WEBVTT

- NOTE duration:"01:08:30.7520000"
- NOTE language:en-us
- NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:01.272 I'm Elena Ratner.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:00:01.272 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.968$  I'm the division director.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

00:00:02.970 --> 00:00:04.710 She went to college, EPL,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:00:04.710 \rightarrow 00:00:09.255$  and we're so thrilled to be with you tonight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:00:09.260 \rightarrow 00:00:12.998$  I'm joined by the most excellent providers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

00:00:13.000 --> 00:00:16.395 which I'm so proud to have his

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}00{:}16.395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}19.409$  colleagues that the Mitchell Clark,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}00{:}19{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}22.668$  who is Joanne ecologist who is

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}00{:}22.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}25.853$  practicing currently at Water Ford at

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}00{:}25.853 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}28.619$  Lawrence Memorial and join the Dario

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}00{:}28.619 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}32.532$  who is the director and who runs

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:00:32.532 \rightarrow 00:00:35.427$  multiple programs within our practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}00{:}35{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}38{.}145$  Most notably so that for

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:00:38.145 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.774$  early cancer detection.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.696366
- $00{:}00{:}39{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}41.852$  Call discovery to cure.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.696366
- 00:00:41.852 --> 00:00:43.995 And then \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* intimacy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.696366
- 00:00:43.995 --> 00:00:45.750 and menopause program,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.696366
- $00{:}00{:}45.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}48.675$  which is a survivorship program
- NOTE Confidence: 0.696366
- $00{:}00{:}48.675 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}51.417$  that we offer for patients with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.696366
- 00:00:51.417 > 00:00:54.246 cancer that has to do specifically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.696366
- $00:00:54.246 \longrightarrow 00:00:57.616$  with menopause and with \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.696366
- $00:00:57.620 \longrightarrow 00:01:00.356$  So we are so excited to be with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.696366
- $00:01:00.356 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.909$  you today to share with you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.696366
- 00:01:02.909 --> 00:01:05.134 the updates and Joanna Kalaji.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.696366
- $00:01:05.140 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.204$  So much has changed in the field of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.696366
- $00:01:08.204 \dashrightarrow 00:01:10.688$ Joanna Kalaji over the past decade.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.696366
- $00:01:10.690 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.988$  You know we no longer treat
- NOTE Confidence: 0.696366
- $00{:}01{:}12.988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}15.040$  the cancer is the same.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.696366
- $00{:}01{:}15{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}18{.}032$  You know we no longer treat the women
- NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:01:18.032 \rightarrow 00:01:20.865$  the same way just because they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:01:20.865 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.759$  a certain kind of kind of cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:01:23.760 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.172$  We truly now offer a personalized

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

00:01:26.172 --> 00:01:27.378 approach every woman.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}01{:}27.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}29.620$  Tomorrow get studied and understood

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:01:29.620 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.680$  an we truly offer a targeted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:01:32.680 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.644$  personalized approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:01:33.644 \dashrightarrow 00:01:37.302$  Not only cancer, but to every patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}01{:}37{.}302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}38{.}961$  We believe whole heartedly

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

00:01:38.961 -> 00:01:40.620 in preventative medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}01{:}40{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}44{.}008$ Joanna will talk today about the role

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:01:44.008 \dashrightarrow 00:01:47.936$  of genetics and the role of Previvor ship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

00:01:47.940 --> 00:01:51.244 You know, we have struggled over generations

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:01:51.244 \rightarrow 00:01:54.770$  for to find cure for bearing cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

00:01:54.770 --> 00:01:58.186 Few of ovarian cancer is very complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:01:58.190 \rightarrow 00:02:01.106$  There's a lot of chemotherapy resistance.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.696366
- $00{:}02{:}01{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}04{.}038$  There's a lot of reasons why.

 $00:02:04.040 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.537$  Unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:02:04.537 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.022$  these cancers recur and hands

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}02{:}07{.}022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}08{.}016$  with concentrated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:02:08.020 \rightarrow 00:02:10.234$  Work for generations on early detection

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}02{:}10{.}234 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}12{.}920$  and so much work and research is

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

00:02:12.920 --> 00:02:14.815 being done in early detection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}02{:}14.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}17.221$  but the future of ovarian cancer is

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:02:17.221 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.360$  probably not even early detection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

00:02:19.360 --> 00:02:20.262 The future,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:02:20.262 \rightarrow 00:02:23.419$  maybe with the present is just prevention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}02{:}23.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}26.031$  Finding the women who are at higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}02{:}26{.}031 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}28{.}439$  risk for these cancers and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}02{:}28{.}439 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}30{.}857$  preventing cancers in them in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}02{:}30.857 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}33.245$  1st place so that early detection

 $00:02:33.245 \rightarrow 00:02:36.038$  or cancer cure is not even an

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}02{:}36{.}038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}38{.}702$  entity that this cancers can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:02:38.702 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.018$  prevented before they even happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:02:41.020 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.895$  So that's the Clark today

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

00:02:42.895 --> 00:02:44.770 will share with us updates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}02{:}44.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}45.841$ enjoy mycology again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}02{:}45{.}841 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}47{.}626$  Kind of concentrating with this

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:02:47.626 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.227$  kind of personalized approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}02{:}49{.}227 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}51{.}687$  that we offer very much within

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}02{:}51.687 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}53.583$  Lawrence Memorial and within here

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}02{:}53{.}583 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}55{.}268$  within the Yale Health System.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:02:55.270 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.401$  And then join,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}02{:}56{.}401 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}59{.}040$  I will talk to you about Providership

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}02{:}59{.}117 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}02{.}013$  and how important it is to find these

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

00:03:02.013 --> 00:03:04.298 women record high risk for these

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:03:04.298 \dashrightarrow 00:03:06.518$  cancers and how important is to

- NOTE Confidence: 0.696366
- $00:03:06.520 \rightarrow 00:03:08.020$  really have comprehensive approach.

00:03:08.020 --> 00:03:08.770 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:03:08.770 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.922$  it is so important to remember that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:03:11.922 \rightarrow 00:03:14.067$  genetic mutations do not just affect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

00:03:14.070 --> 00:03:16.326 The ovary or the breast that all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}03{:}16{.}326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}18{.}940$  them are intertwined and this is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:03:18.940 \rightarrow 00:03:20.925$  just something that affects women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:03:20.930 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.855$  This is something that affects

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}03{:}22.855 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}25.161$  women and man for generations and

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:03:25.161 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.317$  it is so important that we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:03:27.317 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.609$  offering this kind of a care to

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}03{:}29{.}609 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}31{.}214$  our patients and their families.

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:03:31.220 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.964$  So again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00{:}03{:}31{.}964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}34{.}568$  thank you and welcome and we're so

NOTE Confidence: 0.696366

 $00:03:34.568 \rightarrow 00:03:37.050$  thrilled to be with you on this Webex.

