WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:59:26.6130000"

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:00:00.000 \rightarrow 00:00:02.580$ Agenda welcome everyone to Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

00:00:02.580 --> 00:00:05.160 Center grand rounds and really

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00{:}00{:}05{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}08{.}400$ pleased to have three various the med

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00{:}00{:}08{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}11{.}548$ colleagues presenting and I, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

00:00:11.548 --> 00:00:15.216 I think if there's one particular theme

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00{:}00{:}15{.}216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}18{.}921$ that I think emerges among many from

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:00:18.921 \longrightarrow 00:00:22.619$ today's forum is that we have what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:00:22.619 \rightarrow 00:00:26.217$ really great is the number of talented

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00{:}00{:}26.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}28.140$ people across multiple disciplines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00{:}00{:}28.140$ --> $00{:}00{:}31.522$ Who are making progress on pivotal questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00{:}00{:}31.522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}34.108$ in cancer care in Cancer Research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

00:00:34.110 --> 00:00:36.830 and I think today's forum is just you

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

00:00:36.830 --> 00:00:39.802 know one very clear of many examples

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:00:39.802 \rightarrow 00:00:42.470$ of three individuals doing great work,

 $00:00:42.470 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.997$ each coming from it from a different

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:00:44.997 \rightarrow 00:00:46.926$ discipline but working together towards

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00{:}00{:}46.926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}49.272$ really making a difference for patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:00:49.272 \dashrightarrow 00:00:52.264$ for the field and and obviously advancing

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:00:52.264 \rightarrow 00:00:54.404$ our research and educational agenda.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

00:00:54.410 --> 00:00:56.798 And I I would, I'll do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:00:56.800 \rightarrow 00:00:59.188$ I'd like to do is really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:00:59.190 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.998$ Introduce one of our speakers and ask him

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:01:01.998 \dashrightarrow 00:01:04.919$ to then introduce the other colleague.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

00:01:04.920 --> 00:01:07.368 So let me introduce Kevin Billingslea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:01:07.370 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.226$ who I think frankly in the past.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

00:01:10.230 --> 00:01:13.093 I guess nine months or so really

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:01:13.093 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.320$ needs no introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00{:}01{:}14.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}16.780$ Kevin, as many of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00{:}01{:}16.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}20.196$ joined us in in or about January.

 $00{:}01{:}20{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}23{.}168$ As our chief medical officer for the Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

00:01:23.168 --> 00:01:25.798 Cancer Center and Smilow Cancer Hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:01:25.800 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.482$ And is also a professor in

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:01:28.482 \rightarrow 00:01:30.270$ the Department of surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

00:01:30.270 --> 00:01:32.505 Kev
in is responsible for our

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

00:01:32.505 --> 00:01:33.399 clinical enterprise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:01:33.400 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.630$ working with our leaders in

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:01:35.630 \rightarrow 00:01:37.414$ nursing and other disciplines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00{:}01{:}37{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}40{.}102$ and has really done an extraordinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:01:40.102 \rightarrow 00:01:41.890$ job and certainly working.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

00:01:41.890 --> 00:01:42.786 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00{:}01{:}42.786$ --> $00{:}01{:}45.474$ arriving here and nothing less stepping

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:01:45.474 \rightarrow 00:01:48.150$ into the frying pan with Kovid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00{:}01{:}48.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}50.904$ And really the need to work

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:01:50.904 \rightarrow 00:01:52.740$ collaboratively across so many

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:01:52.821 \rightarrow 00:01:55.747$ people to execute on what was heroic.

 $00:01:55.750 \rightarrow 00:01:58.886$ An extraordinary response on so many parts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:01:58.890 \longrightarrow 00:01:59.347$ Kevin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:01:59.347 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.632$ beyond his success as our

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:02:01.632 \rightarrow 00:02:03.003$ chief medical officer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:02:03.010 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.375$ is an international leader in

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00{:}02{:}05{.}375 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}07{.}740$ the clinical care and research

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:02:07.827 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.877$ of patients with a paddle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

00:02:09.880 --> 00:02:10.796 biliary cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00{:}02{:}10.796 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}13.086$ as well as gas trointestinal ligatures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

00:02:13.090 --> 00:02:15.380 Legacies before joining us here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:02:15.380 \dashrightarrow 00:02:18.248$ Kevin was a professor at Oregon

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

00:02:18.248 --> 00:02:20.160 Health and Science University

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00{:}02{:}20.247 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}22.785$ where he was the medical director

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00{:}02{:}22.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}25.466$ of the Knight Cancer Institute and

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:02:25.466 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.738$ the chief of surgical oncology,

 $00:02:27.740 \longrightarrow 00:02:30.060$ and Kevin is going to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00{:}02{:}30{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}32{.}310$ Take over with our discussion of

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

00:02:32.310 --> 00:02:33.435 evolving multidisciplinary management

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:02:33.435 \rightarrow 00:02:35.309$ of colorectal liver metastases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00{:}02{:}35{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}37{.}942$ and I'll let Kevin take over and

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:02:37.942 \rightarrow 00:02:40.159$ introduce the other great faculty,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

 $00:02:40.160 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.131$ Kevin, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881473302841187

00:02:42.131 --> 00:02:42.788 Charlie,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85429435968399

 $00{:}02{:}42.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}46.423$ thanks, thank you so much for that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85429435968399

 $00:02:46.423 \rightarrow 00:02:47.980$ really gracious introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85429435968399

 $00{:}02{:}47{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}50{.}440$ I'm thrilled to be here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85429435968399

 $00:02:50.440 \rightarrow 00:02:52.528$ We're going to do kind of A tag

NOTE Confidence: 0.85429435968399

 $00:02:52.528 \dashrightarrow 00:02:54.147$ team screen sharing here and let me

NOTE Confidence: 0.85429435968399

 $00:02:54.147 \rightarrow 00:02:55.990$ see if I can get going with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879898011684418

 $00:03:09.560 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.450$ OK, is that working for folks?

NOTE Confidence: 0.863857507705688

00:03:11.990 --> 00:03:16.850 I I only see you Kevin. OK.

 $00:03:37.480 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.088$ There you go. Got

NOTE Confidence: 0.82470452785492

 $00:03:47.088 \rightarrow 00:03:54.560$ it, how about that OK? Well, you

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}03{:}54{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}56{.}990$ know it's as Charlie alluded to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:03:56.990 \rightarrow 00:04:00.239$ I've had the pleasure of spending much of my

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

00:04:00.239 --> 00:04:03.297 career as hepatobiliary surgical oncologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:04:03.300 \dashrightarrow 00:04:06.243$ And you know, I will share that one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:04:06.243 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.363$ the most gratifying aspects of my time

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:04:09.363 \rightarrow 00:04:11.771$ in surgical oncology is participating

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}04{:}11.771 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}14.381$ and witnessing the dramatic advances

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}04{:}14.381 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}18.198$ that we have had in the multidisciplinary

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:04:18.198 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.654$ care of patients with colorectal liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

00:04:21.654 --> 00:04:24.415 metastases and one of the things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

00:04:24.415 --> 00:04:27.395 I was most excited about is I prepared

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}04{:}27{.}395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}30{.}134$ for my transition to the ill Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:04:30.134 \rightarrow 00:04:31.616$ Center in Smilow.

00:04:31.616 --> 00:04:33.592 Cancer Hospital was the

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}04{:}33{.}592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}35{.}858$ fact that we truly have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}04{:}35{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}36{.}758$ Kind of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}04{:}36.758 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}39.452$ We essentially have a world class

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}04{:}39{.}452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}42{.}117$ team of experts across disciplines

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

00:04:42.117 --> 00:04:45.459 who are contributing to the care

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:04:45.459 \rightarrow 00:04:48.807$ of this unique group of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}04{:}48{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}53{.}260$ And we have all of the elements here and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:04:53.371 \dashrightarrow 00:04:57.718$ want to with that as a jumping off point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}04{:}57.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}00.528$ Introduce my two partners in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}05{:}00{.}528$ --> $00{:}05{:}01{.}932$ multidisciplinary grand rounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}05{:}01{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}04{.}800$ Doctor Michael Cicchini is a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}05{:}04.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}07.150$ A seasoned veteran of Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:05:07.150 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.964$ he's a graduate of the Albert

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

00:05:09.964 --> 00:05:11.840 Einstein School of Medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:05:11.840 \rightarrow 00:05:15.116$ came here to New Haven for residency,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587
- $00:05:15.120 \rightarrow 00:05:16.932$ stayed on for fellowship,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587
- $00:05:16.932 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.650$ and has just continued to rocket
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587
- $00{:}05{:}19.728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}21.680$ to prominence from there.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587
- $00:05:21.680 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.242$ Michael profited as many of our
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587
- $00{:}05{:}24{.}242 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}26{.}551$ fellows have from the mentorship
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587
- 00:05:26.551 --> 00:05:29.659 and guidance of doctor Jill Lacey,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587
- 00:05:29.660 --> 00:05:32.936 who is as most of you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587
- $00:05:32.940 \dashrightarrow 00:05:36.230$ the Dean of our GI medical Oncologist.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587
- $00{:}05{:}36{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}38{.}974$ Michael has carved out a really unique
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587
- $00:05:38.974 \rightarrow 00:05:41.730$ spot for himself and our organization,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587
- $00:05:41.730 \rightarrow 00:05:43.840$ an increasingly across the country.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587
- 00:05:43.840 --> 00:05:46.282 In a mix of traditional clinical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587
- 00:05:46.282 --> 00:05:48.371 research and GI medical oncology
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587
- $00{:}05{:}48.371 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}51.066$ as well as phase one clinical trial
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587
- $00:05:51.066 \rightarrow 00:05:53.150$ work and drug development.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:05:53.150 \rightarrow 00:05:56.139$ So delighted to have him with me

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:05:56.139 \dashrightarrow 00:05:59.330$ today and as a clinical partner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

00:05:59.330 --> 00:05:59.867 Next,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:05:59.867 \rightarrow 00:06:03.626$ doctor David made off is a relatively

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}06{:}03.626 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}06{:}340$ recent addition to the Yale team.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

00:06:06.340 - > 00:06:10.348 When I was preparing for my move here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}06{:}10.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}14.382$ he was one of the most one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}06{:}14.382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}17.746$ people that I was most excited

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:06:17.746 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.426$ to partner with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

00:06:19.430 --> 00:06:20.005 David,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}06{:}20.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}22.880$ his essentially written the book

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:06:22.880 \rightarrow 00:06:25.480$ on portal vein, embolization, and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:06:25.480 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.655$ And optimization of the hepatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

00:06:27.655 --> 00:06:30.268 remnant for in preparation for

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:06:30.268 \rightarrow 00:06:32.116$ complex hepatobiliary surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:06:32.120 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.913$ he spent much there earlier part of

- NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587
- 00:06:34.913 --> 00:06:38.640 his career at the MD Anderson Cancer Center,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587
- $00{:}06{:}38{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}41{.}376$ then transitioned back here to the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587
- $00{:}06{:}41.376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}44.039$ East Coast where he's at Cornell
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587
- $00:06:44.039 \rightarrow 00:06:47.039$ for a number of years and then more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587
- $00{:}06{:}47{.}123 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}49{.}403$ recently we've been fortunate to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587
- $00{:}06{:}49{.}403 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}52{.}804$ recruit him as the vice chair for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587
- $00{:}06{:}52.804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}55.589$ clinical research and the section
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587
- 00:06:55.589 --> 00:06:58.180 chief of Interventional radiology.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587
- 00:06:58.180 --> 00:07:01.057 And I will say kind of quickly.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587
- $00:07:01.060 \dashrightarrow 00:07:03.500$ Is this side note one of the things
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587
- 00:07:03.500 --> 00:07:06.733 that I enjoy most about caring for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587
- $00:07:06.733 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.278$ patients with colorectal liver metastases?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587
- $00:07:09.280 \dashrightarrow 00:07:12.568$ Is that it really is a team sport.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587
- $00{:}07{:}12.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}14.238$ This multidisciplinary grand rounds
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587
- $00:07:14.238 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.575$ does highlight a number of us who are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:07:17.575 \rightarrow 00:07:19.618$ involved from surgery, medical oncology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

00:07:19.618 --> 00:07:20.506 Interventional radiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

00:07:20.506 --> 00:07:23.540 I do feel little remiss in not

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:07:23.540 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.626$ having some other folks on a panel

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}07{:}25.626 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}27.350$ who were important contributors

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:07:27.350 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.466$ such as radiation on cology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:07:29.470 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.964$ And other disciplines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:07:30.964 \rightarrow 00:07:34.450$ but I know we'll have other opportunities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}07{:}34.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}37.446$ So this is a very comprehensive field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00:07:37.450 \rightarrow 00:07:40.460$ We're not going to cover everything today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859428763389587

 $00{:}07{:}40.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}43.600$ Our goal is a team is to kind of give

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:07:43.688 \dashrightarrow 00:07:46.363$ you some broad brush overviews

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:07:46.363 \rightarrow 00:07:49.038$ of developments and high points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:07:49.040 \longrightarrow 00:07:50.368$ I will be talking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00{:}07{:}50{.}368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}52{.}913$ I'll be giving an overview and talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:07:52.913 \rightarrow 00:07:55.318$ about some surgical strategies mainly

 $00:07:55.318 \rightarrow 00:07:58.469$ focusing on patients with advanced disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:07:58.470 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.326$ Doctor made off will be talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00{:}08{:}01{.}326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}04{.}630$ his real area of world class expertise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:08:04.630 \rightarrow 00:08:07.626$ Which is various techniques to optimize the

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

00:08:07.626 --> 00:08:10.838 liver remnant to support complex resection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

00:08:10.840 --> 00:08:12.864 Role of Interventional radiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00{:}08{:}12.864 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}16.516$ and Michael will be updating us on

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00{:}08{:}16.516 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}19.166$ the numerous advances and systemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:08:19.166 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.286$ chemotherapy for the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:08:21.290 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.344$ Well, the idea of resecting colorectal

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:08:24.344 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.800$ liver metastases is not new.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

00:08:26.800 --> 00:08:29.215 You know surgeons have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00{:}08{:}29{.}215 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}32{.}310$ doing this for 40 plus years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00{:}08{:}32{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}35{.}268$ What is exciting is the developments

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00{:}08{:}35{.}268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}38{.}831$ that have been made in the safety

 $00:08:38.831 \rightarrow 00:08:40.328$ of these operations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00{:}08{:}40{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}43{.}162$ The number and variety of technical

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00{:}08{:}43.162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}46.206$ approaches and the slow but steady

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:08:46.206 \rightarrow 00:08:48.886$ increase in long-term survival that

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:08:48.886 \rightarrow 00:08:51.939$ patients enjoy after these procedures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:08:51.940 \rightarrow 00:08:54.514$ Why would we focus on aggressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:08:54.514 \rightarrow 00:08:56.820$ liver directed therapy for this

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:08:56.820 \rightarrow 00:08:58.856$ patient with liver metastases?

