  a shorter time to treatment failure

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:34:22.168 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.552$  compared to controls suggesting that if

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:34:25.552 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.450$  you have alterations in this this program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:34:30.450 \longrightarrow 00:34:33.600$  this antioxidant response response program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:34:33.600 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.066$  you're going to have limited sensitivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}34{:}37.066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}40.158$  to tyrosine kinase inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

00:34:40.160 --> 00:34:43.229 And so I think one of the things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:34:43.229 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.108$  we're really seeing emerging from this

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

00:34:46.108 --> 00:34:48.965 work looking at the tumor suppressor

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:34:48.965 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.457$  genes is that when you do have mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}34{:}52.457 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}55.376$  or you have alterations that Co occur

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}34{:}55.376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}58.516$  with EGF receptor and with EGF receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}34{:}58.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}00.998$  P53 these can modulate both the growth

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:35:01.000 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.000$  and sensitivity to these agents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

00:35:04.000 --> 00:35:06.190 We we were interested in looking

 $00:35:06.190 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.100$  further and in work that Paul

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}35{:}09.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}12.206$  Stockhammer who was a resident is

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:35:12.206 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.426$  now a hospitalist here and is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

00:35:15.426 --> 00:35:18.780 incoming he monk fellow did recently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:35:18.780 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.820$  He looked at both our Yale internal data

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:35:23.945 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.260$  from our tissue collection program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:35:26.260 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.560$  You see the cryovial here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

00:35:28.560 --> 00:35:32.322 but also at the ACR project gene data set

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:35:32.322 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.525$  and looked at outcomes for patients on

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:35:37.525 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.234$  tyrosine kinase inhibitors whose tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:35:41.234 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.319$  had different combinations of mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:35:44.320 \longrightarrow 00:35:46.588$  And I think the take away here is he

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}35{:}46.588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}48.938$  was able to look at tumors that had

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:35:48.938 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.555$  mutations in a subset of tumor suppressor

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:35:51.555 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.084$  genes because tumors had been analyzed

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:35:54.084 \longrightarrow 00:35:57.400$  across a wide variety of different platforms.

 $00:35:57.400 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.800$  So we had to sort of focus in on the the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}36{:}00.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}02.804$  the common subset of tumor suppressor

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:36:02.804 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.320$  genes that were looked at across platforms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

00:36:05.320 --> 00:36:10.036 But essentially if tumors had both

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

00:36:10.040 --> 00:36:11.800 P53 mutations and a mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:36:11.800 \longrightarrow 00:36:13.462$  at least one of these tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:36:13.462 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.159$  suppressor genes that he looked at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

00:36:15.160 --> 00:36:16.836 they had worse outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:36:16.836 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.350$  These are EGFR mutant tumors even

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:36:19.428 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.960$  compared to mutations that just had

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00{:}36{:}21.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}25.128$  TPF 3 mutations and were wild type for

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:36:25.128 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.679$  those different tumor suppressor genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749463982631579

 $00:36:27.680 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.676$  And So what does that mean?

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:36:28.680 \longrightarrow 00:36:32.434$  Again, I think we're identifying a subset

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:36:32.434 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.130$  of tumors where there may be a benefit

 $00:36:35.211 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.724$  from adding a different therapy or it

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00{:}36{:}37.724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}40.430$  should be at least be investigated from

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:36:40.430 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.668$  the get go because they are likely to

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:36:43.668 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.938$  have worse outcomes with monotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:36:45.938 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.000$  tyrosine kinase inhibitor treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

00:36:48.000 --> 00:36:49.848 And this is very relevant right now

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:36:49.848 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.334$  at least in the field of EGF receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:36:52.334 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.376$  driven lung cancer because there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:36:54.376 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.121$  studies of chemotherapy plus asamartinib

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:36:56.121 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.591$  in the first line that are positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00{:}36{:}58.591 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}00.733$  But people are very reluctant to

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:37:00.733 \longrightarrow 00:37:03.079$  give that combination to everybody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:37:03.080 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.229$  If we can identify people who might

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:37:05.229 \dashrightarrow 00:37:07.778$  benefit more or might need it more than

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:37:07.778 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.234$  that could be really helpful for deploying

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:37:10.234 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.718$  these different strategies in the clinic.

 $00:37:12.720 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.000$  And then I think another point is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00{:}37{:}16.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}18.919$  we're really learning the Co mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:37:18.920 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.348$  can affect the rapeutic sensitivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:37:20.348 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.989$  and it isn't only in the context

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:37:22.989 \dashrightarrow 00:37:25.159$  of EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:37:25.160 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.830$  This is happening in multiple contexts

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:37:27.830 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.731$  and with with multiple agents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:37:29.731 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.079$  So here an example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:37:31.080 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.880$  I'm just just giving you a few examples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:37:32.880 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.358$  There are many more in the literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:37:35.360 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.599$  But if we look at keep one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:37:36.600 \longrightarrow 00:37:39.320$  going back to keep one, keep one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00{:}37{:}39.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}43.412$  alterations seem to have been negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:37:43.412 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.842$  for response rates to Sotirasip

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

00:37:45.842 --> 00:37:49.520 in K Rash G12C driven lung cancer.

