WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:00:03.4130000" NOTE language:en-us NOTE Confidence: 0.879088 $00:00:00.000 \rightarrow 00:00:03.128$ Sure, there's enough time for both of you, NOTE Confidence: 0.879088 $00:00:03.130 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.060$ so I see folks here. NOTE Confidence: 0.879088 $00:00:05.060 \rightarrow 00:00:07.573$ The numbers are going up and appreciate NOTE Confidence: 0.879088 $00{:}00{:}07{.}573 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}09{.}951$ folks logging on welcome every one once NOTE Confidence: 0.879088 00:00:09.951 --> 00:00:12.364 again to Cancer Center, grand rounds, NOTE Confidence: 0.879088 $00:00:12.364 \rightarrow 00:00:14.274$ and we're really very privileged NOTE Confidence: 0.879088 $00{:}00{:}14.274 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}17.495$ today to have two of our exceptional NOTE Confidence: 0.879088 $00{:}00{:}17.495 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}18.998$ physician scientists presenting. NOTE Confidence: 0.879088 00:00:19.000 --> 00:00:21.040 You know, really and frankly, NOTE Confidence: 0.879088 $00:00:21.040 \longrightarrow 00:00:23.055$ what's exciting is it it NOTE Confidence: 0.879088 00:00:23.055 --> 00:00:24.667 once again highlights the NOTE Confidence: 0.879088 00:00:24.667 --> 00:00:26.338 extraordinary work in immunology. NOTE Confidence: 0.879088 00:00:26.340 --> 00:00:28.956 Immuno biology at Yale and at NOTE Confidence: 0.879088 $00:00:28.956 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.790$ the impact on this ultimately.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.879088
- $00:00:31.790 \rightarrow 00:00:34.198$ In our cancer therapy and in our

 $00{:}00{:}34.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}35.790$ understanding of cancer biology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00:00:35.790 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.317$ so let me turn to our first

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

00:00:38.317 - > 00:00:40.530 speaker to ensure we have time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

00:00:40.530 --> 00:00:43.064 Our first speaker is Doctor David Hafler,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

00:00:43.070 --> 00:00:44.418 who is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00{:}00{:}44.418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}46.853$ is the ugly professor and chair of

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00{:}00{:}46.853 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}49.212$ the Department of the Rolla G and

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

00:00:49.212 --> 00:00:51.083 Professor of Immunology, Immunobiology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00{:}00{:}51.083 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}52.172$ and David's accomplishments

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00:00:52.172 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.987$ are are really quite Legion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00{:}00{:}53{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}55{.}810$ Renee actually prepared a synopsis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00{:}00{:}55{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}58{.}744$ and I just said that I want to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00{:}00{:}58.744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}01{.}266$ sure David has time to present.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

00:01:01.270 --> 00:01:02.106 I won't.

- $00:01:02.106 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.196$ Go through all of it,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879088
- $00:01:04.200 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.368$ but his accomplishments in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879088
- $00:01:06.368 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.994$ terms of understanding.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879088
- $00{:}01{:}08{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}10.252$ Advancing neuroscience and understanding
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879088
- $00:01:10.252 \rightarrow 00:01:13.630$ that human autoimmunity in an understanding
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879088
- $00{:}01{:}13.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}15.915$ how to leverage our understanding
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879088
- 00:01:15.915 --> 00:01:18.125 of immunology to impacting human
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879088
- $00:01:18.201 \rightarrow 00:01:20.546$ disease is really quite impressive.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879088
- 00:01:20.550 --> 00:01:23.328 And among his awards include the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879088
- 00:01:23.328 --> 00:01:26.070 distal Prize for Ms Research,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879088
- $00:01:26.070 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.078$ the University of Miami
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879088
- 00:01:28.078 --> 00:01:29.584 Distinguished Alumni Award,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879088
- 00:01:29.590 --> 00:01:32.254 the American Urology Association,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879088
- 00:01:32.254 --> 00:01:33.586 Adams Lectureship.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879088
- 00:01:33.590 --> 00:01:34.688 And most recently,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.879088
- $00:01:34.688 \rightarrow 00:01:37.680$ and I think a year or so ago,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.879088
- $00:01:37.680 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.822$ election to the National Academy of

00:01:39.822 --> 00:01:42.027 Medicine and and David has really

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00:01:42.027 \rightarrow 00:01:43.812$ been an incredibly engaged member

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00:01:43.812 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.870$ of our Cancer Center faculty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

00:01:45.870 --> 00:01:47.354 I think David's leadership,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00:01:47.354 \rightarrow 00:01:49.588$ I think, has advanced the cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00:01:49.588 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.448$ of our brain tumor program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00:01:51.450 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.605$ among other things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00:01:52.605 \rightarrow 00:01:55.722$ an David thank you for making the time

NOTE Confidence: 0.879088

 $00:01:55.722 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.137$ to share your work with us today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:01:59.550 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.846$ Thank you Charlie.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:02:00.846 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.870$ It's really a pleasure to be here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}02{:}03{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}08{.}049$ And let me turn this on and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

00:02:08.050 --> 00:02:11.786 My cell phone, so I'd like to do today

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}02{:}11.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}14.544$ is to present some new unpublished

 $00{:}02{:}14.544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}18.541$ work which really epitomizes to me of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

00:02:18.541 --> 00:02:21.134 physician scientists of learning from

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:02:21.134 \rightarrow 00:02:24.472$ the patient and just in a nutshell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}02{:}24{.}472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}27{.}636$ what I'm going to show you is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

00:02:27.636 --> 00:02:29.350 very fundamental question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}02{:}29{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}32{.}416$ which is what induces the checkpoint

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

00:02:32.416 --> 00:02:35.640 inhibitors particular PD one Tim three lag,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:02:35.640 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.916$ 3 digit on human T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

00:02:38.920 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:02:40.690 And that's gonna be the nature

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}02{:}40{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}43{.}118$ of the talk that the work has

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}02{:}43.118$ --> $00{:}02{:}44.694$ been submitted for publication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

00:02:44.700 --> 00:02:45.960 It was put online,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}02{:}45{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}48{.}284$ a bio RX being one's interest in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:02:48.284 \rightarrow 00:02:50.480$ seeing the paper itself and upfront.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

00:02:50.480 --> 00:02:52.860 I want to really, now Stamos Amita,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:02:52.860 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.220$ who really really performed

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635
- $00:02:54.220 \rightarrow 00:02:56.260$ this work in our laboratory tone

 $00{:}02{:}56{.}317 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}57{.}987$ was now an assistant professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:02:57.987 \rightarrow 00:02:59.657$ and then pursuing this work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}02{:}59.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}00.680$ It wanted knowledge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

00:03:00.680 --> 00:03:02.720 My long term collaborator, Vijay Kutru.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

00:03:02.720 --> 00:03:04.420 Yes, you see a Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:03:04.420 \longrightarrow 00:03:06.460$ a sticker that he was here

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

00:03:06.460 --> 00:03:07.820 helping us recruit students.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}03{:}07{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}10{.}130$ Don't tell the people in Boston.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

00:03:10.130 --> 00:03:12.270 Enjoy dulberg in the Softmod

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:03:12.270 \rightarrow 00:03:14.410$ who did the computational work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:03:14.410 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.902$ So the question is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:03:15.902 \dashrightarrow 00:03:17.767$ what are the regulatory mechanism

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}03{:}17.767 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}20.035$ for induction of a Co inhibitory

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:03:20.035 \rightarrow 00:03:21.865$ receptors on human T cells?

 $00:03:21.870 \dashrightarrow 00:03:24.846$ But I'll show you is surprisingly type one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}03{:}24.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}26.342$ interferons induce Cohen Cohen

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}03{:}26.342 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}28.580$ territory receptors on human T cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

00:03:28.580 --> 00:03:31.436 so that's the bottom line of what I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:03:31.436 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.176$ going to show you over 30 minutes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

00:03:34.180 --> 00:03:36.538 We worked through the in vitro

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:03:36.538 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.717$ transcriptional regulatory network

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}03{:}37{.}717 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}39{.}831$ for this interferon beta response and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}03{:}39{.}831 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}42{.}341$ then we identified an in vivo model NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}03{:}42{.}341 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}44{.}336$ where abara load strongly correlate's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}03{:}44{.}340$ --> $00{:}03{:}46{.}330$ With type one interferon signature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}03{:}46{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}48{.}458$ which allowed us to perform an in

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

00:03:48.458 --> 00:03:50.887 vivo validation of the in vitro

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}03{:}50{.}887 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}52{.}342$ interferon transcriptional regulatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}03{:}52{.}342 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}54{.}282$ network Co inhibitory receptors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:03:54.290 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.007$ So that's what my talk will be.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635
- $00{:}03{:}58{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}00{.}467$ Now it's been known for a number

 $00:04:00.467 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.000$ of years to work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

00:04:02.000 --> 00:04:04.247 Button from Vijay Kutru and be ready

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}04{:}04{.}247 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}06{.}428$ given we've had a program Project

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

00:04:06.428 --> 00:04:08.726 Grant 2 program project grants looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:04:08.726 \rightarrow 00:04:10.290$ Cohen inventory molecules valene

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

00:04:10.290 --> 00:04:13.979 sharp for well over 25 years that PD one Tim,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}04{:}13.979 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}16.157$ three lag three and TIGIT ARCO,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:04:16.160 \dashrightarrow 00:04:18.338$ regulated and expressed as a module.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:04:18.340 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.792$ So here we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

00:04:19.792 --> 00:04:21.970 Hopefully you will see the pointer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

00:04:21.970 --> 00:04:23.785 I won't advance the slide

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}04{:}23.785 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}25.237$ while I'm doing this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:04:25.240 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.910$ but you can see that there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}04{:}27{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}30{.}100$ Expression of PD one Tim,

 $00:04:30.100 \rightarrow 00:04:33.907$ three lag three and TIGIT on C4 and CD8

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:04:33.907 \dashrightarrow 00:04:37.258$ cells that their modulated together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:04:37.260 \longrightarrow 00:04:39.976$ And that this is a new spot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}04{:}39{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}41{.}147$ I'll 27 here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}04{:}41{.}147 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}43{.}870$ We have the induction of Tim 3

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}04{:}43.958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}46.926$ not so much PD one but lag three

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

00:04:46.926 --> 00:04:49.705 and TIGIT by I'll 27 you knock

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:04:49.705 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.430$ down aisle 27 the mouse you lose

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:04:52.430 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.380$ the induction by aisle 27.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:04:54.380 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.932$ That's the upregulation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}04{:}55{.}932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}57{.}872$ down regulation by the knock down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}04{:}57{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}00{.}984$ Now it's been known for a long time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}05{:}00{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}02{.}930$ That type one interferon signatures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:05:02.930 \rightarrow 00:05:04.880$ or enriching chronic viral infection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:05:04.880 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.820$ and both mouse and humans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}05{:}06.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}09.655$ and that chronic viral infection

00:05:09.655 --> 00:05:11.923 induces T cell exhaustion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:05:11.930 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.845$ Really first identified by Rafi

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

00:05:13.845 --> 00:05:16.257 Ahmed in the HIV system and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:05:16.257 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.807$ in El CMV infection and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00{:}05{:}18.807 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}20.928$ associated with expression and Co

NOTE Confidence: 0.9125635

 $00:05:20.928 \dashrightarrow 00:05:22.868$ inhibitory receptors such as PD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:05:22.870 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.542$ One Tim, three lag.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00{:}05{:}24.542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}26.632$ Three antigen is interferon signature

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00{:}05{:}26.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}29.024$ with the LC MP model suggesting that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00{:}05{:}29{.}024 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}31{.}757$ there may be an Association with type

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00{:}05{:}31.757 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}34.547$ one interferons and these cone hitori

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00{:}05{:}34{.}547 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}37{.}828$ molecules so wish to ask do they

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00{:}05{:}37.828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}40.222$ induce these receptors again here's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00{:}05{:}40{.}222 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}43{.}646$ why I showed you in terms of mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00{:}05{:}43.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}46.723$ An you know first experiments and when

00:05:46.723 --> 00:05:49.860 I googled in photograph of human,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00{:}05{:}49{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}53{.}630$ I swear this is what showed up and I know

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:05:53.731 \rightarrow 00:05:57.505$ way mean to denigrate mouse immunologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:05:57.510 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.434$ By showing this picture,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:05:59.434 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.728$ but one can see is that in CD4 cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00{:}06{:}03.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}06.120$ either with with no cytokine

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

00:06:06.120 --> 00:06:08.510 I'll 27 or interferon beta.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:06:08.510 \rightarrow 00:06:11.898$ This market induction of Tim three lag

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00{:}06{:}11.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}14.808$ three and PD one. By interference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:06:14.808 \rightarrow 00:06:19.850$ So now we go into more depth to show this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00{:}06{:}19.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}21.746$ Here's how the experiments were done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:06:21.750 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.646$ We took CD4 CD 8 cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:06:23.650 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.140$ That was CD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

00:06:26.140 --> 00:06:28.570 That were CD 45 negative positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

00:06:28.570 --> 00:06:31.412 That is a naive T cells and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:06:31.412 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.429$ stimulate them for non use.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486
- $00:06:33.430 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.050$ Different different time points

00:06:35.050 --> 00:06:36.670 with CD3 plus minus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:06:36.670 \dashrightarrow 00:06:41.008$ I'll 27 and interferon beta and one can see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:06:41.010 \rightarrow 00:06:43.206$ The induction of here's a control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

00:06:43.210 -> 00:06:45.292 The market induction of lag three

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00{:}06{:}45{.}292 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}47{.}619$ and Tim three with interfere on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00{:}06{:}47.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}49.852$ Here's the control and he is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

00:06:49.852 --> 00:06:51.650 looking at Tim three PD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00{:}06{:}51{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}54{.}163$ One here is a summary of data

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

00:06:54.163 - > 00:06:56.789 with Tim three lag through in PD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:06:56.790 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.445$ one individually and the summary

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00{:}06{:}58{.}445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}00{.}596$ of Tim three lag 3P1 positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00{:}07{:}00.596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}02.296$ cells within this market.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00{:}07{:}02.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}04.238$ Induction by type one interferons interferon

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:07:04.238 \dashrightarrow 00:07:06.699$ beta of these Co inhibitory molecules.

