WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "01:03:00.980" NOTE Confidence: 0.9094138

 $00{:}00{:}00{:}00{:}00{:}00{:}02.259$ Get started. Welcome to SmiloShares

NOTE Confidence: 0.8875561

 $00:00:02.879 \longrightarrow 00:00:04.180$ with Primary Care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99945337

 $00:00:04.720 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.859$ Just an introduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.95627314

 $00:00:06.240 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.460$ to this series.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93868965

 $00:00:08.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.000$ Smilo Shares with Primary Care

NOTE Confidence: 0.93868965

 $00:00:10.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.820$ is a collaboration

NOTE Confidence: 0.99611235

 $00{:}00{:}11.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}13.299$ between primary care and oncology

NOTE Confidence: 0.9719274

00:00:13.679 --> 00:00:15.545 here at Yale. I'm Karen

NOTE Confidence: 0.9719274

00:00:15.545 --> 00:00:17.325 Brown, a primary care internist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9719274

00:00:17.465 --> 00:00:18.585 and I work with Anne

NOTE Confidence: 0.9719274

 $00:00:18.585 \longrightarrow 00:00:19.805$ Chang, an oncologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.96666217

 $00{:}00{:}20.425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}22.025$ and the associate cancer center

NOTE Confidence: 0.96666217

 $00:00:22.025 \longrightarrow 00:00:23.564$ director for clinical initiatives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98524714

 $00:00:23.945 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.305$ We are happy to present

00:00:25.305 --> 00:00:27.325 today's topic, which is gammopathies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99889493

 $00{:}00{:}28.529 --> 00{:}00{:}29.269 \ \mathrm{Our \ perspective}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988131

 $00:00:29.730 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.990$ in cancer care is distinct

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988131

 $00:00:32.050 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.070$ in primary care. We're constantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988131

00:00:34.210 --> 00:00:36.309 providing advice to prevent cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9699438

 $00:00:36.610 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.229$ testing to screen for cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9699438

00:00:38.449 --> 00:00:40.629 testing for cancer, and fortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9666285

00:00:41.105 --> 00:00:43.185 far less often, actually finding

NOTE Confidence: 0.9666285

 $00:00:43.185 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.925$ new cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9831371

00:00:44.465 --> 00:00:46.065 Diagnosing a new cancer, whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.9831371

 $00{:}00{:}46.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}47.505$ by screening or due to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9831371

 $00{:}00{:}47.505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}48.545$ a patient complaint, is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9831371

 $00:00:48.545 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.425$ time where we want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9831371

 $00:00:49.425 \longrightarrow 00:00:50.805$ be as efficient as possible

NOTE Confidence: 0.9831371

 $00:00:51.025 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.905$ to get our patients to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9831371

 $00:00:51.905 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.025$ the treatment they need. We

 $00{:}00{:}53.025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}54.705$ are delighted that doctor Chang

NOTE Confidence: 0.9831371

 $00{:}00{:}54.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}56.225$ and our oncology colleagues are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9831371

 $00{:}00{:}56.225 --> 00{:}00{:}56.725 \ \mathrm{interested}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994811

 $00:00:57.040 \longrightarrow 00:00:58.880$ and willing to explore this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994811

 $00:00:58.880 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.480$ interface of care with us

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994811

 $00:01:00.480 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.380$ in this series.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9975195

00:01:01.920 --> 00:01:03.360 During the talk, please feel

NOTE Confidence: 0.9975195

 $00:01:03.360 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.980$ free to enter your questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9975195

 $00:01:05.120 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.180$ into the chat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.918719

 $00:01:07.280 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.160$ and we will,

NOTE Confidence: 0.983315

 $00:01:09.040 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.240$ look at those at at

NOTE Confidence: 0.983315

 $00:01:10.240 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.334$ the end of the talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.983315

00:01:11.334 --> 00:01:12.375 We'll we'll try to save

NOTE Confidence: 0.983315

 $00:01:12.375 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.034$ some time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97480196

 $00:01:14.215 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.735$ I'd like to introduce my

 $00:01:15.735 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.055$ primary care colleague, doctor Drew

NOTE Confidence: 0.97480196

 $00:01:18.055 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.555$ Cutney.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99239445

 $00{:}01{:}19.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}20.875$ Doctor Cutney is board certified

NOTE Confidence: 0.99239445

 $00:01:20.935 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.034$ in internal medicine and pediatrics

NOTE Confidence: 0.97734445

 $00:01:23.334 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.174$ and a very valuable member

NOTE Confidence: 0.97734445

 $00{:}01{:}25.174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}26.959$ of our Northeast Medical Group

NOTE Confidence: 0.97734445

 $00{:}01{:}26.959 --> 00{:}01{:}28.260$ primary care community.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9799098

00:01:28.880 --> 00:01:30.400 My own first memory of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9799098

 $00:01:30.400 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.440$ him is he knew how

NOTE Confidence: 0.9799098

 $00:01:31.440 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.800$ to use Epic better than

NOTE Confidence: 0.9799098

 $00:01:32.800 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.700$ anybody else,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99852216

 $00:01:34.400 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.340$ before anybody else did.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84111416

 $00:01:37.200 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.960$ He practices,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9703027

 $00:01:38.400 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.520$ he's been with us since

NOTE Confidence: 0.9703027

 $00:01:39.520 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.900$ two thousand and eight and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9912426

00:01:41.305 --> 00:01:43.084 primary care in Trumbull, Connecticut

 $00:01:43.225 \longrightarrow 00:01:44.045$ at the Park

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819878

00:01:44.505 --> 00:01:46.665 Avenue Medical Center. And I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819878

 $00:01:46.665 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.945$ turn it over to you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819878

00:01:47.945 --> 00:01:49.305 and to introduce our other

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819878

 $00:01:49.305 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.805$ panelists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9872957

 $00:01:50.585 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.865$ Great. Thanks so much for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9872957

 $00:01:51.865 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.625$ joining us tonight and for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9872957

 $00:01:53.625 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.645$ continuing to access this series

NOTE Confidence: 0.9501388

 $00:01:56.320 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.820$ online.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9784313

 $00:01:58.880 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.400$ And, I have the honor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9784313

 $00:02:00.400 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.259$ of introducing the hematologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.97770673

 $00:02:02.560 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.920$ to night that are joining us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97770673

 $00{:}02{:}03.920 --> 00{:}02{:}04.420 \ doctor,$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992006

00:02:05.119 --> 00:02:05.619 Sabrina

NOTE Confidence: 0.86630774

 $00:02:05.920 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.420$ Browning.

 $00:02:06.880 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.340$ That's on the right. She

NOTE Confidence: 0.98672915

 $00{:}02{:}08.480 \longrightarrow 00{:}02{:}10.260$ is assistant professor of medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.92544955

00:02:11.275 --> 00:02:12.955 in hematology, and she received

NOTE Confidence: 0.92544955

 $00:02:12.955 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.655$ her medical degree from Yukon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98759955

 $00:02:15.835 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.275$ And then her internship and

NOTE Confidence: 0.98759955

 $00{:}02{:}17.275 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}18.955$ residency completed at Yale New

NOTE Confidence: 0.98759955

 $00:02:18.955 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.455$ Haven

NOTE Confidence: 0.97382843

00:02:19.835 --> 00:02:21.915 Hospital. After residency, she served

NOTE Confidence: 0.97382843

00:02:21.915 --> 00:02:23.375 as an amyloid fellow

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996883

 $00:02:23.690 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.590$ at the internationally

NOTE Confidence: 0.99509203

 $00{:}02{:}24.889 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}25.389 \ {\rm recognized},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.8241737

 $00:02:25.850 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.350$ amyloidis

NOTE Confidence: 0.95550835

 $00:02:27.050 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.550$ amyloidosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9631453

 $00{:}02{:}29.370 --> 00{:}02{:}30.590 \ {\rm center \ at \ BU},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.90285164

00:02:31.450 --> 00:02:32.889 in Boston Medical Center. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.90285164

00:02:32.889 --> 00:02:34.010 then she returned to Yale

 $00{:}02{:}34.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}35.610$ New Haven Hospital to complete

NOTE Confidence: 0.90285164

 $00:02:35.610 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.990$ her her fellowship

NOTE Confidence: 0.9976137

 $00:02:37.605 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.544$ in medical oncology and hematology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9135647

 $00:02:40.725 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.245$ She's a physician in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9135647

 $00{:}02{:}42.245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}44.505$ Smiling Multiple Myeloma and Gammopathies

NOTE Confidence: 0.9135647

00:02:44.725 --> 00:02:46.485 program, and her clinical and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9135647

 $00:02:46.485 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.465$ research interests

NOTE Confidence: 0.997943

 $00:02:47.845 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.925$ include evaluating new treatments for

NOTE Confidence: 0.997943

00:02:49.925 --> 00:02:50.825 multiple myeloma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9807762

 $00:02:51.620 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.120$ amyloidosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99893194

 $00:02:52.580 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.639$ and other hematologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.99900854

 $00:02:54.020 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.520$ diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9090108

 $00:02:56.500 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.540$ Also, I would like to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9090108

 $00:02:57.540 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.460$ introduce doctor Nofar Bahr, who's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9090108

 $00:02:59.460 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.060$ an assistant professor of medicine

 $00:03:01.060 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.800$ and hematology

NOTE Confidence: 0.99137217

 $00:03:02.419 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.540$ at the Yale School of

NOTE Confidence: 0.99137217

 $00{:}03{:}03.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}05.880$ Medicine. She completed her internship

NOTE Confidence: 0.99137217

 $00:03:06.100 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.760$ and residency

NOTE Confidence: 0.98926264

 $00:03:07.275 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.555$ at the, at the Mount

NOTE Confidence: 0.98926264

00:03:08.555 --> 00:03:10.334 Sinai hospital and her fellowship

NOTE Confidence: 0.98926264

 $00:03:10.394 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.055$ at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9870597

00:03:11.674 --> 00:03:13.595 and received her medical degree

NOTE Confidence: 0.9870597

 $00{:}03{:}13.595 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}15.275$ from the American medical program

NOTE Confidence: 0.9870597

 $00{:}03{:}15.275 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}16.715$ at Tel Aviv university in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9870597

00:03:16.715 --> 00:03:18.235 New York. She's a member

NOTE Confidence: 0.9870597

00:03:18.235 --> 00:03:20.460 of ASCO, the American Society

NOTE Confidence: 0.9870597

 $00:03:20.460 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.120$ of Hematology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95498836

00:03:21.419 --> 00:03:23.440 the International Myeloma Society,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996398

 $00:03:23.820 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.260$ and her research is focused

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996398

00:03:25.260 --> 00:03:26.480 on multiple myeloma

 $00{:}03{:}26.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}28.880$ and other plasma cell disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97008514

 $00:03:29.820 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.580$ And she specializes in all

NOTE Confidence: 0.97008514

 $00:03:31.580 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.080$ treatment modalities for myeloma, including

NOTE Confidence: 0.97008514

00:03:34.139 --> 00:03:34.880 CAR T

NOTE Confidence: 0.97910523

 $00{:}03{:}35.215 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}36.655$ cell therapy and stem cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.97910523

 $00:03:36.655 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.855$ transplant. She'll talk a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.97910523

 $00:03:37.855 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.415$ bit about those as, well

NOTE Confidence: 0.97910523

00:03:40.415 --> 00:03:41.614 to night. So we've got a

NOTE Confidence: 0.97910523

00:03:41.614 --> 00:03:42.435 great program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9924224

 $00:03:44.495 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.474$ for you tonight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9964973

 $00:03:45.935 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.155$ Save up your questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9849536

 $00{:}03{:}48.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}49.555$ You always have some excellent

NOTE Confidence: 0.9849536

 $00{:}03{:}49.614 --> 00{:}03{:}51.530$ ones, and I will now

NOTE Confidence: 0.9849536

 $00:03:51.530 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.470$ turn it over to doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9849536

 $00:03:53.610 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.810$ Barr to sort of set

 $00:03:54.810 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.090$ us up with, what we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9849536

 $00:03:56.090 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.550$ gonna do tonight. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87998945

 $00:03:58.810 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.110$ Thank you, Anne.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9964571

00:04:00.650 --> 00:04:01.770 And thank you for having

NOTE Confidence: 0.9964571 00:04:01.770 --> 00:04:02.250 us. NOTE Confidence: 0.9415364

00:04:03.050 --> 00:04:03.550 Today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99804085

 $00:04:03.850 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.130$ some of the key points

NOTE Confidence: 0.99804085

 $00:04:05.130 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.075$ I wanna highlight

NOTE Confidence: 0.9837696

 $00{:}04{:}07.035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}09.114$ is reviewing the reference ranges

NOTE Confidence: 0.983769600:04:09.114 --> 00:04:09.515 for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9471642

00:04:09.915 --> 00:04:11.754 serum free light chains, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9471642

 $00:04:11.754 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.435$ this could be, affected by

NOTE Confidence: 0.9471642

 $00:04:13.435 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.735$ age and renal dysfunction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93600476

 $00:04:15.194 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.475$ Second, discuss some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93600476

00:04:16.475 --> 00:04:19.055 differences between IgA, IG,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96844053

00:04:19.360 --> 00:04:21.779 sorry, IgG, IgA versus IgM

 $00:04:21.920 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.060$ monoclonal gammopathies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786306

 $00:04:23.840 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.360$ Define low risk MGUS and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786306

 $00:04:25.360 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.720$ discuss how this could be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786306

 $00:04:26.720 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.340$ managed by the primary care

NOTE Confidence: 0.9786306

 $00:04:28.400 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.900$ physician.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9964666

00:04:29.440 --> 00:04:30.980 Discuss some red flags,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9813716

 $00:04:31.360 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.520$ that warrant more urgent referral

NOTE Confidence: 0.9813716

 $00:04:33.520 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.180$ to hematology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95606196

 $00:04:34.915 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.075$ And also review this, EPIC

NOTE Confidence: 0.95606196

00:04:37.075 --> 00:04:38.214 monoclonal gammopathy

NOTE Confidence: 0.97447276

 $00:04:38.675 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.375$ pathway we've developed recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.8839699

 $00:04:40.755 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.495$ and provide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9965969

 $00{:}04{:}41.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}43.235$ hopefully, you can provide us

NOTE Confidence: 0.9965969

 $00:04:43.235 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.935$ some feedback over time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98552215

 $00:04:45.555 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.915$ So to begin, I wanna

 $00:04:46.915 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.135$ start with some background.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95458734

 $00:04:48.750 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.310$ And monoclonal gammopathies on for

NOTE Confidence: 0.95458734

 $00:04:51.310 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.230$ the most part, are driven

NOTE Confidence: 0.95458734

00:04:53.230 --> 00:04:55.550 by clonal or abnormal plasma

NOTE Confidence: 0.95458734

 $00:04:55.550 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.010$ cells within the bone marrow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97611934

 $00{:}04{:}57.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}59.089$ and they secrete a monoclonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.97611934

 $00:04:59.310 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.910$ protein called an m spike

NOTE Confidence: 0.97611934

 $00:05:00.910 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.810$ or m protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.9744372

 $00{:}05{:}03.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}04.045$ as I show you here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9744372

 $00:05:04.045 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.005$ And this is a picture

NOTE Confidence: 0.9744372

 $00{:}05{:}05.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}06.765$ of, either an IgG or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9744372

 $00:05:06.765 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.425$ an IgA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9694439

 $00:05:07.964 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.165$ And you can see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9694439

 $00:05:09.165 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.285$ a part of the whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.9694439

 $00:05:10.285 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.785$ antibody

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641216

 $00:05:11.404 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.245$ is also the the light

 $00{:}05{:}13.245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}14.545$ genes, which is important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802624

 $00:05:15.710 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.230$ I'm I mentioned that most

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802624

 $00:05:17.230 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.830$ gammopathies are from plasma cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802624

 $00:05:18.830 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.350$ There are several gammopathies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802624

 $00:05:20.350 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.029$ originate from b cells, clonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802624

 $00:05:22.029 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.070$ b cells, but for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9802624

 $00:05:23.070 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.690$ most part, plasma cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9766178

00:05:26.110 --> 00:05:28.165 Now when you're thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9766178

00:05:28.165 --> 00:05:29.305 a monoclonal gammopathy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94791186

 $00:05:29.605 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.985$ this is the pair protein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99716586

 $00:05:31.445 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.945$ evaluation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9949124

00:05:32.565 --> 00:05:34.005 We do a serum protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.9949124

00:05:34.005 --> 00:05:34.505 electrophoresis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96094537

 $00:05:34.885 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.085$ and I show you here

NOTE Confidence: 0.96094537

 $00:05:36.085 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.365$ what would be normal on

 $00:05:37.365 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.725$ the left and on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96094537

00:05:38.725 --> 00:05:40.485 right, an abnormal spike in

NOTE Confidence: 0.96094537

 $00:05:40.485 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.545$ the gamma region.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96049064

 $00:05:42.240 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.760$ There is some differences with

NOTE Confidence: 0.96049064

 $00:05:43.760 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.339$ gammopathies. For example, IGAs often

NOTE Confidence: 0.96049064

 $00:05:46.560 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.000$ come in the beta region

NOTE Confidence: 0.96049064

 $00:05:48.000 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.279$ over here if you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.96049064

 $00:05:49.279 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.800$ this. And you see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96049064

 $00{:}05{:}50.800 \longrightarrow 00{:}05{:}52.160$ two spikes that actually makes

NOTE Confidence: 0.96049064

 $00:05:52.160 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.120$ it a little bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.96049064

 $00:05:53.120 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.620$ difficult,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9676373

 $00:05:54.320 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.620$ and often underrepresents

NOTE Confidence: 0.95637333

00:05:56.505 --> 00:05:58.265 IgA gammopathy, so just some

NOTE Confidence: 0.95637333

 $00:05:58.265 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.485$ key points there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94700634

 $00:06:00.105 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.845$ The immunofixation

NOTE Confidence: 0.99862766

 $00:06:01.785 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.285$ below

 $00:06:02.585 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.085$ identifies

NOTE Confidence: 0.97786295

 $00:06:03.465 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.905$ the clone. Okay? So the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97786295

 $00:06:04.905 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.005$ SBAP quantifies it. The immunofixation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9372516

 $00{:}06{:}07.545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}09.225$ identifies for example, here we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9372516

00:06:09.225 --> 00:06:10.500 see an IgG kappa.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9758394

 $00:06:11.060 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.339$ In addition to this, we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9758394

 $00:06:12.339 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.460$ also need to have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9758394

 $00:06:13.460 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.360$ serum free,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98526984

 $00:06:14.900 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.640$ light chains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9952439

 $00:06:16.260 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.380$ We do not check urine

NOTE Confidence: 0.9952439

 $00:06:17.380 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.600$ free light chains anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98977566

00:06:19.060 --> 00:06:19.540 And,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95582944

 $00:06:19.940 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.300$ the importance of this one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95582944

 $00:06:21.300 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.025$ it helps us with, some

NOTE Confidence: 0.95582944

 $00:06:23.025 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.505$ risk stratification diagnosis myeloma, but,

 $00:06:25.505 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.525$ also, there's a few patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9885211

00:06:28.145 --> 00:06:29.685 about twenty percent of myeloma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99719524 00:06:30.065 --> 00:06:30.565 that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9549946

 $00{:}06{:}30.945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}32.785$ presents only with light chain

NOTE Confidence: 0.9549946

 $00:06:32.785 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.285$ secretions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95146126

00:06:33.585 --> 00:06:34.625 So you might miss a

NOTE Confidence: 0.95146126

 $00:06:34.625 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.710$ diagnosis of a if you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.95146126

 $00:06:36.710 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.170$ not checking the light chains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97864056

 $00{:}06{:}39.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}40.790$ Additionally, it's important to note,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97864056

 $00:06:40.790 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.670$ and this is kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97864056

 $00{:}06{:}41.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}43.210$ not something you think about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97864056

00:06:43.270 --> 00:06:45.690 but different labs have different

NOTE Confidence: 0.97864056

 $00:06:45.830 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.870$ ranges of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93182474

00:06:47.910 --> 00:06:48.410 abnormalities

NOTE Confidence: 0.9029707

 $00:06:48.790 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.250$ be because the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.956639

 $00:06:51.104 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.544$ units are different. So for

 $00:06:52.544 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.865$ example, Yale uses milligrams per

NOTE Confidence: 0.956639

 $00:06:54.865 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.025$ deciliter, but Quest, which some

NOTE Confidence: 0.956639

 $00:06:57.025 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.785$ of our our patients use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.956639

00:06:58.785 --> 00:07:00.485 uses milligrams per liter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9771769

00:07:00.785 --> 00:07:01.925 So, like, sixty

NOTE Confidence: 0.9560067

 $00:07:02.384 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.190$ at Quest is six at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9560067

 $00:07:04.190 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.630$ Yale. So that's important to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9560067

00:07:05.630 --> 00:07:06.910 recognize, and some patients will

NOTE Confidence: 0.9560067

 $00:07:06.910 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.430$ say, oh my god. Eighty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9560067

 $00:07:08.430 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.570$ But it's really eight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9714458

 $00:07:10.430 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.370$ So it's important to compare

NOTE Confidence: 0.9714458

 $00:07:12.510 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.350$ apples and apples and not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9714458

 $00:07:14.350 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.490$ apples and oranges.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98287344

 $00:07:17.085 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.725$ The definition of abnormal light

NOTE Confidence: 0.98287344

 $00:07:19.725 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.965$ chain ratio depends on age.

