WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "00:30:49.5570000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.885055780410767

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:03.108$ Both in cancer and and obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.885055780410767

 $00:00:03.108 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.980$ immunology and response to viruses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885055780410767

00:00:05.980 --> 00:00:08.724 And we'll start with our first speaker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885055780410767

 $00:00:08.730 \longrightarrow 00:00:09.909$ doctor lash boost.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885055780410767

00:00:09.909 --> 00:00:11.908 I allows is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885055780410767

 $00{:}00{:}11.908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}14.362$ is a professor of Medicine at

NOTE Confidence: 0.885055780410767

00:00:14.362 --> 00:00:16.977 the school of Madison at the US.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885055780410767

 $00:00:16.980 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.162$ Will Medison Co.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885055780410767

00:00:18.162 --> 00:00:19.738 Leader of the genetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885055780410767

 $00{:}00{:}19.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}21.970$ genomics and epigenetics research program

NOTE Confidence: 0.885055780410767

 $00{:}00{:}21.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}24.200$ and director of Translational research

NOTE Confidence: 0.885055780410767

 $00:00:24.262 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.439$ for breast cancer in our Cancer Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885055780410767

00:00:26.440 --> 00:00:28.885 Having received his medical degree

NOTE Confidence: 0.885055780410767

00:00:28.885 --> 00:00:31.330 in Budapest and his subsequent

00:00:31.408 --> 00:00:33.853 doctorate at the University of

NOTE Confidence: 0.885055780410767

 $00:00:33.853 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.298$ Oxford blouse is really committed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885055780410767

 $00:00:36.300 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.994$ His career to really understanding the

NOTE Confidence: 0.885055780410767

00:00:38.994 --> 00:00:41.877 biology of breast cancer and leveraging

NOTE Confidence: 0.885055780410767

 $00:00:41.877 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.901$ that understanding to fundamentally

NOTE Confidence: 0.885055780410767

00:00:43.901 --> 00:00:47.638 improving our way to deliver more efficient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885055780410767

 $00:00:47.640 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.624$ more effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885055780410767

 $00:00:48.624 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.084$ and more successful clinical care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885055780410767 00:00:51.090 --> 00:00:52.076 You know, NOTE Confidence: 0.885055780410767

00:00:52.076 --> 00:00:54.048 through his laboratory work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885055780410767

 $00:00:54.050 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.890$ his work in translation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885055780410767

00:00:55.890 --> 00:00:57.270 Medison And frankly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885055780410767

 $00{:}00{:}57.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}58.710$ his leadership of clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.885055780410767

00:00:58.710 --> 00:01:00.150 trials in clinic research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885055780410767

 $00:01:00.150 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.280$ which have not only investigated new

 $00:01:02.280 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.470$ drugs but also leverage new technologies

NOTE Confidence: 0.885055780410767

 $00{:}01{:}04.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}06.600$ to better define and predict how

NOTE Confidence: 0.885055780410767

 $00:01:06.600 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.790$ women will best respond to therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885055780410767

 $00:01:08.790 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.058$ He really has been the triple thread

NOTE Confidence: 0.885055780410767

00:01:11.058 --> 00:01:13.110 and actually have academic Medison,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885055780410767

 $00{:}01{:}13.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}15.371$ and we're pleased to have him today

NOTE Confidence: 0.885055780410767

00:01:15.371 --> 00:01:17.967 to share his work in translation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.885055780410767

 $00{:}01{:}17.967 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}20.307$ research in breast cancer so loud.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885055780410767

00:01:20.310 --> 00:01:22.470 Thank you for Evergreen speak today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.927787184715271

00:01:23.380 --> 00:01:25.282 Thank you Charlie, for them the

NOTE Confidence: 0.927787184715271

 $00:01:25.282 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.554$ opportunity to to give a brief update

NOTE Confidence: 0.927787184715271

00:01:27.554 --> 00:01:29.738 on some of the translation of projects

NOTE Confidence: 0.927787184715271

00:01:29.797 --> 00:01:31.897 that we actually have been involved in

NOTE Confidence: 0.927787184715271

00:01:31.897 --> 00:01:35.850 the past few years here at Yale. Um?

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:01:42.370 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.233$ So this is my a disclosure slide

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:01:45.233 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.606$ and I would like to cover 3 areas.

 $00:01:48.610 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.172$ When is exploiting the emu micro environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00{:}01{:}51.172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}54.429$ of a breast cancer for the rapeutic purposes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:01:54.430 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.342$ some potential metabolic vulnerabilities

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:01:56.342 \longrightarrow 00:01:59.886$ in breast cancer I think exists and I'd

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00{:}01{:}59.886 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}02{:}02{:}326$ like to challenge you to think and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:02:02:02:396 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.651$ knew very about cancer predisposition

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

00:02:04.651 --> 00:02:07.249 or cancer risk category cancer risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00{:}02{:}07.249 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}10.795$ So the road from an idea to a clinical trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

00:02:10.795 --> 00:02:13.707 or clinical trial result is really very

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00{:}02{:}13.707 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}17.235$ long and often times very sort of tortuous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:02:17.240 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.596$ So as an example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:02:18.596 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.067$ about 10 years ago we published this

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00{:}02{:}21.067 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}23.587$ paper very show at the presence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

00:02:23.587 --> 00:02:25.630 immune cells in primary tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

00:02:25.630 --> 00:02:27.820 either ER positive or triple negative,

 $00:02:27.820 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.221$ or her two positive breast cancer service

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00{:}02{:}30.221 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}31.840$ usually associated with prognosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:02:31.840 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.660$ Let's outcome in patients receiving

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:02:33.660 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.388$ order surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:02:34.390 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.706$ Now the treatment and surgery so

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:02:36.706 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.849$ that people was published in 2010.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:02:38.850 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.370$ I'd like to remind you that in 2010

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

00:02:41.370 --> 00:02:43.704 there is no effective, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

00:02:43.704 --> 00:02:45.439 therapy in any disease type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:02:45.440 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.869$ So we cause this the closing sentence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:02:47.870 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.178$ This paper was, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:02:49.178 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.813$ it remains unknown whether the

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

00:02:50.813 --> 00:02:52.286 Indians selectivity is simply

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:02:52.286 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.464$ associated with with a better outcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:02:54.470 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.396$ or it's really the cause of

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:02:56.396 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.280$ the battle outcome in disease.

 $00:02:58.280 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.730$ So around the same time in another

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:03:00.730 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.138$ project with a postdoc in my lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:03:03.140 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.732$ we also looked at what sort of biological

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:03:05.732 \longrightarrow 00:03:07.650$ processes or or gene expressions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

00:03:07.650 --> 00:03:09.054 signatures or patterns are

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:03:09.054 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.458$ associated with the response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:03:10.460 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.396$ Show me legend.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

00:03:11.396 --> 00:03:11.708 Chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00{:}03{:}11.708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}13.580$ Sony rejoin chemotherapy is really a

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00{:}03{:}13.627 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}15.523$ perfect setting where you can correlate

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:03:15.523 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.139$ a particular biomarker with sensitive

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00{:}03{:}17.139 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}18.921$ to the treatment because you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00{:}03{:}18.921 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}20.756$ directly measure the effect of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00{:}03{:}20.756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}22.940$ treatment at the time of the surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:03:22.940 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.188$ Patients have no residual

 $00:03:24.188 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.436$ cancer after the chemo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:03:25.440 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.000$ They tend to do really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:03:27.000 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.866$ really well and we call this

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:03:28.866 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.799$ pathological complete response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:03:29.800 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.622$ So be selling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:03:30.622 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.240$ This is again a number of immune signatures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:03:33.240 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.920$ Are Indian related markers fell

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:03:34.920 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.945$ out so obviously the big question

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:03:36.945 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.877$ is so is this a mere Association

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

00:03:38.877 --> 00:03:40.757 or A cause and effect and?