 $00{:}07{:}06{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}09{.}283$ But surprisingly unlike in the mouse with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

00:07:09.283 --> 00:07:12.487 digit is Co regulated part of the module?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00{:}07{:}12.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}16.599$ These other Co inhibitory molecules in human.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

00:07:16.600 --> 00:07:19.505 We saw that TIGIT use digit expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00{:}07{:}19.505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}22.282$ in the presence of interferon is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00{:}07{:}22.282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}25.558$ markedly decreased from 25% down to four,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00{:}07{:}25{.}558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}28{.}244$ 12% from 28% when look the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:07:28.244 \rightarrow 00:07:30.484$ RNA expression we saw there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

00:07:30.490 --> 00:07:32.278 In fact two modules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:07:32.278 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.513$ one with interferon with Lag,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:07:34.520 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.780$ 3 Tim, three PD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00{:}07{:}36.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}39.605$ one increase with interferon beta

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00{:}07{:}39.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}43.208$ and the other module with digit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00{:}07{:}43.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}44.389$ The Jennifer subtest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00{:}07{:}44.389 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}45.568$ Nine other modules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486

 $00:07:45.570 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.928$ a CD 160 being decreased by

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486
- $00:07:47.928 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.107$ type One interferon.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486
- $00:07:49.110 \dashrightarrow 00:07:51.385$ So these data show that in humans
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486
- $00:07:51.385 \dashrightarrow 00:07:53.256$ there are two modules regulated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486
- $00{:}07{:}53.256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}55.301$ by interferon that in fact
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486
- 00:07:55.301 -> 00:07:57.360 go in opposite directions.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486
- $00{:}07{:}57{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}58{.}539$ Here's a kinetex.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486
- $00:07:58.539 \rightarrow 00:08:01.290$ Overtime the induction of Tim three lag,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486
- $00:08:01.290 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.074$ three PD,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.7671486
- $00:08:02.074 \dashrightarrow 00:08:04.426$ one with the decrease in digit.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787109
- $00:08:06.930 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.090$ So just take a step back.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787109
- 00:08:09.090 --> 00:08:11.970 Why do we have an interest in Tidjane?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787109
- $00{:}08{:}11{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}14{.}202$ I mention this because under the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787109
- $00{:}08{:}14.202 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}16.093$ leadership of Antonio Mora we're
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787109
- $00{:}08{:}16.093 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}18.431$ about to embark upon a phase one
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787109
- $00{:}08{:}18{.}431 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}20{.}250$ clinical trial in patients with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:08:20.250 \rightarrow 00:08:22.770$ glioblastoma with anti TIGIT or anti PD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

00:08:22.770 --> 00:08:25.650 One or a combination of the two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}08{:}25.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}27.090$ working with Jemal eternal

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}08{:}27.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}28.890$ and lead in my lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

00:08:28.890 --> 00:08:29.943 By Liliana Luca.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}08{:}29{.}943 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}32{.}789$ So why an interest in tinge of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}08{:}32.789 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}35.293$ work goes back to 2012 work done by

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}08{:}35{.}293 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}38{.}079$ S Duluth Lozano in the laboratory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

00:08:38.080 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:08:40.500 We've always been impressed with

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:08:40.500 \dashrightarrow 00:08:42.920$ the biologic effects of blocking

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}08{:}43.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}45.460$ with anti TIGIT looking at Tibet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}08{:}45{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}48{.}220$ The gamut of fear on Gata,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}08{:}48{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}50{.}530$ 3 RF-9 and and RRC expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}08{:}50{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}54{.}306$ And one can see that with anti TIGIT

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}08{:}54{.}306 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}57{.}132$ antibody there's a market loss of

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:08:57.132 \rightarrow 00:09:00.345$ these cytokines in culture and if you

 $00:09:00.345 \rightarrow 00:09:03.467$ knock down ticket here within SHR Now

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

00:09:03.467 --> 00:09:05.848 you have market increases engagement

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:09:05.848 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.824$ affair on and decreases dial 10.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

00:09:08.830 --> 00:09:10.750 So comparing PD one antigen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:09:10.750 \rightarrow 00:09:13.249$ our hands in human systems been very

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}09{:}13.249 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}15.993$ impressed with the effects of ticket and

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:09:15.993 \dashrightarrow 00:09:18.405$ also just comparing Ms two glioblastoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:09:18.410 \rightarrow 00:09:21.063$ there really isn't a big difference between

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

00:09:21.063 --> 00:09:24.529 PDL one or PD1 between Ms and brain tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}09{:}24.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}26.828$ but there is a virtual absolute

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

00:09:26.828 --> 00:09:28.360 difference between TIGIT expression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:09:28.360 \dashrightarrow 00:09:31.224$ typically on the CD 8 cells in patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}09{:}31.224 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}33.727$ with GBM virtually absent in Ms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:09:33.730 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.944$ he was looking at teacher by

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:09:35.944 \rightarrow 00:09:37.940$ flow and tills versus blood,

 $00:09:37.940 \rightarrow 00:09:40.496$ suggesting the potential importance of digit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}09{:}40{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}42{.}464$ In the central nervous

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:09:42.464 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.937$ system for glioblastoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:09:43.940 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.220$ So first one to work through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}09{:}46{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}48{.}445$ After that identification of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

00:09:48.445 --> 00:09:50.670 effect of type One interferons

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}09{:}50{.}747 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}54{.}261$ wanted to work through the in vitro

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:09:54.261 \rightarrow 00:09:55.767$ transcriptional regulatory network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}09{:}55{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}58{.}380$ So we use the same model

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:09:58.380 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.120$ that would be regift.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}10{:}00{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}02.856$ Near Youssef used in terms of setting up

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}10{:}02.856$ --> $00{:}10{:}05.130$ identifying the TH17A regulatory network,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:10:05.130 \dashrightarrow 00:10:09.360$ and this is work done by a soft in BJ's lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:10:09.360 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.436$ so we needed to have higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

00:10:11.436 --> 00:10:12.820 resolution transcriptomic data to

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:10:12.878 \rightarrow 00:10:14.750$ construct the regulatory network.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.787109
- $00:10:14.750 \rightarrow 00:10:17.410$ For those of you who aren't engaging

 $00{:}10{:}17{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}20{.}138$ in terms of looking at RNA now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}10{:}20{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}22{.}317$ what we used to do is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

00:10:22.317 --> 00:10:24.760 take a T cell stimulate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}10{:}24.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}27.298$ measure the RNA 4 hours later

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:10:27.298 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.129$ and say this is what it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:10:30.130 \rightarrow 00:10:32.800$ We've learned that their complex regulatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:10:32.800 \rightarrow 00:10:35.870$ networks and one needs to really do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}10{:}35{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}38{.}510$ The kinetics overtime to construct

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

00:10:38.510 --> 00:10:40.622 a dynamic regulatory network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:10:40.630 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.728$ Such a performance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

00:10:41.728 --> 00:10:44.930 This network we took dive CD4 CD 8 cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}10{:}44.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}45.646$ stimulate them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}10{:}45.646 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}47.436$ measure them in different time

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}10{:}47{.}436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}49{.}220$ points with control versus type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109 00:10:51.370 --> 00:10:53.338 We did 34 samples time three NOTE Confidence: 0.787109 00:10:53.338 --> 00:10:55.068 replicates with the same healthy NOTE Confidence: 0.787109 00:10:55.068 --> 00:10:57.150 donor and we decided that rather

00:10:49.220 --> 00:10:51.368 One interferon did bulk RNA sequencing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}10{:}57{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}59{.}249$ than looking at human variation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}10{:}59{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}01{.}212$ which is significant mediated by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:11:01.212 \rightarrow 00:11:03.539$ by the genetics of the individuals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:11:03.540 \rightarrow 00:11:05.688$ we do what mouse immunologists do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}11{:}05{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}08{.}126$ which is pick one strain of

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:11:08.126 \longrightarrow 00:11:10.750$ mice and study it in detail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

00:11:10.750 --> 00:11:13.396 And we measured are we did RNA seek RT

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}11{:}13.396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}17.008$ PCR protein for flow so that this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}11{:}17.008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}18.916$ transcriptomic analysis of interferon

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:11:18.916 \rightarrow 00:11:20.968$ beta high temporal resolution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00{:}11{:}20{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}22{.}765$ We so differential expression of

NOTE Confidence: 0.787109

 $00:11:22.765 \rightarrow 00:11:25.064$ gene levels for eight different time

- NOTE Confidence: 0.787109
- $00{:}11{:}25.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}26.856$ points with interferon stimulation.

00:11:26.860 --> 00:11:29.828 Here's a log 2 expression so we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:11:29.828 \rightarrow 00:11:31.190$ differential expression patterns.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:11:31.190 \rightarrow 00:11:33.150$ We have an early expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}11{:}33{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}34{.}718$ pattern here and here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}11{:}34{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}37{.}858$ We have an intermediate expression pattern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:11:37.860 \longrightarrow 00:11:40.401$ A late expression pattern over here and

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

00:11:40.401 --> 00:11:43.278 finally a bimodal expression pattern goes up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}11{:}43.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}46.460$ down and back up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:11:46.460 \longrightarrow 00:11:48.665$ So in performing it just

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

00:11:48.665 --> 00:11:49.547 transcriptomic analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}11{:}49{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}52{.}190$ we looked divided into transcription factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}11{:}52{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}53{.}995$ Here CD four cells with

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

00:11:53.995 --> 00:11:55.800 different kinetics and these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}11{:}55.866$ --> $00{:}11{:}57.930$ different transcription factors.

 $00:11:57.930 \rightarrow 00:12:00.130$ Again, we can see early

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:12:00.130 \rightarrow 00:12:01.450$ transcription factors immediately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}12{:}01{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}03{.}139$ transcription factors induced

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}12{:}03{.}139 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}05{.}954$ and we identified different Co

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:12:05.954 \rightarrow 00:12:07.844$ inhibitory receptors and different

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

00:12:07.844 --> 00:12:10.273 T cell related genes for both the

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}12{:}10.273 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}12.916$ CD four and for the CDA population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:12:12.920 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.602$ Again, in looking at the effect

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}12{:}15.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}16.496$ of interferon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:12:16.500 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.181$ And what it does in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}12{:}19{.}181 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}20{.}769$ the transcriptional networks is

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}12{:}20.769 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}22.947$ critical to look over time 'cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}12{:}22{.}947 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}25{.}624$ there's a dynamic change in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}12{:}25{.}624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}27{.}644$ transcription factors and Co

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:12:27.644 \rightarrow 00:12:29.159$ inhibitory receptors overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:12:29.160 \rightarrow 00:12:32.058$ So we identified the most differentially

- NOTE Confidence: 0.810376
- $00:12:32.058 \rightarrow 00:12:33.990$ expressed transcription factors and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810376
- $00:12:34.059 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.593$ about 20 of them here and these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810376
- $00{:}12{:}36{.}593 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}38{.}155$ are transcription factors that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810376
- $00:12:38.155 \rightarrow 00:12:39.783$ were differentially regulated and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810376
- $00:12:39.783 \dashrightarrow 00:12:42.652$ decreased in both CD4 and CD8T cells,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810376
- $00{:}12{:}42.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}45.564$ and we as a reality check we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810376
- $00:12:45.564 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.890$ asked of these word known.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810376
- 00:12:47.890 --> 00:12:49.510 Interferon responsive gene.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810376
- $00:12:49.510 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.750$ So here's the IFN responsive responsive
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810376
- $00:12:52.750 \rightarrow 00:12:55.695$ gene score overtime and then the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810376
- 00:12:55.695 --> 00:12:58.020 green represents regulators for Co
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810376
- $00:12:58.100 \rightarrow 00:13:00.990$ inhibitory receptors until the yellow
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810376
- $00:13:00.990 \rightarrow 00:13:03.880$ HIV signatures in progressive patients.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810376
- $00:13:03.880 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.550$ And then I'll 27 regulators.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810376
- $00{:}13{:}06{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}10{.}274$ So we we want to examine these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:13:10.274 \rightarrow 00:13:11.870$ transcriptional for these

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

00:13:11.968 --> 00:13:15.200 transcriptional factors in detail.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:13:15.200 \rightarrow 00:13:18.512$ So in order to do this and presented dilemma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

00:13:18.520 - 00:13:20.260 we had to develop new technology

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

00:13:20.260 --> 00:13:21.997 because I called the Heisenberg

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}13{:}21.997 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}24.057$ uncertainty principle of immunology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

00:13:24.060 - 00:13:26.208 The process of examining the cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:13:26.208 \rightarrow 00:13:28.120$ with activation perturb the system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

00:13:28.120 --> 00:13:30.376 Some of looking for an electron

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:13:30.376 \rightarrow 00:13:32.170$ after hitting it with HV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}13{:}32{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}34{.}550$ So we had to develop a gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

00:13:34.550 --> 00:13:36.879 knockdown the early time points and

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}13{:}36{.}879 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}38{.}939$ primary T cell without activating

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}13{:}38{.}939 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}41{.}879$ T cells and again this is all work

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}13{:}41.879 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}44.094$ developed by Tomo by Thomas Anita.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:13:44.094 \rightarrow 00:13:46.656$ We used an efficient lentiviral vectors

- NOTE Confidence: 0.810376
- $00:13:46.656 \rightarrow 00:13:48.768$ that developed by wearing a green.

 $00:13:48.770 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.795$ And basically one takes a

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

00:13:50.795 --> 00:13:52.820 viral like particles V LP's

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:13:52.906 \rightarrow 00:13:55.276$ which is incorporated with TPX,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

00:13:55.280 --> 00:13:57.878 which degrades Sam Sam HD one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:13:57.880 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.824$ removes restrictions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}13{:}58{.}824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}01{.}184$ you can transfect primary human

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:14:01.184 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.530$ T cells with this Sam S1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:14:03.530 \rightarrow 00:14:06.554$ which now allows transfection with SH RNA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

00:14:06.560 --> 00:14:08.730 HIV, HIV, lentivirus and all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}14{:}08.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}12.636$ This can be done in an activated T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}14{:}12{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}14{.}896$ Could knock down the gene and

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}14{:}14{.}896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}17{.}410$ then do the the incubation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

00:14:17.410 --> 00:14:20.098 So here we have night CD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

00:14:20.100 --> 00:14:21.664 Or cells incubated without

00:14:21.664 --> 00:14:24.010 CD3 CD 28 with this procedure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}14{:}24.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}26.170$ knocking down the different genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}14{:}26{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}28{.}827$ and then there is stimulated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}14{:}28{.}827 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}31{.}335$ and without interferon beta and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

00:14:31.335 --> 00:14:33.589 measured five days later and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}14{:}33{.}589 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}36{.}098$ we perform fax GFP of we sort of NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}14{:}36.098 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}38.246$ the GFP positive cells were knocked

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}14{:}38{.}246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}40.665$ down and did bulk RNA sequencing

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}14{:}40.665 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}43.197$ and you can see very efficient

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}14{:}43.197 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}45.516$ knockdown in the GFP positive cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}14{:}45{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}47{.}470$ With these different transcription factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}14{:}47{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}51{.}040$ This is a monumental amount to work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:14:51.040 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.168$ Performed by tomo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}14{:}52{.}168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}54{.}048$ So we perform principal component

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00:14:54.048 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.408$ analysis to changes in the total

NOTE Confidence: 0.810376

 $00{:}14{:}56{.}408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}58{.}343$ RNA expression after the interferon

- NOTE Confidence: 0.810376
- $00:14:58.343 \rightarrow 00:15:00.618$ signature associated with each knockdown.

 $00:15:00.620 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.406$ So let me just say that again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}15{:}03{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}05{.}400$ so these are PCA plots.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}15{:}05{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}07{.}405$ We knock down each transcription

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}15{:}07{.}405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}09{.}803$ factor and then looked at all

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}15{:}09{.}803 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}11{.}747$ the RNA expression and then put

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:15:11.747 \rightarrow 00:15:14.179$ that into a principle component.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:15:14.180 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.724$ One in principle component,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}15{:}15{.}724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}19{.}065$ to what that revealed is that the interferon

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:15:19.065 \rightarrow 00:15:21.440$ one stimulated genes are positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}15{:}21{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}25{.}075$ Regulated by we call interferon

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}15{:}25.075 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}28.716$ regulated module one, this modulator

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}15{:}28.716 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}31.628$ increased the downstream interferon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

00:15:31.630 --> 00:15:36.340 Stimulated genes with module 2 represented

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:15:36.340 \longrightarrow 00:15:39.480$ transcription factors that negatively

 $00:15:39.579 \rightarrow 00:15:43.699$ regulated the interferon interferon genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

00:15:43.700 --> 00:15:46.388 So to go into more detail,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}15{:}46.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}48.625$ we first have the interferon

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

00:15:48.625 --> 00:15:49.966 regulated module one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}15{:}49{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}52{.}586$ so a something that a transcription

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

00:15:52.586 --> 00:15:55.317 factor that knocks down the gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:15:55.317 \rightarrow 00:15:57.587$ will lead to decreased expression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:15:57.590 \rightarrow 00:15:59.830$ which means as positive regulating.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}15{:}59{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}02{.}812$ So the interferon regular module one

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}16{:}02.812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}04.800$ regulates the conical interferon

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:16:04.874 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.818$ stimulated genes over here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

00:16:06.820 --> 00:16:10.012 Where is interferon regulated module two over

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:16:10.012 \rightarrow 00:16:12.839$ here regulates these non Canonical jeans?

