WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "00:49:35.189" NOTE Confidence: 0.9741852

00:00:03.919 --> 00:00:04.980 Hello, everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93605405

00:00:05.519 --> 00:00:07.120 I am Francis Lee. I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.93605405

00:00:07.120 --> 00:00:09.539 a tumor surgeon, orthopedic oncology

NOTE Confidence: 0.9757414

 $00:00:10.320 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.440$ at the Yale School of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9757414

00:00:11.440 --> 00:00:13.460 Medicine. It's so much pleasure

NOTE Confidence: 0.9757414

 $00:00:13.519 \longrightarrow 00:00:14.980$ to meet you on Zoom,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8779931

00:00:15.625 --> 00:00:16.985 and I work with doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8779931

 $00:00:16.985 \longrightarrow 00:00:19.325$ Deshpande, doctor Olino, doctor Ladich.

NOTE Confidence: 0.977108

 $00:00:19.945 \longrightarrow 00:00:20.185$ And,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994689

 $00:00:20.985 \longrightarrow 00:00:22.285$ we have a very strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.98876005

 $00:00:22.825 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.005$ team for connective tissue oncology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98876005

 $00{:}00{:}25.065 --> 00{:}00{:}25.565 \ \mathrm{meaning}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.98462564

00:00:26.185 --> 00:00:28.025 sarcomas in the muscles and

NOTE Confidence: 0.98462564

 $00:00:28.025 \longrightarrow 00:00:28.525$ bone.

 $00:00:28.980 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.199$ At the same time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997484

 $00:00:30.740 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.560$ we provide

NOTE Confidence: 0.93380505

 $00:00:32.180 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.079$ all the metastasis

NOTE Confidence: 0.9917017

00:00:33.940 --> 00:00:35.800 from lung, kidney, prostate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988263

 $00:00:36.340 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.840$ thyroid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996421

 $00:00:37.379 \longrightarrow 00:00:37.879$ melanoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.895467

 $00:00:38.500 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.879$ to bone, including

NOTE Confidence: 0.9181485

 $00:00:40.580 \longrightarrow 00:00:41.800$ myeloma and the lymphoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9952484

 $00{:}00{:}43.635 --> 00{:}00{:}45.235$ It has been very exciting

NOTE Confidence: 0.9952484

 $00:00:45.235 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.735$ year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97005653

 $00:00:46.515 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.055$ over the past ten years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97005653

00:00:48.354 --> 00:00:50.675 My my pain is And,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97005653

 $00:00:50.915 \longrightarrow 00:00:52.034$ this is what we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.97005653

 $00:00:52.034 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.395$ all the time. I cannot

NOTE Confidence: 0.97005653

 $00:00:53.475 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.755$ This patient had a breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.97005653

 $00:00:54.755 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.255$ cancer,

 $00:00:56.360 \longrightarrow 00:00:57.899$ and the pain is unbearable

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677826

 $00:00:58.280 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.280$ after radiation, chemo and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677826

 $00:01:00.280 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.600$ Prolia. Nothing worked. And you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677826

 $00{:}01{:}02.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}04.040$ can see actually the femoral

NOTE Confidence: 0.8677826

 $00:01:04.040 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.060$ head seen through the pelvis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95357615

 $00:01:06.920 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.280$ And these are the treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.95357615

 $00:01:08.280 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.560$ we are doing now, twelve

NOTE Confidence: 0.95357615

 $00:01:09.560 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.060$ treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.891067

 $00:01:11.175 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.375$ And most of them are

NOTE Confidence: 0.891067

00:01:12.375 --> 00:01:13.275 open procedure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96597314

 $00:01:14.215 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.895$ and we just stabilize the

NOTE Confidence: 0.96597314

 $00:01:15.895 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.395$ bone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6819054

00:01:16.935 --> 00:01:19.194 So, but But from oncology

NOTE Confidence: 0.9556227

00:01:19.975 --> 00:01:21.334 perspective It it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.88270086

 $00:01:21.735 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.615$ I do not think this

 $00:01:22.615 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.915$ is really right treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.99930257

 $00:01:24.950 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.310$ because we are not really

NOTE Confidence: 0.99930257

 $00:01:26.310 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.810$ addressing

NOTE Confidence: 0.95594716

 $00:01:27.110 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.130$ the radio or chemo resistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.95594716

 $00:01:29.270 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.770$ cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99552345

 $00:01:31.509 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.789$ And this is what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.99552345

 $00:01:32.789 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.770$ have been doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9727278

 $00:01:34.229 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.850$ until twenty sixteen

NOTE Confidence: 0.7057589

 $00:01:36.229 \longrightarrow 00:01:36.729$ nationwide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90285265

 $00:01:37.270 \longrightarrow 00:01:38.729$ actually all over the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99804527

 $00:01:39.314 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.435$ We make a very big

NOTE Confidence: 0.99804527

 $00:01:40.435 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.215$ skin cut to expose

NOTE Confidence: 0.8629178

 $00:01:42.755 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.414$ the hip.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9586489

 $00{:}01{:}43.795 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}45.235$ This particular case is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9586489

 $00:01:45.235 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.735$ thyroid

NOTE Confidence: 0.9762181

 $00:01:46.194 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.334$ papillary cancer

 $00:01:48.115 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.335$ destroying the bone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97002685

 $00{:}01{:}50.034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}51.475$ And then we replace the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97002685

 $00:01:51.475 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.255$ hip using very big implants.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99679613

00:01:54.270 --> 00:01:55.330 But after radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96632344

00:01:56.350 --> 00:01:57.810 patient can get infected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99890256

 $00:01:58.430 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.290$ and we cannot continue

NOTE Confidence: 0.99884826

 $00:02:00.750 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.170$ oncological care due to infection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9831244

 $00:02:04.830 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.330$ I moved from Columbia University

NOTE Confidence: 0.9831244

 $00:02:07.390 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.750$ to Yale in two thousand

NOTE Confidence: 0.9831244

 $00:02:08.750 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.250$ sixteen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9663799

00:02:10.165 --> 00:02:11.625 and I was very fortunate

NOTE Confidence: 0.9663799

00:02:11.845 --> 00:02:13.065 to meet doctor Ladich.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9827941

 $00:02:13.925 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.044$ And this is what I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9827941

00:02:15.044 --> 00:02:16.565 have been dreaming about over

NOTE Confidence: 0.9827941

 $00:02:16.565 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.945$ the past twenty years.

 $00:02:18.565 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.785$ And we

NOTE Confidence: 0.99259835

 $00:02:20.085 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.825$ have developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991208

00:02:21.525 --> 00:02:23.465 a minimally invasive procedure

NOTE Confidence: 0.86798114

 $00:02:24.770 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.470$ for all the skeletal metastases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97097474

 $00:02:27.330 \longrightarrow 00:02:29.269$ just like for all percutaneous

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435443

 $00{:}02{:}29.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}31.110$ procedures for a neurysm

NOTE Confidence: 0.98477966

 $00:02:31.889 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.629$ and cardiac valve replacement surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95340693

 $00:02:35.489 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.590$ Instead of making big incision,

NOTE Confidence: 0.977547

 $00{:}02{:}38.375 --> 00{:}02{:}39.415$ we are doing the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.977547

 $00:02:39.415 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.915$ procedure

NOTE Confidence: 0.9568196

00:02:40.215 --> 00:02:41.655 through a very small tiny

NOTE Confidence: 0.9568196

 $00:02:41.655 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.155$ incision.

NOTE Confidence: 0.78766006

00:02:44.375 --> 00:02:45.974 Doctor Ladich, can you join

NOTE Confidence: 0.78766006 00:02:45.974 --> 00:02:46.474 or NOTE Confidence: 0.90428555

00:02:47.095 --> 00:02:48.055 if you cannot join,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92534363

 $00:02:49.175 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.635$ I I am here, unfortunately.

00:02:50.775 --> 00:02:52.110 I had a solitary tooth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92534363

00:02:52.110 --> 00:02:53.310 I can only join by

NOTE Confidence: 0.92534363

 $00:02:53.310 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.350$ audio, but I can hear

NOTE Confidence: 0.92534363 00:02:54.350 --> 00:02:54.850 you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9075731

 $00{:}02{:}55.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}56.270$ Do you see any of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9075731

 $00:02:56.270 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.470$ my slides? Do you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.9075731

 $00:02:57.630 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.430$ Yes. I I see all

NOTE Confidence: 0.9075731

 $00:02:58.430 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.050$ of your slides. Correct. Can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9075731

 $00:03:00.110 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.970$ you add some, with statements?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9075731

 $00:03:02.110 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.310$ This is the movie you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9075731

 $00:03:03.310 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.810$ made.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91998214

 $00:03:06.135 \longrightarrow 00:03:07.975$ Yes. This movie, has a

NOTE Confidence: 0.91998214

00:03:07.975 --> 00:03:09.255 a long backstory, and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.91998214 00:03:09.255 --> 00:03:09.415 will, NOTE Confidence: 0.94982386

 $00:03:10.215 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.655$ spare you, from some of

 $00:03:11.655 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.575$ the, jufier details because it

NOTE Confidence: 0.94982386

00:03:13.575 --> 00:03:14.615 might be in violation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.94982386

00:03:14.615 --> 00:03:15.735 some of our present day

NOTE Confidence: 0.94982386

 $00:03:15.735 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.235$ narratives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83392537 00:03:17.709 --> 00:03:17.950 But,

NOTE Confidence: 0.982954

 $00:03:18.669 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.569$ this shows,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306823

 $00:03:19.870 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.110$ the the procedure that, doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306823

 $00:03:22.110 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.069$ Lee, in fact, is out

NOTE Confidence: 0.8306823

00:03:23.069 --> 00:03:23.650 to AORIF,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9564788

 $00:03:24.349 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.050$ which is a a modification

NOTE Confidence: 0.95361066

 $00:03:26.989 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.730$ of another,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88697577

 $00:03:28.510 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.230$ well known,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9590041

00:03:29.935 --> 00:03:31.114 surgical acronym,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8688322

00:03:31.694 --> 00:03:34.275 where a stands for, ablation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8688322

 $00:03:34.334 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.394$ o stands

NOTE Confidence: 0.96096647

 $00:03:35.855 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.435$ for osteoplasty,

 $00:03:37.215 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.155$ with a compliant balloon, typically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83079696

 $00:03:39.775 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.314 R$ is reinforcement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994215

 $00:03:41.775 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.275$ typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8910402

 $00:03:42.575 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.915$ cement reinforcement and internal fixation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9068736

 $00:03:45.440 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.240$ So as you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.9068736

 $00:03:46.240 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.600$ from the animation, this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9068736

 $00:03:47.600 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.060$ all done for continuously

NOTE Confidence: 0.98128414

 $00:03:49.360 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.300$ using a combination of fluoroscopy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9162421

 $00:03:52.480 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.860$ and cone beam CT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743395

 $00:03:54.880 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.480$ We are also able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743395

 $00:03:56.480 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.720$ do these with conventional CT

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743395

 $00:03:58.720 \longrightarrow 00:03:59.920$ in some cases, and we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743395

 $00:03:59.920 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.980$ looking to actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.961314

00:04:02.705 --> 00:04:04.245 make this even more precise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9483787

 $00:04:05.305 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.665$ and,

 $00:04:07.025 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.785$ probably even less minimally invasive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7091517

 $00{:}04{:}08.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}10.065$ That is actually even possible

NOTE Confidence: 0.7091517

 $00:04:10.065 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.805$ by using

NOTE Confidence: 0.92180157

 $00:04:11.105 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.605$ navigation and hopefully robotics someday.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94585305

 $00:04:15.390 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.490$ So the, the approach is

NOTE Confidence: 0.94585305 00:04:17.550 --> 00:04:17.870 that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9625716

00:04:18.509 --> 00:04:19.009 using,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7776245

00:04:19.710 --> 00:04:20.930 horoscopic guidance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743925

 $00{:}04{:}21.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}23.789$ and basic anatomical landmarks, we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743925

 $00:04:23.789 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.150$ make a tiny little incision

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743925

 $00{:}04{:}25.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}26.190$ just wide enough to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743925

 $00:04:26.190 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.089$ able to accommodate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9563259

 $00:04:28.430 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.889$ one of our guide wires,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79966915

 $00:04:30.875 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.975$ and then advance it using

NOTE Confidence: 0.79966915

 $00:04:33.275 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.495$ multi plane velocities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743904

 $00:04:36.154 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.615$ into and through our lesion,

00:04:37.995 --> 00:04:39.675 target lesion. Typically, in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.92657626

 $00:04:39.675 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.875$ case, for example, in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92657626

 $00:04:40.875 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.375$ acetabulum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9714776

 $00:04:42.075 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.275$ we may place a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.9714776

 $00:04:43.275 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.075$ guide wires,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99910766

 $00:04:44.555 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.455$ depending on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314556

 $00:04:46.140 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.660$ the size and location of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314556

 $00:04:47.660 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.500$ the lesion and the need

NOTE Confidence: 0.9314556

 $00:04:49.500 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.240$ for stabilization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98827934

 $00:04:51.420 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.540$ Once we have the guide

NOTE Confidence: 0.98827934

 $00:04:52.540 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.920$ wire in place, essentially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96382123

 $00:04:54.860 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.820$ half the battle is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.722083

00:04:57.020 --> 00:04:58.560 is, you know, is complete.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9305336

 $00:04:59.285 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.385$ Now we have our access,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99119955

 $00:05:01.845 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.505$ and then,

 $00:05:03.125 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.645$ over the guide wire, we

NOTE Confidence: 0.90695685

 $00:05:04.645 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.145$ placed

NOTE Confidence: 0.7928577

 $00:05:05.845 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.764$ a a cannulated screw that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7928577

 $00:05:07.764 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.104$ has an, open inner channel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7928577

 $00:05:10.165 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.665$ obviously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89805573

00:05:11.060 --> 00:05:12.520 And now through that channel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89805573

 $00:05:12.660 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.540$ that's so we have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.89805573

 $00:05:13.540 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.000$ portal into the lesion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96286327

 $00{:}05{:}15.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}17.060$ So through that channel, now

NOTE Confidence: 0.96286327

 $00:05:17.060 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.520$ we can perform an ablation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9379596

 $00{:}05{:}18.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}20.660$ thermal ablation. Typically, we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.9379596

 $00:05:20.660 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.180$ radio frequency, but one can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9379596

 $00:05:22.180 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.940$ perform any type of an

NOTE Confidence: 0.9379596

 $00:05:23.940 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.440$ ablated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9699714

 $00:05:25.955 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.414$ procedure one would like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8250553

 $00{:}05{:}28.595 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}30.275$ Some places, some centers use

 $00:05:30.275 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.775$ cryoablation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94414455

 $00{:}05{:}31.875 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}33.335$ There can be some challenges

NOTE Confidence: 0.94414455

 $00:05:33.395 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.395$ with cryoablation and injection of

NOTE Confidence: 0.94414455

 $00:05:35.395 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.414$ cement because they can obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475151

 $00{:}05{:}37.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}40.110$ cryo create a general size

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475151

 $00:05:40.110 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.670$ fall. It might be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475151

 $00:05:40.670 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.110$ little harder to inject cement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475151

 $00:05:42.110 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.230$ So we typically will use

NOTE Confidence: 0.8475151

 $00:05:43.230 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.050$ heat ablation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9459381

 $00:05:44.990 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.750$ Once we are, done with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9459381

 $00:05:46.990 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.490$ ablating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9486494

 $00:05:48.430 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.210$ we remove our ablation probe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.997220300:05:51.470 --> 00:05:51.970 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.78842133

 $00:05:52.604 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.865$ typically place the, the compliance

NOTE Confidence: 0.78842133

 $00:05:55.005 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.944$ balloon. And by the way,

00:05:58.125 --> 00:05:59.565 we initially borrowed much of

NOTE Confidence: 0.88442916

 $00:05:59.565 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.845$ this tool kit from the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5494022

00:06:01.645 --> 00:06:03.724 from, the protein block here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.5494022

 $00:06:03.724 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.224$ kyphoplasty

NOTE Confidence: 0.87870055

 $00:06:04.525 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.365$ set. So it's essentially much

NOTE Confidence: 0.87870055

 $00:06:06.365 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.810$ of the same tool. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.87870055

 $00:06:07.810 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.089$ with the balloon, we pushed

NOTE Confidence: 0.87870055

 $00:06:09.089 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.529$ away some of that scar

NOTE Confidence: 0.87870055

00:06:10.529 --> 00:06:11.990 to destroy super tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.9829114

00:06:12.529 --> 00:06:14.150 to create a central cavity

NOTE Confidence: 0.7603361

 $00:06:14.850 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.210$ because, believe it or not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7603361

 $00:06:16.210 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.110$ as I know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91035306

 $00:06:17.890 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.490$ even with litig lesions, there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.91035306

 $00:06:19.490 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.005$ still it's not an empty