 $00:03:37.050 \rightarrow 00:03:38.770$  But really even more so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83869994

 $00:03:38.770 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.876$  We're so thrilled to be part

NOTE Confidence: 0.83869994

00:03:40.876 --> 00:03:43.178 of your community and to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.83869994

00:03:43.178 --> 00:03:44.818 providing Joanna Kalaji care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83869994

 $00{:}03{:}44.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}47.070$  At Lawrence Memorial Dr Clark.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83869994

00:03:47.070 --> 00:03:48.420 Yes thank you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212605

 $00{:}03{:}48{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}51{.}227$  so much. I'm just gonna switch over

NOTE Confidence: 0.8212605

 $00:03:51.227 \rightarrow 00:03:54.270$  and share my screen with everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

00:03:56.280 --> 00:03:59.735 All race. Alright, so thank you so

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}03{:}59{.}735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}01{.}317$  much Doctor Ratner for the excellent NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:04:01.317 \rightarrow 00:04:02.605$  introduction and thanks everyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:04:02.605 \rightarrow 00:04:04.558$  for joining us tonight on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

00:04:04.558 --> 00:04:06.018 sort of rainy Wednesday evening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

00:04:06.020 -> 00:04:07.730 For those who, I haven't had

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:04:07.730 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.190$  a chance to meet you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

00:04:09.190 --> 00:04:10.906 My name is Doctor Mitchell Clark.

 $00:04:10.910 \dashrightarrow 00:04:12.458$  I'm within the division of dynamic

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:04:12.458 \dashrightarrow 00:04:14.528$  at Yale and my clinical practice is

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

00:04:14.528 --> 00:04:16.490 focused here at Lawrence and Memorial

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:04:16.490 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.400$  and at the Water for Care Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:04:18.400 \dashrightarrow 00:04:20.074$  And we're going to start to night

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}04{:}20.074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}21.837$  session by talking about updates and

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}04{:}21.837 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}23.625$  ovarian cancer which Doctor Ratner has

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:04:23.625 \rightarrow 00:04:25.598$  alluded to is very exciting right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}04{:}25{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}27{.}040$  I don't have any disclosures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:04:27.040 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.308$  either financial or use of any off

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:04:29.308 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.940$  label medication in this talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

00:04:30.940 --> 00:04:32.907 So just some objectives for what I

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

00:04:32.907 --> 00:04:35.013 hope to cover in a quick discussion

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}04{:}35{.}013 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}37{.}240$  on these highlights is talk a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

00:04:37.240 --> 00:04:39.454 bit how the proposed origins ovarian NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}04{:}39{.}454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}41{.}854$  cancer have changed and how this impacts

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}04{:}41.854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}43.459$  early prevention and early diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}04{:}43.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}45.868$  Review some of the data on opportunistic NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

00:04:45.868 --> 00:04:47.606 salping<br/>ectomy and then risk reducing

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}04{:}47.606 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}49.538$  surgery for women who are identified

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:04:49.538 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.097$  it being a particularly high

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}04{:}51.097 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}53.085$  risk and then in those women who

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:04:53.090 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.337$  do go on to develop a cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}04{:}55{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}56{.}620$  How we've increased our

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:04:56.620 \rightarrow 00:04:57.900$  use of new management,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}04{:}57{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}59{.}196$  chemotherapy and special interval

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:04:59.196 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.816$  surgical techniques for these women

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:05:00.816 \rightarrow 00:05:02.767$  to help manage their advanced disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:05:02.770 \dashrightarrow 00:05:05.185$  And then probably most exciting of all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}05{:}05{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}07{.}260$  is to discuss some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

00:05:07.260 - 00:05:08.640 emerging data on maintenance,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784
- $00{:}05{:}08.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}08.965$  treatment,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784
- $00{:}05{:}08{.}965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}11{.}240$  and so how we can extend the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784
- $00{:}05{:}11{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}13{.}390$  survival of our survivors of ovarian
- NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784
- $00:05:13.390 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.532$  cancer for years down the road.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784
- $00{:}05{:}15{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}17{.}574$  So my practice is primarily focused
- NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784
- $00{:}05{:}17.574 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}20.019$  here in the water for Care Center.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784
- 00:05:20.020 --> 00:05:21.332 Like I mentioned before,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784
- $00:05:21.332 \rightarrow 00:05:23.300$  we feel full range of gynecological
- NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784
- $00:05:23.360 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.509$  malignancy's as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784
- $00{:}05{:}24.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}26.562$  If complex joint surgical care as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784
- $00:05:26.562 \rightarrow 00:05:28.573$  well as patients who are either
- NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784
- 00:05:28.573 --> 00:05:30.853 thought to have or known to have a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784
- $00:05:30.924 \rightarrow 00:05:33.020$  hereditary cancer syndrome which.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784
- 00:05:33.020 --> 00:05:34.530 Joanna will expand a little
- NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784
- $00:05:34.530 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.610$  bit on later on this evening.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:05:36.610 \dashrightarrow 00:05:40.210$  This data is and is not new for many of you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}05{:}40{.}210$  -->  $00{:}05{:}42{.}450$  Most of you are aware that this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}05{:}42{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}44{.}176$  the second most common gynecological

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:05:44.176 \dashrightarrow 00:05:46.745$  malignancy that we see in the US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:05:46.750 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.946$  but it certainly accounts for far

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}05{:}48{.}946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}50{.}646$  more death and unfortunately in

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

00:05:50.646 --> 00:05:52.284 2020 / 21,000 women were diagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:05:52.284 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.210$  with this disease and 13,000 of

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

00:05:54.267 --> 00:05:55.897 them succumb to their illness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:05:55.900 \dashrightarrow 00:05:58.516$  and we've alluded to the problem for decades.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}05{:}58.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}00.599$  Has been that most of these women

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

00:06:00.599 --> 00:06:02.439 presented a very advanced stage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}06{:}02{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}04{.}561$  and as we would expect from with

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:06:04.561 \dashrightarrow 00:06:06.700$  all cancers or survival outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:06:06.700 \rightarrow 00:06:08.380$  Certainly decrease as stage increases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:06:08.380 \rightarrow 00:06:10.746$  but we know now through advances in

 $00:06:10.746 \rightarrow 00:06:12.472$  molecular testing and things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:06:12.472 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.428$  will talk about further on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:06:14.430 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.915$  These are not just all the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

00:06:16.915 --> 00:06:19.302 ovarian cancer and the site is very

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}06{:}19.302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}21.820$  busy and there's no quiz at the end,

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}06{:}21.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}24.130$  but just to highlight the real

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}06{:}24.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}25.670$  heterogeneous grouping of histologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:06:25.737 \dashrightarrow 00:06:27.495$  subtypes and how important it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

00:06:27.495 --> 00:06:29.680 that we expand our knowledge of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}06{:}29.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}31.576$  each of these relate to diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}06{:}31.576$  -->  $00{:}06{:}34.300$  into treatment and to survivorship.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00{:}06{:}34{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}36{.}288$  This is a picture taken out of

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:06:36.288 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.733$  a book that you know many of us

NOTE Confidence: 0.60725784

 $00:06:38.733 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.253$  have probably seen in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00{:}06{:}40{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}42{.}071$  training, highlighting the survivorship

 $00:06:42.071 \rightarrow 00:06:44.333$  and lifetime experience of women with

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:06:44.333 \rightarrow 00:06:46.607$  ovarian cancer that really shows a sort NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00{:}06{:}46{.}607 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}48{.}377$  of antiquated experience that many of NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:06:48.377 \rightarrow 00:06:50.099$  us have been dealing with for years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00{:}06{:}50{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}51{.}640$  which is survival measured in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00{:}06{:}51{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}53{.}580$  many months and not many years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00{:}06{:}53{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}56{.}199$  And I hope we can circle back to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:06:56.199 \rightarrow 00:06:58.460$  figure at the end of the talk and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:06:58.460 \rightarrow 00:07:00.461$  show how these advances in ovarian NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

00:07:00.461 --> 00:07:03.058 cancer have really LED us to believe NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

00:07:03.060 - 00:07:05.489 that we're going to pull this graph.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:07:05.490 \dashrightarrow 00:07:07.826$  From side to side and really expand the NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

00:07:07.826 --> 00:07:09.929 Survivor ship time and disease free time NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:07:09.929 \rightarrow 00:07:12.220$  that our patients are able to experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:07:12.220 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.444$  So what came first?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:07:13.444 \rightarrow 00:07:14.974$  The chicken or the egg?