 $00:07:21.965 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.825$ And here is some information

NOTE Confidence: 0.98287344

00:07:23.885 --> 00:07:25.185 from a recent analysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.99740875

 $00:07:25.725 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.465$ in Iceland

NOTE Confidence: 0.9499066

 $00:07:26.765 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.625$ where they are testing everyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.9499066

00:07:28.770 --> 00:07:30.150 for monoclonal gammopathy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97265124

00:07:30.690 --> 00:07:31.970 and they saw that patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.97265124

 $00:07:31.970 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.569$ who are older tend to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97265124

 $00:07:33.569 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.009$ have a higher light chain

NOTE Confidence: 0.97265124

 $00{:}07{:}35.009 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}36.449$ ratio. As you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.97265124

 $00:07:36.449 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.129$ here, the higher end is

NOTE Confidence: 0.97265124

 $00:07:38.129 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.169$ two point five and is

NOTE Confidence: 0.97265124

00:07:39.169 --> 00:07:40.530 a reference range of what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.97265124

 $00:07:40.530 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.970$ normal in our lab is

NOTE Confidence: 0.97265124

 $00:07:41.970 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.349$ one point six five.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995599

00:07:44.835 --> 00:07:45.335 Additionally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9541732

 $00{:}07{:}45.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}48.115$ creatinine clearance affects light chain

00:07:48.115 --> 00:07:49.475 ratios. You can see with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9541732

 $00:07:49.475 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.875$ that lower creatinine clearance or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9541732

00:07:51.875 --> 00:07:54.215 worse renal function, the ratio

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992424

 $00:07:54.595 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.515$ gets higher at three point

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992424

 $00:07:56.515 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.875$ three. So when you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992424

 $00:07:57.875 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.375$ someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.95731866

 $00:07:59.550 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.150$ with, normal IFE and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.95731866

 $00:08:01.150 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.150$ light chain ratio of two

NOTE Confidence: 0.95731866

 $00:08:03.150 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.510$ point five, well, it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.95731866

 $00:08:04.510 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.050$ abnormal in our lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99717826

 $00:08:06.590 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.710$ If they're older or have

NOTE Confidence: 0.99717826

 $00:08:07.710 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.389$ renal dysfunction, it might actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.99717826

 $00{:}08{:}09.389 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}10.130$ be normal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9859681

 $00:08:11.405 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.845$ So this is, the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.9859681

 $00:08:12.925 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.125$ this is the spectrum of

00:08:14.125 --> 00:08:15.185 monoclonal gammopathies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99786246

00:08:15.565 --> 00:08:16.925 It's not all inclusive, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.99786246

 $00:08:16.925 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.765$ it's representing the most common

NOTE Confidence: 0.99786246

 $00:08:18.765 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.265$ gammopathies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9973603

 $00:08:19.885 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.085$ You can see here at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9973603

 $00:08:21.085 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.825$ the end,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9775227

 $00:08:22.685 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.125$ multiple myeloma, which is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9775227

 $00:08:24.125 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.625$ cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.991715

 $00:08:25.005 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.745$ But on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9655811

 $00:08:26.750 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.430$ the the right is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9655811

 $00:08:28.430 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.430$ mono the first or initial

NOTE Confidence: 0.9655811

 $00:08:30.430 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.190$ precursor state of what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9655811

 $00:08:32.190 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.589$ call MGUS, monoclonal gammopathy of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9655811

 $00:08:34.589 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.649$ undetermined significance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968049

 $00:08:36.510 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.710$ And then it goes through

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968049

 $00:08:37.710 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.450$ an intermediary

 $00:08:38.830 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.610$ stage of smoldering myeloma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352103

 $00:08:41.165 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.684$ with both of these, MGUS

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352103

 $00:08:42.684 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.204$ and smoldering, another name for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9352103

 $00:08:44.204 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.184$ smoldering, asymptomatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.97839504

 $00:08:45.564 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.725$ myeloma, these are precursor states

NOTE Confidence: 0.97839504

00:08:47.725 --> 00:08:49.584 and are not representing cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.97839504

 $00:08:49.804 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.684$ and do not need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97839504

 $00:08:50.684 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.444$ be treated. And these do

NOTE Confidence: 0.97839504

00:08:52.444 --> 00:08:53.964 not have CRAP criteria, which

NOTE Confidence: 0.97839504

 $00:08:53.964 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.184$ we'll talk about soon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9478016

 $00{:}08{:}56.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}58.339$ The major difference when you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9478016

 $00{:}08{:}58.339 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}59.460$ evaluating the bone marrow in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9478016

 $00{:}08{:}59.460 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}09{:}00.500$ these patients and part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9478016

 $00:09:00.500 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.160$ the diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9864249

 $00:09:02.020 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.320$ is that there are less

 $00:09:03.540 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.300$ clonal plasma cells with MGUS

NOTE Confidence: 0.9864249

 $00{:}09{:}05.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}07.059$ and more clonal plasma cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.9864249

 $00:09:07.059 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.880$ with smoldering,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840264

 $00:09:08.214 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.575$ less than ten or more

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840264

 $00:09:09.575 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.255$ than ten percent. And what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840264

00:09:11.255 --> 00:09:12.615 relevant for the patient is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9840264

00:09:12.615 --> 00:09:13.835 the risk for progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98209006

00:09:14.615 --> 00:09:15.915 One percent with MGUS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995045

 $00:09:16.295 --> 00:09:17.035 \ {\rm ten \ percent}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.97533315

 $00:09:17.415 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.095$ on average per year with

NOTE Confidence: 0.97533315

 $00:09:19.095 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.595$ smoldering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96627736

 $00:09:21.240 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.400$ So I think most of

NOTE Confidence: 0.96627736

 $00:09:23.400 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.600$ you know that the definition

NOTE Confidence: 0.96627736

 $00:09:24.600 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.260$ of myeloma

NOTE Confidence: 0.9527952

00:09:25.560 --> 00:09:27.320 requires a clonal plasma cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.9527952

 $00:09:27.320 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.480$ clone of, clonal plasma cells

 $00:09:29.480 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.280$ on the bone marrow of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9527952

 $00:09:30.280 \longrightarrow 00:09:31.800$ more than ten percent in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9527952

 $00:09:31.800 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.860$ addition to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9685915

 $00:09:33.355 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.635$ one or more of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9685915

00:09:34.635 --> 00:09:36.175 CRAP criteria, including

NOTE Confidence: 0.99727404

 $00{:}09{:}36.475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}38.495$ high calcium level, renal insufficiency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9974269

 $00:09:38.875 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.975$ anemia, and bony disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9803364

 $00:09:41.434 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.195$ However, about a decade ago

NOTE Confidence: 0.9803364

 $00:09:43.195 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.895$ now, the definition of myeloma

NOTE Confidence: 0.9803364

00:09:44.955 --> 00:09:45.934 actually changed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9728267

 $00:09:46.779 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.820$ And now we have what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9728267

 $00:09:47.820 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.120$ called the slim crab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9927302

 $00:09:49.740 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.540$ So for those of you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9927302

 $00:09:50.540 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.420$ who don't know what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9927302

 $00:09:51.420 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.100$ slim crab criteria is, I

 $00:09:53.100 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.860$ like to go backwards to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9927302

 $00:09:54.860 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.640$ review the spectrum of gammopathies

NOTE Confidence: 0.95409274

 $00:09:57.179 \longrightarrow 00:09:58.620$ to really understand where the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95409274

 $00:09:58.620 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.925$ slim crab came from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96959275

 $00:10:00.404 \longrightarrow 00:10:01.705$ And here you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.96959275

 $00:10:01.925 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.524$ that the clonal the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96959275

 $00:10:03.524 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.445$ smoldering myeloma is actually a

NOTE Confidence: 0.96959275

00:10:05.445 --> 00:10:07.464 very heterogeneous group of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9625206

 $00{:}10{:}08.245 --> 00{:}10{:}09.925$ While on average, ten percent

NOTE Confidence: 0.9625206

00:10:09.925 --> 00:10:11.605 progressed to myeloma. There are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9625206

 $00{:}10{:}11.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}13.684$ some patients that behave like

NOTE Confidence: 0.9625206

00:10:13.684 --> 00:10:15.630 MGUS and will never progress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9625206

 $00:10:15.770 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.610$ and some patients progress within

NOTE Confidence: 0.9625206

 $00:10:17.610 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.130$ one to two years and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9625206

 $00:10:19.130 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.809$ probably should be diagnosed as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9625206

 $00:10:20.809 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.570$ myeloma. And that is exactly

 $00{:}10{:}22.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}24.170$ where the slim criteria came

NOTE Confidence: 0.9625206

00:10:24.170 --> 00:10:25.950 from. Researchers identified

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994814

 $00:10:26.330 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.830$ factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992917

 $00:10:27.644 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.245$ that led to a risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992917

 $00:10:29.245 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.785$ of progression of myeloma

NOTE Confidence: 0.9523018

 $00:10:31.485 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.644$ at two years at eighty

NOTE Confidence: 0.9523018

 $00:10:33.644 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.144$ percent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814944

 $00:10:34.605 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.725$ And they said, we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8814944

00:10:35.804 --> 00:10:36.704 this is unacceptable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99294376

 $00{:}10{:}37.084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}38.365$ and patients should be treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.99294376

 $00:10:38.365 \longrightarrow 00:10:39.985$ for myeloma. And this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.98946583

 $00{:}10{:}40.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}42.050$ sixty percent clonal plasma cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.98946583

 $00:10:42.050 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.089$ in the bone marrow or

NOTE Confidence: 0.98946583

00:10:43.089 --> 00:10:44.850 more, a light chain ratio

NOTE Confidence: 0.98946583

 $00:10:44.850 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.750$ over a hundred,

 $00:10:46.209 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.950$ and having

NOTE Confidence: 0.8756873

 $00:10:47.410 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.089$ more than one bony lesion

NOTE Confidence: 0.8756873

00:10:49.089 --> 00:10:51.170 on advanced imaging, like whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.8756873

00:10:51.170 --> 00:10:53.029 body MRI, PET scan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99795693

00:10:54.985 --> 00:10:55.964 So with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993771

 $00:10:56.505 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.165$ we will

NOTE Confidence: 0.9652113

 $00:10:57.945 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.325$ move forward to our cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9069736

00:11:01.865 --> 00:11:02.845 Thanks, Nafoor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9334631

 $00:11:04.024 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.385$ So before we get into

NOTE Confidence: 0.9334631

 $00:11:05.385 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.505$ this case, just wanna give

NOTE Confidence: 0.9334631

 $00{:}11{:}06.505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}06.985$ a brief,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87729573

 $00:11:10.000 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.440$ context of the four case

NOTE Confidence: 0.87729573

 $00:11:11.440 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.240$ that I'm gonna bring in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87729573

 $00:11:12.240 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.120$ and and these are all

NOTE Confidence: 0.87729573

 $00:11:13.120 \longrightarrow 00:11:14.420$ cases from a panel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95970446

00:11:15.680 --> 00:11:17.200 They're relatively fresh, which means

00:11:17.200 --> 00:11:18.480 that they they're they're either

NOTE Confidence: 0.95970446

 $00:11:18.480 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.240$ a new diagnosis of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.95970446

00:11:20.240 --> 00:11:20.740 monoclonal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95284945

 $00:11:21.280 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.275$ gammopathy or it's a new

NOTE Confidence: 0.95284945

00:11:23.275 --> 00:11:24.315 patient to me that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.95284945

 $00:11:24.315 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.375$ had to get to know

NOTE Confidence: 0.95284945

 $00:11:25.675 \longrightarrow 00:11:27.455$ or it it's either

NOTE Confidence: 0.9561799

 $00:11:29.035 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.175$ a change in the clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.9561799

 $00:11:30.235 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.295$ status that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99734575

 $00:11:32.475 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.695$ has happened recently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9690148

00:11:34.395 --> 00:11:35.595 And the one thing I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9690148

00:11:35.595 --> 00:11:35.995 just wanna,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8948984

00:11:36.690 --> 00:11:37.890 have you pay attention to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8948984

 $00:11:37.890 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.490$ is, you know, pay attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.8948984

 $00:11:39.490 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.010$ to crab cure crab criterias.

 $00:11:41.010 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.290$ We go through go through

NOTE Confidence: 0.8948984

 $00:11:42.290 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.950$ the cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91515297

00:11:43.809 --> 00:11:44.470 but, also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99411744

 $00:11:44.850 \longrightarrow 00:11:46.290$ they don't fit a hundred

NOTE Confidence: 0.99411744

00:11:46.290 --> 00:11:47.510 percent by the book,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97677326

 $00{:}11{:}48.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}49.650$ necessarily. And I think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.97677326

 $00:11:49.650 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.530$ goes along with a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.97677326

 $00:11:50.530 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.910$ of patients that we see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8409526

00:11:52.465 --> 00:11:54.485 We're we're always, trying to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7538867

 $00:11:56.945 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.605$ figure out

NOTE Confidence: 0.9404192

 $00:11:58.625 \longrightarrow 00:12:00.405$ what's going on from noise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96928763

00:12:01.745 --> 00:12:02.245 And,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96770674

 $00:12:02.785 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.025$ their diseases vary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874134

 $00:12:04.465 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.825$ and don't go go by

NOTE Confidence: 0.987413400:12:05.825 --> 00:12:06.325 our NOTE Confidence: 0.96769315

 $00:12:08.389 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.990$ strict criteria a hundred percent

 $00:12:09.990 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.029$ all the time. And but

NOTE Confidence: 0.96769315

00:12:11.029 --> 00:12:12.309 they do represent key points

NOTE Confidence: 0.96769315

 $00:12:12.309 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.829$ and pearls. So what that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.96769315

00:12:13.829 --> 00:12:15.910 what, with that, we'll jump

NOTE Confidence: 0.96769315

00:12:15.910 --> 00:12:17.589 into our first case. And,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96769315

 $00:12:17.750 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.350$ this is a fifty year

NOTE Confidence: 0.96769315

 $00:12:19.350 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.709$ old Hispanic female that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.96769315

 $00:12:20.709 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.589$ new to me in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.96769315

00:12:21.589 --> 00:12:23.655 twenty twenty one. And for

NOTE Confidence: 0.96769315

 $00:12:23.655 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.955$ the most part, she was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99296826

 $00:12:26.215 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.175$ it was well known that

NOTE Confidence: 0.99296826

 $00:12:27.175 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.895$ she had MS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96534085

 $00{:}12{:}28.215 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}29.495$ that was diagnosed in twenty

NOTE Confidence: 0.96534085

 $00:12:29.495 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.995$ nineteen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993316

 $00:12:30.934 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.975$ and we talked about that

 $00:12:31.975 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.795$ quite a bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9924876

 $00:12:33.255 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.875$ She had presented with incapacitating

NOTE Confidence: 0.9839241

00:12:35.415 --> 00:12:37.070 migraines at that point with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9839241

00:12:37.070 --> 00:12:39.170 vertigo, which prompted the MRI

NOTE Confidence: 0.80452096 00:12:39.710 --> 00:12:40.190 and, NOTE Confidence: 0.91290164

00:12:40.670 --> 00:12:42.270 confirmed a diagnosis. And she

NOTE Confidence: 0.91290164

 $00:12:42.270 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.330$ started on Ocrevus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93722993

 $00:12:45.630 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.470$ which is, for therapy every

NOTE Confidence: 0.93722993

 $00:12:47.470 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.750$ six months and had no

NOTE Confidence: 0.93722993

 $00:12:48.750 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.350$ disease progression and it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.93722993

 $00:12:50.350 \longrightarrow 00:12:51.330$ otherwise stable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8078068

 $00:12:52.335 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.775$ Her her history, otherwise, is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8078068

 $00:12:53.775 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.275$ noncontributory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9915323

00:12:55.135 --> 00:12:56.995 She had a cervical fusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9906359

 $00:12:58.255 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.395$ in twenty seventeen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9561115

00:13:00.335 --> 00:13:01.054 and she had a c

 $00{:}13{:}01.054 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}02.595$ section nineteen ninety four.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96887463

 $00:13:03.302 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.370$ Her family history

NOTE Confidence: 0.86097354

 $00:13:05.150 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.530$ was notable just for hypertension,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86097354

 $00:13:06.590 \longrightarrow 00:13:07.090$ hyperlipidemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90708363

 $00:13:07.630 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.090$ heart disease, and substance

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676195

00:13:09.790 --> 00:13:10.290 addiction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9933499

 $00:13:11.390 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.550$ and she herself was married.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9933499

 $00:13:13.550 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.350$ She had a son.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9600946

 $00:13:16.334 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.375$ She worked in an office

NOTE Confidence: 0.9600946

 $00:13:17.375 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.295$ setting. She, had a ten

NOTE Confidence: 0.9600946

00:13:19.295 --> 00:13:20.975 pack year to bacco history, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.9600946

00:13:20.975 --> 00:13:22.595 had quit in twenty eleven,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9104646

00:13:22.975 --> 00:13:24.195 and she's locally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99926263

 $00:13:24.654 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.714$ born and raised.

NOTE Confidence: 0.988811

00:13:26.574 --> 00:13:27.394 Next slide.

 $00:13:29.380 \longrightarrow 00:13:30.660$ And so in the fall

NOTE Confidence: 0.99067754

 $00:13:30.820 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.100$ last fall in twenty twenty

NOTE Confidence: 0.99067754

 $00:13:32.100 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.800$ four, she came to me

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995102

 $00:13:34.179 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.620$ with six months of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995102

 $00:13:35.620 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.520$ chronic cough

NOTE Confidence: 0.9312499

 $00:13:37.300 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.920$ and this persistent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90692043

 $00:13:40.515 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.375$ you know, frequent, really recurrent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995022

 $00:13:42.995 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.495$ bilateral

NOTE Confidence: 0.9242974

00:13:43.795 --> 00:13:46.035 otitis externa where her ears

NOTE Confidence: 0.9242974

00:13:46.035 --> 00:13:47.235 or her external ears were

NOTE Confidence: 0.9242974

 $00{:}13{:}47.235 --> 00{:}13{:}48.535 \; \mathrm{just \; swollen \; closed},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.97662854

 $00:13:48.995 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.815$ very tender,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9444238

 $00:13:51.155 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.675$ really hurting. And she was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9444238

 $00:13:52.675 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.815$ at her wit's end,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9485955

 $00:13:54.434 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.395$ not only from the ears,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9485955

00:13:55.395 --> 00:13:56.279 but she had this cough

 $00{:}13{:}56.279 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}57.320$ that was relentless. A lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.9485955

 $00{:}13{:}57.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}59.100$ of abdominal pain, couldn't sleep,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9485955

00:13:59.399 --> 00:14:01.339 this dry cough, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9964057 $00:14:03.720 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.220$ just

NOTE Confidence: 0.99011576

00:14:05.079 --> 00:14:05.579 unmitigating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9572034

00:14:06.440 --> 00:14:08.139 And she had seen neurology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9572034

00:14:08.360 --> 00:14:09.800 ear, nose, and throat and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9572034

 $00{:}14{:}09.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}11.740$ immunology for these things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.961518

 $00:14:12.895 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.095$ And, when she was coming

NOTE Confidence: 0.961518

 $00:14:14.095 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.895$ to me, she was asking

NOTE Confidence: 0.961518

 $00:14:14.895 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.795$ me a lot of questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.961518

 $00:14:15.855 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.615$ about that workup. So the

NOTE Confidence: 0.961518

 $00{:}14{:}17.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}18.735$ SAR, we looked at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.961518

 $00:14:18.735 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.575$ cough, looked like a it

NOTE Confidence: 0.961518

 $00:14:20.575 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.295$ turned out to be a

00:14:21.295 --> 00:14:22.835 really strong GERD trigger,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9989767

 $00:14:24.175 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.675$ and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8558431

 $00:14:25.580 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.900$ some allergic postnasal drip. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.8558431

 $00:14:27.900 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.940$ we addressed that. And then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8558431

 $00:14:28.940 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.260$ the the recurrent otitis through

NOTE Confidence: 0.8558431

 $00:14:31.260 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.880$ some Pseudomonas and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94734573

 $00{:}14{:}33.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}35.920$ you know, sensitive staph oxycelin

NOTE Confidence: 0.94734573

 $00:14:35.980 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.580$ sensitive staph, and we just

NOTE Confidence: 0.94734573

 $00:14:37.580 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.400$ treated those

NOTE Confidence: 0.93580717

 $00:14:38.955 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.555$ accordingly. We got the cough

NOTE Confidence: 0.93580717

 $00:14:40.555 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.875$ under, control. In the years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93580717

 $00:14:42.875 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.175$ we we had a regimen

NOTE Confidence: 0.93580717

 $00:14:44.315 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.975$ that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9879346

 $00:14:45.355 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.335$ settled down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98347986

00:14:47.035 --> 00:14:49.375 But during the subspecialty evaluation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98347986

 $00:14:49.515 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.895$ she was found to have,

 $00:14:51.835 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.775$ so, suppressed b cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.8222955

00:14:54.340 --> 00:14:56.040 presence under flow cytometry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653889

 $00:14:57.140 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.420$ and she had a low

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653889

 $00:14:58.420 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.600$ I g g two subclass.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9418044

 $00:15:01.860 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.460$ And then, they they challenged

NOTE Confidence: 0.9418044

 $00:15:03.460 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.520$ that with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.87217504

 $00:15:04.980 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.480$ pneumococcal

NOTE Confidence: 0.99953395

 $00:15:05.780 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.280$ conjugate

NOTE Confidence: 0.910148

 $00:15:08.135 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.575$ vaccine and it and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.910148

 $00{:}15{:}09.735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}12.075$ and and no antibody responded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95748353

 $00:15:12.855 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.695$ So the the theory was

NOTE Confidence: 0.95748353

 $00:15:14.695 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.895$ that this was from her

NOTE Confidence: 0.95748353

 $00{:}15{:}15.895 --> 00{:}15{:}16.395 \ \mathrm{ocrevus},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.90525013

00:15:16.855 --> 00:15:18.135 the suppressing her b cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.90525013

 $00:15:18.135 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.895$ colonies, and that in turn

 $00:15:19.895 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.395$ was

NOTE Confidence: 0.6441192

 $00:15:22.120 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.940$ causing return

NOTE Confidence: 0.92665595

 $00:15:23.240 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.780$ recurrent otitis externus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.956531

 $00:15:26.040 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.560$ The interesting thing here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.956531

 $00:15:27.560 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.400$ that on her SPEP and

NOTE Confidence: 0.956531

 $00:15:29.400 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.140$ her immunofixation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9871132

 $00:15:32.280 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.300$ she had an IgA kappa

NOTE Confidence: 0.9946617

 $00{:}15{:}35.115 --> 00{:}15{:}37.775$ monoclonal protein. So next slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90182406

 $00{:}15{:}39.435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}40.715$ And, you know, the key

NOTE Confidence: 0.90182406

 $00:15:40.715 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.155$ points to eval is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.90182406

 $00:15:42.155 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.615$ she had she's not anemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90182406

 $00:15:43.675 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.035$ She had good new, renal

NOTE Confidence: 0.90182406

 $00{:}15{:}45.035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}46.635$ function. She had noble calcium

NOTE Confidence: 0.90182406

 $00:15:46.635 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.135$ levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94670165

00:15:47.480 --> 00:15:49.020 There are no discrete monoclonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.94670165

 $00:15:49.240 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.020$ bands identified on the SPEP.

 $00:15:51.640 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.140$ But

NOTE Confidence: 0.98235655

 $00:15:52.840 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.740$ on the immunofixation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98142284

 $00:15:54.600 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.340$ there were,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9227201

 $00:15:56.360 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.980$ there was IgA cap identified

NOTE Confidence: 0.9227201

 $00:15:58.280 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.440$ in three monoclonal components in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9227201

 $00:16:00.440 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.845$ that beta region that doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9227201

 $00:16:02.084 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.305$ Barr had mentioned earlier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988909

 $00:16:04.004 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.024$ And she had low immunoglobulin

NOTE Confidence: 0.83486587

 $00{:}16{:}06.404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}09.225$ levels, IgA and IgG two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8746695

 $00:16:09.685 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.824$ and she had elevated

NOTE Confidence: 0.97555256

 $00{:}16{:}11.285 --> 00{:}16{:}11.785 \ \mathrm{kappa}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.98679084

00:16:12.245 --> 00:16:13.845 light chain with an elevated

NOTE Confidence: 0.98679084

00:16:13.845 --> 00:16:15.204 ratio of three point nine

NOTE Confidence: 0.98679084 00:16:15.204 --> 00:16:15.704 five. NOTE Confidence: 0.92135125

 $00:16:16.660 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.940$ She was seeing and this

 $00:16:17.940 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.220$ was this was kinda known

NOTE Confidence: 0.92135125

 $00{:}16{:}19.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}20.340$ to me, and then she

NOTE Confidence: 0.92135125

 $00:16:20.340 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.120$ was unprocessed going to hematology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92135125

 $00:16:22.260 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.380$ went to hematology, got the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92135125

 $00:16:23.380 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.360$ bone marrow biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9945811

 $00:16:24.740 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.860$ and that came back with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9945811

 $00:16:25.860 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.380$ a less than ten percent

NOTE Confidence: 0.9945811

 $00:16:27.380 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.840$ clonal plasma cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.982154

00:16:29.415 --> 00:16:29.915 And,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98855

 $00:16:30.535 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.635$ for further discussion, we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9569644

 $00{:}16{:}33.175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}35.095$ doctor Browning. Great. Thank you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9569644

 $00:16:35.095 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.535$ very much. So, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9569644

 $00{:}16{:}36.535 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}37.975$ I think this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9569644

 $00{:}16{:}37.975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}38.795$ a great case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.932937

00:16:39.175 --> 00:16:40.295 You know, I think with

NOTE Confidence: 0.932937

 $00:16:40.295 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.515$ this, patient

00:16:42.009 --> 00:16:42.889 appropriately in the setting of

NOTE Confidence: 0.95894194

 $00{:}16{:}42.889 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}16{:}43.389$ hypogammaglobulinemia

NOTE Confidence: 0.9468427

00:16:44.170 --> 00:16:46.029 and increased frequency of infections,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9552073

00:16:46.569 --> 00:16:48.009 both of which can occur,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9552073

 $00{:}16{:}48.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}49.709$ across the spectrum of monoclonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9552073

 $00{:}16{:}49.769 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}51.050$ gammopathy. She had this pair

NOTE Confidence: 0.9552073

 $00:16:51.050 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.110$ of protein identified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99456817

 $00:16:53.130 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.250$ And if you can advance

NOTE Confidence: 0.99456817

 $00:16:54.250 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.910$ the slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9255009

00:16:55.295 --> 00:16:56.035 So importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99182165

 $00{:}16{:}56.495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}57.615$ what we wanna talk through

NOTE Confidence: 0.99182165

 $00{:}16{:}57.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}59.074$ is what the patient's diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.99182165

 $00:16:59.214 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.574$ is, and we'll go through

NOTE Confidence: 0.99182165

 $00:17:00.574 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.274$ the spectrum of monoclonal gammopathy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9944618