00:37:49.520 --> 00:37:51.480 Worse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:37:51.480 \dashrightarrow 00:37:54.155$ you know higher local recurrence

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00{:}37{:}54.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}57.599$  with chemo radiation in the context

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:37:57.599 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.664$  of immunotherapy LKB 1 mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:38:00.664 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.600$  actually seem to be worse confer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

00:38:03.600 --> 00:38:06.478 you know be worse for or describe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:38:06.478 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.512$  define a word a subset that

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

00:38:08.512 --> 00:38:10.519 does worse with immunotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:38:10.520 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.432$  And so in conclusion for this

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:38:14.432 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.000$  part of the talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:38:16.000 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.443$  the nature of the oncogenic mutation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

00:38:18.443 --> 00:38:20.344 Co occurring mutations effects sensitivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:38:20.344 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.714$  to Tkis and mechanisms of resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

00:38:22.720 --> 00:38:25.606 We've developed a new generation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:38:25.606 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.928$  genetically engineered mouse models that

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:38:27.928 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.559$  can be used to study these complex genotypes.

 $00:38:30.559 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.792$  And I'd like to point out that

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:38:32.792 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.164$  really we have a lot of work that

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:38:35.164 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.264$  is happening now studying these

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:38:37.264 \longrightarrow 00:38:39.160$  individual different components.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

00:38:39.160 --> 00:38:40.288 Mariana Do Carmos,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:38:40.288 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.040$  an MD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:38:41.040 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.360$  PhD student in the lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00:38:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.399$  She's studying the role of RBM 10

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

00:38:46.400 --> 00:38:49.088 in EGF receptor driven lung cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

 $00{:}38{:}49.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}51.240$  working with Luisa escobarahoyos lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.954063358

00:38:51.240 --> 00:38:52.680 Because we really can

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}38{:}54.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}56.862$  join forces and Luisa is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}38{:}56.862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}59.221$  expert in splicing and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}38{:}59.221 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}00.830$  really important gene protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:39:00.830 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.080$  that is involved in in splicing.

 $00:39:03.080 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.296$  So we're doing that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

00:39:04.296 --> 00:39:06.120 I told you about Fran's work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

00:39:06.120 --> 00:39:09.910 We have Kita who's working on KMT 2D,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:39:09.910 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.800$  which I didn't tell you about

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:39:11.800 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.840$  another potential target

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:39:12.840 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.160$  that came out of this screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

00:39:14.160 --> 00:39:16.380 So really we can really study

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:39:16.380 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.860$  these different genotypes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:39:17.931 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.112$  understand the biology of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

00:39:20.112 --> 00:39:21.276 different complex genotypes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:39:21.280 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.560$  which is really exciting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:39:23.560 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.280$  We have found out that an activation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:39:27.280 \longrightarrow 00:39:28.792$  these different tumor suppressor genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

00:39:28.792 --> 00:39:30.646 can have different effects on both

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:39:30.646 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.362$  tumor growth including positive and

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:39:32.362 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.102$  negative effects and TKI sensitivity

 $00:39:34.102 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.479$  depending on the oncogenic context.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:39:37.480 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.336$  We showed that keep one loss limits

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:39:40.336 \dashrightarrow 00:39:42.302$  sensitivity to osmertinib in mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:39:42.302 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.528$  and in patients and think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:39:44.528 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.298$  this is really potentially a bad

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}39{:}47.298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}49.534$  actor if there's Q1 alterations

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:39:49.534 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.856$  either at the genetic level or

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

00:39:51.856 --> 00:39:53.638 also alterations in the pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}39{:}53.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}55.640$  The pathway can be modulated

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:39:55.640 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.240$  in many different ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:39:57.240 \longrightarrow 00:39:59.430$  and tumor suppressant gene mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:39:59.430 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.360$  can be used to identify patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:40:02.360 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.850$  subsets of patients who are likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:40:04.850 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.767$  to have worse outcomes and could

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:40:07.767 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.131$  be considered for additional

00:40:10.131 --> 00:40:11.313 therapeutic interventions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:40:11.320 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.640$  So in the last part of the talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

00:40:14.640 --> 00:40:17.706 I'd like to tell you about some

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:40:17.706 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.680$  other work that we've been doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:40:20.680 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.598$  more recently to study non mutational

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

00:40:23.598 --> 00:40:25.693 mechanisms of resistance and I'd

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:40:25.693 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.880$  say also of persistence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:40:27.880 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.400$  So on tyrosine kinase inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:40:30.400 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.186$  And So what are some of the things

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:40:34.186 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.897$  that we're thinking about broadly

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

00:40:35.897 --> 00:40:38.449 in the lab when we think about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:40:38.449 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.429$  problem of this 50% of tumors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:40:40.429 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.550$  we don't what for which we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}40{:}42.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}44.557$  know why a resistance emerges.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:40:44.560 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.059$  So some of the things that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:40:47.059 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.161$  really interested in in understanding

 $00:40:49.161 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.035$  and studying are how the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}40{:}52.035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}53.222$ microenvironment effects resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:40:53.222 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.924$  and persistence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:40:53.924 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.706$  And this is work that we're doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:40:56.706 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.320$  collaboratively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

00:40:57.320 --> 00:41:00.128 Jake Schillo in the lab doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

00:41:00.128 --> 00:41:02.136 collaboratively working with Don

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:41:02.136 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.160$  Nguyen's lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:41:03.160 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.310$  We are studying lineage plasticity

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:41:06.310 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.200$  and tumor heterogeneity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:41:08.200 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.832$  And I'll tell you about an example

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:41:10.832 \longrightarrow 00:41:13.408$  of this that was just recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}41{:}13.408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}16.144$  published this month and that comes

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:41:16.144 \longrightarrow 00:41:18.580$  out of work studying mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:41:18.580 \longrightarrow 00:41:20.320$  of tumor persistence.