 $00:07:14.980 \rightarrow 00:07:17.725$  And you know where did this cancer come from?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00{:}07{:}17.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}19.908$  Some of you may be aware of the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:07:19.908 \rightarrow 00:07:22.167$  that is emerging to suggest that maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

00:07:22.167 --> 00:07:24.545 the most common types of ovarian cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:07:24.545 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.904$  actually started in the tube for years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:07:26.910 \dashrightarrow 00:07:28.919$  We thought that it was this obligatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:07:28.919 \rightarrow 00:07:31.118$  rupture on the surface of the ring

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:07:31.118 \rightarrow 00:07:32.713$  that was causing these mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:07:32.720 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.869$  and cancer would go on to develop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:07:34.870 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.820$  But now there's some really exciting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:07:36.820 \dashrightarrow 00:07:38.920$  Research and and special pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00{:}07{:}38{.}920$  -->  $00{:}07{:}41{.}020$  techniques were identifying these lesions NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:07:41.079 \dashrightarrow 00:07:43.212$  at a very early stage or what is called NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:07:43.212 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.559$  the stick lesion or the precursor lesion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:07:45.560 \longrightarrow 00:07:47.235$  and through this work and

 $00:07:47.235 \rightarrow 00:07:48.575$  some very exciting science,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00{:}07{:}48.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}50.932$  we actually think that the most likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:07:50.932 \dashrightarrow 00:07:52.990$  mechanism is that these these cancers NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

00:07:52.990 - 00:07:55.300 probably start in the tube and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00{:}07{:}55{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}57{.}010$  migrate into the peritoneal cavity

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

00:07:57.010 -> 00:07:59.665 and spread in the in the way that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

00:07:59.665 --> 00:08:01.675 we know ovarian cancer to behave,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:08:01.680 \rightarrow 00:08:04.848$  and so that brings up the opportunity of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00{:}08{:}04{.}848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}07{.}160$  salping ectomy for our women who are average.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:08:07.160 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.068$  Age, risk and what is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

00:08:09.068 --> 00:08:09.704 opportunistic salpingectomy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:08:09.710 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.066$  When are these opportunities?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

00:08:11.066 --> 00:08:13.100 If you're telling me the cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:08:13.163 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.498$  is starting in the tube,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:08:14.500 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.741$  well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:08:14.741 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.428$  let's take them out so this can

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936
- $00:08:16.428 \rightarrow 00:08:18.964$  be at times when women are having

 $00{:}08{:}18{.}964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}20{.}560$  hysterectomy for benign indications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

00:08:20.560 --> 00:08:22.468 Women who are undergoing cesarean section,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:08:22.470 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.742$  desire surgical sterilization or

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

00:08:23.742 --> 00:08:25.332 perhaps women who are searching,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:08:25.340 \rightarrow 00:08:26.620$  searching for surgical sterilization.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

00:08:26.620 --> 00:08:27.580 Outside of pregnancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

00:08:27.580 --> 00:08:28.222 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

00:08:28.222 --> 00:08:31.090 I hear a lot of providers said to me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

00:08:31.090 --> 00:08:31.726 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:08:31.726 \dashrightarrow 00:08:33.952$  I've been doing one technique for years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00{:}08{:}33{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}36{.}389$  I do the Pomeroy or the modified

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:08:36.389 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.049$  Pomeroy very happy with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

00:08:38.050 --> 00:08:38.929 I'm very comfortable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:08:38.929 \rightarrow 00:08:41.720$  Is the data strong and what is the benefit?

00:08:41.720 --> 00:08:44.107 And this just highlights some of the NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936  $00{:}08{:}44{.}107 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}46{.}006$  exciting research that's come out of NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936  $00:08:46.006 \rightarrow 00:08:47.644$  some of the cancer registry showing NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936  $00:08:47.644 \rightarrow 00:08:49.585$  a 35% risk reduction for death from NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936  $00:08:49.585 \rightarrow 00:08:51.402$  ovarian cancer in women who underwent NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936  $00:08:51.402 \rightarrow 00:08:53.346$  an opportunistic self inject to me. NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936  $00:08:53.350 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.485$  I hear a lot of people say. NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936 00:08:55.490 --> 00:08:57.625 Also, you know, I'm a little worried. NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936  $00:08:57.630 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.160$  At the time of C-section. NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936  $00:08:59.160 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.685$  Those tubes are really engorged NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936  $00{:}09{:}00{.}685 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}02{.}530$  or I'm worried about blood loss, NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936 00:09:02.530 --> 00:09:03.469 but Jessica McAlpine, NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936  $00:09:03.469 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.982$  who was a fellow here at Yale a NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936 00:09:05.982 --> 00:09:07.746 number of years ago and have gone NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936  $00:09:07.746 \rightarrow 00:09:09.819$  on to Vancouver and done amazing NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936  $00:09:09.819 \rightarrow 00:09:11.699$  research and work out there.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936
- $00:09:11.700 \longrightarrow 00:09:13.898$  Show that in her series there was

00:09:13.898 --> 00:09:15.186 no increase, blood transfusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

00:09:15.186 --> 00:09:15.514 hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:09:15.514 \rightarrow 00:09:17.482$  length of admission was the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:09:17.482 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.920$  and no re emission rates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:09:18.920 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.595$  Being higher for those who

NOTE Confidence: 0.85999936

 $00:09:20.595 \rightarrow 00:09:22.270$  underwent this procedure and really

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:09:22.328 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.616$  only added to 10 to 15 minutes of

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}09{:}24.616$  -->  $00{:}09{:}26.459$  additional surgical time, so you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

00:09:26.459 --> 00:09:28.337 that's our average age risk women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}09{:}28.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}31.166$  but I've Joanne is going to talk about later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}09{:}31{.}170$  -->  $00{:}09{:}33{.}618$  What do we do for patients who are known NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:09:33.618 \dashrightarrow 00:09:36.895$  to harbor a mutation in one of these genes NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

00:09:36.895 --> 00:09:39.028 that significantly increases their risk?