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

00:03:40.760 --> 00:03:42.256 Right around that time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:03:42.256 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.500$ in the second half of 2010,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

00:03:44.500 --> 00:03:47.004 the 1st paper came out in the New

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

00:03:47.004 --> 00:03:49.034 England Journal of Medicine and eating

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:03:49.034 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.646$ woman at that have shown that actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:03:51.646 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.846$ manipulating an immune checkpoint,

 $00:03:53.850 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.244$ so we'd illumi map could improve the

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00{:}03{:}56.244 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}58.710$ survival of patients in metastatic Melanoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:03:58.710 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.454$ so that really created an opportunity to test

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:04:01.454 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.947$ this Kozera fact versus Amir Association.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088 00:04:03.950 --> 00:04:04.251 2012,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:04:04.251 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.756$ which is actually the year

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:04:05.756 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.270$ when I joined the air.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00{:}04{:}07.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}09.582$ We proposed the new edgmont trial to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:04:09.582 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.405$ an S and ask them would repeal me map.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00{:}04{:}12.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}13.830$ It could improve the pathological

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:04:13.830 \longrightarrow 00:04:14.966$ complete response rate when

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:04:14.966 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.030$ combined with chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

00:04:16.030 --> 00:04:17.238 So PMS said, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:04:17.238 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.748$ now that's a good idea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:04:18.750 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.946$ but it's really too toxic and

 $00:04:20.946 \longrightarrow 00:04:22.410$ it's way too early.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00{:}04{:}22.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}24.682$ So it went to look and proposed them

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

00:04:24.682 --> 00:04:27.269 the same thing and you ain't even prior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:04:27.270 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.790$ but also for good measure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:04:28.790 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.578$ he asked them whether they would

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

00:04:30.578 --> 00:04:32.140 be interested in Edgmont Prial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00{:}04{:}32.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}34.114$ since since a lot of immune cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00:04:34.114 \longrightarrow 00:04:35.346$ in the microenvironment predicted

NOTE Confidence: 0.893426477909088

 $00{:}04{:}35.346 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}36.996$ better outcome of the surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:04:37.000 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.925$ So for the new regiment they actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00{:}04{:}38.925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}41.127$ sent me this lovely letter that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

00:04:41.127 --> 00:04:43.084 thought it's interesting to read, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:04:43.084 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.908$ So read the actual date first,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:04:44.910 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.728$ so it's 18th of September 2012.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:04:46.730 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.642$ The ordinary nice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:04:47.642 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.858$ polite rejection that well,

 $00:04:48.860 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.295$ we are unable to provide

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:04:50.295 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.129$ either funding or drug for this

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:04:52.129 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.819$ project because of the unclear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

00:04:53.820 --> 00:04:56.172 FDA regularly path forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:04:56.172 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.524$ Either there is well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:04:58.530 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.288$ sort of tried the road for edge

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:05:01.288 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.189$ event registrations and they agreed

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:05:03.189 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.765$ to do an agent trial which led to

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:05:05.843 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.279$ this spoke as 1418 konnakol trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:05:08.280 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.542$ so not as 40 actually means

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:05:10.542 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.779$ that it was started in 2014,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:05:12.780 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.255$ so it took about two years to the NCI

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00{:}05{:}15.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}18.403$ to really put together this large of

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:05:18.403 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.271$ the randomized registration trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:05:20.280 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.155$ After Merck supported it in

 $00:05:22.155 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.280$ 2012 in principle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00{:}05{:}23.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}25.905$ So I'm kind of tenacious and not

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

00:05:25.905 --> 00:05:27.852 very aggressive, but I I tenacious.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:05:27.852 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.385$ So I supposed to say my dear again

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:05:30.385 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.709$ to Med immune in the same year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:05:32.710 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.498$ maybe even at that time was

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:05:34.498 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.392$ a startup company.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:05:35.400 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.472$ They had do volume app and now they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00{:}05{:}37.472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}39.712$ part of easiest is Erica and being

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:05:39.712 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.372$ smaller than they actually said?

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00{:}05{:}41.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}42.250$ Yeah, why not?

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:05:42.250 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.990$ So we propose them to studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:05:43.990 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.560$ and they agreed to both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:05:45.560 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.060$ What was the single agent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

00:05:47.060 --> 00:05:49.450 I mean a single arm phase, one phase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:05:49.450 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.906$ two trial at Yale, and the randomized trial.

00:05:51.906 --> 00:05:54.230 So the I spy consortium combined it all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:05:54.230 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.030$ Apple event added to pocket back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:05:56.030 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.470$ So our study at year was just simply.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:05:58.470 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.981$ So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00{:}05{:}58.981 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}59.492$ Hum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00{:}05{:}59.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}02.558$ So I could be presented last

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:06:02.558 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.968$ year the results of the year

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:06:05.968 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.808$ phase one phase two trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:06:08.810 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.425$ There's a historical interest because

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:06:10.425 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.753$ this was the first knew edgmont even

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

00:06:12.753 --> 00:06:14.733 oncology trial anywhere in the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:06:14.740 \longrightarrow 00:06:17.364$ So the first patient was enrolled in 2015.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:06:17.370 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.994$ It was a phase one phase two trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:06:20.000 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.680$ and because this has never been

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:06:21.680 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.269$ done in the collective setting

00:06:23.269 --> 00:06:24.929 combined with chemotherapy food,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446 00:06:24.930 --> 00:06:25.307 though, NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:06:25.307 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.192$ is chemotherapy before surgery FT

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:06:27.192 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.358$ require that the first three patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

00:06:29.358 --> 00:06:31.423 is watch for 9 months each week

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:06:31.423 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.549$ for the next lot could be entered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:06:33.550 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.998$ So it looks like it was a long

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:06:35.998 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.420$ time to complete.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:06:37.420 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.375$ The results showed that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:06:39.375 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.548$ pathological complete eradication

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:06:40.548 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.699$ rate in the rest was about 44%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:06:42.700 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.108$ The same chemotherapy regimen

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:06:44.108 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.516$ in a similar trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:06:45.520 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.977$ and by by the sea by smog.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:06:47.980 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.360$ Southwestern college group resulted

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00{:}06{:}49.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}51.430$ in a PCR or pathological covered

 $00:06:51.487 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.907$ response with different 29%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:06:52.910 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.016$ So we also noted that there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:06:55.020 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.484$ as you would expect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:06:56.484 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.680$ you cancel each other higher PD

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:06:58.750 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.772$ leg and one expression or more

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

00:07:00.772 --> 00:07:03.183 sites at a higher PCR eight more

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:07:03.183 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.358$ closer to 60% rather than.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:07:05.358 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.140$ 44 So remember,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:07:07.140 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.196$ parallel with this and the other study was

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:07:10.196 \dashrightarrow 00:07:12.610$ running through the I spy that I was so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:07:12.610 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.730$ Lad and he showed the results of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:07:15.730 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.608$ Plenary session of the EC are this year on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00{:}07{:}18.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}20.934$ The study shows 9 the randomized setting

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:07:20.934 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.198$ that indeed leave this door vulnerable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:07:23.200 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.015$ A product combination improved the

 $00:07:25.015 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.467$ pathological can't response rate

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00{:}07{:}26.467 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}28.499$ in both the triple negative group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:07:28.500 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.385$ which was the results were

NOTE Confidence: 0.868568539619446

 $00:07:30.385 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.270$ very eerily similar in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:07:32.342 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.852$ of the PCI rate, 47 versus 44% in

