Logon wanna thank everyone for joining us for the Cancer Center grand rounds and we are very fortunate to have a special guest speaker today, Doctor Roy Herps. Before I introduce Troy as some of you may have over heard, I alluded to just want to remind everybody that tomorrow at 5:00 o'clock we have a special guest speaker, Doctor Ned Sharpless, the director of the National Cancer Institute, too. Provide some comments and answer questions.
As many of you may have seen on Friday, Doctor Sharp lez offered up his priorities for the NCI for the coming year and I think it would be great to get clarity on what his plans are, how that aligns with our initiatives and interests and to assist in general have him answer our questions.

So without further ado, let me introduce our speaker, who really requires no introduction. Doctor Roy purposes, the Ensign Professor of Medicine Professor of pharmacology, the chief of medical oncology,
and The Associated Cancer Center

Roy, as you know, is an internationally recognized leader in innovation in the treatment and research of lung cancer. His efforts really over the many years of his career have really led have changed the landscape of our understanding of the biology and frankly launching new therapies both with respect to targeted therapy and more recently, with immunotherapy. And that work continues with such impact in productivity.
In along, Roy’s accomplishments span far beyond just his ability to innovate as a researcher and clinician. But also as a leader, having brought the spore to the long program, which now makes it one of the most effective thoracic oncology programs on the planet, Roy has beyond that, trained countless leaders globally. And frankly, the influence of his commitment to clinical care, education, and research really can be.
Is beyond measurement so really pleased to have Roy share with us his perspectives on the work and you know the accomplishments he’s had recently and over the years, so Roy, thank you. Thanks Charlie for that wonderful introduction and thank you Paula and the team here at North Haven where I was seeing patients this morning who sent me up in this wonderful conference room. We’re getting back to some normalcy. 'cause staff are all having lunch. We put a lunch out today and watching from distant locations so I’m really happy to be here today to give
this grand rounds translational lung Cancer Research at Yale.

And here in my disclosures. So long answer of course is a major problem in cancer. In medicine you can see on the left with the pie chart. Lung cancer is the biggest single piece of that pie you know you can see breast and prostate right there. Of course GI cancers, but the leading cause of cancer in most countries, 84% of lung cancer is non small cell
lung cancer and more than half present
in the advancement of static setting.
That tobacco is the single largest
preventable cause of lung cancer and
cancer in general and in lung cancer.
We have actionable genetic mutations,
so those are mostly in non smokers.
But there’s been a great deal of progress
and I’m going to talk about that today.
Well, I went into lung cancer when
I was a fellow at the Dana Farber
in the mid 90s and this was the
status of lung cancer at that time.
And you might ask,
why did I go into the field?
You can see here’s survival curves
00:04:17.312 --> 00:04:18.945 in progression free survival curves
00:04:18.945 --> 00:04:20.495 for patients with lung cancer.
00:04:20.500 --> 00:04:22.540 This was the top trial at the time
00:04:22.540 --> 00:04:24.309 that took platinum plus gemcitabine
00:04:24.309 --> 00:04:26.309 or paclitaxel docetaxel and the
00:04:26.309 --> 00:04:28.584 bottom line is all of the regiments
00:04:28.584 --> 00:04:29.716 were about the same.
00:04:29.720 --> 00:04:31.310 The one year survival 33%
00:04:31.310 --> 00:04:32.930 median survival only 7.9 months.
00:04:32.930 --> 00:04:34.580 So if you have a diagnosis
00:04:34.580 --> 00:04:35.680 of metastatic lung cancer,
00:04:35.680 --> 00:04:37.330 it wasn’t really that much hope.
00:04:37.330 --> 00:04:38.710 There were no predictive markers.
00:04:38.710 --> 00:04:40.910 So why did I go into the field?
00:04:40.910 --> 00:04:42.280 Well, two reasons I happened
to meet a fellow Tom Fry,

He took me under his wing and said,

And there really is progress

Now of course you know the field is very competitive to work in and I'll show you why.
being made men up top and women up on the bottom and you can see 51% decline since 1990 mortality. Now some of that is incident so you can see the incidence rates are going down but mortality is going down in excess of incidents. So clearly we're making progress and I'm going to tell you a little bit about that during this talk. We had some better chemotherapies. We had some better chemotherapies. We had some better chemotherapies. Then we had bevacizumab Avastin,
Antiangiogenic therapy.

That certainly helped, and then the Genomic Revolution and then hit lung cancer like no other disease, a common disease, and especially in the never relied smokers, actionable mutations were seen. And then you can see all these different mutations that could be targeted with drugs. The era of personalized therapy, though the work that had been done CL and breast cancer transferring very quickly to the large population of lung cancer. And then of course in the last decade alot
00:06:08.829 --> 00:06:11.910 of it LED from here at yell be immunotherapy.

00:06:11.910 --> 00:06:14.376 So I’m going to tell you a little bit about our young spore and the areas where we’ve made progress in lung cancer really mirror what we study in our long sport.

00:06:17.175 --> 00:06:19.541 Whether it be smoking cessation, targeted therapy. Immunotherapy and then I have some future thoughts that I want to share with all of you.

00:06:22.054 --> 00:06:25.056 But we’re very fortunate to have such an amazing team here.

00:06:27.447 --> 00:06:29.159 And remember, it’s all about the team and the people that are working together.

00:06:30.670 --> 00:06:32.530 Everyone from the doctors, nurses to the research scientists,
the whole Cancer Center.

So we put Asporin started working on this when I arrived here in 2011.

We were awarded a lung cancer spore in 2015.

That’s the New Haven Country Club where we had a little celebration.

We renewed this more in 2020.

We couldn’t, of course go to the Country Club, but we had a very nice zoom cocktail party.

C. Charlie Is there with us. It was really an amazing thing.

We’ve now refunded the sport and you can see the four major areas.

we started with targeting PD one. Obviously with leaping,
targeting, EGFR resistance,
smoking cessation,
and we had a project with micro RNA with
Frank Slack who has since left for Boston.
And we have a team and just an amazing team.
And these are just the leaders of the team.
There are so many others that
have developmental projects and
career developmental projects.
But working together to combat
this deadly disease,
and I’m really fortunate to have been
able to work with such an amazing group.
So here is the spore,
as it’s a volved and I’m going to tell
you about each of the projects in brief immunotherapy of advanced lung cancer. Leaping Scott myself and David Rim targeting the EGFR pathway in lung adenocarcinoma. Leaping Scott myself and David Rim targeting the EGFR pathway in lung adenocarcinoma. Don when Veronica Chang and Abby Patel and then we haven’t carried on this on to the new sport, but we’re continuing the work personalized intervention project for tobacco treatment. Probably the most important thing I trained with Weinke Hung and if
we prevent cancer, will actually save many more lives in any other therapies ever talk to you about today? And one important thing is we develop that new project on the left from 2 developments or research projects over the last six years. So here again are the projects in the leaders. I just want to point out the cores. The amazing thing about aspor other cores. I’m very fortunate to have a partner, an Ed captain who I’ve been working with now for six years and has
00:08:39.735 --> 00:08:41.571 helped to bring the team together,
NOTE Confidence: 0.796012938022614
00:08:41.580 --> 00:08:43.070 helps to manage your team.
NOTE Confidence: 0.796012938022614
00:08:43.070 --> 00:08:43.666 Very successful.
NOTE Confidence: 0.796012938022614
00:08:43.666 --> 00:08:44.858 They had an export,
NOTE Confidence: 0.793626368045807
00:08:44.860 --> 00:08:47.236 was just funded in large part to it.
NOTE Confidence: 0.793626368045807
00:08:47.240 --> 00:08:48.725 His efforts working with Barbara
NOTE Confidence: 0.793626368045807
00:08:48.725 --> 00:08:49.616 statistics and Bioinformatics.
NOTE Confidence: 0.793626368045807
00:08:49.620 --> 00:08:52.113 I won’t say much about it because their work
NOTE Confidence: 0.793626368045807
00:08:52.113 --> 00:08:54.690 is in every project I talked about today.
NOTE Confidence: 0.793626368045807
00:08:54.690 --> 00:08:56.538 But Steve Mahan Usau he can’t
NOTE Confidence: 0.793626368045807
00:08:56.538 --> 00:08:57.770 do anything without statistics.
NOTE Confidence: 0.793626368045807
00:08:57.770 --> 00:08:59.552 And I will highlight a little
NOTE Confidence: 0.793626368045807
00:08:59.552 --> 00:09:01.420 bit of by open specimen.
NOTE Confidence: 0.793626368045807
00:09:01.420 --> 00:09:03.406 Core pathology is key tissue banks,
NOTE Confidence: 0.793626368045807
00:09:03.410 --> 00:09:05.601 but found he studies and then the
NOTE Confidence: 0.793626368045807
00:09:05.601 --> 00:09:06.540 developmental research program
00:09:06.592 --> 00:09:08.060 in career enhancement program.