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

00:16:12.840 --> 00:16:15.080 Interferon stimulated genes perhaps

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}16{:}15{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}18{.}975$ a greater interest was looking at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:16:18.975 \dashrightarrow 00:16:21.687$ Co inhibitory receptors so we have.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753
- $00:16:21.690 \rightarrow 00:16:24.078$ Interferon regulated module one

 $00:16:24.078 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.660$ over here which is bath map.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

00:16:27.660 --> 00:16:31.570 ETS2 SP 140 which differentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:16:31.570 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.916$ regulate lag 3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

00:16:33.920 --> 00:16:39.681 PD1 PD L1 slam F6 and other

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:16:39.681 \longrightarrow 00:16:41.327$ transcription factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}16{:}41{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}44{.}098$ And then we have stat one and stat

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}16{:}44.098 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}45.701$ three which positively regulate

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:16:45.701 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.185$ Tim three but not lag 3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}16{:}48{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}50{.}374$ So we see that these different

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}16{:}50{.}374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}51{.}466$ transcription factors differentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}16{:}51{.}466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}53{.}519$ regulate different Co inhibitory receptors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:16:53.520 \rightarrow 00:16:55.212$ And here's a summary.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}16{:}55{.}212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}57{.}327$ The data just showed you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}16{:}57{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}00{.}120$ which is the effect of these

 $00:17:00.120 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.050$ transcription factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}17{:}01.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}02.349$ Interferon stimulated stimulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

00:17:02.349 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:17:05.380 so again there are two modules of

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}17{:}05{.}448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}07{.}332$ transcription factors based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}17{:}07{.}332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}09{.}687$ the global effects on interferon

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

00:17:09.687 --> 00:17:10.610 stimulated genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

00:17:10.610 -> 00:17:12.430 thereby directly regulated by

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

00:17:12.430 --> 00:17:13.340 different modules,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}17{:}13.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}15.148$ transcription factors and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}17{:}15{.}148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}17{.}408$ Co inhibitory receptors are also

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}17{:}17{.}408 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}19{.}251$ regulated by interferon associate

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

00:17:19.251 --> 00:17:21.451 transcription factors and which up

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:17:21.451 \rightarrow 00:17:24.259$ regulate and down regulate these receptors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:17:24.260 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.530$ So we have for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}17{:}26{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}31{.}130$ a MoD in module one, the which is a bath.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:17:31.130 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.695 ETS2$ math one which positively

- NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753
- 00:17:33.695 --> 00:17:37.868 regulate lag 3 Tim three and PD one
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753
- $00{:}17{:}37{.}868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}40{.}378$ but negatively regulate a TIGIT.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753
- 00:17:40.380 --> 00:17:43.355 BTL BTL A and CD 160 again.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753
- $00:17:43.360 \rightarrow 00:17:46.335$ Going along with the flow cytometry data.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753
- $00{:}17{:}46{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}49{.}238$ And again this I showed you step
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753
- $00{:}17{:}49{.}238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}51{.}030$ one and three here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753
- 00:17:51.030 --> 00:17:53.202 Positively regulate Tim three
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753
- 00:17:53.202 --> 00:17:55.917 but negatively regulate PD one.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753
- $00{:}17{:}55{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}58{.}194$ So then we performed a hierarchical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753
- $00:17:58.194 \rightarrow 00:17:59.710$ backbone network analysis transcription
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753
- $00{:}17{:}59{.}765 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}00{.}170$ factors.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753
- 00:18:00.170 --> 00:18:02.865 I'll just go over this very briefly,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753
- $00{:}18{:}02{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}05{.}180$ but basically looked at gene expression,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753
- $00{:}18{:}05{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}06{.}338$ overtime, differential expression,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753
- 00:18:06.338 --> 00:18:07.496 protein, DNA bonding,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:18:07.500 \longrightarrow 00:18:09.044$ a transcription factor database

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}18{:}09{.}044 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}09{.}816$ is integrated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

00:18:09.820 --> 00:18:12.550 Those data looked at a rank list

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

00:18:12.550 --> 00:18:14.492 of transcription factors which we

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

00:18:14.492 --> 00:18:16.586 perturbed and knocked down as I

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}18{:}16{.}586$ --> $00{:}18{:}18{.}569$ showed you integrated those data NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}18{:}18{.}569 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}20{.}993$ into refine network model and what NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:18:20.993 \rightarrow 00:18:23.650$ we found was at the early and

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}18{:}23.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}25.150$ intermediate network contain more NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}18{:}25{.}212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}27{.}400$ up regulated transcription factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:18:27.400 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.940$ And downregulated in contrast late NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

00:18:29.940 --> 00:18:32.780 network had more downregulated in up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00{:}18{:}32{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}34{.}732$ regulated transcription factors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:18:34.732 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.196$ interferon induced differentiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:18:36.200 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.640$ Involves dominance of the up

NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753

 $00:18:38.640 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.104$ regulated transcription factors.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753
- $00:18:40.110 \longrightarrow 00:18:43.435$ The first 16 hours over here which
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753
- $00{:}18{:}43{.}435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}46{.}869$ then the dominance of down regulated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753
- $00:18:46.869 \rightarrow 00:18:49.429$ transcription factors over here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753
- $00:18:49.430 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.998$ And just a summary.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753
- $00{:}18{:}50{.}998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}52{.}958$ So there were dominant transcription
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753
- $00{:}18{:}52{.}958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}55{.}494$ factors that bridge each wave to the next.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753
- $00:18:55.500 \rightarrow 00:18:57.745$ So the green circles represent
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753
- $00{:}18{:}57{.}745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}59{.}541$ a transcription factors that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753
- $00{:}18{:}59{.}541 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}01{.}958$ are differentially expressed in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.79101753
- $00:19:01.958 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.848$ one transcriptional wave.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196
- $00{:}19{:}03.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}07.036$ Where is the purple circles represent
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196
- $00{:}19{:}07{.}036 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}09{.}160$ transcription factors that differential
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196
- $00:19:09.230 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.920$ expressed in all transcriptional waves.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196
- 00:19:11.920 --> 00:19:14.560 So Cal offense tattoo are early
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196
- $00{:}19{:}14.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}15.880$ intermediate transcription factors.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:19:15.880 \longrightarrow 00:19:17.428$ Math blimp one?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

00:19:17.428 --> 00:19:20.008 An MIP are intermediate transcription

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00{:}19{:}20{.}008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}24{.}304$ factors and stat one hit 1A and T bet or NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00{:}19{:}24{.}304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}26{.}351$ bimodal transcription factors apart show

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

00:19:26.351 --> 00:19:29.444 this it just to get the bigger picture

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00{:}19{:}29{.}444 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}32{.}720$ of the what nature does in terms of the NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:19:32.807 \rightarrow 00:19:35.677$ biologic complexity of these systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:19:35.680 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.320$ So a dear friend of mine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00{:}19{:}38{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}42{.}168$ some body may know of one of the great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:19:42.170 \rightarrow 00:19:44.198$ Textbook authors of immunology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00{:}19{:}44{.}198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}47{.}240$ Abul Abbas would say to me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:19:47.240 \rightarrow 00:19:51.344$ in Vivo Baratas and then in vitro maybe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:19:51.350 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.102$ So the challenge for us was to find

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:19:54.102 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.739$ an envy both system which replicate

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:19:56.739 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.529$ all this lovely in vitro data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:19:59.530 \longrightarrow 00:19:59.895$ So.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196
- 00:19:59.895 --> 00:20:02.450 Like to show you it in Beeville.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196
- $00{:}20{:}02{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}05{.}106$ Model that we did not develop a nature
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196
- $00:20:05.106 \rightarrow 00:20:07.309$ developed for us with the viral load.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196
- $00:20:07.310 \rightarrow 00:20:09.026$ Strongly correlate with interferon
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196
- $00:20:09.026 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.600$ T cell signature which is COVID-19.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196
- $00:20:11.600 \rightarrow 00:20:14.302$ So this is work that is presently
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196
- $00:20:14.302 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.074$ under revision.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196
- $00:20:15.080 \longrightarrow 00:20:16.328$ That nature communication,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196
- $00{:}20{:}16.328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}20{.}015$ led by a team of individual or for two
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196
- $00:20:20.015 \rightarrow 00:20:22.815$ at the end where we perform single cell.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196
- 00:20:22.820 --> 00:20:25.070 Now sis of patients with healthy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196
- $00{:}20{:}25{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}26{.}570$ controls and various COVID-19
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196
- $00:20:26.633 \rightarrow 00:20:28.628$ samples of individuals with mild,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196
- 00:20:28.630 --> 00:20:31.096 severe or moderate severe disease and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196
- $00:20:31.096 \rightarrow 00:20:33.660$ basically for the purpose of this talk.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:20:33.660 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.476$ But we found this out as a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00{:}20{:}36.476 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}38.095$ strong correlation between the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:20:38.095 \rightarrow 00:20:40.627$ interferon score and the viral load,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:20:40.630 \rightarrow 00:20:42.354$ as measured by PCR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:20:42.354 \rightarrow 00:20:43.216$ Nasal swabs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:20:43.220 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.970$ in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00{:}20{:}43{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}46{.}595$ if you look at the correlation time

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00{:}20{:}46.595 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}48.957$ difference between here and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

00:20:48.957 --> 00:20:50.869 respective change interferon score,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

00:20:50.870 --> 00:20:54.270 we had a remarkable R ^2 .9 seven.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00{:}20{:}54{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}56{.}370$ So nature had accidentally given

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:20:56.370 \longrightarrow 00:20:59.821$ us a in vivo model of type one

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00{:}20{:}59{.}821 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}02{.}761$ interferons in their effect on T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00{:}21{:}02{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}06{.}170$ So if you look at the interferon signature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

00:21:06.170 --> 00:21:08.720 it's higher in progressive Covid patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:21:08.720 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.630$ his controlled,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196
- 00:21:09.630 --> 00:21:12.360 stable progressive CD4 CD 8 cells.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196
- $00{:}21{:}12{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}15{.}288$ One can see that the type one interferon
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196
- 00:21:15.288 --> 00:21:18.468 score went up with more progressive disease,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196
- $00:21:18.470 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.906$ so then we wish to ask.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196
- $00:21:20.910 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.137$ Looking at these,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196
- $00{:}21{:}22.137 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}24.182$ the interferon stimulated T cells
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196
- $00{:}21{:}24.182 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}26.924$ in ex vivo with a similar to what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196
- $00{:}21{:}26{.}924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}29{.}700$ we saw in vitro with our interferon
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196
- $00{:}21{:}29{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}31{.}164$ transcriptional signature and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196
- $00:21:31.164 \rightarrow 00:21:33.116$ the answer is yes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196
- 00:21:33.120 --> 00:21:35.738 So here is CD4 cells CD 8
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196
- $00{:}21{:}35{.}738 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}37{.}590$ cells this this column.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196
- $00:21:37.590 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.218$ Here are the controls,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196
- $00{:}21{:}39{.}218 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}40{.}846$ stable and progressive patients.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196
- $00:21:40.850 \longrightarrow 00:21:43.430$ So we see this module too.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:21:43.430 \rightarrow 00:21:45.890$ Upregulated these are highly upregulated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

00:21:45.890 - 00:21:49.826 PD one Tim, three CTO for lag three.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00{:}21{:}49{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}53{.}106$ Precisely what we saw in vitro in

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:21:53.106 \rightarrow 00:21:58.159$ CD4 and CD8 cells, whereas module 1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00{:}21{:}58{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}01{.}470$ Which led to down regulation again

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00{:}22{:}01{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}06{.}010$ of TIGIT BTL ACD 160 and such.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:22:06.010 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.850$ So we had a extremely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00{:}22{:}10.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}12.578$ Could the recapitulation what

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00{:}22{:}12.578 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}14.738$ we saw on in vitro.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

00:22:14.740 --> 00:22:17.140 Here's expression of Co inhibitory receptors

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00{:}22{:}17.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}19.920$ for the controls and COVID-19 patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

00:22:19.920 --> 00:22:21.216 Just to summarize,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

00:22:21.216 --> 00:22:25.109 here's like 3 going up to three going up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00{:}22{:}25{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}27{.}270$ whereas TIGIT Slam 6 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00{:}22{:}27{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}29{.}430$ layer one all went down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:22:29.430 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.798$ Similar to what we saw in vitro.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196
- $00:22:33.800 \rightarrow 00:22:37.580$ So we looked at the T cells induced in vitro,

 $00{:}22{:}37{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}39{.}542$ which led to with an interferon

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:22:39.542 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.372$ score and asked that really

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00:22:41.372 \rightarrow 00:22:43.184$ mirrored the transcriptional wave

NOTE Confidence: 0.80999196

 $00{:}22{:}43.184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}45.449$ score aren't dividing covid CD4

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00{:}22{:}45{.}518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}48{.}238$ and CD8T cells and basically one can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00{:}22{:}48{.}238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}50{.}820$ then dividing CD four and eight cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:22:50.820 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.526$ that the in vitro interference core very

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00{:}22{:}53.526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}56.095$ much recapitulate if we saw in vitro.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:22:56.100 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.026$ And finally we looked at the relation

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00{:}22{:}59{.}026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}01{.}995$ between regulators that we saw in vivo and

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00{:}23{:}01.995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}04.599$ in vitro in this intermediate wave network.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:23:04.600 \longrightarrow 00:23:05.920$ The positive regulated

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00{:}23{:}05{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}07{.}680$ transcription factors in red,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

00:23:07.680 - 00:23:11.200 negative and blue, and we saw that SP.

 $00:23:11.200 \rightarrow 00:23:13.400$ 140 is a bidirectional regulator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00{:}23{:}13.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}16.094$ so this is the regulator which

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:23:16.094 \rightarrow 00:23:19.338$ induces lag three and other Co

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:23:19.338 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.046$ inhibitory molecules while inhibiting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00{:}23{:}22.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}25.698$ Going the opposite direction for ticket.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00{:}23{:}25{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}27{.}954$ And then we looked at the relationship NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:23:27.954 \rightarrow 00:23:30.049$ between late faith covid for lag free,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00{:}23{:}30{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}32{.}255$ Tim three and PD one and found

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

00:23:32.255 --> 00:23:34.442 that BSL three instaff 3A positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00{:}23{:}34{.}442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}36{.}776$ regulated flag 3 and 10 three.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:23:36.780 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.652$ And finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

00:23:37.652 --> 00:23:40.268 looking directly in patients to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

00:23:40.268 --> 00:23:42.958 SP140B cell three and stat three

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

00:23:42.958 --> 00:23:45.138 while elevated in COVID-19 cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00{:}23{:}45{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}47{.}564$ so we're able to recapitulate what

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00{:}23{:}47.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}50.696$ we saw in terms of induction wisco

- NOTE Confidence: 0.807308
- 00:23:50.696 00:23:53.081 inhibitory molecules in vivo in

 $00:23:53.081 \rightarrow 00:23:56.138$ terms of what we thought on Pedro.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:23:56.140 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.319$ So in summary,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:23:57.319 \rightarrow 00:24:00.070$ interferon is a major driver of cone

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:24:00.150 \rightarrow 00:24:03.615$ hitori receptor regulation and human T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00{:}24{:}03.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}05.584$ The computational and biologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:24:05.584 \longrightarrow 00:24:06.566$ approaches identifies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:24:06.570 \rightarrow 00:24:08.840$ Regulatory networks under interferon one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00{:}24{:}08{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}11{.}100$ Responses in human T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00{:}24{:}11{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}13{.}836$ There are modules of transcription factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:24:13.836 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.080$ that control interferon stimulated genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

00:24:16.080 --> 00:24:16.526 Colon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00{:}24{:}16.526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}18.756$ hip to receptors and interferon

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00{:}24{:}18.756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}21.176$ which really highlights the novel

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:24:21.176 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.859$ noncanonical transcription factors

 $00:24:22.859 \rightarrow 00:24:25.664$ beyond the conventional Jack stat

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:24:25.664 \rightarrow 00:24:28.330$ pathways that we previously knew about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

00:24:28.330 --> 00:24:30.652 We then demonstrate the relevance of

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

00:24:30.652 --> 00:24:34.282 our in vitro T cell type one interferon

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:24:34.282 \rightarrow 00:24:37.288$ responses by integrating single cell RNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00{:}24{:}37{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}39{.}070$ See data from COVID-19.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00{:}24{:}39.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}42.220$ Patients were strong T cell into fair.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:24:42.220 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.575$ One response was observed and

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

00:24:44.575 --> 00:24:48.556 finally we identify SP 140 as a key

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00{:}24{:}48.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}51.121$ regulator that differentiates Lag 3

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:24:51.121 \rightarrow 00:24:53.723$ digit expression during acute viral

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:24:53.723 \rightarrow 00:24:57.195$ infection as well as Aaron Vivo systems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00{:}24{:}57{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}00{.}105$ So let me just acknowledge the individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

00:25:00.110 --> 00:25:02.190 Again, this truly represents the

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

00:25:02.190 --> 00:25:03.854 work of Thomas Amita.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

00:25:03.860 - 00:25:05.940 Here, members of the laboratory

- NOTE Confidence: 0.807308
- $00:25:05.940 \rightarrow 00:25:08.020$ contributed various parts of this.