NOTE Confidence: 0.91035306

00:06:21.005 --> 00:06:22.625 space, obviously. It's not entirely

NOTE Confidence: 0.91035306

 $00:06:22.845 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.645$ a a hole in the

 $00{:}06{:}23.645 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}25.324$ bone. There's still some matrix

NOTE Confidence: 0.91035306

 $00{:}06{:}25.324 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}27.105$ there whether it's mucinous, sigma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91035306

 $00:06:27.245 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.604$ something else. So once we

NOTE Confidence: 0.91035306

 $00:06:28.604 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.125$ have ablated, we push that

NOTE Confidence: 0.91035306

 $00:06:30.125 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.165$ tissue out of the way

NOTE Confidence: 0.91035306

 $00:06:31.165 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.705$ to create a a central

NOTE Confidence: 0.91035306

 $00:06:32.764 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.264$ pocket

NOTE Confidence: 0.97614336

 $00:06:33.710 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.990$ that'll allow us to now

NOTE Confidence: 0.97614336

 $00:06:34.990 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.290$ inject bone cement

NOTE Confidence: 0.9675667

 $00:06:37.150 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.930$ to help with reinforcement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.995442

 $00:06:39.950 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.310$ And now that we once

NOTE Confidence: 0.995442

 $00:06:41.310 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.410$ we have, cement deposited,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9514815

 $00:06:43.870 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.710$ we drill the crew through

NOTE Confidence: 0.9514815

 $00:06:45.710 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.230$ it into their final resting

NOTE Confidence: 0.9514815

 $00:06:47.230 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.964$ position. Now we also have

 $00:06:48.964 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.604$ access to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90615684

00:06:50.245 --> 00:06:52.004 screws that have side holes

NOTE Confidence: 0.90615684

 $00:06:52.004 \longrightarrow 00:06:53.205$ along their lines. So now

NOTE Confidence: 0.90615684

 $00:06:53.205 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.565$ we can actually advance the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90615684

 $00:06:54.565 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.944$ screw into their,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9494385

00:06:56.404 --> 00:06:57.464 let's say, final,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98952293

 $00:06:57.845 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.745$ resting position

NOTE Confidence: 0.95982146

 $00:06:59.125 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.504$ before we inject cement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93428874

 $00:07:00.884 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.324$ And once we inject cement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93428874 $00:07:02.324 \longrightarrow 00:07:02.824$ we,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9442353

 $00{:}07{:}03.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}05.529$ usually within about twenty minutes

NOTE Confidence: 0.93643165

 $00:07:05.910 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.870$ of the time when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.93643165

 $00:07:06.870 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.010$ mix up the cement.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337485

 $00:07:08.550 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.070$ Within twenty minutes minutes, that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337485

 $00:07:10.070 \longrightarrow 00:07:11.510$ cement is rock solid and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8337485

 $00{:}07{:}11.510 --> 00{:}07{:}12.169 \ {\rm the \ construct}$

 $00:07:12.630 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.370$ is stable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98855084

 $00:07:14.470 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.450$ We come out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83659154

 $00:07:16.015 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.555$ close the tiny little incisions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83659154

00:07:17.695 --> 00:07:19.935 the features, staples, etcetera, put

NOTE Confidence: 0.83659154

 $00:07:19.935 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.015$ little bandages on, patient goes

NOTE Confidence: 0.83659154

 $00:07:22.015 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.795$ to recovery, and typically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9715856

 $00:07:24.175 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.855$ most of the time, goes

NOTE Confidence: 0.9715856

 $00:07:25.855 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.535$ home the, the same night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9715856

 $00:07:27.535 \longrightarrow 00:07:28.655$ So that's the, the long

NOTE Confidence: 0.9715856

 $00:07:28.655 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.060$ and short of the, the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9715856

00:07:30.060 --> 00:07:31.260 procedure. So I'll turn it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9715856

00:07:31.260 --> 00:07:32.640 over back to doctor Lima.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9951055

 $00{:}07{:}33.260 --> 00{:}07{:}34.540$ Thank you so much. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.9951055

 $00{:}07{:}34.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}36.560$ this, technique was developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.92442214

 $00:07:37.020 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.840$ at Yale,

00:07:38.220 --> 00:07:39.820 and the patient's actually flying

NOTE Confidence: 0.9419195 $00:07:39.820 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.320$ in. NOTE Confidence: 0.88612133

 $00:07:40.700 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.460$ And, patients go somewhere the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88612133

 $00:07:42.460 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.200$ same day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8943142

 $00:07:43.625 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.845$ So we are provide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9426827

00:07:45.945 --> 00:07:47.485 really care. We are providing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9426827

 $00{:}07{:}47.545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}49.245$ care for medical oncologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.9879093

 $00:07:50.025 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.345$ so that oncologist can give

NOTE Confidence: 0.9879093

 $00{:}07{:}52.345 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}54.445$ chemotherapy the next day without

NOTE Confidence: 0.9879093

00:07:54.505 --> 00:07:56.125 waiting two or three weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99881434

 $00:07:56.505 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.385$ That used to be the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99881434

00:07:57.385 --> 00:07:59.005 case after open surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9957805

 $00:08:00.050 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.569$ So we see patients in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9957805

00:08:01.569 --> 00:08:02.870 Trumbull, New Haven,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8519149

 $00:08:03.569 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.789$ and also Stanford,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7786238

 $00{:}08{:}05.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}06.530$ and we are well

 $00{:}08{:}07.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}08.849$ we'll be glad to assist

NOTE Confidence: 0.9749898

 $00:08:08.849 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.789$ to your medical oncology care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9412762

 $00:08:12.544 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.165$ So this is another example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9412762

 $00:08:14.465 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.324$ three year breast cancer patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89290017

 $00:08:17.745 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.105$ Patient couldn't walk. This is

NOTE Confidence: 0.89290017

 $00:08:19.105 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.465$ a de novo diagnosis of

NOTE Confidence: 0.89290017

 $00:08:20.465 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.525$ a stage four,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9814275

 $00:08:22.145 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.525$ and we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.73438376 00:08:23.985 --> 00:08:24.465 our,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9460947

 $00{:}08{:}24.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}26.405$ minimally invasive procedure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8614438

 $00:08:27.349 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.710$ And she was able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8614438

 $00:08:28.710 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.210$ emulate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8471721

 $00:08:30.150 \longrightarrow 00:08:31.050$ the next day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95979786

 $00:08:31.590 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.809$ and she sustained,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991336

 $00:08:33.270 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.250$ for four years.

 $00:08:36.230 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.830$ This is another case, same

NOTE Confidence: 0.9648631

 $00:08:37.830 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.130$ breast cancer patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98863107

 $00:08:39.910 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.010$ Patient is now five year

NOTE Confidence: 0.97253907

 $00:08:43.135 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.274$ out of the procedure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95695686

00:08:45.214 --> 00:08:45.954 And nowadays,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98345727

00:08:46.575 --> 00:08:47.454 all of you made a

NOTE Confidence: 0.98345727

00:08:47.454 --> 00:08:47.954 miracle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96605015

00:08:48.415 --> 00:08:50.675 Cancers are manageable disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9763277

 $00:08:51.295 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.675$ and we can facilitate

NOTE Confidence: 0.9758494

00:08:53.454 --> 00:08:54.274 your oncological

NOTE Confidence: 0.9962921

 $00:08:54.575 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.075$ care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87316

 $00:08:56.279 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.920$ Even though this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.87316

 $00{:}08{:}57.079 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}58.199$ So another patient with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.87316

 $00:08:58.199 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.639$ renal cell cancer, you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.87316

 $00:08:59.639 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.380$ see hypervascularity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9226119

 $00:09:02.040 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.160$ and the patient can go

 $00:09:03.160 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.380$ home on the same day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9226119

00:09:04.519 --> 00:09:05.399 Can you get out of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9226119

 $00:09:05.399 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.519$ the bed? This is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9226119

 $00:09:06.519 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.019$ movie

NOTE Confidence: 0.9246996

 $00:09:07.320 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.860$ at the recovery rooms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97489667

 $00:09:09.559 \longrightarrow 00:09:10.759$ So three or four hours

NOTE Confidence: 0.97489667

 $00:09:10.759 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.259$ later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92345417

00:09:12.205 --> 00:09:13.345 the one hour procedure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.75866354

00:09:14.765 --> 00:09:16.525 the patient really worked with

NOTE Confidence: 0.75866354

 $00:09:16.525 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.585$ all of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9297975

 $00:09:18.684 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.565$ So the problem is my

NOTE Confidence: 0.9297975

00:09:19.565 --> 00:09:21.085 throat can And this can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9297975

00:09:21.085 --> 00:09:22.684 be also very powerful for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9297975

 $00:09:22.684 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.745$ multiple lesions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.71959174

 $00:09:24.370 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.890$ Patient had a bilateral as

 $00:09:25.890 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.790$ couple of fractures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87805593

 $00:09:27.809 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.490$ right to femoral lesions, left

NOTE Confidence: 0.87805593

 $00:09:29.490 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.710$ to femoral neck fracture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9905729

 $00:09:31.410 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.410$ everything in one stage, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9905729

 $00:09:33.410 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.530$ the patient went home on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9905729

 $00:09:34.530 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.510$ the same day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91551733

00:09:37.775 --> 00:09:39.135 Patient also had a very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91551733

00:09:39.455 --> 00:09:41.715 radiation and the chemo refractory

NOTE Confidence: 0.6257342

 $00:09:42.495 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.535$ had just a cell phone

NOTE Confidence: 0.6257342

 $00:09:43.535 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.035$ with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9408494

 $00:09:44.655 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.855$ the spine and the left

NOTE Confidence: 0.9408494

 $00:09:45.855 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.595$ hip lesion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321194

00:09:47.375 --> 00:09:48.835 We were able to address

NOTE Confidence: 0.9321194

 $00:09:48.895 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.135$ both,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99201894

 $00:09:50.490 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.950$ spine and hip

NOTE Confidence: 0.89519817

 $00:09:52.410 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.550$ on the one anesthesia,

 $00{:}09{:}54.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}55.690$ and patient was able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9791479

 $00:09:55.690 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.070$ ambulate right away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9496663

 $00:10:00.490 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.190$ Another case of breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9496663

 $00:10:02.490 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.710$ after five years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9643499

00:10:04.205 --> 00:10:05.585 The breast cancer relapsed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95557666

00:10:06.365 --> 00:10:07.105 and, unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94250715

 $00:10:07.405 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.005$ the bone guality became

NOTE Confidence: 0.94250715

 $00:10:09.005 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.825$ very poor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9041111

 $00:10:10.605 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.665$ We did the reconstruction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87388545

 $00:10:12.365 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.485$ and the patient is still

NOTE Confidence: 0.87388545

 $00:10:13.485 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.985$ alive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9771173

00:10:15.804 --> 00:10:16.705 So in summary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98632085

00:10:17.565 --> 00:10:19.085 we will be very happy

NOTE Confidence: 0.98632085

 $00:10:19.085 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.745$ to provide

NOTE Confidence: 0.9978152

00:10:20.600 --> 00:10:22.300 a minimally invasive procedure

 $00:10:23.160 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.579$ for your effective oncology care

NOTE Confidence: 0.9683379

 $00:10:25.880 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.779$ without interruption.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9420244

 $00:10:27.320 \longrightarrow 00:10:28.279$ And I thank you so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9420244

 $00:10:28.279 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.639$ much for listening, and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9420244

 $00:10:29.639 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.920$ will stop here. And if

NOTE Confidence: 0.9420244

 $00{:}10{:}30.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}32.600$ there are any questions, doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9420244

00:10:32.600 --> 00:10:33.100 Latician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988354

 $00:10:33.480 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.100 I$ can answer any questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988354

 $00:10:35.320 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.985$ Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9888203

 $00:10:38.945 \longrightarrow 00:10:39.765$ That's great,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8552059

 $00:10:40.385 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.885$ Francis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9698781

 $00:10:41.505 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.404$ Really excellent

NOTE Confidence: 0.93458027

 $00:10:43.904 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.345$ demonstration of what you and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93458027

 $00:10:45.665 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.184$ Igor can do. So thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.93458027

 $00:10:47.184 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.005$ you for

NOTE Confidence: 0.7709923

 $00:10:48.545 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.445$ sharing those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9982297 00:10:50.304 --> 00:10:50.804 I

NOTE Confidence: 0.99975616

 $00:10:51.720 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.800$ don't see anything in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997561600:10:53.800 --> 00:10:54.300 chat

00:10:54.920 --> 00:10:56.440 right now, but,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98466045

NOTE Confidence: 0.9264932

 $00:10:57.959 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.160$ if there are any, then

NOTE Confidence: 0.98466045

00:10:59.160 --> 00:11:00.620 I think Emily can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994378

 $00:11:01.800 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.019$ send them to you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.957791

 $00:11:04.355 \longrightarrow 00:11:05.714$ But in the meantime, while

NOTE Confidence: 0.957791

00:11:05.714 --> 00:11:07.334 people are thinking of questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9990556

 $00:11:08.035 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.334$ I'll just move on

NOTE Confidence: 0.988447300:11:09.875 --> 00:11:10.375 to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.939474

 $00:11:12.115 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.554$ the the next part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.939474

00:11:13.554 --> 00:11:14.295 the slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9432683

 $00:11:14.834 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.654$ of the talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96382827

00:11:17.300 --> 00:11:18.580 And if I can figure

 $00:11:18.580 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.800$ out how to share my

NOTE Confidence: 0.91556454

 $00:11:22.980 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.480$ slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5903733 00:11:29.775 --> 00:11:30.275 I NOTE Confidence: 0.99653244

 $00:11:41.615 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.115$ apologize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99024874

 $00:11:42.495 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.255$ Technology is not my best

NOTE Confidence: 0.99024874

 $00:11:44.255 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.755$ friend.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876045

 $00:11:46.440 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.640$ Is that can you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876045

 $00:11:47.640 \longrightarrow 00:11:48.700$ the slides now?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9332678

 $00:11:51.800 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.100$ I can see that. Yes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9332678

 $00:11:53.320 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.980$ Oh, great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98787874 00:11:54.839 --> 00:11:55.339 So NOTE Confidence: 0.89816886

00:11:55.720 --> 00:11:57.559 thank you, doctor Ladich and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89816886

00:11:57.559 --> 00:11:59.559 doctor Lee for starting this

NOTE Confidence: 0.89816886

 $00:11:59.559 \longrightarrow 00:12:00.645$ seminar, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994557

 $00:12:01.125 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.885$ I'm also very proud to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994557

 $00:12:02.885 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.645$ be a part of such

 $00:12:04.645 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.225$ a great

NOTE Confidence: 0.9601521

 $00:12:05.765 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.085$ team that involves also doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9601521

 $00:12:08.085 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.684$ Alino as well, who should

NOTE Confidence: 0.9601521

00:12:09.684 --> 00:12:12.245 be hopefully joining us fairly

NOTE Confidence: 0.9601521

 $00{:}12{:}12.245 --> 00{:}12{:}12.745 \ \mathrm{soon}.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9567542

 $00:12:13.769 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.970$ So we've heard about some

NOTE Confidence: 0.9567542

 $00:12:14.970 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.990$ of the newer surgical techniques

NOTE Confidence: 0.9567542

 $00:12:17.209 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.290$ from doctor Lee and doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9567542

 $00:12:19.290 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.790$ Laddich.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99526834

 $00:12:21.290 \longrightarrow 00:12:22.250$ I'll spend the rest of

NOTE Confidence: 0.99526834

 $00:12:22.250 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.389$ the time focusing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992983

 $00:12:23.690 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.790$ on soft tissue and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9687362

 $00:12:26.774 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.675$ bone sarcomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99418473

 $00:12:28.535 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.754$ Now the WHO

NOTE Confidence: 0.99914896

 $00:12:30.054 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.954$ has classified

 $00:12:31.415 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.795$ soft tissue and bone

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994142

 $00:12:33.254 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.754$ tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.97611666

00:12:34.774 --> 00:12:36.934 into four different categories. Many

NOTE Confidence: 0.97611666

 $00:12:36.934 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.235$ of you will be familiar

NOTE Confidence: 0.97611666

 $00:12:38.454 \longrightarrow 00:12:40.640$ with benign lesions such as

NOTE Confidence: 0.97611666

 $00:12:40.640 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.140$ lipomas

NOTE Confidence: 0.9709262

 $00:12:42.000 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.540$ and malignant lesions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8456394

 $00:12:44.080 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.540$ which include sarcomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9908033

 $00:12:46.320 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.679$ But there are also two

NOTE Confidence: 0.9908033

 $00:12:47.679 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.820$ other categories,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991213

 $00:12:49.600 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.340$ and these

NOTE Confidence: 0.979759

 $00:12:50.720 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.440$ include intermediate grade tumors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.979759

00:12:53.440 --> 00:12:55.135 are rarely metastasizing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9911413

 $00{:}12{:}55.675 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}57.054$ And I put one example

NOTE Confidence: 0.96922797

 $00:12:57.515 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.135$ as a giant cell tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9145566