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

00:08:58.860 --> 00:08:59.320 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:08:59.320 \rightarrow 00:09:01.620$ as many of you understand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00{:}09{:}01.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}04.100$ the liver disease in metastatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:09:04.100 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.580$ colorectal cancer often serves as

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:09:06.662 \dashrightarrow 00:09:09.224$ as the main source of Morbidity

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:09:09.224 \rightarrow 00:09:11.894$ and mortality and affect the driver

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00{:}09{:}11.894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}14.528$ demise for folks with this disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:09:14.530 \rightarrow 00:09:18.218$ And this occurs through a number of pathways.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- $00:09:18.220 \rightarrow 00:09:20.535$ Patients with bulky disease can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- $00:09:20.535 \rightarrow 00:09:22.850$ experience liver failure more commonly.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- $00:09:22.850 \rightarrow 00:09:25.575$ They suffer from progressive biliary
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- $00:09:25.575 \rightarrow 00:09:27.755$ obstruction which is understandable,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- 00:09:27.760 --> 00:09:28.306 untreatable,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- $00:09:28.306 \dashrightarrow 00:09:32.128$ and once this occurs an they're jaundice,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- $00:09:32.130 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.870$ it is very difficult to.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- 00:09:34.870 --> 00:09:36.490 Provide ongoing effective
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- 00:09:36.490 --> 00:09:37.570 systemic chemotherapy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- $00:09:37.570 \rightarrow 00:09:43.213$ and it leads to kind of a downhill spiral.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- $00:09:43.220 \rightarrow 00:09:48.180$ The good news is that from multiple currents.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- $00{:}09{:}48.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}51.090$ Case series we know that complete
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- $00:09:51.090 \dashrightarrow 00:09:53.555$ resection of colorectal liver metastases
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- $00{:}09{:}53.555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}56.628$ patients can enjoy up to and sometimes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- $00:09:56.628 \rightarrow 00:09:59.652$ more of 50% five year survival rate,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:09:59.652 \rightarrow 00:10:01.950$ yet, there remain significant challenges.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

00:10:01.950 --> 00:10:02.410 Roughly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:10:02.410 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.146$ only 20% of patients with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:10:05.146 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.495$ disease process are resectable at

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:10:07.495 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.287$ the time that presentation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:10:09.290 \rightarrow 00:10:11.590$ and even with aggressive surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:10:11.590 \rightarrow 00:10:12.510$ therapy recurrence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:10:12.510 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.884$ remains frustratingly high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:10:13.884 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.860$ Often you know 80% at about

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:10:16.860 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.140$ the five year mark.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

00:10:19.140 --> 00:10:21.858 I don't want to steal doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

00:10:21.858 --> 00:10:23.217 Cecchini's Thunder here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00{:}10{:}23.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}26.836$ and I apologize for stepping on his turf,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:10:26.840 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.160$ but no self respecting surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00:10:29.160 \rightarrow 00:10:31.480$ oncologist would talk about progress

NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

 $00{:}10{:}31{.}554 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}34{.}613$ in this area without some mention of

- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- $00:10:34.613 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.873$ the groundbreaking advances that have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- $00:10:36.873 \rightarrow 00:10:39.068$ been made in systemic chemotherapy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- $00:10:39.070 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.788$ I think this timeline kind of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- $00:10:41.788 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.147$ tells the story.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- $00:10:43.150 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.314$ We've gone from the 5F U era,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- $00:10:46.320 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.968$ which was the case for many years is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- 00:10:49.970 --> 00:10:50.508 Really,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- $00:10:50.508 \rightarrow 00:10:52.660$ the only chemotherapeutic option
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- $00{:}10{:}52.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}56.777$ in this disease with a 12 to 14
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- $00:10:56.777 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.441$ month median survival to our current
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- $00:10:59.441 \rightarrow 00:11:01.859$ modern regiments with Folfox,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- 00:11:01.860 --> 00:11:03.928 Folfiri and increasingly triplet
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- $00{:}11{:}03{.}928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}05{.}996$ chemotherapy or patients even
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- 00:11:05.996 --> 00:11:07.030 without surgery,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- $00{:}11{:}07{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}11{.}758$ are enjoying survival of 29 plus months so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616

- $00:11:11.760 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.890$ As much as we have,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- 00:11:13.890 --> 00:11:15.393 surgeons congratulate ourselves
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- 00:11:15.393 --> 00:11:18.399 on our technical wizardry of big
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- $00:11:18.399 \rightarrow 00:11:21.364$ piece of the progress in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- 00:11:21.364 --> 00:11:23.272 background is effective chemotherapy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- $00:11:23.280 \rightarrow 00:11:27.010$ So this is what I is, a surgical oncologist.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- $00:11:27.010 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.290$ Love to see a patient with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- 00:11:29.290 --> 00:11:31.039 easily resectable disease,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- $00:11:31.040 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.520$ limited number of tumors,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- $00:11:32.520 \longrightarrow 00:11:34.740$ one maybe two tumors that are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- $00{:}11{:}34{.}811 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}37{.}231$ peripherally placed not in close
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- $00:11:37.231 \rightarrow 00:11:39.651$ proximity to major vascular structures,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- $00{:}11{:}39.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}42.018$ and these folks are amenable to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- $00:11:42.018 \rightarrow 00:11:44.400$ an atomic or segmental resection.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- $00:11:44.400 \rightarrow 00:11:46.555$ The surgical options for manageing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.882687389850616
- 00:11:46.555 --> 00:11:48.710 folks like this are manifold.

 $00:11:48.710 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.296$ They can be treated well within

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

00:11:51.296 --> 00:11:53.522 traditional open operation. Lapre Scopic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00{:}11{:}53{.}522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}56{.}784$ Liver resection is now in the mainstream,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:11:56.790 \rightarrow 00:11:59.042$ and increasingly we're used

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:11:59.042 \rightarrow 00:12:01.294$ utilizing the robotic platform

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00{:}12{:}01{.}294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}04{.}217$ to address some of these tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:12:04.220 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.438$ Now more commonly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:12:05.438 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.468$ what we see particularly at

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00{:}12{:}07{.}468 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}09{.}419$ large academic medical centers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:12:09.420 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.597$ such as we work in our patients who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00{:}12{:}12{.}597 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}15{.}048$ advanced colorectal liver metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00{:}12{:}15{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}18{.}074$ These are patients with multi focal disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

00:12:18.080 --> 00:12:20.140 Often the diseases bilateral on

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00{:}12{:}20{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}23{.}143$ both sides of the liver and often

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00{:}12{:}23.143 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}25.747$ their bulky lesions which are in

00:12:25.747 --> 00:12:28.233 close proximity to major vascular

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00{:}12{:}28{.}233 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}30{.}285$ structures or portal pedicles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

00:12:30.290 --> 00:12:33.122 So I'm going to share the story of

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

00:12:33.122 --> 00:12:36.767 a 48 year old woman who I treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00{:}12{:}36.767 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}39.660$ in Portland about eight years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

00:12:39.660 --> 00:12:41.360 She presented with bulky,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

00:12:41.360 --> 00:12:42.635 complex liver disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

00:12:42.640 --> 00:12:44.612 Anna sigmoid non obstructed

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00{:}12{:}44.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}47.077$ sigmoid primary cancer in place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:12:47.080 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.817$ So not to dwell on too many

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

00:12:49.817 --> 00:12:50.599 liver technicalities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:12:50.600 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.973$ but she had a high central liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:12:52.973 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.362$ lesion in close proximity to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:12:55.362 \rightarrow 00:12:57.948$ vena cava sitting right under the

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:12:57.948 \rightarrow 00:13:00.368$ confluence of the three hepatic veins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

00:13:00.370 --> 00:13:02.625 Should an additional bulky lesion

- NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444
- $00:13:02.625 \rightarrow 00:13:05.350$ in segment for the liver sitting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444
- $00:13:05.350 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.073$ in proximity to one of the pedicles
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444
- $00:13:08.073 \rightarrow 00:13:11.029$ in the in the middle hepatic vein?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444
- $00:13:11.030 \rightarrow 00:13:13.268$ And then she had another bulky
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444
- $00{:}13{:}13{.}268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}15{.}443$ lesion in segment five and six
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444
- $00:13:15.443 \rightarrow 00:13:17.578$ on the right side of the liver.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444
- $00{:}13{:}17{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}20{.}412$ So this is a perfect segue into the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444
- $00{:}13{:}20{.}412 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}22{.}529$ need for multidisciplinary multi
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444
- $00{:}13{:}22.529 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}25.173$ disciplinary strategies to address
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444
- $00:13:25.173 \rightarrow 00:13:28.643$ patients like this with advanced
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444
- $00:13:28.643 \rightarrow 00:13:30.878$ colorectal liver metastases.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444
- 00:13:30.880 --> 00:13:34.592 And I'm going to talk today in my
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444
- $00{:}13{:}34{.}592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}37{.}867$ section about 3 strategies to to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444
- $00{:}13{:}37{.}867 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}40{.}667$ approach this group of patients,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444
- $00{:}13{:}40.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}43.430$ all requiring the integration
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:13:43.430 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.500$ of multiple disciplines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

00:13:45.500 --> 00:13:49.189 Probably the most common is what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:13:49.189 \rightarrow 00:13:52.030$ described is conversion chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:13:52.030 \rightarrow 00:13:55.005$ which involves the upfront utilization

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

00:13:55.005 --> 00:13:56.790 of multiagent chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00{:}13{:}56{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}59{.}700$ U sually oxaliplatin based to downstage

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:13:59.700 \rightarrow 00:14:04.050$ tumors within the range of respectability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:14:04.050 \rightarrow 00:14:06.225$ Another approach that is increasingly

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00{:}14{:}06{.}225 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}09{.}186$ used at high volume centers around the

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:14:09.186 \rightarrow 00:14:12.026$ world is what we call staged habit ectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00{:}14{:}12.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}13.780$ This is breaking the surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00{:}14{:}13.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}15.530$ treatment up into two sessions

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

00:14:15.591 --> 00:14:17.399 with an intervening procedure

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00{:}14{:}17{.}399 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}19{.}207$ called portal vein embolization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:14:19.210 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.415$ which leads to optimization of

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:14:21.415 \rightarrow 00:14:24.310$ growth of the plant hepatic remnant.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444
- $00:14:24.310 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.683$ And then the last topic I will
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444
- $00:14:26.683 \rightarrow 00:14:28.839$ touch on briefly is something that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444
- $00:14:28.839 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.317$ many of us around the world are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444
- $00:14:31.389 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.419$ starting to do which is complex
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444
- $00:14:34.419 \rightarrow 00:14:35.934$ parenchymal sparing receptions,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444
- $00{:}14{:}35{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}37{.}968$ which allow simultaneous resection
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444
- $00:14:37.968 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.503$ of multiple sites of disease.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444
- $00:14:40.510 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.388$ So the patient I described did
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444
- $00{:}14{:}42{.}388 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}45{.}182$ go on to have eight cycles of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444
- 00:14:45.182 --> 00:14:47.134 Folfox with bevacizumab Avastin,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444
- $00:14:47.140 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.972$ and she was in the subset of patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444
- $00{:}14{:}49{.}972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}52{.}210$ who enjoyed a stunning response.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444
- 00:14:52.210 --> 00:14:53.770 As you can see,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444
- $00{:}14{:}53{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}55{.}720$ the central lesion shrunk dramatically.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444
- $00{:}14{:}55{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}59{.}620$ You can start to see some width on the Ivy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:14:59.620 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.446$ see a little more space around

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00{:}15{:}02{.}446 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}04{.}330$ the dip attic veins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:15:04.330 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.195$ This lesion is now shrunk

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:15:06.195 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.060$ away from the left portal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00{:}15{:}08.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}10.436$ Pedicle involves the caudate lobe of

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00{:}15{:}10.436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}13.608$ the liver which is a bit of a tricky

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

00:15:13.608 --> 00:15:16.638 place to operate but now has good clearances.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:15:16.640 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.525$ The left pedicle segment for

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:15:18.525 \rightarrow 00:15:20.033$ lesion significantly smaller and

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:15:20.033 \rightarrow 00:15:21.860$ the right side liver lesion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00{:}15{:}21.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}22.570$ also smaller.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00{:}15{:}22{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}25{.}410$ This allowed us to take her to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:15:25.486 \rightarrow 00:15:28.516$ operating room and one operative setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.835261046886444

 $00:15:28.520 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.458$ Treat her with a left hip.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00{:}15{:}30{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}32{.}952$ It ectomy a caudate lobe resection segment

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

00:15:32.952 --> 00:15:35.577 6 or section in a sigmoid colectomy.

 $00:15:35.580 \rightarrow 00:15:38.682$ This was her diseases that appeared

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:15:38.682 \rightarrow 00:15:41.266$ in the operating room, stomach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

00:15:41.266 --> 00:15:43.846 liver, Gallbladder, and the caudate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:15:43.850 \rightarrow 00:15:47.010$ Lesion shrunken in partially calcified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:15:47.010 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.125$ The segment 56 lesion again nice response

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:15:50.125 \rightarrow 00:15:52.794$ partially calcified and what she wound

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:15:52.794 \rightarrow 00:15:55.308$ up with his complex bilateral resection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:15:55.310 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.061$ but with plenty of good healthy liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

00:15:58.061 --> 00:16:00.783 remnant left and I know everyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:16:00.783 \rightarrow 00:16:02.739$ reports their greatest success.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:16:02.740 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.080$ What happened with this lady is

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

00:16:05.080 --> 00:16:08.071 she had a single side of recurrent

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00{:}16{:}08.071 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}11.200$ disease about two years after that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:16:11.290 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.026$ treated with a little wedge resection

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:16:14.026 \rightarrow 00:16:17.251$ and she is disease free at last.

 $00:16:17.251 \rightarrow 00:16:20.053$ Follow up about 8 years out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:16:20.060 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.406$ So what we've learned over the

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00{:}16{:}22{.}406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}25{.}149$ years in the French are really led

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:16:25.149 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.718$ the way in this is that patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:16:27.801 \rightarrow 00:16:30.456$ can enjoy even after chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00{:}16{:}30{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}32{.}956$ Conversion can enjoy a very high

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:16:32.956 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.620$ rate of long-term survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:16:34.620 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.700$ This is data from Renee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

00:16:36.700 --> 00:16:39.196 A damn now presented years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:16:39.200 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.668$ but makes the point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:16:40.668 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.870$ They looked at their subset of

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:16:42.950 \rightarrow 00:16:45.220$ patients from their entire spectrum

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:16:45.220 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.286$ of liver metastases who are respected

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:16:48.286 \rightarrow 00:16:50.449$ after conversion chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00{:}16{:}50{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}52{.}982$ And although this group of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00{:}16{:}52{.}982 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}55{.}231$ who were converted to Resectable

- NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603
- $00:16:55.231 \longrightarrow 00:16:58.117$ did not enjoy the survival that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603
- $00:16:58.117 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.940$ the primary population did,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603
- $00{:}16{:}59{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}04{.}540$ 33% five year survival in a subset of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603
- $00:17:04.540 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.445$ patients extending out into the eight
- NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603
- 00:17:07.445 00:17:11.250 to 10 year mark as my patient did.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603
- 00:17:11.250 --> 00:17:12.970 I'd only just you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603
- 00:17:12.970 --> 00:17:15.600 While I was there, my partner,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603
- 00:17:15.600 --> 00:17:19.240 Sky Mayo and I took a kind of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603
- $00{:}17{:}19{.}351 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}21{.}565$ a new is old or old.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603
- 00:17:21.570 --> 00:17:24.150 Is new approach to kind of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603
- $00:17:24.150 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.440$ optimizing this approach.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603
- 00:17:25.440 --> 00:17:27.160 We started a hepatic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603
- $00{:}17{:}27.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}28.450$ arterial infusion program.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603
- 00:17:28.450 --> 00:17:30.600 As many of you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603
- $00{:}17{:}30.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}32.886$ this involves the placement of a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

00:17:32.886 --> 00:17:34.948 chemotherapy pump in a catheter

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00{:}17{:}34{.}948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}37{.}732$ into the hepatic artery to deliver

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:17:37.732 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.630$ focus chemotherapy with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:17:39.630 \rightarrow 00:17:41.350$ aim of converting patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:17:41.350 \rightarrow 00:17:43.680$ It's a complex operation involves

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:17:43.680 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.010$ dissection of the hepatic artery

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00{:}17{:}46.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}49.252$ placement at the pump in a subcutaneous

