WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "01:19:45.536" NOTE Confidence: 0.9860934

 $00{:}00{:}00{:}160 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}00{:}01.120$ Really, it's a pleasure to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9860934

 $00:00:01.120 \longrightarrow 00:00:01.920$ be here tonight. My name

NOTE Confidence: 0.9860934

00:00:01.920 --> 00:00:03.199 is Pamela Coons, and I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.9860934

 $00{:}00{:}03.199 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}04.580$ a GI medical oncologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.98843926

 $00:00:05.359 \longrightarrow 00:00:06.799$ and the chief of GI

NOTE Confidence: 0.98843926

 $00:00:06.799 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.700$ medical oncology

NOTE Confidence: 0.96358806

00:00:08.080 --> 00:00:09.679 here at Yale Cancer Center

NOTE Confidence: 0.96358806

00:00:09.679 --> 00:00:11.059 and Smilow Cancer Hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99913883

 $00:00:11.599 \longrightarrow 00:00:13.105$ So, as I just mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987545

 $00:00:13.405 \longrightarrow 00:00:14.144$ we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9390334

00:00:14.684 --> 00:00:17.005 rounding out the four part

NOTE Confidence: 0.9390334

 $00{:}00{:}17.005 --> 00{:}00{:}18.605$ CME we binar series for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9390334

 $00:00:18.605 \longrightarrow 00:00:20.545$ center for GI cancers following

NOTE Confidence: 0.9390334

00:00:20.685 --> 00:00:22.545 the GI oncology urine review,

 $00:00:23.005 \longrightarrow 00:00:24.945$ then colorectal cancer and gastroesophageal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9719917

00:00:25.325 --> 00:00:26.305 cancer. And to night,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98258924

 $00:00:26.685 \longrightarrow 00:00:27.985$ we are talking about neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986881

 $00:00:28.500 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.000$ cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9983162

 $00:00:30.980 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.840$ It's my pleasure to introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.9983162

 $00:00:33.060 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.680$ my colleagues this evening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9859643

00:00:35.140 --> 00:00:36.500 So first off, I will

NOTE Confidence: 0.9859643

 $00:00:36.500 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.200$ be talking about just NETS

NOTE Confidence: 0.9859643

 $00:00:38.260 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.540$ one zero one and some

NOTE Confidence: 0.9859643

 $00:00:39.540 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.680$ systemic treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95901996

 $00{:}00{:}41.045 \longrightarrow 00{:}00{:}42.645$ Doctor David Klemstra is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.95901996

00:00:42.645 --> 00:00:43.784 professor of pathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99706507

 $00:00:44.325 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.445$ and he will be talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.99706507

00:00:45.445 --> 00:00:46.745 about NET pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94921803

00:00:47.845 --> 00:00:49.604 Doctor John Kuntzmann is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.94921803

 $00:00:49.604 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.284$ assistant professor of surgery and

00:00:51.284 --> 00:00:52.345 surgical oncology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7976837

 $00{:}00{:}52.725 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}53.700$ and he will be discussing

NOTE Confidence: 0.7976837 00:00:53.700 --> 00:00:54.200 the NOTE Confidence: 0.9661551

 $00:00:55.500 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.000$ primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.9589959

 $00{:}00{:}57.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}59.620$ and metastatic disease. And doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9589959

 $00:00:59.620 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.620$ Gabriella Spilberg is an assistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.9589959

 $00:01:01.620 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.720$ professor of radiology and biomedical

NOTE Confidence: 0.9589959

 $00:01:03.780 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.700$ engineering and nuclear medicine, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9589959

 $00:01:05.700 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.920$ we'll talk about theranostics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9841385

00:01:07.515 --> 00:01:08.555 We'll each talk for about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9841385

00:01:08.555 --> 00:01:10.075 fifteen minutes, and then we'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.9841385

 $00:01:10.075 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.515$ have a thirty minute q

NOTE Confidence: 0.9841385

 $00{:}01{:}11.515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}12.255$ and a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.972747

00:01:14.955 --> 00:01:16.315 Alright. So to kick off,

NOTE Confidence: 0.972747

 $00{:}01{:}16.315 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}17.855$ I'm gonna do an introductory

 $00:01:18.155 \longrightarrow 00:01:19.935$ just around language and nomenclature

NOTE Confidence: 0.972747

 $00:01:20.155 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.895$ of NETs

NOTE Confidence: 0.9865067

 $00{:}01{:}21.275 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}23.470$ and some discussion around systemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9865067

 $00:01:23.470 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.970$ treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9430887

 $00:01:25.069 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.050$ These are my disclosures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99600285

 $00:01:28.590 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.709$ And this is the outline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99600285

00:01:29.709 --> 00:01:30.830 So I'll talk briefly about

NOTE Confidence: 0.99600285

00:01:30.830 --> 00:01:32.290 epidemiology and nomenclature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99422705

 $00{:}01{:}33.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}34.830$ characteristics that impact how we

NOTE Confidence: 0.99422705

 $00:01:34.830 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.890$ think about treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968499

 $00{:}01{:}36.385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}37.905$ and then discuss treatments for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968499

 $00:01:37.905 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.944$ tumor control. I'll give an

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968499

 $00:01:38.944 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.985$ overview, but then I'll also

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968499

 $00:01:39.985 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.865$ talk about some of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968499

00:01:40.865 --> 00:01:42.145 newest treatments in the last

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968499

 $00:01:42.145 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.965$ couple of years.

 $00:01:43.985 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.104$ So this is a slide

NOTE Confidence: 0.99510586

 $00:01:45.104 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.985$ I'd love to use because

NOTE Confidence: 0.99510586

 $00:01:45.985 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.365$ I think it really shows

NOTE Confidence: 0.99510586

 $00:01:47.585 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.505$ how much progress we've made

NOTE Confidence: 0.99510586

 $00:01:49.505 \longrightarrow 00:01:50.705$ in the last couple of

NOTE Confidence: 0.99510586

 $00:01:50.705 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.205$ decades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863795

 $00:01:51.770 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.770$ So until the late nineteen

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863795

 $00:01:53.770 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.530$ eighties, we only had two

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863795

 $00:01:55.530 \longrightarrow 00:01:57.310$ available therapies. Streptazosin

NOTE Confidence: 0.96667373

 $00{:}01{:}57.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}59.310$ was FDA approved for pancreatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.96667373

 $00:01:59.370 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.070$ NETs, and octreotide

NOTE Confidence: 0.996877

 $00:02:01.450 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.790$ was approved for hormone control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99971116

 $00{:}02{:}04.135 --> 00{:}02{:}05.595$ And then we had almost

NOTE Confidence: 0.99971116

 $00:02:05.655 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.115$ nothing for about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9966262

00:02:07.655 --> 00:02:09.834 twenty years. And then starting

 $00:02:09.975 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.895$ in twenty eleven, we had

NOTE Confidence: 0.9966262

00:02:11.895 --> 00:02:12.715 this explosion

NOTE Confidence: 0.963916

 $00:02:13.495 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.675$ of available systemic treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595059

00:02:16.375 --> 00:02:18.090 That's that's below the timeline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595059

 $00:02:18.090 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.470$ And then above the timeline,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595059

 $00:02:19.690 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.310$ we had advances in imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95454216

 $00:02:21.770 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.970$ And we'll talk about all

NOTE Confidence: 0.95454216

 $00:02:22.970 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.169$ of those things this this

NOTE Confidence: 0.95454216

 $00:02:24.169 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.669$ evening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9522776

00:02:26.250 --> 00:02:27.450 So many of you, I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.9522776

 $00:02:27.450 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.570$ sure, have heard the word

NOTE Confidence: 0.9522776

 $00:02:28.570 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.525$ carcinoid, which means cancer like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9522776

 $00:02:30.525 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.885$ This term was coined by

NOTE Confidence: 0.9522776

00:02:31.885 --> 00:02:34.044 a German pathologist, doctor Orban

NOTE Confidence: 0.9522776

 $00:02:34.044 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.325$ Dorfer, in the early nineteen

NOTE Confidence: 0.9522776

 $00{:}02{:}35.325 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}36.845$ hundreds. And while this was

 $00:02:36.845 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.605$ a really important contribution to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9522776

 $00:02:38.605 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.264$ the field,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996584

00:02:39.565 --> 00:02:40.845 it also was a bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996584

 $00:02:40.845 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.345$ misleading.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986278

 $00{:}02{:}41.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}44.060$ So he initially described these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986278

00:02:44.060 --> 00:02:46.320 tumors as small and multifocal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9688304

 $00:02:46.780 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.760$ with undifferentiated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9980092

 $00:02:48.220 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.200$ cellular formations

NOTE Confidence: 0.95253855

 $00:02:49.580 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.780$ that they had well defined

NOTE Confidence: 0.95253855

 $00:02:50.780 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.880$ borders, had no metastatic potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.95253855

 $00:02:53.100 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.139$ and were slow growing and

NOTE Confidence: 0.95253855

00:02:54.139 --> 00:02:55.919 harmless. And it was for

NOTE Confidence: 0.95253855

 $00{:}02{:}56.175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}57.614$ almost a hundred years after

NOTE Confidence: 0.95253855 00:02:57.614 --> 00:02:58.114 this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9060912

 $00:02:58.415 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.915$ that,

 $00:02:59.455 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.895$ for a very long time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99819726

00:03:00.895 --> 00:03:02.334 these were actually not even

NOTE Confidence: 0.99819726

 $00:03:02.334 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.474$ considered cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97795177

 $00:03:03.855 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.655$ It was really in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97795177 00:03:04.655 --> 00:03:05.155 late, NOTE Confidence: 0.96640074

 $00:03:05.614 \longrightarrow 00:03:07.694$ nineteen nineties, early two thousands

NOTE Confidence: 0.96640074

 $00:03:07.694 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.735$ that they were described as

NOTE Confidence: 0.96640074

 $00:03:08.735 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.014$ cancers and captured in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96640074

 $00:03:10.014 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.555$ SEER database as cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984393

 $00:03:12.200 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.880$ And, so that that does

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984393

 $00{:}03{:}13.880 \to 00{:}03{:}16.139$ make some epidemiologic studies trickier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99855673

 $00:03:18.040 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.419$ So in terms of epidemiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840398

 $00:03:19.800 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.720$ both incidence and prevalence, on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840398

 $00:03:21.720 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.400$ the left is a figure

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840398

 $00:03:23.400 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.180$ of incidence. So the incidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840398

 $00{:}03{:}25.240 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}03{:}26.864$ or number diagnosed per year

 $00:03:26.864 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.924$ is actually low,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97142625

 $00:03:28.465 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.745$ but rising. So that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.97142625

 $00:03:29.745 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.905$ the the yellow line in

NOTE Confidence: 0.97142625

 $00:03:31.905 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.345$ comparison to the blue line,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97142625

 $00:03:33.345 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.385$ which is the incidence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97142625

 $00:03:34.385 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.605$ all malignant neoplasms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95239353

 $00:03:36.305 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.745$ So the incidence of NETs

NOTE Confidence: 0.95239353

 $00:03:37.745 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.185$ at present is about eight

NOTE Confidence: 0.95239353

 $00:03:39.185 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.110$ to ten per hundred thousand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96834546

 $00:03:41.490 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.270$ but has increased pretty dramatically

NOTE Confidence: 0.96834546

 $00:03:43.330 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.630$ over the last thirty years

NOTE Confidence: 0.96834546

 $00:03:44.690 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.130$ thought to be in large

NOTE Confidence: 0.96834546

 $00{:}03{:}46.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}47.510$ part due to better diagnostics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9665412

00:03:48.610 --> 00:03:49.110 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9922145

 $00:03:49.650 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.490$ that is probably not enough

 $00:03:51.490 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.150$ to describe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992621

 $00:03:53.010 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.830$ to exclusively

NOTE Confidence: 0.99928546

 $00:03:54.905 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.665$ describe the reasons for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99928546

 $00:03:56.665 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.165$ increase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9211714

 $00:03:57.945 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.145$ Prevalence is the number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9211714

 $00{:}03{:}59.145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}01.145$ patients alive, and really NETs

NOTE Confidence: 0.9211714

 $00:04:01.145 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.845$ have higher prevalence than previously

NOTE Confidence: 0.9211714

 $00:04:02.905 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.405$ appreciated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96777004

 $00{:}04{:}03.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}05.385$ NET prevalence exceeds that of

NOTE Confidence: 0.96777004

 $00:04:05.385 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.085$ stomach and pancreatic adenocarcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.94584775

 $00:04:07.625 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.860$ combined. So really a much

NOTE Confidence: 0.94584775

 $00:04:08.860 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.780$ bigger public health problem. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.94584775

 $00:04:10.780 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.460$ in fact, NETs at present

NOTE Confidence: 0.94584775

 $00:04:12.460 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.080$ are the second most common

NOTE Confidence: 0.94584775

 $00:04:14.140 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.960$ GI malignancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99381834

 $00:04:17.580 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.180$ Recently, a new version of

 $00{:}04{:}19.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}20.940$ the AJCC guidelines came out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99381834

 $00:04:20.940 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.760$ This is version

NOTE Confidence: 0.98828983

 $00:04:22.495 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.695$ nine. And we are starting

NOTE Confidence: 0.98828983

 $00:04:23.695 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.855$ to see better data around

NOTE Confidence: 0.98828983

 $00{:}04{:}25.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}27.135$ overall survival. And I thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.98828983

00:04:27.135 --> 00:04:28.895 just juxtaposing these was really

NOTE Confidence: 0.98828983

 $00:04:28.895 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.095$ important to show you that

NOTE Confidence: 0.98828983

 $00:04:30.095 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.255$ the five year overall survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.98828983

00:04:32.255 --> 00:04:33.635 for pancreatic NETs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97855514

 $00{:}04{:}35.099 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}37.020$ for metastatic pancreatic NETs is

NOTE Confidence: 0.97855514

 $00{:}04{:}37.020 --> 00{:}04{:}38.379$ about six to seven years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97855514

 $00{:}04{:}38.379 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}40.139$ and for metastatic small bowel

NOTE Confidence: 0.97855514

00:04:40.139 --> 00:04:41.339 NETs is eight to ten

NOTE Confidence: 0.97855514

 $00:04:41.339 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.839$ years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9638752

 $00:04:42.219 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.659$ It it can differ, as

 $00:04:43.659 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.960$ you can see, by pathologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9638752

 $00{:}04{:}45.180 --> 00{:}04{:}45.680 \ \mathrm{stage}.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511065

 $00:04:46.060 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.939$ But I think that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511065

 $00:04:46.939 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.699$ really made significant advances in

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511065

 $00:04:48.699 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.539$ treating patients with metastatic disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511065

 $00:04:50.539 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.035$ such that they live for

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511065

 $00:04:52.035 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.055$ years, and these are really

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511065

 $00:04:54.354 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.875$ metastatic NETs is really more

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511065

 $00:04:55.875 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.074$ of a chronic condition in

NOTE Confidence: 0.97511065

 $00:04:57.074 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.895$ chronic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9791567

 $00{:}04{:}59.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}01.074$ NETs are epithelial neoplasms that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9791567

 $00:05:01.074 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.595$ are derived from nirnapine cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.9791567

00:05:02.595 --> 00:05:04.290 throughout the body. Most grow

NOTE Confidence: 0.9791567

 $00:05:04.290 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.730$ slowly in comparison with their

NOTE Confidence: 0.9791567

00:05:05.730 --> 00:05:06.230 adenocarcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.9969164

00:05:06.610 --> 00:05:07.110 counterparts.

 $00:05:07.570 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.490$ The majority are sporadic with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9787854

 $00:05:09.490 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.770$ about ten percent or less

NOTE Confidence: 0.9787854

 $00:05:10.770 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.390$ associated with familial syndromes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9430878

00:05:12.930 --> 00:05:14.690 And really pathognomonic for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9430878

 $00:05:14.690 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.970$ disease is the presence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9430878

00:05:15.970 --> 00:05:16.470 somatostatin

NOTE Confidence: 0.9290153

 $00:05:16.850 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.350$ receptors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9833719

 $00{:}05{:}17.714 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}18.915$ Eighty to ninety percent of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9833719

 $00:05:18.915 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.315$ NETs overexpress somatostatin receptor type

NOTE Confidence: 0.9833719

00:05:21.315 --> 00:05:21.815 two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9966512

 $00:05:23.475 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.335$ The diagnostic workup includes

NOTE Confidence: 0.92831975

 $00:05:25.794 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.495$ really at the foundation

NOTE Confidence: 0.95491964

 $00{:}05{:}27.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}29.315$ cross sectional imaging. That is

NOTE Confidence: 0.95491964

 $00:05:29.315 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.755$ the primary tool that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.95491964

00:05:30.755 --> 00:05:32.730 monitor these patients with,

00:05:33.210 --> 00:05:35.130 Either a multiphasic CT and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201475

 $00:05:35.130 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.950$ that multiphasic

NOTE Confidence: 0.98626214

 $00:05:36.330 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.390$ is really critical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9957124

00:05:37.770 --> 00:05:40.029 We highly recommend arterial phase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9730517

 $00:05:40.570 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.110$ imaging as part of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9730517

 $00:05:42.410 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.430$ An MRI is also acceptable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95471185

 $00:05:44.935 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.854$ And then somatostatin receptor imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.95471185

 $00:05:46.854 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.134$ with either gallium sixty eight

NOTE Confidence: 0.95471185

 $00{:}05{:}48.134 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}49.574$ DOTAPET or copper sixty four,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95471185

 $00:05:49.574 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.014$ which doctor Spilberg will will

NOTE Confidence: 0.95471185

 $00:05:51.014 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.915$ talk about later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97866756

 $00:05:52.294 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.975$ has also become quite important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97866756

 $00{:}05{:}53.975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}56.055$ So the somatostatin receptor based

NOTE Confidence: 0.97866756

 $00{:}05{:}56.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}57.495$ imaging is often avid in

NOTE Confidence: 0.97866756

 $00:05:57.495 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.555$ low grade disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.977021

 $00:05:59.009 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.289$ but not avid in high

 $00{:}06{:}00.289 --> 00{:}06{:}01.970$ grade. And the opposite is

NOTE Confidence: 0.977021

00:06:01.970 --> 00:06:03.750 true for f eighteen FDG

NOTE Confidence: 0.977021

 $00:06:03.810 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.250$ PET. It's avid in high

NOTE Confidence: 0.977021

 $00:06:05.250 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.289$ grade and not in low

NOTE Confidence: 0.977021

 $00{:}06{:}06.289 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}06.789$ grade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835576

 $00:06:07.889 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.490$ Tissue diagnosis, we have some

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835576

 $00:06:09.490 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.710$ minimum data elements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9837832

 $00:06:11.185 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.464$ We like to see doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9837832

00:06:12.464 --> 00:06:13.904 Klimstra will be talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9837832

 $00:06:13.904 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.425$ this, in detail, so I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9837832

 $00:06:15.425 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.305$ will not be going over

NOTE Confidence: 0.9837832

 $00:06:16.305 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.805$ this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94053346

 $00:06:17.265 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.625$ And then hormone and tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.94053346

 $00:06:18.625 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.625$ markers, we sometimes measure twenty

NOTE Confidence: 0.94053346

00:06:20.625 --> 00:06:21.904 four hour urine and plasma

 $00:06:21.904 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.425$ five five HI. I'm I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.94053346

 $00:06:23.425 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.180$ really pretty much pivoted using

NOTE Confidence: 0.94053346

 $00:06:25.180 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.860$ the plasma test. It's much

NOTE Confidence: 0.94053346

 $00:06:26.860 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.920$ easier for patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9592965

 $00:06:28.620 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.080$ And there are some specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.9592965

00:06:30.140 --> 00:06:32.480 peptides and amines like glucagon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9592965

 $00:06:32.779 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.920$ insulin, gastrin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98608017

 $00:06:34.620 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.560$ And and I've really stopped

NOTE Confidence: 0.98608017

 $00{:}06{:}36.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}38.425$ checking chromogranin a. So I

NOTE Confidence: 0.98608017 00:06:38.425 --> 00:06:38.925 I, NOTE Confidence: 0.96355665

 $00{:}06{:}39.384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}40.925$ say here resist the temptation

NOTE Confidence: 0.96355665

 $00:06:40.985 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.345$ to order chromogranin a. I

NOTE Confidence: 0.96355665

 $00:06:42.345 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.404$ find that it is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9830886

 $00:06:43.944 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.625$ quite variable. It often leads

NOTE Confidence: 0.9830886

 $00:06:45.625 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.904$ to more anxiety than it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9830886

 $00:06:46.904 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.025$ is helpful both for the

 $00:06:48.025 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.485$ physician and for the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99955684

 $00:06:49.785 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.585$ I don't find it a

NOTE Confidence: 0.99955684

00:06:50.585 --> 00:06:51.485 useful biomarker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9941792

 $00:06:53.640 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.080$ So there are, in my

NOTE Confidence: 0.9941792

 $00:06:55.080 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.700$ mind, a number of characteristics

NOTE Confidence: 0.9941792

 $00:06:57.000 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.140$ that that help,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98867315

 $00:06:59.000 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.760$ me decide how to treat

NOTE Confidence: 0.98867315

 $00:07:00.760 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.720$ the patient in front of

NOTE Confidence: 0.98867315

 $00:07:01.720 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.900$ me. They include functional status,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9733722

 $00:07:04.694 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.814$ so whether or not a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9733722

 $00:07:05.814 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.495$ patient has symptoms of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9733722

 $00:07:07.495 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.714$ a measurable hormone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94242114

00:07:09.175 --> 00:07:10.715 primary site stage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997813

 $00:07:11.254 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.395$ volume of disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.999264

 $00:07:13.014 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.995$ degree of differentiation,

00:07:14.534 --> 00:07:16.555 the WHO grade, the somatostatin

NOTE Confidence: 0.9301758

 $00{:}07{:}16.775 --> 00{:}07{:}17.754 \ {\rm receptor \ status},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.98290646

00:07:18.620 --> 00:07:20.080 germline and somatic mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977187

 $00:07:20.940 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.300$ sex, gender, race, and social

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977187

 $00:07:22.300 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.580$ determinants of health. I'm only

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977187

00:07:23.580 --> 00:07:24.620 gonna focus on a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977187

 $00:07:24.620 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.500$ of these, but I'm really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977187

 $00:07:25.500 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.500$ gonna focus primarily on on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977187

 $00:07:27.500 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.880$ how we select the treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.999629

 $00:07:29.660 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.200$ So treatment for

NOTE Confidence: 0.97936374

00:07:31.604 --> 00:07:33.444 tumor control for NETs. I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.97936374

 $00{:}07{:}33.444 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}34.645$ really gonna focus on just

NOTE Confidence: 0.97936374

00:07:34.645 --> 00:07:35.384 the neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.988923

 $00{:}07{:}35.925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}37.525$ tumors. I'm not gonna talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.988923

00:07:37.525 --> 00:07:40.104 about poorly differentiated neuroendocrine carci-

nomas

 $00:07:40.245 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.285$ to night just for the sake

NOTE Confidence: 0.988923

 $00:07:41.285 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.565$ of time. So these four

NOTE Confidence: 0.988923

00:07:42.565 --> 00:07:44.425 buckets are somatostatin analogs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99991584

 $00:07:45.389 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.210$ targeted therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9999652

 $00:07:46.990 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.490$ cytotoxic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977334

 $00:07:47.790 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.290$ chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99906665

 $00:07:49.070 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.770$ and radioligand therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9683478

00:07:51.870 --> 00:07:53.389 So doctor Spielberg will talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9683478

00:07:53.389 --> 00:07:54.750 about radioligand therapy. I will

NOTE Confidence: 0.9683478

 $00:07:54.750 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.949$ not include that in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9683478

 $00:07:55.949 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.610$ my talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9958812

 $00:07:57.814 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.474$ So the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9981675

 $00:07:58.775 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.275$ overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.9646567

 $00:07:59.814 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.074$ gap net treatment approach includes

NOTE Confidence: 0.87597924

 $00:08:02.534 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.314$ surgical surgical debulking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114201

 $00{:}08{:}04.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}06.215$ If possible, we resect the

 $00:08:06.215 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.634$ primary and even sometimes metastatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9114201

 $00:08:08.854 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.354$ disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97798395

 $00:08:09.750 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.030$ For pancreatic NETs less than

NOTE Confidence: 0.97798395

 $00:08:11.030 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.310$ two centimeters, we can also

NOTE Confidence: 0.97798395

 $00:08:12.310 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.670$ consider observation, and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.97798395

 $00:08:13.670 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.370$ adapted from the NCCN guidelines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92595965

00:08:15.670 --> 00:08:16.890 I will let doctor Kuntzmann

NOTE Confidence: 0.92595965

00:08:17.030 --> 00:08:18.470 focus on talking about surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92595965

00:08:18.470 --> 00:08:19.850 both for primary and METs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9970375

 $00:08:20.390 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.850$ If a patient has unresectable

NOTE Confidence: 0.9970375

 $00{:}08{:}22.150 --{>} 00{:}08{:}22.650 \ \mathrm{metastatic}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.99850076

 $00:08:23.055 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.495$ and low tumor burden disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99850076

 $00{:}08{:}24.495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}25.395$ we often observe

NOTE Confidence: 0.95334363

 $00:08:26.095 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.854$ or use a somatostatin analog

NOTE Confidence: 0.95334363

 $00:08:27.854 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.995$ as first line treatment.

 $00:08:29.455 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.514$ If they have unresectable

NOTE Confidence: 0.9130102

 $00{:}08{:}31.134 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}33.235$ metastatic disease and have symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.9130102

00:08:33.295 --> 00:08:34.415 for their from their primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.9130102

 $00:08:34.415 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.915$ tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96571386

 $00:08:35.720 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.160$ Again, doctor Kuntzmann will talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.96571386

 $00:08:37.160 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.840$ about indications for resection of

NOTE Confidence: 0.96571386

 $00:08:38.840 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.900$ the primary tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9735257

 $00:08:40.360 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.400$ And then if patients have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9735257

 $00{:}08{:}41.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}43.500$ unresectable metastatic or clinically

NOTE Confidence: 0.9886326

 $00:08:43.880 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.380$ significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.96417814

 $00:08:45.240 \longrightarrow 00:08:46.840$ tumor burden, we will think

NOTE Confidence: 0.96417814

 $00:08:46.840 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.360$ about SSA as first line

NOTE Confidence: 0.96417814

 $00:08:48.360 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.595$ or other systemic treatment options.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96417814

 $00:08:50.895 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.255$ And those options, again, as

NOTE Confidence: 0.96417814

 $00:08:52.255 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.455$ as seen in that timeline,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96417814

 $00:08:53.455 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.195$ have really

 $00:08:54.575 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.355$ expanded over the last decade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97191715

 $00{:}08{:}57.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}58.655$ I've separated it into small

NOTE Confidence: 0.97191715

 $00:08:58.655 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.335$ bowel NET and pancreatic NET.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97191715

 $00:09:00.335 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.695$ There are some slight differences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97191715

 $00{:}09{:}01.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}03.475$ although there is some overlap.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98828685

 $00{:}09{:}04.735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}07.050$ The optimal sequence of the rapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.98828685

00:09:07.190 --> 00:09:08.570 is currently unknown,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9976201

 $00:09:09.510 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.550$ and we are starting to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9976201 00:09:10.550 --> 00:09:11.050 see NOTE Confidence: 0.9659481

 $00:09:11.590 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.670$ more clinical trials emerge that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9659481

 $00{:}09{:}13.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}15.590$ compare active agent to active

NOTE Confidence: 0.9659481

 $00:09:15.590 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.870$ agent. So we will start

NOTE Confidence: 0.9659481

00:09:16.870 --> 00:09:18.105 learning a little bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9659481

 $00{:}09{:}18.184 --> 00{:}09{:}18.845 \text{ about sequence}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.8316421500:09:19.304 --> 00:09:19.385 and,

 $00:09:20.584 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.425$ sort of comparisons of survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.99819255

 $00{:}09{:}22.425 --> 00{:}09{:}23.625$ and response rates as we

NOTE Confidence: 0.99819255

 $00{:}09{:}23.625 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}25.005$ get data from those studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.997259

00:09:26.024 --> 00:09:27.704 So I'm gonna briefly review

NOTE Confidence: 0.997259

 $00:09:27.704 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.225$ some of our FDA approved

NOTE Confidence: 0.997259

 $00:09:29.225 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.100$ agents and the studies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.997259

 $00:09:31.100 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.000$ led to those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9554459

 $00:09:32.620 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.059$ So we we know that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9554459

 $00{:}09{:}34.059 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}09{:}36.559$ somatostatin analogs have an anticancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9554459

 $00:09:36.860 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.700$ effect or anti proliferative effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.9554459

 $00:09:38.700 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.660$ on the basis of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9554459

 $00:09:39.660 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.600$ PROMID and the CLARINET studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9801157

00:09:42.059 --> 00:09:43.740 The CLARINET study led to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9801157

 $00{:}09{:}43.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}45.304$ the FDA approval of lanreotide

NOTE Confidence: 0.997282

 $00:09:45.765 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.385$ for tumor control in twenty

NOTE Confidence: 0.997282

 $00:09:47.605 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.965$ four teen on the basis of

 $00{:}09{:}48.965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}51.385$ a prolonged progression free survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97585964

 $00:09:51.925 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.385$ PFS is the primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.9245196

 $00:09:53.925 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.005$ endpoint for most neuroendocrine tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9245196

 $00:09:56.005 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.304$ studies mostly because

NOTE Confidence: 0.98136264

 $00:09:57.660 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.700$ OS is going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.98136264

00:09:58.700 --> 00:10:00.399 impractical given that NETs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.968982

 $00:10:01.420 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.339$ have a much longer overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.968982

 $00:10:03.339 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.260$ survival. Patients have often gone

NOTE Confidence: 0.968982

 $00:10:05.260 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.019$ to get subsequent therapies. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.968982

 $00:10:07.019 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.540$ overall survival ends up being

NOTE Confidence: 0.968982

 $00:10:08.540 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.079$ a really impractical endpoint.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9729529

 $00{:}10{:}12.925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}15.324$ Somatostatin analogs do not shrink

NOTE Confidence: 0.9729529

00:10:15.324 --> 00:10:16.285 NETs, so I think that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9729529

 $00:10:16.285 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.964$ another very important point. The

NOTE Confidence: 0.9729529

 $00:10:17.964 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.004$ response rate is in the

 $00:10:19.004 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.745$ single digits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993827

 $00{:}10{:}20.125 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}22.384$ Side effects include nausea, diarrhea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99468166

 $00:10:23.324 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.824$ gallstones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9978094

 $00:10:25.245 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.064$ and hyperglycemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9460073

 $00:10:29.059 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.380$ Everolimus is also FDA approved

NOTE Confidence: 0.9460073

 $00:10:31.380 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.300$ really across the board for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9460073

00:10:33.300 --> 00:10:35.620 pancreatic NET, GI, and lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.9460073

 $00:10:35.620 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.220$ NET. There was a series

NOTE Confidence: 0.9460073

 $00:10:37.220 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.760$ of studies called the radiant

NOTE Confidence: 0.9460073

 $00:10:39.035 \longrightarrow 00:10:39.355$ trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.997124

 $00{:}10{:}40.315 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}42.075$ So RADIENT three and RADIENT

NOTE Confidence: 0.997124

 $00:10:42.075 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.615$ four were the key trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.9873029

 $00:10:43.915 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.434$ that led to FDA approval