 $00{:}12{:}59.595 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}01.535$ and intermediate grade tumors

 $00{:}13{:}02.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}04.175$ that are locally aggressive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99874884

 $00:13:04.875 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.015$ And these include

NOTE Confidence: 0.99563706

 $00{:}13{:}06.519 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}07.559$ something I'm gonna spend a

NOTE Confidence: 0.99563706

00:13:07.559 --> 00:13:09.079 little more time on, which

NOTE Confidence: 0.99563706

 $00:13:09.079 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.380$ is desmoid tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9894333

 $00{:}13{:}13.079 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}15.019$ The management of soft tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.9894333

 $00:13:15.160 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.420$ and bone tumors in general

NOTE Confidence: 0.99517983

 $00:13:18.040 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.240$ is similar to many of

NOTE Confidence: 0.99517983

 $00:13:19.240 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.820$ the malignancies

NOTE Confidence: 0.9950529

 $00:13:20.200 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.815$ that we see in oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9950529

 $00:13:21.955 \longrightarrow 00:13:23.335$ So the main treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.9427505

00:13:24.275 --> 00:13:26.054 historically has been surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98463655

 $00:13:27.075 \longrightarrow 00:13:28.054$ But these days,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89221174

00:13:28.835 --> 00:13:30.035 as just like you heard

NOTE Confidence: 0.89221174

 $00:13:30.035 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.395$ from doctor Lee and doctor

00:13:31.395 --> 00:13:31.895 Ladich,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96858776

 $00{:}13{:}32.515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}34.195$ other techniques have been used

NOTE Confidence: 0.96858776

00:13:34.195 --> 00:13:35.760 because surgery can be very

NOTE Confidence: 0.96858776

 $00:13:35.760 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.220$ morbid or it might delay

NOTE Confidence: 0.96858776

 $00:13:37.360 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.179$ other treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98485124

 $00:13:39.120 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.160$ So various ablative techniques similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.98485124

00:13:42.160 --> 00:13:43.279 to what you've just seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.98485124

 $00:13:43.279 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.179$ have been tried

NOTE Confidence: 0.7526181

 $00:13:44.880 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.540$ as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.99932694

 $00:13:45.840 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.500$ as radiotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9694912

 $00:13:47.655 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.555$ and medical management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96765435

 $00:13:49.095 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.635$ So this includes chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99811465

00:13:51.575 --> 00:13:52.555 targeted therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997126

 $00:13:53.175 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.155$ and immunotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9960525

 $00:13:56.695 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.055$ So my first case is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9960525

 $00:13:58.055 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.175$ actually a case of a

 $00:13:59.175 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.095$ patient with a desmoid tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9960525

 $00:14:01.095 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.995$ This is also

NOTE Confidence: 0.99920356

 $00:14:02.615 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.515$ known as

NOTE Confidence: 0.974452700:14:04.160 --> 00:14:04.639 a NOTE Confidence: 0.9943047

 $00{:}14{:}05.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}06.579$ an aggressive fibromatosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997301

 $00:14:07.120 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.480$ It goes by many different

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997301

 $00:14:08.480 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.980$ names.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99974626

00:14:09.679 --> 00:14:10.880 This is a thirty nine

NOTE Confidence: 0.99974626

00:14:10.880 --> 00:14:11.860 year old woman

NOTE Confidence: 0.9451005

00:14:12.559 --> 00:14:14.639 who, in September last year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9451005

 $00:14:14.639 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.139$ noted

NOTE Confidence: 0.9950575

 $00:14:15.519 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.880$ a lump in the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.9950575

00:14:16.880 --> 00:14:17.380 axilla.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9956687

 $00:14:18.304 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.365$ The following month,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97470725

 $00:14:19.745 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.505$ she had an ultrasound which

00:14:21.505 --> 00:14:23.505 confirmed a mass and measured

NOTE Confidence: 0.97470725

00:14:23.505 --> 00:14:25.425 it at six point nine

NOTE Confidence: 0.97470725

 $00:14:25.425 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.525$ by three by five centimeters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9894438

 $00:14:28.545 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.225$ This was confirmed on an

NOTE Confidence: 0.9894438

 $00:14:30.225 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.365$ MRI scan,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9927495

 $00:14:31.790 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.070$ which suggested it was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9927495

00:14:33.070 --> 00:14:35.070 little bit larger, seven point

NOTE Confidence: 0.9927495

 $00:14:35.070 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.070$ nine by five point two

NOTE Confidence: 0.9927495

 $00{:}14{:}37.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}38.770$ by four point eight centimeters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977401

00:14:39.790 --> 00:14:41.970 And an ultrasound guided biopsy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9941716

 $00:14:42.670 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.490$ showed pathological

NOTE Confidence: 0.99978095

 $00:14:44.030 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.530$ features

NOTE Confidence: 0.9901768

 $00{:}14{:}45.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}47.330$ consistent with a desmoid tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99865025

00:14:49.605 --> 00:14:50.885 So I don't know if,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98938715

 $00:14:51.445 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.665$ Igor, if you're still

NOTE Confidence: 0.9793121

 $00:14:53.365 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.125$ able to talk, but, I

00:14:55.125 --> 00:14:55.845 I don't know if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9793121

 $00{:}14{:}55.845 --> 00{:}14{:}57.305$ can take us through this

NOTE Confidence: 0.99532086

00:14:57.685 --> 00:14:58.825 MRI picture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8951878

00:15:00.500 --> 00:15:01.540 Yes. I am I am

NOTE Confidence: 0.8951878

 $00:15:01.540 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.000$ I am here. Okay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9585299 00:15:03.700 --> 00:15:04.200 So NOTE Confidence: 0.9243514

 $00:15:05.220 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.220$ we see this, irregular. If

NOTE Confidence: 0.9243514

 $00:15:07.220 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.180$ if you were looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9243514

 $00:15:08.180 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.540$ an X-ray, I suppose one

NOTE Confidence: 0.9243514

00:15:09.540 --> 00:15:11.140 could almost call it a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9243514

 $00{:}15{:}11.140 --> 00{:}15{:}11.640 \ {\rm spiculated},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.99646264

00:15:12.180 --> 00:15:12.680 lesion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9009824

 $00{:}15{:}13.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}15.384$ which is fairly characteristic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97872883

 $00:15:16.084 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.125$ appearance for a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97872883

 $00:15:17.125 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.625$ these,

 $00:15:19.444 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.944$ desmoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.6046505

 $00:15:20.324 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.785$ and aggressive fibro motility.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93629277

 $00:15:22.485 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.564$ They're typically not very well

NOTE Confidence: 0.93629277

00:15:24.564 --> 00:15:26.165 circumscribed. They they tend to

NOTE Confidence: 0.93629277

 $00:15:26.165 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.064$ kind of invaginate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966761

 $00:15:27.365 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.725$ themselves to all sorts of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966761

00:15:28.725 --> 00:15:30.480 tissue planes, which is what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966761

00:15:30.480 --> 00:15:32.320 sometimes makes them a challenge

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966761

 $00:15:32.320 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.480$ to, surgically respect for instance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966761

 $00:15:34.480 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.760$ It's it's not always easy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966761

 $00:15:35.760 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.840$ to get very clean margins

NOTE Confidence: 0.8966761

 $00:15:37.840 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.900$ because they are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7193383

 $00:15:39.280 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.540$ they're they're so easy regular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7193383

 $00:15:41.840 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.220$ jagged almost. And,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92905486

 $00:15:43.920 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.060$ you can see this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9523562

00:15:45.735 --> 00:15:46.235 fairly

00:15:46.855 --> 00:15:48.055 typical appearance of it being

NOTE Confidence: 0.898804

 $00{:}15{:}48.055 --> 00{:}15{:}48.555 \text{ very}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.7920313

 $00:15:48.935 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.435$ white,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88622105

 $00:15:50.055 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.635$ on, this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8371301

 $00:15:52.855 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.455$ sequence or contrast enhanced sequence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8371301

 $00:15:54.455 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.975$ Either way, they they tend

NOTE Confidence: 0.8371301

 $00:15:55.975 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.735$ to really light up, quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.8371301

 $00:15:57.735 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.235$ obviously.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91141355

 $00:16:00.069 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.690$ And you can see them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.77752787

 $00:16:02.470 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.670$ the the prongs of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.77752787

00:16:03.670 --> 00:16:04.810 lesion kind of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507099

 $00:16:05.829 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.509$ sticking into various tissue planes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507099

 $00:16:07.509 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.569$ in between muscles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8775218

 $00:16:09.750 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.589$ and and tendons and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8775218

00:16:11.589 --> 00:16:12.329 fat planes.

00:16:13.910 --> 00:16:14.149 So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94310164

 $00:16:15.125 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.485$ this is precisely, like I

NOTE Confidence: 0.94310164

 $00:16:16.485 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.764$ said, what what causes them

NOTE Confidence: 0.94310164

 $00:16:17.764 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.665$ to be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.95633173

 $00:16:19.125 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.825$ a bit of a surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.95633173

 $00{:}16{:}20.885 \to 00{:}16{:}22.805$ dilemma, particularly in this area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95633173

00:16:22.805 --> 00:16:23.845 Now I can't see on

NOTE Confidence: 0.95633173

 $00:16:23.845 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.165$ this one sequence exactly how

NOTE Confidence: 0.95633173

00:16:26.165 --> 00:16:28.404 close the brachial plexus is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95633173

00:16:28.404 --> 00:16:29.285 but, you know, in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95633173

 $00{:}16{:}29.285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}30.330$ axilla, that

NOTE Confidence: 0.98270637

 $00:16:30.810 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.850$ that can always be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.98270637

 $00:16:31.850 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.350$ problem, from a management standpoint

NOTE Confidence: 0.8989025

 $00:16:34.890 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.250$ to try to peel away

NOTE Confidence: 0.8989025

 $00:16:36.250 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.990$ all these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929365

 $00:16:37.530 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.390$ prongs of the lesion away.

00:16:40.090 --> 00:16:40.590 But,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777147

 $00:16:41.050 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.250$ Jen, this is pretty much

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777147

 $00:16:42.250 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.690$ the classical appearance of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8777147

 $00:16:43.690 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.190$ lesion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9479529

00:16:45.285 --> 00:16:46.665 Right. Thank you. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.9357115

 $00:16:47.285 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.885$ and, actually, it's exactly as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9357115

 $00:16:48.885 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.865$ you said. She

NOTE Confidence: 0.9681988

 $00{:}16{:}50.245 --> 00{:}16{:}52.165$ first saw doctor Alino, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9681988

00:16:52.165 --> 00:16:53.065 she pretty much

NOTE Confidence: 0.9784538

 $00:16:53.445 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.644$ said word for word what

NOTE Confidence: 0.9784538

 $00:16:54.644 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.005$ you said. Because of its

NOTE Confidence: 0.9784538

 $00:16:56.005 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.505$ location

NOTE Confidence: 0.9875152

 $00{:}16{:}57.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}58.980$ near the brachial plexus, it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9875152

 $00:16:58.980 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.120$ would have made surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991611

 $00:17:00.900 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.260$ very challenging to try and

 $00:17:02.260 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.860$ get all of this tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991611

00:17:03.860 --> 00:17:04.359 out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98400605

00:17:05.380 --> 00:17:07.300 So desoid tumors are quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.98400605

 $00:17:07.300 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.700$ rare. They affect somewhere between

NOTE Confidence: 0.98400605

 $00:17:09.700 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.920$ three and six

NOTE Confidence: 0.9998671

 $00:17:11.494 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.994$ cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.999119

 $00:17:12.375 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.755$ per million population

NOTE Confidence: 0.99942195

00:17:14.455 --> 00:17:14.955 every

NOTE Confidence: 0.9026926

 $00:17:15.575 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.315$ year. But

NOTE Confidence: 0.9919929

 $00:17:16.855 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.775$ and this, accounts for about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9919929

 $00:17:18.775 \longrightarrow 00:17:20.775$ fifteen hundred cases a year

NOTE Confidence: 0.9919929

 $00:17:20.775 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.994$ in this country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9772861

 $00{:}17{:}22.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}24.695$ However, most patients do not

NOTE Confidence: 0.9772861

 $00:17:24.695 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.915$ die of their disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98027605

 $00:17:26.500 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.700$ So there are many, many

NOTE Confidence: 0.98027605

 $00{:}17{:}27.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}29.960$ more patients living with desmoid

00:17:30.100 --> 00:17:30.600 tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99966323

 $00:17:31.140 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.340$ and this is why the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99966323

 $00:17:32.340 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.359$ prevalence is so much higher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96610165

 $00:17:35.460 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.220$ The median age, it's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.96610165

00:17:37.220 --> 00:17:39.480 young person's disease, so we

NOTE Confidence: 0.96610165

 $00:17:39.744 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.505$ tend to see cancer in

NOTE Confidence: 0.96610165

 $00:17:41.505 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.845$ older populations. But desmoid tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.99210817

 $00:17:44.225 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.265$ tend to have a median

NOTE Confidence: 0.99210817

 $00:17:45.265 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.784$ age of twenty to forty

NOTE Confidence: 0.99210817

 $00:17:46.784 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.984$ four, but it is quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.99210817

 $00:17:47.984 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.684$ variable. I have seen patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.99686617

 $00:17:50.145 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.265$ who are much older, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.99686617

 $00{:}17{:}51.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}53.024$ we've also seen desmoid tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.99686617

 $00:17:53.024 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.765$ in children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968503

00:17:54.859 --> 00:17:57.359 Most desmoid tumors are sporadic,

 $00:17:57.660 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.400$ but there

NOTE Confidence: 0.97702134

 $00:17:58.700 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.080$ are some that are associated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9981825

 $00:18:00.460 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.740$ as many of you will

NOTE Confidence: 0.9981825

00:18:01.740 --> 00:18:02.240 know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98165244

 $00:18:02.540 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.460$ with the FAP gene or

NOTE Confidence: 0.98165244

 $00:18:04.460 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.680$ familial adenomatous

NOTE Confidence: 0.99421954

00:18:06.220 --> 00:18:07.440 polyposis gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9919582

00:18:10.065 --> 00:18:12.385 Desmoid tumors can occur anywhere

NOTE Confidence: 0.9919582

 $00:18:12.385 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.585$ in the body as shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.9919582

00:18:13.585 --> 00:18:15.205 on this particular slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996824

 $00{:}18{:}15.585 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}16.804$ There is a slight

NOTE Confidence: 0.9765622

 $00:18:17.424 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.765$ increase in incidence in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9765622

 $00{:}18{:}19.984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}21.845$ intra abdominal or abdominal

NOTE Confidence: 0.98594904

 $00:18:22.145 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.965$ wall area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9892916

 $00:18:23.659 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.559$ but their symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.93922806

 $00:18:25.340 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.179$ really depend on where the

00:18:27.179 --> 00:18:28.940 tumors are. As as, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93922806

00:18:28.940 --> 00:18:30.140 you can imagine if there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.93922806

 $00:18:30.140 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.359$ a big mass somewhere,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9934521

00:18:31.740 --> 00:18:33.679 it's gonna cause symptoms related

NOTE Confidence: 0.9934521

 $00:18:33.740 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.859$ to the size of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9934521

 $00:18:34.859 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.480$ mass. So this can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9934521

 $00:18:36.700 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.980$ trouble breathing if it's in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9934521

 $00:18:37.980 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.039$ the chest wall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9648617

 $00:18:39.795 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.395$ abdominal distension if it's in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9648617

 $00:18:41.395 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.215$ the abdomen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99028206

 $00{:}18{:}42.915 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}45.415$ and masses or restricted mobility

NOTE Confidence: 0.9966887

 $00:18:45.715 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.335$ if it's in the extremities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9983197

00:18:48.835 --> 00:18:50.375 Over the last few decades,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9983197

 $00:18:50.595 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.715$ a lot of work has

NOTE Confidence: 0.9983197

 $00:18:51.715 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.715$ been done, however, into what

 $00:18:53.715 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.215$ causes

NOTE Confidence: 0.98712736

 $00:18:54.730 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.789$ desmoid tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9975437

 $00:18:56.250 \longrightarrow 00:18:57.210$ And one of the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.9975437

 $00:18:57.210 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.889$ things that was realized is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9975437

 $00:18:58.889 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.269$ it seems to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9917389

 $00:19:00.889 \longrightarrow 00:19:01.710$ an effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.94371486

 $00:19:02.409 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.070$ be more,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6712787

 $00:19:05.690 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.190$ prominent

NOTE Confidence: 0.94806945

 $00{:}19{:}06.730 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}19{:}08.590$ in patients who have hypereestrogenic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8363003

 $00:19:09.450 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.950$ states.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988162

 $00:19:10.625 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.165$ And this can include recent

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988162

 $00:19:12.305 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.805$ pregnancies

NOTE Confidence: 0.975029

00:19:13.665 --> 00:19:15.345 as well as women more

NOTE Confidence: 0.975029

 $00:19:15.345 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.085$ than men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9989935