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:17:49.252 \rightarrow 00:17:51.179$ pocket with installation of FDR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00{:}17{:}51{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}54{.}252$ We did this in combination with

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:17:54.252 \rightarrow 00:17:56.300$ systemic chemotherapy with Folfox.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00{:}17{:}56{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}59{.}359$ I'm just going to quickly report these

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

00:17:59.359 --> 00:18:01.930 results we placed about 27 pumps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:18:01.930 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.528$ At this time we analyze data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:18:04.530 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.128$ We looked at the 1st 20.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:18:07.130 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.340$ Two of these pumps, all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00{:}18{:}09{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}14{.}980$ A subset for unresectable disease.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603
- 00:18:14.980 --> 00:18:17.878 Many of these were high risk disease,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603
- $00{:}18{:}17{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}20{.}358$ 36% with the K Ras mutant.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603
- 00:18:20.360 --> 00:18:22.016 All had synchronous disease.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603
- $00:18:22.016 \rightarrow 00:18:24.086$ All had multiple liver lesions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603
- $00{:}18{:}24.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}27.695$ The point relate relative to this talk
- NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603
- $00:18:27.695 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.420$ that I'd like to point out is that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603
- $00:18:31.420 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.982$ Of the 13 patients that we were
- NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603
- $00:18:33.982 \rightarrow 00:18:36.499$ aiming to convert to respectability,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603
- $00:18:36.500 \rightarrow 00:18:38.810$ a subset we were able to eventually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603
- $00:18:38.810 \rightarrow 00:18:41.511$ get to the operating room with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603
- $00:18:41.511 \rightarrow 00:18:43.687$ very extensive bilateral disease,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603
- $00:18:43.690 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.504$ so this is yet another kind of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603
- $00:18:46.504 \rightarrow 00:18:48.234$ regional chemotherapy strategy to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603
- $00{:}18{:}48{.}234 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}50{.}030$ convert patients to Resectable.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603
- $00:18:50.030 \rightarrow 00:18:52.315$ Another approach that is done
- NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:18:52.315 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.600$ throughout the world that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

00:18:54.685 --> 00:18:56.735 facilitated by David's work is

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

00:18:56.735 --> 00:18:59.339 a two stage HEPA tech to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:18:59.340 \rightarrow 00:19:02.220$ This is for patients with complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

00:19:02.220 --> 00:19:02.860 Lateral disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00{:}19{:}02{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}05{.}420$ they go to the operating room in one

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00{:}19{:}05{.}481 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}07{.}617$ session and either have reception of

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00{:}19{:}07{.}617 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}09{.}829$ the left liver disease or ablation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:19:09.830 \rightarrow 00:19:12.598$ Then they go on to portal vein embolization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00:19:12.600 \longrightarrow 00:19:14.310$ which leads to hypertrophy of

NOTE Confidence: 0.722936868667603

 $00{:}19{:}14.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}16.020$ the left liver and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:19:16.090 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.065$ remainder of the disease is

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:19:18.065 \rightarrow 00:19:20.040$ respected in a second operation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:19:20.040 \rightarrow 00:19:23.113$ And this is a strategy that allows

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}19{:}23.113 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}27.528$ us to treat what can be otherwise

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:19:27.528 \rightarrow 00:19:29.778$ completely unrespectable disease.

 $00{:}19{:}29{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}32{.}062$ So series from around the world to

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:19:32.062 \rightarrow 00:19:33.971$ demonstrate that even for patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

00:19:33.971 --> 00:19:35.715 with advanced bilateral disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:19:35.720 \rightarrow 00:19:38.680$ if we can complete the two stage resection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:19:38.680 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.535$ we can provide patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}19{:}40.535 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}41.648$ excellent long-term survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

00:19:41.650 --> 00:19:44.290 There is always going to be a subset

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

00:19:44.290 --> 00:19:47.078 of patients who dropped out due to

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:19:47.078 \rightarrow 00:19:48.726$ progression between the operations

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:19:48.726 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.926$ or in the course of therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

00:19:50.930 --> 00:19:51.670 And unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:19:51.670 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.520$ those folks don't do well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}19{:}53{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}56{.}460$ but this is a great strategy to

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}19{:}56.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}59.520$ get patients to the operating room.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

00:19:59.520 --> 00:20:01.800 One of the things that I in a

 $00:20:01.800 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.667$ number of other surgeons that

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}20{:}03.667 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}06.103$ started to do in recent years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:20:06.110 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.160$ is exploit the concept of

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:20:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.800$ the R1 Vascular margin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}20{:}09{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}12{.}560$ This is related to the fact that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}20{:}12.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}15.307$ is going to be a subset of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

00:20:15.307 --> 00:20:17.873 like this who have bulky tumors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}20{:}17.873 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}20{.}207$ the diseases in close proximity to

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}20{:}20{.}207 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}22{.}400$ a major vein or poorly pedicle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

00:20:22.400 --> 00:20:25.172 But it is possible if you can get a

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}20{:}25{.}172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}27{.}258$ wide resection margin on the rest

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

00:20:27.258 --> 00:20:30.282 of it and get a very narrow margin

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}20{:}30{.}282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}33{.}194$ that's positive only on the vein to

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

00:20:33.200 --> 00:20:34.900 get excellent local Disease Control

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}20{:}34{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}38{.}090$ in that part of the liver and still

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

00:20:38.090 --> 00:20:39.994 preserve significant liver parenchyma.

00:20:40.000 --> 00:20:42.000 My Friend Doctor Guido Tort

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

00:20:42.000 --> 00:20:44.465 Caelian Milano has been kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

00:20:44.465 - > 00:20:46.430 the primary proponent of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}20{:}46{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}49{.}414$ and I think that this is a strategy

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}20{:}49{.}414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}52{.}227$ that is gaining traction and many

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}20{:}52{.}227 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}55{.}191$ HP be centers around the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}20{:}55{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}58{.}434$ Guido and his team have reported their

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}20{:}58{.}434 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}01{.}433$ experience and one of the things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}21{:}01{.}433 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}04{.}328$ I think his striking is that patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:21:04.328 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.460$ who have you compare their survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}21{:}07{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}10{.}344$ When you compare R0 resection with R1

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}21{:}10.344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}12.910$ resection from the liver parenchyma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:21:12.910 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.180$ there's clearly decrement in survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:21:15.180 \longrightarrow 00:21:15.624$ However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}21{:}15.624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}18.732$ the group that have an R1 margin

 $00:21:18.732 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.990$ only on a vessel have a survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}21{:}21{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}24{.}695$ It is virtually identical to

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}21{:}24.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}27.400$ those that are R0 resection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

00:21:27.400 - 00:21:29.984 I think that this is a great example

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}21{:}29{.}984 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}33{.}745$ of a theme that we see across surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}21{:}33.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}36.949$ on cology and multiple diseases breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

00:21:36.950 --> 00:21:39.068 The most notable here where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:21:39.068 \rightarrow 00:21:42.221$ overtime have gone to a multi modality

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

00:21:42.221 --> 00:21:43.886 approach involving chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}21{:}43.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}45.980$ radiation and much more limited

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:21:45.980 \rightarrow 00:21:48.070$ surgery going from radical mastectomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

00:21:48.135 --> 00:21:49.530 to breast conservation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}21{:}49{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}52{.}512$ Now moving towards even eliminate ING the

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}21{:}52{.}512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}55{.}607$ axle airy component of breast cancer surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}21{:}55{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}57{.}840$ Same in head and neck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

00:21:57.840 --> 00:21:58.812 Laryngeal preservation,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523
- $00:21:58.812 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.756$ possibly preservation of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523
- $00:22:00.756 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.700$ rectum in Rectal carcinomas.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523
- 00:22:02.700 --> 00:22:04.644 Sarcomas off ajil cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523
- $00{:}22{:}04.644 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}06.588$ The theme is consistent
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523
- $00:22:06.588 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.560$ throughout integration.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523
- 00:22:07.560 --> 00:22:09.504 Multi modality therapy allows
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523
- $00{:}22{:}09{.}504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}11{.}448$ a more conservative operation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523
- $00{:}22{:}11.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}14.732$ and I think we're getting there
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523
- $00:22:14.732 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.373$ and liver cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523
- $00{:}22{:}16{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}18{.}748$ This was one of my cases from Portland,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523
- 00:22:18.750 --> 00:22:19.930 not a great picture,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523
- $00{:}22{:}19{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}22{.}300$ but a patient who I took care of.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523
- $00{:}22{:}22{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}23.956$ You had multifocal disease in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523
- $00{:}22{:}23.956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}26.058$ upper part of the right side of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523
- $00:22:26.058 \rightarrow 00:22:27.942$ the liver and left liver requiring
- NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:22:27.942 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.745$ reception down on to the hepatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}22{:}29.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}31.180$ veins and right point medical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:22:31.180 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.835$ Kind of a wedge resection on the left side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:22:33.840 \rightarrow 00:22:37.467$ all able to do this in a single operation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00:22:37.470 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.115$ The greatest thing about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.855382919311523

 $00{:}22{:}39{.}115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}40{.}760$ is that all of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806

00:22:40.833 --> 00:22:43.798 strategies do require multidisciplinary care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806

00:22:43.800 --> 00:22:46.971 An integration, as I take off my

NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806

00:22:46.971 --> 00:22:50.129 surgeon hat and put on my CMO hat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806

 $00{:}22{:}50{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}52{.}470$ all of these strategies come together

NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806

 $00{:}22{:}52{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}55{.}553$ in the fact that our aim at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806

 $00:22:55.553 \rightarrow 00:22:57.719$ Yale Cancer Center through both our

NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806

 $00{:}22{:}57.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}00.792$ care signature effort as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806

00:23:00.792 --> 00:23:02.788 our multidisciplinary disease teams,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806

 $00:23:02.790 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.541$ is to create a wrap around set

NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806

 $00:23:05.541 \rightarrow 00:23:07.920$ of services for our patients.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806
- 00:23:07.920 --> 00:23:10.699 As I mentioned, it's not just liver
- NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806
- $00{:}23{:}10.699 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}12.620$ surgery and medical on cology.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806
- 00:23:12.620 --> 00:23:13.904 An Interventional radiology.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806
- $00:23:13.904 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.472$ We need to coordinate care for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806
- $00:23:16.472 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.169$ many of these patients,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806
- 00:23:18.170 --> 00:23:19.448 including radiation therapy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806
- 00:23:19.448 --> 00:23:21.584 Image Ng, including nuclear medicine.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806
- 00:23:21.584 --> 00:23:23.292 Of course, oncology, nursing,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806
- 00:23:23.292 --> 00:23:24.570 pathology, social work,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806
- $00:23:24.570 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.695$ our colleagues in colorectal cancer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806
- $00:23:26.695 \rightarrow 00:23:29.700$ surgery need to be involved in genetics,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806
- $00{:}23{:}29{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}32{.}256$ and I think that's the promise.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806
- $00:23:32.260 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.816$ An fun of what we're doing,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806
- $00{:}23{:}34{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}37{.}795$ so I'd like to hand off now
- NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806
- $00:23:37.795 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.580$ to doctor made off.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.871058881282806

- 00:23:39.580 --> 00:23:40.369 Thank you David.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.912310719490051
- 00:23:42.690 --> 00:23:43.878 I guess I need
- NOTE Confidence: 0.900341939926147
- $00:23:43.880 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.040$ to stop my screen. Share
- NOTE Confidence: 0.852466821670532
- $00:23:46.040 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.060$ my screen here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.788426756858826
- $00{:}23{:}47.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}54.440$ And.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904296815395355
- 00:23:57.470 --> 00:24:00.498 OK, so can you can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.904296815395355
- $00{:}24{:}00{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}02{.}920$ you will hear me.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.923315286636353
- $00{:}24{:}05{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}08{.}600$ Yes, we can hear you. So what I would
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962
- 00:24:08.600 --> 00:24:10.826 first like to thank Charlie and Kevin,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962
- $00:24:10.830 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.302$ as well as my for giving me the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962
- $00{:}24{:}13.302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}15.274$ opportunity today to speak at the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962
- 00:24:15.274 --> 00:24:16.884 El Cancer Center grand rounds.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962
- 00:24:16.890 --> 00:24:17.978 As you may recall,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962
- 00:24:17.978 --> 00:24:19.610 I didn't discuss this topic of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962
- 00:24:19.675 --> 00:24:21.680 liver regeneration in last December,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962
- 00:24:21.680 --> 00:24:23.824 but today I will be focusing on how

- NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962
- $00:24:23.824 \rightarrow 00:24:25.436$ these techniques relate to optimizing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962
- $00:24:25.436 \rightarrow 00:24:27.136$ the anticipated future liver remnant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962
- $00:24:27.136 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.008$ prior to resection in patients,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962
- $00:24:29.010 \rightarrow 00:24:30.930$ really with only colorectal liver metastases.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962
- 00:24:30.930 00:24:33.720 Very happy to be here in terms of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962
- $00:24:33.720 \rightarrow 00:24:36.030$ fact that this is, like Kevin said,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962
- $00:24:36.030 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.950$ this is really in my life's.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962
- $00{:}24{:}37{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}40{.}962$ Work and passion and having the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962
- $00{:}24{:}40{.}962 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}45{.}570$ opportunity is for me very, very nice.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962
- 00:24:45.570 --> 00:24:50.190 So. As you just heard from Kevin,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962
- $00:24:50.190 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.490$ there have been tremendous
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962
- $00:24:51.490 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.790$ advances and Pat ability.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962
- $00{:}24{:}52.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}53.765$ Every surgical techniques,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962
- $00{:}24{:}53.765 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}56.040$ such that death is now considered rare.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962
- $00:24:56.040 \rightarrow 00:24:56.365$ However,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00:24:56.365 \rightarrow 00:24:57.990$ complications such as fluid retention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

00:24:57.990 --> 00:24:58.626 Cola stasis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00:24:58.626 \rightarrow 00:25:00.216$ an impaired synthetic function still

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00:25:00.216 \rightarrow 00:25:01.890$ contribute to prolong recovery times.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00{:}25{:}01{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}03{.}218$ An extended hospital stays.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00:25:03.218 \rightarrow 00:25:04.546$ This is particularly true

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00:25:04.546 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.790$ instead of an extent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00{:}25{:}05{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}08{.}390$ but did have a tech to me where 5 or

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00{:}25{:}08.462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}10.988$ more per node segments are removed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00:25:10.990 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.965$ and in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

00:25:11.965 --> 00:25:13.265 as you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00:25:13.270 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.430$ the mortality in this setting

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00:25:15.430 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.590$ can approach 8 to 10%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00:25:17.590 \rightarrow 00:25:20.446$ This can be seen from this French study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00{:}25{:}20{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}22{.}485$ There is direct correlation between

NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962

 $00:25:22.485 \rightarrow 00:25:24.520$ the number of overall complications

- NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962
- $00:25:24.587 \rightarrow 00:25:26.540$ and the size of the liver remnant,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962
- $00:25:26.540 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.860$ and this is not in regards to the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962
- $00:25:28.860 \rightarrow 00:25:31.188$ severity of the overall complications,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962
- $00:25:31.190 \rightarrow 00:25:33.956$ but really only the complication rate.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962
- $00:25:33.960 \rightarrow 00:25:36.243$ So at this time there's really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.832684814929962
- $00:25:36.243 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.910$ no limit to how smaller liver in.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- $00{:}25{:}47{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}50{.}628$ In order to reduce the morbidity of Petra
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- $00:25:50.628 \rightarrow 00:25:53.601$ section at least 20% mushrooming in patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- $00:25:53.601 \rightarrow 00:25:56.163$ with normal underlying liver that is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- $00:25:56.170 \rightarrow 00:25:58.492$ For example, patients that have colon
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- $00:25:58.492 \rightarrow 00:26:01.539$ cancer Mets to the liver without ever
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- 00:26:01.539 --> 00:26:04.210 having touch chemotherapy 30% in injured
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- 00:26:04.210 --> 00:26:07.290 liver such as those that have had extensive
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- $00{:}26{:}07{.}364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}09{.}799$ chemotherapy or Seattle hepatitis and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- $00:26:09.799 \dashrightarrow 00:26:12.430$ 40% in those with underlying cirrhosis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:26:12.430 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.525$ So there's many preoperative strategies