NOTE Confidence: 0.9873029

 $00{:}10{:}45.434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}47.434$ in the respective primary sites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9873029

 $00:10:47.434 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.554$ So RADIENT three was for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9873029

 $00{:}10{:}48.554 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}51.115$ pancreatic NET. RADIENT four was

00:10:51.115 --> 00:10:52.710 for GI and Lung NET.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9873029

 $00:10:52.870 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.670$ And you can see here

NOTE Confidence: 0.9873029

 $00:10:53.670 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.950$ that the median PFS is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9873029

 $00:10:54.950 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.390$ actually very similar in those

NOTE Confidence: 0.9873029

00:10:56.390 --> 00:10:56.890 studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9953193

 $00:10:57.350 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.870$ with an absolute difference of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9953193

00:10:58.870 --> 00:10:59.370 about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993922

 $00:10:59.750 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.950$ five to six months for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993922

 $00:11:00.950 \longrightarrow 00:11:01.770$ both of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91521454

00:11:03.030 --> 00:11:04.090 Treatment outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827832

 $00{:}11{:}04.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}05.050$ also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9822534

00:11:05.505 --> 00:11:07.345 this agent does not yield

NOTE Confidence: 0.9822534

 $00{:}11{:}07.345 --> 00{:}11{:}07.845 \text{ shrinkage},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.98959225

 $00{:}11{:}08.225 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}10.625$ single digit response rates, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.98959225

 $00{:}11{:}10.625 \to 00{:}11{:}12.785$ prolongs progression free survival. Side

 $00:11:12.785 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.905$ effects, this is a more

NOTE Confidence: 0.98959225

00:11:13.905 --> 00:11:14.405 difficult

NOTE Confidence: 0.9526487

 $00:11:14.785 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.025$ treatment than somatostatin analog, so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9526487

00:11:17.025 --> 00:11:17.925 it causes hyperglycemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9531069

00:11:18.705 --> 00:11:19.205 fatigue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9749732

 $00:11:19.850 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.350$ stomatitis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99642706

00:11:20.730 --> 00:11:22.670 rash, pneumonitis, and diarrhea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9799175

 $00:11:23.290 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.910$ So these were approved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99244136

 $00:11:25.343 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.270$ in twenty eleven.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99122727

 $00:11:29.210 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.710$ Sunitinib

NOTE Confidence: 0.9939637

 $00:11:30.090 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.710$ is FDA approved for pancreatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9939637

 $00:11:32.010 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.510$ NETs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9318161

00:11:33.105 --> 00:11:35.425 Again, very similar PFS data

NOTE Confidence: 0.9318161

 $00:11:35.425 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.485$ compared to Everlimus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9574141

 $00:11:37.184 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.405$ So this was a sunitinib

NOTE Confidence: 0.9574141

00:11:38.465 --> 00:11:40.405 versus placebo study in pancreatic

00:11:40.545 --> 00:11:42.465 NET. Medium PFS was eleven

NOTE Confidence: 0.9574141

00:11:42.465 --> 00:11:43.285 months versus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9990778

 $00:11:43.585 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.205$ excuse me, versus five months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9648601

00:11:45.910 --> 00:11:47.190 This I've sort of given

NOTE Confidence: 0.9648601

 $00:11:47.190 \longrightarrow 00:11:48.890$ the these, trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.9957974

00:11:49.750 --> 00:11:50.710 presenting to you in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9957974

00:11:50.710 --> 00:11:52.410 way that they become increasingly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9957974

 $00:11:52.550 \longrightarrow 00:11:54.309$ difficult more difficult in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.9957974

 $00:11:54.309 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.570$ of side effects. So sunitinib

NOTE Confidence: 0.9957974

00:11:56.790 --> 00:11:59.130 can cause hypertension, fatigue, diarrhea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9976522

 $00{:}11{:}59.750 --> 00{:}12{:}01.370$ nausea, vomiting, and rash.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99858654

 $00:12:02.845 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.005$ So the newest tyrosine kinase

NOTE Confidence: 0.99858654

 $00{:}12{:}05.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}07.324$ inhibitor that was just FDA

NOTE Confidence: 0.99858654

 $00:12:07.324 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.764$ approved in April of twenty

NOTE Confidence: 0.99858654

00:12:08.764 --> 00:12:10.225 five, so just last month,

 $00:12:10.764 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.665$ is cabozantinib.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9979626

 $00:12:12.365 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.865$ Cabozantinib

NOTE Confidence: 0.94645107

 $00:12:13.324 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.000$ has slightly different targets than

NOTE Confidence: 0.94645107

00:12:15.000 --> 00:12:17.260 sunitinib, most notably CNET.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98710585

 $00:12:18.280 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.260$ This was a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97664726

 $00:12:20.280 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.980$ a sort of two cohort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98304033

 $00:12:22.840 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.960$ study. So they had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.98304033

 $00:12:23.960 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.640$ pancreatic NET study and an

NOTE Confidence: 0.98304033

 $00{:}12{:}25.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}27.240$ extra pancreatic NET study. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.98304033

 $00:12:27.240 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.600$ in the extra pancreatic NET

NOTE Confidence: 0.98304033

00:12:28.600 --> 00:12:29.740 cohort, which is the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984215

 $00{:}12{:}30.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}31.005$ Kaplan Meier curve that you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984215

 $00:12:31.005 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.225$ looking at here, we saw

NOTE Confidence: 0.9631338

 $00:12:32.605 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.304$ a prolongation of PFS

NOTE Confidence: 0.97824144

 $00:12:34.684 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.505$ for cabozantinib

NOTE Confidence: 0.998893

00:12:35.885 --> 00:12:36.705 versus placebo.

 $00{:}12{:}37.325 \to 00{:}12{:}38.684$ The response rate was single

NOTE Confidence: 0.96095616

00:12:38.684 --> 00:12:39.825 digits four percent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9985204

 $00:12:40.524 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.464$ For the pancreatic NET cohort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9884564

 $00:12:43.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.780$ I saw a slightly longer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9884564

 $00:12:44.920 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.920$ median PFS, and the response

NOTE Confidence: 0.9884564

 $00:12:46.920 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.960$ rate was actually higher. It

NOTE Confidence: 0.9884564

 $00:12:47.960 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.340$ was eighteen percent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9981929

 $00:12:49.720 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.160$ So this is now FDA

NOTE Confidence: 0.9981929

 $00:12:51.160 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.860$ approved for adults and pediatric

NOTE Confidence: 0.9981929

00:12:53.000 --> 00:12:54.460 patients with lung,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96015567

00:12:55.240 --> 00:12:57.480 GI, pancreas, and unknown primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.96015567

00:12:57.480 --> 00:12:57.975 NET,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92168957

 $00{:}12{:}58.454 --> 00{:}12{:}59.675$ because end of March.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9973227

 $00:13:03.894 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.675$ So in terms of chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8830311

00:13:07.014 --> 00:13:07.514 capecitabine

00:13:07.815 --> 00:13:08.315 temozolomide

NOTE Confidence: 0.96846294

 $00:13:08.774 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.170$ is used very commonly in

NOTE Confidence: 0.96846294

00:13:10.410 --> 00:13:11.850 pancreatic NET on the basis

NOTE Confidence: 0.96846294

00:13:11.850 --> 00:13:13.290 of the ECOG ACRAN twenty

NOTE Confidence: 0.96846294

 $00:13:13.290 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.429$ two eleven study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.999158

 $00:13:15.130 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.630$ And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9979143

00:13:16.010 --> 00:13:17.209 this, was a study I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9979143

 $00:13:17.209 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.809$ had the opportunity to lead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9979143

00:13:18.809 --> 00:13:19.550 It demonstrated

NOTE Confidence: 0.999423

 $00:13:20.170 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.870$ a benefit of the combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.999423

 $00:13:22.089 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.990$ arm compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.99795234

 $00:13:23.774 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.355$ to temozolomide

NOTE Confidence: 0.9487722

 $00:13:24.815 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.495$ alone. And also a really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9487722

 $00:13:26.495 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.934$ key takeaway is that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9487722

 $00:13:27.934 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.375$ does in fact yield tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9487722

00:13:29.375 --> 00:13:31.135 shrinkage. So about a forty

 $00{:}13{:}31.135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}32.495$ percent response rate for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9487722

00:13:32.495 --> 00:13:33.475 combination arm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95702785

00:13:33.934 --> 00:13:35.375 Pretty well tolerated, but can

NOTE Confidence: 0.95702785

 $00:13:35.375 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.115$ cause cytopenias,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98921657

 $00:13:36.815 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.160$ fatigue, diarrhea, nausea, vomiting, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.98921657

 $00:13:39.160 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.980$ hand foot syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9489089

00:13:41.960 --> 00:13:43.720 One key takeaway from this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9489089

 $00:13:43.880 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.920$ I'm gonna focus in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9489089

 $00:13:44.920 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.800$ figure on the right, is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9489089

 $00{:}13{:}45.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}47.000$ that I had mentioned response

NOTE Confidence: 0.9489089

 $00:13:47.000 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.440$ rate is high in both

NOTE Confidence: 0.9489089

 $00:13:48.440 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.940$ arms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99522156

 $00{:}13{:}49.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}50.600$ The study was not designed

NOTE Confidence: 0.99522156

 $00:13:50.600 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.800$ to detect a difference in

NOTE Confidence: 0.99522156

 $00:13:51.800 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.644$ response rate, but this is

 $00:13:53.644 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.324$ for a patient population who

NOTE Confidence: 0.99522156

 $00:13:55.324 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.084$ is in need of objective

NOTE Confidence: 0.99522156

 $00:13:57.084 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.584$ shrinkage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99837637

00:13:58.204 --> 00:13:59.485 Another takeaway is that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.99837637

 $00:13:59.485 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.605$ do not generally use this

NOTE Confidence: 0.99837637

 $00{:}14{:}00.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}01.804$ for patients with small bowel

NOTE Confidence: 0.99837637

 $00:14:01.804 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.304$ nets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9931411

 $00:14:03.964 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.404$ So as we're thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9931411

 $00:14:05.404 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.245$ selecting treatments, it's really critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.9931411

00:14:07.245 --> 00:14:09.050 to think about patient characteristics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95901835

 $00:14:10.470 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.350$ pay the patient in front

NOTE Confidence: 0.95901835

 $00:14:11.350 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.490$ of you. What other comorbidities

NOTE Confidence: 0.95901835

 $00:14:12.710 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.230$ do they have? Treatment outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.95901835

 $00:14:14.230 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.750$ do you need? Is stability

NOTE Confidence: 0.95901835

 $00:14:15.750 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.950$ gonna be sufficient, or do

NOTE Confidence: 0.95901835

 $00:14:16.950 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.230$ you really need a response

 $00:14:18.230 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.990$ if a patient has symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.95901835

 $00:14:19.990 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.270$ from tumor bulk or from

NOTE Confidence: 0.95901835

00:14:21.270 --> 00:14:21.770 hormones?

NOTE Confidence: 0.99884075

 $00:14:22.095 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.055$ And then as we think

NOTE Confidence: 0.99884075

 $00:14:23.055 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.115$ about our buckets

NOTE Confidence: 0.9913325

00:14:24.894 --> 00:14:27.074 of peptide receptor radionuclide therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9913325

00:14:27.214 --> 00:14:28.514 somatostatin analogs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95863026

00:14:28.894 --> 00:14:31.074 targeted therapies, and cytotoxic chemotherapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93470395

 $00:14:32.014 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.035$ the you can weigh these

NOTE Confidence: 0.93470395

 $00:14:34.095 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.995$ different variables.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9495975

 $00:14:36.810 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.190$ So trials to watch.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987349

00:14:38.570 --> 00:14:39.370 I'm not gonna go through

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987349

00:14:39.370 --> 00:14:40.330 these in detail, but I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987349

 $00:14:40.330 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.130$ want you to have these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987349

 $00{:}14{:}41.130 --> 00{:}14{:}41.630 \text{ available}$

 $00:14:41.930 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.950$ afterwards. The the our presentations

NOTE Confidence: 0.9649349

 $00{:}14{:}44.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}45.690$ are being recorded to night. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.9649349

00:14:45.690 --> 00:14:47.130 really exciting that we're starting

NOTE Confidence: 0.9649349

 $00:14:47.130 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.105$ to see we have an

NOTE Confidence: 0.9649349

00:14:48.345 --> 00:14:50.024 adjuvant study in pancreatic NET

NOTE Confidence: 0.9649349

 $00:14:50.024 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.764$ of CAPTEM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9917518

 $00:14:51.545 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.324$ There's a study looking at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99510705

00:14:54.024 --> 00:14:56.045 more frequent dosing of octreotide

NOTE Confidence: 0.9842998

 $00:14:56.824 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.704$ to see if that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9842998

 $00:14:57.704 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.904$ something that can help slow

NOTE Confidence: 0.9842998

 $00:14:58.904 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.605$ growth. And then for metastatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9842998

 $00:15:00.745 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.245$ paragangliomide

NOTE Confidence: 0.8136994

 $00:15:01.785 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.285$ pheo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9931221

 $00:15:02.600 \dashrightarrow 00:15:05.260$ temozolomide versus temozolomide plus olaparib,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9931221

 $00:15:05.320 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.460$ which is a PARP inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97875756

 $00:15:07.560 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.160$ So take home points. I

 $00{:}15{:}09.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}10.040$ hope I've shown you that

NOTE Confidence: 0.97875756

 $00:15:10.040 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.320$ NETs are not that rare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97875756

 $00:15:11.320 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.520$ They are deserving of high

NOTE Confidence: 0.97875756

00:15:12.520 --> 00:15:14.760 quality basic translational clinical research

NOTE Confidence: 0.97875756

 $00:15:14.760 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.260$ efforts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9789567

 $00:15:15.640 \longrightarrow 00:15:16.920$ We have many tools in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9789567

 $00:15:16.920 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.580$ the toolbox,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9833277

 $00:15:18.695 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.535$ that include a range of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9833277

00:15:20.535 --> 00:15:23.035 classes of therapy, SSAs, radioligand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95106125

 $00:15:23.415 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.555$ targeted, and chemotherapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599082

 $00{:}15{:}26.135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}27.575$ However, there's no known optimal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599082

 $00:15:27.575 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.735$ sequence. And really that tailoring

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599082

 $00{:}15{:}29.735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}31.029$ of treatment for every patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599082

00:15:31.029 --> 00:15:32.550 requires a careful balance of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9599082

 $00:15:32.550 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.089$ patient and treatment characteristics.

 $00:15:34.709 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.509$ And I think the last

NOTE Confidence: 0.97708577

00:15:35.509 --> 00:15:36.870 takeaway is a perfect segue

NOTE Confidence: 0.97708577

 $00:15:36.870 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.470$ into passing the baton to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97708577

 $00:15:38.470 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.930$ my partners is that multidisciplinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.9989042

 $00:15:40.310 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.670$ care and coordination is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9989042

 $00:15:41.670 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.970$ essential in this disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9778841

 $00:15:43.765 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.285$ So, so thank you. I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9778841

00:15:45.285 --> 00:15:47.065 will I will pause here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992308

 $00:15:47.685 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.605$ and I will pass the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992308

 $00:15:49.605 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.105$ baton.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9637464

 $00{:}15{:}51.125 --> 00{:}15{:}52.485$ So the next speaker up

NOTE Confidence: 0.9637464

 $00:15:52.485 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.465$ is doctor Klimstra.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9161374

 $00{:}15{:}55.845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}57.685$ Thanks, Pam. Just bringing up

NOTE Confidence: 0.9161374

 $00:15:57.685 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.185 \text{ my}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.992579

 $00:15:59.390 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.890$ slides.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9026114

00:16:01.150 --> 00:16:01.390 So,

 $00{:}16{:}02.830 --> 00{:}16{:}04.510$ as Doctor. Coons said, a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189456

 $00{:}16{:}04.510 --> 00{:}16{:}06.190$ perfect segue is to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9189456

 $00:16:06.270 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.410$ take a step back

NOTE Confidence: 0.95916384

 $00:16:07.790 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.990$ a little bit and talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.95916384

 $00:16:08.990 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.670$ about how do we establish

NOTE Confidence: 0.95916384

 $00:16:10.670 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.330$ the diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9937609 00:16:11.790 --> 00:16:12.290 of NOTE Confidence: 0.6991152

00:16:12.775 --> 00:16:14.315 gastroenter or pancreatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8501005

 $00:16:14.855 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.555$ neuroendocrine tumors. It's a mouthful

NOTE Confidence: 0.8501005

00:16:16.615 --> 00:16:17.995 GepNets or GepNens

NOTE Confidence: 0.9190833

00:16:18.375 --> 00:16:20.215 depending on what you're speaking

NOTE Confidence: 0.9190833 00:16:20.215 --> 00:16:20.715 of. NOTE Confidence: 0.9975083

 $00{:}16{:}21.335 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}22.475$ These are my disclosures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98447335

00:16:24.135 --> 00:16:24.955 So neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.99630105

 $00:16:25.569 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.910$ tumors have classic histologic features

 $00:16:28.050 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.910$ that are typically well recognized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9456748

00:16:32.209 --> 00:16:34.069 They've been described for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8234569

 $00:16:34.449 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.670$ over a hundred years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88937914

 $00:16:37.170 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.870$ But they're actually very diverse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9568864

 $00:16:39.295 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.815$ They can arise throughout the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9568864

 $00{:}16{:}40.815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}42.495$ body. We're really focused below

NOTE Confidence: 0.9568864

 $00:16:42.495 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.695$ the diaphragm here, but of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9568864

 $00:16:43.695 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.235$ course the lung thymus

NOTE Confidence: 0.83755493

 $00:16:45.695 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.395$ scan, other sites are also

NOTE Confidence: 0.9733146

00:16:47.855 --> 00:16:49.855 common. And microscopically, we refer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9733146

 $00{:}16{:}49.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}51.695$ to this growth patterns with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9733146

 $00{:}16{:}51.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}53.590$ nests and ribbons as being

NOTE Confidence: 0.9733146

 $00:16:53.590 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.090$ organoid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99703985

 $00:16:54.550 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.230$ And the nuclei are also

NOTE Confidence: 0.99703985 00:16:56.230 --> 00:16:56.730 very

NOTE Confidence: 0.767187

 $00:16:57.590 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.090$ characteristic.

 $00{:}16{:}59.110 --> 00{:}17{:}00.390$ But in general, we prove

NOTE Confidence: 0.96950775

 $00:17:00.390 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.170$ the diagnosis using immunohistochemistry

NOTE Confidence: 0.92241776

 $00:17:02.710 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.490$ for markers of neuroendocrine differentiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.92241776

 $00{:}17{:}04.630 --> 00{:}17{:}05.590$ and we'll talk about that

NOTE Confidence: 0.92241776

 $00:17:05.590 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.525$ a little more minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9925052

 $00:17:07.005 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.205$ I think probably the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.9925052

 $00:17:08.205 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.025$ important takeaway

NOTE Confidence: 0.9899378

 $00:17:09.405 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.905$ from

NOTE Confidence: 0.9511545

00:17:10.445 --> 00:17:11.325 what I'm going to say

NOTE Confidence: 0.9511545

 $00{:}17{:}11.325 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}12.705$ is the fact that neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.9511545

 $00{:}17{:}12.845 --{>} 00{:}17{:}13.345 \ \mathrm{neoplasms}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9989554

 $00{:}17{:}13.645 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}15.425$ can be either well differentiated

NOTE Confidence: 0.96382093

 $00{:}17{:}16.125 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}18.045$ or poorly differentiated. And these

NOTE Confidence: 0.96382093

 $00:17:18.045 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.785$ are really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9234534

 $00:17:19.244 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.465$ very, very different

 $00:17:20.765 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.265$ categories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94847137

 $00:17:22.340 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.940$ Differentiation of course refers to

NOTE Confidence: 0.94847137

 $00{:}17{:}23.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}25.700$ the resemblance of neoplastic cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.94847137

 $00:17:25.700 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.380$ to their normal counterparts. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.94847137

 $00:17:27.380 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.340$ for instance, this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.94847137

 $00:17:28.340 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.859$ pancreatic islet and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.94847137

 $00:17:29.859 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.940$ a pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.94847137

 $00:17:31.940 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.220$ you can see in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.94847137

 $00:17:33.220 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.040$ well differentiated

NOTE Confidence: 0.90986633

00:17:35.060 --> 00:17:36.260 tumor, it looks very much

NOTE Confidence: 0.90986633

 $00:17:36.260 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.845$ like the normal islets. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.90986633

 $00:17:37.845 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.165$ they strongly express markers that

NOTE Confidence: 0.90986633

 $00:17:40.165 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.605$ are found in in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.90986633

 $00{:}17{:}41.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}43.544$ normal neuroendocrine cells like chromogranium

NOTE Confidence: 0.90986633

 $00:17:43.605 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.265$ and cementifazin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9726176

00:17:46.325 --> 00:17:48.005 But well differentiated and poorly

00:17:48.005 --> 00:17:49.544 differentiated neuroendocrine neoplasms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9931253

00:17:50.090 --> 00:17:52.030 although they share neuroendocrine differentiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9386434

 $00:17:52.410 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.170$ are really different. And I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9386434

 $00:17:54.170 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.990$ can't overemphasize

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876169 $00:17:55.290 --> 00:17:55.790 \ {\rm this}.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9645957

 $00:17:56.490 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.090$ They can on occasion be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9645957

 $00:17:58.090 \longrightarrow 00:17:59.550$ difficult for us to distinguish.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977125

 $00:18:00.490 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.270$ Sometimes they share some histologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.96112174

 $00:18:02.570 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.165$ features. But these are really

NOTE Confidence: 0.96112174

 $00:18:04.165 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.845$ fundamentally different. They arise from

NOTE Confidence: 0.96112174

 $00:18:05.845 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.665$ different cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.976803

 $00{:}18{:}07.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}08.345$ They have a different relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.976803

 $00{:}18{:}08.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}10.484$ to non neuroendocrine neoplasia like

NOTE Confidence: 0.976803

 $00:18:10.484 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.984$ adenocarcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.905996

 $00:18:12.005 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.545$ or squamous cell carcinoma.

00:18:13.845 --> 00:18:14.665 They're genetically

NOTE Confidence: 0.9341197

 $00:18:15.445 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.365$ different and they're dramatically different

NOTE Confidence: 0.9341197

 $00:18:17.365 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.665$ in terms of their aggressiveness

NOTE Confidence: 0.9983175

 $00:18:19.390 \longrightarrow 00:18:20.369$ and their treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370415

 $00:18:20.910 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.210$ And just to give you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370415

 $00:18:22.350 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.950$ some examples of this, you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370415

 $00:18:23.950 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.150$ know you look at well

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370415

 $00:18:25.150 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.390$ differentiated neuroendocrine tumors, they tend

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370415

 $00:18:27.390 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.010$ to be associated with MEN1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9370415

 $00:18:29.230 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.010$ whether differentiated or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98235905

 $00{:}18{:}31.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}33.405$ There can be precursor lesions

NOTE Confidence: 0.98235905

 $00:18:33.405 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.684$ in the endocrine lineage in

NOTE Confidence: 0.98235905

 $00{:}18{:}34.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}36.205$ the well differentiated but not

NOTE Confidence: 0.98235905

00:18:36.205 --> 00:18:36.705 poorly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98613954

 $00:18:37.484 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.345$ On the contrary, the non

NOTE Confidence: 0.98613954

 $00:18:39.405 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.425$ neuroendocrine precursors like dysplasia

00:18:41.965 --> 00:18:43.085 can be associated with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9859885

00:18:43.085 --> 00:18:44.865 poorly differentiated neuroendocrine neoplasm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94880885

 $00:18:47.380 \longrightarrow 00:18:48.500$ The important point at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94880885

 $00:18:48.500 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.540$ bottom is that they never

NOTE Confidence: 0.94880885

 $00:18:49.540 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.060$ co occur. So the well

NOTE Confidence: 0.94880885

 $00:18:51.060 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.920$ differentiated ones stay well differentiated

NOTE Confidence: 0.94880885

 $00:18:52.980 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.359$ ones and the poorly differentiated

NOTE Confidence: 0.94880885

 $00{:}18{:}54.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}55.540$ are poorly and they aren't

NOTE Confidence: 0.94880885

 $00:18:55.540 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.440$ mixed together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96328396

 $00:18:57.220 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.660$ This is just an example

NOTE Confidence: 0.96328396

00:18:58.660 --> 00:18:59.940 from the lung but similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.96328396

 $00:18:59.940 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.440$ conclusions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9559468

 $00:19:01.075 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.915$ exist for any anatomic site.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9559468

 $00:19:02.915 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.095$ They're very different genomic alterations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9745018

 $00:19:05.635 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.755$ The top two are quite

 $00:19:06.755 \enskip --> 00:19:09.015 \enskip \enskip \text{characteristic of poorly differentiated neuroens}$

docrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.9745018

 $00:19:09.075 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.295$ carcinomas. RB,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96184415

 $00:19:10.835 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.035$ and p fifty three are

NOTE Confidence: 0.96184415

 $00:19:12.035 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.095$ commonly mutated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9266608

 $00:19:13.770 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.770$ And MEN for instance is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9266608

 $00:19:15.770 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.310$ mutated in the well differentiated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9266608

00:19:17.450 --> 00:19:18.350 but not poorly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7104634 00:19:19.210 --> 00:19:19.710 So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9695376

 $00:19:20.650 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.650$ really try to keep in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9695376

 $00:19:22.650 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.790$ mind that when someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.9709849

 $00{:}19{:}24.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}26.109$ uses the term well differentiated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9709849

 $00:19:26.250 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.869$ they're talking about a completely

NOTE Confidence: 0.9709849

 $00{:}19{:}28.010 --> 00{:}19{:}28.510 \ \mathrm{different}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.99358207

00:19:28.865 --> 00:19:30.645 beast than a poorly differentiated

NOTE Confidence: 0.99358207

 $00:19:30.785 \longrightarrow 00:19:31.925$ neuroendocrine neoplasm.

 $00:19:32.865 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.365$ Terminology

NOTE Confidence: 0.9771132

00:19:33.665 --> 00:19:34.785 in this area has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.9771132

 $00:19:34.785 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.625$ a problem for years and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9771132

 $00:19:36.625 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.085$ we were able to standardize

NOTE Confidence: 0.9771132 00:19:38.385 --> 00:19:38.885 this NOTE Confidence: 0.96373826

 $00:19:39.345 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.640$ for most anatomic sites. Certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.96373826

00:19:41.640 --> 00:19:43.320 south of the diaphragm it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.96373826

 $00:19:43.320 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.020$ standardized. The lung people

NOTE Confidence: 0.99594164

 $00:19:45.320 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.700$ are still a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.97999895

 $00:19:47.160 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.680$ unhappy with this and prefer

NOTE Confidence: 0.97999895

 $00:19:48.680 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.060$ to use the term carcinoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.9945339

 $00:19:50.840 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.020$ for the well differentiated tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97153634

 $00:19:53.880 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.755$ But generically we use the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97153634

00:19:55.835 --> 00:19:57.515 term ne
oplasm to refer to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97153634

 $00:19:57.515 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.455$ both well and poorly differentiated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9853471

 $00:20:00.315 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.055$ The term

00:20:01.755 --> 00:20:03.755 term term tumor means well

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341649

 $00:20:03.755 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.255$ differentiated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9990349

00:20:05.675 --> 00:20:06.895 The term carcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.97223645

 $00:20:07.355 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.575$ means poorly differentiated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94804

 $00:20:09.659 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.539$ And that can be either

NOTE Confidence: 0.94804

 $00{:}20{:}10.539 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}12.619$ small cell carcinoma or large

NOTE Confidence: 0.94804

00:20:12.619 --> 00:20:13.919 cell neuroendocrine carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95691055

 $00:20:14.859 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.080$ There are also rare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9218917

 $00:20:16.859 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.320$ entities that are mixed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483239

 $00:20:18.619 \longrightarrow 00:20:20:159$ a component of both neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.9672029

 $00:20:20.460 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.980$ and non neuroendocrine. And we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9672029

 $00:20:21.980 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.415$ really don't have time to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9672029

 $00{:}20{:}23.415 --> 00{:}20{:}24.375$ get into these, but you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9672029

 $00:20:24.375 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.015$ may see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9958507

 $00:20:25.415 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.115$ occasional reports of these

 $00:20:27.575 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.715$ mixed variants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9818813

 $00{:}20{:}30.215 --> 00{:}20{:}31.415$ Now how do we recognize

NOTE Confidence: 0.9818813

00:20:31.415 --> 00:20:32.135 that we're dealing with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9818813

00:20:32.135 --> 00:20:33.275 neuroendocrine tumor?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9963403

 $00:20:33.655 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.515$ In the well differentiated category,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9963403

 $00:20:35.655 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.750$ it's really not

NOTE Confidence: 0.98553807

 $00:20:37.310 \longrightarrow 00:20:39.170$ a big challenge. These organoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.98553807

 $00:20:39.230 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.270$ patterns, you can see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98553807

 $00:20:40.270 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.770$ ribbons

NOTE Confidence: 0.89828765

 $00:20:41.310 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.350$ in the top left or

NOTE Confidence: 0.89828765

 $00:20:42.350 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.550$ the nest in the bottom

NOTE Confidence: 0.89828765

00:20:43.550 --> 00:20:45.810 left, quite typical. The nuclei

NOTE Confidence: 0.89828765

 $00:20:45.869 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.770$ are quite typical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9758608

00:20:47.869 --> 00:20:49.010 The poorly differentiated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9673759

 $00{:}20{:}49.525 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}50.965$ carcinomas are a little different

NOTE Confidence: 0.9673759

 $00:20:50.965 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.165$ though. They tend to have

00:20:52.165 --> 00:20:54.184 a very poorly differentiated morphology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99046266

 $00:20:55.045 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.325$ There are some features that

NOTE Confidence: 0.99046266

 $00:20:56.325 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.184$ we equate with neuroendocrine differentiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91910845

 $00:20:59.365 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.984$ such as a nesting pattern,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91910845

00:21:01.205 --> 00:21:02.804 a certain pattern to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.91910845

 $00:21:02.804 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.304$ chromatin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95466226

 $00:21:04.400 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.480$ But these require some additional

NOTE Confidence: 0.95466226

 $00:21:06.480 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.840$ evidence to prove that they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.95466226

 $00:21:07.840 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.340$ neuroendocrine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96918786

 $00:21:08.960 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.400$ And that is found in

NOTE Confidence: 0.96918786

 $00:21:10.400 \longrightarrow 00:21:11.359$ the in the form of

NOTE Confidence: 0.96918786

 $00:21:11.359 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.820$ immunistic chemical staining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9271799

 $00:21:13.760 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.880$ This is a longer list

NOTE Confidence: 0.9271799

 $00:21:14.880 \longrightarrow 00:21:16.240$ than you need, because there

NOTE Confidence: 0.9271799

 $00:21:16.240 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.679$ are many markers that have

 $00:21:17.679 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.179$ been

NOTE Confidence: 0.9620622

 $00:21:18.585 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.865$ used in the past or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9620622

 $00:21:19.865 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.705$ that have recently been developed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9620622

00:21:21.705 --> 00:21:23.304 But the three highlighted ones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9620622

00:21:23.304 --> 00:21:23.804 chromogranin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.69345176 00:21:24.424 --> 00:21:24.924 a, NOTE Confidence: 0.9053383

 $00{:}21{:}25.465 --> 00{:}21{:}25.965 \ {\rm synaptophysin},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.8921131

 $00:21:26.905 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.725$ and insulinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707947