 $00:41:20.320 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.006$  And of course another area that

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}41{:}22.006 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}41{:}23.575$  we're really interested in is while

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

00:41:23.575 --> 00:41:25.640 we've we're talked a lot about genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:41:25.640 \longrightarrow 00:41:27.238$  and mutations and genetics here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:41:27.240 \longrightarrow 00:41:29.872$  but are there ways of reading out

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}41{:}29.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}31.875$  pathways and learning about how

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:41:31.875 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.880$  pathways are altered in tumours

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:41:33.880 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.706$  which might be an important way

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}41{:}36.706 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}38.119$  of understanding resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:41:38.119 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.159$  and persistence as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:41:40.160 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.435$  And so one of the non mutational

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:41:42.435 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.951$  mechanisms that we recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:41:43.951 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.839$  discovered and published on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}41{:}45.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}48.240$  I'm not going to tell you about that

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

00:41:48.240 --> 00:41:50.052 today because I don't really have

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:41:50.052 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.080$  time is that we identified a role

 $00:41:52.080 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.024$  for the ATP as of the SLY sniff

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}41{:}55.024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}57.315$  complex in mediating resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

00:41:57.315 --> 00:42:00.760 to tyrosine kinase inhibitors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

00:42:00.760 --> 00:42:03.880 SMARCA 4 is actually usually lost,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:42:03.880 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.560$  you have loss of function mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:42:05.560 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.120$  in tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:42:06.120 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.374$  One of the things that we found

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:42:08.374 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.562$  which was really interesting is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}42{:}10.562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}12.860$  actually it seems to be important

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:42:12.860 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.138$  for the resistance phenotype because

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

00:42:15.138 --> 00:42:17.880 in resistant tumors it actually can

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00:42:17.880 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.936$  promote accessibility of chromatin

NOTE Confidence: 0.822266775

 $00{:}42{:}19.936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}23.020$  at both cell proliferation genes but

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00{:}42{:}23.098 \rightarrow 00{:}42{:}27.100$  also at genes it are NRF 2 low size

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:42:27.100 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.782$  so that allow activation of genes

 $00:42:29.782 \longrightarrow 00:42:31.594$  that are antioxidant genes with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:42:31.600 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.995$  So it links to that keep one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:42:35.000 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.037$  keep one finding that we had in

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:42:37.037 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.640$  our tumor suppressor gene screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

00:42:38.640 --> 00:42:40.800 So I'm not going to tell you about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

00:42:40.800 --> 00:42:43.278 but I did want to highlight it

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:42:43.278 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.024$  as as one of the some of the work

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:42:46.024 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.146$  that we have done recently on non

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:42:48.146 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.998$  mutational mechanisms of resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:42:50.000 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.544$  What I really wanted to focus the last

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00{:}42{:}52.544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}54.962$  few minutes of the talk on is telling

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:42:54.962 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.263$  you about some work that we've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:42:57.263 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.812$  doing to study tolerance and persistence

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:42:59.812 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.996$  to tyrosine kinase inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:43:02.000 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.720$  And you saw this waterfall plot earlier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:43:05.720 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.752$  But one of the and one of the

 $00:43:07.752 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.653$  questions that that we've had and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:43:09.653 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.364$  think that is a prominent question

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:43:11.364 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.948$  in the field is why aren't all cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

00:43:13.948 --> 00:43:15.099 eradicated upon TKI treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

00:43:15.099 --> 00:43:17.010 right, Because if we could get rid

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:43:17.060 \longrightarrow 00:43:18.878$  of all of the cells from the get go,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

00:43:18.880 --> 00:43:21.330 we wouldn't have the problem of acquired

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:43:21.330 \longrightarrow 00:43:22.696$  resistance. And here's some scans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00{:}43{:}22.696 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}24.849$  You see the tumor and you see several

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:43:24.849 \longrightarrow 00:43:26.990$  months later the tumor is still there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:43:26.990 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.000$  there still is some residual tumor leftover.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:43:30.000 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.840$  So what is the biology of residual disease?

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00{:}43{:}32.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}35.288$  Well, we decided and this is work from

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

00:43:35.288 --> 00:43:37.798 a former graduate student in the lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85866312923076900:43:37.800 --> 00:43:38.510 Boom Yao,

00:43:38.510 --> 00:43:41.066 who who is now in Arno Osher's lab

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00{:}43{:}41.066 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}43{:}41.918$  as a post doc.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:43:41.920 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.117$  And I think Boom Yao is here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:43:43.120 \longrightarrow 00:43:44.880$  I thought I saw him.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:43:44.880 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.814$  And So what Bom Yao did is he took

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

00:43:47.814 --> 00:43:50.106 advantage again of our collection

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

00:43:50.106 --> 00:43:51.994 of specimens from patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

00:43:52.000 --> 00:43:53.444 And he said, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:43:53.444 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.610$  what happens if I implant these

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:43:55.683 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.559$  PDXS that we've generated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:43:57.560 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.479$  treat them with a tyrosine kinase inhibitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:44:00.480 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.640$  and then look at residual disease?