 $00:25:08.020 \rightarrow 00:25:08.852$ My long,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

00:25:08.852 --> 00:25:10.100 long term collaborator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

00:25:10.100 --> 00:25:12.284 collaborator PJ Kutru Shadow Bergen is

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00{:}25{:}12.284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}15.089$ off Marty and also wondering knowledge.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:25:15.090 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.430$ The covered work led by audio

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00{:}25{:}17{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}19{.}514$ Untermann with Tomo Jonas Scoop

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:25:19.514 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.326$ and enough Tally Kaminski.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:25:21.330 \rightarrow 00:25:24.658$ So I'll stop there and take any questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807308

 $00:25:24.660 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.490$ Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8629724

00:25:26.100 --> 00:25:27.318 David, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8629724

 $00{:}25{:}27{.}318$ --> $00{:}25{:}30{.}160$ What an incredible body of work and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8629724

 $00:25:30.240 \rightarrow 00:25:32.264$ congratulations on sorting through

NOTE Confidence: 0.8629724

 $00{:}25{:}32{.}264 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}35{.}300$ what is clearly a very complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8629724

 $00{:}25{:}35{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}37{.}420$ Regulatory system, let me ask,

 $00:25:37.420 \rightarrow 00:25:40.796$ and this is sort of my concrete question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8629724

 $00{:}25{:}40.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}42.508$ which is you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8629724

00:25:42.508 --> 00:25:44.216 Obviously you're sorting through

NOTE Confidence: 0.8629724

 $00{:}25{:}44{.}216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}46{.}299$ what's driving expression of Tim.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8629724

 $00{:}25{:}46{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}48{.}988$ Three lag, three TIGIT an realizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8629724

 $00:25:48.988 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.246$ that almost the Holy Grail

NOTE Confidence: 0.8629724

 $00:25:51.246 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.906$ today is what's the next PD one?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8629724

 $00:25:53.910 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.674$ So does this work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8629724

 $00{:}25{:}55{.}674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}57{.}879$ Help us understand the relative

NOTE Confidence: 0.8629724

 $00{:}25{:}57{.}879 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}00{.}453$ merits of these targets and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8629724

 $00:26:00.453 \rightarrow 00:26:02.503$ the future of immuno oncology

NOTE Confidence: 0.8629724

 $00:26:02.586 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.908$ or give us some insight there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

00:26:06.100 --> 00:26:08.164 Great question. I think the short

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00:26:08.164 \rightarrow 00:26:10.399$ answer is probably not at one level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

00:26:10.400 --> 00:26:11.776 It gives us insight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00:26:11.776 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.410$ so I guess one could ask what

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835
- $00:26:14.410 \rightarrow 00:26:16.855$ what induces type one interferons

 $00{:}26{:}16.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}18.811$ in different tissues and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00{:}26{:}18.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}21.564$ And how are tumors so presumably in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00{:}26{:}21.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}24.280$ tumors are secreting type one interferons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00{:}26{:}24{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}27{.}646$ We know they are and that that may be NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00:26:27.646 \rightarrow 00:26:30.158$ influencing the local team environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00:26:30.160 \rightarrow 00:26:33.409$ But the reason why I say no is my

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00{:}26{:}33{.}409 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}35{.}871$ suspicion is that each organ has

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00{:}26{:}35{.}871 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}38{.}490$ his own set of regulatory module

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

00:26:38.490 --> 00:26:41.080 for controlling LG cells work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

00:26:41.080 --> 00:26:43.450 We just completed an extensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00{:}26{:}43.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}45.820$ analysis paper published in Science

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00{:}26{:}45.895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}48.765$ Immunology doing a single cell RNA seek.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

00:26:48.770 --> 00:26:51.262 In T cells from normal spinal fluid

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00{:}26{:}51{.}262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}53{.}590$ is normal yell graduate students and

 $00:26:53.590 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.000$ see that over 50% of the T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

00:26:57.000 --> 00:26:59.275 In this DSL or PD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00{:}26{:}59{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}00{.}868$ one positive high expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00:27:00.868 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.853$ digit in three with spontaneous

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00:27:02.853 \rightarrow 00:27:04.429$ production of gamma interferon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00{:}27{:}04{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}07{.}244$ So I think each organ and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00{:}27{:}07{.}244 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}09{.}968$ why I showed the Ms GBM data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00{:}27{:}09{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}12{.}874$ I think looking at what is expressed in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00{:}27{:}12{.}874$ --> $00{:}27{:}15{.}119$ tumors compared to autoimmune disease, NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00{:}27{:}15{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}17{.}454$ which goes the opposite direction may NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00{:}27{:}17{.}454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}21{.}060$ give us insight as to what is the next

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

00:27:21.060 --> 00:27:23.040 Holy Grail coding inventory molecule.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00{:}27{:}23.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}25.368$ I think that would be perhaps

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00{:}27{:}25.368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}28.219$ the best way of addressing it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00:27:28.220 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.720$ And this is more mechanistic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00:27:29.720 \rightarrow 00:27:31.550$ and it was surprising because it's

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835
- 00:27:31.550 --> 00:27:33.618 a Vijay kept saying well Style 27.

 $00:27:33.620 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.420$ Can't you find it kept saying?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00:27:35.420 \rightarrow 00:27:37.513$ Well we keep looking and kept saying

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00:27:37.513 \rightarrow 00:27:39.064$ what you're doing the experiment

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00{:}27{:}39.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}40.858$ wrong and I didn't show them

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

00:27:40.858 --> 00:27:42.620 picture of Donald but you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00:27:42.620 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.321$ we just couldn't get it to work

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00{:}27{:}44{.}321 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}45{.}857$ and then we explore different

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00{:}27{:}45.857 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}47.717$ like going hit or molecules.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00{:}27{:}47{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}49{.}616$ And then it's very simple observation

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00{:}27{:}49.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}51.200$ and actually predicted based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.83932835

 $00{:}27{:}51{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}52{.}520$ all the viral immunology work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83906287

00:27:53.270 --> 00:27:55.769 Yeah, thank you, Ann Habermann has a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83906287

 $00{:}27{:}55.769 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}58.358$ question which is how long does the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83906287

 $00:27:58.358 \rightarrow 00:28:00.536$ T cell response to interferon persist

 $00{:}28{:}00{.}608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}02{.}967$ and why would this be a desirable

NOTE Confidence: 0.83906287

00:28:02.967 --> 00:28:04.690 response during a viral infection?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

00:28:06.290 --> 00:28:09.090 Well, I I think in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00:28:09.090 \rightarrow 00:28:11.320$ covid there cleared two phases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00{:}28{:}11{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}13{.}410$ The initial phase of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00:28:13.410 \longrightarrow 00:28:14.664$ high interferon response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00{:}28{:}14.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}16.765$ We thought the intermediate phase

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

00:28:16.765 --> 00:28:18.860 and then with time disappears.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00{:}28{:}18.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}21.756$ If one can generate so there really are NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00{:}28{:}21.756$ --> $00{:}28{:}23.889$ these biphasic interferon response?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00{:}28{:}23{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}26{.}898$ Is this what nature does to try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00{:}28{:}26{.}898$ --> $00{:}28{:}29{.}936$ clear clear viruses and we suspect that NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00{:}28{:}29{.}936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}33{.}097$ one reason why patients do badly and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00{:}28{:}33.097 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}36.142$ we're positive that the loss of TIGIT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00:28:36.150 \rightarrow 00:28:38.230$ Which is induced by interference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00:28:38.230 \rightarrow 00:28:40.325$ We have persistent high interference

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325
- $00{:}28{:}40{.}325 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}42{.}420$ signature leads to a loss

 $00:28:42.493 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.049$ of the mean regulation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00:28:44.050 \longrightarrow 00:28:47.030$ We actually wrote a grant

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00:28:47.030 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.818$ that supplemental grant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00{:}28{:}48{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}50{.}296$ Hypothesising that Tim three

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

00:28:50.296 --> 00:28:52.940 PD one go up and teacher will

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00:28:52.940 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.735$ go down in covid patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00:28:54.740 \rightarrow 00:28:56.960$ I don't like hypothesis driven science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00{:}28{:}56{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}59{.}920$ It seemed like a long shot and were

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00{:}28{:}59{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}02{.}510$ shocked to see that was going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00{:}29{:}02{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}05{.}273$ So so in terms of why be desire response

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

00:29:05.273 --> 00:29:07.688 because indifference help clear viruses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00{:}29{:}07{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}10{.}259$ But then I think it becomes a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00{:}29{:}10{.}259 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}12{.}130$ less desirable response with time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00{:}29{:}12{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}14{.}433$ And we suspect that will raise the

 $00:29:14.433 \longrightarrow 00:29:17.150$ issue that loss of digit which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

00:29:17.150 --> 00:29:19.640 really quite remarkable in these individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00:29:19.640 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.153$ May late relate to the hyper mean

NOTE Confidence: 0.7784325

 $00:29:22.153 \rightarrow 00:29:24.458$ response that we see in patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00{:}29{:}26.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}28.924$ Well, David, thank you for a really a

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00{:}29{:}28{.}924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}31{.}334$ terrific talk and thank you for

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00:29:31.334 \rightarrow 00:29:33.660$ sharing that the work in progress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

00:29:33.660 - 00:29:34.674 It's really impressive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00{:}29{:}34.674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}37.640$ Let me now turn to our next speaker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

00:29:37.640 --> 00:29:38.555 Doctor Hairy Cougar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

00:29:38.555 --> 00:29:41.573 who as you all know is is a professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00{:}29{:}41.573 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}44.003$ of medicine and along with Marcus

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00:29:44.003 \rightarrow 00:29:45.967$ Bosenberg leads or yell Sporen

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00:29:45.967 \rightarrow 00:29:48.133$ skin cancer which were so pleased,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

00:29:48.140 --> 00:29:50.226 got renewed about a year ago and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00:29:50.226 \rightarrow 00:29:52.489$ continues to be extremely productive.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815
- $00:29:52.490 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.555$ Harriet's work in the Cancer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815
- 00:29:54.555 --> 00:29:56.207 Center has been really.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815
- $00:29:56.210 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.200$ Sort of the triple threat.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815
- $00:29:58.200 \longrightarrow 00:30:00.540$ Obviously she is a highly.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815
- 00:30:00.540 --> 00:30:02.676 Respected and highly sought after physician,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815
- $00{:}30{:}02{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}05{.}326$ but at the same time leader in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815
- $00:30:05.326 \rightarrow 00:30:07.286$ research and immunology in Melanoma
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815
- $00{:}30{:}07{.}286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}09{.}932$ and also a leader of our education
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815
- $00{:}30{:}09{.}932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}11.885$ program and not many people can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815
- $00:30:11.885 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.530$ can do all that and do it so well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815
- $00{:}30{:}14.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}16.240$ Harriet's work I think has really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815
- $00{:}30{:}16{.}307 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}18{.}063$ been instrumental in understanding
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815
- 00:30:18.063 -> 00:30:19.819 the biology of Melanoma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815
- 00:30:19.820 --> 00:30:21.600 How do we leverage Immunobiology
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815
- $00:30:21.600 \rightarrow 00:30:22.668$ towards novel therapies?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00:30:22.670 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.410$ And Anne frankly I suspect

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

00:30:24.410 --> 00:30:26.600 willingness to hear about it today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00{:}30{:}26{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}28{.}380$ but her work on metastases

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00:30:28.380 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.628$ as well has really, I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00:30:30.628 \rightarrow 00:30:31.446$ Very insightful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00:30:31.446 \dashrightarrow 00:30:34.320$ but Harriet thank you for taking the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84229815

 $00:30:34.320 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.728$ time and sharing your work with us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

00:30:37.510 --> 00:30:39.490 Thank you Charlie and thanks

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00{:}30{:}39{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}41.074$ for that wonderful introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00{:}30{:}41.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}44.976$ I'm just going to share my screen here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:30:44.980 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.900$ So it's always humbling to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

00:30:46.900 --> 00:30:48.436 talk after David Heffler,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00{:}30{:}48{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}51{.}114$ but that was the assignment I received,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00{:}30{:}51{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}53{.}808$ so I will do my best here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00{:}30{:}53{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}56{.}771$ So I'm going to be talking to you about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:30:56.771 \rightarrow 00:30:59.808$ one of the sport projects which focuses

 $00:30:59.808 \rightarrow 00:31:03.188$ on Co stimulating the the innate immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00{:}31{:}03.188 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}05.773$ adaptive immunity to treat Melanoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00{:}31{:}05{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}08{.}324$ So just a few fast facts about Melanoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:31:08.330 \rightarrow 00:31:10.938$ so it's a disease of the relatively young

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:31:10.938 \rightarrow 00:31:13.439$ most patients present between age 45 and 55.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00{:}31{:}13{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}15{.}354$ The incidence has been going up

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:31:15.354 \rightarrow 00:31:16.630$ actually for decades already,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:31:16.630 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.538$ so just by way of example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:31:18.540 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.298$ in 2003 there were around 54,000

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

00:31:20.298 --> 00:31:22.370 new cases in the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00{:}31{:}22{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}24{.}400$ and just a decade and a half

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:31:24.400 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.827$ later it was already up to 87,000.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:31:26.830 \rightarrow 00:31:28.979$ It's now the fifth most common malignancy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00{:}31{:}28{.}979 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}31{.}299$ among men and the seventh among women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:31:31.300 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.124$ but Fortunately most of our patients

 $00:31:33.124 \rightarrow 00:31:34.810$ present with stage one disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00{:}31{:}34{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}36{.}874$ so stage one refers to diseases

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:31:36.874 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.779$ confined to the skin and is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00{:}31{:}38{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}41{.}388$ Then stage two is confined to the skin

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00{:}31{:}41{.}388 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}43{.}609$ and thicker stage three is disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00{:}31{:}43.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}45.563$ It's spread to the lymph nodes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:31:45.563 \rightarrow 00:31:47.749$ stage four is distant dissemination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:31:47.750 \rightarrow 00:31:49.820$ And that's essentially what kills patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00{:}31{:}49{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}52{.}809$ So we're really going to be talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:31:52.809 \rightarrow 00:31:55.329$ about stage four disease today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

00:31:55.330 --> 00:31:57.074 So for mortality, Interestingly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:31:57.074 \rightarrow 00:31:59.690$ it was going up as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:31:59.690 \longrightarrow 00:32:02.306$ So for 2000 three 7600 deaths,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:32:02.310 \longrightarrow 00:32:04.106$ 2017 ninety 700 deaths.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

00:32:04.106 --> 00:32:07.540 But if you start tracking later on 2019,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:32:07.540 \longrightarrow 00:32:10.340$ the death rate started to go down