00:21:28.025 --> 00:21:29.784 associated protein or I n

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707947

 $00:21:29.784 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.825$ s m one are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8707947

00:21:30.825 --> 00:21:32.044 three that are currently

NOTE Confidence: 0.9980857

 $00:21:32.609 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.830$ accepted as

NOTE Confidence: 0.990319

 $00:21:34.130 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.590$ both sensitive and specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.9985011

 $00:21:35.970 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.350$ for neuroendocrine differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988369300:21:39.170 --> 00:21:39.670 Now

NOTE Confidence: 0.9763301

 $00{:}21{:}40.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}41.970$ when you're talking about a

00:21:41.970 --> 00:21:44.070 well differentiated neuroendocrine tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.949013

 $00:21:44.545 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.665$ almost all of them are

NOTE Confidence: 0.949013

00:21:45.665 --> 00:21:47.265 positive for these markers when

NOTE Confidence: 0.949013

 $00:21:47.265 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.244$ used in combination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.73820335

 $00:21:48.785 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.705$ chromogran and synaptophys and stain

NOTE Confidence: 0.73820335

 $00:21:50.705 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.765$ ninety five percent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9841908

 $00:21:52.945 \longrightarrow 00:21:53.445$ Certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.94260985

 $00:21:53.825 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.725$ other nonneuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986202

 $00:21:55.105 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.605$ neoplasms

NOTE Confidence: 0.9631461

 $00:21:55.905 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.905$ do stain predictably for these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9631461

 $00:21:57.905 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.865$ that we have to know

NOTE Confidence: 0.9631461

 $00{:}21{:}58.865 \rightarrow 00{:}22{:}00.630$ about. And occasionally you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9631461

 $00:22:00.630 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.310$ have idiosyncratic staining of other

NOTE Confidence: 0.9631461

 $00:22:02.310 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.510$ neoplasms, so they're not a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9631461

 $00:22:03.510 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.290$ hundred percent specific, but they're

 $00:22:05.350 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.410$ they're very reliable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96686614

 $00{:}22{:}06.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}08.950$ In fact, some pathologists question

NOTE Confidence: 0.96686614

 $00:22:08.950 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.630$ whether it's truly necessary to

NOTE Confidence: 0.96686614

 $00:22:10.630 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.225$ stain every obvious neuroendocrine tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9771714

 $00:22:13.845 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.205$ for these markers. I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.9771714

00:22:15.205 --> 00:22:16.804 it tends to make people

NOTE Confidence: 0.9771714

 $00:22:16.804 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.924$ more comfortable to see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9771714

 $00:22:17.924 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.965$ this has been done just

NOTE Confidence: 0.9771714

 $00{:}22{:}18.965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}20.345$ to make absolutely sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9982883

 $00:22:20.804 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.684$ But there are some that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9982883

 $00:22:21.684 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.825$ are just so prototypical

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313815

00:22:23.125 --> 00:22:24.404 you don't you don't honestly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9313815

 $00:22:24.404 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.065$ need it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.999327

 $00:22:25.970 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.010$ That is not the case

NOTE Confidence: 0.999327

 $00:22:27.010 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.230$ for the poorly differentiated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98272103

 $00{:}22{:}29.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}31.650$ Small cell neuroendocrine carcinoma has

 $00{:}22{:}31.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}32.869$ a very classic morphologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9740798

00:22:33.170 --> 00:22:35.109 finding, and you can consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.9740798

00:22:35.170 --> 00:22:37.270 making this diagnosis without immunohistochem-

ical

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479122

 $00:22:37.810 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.930$ support as long as you've

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479122

 $00:22:38.930 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.150$ ruled out other things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99531436

 $00{:}22{:}40.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}42.565$ But large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.99531436

00:22:42.865 --> 00:22:44.325 absolutely has to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641768

00:22:44.705 --> 00:22:45.205 immunohistochemical

NOTE Confidence: 0.98032516

00:22:45.744 --> 00:22:47.185 staining to prove that it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.98032516

 $00:22:47.185 \longrightarrow 00:22:47.685$ neuroendocrine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.998867

 $00:22:48.465 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.345$ And there can be some

NOTE Confidence: 0.998867

 $00:22:49.345 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.405$ debate about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9412897

 $00:22:50.785 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.325$ how strong or how diffusely

NOTE Confidence: 0.9412897

 $00:22:52.385 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.425$ positive it should be. I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9412897

 $00:22:53.425 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.145$ mean if you get a

00:22:54.145 --> 00:22:55.990 stain like this chromogranon and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9412897

 $00{:}22{:}55.990 \to 00{:}22{:}57.690$ when it's it's quite compelling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84190077

 $00:22:58.549 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.450$ But the WHO

NOTE Confidence: 0.99865866

 $00:22:59.750 \longrightarrow 00:23:00.710$ now is saying that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.99865866

 $00:23:00.710 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.910$ should have two of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.99865866

 $00:23:01.910 \longrightarrow 00:23:02.730$ three markers

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987949

 $00:23:03.190 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.049$ positive to verify this diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98854285

 $00:23:07.585 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.865$ One side point I'd like

NOTE Confidence: 0.98854285

00:23:08.865 --> 00:23:09.985 to make is you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.98854285

 $00:23:09.985 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.205$ to be careful with immunohistochemistry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.999597 00:23:12.305 --> 00:23:12.805 So NOTE Confidence: 0.9956984

 $00:23:13.345 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.845$ adenocarcinomas

NOTE Confidence: 0.99891216

 $00{:}23{:}14.625 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}16.485$ can also have neuroendocrine differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98439854

 $00:23:17.185 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.065$ It can come in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98439854

 $00:23:18.065 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.225$ form of scattered positive cells

 $00:23:20.225 \longrightarrow 00:23:21.359$ like you see in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.99720025

 $00:23:21.920 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.420$ adenocarcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.9480966

 $00:23:22.880 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.260$ with a few cells labeling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99912214

 $00:23:25.280 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.559$ or it can actually be

NOTE Confidence: 0.99912214

 $00:23:26.559 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.380$ more extensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.85077125

 $00:23:28.240 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.679$ and and just detect it

NOTE Confidence: 0.85077125

 $00:23:29.679 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.179$ incidentally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9957327

 $00:23:30.480 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.540$ And it really

NOTE Confidence: 0.99120903

 $00:23:31.920 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.660$ the prognostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9828968

00:23:33.040 --> 00:23:34.705 import of this kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9828968

 $00{:}23{:}34.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}36.705$ finding is really not clear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9828968

 $00:23:36.705 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.485$ We don't believe it's prognostically

NOTE Confidence: 0.9828968

 $00{:}23{:}38.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}40.244$ relevant. These are still adenocarcinomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9451833

 $00:23:41.345 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.305$ And so be a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.9451833

00:23:42.305 --> 00:23:43.744 bit careful about asking a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9451833

 $00:23:43.744 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.744$ pathologist to do neuroendocrine markers

 $00:23:45.744 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.585$ on something they're confident is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9451833

 $00:23:47.585 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.380$ not a neuroendocrine tumor, because

NOTE Confidence: 0.9451833

 $00:23:49.380 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.740$ you might like what you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9451833

 $00:23:50.740 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.240$ find.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98502046

 $00{:}23{:}53.859 \to 00{:}23{:}55.619$ Now the other critically important

NOTE Confidence: 0.98502046

 $00:23:55.619 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.720$ thing is grading neuroendocrine neoplasms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9742797

 $00:23:58.500 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.200$ Grading is something we've implemented

NOTE Confidence: 0.9742797

 $00:24:00.340 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.780$ in the last fifteen or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9742797

 $00:24:01.780 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.600$ twenty years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9976385

 $00:24:03.060 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.200$ and it's based

NOTE Confidence: 0.94291306

 $00:24:04.725 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.565$ entirely or largely on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94291306

 $00:24:06.565 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.505$ proliferative rate measured by mitotic

NOTE Confidence: 0.94291306

00:24:08.645 --> 00:24:10.885 counting or the k sixty

NOTE Confidence: 0.94291306

00:24:10.885 --> 00:24:11.785 seven immunohistochemical

NOTE Confidence: 0.910722

 $00:24:12.244 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.744$ stain.

 $00:24:13.445 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.345$ The well differentiated

NOTE Confidence: 0.98534703

00:24:14.645 --> 00:24:16.645 tumors can be either grade

NOTE Confidence: 0.98534703

00:24:16.645 --> 00:24:18.244 one, grade two, or grade

NOTE Confidence: 0.98534703

 $00:24:18.244 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.744$ three

NOTE Confidence: 0.9523945

 $00:24:19.150 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.670$ depending upon the rate of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9523945

 $00:24:20.670 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.170$ proliferation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9259768

 $00:24:21.950 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.790$ Whereas the neuroendocrine carcinomas are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9259768

 $00:24:23.790 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.390$ by definition grade three all

NOTE Confidence: 0.9259768

 $00:24:25.390 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.970$ the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9898586

00:24:27.070 --> 00:24:29.070 Grading generally correlates very well

NOTE Confidence: 0.9898586

 $00:24:29.070 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.270$ with prognosis, and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9898586

 $00:24:30.270 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.630$ one of many studies showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9898586

 $00:24:31.630 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.990$ this. It's quite a dramatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9898586

 $00:24:32.990 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.490$ difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.98249626

 $00:24:33.825 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.984$ in in in behavior based

NOTE Confidence: 0.98249626

 $00:24:35.984 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.804$ on the grade.

 $00:24:37.424 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.184$ But another important feature to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9963914

 $00:24:39.184 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.304$ keep in mind is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9963914

 $00:24:40.304 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.085$ the grade of neuroendocrine tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.9963914

 $00:24:42.304 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.125$ is dynamic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9936373

00:24:44.225 --> 00:24:45.984 It can vary regionally within

NOTE Confidence: 0.9936373

00:24:45.984 --> 00:24:47.190 a neuroendocrine tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96019655

 $00:24:47.830 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.190$ It can vary between different

NOTE Confidence: 0.96019655

 $00:24:49.190 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.549$ sites of disease. For instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96019655

 $00{:}24{:}50.549 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}24{:}52.090$ a primary versus a metastasis

NOTE Confidence: 0.96019655

 $00{:}24{:}52.309 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}53.529$ or two different metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9898277

 $00:24:54.309 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.590$ And it can even change

NOTE Confidence: 0.9898277

 $00:24:55.590 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.869$ over the course of disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9898277

 $00{:}24{:}56.869 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}57.830$ So if you have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9898277

 $00:24:57.830 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.269$ patient whose disease has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.9898277

 $00:24:59.269 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.330$ progressing slowly

 $00:25:00.784 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.144$ and suddenly it starts to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9871155

 $00:25:02.144 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.664$ grow more rapidly, it's likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.9871155

 $00:25:03.664 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.945$ that it has become higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.9871155

 $00:25:04.945 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.445$ grade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9979693

 $00:25:05.904 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.184$ And this argues that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9979693

 $00{:}25{:}07.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}08.385$ should really be assessing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9979693

 $00:25:08.385 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.664$ grade every time we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9979693

 $00:25:09.664 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.804$ a tissue sample

NOTE Confidence: 0.98307693

 $00:25:11.105 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.565$ of a neuroendocrine tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9927027

 $00:25:14.369 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.890$ The criteria for this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9473069

 $00{:}25{:}16.290 \to 00{:}25{:}17.650$ you know, again, throughout the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9473069

00:25:17.650 --> 00:25:19.190 body, there's a little variability

NOTE Confidence: 0.9473069

 $00:25:19.490 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.290$ in how we do this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9473069

 $00:25:20.290 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.650$ but the GI in pancreas

NOTE Confidence: 0.9473069

 $00:25:21.650 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.650$ has been standardized. And this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9473069 00:25:23.650 --> 00:25:24.150 was

 $00:25:25.010 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.830$ twenty nineteen

NOTE Confidence: 0.74481237

 $00:25:26.930 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.430$ classification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99843925

 $00:25:27.970 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.950$ It was just

NOTE Confidence: 0.9765927

00:25:30.175 --> 00:25:31.935 reassessed by the WHO and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9765927

 $00:25:31.935 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.215$ they haven't really changed it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9765927

 $00:25:33.215 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.795$ at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96928686

 $00:25:34.095 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.975$ And so you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.96928686

 $00:25:34.975 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.215$ the the proliferative rate that

NOTE Confidence: 0.96928686

 $00:25:37.215 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.375$ distinguishes the three grades of

NOTE Confidence: 0.96928686

00:25:39.375 --> 00:25:40.595 neuroendocrine tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9507293

 $00:25:42.095 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.775$ both by mitotic rate and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9507293

 $00:25:43.775 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.994$ key sixty seven.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99644

 $00:25:45.340 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.300$ And then you can also

NOTE Confidence: 0.99644

 $00:25:46.300 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.900$ see the higher proliferative rate

NOTE Confidence: 0.99644

 $00:25:47.900 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.640$ that characterizes

 $00:25:49.180 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.480$ the neuroendocrine carcinomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9713902

 $00{:}25{:}51.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}53.020$ I would draw your attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.9713902

 $00:25:53.020 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.300$ to the fact that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9713902

 $00:25:54.300 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.400$ proliferative rate threshold for neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.9713902

 $00:25:56.540 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.640$ carcinoma is exactly the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.9713902

 $00:25:58.780 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.820$ as it is for g

NOTE Confidence: 0.9713902

 $00:25:59.820 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.640$ three nets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9916826

 $00:26:01.005 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.365$ And so the distinction of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9916826

 $00{:}26{:}02.365 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}03.805$ these two has suddenly become

NOTE Confidence: 0.9916826

 $00:26:03.805 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.845$ a bit of a challenge

NOTE Confidence: 0.9916826

 $00{:}26{:}04.845 --> 00{:}26{:}05.725$ for us, and we'll come

NOTE Confidence: 0.9916826

 $00:26:05.725 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.605$ back to that in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9916826

 $00:26:06.605 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.105$ second.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93939626

 $00:26:07.645 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.605$ But this is what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93939626

00:26:08.605 --> 00:26:10.205 mitotic figures look like in

NOTE Confidence: 0.93939626

 $00{:}26{:}10.205 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}26{:}11.805$ a in a intermediate grade

 $00:26:11.805 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.484$ neuroendocrine tumor. There are a

NOTE Confidence: 0.93939626

 $00:26:13.484 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.385$ few of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9277302

00:26:16.220 --> 00:26:18.060 It's probably easier to assess

NOTE Confidence: 0.9277302

 $00:26:18.060 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.340$ key sixty seven to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9277302

 $00:26:19.340 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.840$ honest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99801785

 $00:26:20.300 \longrightarrow 00:26:21.500$ And this has been pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.99801785

 $00:26:21.500 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.000$ standardized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98448765

 $00:26:22.460 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.740$ You see examples of low

NOTE Confidence: 0.98448765

00:26:23.740 --> 00:26:25.100 grade, intermediate grade, and high

NOTE Confidence: 0.98448765

00:26:25.100 --> 00:26:26.460 grade with a highly variable

NOTE Confidence: 0.98448765

 $00:26:26.460 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.900$ number of cells with nuclear

NOTE Confidence: 0.98448765

 $00:26:27.900 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.400$ staining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9648175

 $00:26:29.535 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.415$ There are lots of ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.9648175

 $00:26:30.415 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.615$ to do this. The way

NOTE Confidence: 0.9648175

 $00:26:31.615 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.415$ we do it is we

 $00:26:32.415 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.555$ actually take a photograph

NOTE Confidence: 0.9690297

 $00:26:33.855 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.055$ of the hot spot, the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9690297

 $00:26:35.055 \longrightarrow 00:26:37.055$ highest labeling area, and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.9690297

 $00{:}26{:}37.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}38.735$ count the positive cells versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.9690297

 $00:26:38.735 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.935$ the negative cells, and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9690297

 $00:26:39.935 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.955$ can get an actual number,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9690297

 $00:26:42.030 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.230$ sixty one positive out of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9690297

00:26:43.230 --> 00:26:44.670 fourteen hundred and twenty one

NOTE Confidence: 0.9690297

00:26:44.670 --> 00:26:46.510 cells counted. And this should

NOTE Confidence: 0.9690297

 $00:26:46.510 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.210$ be included in all pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.9690297

 $00{:}26{:}48.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}50.050$ reports on neuroendocrine tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9718402

 $00:26:52.190 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.550$ Now since we've been using

NOTE Confidence: 0.9718402

 $00:26:53.550 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.810$ this, there's been some feedback

NOTE Confidence: 0.9718402

 $00{:}26{:}56.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}57.490$ about this grading scheme.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9444997

 $00:26:58.085 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.765$ And in particular, the g

NOTE Confidence: 0.9444997

00:26:59.765 --> 00:27:01.465 two category may be overly

00:27:01.525 --> 00:27:03.445 broad. You know, you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9444997

00:27:03.445 --> 00:27:04.725 a three percent is g

NOTE Confidence: 0.9444997

00:27:04.725 --> 00:27:06.405 two, but also nineteen percent

NOTE Confidence: 0.9444997

 $00:27:06.405 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.445$ is g two. And many

NOTE Confidence: 0.9444997

 $00{:}27{:}07.445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}09.285$ people have observed that those

NOTE Confidence: 0.9444997

 $00:27:09.285 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.645$ two tumors don't behave the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9444997

 $00:27:10.645 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.145$ same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9821577

 $00{:}27{:}11.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}13.100$ There have been some proposals

NOTE Confidence: 0.9821577

 $00:27:13.100 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.639$ to raise that three percent

NOTE Confidence: 0.86666596

 $00:27:14.940 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.679$ cut point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977069

00:27:16.779 --> 00:27:18.380 That's not really gained any

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977069

 $00:27:18.380 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.880$ traction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99673736

 $00{:}27{:}19.259 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}21.100$ A more appealing proposal is

NOTE Confidence: 0.99673736

 $00:27:21.100 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.880$ to divide g two into

NOTE Confidence: 0.9873744

 $00{:}27{:}23.259 --> 00{:}27{:}24.720$ a and g two b

 $00:27:25.019 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.480$ based on ten percent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.975994

 $00{:}27{:}27.225 \longrightarrow 00{:}27{:}28.585$ So g two a being

NOTE Confidence: 0.975994

 $00:27:28.585 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.345$ three to ten and g

NOTE Confidence: 0.975994

 $00:27:30.345 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.345$ two b being ten to

NOTE Confidence: 0.975994

 $00:27:32.345 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.845$ twenty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98048985

 $00{:}27{:}33.145 --> 00{:}27{:}34.505$ And there's actually a nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.98048985

00:27:34.505 --> 00:27:35.945 paper that just came out

NOTE Confidence: 0.98048985

 $00:27:35.945 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.085$ in an archive

NOTE Confidence: 0.95596635

 $00{:}27{:}39.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}41.080$ from the Hopkins group looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.95596635

00:27:41.080 --> 00:27:43.180 at forty years of pancreatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.95596635

 $00:27:43.240 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.520$ neuroendocrine tumors. And you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.95596635

 $00:27:44.520 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.440$ see with this grading scheme

NOTE Confidence: 0.95596635

 $00:27:46.440 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.280$ the the dramatic difference in

NOTE Confidence: 0.95596635

 $00:27:48.280 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.460$ outcome between G2a and G2b.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99891067

 $00:27:51.080 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.280$ So I suspect that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.99891067

 $00:27:52.280 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.480$ will work its way into

00:27:53.480 --> 00:27:54.220 new proposals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9763196

 $00:27:56.705 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.144$ So we have the concept

NOTE Confidence: 0.9763196

 $00:27:58.144 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.684$ of a neuroendocrine tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9544492

00:28:00.144 --> 00:28:01.284 being a low grade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99535054

 $00:28:01.825 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.904$ tumor that can undergo grade

NOTE Confidence: 0.99535054

00:28:03.904 --> 00:28:04.404 progression

NOTE Confidence: 0.99436796

 $00:28:04.865 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.085$ to be high grade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992268

 $00:28:07.140 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.040$ And the concept

NOTE Confidence: 0.9707801

 $00:28:08.420 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.000$ of poorly differentiated neuroendocrine carcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.9274748

 $00:28:11.380 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.820$ being a carcinoma from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9274748

 $00:28:12.820 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.600$ get go being high grade

NOTE Confidence: 0.9311119

00:28:15.619 --> 00:28:17.000 uh-uh throughout its course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99037975

 $00{:}28{:}18.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}19.940$ Both of these pathways though

NOTE Confidence: 0.99037975

 $00:28:19.940 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.760$ give rise

NOTE Confidence: 0.989889

 $00:28:21.475 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.494$ to high grade neuroendocrine neoplasms.

 $00:28:24.434 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.475$ And in fact, here we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9837084

 $00:28:25.475 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.375$ see an example

NOTE Confidence: 0.9960956 00:28:26.994 --> 00:28:27.494 of NOTE Confidence: 0.98894924

 $00:28:28.274 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.695$ a intermediate grade pancreatic neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.98894924

 $00:28:30.754 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.674$ tumor that has progressed within

NOTE Confidence: 0.98894924

 $00:28:32.674 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.320$ the primary tumor. It has

NOTE Confidence: 0.9417984

 $00:28:34.799 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.759$ at the lower right you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9417984

 $00:28:35.759 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.580$ can see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9464677

 $00{:}28{:}36.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}38.399$ the intermediate grade areas and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9464677

 $00:28:38.399 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.360$ in the upper right a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9464677

 $00:28:39.360 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.799$ very high grade area. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9464677

 $00:28:40.799 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.600$ if we look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9464677

00:28:41.600 --> 00:28:43.919 key sixty seven, it's nearly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9464677

 $00:28:43.919 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.600$ a hundred percent in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9464677

 $00:28:45.600 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.580$ high grade area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9644439

 $00{:}28{:}47.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}48.985$ Which creates some problems because

 $00:28:48.985 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.865$ if you didn't know about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9644439

 $00:28:49.865 \longrightarrow 00:28:50.985$ the low grade area, you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9644439

 $00:28:50.985 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.265$ would think this was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9644439

00:28:52.265 --> 00:28:53.485 neuroendocrine carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94866365

 $00:28:54.025 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.625$ And indeed what we've found

NOTE Confidence: 0.94866365

 $00:28:55.625 \longrightarrow 00:28:57.145$ now is that there's an

NOTE Confidence: 0.94866365

 $00:28:57.145 \longrightarrow 00:28:57.645$ overlap

NOTE Confidence: 0.93923986

 $00:28:58.105 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.465$ in the K sixty seven

NOTE Confidence: 0.93923986

 $00:28:59.465 \longrightarrow 00:29:01.485$ between the well differentiated NETs

NOTE Confidence: 0.93923986

 $00:29:01.700 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.480$ and the poorly differentiated neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.93923986

 $00:29:03.540 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.040$ carcinomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99328864

 $00:29:04.740 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.020$ And we have this range

NOTE Confidence: 0.99328864

00:29:06.020 --> 00:29:07.960 of K sixty seven between

NOTE Confidence: 0.90346944

 $00:29:08.340 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.420$ around thirty to seventy where

NOTE Confidence: 0.90346944

 $00:29:10.420 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.480$ both can occur.

 $00:29:12.100 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.140$ And so how are we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9731069

 $00:29:13.140 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.440$ gonna tell these apart?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9552774

 $00:29:15.365 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.325$ Well there can be some

NOTE Confidence: 0.9552774

 $00:29:16.325 \longrightarrow 00:29:17.305$ clinical clues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97559667

 $00:29:17.925 \longrightarrow 00:29:19.125$ For instance, if the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.97559667

 $00:29:19.125 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.265$ had a lower grade

NOTE Confidence: 0.92802143

00:29:20.645 --> 00:29:22.165 NET, then the high grade

NOTE Confidence: 0.92802143

 $00:29:22.165 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.625$ tumor is also a NET.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9800315

 $00:29:24.085 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.625$ If it's octreotide

NOTE Confidence: 0.96419746

 $00:29:26.005 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.125$ or one of the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.96419746

 $00{:}29{:}27.125 --> 00{:}29{:}27.625 \ somatostatin$

NOTE Confidence: 0.98392904

 $00:29:27.925 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.220$ based imaging scans positive, it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.98392904

00:29:30.220 --> 00:29:31.440 probably well differentiated

NOTE Confidence: 0.98134655

 $00:29:32.380 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.299$ NET. If it's FDG PET

NOTE Confidence: 0.98134655

00:29:34.299 --> 00:29:35.740 positive, it's probably a poorly

NOTE Confidence: 0.98134655

 $00:29:35.740 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.240$ differentiated

 $00:29:36.779 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.279$ carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9746738

 $00:29:38.380 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.820$ And then by morphology, we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9746738

 $00:29:39.820 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.860$ can also look to see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9746738

 $00:29:40.860 \longrightarrow 00:29:41.740$ is there a lower grade

NOTE Confidence: 0.9746738

00:29:41.740 --> 00:29:43.100 component like I just showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9746738

 $00:29:43.100 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.565$ you? Is there a non

NOTE Confidence: 0.9746738

00:29:44.565 --> 00:29:46.505 neuroendocrine component like adenocarcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9757142

 $00:29:47.365 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.725$ which would mean that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9757142

 $00:29:48.725 \longrightarrow 00:29:49.225$ neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.99625254 00:29:49.845 --> 00:29:50.345 is NOTE Confidence: 0.88525665

00:29:50.804 --> 00:29:51.785 poorly differentiated?

NOTE Confidence: 0.993951

 $00{:}29{:}52.885 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}54.025$ And then there are molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.993951

 $00{:}29{:}54.085 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}54.585$ clues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9784023

 $00:29:55.525 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.965$ This is for pancreas, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.9784023

 $00:29:56.965 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.005$ the same thing is true

 $00:29:58.005 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.500$ for other anatomic sites. There

NOTE Confidence: 0.9784023

 $00:29:59.500 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.880$ are different types of mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.95305675

 $00:30:01.180 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.540$ in the well differentiated and

NOTE Confidence: 0.95305675

 $00:30:02.540 \longrightarrow 00:30:03.440$ poorly differentiated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9990182

 $00:30:03.820 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.040$ and this persists

NOTE Confidence: 0.9914795

 $00:30:05.500 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.860$ in general as the tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.9914795

 $00:30:06.860 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.000$ become higher grade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9800072

 $00:30:08.300 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.180$ So if you have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9800072

 $00{:}30{:}09.180 --> 00{:}30{:}10.240$ g three net

NOTE Confidence: 0.9842023

 $00:30:11.284 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.284$ that lacks RB and p

NOTE Confidence: 0.9842023

 $00{:}30{:}13.284 \to 00{:}30{:}15.365$ fifty three, that's reassuring that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9842023

 $00:30:15.365 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.804$ it's still a net. If

NOTE Confidence: 0.9842023

 $00:30:16.804 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.025$ it has those mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9960206

 $00:30:19.044 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.404$ it probably is a poorly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9960206

 $00:30:20.404 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.105$ differentiated neuroendocrine carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98667735

 $00:30:23.059 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.020$ So this can be done

 $00:30:24.020 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.540$ now to help classify these

NOTE Confidence: 0.98667735

00:30:25.540 --> 00:30:27.220 high grade tumors which hopefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.98667735

 $00:30:27.220 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.080$ will point to appropriate therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98369837

 $00{:}30{:}30{:}30{:}580 \longrightarrow 00{:}30{:}32.179$ So to summarize what we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.98369837

 $00:30:32.179 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.679$ discussed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89773256

 $00:30:33.299 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.820$ important points here is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.89773256

 $00:30:34.820 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.900$ neuroendocrine neoplasms as a general

NOTE Confidence: 0.89773256

 $00:30:36.900 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.465$ category can be either poorly

NOTE Confidence: 0.89773256

 $00:30:38.465 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.085$ different I'm sorry, well differentiated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9544991

 $00:30:40.385 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.885$ NETs

NOTE Confidence: 0.9453718

00:30:41.425 --> 00:30:42.645 or poorly differentiated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9946745

00:30:43.105 --> 00:30:43.605 neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.9948173

 $00:30:43.905 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.405$ carcinomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9956537

 $00:30:45.505 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.965$ The neuroendocrine differentiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9703083

 $00:30:47.425 \longrightarrow 00:30:48.865$ should be demonstrated by immuno

 $00:30:48.865 \longrightarrow 00:30:49.365$ labeling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87696683

 $00{:}30{:}51.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}52.320$ Inappropriate pathological

NOTE Confidence: 0.98183

 $00:30:52.779 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.460$ context, meaning don't stain things

NOTE Confidence: 0.98183

 $00:30:54.460 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.919$ that don't look like neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.9981791

 $00:30:56.299 \longrightarrow 00:30:57.759$ tumors in the first place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99778736

 $00:30:59.100 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.600$ Grading

NOTE Confidence: 0.8665745

 $00:31:00.139 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.659$ is based on a three

NOTE Confidence: 0.8665745

00:31:01.659 --> 00:31:03.759 tier scheme, perhaps with subgrades

NOTE Confidence: 0.8665745

 $00:31:03.899 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.325$ for g two based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8665745

 $00:31:05.325 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.924$ the t sixty seven and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8665745

 $00{:}31{:}06.924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}07.585$ the lactamics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47455373

 $00:31:08.284 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.784$ Whereas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91094023

 $00{:}31{:}09.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}10.465$ neuroendocrine carcinomas

NOTE Confidence: 0.93778116

00:31:10.765 --> 00:31:11.725 are hard to read by

NOTE Confidence: 0.93778116

 $00:31:11.725 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.225$ definition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9848213

 $00:31:13.164 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.284$ The grade can be a

00:31:14.284 --> 00:31:15.804 dynamic feature of NETs, so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9848213

00:31:15.804 --> 00:31:16.684 you have to keep track

NOTE Confidence: 0.9848213

 $00:31:16.684 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.125$ of it as these tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.9848213

 $00:31:18.125 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.625$ progress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.993093

 $00:31:19.220 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.420$ And finally, we have some

NOTE Confidence: 0.993093

 $00:31:20.420 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.020$ ways to tell g three

NOTE Confidence: 0.993093

 $00:31:22.020 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.840$ NETs from

NOTE Confidence: 0.99838907

 $00:31:23.300 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.220$ neuroendocrine carcinomas, but they can

NOTE Confidence: 0.99838907

 $00:31:25.220 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.280$ be quite challenging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9756657

00:31:26.980 --> 00:31:28.100 So with that, I will,

NOTE Confidence: 0.988627

 $00:31:28.900 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.140$ stop and pass the, pass

NOTE Confidence: 0.988627

 $00:31:31.140 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.500$ the baton to our next

NOTE Confidence: 0.988627

 $00{:}31{:}32.500 --> 00{:}31{:}33.000 \ \mathrm{speaker}.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.94996357

 $00{:}31{:}34.465 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}36.005$ Great. Thanks, doctor Klimstra.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958122

00:31:36.865 --> 00:31:39.024 We'll have doctor Kuntzmann go

 $00:31:39.024 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.524$ next.