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

00:07:34.852 --> 00:07:36.970 our little negative study at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:07:36.970 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.735$ and we also notice that

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:07:38.735 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.500$ actually this drug also work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:07:40.500 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.948$ That is combination worked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:07:41.948 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.714$ It was added to chemotherapy. You have to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00{:}07{:}44.714 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}46.940$ I mean it hormone receptor HR stands

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

00:07:47.010 --> 00:07:49.090 for hormone receptor resolution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00{:}07{:}49.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}50.395$ receptor positive disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:07:50.395 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.005$ However, the result might this reason

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:07:53.005 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.499$ why this was picked for a plenary

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:07:55.499 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.860$ session is actually in the next slide,

 $00:07:57.860 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.556$ so we stumbled upon a remarkably sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00{:}08{:}00.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}03.716$ simple and clear way to isolate out among

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00{:}08{:}03.716 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}05.860$ the estrogen receptor positive tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:08:05.860 \longrightarrow 00:08:07.765$ the ones which really benefited

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:08:07.765 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.670$ versus those who did not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:08:09.670 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.110$ There was no editing benefit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:08:12.110 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.666$ So if you split these estrogen

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00{:}08{:}14.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}16.370$ receptor hormone receptor positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00{:}08{:}16.439 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}18.797$ group into two more likely subtypes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00{:}08{:}18.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}21.968$ let me call your MP1 and MP2MP one

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:08:21.968 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.657$ this crap sort of Mamma print.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00{:}08{:}24.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}27.196$ The lower end of the moment I grew

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00{:}08{:}27.196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}30.516$ up in the MP two is the Momma Prince

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00{:}08{:}30.516 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}32.830$ Super High score on my printer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:08:32.830 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.825$ Similar sort of predictor that we used

00:08:34.825 --> 00:08:36.948 to to identify patients who benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00{:}08{:}36.948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}38.963$ from Edgmont chemotherapy in hormone

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:08:38.963 \longrightarrow 00:08:40.640$ receptor positive diseases score.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:08:40.640 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.908$ So what we're showing here that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:08:42.908 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.123$ core itself has a meaning and just

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

00:08:45.123 --> 00:08:47.461 being called high risk or or benefiting

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

00:08:47.461 --> 00:08:49.509 from chemotherapy is valuable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:08:49.510 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.086$ but you actually can also split this look

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:08:52.086 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.886$ into that really super sensitive to adding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:08:54.890 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.518$ Uh, in Indian checkpoint

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00{:}08{:}56.518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}58.146$ inhibitor to the chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:08:58.150 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.782$ So in that group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

00:08:59.782 --> 00:09:02.840 the pathological CR equals 64% versus 22%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:09:02.840 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.860$ In the chemotherapy alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:09:04.860 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.020$ So what is this MP group?

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:09:07.020 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.730$ So this NP group actually is

 $00:09:08.730 \longrightarrow 00:09:11.131$ the group which has a very high

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

00:09:11.131 --> 00:09:12.723 proliferation and the relatively

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:09:12.723 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.580$ low estrogen receptors signaling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:09:14.580 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.740$ or estrogen receptor sort of activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:09:16.740 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.900$ Read out that you can capture

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:09:18.900 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.340$ Biostar generating wearing jeans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:09:20.340 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.332$ and that's an important sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:09:22.332 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.462$ piece of information to design the

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:09:24.462 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.934$ follow-up registration trial for

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:09:25.934 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.260$ base that we have working with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:09:28.260 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.776$ Because this guy proliferation

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

00:09:29.776 --> 00:09:31.671 most regions signaling group is

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00{:}09{:}31.671 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}34.045$ the group that is the least likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:09:34.045 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.850$ to benefit from endocrine therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:09:35.850 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.662$ Gotta hand it most likely to

 $00:09:37.662 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.266$ benefit chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:09:38.270 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.424$ and we think that this benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:09:40.424 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.258$ could be further augmented by

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:09:42.258 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.888$ by adding indoor follow map.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:09:43.890 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.210$ So we want to get back to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:09:46.210 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.678$ letter in September 2012 from work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:09:48.680 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.067$ So a year later, in September 30th,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881 00:09:51.070 --> 00:09:51.360 2013,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00{:}09{:}51.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}53.390$ the FDA approved the first sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:09:53.390 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.584$ drug to be based on pathological

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:09:55.584 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.906$ company response rate in breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:09:57.910 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.314$ and that was purchased.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:09:59.314 \longrightarrow 00:10:01.069$ So purchasing have improved the

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:10:01.069 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.448$ pathological computer response rate

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:10:02.448 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.398$ in her two positive disease and

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:10:04.398 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.117$ lettered registration of this drug.

 $00:10:06.120 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.830$ So not respecting the idea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:10:07.830 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.702$ and they actually lounge the large

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

00:10:09.702 --> 00:10:11.929 randomized trial with a pathological CRS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

00:10:11.930 --> 00:10:13.988 their endpoint, and to their credit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:10:13.990 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.166$ they invited me back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881 00:10:16.166 --> 00:10:17.798 To the app.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:10:17.800 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.596$ Leadership of the trial and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00{:}10{:}20.596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}22.924$ results were actually published this

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00{:}10{:}22.924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}25.619$ year in the new invention of Madison

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

00:10:25.619 --> 00:10:28.239 because it did confirm that indeed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:10:28.240 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.732$ adding parallelism after chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:10:30.732 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.224$ improves the pathological computer

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00{:}10{:}33.224 \rightarrow 00{:}10{:}35.422$ education rate improved the

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

 $00:10:35.422 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.607$ recurrence free survival even after

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

00:10:37.607 --> 00:10:40.209 18 months of median follow up.

 $00:10:40.210 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.545$ So this is the research

NOTE Confidence: 0.864236354827881

00:10:41.545 --> 00:10:42.880 study that sort of was

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:10:42.939 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.029$ largely based on these observations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:10:45.030 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.590$ Remember 10 years ago, so it took six.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:10:47.590 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.190$ It looks 40 years to actually start this

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

00:10:50.190 --> 00:10:52.409 study another six years to complete it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

00:10:52.410 --> 00:10:54.978 and it would have been completed by now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:10:54.980 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.384$ not for the coded.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00{:}10{:}56.384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}59.665$ So it has accrued 923 patients out of 1000

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:10:59.665 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.227$ and the results probably will be become

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00{:}11{:}02.298 \to 00{:}11{:}05.234$ available in the next two to three years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:11:05.240 \longrightarrow 00:11:08.078$ So so some cancers that high,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:11:08.080 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.724$ you know, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

00:11:09.724 --> 00:11:11.368 Union presence know why

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:11:11.368 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.459$ so often suffer numbers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00{:}11{:}13.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}15.434$ Medical student at Yale took on

00:11:15.434 --> 00:11:17.223 this project to actually look

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

00:11:17.223 --> 00:11:18.867 into the molecular background,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:11:18.870 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.675$ or why sometimes have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:11:20.675 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.480$ lot of lymphocytes at this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

00:11:22.480 --> 00:11:24.646 Last one is now medical country

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:11:24.646 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.090$ fellow at Sloan Kettering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:11:26.090 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.169$ So we did these families pcga let

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:11:28.169 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.334$ me show that many other people

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:11:30.334 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.339$ did before that triple negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

00:11:32.339 --> 00:11:34.759 cancer's had a higher notation count,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

00:11:34.760 --> 00:11:36.565 highly antigen mode and more

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

00:11:36.565 --> 00:11:37.648 cytotoxic T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083 00:11:37.650 --> 00:11:38.012 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