00:09:08.060 --> 00:09:09.380 I thank Karen Anderson, Pat Larusso and Harriet Kluger for this.

00:09:09.380 --> 00:09:11.710 50 projects.

00:09:11.710 --> 00:09:12.366 You think of lung cancer in the developmental field.

00:09:12.366 --> 00:09:13.022 People who weren’t working in lung cancer.

00:09:13.022 --> 00:09:14.990 Now we’re working on lung cancer with many nuara ones and other team grants.

00:09:14.990 --> 00:09:16.686 In the developmental field.

00:09:16.690 --> 00:09:18.958 So it really has created a whole culture of lung Cancer Research at Yale.

00:09:18.958 --> 00:09:21.338 I don’t want to forget the clinic I’m in the clinic I’m in North Haven today.

00:09:21.340 --> 00:09:24.268 He can’t do this without the clinic.

00:09:24.268 --> 00:09:26.907 It’s gotta be a seamless transition.
and I won’t have too much time to talk about the top program today. Maybe another grand rounds, but we had to retreat back in 2012 and then you can see. And this is just as we both care centers in the care centers are critical to this. I’m out of care center patients are being seen here with lung cancer. Tissue specimens are going back to Cedar St. This is what we need. The top team? Linton Uian Frank form top. 1520 years ago, it’s tremendous user clinical trials director Roy Decker. This is our most recent retreat.
00:10:01.712 --> 00:10:02.840 at the Business School,
00:10:02.840 --> 00:10:05.096 and here I am at the very first.
00:10:05.100 --> 00:10:06.750 We talking about a truckload battle
00:10:06.750 --> 00:10:08.760 that I brought over from MD Anderson.
00:10:08.760 --> 00:10:11.728 We put 40 patients on this drive with
00:10:11.728 --> 00:10:14.328 biopsies and help get this war going.
00:10:14.330 --> 00:10:16.000 So what about smoking cessation?
00:10:16.000 --> 00:10:16.334 Well,
00:10:16.334 --> 00:10:17.670 the health consequences of
00:10:17.670 --> 00:10:19.006 smoking are just enormous.
00:10:19.010 --> 00:10:21.320 80 to 90 different toxins in tobacco
00:10:21.320 --> 00:10:23.373 smoke and you know the decrease
00:10:23.373 --> 00:10:25.683 in risk and lung cancer is seen.
00:10:25.690 --> 00:10:27.360 Usually takes about five years,
00:10:27.360 --> 00:10:28.974 but you never reached the baseline
20
00:10:28.974 --> 00:10:30.558 and a sensation after diagnosis
NOTE Confidence: 0.793626368045807
00:10:30.558 --> 00:10:32.366 clearly improves treatment tolerance,
NOTE Confidence: 0.793626368045807
00:10:32.370 --> 00:10:32.952 an outcome.
NOTE Confidence: 0.793626368045807
00:10:32.952 --> 00:10:34.698 So we have a very concerted
NOTE Confidence: 0.793626368045807
00:10:34.698 --> 00:10:36.708 effort on smoking cessation here.
NOTE Confidence: 0.793626368045807
00:10:36.710 --> 00:10:40.940 And it was one of the projects of the score.
NOTE Confidence: 0.793626368045807
00:10:40.940 --> 00:10:42.998 Certainly we want to do a lot
NOTE Confidence: 0.793626368045807
00:10:42.998 --> 00:10:44.440 of work with policy.
NOTE Confidence: 0.793626368045807
00:10:44.440 --> 00:10:46.378 I’ve been involved with the ACR
NOTE Confidence: 0.793626368045807
00:10:46.378 --> 00:10:48.652 we’ve now gotten into work with the
NOTE Confidence: 0.793626368045807
00:10:48.652 --> 00:10:50.787 cigarettes you can see on the bottom
NOTE Confidence: 0.793626368045807
00:10:50.851 --> 00:10:53.035 right this to chytra with me at
NOTE Confidence: 0.793626368045807
00:10:53.035 --> 00:10:55.814 in Washington, along with Durbin,
NOTE Confidence: 0.793626368045807
00:10:55.814 --> 00:10:55.886 an E cigarette briefing.
NOTE Confidence: 0.793626368045807
00:10:55.890 --> 00:10:57.475 We’ve done one with Senator
NOTE Confidence: 0.793626368045807
00:10:57.475 --> 00:10:58.426 Blumenthal as well.
We need to stop the initiation of tobacco use.

We worry about the E cigarettes and whether patients and people will start to smoke tobacco cigarettes,

smoking rates still remain about 18%,

but why is it so important?

Because it’s higher in our community.

Because we have community,

that’s that’s a more diverse

that has more long-term smokers.

So look at the right for a section a second,

you can see that we did a study

personalized intervention

I could see 42% of
In that study, our people were unemployed or on disability. You can see the large number of minority patients that were on this trial. So this is so vital for our community.

Ben told. Along with the team and Susan, main work with us before she came and Brenda Cartmel created a trial where we had patients. Our target was 276.

We enrolled about 200 and we're analyzing the data now and they either had standard cessation treatment or they had cessation treatment with personalized messaging.
00:11:55.523 --> 00:11:56.767 What is that for?
00:11:56.770 --> 00:11:57.649 Anyone who’s traveled?
00:11:57.649 --> 00:11:59.700 We haven’t done that too much recently
00:11:59.756 --> 00:12:01.221 and you’ve seen those cigarette
00:12:01.221 --> 00:12:03.094 cartoons in the airports that have
00:12:03.094 --> 00:12:04.859 those really horrible looking pictures.
00:12:04.860 --> 00:12:06.720 That’s negative non gained frame messaging,
00:12:06.720 --> 00:12:07.984 but more positive messages
00:12:07.984 --> 00:12:09.248 which we actually translated.
00:12:09.250 --> 00:12:11.119 Into several languages were used to see
00:12:11.119 --> 00:12:13.005 if that would help patients to stop
00:12:13.005 --> 00:12:15.259 smoking and then to keep them from smoking.
00:12:15.260 --> 00:12:16.898 We had a biomarker biofeedback method
00:12:16.898 --> 00:12:18.595 that Susan main developed looking at
00:12:18.595 --> 00:12:20.000 skin carotenoids which actually got
NOTE Confidence: 0.851306438446045
higher in patients who stop smoking and
patients could see their number.
So this trials under analysis right now.
But you can see the team that
came together to do this and now
of course Lisa for Cheeto who
leads that effort here at Yale.
Now with the team doing a great job,
we brought that group to Medical
University of South Carolina.
Here’s the team there.
We would go out to different.
Forums and we talk about our work.
You can see it was only possible because
of the work of linen afoul rose at the VA,
and Alyssa Roy Tobacco treatment groups
at yeah and all the different groups. And there I am doing the Roman spectroscopy wasn’t too difficult procedure, but you know the real thing is it got us into the community. We’re continuing this work. We spoke with a caring ambassador program. There’s teacher Johnson and that program and we were able to work with them to get this out there. We were able to get grand Beth Jones. Tina and myself, a grant from BMS to go out and do more of this work in the community and here we are just last week.
Giving masks at Fair Haven clinic

as an and the message of sensation

so smoking cessation very important.

What about targeted therapy?

Well,

I got into this about 1990 seven

when I was first at MD Anderson

and I was called into the office

with John Mendelsohn and kihon.

I talked to them about you know,

some targeted therapy and I've been

some targeted therapy and I've been

reading about this and I said,

how would you like to lead this effort

and it says sure,

Fortunately there were trials,

both in-house trials and industry
00:13:51.485 --> 00:13:52.817 trials at the time.

00:13:52.820 --> 00:13:55.655 And there’s a drug called ZD 1839 and we

00:13:55.655 --> 00:13:58.486 did the first phase one trial of that.

00:13:58.490 --> 00:13:59.195 And it works.

00:13:59.195 --> 00:14:00.840 Now you can see I ended up

00:14:00.904 --> 00:14:02.420 working with my future

00:14:02.420 --> 00:14:04.315 collaborator and friend Pat Aruiso.