 $00:17:02.815 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.615$ Again, she had a bone

00:17:03.615 --> 00:17:04.975 marrow biopsy showing less than

NOTE Confidence: 0.9944618

00:17:04.975 --> 00:17:06.755 ten percent clonal plasma cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9944618

 $00:17:06.895 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.934$ which in the absence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9944618

00:17:07.934 --> 00:17:09.615 end organ damage is consistent

NOTE Confidence: 0.9944618

00:17:09.615 --> 00:17:10.355 with MGUS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98462105

 $00:17:10.740 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.780$ So she had an IgA

NOTE Confidence: 0.98462105

 $00{:}17{:}11.780 --> 00{:}17{:}12.940$ kappa MGUS. And we'll talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.98462105

 $00:17:12.940 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.960$ a little bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.99558383

00:17:14.260 --> 00:17:15.220 when we think about doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.99558383

 $00:17:15.220 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.500$ a bone marrow and skeletal

NOTE Confidence: 0.99558383

 $00{:}17{:}16.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}17.540$ imaging and what type of

NOTE Confidence: 0.99558383

 $00:17:17.540 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.900$ skeletal imaging we are choosing

NOTE Confidence: 0.99558383

 $00:17:18.900 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.940$ now for our patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.99558383

 $00:17:19.940 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.440$ MGUS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99818563

00:17:21.460 --> 00:17:22.920 As already mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9134438

 $00:17:23.460 \longrightarrow 00:17:24.680$ IgA gamopathies

 $00:17:25.220 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.960$ are unique

NOTE Confidence: 0.973965

 $00:17:26.875 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.835$ in a couple of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.973965

 $00:17:27.835 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.335$ ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9379341

 $00:17:28.635 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.234$ The first being where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9379341

 $00:17:30.234 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.915$ see the band migrating on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9379341

 $00:17:31.915 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.575$ the SPEP,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9890812

 $00:17:33.115 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.395$ in the beta region as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9890812

 $00{:}17{:}34.395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}35.595$ opposed to the gamma region,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9890812

 $00:17:35.595 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.635$ which is where we see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9890812

00:17:37.035 --> 00:17:38.415 the majority of our monoclonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9890812

 $00:17:38.475 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.255$ gamopathies or monoclonal proteins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.999646

 $00:17:40.710 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.090$ And this may underestimate

NOTE Confidence: 0.9763716

 $00:17:42.630 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.230$ the, burden of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99561626

00:17:44.710 --> 00:17:45.909 clonal plasma cells in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99561626

 $00:17:45.909 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.289$ bone marrow. And so,

00:17:47.669 --> 00:17:49.030 with an IgA MGUS, it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.968089

 $00{:}17{:}49.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}50.230$ important to remember, and we'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.968089

00:17:50.230 --> 00:17:51.669 talk through why, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.968089

00:17:51.669 --> 00:17:53.109 we never really refer to

NOTE Confidence: 0.968089

00:17:53.109 --> 00:17:54.390 an IgA MGUS or an

NOTE Confidence: 0.968089

00:17:54.390 --> 00:17:56.205 IgA gammopathy as low risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92466736

00:17:58.765 --> 00:18:00.365 So this scheme, again, you've

NOTE Confidence: 0.92466736 00:18:00.365 --> 00:18:00.865 seen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97919244

 $00{:}18{:}01.565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}03.424$ illustrates the spectrum of monoclonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.97919244

 $00:18:03.565 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.065$ gammopathy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8160597

00:18:04.445 --> 00:18:04.945 importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98322517

 $00:18:05.484 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.244$ in our patient's case. If

NOTE Confidence: 0.98322517

00:18:07.244 --> 00:18:08.144 you can advance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94710934

 $00:18:08.765 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.125$ Nofar, she had less than

NOTE Confidence: 0.94710934

 $00{:}18{:}10.125 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}12.065$ ten percent clonal plasma cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.9745544

 $00{:}18{:}12.409 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}13.929$ consistent with an MGUS with

 $00{:}18{:}13.929 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}14.890$ a one percent risk per

NOTE Confidence: 0.9745544

 $00{:}18{:}14.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}16.429$ year of progressing to myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9745544

 $00:18:16.650 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.369$ We could go to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9745544

 $00:18:17.369 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.109$ next slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9954926

 $00:18:18.570 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.789$ So this table outlines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987526

 $00:18:20.090 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.710$ in further detail the definition

NOTE Confidence: 0.987526

 $00:18:21.929 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.669$ of MGUS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9747701

 $00:18:23.369 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.690$ Importantly, with non IgM MGUS

NOTE Confidence: 0.9747701

 $00:18:25.690 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.830$ and light chain MGUS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832062

 $00:18:27.135 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.655$ the risk of progression is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832062

 $00{:}18{:}28.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}30.815$ to multiple myeloma or, light

NOTE Confidence: 0.9832062

00:18:30.815 --> 00:18:31.955 chain or AL amyloidosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9745453

 $00:18:32.335 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.055$ And this is as,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89882374

 $00{:}18{:}34.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}36.115$ in different from an IgM MGUS

NOTE Confidence: 0.8751872

 $00:18:36.655 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.195$ where if patients progress,

 $00:18:38.575 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.809$ the majority of the time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338176

 $00:18:39.809 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.769$ they progress to a a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9338176

00:18:40.769 --> 00:18:41.269 lymphoplasmacytic

NOTE Confidence: 0.97536385

 $00:18:41.809 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.009$ lymphoma or what we refer

NOTE Confidence: 0.97536385

 $00{:}18{:}43.009 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}44.549$ to as Waldenstrom macroglobulinemia

NOTE Confidence: 0.847583

 $00:18:45.649 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.549$ with an IGM

NOTE Confidence: 0.93557453

00:18:47.090 --> 00:18:49.269 IGM myeloma being very rare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.990511

 $00:18:49.570 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.169$ And, as you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.990511

 $00:18:51.169 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.725$ across all three of these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.990511

00:18:52.784 --> 00:18:53.284 importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99451846

 $00:18:53.825 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.565$ the clonal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9843085

 $00:18:55.105 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.385$ bone marrow cells are less

NOTE Confidence: 0.9843085

 $00:18:56.385 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.365$ than ten percent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614547

00:18:58.065 --> 00:18:59.825 With non IgM MGUS and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614547

00:18:59.825 --> 00:19:01.424 IgM MGUS serum m protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614547

 $00:19:01.424 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.544$ is less than three grams.

00:19:02.544 --> 00:19:04.140 And with light chain MGUS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614547

 $00:19:04.140 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.340$ we see an abnormal light

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614547

 $00:19:05.340 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.859$ chain ratio and a urine

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614547

00:19:06.859 --> 00:19:08.220 monoclonal protein less than five

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614547

 $00{:}19{:}08.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}09.420$ hundred milligrams on a twenty

NOTE Confidence: 0.9614547

 $00:19:09.420 \longrightarrow 00:19:10.400$ four hour urine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90994495

 $00:19:10.940 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.140$ And, as you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.90994495

 $00:19:12.140 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.020$ here on the table with

NOTE Confidence: 0.90994495

 $00:19:13.020 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.220 \text{ IgM MGUS}$, there may at

NOTE Confidence: 0.90994495

 $00:19:14.220 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.820$ least initially be a slightly

NOTE Confidence: 0.90994495

00:19:15.820 --> 00:19:16.710 higher risk of progression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9528308

00:19:17.525 --> 00:19:18.804 compared to the non IgM

NOTE Confidence: 0.9528308

 $00{:}19{:}18.804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}20.005$ MGUS and the light chain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9528308

 $00:19:20.164 \longrightarrow 00:19:21.225$ a little bit lower.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93079656

 $00:19:21.684 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.244$ And we could go to

 $00:19:22.244 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.225$ the next slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97521347

 $00{:}19{:}24.484 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}26.565$ So historically, the prevalence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97521347

 $00:19:26.565 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.484$ MGUS in individuals over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97521347

 $00:19:28.484 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.765$ age of fifty has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.97521347

 $00:19:29.765 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.904$ reported at three

NOTE Confidence: 0.98269916

00:19:31.330 --> 00:19:33.110 percent. Doctor Barr mentioned that

NOTE Confidence: 0.98269916

 $00:19:33.330 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.630$ there's a large population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9766435

 $00:19:35.810 \longrightarrow 00:19:37.810$ population screening study that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9766435

 $00{:}19{:}37.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}39.650$ performed in Iceland where approximately

NOTE Confidence: 0.9766435

00:19:39.650 --> 00:19:41.730 seventy five thousand, individuals were

NOTE Confidence: 0.9766435

 $00{:}19{:}41.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}43.350$ screened for monoclonal gammopathy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.946005

 $00:19:43.865 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.825$ And the prevalence that came

NOTE Confidence: 0.946005

 $00:19:44.825 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.625$ out of that study was

NOTE Confidence: 0.946005

 $00:19:45.625 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.585$ a bit higher at five

NOTE Confidence: 0.946005

 $00:19:46.585 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.265$ percent of individuals over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.946005

 $00:19:48.265 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.145$ age of fifty. And that

00:19:49.145 --> 00:19:50.265 was that was called the

NOTE Confidence: 0.946005

 $00:19:50.265 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.585$ I ISTOP, my study, which

NOTE Confidence: 0.946005

 $00:19:52.585 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.645$ occurred in Iceland.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9946371

00:19:54.825 --> 00:19:56.760 Importantly, MGUS can occur up

NOTE Confidence: 0.9946371

 $00:19:56.760 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.119$ to four times more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96220016

 $00:19:58.560 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.360$ is four times more common

NOTE Confidence: 0.96220016

 $00:20:00.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.960$ in black or African American

NOTE Confidence: 0.96220016

 $00:20:01.960 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.400$ individuals, and the prevalence may

NOTE Confidence: 0.96220016

 $00:20:03.400 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.619$ be high in that population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9885469

 $00:20:05.320 \longrightarrow 00:20:06.280$ And then we talked about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9885469

 $00:20:06.280 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.965$ the uniqueness of IgA

NOTE Confidence: 0.97062194

 $00:20:08.284 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.184$ type gammopathy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641401

 $00{:}20{:}10.044 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}11.325$ It it has been shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641401

00:20:11.325 --> 00:20:13.244 in studies to potentially rise

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641401

00:20:13.244 --> 00:20:14.845 more slowly through the prevalence

 $00:20:14.845 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.125$ of it rising more slowly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641401

 $00:20:16.125 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.784$ with age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9930688

00:20:17.085 --> 00:20:17.885 although it's thought to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9930688

 $00:20:17.885 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.585$ associated with more rapid progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9930688

 $00:20:19.645 \longrightarrow 00:20:20.945$ And in that same

NOTE Confidence: 0.9519808

 $00:20:21.270 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.670$ large,

NOTE Confidence: 0.78972656

 $00:20:22.070 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.850$ population screening study, the iStop

NOTE Confidence: 0.78972656

00:20:23.990 --> 00:20:24.650 M study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987103

 $00:20:25.830 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.730$ the investigators

NOTE Confidence: 0.98156667

00:20:27.030 --> 00:20:28.869 looked at, patients with IgA

NOTE Confidence: 0.98156667

00:20:28.869 --> 00:20:30.150 MGUS and compared to them

NOTE Confidence: 0.98156667

 $00:20:30.150 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.670$ to IgG MGUS and found

NOTE Confidence: 0.98156667

00:20:31.670 --> 00:20:32.810 that those with IgA

NOTE Confidence: 0.97855145

 $00:20:33.335 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.875$ had a higher frequency

NOTE Confidence: 0.97855145

 $00:20:35.015 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.955$ of detecting clonal or abnormal

NOTE Confidence: 0.97855145

00:20:37.175 --> 00:20:38.455 plasma cells in the bone

 $00:20:38.455 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.955$ marrow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9784549

00:20:40.135 --> 00:20:41.175 And so, again, we talked

NOTE Confidence: 0.9784549

 $00:20:41.175 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.395$ about how with IgA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9525927

 $00:20:42.775 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.175$ the m protein may not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9525927

 $00:20:44.175 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.575$ be a true reflection of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9525927

 $00:20:45.575 \longrightarrow 00:20:47.170$ the disease burden. And so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9525927

 $00:20:47.170 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.050$ it's really important to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.9525927

 $00{:}20{:}48.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}49.570$ at IgA levels, serum free

NOTE Confidence: 0.9525927

 $00:20:49.570 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.609$ light chains, and we'll talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9525927

 $00:20:50.609 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.210$ about further workup for our

NOTE Confidence: 0.9525927

00:20:52.210 --> 00:20:53.109 IgA gamopathies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9897869

00:20:54.369 --> 00:20:56.310 So important when we're considering,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9961766

 $00{:}20{:}56.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}58.710$ the need for additional workup

NOTE Confidence: 0.9914236

00:20:59.215 --> 00:20:59.794 for MGUS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8580538 00:21:00.575 --> 00:21:01.054 is, NOTE Confidence: 0.98781306 00:21:01.615 --> 00:21:02.975 risk stratifying. And so we

NOTE Confidence: 0.98781306

 $00:21:02.975 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.615$ do that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9836663

 $00:21:03.934 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.195$ with these three, different factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95506257

00:21:06.975 --> 00:21:08.595 Individuals who have an IgG

NOTE Confidence: 0.95506257

00:21:08.734 --> 00:21:10.894 type monoclonal gammopathy, a serum

NOTE Confidence: 0.95506257

 $00:21:10.894 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.660$ and protein in the, in

NOTE Confidence: 0.95506257

 $00:21:12.660 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.860$ the serum less than one

NOTE Confidence: 0.95506257

 $00:21:13.860 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.140$ point five grams in normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.95506257

00:21:15.140 --> 00:21:15.880 light chain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94506645

 $00:21:16.340 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.619$ meet the criteria for low

NOTE Confidence: 0.94506645

00:21:17.619 --> 00:21:19.300 risk MGUS. And generally in

NOTE Confidence: 0.94506645

 $00:21:19.300 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.900$ these patients, we, in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.94506645

 $00:21:20.900 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.600$ absence of any evidence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.94506645

 $00:21:22.660 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.100$ the slim crab criteria or

NOTE Confidence: 0.94506645

 $00:21:24.100 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.540$ end organ damage, we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.94506645

 $00{:}21{:}25.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}27.380$ able to, with hold bone marrow

 $00:21:27.380 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.355$ biopsy aspiration and skeletal imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94506645

 $00:21:29.355 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.555$ And there has been studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.94506645

 $00:21:30.555 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.455$ looking at this

NOTE Confidence: 0.96547794

 $00:21:31.835 \longrightarrow 00:21:33.035$ and show in those that

NOTE Confidence: 0.96547794

 $00:21:33.035 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.175$ meet all three criteria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96353537

 $00:21:34.795 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.915$ if we do do not

NOTE Confidence: 0.96353537

 $00:21:35.915 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.435$ do a bone marrow, we

NOTE Confidence: 0.96353537

 $00:21:37.435 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.795$ miss less than one percent

NOTE Confidence: 0.96353537

00:21:38.795 --> 00:21:39.755 of patients that could have

NOTE Confidence: 0.96353537

 $00{:}21{:}39.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}41.515$ clinical or active myeloma. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9635353700:21:41.515 --> 00:21:42.015 this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.970451

 $00:21:43.130 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.170$ show it has shown in

NOTE Confidence: 0.970451

 $00:21:44.170 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.110$ studies also that this population

NOTE Confidence: 0.970451

 $00:21:46.170 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.290$ has an absolute risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.970451

00:21:47.290 --> 00:21:48.730 progression at twenty years. It's

 $00:21:48.730 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.030$ very low at two percent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95677483

 $00:21:50.730 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.850$ Now all those that don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.95677483

 $00:21:51.850 \longrightarrow 00:21:53.130$ meet these criteria, we refer

NOTE Confidence: 0.95677483

 $00:21:53.130 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.750$ to as non risk, gammopathy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9884831

 $00:21:55.050 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.650$ And these are patients where,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988216

 $00:21:57.290 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.575$ we definitely want to at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988216

 $00:21:58.575 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.295$ least see them in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988216

00:21:59.295 --> 00:22:00.915 hematology clinic to discuss

NOTE Confidence: 0.9397921

 $00{:}22{:}01.295 \longrightarrow 00{:}22{:}02.255$ whether or not they warrant

NOTE Confidence: 0.9397921

 $00:22:02.255 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.295$ a bone marrow biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306488

 $00{:}22{:}03.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}05.215$ and skeletal image. And and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9306488

00:22:05.215 --> 00:22:06.434 historically for MGUS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96757275

 $00:22:06.895 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.775$ for many years, we had

NOTE Confidence: 0.96757275

00:22:07.775 --> 00:22:09.455 been using skeletal surveys, which

NOTE Confidence: 0.96757275

 $00:22:09.455 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.415$ we know now have very

NOTE Confidence: 0.96757275

 $00:22:10.415 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.429$ poor sensitivity and specificity. And

 $00:22:12.429 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.269$ so guideline recommendations is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.96757275

 $00:22:14.269 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.470$ instead to use a low

NOTE Confidence: 0.96757275

00:22:15.470 --> 00:22:16.909 dose whole body CT, which

NOTE Confidence: 0.96757275

 $00:22:16.909 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.970$ we now have available,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98233527

 $00:22:18.830 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.029$ at the York Street campus

NOTE Confidence: 0.98233527

 $00:22:20.029 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.850$ for our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9923006

 $00:22:21.549 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.169$ So in summary, in individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.9923006

00:22:23.230 --> 00:22:24.669 who have a confirmed MGUS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9923006

 $00:22:24.669 \longrightarrow 00:22:25.789$ whether that's a low risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9923006

00:22:25.789 --> 00:22:26.289 MGUS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9825854

 $00:22:27.335 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.935$ who don't warrant any further

NOTE Confidence: 0.9825854

00:22:28.935 --> 00:22:30.535 workup or patients who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9825854

00:22:30.535 --> 00:22:31.815 a bone marrow biopsy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9825854

 $00:22:31.815 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.535$ are found to have less

NOTE Confidence: 0.9825854

 $00{:}22{:}32.535 \to 00{:}22{:}33.975$ than ten percent clonal plasma

 $00:22:33.975 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.475$ cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9924672

00:22:34.775 --> 00:22:35.515 our management,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9384459

 $00:22:35.895 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.595$ is observation and clinical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94900125

 $00:22:38.055 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.990$ laboratory follow-up every six months

NOTE Confidence: 0.94900125

 $00:22:39.990 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.510$ initially in those patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.94900125

 $00:22:41.510 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.650$ may be more stable

NOTE Confidence: 0.9884879

 $00:22:43.030 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.150$ over a longer period of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9884879

 $00:22:44.150 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.510$ time. We sometimes extend that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9884879

00:22:45.510 --> 00:22:47.210 out, to annual follow-up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9816632

 $00:22:49.670 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.550$ So before we move to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9816632

 $00{:}22{:}50.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}51.430$ the next case, I wanted

NOTE Confidence: 0.9816632

00:22:51.430 --> 00:22:52.790 to introduce our SMILO multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.9816632

00:22:52.790 --> 00:22:54.730 myeloma and gammopathies program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9668539

 $00:22:55.484 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.965$ which,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9143327

 $00:22:56.285 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.725$ includes, in addition to doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9143327

 $00{:}22{:}57.725 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}59.244$ Barr and myself, doctor Natalia

00:22:59.244 --> 00:23:00.925 Neparizzi, doctor Terry Parker, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9143327

00:23:00.925 --> 00:23:02.065 doctor Elaine Gorshine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9434211

 $00:23:02.765 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.285$ who sees patients in at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9434211

00:23:04.285 --> 00:23:05.805 our SMILO North Haven location

NOTE Confidence: 0.9434211

 $00:23:05.805 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.025$ and Smilo Guilford.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9823208

 $00:23:07.799 \longrightarrow 00:23:08.600$ Many of you may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9823208

 $00:23:08.600 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.100$ familiar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96339494

 $00:23:09.480 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.840$ through Yale Medicine with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96339494

00:23:10.840 --> 00:23:12.619 hematology eConsult program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98355716

 $00:23:13.399 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.600$ that I think may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.98355716

 $00:23:14.600 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.119$ a good option specifically for

NOTE Confidence: 0.98355716

00:23:16.119 --> 00:23:17.720 patients who who have low

NOTE Confidence: 0.98355716

 $00:23:17.720 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.000$ risk MGUS or a question

NOTE Confidence: 0.98355716

00:23:19.000 --> 00:23:20.139 of light chain abnormality

NOTE Confidence: 0.97210234

 $00:23:20.695 \longrightarrow 00:23:21.815$ either due to age or

 $00:23:21.815 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.595$ CKD or a question of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97210234

 $00:23:23.815 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.575$ polyclonal gammopathy, which we didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.97210234

00:23:25.575 --> 00:23:26.535 talk much about, but can

NOTE Confidence: 0.97210234

 $00{:}23{:}26.535 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}28.615$ be associated with, liver disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.97210234

 $00:23:28.615 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.535$ or chronic infection, connective tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.97210234

 $00:23:30.535 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.295$ disorders, and importantly does not

NOTE Confidence: 0.97210234

 $00{:}23{:}32.295 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}33.755$ have any risk of progression

NOTE Confidence: 0.9971228

 $00{:}23{:}34.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}35.730$ to myeloma or other plasma

NOTE Confidence: 0.9971228

 $00:23:35.730 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.470$ cell dyscrasias.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977487

 $00:23:37.010 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.130$ And then we also hold

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977487

 $00:23:38.130 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.750$ an MGUS clinic monthly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8855741

 $00:23:40.130 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.490$ at our Smilow North Haven

NOTE Confidence: 0.8855741

00:23:41.490 --> 00:23:43.350 location, and, patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99473363

 $00:23:43.890 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.790$ in that clinic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9812474

00:23:45.410 --> 00:23:46.850 usually are low risk MGUS

NOTE Confidence: 0.9812474

00:23:46.850 --> 00:23:48.055 or kind of less complicated

 $00{:}23{:}48.115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}49.475$ MGUS patients, and the referral

NOTE Confidence: 0.9812474

 $00:23:49.475 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.434$ to that clinic is through

NOTE Confidence: 0.9812474

 $00:23:50.434 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.635$ the same referral process to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9812474

00:23:51.635 --> 00:23:53.655 our, gamopathies program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92625034

 $00:23:54.994 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.875$ And so I'll turn it

NOTE Confidence: 0.92625034

 $00:23:55.875 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.175$ back over to doctor Kuttney.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99835527

 $00:23:58.275 \longrightarrow 00:23:58.775$ Great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9762065

 $00:23:59.090 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.690$ Thank you, Sabrina. I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91042113

 $00:24:01.330 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.530$ those are some good pearls

NOTE Confidence: 0.91042113

 $00:24:02.530 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.670$ there. I love them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96167934

 $00:24:04.290 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.990$ So this next case will,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845625

 $00:24:06.770 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.609$ look at a sixty nine

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845625

00:24:08.609 --> 00:24:09.270 year old,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9746491

 $00:24:09.570 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.070$ male,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9888503

 $00:24:11.157 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.345$ with who came to me

 $00:24:12.345 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.785$ in the fall of last

NOTE Confidence: 0.9888503

 $00:24:13.785 \longrightarrow 00:24:14.605$ year as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8282463

00:24:15.545 --> 00:24:17.005 with per like, a progressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.97432375

00:24:17.865 --> 00:24:18.765 distal neuropathy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85224015

 $00:24:20.265 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.865$ at least, over the you

NOTE Confidence: 0.85224015

 $00:24:21.865 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.365$ know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93350416

 $00{:}24{:}23.305 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}24.605$ described as pins and needles

NOTE Confidence: 0.93350416

 $00:24:24.744 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.705$ in the feet and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93350416

 $00:24:25.705 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.205$ hands.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9454508

 $00:24:26.859 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.899$ He described it as being

NOTE Confidence: 0.9454508

 $00:24:27.899 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.779$ his feet more than his

NOTE Confidence: 0.9454508

00:24:28.779 --> 00:24:29.820 hands and his left foot

NOTE Confidence: 0.9454508

 $00:24:29.820 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.960$ more than his right foot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9439068