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:26:14.525 \rightarrow 00:26:17.610$ to prepare the liver for resection and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

00:26:17.610 --> 00:26:19.206 These include Portland Embolization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

00:26:19.206 --> 00:26:20.802 which was briefly discussed

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

00:26:20.802 --> 00:26:22.760 by Kevin radiation lobectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00{:}26{:}22.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}25.280$ There's an apps procedure that will

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

00:26:25.280 --> 00:26:28.365 get into briefly and also something

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

00:26:28.365 --> 00:26:30.348 called liberties deprivation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00{:}26{:}30{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}31{.}719$ Support and embolization is

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:26:31.719 \rightarrow 00:26:32.748$ the original strategy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:26:32.750 \rightarrow 00:26:34.590$ first described by professional recruiters

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

00:26:34.590 --> 00:26:37.210 group from the University of Tokyo in 1990.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:26:37.210 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.506$ It's been used to redirect portal blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:26:39.506 \rightarrow 00:26:42.357$ flow to the future liver remnant or FLR,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:26:42.360 \longrightarrow 00:26:43.656$ and by doing so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:26:43.656 \rightarrow 00:26:45.600$ initiate hypertrophy of the non embolized

- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- $00:26:45.660 \rightarrow 00:26:47.940$ segments and by doing this we can reduce
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- $00:26:47.940 \rightarrow 00:26:49.792$ the number of overall perioperative
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- $00:26:49.792 \rightarrow 00:26:51.832$ complications and increase the pool
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- $00:26:51.832 \rightarrow 00:26:53.649$ of potential surgical candidates who
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- $00{:}26{:}53.649 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}55.647$ have what we call marginal anticipated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- $00{:}26{:}55{.}647 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}57{.}098$ future liver remnant volumes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- $00:26:57.100 \rightarrow 00:26:59.270$ Please note that the goal is really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- $00:26:59.270 \rightarrow 00:27:01.422$ not to improve the overall survival
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- $00{:}27{:}01{.}422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}03{.}347$ after resection is compared to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- $00:27:03.347 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.360$ those that did not require PV.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- 00:27:05.360 --> 00:27:07.634 It's just really to achieve similar
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- $00{:}27{:}07{.}634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}09{.}616$ survival rates to those patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- 00:27:09.616 --> 00:27:11.596 who want to undergo surgery.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- $00{:}27{:}11.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}13.550$ It did not actually require
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- $00:27:13.550 \dashrightarrow 00:27:15.500$ PV an ultimate until recently.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:27:15.500 \rightarrow 00:27:18.620$ The general consensus was the PV was the

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00{:}27{:}18.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}21.350$ standard of care at most had ability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:27:21.350 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.290$ every centers worldwide or safe

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:27:23.290 \rightarrow 00:27:24.842$ and effective generate generation

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:27:24.842 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.336$ or generation of the FLR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

00:27:27.336 --> 00:27:29.958 It's now been over a decade since

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00{:}27{:}29{.}958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}32{.}048$ the first meta analysis of usefulness

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

00:27:32.048 --> 00:27:33.476 of PV was published,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00{:}27{:}33.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}36.096$ and in this study they would do 37

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00{:}27{:}36.096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}37.814$ publications with over 1000 patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00{:}27{:}37{.}814 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}40{.}145$ and found a previous states with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:27:40.212 \rightarrow 00:27:42.059$ low mortality and morbidity rate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:27:42.060 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.363$ Further,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:27:42.363 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.484 85\%$ of the patients were able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:27:44.484 \rightarrow 00:27:46.169$ get their proposed surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:27:46.170 \rightarrow 00:27:46.452$ However,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- $00:27:46.452 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.708$ as you can see down here is that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- $00{:}27{:}48.708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}50.833$ there was a substantial group of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- $00{:}27{:}50{.}833 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}52{.}608$ patients that were not respected
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- $00{:}27{:}52.669 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}55.322$ and this was due mostly to disease
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- 00:27:55.322 --> 00:27:56.459 progression or insufficient.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- $00:27:56.460 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.088$ I purchased it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- 00:27:59.090 00:28:01.442 I stated previously the goal of PV
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- $00:28:01.442 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.673$ in the setting of colorectal cancer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- $00:28:03.673 \rightarrow 00:28:06.361$ is to get similar survival rates to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- $00:28:06.431 \rightarrow 00:28:08.965$ those patients who do not require PV.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- 00:28:08.970 --> 00:28:11.166 Here we see two actually French
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- $00:28:11.166 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.630$ studies and pretty old.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- $00:28:12.630 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.350$ There actually showed where
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- $00{:}28{:}14.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}16.500$ these outcomes were born out.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538
- 00:28:16.500 --> 00:28:18.905 Now PV E not only causes the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

00:28:18.905 --> 00:28:19.934 Liberty purchase fee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

00:28:19.940 --> 00:28:21.776 but it also results in improved

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00{:}28{:}21.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}24.068$ function of the FLR and this has

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:28:24.068 \rightarrow 00:28:25.748$ been shown by nuclear medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00{:}28{:}25.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}27.614$ studies with a lobar function shifted

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00{:}28{:}27.614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}29.882$ from the embolized than an embolism

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00{:}28{:}29{.}882 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}31{.}980$ for after the further in patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

00:28:31.980 --> 00:28:33.356 with Hilar Cholangio Carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:28:33.360 \rightarrow 00:28:35.388$ who had biliary drainage catheters more

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:28:35.388 \rightarrow 00:28:37.832$ bile is produced in the non embolized

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:28:37.832 \rightarrow 00:28:40.240$ bourbon in the embolized liver and Lastly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00{:}28{:}40{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}43{.}024$ We can see that less alterations in liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:28:43.024 \rightarrow 00:28:44.836$ function tests after resection occur

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:28:44.836 \rightarrow 00:28:47.530$ following PV even knows in which P VE.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00{:}28{:}47{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}48{.}577$ Was not performed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00{:}28{:}48.577 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}51.020$ So for decades CT has been the

 $00:28:51.092 \rightarrow 00:28:53.332$ cornerstone for surgical planning and

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00{:}28{:}53{.}332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}56{.}674$ when assessing the FL are we do our

NOTE Confidence: 0.803383648395538

 $00:28:56.674 \rightarrow 00:28:59.061$ calculations based only on the size of

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:28:59.070 \rightarrow 00:29:01.254$ the liver. Remaining siti does directly

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00{:}29{:}01{.}254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}03{.}795$ measure the future liver remnant and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:29:03.795 \rightarrow 00:29:06.182$ total liver volume is not actually measured,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00{:}29{:}06{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}07{.}995$ but rather it's estimated from

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00{:}29{:}07{.}995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}09{.}439$ the Association between the

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:29:09.439 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.820$ liver and patient size.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:29:10.820 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.668$ And this is based on body waiting patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

00:29:13.670 - 00:29:15.638 body surface area or a larger

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:29:15.638 \rightarrow 00:29:17.940$ patient would need a large deliver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:29:17.940 \rightarrow 00:29:20.446$ Smaller patient may need a smaller liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00{:}29{:}20{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}22{.}543$ The FL R2 total estimated liver volume

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:29:22.543 \rightarrow 00:29:25.137$ ratio can then allow for uniform comparison.

 $00:29:25.140 \longrightarrow 00:29:27.150$ Adefa lower volume parts of resection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00{:}29{:}27.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}29.160$ whether or not PVE was performed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:29:29.160 \longrightarrow 00:29:30.973$ And this is the formula which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:29:30.973 \rightarrow 00:29:33.079$ based on a linear regression equation

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:29:33.079 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.189$ from over 500 Western adults.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00{:}29{:}35{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}37{.}085$ It's critical to understand the

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:29:37.085 \rightarrow 00:29:39.561$ denominator does not change on the pre

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:29:39.561 \rightarrow 00:29:42.230$ and post CT scans because it is an estimate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00{:}29{:}42.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}44.534$ One must realize that PV E does cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:29:44.534 \rightarrow 00:29:46.517$ atrophy of the emboli segments that

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00{:}29{:}46.517 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}48.997$ there have been cases where the total

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00{:}29{:}48{.}997 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}50{.}997$ liver volume is directly measured.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

00:29:51.000 --> 00:29:53.376 And went down after PV E.

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:29:53.380 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.775$ Therefore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

 $00:29:53.775 \rightarrow 00:29:56.940$ even if the numerator is unchanged, the FL,

NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349

00:29:56.940 --> 00:29:58.915 our percentage may inadvertently increase,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349
- $00:29:58.920 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.242$ giving once a false sense of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349
- $00{:}30{:}01{.}242 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}03{.}280$ belief that hypertrophy did occur.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349
- $00:30:03.280 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.260$ And unfortunately patients have died
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349
- $00:30:05.260 \rightarrow 00:30:07.240$ after surgery when this happens.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349
- $00:30:07.240 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.344$ So if we look at this patient with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349
- $00{:}30{:}10{.}344 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}12{.}102$ colorectal liver metastases here
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349
- $00:30:12.102 \rightarrow 00:30:14.796$ we see approximately 4 weeks later
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349
- 00:30:14.796 --> 00:30:17.529 that the FLR grew from 17 to 30%
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349
- $00:30:17.530 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.906$ or degree of hypertrophy of 13%.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349
- $00:30:19.910 \longrightarrow 00:30:21.358$ This patient also had.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349
- $00:30:21.358 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.168$ Kinetic growth rate of 3.5%,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349
- $00{:}30{:}23.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}25.613$ which is a good indicator and this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349
- $00{:}30{:}25.613 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}27.637$ will be discussed in much more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.822804689407349
- $00{:}30{:}27.637 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}29.147$ detail in a little bit.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- $00:30:31.500 \longrightarrow 00:30:32.403$ In recent years,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:30:32.403 \rightarrow 00:30:33.908$ there's actually been controversy as

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00{:}30{:}33{.}908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}36{.}197$ to be appropriate limit for resection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:30:36.200 \rightarrow 00:30:38.510$ and this may depend on the institution

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:30:38.510 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.239$ and the formula being used.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

00:30:40.240 --> 00:30:42.000 In Europe, for example, therapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:30:42.000 \dashrightarrow 00:30:44.938$ uses the cut off for the need for pbe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:30:44.940 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.217$ That said, we showed during my time at MD

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:30:47.217 \longrightarrow 00:30:48.923$ Anderson there statistically significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00{:}30{:}48{.}923 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}50{.}990$ differences in outcome, whether it

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:30:50.990 \rightarrow 00:30:53.000$ be from liver insufficiency or death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:30:53.000 \rightarrow 00:30:55.690$ If you have less than percent, FL are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

00:30:55.690 --> 00:30:57.365 however, no differences were found.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:30:57.370 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.386$ Once you had more than 20%

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

00:30:59.390 --> 00:31:02.170 of your FL are remaining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:31:02.170 \longrightarrow 00:31:02.738$ And further,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:31:02.738 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.726$ we wanted to see the impact of

- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- $00:31:04.726 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.719$ PV in the patient population
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- $00:31:06.719 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.359$ in this patient population.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- $00:31:08.360 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.418$ We found that in those patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- $00:31:10.418 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.150$ that had at least 20%,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- $00:31:12.150 \rightarrow 00:31:13.860$ meaning that they already either
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- $00:31:13.860 \longrightarrow 00:31:16.620$ had 20% not requiring PV or had 20%,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- $00:31:16.620 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.615$ and in those days we did it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- $00:31:18.615 \dashrightarrow 00:31:20.765$ with a higher environment to see
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- 00:31:20.765 00:31:22.805 if there was any difference,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- $00:31:22.810 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.267$ and we compared them to those patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- $00:31:25.267 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.969$ who had less than 20% and actually had
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- $00:31:27.969 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.720$ at least 20% and did not require PV,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- $00{:}31{:}30{.}720$ --> $00{:}31{:}33{.}496$ E and compared them to those also that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- $00{:}31{:}33{.}500$ --> $00{:}31{:}36{.}636$ And did not have 20% and needed PV E.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- $00:31:36.636 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.486$ And we found that as long as the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:31:39.486 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.670$ patient after PV at least 20%

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00{:}31{:}41.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}43.440$ of the future liver remnant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:31:43.440 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.542$ it really was no difference in

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:31:46.542 \rightarrow 00:31:48.610$ what happened after resection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00{:}31{:}48.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}50.416$ So we talked about the brief

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:31:50.416 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.620$ hypertrophy a little earlier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:31:51.620 \rightarrow 00:31:53.727$ So what is the degree of purchasing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

00:31:53.730 --> 00:31:53.973 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00{:}31{:}53{.}973 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}56{.}160$ it's the post PV eff R minus the pre

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00{:}31{:}56{.}219 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}58{.}284$ PV EOFLR which gives you a dynamic

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00{:}31{:}58{.}284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}59{.}935$ measure of liver regeneration and

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00{:}31{:}59{.}935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}01{.}700$ this is important because there

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:32:01.700 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.964$ is an amount of high purchase that

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:32:03.964 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.168$ is necessary to review.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00{:}32{:}05{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}07{.}221$ If you have complications in our study

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

00:32:07.221 --> 00:32:09.078 published in MD Anderson in 2007,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- $00:32:09.080 \dashrightarrow 00:32:10.952$ you can see that those patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- $00:32:10.952 \rightarrow 00:32:13.389$ that have more than 5% degree if I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- $00:32:13.389 \rightarrow 00:32:15.054$ purchase he had significantly less
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- $00:32:15.054 \rightarrow 00:32:17.021$ complications that had more than 5% FL.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- 00:32:17.021 -> 00:32:20.810 So here we have a tale of two rivers,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- $00:32:20.810 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.262$ one patient with Cirrhosis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- 00:32:22.262 --> 00:32:24.440 and HTC who underwent a right
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- 00:32:24.509 --> 00:32:25.529 hip attacked me,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- $00:32:25.530 \dashrightarrow 00:32:27.345$ the other with colorectal liver
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- $00:32:27.345 \dashrightarrow 00:32:29.521$ metastases and only had 5% steatosis
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- $00:32:29.521 \rightarrow 00:32:31.326$ who underwent an extended right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- $00:32:31.330 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.594$ He protected me here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- $00{:}32{:}32{.}594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}34{.}490$ We see that the cirrhotic patient
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- 00:32:34.553 --> 00:32:36.050 had excellent hypertrophy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- $00:32:36.050 \dashrightarrow 00:32:38.953$ while the other patient had only 1% growth.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:32:38.953 \rightarrow 00:32:40.768$ Interesting Lee, the cirrhotic patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

00:32:40.770 --> 00:32:42.190 did well after surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:32:42.190 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.320$ while the patient that had the

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00{:}32{:}44{.}395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}46{.}747$ normal liver or what we thought was

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

00:32:46.747 --> 00:32:48.809 a pretty normal liver actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:32:48.810 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.226$ Died after their reception.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:32:50.226 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.580$ Therefore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:32:50.580 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.180$ if we see that you can use these

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00{:}32{:}53{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}55{.}418$ numbers to see that patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00{:}32{:}55{.}418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}58{.}690$ have at least 20% FLR and at least 5%

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:32:58.690 \rightarrow 00:33:01.168$ degree of hypertrophy had a zero percent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:33:01.170 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.226$ 90 day mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