NOTE Confidence: 0.996437

00:31:44.465 --> 00:31:45.765 Good evening, everybody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92135644

 $00:31:52.250 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.310$ All coming through?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400263

 $00:31:57.850 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.970$ Pam, you're muted, but you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400263

 $00:31:58.970 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.090$ looked like you said yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9400263

 $00:32:00.090 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.310$ Yes. Sorry about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92528236

 $00:32:01.770 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.590$ No problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93810785

00:32:03.765 --> 00:32:04.965 Alright. Good evening, everybody. My

NOTE Confidence: 0.93810785

00:32:04.965 --> 00:32:05.845 name is John Kunstmann. I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.93810785

 $00:32:05.845 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.985$ one of the surgical oncologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.93810785

 $00:32:07.045 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.705$ at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92882156

 $00:32:09.125 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.405$ And, you know, I co

NOTE Confidence: 0.92882156

 $00{:}32{:}10.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}11.845$ lead the neuroendocrine tumor from

NOTE Confidence: 0.92882156

 $00:32:11.845 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.525$ a program here with doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.92882156

 $00:32:13.525 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.145$ Coons from a surgeon's perspective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98937607

 $00:32:15.925 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.725$ So there'd be a few

 $00{:}32{:}16.725 --> 00{:}32{:}17.685$ things that I'll repeat that

NOTE Confidence: 0.98937607

 $00{:}32{:}17.685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}19.430$ are particularly pertinent to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98937607

 $00:32:19.430 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.490$ surgical management

NOTE Confidence: 0.9504577

 $00:32:21.030 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.950$ that, the excellent pathologic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9504577

00:32:22.950 --> 00:32:24.170 general medical overview,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90165144

 $00:32:24.870 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.690$ already presented.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9958017

 $00:32:27.510 \longrightarrow 00:32:28.470$ But we'll try and move

NOTE Confidence: 0.9958017

 $00:32:28.470 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.770$ along fairly quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9976544

 $00:32:30.470 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.670$ For my portion of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9976544

00:32:31.670 --> 00:32:32.170 discussion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9830233

 $00:32:32.470 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.554$ I really wanna convey what

NOTE Confidence: 0.9830233

 $00:32:33.554 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.255$ are the indications for surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99534595

 $00{:}32{:}36.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}37.715$ and kind of emphasizing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.99534595

00:32:37.715 --> 00:32:38.995 each case really does need

NOTE Confidence: 0.99534595

 $00:32:38.995 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.455$ an individualized approach,

 $00:32:41.475 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.155$ and what surgeries we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.93728405

 $00{:}32{:}43.155 --> 00{:}32{:}45.315$ do. We're kinda stick again

NOTE Confidence: 0.93728405

 $00:32:45.315 \longrightarrow 00:32:46.320$ in the interest of time

NOTE Confidence: 0.93728405

 $00:32:46.320 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.140$ to pancreatic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9362228

 $00:32:48.080 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.260$ and intestinal neuroendocrine tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97496563

 $00:32:51.200 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.700$ and, skip over neuroendocrine carcinomas

NOTE Confidence: 0.97496563

 $00:32:53.760 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.480$ and some of the higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.97496563

 $00:32:54.480 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.220$ grade lesions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96848553

 $00{:}32{:}56.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}58.320$ for which surgery has a

NOTE Confidence: 0.96848553

00:32:58.320 --> 00:33:00.020 pretty minimal role anyways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98951954

 $00{:}33{:}00.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}02.135$ and then also briefly talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.98951954

 $00:33:02.135 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.515$ about metastatic disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95507944

00:33:03.815 --> 00:33:05.115 So, again, just to reiterate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91909397

 $00{:}33{:}05.495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}06.795$ I'm gonna start with pancreatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.91909397

 $00:33:06.855 \longrightarrow 00:33:08.075$ neuroendocrine tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9564689

00:33:09.175 --> 00:33:10.935 From a peanut standpoint, again,

00:33:10.935 --> 00:33:12.695 you know, historically, many were

NOTE Confidence: 0.9564689

 $00{:}33{:}12.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}14.395$ diagnosed because of hormone over secretion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89830065

 $00:33:15.970 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.190$ Those hormones that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97991127

 $00:33:17.809 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.730$ are oversecreted by functional tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.97991127

 $00:33:19.730 \longrightarrow 00:33:21.010$ can correlate with more or

NOTE Confidence: 0.97991127

 $00:33:21.010 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.309$ less aggressive disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95166254

00:33:22.929 --> 00:33:23.669 But nowadays,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94736344

 $00:33:23.970 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.490$ many are found in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94736344

 $00:33:25.730 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.850$ most are found in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94736344

 $00:33:26.850 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.350$ nonfunctional

NOTE Confidence: 0.7378579

 $00:33:27.650 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.150$ setting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9089549

 $00:33:29.035 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.995$ and up to half the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9089549

 $00:33:29.995 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.535$ diagnoses are completely incidental,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9745677

 $00:33:32.395 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.315$ usually imaging findings. When they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9745677

 $00:33:34.315 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.055$ are symptomatic,

00:33:35.595 --> 00:33:37.515 generally, it's larger tumors, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.94610786

 $00{:}33{:}37.515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}39.275$ it's caused those symptoms are

NOTE Confidence: 0.94610786

 $00:33:39.275 \longrightarrow 00:33:40.475$ caused by it pushing on

NOTE Confidence: 0.94610786

 $00:33:40.475 \longrightarrow 00:33:40.975$ something,

NOTE Confidence: 0.968672

00:33:42.075 --> 00:33:44.420 GI tract or bile ducts

NOTE Confidence: 0.968672

 $00:33:44.420 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.700$ or something like that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.968672

 $00:33:45.700 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.080$ leads to the presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97928536

00:33:48.660 --> 00:33:50.420 You know, staging, again, already

NOTE Confidence: 0.97928536

 $00:33:50.420 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.320$ nicely summarized,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92346436

00:33:51.700 --> 00:33:53.380 by doctor Koons. But, you

NOTE Confidence: 0.92346436

00:33:53.380 --> 00:33:53.880 know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9909894

 $00:33:54.180 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.300$ the biggest thing from a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9909894

00:33:55.300 --> 00:33:56.835 surgeon's perspective, of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9975249

 $00{:}33{:}57.715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}59.575$ is understanding whether it's localized

NOTE Confidence: 0.9975249

00:33:59.715 --> 00:34:01.335 or metastatic disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95296067

 $00:34:02.115 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.635$ Regardless of stage, there are

 $00:34:03.635 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.674$ a number of options that

NOTE Confidence: 0.95296067

 $00{:}34{:}04.674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}06.515$ exist as already discussed, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.95296067

00:34:06.515 --> 00:34:07.955 surgery is appropriate, in some

NOTE Confidence: 0.95296067

 $00:34:07.955 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.395$ cases, a stage four disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95296067

00:34:09.395 --> 00:34:10.250 But that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653607

 $00:34:10.890 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.390$ surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.9315243

 $00:34:11.770 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.829$ treatment generally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98145556

 $00{:}34{:}13.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}15.290$ the initial approach is gonna

NOTE Confidence: 0.98145556

 $00:34:15.290 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.750$ be surgery only in localized

NOTE Confidence: 0.98145556

 $00:34:16.969 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.469$ disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88079906

00:34:17.930 --> 00:34:19.849 For localized pancreatic or endocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.88079906

00:34:19.849 --> 00:34:21.210 tumor surgery is the primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.88079906

 $00:34:21.210 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.109$ treatment modality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95674264

 $00:34:23.325 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.025$ As, you know, general rules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95674264

 $00:34:25.085 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.065$ functional peanuts,

00:34:26.605 --> 00:34:28.605 are almost all good surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.98157454

 $00{:}34{:}28.605 --> 00{:}34{:}29.985 \ {\rm candidates \ simply \ because},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9674956

00:34:30.925 --> 00:34:33.005 oversecretion of hormones leads to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9674956

00:34:33.005 --> 00:34:34.785 you know, syndromic disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8285195

 $00:34:36.600 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.500$ And nonfunctional

NOTE Confidence: 0.97186327

 $00{:}34{:}37.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}39.080$ peanuts that are causing some

NOTE Confidence: 0.97186327

 $00:34:39.080 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.680$ of those compressive symptoms like

NOTE Confidence: 0.97186327

 $00:34:40.680 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.739$ jaundice or,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99437493

 $00{:}34{:}42.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}44.220$ gastric outlet obstruction, etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99889106

 $00:34:44.920 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.140$ We'll talk a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.93893605

 $00:34:46.600 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.420$ down the road,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9880534

 $00:34:47.960 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.674$ as I progress for locally

NOTE Confidence: 0.9880534

 $00:34:49.674 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.575$ advanced tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99748945

 $00:34:51.035 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.655$ that could be considered unresectable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99874073

00:34:53.915 --> 00:34:55.434 As briefly mentioned, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99874073

 $00:34:55.434 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.275$ that that definition is changing.

00:34:57.275 --> 00:34:58.174 I think both

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977277

 $00:34:59.194 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.694$ improvements

NOTE Confidence: 0.9855428

 $00:34:59.994 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.994$ in surgical techniques, new approaches

NOTE Confidence: 0.9855428

 $00:35:01.994 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.830$ we've devised to operating in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9855428

 $00:35:03.830 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.810$ the case of nonmetastatic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9736909

 $00:35:05.670 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.670$ especially pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors, has

NOTE Confidence: 0.9736909

 $00:35:07.670 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.950$ changed the definition of what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9736909

 $00:35:08.950 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.450$ unresectable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99481386

00:35:10.070 --> 00:35:11.989 Moreover, we now have effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.99481386

 $00:35:11.989 \longrightarrow 00:35:13.690$ systemic agents that can downstage

NOTE Confidence: 0.99481386

 $00:35:13.750 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.730$ some of these patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.70344144 00:35:15.510 --> 00:35:16.010 to NOTE Confidence: 0.6998663

 $00{:}35{:}16.434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}16.934 \ \mathrm{cases}.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9183072

00:35:17.795 --> 00:35:19.234 I'm just gonna briefly mention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9183072

 $00:35:19.234 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.114$ you know, peanuts that are

 $00:35:20.114 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.795$ associated with genetic syndromes most

NOTE Confidence: 0.9183072

00:35:21.795 --> 00:35:23.714 commonly, MEN1, but of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9183072

 $00{:}35{:}23.714 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}25.815$ others like tuberous sclerosis, etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9944779

00:35:26.594 --> 00:35:27.795 You know, in those cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9944779

 $00:35:27.795 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.614$ it's truly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9930798

00:35:29.140 --> 00:35:29.719 a multidisciplinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.97709674

 $00:35:30.180 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.859$ disease where decisions on when

NOTE Confidence: 0.97709674

 $00:35:31.859 \longrightarrow 00:35:33.300$ to operate have to take

NOTE Confidence: 0.97709674

 $00:35:33.300 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.340$ into account a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97709674

 $00:35:34.340 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.859$ other factors like patient age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97709674

00:35:35.859 --> 00:35:36.600 their endocrinology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99778616

 $00:35:37.780 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.280$ status,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991242

 $00:35:38.900 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.440$ and what the future expectations

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993347

 $00:35:40.739 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.995$ are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9513119

00:35:42.595 --> 00:35:44.615 So, again, talking about totally

NOTE Confidence: 0.9513119

 $00:35:44.675 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.175$ incidental

 $00:35:45.635 \longrightarrow 00:35:46.755$ peanuts, which is what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9523356

 $00:35:46.755 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.295$ see most often nowadays,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835747

 $00:35:49.635 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.635$ as nicely summarized by doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835747

00:35:51.635 --> 00:35:52.995 Klimstra, we wanna know as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835747

 $00:35:52.995 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.195$ much as we can about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835747

 $00:35:54.195 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.015$ how aggressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.9497011

 $00:35:55.410 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.450$ that disease is from a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9497011

 $00:35:56.450 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.450$ pathologic standpoint, k sixty seven

NOTE Confidence: 0.9497011

00:35:58.450 --> 00:35:59.910 being particularly important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99207413

 $00:36:00.290 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.170$ But I'd also like to

NOTE Confidence: 0.99207413

 $00:36:01.170 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.549$ highlight regional spread.

NOTE Confidence: 0.998246

00:36:03.170 --> 00:36:04.630 You know, these are frequently

NOTE Confidence: 0.9964624

 $00:36:04.930 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.430$ lymphotropic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8431666

 $00:36:05.730 \longrightarrow 00:36:06.230$ tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9831901

 $00:36:06.849 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.730$ And then, of course, the

 $00:36:07.730 \longrightarrow 00:36:09.170$ tumor size relates to its

NOTE Confidence: 0.9831901

 $00:36:09.170 \longrightarrow 00:36:09.670$ resectability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93608826

 $00:36:11.244 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.765$ Even for small low key

NOTE Confidence: 0.93608826

00:36:12.765 --> 00:36:13.905 sixty seven peanuts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9774965

 $00:36:14.364 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.565$ they all have the potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.9774965

 $00:36:15.565 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.605$ to grow and spread. It's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9774965

 $00:36:16.605 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.364$ just some are are very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9774965

 $00:36:18.364 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.905$ unlikely to do so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97916406

 $00:36:20.445 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.484$ One of the frequent things

NOTE Confidence: 0.97916406

 $00:36:21.484 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.605$ that occurs in in my

NOTE Confidence: 0.97916406

 $00:36:22.605 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.724$ clinic is a patient will

NOTE Confidence: 0.97916406

 $00:36:23.724 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.765$ come to us and, you

NOTE Confidence: 0.97916406

 $00:36:24.765 \longrightarrow 00:36:25.984$ know, they have a peanut,

NOTE Confidence: 0.71039885 00:36:26.310 --> 00:36:26.810 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9772393

 $00:36:28.070 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.510$ they've been told that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9772393

 $00:36:29.510 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.650$ do not have cancer,

00:36:31.830 --> 00:36:32.870 you know, which is always

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819837

 $00:36:32.870 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.070$ difficult to walk that back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819837

 $00:36:34.070 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.190$ And I and I totally

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819837

 $00:36:35.190 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.690$ understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.97881126

 $00:36:36.230 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.190$ the fact that they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.97881126

 $00:36:37.190 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.630$ a pancreatic lesion and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.97881126

 $00:36:38.630 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.450$ not adenocarcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595554

 $00{:}36{:}40.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}41.875$ It's a neuroendocrine tumor, obviously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595554

00:36:41.875 --> 00:36:43.395 that sets a completely different

NOTE Confidence: 0.9595554

 $00:36:43.395 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.895$ conversation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98072934

 $00:36:45.715 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.075$ But oftentimes, we do have

NOTE Confidence: 0.98072934

 $00:36:47.075 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.775$ to characterize to, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98072934

 $00{:}36{:}48.835 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}50.835$ recharacterize these lesions to patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.98072934

 $00:36:50.835 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.035$ if they've gotten the notion

NOTE Confidence: 0.98072934

 $00:36:52.035 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.155$ that, you know, there's not

 $00:36:53.155 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.239$ much to worry about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99719214

 $00:36:54.800 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.500$ That being said, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99719214

 $00:36:56.719 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.000$ as I alluded to, there

NOTE Confidence: 0.99719214

 $00:36:58.000 \longrightarrow 00:36:59.140$ are a number of tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.99719214

 $00:36:59.280 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.100$ that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.987019

 $00:37:00.640 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.320$ so unlikely to spread that

NOTE Confidence: 0.987019

 $00:37:02.320 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.820$ observation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802284

00:37:03.280 --> 00:37:05.040 is a potential management strategy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802284

 $00:37:05.040 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.560$ So this has been floating

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802284

 $00:37:06.560 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.665$ about for about ten or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802284

00:37:07.745 --> 00:37:08.645 fifteen years now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9650501

 $00:37:09.265 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.225$ looking at a few studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9650501

 $00:37:10.225 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.785$ You know, one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9650501

 $00:37:10.785 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.905$ earliest studies came out of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9650501

 $00:37:11.905 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.265$ the clinic, you know, Mayo

NOTE Confidence: 0.9650501

00:37:13.265 --> 00:37:15.505 Clinic fifteen years ago, and

 $00:37:15.505 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.864$ that observed that over a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9650501

00:37:16.864 --> 00:37:18.085 long period of time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9319853

 $00:37:18.945 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.864$ patients with small asymptomatic p

NOTE Confidence: 0.9319853

 $00:37:20.864 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.890$ nets that were nonfunctional

NOTE Confidence: 0.98275983

 $00:37:22.510 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.869$ had the same survivorship as

NOTE Confidence: 0.98275983

00:37:23.869 --> 00:37:25.390 patients that underwent an initial

NOTE Confidence: 0.98275983

 $00:37:25.390 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.890$ resection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.994126

00:37:27.469 --> 00:37:29.390 This study was contradicted by

NOTE Confidence: 0.994126

 $00{:}37{:}29.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}30.690$ a study out of Duke

NOTE Confidence: 0.9943402

 $00:37:31.150 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.069$ that suggested patients that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.9943402

 $00:37:33.069 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.569$ observed

NOTE Confidence: 0.99824524

 $00:37:33.869 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.930$ did much worse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9879602

 $00:37:35.755 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.715$ That being said, that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9879602

00:37:36.715 --> 00:37:37.835 a database study. It was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9879602

 $00:37:37.835 \longrightarrow 00:37:39.114$ retrospective. It was subject to

 $00:37:39.114 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.494$ a lot of selection bias.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9523483

00:37:41.515 --> 00:37:43.035 You know, a study performed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9523483

 $00:37:43.035 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.155$ here at Yale about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9523483

 $00:37:44.155 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.895$ same time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9955276

 $00:37:45.835 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.955$ it did show that patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9955276

 $00:37:46.955 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.635$ with small neuroendocrine tumors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9955276

 $00:37:48.635 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.155$ were observed that later underwent

NOTE Confidence: 0.9955276

 $00:37:50.155 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.655$ resection

NOTE Confidence: 0.9697505

00:37:51.170 --> 00:37:52.290 did have a very, very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9697505

 $00:37:52.290 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.830$ favorable ten year survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98031116

 $00{:}37{:}54.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}55.730$ Although some did have, you

NOTE Confidence: 0.98031116

 $00:37:55.730 \longrightarrow 00:37:56.930$ know, regional spread at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98031116

 $00:37:56.930 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.830$ time of resection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9866457

 $00:37:59.090 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.290$ And then a large study

NOTE Confidence: 0.9866457

 $00:38:00.290 \longrightarrow 00:38:01.670$ also out of, MSK

NOTE Confidence: 0.95211166

 $00:38:02.370 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.730$ showed that if patients are

 $00{:}38{:}03.730 \longrightarrow 00{:}38{:}05.015$ watched well, you know, about

NOTE Confidence: 0.95211166

 $00:38:05.015 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.895$ a quarter of them will

NOTE Confidence: 0.95211166

 $00:38:05.895 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.175$ convert to resection over a

NOTE Confidence: 0.95211166

00:38:07.175 --> 00:38:08.855 five year period. But, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95211166

 $00:38:08.855 \longrightarrow 00:38:10.395$ the survival is the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9590134

 $00:38:11.815 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.655$ Now what this boils down

NOTE Confidence: 0.9590134

 $00:38:13.655 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.455$ to, and there's been a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9590134

00:38:14.455 --> 00:38:15.575 number of other studies over

NOTE Confidence: 0.9590134

00:38:15.575 --> 00:38:17.415 the years that, mostly fall

NOTE Confidence: 0.9590134

 $00:38:17.415 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.219$ on the side that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.9590134

 $00:38:18.219 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.420$ is a population in whom

NOTE Confidence: 0.9590134

 $00:38:19.420 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.780$ it's safe to avoid surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.959013400:38:20.780 --> 00:38:21.280 in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9646355

 $00:38:22.300 \longrightarrow 00:38:23.340$ So the answer, I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9646355

 $00:38:23.340 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.780$ to the question posed here

 $00:38:24.780 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.900$ that some peanuts may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9646355

 $00:38:25.900 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.360$ safe to observe is yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9646355

 $00:38:27.580 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.440$ Who are those candidates? Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9646355

 $00:38:29.660 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.020$ has to be nonfunctioning tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9646355

 $00:38:31.020 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.000$ has to be asymptomatic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9470431

 $00:38:33.535 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.415$ You know, this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9470431

00:38:34.415 --> 00:38:36.035 little controversial, but essentially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99498546

 $00:38:36.815 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.175$ we here at Yale really

NOTE Confidence: 0.99498546

 $00{:}38{:}38.175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}39.375$ believe that if there's already

NOTE Confidence: 0.99498546

 $00:38:39.375 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.975$ some evidence of regional spread

NOTE Confidence: 0.99498546

 $00:38:40.975 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.195$ to lymph node involvement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9850709

 $00:38:43.135 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.155$ regardless of any other characteristic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9850709

00:38:45.215 --> 00:38:46.755 that's an indication for surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953311

 $00:38:47.489 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.450$ we need to understand that

NOTE Confidence: 0.953311

 $00:38:48.450 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.350$ it's well differentiated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9751737

00:38:50.130 --> 00:38:51.890 preferably small. The incidence of

 $00{:}38{:}51.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}53.750$ both regional and metastatic spread

NOTE Confidence: 0.9751737

 $00{:}38{:}53.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}55.570$ starts to rise precipitously over

NOTE Confidence: 0.9751737

 $00:38:55.570 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.310$ two centimeters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965888

 $00:38:57.090 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.210$ And then I think something

NOTE Confidence: 0.965888

 $00:38:58.210 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.890$ we often forget about but

NOTE Confidence: 0.965888

 $00:38:59.890 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.454$ is critically important, is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.965888

 $00:39:01.454 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.575$ patient has to be amenable

NOTE Confidence: 0.965888

 $00:39:02.575 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.234$ to follow-up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9692504

 $00:39:04.094 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.375$ If this is somebody who

NOTE Confidence: 0.9692504

 $00:39:05.375 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.875$ either

NOTE Confidence: 0.97303843

00:39:06.335 --> 00:39:08.174 through, you know, anxiety will

NOTE Confidence: 0.97303843

 $00:39:08.174 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.535$ be unable to tolerate a

NOTE Confidence: 0.97303843

00:39:09.535 --> 00:39:10.914 surveillance based strategy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9735963

 $00:39:11.535 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.974$ that's a genuine symptom as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9735963

 $00:39:12.974 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.255$ well, and sometimes that is

 $00:39:14.255 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.634$ an indication for surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98335904

 $00{:}39{:}16.050 \to 00{:}39{:}17.090$ Also, if it's a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.98335904

 $00:39:17.090 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.290$ that's not going to comply

NOTE Confidence: 0.98335904

 $00:39:18.290 \longrightarrow 00:39:19.510$ with serial examinations

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968451

 $00:39:19.810 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.469$ and follow-up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9676022

 $00:39:21.170 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.050$ you know, that may tilt

NOTE Confidence: 0.9676022

 $00:39:22.050 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.110$ you towards surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99701905

 $00:39:23.810 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.110$ at an earlier phase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96772254

 $00:39:25.969 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.170$ So if we've made the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96772254

 $00:39:27.170 \longrightarrow 00:39:28.630$ decision to go to surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96772254

00:39:28.844 --> 00:39:30.205 what are our goals? Obviously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96772254

 $00:39:30.205 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.645$ we wanna maximize the local

NOTE Confidence: 0.96772254

00:39:31.645 --> 00:39:33.085 control with a margin negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.96772254

 $00:39:33.085 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.585$ resection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98149157

00:39:34.765 --> 00:39:35.744 Regional lymphadenectomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9849059

 $00:39:36.045 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.165$ is considered standard of care.

00:39:37.165 --> 00:39:38.605 We wanna improve and prolong

NOTE Confidence: 0.9849059

00:39:38.605 --> 00:39:39.505 people's survivorship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.969663

 $00:39:40.364 \longrightarrow 00:39:41.645$ and then hopefully improve their

NOTE Confidence: 0.969663

00:39:41.645 --> 00:39:43.165 quality of life, particularly in

NOTE Confidence: 0.969663

00:39:43.165 --> 00:39:44.910 the case of hormonal syndrome

NOTE Confidence: 0.97311246

 $00:39:45.210 \longrightarrow 00:39:46.670$ or in metastatic disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330584

 $00:39:48.650 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.330$ Secondary, but almost as important

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330584

 $00:39:50.330 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.930$ is we wanna minimize the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330584

 $00:39:51.930 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.690$ complications and the morbidity of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330584

 $00:39:53.690 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.230$ having a pancreatic resection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9762523 00:39:55.610 --> 00:39:56.110 So NOTE Confidence: 0.98536235

 $00:39:56.435 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.395$ in the short term, you

NOTE Confidence: 0.98536235

00:39:57.395 --> 00:39:58.994 know, that generally consists of

NOTE Confidence: 0.98536235

 $00:39:58.994 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.335$ infection related complications or pancreatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.98536235

 $00:40:01.395 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.895$ fistula.

 $00:40:02.275 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.315$ But in the long term,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9948638

00:40:03.315 --> 00:40:04.515 you know, having some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9948638

 $00{:}40{:}04.515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}06.035$ the pancreas resected can lead

NOTE Confidence: 0.9948638

 $00:40:06.035 \longrightarrow 00:40:07.815$ to diabetes or exocrine insufficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99196076

 $00:40:08.400 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.119$ So, you know, a big

NOTE Confidence: 0.99196076

00:40:09.119 --> 00:40:10.640 part of our pancreatic surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.99196076

 $00:40:10.640 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.099$ program here is the multidisciplinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.98912555

 $00:40:12.559 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.460$ care from both,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99479854

 $00:40:14.480 \longrightarrow 00:40:14.980$ nutritionists

NOTE Confidence: 0.9657266

 $00:40:15.440 \longrightarrow 00:40:16.180$ and also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99827003

 $00:40:17.119 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.660$ the endocrine team. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.9937289

 $00:40:19.039 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.475$ surgical approach and what kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.9937289

 $00:40:20.555 \longrightarrow 00:40:21.915$ of procedure we do is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9937289

 $00:40:21.915 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.355$ really dictated by what lymph

NOTE Confidence: 0.9937289

 $00:40:23.355 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.475$ nodes need to be retrieved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9937289

 $00:40:24.475 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.835$ where is the tumor, and

 $00:40:25.835 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.675$ what's the patient's tolerance for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9937289

 $00:40:27.675 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.415$ an operation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97721976

 $00:40:29.755 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.135$ You know, less is oftentimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.97721976

 $00:40:31.275 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.555$ more with regards to surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97721976

 $00:40:32.555 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.535$ but in this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99784154

00:40:33.969 --> 00:40:35.730 the tumor itself generally tells

NOTE Confidence: 0.99784154

 $00:40:35.730 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.850$ us what the right operation

NOTE Confidence: 0.99784154

 $00{:}40{:}36.850 --> 00{:}40{:}37.510$ to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99277216

00:40:38.690 --> 00:40:39.670 You know, enucleation

NOTE Confidence: 0.98288566

 $00:40:40.290 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.210$ is a wonderful parenchymal sparing

NOTE Confidence: 0.98288566

 $00:40:42.210 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.710$ technique.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9963914

 $00:40:43.489 \longrightarrow 00:40:44.790$ The issues with enucleation

NOTE Confidence: 0.92011416

00:40:45.170 --> 00:40:46.230 are a higher rate

NOTE Confidence: 0.9828819

00:40:46.575 --> 00:40:48.255 of pancreatic fistula and no

NOTE Confidence: 0.9828819

 $00:40:48.255 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.695$ lymph node retrieval, but it's

 $00:40:49.695 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.375$ the ideal operation for more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9828819

 $00:40:51.375 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.355$ indolent tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9715382

00:40:52.655 --> 00:40:54.094 Frequently, you know, this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9715382

 $00:40:54.094 \longrightarrow 00:40:54.755$ for insulinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92691374

 $00:40:55.535 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.335$ You can see a case

NOTE Confidence: 0.92691374

 $00:40:56.335 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.135$ there that we did a

NOTE Confidence: 0.92691374

00:40:57.135 --> 00:40:57.450 few years ago. Really nicely

NOTE Confidence: 0.92691374

 $00{:}40{:}57.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}57.525$ circumscribed tumor. We don't need

NOTE Confidence: 0.92691374

 $00{:}40{:}57.525 --> 00{:}40{:}57.600$ large margins. You know, this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9269137400:40:57.600 --> 00:40:58.114 is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9705884

 $00{:}40{:}59.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}01.880$ curative tumor. We don't need

NOTE Confidence: 0.9705884

00:41:01.880 --> 00:41:03.400 large margins. You know, this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9705884

 $00:41:03.400 \longrightarrow 00:41:04.840$ is a curative operation for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9705884

 $00{:}41{:}04.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}06.140$ most patients with insulinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90776277

00:41:09.160 --> 00:41:11.080 For non insulinomas, essentially, where

NOTE Confidence: 0.90776277

 $00:41:11.080 \longrightarrow 00:41:12.600$ enucleation is not a good

 $00:41:12.600 \longrightarrow 00:41:13.100$ approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9643499

 $00:41:13.560 \longrightarrow 00:41:15.080$ Again, the tumor location is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9643499 00:41:15.080 --> 00:41:15.580 key. NOTE Confidence: 0.95918113

 $00{:}41{:}16.305 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}17.765$ Tumors located in the pancreatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.95918113

 $00{:}41{:}17.825 \to 00{:}41{:}20.005$ head often times undergo Whipple procedure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9926322

 $00:41:20.305 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.585$ Tumors located in the neck

NOTE Confidence: 0.9926322

 $00:41:21.585 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.025$ or body oftentimes undergo a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9926322

 $00:41:23.025 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.925$ distal pancreatectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99608564

00:41:25.105 --> 00:41:25.844 I'm oversimplifying

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483844

 $00{:}41{:}26.385 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}27.425$ there. We do do a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483844

 $00{:}41{:}27.425 --> 00{:}41{:}28.244 \ {\rm central \ pancreatectomy}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.98376054

00:41:28.625 --> 00:41:30.065 in some patients for tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.98376054

 $00{:}41{:}30.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}31.185$ that are really directly in

NOTE Confidence: 0.98376054

 $00:41:31.185 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.570$ the neck. That's a good

NOTE Confidence: 0.98376054

00:41:32.570 --> 00:41:34.330 parenchymal sparing approach in many

 $00:41:34.330 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.830$ patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9725344

 $00{:}41{:}35.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}37.370$ Also, splenic preservation is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9725344

 $00:41:37.370 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.570$ possibility if we think the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9725344

 $00:41:38.570 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.250$ chance for lymph node spread

NOTE Confidence: 0.9725344

 $00:41:40.250 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.310$ is quite low.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99691474

00:41:42.650 --> 00:41:44.570 You know, many patients and

NOTE Confidence: 0.99691474

00:41:44.570 --> 00:41:45.870 many referring providers

NOTE Confidence: 0.9915891

 $00:41:46.864 \longrightarrow 00:41:48.385$ still remember that old adage

NOTE Confidence: 0.9915891

 $00:41:48.385 \longrightarrow 00:41:49.105$ you may have heard in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9915891

00:41:49.105 --> 00:41:50.625 medical school about, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9915891

 $00:41:50.625 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.225$ how awful pancreatic surgery is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9915891

 $00:41:52.225 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.105$ You know, that is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9915891

 $00:41:53.105 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.924$ true anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9683777

00:41:54.464 --> 00:41:56.385 Pancreatic surgery is very safe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9683777

 $00:41:56.385 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.165$ especially in large volume experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.9683777

 $00:41:58.224 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.724$ centers,

 $00:41:59.344 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.085$ and improperly

NOTE Confidence: 0.98844385

 $00:42:00.704 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.605$ selected patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95941496

 $00:42:01.980 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.119$ There's a very linear

NOTE Confidence: 0.9998845

 $00:42:03.500 \longrightarrow 00:42:06.080$ relationship between volume and experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.9943379

 $00:42:06.540 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.140$ with regards to surgical outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.9943379

 $00:42:08.140 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.800$ and quality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9787962

00:42:10.780 --> 00:42:12.780 Some operations, that's very surgeon

NOTE Confidence: 0.9787962

 $00:42:12.780 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.280$ dependent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9237563