 $00:19:17.105 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.625$ It actually led to one

NOTE Confidence: 0.9989935

 $00:19:18.625 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.525$ of the first

 $00{:}19{:}19.905 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}21.845$ medical treatments being tried

NOTE Confidence: 0.96253103

 $00:19:22.305 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.285$ as being tamoxifen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97700804

 $00:19:23.744 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.740$ which is an estrogen receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9544208

 $00:19:26.140 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.640$ modifier

NOTE Confidence: 0.9947096

 $00:19:27.020 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.460$ that we use to treat

NOTE Confidence: 0.9947096

 $00:19:28.460 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.359$ breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997304

00:19:29.900 --> 00:19:30.640 It wasn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9964629

 $00:19:31.020 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.100$ very successful, but we still

NOTE Confidence: 0.9964629

 $00:19:33.100 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.960$ very occasionally use that medication

NOTE Confidence: 0.9964629

 $00:19:35.100 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.600$ today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996823

 $00{:}19{:}36.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}37.280 \ \mathrm{Similarly},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9961767

 $00{:}19{:}37.915 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}39.994$ some desmoid tumors occurred at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9961767

 $00:19:39.994 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.775$ the site of prior surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.98610353

00:19:42.315 --> 00:19:44.635 or prior trauma, suggesting that

NOTE Confidence: 0.98610353

 $00:19:44.635 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.575$ it was that traumatic event

 $00:19:47.035 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.555$ that ended up causing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993706

 $00:19:48.555 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.055$ tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984992

00:19:51.650 --> 00:19:53.090 Most of us get our

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984992

 $00:19:53.090 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.850$ treatment guidelines from something called

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984992

 $00:19:54.850 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.670$ the NCCN,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987516

 $00:19:56.050 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.670$ which is a national guideline

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987516

 $00:19:57.730 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.470$ for treating

NOTE Confidence: 0.96812236

 $00:19:58.770 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.670$ every cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9538569

 $00:20:00.290 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.130$ And the treatment guidelines for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9538569

00:20:02.130 --> 00:20:03.910 Desmoid tumors have changed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9989151

 $00:20:04.290 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.790$ extensively

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996964

 $00:20:05.684 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.304$ over the last few decades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9972956

 $00:20:08.644 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.325$ What we suggest these days

NOTE Confidence: 0.9972956

 $00:20:10.325 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.524$ is if you have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9972956

00:20:11.524 --> 00:20:13.384 patient with a desmoid tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996056

 $00:20:13.684 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.744$ they should be

 $00:20:16.005 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.625$ evaluated by a multidisciplinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.9613466

 $00:20:18.404 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.845$ team such as the one

NOTE Confidence: 0.9613466

 $00:20:19.845 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.150$ we have here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94994974

 $00:20:22.190 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.650$ This way we can decide

NOTE Confidence: 0.94994974

 $00:20:23.790 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.310$ whether we should go with

NOTE Confidence: 0.94994974

 $00:20:25.310 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.290$ the standard

NOTE Confidence: 0.9696311

 $00:20:26.990 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.590$ first line treatment, which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9696311

00:20:28.590 --> 00:20:29.810 actually surveillance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986014

 $00:20:30.510 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.790$ or whether they need any

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986014

 $00:20:31.790 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.810$ of our other techniques that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986014

 $00:20:34.109 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.390$ have been mentioned in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986014

 $00:20:35.390 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.890$ talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.958098

 $00:20:38.405 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.565$ So as usual for any

NOTE Confidence: 0.958098

 $00:20:40.565 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.244$ medical condition, we need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.958098

 $00:20:42.244 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.605$ do a good history and

 $00:20:43.605 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.665$ fit and physical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9723781

00:20:45.125 --> 00:20:46.405 And this is really for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9723781

00:20:46.405 --> 00:20:47.765 patients who have just been

NOTE Confidence: 0.9723781

00:20:47.765 --> 00:20:49.285 diagnosed to see whether they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9723781

 $00:20:49.285 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.744$ need further workup

NOTE Confidence: 0.9581485

00:20:52.119 --> 00:20:53.500 for familial adenomatous

NOTE Confidence: 0.92732286

 $00:20:53.880 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.380$ polyposis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9975299

 $00:20:55.320 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.760$ Because if they do have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9975299

 $00:20:56.760 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.440$ that condition, especially if they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9975299

00:20:58.440 --> 00:20:59.179 very young,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99829865

 $00{:}20{:}59.640 --> 00{:}21{:}01.500$ then they'll need much more

NOTE Confidence: 0.99829865

 $00:21:01.559 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.460$ intense screening for colorectal cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9724887

 $00:21:04.920 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.380$ as shown on this particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.9724887

 $00:21:06.600 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.100$ slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99870694

00:21:07.715 --> 00:21:08.215 Otherwise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995076

00:21:08.835 --> 00:21:10.135 we need to do surveillance

 $00:21:10.355 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.855$ imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.995476

 $00:21:11.475 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.395$ to see whether the tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.995476

 $00:21:13.395 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.855$ are staying the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95951295

 $00:21:15.234 \longrightarrow 00:21:16.595$ but whether they're growing, or

NOTE Confidence: 0.95951295

 $00{:}21{:}16.595 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}17.975$ whether they're even regressing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97266316

 $00:21:22.280 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.800$ So because some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97266316

 $00:21:23.800 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.720$ tumors can regress, as shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.97266316

 $00:21:25.720 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.740$ on this particular slide, over

NOTE Confidence: 0.97266316

00:21:27.800 --> 00:21:29.400 a quarter, so twenty eight

NOTE Confidence: 0.97266316

 $00:21:29.400 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.900$ percent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99952567

 $00:21:30.520 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.559$ that's why we tend to

NOTE Confidence: 0.99952567

 $00:21:31.559 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.460$ use surveillance

NOTE Confidence: 0.99893385

 $00:21:33.000 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.280$ as the first line of

NOTE Confidence: 0.99893385

 $00:21:34.280 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.780$ treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9931161

 $00:21:35.545 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.145$ A further thirty percent will

 $00:21:37.145 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.425$ be stable. So if they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9931161

 $00:21:38.425 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.865$ not bothering the patient, if

NOTE Confidence: 0.9931161

00:21:39.865 --> 00:21:41.705 it was, for instance, just

NOTE Confidence: 0.9931161

 $00:21:41.705 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.405$ picked up on another imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.9931161

 $00:21:43.465 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.965$ technique,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99954593

00:21:44.585 --> 00:21:45.705 then we would still just

NOTE Confidence: 0.99954593

 $00:21:45.705 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.445$ do surveillance

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991593

 $00:21:46.905 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.685$ to just follow them

NOTE Confidence: 0.99541265

 $00:21:49.359 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.639$ and be ready to start

NOTE Confidence: 0.99541265

 $00:21:50.639 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.779$ treatment if needed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968992

 $00{:}21{:}52.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}54.740$ About forty two percent, however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968992

00:21:54.799 --> 00:21:56.639 will have progressive disease and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968992

 $00:21:56.639 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.139$ probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.99874204

 $00:21:57.760 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.980$ symptomatic disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9555496

 $00:21:59.840 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.279$ For those patients in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9555496

 $00{:}22{:}01.279 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}02.935$ past, we used to do

00:22:02.935 --> 00:22:04.695 surgery. But as doctor Ladich

NOTE Confidence: 0.9555496

 $00:22:04.695 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.195$ mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99957263

 $00:22:05.734 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.855$ this can often be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.99957263

 $00:22:06.855 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.315$ very morbid procedure

NOTE Confidence: 0.92044353

 $00:22:09.015 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.155$ where patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986252

 $00:22:10.855 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.295$ will need a very, very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986252

 $00:22:12.295 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.135$ big operation to remove a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9986252

 $00:22:14.135 \longrightarrow 00:22:14.635$ relatively

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994123

 $00:22:14.935 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.915$ small tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996432

 $00:22:17.730 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.190$ Over the past few years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92061746

 $00:22:19.890 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.730$ doctor Ladich and doctor Lee

NOTE Confidence: 0.92061746

 $00:22:21.730 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.630$ have really

NOTE Confidence: 0.99965847

 $00{:}22{:}23.090 \rightarrow 00{:}22{:}25.350$ pioneered the use of interventional

NOTE Confidence: 0.9500602

00:22:26.290 --> 00:22:27.670 techniques. And I know

NOTE Confidence: 0.9554322

00:22:28.609 --> 00:22:30.105 I've shared quite a few

00:22:30.105 --> 00:22:31.885 patients with doctor Lavich.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9966729

 $00:22:32.505 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.445$ And the ablative techniques

NOTE Confidence: 0.99212235

 $00:22:35.625 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.085$ for desmoid tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9165489

00:22:37.945 --> 00:22:38.765 I would say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97909045

 $00:22:39.225 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.585$ are they similar to what

NOTE Confidence: 0.97909045

 $00:22:40.585 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.865$ you just described on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97909045

 $00:22:41.865 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.545$ first few slides, or are

NOTE Confidence: 0.97909045

 $00:22:43.545 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.925$ there any big differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.9170593

 $00:22:46.500 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.340$ from how you would treat

NOTE Confidence: 0.9170593

 $00:22:48.340 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.180$ a desmoid tumor as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.93518335

 $00:22:50.660 \longrightarrow 00:22:52.500$ It's it's all thermal ablation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93518335

 $00:22:52.500 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.000$ However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97035176

 $00{:}22{:}53.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}55.240$ like I mentioned or unlike

NOTE Confidence: 0.97035176

 $00:22:55.380 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.680$ in, the acetababulum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9167154

 $00:22:57.380 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.580$ especially if we're looking to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9167154

 $00:22:58.580 \longrightarrow 00:23:00.260$ put in cement, I prefer

 $00:23:00.260 \longrightarrow 00:23:00.920$ to use

NOTE Confidence: 0.33673295

 $00:23:01.645 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.165$ e double h

NOTE Confidence: 0.985443

00:23:07.165 --> 00:23:08.605 Okay. Sorry. You were cutting

NOTE Confidence: 0.985443

 $00:23:08.605 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.984$ out a little bit there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.996523

 $00:23:14.480 \longrightarrow 00:23:15.680$ Maybe we can come back

NOTE Confidence: 0.996523

 $00:23:15.680 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.340$ to you

NOTE Confidence: 0.996202

 $00:23:16.960 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.920$ when you're in a better

NOTE Confidence: 0.996202

 $00:23:17.920 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.420$ area.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9006756

 $00:23:20.640 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.160$ But, otherwise, we do have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9006756

00:23:22.160 --> 00:23:24.080 new medical therapists, and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9006756

 $00:23:24.080 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.060$ medical therapist

NOTE Confidence: 0.9014251

00:23:25.760 --> 00:23:26.720 sorry. Go ahead, Igor. Can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9014251

 $00{:}23{:}26.720 --> 00{:}23{:}28.065$ you hear us? It? I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9014251

00:23:28.065 --> 00:23:29.184 can hear you better. Said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9014251

 $00:23:29.265 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.404$ is the line choppy?

00:23:30.705 --> 00:23:32.065 Got it. I'm sorry. So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9563549

 $00:23:32.065 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.565$ typically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9471847

 $00:23:33.345 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.524$ with desmoids, we use cryoablation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8735714

 $00:23:36.385 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.744$ rather than a a heat

NOTE Confidence: 0.8735714

 $00:23:37.744 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.244$ ablation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99626976

00:23:38.705 --> 00:23:40.085 And that is primarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.9735389

 $00:23:41.000 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.000$ because on CT, which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9735389

 $00:23:43.000 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.380$ what we use for guidance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9800507

 $00:23:44.760 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.280$ we can use we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9800507

 $00:23:46.280 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.280$ see our ablation zones very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9800507

 $00{:}23{:}48.280 \to 00{:}23{:}48.780$ nicely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94999564

 $00:23:49.160 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.000$ We see these, so called

NOTE Confidence: 0.94999564

 $00:23:51.000 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.820$ ice balls

NOTE Confidence: 0.900526

00:23:52.280 --> 00:23:53.820 almost perfectly delineating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9108178

 $00:23:54.440 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.800$ the, the margins of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9108178

00:23:55.800 --> 00:23:57.945 tumor. Whereas with heat ablation,

00:23:58.404 --> 00:24:00.184 typically, let's say, with

NOTE Confidence: 0.86658645

 $00:24:00.484 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.024$ sarcomas, we would use

NOTE Confidence: 0.9936682

 $00:24:02.484 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.625$ microwave ablation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9075757

 $00:24:04.005 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.845$ for larger lesions. You do

NOTE Confidence: 0.9075757

 $00:24:05.845 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.144$ not see that margin

NOTE Confidence: 0.9304802

00:24:07.445 --> 00:24:08.984 on imaging at least immediately.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93016416

 $00:24:09.529 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.889$ You can anticipate it, but

NOTE Confidence: 0.93016416

 $00:24:10.889 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.010$ you don't know exactly where

NOTE Confidence: 0.93016416

 $00:24:12.010 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.210$ it is. Where where with

NOTE Confidence: 0.93016416

00:24:13.210 --> 00:24:14.750 cryo, you can see exactly

NOTE Confidence: 0.92907625

 $00:24:15.289 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.409$ the margin of the ice

NOTE Confidence: 0.92907625

 $00:24:16.409 \longrightarrow 00:24:17.609$ fall. So that's one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.92907625

 $00:24:17.609 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.529$ the advantages and that's the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92907625

 $00:24:19.529 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.230$ preferred modality for Desmos.

NOTE Confidence: 0.986458

 $00:24:22.544 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.144$ That's great. And then I

 $00:24:24.144 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.904$ know you mentioned the brachial

NOTE Confidence: 0.986458

00:24:25.904 --> 00:24:27.664 plexus was a concern for

NOTE Confidence: 0.986458

00:24:27.664 --> 00:24:29.365 surgery. So how would you

NOTE Confidence: 0.986458

 $00:24:29.664 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.504$ get around that with doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.986458

 $00:24:31.504 \longrightarrow 00:24:32.884$ ablation, or would you

NOTE Confidence: 0.99951965

 $00:24:33.184 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.384$ refer them to me for

NOTE Confidence: 0.99951965

 $00:24:34.384 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.365$ medical therapy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553862

00:24:36.920 --> 00:24:38.359 So our preferred option would

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553862

 $00{:}24{:}38.359 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}39.720$ be, obviously, do no harm

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553862

 $00:24:39.720 \longrightarrow 00:24:41.500$ or do least harm first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553862

 $00:24:41.640 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.320$ So one would certainly start

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553862

 $00:24:43.320 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.640$ with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97142035

 $00:24:44.200 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.280$ systemic therapy first, see how

NOTE Confidence: 0.97142035

 $00:24:46.280 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.420$ the patient responds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.42232907

00:24:50.975 --> 00:24:53.321 The pathology, obviously, will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.42232907

 $00:24:53.321 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.994$ for the treatment,

 $00:24:56.494 \longrightarrow 00:24:57.475$ plays out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9471693

 $00:24:58.335 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.615$ If an ablation is still

NOTE Confidence: 0.9471693

 $00:24:59.615 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.294$ needed, one can still do

NOTE Confidence: 0.9471693

 $00:25:01.294 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.975$ stage the treatment to maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.9471693

 $00:25:02.975 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.475$ manage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94340134

00:25:03.850 --> 00:25:05.049 let's say, ninety percent of

NOTE Confidence: 0.94340134

 $00:25:05.049 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.169$ the lesion if we can't

NOTE Confidence: 0.94340134

 $00:25:06.169 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.850$ get the the entirety of

NOTE Confidence: 0.94340134 00:25:07.850 --> 00:25:08.350 it. NOTE Confidence: 0.9580156

 $00:25:08.809 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.090$ But in many cases, we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9580156

 $00:25:10.090 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.710$ can do some of these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9166987

 $00:25:12.250 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.750$ advanced,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9609215

00:25:13.129 --> 00:25:14.269 ablations using,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9290773

 $00{:}25{:}15.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}16.730$ neuro monitoring at the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.9290773

00:25:16.730 --> 00:25:17.929 time so we can tell

 $00:25:17.929 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.455$ in real time if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9290773

 $00:25:19.455 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.155$ are causing any damage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553421

 $00:25:21.855 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.135$ to the plexus and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553421

 $00:25:23.135 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.815$ just gonna back off. But

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553421

 $00:25:24.815 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.174$ our preference will be to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553421

00:25:26.174 --> 00:25:28.035 go with systemic treatment first

NOTE Confidence: 0.9553421

 $00:25:28.335 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.715$ to see if that perhaps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197026

00:25:31.215 --> 00:25:33.054 manages to a strength delusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197026

 $00:25:33.054 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.095$ or at least keep it

NOTE Confidence: 0.85197026

 $00:25:34.095 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.755$ at bay.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987653

 $00{:}25{:}35.909 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}37.049$ Great. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9908608

 $00:25:37.990 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.269$ And that brings us into