00:33:02.226 --> 00:33:03.634 And like I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:33:03.640 \dashrightarrow 00:33:05.788$ this information can be used when

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

 $00:33:05.788 \dashrightarrow 00:33:07.627$ trying to understand whether a

NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539

00:33:07.627 -> 00:33:09.332 patient should be indicated for

- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- $00:33:09.332 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.696$ their reception after PV.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- $00{:}33{:}10.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}13.596$ So now that we know the floor cut
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- $00:33:13.596 \longrightarrow 00:33:16.370$ off numbers that is 20% for normal liver,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- $00:33:16.370 \rightarrow 00:33:18.890 \ 30\%$ for injured liver from chemotherapy and.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- 00:33:18.890 --> 00:33:19.883 40% for Cirrhosis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- $00:33:19.883 \rightarrow 00:33:22.739$ and we know that if I purchased the 5%,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- $00:33:22.740 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.987$ is this enough to really be able
- NOTE Confidence: 0.811400353908539
- $00:33:24.987 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.950$ to predict which
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00:33:26.022 \rightarrow 00:33:28.447$ patients should have their surgeries?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00:33:28.450 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.907$ So we now know that we've had
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00:33:30.907 \rightarrow 00:33:32.899$ purchase fees based on the timing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00{:}33{:}32{.}899 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}35{.}425$ of the image Ng and that a true
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00:33:35.425 \rightarrow 00:33:38.089$ assessment of the epilogue growth is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00:33:38.089 \rightarrow 00:33:40.196$ difficult to compare among patients.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00{:}33{:}40.196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}42.494$ Therefore, we developed a new variable
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:33:42.494 \rightarrow 00:33:44.916$ called the kinetic growth rate or KJR,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:33:44.920 \rightarrow 00:33:47.380$ which is the degree of hypertrophy

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00{:}33{:}47{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}49{.}396$ over the time elapsed from

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

00:33:49.396 - 00:33:51.510 PV E in the number of weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00{:}33{:}51{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}53{.}706$ So here we see three patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00{:}33{:}53.706 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}55.170$ with colorectal liver metastases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

00:33:55.170 - 00:33:57.360 each with a degree of hypertrophy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:33:57.360 \rightarrow 00:33:59.929$ well within the amount needed for successful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00{:}33{:}59{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}00{.}600$ safer section.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:34:00.600 \rightarrow 00:34:02.945$ However, the patient on the bottom actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:34:02.945 \rightarrow 00:34:05.090$ died from liver failure after section,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00{:}34{:}05{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}07{.}722$ so we went back and reviewed the cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00{:}34{:}07{.}722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}10{.}657$ and found that the time for the first 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00{:}34{:}10.657 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}13.456$ patients with 35 days to get these results,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

00:34:13.460 - 00:34:16.140 while we found that the patient had died,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:34:16.140 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.150$ it actually occurred in 70 days.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00:34:18.150 \dashrightarrow 00:34:20.474$ So when we went back and calculated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00:34:20.474 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.840$ the kinetic growth rate,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00:34:21.840 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.850$ the patient that I had only
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00:34:23.850 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.176$ 1 zero point 3% per week,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00:34:26.176 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.641$ while the other two had
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00:34:28.641 \rightarrow 00:34:30.790$ much higher growth rates.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00:34:30.790 \rightarrow 00:34:32.474$ Therefore, when assessing patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00:34:32.474 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.158$ which should have surgery,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- 00:34:34.160 00:34:37.949 we found that in order to really be safe,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00:34:37.950 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.936$ that we really need 2% per week.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00{:}34{:}40{.}936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}43{.}151$ That led to know hepatic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- 00:34:43.151 --> 00:34:45.710 insufficiency or 90 day mortality.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00:34:45.710 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.002$ So,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- 00:34:46.002 --> 00:34:48.046 is Kevin stated earlier we can now
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00{:}34{:}48.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}50.384$ extend the boundaries for safer section
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:34:50.384 \rightarrow 00:34:52.499$ by using advanced surgical strategies

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00{:}34{:}52{.}499 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}54{.}447$ such as the two stage protecting

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:34:54.447 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.250$ me like for this pain titlebar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:34:56.250 \rightarrow 00:34:57.610$ colon article, living metastases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00{:}34{:}57.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}58.970$ patient first had systemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00{:}34{:}58{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}00{.}330$ chemotherapy with excellent response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:35:00.330 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.030$ then had surgery to clear

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:35:02.030 \longrightarrow 00:35:03.390$ the left lateral liver.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

00:35:03.390 --> 00:35:04.070 The FL,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00{:}35{:}04.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}05.430$ our volumes were calculated

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:35:05.430 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.886$ to be 16% of PV was performed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:35:07.886 \longrightarrow 00:35:10.868$ and then FLR was then found to be 26%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00{:}35{:}10.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}12.742$ So the definitive resection

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:35:12.742 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.146$ was then performed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

00:35:14.150 --> 00:35:16.058 So to assess the benefit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

00:35:16.058 - 00:35:17.840 the two stage separate ectomy,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00:35:17.840 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.605$ we reviewed patients who had

 $00:35:19.605 \rightarrow 00:35:21.017$ invented the advanced strategy

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00{:}35{:}21.017 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}22.773$ with extended pack resection and

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:35:22.773 \rightarrow 00:35:24.789$ compared those that did not

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00{:}35{:}24.853 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}26.974$ have tumor in their FL are therefore

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:35:26.974 \rightarrow 00:35:28.498$ not really needing second stage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00{:}35{:}28{.}498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}30{.}322$ Our results had shown that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:35:30.322 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.483$ was no difference in overall and

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00{:}35{:}32{.}483 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}34{.}348$ disease free survival in those

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:35:34.348 \rightarrow 00:35:36.356$ patients that required the two stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00:35:36.356 \rightarrow 00:35:38.274$ protect me as compared with those

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00{:}35{:}38{.}274 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}40{.}278$ that only required the one stage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00{:}35{:}40{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}42{.}856$ And as Kevin showed from our study from

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00{:}35{:}42.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}45.339$ MD Anderson in a really highly selected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646

 $00{:}35{:}45{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}47{.}284$ And she cohort who did complete

- $00:35:47.284 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.256$ the second stage.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00:35:48.260 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.192$ We were able to achieve a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00:35:50.192 \longrightarrow 00:35:51.495 60\%$ five year survival,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00:35:51.495 \rightarrow 00:35:53.120$ which is really considered amazing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00{:}35{:}53.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}56.095$ Anything about a decade ago given to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00:35:56.095 \dashrightarrow 00:35:58.628$ buy Alobar nature of the disease.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- 00:35:58.630 --> 00:35:58.991 However,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00:35:58.991 \rightarrow 00:36:01.157$ there is some concerns regarding team
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- 00:36:01.157 --> 00:36:03.915 be one of the major concerns is the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00:36:03.915 \longrightarrow 00:36:06.264$ potential drop out of up to 35% of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00:36:06.264 \rightarrow 00:36:07.968$ patients due to an insufficient FL
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00:36:07.968 \rightarrow 00:36:09.975$ are or or tumor progression within
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00:36:09.975 \rightarrow 00:36:12.516$ the four to six week waiting period
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00:36:12.579 \dashrightarrow 00:36:14.994$ from the TV to the definitive resection.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00:36:15.000 \rightarrow 00:36:15.508$ Therefore,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00:36:15.508 \rightarrow 00:36:17.540$ other approaches are needed.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00{:}36{:}17.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}18.406$ So one.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00{:}36{:}18{.}406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}20{.}571$ Issue that has been entertained
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00{:}36{:}20{.}571 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}23{.}736$ has been that PV can lead to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00:36:23.736 \rightarrow 00:36:25.068$ expedited tumor growth,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00{:}36{:}25.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}26.654$ so to that end the recent
- NOTE Confidence: 0.847820580005646
- $00:36:26.654 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.710$ data supports that we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907
- 00:36:27.767 -> 00:36:29.422 can use chemotherapy during the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907
- $00:36:29.422 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.778$ waiting period and also can be used
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907
- $00{:}36{:}31.778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}33.058$ within the postoperative period
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907
- $00{:}36{:}33.058 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}35.264$ which at one time was thought to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907
- 00:36:35.264 --> 00:36:36.891 maybe limit for generation. However,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907
- $00{:}36{:}36{.}891 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}40{.}410$ that has not borne out to be the case.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907
- $00{:}36{:}40{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}42{.}280$ So there are other alternative
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907
- $00{:}36{:}42.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}44.150$ approaches that we can use.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907
- $00:36:44.150 \dashrightarrow 00:36:45.642$ It is Interventional radiologist.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:36:45.642 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.134$ This is a case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00{:}36{:}47{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}49{.}478$ This is a study that was performed

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

00:36:49.478 --> 00:36:52.233 from Korea where TV did not leave

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00{:}36{:}52{.}233 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}53{.}496$ the sufficient regeneration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

00:36:53.500 -> 00:36:55.365 Anna Korean group found that

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

00:36:55.365 --> 00:36:56.484 later performing right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:36:56.490 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.078$ having bane embolization in

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:36:58.078 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.013$ addition to the PV is shown here

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00{:}37{:}01{.}013 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}03{.}418$ actually result in better outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:37:03.420 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.040$ We've also used something

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:37:05.040 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.255$ called radiation lobectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00{:}37{:}06{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}08{.}724$ This is done by the Transarterial Bar

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:37:08.724 \dashrightarrow 00:37:10.730$ Administration of Y-90 microparticles.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00{:}37{:}10.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}12.780$ She's now an established means

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

00:37:12.780 --> 00:37:14.830 of providing local tumor control

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00{:}37{:}14.902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}16.009$ within the liver.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907
- $00:37:16.010 \longrightarrow 00:37:16.826$ That said,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907
- $00{:}37{:}16.826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}18.866$ there was an unintended phenomenon
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907
- $00{:}37{:}18.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}21.332$ of contralateral liver I8 and seven
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907
- $00:37:21.332 \rightarrow 00:37:22.908$ in several retrospective studies.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907
- 00:37:22.910 --> 00:37:23.722 Contralateral hypertrophy?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907
- 00:37:23.722 --> 00:37:26.158 A curd from 21 to 47%,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907
- $00:37:26.160 \rightarrow 00:37:28.650$ and therefore this has been suggested
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907
- $00{:}37{:}28.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}31.543$ as an alternative to PV with the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907
- $00:37:31.543 \rightarrow 00:37:33.468$ benefit of local tumor control.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907
- $00:37:33.470 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.610$ And the test of time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907
- 00:37:35.610 00:37:38.368 So we had talked about this earlier,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907
- $00:37:38.370 \rightarrow 00:37:40.590$ but nuclear medicine is showing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907
- $00:37:40.590 \dashrightarrow 00:37:43.209$ improved liver function after PV E
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907
- $00:37:43.209 \longrightarrow 00:37:45.456$ in this small study of 13 patients,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907
- $00{:}37{:}45{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}47{.}240$ with some being colorectal liver
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:37:47.240 \rightarrow 00:37:48.664$ metastases who underwent some

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

00:37:48.664 --> 00:37:50.189 nuclear medicine scintigraphy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:37:50.190 \longrightarrow 00:37:52.145$ They showed that using radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:37:52.145 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.709$ lobectomy actually can cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00{:}37{:}53.709 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}55.698$ changes in regional liver function,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

00:37:55.700 --> 00:37:58.376 and this correlated with the functional NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

00:37:58.376 --> 00:38:00.523 liver absorbed doses from Y-90

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00{:}38{:}00{.}523 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}02{.}883$ and pack and then in 2014 a group

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

00:38:02.883 --> 00:38:04.975 from France compared 141 patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:38:04.975 \rightarrow 00:38:07.567$ who underwent right PV with third.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00{:}38{:}07{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}09{.}735$ 35 patients who underwent radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:38:09.735 \dashrightarrow 00:38:12.436$ lobectomy at two centers that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:38:12.436 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.766$ matched for criterion known to

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00{:}38{:}14.766 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}16.970$ influence liver regeneration after PVD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:38:16.970 \rightarrow 00:38:19.133$ The radiation was performed if the authors

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:38:19.133 \rightarrow 00:38:21.260$ found the case would be challenging,

00:38:21.260 --> 00:38:23.240 provid PB and to be honest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:38:23.240 \rightarrow 00:38:25.550$ I'm not sure why this would be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:38:25.550 \rightarrow 00:38:28.078$ but when they match the patients they found

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:38:28.078 \rightarrow 00:38:29.839$ significantly more hypertrophy after PV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00{:}38{:}29{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}31{.}772$ They concluded that while the hyper

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:38:31.772 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.060$ chicken radiation lobectomy substantial

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:38:33.111 \rightarrow 00:38:34.786$ and doesn't minimize tumor progression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

00:38:34.790 --> 00:38:36.440 PV can induce significantly greater,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00{:}38{:}36{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}38{.}402$ and I purchased the in radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:38:38.402 \rightarrow 00:38:40.070$ lobectomy with these the rapeutic doses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

00:38:40.070 --> 00:38:42.230 So how do you decide if you should

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:38:42.230 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.360$ use PV or radiation lobectomy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00{:}38{:}44{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}46{.}010$ The decision should be based

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00{:}38{:}46.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}47.000$ on achievement intent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:38:47.000 \longrightarrow 00:38:47.618$ such as.

 $00{:}38{:}47{.}618$ --> $00{:}38{:}49{.}781$ Is the patient a candidate for section

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:38:49.781 \rightarrow 00:38:52.439$ now and what is the plan resection if

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00{:}38{:}52{.}439 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}54{.}642$ the reception should be done now you

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:38:54.642 \rightarrow 00:38:57.086$ should just go ahead and perform the P PE.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00{:}38{:}57{.}086 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}59{.}138$ You also need to know what type of

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00{:}38{:}59{.}138 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}01{.}316$ malignancy patient has and whether the

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:39:01.316 \rightarrow 00:39:03.259$ patient has underlying liver disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

00:39:03.260 - 00:39:04.970 Cases where patients have bottle

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00{:}39{:}04{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}06{.}338$ bar colorectal metastases that

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

00:39:06.338 --> 00:39:07.500 require a Tuesday check.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00{:}39{:}07{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}08{.}325$ Her protect me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:39:08.325 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.700$ There is likely really no

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

00:39:09.700 --> 00:39:11.409 role for radiation lobectomy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:39:11.410 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.498$ as you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:39:12.498 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.603$ I'm personally not in favor of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907

 $00:39:14.603 \rightarrow 00:39:16.628$ radiation lobectomy in this approach,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907
- 00:39:16.630 00:39:19.069 as you would really need to do 190 of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907
- $00{:}39{:}19.069 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}21.083$ both lobes and it seems appropriate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907
- $00:39:21.083 \rightarrow 00:39:23.799$ in the setting of HTC with cirrhosis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907
- $00:39:23.800 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.061$ But I don't think it really is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907
- 00:39:26.061 --> 00:39:28.133 appropriate in the setting of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907
- 00:39:28.133 --> 00:39:29.678 colorectal liver metastasis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907
- $00:39:29.680 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.944$ Another approach is Alps,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.825742840766907
- $00:39:30.944 \rightarrow 00:39:32.840$ which is short for associating liver
- NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878
- $00{:}39{:}32{.}894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}34{.}679$ partition and portal vein ligation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878
- $00:39:34.680 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.345$ This approach was proposed to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878
- $00:39:36.345 \rightarrow 00:39:38.010$ replace pbe with two surgeries.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878
- $00{:}39{:}38.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}39.322$ He performed interactive right
- NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878
- $00:39:39.322 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.634$ portal vein ligation followed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878
- $00{:}39{:}40{.}634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}41{.}999$ by completely divest arising.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878
- $00:39:42.000 \rightarrow 00:39:43.908$ Segment four of the liver and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:39:43.908 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.939$ at the same session is surgeon

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:39:45.939 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.654$ clears the floor of tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

00:39:47.660 --> 00:39:49.688 The patient is then closed with

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:39:49.688 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.660$ the tumor bearing liver in place,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:39:51.660 \rightarrow 00:39:53.652$ while the FLL rapidly have Portuguese

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}39{:}53.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}55.613$ and then the Sturgeon returns within

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

00:39:55.613 --> 00:39:57.909 7 to 10 days for a second laparotomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}39{:}57{.}967 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}00{.}047$ to complete the definitive resection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

00:40:00.050 - 00:40:01.422 And early reports actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}40{:}01{.}422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}03{.}137$ showed very strong tumor growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}40{:}03.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}05.980$ I mean, fellow growth and was believed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}40{:}05{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}09{.}218$ have a lower risk for tumor progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}40{:}09{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}10{.}072$ That being said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

00:40:10.072 --> 00:40:12.060 when comparing PV 2 apps that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:40:12.120 \rightarrow 00:40:13.730$ the massive infest or hypertrophy

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:40:13.730 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.018$ in the Alps Group,

 $00{:}40{:}15{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}17{.}668$ but it came at a much higher cost

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:40:17.668 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.308$ of major complications and death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

00:40:20.310 --> 00:40:20.650 Interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:40:20.650 \rightarrow 00:40:22.350$ while the kinetic growth rate

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}40{:}22.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}24.599$ was found to be higher electron

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}40{:}24{.}599 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}26{.}669$ microscopy studies from Japan showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:40:26.669 \rightarrow 00:40:28.804$ that the hepatocytes were not mature

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:40:28.804 \rightarrow 00:40:30.750$ and not really able to handle the

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}40{:}30.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}33.186$ increased blood flow to the FL are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:40:33.190 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.530$ Therefore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}40{:}33.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}36.250$ it was shown that it is not simply

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

00:40:36.250 --> 00:40:37.709 regenerating the liver rapidly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}40{:}37{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}39{.}670$ but also in a way that allows

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}40{:}39.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}41.071$ the hepatocytes maturan function

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}40{:}41.071 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}42.580$ appropriately so interesting.