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305
- $00:32:10.340 \rightarrow 00:32:13.640$ for the very first time 7230 deaths,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305
- $00:32:13.640 \longrightarrow 00:32:15.820$ and the projected number for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305
- $00:32:15.820 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.564$ this year is 6850.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305
- $00{:}32{:}17.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}20.545$ And this is because of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305
- $00{:}32{:}20.545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}22.925$ our improved meta static.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305
- $00{:}32{:}22{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}24{.}760$ Approved the rapies for metastatic disease,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305
- 00:32:24.760 --> 00:32:25.688 particularly immunotherapy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305
- $00{:}32{:}25.688 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}28.008$ And that's what I'm going
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305
- $00:32:28.008 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.310$ to be talking about today.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305
- $00:32:30.310 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.710$ So we've known for years that some Melanoma
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305
- $00:32:32.710 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.027$ patients are cured by old-fashioned therapy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305
- $00{:}32{:}35{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}37{.}046$ If you do a medister tech,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305
- 00:32:37.050 --> 00:32:37.724 to me,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305
- $00{:}32{:}37{.}724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}40{.}420$ this is an old series published in 2011.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305
- $00:32:40.420 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.073$ You can see that eight or ten
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:32:43.073 \rightarrow 00:32:44.799$ years at approximately 5 or 7%

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:32:44.800 \rightarrow 00:32:47.050$ of patients are still alive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

00:32:47.050 --> 00:32:48.150 Chemotherapy you actually see

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

00:32:48.150 --> 00:32:49.800 a similar kind of a figure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:32:49.800 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.175$ and we don't think chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:32:51.175 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.000$ really prolongs survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

00:32:52.000 --> 00:32:53.710 Maybe it's just Natural History

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:32:53.710 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.724$ of disease that some people live

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00{:}32{:}55{.}724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}56{.}696$ for a long time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00{:}32{:}56{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}58{.}956$ Now over here on the right you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

00:32:58.956 --> 00:33:01.578 the the five year survival data from NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:33:01.578 \rightarrow 00:33:04.101$ our flagship phase three study of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

00:33:04.101 --> 00:33:06.456 epilim abalon versus nivolumab alone

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00{:}33{:}06{.}456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}08{.}727$ versus the combination thereof at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:33:08.727 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.828$ where at five years you see 26% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:33:11.828 \rightarrow 00:33:14.156$ patients are alive with EPI alone

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305
- $00{:}33{:}14.156$ --> $00{:}33{:}17.259$ 44% with anti PD one alone and 52%

 $00:33:17.260 \longrightarrow 00:33:19.588$ or maybe even higher than that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:33:19.590 \dashrightarrow 00:33:22.929$ With the combination of the two drugs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:33:22.930 \rightarrow 00:33:24.610$ So what we're really trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:33:24.610 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.140$ do in the Melanoma field,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:33:26.140 \rightarrow 00:33:27.600$ especially the drug development field,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:33:27.600 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.256$ is to raise the tennis tail

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00{:}33{:}29{.}256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}31{.}109$ at the end of the curve.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00{:}33{:}31{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}33{.}094$ So this is a figure that I borrowed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

00:33:33.094 --> 00:33:35.192 from one in Microsoft students, Irina,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:33:35.192 \longrightarrow 00:33:37.236$ who I'll mention as we go along,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:33:37.240 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.404$ just showing that targeted

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00{:}33{:}38{.}404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}39{.}277$ the rapy and chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

 $00:33:39.280 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.152$ You're very low down here with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8806305

00:33:41.152 --> 00:33:42.400 people in Malibu starting

00:33:42.465 --> 00:33:43.887 to push up. We're pushing up

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00{:}33{:}43{.}887 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}45{.}501$ further with Anti PD one even

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00{:}33{:}45{.}501 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}46{.}870$ further with the combination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

00:33:46.870 - 00:33:49.030 But really, what we need to do is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00{:}33{:}49{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}51{.}250$ get new drugs and drug combinations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:33:51.250 \rightarrow 00:33:53.259$ so hopefully in the next five years

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:33:53.259 \longrightarrow 00:33:55.778$ will have a five year survival of 80%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:33:55.780 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.680$ And eventually we'll reach 100%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00{:}33{:}57{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}01{.}656$ and until then we still have employment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00{:}34{:}01{.}660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}03{.}785$ So what are the limitations

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:34:03.785 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.635$ of immunotherapy's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

00:34:04.640 --> 00:34:07.196 the Society of Immunotherapy or City?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00{:}34{:}07{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}10{.}574$ Which is the big society that Mario

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00{:}34{:}10{.}574 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}12{.}999$ presides over recently formed a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:34:12.999 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.687$ task force to define to provide

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:34:15.687 \rightarrow 00:34:17.919$ some clinical definitions of.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784
- 00:34:17.920 --> 00:34:18.874 Limitations so firstly,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784
- $00{:}34{:}18.874 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}20.464$ not all patients respond up front.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784
- $00:34:20.470 \rightarrow 00:34:22.070$ We call that primary resistance.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784
- $00:34:22.070 \rightarrow 00:34:23.984$ Then there's some patients that will
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784
- $00:34:23.984 \rightarrow 00:34:25.260$ respond and subsequently progress.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784
- $00:34:25.260 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.850$ So we call that secondary
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784
- $00:34:26.850 \rightarrow 00:34:28.122$ resistance or required resistance.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784
- $00:34:28.130 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.083$ The third problem that we have is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784
- $00{:}34{:}30{.}083 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}31{.}959$ that we sometimes give combinations.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784
- $00:34:31.960 \longrightarrow 00:34:32.827$ So for example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784
- $00:34:32.827 \rightarrow 00:34:36.100$ when we give a pill and an urban Nevada map,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784
- $00:34:36.100 \longrightarrow 00:34:38.074$ we give the two together for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784
- $00:34:38.074 \rightarrow 00:34:40.363$ four cycles and then we continue
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784
- $00{:}34{:}40{.}363 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}42{.}155$ with Nevada map monotherapy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784
- $00{:}34{:}42.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}44.464$ So if some body has a nice response in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00{:}34{:}44{.}464 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}46{.}937$ the beginning and then 18 months later

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00{:}34{:}46{.}937 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}48{.}772$ when they're on monotherapy maintenance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:34:48.780 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.046$ they then progress.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00{:}34{:}50{.}046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}53{.}000$ Is that resistance to the combination or

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00{:}34{:}53.076 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}55.736$ is that resistance to the monother apy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00{:}34{:}55{.}736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}58{.}779$ all of these things need to be defined?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00{:}34{:}58.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}00.880$ And then how do we define regrowth

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:35:00.880 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.290$ after patient stops therapy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00{:}35{:}02{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}04{.}246$ So we normally treat for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00{:}35{:}04{.}246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}06{.}226$ limited period of time being at

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:35:06.226 \rightarrow 00:35:08.347$ one years one year or two years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:35:08.350 \rightarrow 00:35:10.576$ However long we treat for specific disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:35:10.580 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.568$ if a patient is in off therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00{:}35{:}12{.}568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}14{.}090$ and then has regrowth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00{:}35{:}14.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}15.685$ does that mean they're actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:35:15.685 \rightarrow 00:35:17.280$ resistant to the original code?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784
- $00:35:17.280 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.870$ Because in theory the tumor
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784
- $00:35:18.870 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.142$ should have been gone.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784
- $00:35:20.150 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.369$ Or are they just dependent on it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784
- $00{:}35{:}22{.}369 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}25{.}228$ and we need to continue so the task
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784
- $00:35:25.228 \dashrightarrow 00:35:27.844$ force is starting to define all of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784
- $00:35:27.844 \rightarrow 00:35:30.308$ these categories and to come up with?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784
- $00:35:30.310 \dashrightarrow 00:35:31.990$ Specific definitions that can be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784
- $00{:}35{:}31{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}34{.}046$ used for clinical track for drug
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784
- $00{:}35{:}34.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}35.641$ development so that all trials
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784
- 00:35:35.641 > 00:35:37.200 are designed the same way.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784
- $00:35:37.200 \longrightarrow 00:35:38.436$ We've started on that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784
- $00{:}35{:}38{.}436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}39{.}981$ but we're chipping away at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784
- $00:35:39.981 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.458$ all of these questions,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784
- $00{:}35{:}41{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}43{.}242$ and I think many valuable faculty
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784
- $00{:}35{:}43.242 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}44.430$ are actually participating in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:35:44.486 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.926$ this endeavour with concurrent

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00{:}35{:}45{.}926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}47{.}366$ with the clinical definitions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:35:47.370 \dashrightarrow 00:35:49.986$ we really need to work on the science.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:35:49.990 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.620$ So really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:35:50.620 \rightarrow 00:35:53.140$ what I'm going to talk about mostly today

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:35:53.205 \rightarrow 00:35:55.564$ is is translation going back and forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:35:55.570 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.224$ So what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:35:56.224 \rightarrow 00:35:57.859$ Why do patients develop resistance?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

00:35:57.860 --> 00:35:59.500 Or many many potential mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:35:59.500 \dashrightarrow 00:36:01.140$ of resistance have been described,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00{:}36{:}01{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}02{.}019$ and I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:36:02.019 \rightarrow 00:36:04.397$ You know half of the cancer immunology world

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00{:}36{:}04{.}397 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}06{.}773$ is now working on one or other of these.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:36:06.780 \longrightarrow 00:36:08.810$ So some of the some of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

00:36:08.810 - > 00:36:10.679 tumors are just desert rumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

00:36:10.680 --> 00:36:12.762 lack of till of tumor infiltrating

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784
- $00:36:12.762 \rightarrow 00:36:14.910$ lymphocytes within the tumors you can have,

00:36:14.910 --> 00:36:17.510 in effect of priming of your T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:36:17.510 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.460$ We know that defective antigen presentation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:36:19.460 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.756$ such as bile acid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

00:36:20.756 --> 00:36:21.080 beta,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00{:}36{:}21.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}22.705$ two microglobulin in the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:36:22.705 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.005$ cells will cause resistance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00{:}36{:}24.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}25.630$ Sometimes T cells get exhausted

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00:36:25.630 \longrightarrow 00:36:26.926$ as David just mentioned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00{:}36{:}26{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}29{.}279$ Of course lack of PDL one in the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00{:}36{:}29{.}279 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}31{.}143$ or in the tumor microenvironment

NOTE Confidence: 0.83978784

 $00{:}36{:}31{.}143 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}33{.}441$ suggests that we don't live PD

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

00:36:33.505 --> 00:36:34.852 one. Inhibition isn't going

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00{:}36{:}34.852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}37.036$ to do very much over there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:36:37.040 \rightarrow 00:36:38.710$ And then the other costimulatory

 $00:36:38.710 \longrightarrow 00:36:40.046$ or Co inhibitory molecules

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00{:}36{:}40.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}41.539$ that David just mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:36:41.540 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.575$ particularly teachers and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

00:36:42.575 --> 00:36:44.645 Lag 3 might also be present,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00{:}36{:}44.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}47.611$ and maybe it's just not sufficient in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00{:}36{:}47.611 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}50.996$ all cases to inhibit PD one or PDL 1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00{:}36{:}51{.}000$ --> $00{:}36{:}53{.}730$ And finally there there are many other

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00{:}36{:}53.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}56.356$ immune inhibitory cells that we need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00{:}36{:}56{.}356 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}58{.}432$ focus on in the tumor microenvironment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00{:}36{:}58{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}00{.}810$ and sometimes those might just be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

00:37:00.810 --> 00:37:03.278 overpowering the role of the T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

00:37:03.280 --> 00:37:06.311 So examples are MD's season T regs

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:37:06.311 \dashrightarrow 00:37:09.310$ which might need inhibition as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:37:09.310 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.890$ So when we started putting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00{:}37{:}10.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}12.890$ together the renewal of the spore,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:37:12.890 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.726$ one of the projects that we

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802
- $00:37:14.726 \rightarrow 00:37:16.356$ worked on is specifically looking

 $00:37:16.356 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.086$ at the innate immune system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:37:18.090 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.040$ So Sucie, when she was here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00{:}37{:}20.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}21.680$ provided all of the preliminary

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00{:}37{:}21.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}23.689$ data which I'll be reviewing very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:37:23.689 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.239$ quickly and some sewers left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:37:25.240 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.190$ Marcus has become a key collaborator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:37:27.190 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.283$ and actually it's now become a whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:37:29.283 \rightarrow 00:37:31.369$ village in the whole party because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00{:}37{:}31{.}369 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}33{.}619$ all of the investigators and trainees

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:37:33.619 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.784$ listed over here on the right are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00{:}37{:}35{.}784 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}37{.}302$ quite involved in this project,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00{:}37{:}37{.}302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}39{.}474$ and I'll mention some of their.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00{:}37{:}39{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}42{.}140$ Contribuciones as we go along.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00{:}37{:}42.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}44.270$ So Sue started off looking at

 $00:37:44.270 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.270$ Marcus is young 1.7 models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00{:}37{:}46{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}48{.}424$ so I'm sure every body knows that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:37:48.424 \longrightarrow 00:37:51.089$ this is a cell line that was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:37:51.089 \rightarrow 00:37:53.763$ generated from the from a gym model.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:37:53.770 \dashrightarrow 00:37:56.020$ It's by ref mutant and P tenancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00{:}37{:}56{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}58{.}540$ DK into a null and when you take

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:37:58.540 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.857$ this young 1.7 and you treated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:38:00.857 \dashrightarrow 00:38:04.086$ anti PD one you see over here there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00{:}38{:}04.086 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}06.138$ absolutely no tumor regression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:38:06.140 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.015$ If you irradiate the cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:38:08.015 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.515$ and generated the second.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:38:09.520 \rightarrow 00:38:12.268$ This tortoise airline called Yammer 1.7.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00{:}38{:}12.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}14.268$ ER stands for exposed to radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

00:38:14.270 --> 00:38:16.926 You get some sensitivity to anti PD one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00{:}38{:}16{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}19{.}145$ but ultimately with time these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:38:19.145 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.880$ tumors to grow out as well.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802
- $00:38:21.880 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.112$ So the first question next to asked was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802
- $00:38:24.112 \longrightarrow 00:38:26.705$ what was actually in these in these tumors.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802
- $00:38:26.710 \rightarrow 00:38:29.730$ So all of this work was done by Kurt Perry,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802
- $00:38:29.730 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.536$ who's over here on the right.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802
- $00:38:31.540 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.868$ We can see his picture and he's actually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802
- $00{:}38{:}33{.}868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}36{.}378$ one of the new fellows that match to.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802
- $00:38:36.380 \rightarrow 00:38:38.372$ Our program will be very thrilled
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802
- $00:38:38.372 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.163$ to have him as part of our
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802
- $00:38:41.163 \dashrightarrow 00:38:42.549$ medical oncology fellowship.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802
- $00:38:42.550 \dashrightarrow 00:38:44.590$ So first question that they asked
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802
- $00:38:44.590 \rightarrow 00:38:46.491$ was what was the infiltrating
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802
- $00:38:46.491 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.816$ tumor content in these mass?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802
- $00:38:48.820 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.084$ In these mass melanomas?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802
- $00{:}38{:}50{.}084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}52{.}506$ And it turns out that the predominant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802
- $00:38:52.506 \rightarrow 00:38:55.272$ cell type was actually terms or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:38:55.272 \rightarrow 00:38:56.655$ tumor associated macrophages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00{:}38{:}56{.}660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}59{.}103$ The next question that they asked was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:38:59.103 \rightarrow 00:39:01.759$ what kind of macrophages are these?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:39:01.760 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.420$ Are there more inflammatory or inhibitory?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00{:}39{:}05{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}07{.}232$ Classic definition of M1 and M2

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00{:}39{:}07{.}232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}09{.}353$ and over here on the right you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00{:}39{:}09{.}353 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}11{.}334$ see a contour plot where on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:39:11.399 \rightarrow 00:39:13.311$ X axis you've got F 480 and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

00:39:13.311 --> 00:39:16.400 Y axis you've got like 6 E.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:39:16.400 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.122$ It turns out that there at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:39:18.122 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.983$ least three populations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

00:39:18.990 - 00:39:20.718 and they're probably more than that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:39:20.720 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.160$ and just in a nutshell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:39:22.160 \rightarrow 00:39:23.888$ the terms that have highlights 6,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:39:23.890 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.186$ three like 6 E and low EF 480,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802