NOTE Confidence: 0.9908608

 $00:25:39.269 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.590$ the medical therapist. So there

NOTE Confidence: 0.9908608

 $00:25:41.590 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.970$ have been a few advances

NOTE Confidence: 0.9908608

 $00:25:43.029 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.889$ in the last few decades,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9939364

00:25:45.510 --> 00:25:46.950 and they have moved earlier

 $00:25:46.950 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.775$ in the treatment. So I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9939364

 $00:25:48.775 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.215$ would say now we tend

NOTE Confidence: 0.9939364

 $00:25:50.215 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.415$ to get most of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.9939364

 $00:25:51.415 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.915$ referrals

NOTE Confidence: 0.9939414

 $00:25:52.775 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.934$ from orthopedic surgeons who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9939414

 $00:25:54.934 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.475$ seen these desmoid tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98593587

 $00:25:57.095 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.635$ They know that there's medications

NOTE Confidence: 0.98593587

 $00:25:58.855 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.635$ available, and they'll send them

NOTE Confidence: 0.9989549

 $00:26:01.300 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.119$ for surveillance

NOTE Confidence: 0.9794419

 $00:26:02.500 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.400$ and possible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.998152

 $00:26:04.340 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.500$ medical treatment to me before

NOTE Confidence: 0.998152

 $00:26:06.500 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.040$ they attempt any surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99807614

 $00{:}26{:}09.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}10.820$ And we used to use

NOTE Confidence: 0.99807614

 $00:26:10.820 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.200$ radiation a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.9985667 00:26:12.660 --> 00:26:13.160 for NOTE Confidence: 0.97651714 00:26:13.540 --> 00:26:15.060 desmoid tumors. I would have

NOTE Confidence: 0.97651714

 $00:26:15.060 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.720$ to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995264

 $00:26:16.375 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.914 \text{ I don't think I've seen}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995264

 $00:26:18.054 \longrightarrow 00:26:19.975$ radiation being used for desmoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995264

 $00:26:19.975 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.475$ tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.99370897

 $00:26:21.335 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.775$ in the last five years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99370897

 $00:26:22.775 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.215$ It just has fallen out

NOTE Confidence: 0.99370897

 $00:26:24.215 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.734$ of favor. And the main

NOTE Confidence: 0.99370897

 $00:26:25.734 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.034$ reason for that is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.999583

 $00:26:27.414 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.315$ as I mentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.99939126

 $00:26:28.695 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.195$ earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99062884

 $00:26:30.080 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.000$ these patients don't tend to

NOTE Confidence: 0.99062884

 $00{:}26{:}32.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}33.600$ die of their disease. This

NOTE Confidence: 0.99062884

 $00:26:33.600 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.800$ can be a very morbid

NOTE Confidence: 0.99062884

 $00:26:34.800 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.840$ disease. They can have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.99062884

 $00:26:35.840 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.660$ lot of pain,

 $00:26:37.040 \longrightarrow 00:26:38.480$ but they live for as

NOTE Confidence: 0.98559207

 $00:26:38.480 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.680$ long as if they didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.98559207

 $00:26:39.680 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.220$ have the desmoid tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99800986

00:26:41.600 --> 00:26:42.880 which means if you're giving

NOTE Confidence: 0.99800986

 $00:26:42.880 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.380$ radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.991082

 $00:26:44.095 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.295$ or even doing a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.991082

00:26:45.295 --> 00:26:47.155 of CAT scans, you're exposing

NOTE Confidence: 0.991082

 $00:26:47.375 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.035$ the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.9876723

 $00:26:48.735 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.875$ to potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.99951553

00:26:50.335 --> 00:26:50.995 a treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.9956124

00:26:51.535 --> 00:26:52.895 that may result in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9956124

 $00:26:52.895 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.735$ second malignancy. And that's the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9956124

00:26:54.735 --> 00:26:55.695 last thing we want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9956124 00:26:55.695 --> 00:26:56.195 do NOTE Confidence: 0.9964364

 $00:26:56.520 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.640$ is to take someone who

 $00:26:57.640 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.020$ has a an intermediate

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995378

 $00:26:59.480 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.980$ tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9751164

 $00:27:00.600 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.800$ and then give them a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9751164

 $00:27:01.800 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.960$ more malignant tumor. And so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9751164

 $00:27:03.960 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.400$ we have to be careful

NOTE Confidence: 0.9751164

 $00:27:05.400 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.680$ with our imaging techniques. We

NOTE Confidence: 0.9751164

 $00:27:06.680 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.200$ tend to use MRIs or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9751164

 $00:27:08.200 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.700$ ultrasounds

NOTE Confidence: 0.9685304

 $00{:}27{:}09.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}10.840$ to follow these patients rather

NOTE Confidence: 0.9685304

 $00:27:10.840 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.900$ than CAT scans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995718

 $00:27:12.205 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.665$ and we save radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995333

00:27:14.285 --> 00:27:15.725 for patients who really have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995333

 $00:27:15.725 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.105$ failed everything else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995733

 $00:27:21.325 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.484$ So one of the medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995733

 $00:27:23.484 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.705$ treatments that

NOTE Confidence: 0.99978936

00:27:25.165 --> 00:27:25.905 we have

 $00:27:27.740 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.419$ seen over the past few

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996136

 $00:27:29.419 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.919$ years

NOTE Confidence: 0.9444362

 $00:27:30.460 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.480$ is something called nirogacastat

NOTE Confidence: 0.9851559 00:27:33.820 --> 00:27:34.320 or NOTE Confidence: 0.66392154

 $00:27:34.700 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.200$ OXIVIO.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99496484

 $00:27:35.740 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.859$ And I can say it

NOTE Confidence: 0.99496484

 $00:27:36.859 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.840$ because I've had

NOTE Confidence: 0.9713941

 $00{:}27{:}38.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}39.744$ five years of practice saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.9713941

 $00:27:39.744 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.865$ it, but like most of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9713941

 $00:27:40.865 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.105$ our oncology medicines, they don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9713941

 $00:27:43.105 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.045$ exactly roll off the tongue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9713941

 $00:27:45.265 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.565$ But this is a medication

NOTE Confidence: 0.9713941

 $00{:}27{:}46.625 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}48.405$ that's called a gamma secretase

NOTE Confidence: 0.9713941

 $00:27:48.625 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.125$ inhibitor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8550719

 $00:27:49.744 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.205$ and then it inhibits

 $00:27:52.030 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.330$ some of the genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641765

 $00{:}27{:}53.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}55.730$ changes that occur in desmoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.9641765

 $00:27:55.790 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.850$ tumors, either

NOTE Confidence: 0.9913953

 $00:27:57.550 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.130$ related to the FAP gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.99631476

 $00:28:00.430 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.430$ or the beta catenin gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99631476

 $00:28:02.430 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.470$ That's one of the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.99631476

 $00:28:03.470 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.170$ genes that can be mutated

NOTE Confidence: 0.99631476

 $00:28:05.390 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.290$ in this disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996594 00:28:07.715 --> 00:28:08.215 So NOTE Confidence: 0.9997149

 $00:28:08.595 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.215$ when this new medication

NOTE Confidence: 0.9998051

 $00:28:10.755 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.575$ was developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9908667

 $00:28:12.115 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.715$ and they realized it had

NOTE Confidence: 0.9908667

 $00:28:13.715 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.155$ a lot of activity in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9908667

00:28:15.155 --> 00:28:16.615 the very early trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9971823

 $00:28:17.315 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.675$ they decided to test it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9971823

 $00{:}28{:}18.675 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}19.555$ in what we call a

 $00:28:19.555 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.075$ phase three trial. So this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9971823

 $00:28:21.075 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.895$ is a trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.999877

 $00:28:22.429 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.650$ where a new medication

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997492

 $00:28:24.429 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.170$ is tested

NOTE Confidence: 0.98375684

 $00{:}28{:}25.549 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}27.390$ against the standard of care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98375684

 $00:28:27.390 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.929$ Now the standard of care

NOTE Confidence: 0.97943324

 $00:28:29.230 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.170$ for desmoid tumors is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.97943324

 $00:28:31.230 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.730$ surveillance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.999611

 $00:28:32.510 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.790$ So it was tested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.999611

 $00:28:33.790 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.490$ this study against placebo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99972516

 $00:28:36.695 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.835$ They had to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9410446

 $00:28:38.215 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.655$ they patients had to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9410446

 $00{:}28{:}39.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}41.095$ a desmoid tumor that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9410446

 $00:28:41.095 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.595$ histologically

NOTE Confidence: 0.9939116

 $00:28:42.054 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.554$ confirmed.

 $00:28:43.335 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.715$ They had to be progressing

NOTE Confidence: 0.9989711

 $00:28:45.335 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.835$ after

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996079

 $00:28:46.375 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.595$ one line of therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9941739

 $00:28:48.615 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.835$ or refractory

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994874

 $00:28:50.600 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.640$ to more than one line

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994874

 $00:28:51.640 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.300$ of therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8914045

 $00:28:52.920 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.680$ and had and if they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8914045

 $00:28:54.680 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.720$ would have felt that if

NOTE Confidence: 0.8914045

 $00:28:55.720 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.220$ continued

NOTE Confidence: 0.99131

 $00:28:56.600 \longrightarrow 00:28:57.100$ progression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99355656

 $00{:}28{:}57.640 {\:{\mbox{--}}}{>} 00{:}28{:}59.260$ they would have an immediate

NOTE Confidence: 0.99355656

 $00:28:59.480 \longrightarrow 00:28:59.980$ significant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9012106

 $00{:}29{:}01.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}03.020$ they wouldn't have an immediate

NOTE Confidence: 0.9856652

 $00{:}29{:}03.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}04.460 \ {\rm significant} \ {\rm risk},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.96662277

 $00:29:05.615 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.895$ if they had to wait

NOTE Confidence: 0.96662277

 $00:29:06.895 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.695$ if they were on the

 $00:29:07.695 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.915$ placebo arm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99955386

 $00:29:10.095 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.395$ They did need biopsy

NOTE Confidence: 0.97990924

 $00:29:12.095 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.695$ to confirm the disease so

NOTE Confidence: 0.97990924

 $00:29:13.695 \longrightarrow 00:29:15.215$ that everyone had the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.97990924

00:29:15.215 --> 00:29:16.915 disease going into the trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9970885

 $00:29:17.215 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.095$ and they had to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9970885

 $00:29:18.095 \longrightarrow 00:29:19.135$ what we call a good

NOTE Confidence: 0.9970885

00:29:19.135 --> 00:29:21.075 performance status, which means

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997636

 $00:29:21.480 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.679$ they were able to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997636

 $00:29:22.679 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.900$ all of their activities

NOTE Confidence: 0.9928829

 $00:29:24.760 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.360$ without being in bed most

NOTE Confidence: 0.9928829

 $00:29:26.360 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.400$ of the day or in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9928829

 $00{:}29{:}27.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}29.340$ hospital or anything like that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99791723

 $00:29:30.920 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.040$ They also had to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.99791723

 $00:29:32.040 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.540$ adequate

 $00:29:33.240 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.260$ organ and bone marrow function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.992105

 $00:29:35.985 \longrightarrow 00:29:38.065$ These medications, remember, had only

NOTE Confidence: 0.992105

 $00:29:38.065 \longrightarrow 00:29:39.265$ been tested on a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.992105

 $00:29:39.265 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.245$ patients before

NOTE Confidence: 0.9788929

 $00:29:40.705 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.225$ being tested in this big

NOTE Confidence: 0.9788929

 $00:29:42.225 \longrightarrow 00:29:44.225$ clinical trial, so we didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.9788929

 $00:29:44.225 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.765$ know how it would affect

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995222

 $00:29:46.225 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.585$ patients in terms of side

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995222

 $00:29:47.585 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.085$ effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.9952774

00:29:48.465 --> 00:29:49.585 or in terms of some

NOTE Confidence: 0.9952774

 $00:29:49.585 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.645$ of their blood tests.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98978364

 $00:29:51.790 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.730$ The primary endpoint was progression

NOTE Confidence: 0.98978364

 $00:29:53.870 \longrightarrow 00:29:55.630$ free survival. How long did

NOTE Confidence: 0.98978364

 $00:29:55.630 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.690$ it keep the disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.98476374

 $00:29:57.470 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.170$ as it was or even

NOTE Confidence: 0.98476374

 $00:29:59.230 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.450$ keep it at a smaller

 $00:30:00.590 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.090$ size?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992566

 $00:30:01.790 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.690$ And the secondary

NOTE Confidence: 0.97721875

 $00:30:03.150 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.945$ response rates included objective response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97721875

 $00:30:05.945 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.325$ so how small

NOTE Confidence: 0.9690887

 $00:30:07.705 \longrightarrow 00:30:09.465$ could it make the cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9690887

 $00:30:09.465 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.005$ get or the tumor get,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9720655

 $00:30:11.384 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.625$ and also patient reported outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9720655

 $00:30:13.625 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.345$ So if they had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9720655

 $00:30:14.345 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.544$ lot of pain to start

NOTE Confidence: 0.9720655

 $00:30:15.544 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.284$ off with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9960571

 $00:30:16.789 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.549$ did this medication make them

NOTE Confidence: 0.9960571

 $00:30:18.549 \dashrightarrow 00:30:21.110$ feel overall better despite any

NOTE Confidence: 0.9960571

00:30:21.110 --> 00:30:21.929 side effects?

NOTE Confidence: 0.99677515

 $00:30:23.350 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.529$ So patients were randomly assigned

NOTE Confidence: 0.9376518

 $00:30:26.070 \longrightarrow 00:30:28.169$ to receive this medication, nirogacastat,

00:30:29.465 --> 00:30:30.925 a hundred and fifty milligrams

NOTE Confidence: 0.9848241

 $00:30:31.065 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.965$ twice a day

NOTE Confidence: 0.87892306

 $00:30:32.425 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.205$ or a placebo, a similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.94853616

00:30:34.585 --> 00:30:35.725 appearing placebo

NOTE Confidence: 0.88074297

 $00:30:36.185 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.165$ twice a day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9969617

 $00:30:37.465 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.425$ If they did well on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9969617

00:30:38.425 --> 00:30:39.865 the medication, they were able

NOTE Confidence: 0.9969617

 $00:30:39.865 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.920$ to continue it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9797001

 $00:30:41.480 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.860$ If they got the placebo

NOTE Confidence: 0.9797001

 $00:30:43.080 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.900$ but progressed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9780481

 $00{:}30{:}44.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}45.720$ they were able to cross

NOTE Confidence: 0.9780481

 $00:30:45.720 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.080$ over to get this new

NOTE Confidence: 0.9780481

 $00:30:47.080 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.580$ medication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9981433

00:30:49.160 --> 00:30:51.180 All patients had their tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.9897109

 $00:30:52.040 \longrightarrow 00:30:55.025$ measured using a standard radiological

NOTE Confidence: 0.86099625

 $00{:}30{:}55.325 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}56.785$ technique called recist,

 $00{:}30{:}57.805 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}31{:}00.305$ and they had patient reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.99938637

 $00{:}31{:}00.445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}02.625$ outcomes very accurately measured

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653889

 $00:31:03.165 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.845$ as well as looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.9653889

 $00:31:04.845 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.545$ the safety of the medication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977355

 $00:31:09.440 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.640$ In this study, as you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977355

 $00:31:10.640 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.560$ can see, the majority of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9977355

00:31:12.560 --> 00:31:13.620 patients had

NOTE Confidence: 0.97582763

 $00:31:14.400 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.240$ disease that was outside the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97582763

 $00:31:16.240 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.020$ abdomen, unlike that particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.9808386

 $00{:}31{:}18.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}20.420$ slide that I've mentioned before

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877768

 $00:31:21.360 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.060$ for the general population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987433

 $00:31:25.035 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.335$ Patients either had

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997062

 $00{:}31{:}26.715 --> 00{:}31{:}27.215 \ \mathrm{single}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9571096

 $00:31:27.835 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.275$ sites of disease or they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9571096

00:31:29.275 --> 00:31:30.095 were multifocal

 $00:31:30.715 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.215$ disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9804492

 $00:31:32.235 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.515$ The size of these tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.9804492

00:31:33.515 --> 00:31:34.955 was quite large. So in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9804492

 $00:31:34.955 \longrightarrow 00:31:37.275$ the treatment arm, it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9804492

 $00:31:37.275 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.895$ nine point one centimeters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9983861

 $00:31:39.740 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.340$ And in the placebo arm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9983861

 $00:31:41.340 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.800$ it was eleven centimeters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97352296

00:31:44.300 --> 00:31:46.220 A minority of patients, less

NOTE Confidence: 0.97352296

 $00:31:46.220 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.080$ than twenty percent, had familial

NOTE Confidence: 0.97352296

 $00:31:48.300 \longrightarrow 00:31:49.600$ adenomatous polyposis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99562794

 $00:31:50.540 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.840$ And these were the genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.99562794