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:44:02.640 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.264$  We can harvest that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

00:44:04.264 --> 00:44:06.708 You know, we take it at a plateau, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

00:44:06.708 --> 00:44:08.556 Once the tumors aren't shrinking anymore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:44:08.560 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.632$  that's what's left over.

 $00:44:09.632 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.952$  And can we we it's really hard to

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:44:11.952 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.597$  study residual disease in patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

00:44:13.600 --> 00:44:15.730 We can't really easily do biopsies

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769 00:44:15.730 --> 00:44:16.440 on treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:44:16.440 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.280$  but this is as a surrogate of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:44:19.280 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.276$  And so here are some examples of

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

00:44:22.276 --> 00:44:25.238 the PDXS that Boom Yao studied.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:44:25.240 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.640$  So he took these PDXS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:44:26.640 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.111$  treated them and then took what was

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00{:}44{:}29.111 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}31.349$  left over after four to six weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00{:}44{:}31.349 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}33.194$  of treatment when they plateaued.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:44:33.200 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.513$  And you can see in all of the cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00{:}44{:}35.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}37.836$  there was tumor leftover after treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

00:44:37.840 --> 00:44:39.892 varying amounts of tumor and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:44:39.892 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.918$  some very little,

 $00:44:40.920 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.600$  very small islands of tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:44:42.600 \longrightarrow 00:44:44.480$  but there was tumor leftover.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:44:44.480 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.167$  And I'd like to highlight an example

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:44:46.167 \longrightarrow 00:44:48.175$  of one of the things that we found

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

00:44:48.175 --> 00:44:49.986 from one of these PDXS that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:44:49.986 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.558$  studied in a little more detail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:44:51.560 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.245$  We found that in one of them we

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:44:54.245 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.155$  saw up regulation of Ascl 1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:44:57.160 \longrightarrow 00:45:00.632$  ASCL one is a basic Helix loop

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:45:00.632 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.120$  Helix transcription factor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:45:02.120 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.451$  It has a role in neuronal differentiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:45:04.451 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.185$  and its expression actually identifies

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:45:06.185 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.558$  a subset of small cell lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:45:08.560 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.500$  So it was really up in the residual

NOTE Confidence: 0.858663129230769

 $00:45:11.500 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.160$  disease in this tumor and not only

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00{:}45{:}14.238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}16.788$  was it up at the transcriptional

 $00:45:16.788 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.380$  level and the signature was was

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:45:19.380 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.280$  enriched in the residual disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:45:22.280 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.255$  but it's downstream targets rat BCL two

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:45:25.255 \longrightarrow 00:45:29.024$  and DLL three were also all turned on in

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:45:29.024 \longrightarrow 00:45:31.840$  the residual disease in in that tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:45:31.840 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.560$  Ossumertinib was working really well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:45:33.560 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.840$  You can see phospho EGFR is gone here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00{:}45{:}36.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}39.199$  And so this was really interesting to

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:45:39.199 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.030$  us because we know that a subset of

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:45:42.030 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.480$  EGFR driven tumors when they're treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:45:44.480 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.620$  with osumertinib can actually undergo

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:45:47.620 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.457$  neuroendocrine differentiation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00{:}45{:}49.457 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}52.919$  transformed to small cell lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00{:}45{:}52.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}56.200$  a subset of which are ASCL 1 positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:45:56.200 \longrightarrow 00:45:59.160$  And so this kind of piqued our interest.

00:45:59.160 --> 00:46:01.744 And so one of the first questions that

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:46:01.744 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.980$  we had was are these ASCL one cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:46:04.980 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.280$  present in the tumor pretreatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:46:07.280 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.200$  And so when we looked and we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

00:46:09.200 --> 00:46:10.560 single cell RNA sequencing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

00:46:10.560 --> 00:46:14.824 we actually saw that the if you look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:46:14.824 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.320$  the pretreatment specimen here in blue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:46:17.320 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.840$  there is a subset of these cells that

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

00:46:19.840 --> 00:46:22.117 is present that is ASCL 1 positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:46:22.120 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.622$  So we think that those cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

00:46:24.622 --> 00:46:25.873 were present beforehand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:46:25.880 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.757$  Whether other cells then turned it on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:46:28.760 \longrightarrow 00:46:30.266$  we can't really tell from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:46:30.266 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.640$  types of experiments that we did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:46:31.640 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.864$  But we do know that there was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:46:33.864 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.838$  population that was there pretreatment.