 $00:39:26.190 \rightarrow 00:39:27.834$ or those that are more inflammatory

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8146802
- $00:39:27.834 \rightarrow 00:39:30.080$ in the ones on the right over here

 $00:39:30.080 \longrightarrow 00:39:31.724$ are those that are presumed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8405346

 $00:39:31.779 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.820$ be more inhibitory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:39:35.970 \rightarrow 00:39:38.500$ So at that point they said, OK, we've got.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00{:}39{:}38{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}39{.}620$ We've got these terms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:39:39.620 \rightarrow 00:39:41.587$ We need to try to modulate them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

00:39:41.590 - 00:39:43.246 and there are many, many mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:39:43.246 \rightarrow 00:39:44.680$ out there for modulating terms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:39:44.680 \longrightarrow 00:39:46.661$ But the ones that they chose to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

00:39:46.661 --> 00:39:48.332 work on with CD, 40, agonism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

00:39:48.332 --> 00:39:49.737 and CSF, one R inhibition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00{:}39{:}49{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}51{.}786$ and in the beginning they used

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:39:51.786 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.150$ a small molecule inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:39:53.150 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.316$ So if you take these memory

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:39:55.316 \rightarrow 00:39:57.410$ cells and implant them in mice,

 $00:39:57.410 \rightarrow 00:40:00.370$ and you treat either with control vehicle or.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00{:}40{:}00{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}01{.}554$ The CD 40 agonist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00{:}40{:}01{.}554 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}03{.}330$ You'll see some some decrease in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:40:03.395 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.222$ the size of the tumors with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

00:40:05.222 --> 00:40:07.521 CD 40 agonist if you give the CSF

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00{:}40{:}07{.}521 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}09{.}266$ one receptor inhibitor you get a

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00{:}40{:}09{.}266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}10.696$ similar amount of tumor reduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:40:10.700 \longrightarrow 00:40:12.416$ If you give the two together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

00:40:12.420 --> 00:40:13.458 you get synergism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

00:40:13.458 - 00:40:17.150 As you can see by the red line over here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:40:17.150 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.341$ So to look back into the similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:40:19.341 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.967$ contour plots,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00{:}40{:}19{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}22{.}308$ what is the content of these different

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00{:}40{:}22.308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}24.491$ tumors within the mice treated in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:40:24.491 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.857$ graph over here on the left you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:40:26.857 \rightarrow 00:40:29.034$ see that when you give doublet therapy,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965
- $00:40:29.040 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.231$ the CD 40 agonist in the CSF

00:40:31.231 --> 00:40:32.170 one receptor inhibitory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:40:32.170 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.319$ the main difference is that you get

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:40:34.319 \rightarrow 00:40:36.463$ an increase in this little group over

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:40:36.463 \rightarrow 00:40:39.060$ here on the right in the upper corner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:40:39.060 \longrightarrow 00:40:41.924$ which are like 60 high and in 480 low and are

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

00:40:41.924 --> 00:40:44.378 presumed to be more inflammatory macrophages,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:40:44.380 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.319$ and that's essentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:40:45.319 \longrightarrow 00:40:46.884$ verified on the bar graph.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:40:46.890 \longrightarrow 00:40:48.560$ Over here on the left.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:40:48.560 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.430$ On the right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

00:40:49.430 --> 00:40:51.460 at the bottom over here you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00{:}40{:}51.525 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}53.789$ see this to the changes in the in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00{:}40{:}53.789 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}55.780$ the immune infiltrating content,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00{:}40{:}55{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}57{.}730$ and I think what's most interesting

 $00:40:57.730 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.946$ over here is that when you give

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

00:40:59.946 --> 00:41:01.638 the CD 40 agonist along with

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

00:41:01.638 --> 00:41:03.650 the CSF one receptor inhibitor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:41:03.650 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.618$ you do get an increase of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

00:41:05.618 --> 00:41:06.930 infiltration of T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00{:}41{:}06{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}09{.}378$ So possibly we might be able to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00{:}41{:}09{.}378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}11{.}076$ desert those desert tumors more

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:41:11.076 \rightarrow 00:41:13.820$ inflamed by using a regimen such as this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:41:13.820 \longrightarrow 00:41:15.668$ And in addition you get more

NOTE Confidence: 0.83383965

 $00:41:15.668 \rightarrow 00:41:17.420$ PD one high T cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}41{:}19{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}22{.}214$ So Catherine Miller Jensen on the main

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}41{:}22.214 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}24.475$ campus is developed a technology for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

00:41:24.475 --> 00:41:26.430 single cell site eccentric creation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

00:41:26.430 --> 00:41:29.038 and she looked at what the difference of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}41{:}29{.}038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}30{.}812$ was between these different treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:41:30.812 \rightarrow 00:41:33.791$ arms and what you can see here on

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826
- $00:41:33.791 \rightarrow 00:41:35.599$ the principle component analysis.

 $00:41:35.600 \rightarrow 00:41:38.330$ On the left is that if you only treat with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:41:38.402 \rightarrow 00:41:40.487$ assistive one receptor inhibitor versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:41:40.487 \rightarrow 00:41:43.679$ the city for The Agonist inhibitor alone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:41:43.680 \longrightarrow 00:41:44.766$ versus the combination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

00:41:44.766 --> 00:41:46.938 you get quite a different pattern

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:41:46.938 \rightarrow 00:41:49.296$ of cytokine secretion on the right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

00:41:49.300 --> 00:41:51.836 Oh, I'm sorry in the middle over here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:41:51.840 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.800$ you've got a heat map which we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:41:53.800 \rightarrow 00:41:55.320$ essentially depicts the differences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}41{:}55{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}57{.}632$ and some of them are highlighted over here

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}41{:}57.632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}00.397$ on the right for cytokines and chemo kinds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}42{:}00{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}02{.}290$ Pretty much as as one would expect

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}42{:}02{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}04{.}518$ when you give the combination the rapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

00:42:04.520 -> 00:42:06.739 you get an increase in TNF Alpha.

 $00{:}42{:}06{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}08{.}972$ I'll 12 BIL 6 etc and the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}42{:}08{.}972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}11{.}598$ for the panel of the side of kinds

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}42{:}11.598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}14.028$ of the chemo kinds at the bottom.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

00:42:14.030 --> 00:42:15.715 So essentially the doublet the
rapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

00:42:15.715 --> 00:42:17.767 over here is inducing quite quite

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:42:17.767 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.307$ vast changes in the animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:42:19.310 \longrightarrow 00:42:21.846$ What does it do to the T cells?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:42:21.850 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.758$ What else is important over here?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}42{:}23.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}26.048$ What you see on this figure here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}42{:}26.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}28.526$ that when you give the doublet the rapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:42:28.530 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.045$ you can actually abrogate the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

00:42:30.045 --> 00:42:32.284 effect if you give anti TNF Alpha

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

00:42:32.284 --> 00:42:33.616 or anti interferon gamma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}42{:}33.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}35.205$ again highlighting the the importance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:42:35.205 \rightarrow 00:42:38.068$ of the T cells in this process as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}42{:}38{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}40{.}198$ So with that at the time we concluded

 $00{:}42{:}40.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}42.547$ that CSF one receptor inhibitors in city

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}42{:}42{.}547 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}44{.}695$ for The Agonist treatment can induce

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:42:44.695 \rightarrow 00:42:46.310$ an inflammatory term population in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:42:46.310 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.396$ the two in the tumor microenvironment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}42{:}48{.}396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}51{.}540$ It also induces a functional T cell response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}42{:}51{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}53{.}759$ And this is dependent on TNF Alpha

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:42:53.759 \rightarrow 00:42:54.710$ and interferon gamma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:42:54.710 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.498$ and these were the preliminary data

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:42:56.498 \rightarrow 00:42:58.829$ that we had to start our project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

00:42:58.830 --> 00:43:00.420 So when we received funding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:43:00.420 \longrightarrow 00:43:02.639$ we by then Curtis Perry had gone

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:43:02.639 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.590$ off for residency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

00:43:03.590 --> 00:43:05.704 So Bill Dembski came in to help

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

00:43:05.704 --> 00:43:08.255 us and you'll see a whole cast of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}43{:}08{.}255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}10{.}240$ trainees along the way over here.

00:43:10.240 --> 00:43:12.814 So Bill Bill did a heroic job over here

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}43{:}12{.}814 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}15{.}319$ with bringing us closer to the clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}43{:}15{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}17{.}424$ So we decided at that point not to NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

00:43:17.424 --> 00:43:20.070 use a series of 1 receptor inhibitor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:43:20.070 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.390$ the small molecule inhibitor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}43{:}21{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}23{.}370$ but rather to move towards and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}43{:}23{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}24{.}654$ Antibody because of precision

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:43:24.654 \rightarrow 00:43:25.938$ of drugging our target.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}43{:}25{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}27{.}540$ Also in the clinical arena,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:43:27.540 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.605$ it would be very difficult to take

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}43{:}29.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}32.157$ a patient who progressed on a PD one NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}43{:}32{.}157 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}34{.}497$ inhibitor and not to continue the PD

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}43{:}34{.}497 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}36{.}525$ one inhibitor with the next regiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:43:36.530 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.225$ That's essentially how most regimens

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

00:43:38.225 --> 00:43:40.256 are now being developed for Melanoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:43:40.256 \rightarrow 00:43:41.666$ and renal cell as well.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826
- $00:43:41.670 \rightarrow 00:43:45.200$ So the question is what can we add onto a PD?

 $00:43:45.200 \longrightarrow 00:43:47.062$ One inhibitor to get us there so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:43:47.062 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.424$ he these are large groups of mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:43:49.424 \rightarrow 00:43:51.299$ treated either with control vehicle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}43{:}51{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}53{.}603$ either one of the three drugs alone

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}43{:}53{.}603 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}54{.}950$ so anti PD one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}43{:}54{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}56{.}846$ CD40 agonist or CSF one receptor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:43:56.850 \longrightarrow 00:43:58.608$ Any doublet of the from among

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}43{:}58{.}608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}00{.}320$ those three and the triplet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00:44:00.320 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.616$ and you can see by the Brown line

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

 $00{:}44{:}02.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}04.957$ over here that by far the triplet

NOTE Confidence: 0.8104826

00:44:04.957 --> 00:44:06.657 the
rapy was superior on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:44:06.729 \longrightarrow 00:44:08.571$ right you see the spider plots

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}44{:}08{.}571 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}10{.}730$ for the size of these tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}44{:}10.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}12.590$ which in the beginning

 $00:44:12.590 \longrightarrow 00:44:15.100$ they'll grow and then shrink.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

00:44:15.100 --> 00:44:16.033 Irina clickbait ever.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

00:44:16.033 --> 00:44:18.575 Who's MD PhD student who is in Marcus's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

00:44:18.575 --> 00:44:21.015 lab at the time or selection Marcus is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

00:44:21.015 --> 00:44:23.171 lab did similar experiments on aranka

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}44{:}23.171 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}25.626$ model because we wanted to go into NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}44{:}25.626 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}27.922$ the clinic in kidney cancer as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}44{:}27{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}29{.}575$ Again, showing their triple therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}44{:}29.575 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}31.220$ was superior to double the rapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:44:31.220 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.860$ Not quite as pretty as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}44{:}32.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}34.172$ in the Melanoma models,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}44{:}34{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}35{.}790$ but that's then that's consistent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:44:35.790 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.130$ with what we see in the clinic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:44:38.130 \rightarrow 00:44:40.692$ whereby renal cell patients respond less well

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}44{:}40.692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}43.170$ to these the rapies then Melanoma patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

00:44:43.170 --> 00:44:44.646 So because it's a sport project,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304
- $00:44:44.650 \rightarrow 00:44:46.410$ you have to have a clinical Pi and

00:44:46.410 --> 00:44:48.040 a basic science Pi and everything

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}44{:}48.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}50.014$ has to have a clinical trial so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:44:50.014 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.316$ to go back to the bedside.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:44:51.320 \rightarrow 00:44:53.534$ What are we going to do with these data?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}44{:}53{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}55{.}262$ So we formed collaborations with Bristol

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

00:44:55.262 --> 00:44:57.381 Myers Squibb and a company called a passage

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}44{:}57{.}381 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}59{.}447$ and that makes a CD 40 agonist and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}44{:}59{.}447 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}02{.}590$ were able to get them to work together.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}45{:}02.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}04.550$ The problem was that there was no

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}45{:}04{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}06{.}388$ phase one data for the triplet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

00:45:06.390 --> 00:45:08.366 Now could be oralism AB which is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}45{:}08{.}366 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}10{.}329$ CSF one receptor antibody and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

00:45:10.329 --> 00:45:12.447 volume Abbott being given to hundreds

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}45{:}12.511 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}14.615$ of patients in BM S LED studies in

 $00:45:14.615 \rightarrow 00:45:16.286$ the activity in Melanoma was modest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}45{:}16.286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}18.346$ but there was a little bit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:45:18.346 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.526$ activity at that point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}45{:}19{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}22{.}338$ We knew that a CD 40 agonist can have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}45{:}22.338 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}23.708$ significant activity in Melanoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}45{:}23.708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}26.025$ based on studies by done by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

00:45:26.091 --> 00:45:28.125 group at Penn already years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:45:28.130 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.062$ But we didn't know very much

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}45{:}30.062 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}31.350$ about the other combinations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:45:31.350 \longrightarrow 00:45:32.960$ so at the time sterilize,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}45{:}32{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}35{.}858$ brought in a Phase 1 two study of APX.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}45{:}35{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}36{.}504$ 005 AM.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:45:36.504 \rightarrow 00:45:37.470$ In other words,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:45:37.470 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.402$ the CD 40 agonist plus nivo in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}45{:}39{.}402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}41{.}148$ Melanoma and lung cancer started at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}45{:}41.148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}43.157$ around that time and we rolled a

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304
- $00:45:43.220 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.566$ good number of patients there and

 $00:45:45.566 \rightarrow 00:45:47.130$ actually saw phenomenal responses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:45:47.130 \longrightarrow 00:45:49.418$ So this is an example of a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}45{:}49{.}418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}51{.}508$ treated by doctors know who had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

00:45:51.508 --> 00:45:52.597 a mu
cosal Melanoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}45{:}52.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}54.484$ which tends to be very resistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:45:54.484 \rightarrow 00:45:56.469$ to implement map in the volume.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:45:56.470 \longrightarrow 00:45:58.645$ Evan the patient indeed had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}45{:}58.645 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}59.950$ progressed on there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:45:59.950 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.030$ So we put the patient on the CD

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

00:46:02.030 --> 00:46:03.858 40 agonist plus nevala mehrban.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}46{:}03.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}05.612$ The patients had a complete response

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:46:05.612 \rightarrow 00:46:07.604$ and remains of therapy couple of years

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}46{:}07{.}604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}09{.}403$ later we have four of these patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:46:09.460 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.686$ and others and implement Melbourne Nivolumab.