 $00:31:52.140 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.520$ that were mutated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99376696

 $00:31:54.455 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.735$ And you can see here

NOTE Confidence: 0.99376696

 $00:31:55.735 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.195$ the APC gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.9681256

 $00:31:57.575 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.655$ and the beta catenin gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9681256

 $00:31:59.655 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.275$ Some patients had no

 $00:32:01.655 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.795$ identified mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99512756

 $00{:}32{:}04.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}06.875$ Many patients had already had

NOTE Confidence: 0.95592946

 $00:32:07.309 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.830$ quite a few treatments in

NOTE Confidence: 0.95592946

 $00:32:08.830 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.570$ some cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.94021

 $00:32:10.190 \longrightarrow 00:32:12.350$ up to fourteen lines of

NOTE Confidence: 0.94021

 $00:32:12.350 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.250$ prior treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9750646

 $00:32:14.030 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.070$ And these are some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9750646

 $00:32:15.070 \longrightarrow 00:32:16.929$ the treatments that they received.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86166096

00:32:19.150 --> 00:32:20.049 A vast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98119456

00:32:20.665 --> 00:32:22.765 well, a a significant minority,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98119456

00:32:22.905 --> 00:32:24.525 I should say, of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.98119456

 $00:32:24.825 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.445$ had significant pain

NOTE Confidence: 0.9990037

 $00{:}32{:}26.825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}28.425$ at the time of enrollment

NOTE Confidence: 0.9990037

 $00:32:28.425 \longrightarrow 00:32:29.965$ in this particular trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9923431

 $00:32:30.505 \longrightarrow 00:32:31.465$ We were one of the

 $00:32:31.465 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.665$ sites for this trial, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9923431

00:32:32.665 --> 00:32:33.885 it was very exciting

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997734

 $00:32:34.440 \longrightarrow 00:32:36.220$ being on a medication that

NOTE Confidence: 0.998181

 $00:32:36.760 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.360$ could be given to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.998181

 $00:32:38.360 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.519$ disease that previously had no

NOTE Confidence: 0.998181

00:32:40.519 --> 00:32:42.059 FDA approved treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94377106

 $00:32:43.080 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.039$ And you can see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.94377106

 $00:32:44.039 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.019$ there was a significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.9893882

 $00{:}32{:}45.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}47.500$ difference in progression free survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.9893882

 $00:32:47.559 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.179$ on this particular graph.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9810984

 $00:32:50.174 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.934$ There's a wide separation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9810984

 $00:32:51.934 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.635$ the curves between placebo

NOTE Confidence: 0.9960915

 $00:32:54.255 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.635$ and the study medication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98475

 $00{:}32{:}57.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}58.975$ And this is a a

NOTE Confidence: 0.98475

 $00:32:58.975 \longrightarrow 00:32:59.934$ graph that we use a

NOTE Confidence: 0.98475

 $00{:}32{:}59.934 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}01.455$ lot in oncology called a

 $00:33:01.455 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.955$ waterfall

NOTE Confidence: 0.9809142

 $00:33:02.960 \longrightarrow 00:33:04.160$ plot. Basically, where you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.9809142

 $00:33:04.160 \longrightarrow 00:33:05.300$ the zero line,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9965296

 $00:33:05.680 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.960$ that's the size of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9965296

 $00:33:06.960 \longrightarrow 00:33:09.200$ tumor of each individual patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9965296

 $00:33:09.200 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.740$ Each bar is an individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.9965296

 $00:33:10.880 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.380$ patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98242474

 $00{:}33{:}12.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}13.920$ Anything that's going above the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98242474

 $00:33:13.920 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.360$ line means the tumors are

NOTE Confidence: 0.98242474

00:33:15.360 --> 00:33:15.860 growing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996052

 $00{:}33{:}16.235 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}18.095$ and anything below the line

NOTE Confidence: 0.998166

 $00:33:18.395 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.935$ means the tumors are responding

NOTE Confidence: 0.998166

 $00{:}33{:}20.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}20.815$ to treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98911595

 $00:33:21.275 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.635$ And you can see on

NOTE Confidence: 0.98911595

00:33:22.635 --> 00:33:23.775 this first graph,

 $00:33:24.155 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.755$ the majority of patients, the

NOTE Confidence: 0.97720677

00:33:25.755 --> 00:33:27.135 tumors are getting smaller.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99609417

 $00:33:27.515 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.560$ And this dotted line is

NOTE Confidence: 0.99609417

 $00:33:29.560 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.640$ a thirty percent smaller line,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99609417

 $00:33:31.640 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.300$ and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9375696

 $00:33:32.760 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.920$ our arbitrary definition of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9375696

 $00:33:34.920 \longrightarrow 00:33:35.980$ partial response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99833906 00:33:36.600 --> 00:33:37.100 So

NOTE Confidence: 0.9547803

 $00{:}33{:}38.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}40.440$ over forty almost forty percent

NOTE Confidence: 0.9547803

 $00:33:40.440 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.880$ of patients had at least

NOTE Confidence: 0.9547803

 $00:33:41.880 \longrightarrow 00:33:43.100$ a partial response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9907114

 $00:33:43.615 \longrightarrow 00:33:44.815$ and some of them even

NOTE Confidence: 0.9907114

 $00{:}33{:}44.815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}46.495$ had a complete response. That's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9907114

 $00:33:46.495 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.015$ where when we do a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9907114

00:33:48.015 --> 00:33:50.355 follow-up scan, there's no evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.9907114

 $00:33:50.414 \longrightarrow 00:33:52.195$ of disease on that scan.

 $00:33:52.414 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.955$ So this was really exciting

NOTE Confidence: 0.9950884

 $00:33:54.975 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.995$ stuff for a desmoid tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988105

 $00:33:57.570 \longrightarrow 00:33:59.110$ For the placebo group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99124223

 $00{:}34{:}00.049 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}02.049$ again, some patients will have

NOTE Confidence: 0.99124223

 $00:34:02.049 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.889$ regression of disease without any

NOTE Confidence: 0.99124223

 $00:34:03.889 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.090$ treatment, and you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.99124223

 $00:34:05.090 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.990$ that here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97944534

00:34:06.529 --> 00:34:08.210 And others had progression of

NOTE Confidence: 0.97944534

 $00:34:08.210 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.329$ disease. And as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97944534

 $00:34:09.329 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.849$ they were allowed to cross

NOTE Confidence: 0.9794453400:34:10.849 --> 00:34:11.349 over

NOTE Confidence: 0.88949394

 $00:34:11.730 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.715$ and join the neurogastat

NOTE Confidence: 0.99912965

 $00{:}34{:}14.335 --> 00{:}34{:}14.835 \ \mathrm{group}.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.8932681

 $00:34:17.375 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.675$ So the nonmalignant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8830026

 $00:34:19.935 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.315$ soft tissues tumors,

 $00:34:21.855 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.535$ which desmoid tumor is one

NOTE Confidence: 0.973188

 $00:34:23.535 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.215$ of them, they can either

NOTE Confidence: 0.973188

 $00:34:25.215 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.515$ be totally benign,

NOTE Confidence: 0.97335553

 $00:34:26.895 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.114$ like lipomas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99961865

 $00:34:29.580 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.240$ or intermediate

NOTE Confidence: 0.91132176

 $00:34:30.620 \longrightarrow 00:34:31.120$ tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9974006

 $00:34:32.220 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.060$ such as desmoid and giant

NOTE Confidence: 0.9974006

 $00:34:34.060 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.880$ cell tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99728775

00:34:36.060 --> 00:34:38.240 Usually, survival is not affected

NOTE Confidence: 0.98659015

 $00:34:39.740 \longrightarrow 00:34:41.740$ by these particular types of

NOTE Confidence: 0.98659015

 $00{:}34{:}41.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}42.700$ tumors, so you have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.98659015

 $00:34:42.700 \longrightarrow 00:34:44.205$ be very careful about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98659015

 $00:34:44.364 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.344$ treatments you give.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9985058

 $00:34:45.725 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.925$ You don't want to give

NOTE Confidence: 0.9985058

 $00:34:46.925 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.425$ chemotherapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.9992683

 $00:34:47.885 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.625$ or radiation

 $00:34:48.925 \longrightarrow 00:34:49.425$ necessarily,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99983054

 $00{:}34{:}50.364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}51.725$ which might have a risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.99983054

 $00:34:51.725 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.465$ of secondary

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996898

 $00:34:52.765 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.265$ complications

NOTE Confidence: 0.9933684

 $00:34:53.725 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.165$ down the line as these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9933684

00:34:55.165 --> 00:34:56.525 patients can live for many

NOTE Confidence: 0.9933684

 $00:34:56.525 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.025$ years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9973939

 $00{:}34{:}57.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}59.719$ Similarly, when you're doing surveillance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99356556

 $00:35:00.420 \longrightarrow 00:35:01.480$ you should opt

NOTE Confidence: 0.96804315

 $00:35:01.860 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.880$ for imaging techniques such as

NOTE Confidence: 0.99589276

 $00:35:04.340 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.800$ ultrasound or MRI

NOTE Confidence: 0.98656404

 $00:35:06.180 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.000$ rather than

NOTE Confidence: 0.98793924

 $00{:}35{:}07.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}08.440$ CT scans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9803716

 $00:35:09.060 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.680$ But there are newer medications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9420655

00:35:11.484 --> 00:35:12.864 one of which I've mentioned,

00:35:13.005 --> 00:35:14.464 Oxivio or Niragakastat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9963897

 $00{:}35{:}15.724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}17.425$ and newer techniques available

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988806

 $00{:}35{:}18.125 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}20.224$ for more advanced symptomatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995378

 $00:35:20.525 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.025$ cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96597034

 $00:35:26.900 \longrightarrow 00:35:28.739$ So that's the benign and

NOTE Confidence: 0.96597034

 $00:35:28.739 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.239$ intermediate

NOTE Confidence: 0.7761092

 $00:35:29.540 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.040$ grade.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9939644

 $00:35:30.500 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.880$ And I'm going to finally

NOTE Confidence: 0.9879336

 $00:35:32.500 \longrightarrow 00:35:33.780$ use the last part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9879336

 $00:35:33.780 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.440$ the talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996562

 $00{:}35{:}34.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}35.880$ to go over

NOTE Confidence: 0.99525136

 $00:35:36.580 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.280$ sarcomas, which are malignant

NOTE Confidence: 0.99977756

 $00:35:38.580 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.080$ tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9943832

 $00:35:40.224 \longrightarrow 00:35:42.224$ Sarcomas can be divided into

NOTE Confidence: 0.9943832

 $00:35:42.224 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.305$ two groups. They're either bone

NOTE Confidence: 0.9943832

 $00:35:44.305 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.805$ sarcomas,

 $00:35:45.825 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.145$ which you've seen some pictures

NOTE Confidence: 0.9965484 00:35:48.145 --> 00:35:48.645 on NOTE Confidence: 0.9041586

 $00:35:48.945 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.805$ doctor Lee's slides,

NOTE Confidence: 0.96187973

 $00{:}35{:}51.549 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}53.250$ or soft tissue sarcomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.96319705

 $00{:}35{:}54.029 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}56.190$ And soft tissue sarcomas are

NOTE Confidence: 0.96319705

 $00:35:56.190 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.109$ the vast majority, so eighty

NOTE Confidence: 0.96319705

 $00:35:58.109 \longrightarrow 00:35:59.569$ percent of all sarcomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99801856

 $00:36:01.230 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.250$ There are over fifty subtypes

NOTE Confidence: 0.96416426

 $00:36:03.805 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.864$ of sarcomas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9951494

 $00:36:05.645 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.185$ and this is why it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9951494

 $00:36:07.325 \longrightarrow 00:36:09.425$ often very difficult for physicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9951494

 $00:36:09.565 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.385$ even for

NOTE Confidence: 0.96443325

00:36:10.925 --> 00:36:12.705 our oncology fellows, to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9458329

00:36:13.245 --> 00:36:15.005 to really learn about these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9458329

00:36:15.005 --> 00:36:16.364 disease just because there's so

 $00:36:16.364 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.344$ many of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99660844

 $00{:}36{:}17.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}19.790$ and they're quite rare. So

NOTE Confidence: 0.99660844

 $00:36:19.790 \dashrightarrow 00:36:21.969$ we see about seventeen thousand

NOTE Confidence: 0.990966

 $00:36:22.670 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.590$ new sarcomas a year in

NOTE Confidence: 0.990966

 $00:36:24.590 \longrightarrow 00:36:25.489$ the whole country,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9933708

 $00:36:26.030 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.630$ whereas we see three hundred

NOTE Confidence: 0.9933708

 $00:36:27.630 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.469$ thousand new breast cancers a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9933708

 $00:36:29.469 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.190$ year. So it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9783968

00:36:31.295 --> 00:36:33.135 unless you're dealing with these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9783968

 $00:36:33.135 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.735$ patients every day like the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9783968

 $00:36:34.735 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.875$ four of us do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99972606

 $00:36:36.175 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.635$ then it's hard to really

NOTE Confidence: 0.99972606

00:36:37.695 --> 00:36:38.195 understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.99481946

00:36:38.575 --> 00:36:41.055 the nuances of diagnosis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.99481946

 $00:36:41.055 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.555$ treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9304735

 $00{:}36{:}43.215 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}45.469$ Once again, though, for sarcomas,

 $00:36:45.770 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.230$ surgery is the mainstay

NOTE Confidence: 0.9729034

 $00:36:47.610 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.430$ of treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987161

 $00:36:49.530 \longrightarrow 00:36:50.830$ It can be augmented

NOTE Confidence: 0.982202

 $00:36:51.610 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.910$ with the use of radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.982202

 $00:36:53.050 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.670$ for soft tissue sarcomas

NOTE Confidence: 0.98506117

 $00:36:55.369 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.190$ and chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9906695

 $00:36:56.570 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.630$ for bone sarcomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99875396

 $00:36:58.734 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.415$ Bone sarcomas tend not to

NOTE Confidence: 0.99875396

 $00:37:00.415 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.635$ be sensitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995501

 $00:37:01.935 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.594$ to radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996713

 $00:37:03.855 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.055$ There is a role for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996713

 $00:37:05.055 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.555$ chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9275582

 $00:37:05.855 \longrightarrow 00:37:07.775$ also after surgery in very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9275582

00:37:07.775 --> 00:37:09.075 large high grade

NOTE Confidence: 0.9198886

 $00{:}37{:}09.535 --> 00{:}37{:}10.035 \ {\rm sarcomas}.$

00:37:11.460 --> 00:37:11.960 So

NOTE Confidence: 0.9427046

 $00{:}37{:}12.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}13.780$ it's something we should think

NOTE Confidence: 0.9427046

 $00:37:13.780 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.239$ about for the more aggressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.9427046

 $00:37:15.300 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.800$ lesions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99917984

 $00:37:16.820 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.599$ And for metastatic cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.9887328

 $00:37:19.140 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.000$ and some large tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95957124

 $00:37:21.300 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.760$ we would think about giving

NOTE Confidence: 0.9998707

 $00:37:23.140 \longrightarrow 00:37:24.280$ targeted therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9983631

 $00:37:24.739 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.560$ or immunotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9727728

 $00:37:28.525 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.585$ So I'm gonna,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99910325

00:37:30.525 --> 00:37:32.705 continue with another slide. Hopefully,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9615789

 $00:37:33.245 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.105$ doctor Alino will

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996953

 $00:37:35.405 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.705$ be able to join

NOTE Confidence: 0.9634143

 $00:37:37.565 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.065$ with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9707975

 $00:37:38.925 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.525$ some comments. This was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9707975

 $00{:}37{:}40.525 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}42.125$ patient, again, I shared with

 $00:37:42.125 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.625$ her.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994377

 $00:37:43.219 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.819$ This is a sixty nine

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994377

 $00:37:44.819 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.799$ year old man

NOTE Confidence: 0.9611287

 $00:37:47.299 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.380$ who, back in August last

NOTE Confidence: 0.9611287

 $00:37:49.380 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.279$ year, presented

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996636

 $00:37:50.900 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.279$ to his primary physician

NOTE Confidence: 0.97545755

 $00:37:53.380 \longrightarrow 00:37:54.739$ with a two week history

NOTE Confidence: 0.97545755

 $00:37:54.739 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.160$ of bilateral lower extremity edema

NOTE Confidence: 0.99994737

 $00:37:57.924 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.744$ on the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.99250853

 $00:37:59.285 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.825$ as opposed to the left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9305571

00:38:02.964 --> 00:38:04.085 But at the same time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9305571

 $00:38:04.085 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.684$ he had an ultrasound. The

NOTE Confidence: 0.9305571

 $00{:}38{:}05.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}07.464$ primary physician quite rightly

NOTE Confidence: 0.94545305

 $00:38:08.005 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.704$ was worried about a DBT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9780512

 $00:38:10.700 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.620$ But the ultrasound didn't show

 $00:38:12.620 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.280$ a DVT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99710196