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:09:39.030 \rightarrow 00:09:40.960$  And we've traditionally been doing

 $00:09:40.960 \rightarrow 00:09:42.890$  risk reducing bilateral salpingo for

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}09{:}42{.}949 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}44{.}971$  ectomy when women have made that

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}09{:}44.971 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}46.760$  decision after information is shared,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:09:46.760 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.600$  decision making with their provider.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}09{:}48.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}50.574$  But there are complications related to

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:09:50.574 \rightarrow 00:09:53.009$  quality of life from surgical menopause.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}09{:}53.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}54.482$  These are important decisions

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:09:54.482 \rightarrow 00:09:55.586$  that women make,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}09{:}55{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}57{.}615$  balancing their quality of life

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}09{:}57.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}00.199$  against the risk of cancer and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}10{:}00{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}02{.}258$  Two separate research groups have now

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:10:02.258 \rightarrow 00:10:04.368$  collaborated to explore the idea of well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:10:04.370 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.296$  if this is in the tube,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}10{:}06{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}08{.}547$  why not just take out the two?

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}10{:}08.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}10.790$  And so I want to caution you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

00:10:10.790 --> 00:10:13.037 This is still an ongoing clinical trial,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.842747
- $00:10:13.040 \rightarrow 00:10:14.876$  but something I wanted to highlight
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842747
- 00:10:14.876 --> 00:10:16.100 as emerging evidence that's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842747
- $00:10:16.156 \dashrightarrow 00:10:17.856$  hopefully coming down the pipeline.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842747
- $00:10:17.860 \rightarrow 00:10:19.036$  The Tubo whiffed study,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842747
- $00{:}10{:}19{.}036 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}21{.}549$  which is a combination of a an American
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842747
- $00{:}10{:}21.549 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}23.619$  and European initiative that looks at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842747
- $00{:}10{:}23.619 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}25.931$  doing just the tube and delaying new
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842747
- $00:10:25.931 \rightarrow 00:10:28.156$  for ectomy for delaying that time again.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842747
- $00:10:28.156 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.154$  We don't have the data yet.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842747
- 00:10:30.160 --> 00:10:30.694 For this,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842747
- $00:10:30.694 \rightarrow 00:10:32.296$  and we're hoping to see what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842747
- $00{:}10{:}32.296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}33.460$  emerges from this trial,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842747
- $00:10:33.460 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.966$  but just to highlight the fact
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842747
- $00{:}10{:}34.966 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}36.585$  that we're really are focused on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842747
- 00:10:36.585 --> 00:10:37.985 trying to prevent this ovarian
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

00:10:37.985 --> 00:10:39.509 cancer before it even happens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:10:39.510 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.710$  and finding ways that we can do that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:10:41.710 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.943$  that balance of quality of life and

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}10{:}43{.}943 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}45{.}782$  individual choices for women when they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:10:45.782 \rightarrow 00:10:48.370$  trying to decide what to do for themselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

00:10:48.370 --> 00:10:48.674 Unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:10:48.674 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.106$  a number of women will go on to

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:10:51.106 \rightarrow 00:10:52.999$  develop an advanced ovarian cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

00:10:53.000 --> 00:10:55.358 and I'm sure like many of you and your

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

00:10:55.358 --> 00:10:57.495 guy knock rotation as resident you

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

00:10:57.495 --> 00:10:59.726 were involved in these long complex

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}10{:}59{.}726$  -->  $00{:}11{:}02{.}218$  operations with lots of disease and we NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}11{:}02{.}218 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}04{.}013$  are really complex surgical debulking

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

00:11:04.013 --> 00:11:05.818 because for decades our knowledge

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}11{:}05{.}818 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}07{.}897$  was that you know a big operation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:11:07.900 \rightarrow 00:11:09.868$  Removing all the visible cancer as

- NOTE Confidence: 0.842747
- $00:11:09.868 \rightarrow 00:11:12.198$  possible gives the best outcome to women,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842747
- 00:11:12.200 --> 00:11:14.594 but I'm sure you also saw a lot of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842747
- $00:11:14.594 \rightarrow 00:11:16.838$  patients experience more bitteti summer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842747
- 00:11:16.840 --> 00:11:17.182 Fortunately,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842747
- $00:11:17.182 \rightarrow 00:11:18.550$  mortality and high complication
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842747
- $00{:}11{:}18.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}19.576$  rates for those.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842747
- $00:11:19.580 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.440$  Who are universally treated with the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842747
- $00:11:21.440 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.044$  same approach so universally taken
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842747
- $00{:}11{:}23.044 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}24.594$  to the OR without consideration
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842747
- $00:11:24.594 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.834$  of individual life factors.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842747
- $00:11:25.840 \rightarrow 00:11:28.304$  And so there have been a number of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842747
- $00:11:28.304 \rightarrow 00:11:30.228$  trials exploring the use of how
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842747
- $00:11:30.228 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.468$  we give some chemotherapy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842747
- 00:11:31.470 --> 00:11:33.348 First, let's shrink this cancer down.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842747
- $00{:}11{:}33{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}35{.}303$  Let's try to have an operation that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:11:35.303 \rightarrow 00:11:37.420$  maybe is a little less radical,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

00:11:37.420 --> 00:11:39.244 but can still provide the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:11:39.244 \rightarrow 00:11:40.860$  Uncle logic outcome for women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:11:40.860 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.743$  And this is just one of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}11{:}42.743 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}44.697$  trials that I wanted to highlight

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}11{:}44.697 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}46.497$  which is the chorus trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}11{:}46{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}48{.}105$  These folks randomized advanced age

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

00:11:48.105 -> 00:11:49.710 ovarian cancer to undergo either.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

00:11:49.710 --> 00:11:51.845 Up<br/>front surgery followed by chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}11{:}51{.}845 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}54{.}453$  or some chemotherapy first followed by NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

00:11:54.453 --> 00:11:56.503 surgery followed by more chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}11{:}56{.}503 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}58{.}143$  and therewith al show essentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}11{:}58{.}207 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}00{.}174$  the same thing that both arms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

00:12:00.174 --> 00:12:01.894 this study showed equal survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00{:}12{:}01{.}894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}04{.}079$  both overall and progression free

NOTE Confidence: 0.842747

 $00:12:04.079 \rightarrow 00:12:06.738$  survival and we've now gone on to

 $00:12:06.738 \rightarrow 00:12:08.664$  further analyze and try to identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}12{:}08{.}731 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}10{.}526$  for each individual woman who's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

00:12:10.526 --> 00:12:12.780 best served by a primary surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

00:12:12.780 --> 00:12:15.030 who's best served by neoadjuvant

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:12:15.030 \rightarrow 00:12:17.218$  chemotherapy and really trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:12:17.218 \rightarrow 00:12:19.132$  make sure that we're picking the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:12:19.132 \rightarrow 00:12:21.278$  right thing for the right patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:12:21.280 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.680$  And not treating this as just one lump

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:12:23.680 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.364$  category of disease and with that has come

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:12:26.364 \rightarrow 00:12:28.799$  the adoption of minimally invasive surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

00:12:28.800 --> 00:12:29.826 For ovarian cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:12:29.826 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.467$  you know, ten years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:12:31.467 \rightarrow 00:12:33.381$  this is something we would really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}12{:}33{.}381 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}35{.}988$  have not even considered or thought about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}12{:}35{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}38{.}104$  But as we're treating more and more