00:24:31.419 --> 00:24:33.100 And it was particularly worse

NOTE Confidence: 0.9439068

 $00:24:33.100 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.559$ in the evening with walking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98546064 00:24:35.179 --> 00:24:35.659 and,

 $00:24:35.980 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.840$ there's absolutely no weakness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95464224

00:24:39.100 --> 00:24:40.720 and you couldn't really identify,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95464224

00:24:40.940 --> 00:24:41.679 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99488

 $00:24:42.125 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.485$ exactly when or or how

NOTE Confidence: 0.99488

 $00:24:43.485 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.445$ it had emerged. It was

NOTE Confidence: 0.99488

 $00:24:44.445 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.565$ just something that just kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.99488

 $00:24:45.565 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.945$ of slowly developed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99918896

 $00:24:47.645 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.145$ And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9373897

 $00:24:49.005 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.845$ this past medical history is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9373897

00:24:50.845 --> 00:24:52.125 significant for a p c

NOTE Confidence: 0.9373897

00:24:52.445 --> 00:24:53.985 PBC induced cardiomyopathy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99569285

00:24:55.600 --> 00:24:57.220 diagnosed in two thousand five,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99489045

 $00{:}24{:}58.240 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}25{:}00.080$ which progressed to, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99489045

 $00:25:00.080 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.580$ paroxysmal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96227014

 $00:25:01.359 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.039$ and atrial fibrillation in in

 $00:25:03.039 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.859$ twenty fourteen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94859374

 $00:25:04.480 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.440$ They thought that was due

NOTE Confidence: 0.94859374

 $00:25:05.440 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.559$ to his, he he was

NOTE Confidence: 0.94859374

 $00:25:06.559 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.340$ a very high volume runner

NOTE Confidence: 0.9725561

 $00:25:08.879 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.405$ at the time. And,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9589908

 $00:25:10.885 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.265$ he was successfully ablated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9747739

 $00:25:13.765 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.605$ and wanted to it's held

NOTE Confidence: 0.9747739

 $00:25:15.605 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.265$ in sinus

NOTE Confidence: 0.9170307

 $00:25:16.565 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.385$ rhythm since.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9859821

 $00:25:18.085 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.525$ His ulcer he did get

NOTE Confidence: 0.9859821

 $00:25:19.525 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.365$ diagnosed in twenty eleven with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9859821

 $00:25:21.365 \longrightarrow 00:25:22.440$ ulcerative colitis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90954447

 $00:25:23.300 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.580$ and he was being managed

NOTE Confidence: 0.90954447

 $00{:}25{:}24.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}26.420$ with, Lialda four point eight

NOTE Confidence: 0.90954447

 $00:25:26.420 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.320$ grams per,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96268636

 $00:25:27.859 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.359$ deciliter

 $00{:}25{:}29.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}31.240$ sorry. Per day. I'm sorry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778027

 $00{:}25{:}32.420 --> 00{:}25{:}33.619$ Yeah. He was a frequent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8778027

 $00:25:33.619 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.240$ sport shooter with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7462059

 $00:25:35.540 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.280$ lead exposure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79487145

00:25:36.705 --> 00:25:37.445 from intending

NOTE Confidence: 0.9086377

 $00:25:37.825 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.205$ the indoor shooting range,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9664523

 $00:25:40.225 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.744$ and, his serum level lead

NOTE Confidence: 0.9664523

 $00:25:41.744 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.244$ level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.968482

 $00:25:42.625 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.005$ was on baseline,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9780761

 $00:25:44.544 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.005$ twenty to twenty five,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99526566

 $00{:}25{:}46.784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}48.404$ since we started checking it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99526566

 $00:25:48.625 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.065$ He stopped attending that indoor

NOTE Confidence: 0.99526566

 $00:25:50.065 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.365$ range in twenty nineteen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9975789

 $00:25:52.440 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.419$ And he was also

NOTE Confidence: 0.95966834

 $00:25:54.279 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.080$ at the time when I,

00:25:55.080 --> 00:25:55.879 you know, saw him for

NOTE Confidence: 0.95966834

 $00:25:55.879 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.399$ this neuropathy symptoms, he was

NOTE Confidence: 0.95966834

 $00:25:57.399 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.200$ taking a lot of zinc

NOTE Confidence: 0.95966834

 $00:25:58.200 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.019$ for his ulcerative

NOTE Confidence: 0.98368126

00:25:59.559 --> 00:26:01.500 colitis for whatever reason.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9021925

 $00:26:01.960 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.779$ Next slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107463

 $00:26:05.545 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.845$ Other comorbid included

NOTE Confidence: 0.8339238

 $00:26:07.145 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.545$ some,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9695168

00:26:08.185 --> 00:26:08.685 BPH,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91831905

00:26:09.385 --> 00:26:10.285 you know, prostatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8837208

 $00{:}26{:}10.984 --> 00{:}26{:}11.484 \ \mathrm{hyperplasia},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996414

 $00:26:11.865 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.925$ and some tinnitus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8912263

 $00:26:13.705 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.345$ He had,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94357437

 $00:26:14.984 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.525$ a couple of meniscus surgeries

NOTE Confidence: 0.94357437

 $00:26:16.825 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.825$ in the two thousands. I

NOTE Confidence: 0.94357437

 $00{:}26{:}18.825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}20.420$ think both knees were affected.

 $00:26:20.800 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.660$ And, he's a software developer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9137347

 $00{:}26{:}22.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}24.320$ He played the electric guitar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9137347

 $00:26:24.320 \longrightarrow 00:26:26.400$ hence his tinnitus and, a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9137347

 $00:26:26.400 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.260$ little social alcohol, no elicits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9110758

 $00:26:28.880 \longrightarrow 00:26:30.180$ He's married, three kids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94734436

00:26:30.800 --> 00:26:33.520 distant smoking history, small amount,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94734436

 $00:26:33.520 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.200$ seven pack years. Spent a

NOTE Confidence: 0.94734436

 $00:26:35.200 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.895$ lot of time out

NOTE Confidence: 0.9931838

 $00:26:36.775 \longrightarrow 00:26:37.175$ outdoors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8036153

 $00:26:37.734 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.855$ On the note on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8036153

 $00{:}26{:}38.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}39.915 \ \mathrm{family \ history},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9524099

 $00{:}26{:}40.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}42.295$ Crohn's disease and dementia, his

NOTE Confidence: 0.9524099

00:26:42.295 --> 00:26:43.494 mother and his father had

NOTE Confidence: 0.9524099

 $00{:}26{:}43.494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}45.095$ type two diabetes, and his

NOTE Confidence: 0.9524099

 $00:26:45.095 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.695$ brother had Crohn's disease. And

 $00:26:46.695 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.435$ his sister,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89667684

 $00{:}26{:}48.310 \to 00{:}26{:}49.350$ was suspected to have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.89667684

 $00{:}26{:}49.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}50.950$ non Hodgkin's lymphoma. I can

NOTE Confidence: 0.89667684

00:26:50.950 --> 00:26:51.770 really confirm

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341626

 $00:26:52.710 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.090$ the the details on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93093985

 $00:26:54.470 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.350$ And then we did an

NOTE Confidence: 0.93093985

 $00:26:55.350 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.850$ evaluation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9007949

 $00:26:56.150 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.050$ for his neuropathy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99720347

 $00{:}26{:}58.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}59.210$ And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988715

 $00:27:00.645 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.145$ the

NOTE Confidence: 0.5014536

 $00{:}27{:}01.925 --> 00{:}27{:}02.425 \ \mathrm{the}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402371

00:27:03.365 --> 00:27:04.885 notables are, like, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402371

 $00:27:04.885 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.045$ no anemia, normal creatinine, normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9402371

 $00:27:07.045 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.545$ calcium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98040515

 $00:27:08.085 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.545$ He had no no monoclonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.98040515

 $00:27:09.685 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.905$ bands on the SPEP.

 $00:27:12.260 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.880$ He had an IgA Lambda,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9931263

 $00:27:14.580 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.680$ that presented on the immunopixation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8773298

00:27:18.420 --> 00:27:20.119 and normal immunoglobulins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9616365

 $00:27:20.740 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.540$ and then his,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8616984

 $00:27:23.300 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.119$ light chain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91391903

 $00:27:26.135 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.255$ his lambda light chain of

NOTE Confidence: 0.91391903

00:27:27.255 --> 00:27:28.615 sixty one point two with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9139190300:27:28.615 --> 00:27:29.095 a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9779391

 $00:27:30.135 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.075$ a significantly decreased ratio

NOTE Confidence: 0.99782056

00:27:32.615 --> 00:27:34.315 of zero point zero three

NOTE Confidence: 0.9328003

 $00:27:34.775 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.975$ was there. And then end

NOTE Confidence: 0.9328003

 $00:27:35.975 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.494$ of note two, he had

NOTE Confidence: 0.9328003

 $00{:}27{:}37.494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}39.359$ this Lyme antibody that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.94283706

00:27:40.080 --> 00:27:41.920 quite high at four point

NOTE Confidence: 0.94283706 00:27:41.920 --> 00:27:42.420 zero.

 $00:27:44.320 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.520$ I treated him for Lyme

NOTE Confidence: 0.9782663

 $00:27:45.520 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.020$ disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93871945

00:27:46.799 --> 00:27:48.100 Symptoms didn't change,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93580544

 $00:27:48.400 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.600$ so I repeated the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7130627

 $00:27:50.480 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.675$ the serum free limed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95079446

00:27:52.235 --> 00:27:53.915 light chains again because, hey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95079446

 $00:27:53.915 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.355$ maybe they got better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95079446

 $00:27:55.355 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.255$ but they didn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9607179

 $00:27:57.835 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.335$ And,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7870825

 $00:27:58.795 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.915$ it referred them on to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7870825

 $00:27:59.915 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.415$ hematology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8917166

 $00:28:01.595 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.035$ And he, you really he

NOTE Confidence: 0.8917166

 $00:28:03.035 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.915$ went on and got a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8917166

 $00:28:03.915 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.115$ bone marrow biopsy, and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8917166

00:28:05.115 --> 00:28:06.815 had ten percent plasma cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.99421245

 $00:28:07.420 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.080$ with the

 $00:28:08.460 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.280$ lambda restriction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988009

 $00{:}28{:}09.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}10.400$ And

NOTE Confidence: 0.94254094

 $00:28:11.500 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.960$ we have a you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9472823

 $00:28:14.780 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.980$ and for discussion, we'll go

NOTE Confidence: 0.9472823

 $00{:}28{:}15.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}17.660$ back to doctor Browning. Great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9472823

 $00:28:17.660 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.260$ Thank you. So I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.9472823

 $00:28:19.260 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.755$ what

NOTE Confidence: 0.99195814

00:28:20.155 --> 00:28:21.275 the difference we're seeing here

NOTE Confidence: 0.99195814

00:28:21.275 --> 00:28:22.635 compared to the first case

NOTE Confidence: 0.99195814

 $00:28:22.635 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.755$ is that this patient does

NOTE Confidence: 0.99195814

 $00{:}28{:}23.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}25.915$ have ten percent, clonal plasma

NOTE Confidence: 0.99195814

 $00:28:25.915 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.135$ cells in the bone marrow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99723154

 $00{:}28{:}27.994 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}29.355$ which qualifies for at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.99723154

 $00:28:29.355 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.415$ smoldering myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9659392

 $00:28:31.275 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.075$ Looks like he had a

00:28:32.075 --> 00:28:33.115 PET scan that didn't show

NOTE Confidence: 0.9659392

00:28:33.115 --> 00:28:34.655 any bone lesions. So importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93143654

 $00:28:35.269 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.789$ with smoldering myeloma, what we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.93143654

 $00:28:36.789 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.990$ looking to do again is

NOTE Confidence: 0.93143654

 $00:28:37.990 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.750$ to exclude the slim CRAB

NOTE Confidence: 0.93143654

 $00:28:39.750 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.250$ criteria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9842558

 $00:28:41.509 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.629$ So no evidence of bone

NOTE Confidence: 0.9842558

 $00:28:42.629 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.309$ lesions. The light chain ratio

NOTE Confidence: 0.9842558

 $00:28:44.309 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.269$ was less than,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91376066

 $00:28:45.590 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.250$ a hundred.

NOTE Confidence: 0.972472

 $00:28:47.110 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.149$ And so, you know, he

NOTE Confidence: 0.972472

 $00:28:48.149 \longrightarrow 00:28:50.355$ meets criteria for a smoldering

NOTE Confidence: 0.972472

 $00:28:50.355 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.955$ or asymptomatic myeloma, which I

NOTE Confidence: 0.972472

 $00:28:51.955 \longrightarrow 00:28:53.075$ think what I think is

NOTE Confidence: 0.972472

 $00:28:53.075 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.235$ complicated or challenging is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.972472

 $00:28:55.235 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.855$ addition of the the neuropathy

 $00:28:56.995 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.135$ and question of cardiac

NOTE Confidence: 0.8620783

 $00:28:58.515 \longrightarrow 00:29:00.990$ cardio cardiac disease, which certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8620783

 $00:29:00.990 \longrightarrow 00:29:02.050$ raises concern,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965983

 $00:29:02.510 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.790$ specifically for late chain or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965983

00:29:03.790 --> 00:29:04.530 AL amyloidosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99959016

 $00:29:04.910 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.970$ which can be seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.88864666

00:29:06.270 --> 00:29:08.270 more frequently in lambda tympic

NOTE Confidence: 0.88864666

 $00:29:08.270 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.770$ gammopathies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868015

 $00:29:09.630 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.350$ So I think we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868015

 $00:29:10.350 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.150$ go on to the next

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868015

 $00{:}29{:}11.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}11.650$ slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826406

 $00:29:12.925 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.045$ So again in this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8826406

 $00:29:14.045 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.005$ the patient has,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9175693

00:29:15.485 --> 00:29:17.745 ten percent clonal plasma cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9871898

 $00:29:18.285 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.045$ significant for a smoldering myeloma.

 $00:29:20.045 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.785$ We've excluded

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330126

 $00{:}29{:}21.165 --> 00{:}29{:}22.525$ CRAB criteria, and then he

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330126

 $00:29:22.525 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.725$ has no evidence of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9330126

 $00:29:23.725 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.345$ slim criteria either.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9687636

 $00:29:26.980 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.500$ And so this figure here

NOTE Confidence: 0.9687636

 $00:29:28.500 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.700$ shows the difference in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9687636

 $00:29:29.700 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.900$ risk of progression as you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9687636

 $00:29:30.900 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.500$ can see, compared to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9687636

 $00{:}29{:}32.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}33.780$ one percent risk per per

NOTE Confidence: 0.9687636

 $00:29:33.780 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.240$ year initially with MGUS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98324305

 $00{:}29{:}35.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}37.380$ In smoldering myeloma, we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.98324305

 $00:29:37.380 \longrightarrow 00:29:38.580$ at least initially about a

NOTE Confidence: 0.98324305

00:29:38.580 --> 00:29:39.860 ten percent risk per year

NOTE Confidence: 0.98324305

 $00{:}29{:}39.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}40.520$ of progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9670743

 $00:29:41.015 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.055$ As you can see, the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9670743

 $00:29:42.055 \longrightarrow 00:29:43.575$ line flattens out with the

 $00:29:43.575 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.555$ smoldering and asymptomatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9779957

 $00{:}29{:}44.855 \to 00{:}29{:}46.455$ myeloma. As doctor Barr mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9779957

 $00:29:46.455 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.395$ smoldering myeloma is very heterogeneous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9882955

00:29:49.095 --> 00:29:50.295 And those patients who don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9882955

 $00{:}29{:}50.295 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}51.975$ progress, you know, over time

NOTE Confidence: 0.9882955

 $00:29:51.975 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.255$ start to behave more like

NOTE Confidence: 0.9882955

00:29:53.255 --> 00:29:54.695 MGUS. And, you know, I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9882955

 $00:29:54.695 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.055$ think we often will alter

NOTE Confidence: 0.9882955

 $00:29:56.055 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.539$ our management to to fit

NOTE Confidence: 0.9882955

 $00:29:57.539 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.039$ that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923315

 $00:30:00.340 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.179$ So, the definition for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90923315

 $00:30:02.179 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.679$ international

NOTE Confidence: 0.961891

 $00{:}30{:}03.139 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}04.740$ myeloma working group for smoldering

NOTE Confidence: 0.961891

00:30:04.740 --> 00:30:05.860 myeloma, again, we've gone over

NOTE Confidence: 0.961891

 $00:30:05.860 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.740$ this, but a a a

00:30:06.740 --> 00:30:08.440 clonal plasma cell percentage

NOTE Confidence: 0.9879162

00:30:08.914 --> 00:30:10.515 of ten percent to sixty

NOTE Confidence: 0.9879162

00:30:10.515 --> 00:30:12.515 percent, over sixty percent being

NOTE Confidence: 0.9879162

 $00:30:12.515 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.794$ consistent with clinical or active

NOTE Confidence: 0.9879162

 $00:30:13.794 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.294$ myeloma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9688197

00:30:14.674 --> 00:30:16.274 a monoclonal m protein greater

NOTE Confidence: 0.9688197

 $00:30:16.274 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.554$ than three, and a urinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.9688197

 $00:30:17.554 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.835$ m protein greater than five

NOTE Confidence: 0.9688197

 $00:30:18.835 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.715$ hundred. This is on a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9688197

00:30:19.715 --> 00:30:21.440 twenty four hour urine. Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9688197

 $00{:}30{:}21.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}23.120$ importantly, in our asymptomatic or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9688197

00:30:23.120 --> 00:30:25.120 smoldering myeloma patients, we're excluding

NOTE Confidence: 0.9688197

 $00:30:25.120 \longrightarrow 00:30:26.480$ the presence of slim crab

NOTE Confidence: 0.9688197

 $00:30:26.480 \longrightarrow 00:30:27.220$ or amyloid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9222639

 $00:30:27.680 \longrightarrow 00:30:28.820$ and in particular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9720468

 $00:30:29.440 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.560$ ensuring that there is no

 $00:30:30.560 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.160$ evidence of bone lesions. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9720468

 $00:30:32.160 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.680$ we do that via advanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.9720468

 $00:30:33.680 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.880$ imaging either with a PET

NOTE Confidence: 0.9720468

 $00:30:34.880 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.920$ scan or a whole body

NOTE Confidence: 0.9720468

 $00:30:35.920 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.255$ MRI, both of which we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9720468

 $00:30:37.255 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.275$ have available here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9953363

00:30:40.054 --> 00:30:41.434 Similar to MGUS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9504647

00:30:41.735 --> 00:30:43.655 we, in smoldering myeloma, once

NOTE Confidence: 0.9504647

 $00:30:43.655 \longrightarrow 00:30:45.015$ a definition once a a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9504647

00:30:45.015 --> 00:30:46.455 diagnosis is confirmed, we look

NOTE Confidence: 0.9504647

 $00{:}30{:}46.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}47.995$ to risk stratify our patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419375

00:30:48.295 --> 00:30:49.415 and most commonly do that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419375

 $00:30:49.415 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.235$ with the IMWG

NOTE Confidence: 0.9409655

 $00:30:50.775 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.315$ two twenty twenty rule,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7846252

 $00:30:53.159 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.659$ with,

 $00:30:54.120 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.799$ high risk criteria being patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.95652026

 $00{:}30{:}55.799 --> 00{:}30{:}57.159$ who have an m protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.95652026

00:30:57.159 --> 00:30:58.679 or a monoclonal protein in

NOTE Confidence: 0.95652026

00:30:58.679 --> 00:30:59.960 the serum being greater than

NOTE Confidence: 0.95652026

 $00:30:59.960 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.179$ two grams per deciliter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.994533

 $00{:}31{:}01.799 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}03.159$ having twenty percent or more

NOTE Confidence: 0.994533

00:31:03.159 --> 00:31:04.519 clonal plasma cells in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.994533

00:31:04.519 --> 00:31:06.395 bone marrow, and having an

NOTE Confidence: 0.994533

 $00:31:06.395 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.695$ involved or uninvolved

NOTE Confidence: 0.87182945

 $00:31:08.235 \longrightarrow 00:31:09.455$ involved over uninvolved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9734176

 $00:31:09.755 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.434$ serum free lysine ratio of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9734176

 $00:31:11.434 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.815$ greater than twenty. So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98062724

00:31:13.195 --> 00:31:14.395 in patients who have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.98062724

 $00:31:14.395 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.054$ a kappa,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94986373

 $00:31:15.515 \longrightarrow 00:31:17.275$ gamopathy, that's the kappa over

NOTE Confidence: 0.94986373

00:31:17.275 --> 00:31:17.775 lambda

 $00:31:18.190 \longrightarrow 00:31:19.710$ ratio. If in lambda, it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9348925

 $00{:}31{:}19.710 --> 00{:}31{:}20.750$ a reverse. And so having

NOTE Confidence: 0.9348925

 $00:31:20.750 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.870$ that above twenty is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9348925

 $00:31:21.870 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.150$ high risk criteria. And then

NOTE Confidence: 0.9348925

 $00:31:23.150 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.270$ there's a a four,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9979031

 $00:31:24.830 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.330$ criteria

NOTE Confidence: 0.49571347

 $00:31:25.790 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.290$ model

NOTE Confidence: 0.9951019

 $00{:}31{:}26.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}27.970$ that includes cytogenetics

NOTE Confidence: 0.9470781

 $00:31:28.270 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.230$ that are high risk from

NOTE Confidence: 0.9470781

 $00:31:29.230 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.190$ the bone marrow, which are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9470781

 $00:31:30.190 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.190$ outlined here. So translocation fourteen

NOTE Confidence: 0.9470781

00:31:32.350 --> 00:31:34.005 before fourteen, fourteen sixteen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5278481

 $00{:}31{:}34.725 --> 00{:}31{:}35.225 \ \mathrm{having}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9689491 00:31:35.845 --> 00:31:36.345 a NOTE Confidence: 0.86845964

 $00:31:36.885 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.265$ gain of one q or

 $00:31:37.265 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.765$ a deletion of thirteen q.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86845964

 $00:31:37.765 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.645$ And you can see here

NOTE Confidence: 0.86845964

 $00:31:38.645 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.385$ in the table,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93833375

00:31:40.005 --> 00:31:41.765 in particular, patients with high

NOTE Confidence: 0.93833375 00:31:41.765 --> 00:31:42.265 risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96795225

 $00{:}31{:}42.725 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}45.285$ smoldering myeloma, which, is three

NOTE Confidence: 0.96795225

 $00:31:45.285 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.825$ to four of these criteria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851897

 $00:31:47.670 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.950$ have a high risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851897

 $00:31:48.950 \longrightarrow 00:31:50.470$ progression at two years to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851897

 $00:31:50.470 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.750$ clinical or active myeloma with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851897

00:31:51.750 --> 00:31:53.110 end organ damage of sixty

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851897

 $00:31:53.110 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.930$ three percent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94334185

00:31:57.030 --> 00:31:58.310 So to touch very briefly

NOTE Confidence: 0.94334185

 $00:31:58.310 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.070$ on management of smoldering myeloma

NOTE Confidence: 0.94334185

 $00:32:00.070 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.370$ which is really evolving,

NOTE Confidence: 0.932354

00:32:02.735 --> 00:32:04.275 with our low or intermediate

00:32:04.415 --> 00:32:06.515 risk, smoldering myeloma patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97520906

 $00{:}32{:}07.375 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}09.055$ we are routinely observing them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97520906

 $00{:}32{:}09.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}10.095$ We do this a bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.97520906

 $00:32:10.095 \longrightarrow 00:32:11.455$ more frequently than we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.97520906

 $00:32:11.455 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.655$ at least initially with our

NOTE Confidence: 0.97520906

00:32:12.655 --> 00:32:13.935 MGUS patients. So we're seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.97520906

 $00:32:13.935 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.170$ them and doing laboratory evaluation

NOTE Confidence: 0.97520906

 $00:32:16.230 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.510$ every three months. And then

NOTE Confidence: 0.97520906

00:32:17.510 --> 00:32:19.210 again, to exclude any presence

NOTE Confidence: 0.97520906

 $00:32:19.510 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.950$ or development of bone lesions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97520906

 $00{:}32{:}20.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}23.030$ we get annual, advanced imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.97520906

 $00:32:23.030 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.150$ usually with a whole body