00:11:38.012 --> 00:11:41.640 when you look at the actual group On its own,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:11:41.640 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.746$ like triple negative disease or ER

 $00:11:43.746 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.854$ positive cancer, her two positive cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:11:45.854 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.258$ These associations suddenly flip.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:11:47.260 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.010$ This is a correlation matrix.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

00:11:49.010 --> 00:11:51.818 I don't expect you to see the numbers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:11:51.820 \longrightarrow 00:11:54.034$ but the colors indicate you the

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:11:54.034 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.510$ the positive correlation when

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:11:55.576 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.086$ it's Brown and it's blue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

00:11:57.090 --> 00:11:58.845 it's negative and anti correlation

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:11:58.845 \longrightarrow 00:12:00.600$ and the deeper the color,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:12:00.600 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.350$ the higher the correlation value.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:12:02.350 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.870$ So you can see that the Indian signatures

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:12:04.870 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.269$ are highly correlated with one another.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:12:07.270 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.020$ But on the other hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:12:09.020 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.825$ is Genomic metrics of generic

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:12:10.825 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.908$ complexities such as.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:12:11.910 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.244$ Mutation load or New Antigen Lord

00:12:14.244 --> 00:12:15.800 or deletions or amplifications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

00:12:15.800 --> 00:12:17.320 loads or como complexity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:12:17.320 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.220$ We actually are inversely associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:12:19.220 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.230$ with immune Indian presence in

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

00:12:21.230 --> 00:12:23.185 triple negative disease and disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:12:23.190 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.140$ so that was pretty counterintuitive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

00:12:25.140 --> 00:12:26.692 Counter intuitive in 2017.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

00:12:26.692 --> 00:12:27.080 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00{:}12{:}27.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}30.572$ so you're moving in says you have a simpler.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00{:}12{:}30.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}32.914$ We can suggest the fewer than

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:12:32.914 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.470$ your hand surgeons are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:12:34.470 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.200$ So when I see things like this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00{:}12{:}37.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}39.150$ I try to confirm it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

00:12:39.150 --> 00:12:41.376 So we reached out collaborator and

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00{:}12{:}41.376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}43.983$ friend Thomas card and ask him to

 $00:12:43.983 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.089$ actually replicate this or with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00{:}12{:}46.089 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}47.705$ different methodology and Thomas

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:12:47.705 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.087$ actually find exact same thing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

00:12:50.087 --> 00:12:52.010 in primary triple negative disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:12:52.010 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.650$ the fewer the immune cells they hire.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

00:12:54.650 --> 00:12:56.158 The Genomic heterogeneity and

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

00:12:56.158 --> 00:12:58.036 the worst prognosis. So worse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:12:58.036 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.274$ Prognosis means that you have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:13:00.274 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.830$ higher probability for methods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:13:01.830 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.557$ This is so and let us do this hypothesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00{:}13{:}04.557 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}06.625$ that may be the metastatic lesions

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00{:}13{:}06.625 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}09.780$ are actually immune refugees or or escapes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00{:}13{:}09.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}12.510$ So there are more immune in Earth

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:13:12.510 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.523$ that Michael Environment is more

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:13:14.523 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.443$ immune inert and these three

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

00:13:16.443 --> 00:13:18.914 brilliant woman took on this project

00:13:18.914 --> 00:13:20.999 or parasitically was a visiting

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:13:20.999 \longrightarrow 00:13:23.384$ scientist from Hungary or so with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

00:13:23.384 --> 00:13:26.590 Smoking baby that his lab in Charlotte.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:13:26.590 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.724$ With me and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

00:13:27.724 --> 00:13:30.370 More Gerstein so we rounded up samples

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:13:30.449 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.249$ which will pair primaries and meds and

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:13:33.249 \longrightarrow 00:13:35.940$ also a separate Court of primaries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:13:35.940 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.299$ And that's not from the same patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:13:38.300 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.911$ and subjected them to a whole series

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00{:}13{:}40.911 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}43.018$ of molecular studies to test it in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

00:13:43.020 --> 00:13:44.700 You micro environment is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:13:44.700 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.708$ same or different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

00:13:45.710 --> 00:13:47.095 so this is just really

NOTE Confidence: 0.894069969654083

 $00:13:47.095 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.480$ examples of three sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:13:48.540 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.731$ simple and straightforward

 $00:13:49.731 \longrightarrow 00:13:52.113$ findings with teal comes the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00{:}13{:}52.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}54.064$ In filtrating info sites are lower in

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:13:54.064 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.765$ maximum primary tumors in matched

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:13:55.765 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.505$ and unmatched chords be dealing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:13:57.510 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.670$ Expression is the same, it slower.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:13:59.670 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.542$ In in the matter equations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:14:01.542 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.719$ also a whole lot of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

00:14:03.719 --> 00:14:05.854 Indian signatures are all lower,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:14:05.860 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.170$ consistently showing that there are less

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:14:08.170 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.588$ Indians and less activity in the breast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:14:10.590 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.322$ Cancer metastatic micro environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

00:14:12.322 --> 00:14:14.920 Why don't was really interesting though?

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:14:14.920 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.206$ Is that while most of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

00:14:17.206 --> 00:14:18.730 emu markers went down,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

00:14:18.730 --> 00:14:20.764 some of the Indian targets actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:14:20.764 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.049$ remain high or or even increased

 $00{:}14{:}23.049 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}25.199$ in the meta static environments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00{:}14{:}25.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}27.498$ And these IO targets are potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:14:27.498 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.780$ good set of candidates for offer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

00:14:29.780 --> 00:14:32.440 Testing them in the meta static setting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:14:32.440 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.350$ either alone or in combination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:14:34.350 \longrightarrow 00:14:36.630$ Also in combination with established agents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:14:36.630 \longrightarrow 00:14:39.290$ So we selected the group of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00{:}14{:}39.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}41.906$ preserved IO targets for a clinical trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:14:41.906 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.660$ that we hope to conduct this support.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00{:}14{:}44.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}46.430$ Clinical trials it's called rustic

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:14:46.430 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.250$ and this is sort of a scheme of it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613 00:14:49.250 --> 00:14:49.960 And again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00{:}14{:}49.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}52.445$ this takes this immune targets that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:14:52.445 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.430$ preserved in the meta static setting

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:14:54.430 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.429$ and testing in the clinic whether

 $00:14:56.429 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.649$ they really have a functional role.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

00:14:58.650 --> 00:15:00.438 In suppressing the new Micro Hood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:15:00.440 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.302$ so because of lack of time I

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:15:02.302 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.163$ can't really talk about all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

00:15:04.163 --> 00:15:05.828 other Indian projects every day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

00:15:05.830 --> 00:15:07.622 but I just thought I list them here

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:15:07.622 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.793$ so we did compare changes in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

00:15:09.793 --> 00:15:11.458 micro environment before and after

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:15:11.521 \longrightarrow 00:15:13.597$ therapy and the shouts only published.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:15:13.600 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.952$ This give us some ideas what to add

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00{:}15{:}15.952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}17.909$ to Pembrolizumab Order Volume app to

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:15:17.909 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.877$ make the treatment even more effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:15:19.934 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.629$ than you actually and setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

00:15:21.630 --> 00:15:23.862 Homes we also compared to same

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:15:23.862 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.350$ day the immune reach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:15:25.350 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.540$ triple negative and the energy or

 $00:15:27.540 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.282$ positive Kansas to see that there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:15:30.282 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.302$ differences in their micro environments

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:15:32.302 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.842$ and that was done by Paso mirror

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:15:34.842 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.254$ medical students who is now a resident