 $00:46:35.840 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.856$  And so our next question after that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:46:38.856 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.438$  well how is ASCL 1 conferring TKI tolerance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:46:42.440 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.048$  what is happening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:46:44.048 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.023$  And so we said OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

00:46:46.023 --> 00:46:47.829 let's turn to our human EGF

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:46:47.829 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.855$  receptor driven cell lines and let's

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:46:49.855 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.960$  express ASCL one in these cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:46:51.960 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.600$  And so one of the first things that we did,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:46:53.600 \longrightarrow 00:46:56.669$  we expressed ASCL one in the cells and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

00:46:56.669 --> 00:46:59.677 can see here in this HCCA 27 cell line,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:46:59.680 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.968$  we expressed it and we saw more colonies

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:47:01.968 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.655$  and you can see this quantified here

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00{:}47{:}04.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}06.299$  after treatment with osmertinib

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

00:47:06.299 --> 00:47:08.436 compared to the empty vector control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:47:08.440 \longrightarrow 00:47:11.328$  we did this across in another cell line

 $00:47:11.328 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.432$  and we saw no effect of ASCL one expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:47:14.432 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.079$  And so this was also interesting and we said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

00:47:17.080 --> 00:47:17.426 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:47:17.426 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.848$  so why does ASCL one having a

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:47:19.848 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.001$  phenotype has a phenotype in one

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:47:22.001 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.077$  cell line but not the other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:47:24.080 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.460$  We did gene expression profiling and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:47:26.460 \longrightarrow 00:47:29.477$  we saw is that in the permissive cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:47:29.480 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.552$  these HCC 827 cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

00:47:31.552 --> 00:47:35.280 you actually saw that ASCL one could

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00{:}47{:}35.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}37.968$  lead to an EMT gene expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00{:}47{:}37.968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}40.905$  program was it had no effect at

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:47:40.905 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.256$  all in the PC-9 cell line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

00:47:43.256 --> 00:47:47.336 And we went on and we looked with ataxiq

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:47:47.336 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.504$  at chromatin accessibility at EMT genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

00:47:50.504 --> 00:47:54.361 and we see that upon ESAS CL1 expression,

00:47:54.361 --> 00:47:57.403 you do see changes in chromatin

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

00:47:57.403 --> 00:47:59.348 accessibility at both epithelial

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00{:}47{:}59.348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}01.613$  genes and mesenchymal genes when

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

00:48:01.613 --> 00:48:05.680 you put Ascl one into these HCC

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:48:05.680 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.680$  827 cells that are permissive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:48:07.680 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.330$  but you don't see any changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:48:09.330 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.800$  in the PC-9 cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

00:48:10.800 --> 00:48:14.480 And So what do we think is happening?

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:48:14.480 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.812$  So we think that when you have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:48:17.812 \longrightarrow 00:48:19.756$  when you don't have ASCL 1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

00:48:19.760 --> 00:48:22.360 the TKI can work and you see death

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00{:}48{:}22.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}25.159$  of the EGF receptor driven cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00{:}48{:}25.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}28.046$  If you have a permissive cellular

NOTE Confidence: 0.951652336666667

 $00:48:28.046 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.400$  context what happens is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00{:}48{:}30.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}32.672$ you can have ASCL one can turn on

00:48:32.672 --> 00:48:35.512 or can lead to an EMT program and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:48:35.512 \longrightarrow 00:48:38.128$  know that that is associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:48:38.128 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.798$  resistance to tyrosine kinase inhibitors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:48:40.800 \longrightarrow 00:48:43.200$  In a non permissive cellular

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

00:48:43.200 --> 00:48:45.597 context though that you don't have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00{:}48{:}45.597 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}47.830$ you don't turn on this program so

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

00:48:47.900 --> 00:48:50.764 you don't have a difference in ASCL 1

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:48:50.764 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.919$  expressing versus non expressing cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:48:52.920 \longrightarrow 00:48:55.240$  We also found that pre-existing

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:48:55.240 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.096$  cells with transcriptional features

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00{:}48{:}57.096 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}48{:}59.501$  of drug tolerant cells are present

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:48:59.501 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.783$  in the untreated tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

00:49:00.783 --> 00:49:03.243 And I think one of the questions that

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:49:03.243 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.308$  we've we're really interested in is you

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:49:05.308 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.795$  know why are some cells permissive or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

00:49:07.800 --> 00:49:09.760 I think this is sort of one of

 $00:49:09.760 \longrightarrow 00:49:11.479$  the major problems in cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:49:11.480 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.888$  one of the things that has been a

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

00:49:12.888 --> 00:49:14.438 mystery in cancer over all of the years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

00:49:14.440 --> 00:49:16.344 Why do you see certain phenotypes when

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

00:49:16.344 --> 00:49:18.400 you have certain settings and not others?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

00:49:18.400 --> 00:49:20.199 And in the case of ASCL one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:49:20.200 \longrightarrow 00:49:22.440$  this is very reminiscent of

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:49:22.440 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.232$  reprogramming because it's known,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:49:24.240 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.280$  for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:49:25.280 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.824$  that you can put ASCL one into

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

00:49:28.824 --> 00:49:31.800 fibroblasts and reprogram them to neurons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:49:31.800 \longrightarrow 00:49:33.592$  but you put them when you put them

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

00:49:33.592 --> 00:49:34.040 in keratinocytes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:49:34.040 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.119$  You can't and this has been shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:49:36.119 \longrightarrow 00:49:38.816$  to be due to actually the chromatin

00:49:38.816 --> 00:49:40.118 landscape at Ascl,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:49:40.120 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.800$  one target genes in the different cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:49:41.800 \longrightarrow 00:49:43.738$  So could something like that be