NOTE Confidence: 0.97520906

00:32:24.150 --> 00:32:25.690 MRI or a PET scan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986887

 $00:32:26.310 \longrightarrow 00:32:27.910$ Again, the frequency of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986887

 $00:32:27.910 \longrightarrow 00:32:28.410$ evaluations

 $00:32:28.710 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.750$ may change over time if

NOTE Confidence: 0.98871744

00:32:29.750 --> 00:32:31.174 patients remain stable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9948506

 $00:32:32.195 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.235$ In high risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99870944

00:32:33.634 --> 00:32:35.174 smoldering myeloma patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9833322

 $00:32:35.475 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.674$ this is where I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9833322

 $00:32:36.995 \longrightarrow 00:32:37.955$ you know, the field is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9833322

 $00:32:37.955 \longrightarrow 00:32:39.174$ continuing to evolve,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9801422

 $00:32:40.195 \longrightarrow 00:32:41.715$ and there are differing opinions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9801422

 $00:32:41.715 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.835$ I think, within the field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9801422

 $00:32:42.835 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.514$ But in patients with high

NOTE Confidence: 0.9801422

00:32:44.514 --> 00:32:46.500 risk, smoldering myeloma, especially younger

NOTE Confidence: 0.9801422

00:32:46.500 --> 00:32:47.700 patients, I think, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9801422

 $00:32:47.700 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.700$ we really consider clinical trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9801422

00:32:50.019 --> 00:32:51.240 pretty pretty highly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.985082

00:32:52.179 --> 00:32:53.380 We do have some data

NOTE Confidence: 0.985082

 $00:32:53.380 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.679$ about potential benefit,

 $00:32:54.980 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.899$ progression free survival benefit with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9763988

 $00:32:56.899 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.559$ our immunomodulatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.92898726

 $00:32:58.179 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.000$ agent lenalidomide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9359573

 $00:32:59.705 \longrightarrow 00:33:00.825$ and more recently have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9359573

 $00:33:00.825 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.185$ study, a phase three study

NOTE Confidence: 0.9359573

 $00:33:02.185 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.865$ that was published looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9359573

 $00:33:03.865 \longrightarrow 00:33:05.385$ the anti CD thirty eight

NOTE Confidence: 0.9359573

00:33:05.385 --> 00:33:07.005 monoclonal antibody daratumumab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98979783

00:33:07.705 --> 00:33:08.985 where there was evidence in

NOTE Confidence: 0.98979783

 $00:33:08.985 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.985$ high risk, smoldering myeloma of

NOTE Confidence: 0.98979783

 $00{:}33{:}10.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}12.825$ progression free survival benefit and

NOTE Confidence: 0.98979783

 $00{:}33{:}12.825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}14.925$ a small overall survival benefit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98979783

 $00:33:15.180 \longrightarrow 00:33:16.140$ And then there still is

NOTE Confidence: 0.98979783

 $00:33:16.140 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.180$ an option, you know, with

NOTE Confidence: 0.98979783

 $00:33:17.180 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.620$ these patients to observe them

 $00:33:18.620 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.120$ closely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9718658

 $00:33:19.820 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.960$ You know, I think there

NOTE Confidence: 0.9718658

 $00:33:21.100 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.700$ there is some thought, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9718658

 $00:33:22.700 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.300$ with the heterogeneity that some

NOTE Confidence: 0.9718658

00:33:24.300 --> 00:33:26.140 patients will progress very quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9718658

00:33:26.140 --> 00:33:27.900 I think in younger patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9718658

00:33:27.900 --> 00:33:29.465 doctor Barr will talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9718658

00:33:29.465 --> 00:33:31.725 our, therapy, which often includes

NOTE Confidence: 0.9718658

 $00{:}33{:}31.785 --> 00{:}33{:}33.465$ four drugs. And so treating

NOTE Confidence: 0.9718658

00:33:33.465 --> 00:33:34.985 these high risk myeloma patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9718658

 $00{:}33{:}34.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}36.425$ especially young patients with one

NOTE Confidence: 0.9718658

00:33:36.425 --> 00:33:37.865 drug, there is some concern

NOTE Confidence: 0.9718658

 $00:33:37.865 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.905$ that we could be potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.9718658

 $00:33:38.905 \longrightarrow 00:33:40.425$ undertreating them. And then I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9718658

00:33:40.425 --> 00:33:41.165 think importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97819144

00:33:42.185 --> 00:33:43.970 is that patients with smoldering

 $00{:}33{:}43.970 --> 00{:}33{:}44.629 \text{ or asymptomatic}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9696155

 $00{:}33{:}44.929 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}46.210$ myeloma, although they may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9696155

00:33:46.210 --> 00:33:47.649 without symptoms, can have some

NOTE Confidence: 0.9696155

00:33:47.649 --> 00:33:49.190 increased risk of other

NOTE Confidence: 0.9545984

 $00:33:49.570 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.070$ associated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92855287

00:33:51.169 --> 00:33:53.269 complications like infections, osteoporosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986831

 $00:33:53.730 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.250$ thromboembolism, and the risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986831

 $00:33:55.250 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.149$ secondary malignancies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9735073

 $00:33:58.605 \longrightarrow 00:33:59.805$ So I think in conclusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.9735073

00:33:59.805 --> 00:34:00.945 with smoldering myeloma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9889358

 $00:34:01.325 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.625$ it is a very heterogeneous

NOTE Confidence: 0.98957366

 $00{:}34{:}02.925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}04.365$ disorder. We see some individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.98957366

 $00:34:04.365 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.885$ that progress quickly and others

NOTE Confidence: 0.98957366

00:34:05.885 --> 00:34:07.165 who never progress and act

NOTE Confidence: 0.98957366

 $00:34:07.165 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.765$ more like MGUS, and we

00:34:08.765 --> 00:34:09.825 tailor our management,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9973197

 $00:34:10.285 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.945$ to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94591546

 $00:34:11.890 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.210$ And with patients that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.94591546

00:34:14.210 --> 00:34:15.330 behaving more like a low

NOTE Confidence: 0.94591546

 $00:34:15.330 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.770$ risk MGUS over time, there

NOTE Confidence: 0.94591546

 $00:34:16.770 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.590$ is a possibility,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98560745

 $00:34:18.050 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.930$ you know, to have them

NOTE Confidence: 0.98560745

00:34:18.930 --> 00:34:20.130 referred back to their primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.98560745

 $00{:}34{:}20.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}22.790$ care clinician, with close monitoring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9792013

 $00:34:23.984 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.864$ Again, in this case, I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9792013

 $00:34:24.864 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.605$ think what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9756764

 $00:34:25.984 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.385$ complicated is the concomitant peripheral

NOTE Confidence: 0.9756764

00:34:28.385 --> 00:34:29.585 neuropathy in the setting of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9756764

 $00{:}34{:}29.585 \to 00{:}34{:}31.265$ monoclonal gammopathy, which I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.9756764

00:34:31.265 --> 00:34:33.184 also often will warrant a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9756764

 $00:34:33.184 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.305$ referral so that we can

 $00:34:34.305 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.904$ evaluate for more rare plasma

NOTE Confidence: 0.9756764

 $00:34:35.904 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.444$ cell dyscrasias or other neuropathic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9756764

 $00:34:37.664 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.164$ symptoms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8868359

 $00:34:38.790 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.070$ specifically light chain or AL

NOTE Confidence: 0.8868359

 $00:34:40.070 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.570$ amyloidosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8351038

 $00{:}34{:}41.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}42.890$ POEM syndrome, cryoglobulinemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9571092

00:34:43.430 --> 00:34:45.370 And with IgM monoclonal gamopathies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9571092

 $00:34:45.510 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.810$ again, we can see rare

NOTE Confidence: 0.9571092

 $00:34:47.030 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.050$ anti MAG neuropathies and Kanamad

NOTE Confidence: 0.9571092

 $00:34:49.190 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.250$ syndrome as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9677986

00:34:50.885 --> 00:34:52.085 So it is, I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9677986

 $00:34:52.244 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.605$ very reasonable in patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9677986

00:34:53.605 --> 00:34:54.105 unexplained

NOTE Confidence: 0.9207878

 $00:34:54.405 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.185$ new or progressive peripheral neuropathy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9207878

 $00:34:56.325 \longrightarrow 00:34:58.244$ to consider gammopathy panels. And

 $00:34:58.244 \longrightarrow 00:34:58.744$ then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9893388

00:34:59.125 --> 00:34:59.844 you know, if there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9893388

00:34:59.844 --> 00:35:02.005 a monoclonal monoclonal protein, refer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9893388

 $00:35:02.005 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.285$ them to our hematology clinic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9893388

 $00:35:03.285 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.885$ and our neurology colleagues as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9893388

 $00:35:04.885 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.105$ well for further evaluation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996549

 $00:35:09.380 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.260$ And I will turn it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996549

 $00:35:10.260 \longrightarrow 00:35:11.480$ back over for case three.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90607595

 $00:35:11.780 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.280$ Great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9664522

 $00:35:13.700 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.219$ Okay. So we've had two

NOTE Confidence: 0.9664522

00:35:15.219 --> 00:35:16.520 cases of IgA

NOTE Confidence: 0.89172095

 $00:35:17.059 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.559$ monoclonals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9960437

 $00:35:17.940 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.079$ and we're gonna

NOTE Confidence: 0.97179013

00:35:19.535 --> 00:35:20.655 we're gonna give you an

NOTE Confidence: 0.97179013

 $00:35:21.015 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.415$ a a different one now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97179013

 $00:35:22.415 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.614$ So this is an eighty

 $00:35:23.614 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.915$ five year old male,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97689617

 $00{:}35{:}26.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}28.515$ very active, very cognitively sharp.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97689617

 $00:35:28.735 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.114$ New patient to me in

NOTE Confidence: 0.97689617

 $00:35:30.335 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.114$ October of twenty twenty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94114125

 $00:35:33.530 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.910$ He came to me with

NOTE Confidence: 0.94114125

 $00:35:34.969 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.350$ a history of hyperlipidemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99609536

 $00:35:37.210 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.710$ hypothyroidism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95911884

 $00:35:38.250 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.550$ and some mild,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8760976

 $00:35:39.930 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.230$ aortic valve stenosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9647164

 $00:35:42.330 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.850$ He had a right shoulder

NOTE Confidence: 0.9647164

 $00:35:43.850 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.690$ and left hip replacement with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9647164

 $00{:}35{:}45.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}47.405$ the which with a subsequent

NOTE Confidence: 0.9647164

 $00{:}35{:}47.625 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}48.685 \text{ right total shoulder}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9935769

 $00:35:49.145 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.605$ in twenty twenty three.

NOTE Confidence: 0.63056517

00:35:52.665 --> 00:35:53.485 Never smoker,

00:35:53.864 --> 00:35:55.225 married with two kids. He's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9590077

 $00{:}35{:}55.225 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}57.005$ a retired salesman for industrial

NOTE Confidence: 0.9590077

00:35:57.065 --> 00:35:58.045 cable manufacturer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863356

 $00:35:59.150 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.110$ His mom died in her

NOTE Confidence: 0.9863356

 $00:36:00.110 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.850$ late thirties

NOTE Confidence: 0.9919469

 $00{:}36{:}01.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}02.930$ from postpartum complications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9741139

 $00:36:03.630 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.150$ His father and oldest sister

NOTE Confidence: 0.9741139

 $00:36:05.150 \longrightarrow 00:36:06.450$ died from lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9539061

 $00:36:07.310 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.670$ His older brother died from

NOTE Confidence: 0.9539061

 $00:36:08.670 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.830$ salivary gland tumor and his

NOTE Confidence: 0.9539061

 $00:36:10.830 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.290$ second brother of an unknown

NOTE Confidence: 0.9539061

 $00:36:12.350 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.850$ cause.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9850353

 $00:36:14.385 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.585$ Prior to coming to me

NOTE Confidence: 0.9850353

 $00:36:15.585 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.565$ in twenty eleven,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9825818

 $00:36:18.625 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.705$ more significantly, he developed a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9825818

00:36:20.705 --> 00:36:21.205 mild,

 $00:36:21.585 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.085$ macrocytic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576134

 $00:36:22.545 \longrightarrow 00:36:23.045$ anemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91915953

 $00:36:24.225 \longrightarrow 00:36:25.745$ with a hemoglobin of thirteen

NOTE Confidence: 0.91915953

00:36:25.745 --> 00:36:27.750 point two, MCV of ninety

NOTE Confidence: 0.91915953

 $00:36:27.750 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.810$ eight. His SPEP

NOTE Confidence: 0.8928914

 $00:36:29.350 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.550$ at the time during the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8928914

 $00:36:30.550 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.050 \text{ eval},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9850094

 $00:36:31.670 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.290$ showed a monoclonal band,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9710095

 $00:36:34.710 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.710$ consistent with IgM kappa at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9710095

 $00:36:36.710 \dashrightarrow 00:36:38.310$ zero point eight milligrams per

NOTE Confidence: 0.9710095

 $00:36:38.310 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.810$ deciliter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99032384

00:36:40.710 --> 00:36:41.850 Because the IgM

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996197

 $00{:}36{:}44.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}44.545 \ \mathrm{monoclonal}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.91065764

 $00:36:44.844 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.344$ protein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9434323

 $00:36:45.964 \longrightarrow 00:36:46.844$ he went on to bone

 $00:36:46.844 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.525$ marrow biopsy, which revealed a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9434323

00:36:48.525 --> 00:36:50.045 twenty to thirty percent low

NOTE Confidence: 0.9434323

 $00:36:50.045 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.545$ grade

NOTE Confidence: 0.89979726

 $00:36:50.924 \longrightarrow 00:36:51.724$ b cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99362737

00:36:52.045 --> 00:36:52.545 lymphoproliferative

NOTE Confidence: 0.57311213

 $00:36:54.364 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.864$ disorder

NOTE Confidence: 0.9560107

 $00:36:55.244 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.864$ with plasma cell differentiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91558707

 $00:36:57.640 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.460$ kappa restriction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9715396

 $00{:}36{:}59.400 --> 00{:}36{:}59.900 \ \mathrm{And},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.8882459

 $00{:}37{:}01.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}02.219$ we think about Waldenstroms

NOTE Confidence: 0.9631645

 $00{:}37{:}02.520 --> 00{:}37{:}03.340$ with the IgM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.52990234

 $00:37:04.680 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.180$ chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98304725

00:37:06.280 --> 00:37:07.640 He didn't meet criteria for

NOTE Confidence: 0.98304725

 $00:37:07.640 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.020$ treatment at that time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94031936

 $00:37:11.415 \longrightarrow 00:37:13.255$ So, he had his SPEP

NOTE Confidence: 0.94031936

 $00:37:13.255 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.115$ done every year. And

 $00:37:15.655 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.635$ it from

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743116

00:37:17.015 --> 00:37:18.795 twenty eleven through twenty nineteen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743116

 $00:37:18.855 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.055$ he was very stable at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743116

00:37:20.055 --> 00:37:21.355 that zero point eight milligram

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743116

 $00:37:21.415 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.075$ per deciliter

NOTE Confidence: 0.5966123

 $00:37:22.935 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.435$ level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99905175

 $00:37:24.830 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.370$ In twenty nineteen

NOTE Confidence: 0.9833167

 $00:37:26.750 \longrightarrow 00:37:28.750$ and twenty one, the level

NOTE Confidence: 0.9833167

 $00:37:28.750 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.610$ rose to one point zero.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98768026

 $00:37:31.070 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.110$ And then in twenty two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98768026

 $00:37:32.110 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.070$ it went up again to

NOTE Confidence: 0.98768026

 $00:37:33.070 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.510$ one point four. And then

NOTE Confidence: 0.98768026

00:37:34.510 --> 00:37:35.969 in twenty twenty three,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99060965

 $00:37:36.270 \longrightarrow 00:37:37.870$ it rose to one point

NOTE Confidence: 0.99060965

 $00:37:37.870 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.370$ seven.

00:37:38.885 --> 00:37:39.464 His hemoglobin

NOTE Confidence: 0.99347913

 $00:37:39.844 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.204$ dropped from eleven to eight

NOTE Confidence: 0.99347913

00:37:41.204 --> 00:37:41.944 point four,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95511174

 $00:37:42.565 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.585$ and he does developing fatigue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95511174

00:37:44.645 --> 00:37:46.405 So he's becoming symptomatic. He

NOTE Confidence: 0.95511174

 $00:37:46.405 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.525$ had no other causes for

NOTE Confidence: 0.95511174

 $00:37:47.525 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.805$ the anemia to explain the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95511174

 $00:37:48.805 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.305 \text{ drop.}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9015805

 $00:37:50.085 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.724$ His his,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96074516

 $00:37:51.380 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.219$ kappa light chain was three

NOTE Confidence: 0.96074516

 $00{:}37{:}53.219 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}55.000$ point eight, and his IgM

NOTE Confidence: 0.9695449

 $00:37:55.780 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.700$ antibodies were in the mid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9695449

00:37:58.020 --> 00:37:59.940 two thousand six hundred twenty

NOTE Confidence: 0.9695449

 $00:37:59.940 \longrightarrow 00:38:02.180$ two. Excuse me. And at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9695449

 $00:38:02.180 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.860$ that point, decision was made

NOTE Confidence: 0.9695449

 $00:38:03.860 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.160$ to treat with rituximab,

 $00:38:06.135 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.675$ and we want to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9694936

 $00{:}38{:}08.614 --> 00{:}38{:}09.975$ pass over to doctor Barr

NOTE Confidence: 0.9694936

 $00{:}38{:}09.975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}10.875$ to talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.98133785

 $00:38:11.575 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.275$ IgM disease here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993745

 $00:38:14.375 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.355$ Yes. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.97016346

 $00:38:15.655 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.855$ you can see here that

NOTE Confidence: 0.97016346

00:38:16.855 --> 00:38:18.795 the spectrum of IgM gammopathies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944953

 $00{:}38{:}19.680 \to 00{:}38{:}21.040$ are very similar to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.944953

 $00:38:21.040 \longrightarrow 00:38:22.640 \text{ IgG}$ and IgAs where you

NOTE Confidence: 0.944953

00:38:22.640 --> 00:38:23.760 have the MGUS and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.944953

 $00:38:23.760 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.380$ have this middle stage of

NOTE Confidence: 0.944953

 $00:38:25.599 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.099$ asymptomatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.98848987

 $00:38:26.400 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.140$ or smoldering,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8856077

 $00:38:28.079 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.579$ Waldenstrom's

NOTE Confidence: 0.907299

 $00:38:28.880 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.859$ and then symptomatic

 $00:38:30.160 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.660$ Waldenstrom's

NOTE Confidence: 0.986005

 $00:38:31.280 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.339$ that needs therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9998432

 $00:38:32.785 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.685$ So what defines

NOTE Confidence: 0.99082273

 $00:38:34.305 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.205$ needing therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9363469

 $00:38:35.745 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.665$ is different in myeloma and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9363469

00:38:37.665 --> 00:38:39.285 the lymphoma. For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96924025

 $00:38:39.905 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.425$ for lymphomas, we look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.96924025

 $00:38:41.425 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.805$ b symptoms, like

NOTE Confidence: 0.9387025

 $00:38:43.185 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.685$ constitutional

NOTE Confidence: 0.89522743

 $00:38:43.985 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.525$ symptoms, weight law unexplained

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994718

00:38:45.825 --> 00:38:46.565 weight loss,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988514

00:38:47.150 --> 00:38:49.170 fevers, night sweats, things like

NOTE Confidence: 0.9705023

 $00:38:49.870 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.630$ that, feeling just generally very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9705023

 $00:38:51.630 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.130$ poorly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9990904

 $00{:}38{:}52.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}53.570$ We look at symptomatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.97213995

 $00:38:54.190 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.210$ cytopenias, which are mainly from

 $00:38:56.510 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.770$ crowding of, the bone marrow

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994931

 $00:38:59.225 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.364$ from the lymphoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201309

 $00:39:00.825 \longrightarrow 00:39:01.885$ or from hepatosplenomegaly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9942563

 $00:39:02.505 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.045$ which can also cause cytopenias.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95831126

00:39:04.905 --> 00:39:05.965 Obviously, hyperviscosity

NOTE Confidence: 0.9273298

 $00:39:06.505 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.864$ is very concerning. It should

NOTE Confidence: 0.9273298

 $00:39:07.864 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.085$ be treated right away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.996916

 $00{:}39{:}10.585 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}39{:}12.125$ Also, if you have progressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.992071

00:39:12.690 --> 00:39:13.750 bulky lymphadenopathy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92908

 $00:39:14.210 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.650$ that would be criteria for

NOTE Confidence: 0.92908

 $00:39:15.650 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.150$ treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.5645033

 $00:39:16.450 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.109$ for glabalinemia

NOTE Confidence: 0.85575056

 $00{:}39{:}17.810 --> 00{:}39{:}18.310 \text{ called},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.6637225

 $00:39:18.770 \longrightarrow 00:39:19.670$ agglutin disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.92499787

 $00:39:20.290 \longrightarrow 00:39:21.829$ and significant neuropathy.

 $00:39:22.369 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.050$ It's important to to also

NOTE Confidence: 0.959113

 $00:39:24.050 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.829$ know that not all neuropathy

NOTE Confidence: 0.959113

 $00:39:25.969 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.965$ would warrant therapy. Sometimes people

NOTE Confidence: 0.959113

 $00:39:27.965 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.105$ have very mild,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9467677

 $00:39:30.205 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.905$ case and they're fully functional

NOTE Confidence: 0.9467677

 $00:39:32.045 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.005$ and we just kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9467677

00:39:33.005 --> 00:39:34.285 watch them because, again, you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9467677

 $00:39:34.285 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.325$ have to assess the risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9467677

00:39:35.325 --> 00:39:37.245 and benefit. Waldenstrom is also

NOTE Confidence: 0.9467677

 $00:39:37.245 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.685$ not it it's not a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9467677

 $00:39:38.685 --> 00:39:39.165 \ {\rm curable},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997282

 $00:39:39.565 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.065$ cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9734962

00:39:41.670 --> 00:39:43.030 So when you assess someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.9734962

00:39:43.030 --> 00:39:44.250 with IgM gumopathy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98847944

 $00:39:44.950 \longrightarrow 00:39:46.790$ your your questioning and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.98847944

00:39:46.790 --> 00:39:48.310 you're looking for is quite

 $00:39:48.310 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.070$ different from when you're assessing

NOTE Confidence: 0.98847944

 $00:39:50.070 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.089$ someone with non IgM gumopathy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84936464

 $00:39:58.484 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.984$ Good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90127563

 $00:40:00.805 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.425$ And then, you know, to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8876916

 $00:40:02.885 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.704$ to take,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94463533

 $00:40:04.885 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.765$ to move on from an

NOTE Confidence: 0.94463533

00:40:05.765 --> 00:40:06.265 IGM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93750656

 $00:40:08.405 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.905$ discussion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9719832

 $00:40:09.560 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.320$ We have, this next case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9719832

 $00:40:11.320 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.760$ which was a fifty two

NOTE Confidence: 0.9719832

00:40:12.760 --> 00:40:14.700 year old gentleman from Ecuador,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9576979

 $00:40:16.840 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.380$ who's new to me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96360403

 $00{:}40{:}19.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}20.680$ really this past December. But

NOTE Confidence: 0.96360403 00:40:20.680 --> 00:40:21.180 in NOTE Confidence: 0.9380195

 $00:40:22.600 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.980$ June of twenty two

 $00:40:24.594 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.415$ twenty twenty

NOTE Confidence: 0.97143066

 $00{:}40{:}25.715 --> 00{:}40{:}27.235$ two, he went to his

NOTE Confidence: 0.97143066

00:40:27.235 --> 00:40:29.315 PCP, had a urologic symptom,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97143066

 $00:40:29.315 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.675$ but on the side said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97143066

 $00:40:30.675 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.635$ oh, by the way, my

NOTE Confidence: 0.97143066

00:40:31.635 --> 00:40:32.915 neck pain my neck hurts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97143066

 $00:40:32.915 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.295$ you know, for last week.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9511657

00:40:34.675 --> 00:40:35.955 And I, you know, just

NOTE Confidence: 0.9511657

 $00:40:35.955 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.315$ changed my mattress. You know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9511657

 $00:40:37.315 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.215$ What do I do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9856816

00:40:38.719 --> 00:40:39.219 And,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5997861

 $00:40:39.920 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.420$ the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9434595

00:40:41.039 --> 00:40:42.160 you know, looked in you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9434595

 $00:40:42.160 \longrightarrow 00:40:44.000$ know, looked into it, said

NOTE Confidence: 0.9434595

 $00:40:44.000 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.520$ no no red flags on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9434595

 $00{:}40{:}45.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}46.819$ discussion exam, etcetera.