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

00:15:37.254 --> 00:15:39.858 at the Harvard system the same way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:15:39.860 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.530$ So we did similar comparisons

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:15:41.530 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.950$ by by race and King blindness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00{:}15{:}43.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}46.934$ Scientists in my lab is working or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:15:46.940 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.684$ Of data from a number of different new

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00{:}15{:}49.684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}51.919$ agent trials that seems to do well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

00:15:51.920 --> 00:15:53.575 Map kind of what precisely

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:15:53.575 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.237$ defined predictors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00{:}15{:}54.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}56.124$ and he rosen blit is Medical College

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:15:56.124 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.674$ of fellow with a very nice people and

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

00:15:58.674 --> 00:16:00.966 actually looking at in a large pool

00:16:00.966 --> 00:16:02.876 of data from Foundation Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00{:}16{:}02.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}04.782$ Be like an expression across different

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:16:04.782 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.859$ meta static sites in breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:16:06.860 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.038$ And there are some really substantial

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

00:16:09.038 --> 00:16:10.904 differences in people like an

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:16:10.904 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.689$ expression depending on what site

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:16:12.689 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.117$ you are actually sampling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

00:16:14.120 --> 00:16:16.728 I'm going to move on to something else

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:16:16.728 \longrightarrow 00:16:19.206$ that really got me excited in the past.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:16:19.210 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.436$ So if you a few months so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:16:21.440 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.045$ Many metabolic processes are catalyzed

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:16:23.045 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.016$ by multiple different isozymes or or

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00{:}16{:}25.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}26.300$ proteins that really capitalizes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00:16:26.300 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.272$ Same enzymatic reaction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

00:16:27.272 --> 00:16:29.540 Normal cells usually have many of these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

00:16:29.540 --> 00:16:31.274 and oftentimes in cancer you actually

 $00:16:31.274 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.078$ see that one of the isoforms become dominant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00{:}16{:}34.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}36.664$ so that's the schema on this figure, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

 $00{:}16{:}36.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}38.608$ So normal tissue is both sides.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

00:16:38.610 --> 00:16:41.194 When I sent to our expressed in cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613

00:16:41.200 --> 00:16:43.524 I just have one becomes a dominant

NOTE Confidence: 0.843152046203613 00:16:43.524 --> 00:16:44.520 and the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:16:44.590 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.219$ one is lost. So we asked how often do we

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:16:47.219 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.565$ see this in cancer and do this sort of isis

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00{:}16{:}50.565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}52.960$ and expression changes could could harbor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:16:52.960 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.688$ Or or include enzymes that we could target

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

00:16:56.688 --> 00:16:59.228 metabolically somaca March secret visiting

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:16:59.228 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.288$ scientist faculty from from a Polish

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00{:}17{:}02.288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}05.099$ University to this project on and device.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:17:05.100 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.435$ This sort of strategy to

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:17:07.435 \longrightarrow 00:17:09.303$ look at humanizing forms.

 $00:17:09.310 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.418$ Isozymes managed matched primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00{:}17{:}11.418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}14.053$ tumors in the metastatic lesions

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:17:14.053 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.437$ sorry match the normal tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

00:17:16.440 --> 00:17:18.680 The DC area across 14 different cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:17:18.680 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.075$ types where this was available than the

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00{:}17{:}21.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}23.133$ validated the results in cell lines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:17:23.140 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.036$ Make sure that this is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:17:25.036 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.068$ observed in the purest system and

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00{:}17{:}27.068 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}17{:}29.168$ not just an artifact of difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:17:29.170 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.205$ He still different issue compositions

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:17:31.205 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.240$ and then says the functionality

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:17:33.308 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.786$ in this depth map data which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:17:35.786 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.374$ basically complete knockdown of all

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:17:37.374 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.214$ human jeans in about 7 or 8 cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:17:39.220 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.230$ lines and then the conference hits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

00:17:41.230 --> 00:17:43.240 We validated in the manual screen.

 $00:17:43.240 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.256$ This is an example for you

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:17:45.256 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.600$ how this exactly looks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00{:}17{:}46.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}51.836$ 404 Kansas so this is Csea enzyme.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:17:51.840 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.127$ 2 forms ACA&B.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

00:17:53.127 --> 00:17:56.919 Plots show you that how they still the ACA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:17:56.920 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.060$ which is the red and be which is the blue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:18:00.060 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.564$ had the expression a normal and an action.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:18:02.570 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.747$ Cancer tissues and you can see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:18:04.747 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.959$ the Blues all go down and cancel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00{:}18{:}06.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}08.530$ That means that the expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:18:08.530 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.786$ of this is lost,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00{:}18{:}09.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}11.355$ whereas the red remains stable

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00{:}18{:}11.355 \to 00{:}18{:}13.240$ and the red is the sea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:18:13.240 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.124$ So this thing this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:18:15.124 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.066$ potentially interesting target.

 $00:18:16.070 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.177$ So when you look at this across

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

00:18:18.177 --> 00:18:19.463 different cancer types and

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

00:18:19.463 --> 00:18:21.088 indeed app map validation data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:18:21.090 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.082$ then actually what really fell out on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:18:23.082 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.240$ top is this questionnaire carboxylase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

00:18:25.240 --> 00:18:28.174 Which show this loss of isoenzyme

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

00:18:28.174 --> 00:18:30.130 diversity intro different cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:18:30.211 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.701$ types and was socially but nine

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:18:32.701 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.107$ different cell lines and each cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:18:35.107 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.771$ types in in the depth map so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00{:}18{:}37.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}40.293$ map has like several dozens of cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:18:40.293 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.209$ lines for a particular cancer type,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:18:43.210 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.323$ like breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:18:44.323 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.549$ Nine of these showed the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:18:46.549 \longrightarrow 00:18:48.230$ loss of heterozygosity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:18:48.230 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.340$ loss of diversity as we

 $00:18:50.340 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.450$ saw in the human data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:18:52.450 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.689$ Different cancer types.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00{:}18{:}53.689 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}56.580$ And in this case is also validated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:18:56.580 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.771$ so most of the cell lines there

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:18:58.771 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.898$ where the CSC was knocked out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

00:19:00.900 --> 00:19:02.886 If it had the dominant expression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

00:19:02.890 --> 00:19:04.318 it really impact viability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00{:}19{:}04.318 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}07.125$ But the real kicker is that when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

00:19:07.125 --> 00:19:09.197 look up what is known about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:19:09.200 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.819$ it turns out that Pfizer has a drug that

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

00:19:11.819 --> 00:19:14.314 they put through phase one and phase

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

00:19:14.314 --> 00:19:16.839 two trials for diabetes and fatty liver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00{:}19{:}16.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}18.500$ and actually showed all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00{:}19{:}18.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}20.160$ metabolic effects that we expected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:19:20.160 \longrightarrow 00:19:21.320$ But they discontinued development

 $00:19:21.320 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.060$ last year or two years ago

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00{:}19{:}23.114 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}24.470$ because of thrombocytopenia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:19:24.470 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.652$ which is wonderful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

00:19:25.652 --> 00:19:27.228 Because don't beside opinion

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:19:27.228 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.756$ through megakaryocytes really rely

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

00:19:28.756 --> 00:19:30.226 on the normal lipid synthesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00{:}19{:}30.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}32.561$ because from both sides bought off and

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:19:32.561 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.555$ every time I turn both sides come off

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00{:}19{:}35.555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}37.880$ from the surface supermodel career site,

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:19:37.880 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.948$ it takes lipids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

00:19:38.948 --> 00:19:41.084 Membranes made it so we answered

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:19:41.084 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.343$ the proof that is really works