 $00:42:13.580 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.400$ For a pancreatectomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992027

00:42:14.859 --> 00:42:16.560 it is institution dependent

NOTE Confidence: 0.96293485

 $00:42:17.020 \longrightarrow 00:42:18.355$ because there's much more than

NOTE Confidence: 0.96293485

 $00:42:18.355 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.795$ just surgical expertise that goes

NOTE Confidence: 0.96293485

 $00{:}42{:}19.795 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}21.735$ into outcomes for this. An esthesia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99916303

00:42:22.035 --> 00:42:24.135 ICU care, interventional radiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9815698

00:42:24.435 --> 00:42:26.535 pathology, medical oncology colleagues,

 $00:42:26.915 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.055$ all all critical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99449503

00:42:29.235 --> 00:42:29.955 Just to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9864737

 $00:42:30.275 \longrightarrow 00:42:31.480$ look at at our numbers

NOTE Confidence: 0.9864737

00:42:31.480 --> 00:42:32.440 here at Yale, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9864737

 $00:42:32.440 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.400$ we do almost a hundred

NOTE Confidence: 0.9864737

 $00:42:33.400 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.900$ pancreatectomies

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968866

 $00:42:34.360 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.020$ a year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99780035

 $00:42:35.640 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.440$ Many of these are done

NOTE Confidence: 0.99780035

 $00:42:36.440 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.060$ in a minimally invasive fashion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8935606

00:42:38.600 --> 00:42:39.640 I'm just putting up some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8935606

00:42:39.640 --> 00:42:40.460 some statistics

NOTE Confidence: 0.96436805

 $00:42:41.000 \longrightarrow 00:42:42.040$ here and how they relate

NOTE Confidence: 0.96436805

 $00:42:42.040 \longrightarrow 00:42:43.000$ to us. You know, at

NOTE Confidence: 0.96436805

00:42:43.000 --> 00:42:43.500 Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996655

 $00:42:44.405 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.984$ our mortality

NOTE Confidence: 0.9969283

 $00:42:45.525 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.965$ is much lower than the

 $00:42:46.965 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.984$ national average. Our complication rate

NOTE Confidence: 0.9969283

 $00:42:49.125 \longrightarrow 00:42:50.325$ is better than the ninetieth

NOTE Confidence: 0.9969283

 $00:42:50.325 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.005$ percentile nationally for most of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9969283

 $00:42:52.005 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.665$ the morbidity

NOTE Confidence: 0.9916811

 $00:42:52.965 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.005$ that occurs, and our length

NOTE Confidence: 0.9916811

 $00:42:54.005 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.045$ of stay is also better

NOTE Confidence: 0.9916811

 $00:42:55.045 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.344$ than the national average.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98449564

 $00:42:56.960 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.680$ So I think we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.98449564

00:42:57.680 --> 00:42:59.039 it quite well here. Just

NOTE Confidence: 0.98449564

00:42:59.039 --> 00:43:01.119 to discuss briefly, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98449564

 $00{:}43{:}01.119 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}02.339$ for a distal pancreatectomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9862179

 $00:43:03.279 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.039$ again, some tumors, mainly those

NOTE Confidence: 0.9862179

 $00:43:05.039 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.339$ that are locally advanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.9658017

00:43:06.719 --> 00:43:08.260 with vascular involvement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97145456

 $00:43:08.719 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.000$ we still perform through an

 $00:43:10.000 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.819$ open approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97309434

00:43:11.475 --> 00:43:12.435 but more often, it's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.97309434

 $00:43:12.435 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.535$ minimally invasive approach, either laparoscopic

NOTE Confidence: 0.97309434

 $00:43:14.675 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.735$ or robot assisted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9960349

 $00:43:16.595 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.635$ The decision to perform a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9960349

 $00:43:17.635 \longrightarrow 00:43:18.835$ splenectomy is on a case

NOTE Confidence: 0.9960349

 $00:43:18.835 \longrightarrow 00:43:19.815$ by case basis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98604006

 $00:43:20.835 \longrightarrow 00:43:22.355$ That being said, for most

NOTE Confidence: 0.98604006

00:43:22.355 --> 00:43:23.795 of these tumors, since we

NOTE Confidence: 0.98604006

 $00:43:23.795 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.555$ wait to perform the operation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98604006

 $00{:}43{:}25.555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}26.935$ in other words, we observe

NOTE Confidence: 0.98604006

 $00:43:27.210 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.190$ tumors that are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98297656

 $00:43:29.050 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.810$ less worrisome and less likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.98297656

 $00:43:30.810 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.330$ to spread, many times by

NOTE Confidence: 0.98297656

 $00:43:32.330 \longrightarrow 00:43:33.290$ the time they do go

NOTE Confidence: 0.98297656

 $00:43:33.290 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.670$ to surgery for a PNET,

 $00:43:35.849 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.230$ splenectomy is indicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868122

00:43:37.609 --> 00:43:38.730 And as I mentioned, central

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868122

 $00:43:38.730 \longrightarrow 00:43:39.230$ pancreatectomies

NOTE Confidence: 0.99173546

 $00:43:39.609 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.570$ are a good option for

NOTE Confidence: 0.99173546

 $00{:}43{:}40.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}42.090$ some patients if we're trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.99173546

 $00:43:42.090 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.685$ to maximize parenchymal preservation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98026645

00:43:44.945 --> 00:43:45.905 I couldn't resist. I had

NOTE Confidence: 0.98026645

 $00:43:45.905 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.625$ to put one or two

NOTE Confidence: 0.98026645

 $00:43:46.625 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.905$ surgical photos. You know, here's

NOTE Confidence: 0.98026645

 $00:43:47.905 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.965$ a a video,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99639046

 $00:43:49.745 \longrightarrow 00:43:50.965$ of a distal pancreatectomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.99354625

00:43:51.265 --> 00:43:52.245 being done laparoscopically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835418

00:43:54.225 --> 00:43:55.825 somewhat sped up. We're entering

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835418

 $00:43:55.825 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.105$ the lesser sac here. The

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835418

 $00{:}43{:}57.105 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}58.630$ stomach's being lifted up. You

 $00:43:58.630 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.670$ can see the tumor right

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835418

 $00:43:59.670 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.790$ there with the white arrow

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835418

 $00:44:00.790 \longrightarrow 00:44:01.450$ on it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95842355

00:44:02.150 --> 00:44:03.270 you know, kind of pointing

NOTE Confidence: 0.95842355

 $00:44:03.270 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.070$ to the tumor in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95842355

00:44:04.070 --> 00:44:04.810 mid body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868867

 $00:44:05.590 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.670$ We're retracting some areas around

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868867

 $00:44:07.670 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.349$ the pancreas, moving all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868867

 $00{:}44{:}09.349 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}10.969$ the stomach, colon, retroper itoneum

NOTE Confidence: 0.9850402

00:44:11.430 --> 00:44:12.410 out of our way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9836825

00:44:13.114 --> 00:44:14.635 We're creating that tunnel underneath

NOTE Confidence: 0.9836825

 $00:44:14.635 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.494$ to mobilize the pancreas itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9721793

 $00:44:16.795 \longrightarrow 00:44:18.075$ You can see here's the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9721793

00:44:18.075 --> 00:44:19.915 pink, the splenic artery being

NOTE Confidence: 0.9721793

 $00:44:19.915 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.375$ divided after it's dissected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9982451

 $00:44:22.155 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.954$ We then do the same

 $00:44:22.954 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.494$ thing with the splenic vein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9886976

 $00:44:25.040 \longrightarrow 00:44:25.920$ In this case, both are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9886976

00:44:25.920 --> 00:44:27.619 being divided with surgical staplers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9886976

 $00:44:27.680 \longrightarrow 00:44:28.640$ and then we divide the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9886976

00:44:28.640 --> 00:44:29.700 pancreas itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.983802

 $00:44:30.560 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.320$ and remove the specimen and

NOTE Confidence: 0.983802

 $00:44:32.320 \longrightarrow 00:44:33.440$ send it off to doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.983802

 $00:44:33.440 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.040$ Klimstra and his pals to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.983802

 $00:44:35.440 \longrightarrow 00:44:36.099$ to examine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95665395

00:44:37.625 --> 00:44:39.385 Anyways, there it's retrieved in

NOTE Confidence: 0.95665395

 $00:44:39.385 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.924$ the in the specimen bag.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95665395

 $00:44:40.984 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.344$ So with regards to splenic

NOTE Confidence: 0.95665395

 $00:44:42.344 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.844$ preservation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9798587

 $00:44:44.265 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.045$ we here do not perform

NOTE Confidence: 0.94186395

 $00:44:47.625 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.885$ splenic preservation without preserving,

 $00:44:50.739 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.360$ both the inflow and outflow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98660517

 $00:44:52.660 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.940$ It is possible to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.98660517

 $00:44:53.940 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.540$ done without that, but this

NOTE Confidence: 0.98660517

 $00:44:55.540 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.260$ is how we do it

NOTE Confidence: 0.98660517

 $00:44:56.260 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.540$ at Yale. The outcomes are

NOTE Confidence: 0.98660517

 $00:44:57.540 \longrightarrow 00:44:58.500$ so much better if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.98660517

00:44:58.500 --> 00:44:59.780 do it that way. You

NOTE Confidence: 0.98660517

 $00:44:59.780 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.060$ can see the anatomy there

NOTE Confidence: 0.98660517

 $00:45:01.060 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.940$ and how it looks after

NOTE Confidence: 0.98660517

00:45:01.940 --> 00:45:03.000 a minimally invasive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9862358

 $00:45:03.380 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.280$ spleen preserving,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9969779

 $00:45:05.140 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.960$ distal pancreatectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9829409

00:45:07.265 --> 00:45:08.725 So just to summarize,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96768725

 $00{:}45{:}09.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}10.705$ you know, what are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96768725

00:45:10.705 --> 00:45:12.325 goals from a peanut standpoint?

NOTE Confidence: 0.96768725

 $00:45:12.385 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.745$ Obviously, we wanna control the

 $00:45:13.745 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.785$ disease, and we wanna have

NOTE Confidence: 0.96768725

00:45:14.785 --> 00:45:15.265 minimal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992139

 $00:45:15.585 \longrightarrow 00:45:16.085$ morbidity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9962439

 $00:45:17.185 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.785$ The operations to remove the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9962439

 $00:45:18.785 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.905$ peanut is really driven by

NOTE Confidence: 0.9962439

 $00:45:19.905 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.469$ the tumor itself, and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9962439

 $00:45:21.469 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.450$ choice of operation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9732345

 $00:45:22.750 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.109$ kind of is dictated by

NOTE Confidence: 0.9732345

 $00:45:24.109 \longrightarrow 00:45:25.410$ the location of the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9732345

 $00:45:25.469 \longrightarrow 00:45:26.210$ And the outcomes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97330546

 $00:45:26.670 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.789$ are excellent when done in

NOTE Confidence: 0.97330546

 $00:45:27.789 \longrightarrow 00:45:28.849$ high volume centers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97350657

 $00{:}45{:}29.869 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}45{:}31.869$ Switching gears to surgery for

NOTE Confidence: 0.97350657

 $00:45:31.869 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.130$ enteric or intestinal neuroendocrine tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9529556

 $00:45:35.405 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.204$ You know, I'm really gonna

 $00:45:36.204 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.045$ focus on midgut tumors. Not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9529556

 $00:45:38.045 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.425$ only are they quite common,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9976435

 $00{:}45{:}39.885 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}41.484$ you know, stomach neuroendocrine tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.9976435

 $00:45:41.484 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.605$ are a little bit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.997643500:45:42.605 --> 00:45:43.105 a NOTE Confidence: 0.91634285

 $00:45:43.565 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.265$ topic of discussion to themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95642316

 $00:45:46.045 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.085$ But as pointed out, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.95642316

 $00:45:47.085 \longrightarrow 00:45:48.045$ I'm not gonna belabor the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95642316

 $00{:}45{:}48.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}49.585$ point, the incidence is rising.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8850296

00:45:50.050 --> 00:45:51.469 You know, mid gut tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95888996

 $00:45:53.050 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.950$ that are neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.96961015

 $00:45:54.250 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.770$ differentiation are the most common

NOTE Confidence: 0.96961015

 $00:45:55.770 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.810$ small bowel tumors for at

NOTE Confidence: 0.96961015

 $00:45:56.810 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.350$ least twenty five years now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96961015

 $00:45:58.489 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.190$ and these patients do sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.96961015

 $00:46:00.250 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.510$ present with abdominal pain oftentimes

 $00:46:02.650 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.469$ due to obstruction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5764357400:46:03.855 --> 00:46:04.355 You

00:46:04.734 --> 00:46:05.775 know, that's either from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874852

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874852

00:46:05.775 --> 00:46:07.875 primary tumor or a reaction

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874852

 $00:46:07.935 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.835$ to a mesenteric

NOTE Confidence: 0.9454529

 $00:46:09.135 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.635$ MET,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99871683

00:46:10.255 --> 00:46:11.775 of the neuroendocrine tumor that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.99871683

 $00:46:11.775 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.835$ causing an obstruction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9747794

 $00:46:13.135 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.415$ There's an OR photo here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9747794

00:46:14.415 --> 00:46:15.855 Again, just to be warned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9747794

 $00{:}46{:}15.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}16.755$ here's an intus susception

NOTE Confidence: 0.9757137

00:46:17.135 --> 00:46:18.494 that's occurring in the small

NOTE Confidence: 0.9757137

 $00{:}46{:}18.494 --> 00{:}46{:}18.994 \ \mathrm{bowel},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.96077466

 $00:46:19.719 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.340$ secondary to the primary neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.96077466

 $00:46:21.560 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.060$ tumor.

 $00:46:22.680 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.480$ And you can see why

NOTE Confidence: 0.96076655

 $00:46:23.480 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.440$ that would cause a a

NOTE Confidence: 0.96076655

 $00:46:24.440 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.180$ bowel obstruction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532785

 $00:46:26.120 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.820$ The remainder are diagnosed incidentally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532785

 $00:46:27.960 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.080$ Now, again, these are very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532785

 $00{:}46{:}29.080 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{\:{\mbox{--}}} 00{:}46{:}31.560$ lymphotropic tumors, so often times the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532785

 $00:46:31.560 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.080$ way they're diagnosed, because the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9532785

00:46:33.080 --> 00:46:34.860 primary tumors are quite small,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9966915

 $00:46:35.744 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.424$ is a regional metastasis to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9966915

 $00:46:37.424 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.085$ the mesentery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9899643

 $00{:}46{:}38.545 {\: -->\:} 00{:}46{:}39.505$ which can grow to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9899643

 $00:46:39.505 \longrightarrow 00:46:40.785$ quite large and be calcified

NOTE Confidence: 0.9899643

 $00:46:40.785 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.664$ and stand out on a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9899643

 $00:46:41.664 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.625$ scan even if it's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9899643

00:46:42.625 --> 00:46:44.085 completely incidental finding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9812763

 $00{:}46{:}45.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}46.625$ Same goals with regards to

 $00:46:46.625 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.704$ staging. Often times, biopsies are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9812763

00:46:48.704 --> 00:46:49.204 possible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9075938

00:46:50.450 --> 00:46:52.210 but, frankly, oftentimes, they're not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9075938

 $00:46:52.210 \longrightarrow 00:46:52.710$ necessary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9878967

 $00:46:53.410 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.610$ It is helpful if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9878967

 $00:46:54.610 \longrightarrow 00:46:56.390$ suspect a neuroendocrine carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9697042

00:46:57.250 --> 00:46:58.530 As mentioned by doctor Klimster,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9697042

 $00:46:58.530 \longrightarrow 00:46:59.490$ the role for surgery in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9697042

 $00:46:59.490 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.270$ those is is fairly limited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9884053

00:47:01.890 --> 00:47:03.734 But a good high quality

NOTE Confidence: 0.9884053

 $00{:}47{:}03.734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}05.335$ cross sectional imaging scan is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9884053

 $00:47:05.335 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.875$ critical to determine resectability

NOTE Confidence: 0.99820745

 $00{:}47{:}07.335 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}08.714$ and the degree of spread.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9979304

 $00:47:09.575 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.855$ You know, this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9979304

 $00:47:10.855 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.055$ scan of a patient of

00:47:12.055 --> 00:47:13.515 mine that was discovered incidentally

NOTE Confidence: 0.94906205

00:47:13.894 --> 00:47:14.855 where you can see just

NOTE Confidence: 0.94906205

 $00:47:14.855 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.994$ a small mesenteric

NOTE Confidence: 0.99872977

 $00:47:16.295 \longrightarrow 00:47:16.795$ nodule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97720927

 $00:47:18.030 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.150$ This was performed on a

NOTE Confidence: 0.97720927

 $00:47:19.150 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.969$ CAT scan

NOTE Confidence: 0.9297824

 $00:47:20.270 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.570$ well before diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97509

00:47:22.030 --> 00:47:23.230 but over time, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97509

 $00{:}47{:}23.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}24.670$ looking back with retrospect, that

NOTE Confidence: 0.97509

 $00:47:24.670 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.710$ was there, but it wasn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.97509

 $00:47:25.710 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.910$ called. And then over time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97509

 $00:47:26.910 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.190$ it progressed into this large

NOTE Confidence: 0.97509

 $00{:}47{:}28.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}30.210$ mesenteric mass with calcification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9726837

 $00:47:32.385 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.505$ You know? And and when

NOTE Confidence: 0.9726837

 $00:47:33.505 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.465$ she got to me, this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9726837

 $00:47:34.465 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.344$ is how it looked,

00:47:35.665 --> 00:47:37.344 some years later. We were

NOTE Confidence: 0.978759

 $00{:}47{:}37.344 \to 00{:}47{:}39.105$ able to resect her, you

NOTE Confidence: 0.978759

 $00:47:39.105 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.225$ know, doing very well at

NOTE Confidence: 0.978759

 $00:47:40.225 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.225$ this point. That being said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.978759

 $00:47:42.225 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.065$ oncologic goals, very similar in

NOTE Confidence: 0.978759

 $00:47:44.065 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.185$ the pancreas. You know? We

NOTE Confidence: 0.978759

 $00:47:45.185 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.045$ wanna clear the primary tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97880614

 $00:47:47.609 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.410$ We have to keep in

NOTE Confidence: 0.97880614

 $00:47:48.410 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.369$ mind that a third of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97880614

 $00{:}47{:}49.369 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}51.390$ these cases have multifocal disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99518055

 $00:47:52.809 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.250$ So if a minimally invasive

NOTE Confidence: 0.99518055

 $00:47:54.250 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.289$ approach is thought to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.99518055

 $00{:}47{:}55.289 \rightarrow 00{:}47{:}57.069$ appropriate, one thing we crucially

NOTE Confidence: 0.99518055

 $00:47:57.210 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.349$ must do as neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.999408

 $00:47:58.890 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.390$ surgeons

 $00:47:59.930 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.130$ is look at the entire

NOTE Confidence: 0.9959722

 $00:48:01.130 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.630$ bowel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98351234

 $00:48:02.445 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.725$ That's possible in a minimally

NOTE Confidence: 0.98351234

00:48:03.725 --> 00:48:04.765 invasive way, you know, with

NOTE Confidence: 0.98351234

 $00:48:04.765 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.805$ some of the techniques we

NOTE Confidence: 0.98351234

 $00:48:05.805 \longrightarrow 00:48:06.545$ have now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98226815

 $00:48:07.085 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.965$ But we always have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.98226815

00:48:07.965 --> 00:48:09.325 look for that multifocal disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98226815

 $00:48:09.325 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.125$ and then we have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.98226815

 $00:48:10.125 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.965$ be very mindful of removing

NOTE Confidence: 0.98226815

00:48:11.965 --> 00:48:13.905 the entire mesenteric nodal packet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9759727

 $00:48:14.930 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.150$ not just the big calcified

NOTE Confidence: 0.9759727

 $00:48:16.210 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.170$ nodule, but all of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9759727

 $00:48:17.170 \longrightarrow 00:48:18.210$ lymph nodes in the area

NOTE Confidence: 0.9759727

 $00:48:18.210 \longrightarrow 00:48:19.650$ because they are possible sites

NOTE Confidence: 0.9759727

 $00:48:19.650 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.270$ of of persistent disease.

00:48:22.290 --> 00:48:23.110 In particular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9812179

 $00:48:23.570 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.690$ many of these patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9812179

 $00:48:24.690 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.530$ present with bowel obstructions, both

NOTE Confidence: 0.9812179

00:48:26.530 --> 00:48:27.750 primarily and recurrent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9528404

 $00:48:28.665 \longrightarrow 00:48:30.105$ an operation can really improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.9528404

 $00:48:30.105 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.625$ their quality of life even

NOTE Confidence: 0.9528404

 $00:48:31.625 \longrightarrow 00:48:32.765$ if it's not curative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977306

 $00:48:34.105 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.885$ With regards to minimizing morbidity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997342 00:48:36.344 --> 00:48:36.844 the NOTE Confidence: 0.9984065

00:48:37.145 --> 00:48:39.005 length of gut that remains

NOTE Confidence: 0.9651475

00:48:39.305 --> 00:48:40.844 is the critically important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98542506

 $00:48:42.770 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.609$ step in these operations, especially

NOTE Confidence: 0.98542506

00:48:44.609 --> 00:48:45.650 in patients that have had

NOTE Confidence: 0.98542506

00:48:45.650 --> 00:48:46.710 multiple operations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95867294

00:48:47.570 --> 00:48:48.849 You know, personally, I have

 $00:48:48.849 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.890$ been the surgeon for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.95867294

 $00:48:49.890 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.349$ patient who was their eleventh

NOTE Confidence: 0.95867294

 $00:48:51.489 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.989$ operation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99907947

 $00:48:53.250 \longrightarrow 00:48:55.170$ after ten operations at other

NOTE Confidence: 0.99907947

 $00:48:55.170 \longrightarrow 00:48:55.670$ institutions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9798348

 $00:48:56.825 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.265$ In those cases, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9798348

 $00{:}48{:}58.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}00.505$ length of guts, is chemia become

NOTE Confidence: 0.9798348

00:49:00.505 --> 00:49:01.484 really, really important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94662964

 $00:49:02.424 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.704$ factors in term in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.94662964

 $00:49:03.704 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.924$ of planning the surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9504577

 $00:49:05.224 \longrightarrow 00:49:06.265$ All of these patients, I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9504577

 $00:49:06.265 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.805$ think, should get a cholecystectomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.99075

00:49:08.184 --> 00:49:09.305 because many of them will

NOTE Confidence: 0.99075

 $00:49:09.305 \longrightarrow 00:49:11.230$ get a somatostatin analog at

NOTE Confidence: 0.99075

00:49:11.230 --> 00:49:12.829 some point during their during

NOTE Confidence: 0.99075

 $00:49:12.829 \longrightarrow 00:49:14.130$ the course of their care.

00:49:15.469 --> 00:49:16.829 Again, a few surgical photos

NOTE Confidence: 0.9671302

 $00{:}49{:}16.829 \to 00{:}49{:}17.549$ just to give you a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9671302

 $00:49:17.549 \longrightarrow 00:49:18.670$ quick heads up, not to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9671302

 $00:49:18.670 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.489$ surprise you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9910856

 $00:49:20.430 \longrightarrow 00:49:22.289$ Here's a case with multifocal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9910856

 $00:49:22.430 \longrightarrow 00:49:23.710$ tumors marked with the blue

NOTE Confidence: 0.9910856

 $00:49:23.710 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.775$ arrows on the left so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9910856

00:49:24.775 --> 00:49:25.575 you can see what I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.9910856

00:49:25.575 --> 00:49:26.935 talking about. You know, many

NOTE Confidence: 0.9910856

 $00:49:26.935 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.215$ of these are not visible

NOTE Confidence: 0.9910856

 $00:49:28.215 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.875$ on imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9624243

 $00:49:29.975 \longrightarrow 00:49:31.815$ That's getting better now, thanks

NOTE Confidence: 0.9624243

00:49:31.815 --> 00:49:33.094 to doctor Spilberg and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9624243

 $00:49:33.094 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.175$ functional imaging they can perform.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9624243

 $00:49:35.175 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.975$ Here you can see a

 $00:49:35.975 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.255$ number of nodules in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9624243

00:49:37.255 --> 00:49:39.175 mesentery on the right. Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9624243

00:49:39.175 --> 00:49:39.915 if you don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.97486824

 $00:49:40.239 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.200$ look for them, you won't

NOTE Confidence: 0.97486824

 $00:49:41.200 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.840$ find them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99443495

 $00:49:42.480 \longrightarrow 00:49:43.940$ And so that entire mesenteric

NOTE Confidence: 0.99443495

 $00:49:44.080 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.200$ packet needs to be removed

NOTE Confidence: 0.99443495

 $00:49:45.200 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.340$ to clear this disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9699062

 $00:49:47.120 \longrightarrow 00:49:48.400$ You know, here's that picture

NOTE Confidence: 0.9699062

 $00:49:48.400 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.440$ again just to show you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9699062

 $00:49:49.440 \longrightarrow 00:49:50.560$ what it looks like after

NOTE Confidence: 0.9699062

 $00:49:50.560 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.260$ clearing all of those vessels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9365795

00:49:53.675 --> 00:49:55.695 Never mind. Photo went away,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9365795

 $00:49:55.835 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.135$ so we'll just move along.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9952384

 $00:49:58.234 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.594$ I wanna touch briefly on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9952384

 $00{:}49{:}59.594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}01.535$ surgery and metastatic disease.

 $00{:}50{:}02.715 \longrightarrow 00{:}50{:}04.815$ Again, the indications for that

NOTE Confidence: 0.91989505

 $00{:}50{:}05.114 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}07.055$ from a cancer directed standpoint.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97366136

00:50:08.310 --> 00:50:09.750 For patients with large volume

NOTE Confidence: 0.97366136

00:50:09.750 --> 00:50:11.989 disease and hormonal oversecretion, whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.97366136

 $00:50:11.989 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.130$ that's pancreatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9858551

 $00:50:13.430 \longrightarrow 00:50:15.210$ or from an intestinal neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.9858551

00:50:15.270 --> 00:50:15.770 tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.995035

 $00:50:16.710 \dashrightarrow 00:50:18.969$ debulking that disease can sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.995035

 $00:50:19.110 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.710$ improve their quality of life

NOTE Confidence: 0.995035

 $00:50:20.710 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.570$ by reducing hormone oversecretion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9697221

 $00{:}50{:}24.135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}25.735$ With regards to actual tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9697221

 $00:50:25.735 \longrightarrow 00:50:26.235$ control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993971

 $00{:}50{:}27.495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}29.915$ debulking seems to have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993971

 $00:50:30.055 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.555$ survivorship

NOTE Confidence: 0.9963163

 $00:50:30.855 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.355$ benefit.

00:50:32.215 --> 00:50:34.235 The degree of debulking necessary

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984119

 $00{:}50{:}34.375 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}35.755$ and the amount of survivorship

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984119

 $00:50:36.055 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.555$ improvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.99656045

 $00:50:37.100 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.780$ is highly variable depending on

NOTE Confidence: 0.99656045

 $00:50:38.780 \longrightarrow 00:50:40.080$ the study that is read.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99472916

 $00{:}50{:}40.380 \to 00{:}50{:}42.060$ Generally, we prefer to debulk

NOTE Confidence: 0.99472916

00:50:42.060 --> 00:50:43.180 at least eighty to ninety

NOTE Confidence: 0.99472916

00:50:43.180 --> 00:50:44.940 percent, but seventy percent is

NOTE Confidence: 0.99472916

 $00:50:44.940 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.300$ sort of considered the limit

NOTE Confidence: 0.99472916

00:50:46.620 --> 00:50:47.980 lower limit of what might

NOTE Confidence: 0.99472916

 $00:50:47.980 \longrightarrow 00:50:48.640$ be helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95621186

 $00:50:50.055 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.335$ It is important to note

NOTE Confidence: 0.95621186

 $00:50:51.335 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.875$ that especially in well differentiated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9955588

00:50:53.415 --> 00:50:54.535 grade one or grade two

NOTE Confidence: 0.9955588

 $00:50:54.535 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.035$ disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9898855

00:50:55.734 --> 00:50:57.175 you can operate on people

 $00:50:57.175 \longrightarrow 00:50:58.775$ from a metastatic standpoint with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9898855

 $00{:}50{:}58.775 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}00.455$ curative intent if you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9898855

 $00:51:00.455 \longrightarrow 00:51:01.835$ clear all of the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96575874

 $00{:}51{:}03.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}04.630$ There are also situations where

NOTE Confidence: 0.96575874

00:51:04.630 --> 00:51:06.090 palliation is very important,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99944395

 $00:51:06.469 \longrightarrow 00:51:07.450$ either for obstruction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9972656

 $00:51:07.910 \longrightarrow 00:51:09.530$ biliary bypasses, etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9552658

 $00{:}51{:}10.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}11.690$ Lower grades will do better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99889034

 $00:51:12.469 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.590$ but this always needs to

NOTE Confidence: 0.99889034

 $00:51:13.590 \longrightarrow 00:51:14.790$ be a decision taken with

NOTE Confidence: 0.99889034

 $00:51:14.790 \longrightarrow 00:51:15.850$ the entire team.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9229125

 $00:51:18.015 \longrightarrow 00:51:19.535$ So just deliver a section.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9229125

 $00{:}51{:}19.535 --> 00{:}51{:}20.434 \; \mathrm{Just \ to \ summarize},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.99624735

 $00:51:20.734 \longrightarrow 00:51:21.234$ again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991985

 $00:51:21.855 \longrightarrow 00:51:22.895$ a theme of my entire

 $00:51:22.895 \longrightarrow 00:51:24.255$ talk is that decisions for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991985

 $00:51:24.255 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.454$ surgery really need to happen

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991985

 $00:51:25.454 \longrightarrow 00:51:26.674$ with the entire team.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9907482

 $00:51:27.375 \longrightarrow 00:51:29.055$ Patients with resected tumors do

NOTE Confidence: 0.9907482

 $00:51:29.055 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.640$ very, very well both in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9907482

00:51:30.640 --> 00:51:32.660 pancreatic and small bowel neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.9907482

00:51:32.800 --> 00:51:34.560 tumors. With small bowel disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9907482

 $00:51:34.560 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.000$ it's oftentimes better to go

NOTE Confidence: 0.9907482

 $00:51:36.000 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.119$ in a little earlier rather

NOTE Confidence: 0.9907482

 $00:51:37.119 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.100$ than a little later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988414

 $00{:}51{:}39.119 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}40.739$ because it reduces later complications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97116166

00:51:42.422 --> 00:51:44.065 I I think future directions

NOTE Confidence: 0.97116166

00:51:44.125 --> 00:51:45.484 that we're really excited about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97116166

00:51:45.484 --> 00:51:46.444 I think, you know, Pam

NOTE Confidence: 0.97116166

 $00:51:46.444 \longrightarrow 00:51:47.404$ alluded to this a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.97116166

 $00:51:47.404 \longrightarrow 00:51:49.325$ bit. You know, neoadjuvant approaches,

 $00:51:49.325 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.364$ there's a number of trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.97116166

 $00{:}51{:}50.364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}51.645$ that are now investigating this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97116166

 $00:51:51.645 \longrightarrow 00:51:53.105$ both cytotoxic therapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.9224529

 $00:51:53.565 \longrightarrow 00:51:54.305$ and PRRT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9698454

00:51:55.430 --> 00:51:57.190 Really, really, really exciting, from

NOTE Confidence: 0.9698454

 $00:51:57.190 \longrightarrow 00:51:58.330$ a surgical perspective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9758649

 $00:51:58.950 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.010$ Also, the molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.9935967

 $00:52:00.390 \longrightarrow 00:52:01.510$ guidance that we can get

NOTE Confidence: 0.9935967

 $00:52:01.510 \longrightarrow 00:52:02.230$ from some of the new

NOTE Confidence: 0.9935967

00:52:02.230 --> 00:52:03.930 pathologic and genetic testings,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99748486

 $00:52:04.870 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.990$ and we're looking forward to

NOTE Confidence: 0.99748486

 $00:52:05.990 \longrightarrow 00:52:07.110$ when we can sometimes use

NOTE Confidence: 0.99748486

 $00{:}52{:}07.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}08.550$ these technologies in the operating

NOTE Confidence: 0.99748486

 $00:52:08.550 \longrightarrow 00:52:09.290$ room too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96735716

 $00:52:09.765 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.805$ So I'll stop there. These

 $00:52:10.805 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.924$ are my partners as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.96735716

 $00:52:11.924 \longrightarrow 00:52:12.805$ as a number of folks

NOTE Confidence: 0.96735716

 $00:52:12.805 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.765$ that have done research in

NOTE Confidence: 0.96735716

00:52:13.765 --> 00:52:15.765 the NET area or surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.96735716

 $00:52:15.765 \longrightarrow 00:52:16.825$ oncology area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993808

00:52:17.364 --> 00:52:18.404 And I'm happy to stay

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993808

 $00:52:18.404 \longrightarrow 00:52:19.525$ on for questions at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993808

 $00:52:19.525 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.025$ end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99845546

 $00:52:22.480 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.840$ Thank you, John. That was

NOTE Confidence: 0.99845546

 $00:52:23.840 \longrightarrow 00:52:24.340$ great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9843515

 $00{:}52{:}25.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}27.040$ So okay. Doctor Spilberg is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9843515

00:52:27.040 --> 00:52:28.480 gonna help us wrap up

NOTE Confidence: 0.9843515

 $00:52:28.480 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.080$ here with her talk on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9843515

 $00:52:30.080 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.580$ theranostics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99832064

 $00:52:40.494 \longrightarrow 00:52:41.395$ One second.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90961456

 $00:52:44.494 \longrightarrow 00:52:45.795$ Is it on presentation

 $00:52:46.094 \longrightarrow 00:52:47.715$ mode? Yes. Looks great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95006514

 $00:52:48.415 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.915$ Thanks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9552328

00:52:49.940 --> 00:52:51.219 Good evening. Thank you so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9552328

 $00:52:51.219 \longrightarrow 00:52:52.759$ much for the kind invitation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997306

 $00:52:53.059 \longrightarrow 00:52:54.200$ to be here to night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8761882

 $00:52:54.739 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.359$ Thanks everyone for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9142909

 $00:52:57.539 \longrightarrow 00:52:59.539$ coming to watch this. I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.9142909

 $00:52:59.539 \longrightarrow 00:53:01.239$ gonna talk about net ternostics

NOTE Confidence: 0.72254145 00:53:01.940 --> 00:53:02.339 and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9990805

 $00:53:02.819 \longrightarrow 00:53:04.099$ this is really the work

NOTE Confidence: 0.9990805 00:53:04.099 --> 00:53:04.599 of NOTE Confidence: 0.8659323

 $00:53:05.355 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.535$ an entire net team together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97492486

 $00{:}53{:}08.635 \to 00{:}53{:}09.835$ to make some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.97492486

 $00:53:09.835 \longrightarrow 00:53:10.335$ work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264233

 $00:53:10.635 \longrightarrow 00:53:11.755$ With that I have no

 $00:53:11.755 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.255$ relevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.98877615

 $00:53:12.715 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.215$ disclosures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7438937

 $00:53:13.915 \longrightarrow 00:53:14.815$ So theranostics

NOTE Confidence: 0.9691075

 $00:53:15.114 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.875$ is the contraction of two

NOTE Confidence: 0.9691075

 $00:53:16.875 \longrightarrow 00:53:18.895$ words therapy and diagnostics

NOTE Confidence: 0.7915004

 $00:53:19.770 \longrightarrow 00:53:21.230$ which use diagnostics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9961981

 $00:53:22.090 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.010$ And why is imaging so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9961981

 $00:53:24.010 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.510$ important?