 $00:40:47.279 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.760 \text{ He},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.96295625

 $00:40:48.319 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.359$ looked over his chart. He

NOTE Confidence: 0.96295625

00:40:49.359 --> 00:40:50.900 had no past medical history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96295625

 $00:40:51.039 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.779$ no surgeries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9433325

 $00:40:53.355 \longrightarrow 00:40:54.635$ Social wise, he was married

NOTE Confidence: 0.9433325

 $00:40:54.635 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.635$ with two kids, immigrated in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9433325

 $00:40:56.875 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.395$ two thousand five, and he

NOTE Confidence: 0.9433325

 $00:40:58.395 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.855$ works as a graphic artist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8183143

00:41:01.114 --> 00:41:01.614 So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91302717

 $00:41:01.995 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.375$ you know, you know, conservative

NOTE Confidence: 0.91302717

 $00:41:03.435 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.455$ care was instructions were provided,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91302717

 $00:41:05.594 \longrightarrow 00:41:07.035$ and he was advised to

NOTE Confidence: 0.91302717

 $00{:}41{:}07.035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}08.070$ come back to the clinic

NOTE Confidence: 0.91302717

 $00:41:08.070 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.969$ and and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9582188

 $00:41:09.750 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.969$ if if things improve.

 $00:41:12.150 \longrightarrow 00:41:13.370$ But four weeks later

NOTE Confidence: 0.8281833

00:41:13.830 --> 00:41:14.570 in July,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98155874

 $00:41:15.510 \longrightarrow 00:41:16.790$ he went to the emergency

NOTE Confidence: 0.98155874

00:41:16.790 --> 00:41:18.469 room at Bridgeport Hospital with

NOTE Confidence: 0.98155874

 $00:41:18.469 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.850$ worsening neck pain

NOTE Confidence: 0.9482502

00:41:20.150 --> 00:41:21.030 and right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99962705

 $00:41:21.510 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.570$ ear numbness

NOTE Confidence: 0.999733

 $00:41:23.405 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.705$ and right arm pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96046066

 $00:41:25.885 \longrightarrow 00:41:27.405$ He had a subjective fever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96046066

 $00{:}41{:}27.405 --> 00{:}41{:}28.445$ He had cough. He had

NOTE Confidence: 0.96046066

 $00:41:28.445 \longrightarrow 00:41:30.045$ congestion. So he was tested

NOTE Confidence: 0.96046066

 $00:41:30.045 \longrightarrow 00:41:31.565$ for COVID. He was positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96046066

 $00:41:31.565 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.464$ He was discharged

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877606

 $00:41:33.005 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.744$ with molnupiravir

NOTE Confidence: 0.9713002

 $00:41:34.364 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.065$ and, PCP follow-up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.972169

 $00:41:36.605 \longrightarrow 00:41:37.930$ The next day, he had

 $00{:}41{:}37.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}39.450$ a phone call, video visit

NOTE Confidence: 0.972169

00:41:39.450 --> 00:41:41.070 with his primary care doc,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94643813

 $00:41:41.530 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.070$ mentioned the neck pain again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94643813

 $00:41:43.130 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.830$ She ordered an X-ray,

NOTE Confidence: 0.970037

 $00:41:45.850 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.050$ but the patient didn't get

NOTE Confidence: 0.970037

 $00:41:47.050 \longrightarrow 00:41:48.910$ it done for whatever reason.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9801669

 $00:41:49.290 \longrightarrow 00:41:49.790$ And,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9562404

 $00:41:50.650 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.714$ he went back to his

NOTE Confidence: 0.9562404

 $00:41:51.714 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.155$ primary care doc saying, hey.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9562404

 $00:41:53.155 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.195$ I have this neck pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9562404

 $00:41:54.195 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.175$ It's still here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9322308

 $00:41:55.635 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.675$ And I think she said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9322308

00:41:56.675 --> 00:41:57.714 well, where's the X-ray? He

NOTE Confidence: 0.9322308

00:41:57.714 --> 00:41:58.515 said I didn't get it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9322308

 $00:41:58.515 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.195$ done. So he and then

 $00:42:00.195 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.234$ he went and got it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9322308

 $00:42:01.234 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.835$ done. And he had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9322308

 $00:42:02.835 \longrightarrow 00:42:04.535$ c three h, indeterminate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95633256

00:42:04.915 --> 00:42:06.535 you know, compression fracture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9711281

 $00:42:08.730 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.270$ and an MRI was ordered,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9766864

 $00:42:10.650 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.570$ for follow-up. And, two weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.9766864

 $00:42:12.570 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.010$ later, he got that done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9766864

00:42:14.010 --> 00:42:14.510 And,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9814962

 $00:42:15.210 \longrightarrow 00:42:16.330$ you see here at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9814962

 $00:42:16.330 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.390$ c three level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959565

 $00:42:18.090 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.770$ under the red circle, he's

NOTE Confidence: 0.959565

 $00:42:19.770 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.590$ got a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9942938

00:42:21.265 --> 00:42:22.484 pathologic fracture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9872383

00:42:22.785 --> 00:42:24.645 It's a burst fracture. It's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9651003

00:42:25.185 --> 00:42:26.785 you know, moving posteriorly and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9651003

 $00:42:26.785 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.005$ then pinching the cervical

 $00:42:28.785 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.685$ spinal cord.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69751334

 $00:42:31.744 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.244$ Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9781718

00:42:32.545 --> 00:42:34.469 He had multiple lesions throughout

NOTE Confidence: 0.9781718

 $00:42:34.469 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.130$ his vertebrae,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9538086

 $00:42:35.750 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.050$ a rib and a humeral

NOTE Confidence: 0.9538086

 $00:42:37.110 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.869$ head, and, no other signs

NOTE Confidence: 0.9538086

 $00:42:38.869 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.489$ of a of a alternative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9930794

00:42:41.190 --> 00:42:42.890 you know, cancer identified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9921521

 $00:42:43.510 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.730$ And then he had,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9858501

 $00:42:45.350 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.930$ he had

NOTE Confidence: 0.9581549

 $00:42:46.310 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.350$ a mild you know, he

NOTE Confidence: 0.9581549

 $00{:}42{:}47.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}49.110$ had anemia, normal cytic anemia of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9581549

 $00{:}42{:}49.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}50.864$ twelve point eight, normal calcium,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9581549

 $00:42:50.925 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.665$ normal creatinine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8519264

 $00:42:52.525 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.965$ He had a, he had

 $00:42:53.965 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.965$ a identifiable monoclonal protein on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8519264

 $00:42:55.965 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.925$ the s PEP that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8519264

00:42:56.925 --> 00:42:58.465 IgG kappa on immunofixation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9240193

 $00:42:59.565 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.125$ elevated kappa light chain, elevated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9240193

 $00:43:02.125 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.425$ kappa light chain ratio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90812814

 $00:43:03.960 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.000$ One six eight, he had

NOTE Confidence: 0.90812814

 $00:43:05.000 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.460$ elevated IgG immunoglobulins,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351704

 $00:43:07.480 \longrightarrow 00:43:08.839$ and he had some pain

NOTE Confidence: 0.93351704

 $00:43:08.839 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.140$ proteins on the urine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9959524

 $00:43:10.920 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.420$ electrophoresis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.923504

 $00{:}43{:}12.440 --> 00{:}43{:}12.839 \ \mathrm{But},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.95884824

 $00:43:13.719 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.480$ clinical course, he went through

NOTE Confidence: 0.95884824

 $00:43:15.480 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.619$ a c three corpectomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9448575

 $00:43:17.400 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.900$ and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9192874

 $00:43:18.359 \longrightarrow 00:43:19.820$ with an interview vertebral,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88610774

 $00:43:20.335 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.155$ device placement.

 $00:43:21.855 \longrightarrow 00:43:22.815$ Well, you know, a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.9229574

 $00:43:22.815 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.815$ days later by posterior cervical

NOTE Confidence: 0.9229574

00:43:24.815 --> 00:43:25.315 fixation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85929954

 $00:43:26.655 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.135$ tissue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9475543

 $00:43:27.535 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.295$ pathology from the burst fracture

NOTE Confidence: 0.9475543

 $00:43:29.295 \longrightarrow 00:43:31.075$ showed sheets of plasma cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8872246

00:43:31.455 --> 00:43:32.495 you know, CD one thirty

NOTE Confidence: 0.8872246

00:43:32.495 --> 00:43:33.855 eight, you know, capilloid chain

NOTE Confidence: 0.8872246

 $00:43:33.855 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.355$ restriction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9798291

 $00:43:36.119 \longrightarrow 00:43:36.859$ And then

NOTE Confidence: 0.88892114

 $00{:}43{:}37.160 --> 00{:}43{:}38.440$ few days later, had his

NOTE Confidence: 0.88892114

 $00:43:38.440 \longrightarrow 00:43:39.079$ bone marrow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96321493

 $00{:}43{:}39.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}41.640$ biopsy done and, twenty percent

NOTE Confidence: 0.96321493

 $00:43:41.640 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.140$ kappa,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94670016

00:43:42.759 --> 00:43:43.980 plasma cells identified.

 $00:43:44.839 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.539$ And back to doctor Barr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94686085

00:43:49.415 --> 00:43:50.455 Sorry. I was so excited

NOTE Confidence: 0.94686085

 $00:43:50.455 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.735$ to talk about myeloma that

NOTE Confidence: 0.94686085

 $00:43:51.735 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.114$ I speeded ahead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92930037

 $00:43:53.895 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.415$ So so this patient does

NOTE Confidence: 0.92930037

 $00:43:55.415 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.015$ have a diagnosis of multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.92930037

 $00:43:57.015 \longrightarrow 00:43:57.975$ myeloma. He has,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92236656

 $00:43:58.695 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.455$ definitely bony lesions. It has

NOTE Confidence: 0.92236656

 $00{:}44{:}00.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}01.735$ some anemia. And, actually, in

NOTE Confidence: 0.92236656

 $00:44:01.735 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.875$ fact, the most common

NOTE Confidence: 0.8708662

 $00{:}44{:}03.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}05.210$ presenting, CRB criteria is bony

NOTE Confidence: 0.8708662

 $00:44:05.210 \longrightarrow 00:44:06.190$ lesions and anemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.975446

 $00:44:06.730 \longrightarrow 00:44:08.410$ I think the key features

NOTE Confidence: 0.975446

 $00:44:08.410 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.849$ here is this kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.975446

 $00:44:09.849 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.410$ persistent progressive bony pains that

NOTE Confidence: 0.975446

 $00:44:12.410 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.430$ in a young patient, someone

 $00:44:14.650 \longrightarrow 00:44:15.849$ might not think is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.975446

 $00:44:15.849 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.215$ that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9670511

00:44:16.695 --> 00:44:18.535 you know, concerning, especially we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9670511

 $00:44:18.535 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.415$ see a lot of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9670511

 $00:44:19.415 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.935$ who are shoveling snow and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9670511

 $00:44:20.935 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.975$ have some back pain, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.9670511

 $00:44:21.975 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.335$ that does not go away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9670511

 $00:44:23.335 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.475$ You know? So it's like

NOTE Confidence: 0.9683598

 $00:44:24.775 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.375$ something that continues, something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9683598

 $00:44:26.375 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.895$ needs to be evaluated for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9683598

 $00:44:27.895 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.355$ sure with more, imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9860038

 $00:44:30.050 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.890$ So myeloma is not curable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9860038

 $00{:}44{:}31.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}33.270$ and, of course, that's devastating

NOTE Confidence: 0.9860038

 $00:44:33.410 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.070$ for patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9926589

 $00:44:34.530 \longrightarrow 00:44:35.190$ to hear.

 $00:44:36.450 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.730$ And as I show you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9839311

 $00:44:37.730 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.670$ here, it's categorized by multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.9839311

 $00:44:39.730 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.230$ relapses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99961114

 $00:44:40.930 \longrightarrow 00:44:41.590$ The first

NOTE Confidence: 0.9844238

 $00:44:42.844 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.344$ remission

NOTE Confidence: 0.8520949

 $00:44:43.645 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.585$ or response to therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9500332

 $00:44:45.964 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.505$ is often the longest one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9812915

00:44:47.805 --> 00:44:49.505 And you don't really have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9612172

00:44:49.885 --> 00:44:51.984 numbers here, but on average,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9612172

 $00:44:52.045 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.785$ these patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9518996

 $00:44:53.244 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.605$ have a first duration of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9518996

00:44:54.605 --> 00:44:56.385 response of about five years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9518996

 $00:44:56.444 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.900$ So it's quite good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98232806

 $00:44:58.600 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.880$ And then once they relapse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98232806

 $00:44:59.880 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.239$ they get another therapy, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.98232806

 $00:45:01.239 \longrightarrow 00:45:02.920$ then each with each relapse,

 $00:45:02.920 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.660$ it shortens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9891733

 $00:45:04.280 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.920$ We do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9243378

 $00:45:05.400 \longrightarrow 00:45:06.920$ kind of classify as early

NOTE Confidence: 0.9243378

 $00:45:06.920 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.760$ relapse and late relapse mainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9243378

 $00:45:08.760 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.214$ for kind of treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.98405594

 $00:45:10.535 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.394$ different treatments that are approved

NOTE Confidence: 0.98405594

 $00:45:12.454 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.075$ for these particular situations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8766614

 $00:45:15.575 \longrightarrow 00:45:16.954$ and a little bit relevant

NOTE Confidence: 0.9938719

 $00:45:17.255 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.375$ for a few slides from

NOTE Confidence: 0.9938719

 $00:45:18.375 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.815$ now. So in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9938719

 $00:45:19.815 \longrightarrow 00:45:20.315$ epidemiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98102903

 $00:45:20.614 \longrightarrow 00:45:21.734$ it's definitely not the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.98102903

 $00{:}45{:}21.734 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}23.815$ common cancer, so four teenth most

NOTE Confidence: 0.98102903

 $00:45:23.815 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.714$ common cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9716225

 $00:45:25.590 \longrightarrow 00:45:26.870$ It's usually seen in the

 $00:45:26.870 \longrightarrow 00:45:28.630$ elderly, median age of sixty

NOTE Confidence: 0.9716225

 $00{:}45{:}28.630 --> 00{:}45{:}29.130 \ \mathrm{nine},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.94743115

 $00:45:29.590 \longrightarrow 00:45:31.130$ more commonly seen in individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.94743115

 $00:45:31.190 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.570$ of African American descent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9672474

 $00:45:33.270 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.790$ The median survival, I can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9672474

 $00:45:34.790 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.250$ see here in the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995542

 $00:45:36.790 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.290$ green

NOTE Confidence: 0.9627027

 $00:45:38.555 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.935$ graph here, has

NOTE Confidence: 0.99997413

 $00:45:40.235 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.735$ steadily

NOTE Confidence: 0.998558

 $00:45:41.515 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.015$ improved

NOTE Confidence: 0.99654484

 $00:45:42.475 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.935$ for the last two decades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99654484

 $00:45:44.155 \longrightarrow 00:45:44.655$ Okay?

NOTE Confidence: 0.95677096

 $00:45:45.755 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.035$ And it it it does

NOTE Confidence: 0.95677096

 $00{:}45{:}47.035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}49.035$ depend on risk. And right

NOTE Confidence: 0.95677096

 $00:45:49.035 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.255$ now, we're looking here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510101

 $00:45:50.840 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.640$ or we don't we don't

 $00{:}45{:}51.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}53.880$ actually have twenty twenty five

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510101

 $00:45:53.880 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.980$ with the most recent evaluation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510101

 $00:45:56.120 \longrightarrow 00:45:57.340$ from the SEER database

NOTE Confidence: 0.88116914

 $00:45:57.719 \longrightarrow 00:45:59.100$ is twenty twenty one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98738766

 $00:45:59.640 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.840$ showing you that at five

NOTE Confidence: 0.98738766

 $00:46:00.840 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.360$ years, if you were diagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.98738766

 $00:46:02.360 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.560$ in twenty twenty one, the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98738766

 $00:46:03.560 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.060$ chance

NOTE Confidence: 0.9703067

 $00:46:04.360 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.719$ of being alive at five

NOTE Confidence: 0.9703067

00:46:05.719 --> 00:46:07.135 years is sixty two percent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9703067

00:46:07.135 --> 00:46:07.935 But I can tell you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9703067

 $00:46:07.935 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.614$ this is very much an

NOTE Confidence: 0.9703067

00:46:09.614 --> 00:46:10.114 under representation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9782482

 $00:46:11.295 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.655$ of our survival in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.9782482

00:46:12.655 --> 00:46:13.155 patients,

 $00:46:13.455 \longrightarrow 00:46:14.735$ and this is probably more

NOTE Confidence: 0.99633044

 $00:46:14.735 \longrightarrow 00:46:16.255$ close to seventy five to

NOTE Confidence: 0.99633044

 $00:46:16.255 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.075$ eighty percent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99910647

 $00:46:18.495 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.635$ High risk patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9853432

00:46:20.489 --> 00:46:20.989 probably,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9890452

 $00:46:21.850 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.130$ do die within the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.9890452

 $00:46:23.130 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.410$ five years, but if you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9890452

 $00:46:24.410 \longrightarrow 00:46:25.450$ not high risk, you do

NOTE Confidence: 0.9890452

 $00:46:25.450 \longrightarrow 00:46:26.810$ have that benefit of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9890452

 $00:46:26.810 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.350$ longer duration of survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994081

 $00:46:29.610 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.550$ Majority of the reason why

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994081

00:46:31.610 --> 00:46:33.150 our patients are living longer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994081

 $00:46:33.290 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.830$ is because of new therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94288844

 $00:46:36.114 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.635$ So the initial therapy for

NOTE Confidence: 0.94288844

 $00:46:37.635 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.135$ myeloma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98589534

 $00:46:39.155 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.235$ can be quite cumbersome. So

00:46:41.235 --> 00:46:43.415 it actually involves three different

NOTE Confidence: 0.98589534

00:46:43.475 --> 00:46:45.095 parts. The first is induction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98589534

 $00:46:45.395 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.015$ We use several drugs together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95234907

 $00:46:47.715 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.775$ And after you get

NOTE Confidence: 0.9557765

 $00:46:49.650 \longrightarrow 00:46:50.930$ here, you see burden of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9557765

 $00:46:50.930 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.430$ disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9971866

 $00:46:52.609 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.130$ and then it goes down

NOTE Confidence: 0.9971866

 $00:46:54.130 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.869$ with induction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9729434

00:46:55.329 --> 00:46:56.849 Some patients get a high

NOTE Confidence: 0.9729434

 $00:46:56.849 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.849$ dose chemotherapy and stem cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.9729434

 $00:46:58.849 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.469$ transplant if they're eligible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9842211

 $00:47:01.089 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.609$ and then everyone goes on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9842211

 $00:47:02.609 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.194$ to getting maintenance. And we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9842211

 $00:47:04.194 \longrightarrow 00:47:05.895$ continue therapy for myeloma

NOTE Confidence: 0.96996415

 $00:47:06.515 \longrightarrow 00:47:07.015$ ongoing

 $00:47:07.315 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.075$ forever, which now as patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.92883235

 $00:47:09.075 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.055$ are living longer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9351023

 $00:47:10.355 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.275$ is creating more problems. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9351023

 $00:47:12.275 \longrightarrow 00:47:13.315$ we're trying to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9242104

 $00:47:14.355 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.395$ figure this out who we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9242104

 $00:47:15.395 \longrightarrow 00:47:16.375$ can stop therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9967793

 $00:47:17.395 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.895$ for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997052

 $00:47:18.770 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.989$ We know that achieving

NOTE Confidence: 0.99830145

 $00:47:20.450 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.349$ deep responses

NOTE Confidence: 0.94857025

 $00:47:22.450 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.270$ as we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9221967

 $00:47:23.570 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.670$ measure by minimal residual disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.9221967

 $00:47:25.890 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.390$ negativity

NOTE Confidence: 0.85090435

 $00:47:28.450 \longrightarrow 00:47:31.190$ achieves good duration response and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677885

 $00:47:31.635 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.175$ overall outcomes of survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98083866

 $00:47:33.635 \longrightarrow 00:47:35.255$ And with our newer therapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.98083866

 $00:47:35.315 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.015$ that we're using in induction

 $00:47:37.715 \longrightarrow 00:47:39.155$ and even in maintenance, we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.95894307

 $00{:}47{:}39.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}40.755$ achieving higher rates of MRG

NOTE Confidence: 0.95894307

00:47:40.755 --> 00:47:42.295 negativity, and this is why

NOTE Confidence: 0.95894307

 $00:47:42.435 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.055$ our our patients are overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.95894307

 $00:47:44.195 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.575$ doing much better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9886436

00:47:46.460 --> 00:47:48.140 However, unfortunately, again, it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9886436

 $00:47:48.140 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.059$ not curable, so patients do

NOTE Confidence: 0.9886436

 $00:47:50.059 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.559$ progress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95236987

 $00:47:51.260 \longrightarrow 00:47:53.339$ And patients who progress several

NOTE Confidence: 0.95236987

 $00:47:53.339 \longrightarrow 00:47:53.839$ times

NOTE Confidence: 0.7280697

 $00:47:54.539 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.039$ historically

NOTE Confidence: 0.96889013

 $00:47:56.059 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.380$ have horrible prognosis, and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.96889013

 $00:47:58.380 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.119$ is historically

NOTE Confidence: 0.9974191

 $00:47:59.735 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.355$ four years ago. Okay?