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:19:43.343 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.807$ the way it's supposed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:19:44.810 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.562$ So the Anthony to collaboration with

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

00:19:46.562 --> 00:19:48.482 Pfizer to to do some additional

NOTE Confidence: 0.857035517692566

 $00:19:48.482 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.874$ preclinical studies and bring

 $00:19:49.874 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.266$ it in the clinic

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:19:51.323 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.387$ if it validates so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:19:52.390 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.448$ we simply throw validation is pre

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

00:19:54.448 --> 00:19:56.181 clinical validation is falling on

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:19:56.181 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.011$ the shoulders of Julia fold even

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:19:58.011 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.844$ offer Medical College of Fellows and

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:19:59.844 \longrightarrow 00:20:01.560$ finish with scientists in my lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00{:}20{:}01.560 \longrightarrow 00{:}20{:}03.808$ So before the coveted broke we had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:20:03.808 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.900$ chance to look at 10 different cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:20:05.900 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.511$ lines and you see that in the human

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:20:08.511 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.951$ sort of achievable concentrations

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:20:09.951 \longrightarrow 00:20:12.620$ that you can get in the human serum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00{:}20{:}12.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}15.168$ It says a pretty broad inhibitory effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:20:15.170 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.795$ And the army is not for coffee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:20:17.800 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.680$ I could probably show you,

 $00:20:19.680 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.015$ said the combinatorial screen results

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:20:22.015 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.350$ from the high throughputs combinatorial

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:20:24.410 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.699$ screen that we initially is doing in

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:20:26.699 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.030$ our core facility at the West campus

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:20:29.030 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.635$ and also collaborating in jacks

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:20:30.635 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.216$ to test this drug in PDX models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:20:33.220 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.595$ And we hope to bring this to the clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:20:36.600 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.036$ Maybe the year 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00{:}20{:}38.036 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}40.557$ So finally the last five minutes I

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

00:20:40.557 --> 00:20:42.853 wanna spend on an idea that we kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00{:}20{:}42.853 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}45.376$ of stumbled upon off a while back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:20:45.380 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.950$ This is not our paper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:20:46.950 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.054$ it's the people from nature of it shows

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:20:49.054 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.031$ you the distribution of different sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

00:20:51.031 --> 00:20:53.570 of mutations in large cities of Kansas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:20:53.570 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.568$ So the striking thing about this

 $00:20:55.568 \longrightarrow 00:20:57.986$ is that there are these set of

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00{:}20{:}57.986 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}00.020$ jeans that affected more than 65

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:21:00.020 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.300$ cases out of close to 3000 Kansas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

00:21:02.300 --> 00:21:04.580 And even in this sort of very modern

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

00:21:04.580 --> 00:21:06.669 and high sort of accuracy study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:21:06.670 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.225$ about 9% of Kansas said

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:21:08.225 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.158$ no driver alterations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:21:09.160 \longrightarrow 00:21:11.344$ English challenge you to think about anyway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00{:}21{:}11.350 \to 00{:}21{:}14.090$ What you think you mean by a driver, Jean.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00{:}21{:}14.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}15.590$ So is it a statistical construct

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:21:15.590 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.245$ from any sort of statisticians

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

00:21:17.245 --> 00:21:18.517 in computational biologist?

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00{:}21{:}18.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}20.398$ It is actually a statistical construct,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:21:20.400 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.672$ but of course many of you think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:21:22.672 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.079$ this is gene that caused the cancer.

 $00:21:25.080 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.740$ The way I think about this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00{:}21{:}27.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}30.310$ actually it's just a narrative tool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:21:30.310 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.090$ To kill a good story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

00:21:32.090 --> 00:21:34.474 So this is actually from the same paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

00:21:34.474 --> 00:21:37.058 from but from the supplementary figures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00{:}21{:}37.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}38.950$ but it shows you the enormous amount

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:21:38.950 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.687$ of model of Genomic abnormalities

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:21:40.687 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.419$ that any particular cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:21:42.419 \longrightarrow 00:21:44.151$ has so retro transpositions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

00:21:44.160 --> 00:21:46.638 a few dozen number of structural variants,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

00:21:46.640 --> 00:21:48.770 several dozen to several, several 1000.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:21:48.770 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.458$ So these are big chunks of the DNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:21:51.458 \longrightarrow 00:21:53.154$ chromosomes missing very larger than

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:21:53.154 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.869$ the thousands of in Dallas and 10s of

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:21:55.869 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.999$ thousands of single included variance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501 00:21:58.000 --> 00:21:58.355 Incidentally,

00:21:58.355 --> 00:22:01.195 you also see this actually in the CIS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:22:01.200 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.970$ which is a premalignant lesion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:22:02.970 \longrightarrow 00:22:04.780$ so these services, all the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501 00:22:04.780 --> 00:22:05.138 Well, NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:22:05.138 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.570$ marks off of cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

00:22:06.570 --> 00:22:08.360 except it's not really cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:22:08.360 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.616$ but it has the same B 53 mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:22:11.616 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.830$ clarifications or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

00:22:12.830 --> 00:22:14.874 Just the game keeps you big pools,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:22:14.880 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.873$ better weather really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:22:15.873 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.859$ The function of this these jeans

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:22:17.859 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.876$ and then the individual jeans is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:22:19.880 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.735$ So this is a people that many

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00{:}22{:}21.735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}23.738$ years ago we did nearby Sunday.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:22:23.740 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.116$ She was that he was a medical student.

 $00:22:26.120 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.672$ That year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:22:26.672 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.604$ Now is a faculty at Sloan Kettering

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:22:28.604 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.634$ and what I want to illustrate here

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:22:30.634 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.462$ is that every single cancer which

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:22:32.462 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.709$ is a column as really a different

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:22:34.709 \longrightarrow 00:22:35.624$ combination of abnormalities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

00:22:35.624 --> 00:22:38.000 So if you think about it that way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862062811851501

 $00:22:38.000 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.480$ maybe it's really the reason.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

00:22:39.480 --> 00:22:41.256 Why cancel the have different layers?

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:22:41.260 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.745$ Because because of this combinatorial

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:22:42.745 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.933$ difference that they have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:22:43.940 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.848$ So if each of these contributes

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:22:45.848 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.069$ something then their net effect is

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:22:48.069 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.849$ really really heterogeneous behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

00:22:49.850 --> 00:22:52.106 But maybe it's even more interesting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:22:52.110 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.648$ Is this work with DVR? She was.

 $00:22:54.648 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.916$ The students at that time at Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:22:56.916 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.510$ and now it's a medical student pad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

00:22:59.510 --> 00:23:01.382 You sequence all the human kindness

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:23:01.382 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.570$ ease in 90 two breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:23:03.570 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.950$ only to see whether there are any.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

00:23:05.950 --> 00:23:08.008 Lowering additional kindness is that it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:23:08.010 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.798$ I guess we didn't find any,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:23:09.800 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.100$ but we really observe though

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:23:11.100 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.150$ is that there is a very large

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00{:}23{:}13.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}14.885$ number of high functional impact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

00:23:14.890 --> 00:23:17.698 Variance in kindness is bigger germline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:23:17.700 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.870$ I'm still think about for a second,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00{:}23{:}19.870 \longrightarrow 00{:}23{:}22.003$ so you actually carry a bunch of germ line

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:23:22.003 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.516$ so the mutations that inactivator overactive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:23:24.520 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.000$ It kinds seems like PSC kinase or whatnot.

 $00:23:27.000 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.510$ So what does it mean?