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:49:43.738 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.918$  happening in the cancer cells as well?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:49:45.920 \longrightarrow 00:49:47.782$  And one of the other questions of

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:49:47.782 \longrightarrow 00:49:50.080$  course that we have is since Ascl

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:49:50.080 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.070$  one is important for and neuronal

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:49:54.070 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.625$  differentiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:49:54.625 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.845$  it's associated with neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

00:49:56.845 --> 00:49:59.000 differentiation, Is it poising these cells?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00{:}49{:}59.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}01.744$  We didn't see any other, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

00:50:01.744 --> 00:50:03.400 neuroendocrine markers on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:50:03.400 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.808$  but is it poising the cells to

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

00:50:05.808 --> 00:50:07.639 undergo that type of change?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143 00:50:07.640 --> 00:50:09.840 And so, NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:50:09.840 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.152$  so some of the things that we're thinking

 $00:50:12.152 \longrightarrow 00:50:14.597$  about now and we have experiments ongoing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00{:}50{:}14.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}17.344$  we have Mark Wiesehofer in the lab

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

00:50:17.344 --> 00:50:19.705 who's been thinking about this and

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

00:50:19.705 --> 00:50:22.295 working about on this in the context

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:50:22.372 \longrightarrow 00:50:24.672$  of both prostate cancer where very

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:50:24.672 \longrightarrow 00:50:27.360$  similar things happen and lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

00:50:27.360 --> 00:50:29.208 We're asking how does a chromatin

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:50:29.208 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.426$  state of a cancer cell affect

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00{:}50{:}31.426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}33.716$  responsiveness to the rapy and plasticity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

00:50:33.720 --> 00:50:35.360 And so you can have these different cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:50:35.360 \longrightarrow 00:50:37.012$  you add ASCL one and you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

00:50:37.012 --> 00:50:38.393 see different things happen in

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00{:}50{:}38.393 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}39.320$  these different cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:50:39.320 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.000$  And why is that happening?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:50:41.000 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.694$  And is there something that we can

 $00:50:42.694 \longrightarrow 00:50:44.161$  learn from these cells that then

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:50:44.161 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.757$  we can apply to human tumors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:50:45.811 \longrightarrow 00:50:47.236$  could we use this information?

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

00:50:47.240 --> 00:50:49.560 I'm thinking far a little bit far ahead,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

00:50:49.560 --> 00:50:51.072 but it's something that's in the back of the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:50:51.080 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.212$  my mind is can we predict how a tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:50:54.212 \longrightarrow 00:50:57.956$  will evolve on treatment with this knowledge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:50:57.960 \longrightarrow 00:51:02.200$  So finally a couple of final thoughts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

00:51:02.200 --> 00:51:03.957 So what have I told you today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:51:03.960 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.135$  baseline mutations and Co mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00{:}51{:}06.135 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}> 00{:}51{:}07.875$  can affect disease progression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:51:07.880 \longrightarrow 00:51:09.320$  drug sensitivity and mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:51:09.320 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.006$  of drug resistance and how can we

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

00:51:12.006 --> 00:51:13.842 incorporate this knowledge into

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:51:13.842 \longrightarrow 00:51:15.678$  clinical investigation and practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:51:15.680 \longrightarrow 00:51:18.677$  This is something that we think about a lot.

00:51:18.680 --> 00:51:21.090 There's a vast heterogeneity and

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00{:}51{:}21.090 \to 00{:}51{:}23.500$  complexity of non mutational resistance

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:51:23.568 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.412$  and persistence mechanisms and

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:51:25.412 \longrightarrow 00:51:27.717$  we're working to identify them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:51:27.720 \longrightarrow 00:51:29.370$  establish when they are relevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.901540450357143

 $00:51:29.370 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.020$  for specific tumors and find

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:51:31.075 \longrightarrow 00:51:32.590$  vulnerabilities of these and be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:51:32.590 \longrightarrow 00:51:34.528$  happy to talk more about these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:51:34.528 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.000$  thoughts throughout the day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:51:36.000 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.400$  Today I there are a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:51:39.400 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.872$  of people to acknowledge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

00:51:40.880 --> 00:51:43.816 Here are some pictures of lab

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00{:}51{:}43.816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}46.440$  members throughout the years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00{:}51{:}46.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}49.560$  Here's a particularly fun one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:51:49.560 \longrightarrow 00:51:51.945$  This was a fundraising picture

 $00:51:51.945 \longrightarrow 00:51:55.250$  for a closer to free team that so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00{:}51{:}55.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}56.600$  I thought that was pretty cool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:51:56.600 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.360$  These are Halloween,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

00:51:59.360 --> 00:52:01.868 one of our Halloween parties and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:52:01.868 \longrightarrow 00:52:04.520$  other pictures from the we have the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:52:04.520 \longrightarrow 00:52:06.320$  All of the lab has contributed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00{:}52{:}06.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}07.920$  tremen dously to all of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00.52:07.920 \longrightarrow 00.52:09.200$  efforts over the years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00{:}52{:}09.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}11.516$  and I'm so grateful to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:52:11.516 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.440$  the opportunity to work with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:52:13.440 \longrightarrow 00:52:14.952$  so many talented people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:52:14.952 \longrightarrow 00:52:17.733$  There are lots of people to acknowledge