NOTE Confidence: 0.98574746

 $00:53:25.290 \longrightarrow 00:53:26.969$ We can only treat what

NOTE Confidence: 0.98574746

 $00:53:26.969 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.170$ we can see. If you

NOTE Confidence: 0.98574746

 $00:53:28.170 \longrightarrow 00:53:29.469$ just looked at this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92601955

 $00:53:30.010 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.930$ chest c t and looked

NOTE Confidence: 0.92601955

 $00:53:31.930 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.230$ at the bone structures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95772886

 $00:53:33.805 \longrightarrow 00:53:35.005$ If I was reading this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95772886

 $00:53:35.005 \longrightarrow 00:53:36.125$ I would have read this

NOTE Confidence: 0.95772886

 $00{:}53{:}36.125 --> 00{:}53{:}36.864$ as normal.

 $00{:}53{:}37.565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}39.965$ However, this patient had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875

 $00{:}53{:}39.965 --> 00{:}53{:}41.425 \ \mathrm{DOTATATE} \ \mathrm{PET} \ \mathrm{CT}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9704405

 $00:53:42.125 \longrightarrow 00:53:43.825$ and you can see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9704405

 $00:53:43.965 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.045$ all these black areas and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9704405

 $00:53:46.045 \longrightarrow 00:53:46.545$ these

NOTE Confidence: 0.98845464

 $00:53:46.940 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.100$ areas of increased color in

NOTE Confidence: 0.98845464

 $00:53:49.100 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.020$ the spine here are areas

NOTE Confidence: 0.98845464

 $00:53:51.020 \longrightarrow 00:53:51.840$ of metastatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9386345

 $00:53:52.140 \longrightarrow 00:53:52.640$ disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97619987

 $00:53:53.020 \longrightarrow 00:53:54.540$ So without this type of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97619987

 $00:53:54.540 \longrightarrow 00:53:56.380$ technique there is no way

NOTE Confidence: 0.97619987

 $00:53:56.380 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.900$ you would really see these

NOTE Confidence: 0.97619987

 $00{:}53{:}57.900 --> 00{:}53{:}58.400 \text{ lesions}.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9049895

 $00:53:59.985 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.485$ Sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.71679235

 $00:54:01.025 \longrightarrow 00:54:01.425$ MRI can,

 $00:54:02.545 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.705$ depict these but not always

NOTE Confidence: 0.9445336

00:54:04.705 --> 00:54:07.105 this is routinely done or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9445336

 $00:54:07.105 \longrightarrow 00:54:09.665$ sometimes the alterations are so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9445336

00:54:09.665 --> 00:54:11.365 diffused that even on MRI

NOTE Confidence: 0.9445336

 $00:54:11.585 \longrightarrow 00:54:12.085$ sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8618822

 $00:54:12.545 \longrightarrow 00:54:14.645$ is difficult. So really images

NOTE Confidence: 0.9302669

 $00:54:15.030 \longrightarrow 00:54:17.110$ imaging drives management and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.930266900:54:17.110 --> 00:54:17.610 why

NOTE Confidence: 0.96793425

 $00:54:17.910 \longrightarrow 00:54:19.430$ this is so important when

NOTE Confidence: 0.96793425

 $00:54:19.430 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.410$ we talk ternostics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9678069

 $00:54:21.270 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.050$ And why is it challenging

NOTE Confidence: 0.9678069

 $00:54:23.270 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.570$ to image nets?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9253254

 $00:54:25.110 \longrightarrow 00:54:27.110$ Nets are a bucket. They're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9253254

 $00:54:27.110 \longrightarrow 00:54:28.090$ a very heterogeneous

NOTE Confidence: 0.7394848

00:54:28.550 --> 00:54:29.050 group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99481064

 $00:54:29.495 \longrightarrow 00:54:30.855$ And then the imaging presentation

NOTE Confidence: 0.99481064 00:54:30.855 --> 00:54:31.355 will NOTE Confidence: 0.95504475

 $00{:}54{:}32.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}34.455$ go along with that. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.95504475

 $00:54:34.455 \longrightarrow 00:54:36.155$ it's not a single imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.95504475

 $00:54:36.215 \longrightarrow 00:54:36.715$ presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91269785

00:54:37.335 --> 00:54:38.955 It's not a single appearance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91269785

 $00{:}54{:}39.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}40.855$ And also sometimes the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.91269785

 $00:54:40.855 \longrightarrow 00:54:42.555$ sizes are very small,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9932769

 $00:54:42.950 \longrightarrow 00:54:44.410$ which are below the resolution

NOTE Confidence: 0.9932769

 $00:54:44.550 \longrightarrow 00:54:45.530$ of some modalities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992826

 $00:54:46.230 \longrightarrow 00:54:47.610$ And it really requires

NOTE Confidence: 0.99970275

 $00:54:47.910 \longrightarrow 00:54:48.410$ integration

NOTE Confidence: 0.9525521

 $00:54:48.790 \longrightarrow 00:54:49.530$ of multimodality

NOTE Confidence: 0.96131027

 $00:54:50.310 \longrightarrow 00:54:52.410$ imaging, meaning whoever is interpreting

NOTE Confidence: 0.96131027

 $00:54:52.630 \longrightarrow 00:54:53.290$ the study

NOTE Confidence: 0.97904235

 $00:54:53.670 \longrightarrow 00:54:54.730$ needs to understand

00:54:55.270 --> 00:54:57.130 all the imaging done previously

NOTE Confidence: 0.9121538

 $00:54:57.935 \longrightarrow 00:54:59.295$ and up to that point

NOTE Confidence: 0.9121538

 $00:54:59.295 \longrightarrow 00:55:00.515$ and make a history

NOTE Confidence: 0.9967045

 $00:55:01.215 \longrightarrow 00:55:03.475$ of all the findings together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9967045

 $00:55:03.695 \longrightarrow 00:55:05.614$ So not always that comes

NOTE Confidence: 0.9967045

 $00:55:05.614 \longrightarrow 00:55:06.114$ together

NOTE Confidence: 0.9015962 00:55:06.895 --> 00:55:07.395 in, NOTE Confidence: 0.97181016

 $00:55:08.655 \longrightarrow 00:55:10.675$ in a very easy way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97181016

 $00:55:10.735 \longrightarrow 00:55:12.300$ So it really creates a

NOTE Confidence: 0.97181016

 $00:55:12.540 \longrightarrow 00:55:14.380$ challenge to bringing things all

NOTE Confidence: 0.97181016

 $00{:}55{:}14.380 --> 00{:}55{:}14.880 \ together$

NOTE Confidence: 0.888884

 $00:55:15.500 \longrightarrow 00:55:17.040$ to make these diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.99878716

 $00:55:17.420 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.320$ and interpretations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960555

00:55:20.300 --> 00:55:21.760 Types of imaging modalities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8578057

 $00:55:22.060 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.160$ we talk we talk generally

NOTE Confidence: 0.8578057

 $00:55:24.460 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.960$ about

 $00:55:26.165 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.665$ CT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97208565

00:55:27.205 --> 00:55:28.505 MRI, and ultrasound

NOTE Confidence: 0.9826328

 $00:55:28.805 \longrightarrow 00:55:31.525$ as conventional or anatomic imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9826328

 $00:55:31.525 \longrightarrow 00:55:32.565$ which is a type of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9826328

 $00{:}55{:}32.565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}34.244$ imaging where we're looking for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9826328

 $00:55:34.244 \longrightarrow 00:55:34.985$ the morphology

NOTE Confidence: 0.99195504

 $00:55:35.445 \longrightarrow 00:55:37.525$ appear or the appearance of

NOTE Confidence: 0.99195504

 $00:55:37.525 \longrightarrow 00:55:38.825$ the organs and

NOTE Confidence: 0.99894387

 $00:55:40.350 \longrightarrow 00:55:40.850$ structures

NOTE Confidence: 0.86090654

 $00{:}55{:}41.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}42.770$ or we talk about molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.86090654

 $00:55:42.989 \longrightarrow 00:55:43.489$ imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99952304

 $00:55:43.950 \longrightarrow 00:55:44.450$ which

NOTE Confidence: 0.8669079

 $00{:}55{:}44.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}46.430$ primarily we're talking about PET

NOTE Confidence: 0.8669079 00:55:46.430 --> 00:55:46.930 CT

NOTE Confidence: 0.9285767

00:55:47.310 --> 00:55:49.010 and maybe MIBG scintigraphy,

 $00:55:50.910 \longrightarrow 00:55:51.810$ which is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9956321

00:55:52.590 --> 00:55:54.450 less than nowadays for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9467883

 $00:55:55.545 \longrightarrow 00:55:57.305$ NETs, however, sometimes can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9467883

00:55:57.305 --> 00:55:57.805 useful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99395204

 $00:55:58.425 \longrightarrow 00:55:59.545$ And when we talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.99395204

 $00:55:59.545 \longrightarrow 00:56:00.045$ molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.95352185

00:56:00.344 --> 00:56:00.844 imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92584705

00:56:01.145 --> 00:56:01.645 predominantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99541366

 $00{:}56{:}02.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}03.805$ we're talking about PET.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8765323

00:56:04.265 --> 00:56:05.885 PET is positive on emission

NOTE Confidence: 0.8765323

 $00:56:05.944 \longrightarrow 00:56:06.444$ tomography,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9978576

 $00:56:06.825 \longrightarrow 00:56:08.364$ and this is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9019228

00:56:08.739 --> 00:56:10.020 PET image. It's a black

NOTE Confidence: 0.9019228

 $00{:}56{:}10.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}12.040$ and white low resolution image.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9342399

 $00:56:12.420 \longrightarrow 00:56:13.880$ And at the same time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9342399

 $00:56:13.940 \longrightarrow 00:56:15.400$ we acquire a CT.

 $00:56:16.020 \longrightarrow 00:56:17.540$ And the PET image is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9838322

 $00:56:17.540 \longrightarrow 00:56:19.620$ overlaid over the CT and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9838322

 $00:56:19.620 \longrightarrow 00:56:21.780$ creates this color coded image

NOTE Confidence: 0.9838322 00:56:21.780 --> 00:56:22.280 here

NOTE Confidence: 0.9195445

00:56:22.605 --> 00:56:24.225 that people like looking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99745345

 $00:56:25.085 \longrightarrow 00:56:26.364$ And I think it makes

NOTE Confidence: 0.99745345

 $00:56:26.364 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.985$ it easier to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.9459911

 $00:56:28.445 \longrightarrow 00:56:29.405$ what these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9302249

 $00:56:30.125 \longrightarrow 00:56:30.945$ two datasets

NOTE Confidence: 0.9551662

00:56:31.405 --> 00:56:33.905 mean together. But we're really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99895287

 $00:56:34.364 \longrightarrow 00:56:35.425$ when we're interpreting

NOTE Confidence: 0.94470257

00:56:35.725 --> 00:56:37.619 these, we're looking at two

NOTE Confidence: 0.94470257

 $00{:}56{:}37.619 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}39.480$ datasets and the superposition

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968907

 $00:56:39.859 \longrightarrow 00:56:41.880$ of them to really understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968907

 $00:56:42.020 \longrightarrow 00:56:42.920$ what's happening.

 $00{:}56{:}43.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}45.460$ And then molecular imaging is

NOTE Confidence: 0.99746525

 $00:56:45.460 \longrightarrow 00:56:46.200$ really about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9528235

 $00:56:47.140 \longrightarrow 00:56:50.075$ look into the small details

NOTE Confidence: 0.99110764

 $00:56:50.695 \longrightarrow 00:56:52.135$ of what is happening at

NOTE Confidence: 0.99110764

 $00:56:52.135 \longrightarrow 00:56:54.375$ the molecular and cellular level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99110764

 $00:56:54.375 \longrightarrow 00:56:55.515$ What are the processes

NOTE Confidence: 0.983996

 $00:56:56.295 \longrightarrow 00:56:57.435$ which are happening

NOTE Confidence: 0.9387974 00:56:58.055 --> 00:56:58.555 in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9813979

 $00{:}56{:}58.935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}00.555$ a very specific location?

NOTE Confidence: 0.998604

 $00{:}57{:}01.380 \longrightarrow 00{:}57{:}03.400$ And there are different strategies

NOTE Confidence: 0.9537608

00:57:03.700 --> 00:57:05.160 for molecular imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8116082

00:57:06.980 --> 00:57:07.480 Odor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9952337

 $00:57:08.339 \longrightarrow 00:57:09.719$ which was initially

NOTE Confidence: 0.9935336

 $00:57:10.260 \longrightarrow 00:57:12.279$ how molecular imaging was

NOTE Confidence: 0.75626457

 $00:57:14.114 \longrightarrow 00:57:14.614$ started,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8313694

 $00{:}57{:}15.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}16.935$ we did metabolic metabolism

 $00:57:17.555 \longrightarrow 00:57:18.055$ assessment

NOTE Confidence: 0.99444795

 $00:57:18.435 \longrightarrow 00:57:19.175$ with a glucose

NOTE Confidence: 0.7169572

 $00:57:19.555 \longrightarrow 00:57:20.055$ analog,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8978371

 $00:57:20.755 \longrightarrow 00:57:21.255$ FDG.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99927306

 $00:57:22.035 \longrightarrow 00:57:22.775$ And then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8953145

 $00:57:23.075 \longrightarrow 00:57:24.515$ for and then a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.8953145

 $00:57:24.515 \longrightarrow 00:57:25.015$ after,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9990907

 $00:57:26.275 \longrightarrow 00:57:26.775$ somatostatin

NOTE Confidence: 0.9998871

 $00:57:27.315 \longrightarrow 00:57:27.815$ receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9990934

 $00:57:28.115 \longrightarrow 00:57:28.615$ expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.9909432

 $00:57:29.410 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.090$ became also a target for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9909432

00:57:31.090 --> 00:57:32.790 imaging. And because NETs

NOTE Confidence: 0.7780358

 $00{:}57{:}33.250 --> 00{:}57{:}33.750 \text{ overexpress}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997966

 $00:57:34.290 \longrightarrow 00:57:34.790$ somatostatin

NOTE Confidence: 0.9023441

00:57:36.610 --> 00:57:37.110 receptors,

 $00:57:37.490 \longrightarrow 00:57:39.510$ most commonly type two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99887687

 $00:57:39.890 \longrightarrow 00:57:41.590$ this is a good target

NOTE Confidence: 0.99887687

 $00:57:41.810 \longrightarrow 00:57:43.270$ for imaging these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9865117

 $00:57:44.775 \longrightarrow 00:57:45.275$ tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992863

00:57:45.895 --> 00:57:47.815 So when we're talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992863

 $00:57:47.815 \longrightarrow 00:57:48.635$ this assessment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99858016

 $00:57:49.575 \longrightarrow 00:57:51.275$ we have a couple of

NOTE Confidence: 0.842847

 $00:57:52.055 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.075$ tracers or radio traces,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9078579

 $00:57:54.454 \longrightarrow 00:57:54.954$ radionuclides.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95392483

 $00{:}57{:}55.575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}57.255$ These are very similar words

NOTE Confidence: 0.95392483

 $00:57:57.255 \longrightarrow 00:57:58.474$ that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995663

 $00:57:58.890 \longrightarrow 00:57:59.630$ almost interchangeably

NOTE Confidence: 0.9850507

 $00:58:00.410 \longrightarrow 00:58:00.910$ used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97956836

 $00:58:02.490 \longrightarrow 00:58:04.750$ So you have a target,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97956836

 $00:58:04.890 \longrightarrow 00:58:06.329$ you have a peptide, you

NOTE Confidence: 0.97956836

00:58:06.329 --> 00:58:07.930 have a linker that links

 $00:58:07.930 \longrightarrow 00:58:08.910$ these two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99352175 00:58:09.289 --> 00:58:09.789 And NOTE Confidence: 0.98199826

 $00:58:11.305 \longrightarrow 00:58:12.585$ when you look here, the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98199826

 $00:58:12.585 \longrightarrow 00:58:13.085$ radionuclide

NOTE Confidence: 0.999336

 $00:58:14.105 \longrightarrow 00:58:15.085$ can be exchanged

NOTE Confidence: 0.9815408

 $00{:}58{:}15.465 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}58{:}17.225$ into an imaging version or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9815408

 $00.58:17.225 \longrightarrow 00.58:18.525$ a treatment version.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99764127

00:58:18.985 --> 00:58:20.125 And that's why

NOTE Confidence: 0.56473374

 $00:58:20.585 \longrightarrow 00:58:21.085$ theranostics

NOTE Confidence: 0.997954

 $00:58:21.545 \longrightarrow 00:58:22.365$ is so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9242116

 $00:58:23.720 \longrightarrow 00:58:25.480$ impressive because for the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.9242116

 $00:58:25.480 \longrightarrow 00:58:26.619$ time, you're really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9916675

 $00{:}58{:}27.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}29.319$ imaging the exact location where

NOTE Confidence: 0.9916675

 $00{:}58{:}29.319 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}31.240$ you're delivering your drug. You

NOTE Confidence: 0.9916675

 $00{:}58{:}31.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}31.740 \text{ know}$

 $00:58:32.359 \longrightarrow 00:58:34.539$ the place that you image

NOTE Confidence: 0.9138698

 $00{:}58{:}35.000 --> 00{:}58{:}37.079$ is the exact same place

NOTE Confidence: 0.9138698

 $00:58:37.079 \longrightarrow 00:58:37.980$ that you deliver

NOTE Confidence: 0.99914

 $00{:}58{:}38.280 --> 00{:}58{:}38.780 \; \mathrm{your}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9869482

 $00:58:39.295 \longrightarrow 00:58:39.795$ treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9857991

00:58:40.495 --> 00:58:42.015 So when you're looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9857991

 $00:58:42.015 \longrightarrow 00:58:43.875$ assessment for more aggressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.99801564

 $00:58:44.175 \longrightarrow 00:58:44.675$ tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9948131

 $00{:}58{:}45.535 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}47.795$ they typically lose the somatostatin

NOTE Confidence: 0.87776613

 $00.58:48.415 \longrightarrow 00:58:49.555$ receptor expression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9696598

 $00:58:50.415 \longrightarrow 00:58:52.355$ and they become more hypermetabolic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9654928

 $00:58:53.055 \longrightarrow 00:58:54.735$ and they're better assessed with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9654928

 $00:58:54.735 \longrightarrow 00:58:54.960$ FTG.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95212346

 $00:58:57.200 \longrightarrow 00:58:57.700$ FDG.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92522854

 $00:58:58.000 \longrightarrow 00:59:00.000$ And for example, in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.92522854

 $00:59:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:59:01.440$ case here, this is the

 $00:59:01.440 \longrightarrow 00:59:02.339$ same patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9531964

 $00:59:02.880 \longrightarrow 00:59:04.660$ and this is an FDG

NOTE Confidence: 0.9531964

 $00:59:04.880 \longrightarrow 00:59:06.000$ PET and this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9531964

 $00:59:06.000 \longrightarrow 00:59:07.700$ DOTATATE, which is a somatostatin

NOTE Confidence: 0.9942159

 $00:59:08.400 \longrightarrow 00:59:08.900$ targeted

NOTE Confidence: 0.9983525

 $00:59:09.200 \longrightarrow 00:59:09.700$ PET.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99323136

00:59:10.295 --> 00:59:11.735 And when you're looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.99323136

 $00:59:11.735 \longrightarrow 00:59:12.235$ these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99443096

 $00:59:12.615 \longrightarrow 00:59:13.675$ these are basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.9964277

 $00:59:13.975 \longrightarrow 00:59:15.995$ images of in vivo

NOTE Confidence: 0.99861836

 $00:59:16.935 \longrightarrow 00:59:17.435$ expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996938

 $00:59:17.895 \longrightarrow 00:59:18.635$ of somatostatin

NOTE Confidence: 0.791802

 $00{:}59{:}19.255 --> 00{:}59{:}19.755 \ {\rm receptors}.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987724

 $00:59:20.295 \longrightarrow 00:59:21.575$ And this is the only

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987724

 $00:59:21.575 \longrightarrow 00:59:23.115$ way you can actually

 $00{:}59{:}23.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}26.500$ image the entire disease burden

NOTE Confidence: 0.9915047

 $00{:}59{:}26.880 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{\:{\mbox{>}}}\ 00{:}59{:}28.800$ without having to biopsy every

NOTE Confidence: 0.9915047

 $00:59:28.800 \longrightarrow 00:59:29.780$ single site.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9207593

 $00:59:30.240 \longrightarrow 00:59:32.420$ The you're imaging the heterogeneity

NOTE Confidence: 0.99909735

 $00:59:32.960 \longrightarrow 00:59:33.860$ of the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8807936

 $00:59:34.400 \longrightarrow 00:59:34.900$ with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977988

00:59:36.115 --> 00:59:38.275 inside the patient without having

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977988

 $00:59:38.275 \longrightarrow 00:59:39.095$ to ever

NOTE Confidence: 0.99342984

 $00:59:39.955 \longrightarrow 00:59:40.855$ really sample

NOTE Confidence: 0.9881883

00:59:41.155 --> 00:59:43.155 these locations. So when you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9881883

 $00{:}59{:}43.155 --> 00{:}59{:}43.655 \text{ doing}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.89486724

 $00:59:43.955 \longrightarrow 00:59:45.635$ what we call dual PET

NOTE Confidence: 0.89486724

 $00:59:45.635 \longrightarrow 00:59:46.695$ or multiplex

NOTE Confidence: 0.98581374

 $00:59:46.995 \dashrightarrow 00:59:48.935$ PET, you're really evaluating

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996525 00:59:49.680 --> 00:59:50.180 the NOTE Confidence: 0.89037687

00:59:51.760 --> 00:59:54.260 the extension of disease and

 $00:59:54.400 \longrightarrow 00:59:55.300$ the heterogeneity

NOTE Confidence: 0.76368546 00:59:55.760 --> 00:59:56.260 of, NOTE Confidence: 0.99432623

 $00:59:58.319 \longrightarrow 00:59:59.140$ that disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96774954

 $01:00:00.800 \longrightarrow 01:00:02.559$ So again, when you're doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.96774954

 $01:00:02.559 \longrightarrow 01:00:04.880$ targeted therapy, you really want

NOTE Confidence: 0.96774954

 $01:00:04.880 \longrightarrow 01:00:06.335$ to make sure that you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.96774954

 $01:00:06.335 \longrightarrow 01:00:06.835$ treating

NOTE Confidence: 0.99317706

 $01{:}00{:}07.375 --> 01{:}00{:}08.035 \text{ a target}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393778

 $01:00:08.494 \longrightarrow 01:00:10.494$ that it's really the drive

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393778

 $01:00:10.494 \longrightarrow 01:00:12.174$ of the progression. Because as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9393778

01:00:12.174 --> 01:00:13.555 nets are very heterogeneous,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9959236

01:00:14.335 --> 01:00:15.795 you really want to treat

NOTE Confidence: 0.9959236

 $01:00:15.934 \longrightarrow 01:00:17.155$ whatever is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9171878

 $01:00:18.510 \longrightarrow 01:00:19.010$ problematic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9927103

 $01:00:19.310 \longrightarrow 01:00:20.290$ for the patient

 $01:00:20.910 \longrightarrow 01:00:21.410$ and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.999577

 $01:00:22.350 \longrightarrow 01:00:24.050$ what's really going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.999577

 $01:00:24.110 \longrightarrow 01:00:25.490$ the fastest growing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9771984

 $01:00:26.190 \longrightarrow 01:00:27.950$ side of the disease. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.9771984

 $01:00:27.950 \longrightarrow 01:00:29.150$ how do you evaluate the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9771984

 $01{:}00{:}29.150 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}30.975$ presence of a target without

NOTE Confidence: 0.9771984

 $01:00:31.135 \dashrightarrow 01:00:33.135$ sample bias from biopsies? Because

NOTE Confidence: 0.9771984

01:00:33.135 --> 01:00:34.115 if you biopsy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9931359

01:00:34.655 --> 01:00:36.095 and you have a sample

NOTE Confidence: 0.9931359

01:00:36.095 --> 01:00:38.195 bias, how can you understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.9980038

01:00:38.895 --> 01:00:40.195 that you're really treating

NOTE Confidence: 0.9765282

 $01:00:40.655 \longrightarrow 01:00:42.255$ the problem of the patient?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9765282

 $01:00:42.255 \longrightarrow 01:00:43.455$ And that's why the PET

NOTE Confidence: 0.9765282

 $01:00:43.455 \longrightarrow 01:00:44.355$ is so important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9820283

 $01:00:46.010 \longrightarrow 01:00:47.609$ So when we're treating these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9820283

 $01:00:47.609 \longrightarrow 01:00:49.309$ patients, once we identify

 $01:00:49.609 \longrightarrow 01:00:50.430$ the target,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9530705

 $01{:}00{:}51.530 --> 01{:}00{:}53.690$ the same molecule, the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.9530705

 $01:00:53.690 \longrightarrow 01:00:55.390$ ligand, and the same linker

NOTE Confidence: 0.9530705

 $01:00:55.530 \longrightarrow 01:00:56.650$ are used, and we just

NOTE Confidence: 0.9530705

01:00:56.650 --> 01:00:57.869 change the radionuclide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93593085

 $01:00:58.890 \longrightarrow 01:01:01.150$ And currently, we use a

NOTE Confidence: 0.6478289

01:01:03.825 --> 01:01:04.325 radioactive

NOTE Confidence: 0.9381426

 $01{:}04.625 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}06.945$ particle called lute tium one seventy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9381426

 $01:01:06.945 \longrightarrow 01:01:08.305$ seven, which is a beta

NOTE Confidence: 0.9381426

 $01:01:08.305 \longrightarrow 01:01:08.805$ emitter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93588394

 $01:01:09.345 \longrightarrow 01:01:11.345$ And the beta emission causes

NOTE Confidence: 0.93588394

01:01:11.345 --> 01:01:13.285 single strand DNA damage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9562628

 $01:01:13.745 \longrightarrow 01:01:15.505$ And when the cell tries

NOTE Confidence: 0.9562628

 $01:01:15.505 \longrightarrow 01:01:17.410$ to replicate, it can't, so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9562628

 $01:01:17.410 \longrightarrow 01:01:18.150$ it dies.