 $00:46:11.690 \rightarrow 00:46:13.190$ We don't actually see this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

00:46:13.190 --> 00:46:15.630 so may be this is the answer to Charlie's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:46:15.630 \rightarrow 00:46:17.706$ question is what's the next anti PD?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:46:17.710 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.950$ Why?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:46:19.950 \longrightarrow 00:46:21.990$ So we're very excited about this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}46{:}21{.}990$ --> $00{:}46{:}24{.}159$ molecule and with that Sarah Weiss. NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

00:46:24.160 --> 00:46:26.728 This picture over his over here and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}46{:}26{.}728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}29{.}633$ wrote a Phase one slash 1B or phase

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}46{:}29{.}633 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}32{.}238$ two for the combination of the triplet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00{:}46{:}32{.}240$ --> $00{:}46{:}34{.}448$ We partnered with the yellow Spore NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:46:34.448 \rightarrow 00:46:37.324$ in lung cancer and we were able to NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

00:46:37.324 --> 00:46:39.322 get support both from the Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

00:46:39.397 --> 00:46:41.757 Center Bristol Myers and Apixaban.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:46:41.760 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.594$ So the phase one trial design is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:46:43.594 \rightarrow 00:46:45.399$ depicted on this picture over here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304

 $00:46:45.400 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.020$ In the beginning we were very

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8090304
- 00:46:47.020 --> 00:46:48.100 anxious because nobody had

00:46:48.152 --> 00:46:49.692 ever given two macrophage modulating

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:46:49.692 \longrightarrow 00:46:51.541$ agents together and we were worried

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:46:51.541 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.298$ that we were going to get like

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00{:}46{:}53.298 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}54.360$ diffuse macro activate macrophage

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00{:}46{:}54{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}55{.}760$ activating syndrome and kill patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:46:55.760 \rightarrow 00:46:58.000$ So we had to go very very gingerly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:46:58.000 \rightarrow 00:46:59.400$ We will also working with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00{:}46{:}59{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}00{.}240$ two pharmaceutical companies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:47:00.240 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.920$ each with its own opinion so it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00{:}47{:}01{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}03{.}830$ could be oralism AB which was being

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

00:47:03.830 --> 00:47:05.255 developed by Bristol Myers Squibb

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

00:47:05.255 --> 00:47:07.115 dead already did it already defined

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00{:}47{:}07{.}115 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}08{.}924$ the recommended phase two dose and NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:47:08.924 \longrightarrow 00:47:11.196$ we had to stick with the dose that

 $00:47:11.196 \longrightarrow 00:47:13.198$ they gave us which was for me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

00:47:13.200 --> 00:47:13.962 Ramza, kilogram.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

00:47:13.962 --> 00:47:17.010 We escalated the CD 40 agonist very gently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:47:17.010 \rightarrow 00:47:19.730$ so cohort one only had the doublet therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00{:}47{:}19.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}22.730$ at a tenth of the recommended phase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00{:}47{:}22.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}25.818$ Two dose for the CD 40 agonist within

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00{:}47{:}25.818 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}28.613$ escalated by a half a log into cohort

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:47:28.613 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.824$ three in Cohort 5 and concurrently

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00{:}47{:}30{.}824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}34{.}160$ added the nevala map on with the goal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:47:34.160 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.060$ of ultimately reaching cohort six,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00{:}47{:}36{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}38{.}482$ which would be 4 doses at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00{:}47{:}38{.}482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}40{.}250$ record for of Cabrera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:47:40.250 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.640$ Lismer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00{:}47{:}40.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}43.760$ the pic surgeon drug and nivolumab at the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:47:43.760 \longrightarrow 00:47:44.963$ Same recommended phase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:47:44.963 \rightarrow 00:47:48.830$ Two dose of each one of these individually.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139
- $00:47:48.830 \longrightarrow 00:47:50.954$ Once we get to the Cohort 6 or to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139
- $00{:}47{:}50{.}954 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}53{.}167$ the recommended phase two regimen,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139
- $00{:}47{:}53.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}56.500$ the plan is to go into.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139
- $00:47:56.500 \rightarrow 00:47:57.916$ The Phase 1B component,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139
- $00:47:57.916 \longrightarrow 00:47:59.686$ which is which is essentially
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139
- $00:47:59.686 \dashrightarrow 00:48:01.180$ three phase two studies,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139
- $00:48:01.180 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.340$ each one with its Simon phase.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139
- $00:48:03.340 \longrightarrow 00:48:06.050$ Two design, one per disease.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139
- 00:48:06.050 --> 00:48:06.830 At this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139
- $00:48:06.830 \rightarrow 00:48:09.560$ this trial has lots of embedded correlates,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139
- 00:48:09.560 --> 00:48:11.510 both blood based and tumor,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139
- $00{:}48{:}11{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}13{.}070$ based with pretreatment biopsies
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139
- 00:48:13.070 --> 00:48:14.240 mandatory on treatment,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139
- $00:48:14.240 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.990$ biopsies etc.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139
- $00{:}48{:}14{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}17{.}990$ So to update you on what's going on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00{:}48{:}18.076 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}21.140$ with the Phase one trial which is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00{:}48{:}21{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}23{.}597$ integral part of the sport project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

00:48:23.600 --> 00:48:25.790 We have completed the Phase 126

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:48:25.790 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.758$ patients in total have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:48:27.758 \rightarrow 00:48:29.923$ enrolled sarahs busy preparing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00{:}48{:}29{.}923 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}32{.}433$ publication for this and that should NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

00:48:32.433 --> 00:48:34.904 be going out over the coming weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:48:34.910 \rightarrow 00:48:37.790$ Overall it was reasonably well tolerated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

00:48:37.790 --> 00:48:38.982 It certainly wasn't candy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:48:38.982 \rightarrow 00:48:41.194$ though we saw a lot of periorbital

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

00:48:41.194 --> 00:48:43.378 edema as well as diffuse edema

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

00:48:43.378 --> 00:48:45.328 elevations in CPK AST and a Lt,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:48:45.330 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.208$ but those didn't appear to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00{:}48{:}47{.}208$ --> $00{:}48{:}48{.}147$ particularly clinically significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

00:48:48.150 --> 00:48:49.406 Fevers Insider Kind release,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:48:49.406 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.976$ but a lot of fatigue.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139
- $00:48:50.980 \rightarrow 00:48:53.178$ I think that was the biggest problem.

 $00:48:53.180 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.745$ The other big problem that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00{:}48{:}54{.}745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}55{.}997$ we saw was skipped.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

00:48:56.000 - 00:48:57.570 While there was some activity

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:48:57.570 \longrightarrow 00:48:59.140$ in some of the patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00{:}48{:}59{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}01{.}162$ it was mostly stable disease in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:49:01.162 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.853$ progression of disease and not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:49:02.853 \longrightarrow 00:49:04.785$ quiet what we've seen in the mice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00{:}49{:}04{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}07{.}222$ The trial has preceded to the Phase 1B

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:49:07.222 \rightarrow 00:49:09.388$ component in Melanoma and lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:49:09.390 \longrightarrow 00:49:11.316$ Both are in the first stage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:49:11.320 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.574$ but we've we've completed the phase one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:49:13.580 \rightarrow 00:49:16.170$ I'm going to show you some examples

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00{:}49{:}16.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}17.880$ of correlative studies that we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00{:}49{:}17.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}19.756$ done and this is still a bit

00:49:19.756 --> 00:49:21.300 of a work in progress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:49:21.300 \rightarrow 00:49:23.652$ so we looked at cytokine panels before

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:49:23.652 \rightarrow 00:49:25.808$ and on treatments at 24 hours later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00{:}49{:}25.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}28.295$ and you can see nice increasing interferon

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00{:}49{:}28.295 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}30.638$ gamma as well as in in TNF Alpha.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00{:}49{:}30{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}32{.}894$ The different cohorts are listed over here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00{:}49{:}32{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}35{.}330$ but Code 5 and six are when we hit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:49:35.330 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.397$ them at the recommended phase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

00:49:37.400 --> 00:49:39.338 two dose of deep excision drugs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8301139

 $00:49:39.340 \rightarrow 00:49:43.570$ so that's where you see most of the activity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00{:}49{:}43{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}45{.}316$ There are other changes in circulating

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00{:}49{:}45{.}316$ --> $00{:}49{:}47{.}060$ cytokines and I could spend an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

00:49:47.060 --> 00:49:48.375 hour just talking about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:49:48.380 \longrightarrow 00:49:50.151$ but I selected a few just just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:49:50.151 \rightarrow 00:49:52.060$ to show you what we're seeing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:49:52.060 \rightarrow 00:49:53.758$ so we've got the CL 2,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034
- 00:49:53.760 00:49:55.608 which is a side kind that's primarily
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034
- $00:49:55.608 \rightarrow 00:49:57.440$ secreted by dendritic cells and macrophages.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034
- $00:49:57.440 \rightarrow 00:49:59.696$ Very high levels of the higher dose levels,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034
- $00{:}49{:}59{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}00{.}894$ same with. P.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034
- $00:50:00.894 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.884$ 10 and then the macrophage
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034
- 00:50:02.884 --> 00:50:04.220 colony stimulating factor,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034
- $00:50:04.220 \longrightarrow 00:50:06.705$ also highest levels in Cohort
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034
- $00{:}50{:}06{.}705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}08{.}693$ 6 but clear increases.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034
- $00:50:08.700 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.573$ Across the board,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034
- $00{:}50{:}09{.}573 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}11.610$ we do have the pretreatment and on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034
- $00:50:11.674 \rightarrow 00:50:13.299$ treatment specimens show me jessel
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034
- $00:50:13.299 \longrightarrow 00:50:15.544$ who supposed dark in my lab is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034
- $00{:}50{:}15{.}544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}17{.}224$ busy analyzing these what you see
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034
- $00:50:17.224 \rightarrow 00:50:18.950$ over here is the basic analysis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034
- $00:50:18.950 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.449$ so these are just this is just a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:50:21.449 \rightarrow 00:50:23.519$ munificent staining a CD4 and CD8 NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034 $00{:}50{:}23.519$ --> $00{:}50{:}25.054$ before treatment and on treatments NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034 $00:50:25.054 \rightarrow 00:50:27.444$ on the left is pre and on the right NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034 $00:50:27.444 \rightarrow 00:50:29.700$ is post and you can see an increase NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034 $00:50:29.768 \rightarrow 00:50:31.833$ in the infiltration of the CD 8 NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034 $00:50:31.833 \rightarrow 00:50:33.848$ cells which are colored in green. NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034 $00:50:33.850 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.415$ There's also an increase of NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034 00:50:35.415 -> 00:50:37.489 the CD Force which are in red. NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034 $00:50:37.490 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.686$ CD 68 also actually. NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034 $00{:}50{:}38.686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}40.480$ Increase in the amount of CD NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034 $00:50:40.546 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.376$ 68 on this particular patient, NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034 $00:50:42.380 \longrightarrow 00:50:44.324$ but in some patients we actually NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034 $00:50:44.324 \rightarrow 00:50:45.296$ see the opposite, NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034 $00:50:45.300 \longrightarrow 00:50:47.324$ so over here you can see that the NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034 $00:50:47.324 \rightarrow 00:50:49.114$ C8 cells pretreatment were much NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034 $00:50:49.114 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.149$ more dense than post treatment.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034
- $00:50:51.150 \rightarrow 00:50:53.425$ Although you do see some post treatment,

 $00:50:53.430 \rightarrow 00:50:55.698$ I don't know how well this projects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:50:55.700 \dashrightarrow 00:50:59.924$ There's an increase in the CD 68 though.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:50:59.930 \longrightarrow 00:51:01.939$ Just to highlight one of the challenges

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:51:01.939 \longrightarrow 00:51:03.749$ that we have with doing this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00{:}51{:}03.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}05.230$ Pre Anon treatments studies in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:51:05.230 \longrightarrow 00:51:07.337$ that it may not come from this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:51:07.337 \longrightarrow 00:51:08.747$ come from the same site,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00{:}51{:}08{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}10{.}759$ so the pretreatment was a a containers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00{:}51{:}10.759 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}12.517$ tissue metastasis on the back and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00{:}51{:}12{.}517 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}14{.}209$ the post treatment in this particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00{:}51{:}14.209 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}15.808$ patient came from the Gallbladder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:51:15.810 \longrightarrow 00:51:17.550$ so it's possible that the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

00:51:17.550 --> 00:51:19.057 micro environment in the different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:51:19.057 \dashrightarrow 00:51:21.094$ organs is playing a part over here.

 $00{:}51{:}21{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}22.846$ But because we didn't see much

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

00:51:22.846 --> 00:51:24.630 activity in the Phase one trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:51:24.630 \longrightarrow 00:51:26.646$ we're going back to the bench

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:51:26.646 \rightarrow 00:51:28.984$ to try to determine what can we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:51:28.984 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.524$ do to improve our trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

00:51:30.530 --> 00:51:31.870 So Irina clickbait ever,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:51:31.870 \rightarrow 00:51:33.545$ who was the postdoc working?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00{:}51{:}33{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}35{.}220$ I'm sorry there's the doctoral

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

00:51:35.220 --> 00:51:36.556 student in Marcus's lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:51:36.560 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.472$ partnered with Deanna,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00{:}51{:}37{.}472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}39{.}999$ who's working in my lab to ask the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:51:39.999 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.027$ question of whether we're actually just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

00:51:42.027 --> 00:51:44.599 giving too much CSF one receptor antibody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

00:51:44.600 --> 00:51:46.280 So more isn't always better,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00{:}51{:}46.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}47.932$ particularly when we're trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:51:47.932 \rightarrow 00:51:49.997$ to polarize macrophages and not

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034
- $00:51:49.997 \rightarrow 00:51:51.920$ necessarily knock them off completely.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034
- $00:51:51.920 \rightarrow 00:51:54.539$ So when we do these experiments in the mice,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034
- $00:51:54.540 \longrightarrow 00:51:55.995$ we were seeing much better
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034
- 00:51:55.995 00:51:57.159 activity than the humans,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034
- $00:51:57.160 \longrightarrow 00:51:58.610$ and the question is why?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034
- $00{:}51{:}58{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}00{.}914$ So the dose is selected for the Marin
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034
- $00:52:00.914 \rightarrow 00:52:02.528$ experiments with somewhat random we go
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034
- $00:52:02.528 \rightarrow 00:52:05.020$ based on what is done by other researchers,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034
- $00{:}52{:}05{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}07{.}124$ what's done by form at and the amount that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034
- $00{:}52{:}07{.}124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}09{.}376$ we were giving them was 200MG kilogram.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034
- $00{:}52{:}09{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}10{.}840$ So we asked the question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034
- 00:52:10.840 --> 00:52:11.130 Well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034
- $00:52:11.130 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.450$ what happens if we give them more CSF?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034
- $00{:}52{:}13.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}14.905$ One receptor antibody and keep
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034
- $00:52:14.905 \rightarrow 00:52:16.360$ the other two drug steady?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:52:16.360 \rightarrow 00:52:19.270$ And as you can see in this figure over here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

00:52:19.270 --> 00:52:20.730 if you give more CSF,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00:52:20.730 \longrightarrow 00:52:21.958$ one receptor antibody basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269034

 $00{:}52{:}21{.}958 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}22{.}879$ doubling the dose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}52{:}22{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}24{.}818$ The mice actually do less well

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}52{:}24.818 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}26.590$ die sooner or sacrificed sooner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:52:26.590 \rightarrow 00:52:29.614$ and as you can see here on the left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}52{:}29{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}31{.}570$ the tumor volume is actually bigger

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}52{:}31{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}33{.}963$ when you give the higher dose of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:52:33.963 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.683$ the CSF one receptor antibody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

00:52:35.690 --> 00:52:38.077 So we're still debating what to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

00:52:38.077->00:52:40.740 about that as we go into the clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:52:40.740 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.084$ But then the Meanwhile,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

00:52:42.084 --> 00:52:43.764 because it's a small project,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}52{:}43.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}46.129$ we still need to have an ongoing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:52:46.129 \rightarrow 00:52:48.154$ clinical trial, and the question was,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687
- $00:52:48.154 \rightarrow 00:52:50.175$ well, is the CSF one receptor

 $00{:}52{:}50{.}175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}51{.}523$ the optimal second target,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}52{:}51{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}54{.}020$ in addition to CD 40 agonist

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:52:54.020 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.680$ and PD one inhibitors?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

00:52:55.680 --> 00:52:56.778 So it's possible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:52:56.778 \rightarrow 00:52:57.876$ at least theoretically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}52{:}57{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}00{.}456$ that CTA for is a better target because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

00:53:00.456 --> 00:53:03.193 CTA for new mission is is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:53:03.193 \dashrightarrow 00:53:05.213$ key for dendritic cell activation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

00:53:05.220 --> 00:53:06.210 So Kelly Alina,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:53:06.210 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.860$ who's one of our wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

00:53:07.860 --> 00:53:09.815 surgeons in the Melanoma group

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}53{:}09{.}815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}11.455$ and also surgeon scientists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}53{:}11{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}13{.}656$ is doing work in the lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