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00{:}23{:}29.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}32.198$ So please give us this idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:23:32.198 \longrightarrow 00:23:33.990$ that maybe it's really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:23:33.990 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.700$ He just focused too narrowly

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:23:35.700 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.726$ on driver mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:23:36.730 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.817$ which are only four of five in a cancel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:23:39.820 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.794$ What actually would be probably also

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:23:41.794 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.049$ helpful is to look at the context

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:23:44.049 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.807$ in which this is happening and

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:23:45.807 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.738$ the constant Israeli hundreds of

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00{:}23{:}47.738 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}50.102$ additional variants that come in from

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:23:50.110 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.246$ the somatic or the germ line angle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:23:53.250 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.416$ So he proposed this idea that

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

00:23:55.416 --> 00:23:56.860 really functional German variance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:23:56.860 \longrightarrow 00:23:59.026$ conkle, jeans and it's the totality

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:23:59.026 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.470$ of the functional impact.

 $00:24:00.470 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.914$ High functional impact German

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:24:01.914 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.719$ variants in cancer Lady Jeans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:24:03.720 \longrightarrow 00:24:05.886$ They could actually determine cancer risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:24:05.890 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.732$ So we know that there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:24:07.732 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.860$ a few very high penetrance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

00:24:09.860 --> 00:24:11.660 Cancer is chains like Bronco,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:24:11.660 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.802$ but it's really is the minority

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

00:24:13.802 --> 00:24:15.989 women who carry this even very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

00:24:15.990 --> 00:24:17.434 very strong family history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:24:17.434 \longrightarrow 00:24:19.600$ So what's accounts for dismissing heredity?

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

00:24:19.600 --> 00:24:21.814 You think it's the totality of

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:24:21.814 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.620$ the defects that actually are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00{:}24{:}23.620 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}24{:}26.470$ Embedded in a whole lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:24:26.470 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.370$ individually non sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:24:28.370 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.687$ Cannot translate that.

00:24:29.687 --> 00:24:32.760 And then the next iteration of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:24:32.760 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.530$ that's really the combined effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:24:34.530 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.756$ of the germline and somatic events

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:24:36.756 \longrightarrow 00:24:39.090$ that really lead to the malignant

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:24:39.090 \longrightarrow 00:24:41.138$ transformation rather than a few

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

00:24:41.138 --> 00:24:42.359 individually dramatic effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

00:24:42.360 --> 00:24:44.514 And it's a project that talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:24:44.514 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.845$ is pursuing in my lap towers

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:24:46.845 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.885$ visiting post doc from from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

00:24:48.890 --> 00:24:51.738 And that is so if it's really true,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

00:24:51.740 --> 00:24:54.169 then we would expect that woman who

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:24:54.169 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.245$ developed cancer the younger age will

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00{:}24{:}56.245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}58.499$ have a lot more sort of deleterious

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:24:58.570 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.880$ germline events in cancer jeans then

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:25:00.880 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.156$ people who develop cancer the later

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00{:}25{:}03.156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}04.996$ age because it's ultimately the

 $00:25:04.996 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.175$ combined effect of the acquired and

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00{:}25{:}07.175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}09.534$ the inborn errors that lead to Kansas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:25:09.540 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.878$ So if you are born with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:25:11.878 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.170$ lot of errors to start with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:25:14.170 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.738$ it gonna take a fewer or shorter time

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:25:16.738 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.580$ to get to reach this critical level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:25:19.580 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.582$ And long, behold,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00{:}25{:}20.582 \rightarrow 00{:}25{:}22.920$ that's exactly what he observed in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00{:}25{:}22.988 \to 00{:}25{:}25.212$ bunch of large series like that ECA or

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:25:25.212 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.913$ the UK biobank and now just published

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00{:}25{:}27.913 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}29.988$ this paper in Nature Communications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:25:29.990 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.942$ I want to just point out to you because

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00{:}25{:}32.942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}35.885$ time is short on this very last figure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:25:35.890 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.620$ which is the the comparison,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886608481407166

 $00:25:37.620 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.000$ the relationship between the

 $00:25:39.000 \longrightarrow 00:25:41.070$ mutation than in the cancer versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

00:25:41.132 --> 00:25:42.644 the variant, the German

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:25:42.644 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.779$ variant burden by age groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:25:44.780 \longrightarrow 00:25:49.082$ So he he means younger than 30 and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:25:49.082 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.147$ other advocating speak louder than 80.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

00:25:53.150 --> 00:25:55.316 The installation ship is actually remarkable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:25:55.320 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.742$ so yo patience young who are who

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

00:25:57.742 --> 00:26:00.271 have cancer at a younger age and

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:26:00.271 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.839$ it's it across all the cancers that

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00{:}26{:}02.839 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}05.415$ letter TCG ahead or the UK biobank.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:26:05.420 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.947$ We also get consider the 30s, for ties,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:26:07.947 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.089$ 50s have a much higher germline

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:26:10.089 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.278$ variant burden in cancer Lee jeans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:26:12.280 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.890$ and this is like 5 or 6 only jeans then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:26:15.890 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.172$ Then people who get cancer the older

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:26:18.172 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.689$ age and on the other hand most

 $00:26:20.689 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.891$ folks have a much higher mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:26:22.968 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.978$ somatic mutation so they can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:26:24.980 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.269$ So so then that led to us

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:26:27.269 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.939$ another idea that So what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

00:26:28.940 --> 00:26:31.580 Actually the cancer jeans are in this thing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:26:31.580 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.506$ That it's probably a lot broader

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:26:33.506 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.185$ sort of repertoire then then

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00{:}26{:}35.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}36.855$ no one Canonical cancel jeans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

00:26:36.860 --> 00:26:39.786 So you could think that this study?

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

00:26:39.790 --> 00:26:40.537 The Dom Hussein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:26:40.537 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.280$ who is a PhD student in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:26:42.341 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.925$ computational biology program and

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00{:}26{:}43.925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}46.301$ supervised by Mian Mar Gerstein too.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:26:46.310 \longrightarrow 00:26:48.585$ So how many jeans are actually connected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:26:48.590 \longrightarrow 00:26:50.654$ one step or two step or three step

00:26:50.654 --> 00:26:52.783 away in a pretty important interaction

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

00:26:52.783 --> 00:26:55.105 network from from a cancer gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

00:26:55.110 --> 00:26:57.718 So if they are immediately next to it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:26:57.720 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.020$ then they probably influence

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:26:59.020 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.645$ the affective for cancer gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:27:00.650 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.280$ If they're two step removed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:27:02.280 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.236$ they still probably influence over less,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:27:04.240 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.175$ so there actually a whole lot of jeans, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

00:27:07.175 --> 00:27:09.125 Half of all human jeans are

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:27:09.125 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.775$ actually connected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:27:09.780 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.718$ One or two steps away from

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:27:11.718 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.010$ from cancer hub gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:27:13.010 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.594$ Most of these are not implicated in cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:27:15.600 \longrightarrow 00:27:18.328$ and if you look at their sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

00:27:18.328 --> 00:27:19.947 functional importance in this gap

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:27:19.947 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.977$ database and it turns out that the

00:27:22.048 --> 00:27:24.640 further away you get from the cancer hub,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00{:}27{:}24.640 \longrightarrow 00{:}27{:}26.782$ the less important they seem to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:27:26.782 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.090$ in survival in the depth map data

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:27:29.090 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.076$ which supports the idea that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:27:31.142 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.050$ are lot more jeans involved in

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00{:}27{:}33.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}35.308$ cancer than what you think you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:27:35.308 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.590$ the same when you look at weather.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00{:}27{:}37.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}39.970$ There is an evolutionary pressure to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:27:39.970 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.779$ preserve truncating mutations in these jeans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:27:41.780 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.390$ and again the further away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:27:43.390 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.371$ So the jeans which are one Step

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

00:27:45.371 --> 00:27:47.496 2 step three step away from a

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00{:}27{:}47.496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}49.746$ concert Hall gene and less and less

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00{:}27{:}49.746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}51.581$ evolutionary pressure on them into

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00:27:51.581 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.810$ four through 4 to exclude truncations.