00:14:04.320 --> 00:14:06.957 We met in 1998 working on this trial and

00:14:06.957 --> 00:14:08.929 patients with lung cancer like this,

00:14:08.930 --> 00:14:11.034 he would have had less than six months

00:14:11.034 --> 00:14:13.229 to live had these wonderful results.

00:14:13.230 --> 00:14:14.940 So this was the dawn of

00:14:14.940 --> 00:14:16.600 EGFR therapy in lung cancer,

00:14:16.600 --> 00:14:19.435 and they have a friendship and partnership

00:14:19.435 --> 00:14:22.050 with Pat that’s lasted to this day.
So of course I’m not going to go through everything in this short talk, but through those works, the EGFR Mutation was discovered. Of course, Tom are former director was very involved in that and Bruce Johnson and Pasion in the group Boston. We actually got to a point in the mid 2000s where patients could live. Here’s a patient with metastatic lung cancer who is living with cancer, taking oral EGFR inhibitor each day, some skin issues, some mild diarrhea. Why curing your cancer may not
00:14:55.442 --> 00:14:56.710 be the best idea.

00:14:56.710 --> 00:14:58.894 The idea was lung cancers become a disease like hypertension or diabetes.

00:15:00.510 --> 00:15:02.328 The problem with the targeted therapy for EGFR is no ones really ever cured.

00:15:02.328 --> 00:15:04.950 Resistance will ultimately develop well here.

00:15:04.950 --> 00:15:06.846 It’s a little bit about that.

00:15:06.850 --> 00:15:08.758 So we started in 1997.

00:15:08.760 --> 00:15:10.340 The second line therapies with the different nib or laugh and have some of those agents.

00:15:10.340 --> 00:15:11.980 The mutations were discovered right around in here.

00:15:11.980 --> 00:15:13.970 The decision was made to give these drugs only to patients with mutations.
So then we started to use these drugs in the frontline setting.

And then we had new generation drugs and a new generation drive was Aston Martin. If this drug being less toxic because it was targeted just to EGFR Mutant Receptor, the other drugs targeted all EGFR receptor, so it had fewer side effects. And it also was developed to go to the brain to the CNS. So with this drug we had better therapy in the metastatic setting.

When I’m going to tell you about now is something that I got involved with right around this time with the team to take the drug to Azure and therapy,
take it to earlier disease.
And I mentioned Tom Fry and the one thing he always would tell me is bring your drugs to the earliest most curable setting. Well, we did that. So in early lung cancer. Let’s say someone where to find themselves to have an early lesion in the lung, perhaps a few local lymph nodes or even some mediastinal lymph nodes. The chance of them being cured with surgery is reasonable, but it’s not. It’s not good enough, so we would cut these damn boffa Frank.
better back in the team would cut these out.

But then we might even give acumen chemotherapy.

Which maybe has a 5% or so improvement in outcome but still in stage one disease.

The earliest disease you know only 60 to 70% of patients are cured.

Stage two patients only 47 to 55% and it stage three only 38%.

So if you have these patients and they have each year for mutations and it’s only 10 to 15% of patients in the US, about 20 to 30 in Asia but still enough lung cancer patients that if you could find these patients and give him something else,
it could make a big difference.

Well here comes the Adora trial. I was very fortunate to be one of the people who designed this along with Masahiro Suboi from Japan and Ylang Wu in China. Of course, EGFR mutations being much more prevalent there. We've been working on this for almost a decade, so here we took patients who quit completely respected stage 1B, two or three. A disease with or without active chemotherapy.
So patients could receive their standard care and you can see they all had recently good performance status. They all had rain. Imaging they had the two most common types of each year for mutations in Exon 19 or 21, and they could have actually been therapy. They started within 10 weeks if they did not have action in chemo and within 26 weeks if they did. There were stratified by their stage. The trial is about an equal number of stage one B2 and three a weather each year from mutation status 19 or L858R21 or their
race Asian versus non radiation.

About 1/3 of the patients were non Asian, 2/3 Asian and a very simple trial.

Sometimes the best answer merchant 80 milligrams orally once a day versus placebo.

I’m often asked how could you do a pussybow because there was no other standard of care.

We just waited for these tumors to come back. Coding insights like the brain delivering the bone 682 patients on trial.

The planned duration of treatment is 3 years, so they got three years of
treatment and they stopped.

If they record and the follow up you can see every 12 weeks for the first few years and then every 24 weeks.

The primary endpoint of this trial was disease free survival, so surviving without recurrence for a hazard ratio of 0.7.

The secondary endpoint was disease free survival in the overall population with source continuing and then 234 and five year landmarks.

Overall survival, safety and quality of life.
I was not expecting to be presenting these data this year. It was a plenary talk at ASCO. I gotta call during Passover. I guess it was on Good Friday. So around April 10th or so the trial had been underlined. Because the independent data monitoring committee unblinded study early. Do the Efficacy they were doing a safety review, but they saw that the Efficacy was so good, which is almost unheard of and they did an unplanned interim analysis and
the study had completed enrollment. All patients ran for at least one year, so they decided to unblind the trial. I got that call and by the next week we were already preparing an Astro presentation. Why? Because these were the data. Remember, I told you that was powered for a 30% improvement, but look here on the left. These are patients with stage two and three a disease. The primary population. This is a disease free survival for patients on the astroneer can have the pill versus those on the placebo. The hazard ratio here is 0.1 seven.
That means there’s an 83% improvement.

Again, we expected that this drug would work, but with this much magnitude.

It was just incredible.

And then when you add in the 1B patients, patients who would have a good prognosis to begin with, I told you half of those were cured.

The hazard ratio still zero 80% improvement.

So these these data were quite impressive to all of us and that’s why it was presented so quickly.

This is a forest part, which is often done in these types of trials.
Here you can see the unity line, anything to the left favors the App Store merchant and they think of the right favors. The placebo. You can see everything is to the left, whether it be sex, age, former smokers still did well whether they were Asian or non Asian. The race, the stage I told you, equal numbers and all three. Even the early stage ones still make it across the line and then each information status didn’t matter. And of course. Whether they got acumen chemotherapy
00:20:49.152 --> 00:20:50.949 enough or not didn’t seem to
00:20:50.949 --> 00:20:52.299 make a difference as well,
00:20:52.300 --> 00:20:54.102 so all this was positive, really,
00:20:55.310 --> 00:20:57.410 really very positive trial.
00:21:01.268 --> 00:21:02.830 what about overall survival.
00:21:02.830 --> 00:21:05.539 We won’t have that for a couple of years.
00:21:05.540 --> 00:21:08.249 and that will be filed.
00:21:08.250 --> 00:21:10.258 But right now we want to know the
00:21:10.258 --> 00:21:11.859 local versus disease recurrence,
00:21:11.860 --> 00:21:13.365 including the sites of recurrence
00:21:13.365 --> 00:21:14.569 I mentioned the brain,
the liver and the bone.

And actually I this is a nice.

Picture from an Chang and an article she wrote with Johann Mascia number years ago,

but that’s the thing.

Even without the survival result,

our patients are occurring in these very sensitive sites.

What were the subsequent therapies in one of the quality of life?

All this is still percolating and will present it shortly.

In fact,

I’d like to just say a word about this.