 $00:12:38.104 \rightarrow 00:12:39.750$  women with neoadjuvant chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}12{:}39.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}41.682$  we're seeing more and more women who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:12:41.682 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.850$  may be good candidates to undergo

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

00:12:43.850 --> 00:12:45.558 a minimally invasive approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:12:45.560 \rightarrow 00:12:48.296$  So what is the role of robotic surgery?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

00:12:48.300 --> 00:12:49.323 Minimally invasive surgery?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:12:49.323 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.904$  In this disease, you know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:12:50.904 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.843$  For women who are not able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

00:12:52.843 --> 00:12:54.568 undergo that primary debulking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

00:12:54.568 --> 00:12:56.420 surgery did individualy factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

00:12:56.420 --> 00:12:58.166 there may be an opportunity to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}12{:}58.166 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}00.494$  offer them a surgery with all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}13{:}00{.}494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}02{.}324$  benefits of laparoscopy while still

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:13:02.324 \rightarrow 00:13:04.490$  maintaining the same oncologic outcomes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}13{:}04{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}06{.}938$  And so we're very excited to see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

00:13:06.938 --> 00:13:08.780 development of randomized control trials

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502
- $00:13:08.780 \rightarrow 00:13:11.510$  that are going to answer this question.

 $00:13:11.510 \longrightarrow 00:13:12.455$  These are ongoing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}13{:}12{.}455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}15{.}061$  but until then we continue to look for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}13{:}15{.}061 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}17{.}095$  patients who are excellent candidates who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:13:17.095 \rightarrow 00:13:20.187$  may be able to benefit from a minimally

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:13:20.187 \rightarrow 00:13:21.799$  invasive approach when carefully.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:13:21.800 \longrightarrow 00:13:23.900$  Select it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}13{:}23{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}24{.}988$  One additional exciting advance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}13{:}24{.}988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}27{.}000$  in the way we do these interval

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:13:27.000 \rightarrow 00:13:28.615$  surgeries is the incorporation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}13{:}28.615 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}31.094$  what is called heated or hyperthermic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}13{:}31.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}32.230$  intraperitoneal chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}13{:}32{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}34.666$  For those of you who've taken care

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}13{:}34.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}36.516$  of patients with appendix feel

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}13{:}36{.}516$  -->  $00{:}13{:}38{.}724$  cancer with some of the other

00:13:38.724 --> 00:13:40.900 GI cancers you may be aware of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

00:13:40.900 --> 00:13:42.640 This technique for those diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}13{:}42.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}44.305$  but we've seen randomized evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:13:44.305 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.680$  to show that in women who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}13{:}46.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}47.964$  carefully selected and offered

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}13{:}47{.}964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}50{.}270$  this as part of their treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:13:50.270 \rightarrow 00:13:52.130$  they actually experienced an improvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:13:52.130 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.390$  in their survival as well again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}13{:}54{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}56{.}165$  All about making the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:13:56.165 \rightarrow 00:13:57.940$  selection for the right patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}13{:}57{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}59{.}715$  taking into account all those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:13:59.715 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.780$  individual life factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}14{:}00{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}04{.}182$  This is not a one or one solution for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}14{:}04{.}182 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}07{.}286$  every woman type of disease anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}14{:}07{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}09{.}846$  And just to finish off of what I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:14:09.846 \rightarrow 00:14:12.571$  is probably some of the more exciting

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502
- $00:14:12.571 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.024$  evidence and will sort of lupus
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502
- 00:14:15.024 --> 00:14:17.034 into joann's discussion very nicely
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502
- $00:14:17.034 \rightarrow 00:14:19.233$  is really the role of maintenance
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502
- 00:14:19.233 --> 00:14:21.219 therapy and how our understanding of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502
- $00:14:21.219 \longrightarrow 00:14:23.965$  the biology of the tumor is critical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502
- $00{:}14{:}23.965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}25.533$  in assessing individualized treatment.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502
- $00:14:25.540 \rightarrow 00:14:27.000$  Patients complete their chemotherapy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502
- $00{:}14{:}27.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}28.095$  We know that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502
- 00:14:28.100 --> 00:14:28.478 Unfortunately,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502
- $00:14:28.478 \rightarrow 00:14:31.124$  most of our patients with advanced stage
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502
- $00:14:31.124 \rightarrow 00:14:32.980$  disease will experience a recurrence
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502
- $00{:}14{:}32{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}35{.}395$  at some point in their cancer journey.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502
- $00{:}14{:}35{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}37{.}952$  So how can we extend that time between NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502
- 10111 Confidence: 0.0012002
- $00{:}14{:}37{.}952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}39{.}910$  recurrences while maintaining excellent?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502
- $00:14:39.910 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.927$  Quality of life.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:14:40.927 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.808$  I'm not going to get too much into

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

00:14:43.808 - 00:14:46.400 the field of molecular biology here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}14{:}46{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}48{.}794$  but there are some very exciting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

00:14:48.794 --> 00:14:51.290 studies showing that almost half of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}14{:}51{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}53{.}355$  ovarian cancers are deficient in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}14{:}53{.}355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}55{.}619$  mechanisms that allow for DNA repair

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}14{:}55{.}619$  -->  $00{:}14{:}58{.}242$  and without taking us all back to our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}14{:}58{.}242 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}59{.}770$  undergraduate molecular biology course.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:14:59.770 \longrightarrow 00:15:00.154$  Really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:15:00.154 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.074$  To just summarize that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}15{:}02{.}074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}03{.}610$  really exploiting the inherent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}15{:}03.676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}05.541$  problems with these cancer cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00:15:05.541 \rightarrow 00:15:07.406$  and turning them off themselves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}15{:}07{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}09{.}365$  and so through some very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}15{:}09{.}365 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}10{.}538$  exciting preclinical and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502

 $00{:}15{:}10.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}12.315$  Clinical trials we seen that

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8572502
- $00:15:12.315 \longrightarrow 00:15:13.735$  these class of medications,

 $00:15:13.740 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.748$  called PARP inhibitors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}15{:}14.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}17.540$  which are an oral drug taken for two

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:15:17.540 \rightarrow 00:15:19.440$  to three years after chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

00:15:19.440 --> 00:15:21.095 I've had excellent results in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:15:21.095 \rightarrow 00:15:22.419$  allowing patients to experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:15:22.419 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.070$  a prolonged survival solo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:15:24.070 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.008$  one which was just published a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}15{:}26.008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}28.148$  couple of years ago with probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}15{:}28{.}148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}30{.}476$  the most exciting of these trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}15{:}30{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}32{.}395$  These were women exclusively with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}15{:}32{.}395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}34{.}695$  the bracket one and bracket two

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}15{:}34.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}36.579$  mutation either in their tumor or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}15{:}36{.}579 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}38{.}658$  in their all of their country,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:15:38.660 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.928$  or all their cells, or both.