00:27:53.810 --> 00:27:56.090 And it was so carry actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.870644629001617

 $00{:}27{:}56.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}58.490$ so matic mutations. So that's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435

 $00:28:01.910 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.890$ Personalized sort of Jim Langley scored.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435

 $00:28:03.890 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.067$ That's sums up all these effects that

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435

00:28:06.067 --> 00:28:08.179 people are born with cancer chains,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435

 $00:28:08.180 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.825$ and that's a project that

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435

 $00:28:09.825 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.810$ that we actually got to ask.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435

00:28:11.810 --> 00:28:13.130 Or young investigator award

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435

 $00:28:13.130 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.780$ to pursue together with cow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435

 $00:28:14.780 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.430$ And we say this too

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435

 $00{:}28{:}16.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}17.750$ grue some pictures out here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435

00:28:17.750 --> 00:28:19.400 Just remind you guys that

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435

 $00:28:19.400 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.050$ this is how airplanes crash.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435

 $00:28:21.050 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.880$ They actually don't crash because

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435

 $00:28:22.880 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.710$ there is a statistically significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435

 $00{:}28{:}24.769 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}26.491$ losses of the wings or the engines

00:28:26.491 --> 00:28:28.381 or not every single plane crashes

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435

 $00:28:28.381 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.211$ caused by a different combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435

00:28:30.211 --> 00:28:31.560 of individually nonlethal events.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435

00:28:31.560 --> 00:28:34.000 So they fall into groups like human error,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435

 $00:28:34.000 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.180$ which is almost always there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435

 $00:28:35.180 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.818$ but it's not the same human error.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435

00:28:36.820 --> 00:28:38.465 It's a different kind of human error.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435

 $00:28:38.470 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.880$ There's always some kind of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435

00:28:39.880 --> 00:28:40.820 mechanical or instrumental failure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435

 $00:28:40.820 \longrightarrow 00:28:43.460$ but it's never the same instrument.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435

00:28:43.460 --> 00:28:45.160 So that's my final slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435

 $00:28:45.160 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.200$ really studying the new micro environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435

 $00{:}28{:}47.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}49.118$ Let us to some some useful ideas

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435

00:28:49.118 --> 00:28:50.791 about clinical trials are very

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435

 $00:28:50.791 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.391$ excited about exploring metabolic

00:28:52.391 --> 00:28:53.991 adaptations for therapeutic targets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435

 $00{:}28{:}54.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}56.320$ and we submitted the DOD grant with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435

 $00:28:56.320 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.759$ with a group of other investigators,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435

 $00:28:58.760 \longrightarrow 00:29:01.016$ and I think I really think that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435

00:29:01.016 --> 00:29:02.840 universe is functionally cancel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435

 $00:29:02.840 \longrightarrow 00:29:04.694$ Event chains is much larger than

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435

 $00:29:04.694 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.919$ we think it is interested in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435

 $00:29:06.920 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.496$ More stuff at the bottom of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435

 $00:29:09.496 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.926$ slide shows takes you through the

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435

00:29:11.926 --> 00:29:14.428 list of publications by our group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435

 $00:29:14.430 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.438$ So thank you, and this is my lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859965562820435 00:29:18.440 --> 00:29:19.070 Each other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893206596374512

 $00:29:21.130 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.228$ Wow, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893206596374512

00:29:22.228 --> 00:29:24.424 That was a an impressive array

NOTE Confidence: 0.893206596374512

 $00:29:24.424 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.590$ of work on so many fronts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893206596374512

 $00:29:26.590 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.768$ And congratulations on all of it.

00:29:28.770 --> 00:29:32.046 I know where we're a little late on time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893206596374512

 $00{:}29{:}32.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}34.954$ so let me just offer up one question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893206596374512

 $00:29:34.960 \longrightarrow 00:29:37.150$ You know? I think you oppose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893206596374512

00:29:37.150 --> 00:29:39.118 Obviously a very good case that

NOTE Confidence: 0.893206596374512

00:29:39.118 --> 00:29:40.905 it's a combination of germline

NOTE Confidence: 0.893206596374512

00:29:40.905 --> 00:29:42.965 asmatic events and I'm curious,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893206596374512

 $00:29:42.970 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.518$ do you think breast is is different?

NOTE Confidence: 0.893206596374512

 $00{:}29{:}45.520 \to 00{:}29{:}47.740$ Breast cancer is different than other

NOTE Confidence: 0.893206596374512

 $00:29:47.740 \longrightarrow 00:29:49.670$ solid to malignancy's because obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.893206596374512

 $00{:}29{:}49.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}51.645$ germline and semantic events are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893206596374512

 $00:29:51.650 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.278$ Install cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893206596374512

 $00:29:52.278 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.848$ but you think breast is

NOTE Confidence: 0.893206596374512

 $00{:}29{:}53.848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}55.240$ somehow different that respect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859023571014404

 $00:29:55.240 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.840$ Yes, it's different. It's a matter of fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859023571014404

 $00:29:57.840 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.031$ so you can group really Kansas even

 $00:30:00.031 \longrightarrow 00:30:02.641$ in this paper that I refer to

NOTE Confidence: 0.859023571014404

00:30:02.641 --> 00:30:04.690 be looked at different cancer types,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859023571014404

 $00:30:04.690 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.818$ and this Association starts to fall apart

NOTE Confidence: 0.859023571014404

00:30:06.818 --> 00:30:09.497 in Kansas that actually have a very high

NOTE Confidence: 0.859023571014404

00:30:09.497 --> 00:30:11.499 environments or customer on exposure, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.859023571014404

00:30:11.499 --> 00:30:13.233 Because that in this sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.859023571014404

 $00:30:13.233 \longrightarrow 00:30:15.119$ message of this new relationship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859023571014404

 $00:30:15.120 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.076$ So this relationship is less strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.859023571014404

 $00:30:17.076 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.710$ in lung cancer, bladder cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859023571014404

 $00:30:18.710 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.010$ and some other cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859023571014404

 $00:30:20.010 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.622$ So the real picture of course, is nuance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859023571014404

 $00:30:22.622 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.926$ It's much more nuanced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859023571014404

 $00:30:23.930 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.294$ And the same way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859023571014404

 $00:30:25.294 \longrightarrow 00:30:28.124$ So the jeans we chat important so the

NOTE Confidence: 0.859023571014404

 $00:30:28.124 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.609$ cancer gene is probably also vary from

NOTE Confidence: 0.859023571014404

00:30:30.609 --> 00:30:33.029 some tissue types of tissue type.

 $00:30:33.030 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.395$ So these are the refinements

NOTE Confidence: 0.859023571014404

00:30:34.395 --> 00:30:35.960 that we are actually working on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859023571014404

00:30:35.960 --> 00:30:38.112 Is just that I wanted to give you

NOTE Confidence: 0.859023571014404

 $00:30:38.112 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.948$ a repertoire of things that we do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859023571014404

00:30:39.950 --> 00:30:41.570 but that's exactly what we actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.859023571014404

 $00:30:41.570 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.139$ addressing in this project right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900047183036804

 $00:30:44.120 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.184$ Thank you and I know just for time

NOTE Confidence: 0.900047183036804

 $00{:}30{:}46.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}47.746$ will will move on, but obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.900047183036804

 $00:30:47.746 \longrightarrow 00:30:49.552$ folks can certainly email allow us to.