The idea that we’ve taken targeted therapy, and we’ve added it to our best surgery,
an Azure in therapy.
And now we’re seeing better outcomes for patients.
And I can’t help but think about my late mentor, Isaiah Fiddler,
He digester earlier this year. He would always talk about that biology is the foundation of therapy and that’s what we’ve done with your trial.
He suggested earlier this year. He would always talk about that biology is the foundation of therapy and that’s what we’ve done with your trial.
He suggested earlier this year. He would always talk about that biology is the foundation of therapy and that’s what we’ve done with your trial.
He suggested earlier this year. He would always talk about that biology is the foundation of therapy and that’s what we’ve done with your trial.
He suggested earlier this year. He would always talk about that biology is the foundation of therapy and that’s what we’ve done with your trial.
He suggested earlier this year. He would always talk about that biology is the foundation of therapy and that’s what we’ve done with your trial.
He suggested earlier this year. He would always talk about that biology is the foundation of therapy and that’s what we’ve done with your trial.
He suggested earlier this year. He would always talk about that biology is the foundation of therapy and that’s what we’ve done with your trial.
He suggested earlier this year. He would always talk about that biology is the foundation of therapy and that’s what we’ve done with your trial.
He suggested earlier this year. He would always talk about that biology is the foundation of therapy and that’s what we’ve done with your trial.
He suggested earlier this year. He would always talk about that biology is the foundation of therapy and that’s what we’ve done with your trial.
He suggested earlier this year. He would always talk about that biology is the foundation of therapy and that’s what we’ve done with your trial.
He suggested earlier this year. He would always talk about that biology is the foundation of therapy and that’s what we’ve done with your trial.
He suggested earlier this year. He would always talk about that biology is the foundation of therapy and that’s what we’ve done with your trial.
He suggested earlier this year. He would always talk about that biology is the foundation of therapy and that’s what we’ve done with your trial.
He suggested earlier this year. He would always talk about that biology is the foundation of therapy and that’s what we’ve done with your trial.
Saturday at 12:30 our time and a paper coming out in the Journal. I’m not supposed to talk about it, but in a Journal that the Mass Medical Society publishes. So this will be out. So where are we at with this now? Now we have to even add in more science. So now we have an alliance with Astra Zeneca that Patton Russo and I were able to develop. And you can see we’re working with their tool compounds with them on all the different questions I just talked about brain metastases,
NOTE Confidence: 0.829668581485748
00:22:41.820 --> 00:22:43.008 resistance mechanisms and you
NOTE Confidence: 0.829668581485748
00:22:43.008 --> 00:22:45.166 can see that here is our summit
NOTE Confidence: 0.829668581485748
00:22:45.166 --> 00:22:46.726 meeting exactly a year ago,
NOTE Confidence: 0.829668581485748
00:22:46.730 --> 00:22:48.704 but now we just had a call
NOTE Confidence: 0.829668581485748
00:22:48.704 --> 00:22:50.110 with them yesterday morning.
NOTE Confidence: 0.829668581485748
00:22:50.110 --> 00:22:52.374 And now with Katie plenty and on when
NOTE Confidence: 0.829668581485748
00:22:52.374 --> 00:22:54.800 an hobby re biopsy study and analysis.
NOTE Confidence: 0.829668581485748
00:22:54.800 --> 00:22:57.341 Cell free DNA from Adora to understand
NOTE Confidence: 0.829668581485748
00:22:57.341 --> 00:22:59.015 mechanisms of resistance we need
NOTE Confidence: 0.829668581485748
00:22:59.015 --> 00:23:00.828 to use this trial and the samples
NOTE Confidence: 0.829668581485748
00:23:00.828 --> 00:23:02.918 for the patients who are still on
NOTE Confidence: 0.829668581485748
00:23:02.918 --> 00:23:05.030 a trial to understand when do they
NOTE Confidence: 0.829668581485748
00:23:05.030 --> 00:23:06.580 develop resistance in their blood.
NOTE Confidence: 0.829668581485748
00:23:06.580 --> 00:23:08.750 When can we measure the resistant clones?
NOTE Confidence: 0.829668581485748
00:23:08.750 --> 00:23:10.682 How can we determine how long to
NOTE Confidence: 0.829668581485748
treat when to start new therapies?

So all of this is very very exciting and really speaks to have. Science has gone into the clinic and how we’ve evolved over 20 years to really make a difference in lung cancer with these targeted agents.

And you can see here’s project two of the spore so Katie Poletti leads us along with the rest of the team. You’ll see in a moment, so we actually have programs here to optimize approaches to counter on target.

EGFR dependent mechanisms of resistance so you can see up here we have mice when when patients recur,
we get tumor and they go into the mouse and we have mice that are resistant to these drugs and we can try to look at new therapies and correlate that with patient data. Some of these are genetically engineered mice and then we can look at vulnerabilities of T eeki Resistance. In two minutes without on target. Here for resistance, there are other mechanisms and we have clinical trials and studies to look to understand why the patients would become resistant, because as good as we are,
we’re going to see this resistant merge.

So we have to stay one step ahead of this.

And that’s what Katie and the team are doing.

So here’s that wonderful project team I mentioned Katie working with Sarah Goldberg and Mark Lemon Collaborative Group. You know each other projects has its own team that meets on a regular basis.

Here’s the list, samples from the clinic PDX models, genetic models, drugs in collaboration with industry investigator initiated trials. That’s the way we’re going
to continue to make progress in lung cancer.

And you can see productivity.

No problem with this group.

You can see a number of key publications,

allele specific patterns of resistant resistance approaches to overcome and prevent the emergence of resistance mutations to ascertain if which of course has only been used for a couple of years.

So it’s taking time to develop all this and molecular modeling to understand these mechanisms.

So really really talented group working together.
And then, of course brain metastases. We have a separate team doing that. We have done along with Veronica Chang neurosurgeon done being a basic scientist and Abby patellar radiation oncologist. That translation researcher, so there trying to look at mediators of CNS metastasis to lung cancer. We’re getting CSF samples from patients who have lung metastases. To understand what’s going on in the CSF in the cerebral spinal fluid. And then we’re going to understand adaptive mechanisms of tumor dissemination and drug resistance in the brain. A number of key papers already published,
and then we’re going to use this CSF to identify biomarkers and genetic drivers of metastases.

So all of this already on going again, the lab, the clinic interspersed together.

So with that on now focused on immunotherapy. So if all we have already talked about was not enough, amino therapy is perhaps even more powerful tool if we can learn how to harness it.

Well, I’ve been at yell since 2011. This is the patient from 2010, so one of the reasons I was attracted to come here as I met with Scott and
Mario and they told me about this thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828190863132477

MD X 1106 I was at MD Anderson.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828190863132477

We didn’t know anything about it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828190863132477

We weren’t doing any immunotherapy work back then and Scott showed me some X Rays and I said wow and really Scott Mario, Harriet Cougar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828190863132477

They really, you know, initiated this clinical field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828190863132477

Patients with refractory lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828190863132477

This is Maureen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828190863132477

This is a center point so I’m able to show her picture.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828190863132477

But here we have a patient with refractory disease three times

NOTE Confidence: 0.828190863132477

refractory squamous lung cancer.
Very few of them Lego markers would be there. There would be very little targeted therapy for this type of patient, but look at this response but more important than this amazing response is now 10 years later, she’s still alive and well. So we actually because of the work we’ve done subsequently, Bob Sherwin and teacher Johnson put us in for Team Science Award at the Association for clinical and Translational Science and loan. Behold, we got it. You know,
a little bit of money that we split, but you can see you know these are just a few of the many people at captain. Of course, Harriet Mario snow. And then of course sleeping my good friend and collaborator a team working on this, and I'm going to show you more recent work from this team. So I'm going to ask the question and I don’t know if it’s the beta is watching if this weather regular grand rounds. I looked on the right and I'd seen there, but he’s inspired me for many years. Can we cure metastatic lung cancer?
A question you wouldn’t even asked 20 years ago. Of course, not even ten years ago, but I’d like to ask that question today. And then we’ll talk about it at the very end of my talk.

What’s the definition of cure to restore health to bring about recovery from? I look up at Hippocrates. Cure sometimes. Treat often comfort always, but this is the most inspiring book we, of course, had a grand rounds with Vince and Elizabeth a few years ago.
The way that they cured,

shouted Lymphoma and adult lymphomas.

Can we do that in lung cancer?

Remember, lung cancer is a little bit different.

Disease also affects people who are older and more comorbidities,

but keep that question in mind.

'cause I'm going to ask you again in about 20 minutes.

Well, lung cancer therapies just evolve so quickly,

so this first round up here keynote,

one call later, was the of this.

one call later, was the of this.

He’s an author on the New England

Journal of Medicine Paper.
We had this in our phase one clinic. You'll still bump into patients in the Hall who were on this trial 678 years ago who are alive and well. This was Pember Lizum app. It was given to all comers in a number of different lung cancer types, but subsequently the biomarker was shown to predict who did a bit better PDL 1. I got involved. This is a trial Iran keynote 10. This resulted in Pember Lizum app getting licensed in the second line setting. We actually showed that a two doses when you use pembrolizumab versus docetaxel,
either selecting for all patients with 1% or more PDL.
One or patients with high PD L1 at 50%.
We saw a significant benefit.
Then of course, from this trout the biomarker was used in Frontline.
Parallelism addresses chemotherapy the five year data for this will also be presented this week in Ezmo.
Trust me, it looks pretty good and then you can see the Pacific Travel.
This is even this is again sort of like with the Dora.
This is stage three lung cancer using their vile map and you can see improvement in survival after chemo radiation. But I wanted to show some of our own data, so here's Scott’s trial. Scott and Mario. This is where Maureen was. She was on this trial and look at the actuarial five year survival from that first trial. And if all mad here at Yeah 16% and you know what Scott knows, everyone of those patients who is alive and he’s got their samples and he’s analyzing them right now.
But you know, it’s really all about the tail.