 $01:01:18.690 \longrightarrow 01:01:20.690$ So that's basically how this

NOTE Confidence: 0.99176544

 $01:01:20.690 \longrightarrow 01:01:21.830$ treatment work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99971944 01:01:22.290 --> 01:01:22.790 So NOTE Confidence: 0.9992993 01:01:23.170 --> 01:01:23.670 the NOTE Confidence: 0.9997459

 $01:01:23.970 \longrightarrow 01:01:24.470$ approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.9898298

 $01:01:24.770 \longrightarrow 01:01:26.470$ of getting to these treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.9898298

01:01:26.610 --> 01:01:27.670 is really multidisciplinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987017

 $01:01:28.610 \longrightarrow 01:01:30.070$ for every single patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9815434

01:01:30.575 --> 01:01:32.414 We review patients on tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9815434

 $01:01:32.414 \longrightarrow 01:01:34.414$ board every week because it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9815434

 $01{:}01{:}34.414 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}36.015$ really about bringing all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9815434

 $01:01:36.015 \longrightarrow 01:01:37.694$ information together in the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.9815434

 $01:01:37.694 \longrightarrow 01:01:38.194$ place

NOTE Confidence: 0.98259807

 $01:01:38.815 \longrightarrow 01:01:40.194$ and having everyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.99971783

 $01:01:40.734 \longrightarrow 01:01:41.795$ on the same page.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97076833

 $01{:}01{:}42.174 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}44.540$ Patient selection is critical because

 $01:01:44.600 \longrightarrow 01:01:45.960$ if you don't have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97076833

 $01:01:45.960 \longrightarrow 01:01:47.560$ target as the drive of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97076833

 $01:01:47.560 \longrightarrow 01:01:48.220$ the progression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88874084

 $01:01:49.080 \longrightarrow 01:01:50.940$ then it's pointless to treat

NOTE Confidence: 0.88874084

 $01:01:51.000 \longrightarrow 01:01:52.780$ a specific patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99919987

 $01:01:53.480 \longrightarrow 01:01:55.340$ And this is very important

NOTE Confidence: 0.99928916

 $01:01:55.720 \longrightarrow 01:01:56.460$ to understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99336815

01:01:57.335 --> 01:01:59.335 Once the drug finds the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99336815

 $01:01:59.335 \longrightarrow 01:02:01.095$ target, it binds to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99336815

 $01:02:01.095 \longrightarrow 01:02:01.994$ cell surface

NOTE Confidence: 0.90108967

 $01:02:02.375 \longrightarrow 01:02:03.515$ and it's internalized.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9565638

 $01:02:04.055 \longrightarrow 01:02:05.255$ And then that's when the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9565638

 $01{:}02{:}05.255 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}07.095$ bad emission happens and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9565638

 $01:02:07.095 \dashrightarrow 01:02:09.434$ causes single strand DNA damage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9978254

 $01:02:10.110 \longrightarrow 01:02:11.550$ And when we're using this

01:02:11.550 --> 01:02:13.150 type of therapy, we're doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9978254

 $01:02:13.150 \longrightarrow 01:02:14.050$ four cycles

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306168

 $01:02:14.830 \longrightarrow 01:02:15.330$ approximately

NOTE Confidence: 0.94535094

 $01:02:15.630 \longrightarrow 01:02:17.790$ eight weeks apart and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94535094

 $01:02:17.790 \longrightarrow 01:02:19.570$ dose of two hundred millicuries

NOTE Confidence: 0.97312844

 $01:02:20.110 \longrightarrow 01:02:20.690$ is fixed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9762709

 $01:02:21.070 \longrightarrow 01:02:21.810$ in general.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955166

 $01:02:23.315 \longrightarrow 01:02:25.414$ And again, this has emerged

NOTE Confidence: 0.955166

 $01{:}02{:}25.555 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}26.914$ as a new image, a

NOTE Confidence: 0.955166

01:02:26.914 --> 01:02:28.214 new treatment modality

NOTE Confidence: 0.8394157

01:02:29.075 --> 01:02:30.535 just like chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9002717

 $01:02:30.994 \longrightarrow 01:02:31.494$ brachytherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9982327

 $01:02:32.434 \longrightarrow 01:02:34.275$ We're really talking about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9982327

 $01:02:34.275 \longrightarrow 01:02:35.335$ as a new paradigm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917662

 $01:02:36.869 \longrightarrow 01:02:38.069$ So this a patient we

NOTE Confidence: 0.917662

01:02:38.069 --> 01:02:39.849 treated this was the initial

 $01:02:39.910 \longrightarrow 01:02:40.410$ scan

NOTE Confidence: 0.97585243

 $01:02:40.789 \longrightarrow 01:02:42.710$ then the patient progressed as

NOTE Confidence: 0.97585243

 $01:02:42.710 \longrightarrow 01:02:43.829$ you can see all these

NOTE Confidence: 0.97585243

 $01:02:43.829 \longrightarrow 01:02:45.190$ little dots here in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97585243 01:02:45.190 --> 01:02:45.690 liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.93655

 $01:02:45.990 \longrightarrow 01:02:47.369$ and here in the protonium

NOTE Confidence: 0.9326375

 $01:02:47.670 \longrightarrow 01:02:48.809$ these are implants

NOTE Confidence: 0.9943748

 $01:02:49.385 \longrightarrow 01:02:50.665$ and then this is when

NOTE Confidence: 0.9943748

 $01:02:50.665 \longrightarrow 01:02:52.505$ we started treating the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.9943748

 $01:02:52.505 \longrightarrow 01:02:53.484$ and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9961572

 $01:02:53.865 \longrightarrow 01:02:55.224$ was at the end of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9961572

 $01:02:55.224 \longrightarrow 01:02:55.724$ treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67697555

01:02:57.545 --> 01:02:58.045 Beta

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968907

 $01:02:58.345 \longrightarrow 01:02:59.805$ emission is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.927877

01:03:00.184 --> 01:03:00.684 curative.

 $01:03:01.224 \longrightarrow 01:03:02.025$ We always have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9901394

 $01:03:04.230 \longrightarrow 01:03:06.170$ we always expect to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8628552

 $01:03:06.550 \longrightarrow 01:03:07.530$ hold progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94889987

01:03:09.190 --> 01:03:10.650 But there's a lot of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97409344

 $01:03:11.350 \longrightarrow 01:03:13.030$ research and work being done

NOTE Confidence: 0.97409344

 $01{:}03{:}13.030 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}14.810$ into other types of particles

NOTE Confidence: 0.958972

 $01:03:15.350 \longrightarrow 01:03:17.050$ or combination therapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.75732416 01:03:17.350 --> 01:03:17.850 to NOTE Confidence: 0.74157405

01:03:19.585 --> 01:03:20.645 optimize that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88312405

 $01:03:22.305 \longrightarrow 01:03:23.905$ And then this is another

NOTE Confidence: 0.88312405

 $01:03:23.905 \longrightarrow 01:03:25.025$ case which I think it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.88312405

 $01:03:25.025 \longrightarrow 01:03:26.305$ interesting. This is a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.88312405

 $01:03:26.305 \longrightarrow 01:03:27.445$ who had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.78037596

01:03:27.905 --> 01:03:29.542 high grade mixed s inner

NOTE Confidence: 0.78037596

 $01:03:29.542 \longrightarrow 01:03:29.729$ cell neuroendocrine carcinoma of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.78037596

 $01:03:29.729 \longrightarrow 01:03:29.915$ pancreas and this is an

01:03:29.915 --> 01:03:30.225 fdg PET and you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.78037596 01:03:30.225 --> 01:03:30.725 that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9154671

 $01:03:31.329 \longrightarrow 01:03:32.770$ neuroendocrine carcinoma of the pancreas

NOTE Confidence: 0.9154671

 $01:03:32.770 \longrightarrow 01:03:33.730$ and this is an f

NOTE Confidence: 0.9154671

01:03:33.730 --> 01:03:34.770 d g PET and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9154671

 $01:03:34.770 \longrightarrow 01:03:36.849$ see that the pancreatic lesion

NOTE Confidence: 0.9154671

 $01:03:36.849 \longrightarrow 01:03:38.530$ is hot and then there

NOTE Confidence: 0.9154671

 $01:03:38.530 \longrightarrow 01:03:40.130$ was a growing liver lesion

NOTE Confidence: 0.9154671

 $01:03:40.130 \longrightarrow 01:03:40.950$ on the MRI

NOTE Confidence: 0.87692773

 $01{:}03{:}41.650 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}43.589$ and a DOTATATE was obtained.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97472286

01:03:44.275 --> 01:03:45.795 And in the DOTATATE, you

NOTE Confidence: 0.97472286

 $01:03:45.795 \longrightarrow 01:03:47.235$ can see that this lesion

NOTE Confidence: 0.97472286

 $01{:}03{:}47.235 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}49.575$ is cold. There's relative photopenia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99823934

01:03:50.275 --> 01:03:51.715 which means this is high

NOTE Confidence: 0.99823934

 $01:03:51.715 \longrightarrow 01:03:53.395$ grade. There is no point

 $01:03:53.395 \longrightarrow 01:03:54.935$ in treating this patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.98098505

 $01:03:55.475 \longrightarrow 01:03:55.975$ with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9645696

 $01:03:56.595 \longrightarrow 01:03:57.095$ radioligand

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896638

 $01:03:57.475 \longrightarrow 01:03:59.235$ therapy as there is no

NOTE Confidence: 0.9896638

 $01:03:59.235 \longrightarrow 01:03:59.735$ binding

NOTE Confidence: 0.9629111

 $01:04:00.170 \longrightarrow 01:04:01.790$ of this in this location.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8737385

 $01:04:02.330 \longrightarrow 01:04:03.530$ This patient went on to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8737385

 $01:04:03.530 \longrightarrow 01:04:04.910$ let have a hepatectomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9350276

 $01:04:05.370 \longrightarrow 01:04:06.270$ a left hepatectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96338224

01:04:07.210 --> 01:04:08.830 And it's really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99903584

 $01:04:10.170 \longrightarrow 01:04:10.670$ about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9980113501:04:11.450 --> 01:04:11.950 the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98754394

 $01:04:13.035 \longrightarrow 01:04:15.214$ imaging and the histology. Right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.98754394

 $01:04:15.355 \longrightarrow 01:04:16.494$ The high grade

NOTE Confidence: 0.97001636

 $01:04:17.194 \longrightarrow 01:04:19.674$ generates relative photopenia because of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97001636

 $01{:}04{:}19.674 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}21.295$ the loss of the somatostatin

 $01:04:21.915 \longrightarrow 01:04:23.835$ receptors. And this patient is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9357731

 $01:04:23.835 \longrightarrow 01:04:26.095$ really better staged with FDGPAT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996789

 $01:04:26.960 \longrightarrow 01:04:28.480$ And this patient would never

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996789

 $01:04:28.480 \longrightarrow 01:04:30.259$ be a good candidate for

NOTE Confidence: 0.7805843

 $01:04:30.720 \longrightarrow 01:04:32.339$ lutetium dota date.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97002596

 $01:04:32.960 \longrightarrow 01:04:34.240$ And when we're doing these

NOTE Confidence: 0.97002596

 $01:04:34.240 \longrightarrow 01:04:36.339$ treatments these are highly complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.97002596

 $01:04:36.559 \longrightarrow 01:04:37.299$ so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.98579204

 $01:04:37.599 \longrightarrow 01:04:38.880$ you have an idea this

NOTE Confidence: 0.98579204

 $01:04:38.880 \longrightarrow 01:04:40.500$ is an infusion suite

NOTE Confidence: 0.96252584

 $01{:}04{:}41.025 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}42.484$ because of the high radioactivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98026407

 $01:04:43.105 \longrightarrow 01:04:44.645$ All the floors are covered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9882994

 $01:04:45.184 \longrightarrow 01:04:47.105$ The bathrooms are enclosed in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9882994

 $01:04:47.105 \longrightarrow 01:04:48.244$ the infusion suite

NOTE Confidence: 0.9101944

 $01:04:48.704 \longrightarrow 01:04:49.924$ where there's higher,

 $01:04:50.385 \longrightarrow 01:04:52.405$ chance of leaking and contamination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99839175

 $01:04:52.944 \longrightarrow 01:04:54.165$ The floors are all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263731

 $01:04:54.630 \longrightarrow 01:04:56.710$ extra covered. They are in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263731

 $01:04:56.710 \longrightarrow 01:04:57.930$ the end of a day

NOTE Confidence: 0.8263731

 $01:04:57.990 \longrightarrow 01:04:59.849$ all essay then evaluated

NOTE Confidence: 0.98117197

 $01:05:00.150 \longrightarrow 01:05:01.049$ for contaminations

NOTE Confidence: 0.95516074

 $01:05:01.430 \longrightarrow 01:05:02.650$ and things like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9778312

01:05:03.029 --> 01:05:04.069 And this is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9854443

 $01{:}05{:}04.390 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}06.410$ done for each single patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9854443

 $01:05:06.470 \longrightarrow 01:05:08.010$ So there's prep before

NOTE Confidence: 0.90404165

01:05:08.585 --> 01:05:10.444 then there's cleaning after,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99444556

 $01:05:11.065 \longrightarrow 01:05:11.885$ each infusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9979861 01:05:12.904 --> 01:05:13.404 So

NOTE Confidence: 0.99461174

01:05:13.865 --> 01:05:15.005 take home points

NOTE Confidence: 0.9172811

 $01:05:15.704 \longrightarrow 01:05:16.525$ for imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9973364

 $01:05:18.105 \longrightarrow 01:05:19.325$ When we're assessing

 $01:05:19.704 \longrightarrow 01:05:21.404$ patients with well differentiated

NOTE Confidence: 0.750908

01:05:21.865 --> 01:05:22.765 net somatostatin

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997794

 $01:05:23.385 \longrightarrow 01:05:23.885$ receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.6373558

 $01:05:25.200 \longrightarrow 01:05:25.700$ targeted

NOTE Confidence: 0.8463695

 $01:05:26.080 \longrightarrow 01:05:27.619$ PET is a great

NOTE Confidence: 0.9858408

01:05:28.080 -> 01:05:28.580 exam.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90285754

01:05:30.160 --> 01:05:31.380 I did not mention

NOTE Confidence: 0.9482548

 $01:05:31.760 \longrightarrow 01:05:33.440$ extend too much into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9482548

01:05:33.440 --> 01:05:33.940 SUVs

NOTE Confidence: 0.957536

 $01:05:34.880 \longrightarrow 01:05:36.320$ but I wanted to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.957536

 $01:05:36.320 \longrightarrow 01:05:37.380$ sure that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9030202

 $01:05:37.840 \longrightarrow 01:05:39.280$ went out because this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9030202

 $01:05:39.280 \longrightarrow 01:05:39.860$ a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9002783

01:05:41.545 --> 01:05:43.705 common factor of confusion. The

NOTE Confidence: 0.9002783

01:05:43.705 --> 01:05:45.885 SUV max, which is a

 $01:05:46.585 \longrightarrow 01:05:47.485$ semi quantitative

NOTE Confidence: 0.9962567

 $01:05:47.865 \longrightarrow 01:05:48.365$ measurement

NOTE Confidence: 0.90057087

 $01:05:49.385 \longrightarrow 01:05:50.665$ that we use in PET

NOTE Confidence: 0.90057087

 $01:05:50.665 \longrightarrow 01:05:52.205$ when we use an FDG

NOTE Confidence: 0.90057087

 $01:05:52.425 \longrightarrow 01:05:53.885$ for metabolic imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.9298704

 $01:05:54.320 \longrightarrow 01:05:55.220$ has a meaning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99680555

 $01:05:55.520 \longrightarrow 01:05:56.960$ which is very different when

NOTE Confidence: 0.99680555

01:05:56.960 --> 01:05:59.040 we're looking at density of

NOTE Confidence: 0.99680555

 $01{:}05{:}59.040 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}00.580$ expression of a receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9464172

 $01:06:01.200 \longrightarrow 01:06:03.220$ So because there are variations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9942754

 $01{:}06{:}03.920 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}04.420 \ physiological$

NOTE Confidence: 0.93918455

 $01:06:04.880 \longrightarrow 01:06:06.820$ variations between time points,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86050755

 $01:06:07.455 \longrightarrow 01:06:08.815$ changes in s u v

NOTE Confidence: 0.86050755

 $01:06:08.815 \longrightarrow 01:06:10.735$ max for dota data or

NOTE Confidence: 0.86050755

 $01:06:10.735 \longrightarrow 01:06:11.555$ for somatostatin

NOTE Confidence: 0.9974759

 $01{:}06{:}12.015 --> 01{:}06{:}12.515 \ {\rm targeted}$

 $01:06:13.695 \longrightarrow 01:06:14.195$ receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9019642

 $01{:}06{:}14.495 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}16.335$ pad between time points are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9019642

 $01:06:16.335 \longrightarrow 01:06:16.835$ unreliable

NOTE Confidence: 0.9921562

 $01:06:17.455 \longrightarrow 01:06:19.315$ for any assessment of response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8749789

01:06:20.655 --> 01:06:21.955 F d g is usually

NOTE Confidence: 0.98180306

 $01:06:22.500 \longrightarrow 01:06:23.000$ great

NOTE Confidence: 0.98941237

 $01:06:23.380 \longrightarrow 01:06:24.920$ for high grade nets

NOTE Confidence: 0.962279

01:06:25.540 --> 01:06:27.000 and to look for heterogeneity

NOTE Confidence: 0.47109032 01:06:27.860 --> 01:06:28.360 and NOTE Confidence: 0.99637777

 $01:06:29.060 \longrightarrow 01:06:30.280$ the imaging modalities

NOTE Confidence: 0.9331284

 $01:06:30.900 \longrightarrow 01:06:32.040$ are always complementary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835472

 $01:06:32.980 \longrightarrow 01:06:34.340$ The liver should always be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9835472

 $01:06:34.340 \longrightarrow 01:06:34.840$ evaluated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9701898

 $01:06:35.375 \longrightarrow 01:06:37.375$ separately, especially when you don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9701898

01:06:37.375 --> 01:06:38.675 see a CT correlate

 $01:06:39.375 \longrightarrow 01:06:40.734$ on the pet or an

NOTE Confidence: 0.79873073

01:06:40.734 --> 01:06:42.675 an non contrast CT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99966025

 $01:06:43.214 \longrightarrow 01:06:44.835$ You should never measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.9928232

 $01:06:45.375 \longrightarrow 01:06:47.214$ the amount of uptake or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9928232

 $01:06:47.214 \longrightarrow 01:06:48.494$ the size of the uptake

NOTE Confidence: 0.9928232

01:06:48.494 --> 01:06:50.515 because that's a window setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99723953

 $01:06:51.119 \longrightarrow 01:06:52.559$ So if you cannot really

NOTE Confidence: 0.99723953

 $01:06:52.559 \longrightarrow 01:06:54.339$ measure, you need a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8818724

 $01{:}06{:}54.640 --> 01{:}06{:}55.140 \ \mathrm{multiphasic}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.91319585

01:06:55.599 --> 01:06:56.099 study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9345352

 $01:06:56.640 \longrightarrow 01:06:58.640$ either CT or MRI to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9345352

 $01:06:58.640 \longrightarrow 01:06:59.619$ really characterize

NOTE Confidence: 0.9948369

 $01:07:00.000 \longrightarrow 01:07:00.819$ these lesions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9783441

01:07:01.599 --> 01:07:02.819 Otherwise, you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.99980134

 $01:07:03.135 \longrightarrow 01:07:04.355$ not really evaluating

NOTE Confidence: 0.95544714

01:07:04.735 --> 01:07:06.895 changes over time. That's really

 $01:07:06.895 \longrightarrow 01:07:07.395 \text{ key}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.86622334

01:07:07.855 --> 01:07:09.455 and then for treatment you

NOTE Confidence: 0.86622334

 $01:07:09.455 \longrightarrow 01:07:11.075$ really need a multi disciplinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419751

 $01:07:11.455 \longrightarrow 01:07:12.975$ team as this is so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419751

 $01{:}07{:}12.975 --> 01{:}07{:}13.715 \ \mathrm{sub \ specialized}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.99261856

 $01{:}07{:}14.735 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}17.235$ and things are so individualized

NOTE Confidence: 0.99928206

01:07:17.615 --> 01:07:18.675 for each patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.98286164

 $01{:}07{:}19.110 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}20.870$ and again the patient selection

NOTE Confidence: 0.98286164

 $01:07:20.870 \longrightarrow 01:07:21.930$ is really critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.99946624

 $01:07:22.470 \longrightarrow 01:07:23.290$ for identifying

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994019

 $01:07:23.590 \longrightarrow 01:07:25.750$ the patients who are most

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994019

 $01:07:25.750 \longrightarrow 01:07:27.290$ likely to have a response

NOTE Confidence: 0.93176305

01:07:28.070 --> 01:07:29.350 as this is an image

NOTE Confidence: 0.93176305

 $01:07:29.350 \longrightarrow 01:07:31.350$ guided therapy this is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.93176305 01:07:31.350 --> 01:07:31.850 key.

 $01:07:33.494 \longrightarrow 01:07:34.855$ And then things that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.98688006

 $01:07:34.855 \longrightarrow 01:07:37.335$ coming down the pipe is

NOTE Confidence: 0.98688006

01:07:37.335 --> 01:07:39.575 new types of particles with

NOTE Confidence: 0.98688006

 $01:07:39.575 \longrightarrow 01:07:41.734$ alpha emission or alpha plus

NOTE Confidence: 0.98688006 01:07:41.734 --> 01:07:42.234 beta

NOTE Confidence: 0.7436314

 $01:07:42.855 \longrightarrow 01:07:43.355$ combinations

NOTE Confidence: 0.96939003

 $01:07:43.655 \longrightarrow 01:07:44.555$ or synergistic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9439338

 $01:07:45.095 \longrightarrow 01:07:46.555$ approaches with immunotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.62431014

 $01:07:47.335 \longrightarrow 01:07:48.470$ targeted the therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.946956

01:07:49.410 --> 01:07:51.430 new targets and new binding

NOTE Confidence: 0.946956

 $01{:}07{:}51.570 --> 01{:}07{:}52.070 \ \mathrm{mechanisms},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.85492706

 $01:07:52.850 \longrightarrow 01:07:53.350$ antibodies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9026021

 $01:07:53.890 \longrightarrow 01:07:54.630$ small molecules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.964355

 $01:07:55.330 \longrightarrow 01:07:57.109$ and new imaging technology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9672735

 $01:07:57.490 \longrightarrow 01:07:58.550$ The imaging technologies

NOTE Confidence: 0.9427359

 $01:07:59.250 \longrightarrow 01:08:01.330$ has really advanced with something

 $01:08:01.330 \longrightarrow 01:08:02.950$ called total body PET

NOTE Confidence: 0.99725896

01:08:03.315 --> 01:08:05.155 where you acquire head to

NOTE Confidence: 0.99725896 01:08:05.155 --> 01:08:05.655 toe NOTE Confidence: 0.54972214

 $01:08:06.595 \longrightarrow 01:08:07.095$ simultaneously

NOTE Confidence: 0.9904312

 $01{:}08{:}07.555 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}09.255$ instead of having to acquire

NOTE Confidence: 0.96418256

01:08:10.195 --> 01:08:11.954 pieces of the patient at

NOTE Confidence: 0.96418256

 $01:08:11.954 \longrightarrow 01:08:13.815$ the time and that generates

NOTE Confidence: 0.96418256

 $01:08:13.954 \longrightarrow 01:08:15.494$ a much higher resolution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8884228

 $01:08:15.795 \longrightarrow 01:08:18.055$ faster acquisition and lower radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9940147

 $01:08:18.920 \longrightarrow 01:08:19.420$ Multiplex

NOTE Confidence: 0.86675215

 $01:08:19.720 \longrightarrow 01:08:21.820$ imaging when you're imaging multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.90839356

01:08:22.520 --> 01:08:24.220 targets like FDG

NOTE Confidence: 0.9624428

01:08:24.760 --> 01:08:25.260 and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940855

 $01:08:25.960 \longrightarrow 01:08:26.700$ for metabolism

NOTE Confidence: 0.7811887

 $01:08:27.720 \longrightarrow 01:08:28.220$ and,

 $01:08:28.920 \longrightarrow 01:08:29.979$ dote and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88496995

 $01:08:31.175 \longrightarrow 01:08:31.675$ somatostatin

NOTE Confidence: 0.9934995

 $01:08:32.775 \longrightarrow 01:08:33.275$ targeting

NOTE Confidence: 0.99722064 01:08:33.655 --> 01:08:34.155 for NOTE Confidence: 0.99829024

 $01:08:35.415 \longrightarrow 01:08:35.915$ receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.763887

 $01:08:36.455 \longrightarrow 01:08:38.635$ and then personalized those symmetry

NOTE Confidence: 0.763887

 $01:08:38.695 \longrightarrow 01:08:40.715$ who currently deliver these therapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.763887

 $01:08:40.775 \longrightarrow 01:08:41.595$ as standard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7950869

01:08:42.854 --> 01:08:43.735 those, but,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9604843

 $01:08:44.780 \longrightarrow 01:08:45.900$ there's a lot of work

NOTE Confidence: 0.9604843

 $01{:}08{:}45.900 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}48.160$ ongoing and to understanding those

NOTE Confidence: 0.9604843

 $01:08:48.460 \longrightarrow 01:08:48.960$ effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9989102 01:08:49.420 --> 01:08:49.920 So NOTE Confidence: 0.99224496

 $01:08:50.940 \longrightarrow 01:08:52.240$ thank you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95389473

01:08:54.460 --> 01:08:55.840 Thank you, doctor Spielberg.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99896765

01:08:56.460 --> 01:08:58.080 Alright. Well, I'll ask my

01:08:58.220 --> 01:08:58.720 my

NOTE Confidence: 0.99241483

01:08:59.805 --> 01:09:01.325 co presenters to put on

NOTE Confidence: 0.99241483

01:09:01.325 --> 01:09:03.805 their camera, and, we'll certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.99241483

01:09:03.805 --> 01:09:05.805 take some questions. Maybe I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.99241483

 $01:09:05.805 \longrightarrow 01:09:07.265$ I'll kick us all off.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9909266 01:09:07.805 --> 01:09:08.205 So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9878835

 $01:09:08.925 \longrightarrow 01:09:10.125$ maybe I'll start with doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9878835

 $01:09:10.125 \longrightarrow 01:09:10.625$ Klimstra.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97110236

 $01:09:11.580 \longrightarrow 01:09:13.179$ So is there such thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.97110236

 $01:09:13.179 \longrightarrow 01:09:15.360$ as grade evolution in neuroendocrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.97110236

01:09:15.580 --> 01:09:16.080 tumors?

NOTE Confidence: 0.982234

 $01:09:16.460 \longrightarrow 01:09:17.659$ I get patients will ask,

NOTE Confidence: 0.982234

01:09:17.659 --> 01:09:19.260 can my grade change over

NOTE Confidence: 0.982234

01:09:19.260 --> 01:09:19.760 time?

NOTE Confidence: 0.99833816

 $01:09:20.219 \longrightarrow 01:09:21.099$ I know that this has

 $01:09:21.099 \longrightarrow 01:09:22.380$ been sort of an evolving

NOTE Confidence: 0.99833816

 $01:09:22.380 \longrightarrow 01:09:22.880$ field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9380873

 $01{:}09{:}23.595 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}25.595$ Definitely. Yeah. There definitely is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9380873

 $01:09:25.595 \longrightarrow 01:09:26.875$ And and we didn't use

NOTE Confidence: 0.9380873

 $01:09:26.875 \longrightarrow 01:09:28.875$ to understand that partly because

NOTE Confidence: 0.9380873

 $01:09:28.875 \longrightarrow 01:09:30.155$ we didn't see the tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.9380873

 $01:09:30.155 \longrightarrow 01:09:31.375$ at different time points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9382946

 $01:09:32.235 \longrightarrow 01:09:33.595$ But but now we do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9382946

01:09:33.595 --> 01:09:35.035 And at Yale, we actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.9382946

 $01:09:35.035 \longrightarrow 01:09:36.255$ rebiopsy these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9949907

 $01:09:36.920 \longrightarrow 01:09:38.840$ when they start growing. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9949907

01:09:38.840 --> 01:09:39.420 I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881747

01:09:40.360 --> 01:09:41.719 you know, doctor Spielberg just

NOTE Confidence: 0.881747

01:09:41.719 --> 01:09:43.320 mentioned the possibility of

NOTE Confidence: 0.881747

 $01:09:43.320 \longrightarrow 01:09:43.820$ even,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987074

01:09:44.280 --> 01:09:46.939 acquiring FDG positivity. I think,

01:09:47.159 --> 01:09:47.900 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9855768

 $01:09:48.280 \longrightarrow 01:09:49.485$ we we can we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.9855768

 $01:09:49.485 \longrightarrow 01:09:50.844$ some that go all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9855768

 $01:09:50.844 \longrightarrow 01:09:52.125$ way from g one to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9855768

 $01{:}09{:}52.125 \dashrightarrow 01{:}09{:}53.485$ g three, and it wouldn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9855768

 $01:09:53.485 \longrightarrow 01:09:54.844$ shock me if if those

NOTE Confidence: 0.9855768

01:09:54.844 --> 01:09:56.625 highly proliferative tumors had,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94918174

 $01:09:57.005 \longrightarrow 01:09:57.745$ an FTG

NOTE Confidence: 0.7726759

01:09:58.364 --> 01:09:59.425 positive appearance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9985914

 $01:10:00.364 \longrightarrow 01:10:01.665$ Yep. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.76551

01:10:03.110 --> 01:10:04.010 Doctor Kunstman,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99002004

 $01:10:04.550 \longrightarrow 01:10:06.229$ question for you. So we

NOTE Confidence: 0.99002004

 $01:10:06.229 \longrightarrow 01:10:07.510$ have shared a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.99002004

01:10:07.510 --> 01:10:08.010 patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92547494

 $01:10:08.950 \longrightarrow 01:10:09.830$ for per I'll give an

 $01:10:09.830 \longrightarrow 01:10:11.030$ example of a patient with

NOTE Confidence: 0.92547494

 $01:10:11.030 \longrightarrow 01:10:11.610$ a metastatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9467295

 $01:10:11.910 \longrightarrow 01:10:13.430$ small bowel net who maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.9467295

 $01:10:13.430 \longrightarrow 01:10:14.915$ still has their primary in

NOTE Confidence: 0.96271807

 $01:10:15.295 \longrightarrow 01:10:15.535$ place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9973535

01:10:16.415 --> 01:10:17.375 When would you like to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9973535

 $01:10:17.375 \longrightarrow 01:10:19.075$ see those patients for consideration

NOTE Confidence: 0.9973535

01:10:19.215 --> 01:10:20.835 of resection of their primary?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9973535

 $01:10:21.055 \longrightarrow 01:10:22.095$ Like, when when is it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9973535

01:10:22.095 --> 01:10:22.835 too late?

NOTE Confidence: 0.98978406

01:10:23.695 --> 01:10:24.895 When do you think, like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98978406

01:10:24.895 --> 01:10:26.675 a surgical referral is appropriate?

NOTE Confidence: 0.99952346

01:10:28.530 --> 01:10:29.570 Well, I don't think it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.99952346

 $01:10:29.570 \longrightarrow 01:10:30.470$ ever too late.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9973275

 $01:10:31.490 \longrightarrow 01:10:32.370$ You know, it may not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9973275

 $01:10:32.370 \longrightarrow 01:10:33.590$ be the right decision

 $01:10:34.290 \longrightarrow 01:10:35.330$ at that point in their

NOTE Confidence: 0.9787727

01:10:35.330 --> 01:10:35.830 care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99737525

 $01:10:37.970 \longrightarrow 01:10:39.090$ But, you know, I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.99737525

 $01:10:39.090 \longrightarrow 01:10:40.070$ one of the things

NOTE Confidence: 0.99981046

 $01:10:40.370 \longrightarrow 01:10:41.570$ that I was hoping to

NOTE Confidence: 0.99981046

 $01:10:41.570 \longrightarrow 01:10:42.070$ convey

NOTE Confidence: 0.9954632

01:10:42.695 --> 01:10:43.815 is that for all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9954632

 $01:10:43.815 \longrightarrow 01:10:44.555$ these patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9503897

 $01:10:44.855 \longrightarrow 01:10:46.455$ from the get go, a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9503897

 $01:10:46.455 \longrightarrow 01:10:46.955$ multidisciplinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.99126875

 $01:10:47.575 \longrightarrow 01:10:49.355$ approach is really, really important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9864815

01:10:51.175 --> 01:10:52.155 You know, I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.9717276

 $01{:}10{:}52.935 \dashrightarrow 01{:}10{:}54.475$ from a surgeon's standpoint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9763113

 $01:10:55.360 \longrightarrow 01:10:56.800$ obviously, we want to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.9763113

 $01:10:56.800 \longrightarrow 01:10:57.780$ the right operation.