00:53:13.660 --> 00:53:15.802 It, primarily Marcus is lab where she

 $00:53:15.802 \rightarrow 00:53:18.020$ is taking a very aggressive model

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}53{:}18.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}20.080$ marine model whereby she injects

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}53{:}20{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}22{.}470$ these cells into the left ventricle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:53:22.470 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.305$ So they developed vast mistake

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:53:24.305 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.406$ metastases all over,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}53{:}25{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}26{.}974$ including in the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}53{:}26{.}974 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}29{.}320$ And this model is particularly resistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:53:29.384 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.280$ to anti PD one in Antici TLA 4.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

00:53:31.280 --> 00:53:33.100 So the question is whether the addition

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:53:33.100 \rightarrow 00:53:35.318$ of the CD 40 agonist adds something.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}53{:}35{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}37{.}018$ And as you can see over

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:53:37.018 \longrightarrow 00:53:38.500$ here with the red bar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:53:38.500 \longrightarrow 00:53:40.565$ the addition of the CD 40 agonist

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}53{:}40{.}565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}42{.}574$ does appear to improve the survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:53:42.574 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.329$ of these nice that typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:53:44.329 \rightarrow 00:53:46.329$ will be dead within 20 days.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687
- $00:53:46.330 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.845$ This is some subq injection

 $00:53:47.845 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.740$ data over here on the left,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:53:49.740 \longrightarrow 00:53:52.220$ which we don't have time to go through,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:53:52.220 \longrightarrow 00:53:54.134$ but with those data we again

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}53{:}54{.}134 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}55{.}939$ approached the passage and we said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}53{:}55{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}57{.}638$ well, may be we should do a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:53:57.638 \rightarrow 00:53:59.350$ different trial now in parallel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:53:59.350 \rightarrow 00:54:01.886$ and this is our second trial which Kelly

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}54{:}01{.}886 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}04{.}309$ and Sarah worked with me to to write.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}54{:}04{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}06{.}660$ So it's a phase one study of the CD 40

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}54{:}06{.}728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}09{.}266$ agonist in combination with epilim urban,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}54{:}09{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}10{.}820$ the volume app in Melanoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:54:10.820 \longrightarrow 00:54:12.899$ So just to highlight some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:54:12.899 \rightarrow 00:54:14.848$ challenges of a study like this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}54{:}14.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}17.391$ we know that a polymer mabona volume

 $00:54:17.391 \longrightarrow 00:54:19.746$ app toxicity rate of at least 6570%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

00:54:19.750 --> 00:54:21.965 We're talking about these immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

00:54:21.965 --> 00:54:24.610 related adverse events all the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}54{:}24{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}26{.}326$ And we also know that sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}54{:}26{.}326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}27{.}470$ these events occur late,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}54{:}27{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}29{.}339$ so you can have a patient who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

00:54:29.339 - > 00:54:30.900 is treated comes off therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}54{:}30{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}32{.}930$ and six months later develops

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

00:54:32.930 --> 00:54:34.148 a horrendous toxicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:54:34.150 \longrightarrow 00:54:34.930$ So how long?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}54{:}34{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}37{.}130$ How do we design a study like that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:54:37.130 \longrightarrow 00:54:39.027$ How long can we follow the patients?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:54:39.030 \longrightarrow 00:54:41.062$ For how long do we go from one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:54:41.062 \rightarrow 00:54:42.279$ cohort to the other?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:54:42.280 \longrightarrow 00:54:44.163$ So it took a lot of negotiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:54:44.163 \longrightarrow 00:54:45.799$ back and forth with the FDA,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687
- $00:54:45.800 \rightarrow 00:54:47.906$ but we put a lot of thought into this

00:54:47.906 --> 00:54:49.628 really slow trial design where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:54:49.628 \rightarrow 00:54:51.490$ actually have only two dose levels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:54:51.490 \longrightarrow 00:54:54.436$ so dose level one is a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:54:54.440 \rightarrow 00:54:55.940$ Third of the recommended phase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}54{:}55{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}58{.}343$ Two dose of the seat of the CD 40

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:54:58.343 \rightarrow 00:55:01.020$ agonist which is the drug that we're adding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:55:01.020 \rightarrow 00:55:04.010$ and we give people a map in the volume AB.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}55{:}04.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}05.500$ We only treat three patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00{:}55{:}05{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}07{.}288$ Monitor them for 28 days and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289687

 $00:55:07.288 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.480$ then and then enroll

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00{:}55{:}08{.}549 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}10{.}121$ another 46 and at that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00{:}55{:}10{.}121 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}11{.}780$ all of these six patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

00:55:11.780 --> 00:55:13.950 They need to be monitored for six

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:55:13.950 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.957$ weeks so this is going to take

 $00:55:15.957 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.060$ us a long time to get through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:55:18.060 \rightarrow 00:55:20.516$ But what we're hoping is that we'll have

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:55:20.516 \rightarrow 00:55:22.848$ a regimen that may not be more toxic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:55:22.850 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.605$ but that will be significantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:55:24.605 \longrightarrow 00:55:25.307$ more effective.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00{:}55{:}25{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}28{.}163$ Then the PD one and see TLA for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

00:55:28.170 - 00:55:30.473 We have right now to finally bring

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:55:30.473 \rightarrow 00:55:32.937$ that tail of the curve up to 80%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:55:32.940 \longrightarrow 00:55:33.996$ We have started.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00{:}55{:}33{.}996 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}35{.}756$ We've enrolled three Melanoma patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

00:55:35.756 --> 00:55:37.894 or have completed their 28 day DLT

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

00:55:37.894 --> 00:55:39.940 period and they did OK with there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:55:39.940 \longrightarrow 00:55:41.848$ but they have not all completed

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:55:41.848 \longrightarrow 00:55:43.120$ their nine week observation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:55:43.120 \rightarrow 00:55:45.028$ Before Christmas, we going to enroll.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:55:45.030 \rightarrow 00:55:46.896$ Two more patients have consented and

 $00:55:46.896 \rightarrow 00:55:48.840$ we're looking for the six patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00{:}55{:}48{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}51{.}374$ but they all have to be monitored

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00{:}55{:}51{.}374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}54{.}308$ for 9 weeks before we can proceed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:55:54.310 \rightarrow 00:55:56.494$ So I'm going to conclude there that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00{:}55{:}56{.}494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}58{.}552$ Co targeting the innate and adaptive NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:55:58.552 \rightarrow 00:56:00.676$ immune system with the CSF one

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

00:56:00.676 --> 00:56:02.482 receptor inhibitor or antibody plus

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00{:}56{:}02{.}482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}04{.}911$ CD 40 agonist results in better anti

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00{:}56{:}04{.}911 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}06{.}616$ tumor activity than either alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00{:}56{:}06{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}08{.}923$ It also increases the CD 8 tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00{:}56{:}08{.}923 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}11{.}001$ content in animals if we treat

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

00:56:11.001 --> 00:56:12.711 mice bearing PD one resistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00{:}56{:}12.711 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}14.828$ tumors with all with these drugs

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

00:56:14.828 --> 00:56:16.880 in combination with anti PD one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00{:}56{:}16.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}19.274$ it does look better than the doublet.

 $00{:}56{:}19.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}21.758$ The findings were confirmed in a renal

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

00:56:21.758 --> 00:56:23.846 cell carcinoma model where we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:56:23.846 \rightarrow 00:56:25.766$ in the clinic already testing this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:56:25.770 \rightarrow 00:56:28.780$ We're having some difficulty with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00{:}56{:}28.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}29.653$ With insufficient activities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:56:29.653 \longrightarrow 00:56:31.690$ so we're back in the lab right

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:56:31.739 \longrightarrow 00:56:33.251$ now trying to modify the doses

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:56:33.251 \rightarrow 00:56:34.993$ in the regimen before we go back

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

00:56:34.993 --> 00:56:35.905 again into the clinic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00{:}56{:}35{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}38{.}286$ and this kind of back and forth between

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00{:}56{:}38.286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}40.777$ the lab in the clinic is something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

00:56:40.777 --> 00:56:43.389 can only be done at a place like this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00{:}56{:}43{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}45{.}790$ We are also at the same time evaluating

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00{:}56{:}45{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}47{.}860$ the combination with the CTL A4 inhibitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:56:47.860 \rightarrow 00:56:49.989$ and hopefully this will be as exciting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:56:49.990 \longrightarrow 00:56:51.490$ more exciting and just to

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953
- $00:56:51.490 \rightarrow 00:56:52.690$ say the final conclusion,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953
- $00{:}56{:}52{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}54{.}937$ that is that it really takes a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953
- $00:56:54.937 \rightarrow 00:56:57.438$ village to do a project like this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953
- $00:56:57.440 \longrightarrow 00:57:00.568$ So all of the the folks have been
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953
- $00{:}57{:}00{.}568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}02{.}420$ involved acknowledged on this slide.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953
- $00{:}57{:}02{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}04{.}330$ The scientific collaborators at Yale,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953
- $00:57:04.330 \longrightarrow 00:57:06.140$ colleagues in other labs have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953
- $00:57:06.140 \longrightarrow 00:57:08.550$ helped a lot through this process.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953
- $00:57:08.550 \longrightarrow 00:57:10.460$ Members of my lab members
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953
- $00:57:10.460 \longrightarrow 00:57:11.988$ of the Collaborating lab,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953
- 00:57:11.990 --> 00:57:12.756 clinical collaborators,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953
- $00{:}57{:}12.756$ --> $00{:}57{:}13.522$ pharmaceutical collaborators,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953
- $00:57:13.522 \rightarrow 00:57:15.054$ patients and their family,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953
- $00{:}57{:}15{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}16{.}970$ and then finally the funding.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953
- $00{:}57{:}16{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}20{.}402$ So I did mention the sporting skin cancer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:57:20.402 \rightarrow 00:57:23.528$ which which is funded the core project.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:57:23.530 \longrightarrow 00:57:25.910$ But the K12 is funded a couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:57:25.910 \longrightarrow 00:57:27.330$ of the investigators here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

00:57:27.330 --> 00:57:29.050 Kelly Alina and Sarah Weiss,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00{:}57{:}29.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}31.120$ and Cancer Center has supported it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:57:31.120 \longrightarrow 00:57:33.549$ and some of our folks of which

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00{:}57{:}33{.}549 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}35{.}086$ have received career development

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00{:}57{:}35{.}086 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}37{.}600$ awards as well related to this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00{:}57{:}37{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}39{.}120$ So with that I'll stop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:57:39.120 \rightarrow 00:57:40.926$ I'm happy to take any questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84019953

 $00:57:40.930 \longrightarrow 00:57:42.150$ Thank you for listening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

00:57:43.150 --> 00:57:44.263 Hurry, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00:57:44.263 \rightarrow 00:57:46.489$ What a great example of translating

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00{:}57{:}46{.}489 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}49{.}269$ science into the clinic and folks can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00:57:49.269 \rightarrow 00:57:50.829$ certainly submit questions online.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00:57:50.830 \rightarrow 00:57:53.446$ So let me I have a question watching

00:57:53.446 --> 00:57:56.111 'cause I you sort of anticipated my

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00{:}57{:}56{.}111 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}59{.}280$ question by adding the CTA four antagonist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00:57:59.280 \rightarrow 00:58:02.120$ But to what extent do you think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00{:}58{:}02{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}05{.}101$ triplet might have had greater benefit if

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00{:}58{:}05{.}101 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}07{.}729$ they weren't previously exposed to a PD?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00:58:07.730 \longrightarrow 00:58:08.498$ One antibody?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00:58:08.498 \longrightarrow 00:58:10.030$ And that's really good

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00:58:10.030 \longrightarrow 00:58:11.780$ question. So the masks were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

00:58:11.780 --> 00:58:14.250 not exposed to PD one antibody,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00:58:14.250 \longrightarrow 00:58:15.770$ whereas the humans would.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00:58:15.770 \longrightarrow 00:58:18.050$ And it's possible that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00{:}58{:}18.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}19.475$ we've we've just used that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00{:}58{:}19{.}475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}20{.}900$ app and developed it yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00{:}58{:}20{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}22{.}610$ and you're of mechanism of resistance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00{:}58{:}22.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}24.035$ so we haven't done that

 $00:58:24.035 \longrightarrow 00:58:25.175$ experiment in the mouse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

00:58:25.180 --> 00:58:26.320 But that's actually a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00:58:26.320 \longrightarrow 00:58:28.030$ really good next step to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00:58:28.030 \rightarrow 00:58:29.150$ It's a great thought.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00{:}58{:}29{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}30{.}830$ We should expose the mice to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00{:}58{:}30{.}893 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}32{.}238$ PD one inhibitors and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00{:}58{:}32{.}238 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}33{.}939$ add on the other ones instead

NOTE Confidence: 0.8341199

 $00:58:33.939 \rightarrow 00:58:35.715$ of giving all three up front.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00{:}58{:}36{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}38{.}270$ And this may be impossible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00{:}58{:}38{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}40{.}400$ but is there any consideration of

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00:58:40.400 \rightarrow 00:58:42.730$ combining all four agents in previously?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

00:58:42.730 --> 00:58:47.200 I mean that is a CSF one R CD40 anti CD L4,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00{:}58{:}47.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}49.648$ GTA 4 and PD one and I realized

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00:58:49.648 \rightarrow 00:58:52.029$ that's a smorgasbord of agents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00:58:52.030 \rightarrow 00:58:54.268$ but is that a conceivable approach?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00:58:54.270 \rightarrow 00:58:56.496$ We could, we just got it.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096
- $00:58:56.500 \rightarrow 00:58:59.468$ We can get through the 1st 3 first,

 $00:58:59.470 \longrightarrow 00:59:02.818$ so the CTA for CD for D and P1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00:59:02.820 \dashrightarrow 00:59:06.670$ So far we're doing OK with toxicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00:59:06.670 \rightarrow 00:59:08.983$ But we are only on the 1st dose level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

00:59:08.990 --> 00:59:10.022 It's it's very intimidating

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00:59:10.022 \longrightarrow 00:59:11.570$ to do all of this sure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00:59:11.570 \longrightarrow 00:59:12.862$ and then the other question

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00:59:12.862 \longrightarrow 00:59:14.926$ is in what line do you do it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00:59:14.930 \longrightarrow 00:59:17.144$ So what we're trying to do now is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00{:}59{:}17.144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}19.310$ actually move it forward to the first line,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00{:}59{:}19{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}21{.}018$ that that very last trial that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00{:}59{:}21.018 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}22.669$ showed with the CTA for antibody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00:59:22.670 \longrightarrow 00:59:26.016$ We decided to go in first line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00:59:26.020 \longrightarrow 00:59:27.790$ Mostly because of of memory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00{:}59{:}27{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}30{.}079$ So if you if you take patients

 $00:59:30.079 \rightarrow 00:59:32.019$ with her previous settling for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81057096

 $00:59:32.020 \rightarrow 00:59:34.484$ you can get additive toxicity over there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556669

 $00{:}59{:}36{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}39{.}940$ But that's a really good idea to do that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556669

 $00:59:39.940 \longrightarrow 00:59:41.180$ the mouse. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556669

00:59:41.180 --> 00:59:43.045 Yeah, well, I know where I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556669

 $00{:}59{:}43.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}44.880$ know we're just we're out of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556669

 $00{:}59{:}44.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}47.211$ We're a little past the hour and I want

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556669

 $00:59:47.211 \rightarrow 00:59:49.520$ to be sensitive to everyone's time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556669

 $00{:}59{:}49{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}50{.}732$ So Harriet and David.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556669

 $00:59:50.732 \rightarrow 00:59:52.920$ Thank you both for really exceptional talks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556669

 $00{:}59{:}52{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}54{.}152$ Congratulations on all your

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556669

 $00{:}59{:}54{.}152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}55{.}692$ work and every one in attendance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556669

 $00:59:55.700 \rightarrow 00:59:59.507$ Thank you for joining us and enjoy your day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8556669

 $00:59:59.510 \rightarrow 01:00:00.900$ Thanks. By ebye.