NOTE Confidence: 0.98052347

00:48:01.975 --> 00:48:03.415 This is showing you patients

 $00:48:03.415 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.175$ who have had three late

NOTE Confidence: 0.98052347

 $00:48:05.175 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.675$ relapses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99983966

 $00:48:06.375 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.594$ The chance of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.9696924

 $00:48:08.455 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.895$ responding to the next line

NOTE Confidence: 0.9696924

 $00:48:09.895 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.635$ of therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9869402

 $00:48:10.935 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.635$ is only thirty percent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99204475

 $00:48:13.070 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.190$ And even if they do

NOTE Confidence: 0.99204475

00:48:14.190 --> 00:48:15.810 respond, they tend to progress

NOTE Confidence: 0.99204475

 $00:48:15.950 \longrightarrow 00:48:18.369$ with within several months, usually

NOTE Confidence: 0.94002974

 $00:48:18.670 \longrightarrow 00:48:19.890$ less than six months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99002826

 $00:48:20.190 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.270$ and they often die within

NOTE Confidence: 0.99002826

 $00:48:22.270 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.930$ a year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9975624

 $00:48:24.110 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.230$ What do we have today?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9975624

 $00:48:25.230 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.450$ This is quite different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98798025

 $00:48:27.435 \longrightarrow 00:48:28.395$ We are now in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98798025

 $00:48:28.395 \longrightarrow 00:48:29.935$ era of T cell redirection

 $00:48:30.075 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.355$ therapies, which is a type

NOTE Confidence: 0.98798025

 $00:48:31.355 \longrightarrow 00:48:32.094$ of immunotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9990453

 $00:48:32.955 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.455$ that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9397976

00:48:34.075 --> 00:48:35.515 engages the T cells or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9397976

00:48:35.515 --> 00:48:36.735 uses T cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995069

 $00:48:37.195 \longrightarrow 00:48:38.255$ to identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.91566366

 $00:48:38.875 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.175$ the cancer, myeloma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99422526

00:48:40.635 --> 00:48:41.535 through an antigen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9428156

 $00:48:42.020 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.920$ which is a cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95134246

 $00:48:43.460 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.500$ a marker on the cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.95134246

 $00:48:44.500 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.960$ surface of the myeloma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99603534

 $00:48:46.660 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.719$ identifies it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968002

 $00{:}48{:}48.339 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}49.880$ attacks it, kills it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9708339

 $00:48:50.180 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.780$ So this is done through

NOTE Confidence: 0.9708339

 $00:48:51.780 \longrightarrow 00:48:53.780$ two different ways. One is

 $00:48:53.780 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.839$ called a bispecific

NOTE Confidence: 0.86350036

 $00:48:55.380 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.440$ T cell engager,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95112985

 $00:48:56.925 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.364$ which is basically an antibody,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95112985

 $00:48:58.364 \longrightarrow 00:48:59.245$ as you can see, shaped

NOTE Confidence: 0.95112985

 $00:48:59.245 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.065$ like a myeloma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9738915

00:49:01.965 --> 00:49:03.025 monoclonal protein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97937125

 $00:49:03.405 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.785$ which one of the arms

NOTE Confidence: 0.97937125

 $00:49:04.925 \longrightarrow 00:49:06.125$ binds to the T cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.97937125

 $00:49:06.125 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.245$ and the other one binds

NOTE Confidence: 0.97937125

 $00:49:07.245 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.545$ to the myeloma cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98902714

 $00:49:09.469 \longrightarrow 00:49:11.150$ The other T cell redirection

NOTE Confidence: 0.98902714

 $00:49:11.150 \longrightarrow 00:49:12.349$ therapy is a CAR T

NOTE Confidence: 0.98902714

 $00:49:12.349 \longrightarrow 00:49:14.030$ cell, which is basically a

NOTE Confidence: 0.98902714

 $00:49:14.030 \longrightarrow 00:49:15.410$ T cell that's engineered

NOTE Confidence: 0.99942136 00:49:16.430 --> 00:49:16.930 to NOTE Confidence: 0.9870452

 $00:49:17.309 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.170$ have a receptor that recognizes

 $00{:}49{:}19.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}20.670$ the myeloma and kills it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9870452

 $00:49:20.670 \longrightarrow 00:49:21.469$ And the T cells are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9870452

 $00:49:21.469 \longrightarrow 00:49:22.829$ kind of superstar T cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.9870452

 $00:49:22.829 \longrightarrow 00:49:23.730$ because they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8250132

 $00:49:25.395 \longrightarrow 00:49:25.895$ costimulatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.99884206

 $00:49:26.275 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.375$ molecules once they get stimulated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9954756

 $00:49:30.355 \longrightarrow 00:49:31.475$ So why are we so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9954756

 $00:49:31.475 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.915$ excited about these t cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.9954756

00:49:32.915 --> 00:49:35.175 redirection therapies? Again, historically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997865

 $00:49:35.875 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.695$ these patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.97184324

 $00:49:37.219 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.059$ who received these therapies on

NOTE Confidence: 0.97184324

 $00{:}49{:}39.059 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}40.500$ clinical trials would have had

NOTE Confidence: 0.97184324

 $00:49:40.500 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.700$ a thirty percent chance of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97184324

 $00:49:41.700 \longrightarrow 00:49:43.059$ response. And indeed, a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.97184324

 $00:49:43.059 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.099$ of the treatments we use

 $00:49:44.099 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.940$ now upfront, they got approved

NOTE Confidence: 0.97184324

 $00:49:45.940 \longrightarrow 00:49:47.219$ by the FDA because of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97184324

 $00:49:47.219 \longrightarrow 00:49:48.660$ a thirty percent response rate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97184324

 $00:49:48.660 \longrightarrow 00:49:49.779$ and we were excited about

NOTE Confidence: 0.97184324 00:49:49.779 --> 00:49:50.279 that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96380603

 $00:49:50.875 \longrightarrow 00:49:52.555$ And, again, these patients who've

NOTE Confidence: 0.96380603

 $00:49:52.555 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.695$ progressed three times,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603104

 $00:49:54.395 \longrightarrow 00:49:55.995$ they usually, even if they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9603104

 $00:49:55.995 \longrightarrow 00:49:56.495$ respond,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98518115

 $00:49:56.875 \longrightarrow 00:49:58.495$ progress within a few months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9884454

 $00:49:58.955 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.995$ So this is a busy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9884454

 $00:49:59.995 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.795$ slide, but I want you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9884454

 $00:50:00.795 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.075$ to focus on two things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9884454

 $00:50:02.075 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.675$ The first is in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9884454

 $00:50:03.675 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.355$ orange box, the percent of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9884454

 $00:50:05.355 \longrightarrow 00:50:06.980$ page patients responding.

 $00:50:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.680$ First, I show you here

NOTE Confidence: 0.99255073

 $00:50:09.680 \longrightarrow 00:50:11.380$ three different types of bispecific

NOTE Confidence: 0.8380375

 $00:50:11.760 \longrightarrow 00:50:12.660$ T cell engagers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95830524

 $00:50:13.040 \longrightarrow 00:50:14.320$ and in the second box

NOTE Confidence: 0.95830524

 $00:50:14.320 \longrightarrow 00:50:15.520$ is the two types of

NOTE Confidence: 0.95830524

00:50:15.520 --> 00:50:17.619 CAR T cell therapy products.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97246194

 $00:50:18.160 \longrightarrow 00:50:18.960$ And you can see on

NOTE Confidence: 0.97246194

 $00:50:18.960 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.180$ the bottom in gray,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9969404

 $00:50:20.664 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.285$ the chance of patients responding

NOTE Confidence: 0.9969404

 $00:50:22.344 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.565$ is more than double

NOTE Confidence: 0.92941284

 $00:50:24.105 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.464$ in the bispecific t cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.92941284

 $00:50:25.464 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.964$ engagers

NOTE Confidence: 0.9536843

 $00:50:26.585 \longrightarrow 00:50:28.424$ and even close to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9536843

 $00:50:28.424 \longrightarrow 00:50:28.924$ hundred

NOTE Confidence: 0.94659275

 $00:50:29.384 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.585$ in the in this CAR

00:50:30.585 --> 00:50:31.964 T cell product, ciltasil,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9664064

00:50:32.265 --> 00:50:34.105 ninety seven percent. Only one

NOTE Confidence: 0.9664064

 $00:50:34.105 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.250$ of the patients did not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9664064

 $00:50:35.330 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.710$ respond in this clinical trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9796004

 $00:50:37.489 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.630$ So this is great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994422

 $00:50:38.930 \longrightarrow 00:50:39.430$ Unprecedented.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97240174

 $00:50:40.290 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.210$ Now in blue, our bar

NOTE Confidence: 0.97240174

 $00:50:42.210 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.270$ is showing you

NOTE Confidence: 0.99895585

 $00:50:43.650 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.030$ the number of months

NOTE Confidence: 0.99629706

00:50:45.650 --> 00:50:47.250 where fifty percent of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99629706

 $00:50:47.250 \longrightarrow 00:50:47.750$ population

NOTE Confidence: 0.8792691

 $00:50:48.930 \longrightarrow 00:50:50:370$ progressed or in the other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9388267

 $00:50:51.545 \longrightarrow 00:50:53.305$ the half the the cup

NOTE Confidence: 0.9388267

00:50:53.305 --> 00:50:54.685 half full, half empty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99814045

00:50:54.985 --> 00:50:56.525 fifty percent did not progress

NOTE Confidence: 0.99814045

 $00:50:56.585 \longrightarrow 00:50:58.525$ at this time point. And

 $00{:}50{:}58.905 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}51{:}00.665$ comparing to the six months

NOTE Confidence: 0.9829358

 $00:51:00.665 \longrightarrow 00:51:01.725$ or less historically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9989712

 $00:51:02.585 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.785$ you can see these agents

NOTE Confidence: 0.9989712

 $00:51:03.785 \longrightarrow 00:51:05.725$ are doing far superiorly

NOTE Confidence: 0.85478485

 $00:51:06.760 \longrightarrow 00:51:07.500$ with celtacel

NOTE Confidence: 0.95994663

 $00:51:07.880 \longrightarrow 00:51:09.640$ going on about three years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95994663

 $00:51:09.640 \longrightarrow 00:51:10.860$ So on average,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9888999

 $00:51:11.160 \longrightarrow 00:51:12.520$ patients have a duration of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9888999

 $00:51:12.520 \longrightarrow 00:51:14.060$ response of three years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9284438

 $00:51:14.360 \longrightarrow 00:51:16.460$ Again, unbelievable in these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994464

 $00:51:16.920 \longrightarrow 00:51:18.920$ And because these are so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994464

 $00:51:18.920 \longrightarrow 00:51:21.080$ useful and efficacious in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994464

00:51:21.080 --> 00:51:21.820 late relapse,

NOTE Confidence: 0.987465

00:51:22.545 --> 00:51:24.464 They've been tested earlier and

NOTE Confidence: 0.987465

 $00:51:24.464 \longrightarrow 00:51:26.145$ were approved in early relapse

 $00:51:26.145 \longrightarrow 00:51:27.684$ and are now being tested

NOTE Confidence: 0.9749034

00:51:28.145 --> 00:51:29.765 in frontline therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.90347004

 $00:51:30.065 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.805$ and even,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9946417

 $00:51:32.145 \longrightarrow 00:51:34.005$ testing against transplant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95922196

 $00:51:34.704 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.065$ We'll we'll know more in

NOTE Confidence: 0.95922196

 $00:51:36.065 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.444$ maybe five to ten years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.965149

 $00:51:39.310 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.190$ So CAR T is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.965149

 $00:51:40.190 \longrightarrow 00:51:41.950$ little bit different than other

NOTE Confidence: 0.965149

 $00:51:41.950 \longrightarrow 00:51:43.570$ therapies we use because,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9982357

 $00:51:43.950 \longrightarrow 00:51:44.609$ it requires

NOTE Confidence: 0.99024874

 $00:51:44.910 \longrightarrow 00:51:45.410$ manufacturing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98925525

 $00:51:45.869 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.829$ So I just wanted to

NOTE Confidence: 0.98925525

00:51:46.829 --> 00:51:47.950 show you what this entails,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98925525

 $00{:}51{:}47.950 --> 00{:}51{:}48.829$ what patients have to go

NOTE Confidence: 0.98925525

 $00:51:48.829 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.349$ through. First, we have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.98925525

 $00{:}51{:}50.349 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}51.390$ collect the T cells from

 $00:51:51.390 \longrightarrow 00:51:53.150$ the patients through apheresis, then

NOTE Confidence: 0.98925525

00:51:53.150 --> 00:51:54.494 they get shipped off to

NOTE Confidence: 0.98925525

 $00:51:54.494 \longrightarrow 00:51:55.234$ the manufacturer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9325528

 $00:51:55.775 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.594$ They separate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8948768

 $00:51:56.895 \longrightarrow 00:51:58.594$ the T cells. They they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8948768

 $00:51:58.654 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.154$ genetically

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995767

 $00:51:59.454 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.994$ modify them to express

NOTE Confidence: 0.93545735

 $00{:}52{:}01.614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}03.795$ the chimeric T cell receptor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.981893

 $00:52:04.094 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.295$ then they grow, and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.981893

 $00:52:05.295 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.954$ they get

NOTE Confidence: 0.99869806

 $00:52:06.309 \longrightarrow 00:52:07.589$ brought back to the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.99869806

 $00:52:07.589 \longrightarrow 00:52:08.410$ and infused

NOTE Confidence: 0.964941

 $00{:}52{:}08.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}10.170$ like a regular blood transfusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.91380024

 $00:52:10.469 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.450$ similar to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9505602

 $00:52:12.710 \longrightarrow 00:52:14.069$ This here show you a

 $00:52:14.069 \longrightarrow 00:52:15.670$ highlight of what this CAR

NOTE Confidence: 0.9505602 00:52:15.670 --> 00:52:16.069 T, NOTE Confidence: 0.9609516

 $00:52:16.469 \longrightarrow 00:52:17.829$ what what the receptor looks

NOTE Confidence: 0.9609516

 $00:52:17.829 \longrightarrow 00:52:19.109$ like. So there's a binding

NOTE Confidence: 0.9609516

 $00:52:19.109 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.390$ domain. Again, this is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9609516

 $00:52:20.390 \longrightarrow 00:52:21.049$ a protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.99101996

 $00:52:21.575 \longrightarrow 00:52:22.395$ called BCMA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366745

00:52:23.335 --> 00:52:25.095 IDACel has two binding domains

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366745

 $00{:}52{:}25.095 \to 00{:}52{:}26.695$ and I and, sorry, cilta

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366745

 $00:52:26.695 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.815$ cell has two binding domains

NOTE Confidence: 0.8366745

 $00{:}52{:}27.815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}29.435$ and IDACel has one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96935946

 $00:52:31.975 \longrightarrow 00:52:34.075$ Now a major difference between

NOTE Confidence: 0.96935946

 $00{:}52{:}34.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}36.120$ CAR T and the bispecific

NOTE Confidence: 0.96935946

 $00:52:36.340 \longrightarrow 00:52:38.100$ T cell engagers also is

NOTE Confidence: 0.96935946

00:52:38.100 --> 00:52:40.020 how you administer it, which

NOTE Confidence: 0.96935946

 $00:52:40.020 \longrightarrow 00:52:41.719$ is relevant for patients. So

00:52:41.860 --> 00:52:42.360 bispecifics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9659695

 $00:52:42.900 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.100$ we don't stop therapy. We

NOTE Confidence: 0.9659695

00:52:44.100 --> 00:52:45.140 give it every week or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9659695

 $00:52:45.140 \longrightarrow 00:52:46.340$ every two weeks and sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.9659695

 $00:52:46.340 \longrightarrow 00:52:47.860$ every four weeks depending on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9659695

 $00:52:47.860 \longrightarrow 00:52:49.445$ the product. But CAR T

NOTE Confidence: 0.9659695

 $00:52:49.445 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.745$ is a one time treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9659695

 $00:52:50.965 \longrightarrow 00:52:52.425$ which is really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98507553

 $00:52:52.885 \longrightarrow 00:52:54.165$ nice for patients who've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.98507553

 $00{:}52{:}54.165 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}55.445$ treated for many, many years

NOTE Confidence: 0.98507553

00:52:55.445 --> 00:52:55.945 continuously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.999136

 $00:52:57.925 \longrightarrow 00:52:59.844$ So just to close on

NOTE Confidence: 0.999136

 $00{:}52{:}59.844 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}00.505$ the myeloma

NOTE Confidence: 0.94556504

00:53:01.539 --> 00:53:02.819 category, you know, a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.94556504

 $00:53:02.819 \longrightarrow 00:53:04.579$ of patient care happens with

 $00:53:04.579 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.640$ us, so you don't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9834907

 $00{:}53{:}06.099 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}07.140$ see it as much, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.9834907

 $00:53:07.140 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.940$ you do see them once

NOTE Confidence: 0.9834907

 $00:53:07.940 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.059$ in a while. And there

NOTE Confidence: 0.9834907

 $00:53:09.059 \longrightarrow 00:53:10.599$ are some comorbidities

NOTE Confidence: 0.9752068

 $00:53:11.380 \longrightarrow 00:53:11.859$ that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9824227

 $00:53:12.259 \longrightarrow 00:53:14.180$ can be exacerbated by our

NOTE Confidence: 0.9824227

 $00{:}53{:}14.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}16.019$ treatment. Steroids are often used

NOTE Confidence: 0.9824227

00:53:16.019 --> 00:53:17.160 in many of our regimens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9824227

00:53:17.435 --> 00:53:18.975 so this could worsen hypertension,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9824227

 $00{:}53{:}19.195 --> 00{:}53{:}20.715$ diabetes. I mean, we might

NOTE Confidence: 0.9824227

 $00{:}53{:}20.715 \longrightarrow 00{:}53{:}21.994$ need more support from you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9824227

 $00:53:21.994 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.015$ guys to help manage that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9824227

 $00{:}53{:}24.075 --> 00{:}53{:}24.575 \text{ Carfizumab},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.8946852

00:53:24.875 --> 00:53:26.255 which is the second generation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8946852

 $00{:}53{:}26.315 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}28.494$ proteasome inhibitor, could also,

00:53:28.875 --> 00:53:29.775 lead to hypertension

NOTE Confidence: 0.9681011

 $00:53:30.155 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.215$ and heart failure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97495013

 $00:53:31.910 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.270$ And many of our treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.97495013

 $00{:}53{:}33.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}34.730$ increase the risk for infections,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94056463

 $00:53:35.830 \longrightarrow 00:53:37.290$ often not opportunistic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9839188

 $00:53:37.750 \longrightarrow 00:53:38.950$ But now with the t

NOTE Confidence: 0.9839188

 $00:53:38.950 \longrightarrow 00:53:40.890$ cell redirection therapies, we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9839188

 $00{:}53{:}41.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}42.489$ seeing some more opportunistic

NOTE Confidence: 0.96460515

 $00:53:42.790 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.630$ infections. So it's important to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9800873

 $00:53:46.025 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.465$ to recognize that. And with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9800873

 $00:53:47.465 \longrightarrow 00:53:48.665$ an infection, let us know

NOTE Confidence: 0.9800873

 $00:53:48.665 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.705$ what's going on so we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9800873

 $00{:}53{:}49.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}51.085$ can further assess.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97166383

00:53:51.785 --> 00:53:52.525 And lastly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9535863

00:53:53.065 --> 00:53:54.585 I wanna end by showing

00:53:54.585 --> 00:53:56.425 you the gammopathy pathway that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9535863

 $00:53:56.425 \longrightarrow 00:53:57.325$ is in EPIC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9910754

 $00:53:57.945 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.344$ And,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9930741

 $00:53:58.745 \longrightarrow 00:53:59.864$ it's a busy slide, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.9930741

 $00:53:59.864 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.505$ I want you to know

NOTE Confidence: 0.9930741

 $00:54:00.505 \longrightarrow 00:54:01.165$ it's there

NOTE Confidence: 0.9681988 00:54:02.130 --> 00:54:02.630 As NOTE Confidence: 0.95573646

 $00:54:03.410 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.609$ and you can see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.95573646

00:54:04.609 --> 00:54:05.809 it guides you through if

NOTE Confidence: 0.95573646

00:54:05.809 --> 00:54:07.250 you have a monoclonal protein

NOTE Confidence: 0.95573646

 $00:54:07.250 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.549$ on SBEP or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9117834

00:54:09.809 --> 00:54:11.269 And showing you the monoclonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9117834

00:54:11.410 --> 00:54:11.910 gammopathy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9113701

 $00:54:12.849 \longrightarrow 00:54:14.835$ screen panel here, which makes

NOTE Confidence: 0.9113701

 $00:54:14.835 \longrightarrow 00:54:16.355$ sure you're ordering all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9113701

 $00{:}54{:}16.355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}18.275$ pair protein evaluation that we

00:54:18.275 --> 00:54:18.775 need

NOTE Confidence: 0.865188

 $00:54:19.315 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.935$ and also some key information

NOTE Confidence: 0.99946916

 $00:54:21.315 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.255$ about when to refer urgently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99780804

 $00:54:23.715 \longrightarrow 00:54:25.175$ when to not refer urgently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99780804

 $00:54:25.395 \longrightarrow 00:54:27.155$ when to repeat labs, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.99780804

 $00:54:27.155 \longrightarrow 00:54:27.655$ reassess.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9716801

 $00:54:29.920 \longrightarrow 00:54:31.700$ So to close our,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9957744

00:54:32.880 --> 00:54:33.620 talk today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99950856

 $00:54:34.000 \longrightarrow 00:54:35.620$ these are just some summary

NOTE Confidence: 0.9333817

 $00:54:36.080 \longrightarrow 00:54:37.780$ points that we wanna highlight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9529122

 $00:54:38.320 \longrightarrow 00:54:39.440$ First, we showed you how

NOTE Confidence: 0.9529122

 $00:54:39.440 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.800$ it's important to recognize whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.9529122

 $00{:}54{:}40.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}42.260$ it's normal light chain ratio,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9529122

 $00:54:42.515 \longrightarrow 00:54:44.835$ how, how age and, renal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9529122

 $00:54:44.835 \longrightarrow 00:54:45.335$ dysfunction

 $00:54:45.795 \longrightarrow 00:54:46.935$ can affect these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9777016

00:54:47.475 --> 00:54:49.075 We showed you what low

NOTE Confidence: 0.9777016

 $00:54:49.075 \longrightarrow 00:54:51.075$ risk MGUS is, what is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9777016

 $00:54:51.075 \longrightarrow 00:54:51.735$ the definition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99865645

 $00:54:52.835 \longrightarrow 00:54:54.515$ and that this often can

NOTE Confidence: 0.99865645

00:54:54.515 --> 00:54:55.875 be managed by primary care

NOTE Confidence: 0.99865645

 $00:54:55.875 \longrightarrow 00:54:56.375$ doctors

NOTE Confidence: 0.82775253 00:54:56.755 --> 00:54:57.255 if NOTE Confidence: 0.8900984

 $00{:}54{:}58.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}00.100$ or co managed with us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8702384

00:55:00.640 --> 00:55:02.420 When to urgently refer patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8702384

 $00{:}55{:}02.480 \to 00{:}55{:}03.300$ CRAB criteria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8827658

 $00:55:03.600 \longrightarrow 00:55:04.100$ certainly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88301235

 $00:55:05.920 \longrightarrow 00:55:07.440$ things that make it in

NOTE Confidence: 0.88301235

 $00:55:07.440 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.420 \text{ IgM}$, gammopathies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.980696

 $00:55:08.880 \longrightarrow 00:55:10.880$ things that kind of, are

NOTE Confidence: 0.980696

 $00{:}55{:}10.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}12.885$ red flags is new progressive

 $00:55:13.025 \longrightarrow 00:55:14.165$ neuropathy or

NOTE Confidence: 0.99967706

 $00:55:14.545 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.365$ other symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.6042528

00:55:15.745 --> 00:55:16.245 diagnose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9947832

 $00:55:16.545 \longrightarrow 00:55:17.605$ diagnosing Waldenstrom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96759784

00:55:18.065 --> 00:55:18.885 And lastly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9709831

 $00:55:19.745 \longrightarrow 00:55:20.385$ it would be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96052325

00:55:21.105 --> 00:55:22.385 wonderful if you can look

NOTE Confidence: 0.96052325

00:55:22.385 --> 00:55:23.905 at the gammopathy pathway if

NOTE Confidence: 0.96052325

 $00:55:23.905 \longrightarrow 00:55:25.125$ you have a positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9717196

 $00:55:25.745 \longrightarrow 00:55:27.460$ finding and tell us if

NOTE Confidence: 0.9717196

 $00:55:27.460 \longrightarrow 00:55:29.160$ it was helpful or unhelpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9770712

 $00:55:29.540 \longrightarrow 00:55:31.540$ How can we, improve this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9770712

 $00:55:31.540 \longrightarrow 00:55:32.739$ pathway? And, also, there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9770712

00:55:32.739 --> 00:55:33.960 some education material

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987841

 $00:55:34.260 \longrightarrow 00:55:35.000$ for MGUS

 $00:55:35.300 \longrightarrow 00:55:36.520$ to bring to the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.9827881

00:55:37.140 --> 00:55:38.200 to reduce anxiety.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9509367

 $00:55:40.525 \longrightarrow 00:55:43.025$ That was terrific. A real,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959647

00:55:43.805 --> 00:55:45.005 everything you know need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.959647

00:55:45.005 --> 00:55:46.125 know from a to z

NOTE Confidence: 0.959647

 $00:55:46.125 \longrightarrow 00:55:47.825$ or maybe a to IGG.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959647

00:55:47.885 --> 00:55:48.945 I I don't know. IGM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8861655

 $00:55:50.445 \longrightarrow 00:55:51.565$ We have a couple of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8861655

 $00:55:51.565 \longrightarrow 00:55:53.185$ questions, and we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.97187036

 $00:55:54.339 \longrightarrow 00:55:55.380$ getting near the end of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97187036

 $00{:}55{:}55.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}56.819$ the hour. One is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97187036

 $00:55:56.819 \longrightarrow 00:55:58.599$ usual, is there a CME

NOTE Confidence: 0.97187036

 $00:55:58.660 \longrightarrow 00:55:59.780$ code? And and, Renee, if

NOTE Confidence: 0.97187036

 $00:55:59.780 \longrightarrow 00:56:01.000$ you can just type in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93871

 $00:56:01.940 \longrightarrow 00:56:02.900$ that I I I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.93871

 $00:56:02.900 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.940$ what we found before is

 $00:56:03.940 \longrightarrow 00:56:05.540$ if you're if you're here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93871

 $00{:}56{:}05.540 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}56{:}06.500$ we end up getting you

NOTE Confidence: 0.93871

 $00:56:06.500 \longrightarrow 00:56:07.000$ credit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9985588

 $00:56:07.859 \longrightarrow 00:56:08.900$ as long as your name

NOTE Confidence: 0.9985588

 $00:56:08.900 \longrightarrow 00:56:09.400$ shows.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9137064

 $00:56:10.325 \longrightarrow 00:56:12.005$ But one question from doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9137064

 $00:56:12.005 \longrightarrow 00:56:13.864$ Zarku Power is, is there

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819666

 $00:56:14.484 \longrightarrow 00:56:16.484$ is there robust research to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819666

00:56:16.484 --> 00:56:17.765 link the risk of multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819666

 $00:56:17.765 \longrightarrow 00:56:19.065$ myeloma to pesticides,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8983154

 $00{:}56{:}19.844 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}22.025$ agent orange, or other chemicals?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9256466

 $00:56:22.404 \longrightarrow 00:56:24.400$ There are sets of two

NOTE Confidence: 0.9256466

 $00:56:24.400 \longrightarrow 00:56:26.000$ patients in her panel who,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97958684

00:56:27.360 --> 00:56:29.280 have asked her opinion on

NOTE Confidence: 0.97958684

 $00:56:29.280 \longrightarrow 00:56:31.120$ that, pertaining to themselves and

 $00:56:31.120 \longrightarrow 00:56:32.480$ relatives. And if there's no

NOTE Confidence: 0.97958684

 $00:56:32.480 \longrightarrow 00:56:34.260$ robust research, why not?