00:40:42.580 --> 00:40:42.900 Lee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

00:40:42.900 --> 00:40:44.500 a systematic review and meta

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:40:44.500 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.780$ analysis for colorectal liver

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

00:40:45.838 --> 00:40:47.110 metastases was performed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}40{:}47{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}49{.}210$ confirming the findings of the faster

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:40:49.210 \longrightarrow 00:40:50.950$ kinetic growth rate with Alps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}40{:}50{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}52{.}755$ But with the increased morbidity

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:40:52.755 \longrightarrow 00:40:53.477$ and mortality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}40{:}53.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}55.290$ So for this reason numerous

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:40:55.290 \rightarrow 00:40:56.738$ modifications have been proposed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}40{:}56{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}58{.}948$ many of which negated distinct advantages

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:40:58.948 \rightarrow 00:41:02.169$ of why Alps was proposed in the 1st place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}41{:}02{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}02{.}894$ And Lastly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

00:41:02.894 --> 00:41:05.428 I want to show a new procedure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:41:05.430 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.688$ one that we will now be using it Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:41:08.690 \rightarrow 00:41:10.616$ while while I already showed sequential

00:41:10.616 --> 00:41:12.670 PV into Patty being embolization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:41:12.670 \rightarrow 00:41:14.836$ Liberty is deprivation is performing PV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:41:14.840 \longrightarrow 00:41:17.012$ And how do you been embolization

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:41:17.012 \longrightarrow 00:41:18.460$ in a single session?

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:41:18.460 \longrightarrow 00:41:20.658$ The goal is to shorten and optimize

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}41{:}20.658 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}22.849$ the phase of liver preparation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:41:22.850 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.218$ Or surgery without the

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:41:24.218 \rightarrow 00:41:25.586$ aggressive nature of Alps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:41:25.590 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.962$ and in this early

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

00:41:26.962 --> 00:41:28.334 feasibility study from 2016,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}41{:}28{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}30{.}566$ the procedure was found to be safe

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}41{:}30{.}566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}32{.}679$ and feasible in a small patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:41:32.679 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.504$ cohort of only seven patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}41{:}34{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}36{.}743$ and then the same group then added

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}41{:}36{.}743 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}39{.}203$ embolization of the middle of having pain

 $00:41:39.203 \rightarrow 00:41:41.363$ to the right hepatic vein embolization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:41:41.370 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.225$ and they found that by doing so

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}41{:}43.225 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}45.668$ they can get safe and provide the

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:41:45.668 \rightarrow 00:41:47.653$ most marketing rapid elevation in

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}41{:}47.653 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}49.259$ hypertrophy and liver function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

00:41:49.260 --> 00:41:50.980 Unprecedented for an IR procedure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}41{:}50{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}53{.}346$ and just soon Kevin and I will

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:41:53.346 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.840$ be the Copia eyes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}41{:}54{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}56{.}650$ Or yell prospective clinical trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}41{:}56.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}58.146$ Looking at Libertines deprivation

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

00:41:58.146 --> 00:42:00.390 called Dragon One and Dragon 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}42{:}00{.}452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}02{.}438$ dragon one is a feasibility study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:42:02.440 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.156$ and Dragon 2 actually will compare it

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}42{:}05{.}156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}07{.}867$ to the standard of care which is PV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:42:07.870 \longrightarrow 00:42:08.911$ So in conclusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

00:42:08.911 --> 00:42:10.646 liver regeneration is critical to

00:42:10.646 --> 00:42:12.219 managing colorectal liver metastases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

00:42:12.220 --> 00:42:14.747 and, as I hope to have shown,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}42{:}14.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}16.198$ there are numerous strategies

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:42:16.198 \rightarrow 00:42:18.008$ that can regenerate the liver,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:42:18.010 \rightarrow 00:42:20.176$ either percutaneously or by surgical means.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

00:42:20.180 --> 00:42:20.561 Currently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:42:20.561 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.466$ the understanding of Liberal generation

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:42:22.466 \rightarrow 00:42:25.329$ in this area is really at its infancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

00:42:25.330 --> 00:42:26.550 Any apples opportunities do

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

00:42:26.550 --> 00:42:27.465 exist for research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00:42:27.470 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.015$ so I'm looking forward to

NOTE Confidence: 0.752619624137878

 $00{:}42{:}29.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}30.560$ working with your team and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85053288936615

 $00{:}42{:}30{.}624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}32{.}028$ looking forward working with

NOTE Confidence: 0.85053288936615

 $00{:}42{:}32{.}028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}34{.}134$ Kevin on this dragon study and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85053288936615

 $00:42:34.194 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.919$ thank you for your attention.

 $00:42:35.920 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.160$ Thank you David, that was awesome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.729231476783752

 $00:42:43.790 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.980$ Thanks bikini.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781764268875122

 $00:42:48.940 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.228$ Kevin David, can you stop

NOTE Confidence: 0.781764268875122

00:42:51.230 --> 00:42:54.440 sharing? OK, stop the sharing here, yeah?

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}42{:}55{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}58{.}740$ Alright, so I'm Michael Cicchini.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}42{:}58{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}01{.}840$ I'm a medical Oncologist and

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}43{:}01{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}05{.}522$ I'm going to talk about the chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:43:05.522 \rightarrow 00:43:10.309$ in the Peri operative management of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}43{:}10{.}309 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}14{.}189$ liver metastases for colorectal cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

00:43:14.190 --> 00:43:17.868 So first I'm going to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}43{:}17.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}20.320$ about the molecular profiling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:43:20.320 \longrightarrow 00:43:22.196$ That's important to decide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

00:43:22.196 --> 00:43:25.010 Chemotherapy agents as well as sightedness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:43:25.010 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.170$ which is not truly molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:43:27.170 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.898$ profiling but certainly hasn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:43:28.898 \rightarrow 00:43:31.110$ impacted the chemotherapy selection.

 $00:43:31.110 \rightarrow 00:43:34.386$ The two types of patients we encounter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}43{:}34{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}37.015$ the unrespectable patiently up respectable

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:43:37.015 \rightarrow 00:43:40.514$ biologics and then some of the damage

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}43{:}40{.}514 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}43{.}286$ our agents can do that can complicate

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:43:43.286 \rightarrow 00:43:46.119$ the role of complicated surgery so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}43{:}46.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}47.850$ Molecular profiling for colorectal cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}43{:}47.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}49.922$ What information do I really need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:43:49.922 \rightarrow 00:43:52.329$ know to make a chemotherapy decision?

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}43{:}52{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}54{.}486$ Wrap the grass in the raft status

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:43:54.486 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.376$ are very important and they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}43{:}56{.}376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}58{.}188$ been so for some time mismatch

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}43{:}58{.}188 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}00{.}268$ repair status microsatellite status,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}44{:}00{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}01{.}944$ which is analogous to that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}44{:}01{.}944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}03{.}516$ then the sightedness has become

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}44{:}03.516$ --> $00{:}44{:}05.136$ increasingly important for determining

 $00:44:05.136 \rightarrow 00:44:07.161$ determining which biologic to use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:44:07.170 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.897$ So the origin of the primary tumor was at

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}44{:}09{.}897 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}13{.}028$ a left sided tumor or right sided tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:44:13.030 \rightarrow 00:44:14.920$ So to remind ourselves why Rasen

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:44:14.920 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.890$ rap status is so important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:44:16.890 \dashrightarrow 00:44:20.238$ We need to go back to the EGFR pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:44:20.240 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.472$ So EGFR here in this purple

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:44:22.472 \rightarrow 00:44:23.960$ is upstream of crowds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}44{:}23.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}25.336$ B RAF MEK Erk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

00:44:25.336 --> 00:44:27.400 So in our ask mutated cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}44{:}27{.}487 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}29{.}167$ or at mutated cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:44:29.170 \rightarrow 00:44:31.402$ This is this pathways constituently activated

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}44{:}31{.}402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}34{.}000$ below the level of the ship receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

00:44:34.000 --> 00:44:35.860 We have drugs syntax Mammon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}44{:}35{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}37{.}404$ Panitumumab two monoclonal antibodies

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}44{:}37{.}404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}40{.}426$ to target EGFR receptor that we add on

 $00:44:40.426 \rightarrow 00:44:42.186$ to chemotherapy, so they're effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}44{:}42.186 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}44.790$ If this pathway is not constituent active,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}44{:}44{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}45{.}552$ blow it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}44{:}45{.}552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}47{.}457$ So in a rash wild.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

00:44:47.460 --> 00:44:52.014 I por
ra filetype we add on

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

00:44:52.014 --> 00:44:54.291 Panitumumab Humanized Monoclonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}44{:}54{.}291 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}58{.}299$ Antibody an and or so or sucks Mad A.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

00:44:58.300 --> 00:44:59.377 A chimeric antibody,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}44{:}59{.}377 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}01{.}531$ but for the patients that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:45:01.531 \rightarrow 00:45:02.450$ mutated in rats,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}45{:}02{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}04{.}865$ we have to take a different approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}45{:}04.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}06.600$ So bad this is mab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

00:45:06.600 --> 00:45:08.574 Kevin talked about a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}45{:}08{.}574$ --> $00{:}45{:}09{.}890$ to monoclonal monoclonal antibody

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}45{:}09{.}943 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}11{.}743$ against veg that Jeff Vascular

 $00:45:11.743 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.823$ endothelial growth factor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:45:12.830 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.287$ and that's also added on to chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}45{:}15{.}287 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}17{.}070$ be Rapids become important just

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:45:17.070 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.056$ in the last couple of years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}45{:}19.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}21.142$ Now we have targeted agents for

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

00:45:21.142 --> 00:45:23.559 that and Grafton if insta tox mad,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}45{:}23.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}25.570$ but for the Intents and purposes

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:45:25.570 \longrightarrow 00:45:28.045$ of this stock graph is used as

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}45{:}28.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}29.110$ a negative prognostic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:45:29.110 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.505$ Mutation and most of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}45{:}30{.}505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}32{.}340$ patients are not can be included

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}45{:}32{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}34{.}245$ in the consideration of surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:45:34.250 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.326$ So why is the mismatch repair

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:45:36.326 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.364$ status so important?

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:45:37.370 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.590$ So to answer that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:45:38.590 \longrightarrow 00:45:40.420$ we first have to remember what

- NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084
- $00:45:40.485 \dashrightarrow 00:45:42.229$ mismatch repair proteins do.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084
- 00:45:42.230 --> 00:45:45.970 So Emily age 1:00 PM S 2 Ms H2, and six.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084
- $00:45:45.970 \rightarrow 00:45:48.140$ These are the four most most clinically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084
- $00:45:48.140 \rightarrow 00:45:49.868$ relevant mismatch repair proteins.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084
- $00:45:49.870 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.410$ Their function is to follow
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084
- $00{:}45{:}51{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}52{.}950$ the DNA polymerase machine DNA
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084
- $00:45:53.006 \rightarrow 00:45:54.921$ polymerase machinery along as it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084
- $00{:}45{:}54{.}921 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}56{.}453$ undoubtedly makes some mistakes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084
- $00:45:56.460 \rightarrow 00:45:58.889$ It fixed these these single base mismatches,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084
- $00:45:58.890 \rightarrow 00:46:01.319$ which are most prevalent in these areas,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084
- $00:46:01.320 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.046$ called microsatellites,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084
- $00{:}46{:}02.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}03.861$ which are dynamically Titan tribe
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084
- $00{:}46{:}03.861 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}05.480$ nucleotide repeats across the genome.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084
- $00{:}46{:}05{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}07{.}208$ You can imagine this DNA machinery.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084
- $00:46:07.210 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.645$ It's really caught up on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:46:08.645 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.793$ all this repetitive DNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}46{:}09{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}11{.}510$ Lots of mistakes are made so

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00:46:11.510 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.260$ we know when these are lost.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

00:46:13.260 --> 00:46:14.795 Tumors have very high tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.819342970848084

 $00{:}46{:}14.795 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}16.330$ mutational burden that which leads

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00{:}46{:}16.388 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}17.578$ to a lot of Neoantigens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00{:}46{:}17.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}19.232$ We've known for some time that these

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00{:}46{:}19{.}232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}21{.}376$ are some of the most sensitive cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:46:21.376 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.756$ to immunostimulatory therapies such

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00{:}46{:}22.756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}24.778$ as anti PD one and four the rapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00{:}46{:}24.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}27.223$ So they've been approved in the refractory

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:46:27.223 \rightarrow 00:46:29.650$ setting for you for a few years but

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00{:}46{:}29.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}31.700$ only recently just a few months ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:46:31.700 \rightarrow 00:46:33.597$ Did we get to see their activity

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:46:33.597 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.260$ in the first line setting?