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:52:17.733 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.349$  who have contributed to this work

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:52:20.349 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.117$  in addition to members of the lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

00:52:23.120 --> 00:52:26.720 so many collaborators outside of Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:52:26.720 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.198$  but in particular everybody here at Yale,

00:52:29.200 --> 00:52:31.320 which I, I, I really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:52:31.320 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.519$  I hope everybody is on this slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:52:34.520 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.760$  It's one of the things that I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:52:36.760 \longrightarrow 00:52:38.553$  worried about but want to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:52:38.553 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.129$  sure that every body is acknowledged

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:52:40.129 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.174$  here because of the tremendous

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:52:42.174 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.172$  contributions that makes it such

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:52:44.172 \longrightarrow 00:52:47.480$  an amazing place to work together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00{:}52{:}47.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}49.235$  A couple of things that I'd like to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:52:49.240 \longrightarrow 00:52:51.880$  we have a retreat too on thoracic cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:52:51.880 \longrightarrow 00:52:54.720$  On Monday, it's retreat season.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:52:54.720 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.800$  It is at West Campus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00{:}52{:}56.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}00.797$  so you're all invited to join us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:53:00.800 \longrightarrow 00:53:03.720$  We have a team that has been working.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:53:03.720 \longrightarrow 00:53:04.800$  Sarah's in here, I think.

 $00:53:04.800 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.880$  Sarah Goldberg, Justin Blasberg.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00{:}53{:}06.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}09.900$  We have Glynis Arnold and Melody

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

00:53:09.900 --> 00:53:12.328 Noga MENA who's been working

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:53:12.328 \longrightarrow 00:53:14.120$  to organize this retreat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:53:14.120 \longrightarrow 00:53:17.513$  So we hope you can join us and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:53:17.520 \longrightarrow 00:53:20.124$  save the date for our annual lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

00:53:20.124 --> 00:53:22.678 cancer workshop on June 12th and 13th.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:53:22.680 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.240$  It is also going to be at West

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:53:25.240 \longrightarrow 00:53:27.500$  Campus here and it's particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:53:27.500 \longrightarrow 00:53:30.542$  special this year because we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00{:}53{:}30.542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}33.088$  going to be recognizing the 20th

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:53:33.088 \longrightarrow 00:53:35.032$  anniversary of the discovery of EGF

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:53:35.032 \longrightarrow 00:53:36.558$  receptor mutations and lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:53:36.560 \longrightarrow 00:53:38.240$  which has really transformed the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

00:53:38.240 --> 00:53:40.576 It's near and dear front to my heart

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

00:53:40.576 --> 00:53:42.560 as you can imagine from the talk,

 $00:53:42.560 \longrightarrow 00:53:45.773$  but it's really going to be I think a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:53:45.773 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.986$  spectacular event with lots of people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

00:53:47.986 --> 00:53:51.066 coming from all over to mark this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:53:51.066 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.812$  this moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:53:51.812 \longrightarrow 00:53:54.580$  And so we hope that you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:53:54.580 \longrightarrow 00:53:56.360$  participate in that too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:53:56.360 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.572$  Thank you very much and I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.8897641448

 $00:53:57.572 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.880$  be happy to take questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89088666

 $00{:}54{:}09.880 --> 00{:}54{:}10.800$  Thank you so much, Katie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89088666

 $00:54:10.800 \longrightarrow 00:54:11.811$  That was wonderful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89088666

 $00:54:11.811 \longrightarrow 00:54:13.833$  Are there questions in the room?

NOTE Confidence: 0.893251607333333

 $00{:}54{:}16.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}18.149$  Maybe I'll start as a person who

NOTE Confidence: 0.893251607333333

 $00{:}54{:}18.149 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}20.220$  knows more about squamous cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.893251607333333

 $00:54:20.220 \longrightarrow 00:54:21.840$  cancers than adenocarcinomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893251607333333

 $00:54:21.840 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.720$  When you talk about P53 mutations,

 $00:54:24.720 \longrightarrow 00:54:26.970$  are they always the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.893251607333333

 $00{:}54{:}26.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}28.320$  in a denocarcinoma patients?

NOTE Confidence: 0.893251607333333

 $00:54:28.320 \longrightarrow 00:54:29.811$  And we spend a lot of time

NOTE Confidence: 0.893251607333333

00:54:29.811 --> 00:54:31.140 in the squamous world talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.893251607333333

 $00:54:31.140 \longrightarrow 00:54:32.280$  about disruptive mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893251607333333

 $00.54:32.280 \longrightarrow 00.54:36.120$  gain of function mutations. Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918960678888889

 $00:54:36.120 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.314$  we have, I think there's a wide variety of

NOTE Confidence: 0.918960678888889

 $00.54:40.320 \longrightarrow 00.54:44.000$  P53 mutations that you see in lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.918960678888889

 $00:54:44.000 \longrightarrow 00:54:46.760$  So they're like different types and

NOTE Confidence: 0.923658161111111

00:54:46.760 --> 00:54:48.704 have you dissected out if they

NOTE Confidence: 0.923658161111111

00:54:48.704 --> 00:54:49.676 have different implications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923658161111111

 $00:54:49.680 \longrightarrow 00:54:51.534$  We think the gain of function

NOTE Confidence: 0.923658161111111

 $00{:}54{:}51.534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}53.239$  mutations don't lead to as much

NOTE Confidence: 0.9236581611111111

00:54:53.240 --> 00:54:55.160 genomic instability for example. Yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882860934444444

 $00:54:55.160 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.980$  those are things that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.882860934444444

 $00:54:56.980 \longrightarrow 00:54:58.436$  haven't studied that much.