 $00{:}38{:}14.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}14.800$ But

NOTE Confidence: 0.9276759

 $00:38:15.100 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.080$ very astutely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9878263

 $00:38:16.780 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.540$ the primary care physician followed

NOTE Confidence: 0.9878263

 $00:38:18.540 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.060$ this up with a CAT

NOTE Confidence: 0.9878263

 $00:38:20.060 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.340$ scan of the abdomen and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9878263

 $00:38:21.340 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.840$ pelvis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9891447

 $00:38:22.460 \longrightarrow 00:38:23.740$ And this showed a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9891447

00:38:23.740 --> 00:38:25.100 large mass. You can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.9891447

 $00:38:25.100 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.844$ it's seventeen by three

NOTE Confidence: 0.99946797

00:38:27.224 --> 00:38:29.005 seventeen point three by nineteen

NOTE Confidence: 0.99946797

 $00:38:29.065 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.805$ point one

NOTE Confidence: 0.9276537

 $00:38:30.105 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.724$ by twenty three centimeters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9724113

 $00{:}38{:}32.744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}33.244 \ {\rm retroperitoneal},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9825585

 $00:38:33.864 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.925$ so in the abdomen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99862844

 $00:38:35.545 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.525$ with extremely

 $00{:}38{:}37.145 --> 00{:}38{:}37.645 \ \mathrm{heterogeneous}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.99093544

 $00{:}38{:}38.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}40.344$ internal components. Now this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.99093544

 $00:38:40.344 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.005$ a sign

NOTE Confidence: 0.9951124

 $00:38:41.440 \longrightarrow 00:38:42.260$ of a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.99571216

 $00{:}38{:}42.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}44.640$ rapidly growing tumor when it

NOTE Confidence: 0.99571216

 $00:38:44.640 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.920$ doesn't look the same all

NOTE Confidence: 0.99571216

 $00:38:45.920 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.820$ the way through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9686982

 $00:38:47.200 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.140$ Sometimes we'll see these sarcomas

NOTE Confidence: 0.9964055 00:38:49.920 --> 00:38:50.420 that NOTE Confidence: 0.98480785

00:38:50.719 --> 00:38:52.560 patients present to us with

NOTE Confidence: 0.98480785

 $00:38:52.560 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.400$ a huge tumor, but when

NOTE Confidence: 0.98480785

 $00:38:54.400 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.440$ you ask them, they'll say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98480785

 $00:38:55.440 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.480$ oh, yes. I've had this

NOTE Confidence: 0.98480785

00:38:56.480 --> 00:38:57.585 mass. It's It's been growing

NOTE Confidence: 0.98480785

 $00:38:57.585 \longrightarrow 00:38:58.705$ a little bit over the

 $00:38:58.705 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.145$ past twenty years, and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.98480785

 $00:39:00.145 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.645$ actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.9853024

 $00:39:01.185 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.465$ is a much more low

NOTE Confidence: 0.9853024

00:39:02.465 --> 00:39:04.085 grade, often a liposarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99854046

 $00:39:06.785 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.305$ In his case, however, he

NOTE Confidence: 0.99854046

 $00{:}39{:}08.305 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}09.825$ had obstruction of the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.99854046

 $00:39:09.825 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.325$ ureter

NOTE Confidence: 0.98222893

00:39:10.989 --> 00:39:12.210 with right hydronephrosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993019

 $00{:}39{:}14.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}16.610$ and an ultrasound guided biopsy

NOTE Confidence: 0.97611094

 $00:39:17.230 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.130$ of the retroperitoneal

NOTE Confidence: 0.99558926

 $00:39:18.989 \longrightarrow 00:39:19.890$ mass was

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993703

 $00:39:20.350 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.850$ performed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9997829

 $00:39:21.630 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.290$ The pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.99819535

 $00:39:22.590 \longrightarrow 00:39:24.130$ was initially read

NOTE Confidence: 0.9998597

 $00:39:25.525 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.185$ as undifferentiated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9107061

 $00:39:26.965 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.465$ sarcoma,

 $00:39:28.245 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.445$ but now we have better

NOTE Confidence: 0.9924507

 $00{:}39{:}29.445 --> 00{:}39{:}31.385$ techniques in pathology to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968588

 $00:39:31.765 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.364$ actually place it in one

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968588

 $00:39:33.364 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.705$ of those individual fifty categories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9958032

 $00:39:36.645 \longrightarrow 00:39:37.844$ And if they have this

NOTE Confidence: 0.9958032

 $00:39:37.844 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.025$ gene called MDM two

NOTE Confidence: 0.99924636

 $00:39:40.700 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.160$ with certain other features,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9915586

 $00:39:42.780 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.820$ then we call it a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9915586

 $00:39:43.820 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.320$ dedifferentiated

NOTE Confidence: 0.99836653

 $00:39:45.340 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.840$ liposarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99060744

 $00:39:49.020 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.460$ So this is some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.99060744

 $00{:}39{:}50.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}50.960$ his,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9374115

 $00:39:52.220 \longrightarrow 00:39:52.720$ imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9839837

00:39:53.100 --> 00:39:54.300 And, again, I don't see

NOTE Confidence: 0.9839837

 $00:39:54.300 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.760$ Kelly on the line, so

 $00:39:56.344 \longrightarrow 00:39:57.785$ I will do my best

NOTE Confidence: 0.9967503

 $00:39:57.785 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.765$ in my nonradiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.9703392

 $00:40:02.424 \longrightarrow 00:40:04.424$ technique to to say, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9703392

 $00:40:04.424 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.785$ this is pretty obvious. This

NOTE Confidence: 0.9703392

00:40:05.785 --> 00:40:07.404 is a very large mass,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9703392

 $00:40:07.625 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.664$ and this is what they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9703392

 $00:40:08.664 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.404$ mean by

NOTE Confidence: 0.9953918

 $00:40:10.059 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.719$ the heterogeneous

NOTE Confidence: 0.96310496

 $00{:}40{:}11.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}12.960$ appearance in the abdomen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9813086

 $00:40:13.500 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.440$ So areas that are lighter

NOTE Confidence: 0.9813086

 $00:40:15.500 \longrightarrow 00:40:16.780$ and areas that are darker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9813086

 $00:40:16.780 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.079$ These darker areas

NOTE Confidence: 0.9859374

00:40:18.539 --> 00:40:20.239 probably represent necrosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99804074

 $00:40:20.780 \longrightarrow 00:40:21.579$ And if you see a

NOTE Confidence: 0.99804074

 $00:40:21.579 \longrightarrow 00:40:22.559$ lot of necrosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.98890215

 $00:40:23.180 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.799$ on a sarcoma specimen,

 $00:40:25.635 \longrightarrow 00:40:28.035$ then that immediately pushes its

NOTE Confidence: 0.99332833

 $00:40:28.035 \longrightarrow 00:40:29.335$ grade up. We use

NOTE Confidence: 0.9577142

 $00:40:29.715 \longrightarrow 00:40:30.855$ necrosis, mitosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99766666

 $00:40:31.235 \longrightarrow 00:40:32.855$ and the type of sarcoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.9990231

 $00:40:33.395 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.675$ to determine the grade of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9990231

 $00:40:34.675 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.335$ the lesion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98504794

 $00:40:35.875 \longrightarrow 00:40:37.575$ And when staging cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968561

 $00:40:38.275 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.155$ many of you will have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9968561

 $00:40:39.155 \longrightarrow 00:40:41.094$ heard of the TNM staging

NOTE Confidence: 0.95568746

 $00:40:41.559 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.760$ system, where t is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95568746

 $00:40:42.760 \longrightarrow 00:40:44.039$ size of the tumor and

NOTE Confidence: 0.95568746

 $00:40:44.039 \longrightarrow 00:40:46.279$ n is nodes, m is

NOTE Confidence: 0.95568746

 $00{:}40{:}46.279 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}46.779 \ \mathrm{metastasis}.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9485852

 $00:40:47.559 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.500$ But sarcomas have a fourth

NOTE Confidence: 0.9485852

 $00:40:49.640 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.339$ component, which is the grade.

00:40:51.719 --> 00:40:52.859 And it's so important

NOTE Confidence: 0.9975731

 $00:40:53.640 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.160$ that a tumor that's very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9975731

 $00:40:55.160 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.660$ small

NOTE Confidence: 0.98576933

 $00:40:57.204 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.565$ yet has a very high

NOTE Confidence: 0.98576933

 $00:40:58.565 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.925$ grade can be a stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.98576933

 $00{:}40{:}59.925 --> 00{:}41{:}00.425 \text{ three}.$

NOTE Confidence: 0.99146104

 $00:41:00.964 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.405$ Whereas if this was a

NOTE Confidence: 0.99146104

 $00:41:02.405 \longrightarrow 00:41:04.005$ low grade tumor, it would

NOTE Confidence: 0.99146104

 $00:41:04.005 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.285$ actually still only be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.99146104

 $00:41:05.285 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.184$ stage one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877979

 $00:41:06.484 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.005$ So that's how important grade

NOTE Confidence: 0.9877979 00:41:08.005 --> 00:41:08.505 is NOTE Confidence: 0.97836083

 $00:41:08.805 \longrightarrow 00:41:10.560$ because it has such a

NOTE Confidence: 0.97836083

 $00:41:10.560 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.380$ key effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.99857134

 $00:41:11.760 \longrightarrow 00:41:12.660$ on the prognosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9993841

 $00:41:14.960 \longrightarrow 00:41:16.340$ So he underwent

 $00:41:16.880 \longrightarrow 00:41:18.340$ resection of the retroperitoneal

NOTE Confidence: 0.87892324

 $00:41:19.040 \longrightarrow 00:41:20.260$ tumor on block

NOTE Confidence: 0.9341699

 $00:41:20.880 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.880$ with the right adrenal band,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9341699

 $00:41:22.880 \longrightarrow 00:41:23.620$ the kidney,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99501336

00:41:24.080 --> 00:41:24.820 the IVC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9750662

 $00:41:25.855 \longrightarrow 00:41:27.775$ the common iliac artery and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9750662

 $00:41:27.775 \longrightarrow 00:41:29.235$ vein, and reconstruction

NOTE Confidence: 0.9978506

 $00:41:29.535 \longrightarrow 00:41:30.835$ with vascular surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984104

 $00:41:31.455 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.835$ It was a huge operation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984104

 $00:41:32.895 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.715$ I'm so glad

NOTE Confidence: 0.9520694

 $00:41:34.255 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.775$ doctor Alino was there to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9520694

 $00:41:35.775 \longrightarrow 00:41:36.975$ do it because she's used

NOTE Confidence: 0.9520694

 $00{:}41{:}36.975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}38.515$ to doing these big operations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.981757

 $00:41:39.295 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.895$ He needed thirty one units

NOTE Confidence: 0.981757

 $00:41:40.895 \longrightarrow 00:41:42.730$ of packed red blood cells,

00:41:43.190 --> 00:41:44.810 nineteen units of FFP,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98813534

 $00:41:45.510 \longrightarrow 00:41:47.349$ three units of cryo, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.98813534 00:41:47.349 --> 00:41:47.849 two

NOTE Confidence: 0.960377

 $00:41:48.150 \longrightarrow 00:41:49.450$ packs of platelets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99472696

 $00:41:49.989 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.750$ And it involved three different

NOTE Confidence: 0.99472696

 $00:41:51.750 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.890$ surgical teams.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9912893

 $00:41:53.744 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.505$ And luckily, he did so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9912893

 $00:41:55.505 \longrightarrow 00:41:56.864$ well that he had an

NOTE Confidence: 0.9912893

 $00:41:56.864 \longrightarrow 00:41:57.364$ uneventful

NOTE Confidence: 0.99259776

 $00:41:57.984 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.484$ recovery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98606735

 $00:41:59.025 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.065$ He was able to get

NOTE Confidence: 0.98606735

 $00:42:00.065 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.204$ home for the holidays,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81045866

 $00:42:01.984 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.724$ but unfortunately

NOTE Confidence: 0.9662465

 $00:42:03.424 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.285$ ended up with recurrent disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9662465

 $00:42:05.344 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.204$ including lung and retroperitoneal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8121331

 $00:42:07.825 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.325$ metastases.

00:42:09.140 --> 00:42:10.580 And I'm currently treating him

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994314

 $00:42:10.580 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.120$ for the metastatic disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89649457

 $00:42:13.780 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.520$ He technically

NOTE Confidence: 0.9728146

 $00:42:15.219 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.060$ would have been eligible for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9728146

 $00:42:17.060 \longrightarrow 00:42:18.680$ one of our clinical trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9728146

 $00:42:18.739 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.239$ however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98806095

 $00:42:19.620 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.820$ and this is the schema

NOTE Confidence: 0.98806095

 $00:42:20.820 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.280$ for the trial. Basically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994727

 $00:42:22.885 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.085$ what we know with these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994727

 $00:42:24.085 \longrightarrow 00:42:24.985$ very large

NOTE Confidence: 0.99671745

 $00:42:25.525 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.025$ retroperitoneal

NOTE Confidence: 0.99517757

 $00:42:26.885 \longrightarrow 00:42:27.385$ sarcomas

NOTE Confidence: 0.9827848

00:42:28.165 --> 00:42:28.905 is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9870474

 $00:42:29.285 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.985$ surgery is a good treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.9870474

 $00:42:31.045 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.165$ for them when they're very

 $00:42:32.165 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.685$ small, but the larger they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9870474

 $00{:}42{:}33.685 --> 00{:}42{:}34.185 \ \mathrm{get},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991748

 $00:42:34.565 \longrightarrow 00:42:36.085$ the more likely they are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991748

 $00:42:36.085 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.065$ to come back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7766494

00:42:37.685 --> 00:42:38.185 So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99894375

 $00:42:39.069 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.569$ historically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8998447

 $00:42:40.349 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.849$ adding

NOTE Confidence: 0.99967194

 $00:42:41.230 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.730$ chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9996664

 $00:42:42.030 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.049$ or radiation to these tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.99965227

 $00:42:44.589 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.869$ has not made much of

NOTE Confidence: 0.99965227

 $00:42:45.869 \longrightarrow 00:42:47.549$ a difference in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.99965227

 $00:42:47.549 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.049$ survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9998399

00:42:48.750 --> 00:42:49.410 In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9894186

 $00:42:49.790 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.469$ radiation was tested in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9894186

 $00:42:51.469 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.069$ very similar trial called the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9894186

00:42:53.069 --> 00:42:53.565 STRAS

 $00:42:53.885 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.385$ one

NOTE Confidence: 0.9752709

 $00:42:54.844 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.204$ trial, where just like here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9752709

 $00:42:56.204 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.045$ patients were randomized to either

NOTE Confidence: 0.9752709

 $00:42:58.045 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.505$ get surgery alone

NOTE Confidence: 0.989514

 $00:43:00.045 \longrightarrow 00:43:00.864$ or radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.99238235

 $00:43:01.165 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.305$ followed by surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9632288

 $00:43:02.844 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.145$ And this was a negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.9632288

 $00:43:04.204 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.045$ trial, the previous trial, the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9632288

 $00:43:06.045 \longrightarrow 00:43:07.344$ STRAS one trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9985263

 $00:43:07.900 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.260$ It ended up saying that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9985263

 $00{:}43{:}09.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}11.760$ radiation did not improve survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9985263

00:43:11.820 --> 00:43:12.480 In fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99750596

 $00{:}43{:}12.860 --> 00{:}43{:}13.920$ in some cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.999809

 $00:43:14.780 \longrightarrow 00:43:16.160$ it was even detrimental

NOTE Confidence: 0.99910223

00:43:16.619 --> 00:43:18.560 to get radiation plus surgery.