So if you look at this survival curve now, we gotta do better here.

We lose a lot of patients early.

Is it as good?

I look at this with Mario.

Today is it as good as Melanoma?

Maybe not quite it, still earlier too.

And this is a disease you know of.

People who smoke and have other mutations,

but you know what?

It’s looking pretty darn good to me.

And then of course,

you know you’ve got a credit Harriet,

for her constant mentor ship.
We know working with Sarah early on in her tenure here and Veronica again, our neurosurgeon. And they actually said, why do we have to exclude patients with brain Mets from these trials? That's not the real world questions have brain Mets. So here's a patient with lung cancer with brain Mets was treated with Pembrolizumab, and those brain Mets went away. This was an investigator initiated trial because we're doing some of the keynote trials,
we were able to get a relationship with Merck. It helped us to get this drug in lung and Melanoma. They collaborated together and what this is showing as this is a wonderful plot. Each of these points below the line is a patient who responded, but what you can see is the response in the brain and the lung looked to be about the same. So now real world trials and neutrals are allowing patients with brain Mets to get parallelism at ’cause it apparently works across the blood brain barrier.
That’s very important.

So where are we at right now?

Again, I only have an hour.

but here’s a nice slide made by hand Chen.

She wrote a beautiful review in nature

reviews clinical oncology earlier this year,

and basically we look at squamous

or nonsquamous lung cancer.

And we look at PDL one expression

and we have we have therapies for

patients who have less than 1% PDL,

one to 49% pdo one or greater

than 50% PDL 1,

and that’s sort of the way things
are assorted right now and approved
as a single agent are two drugs.
Humble is a map and a Texel is
a map where you can give these
to patients with high PD L1.
They don’t even need chemotherapy just
for the sake of today’s discussion,
I’m going to talk about a Tesla is
a mad ’cause Yale has had a very
big part in its development and
I’m going to show you how we took
this drug all the way from the very
first in human dose now to face.
So about 2012 you know Paul and I
were approached by IRA Mellman.
Some of you might know, IRA and IRA,
of course, had an affinity for Yale.

He had, I believe he was the scientific director of the Cancer Center for many years, and I actually knew him 'cause I took his course as a Rockefeller graduate student.

Would you like to be involved in this trial? And he said, yes, we said, can we do a trial with your new drug test Alyssa Map, which is a PD L1 inhibitor. That PD, one PD L1 or can we include biopsies because we like to do biopsies.
We had that working and we want to understand the mechanism and they actually said sure so working closely with Scott and then Petrol Act was very involved.

They had amazing data and bladder cancer and with Paul and then Pat. When she arrived we did this trial and we treated almost 30 patients, maybe 35 here. And yeah and we got biopsy.

And this patient we gotta buy a seat recruitment and biopsy where they went off treatment. And that’s very helpful because you could look at CD 8 cells and these are T
00:33:32.760 --> 00:33:34.749 cells and you can see before treatment
00:33:34.749 --> 00:33:37.045 there's not very much CD sales here.
00:33:37.050 --> 00:33:38.736 But after treatment the T cells
00:33:38.736 --> 00:33:40.260 all swarm into the tumor.
00:33:40.260 --> 00:33:42.006 That's an example of the adaptive
00:33:42.006 --> 00:33:42.588 immune response,
00:33:42.590 --> 00:33:44.216 so we actually in our publication
00:33:44.216 --> 00:33:45.916 and from this work described what
00:33:45.916 --> 00:33:47.883 was happening at the level of the
00:33:47.883 --> 00:33:49.781 tumor in patients who are getting
00:33:49.781 --> 00:33:51.341 these drugs even more incredible
00:33:51.350 --> 00:33:53.464 is this RNA chip that we did.
00:33:53.470 --> 00:33:54.726 Again, working with Iran.
00:33:54.726 --> 00:33:56.296 Danshen Steve Odeon Farberware involved.
00:33:56.300 --> 00:33:58.694 We had a collaborative team as many
68
00:33:58.694 --> 00:34:00.602 of these clinical trials are but
NOTE Confidence: 0.798537015914917
00:34:00.602 --> 00:34:02.890 you can see pre and post on this.
NOTE Confidence: 0.798537015914917
00:34:02.890 --> 00:34:04.829 The Green is pretty yellow is post
NOTE Confidence: 0.798537015914917
00:34:04.829 --> 00:34:07.074 so you can see Granzyme granzyme is
NOTE Confidence: 0.798537015914917
00:34:07.074 --> 00:34:09.484 an enzyme that’s made by cells having
NOTE Confidence: 0.798537015914917
00:34:09.484 --> 00:34:11.682 an active immune response so you can
NOTE Confidence: 0.798537015914917
00:34:11.682 --> 00:34:14.188 see the grandson goes up in the post.
NOTE Confidence: 0.798537015914917
00:34:14.190 --> 00:34:16.318 This is a patient having good immune
NOTE Confidence: 0.798537015914917
00:34:16.318 --> 00:34:18.026 response on here is perforant
NOTE Confidence: 0.798537015914917
00:34:18.026 --> 00:34:19.861 preference thing about Perforant as
NOTE Confidence: 0.798537015914917
00:34:19.861 --> 00:34:22.315 being an enzyme that makes a hole in
NOTE Confidence: 0.798537015914917
00:34:22.315 --> 00:34:24.492 the tumor cell and cause it to burst.
NOTE Confidence: 0.798537015914917
00:34:24.492 --> 00:34:26.256 Looking at the hyperforin that you
NOTE Confidence: 0.798537015914917
00:34:26.256 --> 00:34:28.348 see after treatment versus before,
NOTE Confidence: 0.798537015914917
00:34:28.350 --> 00:34:30.702 so we defined in this the adaptive
NOTE Confidence: 0.798537015914917
00:34:30.702 --> 00:34:31.710 active immune response.
This was 20% of the patients keep that number in mind, but most of the patients did not respond, so we also had profiles of Nonresponders.

So here are three different profiles again with CDA we had one group called immune ignorance. These are patients with no T cells to begin with and no T cells to be at the end. The tumor just laughs at what we're doing. We call this the immune desert. Then you have the non functional immune response. We can see a good number of
cytotoxic T cells,
maybe get a little bit of an increase.
It’s in the paper.
I don’t have time to show it to you with
that immune ship would be negative.
No activation of the T cells.
You don’t send it.
See anything going up and then
we have the immune excluded.
This is very interesting where the
T cells don’t get to the tumor and
this is something we’re very actively
studying in the lab.
How can we get these T cells
to the tumor because of their
interact with the tumor and within?
They’re not going to activate, so we understood the patterns of resistance. Now let’s do something about it. Well, we get a great deal of work with the Tassel is a might Academy licensed in the second line setting. You’ll see patients around our center who are benefiting from this. It’s just amazing. So then we’re off for the opportunity to lead the phase three trial using the drug in the frontline setting and we said sure so here you can see this trial chemotherapy naive PDL,
one selected patients with stage four non small cell lung cancer, either squamous or nonsquamous. So untreated patients 572. We used a little bit of a different asset here. Based on the work we had done in the biopsies, we thought it would be important to look at PDL one both in the tumor cells more than 50% and also PDL one in the immune cells more than 10%. So we call that TC three IC 3. In this study we also use a slightly different antibody.
I’ll get to that in a moment, but it’s pretty simple design at TES Alisme am versus chemotherapy. Maintenance of Texel is a map versus maintenance chemotherapy endpoint being survival. Well, here is that result in. These data are now impressed, though not too long from now. You can see the curves. Cross definitely tells us we still could do a little bit better with our biomarker, but here’s the patients who had the high PD L1 and got the test losing Matt here.
The patients who got the control chemotherapy has a ratio is 0.59 and these data will be followed out more. But again an incredible result. Single agent immunotherapy versus chemotherapy.

We also then looked at this in this paper with other markers, so these are two. Here’s SP 142 which is the market we used for this study. It’s the one that’s used in breast cancer. Is the antibody against PDL one. And then here you can see there are more commonly used to see three ’cause I believe what we
run at least used to run it.

Yeah the results with the same slightly different populations.