 $00{:}15{:}40{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}43{.}362$  The germ line and when given for two

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:15:43.362 \rightarrow 00:15:45.999$  years after they completed their treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

00:15:46.000 --> 00:15:47.648 they had significantly longer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:15:47.648 \rightarrow 00:15:49.708$  progression free survival than those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}15{:}49{.}708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}51{.}787$  women who went on to take place bo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}15{:}51{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}54{.}430$  And this is hot off the press from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}15{:}54{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}57{.}219$  the Fgo meeting about 10 days ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:15:57.220 \rightarrow 00:15:59.362$  Really thrilling results to see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}15{:}59{.}362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}01{.}560$  five year updates of this trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:16:01.560 \rightarrow 00:16:03.779$  and these are just numbers we never

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}16{:}03.779 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}06.411$  dreamed of seeing an ovarian cancer with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}16{:}06{.}411 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}08{.}436$  patients were randomized to elaborate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:16:08.440 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.920$  having a median progression

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}16{:}09{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}11{.}400$  free survival of almost.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

00:16:11.400 --> 00:16:13.050 Five years and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:16:13.050 \rightarrow 00:16:15.360$  if we just think about the women,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635
- $00:16:15.360 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.680$  we've carefully over the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635
- 00:16:16.680 --> 00:16:18.000 years with ovarian cancer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635
- $00:16:18.000 \rightarrow 00:16:19.655$  to imagine telling someone after
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635
- $00{:}16{:}19.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}21.687$  their initial treatment to expect a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635
- $00:16:21.687 \rightarrow 00:16:23.277$  five year progression free survival.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635
- 00:16:23.280 --> 00:16:24.600 Let's just, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635
- $00:16:24.600 \rightarrow 00:16:24.930$  really,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635
- $00:16:24.930 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.565$  thrilling stuff that we could
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635
- $00:16:26.565 \rightarrow 00:16:28.560$  have never imagined in the past.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635
- $00:16:28.560 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.474$  There's still a role for these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635
- $00:16:30.474 \rightarrow 00:16:32.147$  types of medications and women
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635
- $00{:}16{:}32{.}147 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}33{.}837$  who are not bracket carriers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635
- $00:16:33.840 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.954$  Which is why we are very diligent
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635
- $00{:}16{:}35{.}954 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}37{.}730$  about testing tumors and understanding
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635
- $00:16:37.730 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.342$  the unique characteristics of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

00:16:39.342 --> 00:16:41.410 every woman's of varying cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}16{:}41{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}43{.}578$  Earth data to support the use of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}16{:}43.578 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}45.966$  drugs in all comers with ovarian cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:16:45.970 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.425$  but the strength of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}16{:}47{.}425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}48{.}880$  recommendations in the data is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:16:48.932 \dashrightarrow 00:16:50.798$  certainly less for those women who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

00:16:50.798 --> 00:16:52.398 don't have these inherent mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:16:52.398 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.174$  or changes in their tumor DNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:16:54.180 \rightarrow 00:16:56.476$  So we take the time to really explore NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}16{:}56{.}476$  -->  $00{:}16{:}58{.}950$  that so we can offer women as much NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}16{:}58{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}01{.}157$  education and data so they can make NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

00:17:01.157 --> 00:17:03.299 a decision about how they want to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}17{:}03{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}07{.}234$  how they want to manage their care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}17{:}07{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}09{.}305$  This circle back to that figure that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}17{:}09{.}305 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}11{.}388$  we talked about in the beginning and NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:17:11.388 \rightarrow 00:17:13.474$  to just highlight some of the things

 $00{:}17{:}13{.}474 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}15{.}412$  we've talked about to night and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

00:17:15.412 --> 00:17:17.502 we're trying to pull this graph from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}17{:}17{.}502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}19{.}750$  side to side and extend the survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

00:17:19.750 -> 00:17:21.580 We hope through perhaps one day

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:17:21.580 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.460$  being able to do self inject

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:17:23.460 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.532$  to me or to continue doing BS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}17{:}25{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}27{.}370$  So now we're able to prevent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

00:17:27.370 --> 00:17:28.590 ovarian cancer from developing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}17{:}28.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}30.543$  were able to improve the treatment of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}17{:}30{.}543 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}32{.}474$  women when they are diagnosed with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

00:17:32.474 --> 00:17:34.205 their disease. Anthru PARP inhibition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

00:17:34.205 --> 00:17:36.620 We hope to extend that survival for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}17{:}36.687 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}38.675$  women for many many years to come.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}17{:}38{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}40{.}913$  And so there's still a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:17:40.913 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.130$  exciting work going on,

00:17:42.130 --> 00:17:44.490 and I hope we can go back to you next

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}17{:}44.561 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}47.067$  year with an update that just shows

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}17{:}47.067 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}49.040$  that we're measuring the survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

00:17:49.040 --> 00:17:50.930 And many many many many years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}17{:}50{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}53{.}290$  So with that I thank you so much

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}17{:}53.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}55.268$  for list ening and will hand things

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}17{:}55{.}268 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}56{.}890$  over to Joanna. Any questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00:17:56.890 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.780$  Right now we can take them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

 $00{:}17{:}58{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}00{.}380$  Otherwise we're going to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8328635

00:18:00.380 --> 00:18:01.980 a great Q&A session at

NOTE Confidence: 0.856386

 $00{:}18{:}02.047 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}02.539$  the end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81783515

 $00{:}18{:}07{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}09{.}476$  I don't think we have any questions to

NOTE Confidence: 0.81783515

00:18:09.476 --> 00:18:13.190 Joanna. Maybe you want to lead us in sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

00:18:13.190 --> 00:18:14.534 You're my slides here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

00:18:14.534 --> 00:18:17.188 Thank you so much, Doctor Clark for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}18{:}17{.}188 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}19{.}550$  opportunity and for inviting me to join

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647
- 00:18:19.550 --> 00:18:21.584 you guys tonight and Doctor Ratner.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647
- $00{:}18{:}21.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}23.606$  Thank you for the nice opening.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647
- 00:18:23.610 --> 00:18:25.584 Welcome, and so I will certainly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647
- $00:18:25.584 \rightarrow 00:18:28.309$  try to keep my talk to 15 minutes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647
- $00{:}18{:}28{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}30{.}026$  But if there are any questions
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647
- $00:18:30.026 \rightarrow 00:18:32.010$  at all during the presentation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647
- $00:18:32.010 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.430$  there is a Q&A.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647
- $00{:}18{:}34{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}36{.}425$  Section at the bottom of your screen
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647
- 00:18:36.425 --> 00:18:38.288 and you can type in a question
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647
- $00:18:38.288 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.170$  and we will get to it either
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647
- $00:18:40.170 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.145$  during the presentation or after.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647
- $00{:}18{:}42.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}44.190$  So I wanted to talk a little bit
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647
- $00{:}18{:}44{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}45{.}778$  more about genetics and Joanne
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647
- 00:18:45.778 --> 00:18:47.800 Oncology and my name is Joanna.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647
- 00:18:47.800 --> 00:18:49.280 I am a physician assistant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

00:18:49.280 --> 00:18:50.464 trained at Quinnipiac University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}18{:}50{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}52{.}090$  I graduated in 2008 and I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}18{:}52{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}53{.}874$  been part of the practice at

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:18:53.874 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.806$  Yale for about three years now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}18{:}55{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}57{.}295$  so I'm thrilled to share

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:18:57.295 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.483$  some information with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

00:18:58.490 --> 00:19:01.320 I have no disclosures to night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}19{:}01{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}04{.}064$  So we're learning more and more about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}19{:}04.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}06.629$  genetics of gynaecologic cancers and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