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

00:46:35.260 --> 00:46:37.030 You can see very dramatic separation

- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00:46:37.030 \longrightarrow 00:46:38.530$ of these two curves here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- 00:46:38.530 --> 00:46:40.020 Green being Pember Lizum app,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00{:}46{:}40{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}42{.}684$ purple being the chemo arm for a first line.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00{:}46{:}42.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}43.282$ Microsatellite instability.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00:46:43.282 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.170$ High collector cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00{:}46{:}44{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}47{.}440$ I mean if we look at the two year mark here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00:46:47.440 \longrightarrow 00:46:49.216$ you're seeing 48% of patients that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- 00:46:49.216 --> 00:46:50.104 are microsatellite instability,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00:46:50.110 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.600$ higher progression, free and alive.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00:46:51.600 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.272$ At at two years versus only 19% with chemo.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- 00:46:54.272 --> 00:46:55.456 But for today's top,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00:46:55.460 \longrightarrow 00:46:56.950$ what's really important to look?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00{:}46{:}56{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}58{.}410$ Actually look at this part
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00{:}46{:}58{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}00{.}510$ of the curve on the far left,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00:47:00.510 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.710$ because that's where anybody
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:47:01.710 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.510$ that's going to surgery would be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:47:03.510 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.044$ Maybe early on in their treatment journey

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:47:06.044 \rightarrow 00:47:09.327$ and you can actually see Pembroke behavior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:47:09.330 \rightarrow 00:47:11.328$ A bit more inferior to chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:47:11.330 \rightarrow 00:47:14.327$ In this setting in a very rapid drop off,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00{:}47{:}14.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}15.606$ even with Pam bro,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:47:15.606 \rightarrow 00:47:18.320$ which obviously has a tail on this curve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:47:18.320 \longrightarrow 00:47:20.060$ So immunotherapy in the new edge

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00{:}47{:}20.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}21.731$ of insteading for somebody that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

00:47:21.731 -> 00:47:23.316 initially resectable, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00{:}47{:}23.316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}25.647$ is definitely not ready for prime time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00{:}47{:}25.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}27.648$ And I think future directions will

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

00:47:27.648 --> 00:47:28.980 certainly be chemo immunotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:47:28.980 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.645$ and hopefully will negate some

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:47:30.645 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.310$ of the early drop off.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:47:32.310 \longrightarrow 00:47:33.678$ Why is sightedness important?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00{:}47{:}33.678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}35.730$ So we've known for some time
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00:47:35.793 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.564$ that high and got the left sided
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00:47:37.564 \rightarrow 00:47:39.689$ Colon is a different embryologic.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00:47:39.690 \longrightarrow 00:47:42.014$ Origin in the right right colon so
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00:47:42.014 \rightarrow 00:47:44.249$ hindgut for left and got for right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00{:}47{:}44{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}46{.}206$ Right sided tumors generally worse prognosis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00:47:46.210 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.188$ more methylated tumors,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00:47:47.188 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.818$ higher beer after some degree.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00{:}47{:}48.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}51.277$ Higher crass and left side it more
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00{:}47{:}51{.}277 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}53{.}010$ than more traditional APC mutations
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- 00:47:53.010 -> 00:47:55.159 in TP 53 mutations and we know
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00:47:55.159 \rightarrow 00:47:57.285$ that even if your rash wild type,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00{:}47{:}57{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}59{.}030$ it matters whether or not you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00{:}47{:}59{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}00{.}982$ respond to a EGFR antibodies such
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00:48:00.982 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.160$ as anti tumor map or cetuximab.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:48:03.160 \rightarrow 00:48:05.337$ So it's really the rash wildtype left

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00{:}48{:}05{.}337 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}07{.}286$ sided tumors that we're thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:48:07.286 \rightarrow 00:48:10.020$ using these drugs in the first line setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

00:48:10.020 --> 00:48:12.484 And even if rash while typing right

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

00:48:12.484 --> 00:48:15.261 sided data SIM it at this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00{:}48{:}15{.}261 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}16{.}911$ map should still be considered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:48:16.920 \longrightarrow 00:48:19.544$ So what are the drugs that we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:48:19.544 \rightarrow 00:48:22.040$ at our disposal to help these

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:48:22.040 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.800$ patients so full Fox?

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:48:23.800 \rightarrow 00:48:26.086$ We've probably all heard full box,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:48:26.090 \rightarrow 00:48:28.020$ full fury, full Fox series.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00{:}48{:}28.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}30.916$ And when we use in pancreatic cancer here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

00:48:30.920 --> 00:48:32.003 full fear knocks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:48:32.003 \rightarrow 00:48:33.808$ but they're slightly different approaches.

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

 $00:48:33.810 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.258$ So what is follow?

NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454

00:48:35.258 --> 00:48:36.706 So five fluoro uracil,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00:48:36.710 \rightarrow 00:48:38.876$ which is patented by Charlie Heidelberger.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00{:}48{:}38{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}41{.}246$ I think in 1957 and is still
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00:48:41.246 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.870$ around and going strong.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00:48:42.870 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.802$ Is a family space inhibitor so
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00:48:44.802 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.934$ you don't have time to look
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- 00:48:46.934 --> 00:48:48.869 around for rapidly dividing cells.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00:48:48.870 \rightarrow 00:48:50.635$ Luca born potentiates the activity
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00:48:50.635 \longrightarrow 00:48:51.694$ of five FU.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00{:}48{:}51{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}53{.}818$ It's a vitamin that we give
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00:48:53.818 \longrightarrow 00:48:55.230$ along with the chemotherapy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00:48:55.230 \rightarrow 00:48:57.694$ Oxaliplatin is the oxen in full box,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00{:}48{:}57{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}59{.}982$ and that's in platinum agent that causes
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00{:}48{:}59{.}982 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}02{.}145$ DNA addicts and ultimately results in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.798309564590454
- $00{:}49{:}02.145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}04.756$ double stranded breaks and are in Attican,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656
- $00:49:04.760 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.624$ which is the IRI. In full fury is.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:49:07.630 \rightarrow 00:49:09.436$ Ultimately converted into its active form.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

00:49:09.440 --> 00:49:10.600 About summarize, one inhibitor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

00:49:10.600 --> 00:49:12.340 SN 38 and ultimately also end

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

00:49:12.396 --> 00:49:13.966 result is double stranded breaks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00{:}49{:}13.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}16.386$ so these are the main agents we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:49:16.390 \longrightarrow 00:49:18.148$ We started out again with just

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00{:}49{:}18{.}148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}20{.}593$ 5F U and this is about what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:49:20.593 \rightarrow 00:49:22.730$ were doing back in the early 90s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00{:}49{:}22.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}25.052$ so we had about a median survival of 12

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:49:25.052 \rightarrow 00:49:27.257$ year for patients with mosaic answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00{:}49{:}27.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}29.078$ When we started to have doublet

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:49:29.078 \rightarrow 00:49:31.189$ chemotherapy's in full box and full theory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:49:31.190 \rightarrow 00:49:33.899$ we move this out about the two year mark.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:49:33.900 \rightarrow 00:49:36.316$ Now we're really between the two and three,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

00:49:36.320 --> 00:49:38.426 or mark or median overall survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:49:38.430 \rightarrow 00:49:40.942$ For most, for most of our active trials

 $00:49:40.942 \rightarrow 00:49:43.117$ with colorectal cancer with folfox theory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00{:}49{:}43.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}45.479$ the triple combination that's a bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:49:45.479 \rightarrow 00:49:47.534$ toxic and reserved for younger patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

00:49:47.534 --> 00:49:49.816 is about a 32 month median survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:49:49.820 \rightarrow 00:49:52.835$ so we have to ask ourselves at tumor board,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:49:52.840 \rightarrow 00:49:55.178$ what does this patient have it with?

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

00:49:55.180 --> 00:49:56.328 Cash pathway to cure?

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:49:56.328 \rightarrow 00:49:58.870$ So what our main goals with chemotherapy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

00:49:58.870 --> 00:50:00.545 We've heard a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

00:50:00.545 - 00:50:01.550 about conversion therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:01.550 \rightarrow 00:50:02.886$ so converting the unrespectable

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00{:}50{:}02.886 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}04.556$ patient to a respectable patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00{:}50{:}04{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}06{.}905$ If we have a patient that's up front,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:06.910 \longrightarrow 00:50:08.495$ resectable chemotherapy can still be

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:08.495 \rightarrow 00:50:10.660$ useful to reduce the surgical complexity.

00:50:10.660 --> 00:50:11.701 Eradicate micrometastatic disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

00:50:11.701 --> 00:50:13.783 which is also hopefully doing for

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00{:}50{:}13.783 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}15.362$ the unrespectable patient and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:15.362 \rightarrow 00:50:17.126$ also assess the biology of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00{:}50{:}17.181 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}18.629$ aggressiveness of the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00{:}50{:}18.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}20.285$ Is some body actually getting a

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:20.285 \rightarrow 00:50:21.278$ response through chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:21.280 \rightarrow 00:50:22.940$ or they just rapidly progressing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00{:}50{:}22{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}24{.}932$ That's not a patient you want

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:24.932 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.928$ to surgery anyway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00{:}50{:}25{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}28{.}099$ and if we know that even in the best

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:28.099 \rightarrow 00:50:29.864$ of circumstances the patients never

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00{:}50{:}29{.}864 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}32{.}513$ going to get to a surgical option

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:32.513 \rightarrow 00:50:34.895$ that the treatment is prolonging life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:34.900 \rightarrow 00:50:36.555$ hopefully by controlling disease in

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

00:50:36.555 --> 00:50:37.879 improving tumor related symptoms,

 $00:50:37.880 \rightarrow 00:50:40.868$ so we should think about this as two groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:40.870 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.950$ the unrespectable patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:41.950 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.390$ And the resectable patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:43.390 \rightarrow 00:50:45.460$ So the upfront receptable patient and

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:45.460 \rightarrow 00:50:48.157$ just there's no right way to integrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:48.157 \rightarrow 00:50:49.829$ chemotherapy into these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:49.830 \longrightarrow 00:50:50.964$ By the way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

00:50:50.964 --> 00:50:52.854 different centers take different approaches,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:52.860 \longrightarrow 00:50:54.750$ but there's more nuanced than

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:50:54.750 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.884$ just this slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00{:}50{:}55{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}58{.}550$ But when to consider a front reception?

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00{:}50{:}58{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}00{.}440$ Generally, for fewer liver metastasis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:51:00.440 \rightarrow 00:51:01.306$ chemotherapy response?

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:51:01.306 \longrightarrow 00:51:02.172$ Not really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00{:}51{:}02{.}172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}04{.}337$ The surgeon doesn't really think

 $00:51:04.337 \rightarrow 00:51:06.102$ chemotherapy response is going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00{:}51{:}06{.}102 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}08{.}016$ lower the complexity of the operation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00{:}51{:}08{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}10{.}639$ But when do we do it when there's more NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:51:10.639 \rightarrow 00:51:13.377$ than four suspicious knodel involvement?

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

00:51:13.380 --> 00:51:14.274 My Liberty disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00{:}51{:}14.274 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}16.360$ But again, there's more nuanced to this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00{:}51{:}16{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}19{.}033$ so we have to we have to take everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:51:19.040 \rightarrow 00:51:21.126$ Every aspect of the patient into account.

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:51:21.130 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.506$ Do they have a lot of other comorbidities?

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:51:23.510 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.596$ Where if they if they are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:51:25.596 \rightarrow 00:51:26.490$ tolerating chemotherapy well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00{:}51{:}26{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}27{.}682$ we're expecting significant increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00{:}51{:}27.682 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}28.874$ in liver liver damage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:51:28.880 \rightarrow 00:51:30.370$ which could complicate in operation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00{:}51{:}30{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}32{.}380$ Is there reason to suspect that

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:51:32.380 \longrightarrow 00:51:33.720$ they have particularly aggressive

 $00:51:33.781 \longrightarrow 00:51:35.765$ disease and you want to give him the

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:51:35.765 \rightarrow 00:51:37.509$ tincture of time on chemotherapy to

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

00:51:37.509 --> 00:51:39.303 make sure they're not just rapidly

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:51:39.310 \rightarrow 00:51:40.978$ progressing and you're going to put

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:51:40.978 \rightarrow 00:51:42.580$ them through an unnecessary operation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:51:42.580 \longrightarrow 00:51:44.778$ Did they recently received full Fox for?

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

00:51:44.780 --> 00:51:47.980 For management of primary primary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

 $00:51:47.980 \rightarrow 00:51:51.688$ so could a slight response results

NOTE Confidence: 0.749365627765656

00:51:51.688 --> 00:51:54.160 in maybe converting an

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00{:}51{:}54{.}273 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}58{.}827$ open reception to a laprascopic reception.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:51:58.830 \longrightarrow 00:52:00.245$ So what, what chemotherapy do

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:52:00.245 \longrightarrow 00:52:01.668$ we typically use? So again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:52:01.668 \rightarrow 00:52:03.656$ these are patients that could be respected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00{:}52{:}03.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}05.080$ most likely, so full Fox,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:52:05.080 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.932$ a doublet chemotherapy,

 $00:52:05.932 \rightarrow 00:52:07.352$ perhaps with the biologic bevacizumab

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

00:52:07.352 --> 00:52:08.200 panitumumab receptors amount,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:52:08.200 \rightarrow 00:52:10.328$ but the important part is to limit the

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:52:10.328 \rightarrow 00:52:12.749$ number of chemo cycles as much as possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00{:}52{:}12.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}14.703$ These patients that can get to surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:52:14.703 \rightarrow 00:52:16.717$ quickly and should get to surgery quickly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00{:}52{:}16.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}18.484$ and we want to do as little

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:52:18.484 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.263$ damage is possible to the to

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00{:}52{:}20{.}263 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}21{.}547$ the liver without chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00{:}52{:}21{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}23{.}405$ So we should image patients early and

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00{:}52{:}23.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}25.806$ as soon as thought as soon as feasible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:52:25.810 \rightarrow 00:52:27.798$ These patients should be taken into surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00{:}52{:}27.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}29.528$ We generally plan to do six

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00{:}52{:}29{.}528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}30{.}680$ months total of chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00{:}52{:}30{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}32{.}800$ But the rest would be reserved for later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:52:32.800 \rightarrow 00:52:34.456$ So what about the unresectable patient?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234
- $00:52:34.460 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.122$ Now this patient can't get this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234
- $00:52:36.122 \dashrightarrow 00:52:37.230$ surgery without a response,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234
- $00:52:37.230 \longrightarrow 00:52:38.338$ so it's a different.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234
- 00:52:38.338 --> 00:52:39.446 It's a different approach,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234
- $00{:}52{:}39{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}41{.}389$ so we talked a little bit about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234
- $00:52:41.389 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.220$ what is undetectable.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234
- 00:52:42.220 --> 00:52:42.830 But so,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234
- $00:52:42.830 \rightarrow 00:52:44.355$ how likely is this understandable
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234
- 00:52:44.355 --> 00:52:46.040 patient going to get going to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234
- $00:52:46.040 \longrightarrow 00:52:47.474$ be able to get an operation?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234
- $00:52:47.480 \longrightarrow 00:52:49.013$ Perhaps as high as a third 1/3
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234
- $00{:}52{:}49{.}013 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}50{.}873$ of the time that we will get
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234
- $00:52:50.873 \rightarrow 00:52:52.308$ enough cited reduction to convert
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234
- $00:52:52.308 \longrightarrow 00:52:53.849$ this patient to respectable?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234
- $00:52:53.850 \rightarrow 00:52:55.240$ But what regiment is best?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

00:52:55.240 --> 00:52:56.896 Again, if you look at guidelines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00{:}52{:}56{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}58{.}280$ both guidelines are very so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:52:58.280 \longrightarrow 00:52:59.388$ The guidelines don't really

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:52:59.388 \longrightarrow 00:53:00.773$ take much of a stand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00{:}53{:}00{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}02{.}810$ They say Folfox Folfiri Anna tumor map.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00{:}53{:}02{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}04{.}350$ But this is a mad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:53:04.350 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.686$ They kind of leave it up to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:53:06.686 \rightarrow 00:53:07.720$ treating oncologists asthma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00{:}53{:}07{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}08{.}948$ On the other hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00{:}53{:}08{.}948 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}10{.}483$ takes a more dog matic approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00{:}53{:}10.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}12.450$ It says full flux is the recommendation

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00{:}53{:}12{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}13{.}860$ or for unresectable metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

00:53:13.860 --> 00:53:14.799 Considerable Fox series,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00{:}53{:}14.799 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}16.364$ so that's that's the approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:53:16.364 \longrightarrow 00:53:17.849$ that we would take here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:53:17.850 \rightarrow 00:53:19.435$ predominantly in the United States

- NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234
- $00{:}53{:}19{.}435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}21{.}230$ that most most centers would do.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234
- $00:53:21.230 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.765$ But certainly here at Yale
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234
- $00:53:22.765 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.300$ for the younger fit patients,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234
- $00:53:24.300 \rightarrow 00:53:26.449$ we would be for an unrespectable patient.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234
- $00:53:26.450 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.340$ We would do full foxy reefer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234
- 00:53:28.340 --> 00:53:30.316 This is a map, but for our upfront,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234
- $00:53:30.320 \rightarrow 00:53:31.548$ resectable patients we would
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234
- $00:53:31.548 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.390$ again just be doing a doublet.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234
- 00:53:33.390 --> 00:53:35.388 So I'm going to skip through
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234
- $00:53:35.388 \longrightarrow 00:53:37.339$ this in the interest of time,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234
- $00:53:37.340 \rightarrow 00:53:38.656$ especially since Kevin showed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234
- $00:53:38.656 \longrightarrow 00:53:40.630$ some of the slides are ready.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234
- $00:53:40.630 \longrightarrow 00:53:42.270$ So what about our biologics?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234
- $00{:}53{:}42.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}44.580$ So this is another level of nuance.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234
- $00{:}53{:}44{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}46{.}548$ Again, to do our chemotherapy here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:53:46.550 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.534$ So we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:53:47.534 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.502$ We have agents such as bad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00{:}53{:}49{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}51{.}638$ This is a map which is a vascular

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:53:51.638 \rightarrow 00:53:53.074$ endothelial growth factor antibody

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00{:}53{:}53{.}074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}54{.}778$ against speculative growth factor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00{:}53{:}54{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}56{.}999$ which is created by the tumors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:53:56.999 \dashrightarrow 00:53:58.390$ stimulates blood vessel growth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:53:58.390 \longrightarrow 00:53:59.710$ Because the tumor needs

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

00:53:59.710 --> 00:54:00.370 increased vascularity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00{:}54{:}00{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}02{.}010$ so we know that be vacizumab

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:54:02.010 \rightarrow 00:54:02.994$ increases response rates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

00:54:03.000 - 00:54:04.670 but it can potentially increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:54:04.670 \rightarrow 00:54:05.338$ perioperative complications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00{:}54{:}05{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}07{.}464$ We see vascular events such as

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:54:07.464 \dashrightarrow 00:54:09.234$ such as arterial thrombi, Venus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:54:09.234 \rightarrow 00:54:10.650$ thrombi, on occasion perforations,

 $00:54:10.650 \rightarrow 00:54:13.128$ but the big concern is wound healing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:54:13.130 \longrightarrow 00:54:14.970$ so we generally would hold

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00{:}54{:}14{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}16{.}442$ bevacizumab within six weeks

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:54:16.442 \rightarrow 00:54:18.077$ prior to any server dream.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00{:}54{:}18.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}20.355$ There is some data that supports it

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:54:20.355 \rightarrow 00:54:23.038$ up to four weeks prior to surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00{:}54{:}23.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}25.378$ but but the concern is how you

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:54:25.378 \rightarrow 00:54:27.829$ when you hold it preoperatively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794327676296234

 $00:54:27.830 \longrightarrow 00:54:30.217$ So this is actually been looked at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00{:}54{:}30{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}32{.}146$ The addition of bevacizumab in systemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:54:32.146 \rightarrow 00:54:33.990$ therapy for unresectable liver metastasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00{:}54{:}33{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}36{.}244$ So we have a cohort of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:54:36.244 \rightarrow 00:54:38.430$ here split up into two groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00{:}54{:}38{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}40{.}005$ These are all kras mutated

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00{:}54{:}40.005 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}41.580$ patients or patients that are

 $00:54:41.642 \rightarrow 00:54:43.218$ perfect fit for bevacizumab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

00:54:43.220 --> 00:54:44.865 So full blocks plus bevacizumab

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

00:54:44.865 --> 00:54:46.980 in arm a in Folfox alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:54:46.980 \rightarrow 00:54:49.716$ In R&B you can see that the reception,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

00:54:49.720 --> 00:54:52.107 the rate of R0 Resection complete resection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

00:54:52.110 --> 00:54:53.820 22 verses about 6% without

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:54:53.820 \rightarrow 00:54:55.530$ the berbasis matters so very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

00:54:55.530 - 00:54:56.898 very big difference about

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00{:}54{:}56{.}898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}58{.}266$ getting to surgery there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:54:58.270 \rightarrow 00:55:00.755$ What about progression free survival 9 1/2

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:55:00.755 \dashrightarrow 00:55:03.094$ months median versus about 5 1/2 months

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:55:03.094 \rightarrow 00:55:05.260$ median favoring Bevis is maben blue here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:55:05.260 \rightarrow 00:55:06.930$ Same thing with overall survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00{:}55{:}06{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}08{.}262$ Less less striking difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00{:}55{:}08{.}262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}09{.}927$ about 25 versus 20 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:55:09.930 \longrightarrow 00:55:11.154$ Both are statistically significant.

 $00:55:11.154 \rightarrow 00:55:14.016$ So bad this is a map should be used

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00{:}55{:}14.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}15.948$ in the Peri operative management with

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:55:15.948 \rightarrow 00:55:18.249$ Folfox 4 correct meeting liver metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:55:18.250 \rightarrow 00:55:20.248$ What about the EGFR antibody face?

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00{:}55{:}20{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}22{.}272$ These are highly effective the rapies for

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:55:22.272 \rightarrow 00:55:24.239$ patients that are crashed while type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:55:24.240 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.459$ You think you think we see a

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:55:26.459 \longrightarrow 00:55:28.239$ similar a similar story here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:55:28.240 \longrightarrow 00:55:29.428$ In fact, we're.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

00:55:29.428 --> 00:55:31.484 In exact opposite, so again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:55:31.484 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.644$ we have two groups here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

00:55:33.650 - 00:55:36.248 This is a new epoch study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:55:36.250 \rightarrow 00:55:37.549$ split into chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:55:37.549 \longrightarrow 00:55:38.848$ perioperatively before and

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:55:38.848 \dashrightarrow 00:55:40.580$ after surgery with cetuximab,

 $00:55:40.580 \longrightarrow 00:55:42.360$ an without stuck some,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00{:}55{:}42{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}46{.}238$ and so without cytoxan map is here in blue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

00:55:46.240 --> 00:55:47.380 Progression free survival curve

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:55:47.380 \longrightarrow 00:55:49.880$ here so you can see the red group

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:55:49.880 \longrightarrow 00:55:51.352$ chemotherapy plastic doing inferior

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:55:51.352 \dashrightarrow 00:55:53.190$ to the chemotherapy alone group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:55:53.190 \rightarrow 00:55:54.850$ Same thing with overall survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:55:54.850 \longrightarrow 00:55:55.843$ What's really interesting?

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00{:}55{:}55{.}843 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}57{.}829$ If you look at these curves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:55:57.830 \dashrightarrow 00:56:00.470$ these are these are the Times of surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:56:00.470 \rightarrow 00:56:02.456$ so this curve have not separated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:56:02.460 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.513$ They separate later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00{:}56{:}03.513 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}05.268$ An obvious explanation to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:56:05.268 \longrightarrow 00:56:07.087$ oh would be an awesome app.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:56:07.090 \longrightarrow 00:56:08.745$ Is creating some increase in

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:56:08.745 \rightarrow 00:56:09.407$ perioperative complications?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788
- $00{:}56{:}09{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}11{.}506$ But that's not the case and the fact
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788
- $00{:}56{:}11.506 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}13.694$ that the changes in progression free
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788
- $00:56:13.694 \rightarrow 00:56:15.699$ and overall survival happened later
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788
- $00:56:15.699 \rightarrow 00:56:17.665$ again speaks to. They did that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788
- 00:56:17.665 --> 00:56:19.190 It's not an actual increase
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788
- $00:56:19.190 \longrightarrow 00:56:20.680$ in proper complications.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788
- 00:56:20.680 --> 00:56:21.003 Frankly,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788
- $00:56:21.003 \rightarrow 00:56:22.941$ I haven't seen any good explanation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788
- $00:56:22.941 \rightarrow 00:56:25.161$ for why this we're seeing these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788
- $00:56:25.161 \rightarrow 00:56:26.749$ these these confusing results,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788
- $00:56:26.750 \rightarrow 00:56:28.997$ but I think we should proceed with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788
- $00{:}56{:}28{.}997 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}30{.}840$ caution when using our bodies
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788
- $00:56:30.840 \rightarrow 00:56:32.460$ in the perioperative setting.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788
- $00{:}56{:}32{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}34{.}875$ I think a lot of my colleagues
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788
- $00:56:34.875 \longrightarrow 00:56:36.739$ have not bought into this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:56:36.740 \longrightarrow 00:56:38.744$ and I again uncertain on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00{:}56{:}38.744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}40.535$ rest of the biological rationale

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:56:40.535 \rightarrow 00:56:42.445$ of why we're seeing this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:56:42.450 \longrightarrow 00:56:44.235$ but it's a very striking

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00{:}56{:}44.235 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}45.306$ overall survival difference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00{:}56{:}45{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}46{.}650$ It's almost three years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

00:56:46.650 --> 00:56:47.655 Median overall survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:56:47.655 \rightarrow 00:56:49.140$ difference without the antibody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

00:56:49.140 --> 00:56:50.217 So chemotherapy associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

00:56:50.217 --> 00:56:52.012 liver diseases will close on

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00{:}56{:}52.012 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}53.897$ South are drugs can do damage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:56:53.900 \rightarrow 00:56:56.717$ That's why the name that our approach is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00{:}56{:}56{.}717 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}59{.}338$ limit the number of cycles when feasible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00:56:59.340 \longrightarrow 00:57:00.020$ so Oxaliplatin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00{:}57{:}00{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}01{.}380$ cut static sinusoidal abnormalities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788

 $00{:}57{:}01{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}03{.}270$ You can see the dilations here in

- NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788
- $00:57:03.270 \rightarrow 00:57:05.082$ light of these sinusoids that directly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788
- $00{:}57{:}05{.}082 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}07{.}287$ from oxide Platt and you can see
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788
- $00:57:07.343 \rightarrow 00:57:09.200$ nodular regenerative hyperplasia.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788
- $00:57:09.200 \dashrightarrow 00:57:11.240$ This, like lighter pink nodule here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788
- $00:57:11.240 \longrightarrow 00:57:12.940$ Crowding out the more healthy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788
- $00:57:12.940 \longrightarrow 00:57:13.960$ liver tissue here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788
- $00:57:13.960 \longrightarrow 00:57:15.320$ which creates noncirrhotic portal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788
- $00:57:15.320 \longrightarrow 00:57:16.713$ hypertension, both from Oxaliplatin,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788
- 00:57:16.713 --> 00:57:17.766 where Uniti Concuss.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788
- 00:57:17.770 --> 00:57:19.185 Seattle hepatitis so fatty fatty
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788
- $00:57:19.185 \longrightarrow 00:57:20.890$ infiltration and inflammation of the liver.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788
- $00:57:20.890 \longrightarrow 00:57:22.026$ Here very severe case,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.777625679969788
- $00{:}57{:}22.026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}23.730$ and the less of your case.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797431170940399
- $00{:}57{:}23.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}25.440$ But frankly there shouldn't be fact.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797431170940399
- $00:57:25.440 \longrightarrow 00:57:26.992$ Neither of these images.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.797431170940399

00:57:26.992 --> 00:57:28.544 So in in conclusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797431170940399

 $00{:}57{:}28{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}30{.}260$ we took the talked about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.797431170940399

 $00:57:30.260 \rightarrow 00:57:31.400$ importance of molecular results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797431170940399

 $00:57:31.400 \longrightarrow 00:57:33.038$ Those are things that need to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.797431170940399

 $00{:}57{:}33.038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}35.063$ sent on every patient so that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.797431170940399

 $00{:}57{:}35{.}063 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}36{.}643$ medical on cologist can know what

NOTE Confidence: 0.797431170940399

 $00:57:36.643 \rightarrow 00:57:38.239$ treatment approach should be done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797431170940399

 $00:57:38.240 \longrightarrow 00:57:39.292$ The role of sightedness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797431170940399

00:57:39.292 --> 00:57:40.607 our approach to the unrespectable

NOTE Confidence: 0.797431170940399

 $00:57:40.607 \rightarrow 00:57:41.950$ in the upfront respectable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.797431170940399

 $00{:}57{:}41{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}44{.}506$ and some of the damage our agents can do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.797431170940399

 $00{:}57{:}44{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}45{.}650$ Thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

 $00:57:48.500 \rightarrow 00:57:54.222$ Michael, thank you for high speed yet

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

 $00:57:54.222 \rightarrow 00:57:57.470$ incredibly comprehensive overview of

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

00:57:57.597 --> 00:58:02.967 rapidly evolving and complicated field and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

00:58:02.970 --> 00:58:06.538 David, I want to thank you as well.

 $00:58:06.540 \rightarrow 00:58:11.013$ Given the time, I don't think we have much

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

 $00:58:11.013 \rightarrow 00:58:14.435$ leftover for discussion, I would just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

 $00{:}58{:}14.435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}17.620$ Close by saying that this is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

 $00:58:17.725 \rightarrow 00:58:21.469$ incredibly exciting field to work in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

 $00:58:21.470 \longrightarrow 00:58:24.606$ I think the overview that my partners

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

 $00{:}58{:}24.606 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}27.599$ have given gives the audience a

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

 $00{:}58{:}27{.}599 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}30{.}731$ sense of the enormous complexity yet

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

 $00:58:30.731 \rightarrow 00:58:33.499$ opportunity involved for our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

00:58:33.500 --> 00:58:37.360 And I think for all of us who work together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

 $00:58:37.360 \longrightarrow 00:58:39.370$ it is yet another call.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

 $00:58:39.370 \rightarrow 00:58:42.436$ For an extraordinary level of teamwork,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

 $00:58:42.440 \longrightarrow 00:58:43.460$ integration, communication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

 $00{:}58{:}43.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}47.540$ all of these clinical services have to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

 $00:58:47.617 \longrightarrow 00:58:50.242$ linked together by meticulous communication

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

 $00{:}58{:}50{.}242 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}53{.}892$ between us is clinicians as well as

 $00:58:53.892 \rightarrow 00:58:56.267$ our nurses and administrative Staffs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

00:58:56.270 --> 00:58:59.654 As we kind of navigate care

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

 $00:58:59.654 \dashrightarrow 00:59:02.770$ throughout a very complex system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894135177135468

 $00:59:02.770 \longrightarrow 00:59:04.270$ Charlie, anything to add.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875126302242279

00:59:04.270 --> 00:59:06.874 Oh, I just want to thank David,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875126302242279

 $00{:}59{:}06{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}08{.}750$ Michael and Kevin for really

NOTE Confidence: 0.875126302242279

 $00{:}59{:}08{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}10{.}246$ just a brilliant discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875126302242279

00:59:10.250 --> 00:59:12.489 And thank you for your leadership,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875126302242279

 $00{:}59{:}12{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}14{.}440$ your collaboration and building a

NOTE Confidence: 0.875126302242279

 $00:59:14.440 \rightarrow 00:59:16.979$ team around a pivotal area of care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875126302242279

 $00{:}59{:}16{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}19{.}338$ research and thanks every one for joining

NOTE Confidence: 0.875126302242279

 $00:59:19.338 \longrightarrow 00:59:22.717$ us and think giving us a lot to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.875126302242279

 $00:59:22.717 \rightarrow 00:59:24.452$ about and really exciting progress.