I won’t get into this today but this trial allowed us to sort of develop some of the biomarker comparisons which beforehand had not been done. But even more interesting, I wanted to show this just one slide and again the full report will be out soon. This was already presented at Esmo, but what you can see is we looked at tumor mutational burden, the number of vacations for megabase DNA and we did it in the blood.
using the foundation medicine platform and what you can see is here’s another one of those forest plots. Here’s all patients with any PDL one expression, but you can see that when you took patients with a blood based tumor mutational burden, you see a progressive increase in referee survival as you go up in the number of mutations per megabase. Why is this important? Predict that someday will use this as a biomarker, perhaps in association with other biomarkers in this setting. Well, what about biomarkers developed at Yale and mechanisms of resistance?
Again, I'm giving an overview today, but you know, so fortunate to have such an amazing team. These are two papers with Scott’s first author, but when was led through Katie’s lab and was led through Kurt slab just really seminal results. So and I have to credit Rick Lifton. We used to meet with Rick and work with let Rick we used to go meet weekly over at his lab so we had a great team working together so we actually sequenced patients at resistance. I’ve actually learned a whole
found that those tumors that were resistant had lost beta, two microglobulin and a sexual component of M HC One. So if you know that these are these patients can’t get anymore PD one PD L1, they need other ways of activating the immune system. This is a work in progress, using quantitative immune fluorescence. Had a wonderful study with Scott and cancer discovery and the rest of the team, but they look at different combinations of Biomarkers. Group AB&C. So what this group is?
These are patients that have low T cells and very little.

These are patients that have low T cells and these are patients.

These two groups that have a lot of T cells.

But then he looked at the characteristics of the T cells.

These T cells had low granzyme and lo and behold, he showed that that group that had the low chaos 67 and a low granzyme.
They did the best small numbers, but we're now using our stand up for cancer alliance to validate this more, but it shows that you can identify a group of patients who probably had more ability to respond to immunotherapy biomarkers. Very exciting, this work continues. I just point that out now, what about resistance? So we have to understand resistance better. This is work from David Rim. leaping bans eval Chevy. One of our fellows. He now leads among group at NYU and what
you can see is we actually took 450 samples from our archive here at Yale. When I told you pathology is key and we actually found that only 17% of the tumors at high PD L1 and hide tumor infiltrating lymphocytes, the same 17% of the tumors that have a lot of PDL one have sorry they have a lot of chill but no PDL one so it doesn’t matter. How much you block PDL 1 here, it’s not going to matter, but perhaps there are other
checkpoints in play and then you can see type one and four. These are tumors where there are no tells their cold tumors, so it doesn’t matter how much you play around with these immune checkpoint inhibitors, we have to inflamed them first so we call 1, three and four off target. Target missing resistance and type one is the on target resistance. Let me talk about that first. Even when you give immunotherapy to these patients, they still only respond 30-40% of the time, so something else is going
on in the macro environment.

00:41:33.151 --> 00:41:34.736 we have David Rahman team

00:41:34.736 --> 00:41:36.061 quantitative mean for essence

00:41:36.061 --> 00:41:37.646 lovely paper recently looking at

00:41:37.646 --> 00:41:39.260 Co localization in macrophages.

00:41:39.260 --> 00:41:41.618 The macrophages are going to be

00:41:41.618 --> 00:41:43.190 important to micro environment

00:41:43.254 --> 00:41:45.029 and outcome looking at markers

00:41:45.029 --> 00:41:47.115 of PDL one and macrophage this

00:41:47.115 --> 00:41:49.091 is what we need to do more of

00:41:49.091 --> 00:41:51.030 like not just these two markers

00:41:51.030 --> 00:41:53.243 that markers in other cell types

00:41:53.243 --> 00:41:55.079 in the tumor microenvironment.

00:41:55.080 --> 00:41:57.816 And we had some extra money on the store.
We still need more money Charlie,

but we just had a little bit last year.

So what we did is we put it into tissue microarray with some of the responders nonresponders very valuable so we can test different biomarkers now.

And I think they've been in his group for their complete innovation.

And you can see the rim lab, just just a wonderful lab.

The only problem is David is so good he is now part of it.

Had an export of course he’s leading breast cancer for many years.

We need many more groups like this
at the Cancer Center and an ocean.
Lou is very supportive of that.
This pathology group is just good key.
But what about the Type 1, three and four tumors?
Well, about three years ago we were thinking about the new spore and what we’re going to do,
and I was meeting with limping and he said, you have a sabbatical coming up when you come work in the lab.
And I said, sure, the only problem is I still had
all my other administrative work,

but I got an office over there and we work very closely together and I

learned to laugh, even put me to work. They got me working in the lab and I'm a PhD. I did this 20 years ago, so it's actually really good to get in the lab,

but it's about the people talking to people at coffee and understanding the different projects.

I also went back to school. It went back up to Science Hill and I took immunology course.

There's Peter Cresswell, you know,
when I learned and through that, I learned what was going on in the lab even better, and I said, here’s a project we should take to the clinic. So that’s project 1, So this is a sciatica acid bound, electing it’s a receptor. It’s known to be on macrophages Annand. Micelles this came through a large screen that leaping had developed in the lab to find new targets. Looking at other membrane jeans home Alexa PDL one so we were going
to not work on the next PDL one.

So here sleeping myself Scotsman critical to this and David.

So this is an antibody against us now accompany.

Next you’re being involved and this trial

is led by Pat Larusso and here you can see these are patients with lung cancer.

On that phase one trial and there have been a couple of responses.

There’s a CR here.

There was a PR and there were a number

of patients is the CR is appear at a number of patients with stable disease.

So signs of early activity.

That’s good.
Here is the responder I mentioned, so this drive already in phase one and we said OK, maybe we can learn more about the mechanism in the lab and more about the mechanism in the clinic and make this a trial in our long spore. So it is project one and we have other candidates of course that we’re developing as well. But this is this is a project now and again path being very closely involved the phase one results.
more science and from a biomarker.

So one of the things I was able to do having been working in the lab is I put David rim together with sleeping in the company and it’s taking a few years. This is not simple stuff. You don’t just developing Immuno Chemistry Assay. You’ve gotta validate it. You’ve got to know the prevalence of that marker. Might not be so high you have to enhance for it. So David now I believe has an assay to measure S 15615 and actually it’s very interesting as he’s looked at it.
He found that when you look at patients that are high in PD, L1, the tumors tend to be low in S15. So it looks like there might be some sort of mutual exclusivity there. Which could be very important as we decide who to treat, so this works on going and the new trial we’re going to do that. Yeah, the plan is very soon to include biomarker selection. And then here’s the Travis Scott Gettinger is running as an IIT. We reached out to next cure but
00:45:41.605 --> 00:45:43.572 also through our great deal of
NOTE Confidence: 0.881646275520325
00:45:43.572 --> 00:45:44.964 work with mercantilism app.
NOTE Confidence: 0.881646275520325
00:45:44.970 --> 00:45:46.226 They’ve provided us Pember
NOTE Confidence: 0.881646275520325
00:45:46.226 --> 00:45:47.796 Lizum app for this trial.
NOTE Confidence: 0.881646275520325
00:45:47.800 --> 00:45:49.676 So now we’re going to take patients
NOTE Confidence: 0.881646275520325
00:45:49.676 --> 00:45:51.270 who have failed immunotherapy.
NOTE Confidence: 0.881646275520325
00:45:51.270 --> 00:45:52.840 And I’m hearing clinic today.
NOTE Confidence: 0.881646275520325
00:45:52.840 --> 00:45:54.100 I almost every patient.
NOTE Confidence: 0.881646275520325
00:45:54.100 --> 00:45:55.675 I see there having issues.
NOTE Confidence: 0.881646275520325
00:45:55.680 --> 00:45:56.268 You know,
NOTE Confidence: 0.881646275520325
00:45:56.268 --> 00:45:57.738 they they need what’s next
NOTE Confidence: 0.881646275520325
00:45:57.738 --> 00:45:59.140 after being in therapy.
NOTE Confidence: 0.881646275520325
00:45:59.140 --> 00:46:02.160 So for that we now need to get new biopsies
NOTE Confidence: 0.759384393692017
00:46:02.240 --> 00:46:04.655 and we’re going to have either NC
NOTE Confidence: 0.759384393692017
00:46:04.655 --> 00:46:07.027 318 that signal 15 or NC 318 plus.
NOTE Confidence: 0.759384393692017
00:46:07.030 --> 00:46:09.431 Embolism app, so we’re now going to
take refractory patients and either give them the single agent alone, hopefully someday with biomarker selection or a combination with the standard immunotherapy. We're also going to few patients in the frontline setting, so we're very excited about this. This should hopefully be open very soon. So again, science from Yale moving to the clinic with science going back to the lab. And of course, again, I can’t emphasize enough. Today. It’s all about the team.
so that was easy to form an idea.