00:19:06.630 --> 00:19:07.362 Of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}19{:}07{.}362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}09{.}192$  for the for probably about

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:19:09.192 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.170$  20 to 25 years now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}19{:}11{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}13.065$  We've known about the bracket

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

00:19:13.065 --> 00:19:14.960 one and bracket two genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:19:14.960 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.910$  but we're finding out about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:19:16.910 \longrightarrow 00:19:18.771$  additional genes that are implicated

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647
- 00:19:18.771 --> 00:19:21.026 in gynaecologic cancers, pal B2,

 $00{:}19{:}21.026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}23.294$  brip one, the RAD 51 jeans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:19:23.300 \longrightarrow 00:19:24.820$  and some other ones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:19:24.820 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.100$  So these mostly lead to increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

00:19:27.174 --> 00:19:28.608 risk of breast, ovarian,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}19{:}28.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}30.498$  fallopian tube, and peritoneal cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:19:30.500 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.470$  as well as possibly pancreatic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}19{:}32{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}33{.}760$  And prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}19{:}33{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}35{.}910$  And in bracket two specifically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}19{:}35{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}37{.}466$  A risk of Melanoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}19{:}37{.}466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}40{.}340$  You may know about the Lynch syndrome

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

00:19:40.340 --> 00:19:43.518 genes or the DNA mismatch repair genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}19{:}43.518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}46.539$  that can lead to multiple cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

00:19:46.540 --> 00:19:48.913 We often think about the GI cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}19{:}48{.}913 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}51{.}320$  like bowel or colorectal cancers,

 $00:19:51.320 \rightarrow 00:19:54.480$  but also endometrial Arian cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:19:54.480 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.418$  Some of the less common genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}19{:}56{.}418$  -->  $00{:}19{:}58{.}373$  syndromes that we learn about our NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

00:19:58.373 --> 00:20:00.179 leaf from many syndrome or TP5.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}20{:}00{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}02{.}190$  The three mutations Cowden syndrome

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

00:20:02.190 --> 00:20:04.200 or PTN and Pronunciator syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:20:04.200 \longrightarrow 00:20:07.818$  which is the STK 11 or LKB one jeans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:20:07.820 \rightarrow 00:20:10.448$  So these can have multiple different

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}20{:}10.448 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}13.734$  types of cancer and even benign tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:20:13.734 \rightarrow 00:20:17.129$  that affect women with put Seager syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}20{:}17.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}18.930$  When we think about the inherited

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:20:18.930 \rightarrow 00:20:20.690$  breast and ovarian cancer syndromes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:20:20.690 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.243$  we think about about 15 to 20% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:20:23.243 \rightarrow 00:20:24.658$  ovarian cancers being caused by

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}20{:}24.658 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}26.945$  a BRCA or or similar mutation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}20{:}26{.}945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}29{.}117$  about the same for breast cancer.

 $00{:}20{:}29{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}30{.}938$  One of the differences is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

00:20:30.938 --> 00:20:32.916 breast cancer also can have more

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}20{:}32{.}916 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}34{.}686$  familial clustering where you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}20{:}34.686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}36.416$  see these families with multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}20{:}36{.}416 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}38{.}537$  cases of breast cancer but may not

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}20{:}38{.}537 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}40{.}116$  have a specific gene identified,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}20{:}40.116 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}42.420$  and we can also see that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:20:42.420 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.696$  ovarian cancer as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:20:43.700 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.445$  we do see women who have a couple different

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:20:46.445 \rightarrow 00:20:48.520$  family members with ovarian cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}20{:}48.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}50.920$  But no gene identified yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}20{:}50{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}53{.}573$  So there's probably still more down the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}20{:}53{.}573 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}57{.}050$  road that we will learn about with the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:20:57.050 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.620$  The risks this is probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}20{:}58.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}59.876$  a little outdated now.

 $00:20:59.880 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.450$  Now this is from 2018,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}21{:}01{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}03{.}020$  but we are, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00:21:03.020 \rightarrow 00:21:04.308$  learning more each year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}21{:}04{.}308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}06{.}944$  The risk with BRCA one and BRCA two

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}21{:}06{.}944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}08{.}840$  in regards to ovarian cancers is

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

00:21:08.840 --> 00:21:11.200 about 40 to 50% risk but lots of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86822647

 $00{:}21{:}11{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}13{.}120$  different numbers that we see for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324842

00:21:13.188 --> 00:21:15.144 Braca one anfar bracket two a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324842

00:21:15.144 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:21:17.366 little bit lower risk and also a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324842

00:21:17.366 --> 00:21:19.340 little bit later in life than the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8324842

 $00{:}21{:}19{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}21{.}230$  Bracco one risk for ovarian cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

 $00{:}21{:}25{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}28{.}188$  I'm actually going to skip this slide

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

 $00:21:28.188 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.778$  so it's a really important to test

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

 $00{:}21{:}30.778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}33.875$  for test for the BRCA mutations in are

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

 $00:21:33.875 \rightarrow 00:21:35.993$  any woman with an ovarian cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

 $00:21:36.000 \rightarrow 00:21:38.160$  especially high grade serous ovarian cancer.

00:21:38.160 --> 00:21:40.666 But really now the recommendation is any

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

 $00{:}21{:}40.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}42.961$  ovarian cancer type should have genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

00:21:42.961 --> 00:21:45.283 counseling and offer genetic testing not

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

 $00:21:45.283 \rightarrow 00:21:47.670$  only because it can help the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

 $00:21:47.670 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.680$  in regards to knowing their prognosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

00:21:49.680 --> 00:21:50.760 Knowing their decisions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

 $00:21:50.760 \rightarrow 00:21:53.385$  for example as Doctor Clark mentioned the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

 $00{:}21{:}53{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}55{.}455$  Carpet hitters and also being able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

 $00{:}21{:}55{.}455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}57{.}620$  put the patient into long term remission

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

 $00{:}21{:}57{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}00{.}135$  and at that point we sometimes now are

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

 $00{:}22{:}00{.}135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}02{.}001$  preventing other cancers that we do

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

 $00{:}22{:}02{.}001 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}03.854$  have ovarian cancer survivors who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

 $00{:}22{:}03.854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}06.058$  doing well from their disease and are

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

00:22:06.058 --> 00:22:07.924 now having a risk reducing mastectomy

NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476

 $00{:}22{:}07{.}924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}09{.}709$  to prevent breast cancer as well.