 $00:43:19.180 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.140$ There were a couple of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9620263

 $00:43:20.140 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.640$ histologies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.999633

00:43:20.940 --> 00:43:21.440 however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9958211

 $00:43:21.954 \longrightarrow 00:43:22.994$ where it seemed like there

NOTE Confidence: 0.9958211

 $00:43:22.994 \longrightarrow 00:43:24.694$ may be a trend towards

NOTE Confidence: 0.9958211

 $00{:}43{:}24.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}26.214$ radiation being beneficial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99760675

 $00:43:27.075 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.594$ But during that time, the

NOTE Confidence: 0.99760675

 $00:43:28.594 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.094$ chemotherapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995386

 $00:43:29.395 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.775$ that we were using

NOTE Confidence: 0.99641985

 $00:43:31.075 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.674$ and the supportive care that

NOTE Confidence: 0.99641985

00:43:32.674 --> 00:43:33.575 we were using

NOTE Confidence: 0.89996356

 $00:43:34.035 \longrightarrow 00:43:35.335$ has improved significantly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99072504

 $00:43:36.515 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.174$ So that

NOTE Confidence: 0.99240965

 $00:43:38.619 \longrightarrow 00:43:39.900$ they decided to look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.99240965

 $00:43:39.900 \longrightarrow 00:43:41.739$ this question again. If we

NOTE Confidence: 0.99240965

 $00:43:41.739 \longrightarrow 00:43:42.480$ give chemotherapy

00:43:42.940 --> 00:43:43.440 upfront

NOTE Confidence: 0.9691078

 $00:43:44.300 \longrightarrow 00:43:45.980$ followed by surgery, is it

NOTE Confidence: 0.9691078

 $00:43:45.980 \longrightarrow 00:43:48.000$ any better than surgery alone?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90291727

 $00:43:48.540 \longrightarrow 00:43:50.000$ And they this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.95129645

 $00:43:50.780 \longrightarrow 00:43:52.480$ started a few years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9847407

00:43:53.505 --> 00:43:55.204 just before COVID, unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98394203

 $00:43:55.505 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.625$ and then it the study

NOTE Confidence: 0.98394203

00:43:56.625 --> 00:43:58.325 really didn't accrue very well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98394203

00:43:58.545 --> 00:43:59.904 But now we're part of

NOTE Confidence: 0.98394203

 $00:43:59.904 \longrightarrow 00:44:01.424$ that particular study. So if

NOTE Confidence: 0.98394203

 $00:44:01.424 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.645$ you have any patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9982532

 $00{:}44{:}03.105 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}04.805$ who have these retroperitoneal

NOTE Confidence: 0.9988076

 $00:44:05.424 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.924$ masses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98513037

 $00:44:06.464 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.904$ definitely send them to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98513037

 $00:44:07.904 \longrightarrow 00:44:08.404$ surgeon,

 $00:44:08.810 \longrightarrow 00:44:10.010$ but also send them to

NOTE Confidence: 0.99427015

 $00:44:10.010 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.869$ medical oncology to see if

NOTE Confidence: 0.99427015

 $00:44:11.930 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.210$ they would be eligible for

NOTE Confidence: 0.99427015

 $00:44:13.210 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.830$ this trial. And this trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.99624795

 $00:44:15.290 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.570$ is open at all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.99624795

 $00:44:16.570 \longrightarrow 00:44:18.109$ our network sites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9862943

 $00:44:18.810 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.770$ In other words, you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.9862943

 $00:44:19.770 \longrightarrow 00:44:20.890$ refer them to a local

NOTE Confidence: 0.9862943

 $00{:}44{:}20.890 --> 00{:}44{:}22.410$ on cologist, and they can get

NOTE Confidence: 0.9862943

 $00:44:22.410 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.630$ their treatment there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9987509

00:44:24.315 --> 00:44:24.815 Basically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99878275

 $00:44:25.435 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.135$ they will get three cycles

NOTE Confidence: 0.9931519

00:44:27.835 --> 00:44:29.675 of treatment depending on what

NOTE Confidence: 0.9931519

 $00:44:29.675 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.035$ histology they have. If they

NOTE Confidence: 0.9931519

 $00:44:31.035 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.855$ have liposarcoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9906049

 $00{:}44{:}32.954 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}34.895$ they get one particular regimen.

00:44:35.195 --> 00:44:36.415 And if they have leiomyosarcoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99895525

 $00:44:37.114 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.494$ they get a different regimen

NOTE Confidence: 0.99496543

 $00:44:38.840 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.960$ and then go on to

NOTE Confidence: 0.99496543

 $00:44:39.960 \longrightarrow 00:44:41.420$ surgery. So a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.95784974

 $00:44:41.719 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.620$ simple trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99931675

 $00:44:43.080 \longrightarrow 00:44:44.920$ but a very much needed

NOTE Confidence: 0.99931675

 $00:44:44.920 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.960$ trial to see if we

NOTE Confidence: 0.99931675

 $00:44:45.960 \longrightarrow 00:44:46.700$ can improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.99976

 $00:44:47.160 \longrightarrow 00:44:48.520$ on the treatment of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.99976

 $00:44:48.520 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.020$ patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97171694

00:44:51.320 --> 00:44:53.000 Doctor Alino has really been

NOTE Confidence: 0.97171694

 $00:44:53.000 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.500$ working

NOTE Confidence: 0.99980044

 $00:44:54.344 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.965$ extensively with immunotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.99698603

 $00:44:56.344 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.725$ in her lab and

NOTE Confidence: 0.95894027

 $00:44:58.265 \longrightarrow 00:44:58.925$ her patients.

 $00:44:59.705 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.165$ And you can see she's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99928004

 $00:45:02.984 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.425$ mentioned that there are many

NOTE Confidence: 0.99928004

 $00:45:04.425 \longrightarrow 00:45:05.484$ different types

NOTE Confidence: 0.9894274 00:45:05.864 --> 00:45:06.364 of NOTE Confidence: 0.9423852

 $00:45:07.250 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.089$ of soft tissue sarcoma as

NOTE Confidence: 0.9423852

 $00{:}45{:}09.089 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}11.109$ shown in this particular slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99801147 00:45:11.730 --> 00:45:12.230 And

NOTE Confidence: 0.999456

 $00:45:12.609 \longrightarrow 00:45:14.290$ some of them can affect

NOTE Confidence: 0.999456

 $00:45:14.290 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.430$ the immune system

NOTE Confidence: 0.99711263

 $00:45:15.969 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.089$ more than others. I'm not

NOTE Confidence: 0.99711263

 $00:45:17.089 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.130$ gonna go too much on

NOTE Confidence: 0.99711263

 $00:45:18.130 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.829$ this complicated slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99470794

 $00:45:21.645 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.625$ So she

NOTE Confidence: 0.96774864

 $00{:}45{:}23.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}24.685$ treated a seventy seven year

NOTE Confidence: 0.96774864

 $00:45:24.685 \longrightarrow 00:45:26.864$ old, very fit gentleman,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93001604

 $00:45:27.645 \longrightarrow 00:45:29.165$ found with a left adrenal

 $00:45:29.165 \longrightarrow 00:45:29.665$ mass,

NOTE Confidence: 0.977423

 $00:45:30.205 \longrightarrow 00:45:31.565$ resected and found to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.977423

 $00:45:31.565 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.105$ a different kind of sarcoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.977423

 $00:45:33.165 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.125$ from the one I just

NOTE Confidence: 0.977423

 $00:45:34.125 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.585$ mentioned. This is an undifferentiated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9980581

 $00:45:36.900 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.400$ pleomorphic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9991958

 $00:45:37.780 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.280$ sarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9890919

 $00:45:38.980 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.760$ It recurred in twenty nineteen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9969859

 $00:45:41.380 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.239$ and he underwent a partial

NOTE Confidence: 0.9969859

 $00:45:43.299 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.799$ colectomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.99694353

 $00:45:44.579 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.200$ and partial left nephrectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.969876

00:45:46.980 --> 00:45:48.200 It recurred again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9948572

 $00:45:49.555 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.755$ in twenty twenty, and he

NOTE Confidence: 0.9948572

 $00:45:50.755 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.775$ had completion of left nephrectomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9817172

 $00:45:53.475 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.015$ and resection of the mass.

00:45:55.395 --> 00:45:56.295 And it recurred

NOTE Confidence: 0.9948295

00:45:56.594 --> 00:45:58.275 following that in twenty twenty

NOTE Confidence: 0.9948295 00:45:58.275 --> 00:45:58.775 two. NOTE Confidence: 0.99780935

 $00:45:59.315 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.355$ And what they did was

NOTE Confidence: 0.99780935

 $00:46:00.355 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.875$ they tested his tumor at

NOTE Confidence: 0.99780935

 $00:46:01.875 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.480$ that time, and they found

NOTE Confidence: 0.99780935

 $00:46:03.480 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.680$ he had a very high

NOTE Confidence: 0.9978093500:46:04.680 --> 00:46:05.180 score

NOTE Confidence: 0.9867343

 $00:46:05.480 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.840$ of PD L1. This is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9867343

 $00:46:06.840 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.960$ one of the markers that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9867343

 $00:46:07.960 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.980$ we use in other cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.9867343

 $00:46:10.200 \longrightarrow 00:46:10.940$ to predict

NOTE Confidence: 0.99521965

00:46:11.239 --> 00:46:12.620 for response to immunotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.996095

 $00:46:15.965 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.585$ Now we know that certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.996095

 $00:46:17.805 \longrightarrow 00:46:18.945$ sarcoma types

NOTE Confidence: 0.9978414

 $00{:}46{:}19.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}20.625$ respond to immunotherapy

 $00:46:21.805 \longrightarrow 00:46:24.705$ better than other sarcoma types.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99888444

 $00:46:25.405 \longrightarrow 00:46:27.085$ And this is a slide

NOTE Confidence: 0.99888444

 $00:46:27.085 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.785$ of all the different patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.99888444

 $00:46:28.844 \longrightarrow 00:46:29.665$ with sarcoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.9858804

 $00:46:30.140 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.840$ who have been treated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9858804

 $00:46:31.900 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.400$ immunotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9399526

 $00:46:33.340 \longrightarrow 00:46:34.540$ And you can see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9399526

 $00:46:34.540 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.320$ UPS, this is not the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9399526

 $00:46:36.540 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.320$ postal company, but this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9399526

 $00:46:38.460 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.960$ undifferentiated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9946346

00:46:39.660 --> 00:46:40.160 pleomorphic

NOTE Confidence: 0.9441618

 $00:46:40.620 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.120 \text{ sarcoma},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.939904

00:46:42.060 --> 00:46:43.900 often can have a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.939904

 $00:46:43.900 \longrightarrow 00:46:45.280$ good response to immunotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9823665

 $00:46:46.194 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.494$ probably because they have

 $00:46:47.795 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.855$ a lot of heterogeneity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99872303

 $00:46:49.635 \longrightarrow 00:46:50.855$ and this is a key

NOTE Confidence: 0.99872303

 $00:46:51.075 \longrightarrow 00:46:52.855$ for many cancers to respond

NOTE Confidence: 0.99857056

 $00:46:53.155 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.454$ to these immune treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99928945

 $00:46:57.155 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.515$ So in twenty twenty two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99928945

 $00:46:58.515 \longrightarrow 00:46:59.795$ he was treated with seven

NOTE Confidence: 0.99928945

00:46:59.795 --> 00:47:01.015 cycles of pembrolizumab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994627

 $00:47:02.349 \longrightarrow 00:47:02.849$ Unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.999889

 $00:47:03.310 \longrightarrow 00:47:04.130$ he had

NOTE Confidence: 0.98758113

 $00:47:04.510 \longrightarrow 00:47:05.010$ autoimmune

NOTE Confidence: 0.9172619

 $00{:}47{:}05.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}05.890$ hepatitis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81804734

 $00:47:06.670 \longrightarrow 00:47:07.730$ And any time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99359703

 $00:47:08.030 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.469$ as you've probably all seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.99359703

 $00:47:09.469 \longrightarrow 00:47:10.589$ in your practice, you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.99359703

 $00:47:10.589 \longrightarrow 00:47:11.250$ a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.9661564

 $00:47:11.550 \longrightarrow 00:47:13.250$ who has an immune related

 $00:47:13.310 \longrightarrow 00:47:13.995$ side effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95190006

 $00{:}47{:}14.555 \to 00{:}47{:}15.755$ The first thing to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.95190006

00:47:15.755 --> 00:47:17.055 if it's very severe

NOTE Confidence: 0.9932001

 $00:47:17.515 \longrightarrow 00:47:18.655$ is to give steroids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95375186

 $00:47:19.355 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.115$ This will very quickly, in

NOTE Confidence: 0.95375186

 $00:47:21.115 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.895$ the vast majority of cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95375186

 $00:47:23.035 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.495$ reverse the side effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99920636

 $00:47:25.435 \longrightarrow 00:47:26.555$ but it does mean that

NOTE Confidence: 0.99920636

 $00:47:26.555 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.655$ you can't restart the immunotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.99428314

 $00:47:30.130 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.869$ until they've

NOTE Confidence: 0.9398467

 $00:47:31.170 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.030$ tapered their steroid down to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9398467

 $00{:}47{:}33.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}35.190$ the equivalent of ten milligrams

NOTE Confidence: 0.9398467

 $00:47:35.330 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.869$ of prednisone a day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99938434

 $00:47:38.609 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.369$ In twenty twenty four, he

NOTE Confidence: 0.99938434

 $00:47:40.369 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.290$ was unable to restart the

 $00:47:42.290 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.790$ immunotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.997018

 $00:47:43.090 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.714$ but he had still fairly

NOTE Confidence: 0.997018

 $00:47:44.714 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.614$ stable disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.9702501

 $00:47:46.315 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.174$ probably because of the immunotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9702501

 $00:47:48.394 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.434$ that he's had all that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9702501

 $00:47:49.434 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.174$ time before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9885295

 $00:47:50.714 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.875$ And he started pazopanib, which

NOTE Confidence: 0.9885295

 $00:47:52.875 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.154$ is what we call a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9885295

 $00:47:54.154 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.295$ targeted therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9982256

 $00:47:55.835 \longrightarrow 00:47:57.434$ It goes after it's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9982256

 $00:47:57.434 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.974$ tyrosine kinase inhibitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994902

 $00:47:59.510 \longrightarrow 00:48:00.790$ that goes after some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994902

 $00:48:00.790 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.489$ the enzymes that we think

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994902

00:48:02.710 --> 00:48:04.569 will promote cancer growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9799723

 $00:48:06.469 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.750$ Again, I won't spend too

NOTE Confidence: 0.9799723

 $00:48:07.750 \longrightarrow 00:48:08.950$ much time on this slide

00:48:08.950 --> 00:48:11.130 that doctor Alino put together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.99876946

 $00:48:11.605 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.565$ but you can see there

NOTE Confidence: 0.99876946

 $00:48:12.565 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.225$ are many ongoing clinical trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.9879656

 $00:48:16.005 \longrightarrow 00:48:18.085$ that we use now or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9879656

 $00:48:18.085 \longrightarrow 00:48:19.785$ that are being used now

NOTE Confidence: 0.9879656

 $00:48:20.005 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.825$ for immunotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9954456

 $00:48:21.765 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.285$ We just closed one that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9954456

 $00:48:23.285 \longrightarrow 00:48:24.905$ we had here, but we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9994862

 $00:48:25.260 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.560$ we will have others

NOTE Confidence: 0.9949395

 $00:48:26.860 \longrightarrow 00:48:28.219$ that will open either in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9949395

00:48:28.219 --> 00:48:30.300 our sarcoma group or in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9949395

 $00:48:30.300 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.580$ what we call our phase

NOTE Confidence: 0.9949395

 $00:48:31.580 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.600$ one early trials group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.99903667

 $00:48:34.940 \longrightarrow 00:48:36.239$ So I'm actually gonna

NOTE Confidence: 0.94879806

 $00:48:36.540 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.900$ end the talk there. I

 $00:48:37.900 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.739$ don't know, doctor Laditch or

NOTE Confidence: 0.94879806

00:48:39.739 --> 00:48:40.700 doctor Lee, if you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.94879806

 $00:48:40.700 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.455$ any closing comments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90658927

 $00:48:44.835 \longrightarrow 00:48:45.955$ I I'm still here. I

NOTE Confidence: 0.90658927

 $00:48:45.955 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.635$ think doctor Lee, had to

NOTE Confidence: 0.90658927

 $00:48:47.635 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.955$ leave.

NOTE Confidence: 0.97539365

 $00:48:48.915 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.115$ I think this has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.97539365

00:48:50.115 --> 00:48:51.555 a whirlwind tour, and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.97539365

 $00{:}48{:}51.555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}53.315$ think, there's nothing else that

NOTE Confidence: 0.97539365

 $00:48:53.315 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.035$ I can add that would

NOTE Confidence: 0.97539365

 $00{:}48{:}54.035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}55.315$ make this any more glorious

NOTE Confidence: 0.97539365

 $00:48:55.315 \longrightarrow 00:48:56.375$ than it has been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9691557

00:48:57.770 --> 00:48:59.050 Thanks, Igor. And,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9984188

 $00:49:00.090 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.230$ if any of you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9956613

 $00:49:01.770 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.590$ want to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9978494

 $00:49:03.690 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.670$ refer a patient,

 $00:49:05.210 \longrightarrow 00:49:06.910$ then feel free to

NOTE Confidence: 0.97431886

 $00:49:08.010 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.290$ contact us. We're all on

NOTE Confidence: 0.97431886

 $00:49:09.290 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.109$ the Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.888273

 $00:49:10.410 \longrightarrow 00:49:10.910$ email,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9619055

 $00:49:11.895 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.755$ and in Epic as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9909897

 $00:49:14.935 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.295$ I'll just see if there

NOTE Confidence: 0.9909897

 $00:49:16.295 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.875$ are any

NOTE Confidence: 0.9995746

00:49:17.335 --> 00:49:18.955 questions at this time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8532872

 $00:49:27.054 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.514$ We don't see any.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9148606

00:49:29.534 --> 00:49:30.514 So, Emily,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98067385

 $00{:}49{:}33.534 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}34.414$ are you still on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98067385

 $00:49:34.414 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.914$ line?