So people who wanted to be in the picture, you know there sleeping.

There’s pad.

Pleinair Charlie, I got myself a good spot.

You can see we have a team.

It’s all these people.

The other ones were doing the work

Jochim This is just amazing.

The team we have probably have.

I know we have the best phase

when you did in the world.

So I’ve talked.

I’ve gone through a couple of

little vignettes you can see.
I’m excited, I’m enthusiastic.
I think we’ve made a difference.
I’ve seen it myself.
There’s nothing like seeing a patient who’s alive 10 years later that you’ve treated it with your own clinic.
What is the future?
But it’s very hard to predict the future.
But can we cure metastatic lung cancer with immunotherapy?
And I’ve been asking this question to a lot of people and I’m going to say yes.
But in some cases, or at least patients can remain alive with controlled disease, we’ve seen it.
I started to Scott earlier today.

In the clinic we have 10 years of survivors from the very first trial.

Maybe 1020 of them.

Know we have people who are alive.

Maybe that 10 years, but ten years up to 10 years at

Seven six years we used to celebrate survival at MD Anderson in stage three disease at five years.

So it might be time to start getting these patients together.

We have to learn though a little bit more.

My point now to all of you.

Is we can treat these patients.

It was well tolerated,
but we need to know in advance who
are these patients so that those who
can get immunotherapy alone can get it.
And those that the combinations
of drugs or chemotherapy.
They can get it.
So that’s where I think we need to
put all of our efforts in the next few years.
So do we need to personalize immunotherapy?
Absolutely. We spent 20 years
personalizing targeted therapy.
We’re still waiting for more data.
It’s still a small population that
we’re treating. We need biomarkers.
We need better combos.

We need more science.

We need innovative trial designs, collaboration, and public private partnerships.

I would say to all of you watching right now. The future is now I’m going to give you an opportunity to work with us in the last slide. So what are we waiting for? It’s time to target immunotherapy, and you know, we can’t just give these drugs to all patients. We’ve we’ve, it’s amazing the number of diseases that it benefited.
All diseases benefit to some extent, but there are cold tumors there too, is it don’t have much PDL? One we have to better understand this and that’s going to mean better science in the clinic. Now Scott shared this with me this morning and this is our exceptional responder cohort, so you can see these are patients for whom we have tissue, and you can see months from starting immunotherapy. So we’ve got quite a few there that are out three four years. So now what we need to do is we...
need complete analysis of these patients apples to inform future studies to personalize immunotherapy. That’s on going. My prediction is it’s not going to just sequencing if we do sequence, we’re going to sequence. So tumor and the host. So maybe this generations project will help us that’s being done at Young Haven, but this is something we can do. We will do, we must do. Adaptive trial designs and new protocols are needed. I didn’t have much time to talk about this today,
but a lot of this tissue sampling has come from the battle trial.

It’s 15 years since we started that trial at MD Anderson.

I think the same principles would apply adaptive trial design.

Europe, England has a national lung matrix project.

I think this is something that we certainly want to think about.

Targeted therapy for patients based on biomarkers we already happened in the US.

This is the lung map trial in which I’m the Pi.

A large public private partnership.
About 100 of us in the leadership working together, we have this open at yellow or one of the number one cruisers. Her child does a great job with this, and if so, how? At the VA, but targeting patients with mutations but also patients who are immune resistant.

There are many new drugs we need to work with these drugs. We need to combine these drugs.

Again, this I just meant to show that if we combine them, You have to do it rationally and
00:50:52.174 --> 00:50:54.611 we have to do it based on the
00:50:54.611 --> 00:50:56.161 science and what we’ve learned
00:50:56.233 --> 00:50:58.389 about the immune microenvironment.
00:50:58.390 --> 00:51:00.250 This is an amazing trial that
00:51:00.250 --> 00:51:02.010 Scott leads with Richard for Val,
00:51:02.010 --> 00:51:03.226 David, Hafler, Kurt, Katie.
00:51:03.226 --> 00:51:05.673 We have ample amount of all map now
00:51:05.673 --> 00:51:07.365 and approved regimen and we have
00:51:07.365 --> 00:51:09.259 about 3040 patients in this trial.
00:51:09.260 --> 00:51:11.676 It’s Open at 9 or 10 other sites.
00:51:11.680 --> 00:51:12.535 Everyone gets tissue,
00:51:12.535 --> 00:51:14.245 blood and stool for microbiome and
00:51:14.245 --> 00:51:15.909 we’re putting the markers together.
00:51:15.910 --> 00:51:17.716 It’s just at the point now.
00:51:17.720 --> 00:51:19.230 It’s been 3 four years,
then we're going to try to get some information on who benefits and who doesn't.

So finally I told you I'd give you a challenge. I would propose that we need to do the eye bulldog trial, and I've been talking to Pat and best phase one and drug developer. She understands a science Ed Captain. We need him. It's just the amazing, most amazing person at bringing teams together and where we work so well together. So we're going to actually take a trial. Now we're going to try to use biomarker development biomarker prediction to
00:51:52.010 --> 00:51:54.250 treat patients based on that with trials
00:51:54.250 --> 00:51:56.570 and the reason I want to show this now.
00:51:56.570 --> 00:51:57.920 Is we’re now accepting applications,
00:51:57.920 --> 00:51:59.540 so I’m announcing a new POI
00:51:59.540 --> 00:52:00.620 group that’s forming today.
00:52:00.620 --> 00:52:02.510 We’re going to start having some meetings.
00:52:02.510 --> 00:52:04.940 I’d like to take the best science of yell,
00:52:04.940 --> 00:52:06.020 the best clinical science.
00:52:06.020 --> 00:52:07.640 I’d like to merge them together.
00:52:07.640 --> 00:52:09.800 I can tell you it’s not really easy.
00:52:09.800 --> 00:52:10.340 In fact,
00:52:10.340 --> 00:52:12.500 most people tell you it can’t be done.
00:52:12.500 --> 00:52:14.468 Used to work a lot of the Folkman
00:52:14.468 --> 00:52:16.520 he used to tell me that’s exactly
00:52:16.520 --> 00:52:18.440 the time when you should do it,
so we’re going to make this happen and I’m very excited, and I think I’ve shown you that progress can be made. So I just want to thank all of you today. It’s just been an amazing team effort. I want to especially thank the Yale School of Medicine by Albert for his support. The teacher grants that have led to these spores and now Nancy Brown and her team have continued to support this. Brian Smith and of course Charlie in the Yellow Cancer Center for all the money we get from the NCI we get all the resources of the Cancer Center. We get additional money for the DRP&C
programs that career development and that’s really important. So with that out. Thank you. And hopefully we have time for a couple of questions. Thank you very much. Or thank you. That was just fabulous. And congratulations to you and everyone on the team or just amazingly impactful work in this field. I got some of the team here so I had a little bit of a live audience so. Excellent so folks should submit their
00:53:19.170 --> 00:53:21.170 questions on the chat function,
NOTE Confidence: 0.84531182050705
00:53:21.170 --> 00:53:24.162 but I’ll start while people are typing
NOTE Confidence: 0.84531182050705
00:53:24.162 --> 00:53:27.147 so you have a couple of questions.
NOTE Confidence: 0.84531182050705
00:53:27.150 --> 00:53:30.174 One that I’m just curious ‘cause I’ve I’ve
NOTE Confidence: 0.84531182050705
00:53:30.174 --> 00:53:33.540 seen the data from the Bureau study before,
NOTE Confidence: 0.84531182050705
00:53:33.540 --> 00:53:35.845 but I didn’t fully appreciate
NOTE Confidence: 0.84531182050705
00:53:35.845 --> 00:53:38.683 when you look at mutation type
NOTE Confidence: 0.84531182050705
00:53:38.683 --> 00:53:40.920 on the Florida forest plot.
NOTE Confidence: 0.84531182050705
00:53:40.920 --> 00:53:43.230 There seemed to be potentially a
NOTE Confidence: 0.84531182050705
00:53:43.230 --> 00:53:45.200 difference depending on the mutation
NOTE Confidence: 0.84531182050705
00:53:45.200 --> 00:53:47.396 type where it almost look like.
NOTE Confidence: 0.84531182050705
00:53:47.400 --> 00:53:48.920 They’re confidence limits were
NOTE Confidence: 0.84531182050705
00:53:48.920 --> 00:53:49.680 not overlapping.
NOTE Confidence: 0.84531182050705
00:53:49.680 --> 00:53:51.208 did I misinterpret that
NOTE Confidence: 0.818162441253662
00:53:51.210 --> 00:53:53.922 no well, the good news is that both
NOTE Confidence: 0.818162441253662
00:53:53.922 --> 00:53:55.946 mutation types benefited in this
trout in the metastatic setting.