 $01:10:58.160 \longrightarrow 01:11:00.000$ But as just alluded to

NOTE Confidence: 0.992131

 $01:11:00.000 \longrightarrow 01:11:01.520$ by doctor Klimstra, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.992131

 $01:11:01.520 \longrightarrow 01:11:02.800$ many of these patients have

NOTE Confidence: 0.992131

 $01:11:02.800 \longrightarrow 01:11:04.420$ courses measured in the decades

NOTE Confidence: 0.992131

 $01:11:04.479 \longrightarrow 01:11:04.979$ now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99297535

01:11:06.400 --> 01:11:07.140 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.999675

01:11:07.795 --> 01:11:09.155 each operation gets a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.999675

01:11:09.155 --> 01:11:10.054 bit more challenging

NOTE Confidence: 0.99365187 01:11:10.354 --> 01:11:10.854 for NOTE Confidence: 0.8701431

01:11:11.235 --> 01:11:12.375 variety of reasons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9795978

 $01:11:12.994 \longrightarrow 01:11:14.354$ even in the minimally invasive

NOTE Confidence: 0.9795978

 $01:11:14.354 \longrightarrow 01:11:15.474$ era. So I think it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9795978

 $01:11:15.474 \longrightarrow 01:11:16.755$ really important to have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9795978

 $01:11:16.755 \longrightarrow 01:11:18.914$ surgeon involved quite early even

NOTE Confidence: 0.9795978

 $01:11:18.914 \longrightarrow 01:11:20.375$ in the metastatic setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9746242

01:11:22.150 --> 01:11:23.830 You know, oftentimes, you know,

01:11:23.830 --> 01:11:25.030 just to use your example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9746242

 $01:11:25.030 \longrightarrow 01:11:26.790$ we we agree, but sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.9746242

 $01:11:26.790 \longrightarrow 01:11:27.750$ we don't. And and, you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9746242

 $01:11:27.750 \longrightarrow 01:11:28.870$ know, I think we value

NOTE Confidence: 0.9746242

01:11:28.870 --> 01:11:29.610 that diversity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94153315

 $01:11:30.550 \longrightarrow 01:11:32.070$ of opinions in managing these

NOTE Confidence: 0.94153315

 $01:11:32.070 \longrightarrow 01:11:33.510$ patients because it it can

NOTE Confidence: 0.94153315

 $01{:}11{:}33.510 --> 01{:}11{:}34.835$ be a little bit complicated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94153315

 $01:11:34.895 \longrightarrow 01:11:35.935$ and it can go on

NOTE Confidence: 0.94153315

 $01:11:35.935 \longrightarrow 01:11:37.375$ again for many, many, many

NOTE Confidence: 0.94153315

 $01:11:37.375 \longrightarrow 01:11:37.875$ years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9943142

 $01:11:38.175 \longrightarrow 01:11:38.975$ And you have to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9943142

 $01{:}11{:}38.975 \dashrightarrow 01{:}11{:}40.175$ very thoughtful about when you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9943142

01:11:40.175 --> 01:11:41.135 gonna pull the trigger on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9943142

 $01:11:41.135 \longrightarrow 01:11:41.955$ an operation.

 $01:11:43.215 \longrightarrow 01:11:44.655$ I certainly prefer to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.99924564

 $01:11:44.655 \longrightarrow 01:11:45.155$ patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.993152

01:11:45.775 --> 01:11:46.515 right away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9638857

 $01:11:48.100 \longrightarrow 01:11:49.140$ You know, obviously, I can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9638857

 $01:11:49.140 \longrightarrow 01:11:50.660$ only speak for myself, but,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9638857

01:11:50.979 --> 01:11:51.860 you know, coming to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.9638857

01:11:51.860 --> 01:11:53.000 a surgical oncologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99019307

01:11:53.700 --> 01:11:54.660 at least at Yale, is

NOTE Confidence: 0.99019307

 $01:11:54.660 \longrightarrow 01:11:55.380$ not like going to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99019307

01:11:55.380 --> 01:11:56.500 barber. You're not going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.99019307

 $01:11:56.500 \longrightarrow 01:11:57.240$ get a haircut.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8832489

01:11:57.860 --> 01:11:58.600 You know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.98361224

 $01:11:59.620 \longrightarrow 01:12:00.820$ There are many patients I

NOTE Confidence: 0.98361224

 $01:12:00.820 \longrightarrow 01:12:02.120$ see, and the answer is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9541027

 $01:12:02.555 \longrightarrow 01:12:03.675$ no. It's not right or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9541027

 $01:12:03.675 \longrightarrow 01:12:04.655$ no. Not yet.

 $01:12:05.115 \longrightarrow 01:12:06.155$ But that being said, I

NOTE Confidence: 0.99105823

 $01:12:06.155 \longrightarrow 01:12:07.435$ think we can't weigh in

NOTE Confidence: 0.99105823

01:12:07.435 --> 01:12:08.155 if we're not part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.99105823

01:12:08.155 --> 01:12:09.435 the conversation. So so I

NOTE Confidence: 0.99105823

 $01:12:09.435 \longrightarrow 01:12:10.795$ do think an early referral

NOTE Confidence: 0.99105823

 $01:12:10.795 \longrightarrow 01:12:11.854$ is a great idea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97310674

01:12:12.155 --> 01:12:13.675 Generally, patients like that as

NOTE Confidence: 0.97310674

 $01:12:13.675 \longrightarrow 01:12:14.955$ well so they can hear

NOTE Confidence: 0.97310674

 $01:12:14.955 \longrightarrow 01:12:16.075$ maybe about what's coming down

NOTE Confidence: 0.97310674

 $01:12:16.075 \longrightarrow 01:12:16.735$ the pike.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9810634

 $01:12:17.515 \longrightarrow 01:12:19.189$ Yep. Yep. Totally agree.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8839518

01:12:20.050 --> 01:12:20.950 Doctor Spielberg,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99043876

01:12:21.489 --> 01:12:23.030 what are you most excited

NOTE Confidence: 0.99043876

 $01:12:23.090 \longrightarrow 01:12:25.010$ about in the treatment area

NOTE Confidence: 0.99043876

 $01:12:25.010 \longrightarrow 01:12:25.750$ of theranostics?

01:12:27.410 --> 01:12:28.469 I think that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90750724

 $01:12:29.650 \longrightarrow 01:12:30.150$ dosimetry

NOTE Confidence: 0.99967706

 $01:12:30.610 \longrightarrow 01:12:32.469$ has not been fully

NOTE Confidence: 0.98528236

 $01:12:34.485 \longrightarrow 01:12:35.864$ used at its

NOTE Confidence: 0.831601

 $01:12:36.885 \longrightarrow 01:12:37.385$ potential.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832252

01:12:37.685 --> 01:12:39.784 I think we currently do

NOTE Confidence: 0.832252

 $01:12:40.005 \longrightarrow 01:12:42.165$ standard dosing for all patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.832252

01:12:42.165 --> 01:12:43.685 and I think define those

NOTE Confidence: 0.832252

 $01:12:43.685 \longrightarrow 01:12:45.304$ symmetry for the audience?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90202343

01:12:45.844 --> 01:12:47.945 Sorry. So those symmetry, basically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90202343

01:12:48.165 --> 01:12:50.409 you calculate the burden of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90202343

 $01:12:50.409 \longrightarrow 01:12:52.270$ disease that the patient has.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9860212

 $01:12:52.969 \longrightarrow 01:12:54.250$ And one of the ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.9860212

01:12:54.250 --> 01:12:55.210 you can do it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9860212

 $01:12:55.210 \longrightarrow 01:12:55.869$ you deliver

NOTE Confidence: 0.95871997

 $01:12:56.250 \longrightarrow 01:12:58.250$ one cycle and then you

 $01:12:58.250 \longrightarrow 01:12:59.630$ image at multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.97541076

 $01:13:00.090 \longrightarrow 01:13:01.469$ time points after

NOTE Confidence: 0.9040958

 $01:13:01.770 \longrightarrow 01:13:03.469$ you image the drug itself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99822456

 $01:13:04.525 \longrightarrow 01:13:05.585$ The drug itself

NOTE Confidence: 0.84503776

 $01:13:06.285 \longrightarrow 01:13:07.425$ after you treat

NOTE Confidence: 0.9536322

 $01:13:08.045 \longrightarrow 01:13:10.045$ has gamma emission and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9536322

 $01:13:10.045 \longrightarrow 01:13:11.265$ can image that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8544846

01:13:11.645 --> 01:13:12.785 So it can actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.99671555

 $01:13:13.325 \longrightarrow 01:13:13.825$ image

NOTE Confidence: 0.9926485

 $01:13:14.285 \longrightarrow 01:13:16.465$ the drug at its target

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996616

 $01:13:17.010 \longrightarrow 01:13:17.909$ and then calculate

NOTE Confidence: 0.9750702

01:13:19.650 --> 01:13:20.789 how does that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9647679

 $01:13:21.809 \longrightarrow 01:13:23.489$ what's the overall burden of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9647679

 $01:13:23.489 \longrightarrow 01:13:24.229$ the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.8938188

01:13:24.769 --> 01:13:26.150 and how does that,

 $01:13:26.610 \longrightarrow 01:13:27.670$ leave the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.999337

01:13:28.369 --> 01:13:30.385 And these things help us

NOTE Confidence: 0.999337

 $01:13:30.385 \longrightarrow 01:13:30.885$ understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.9678926

 $01:13:31.585 \longrightarrow 01:13:33.104$ what is the patient's own

NOTE Confidence: 0.9678926

01:13:33.104 --> 01:13:34.625 kinetics because we have an

NOTE Confidence: 0.9678926

 $01:13:34.625 \longrightarrow 01:13:36.784$ idea from trials of what

NOTE Confidence: 0.9678926 01:13:36.784 --> 01:13:37.284 is NOTE Confidence: 0.96563053

 $01:13:37.985 \longrightarrow 01:13:39.905$ in in general, but all

NOTE Confidence: 0.96563053

01:13:39.905 --> 01:13:41.125 patients are different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96168435

01:13:41.770 --> 01:13:43.610 So their renal function, their

NOTE Confidence: 0.96168435

01:13:43.610 --> 01:13:44.510 liver function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9933391

01:13:44.889 --> 01:13:46.090 And I think once we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9933391

 $01:13:46.090 \longrightarrow 01:13:48.590$ understand that, we can optimize

NOTE Confidence: 0.9933391

 $01:13:48.729 \longrightarrow 01:13:49.550$ the doses

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988633401:13:50.250 --> 01:13:50.750 to NOTE Confidence: 0.9968412

 $01:13:51.449 \longrightarrow 01:13:51.929$ more

 $01:13:52.570 \longrightarrow 01:13:54.830$ give a higher dose upfront

NOTE Confidence: 0.959723

 $01:13:54.969 \longrightarrow 01:13:56.489$ or a lower dose to

NOTE Confidence: 0.959723

01:13:56.489 --> 01:13:57.469 certain patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993561

 $01:13:57.825 \longrightarrow 01:13:58.945$ which is going to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993561

 $01:13:58.945 \longrightarrow 01:13:59.445$ helpful

NOTE Confidence: 0.9830487 01:14:00.064 --> 01:14:00.564 into

NOTE Confidence: 0.9616121

01:14:00.945 --> 01:14:03.125 optimizing this therapy into,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7783173

 $01:14:04.465 \longrightarrow 01:14:04.625$ more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99694663

 $01:14:06.864 \longrightarrow 01:14:08.325$ specific for each

NOTE Confidence: 0.93487716

 $01:14:08.705 \longrightarrow 01:14:09.205$ scenario.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99783367

 $01:14:10.689 \longrightarrow 01:14:11.969$ Yep. No. I I agree.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99783367

01:14:11.969 --> 01:14:13.090 I'm looking forward to that

NOTE Confidence: 0.99783367

 $01:14:13.090 \longrightarrow 01:14:14.530$ also in terms of tailoring

NOTE Confidence: 0.99783367

 $01:14:14.530 \longrightarrow 01:14:15.030$ treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9979422

01:14:16.210 --> 01:14:18.050 I'll maybe mention something that

 $01:14:18.050 \longrightarrow 01:14:19.250$ I think about in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.9979422

 $01:14:19.250 \longrightarrow 01:14:20.389$ of systemic treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9869132

 $01:14:21.489 \longrightarrow 01:14:22.210$ You know, I think as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9869132

 $01:14:22.210 \longrightarrow 01:14:23.824$ our patients do better and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9869132

01:14:23.824 --> 01:14:24.564 live longer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9944859

 $01:14:25.104 \longrightarrow 01:14:26.304$ we also wanna be thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.9944859

 $01:14:26.304 \longrightarrow 01:14:28.064$ about side effects and thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.9944859

 $01:14:28.064 \longrightarrow 01:14:29.425$ about kind of risk benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.9944859

01:14:29.425 --> 01:14:29.925 ratio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9952304

 $01:14:30.304 \longrightarrow 01:14:31.925$ For our patients with pancreatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9952304

01:14:32.064 --> 01:14:33.665 neuroendocrine tumors, many of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.9952304

 $01:14:33.665 \longrightarrow 01:14:34.324$ will receive

NOTE Confidence: 0.96853185

01:14:35.000 --> 01:14:36.840 both an alkylating agent like

NOTE Confidence: 0.96853185

 $01:14:36.840 \longrightarrow 01:14:37.340$ temozolomide

NOTE Confidence: 0.9540213

 $01:14:37.800 \longrightarrow 01:14:38.840$ and will go on to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9540213

01:14:38.840 --> 01:14:39.240 receive,

01:14:40.760 --> 01:14:41.740 lutetium dotatate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93984

 $01:14:42.520 \longrightarrow 01:14:43.960$ both of which carry some

NOTE Confidence: 0.93984

 $01:14:43.960 \longrightarrow 01:14:44.460$ risk NOTE Confidence: 0.98620266

 $01:14:44.760 \longrightarrow 01:14:46.300$ for secondary myelodysplastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996971

01:14:46.760 --> 01:14:47.260 syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99091524

 $01:14:47.640 \longrightarrow 01:14:48.945$ I get asked this both

NOTE Confidence: 0.99091524

01:14:48.945 --> 01:14:50.704 by patients and by treating

NOTE Confidence: 0.99091524

 $01:14:50.704 \longrightarrow 01:14:52.545$ physicians of sort of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.99091524

 $01:14:52.545 \longrightarrow 01:14:54.165$ how real is that risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99091524

01:14:54.465 --> 01:14:55.844 I think both independently

NOTE Confidence: 0.96228886

 $01:14:56.145 \longrightarrow 01:14:57.425$ carry about a two to

NOTE Confidence: 0.96228886

 $01:14:57.425 \longrightarrow 01:14:58.645$ three percent risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9404087

 $01{:}14{:}59.025 --> 01{:}14{:}59.525 \ \mathrm{But},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9708487

 $01:15:00.625 \longrightarrow 01:15:02.704$ sequentially and cumulatively, that risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9708487

 $01:15:02.704 \longrightarrow 01:15:03.750$ may actually be higher, and

 $01:15:03.750 \longrightarrow 01:15:04.869$ I think we really need

NOTE Confidence: 0.9708487

 $01:15:04.869 \longrightarrow 01:15:06.229$ better predictors of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9954029

 $01:15:07.030 \longrightarrow 01:15:07.829$ of who may be at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9954029

 $01:15:07.829 \longrightarrow 01:15:08.710$ risk for that. I don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9954029

01:15:08.710 --> 01:15:10.150 know, Gabby, if you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9954029

 $01:15:10.150 \longrightarrow 01:15:11.210$ any thoughts on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991747

 $01:15:12.150 \longrightarrow 01:15:13.909$ Yeah. No. I definitely agree.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991747

01:15:13.909 --> 01:15:16.150 I think we currently don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991747

 $01:15:16.150 \longrightarrow 01:15:16.650$ have

NOTE Confidence: 0.99868

 $01:15:17.215 \longrightarrow 01:15:17.875$ a great

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994129

 $01:15:18.415 \longrightarrow 01:15:18.915$ biomarker

NOTE Confidence: 0.95965546

 $01:15:19.375 \longrightarrow 01:15:20.975$ that predicts who are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95965546

 $01:15:20.975 \longrightarrow 01:15:22.515$ patients at higher risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96766883

 $01{:}15{:}22.895 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}25.074$ and we probably should be

NOTE Confidence: 0.96766883

 $01:15:25.135 \longrightarrow 01:15:26.495$ starting to look to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.96766883

 $01:15:26.495 \longrightarrow 01:15:27.235$ at genetics

 $01:15:27.535 \longrightarrow 01:15:28.195$ to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.967802

 $01:15:28.655 \longrightarrow 01:15:30.849$ patients who have some alteration

NOTE Confidence: 0.967802

 $01:15:31.070 \longrightarrow 01:15:31.809$ or something

NOTE Confidence: 0.9982501

 $01:15:32.349 \longrightarrow 01:15:34.130$ that could make them

NOTE Confidence: 0.98264664

 $01:15:34.670 \longrightarrow 01:15:36.590$ more likely for that. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.98264664

 $01:15:36.590 \longrightarrow 01:15:37.790$ even though they don't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.98264664

 $01:15:37.790 \longrightarrow 01:15:39.329$ any symptoms or any,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83900064

 $01:15:40.590 \longrightarrow 01:15:42.349$ exhibit any changes yet, the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83900064

 $01:15:42.349 \longrightarrow 01:15:43.780$ sequential link for those specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.83900064

 $01:15:43.780 \longrightarrow 01:15:45.125$ patients may be more

NOTE Confidence: 0.88826954

01:15:46.385 --> 01:15:46.885 risky,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066014

 $01:15:47.185 \longrightarrow 01:15:48.385$ and I don't think we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066014

 $01{:}15{:}48.385 \dashrightarrow 01{:}15{:}49.505$ have that data. I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.9066014

 $01:15:49.505 \longrightarrow 01:15:51.205$ that's definitely to come.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99917257

 $01{:}15{:}51.585 --> 01{:}15{:}52.085 \ \mathrm{Great}.$

01:15:52.465 --> 01:15:53.685 Well, I'll ask our audience

NOTE Confidence: 0.95399934

01:15:53.905 --> 01:15:55.185 to pose us questions. But

NOTE Confidence: 0.95399934

 $01:15:55.185 \longrightarrow 01:15:56.305$ if in the absence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.95399934

01:15:56.305 --> 01:15:57.345 those, I'll do one round

NOTE Confidence: 0.95399934

 $01:15:57.345 \longrightarrow 01:15:58.840$ of sort of a final

NOTE Confidence: 0.95399934

01:15:58.840 --> 01:16:00.860 forward thinking question for everybody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95399934

 $01:16:00.920 \longrightarrow 01:16:02.360$ Maybe we'll go kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.95399934

 $01:16:02.360 \longrightarrow 01:16:03.479$ in the same order that

NOTE Confidence: 0.95399934

01:16:03.479 --> 01:16:05.020 we, gave presentations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99324864 01:16:05.320 --> 01:16:05.820 So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8866608

01:16:06.280 --> 01:16:07.260 so doctor Klimstra,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95648384

 $01:16:08.120 \longrightarrow 01:16:09.740$ are you what what either

NOTE Confidence: 0.95648384

 $01:16:09.960 \longrightarrow 01:16:11.240$ are you hopeful for in

NOTE Confidence: 0.95648384

 $01:16:11.240 \longrightarrow 01:16:12.200$ the field or if there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.95648384

 $01:16:12.200 \longrightarrow 01:16:13.640$ an unanswered question you'd be

NOTE Confidence: 0.95648384

 $01:16:13.640 \longrightarrow 01:16:15.155$ really excited to look at?

 $01:16:15.854 \longrightarrow 01:16:16.895$ Yeah. That's a it's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9718524

 $01:16:16.895 \longrightarrow 01:16:17.635$ great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96546984

01:16:18.735 --> 01:16:19.934 You know, the and we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.96546984

01:16:19.934 --> 01:16:21.375 talked about this privately. I

NOTE Confidence: 0.96546984

01:16:21.375 --> 01:16:22.335 think one of the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9950167

 $01{:}16{:}22.895 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}24.735$ great advances that you showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9950167

01:16:24.735 --> 01:16:26.195 in your talk is the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9800112

 $01{:}16{:}26.735 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}28.655$ plethora of different the rapeutic options

NOTE Confidence: 0.9800112

01:16:28.655 --> 01:16:29.635 that now exist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9443271

 $01:16:30.079 \longrightarrow 01:16:31.760$ particularly for pancreas, but for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9443271

 $01:16:31.760 \longrightarrow 01:16:32.659$ for all NETs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9788117

 $01:16:33.760 \longrightarrow 01:16:34.960$ And, you know, most of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9788117

 $01{:}16{:}34.960 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}37.199$ these patients will receive multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.9788117

 $01:16:37.199 \longrightarrow 01:16:38.479$ different agents over the course

NOTE Confidence: 0.9788117

 $01:16:38.479 \longrightarrow 01:16:39.380$ of their disease.

 $01:16:39.760 \longrightarrow 01:16:41.199$ And it remains an open

NOTE Confidence: 0.95612484

01:16:41.199 --> 01:16:42.500 question how to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99157715

 $01:16:44.400 \longrightarrow 01:16:44.900$ strategize

NOTE Confidence: 0.9668689

01:16:45.855 --> 01:16:47.455 and how to stage these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9953996

 $01:16:47.935 \longrightarrow 01:16:49.535$ based on what patients are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9953996

 $01:16:49.535 \longrightarrow 01:16:50.915$ likely to respond to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.998645

 $01:16:51.215 \longrightarrow 01:16:53.055$ Unfortunately, we really don't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.998645

 $01:16:53.055 \longrightarrow 01:16:53.955$ great biomarkers

NOTE Confidence: 0.97746795

 $01:16:54.255 \longrightarrow 01:16:56.095$ for these agents. Even some

NOTE Confidence: 0.97746795

01:16:56.095 --> 01:16:58.255 of the somewhat targeted agents

NOTE Confidence: 0.97746795

 $01{:}16{:}58.255 \dashrightarrow 01{:}16{:}59.455$ where you could intuit a

NOTE Confidence: 0.97746795

 $01:16:59.455 \longrightarrow 01:16:59.955$ biomarker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9953723

 $01:17:00.439 \longrightarrow 01:17:01.639$ it hasn't been developed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9953723

01:17:01.639 --> 01:17:02.920 the point where it's clinically

NOTE Confidence: 0.9953723

 $01:17:02.920 \longrightarrow 01:17:04.139$ useful. So for me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992113

 $01:17:04.600 \longrightarrow 01:17:05.800$ what would be an exciting

01:17:05.800 --> 01:17:08.119 problem to address is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992113

01:17:08.119 --> 01:17:08.619 identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.81798863

01:17:08.920 --> 01:17:09.420 therapeutic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9945326

 $01:17:09.880 \longrightarrow 01:17:11.420$ biomarkers that we can test,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9906326

 $01:17:12.040 \longrightarrow 01:17:13.719$ in the tumor tissue by

NOTE Confidence: 0.9906326

01:17:13.719 --> 01:17:15.420 genetic sequencing or immunohistochemistry

NOTE Confidence: 0.9861001

 $01:17:15.960 \longrightarrow 01:17:17.075$ or even

NOTE Confidence: 0.9905666 01:17:17.535 --> 01:17:18.035 AI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92814463

01:17:19.215 --> 01:17:21.314 Sounds good. Alright. Doctor Kunstman,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9418289

 $01:17:21.695 \longrightarrow 01:17:23.635$ on to you. Same question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9672438

 $01:17:24.495 \longrightarrow 01:17:26.415$ Yeah. I mean, I'm very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9672438

 $01:17:26.415 \longrightarrow 01:17:28.814$ excited about the availability of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9672438

 $01:17:28.814 \longrightarrow 01:17:30.335$ the neoadjuvant approach for these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9672438

 $01:17:30.335 \longrightarrow 01:17:30.835$ tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96744967

 $01:17:32.360 \longrightarrow 01:17:33.479$ You know, if we can

 $01:17:33.479 \longrightarrow 01:17:35.320$ clear these patients surgically of

NOTE Confidence: 0.96744967

 $01:17:35.320 \longrightarrow 01:17:36.679$ their disease to r zero,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96744967

 $01:17:36.679 \longrightarrow 01:17:38.219$ they do incredibly well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9815712

01:17:39.800 --> 01:17:40.460 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9857011

 $01:17:41.479 \longrightarrow 01:17:43.099$ even for particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9886243

 $01:17:43.960 \longrightarrow 01:17:45.639$ innovative surgeons, there are just

NOTE Confidence: 0.9886243

 $01:17:45.639 \longrightarrow 01:17:47.260$ tumors that we need cytoreduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.9886243

 $01:17:47.535 \longrightarrow 01:17:49.155$ to enable that to happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8525924

01:17:51.215 --> 01:17:51.875 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8776781

 $01:17:52.335 \longrightarrow 01:17:53.395$ and and I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.9569192

 $01:17:53.854 \longrightarrow 01:17:54.895$ in the future, in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9569192

01:17:54.895 --> 01:17:56.095 next, you know, in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9569192

01:17:56.095 --> 01:17:57.215 present and in the next

NOTE Confidence: 0.9569192

01:17:57.215 --> 01:17:58.354 few years in particular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9592863

 $01:17:59.055 \longrightarrow 01:18:00.515$ we're gonna have the opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.9592863

 $01:18:00.814 \longrightarrow 01:18:03.080$ to offer curative approaches to

 $01:18:03.080 \longrightarrow 01:18:04.200$ patients that in the past

NOTE Confidence: 0.9592863

 $01{:}18{:}04.200 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}05.320$ we never would have considered

NOTE Confidence: 0.9592863 01:18:05.320 --> 01:18:05.820 it. NOTE Confidence: 0.9703588

01:18:07.400 --> 01:18:08.520 You know, I think part

NOTE Confidence: 0.9703588

01:18:08.520 --> 01:18:09.100 of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9908222

 $01:18:10.680 \longrightarrow 01:18:11.960$ one of the downsides is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9908222

01:18:11.960 --> 01:18:13.500 that means there's increasing complexity

NOTE Confidence: 0.9811961

 $01:18:13.815 \longrightarrow 01:18:15.575$ because there's such heterogeneity both

NOTE Confidence: 0.9811961

 $01:18:15.575 \longrightarrow 01:18:16.535$ in these tumors and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9811961

 $01:18:16.535 \longrightarrow 01:18:18.695$ the rapeutic options. It creates some

NOTE Confidence: 0.9811961

01:18:18.695 --> 01:18:20.155 some challenges because

NOTE Confidence: 0.99754363

 $01:18:20.535 \longrightarrow 01:18:22.635$ sequencing of treatments really matters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9403878

 $01:18:23.655 \longrightarrow 01:18:24.715$ in these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9918985

01:18:25.495 --> 01:18:26.535 But I think that's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9918985

 $01:18:26.535 \longrightarrow 01:18:27.655$ exciting because a lot of

 $01:18:27.655 \longrightarrow 01:18:28.620$ patients, we sort of had

NOTE Confidence: 0.9918985

 $01:18:28.620 \longrightarrow 01:18:30.060$ to relegate them to chronic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9918985

 $01:18:30.060 \longrightarrow 01:18:31.040$ disease status.

NOTE Confidence: 0.989919

 $01:18:31.580 \longrightarrow 01:18:32.300$ We might be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.989919

 $01:18:32.300 \longrightarrow 01:18:33.740$ offer a curative option with

NOTE Confidence: 0.989919

 $01:18:33.740 \longrightarrow 01:18:34.400$ a combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.841397

 $01:18:34.860 \longrightarrow 01:18:36.460$ of of treatments, both they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.841397

 $01:18:36.460 \longrightarrow 01:18:39.680$ anoxic, surgical, medical, cytotoxic, etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9403614

 $01:18:40.854 \longrightarrow 01:18:43.175$ Yep. Yep. Totally agree. Alright.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9403614

01:18:43.175 --> 01:18:44.074 Doctor Spielberg.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9841794

01:18:45.655 --> 01:18:46.235 I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.999485

 $01:18:47.494 \longrightarrow 01:18:48.235$ the combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.7150836

 $01:18:48.614 \longrightarrow 01:18:49.114$ approaches

NOTE Confidence: 0.88857436

 $01:18:49.814 \longrightarrow 01:18:50.795$ either with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9907353

 $01:18:51.255 \longrightarrow 01:18:52.314$ different particles

NOTE Confidence: 0.9778667

 $01{:}18{:}53.030 \dashrightarrow 01{:}18{:}55.350$ or different drugs and moving

01:18:55.350 --> 01:18:56.650 from a palliative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9894458

 $01{:}18{:}58.469 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}00.729$ setting into a curative setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9431459

 $01:19:01.189 \longrightarrow 01:19:02.390$ I think when we talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9431459

01:19:02.390 --> 01:19:03.910 about other types of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9739713

 $01:19:05.364 \longrightarrow 01:19:07.445$ radiation particles, we may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9739713

 $01:19:07.445 \longrightarrow 01:19:10.585$ able to get different effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9739713

01:19:10.885 --> 01:19:11.625 And then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98889077

 $01:19:12.965 \longrightarrow 01:19:14.165$ as we move into this

NOTE Confidence: 0.98889077

01:19:14.165 --> 01:19:16.344 field, hopefully, we'll get into

NOTE Confidence: 0.9970577

01:19:16.965 --> 01:19:17.465 curative

NOTE Confidence: 0.97420883

01:19:18.030 --> 01:19:20.189 rather than just palliation, which

NOTE Confidence: 0.97420883

 $01:19:20.189 \longrightarrow 01:19:21.250$ is really the goal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94614446

 $01:19:21.870 \longrightarrow 01:19:22.370 \text{ Yep.}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.98579

 $01:19:23.150 \longrightarrow 01:19:24.670$ Well, I wanna thank all

NOTE Confidence: 0.98579

01:19:24.670 --> 01:19:25.550 of you for,

 $01:19:25.950 \longrightarrow 01:19:27.229$ joining me this evening for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9739521

01:19:27.229 --> 01:19:28.210 a really great

NOTE Confidence: 0.95711285

 $01:19:28.590 \longrightarrow 01:19:30.705$ great presentations and great discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95711285

 $01:19:30.705 \longrightarrow 01:19:32.005$ I share your enthusiasm

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988745

 $01:19:32.305 \longrightarrow 01:19:33.505$ about the future, and I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988745

 $01{:}19{:}33.505 \dashrightarrow 01{:}19{:}35.025$ really excited about the questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988745

 $01:19:35.025 \longrightarrow 01:19:36.245$ that we can ask together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98568124 01:19:37.185 --> 01:19:37.685 So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9924792

 $01:19:38.225 \longrightarrow 01:19:39.345$ thank you to the audience

NOTE Confidence: 0.9924792

 $01:19:39.345 \longrightarrow 01:19:40.405$ for listening tonight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863167

 $01:19:40.945 \longrightarrow 01:19:41.425$ and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98652804

 $01:19:41.905 \longrightarrow 01:19:42.785$ we will see you next

NOTE Confidence: 0.98652804

 $01:19:42.785 \longrightarrow 01:19:44.005$ time. Thanks, everybody.