NOTE Confidence: 0.95213217

00:56:39.075 --> 00:56:41.075 So, I'll say agent orange,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95213217

 $00:56:41.075 \longrightarrow 00:56:41.575$ definitely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977315

 $00:56:42.915 \longrightarrow 00:56:44.135$ That's a definite risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9848274

 $00:56:45.235 \longrightarrow 00:56:45.895$ factor. Pesticides?

NOTE Confidence: 0.99954206

 $00:56:47.715 \longrightarrow 00:56:48.855$ I don't think so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99808335

00:56:49.315 --> 00:56:50.614 I'm not I'm not familiar

NOTE Confidence: 0.99808335

 $00{:}56{:}50.675 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}52.275$ either with pesticides. One one

NOTE Confidence: 0.99808335

00:56:52.275 --> 00:56:53.340 thing I did wanna add

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743939

 $00:56:54.300 \longrightarrow 00:56:55.420$ is there is emerging evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743939

 $00:56:55.420 \longrightarrow 00:56:57.739$ looking at connections, associations with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743939

00:56:57.739 --> 00:56:59.760 components of metabolic syndrome, specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743939

 $00:56:59.900 \longrightarrow 00:57:01.980$ obesity and diabetes, and both

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743939

 $00:57:01.980 \longrightarrow 00:57:03.760$ development and progression of monoclonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743939

 $00:57:03.900 \longrightarrow 00:57:04.960$ gammopathy and,

 $00{:}57{:}05.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}06.780$ you know, some studies looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.98361975

 $00{:}57{:}06.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}08.555$ at the potential for preventative

NOTE Confidence: 0.98361975

00:57:08.775 --> 00:57:09.275 interventions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9949601

 $00:57:09.895 \longrightarrow 00:57:10.855$ because they you know, I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9949601

 $00:57:10.855 \longrightarrow 00:57:11.355$ think

NOTE Confidence: 0.9948442

 $00:57:11.655 \longrightarrow 00:57:12.614$ the thought is just kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.9948442

 $00:57:12.614 \longrightarrow 00:57:13.974$ of the the level of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9948442

00:57:13.974 --> 00:57:15.175 inflammation, but I think we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9948442

 $00:57:15.175 \longrightarrow 00:57:16.375$ we don't know exactly what

NOTE Confidence: 0.9948442

 $00:57:16.375 \longrightarrow 00:57:17.435$ the connection is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9709729

 $00:57:24.119 \longrightarrow 00:57:25.240$ Drew, do you have any

NOTE Confidence: 0.9709729

 $00:57:25.240 \longrightarrow 00:57:26.760$ other questions for our panelists?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9709729

 $00{:}57{:}26.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}27.960$ You've been knee deep in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9709729

 $00:57:27.960 \longrightarrow 00:57:29.160$ this, and and you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9709729

 $00:57:29.160 \longrightarrow 00:57:30.619$ an internist opportunity

 $00:57:31.079 \longrightarrow 00:57:32.460$ to be face to face.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9930219

 $00:57:32.680 \longrightarrow 00:57:33.980$ Any additional ones?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9215401

 $00:57:35.885 \longrightarrow 00:57:37.244$ I think I think I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9215401

 $00:57:37.244 \longrightarrow 00:57:38.445$ had a couple of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92640543

 $00:57:39.325 \longrightarrow 00:57:40.445$ I guess I guess the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92640543 00:57:40.445 --> 00:57:40.945 IGA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97478634

 $00:57:41.325 \longrightarrow 00:57:42.285$ like, we had two cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.97478634

 $00:57:42.285 \longrightarrow 00:57:43.645$ here with IGA. We had,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97478634

00:57:43.645 --> 00:57:45.165 you know, nothing detected on

NOTE Confidence: 0.97478634

 $00:57:45.165 \longrightarrow 00:57:46.605$ the SPEP. We know that

NOTE Confidence: 0.97478634

 $00{:}57{:}46.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}47.744$ the IGA migrates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99195516

00:57:48.045 --> 00:57:49.425 you know, through the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9417568

 $00:57:50.540 \longrightarrow 00:57:51.520$ into the beta,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82337135

 $00:57:54.619 \longrightarrow 00:57:56.060$ you know, the beta we

NOTE Confidence: 0.82337135

 $00:57:56.060 \longrightarrow 00:57:57.020$ we went blank on my

NOTE Confidence: 0.82337135

 $00:57:57.020 \longrightarrow 00:57:58.619$ knee on the term here.

 $00:57:58.619 \longrightarrow 00:58:00.400$ The beta region.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99303395

 $00:58:01.180 \longrightarrow 00:58:01.580$ Is there

NOTE Confidence: 0.94326633

 $00:58:02.460 \longrightarrow 00:58:03.820$ I guess the question is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94326633 00:58:03.820 --> 00:58:04.320 like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6731271

 $00:58:06.765 \longrightarrow 00:58:08.785$ the it it I

NOTE Confidence: 0.92007965

 $00:58:09.085 \longrightarrow 00:58:10.125$ guess, if it's high it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.92007965

00:58:10.125 --> 00:58:11.505 high risk. Right? So

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984812

 $00:58:12.444 \longrightarrow 00:58:13.345$ how do you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9371736

 $00:58:13.724 \longrightarrow 00:58:15.484$ when you're going by IGA

NOTE Confidence: 0.9371736

 $00:58:15.484 \longrightarrow 00:58:15.984$ levels

NOTE Confidence: 0.9888191

 $00:58:16.444 \longrightarrow 00:58:18.204$ and light chain levels to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9888191

00:58:18.204 --> 00:58:19.825 see if there's any progression

NOTE Confidence: 0.9888191

 $00:58:19.964 \longrightarrow 00:58:20.785$ of the disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99856967

 $00:58:21.560 \longrightarrow 00:58:22.840$ Is that always a hundred

NOTE Confidence: 0.99856967

 $00:58:22.840 \longrightarrow 00:58:23.820$ percent reliable?

 $00:58:26.360 \longrightarrow 00:58:27.720$ It does so does does

NOTE Confidence: 0.9137905

 $00:58:27.800 \longrightarrow 00:58:29.000$ I know there there when

NOTE Confidence: 0.9137905

 $00:58:29.000 \longrightarrow 00:58:29.800$ you do a bone marrow

NOTE Confidence: 0.9137905

00:58:29.800 --> 00:58:31.000 biopsy, you're more likely to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9137905

 $00:58:31.000 \longrightarrow 00:58:31.740$ see, like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97772807

 $00:58:32.920 \longrightarrow 00:58:35.180$ more plasma cells in IgA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98854715

00:58:36.635 --> 00:58:38.494 right, relative to IgG. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.97998583

 $00:58:38.875 \longrightarrow 00:58:39.675$ do do you see a

NOTE Confidence: 0.97998583

 $00{:}58{:}39.675 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}41.775$ direct correlation between the IgA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9646881

 $00:58:43.035 \longrightarrow 00:58:44.974$ protein or the or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9646881 00:58:45.035 --> 00:58:45.535 or NOTE Confidence: 0.8757453

 $00:58:45.835 \longrightarrow 00:58:46.974$ the free light chain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99532175

 $00:58:48.474 \longrightarrow 00:58:48.974$ quantification

NOTE Confidence: 0.92601025

 $00:58:49.355 \longrightarrow 00:58:49.855$ and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86007875

 $00:58:50.795 \longrightarrow 00:58:51.855$ cell you know, like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9006056

 $00:58:52.740 \longrightarrow 00:58:54.740$ progression of disease. Is that

 $00:58:54.740 \longrightarrow 00:58:56.040$ is that reliable?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9672707

 $00{:}58{:}56.660 --> 00{:}58{:}57.780$ That that's that's a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9672707

00:58:57.780 --> 00:58:59.160 good question. I mean, generally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9672707

 $00.58.59.300 \longrightarrow 00.59.00.840$ we we look at everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677733 00:59:01.780 --> 00:59:02.280 In NOTE Confidence: 0.9735742

 $00:59:02.660 \longrightarrow 00:59:04.660$ when patients progress, even if

NOTE Confidence: 0.9735742

 $00:59:04.660 \longrightarrow 00:59:05.700$ they have myeloma or if

NOTE Confidence: 0.9735742

 $00{:}59{:}05.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}06.820$ they're MGUS and they're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.9735742

 $00:59:06.820 \longrightarrow 00:59:08.525$ up, the IgA typically goes

NOTE Confidence: 0.9735742

 $00:59:08.525 \longrightarrow 00:59:09.345$ up first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9693324

 $00{:}59{:}09.724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}11.265$ And then the m spike

NOTE Confidence: 0.9693324

00:59:11.325 --> 00:59:13.164 will rise later, several months

NOTE Confidence: 0.9693324

 $00{:}59{:}13.164 --> 00{:}59{:}14.525$ later. So that's why we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9693324

 $00:59:14.525 \longrightarrow 00:59:15.345$ look at both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95966476

 $00:59:16.684 \longrightarrow 00:59:18.365$ The again, the trend holds

 $00:59:18.365 \longrightarrow 00:59:19.964$ true for the most part.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95966476

 $00:59:19.964 \longrightarrow 00:59:21.244$ When the there's more clonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.95966476

 $00:59:21.244 \longrightarrow 00:59:22.525$ plasma cells, there's gonna be

NOTE Confidence: 0.95966476

 $00:59:22.525 \longrightarrow 00:59:23.480$ more clonal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94748884

 $00:59:24.180 \longrightarrow 00:59:25.700$ protein or or IGA or

NOTE Confidence: 0.94748884

 $00:59:25.700 \longrightarrow 00:59:26.680$ or light chains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9193427

00:59:27.060 --> 00:59:28.660 But it might not be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9191227

 $00:59:29.220 \longrightarrow 00:59:30.420$ like, a a one to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9191227

00:59:30.420 --> 00:59:31.640 one representation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9952472

 $00:59:32.180 \longrightarrow 00:59:33.560$ but the trends hold true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99967474

 $00{:}59{:}34.180 --> 00{:}59{:}34.680$ Okay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968604

 $00:59:35.380 \longrightarrow 00:59:36.119$ That helps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84547234

 $00{:}59{:}38.955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}39.695 \text{ I think}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984507

00:59:40.155 --> 00:59:41.435 I think it's really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984507

 $00:59:41.435 \longrightarrow 00:59:42.875$ for, like, primary care docs

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984507 00:59:42.875 --> 00:59:43.375 to

 $00:59:43.835 \longrightarrow 00:59:44.335$ recognize

NOTE Confidence: 0.93201077

00:59:44.715 --> 00:59:46.555 that low risk category. You

NOTE Confidence: 0.93201077

 $00:59:46.555 \longrightarrow 00:59:47.995$ know, the three criteria where

NOTE Confidence: 0.93201077

 $00:59:47.995 \longrightarrow 00:59:48.975$ it's the IgG,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9685509

 $00:59:49.675 \longrightarrow 00:59:51.195$ m protein, the less than

NOTE Confidence: 0.9685509

 $00:59:51.195 \longrightarrow 00:59:52.175$ one point five

NOTE Confidence: 0.9295749

 $00:59:52.599 \longrightarrow 00:59:54.060$ milligrams on the SPEP,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97687113

 $00:59:54.440 \longrightarrow 00:59:55.900$ and then the the normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.87676525

00:59:56.200 --> 00:59:58.040 serum prelate chain ratio. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.87676525

00:59:58.040 --> 00:59:58.619 I think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87775004

 $00:59:59.079 \longrightarrow 01:00:00.280$ you know, we see something

NOTE Confidence: 0.87775004

 $01:00:00.280 \longrightarrow 01:00:01.160$ like this and we're like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87775004

01:00:01.160 --> 01:00:01.980 oh my gosh.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94643784

 $01:00:02.280 \longrightarrow 01:00:03.880$ I don't want this to

NOTE Confidence: 0.94643784

 $01:00:03.880 \longrightarrow 01:00:05.725$ be my own, you know,

01:00:05.785 --> 01:00:07.805 monitoring, you know, responsibility.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9834773

01:00:08.265 --> 01:00:08.765 But,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9952945

 $01:00:09.705 \longrightarrow 01:00:10.585$ I think that's a key

NOTE Confidence: 0.9952945

01:00:10.585 --> 01:00:12.045 nugget for me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9953785

 $01:00:12.345 \longrightarrow 01:00:13.625$ looking at this talk and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9953785

01:00:13.625 --> 01:00:14.845 going through this talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97708994

01:00:16.265 --> 01:00:17.385 The difference you know, the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97708994

01:00:17.385 --> 01:00:17.885 IGM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96668136

 $01{:}00{:}18.240 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}19.460$ you have to think Waldenstrom's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9185387

 $01:00:19.920 \longrightarrow 01:00:21.200$ you know, and then the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9185387

 $01:00:21.200 \longrightarrow 01:00:22.480$ IGA not the is always

NOTE Confidence: 0.9185387

 $01:00:22.480 \longrightarrow 01:00:23.360$ a low risk, needs a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9185387

 $01:00:23.520 \longrightarrow 01:00:24.560$ needs that biopsy. I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.9185387

 $01:00:24.560 \longrightarrow 01:00:25.860$ those are key take homes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8880806

01:00:26.320 --> 01:00:27.040 from my,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99915934

 $01:00:27.520 \longrightarrow 01:00:28.020$ standpoint.

01:00:32.444 --> 01:00:33.964 I guess the question going

NOTE Confidence: 0.95531255

 $01:00:33.964 \longrightarrow 01:00:35.484$ back to the toxins too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991154

 $01:00:35.885 \longrightarrow 01:00:36.204$ which

NOTE Confidence: 0.9297201

01:00:37.565 --> 01:00:38.525 and and the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901636

 $01:00:39.005 \longrightarrow 01:00:41.164$ monoclonal the the monoclonal proteins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901636

 $01:00:41.164 \longrightarrow 01:00:42.305$ But can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280789

 $01:00:43.260 \longrightarrow 01:00:44.380$ they get the gentleman in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280789

 $01:00:44.380 \longrightarrow 01:00:46.140$ this case who developed the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91839135

 $01:00:46.620 \longrightarrow 01:00:47.760$ IgA Lambda

NOTE Confidence: 0.86720645

 $01:00:48.300 \longrightarrow 01:00:49.420$ and with the false positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.86720645

01:00:49.420 --> 01:00:50.320 Lyme, etcetera,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876349

 $01:00:51.660 \longrightarrow 01:00:53.740$ he blames things on his

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876349

01:00:53.740 --> 01:00:55.600 COVID vaccine. Right? So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.972268

 $01:00:56.035 \longrightarrow 01:00:58.195$ yeah, COVID vaccine, he developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.972268

 $01:00:58.195 \longrightarrow 01:01:00.275$ this neuropathy, and we found

 $01:01:00.275 \longrightarrow 01:01:01.335$ this this issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9438778

 $01{:}01{:}01{:}01{:}01{:}03{:}555$ He developed ulcerative colitis after

NOTE Confidence: 0.9438778

 $01:01:03.555 \longrightarrow 01:01:05.095$ his COVID vaccine. So he's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9438778

 $01:01:05.155 \longrightarrow 01:01:07.395$ a he's he's moving towards

NOTE Confidence: 0.9438778

 $01:01:07.395 \longrightarrow 01:01:08.835$ that realm. I'm not saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.9438778

 $01:01:08.835 \longrightarrow 01:01:09.895$ anything is

NOTE Confidence: 0.95148027

 $01:01:10.510 \longrightarrow 01:01:11.470$ is is you know, I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.95148027

01:01:11.470 --> 01:01:12.830 not I'm not buying that

NOTE Confidence: 0.95148027

 $01:01:12.830 \longrightarrow 01:01:14.030$ story a whole lot, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.95148027

01:01:14.190 --> 01:01:15.150 at all. But, you you

NOTE Confidence: 0.95148027

 $01:01:15.150 \longrightarrow 01:01:16.290$ know, thinking of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8169333

01:01:17.070 --> 01:01:18.450 yeah, I know, thinking of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965371

 $01:01:20.510 \longrightarrow 01:01:21.710$ antibody like, is there a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8965371

 $01:01:21.710 \longrightarrow 01:01:22.850$ correlation between

NOTE Confidence: 0.91346484

01:01:23.335 --> 01:01:24.855 any autoimmune syndrome or kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.91346484

 $01:01:24.855 \longrightarrow 01:01:26.375$ of stimulation of b cells

 $01:01:26.375 \longrightarrow 01:01:28.295$ in some way and increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.91346484

 $01:01:28.295 \longrightarrow 01:01:30.055$ risk of of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.91346484

 $01:01:30.055 \longrightarrow 01:01:30.555$ disorders?

NOTE Confidence: 0.95440114

01:01:31.575 --> 01:01:33.335 Like, you know, COVID vaccine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95440114

01:01:33.335 --> 01:01:34.235 we're stimulating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9958652

01:01:34.855 --> 01:01:35.515 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94261336

01:01:37.150 --> 01:01:37.970 antibody production,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695551

 $01:01:38.830 \longrightarrow 01:01:40.030$ but not saying causation. But

NOTE Confidence: 0.8695551

 $01:01:40.030 \longrightarrow 01:01:41.090$ is there any

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874629

 $01:01:41.390 \longrightarrow 01:01:42.670$ is there any discussion of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9874629

 $01:01:42.670 \longrightarrow 01:01:43.970$ that, or is there any

NOTE Confidence: 0.93866307

 $01:01:47.390 \longrightarrow 01:01:48.910$ similar concerns being brought to

NOTE Confidence: 0.93866307 01:01:48.910 --> 01:01:49.410 your

NOTE Confidence: 0.73311377

 $01:01:50.035 \longrightarrow 01:01:50.535$ attention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99903727

 $01:01:50.995 \longrightarrow 01:01:51.875$ Yeah. I I think these

 $01:01:51.875 \longrightarrow 01:01:53.075$ are questions we get as

NOTE Confidence: 0.99903727

01:01:53.075 --> 01:01:54.035 well. I mean, I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.99903727

 $01:01:54.035 \longrightarrow 01:01:54.695$ there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.98838633

 $01:01:54.995 \longrightarrow 01:01:56.355$ thought to be association with

NOTE Confidence: 0.98838633

 $01:01:56.355 \longrightarrow 01:01:56.855$ autoimmune

NOTE Confidence: 0.9638353

01:01:57.555 --> 01:01:59.235 inflammatory disorders where there's kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.9638353

 $01:01:59.235 \longrightarrow 01:02:00.835$ of constant stimulation of b

NOTE Confidence: 0.9638353

01:02:00.835 --> 01:02:02.295 cells like like you're saying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95691055

01:02:02.990 --> 01:02:04.030 You know, I'm not aware.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95691055

 $01:02:04.030 \longrightarrow 01:02:05.329$ I I there was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97372556

 $01:02:05.869 \longrightarrow 01:02:07.390$ a small study presented as

NOTE Confidence: 0.97372556

 $01:02:07.390 \longrightarrow 01:02:08.829$ an abstract looking at change

NOTE Confidence: 0.97372556

 $01:02:08.829 \longrightarrow 01:02:10.510$ in monoclonal protein after COVID

NOTE Confidence: 0.97372556

 $01:02:10.510 \longrightarrow 01:02:12.050$ vaccines, and there was none.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97372556

01:02:12.190 --> 01:02:14.050 No evidence of progression. So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97372556

01:02:14.349 --> 01:02:15.435 I'm not aware of anything

01:02:15.435 --> 01:02:16.715 kinda larger than that, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.97372556

 $01{:}02{:}16.715 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}17.675$ that's a question that comes

NOTE Confidence: 0.97372556

01:02:17.675 --> 01:02:18.955 up a lot from our

NOTE Confidence: 0.97372556

01:02:18.955 --> 01:02:19.995 patients. But, you know, that

NOTE Confidence: 0.97372556

 $01:02:20.075 \longrightarrow 01:02:21.275$ and from that small information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97372556

 $01:02:21.275 \longrightarrow 01:02:22.075$ I don't think we think

NOTE Confidence: 0.97372556

01:02:22.075 --> 01:02:23.595 there's connection. At least in

NOTE Confidence: 0.97372556

 $01:02:23.595 \longrightarrow 01:02:25.035$ patients that have have MGUS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97372556

 $01:02:25.035 \longrightarrow 01:02:26.315$ you know, don't progress to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97372556

 $01:02:26.315 \longrightarrow 01:02:27.455$ to something more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91171926

 $01:02:27.940 \longrightarrow 01:02:28.980$ Yeah. I mean, that's that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.91171926

 $01:02:28.980 \longrightarrow 01:02:30.740$ good. Just, cut us off

NOTE Confidence: 0.91171926

 $01:02:30.740 \longrightarrow 01:02:32.520$ because we passed our hour.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90725774

 $01:02:33.220 \longrightarrow 01:02:35.560$ Yeah. And I so appreciate

NOTE Confidence: 0.90725774

 $01:02:35.780 \longrightarrow 01:02:36.840$ all of the preparation

 $01:02:37.220 \longrightarrow 01:02:38.260$ that you all did to

NOTE Confidence: 0.98803085

 $01:02:38.260 \longrightarrow 01:02:39.000$ bring us

NOTE Confidence: 0.941911

 $01:02:39.300 \longrightarrow 01:02:40.900$ all of this information. This

NOTE Confidence: 0.941911

 $01:02:40.900 \longrightarrow 01:02:42.500$ is really helpful. And, if

NOTE Confidence: 0.941911

 $01:02:42.500 \longrightarrow 01:02:43.375$ there are others who you

NOTE Confidence: 0.941911

 $01:02:43.375 \longrightarrow 01:02:44.655$ think may be interested, please

NOTE Confidence: 0.941911

 $01:02:44.655 \longrightarrow 01:02:45.395$ feel free,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98879576

 $01:02:46.015 \longrightarrow 01:02:47.455$ to share the link, to

NOTE Confidence: 0.98879576

 $01{:}02{:}47.455 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}49.135$ watch afterwards. We thank you

NOTE Confidence: 0.98879576

 $01:02:49.135 \longrightarrow 01:02:49.875$ for attending,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988616

 $01:02:51.135 \longrightarrow 01:02:52.655$ and we'll see you next

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988616

 $01:02:52.655 \longrightarrow 01:02:54.195$ month when we have colorectal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993314

 $01:02:54.495 \longrightarrow 01:02:56.495$ cancer prevention and screening as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993314

 $01:02:56.495 \longrightarrow 01:02:57.555$ our hot topic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9194515

01:02:58.545 --> 01:03:00.385 Thanks, everyone. By bye. Very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9194515

 $01:03:00.385 \longrightarrow 01:03:00.885$ much.