There is much more of a benefit for the exon 19 deletion versus the exon 21 point Mutation.

As you can imagine, you would think that deletion might be less likely to become resistant.

But yeah, I think you know the numbers there are.

About equivalent there, one of them does a little bit better,

but I think the good news there was that both, or at least quite well significant compared to.

The one the travel, of course,
was empowered to compare the two.

And then sort of on a related note.

Curious to see what your you know

what your expectations are for IO

set setting and then you know to

what extent targeted agents in iok

and can be combined either in the

That’s a great question,

so I of course trials are ongoing so.

They are being done in many cases

without biomarker, so I worry a little

Bit about that because you know,

these agents do have to access city.

I didn’t mention it, I know I assume
most of us are familiar with this, but the idea is that you can get with these immune therapies while mostly low level. Certainly pneumonitis is something to worry about, and Carditis and other things. In the aggregate setting, I think that I would give it a 50. Whether these trials will be positive, it’s hard to file. We don’t know the PD L1 status often of these. These tumors were not following micrometastatic disease.
00:55:36.038 --> 00:55:38.175 going to use MRD techniques and these
NOTE Confidence: 0.791537046432495
00:55:38.175 --> 00:55:40.460 bespoke models to know who has minimal
NOTE Confidence: 0.791537046432495
00:55:40.460 --> 00:55:42.854 residual disease and much rather see it.
NOTE Confidence: 0.791537046432495
00:55:42.860 --> 00:55:45.303 Try where we know that someone has
NOTE Confidence: 0.791537046432495
00:55:45.303 --> 00:55:47.052 minimal residual disease and then
NOTE Confidence: 0.791537046432495
00:55:47.052 --> 00:55:48.966 use that route immune therapy to
NOTE Confidence: 0.791537046432495
00:55:48.966 --> 00:55:50.689 enhance that so it’s being done
NOTE Confidence: 0.791537046432495
00:55:50.689 --> 00:55:52.866 sort of just as the next step and
NOTE Confidence: 0.791537046432495
00:55:52.866 --> 00:55:55.127 it might be that these are tumors
NOTE Confidence: 0.791537046432495
00:55:55.127 --> 00:55:57.576 that are not driven by PDL 1 maybe.
NOTE Confidence: 0.791537046432495
00:55:57.580 --> 00:56:00.420 They are the type 1 three or five or four.
NOTE Confidence: 0.791537046432495
00:56:00.420 --> 00:56:02.976 So I do worry about that a little bit,
NOTE Confidence: 0.791537046432495
00:56:02.980 --> 00:56:04.678 but you know, like anything else,
NOTE Confidence: 0.791537046432495
00:56:04.680 --> 00:56:06.378 depending on the population, they get.
NOTE Confidence: 0.791537046432495
00:56:06.380 --> 00:56:07.928 If it’s a highly smoking related
NOTE Confidence: 0.791537046432495
00:56:07.928 --> 00:56:09.343 population that might have higher
00:56:09.343 --> 00:56:10.360 tumor mutational burden,
00:56:10.360 --> 00:56:12.346 perhaps it will be positive, but no,
00:56:12.346 --> 00:56:14.044 it gets all the same questions.
00:56:14.050 --> 00:56:16.606 How long to treat know what are you treating?
00:56:16.610 --> 00:56:18.675 But I'm glad that the experiments are
00:56:18.675 --> 00:56:20.487 ongoing and hopefully there are blood
00:56:20.487 --> 00:56:22.227 samples that are being obtained from
00:56:22.227 --> 00:56:24.359 those trials so that we can look and
00:56:24.359 --> 00:56:26.282 see what's happening in real time now.
00:56:26.282 --> 00:56:28.010 The other question you answer is.
00:56:28.010 --> 00:56:30.450 But I'd love to do, you know,
00:56:30.450 --> 00:56:32.460 we know that targeted therapy you
00:56:32.460 --> 00:56:34.717 have in each year for mutation,
00:56:34.720 --> 00:56:36.128 80% chance of tumor,
00:56:36.128 --> 00:56:37.536 will respond to patient.
Feels better within days,

so why not use immunotherapy targeted therapy early on,

counting that resistance will ultimately develop and then bring on the immune therapy, which takes awhile to work,

but will have a mug shot but will have a much more durable response that’s been tried an at least in lung cancer. The toxicity has just been. Unbearable both in Asia and in the US. Not sure why, but I guess the reactivation of the immunity in patients that are getting these EGFR agents that do tend to have issues with the skin and the
long term institutional lung disease. Those toxicities have precluded that so far. That said, there are other ways to other agents that can be looked at that are now being tried. Lag 3 Tim. 3 maybe the cyclic that all should be looked at. So I think that. That has to be the next step, because each year for mutated disease, as good as it is, at least in the metastatic setting, we still have many patients. In fact, most will recur,
and so I think trials are warranted there and then. You know, I’m hoping that in the Dora the longer duration of therapy with better agent in an earlier setting will result in. Curious, but we don’t know that yet either. Going to follow those patients for awhile for survival. Actually a few questions coming in one comment that will offer quickly is Stephanie Lien Rights. Congrats, beautiful multiple exclamation points Stephanie. Thank Stephanie Pat Larusso asks, do you think the scenario will be the same with G12C rash mutations
00:58:13.752 --> 00:58:16.890 in combination with IO? OK so

00:58:16.890 --> 00:58:20.215 so I didn’t mention that but that’s

00:58:20.215 --> 00:58:23.134 K wrasses, been the Holy Grail.

00:58:23.134 --> 00:58:26.080 So G12C mutations in lung cancer

00:58:26.175 --> 00:58:28.767 account for about 12 to 13%.

00:58:28.770 --> 00:58:32.676 That’s more than each year for mutations.

00:58:32.680 --> 00:58:35.456 Now there will be a big talk actually.

00:58:35.460 --> 00:58:37.896 12 C&K Ras the engine drag asmo.

00:58:37.900 --> 00:58:39.988 I believe this Sunday or Monday.

00:58:39.990 --> 00:58:41.730 We know that their responses.

00:58:41.730 --> 00:58:43.818 You know that’s been presented already.

00:58:43.820 --> 00:58:46.172 In fact, Yosi and I wrote an

00:58:46.172 --> 00:58:47.990 editorial on this last year.

00:58:47.990 --> 00:58:49.868 We also know that the activity

00:58:49.868 --> 00:58:51.973 was best in preclinical models in

NOTE Confidence: 0.791898965835571
immune competent animal models.

So I do think that those agents are just begging to be combined with a new therapy.

The one thing we don’t know yet and hopefully will see this weekend.

Is what is the durability of response for K Ras agents alone you worry since K races so upstream,

but they’re going to be so many bypassed pathways there.

Will it have a median duration of response of at least six months or more?

In fact, if not, I probably have to be combined so my phone is that very nice

that we have these agents.
the Q 12 see not as good in colon cancer.

Of course, but that’s a smoking related abnormality.

Now we do have data that K Rasputin patients to respond to immunotherapy. But I think that combination is something that hopefully we’re already doing here at yeah. These are all things were studying here and then. Final question from David.
Rim is a diagnostic test needed to see who will benefit in the admin setting or should every mutation patient get? I guess those emergent OSI here, right? So I imagine I was hurt. And at this point, no. I think everyone who’s EGFR Mutant. You know, you know. Again, you know there are going to be some who say we don’t have survival data. I can share if I were giving this talk a week from now. I think I could put the nail in the case because you know, certainly if when we’re not metastasized to the brain.
I think that's a good thing, but I think that yes, you know we're going to have to learn from this. I can't wait till dawn when gets those samples and he can sequence them and tell us who's going to metastasized to the brain. We can look at the cell free DNA and know that someone is developing early resistance and maybe we get Katie. Some tumor actually can develop an animal model. There's still room to do better,
but I think right now, assuming approval, I think will use this. Then one of our surgeons right here. I have to convert him that he should send the sample for each year from Mutation and hopefully will do that. And hopefully David will help me with that. Alright, thank you, I know we're out of time is a fantastic talk. Great, great work. Thank you for all that you're doing in your leadership. And again, thank you for a fantastic presentation. Thanks for all your support. Again. It's it's the Cancer Center that supports
the teams that really can make the difference that can help the patients.

Eventually everyone have a good day and will see you next week.