 $00:54:58.440 \longrightarrow 00:55:00.645$  I think Paul had looked at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.882860934444444

 $00{:}55{:}00.645 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}01.980$  different mutations a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.882860934444444

 $00:55:01.980 \longrightarrow 00:55:03.595$  bit in terms of outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882860934444444

 $00:55:03.600 \longrightarrow 00:55:05.300$  Paul Stockhammer and I don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.882860934444444

 $00:55:05.300 \longrightarrow 00:55:07.396$  think he had found differences in

NOTE Confidence: 0.882860934444444

00:55:07.396 --> 00:55:09.370 terms of outcomes with Tkis with

NOTE Confidence: 0.882860934444444

00.55.09.370 --> 00.55.11.520 the different classes mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882860934444444

00:55:11.520 --> 00:55:16.440 So is the polycommers suppressor

NOTE Confidence: 0.882860934444444

 $00:55:16.440 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.600$  name screen that your

NOTE Confidence: 0.906045539230769

 $00:55:18.600 \longrightarrow 00:55:21.060$  biggest hit at least in one

NOTE Confidence: 0.906045539230769

 $00{:}55{:}21.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}23.798$  of the assays was loss of RB,

NOTE Confidence: 0.906045539230769

 $00:55:23.800 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.635$  but it looks like in the in the cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.906045539230769

 $00{:}55{:}26.635 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}29.359$  RB loss was relatively infrequent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.906045539230769

 $00{:}55{:}29.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}31.222$  Does it does that suggest or have

NOTE Confidence: 0.906045539230769

 $00:55:31.222 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.045$  you looked at whether there's other

 $00:55:33.045 \longrightarrow 00:55:35.007$  dysregulators of the RB pathway that

NOTE Confidence: 0.906045539230769

00:55:35.007 --> 00:55:37.217 are more common in lung cancer like

NOTE Confidence: 0.906045539230769

 $00:55:37.217 \dashrightarrow 00:55:39.180$  the Cyclone CDK pathway and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.906045539230769

00:55:39.180 --> 00:55:41.000 a potentially targetable approach?

NOTE Confidence: 0.965819242

 $00:55:41.880 \longrightarrow 00:55:43.280$  Yeah, that's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965819242

 $00:55:43.280 \longrightarrow 00:55:47.151$  So it's interesting because RB as you

NOTE Confidence: 0.965819242

 $00:55:47.151 \longrightarrow 00:55:51.410$  said RB one loss is one of the biggest

NOTE Confidence: 0.965819242

 $00:55:51.410 \longrightarrow 00:55:54.560$  drivers of tumor growth in our screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965819242

 $00:55:54.560 \longrightarrow 00:55:59.080$  It is also if you look at how frequently

NOTE Confidence: 0.965819242

00:55:59.080 --> 00:56:02.464 it Co occurs with EGFR and P53 mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.965819242

 $00{:}56{:}02.464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}04.228$  it's one of the tumor suppressor genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.965819242

 $00{:}56{:}04.228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}05.837$  that is most frequently Co altered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965819242

 $00:56:05.840 \longrightarrow 00:56:07.640$  So none of them go really

NOTE Confidence: 0.965819242

 $00:56:07.640 \longrightarrow 00:56:09.600$  above the like 10% threshold.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926580323333333

00:56:12.160 --> 00:56:14.512 We do know, we haven't really looked at

NOTE Confidence: 0.926580323333333

 $00:56:14.512 \longrightarrow 00:56:17.050$  other ways in which the P50 in which the

 $00:56:17.050 \longrightarrow 00:56:19.157$  RB pathway could be altered in tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926580323333333

 $00:56:19.160 \dashrightarrow 00:56:20.876$  We haven't really looked at that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926580323333333

 $00:56:20.880 \longrightarrow 00:56:24.806$  What we do know is that if

NOTE Confidence: 0.926580323333333

 $00:56:24.806 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.036$  you have tumors with e.g.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926580323333333

 $00:56:27.040 \longrightarrow 00:56:30.680$  F, RP53 and RB alterations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926580323333333

 $00:56:30.680 \longrightarrow 00:56:32.871$  those are the ones that have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.926580323333333

00:56:32.871 --> 00:56:34.554 highest likelihood of undergoing

NOTE Confidence: 0.926580323333333

 $00:56:34.554 \longrightarrow 00:56:36.600$  that neuroendocrine differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926580323333333

 $00:56:36.600 \longrightarrow 00:56:39.000$  And so like 1/4 of those will undergo

NOTE Confidence: 0.926580323333333

 $00:56:39.000 \longrightarrow 00:56:40.520$  the neuroendocrine differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9359382

00:56:44.600 --> 00:56:47.399 Any other questions from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.947424084285714

 $00:56:47.400 \longrightarrow 00:56:51.080$  OK, Thank you again so very much. Thank you.