- 00:22:09.710 --> 00:22:10.362 Of course,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476
- $00{:}22{:}10.362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}12.318$  it's important for the relatives to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476
- $00{:}22{:}12{.}318 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}14{.}803$  know as well so that if there is a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476
- $00{:}22{:}14.803 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}16.624$  mutation in the patient's DNA then
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476
- $00{:}22{:}16.624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}18.616$  they can have cascade testing in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476
- 00:22:18.616 --> 00:22:20.462 the rest of the family members and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476
- 00:22:20.462 --> 00:22:22.341 who can also have risk reducing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84107476
- 00:22:22.341 -> 00:22:23.568 or prevention strategies.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517
- $00{:}22{:}26{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}28{.}636$  So again, the most common thing that we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517
- $00:22:28.636 \rightarrow 00:22:31.267$  like to talk about is hereditary breast,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517
- 00:22:31.270 --> 00:22:32.938 ovarian and pancreatic cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517
- 00:22:32.938 --> 00:22:34.606 Specifically the BRCA one
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517
- $00:22:34.606 \rightarrow 00:22:36.689$  and two genetic mutations.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517
- $00:22:36.690 \rightarrow 00:22:39.056$  More common than we used to think,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517
- $00:22:39.060 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.760$  so depending on the source,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517
- $00:22:40.760 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.630$  we're now learning that there

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517
- $00:22:42.630 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.858$  is a bracket mutation present in

00:22:44.858 --> 00:22:46.860 about 1 in 200 people in general,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517

 $00{:}22{:}46.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}48.560$  and in the Ashkenazi Jewish

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517

 $00:22:48.560 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.659$  population about one in 20 people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517

 $00{:}22{:}50{.}659 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}52{.}663$  may carry a mutation in bracket

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517

 $00:22:52.663 \rightarrow 00:22:54.620$  one or bracket two, so really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517

 $00:22:54.620 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.710$  really not as rare as we used to think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517

 $00{:}22{:}57{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}59{.}226$  Recommendation again from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517

 $00{:}22{:}59{.}226 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}01{.}500$  Cancer Network and this Society of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517

00:23:01.565 --> 00:23:03.550 Joanne Oncology is for universal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517

 $00{:}23{:}03{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}05{.}138$  genetic counseling and testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517

 $00{:}23{:}05{.}138 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}07{.}199$  for any one with ovarian cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517

 $00{:}23{:}07{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}08{.}575$  Another important thing to remember

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517

 $00:23:08.575 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.449$  is that they are not the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517

 $00{:}23{:}10.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}12.249$  so Braca one is not treated or

- $00:23:12.249 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.925$  or prevention is not the same
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517
- 00:23:13.925 00:23:15.330 as the bracket two patients.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8505517
- $00{:}23{:}15{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}17{.}498$  So we have to take that into consideration.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774
- $00:23:19.650 \longrightarrow 00:23:21.110$  Lynch syndrome is the same.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774
- 00:23:21.110 --> 00:23:22.274 There are different genes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774
- $00:23:22.274 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.020$  and they're not all the same,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774
- $00:23:24.020 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.728$  so we need to make sure that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774
- $00:23:25.728 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.257$  we're not treating each Lynch
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774
- $00:23:27.257 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.376$  syndrome patient equally.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774
- $00:23:28.380 \rightarrow 00:23:32.088$  And I'll show you a nice sample of that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774
- $00{:}23{:}32{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}33{.}542$  On the next slide,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774
- $00:23:33.542 \rightarrow 00:23:34.994$  genetic testing is recommended
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774
- $00{:}23{:}34{.}994 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}37{.}015$  for women with endometrial cancer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774
- $00:23:37.015 \rightarrow 00:23:39.055$  or colorectal cancer as well,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774
- $00{:}23{:}39{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}40{.}715$  men and remote colorectal cancer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774
- $00{:}23{:}40.715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}42.796$  who have evidence of what's called

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774
- 00:23:42.796 --> 00:23:43.888 microsatellite instability or

 $00{:}23{:}43.888 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}46.072$  a loss of DNA mismatch repair

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

00:23:46.136 --> 00:23:47.960 proteins on immunohistochemistry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:23:47.960 \rightarrow 00:23:51.048$  So this is where now every colon cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:23:51.050 \rightarrow 00:23:53.378$  an every endometrial cancer is getting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:23:53.380 \rightarrow 00:23:55.310$  This special testing the microsatellite,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:23:55.310 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.644$  testing the IHC an if it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:23:57.644 \rightarrow 00:23:59.950$  identified that they have an issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:23:59.950 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.446$  They will then go for genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00{:}24{:}02{.}446 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}04{.}904$  testing and counseling to see if

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:24:04.904 \rightarrow 00:24:07.058$  they carry a germ line lynched.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00{:}24{:}07.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}10.620$  Premutation so the the National

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

00:24:10.620 --> 00:24:12.160 Comprehensive Cancer Network has

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00{:}24{:}12.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}14.038$  really nice tables and guidelines

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00{:}24{:}14{.}038 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}16{.}132$  for the prevention of cancer and

 $00{:}24{:}16.132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}18.641$  the risks of cancer in both the BRCA

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00{:}24{:}18.641 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}20.246$  mutations and the other mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

00:24:20.246 --> 00:24:22.334 as well for HBO See syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

00:24:22.340 --> 00:24:24.090 but also for Lynch syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

00:24:24.090 --> 00:24:26.533 So I'm just giving you one example

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00{:}24{:}26.533 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}27.580$  of the MLH,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:24:27.580 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.036$  one which is the one of the more

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:24:30.036 \rightarrow 00:24:32.260$  common Lynch syndrome genes and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

00:24:32.260 --> 00:24:34.558 gives you a specific cancer risks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:24:34.560 \rightarrow 00:24:36.982$  or at least ranges of risk compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:24:36.982 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.792$  to general population for each

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00{:}24{:}38.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}40.208$  of the associated cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00{:}24{:}40{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}42{.}298$  And what's really important to know

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00:24:42.298 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.156$  is that MLH one has a higher risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

00:24:45.156 --> 00:24:47.244 of many cancers than for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774

 $00{:}24{:}47{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}47{.}936$  PMS two.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82584774
- 00:24:47.936 --> 00:24:49.994 So it's not just Lynch syndrome

 $00:24:49.994 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.829$  is 1 size fits all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8550364

 $00{:}24{:}54{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}56{.}426$  So what are the guidelines for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8550364

 $00:24:56.426 \rightarrow 00:24:58.181$  identifying who should be screened

NOTE Confidence: 0.8550364

00:24:58.181 - > 00:24:59.897 for genetic cancer syndromes?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8550364

00:24:59.900 --> 00:25:02.406 Well, I'm just going to go over

NOTE Confidence: 0.8550364

 $00:25:02.406 \rightarrow 00:25:05.268$  briefly in the next 10 minutes or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8550364

00:25:05.270 --> 00:25:06.698 The guidelines from ACOG,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8550364

 $00{:}25{:}06.698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}07.769$  the National Comprehensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8550364

00:25:07.769 --> 00:25:09.564 Cancer Network, the USPS TF,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8550364

 $00{:}25{:}09{.}564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}11{.}354$  and Society of Duane Oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

 $00:25:14.160 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.016$  In a committee opinion piece from 2011,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

 $00:25:17.020 \rightarrow 00:25:19.330$  there were just very general guidelines

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

 $00:25:19.330 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.338$  that women should have family

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

 $00:25:21.338 \rightarrow 00:25:23.328$  history evaluation as a screening

 $00:25:23.328 \rightarrow 00:25:25.876$  tool should be reviewed and updated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

00:25:25.876 - 00:25:27.660 regularly and when appropriate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

 $00{:}25{:}27.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}29.670$  further evaluation should be considered

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

 $00:25:29.670 \dashrightarrow 00:25:32.160$  and referral to genetics as needed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

 $00{:}25{:}32{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}34{.}614$  That was updated with a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

 $00:25:34.614 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.250$  more information in 2019.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

 $00{:}25{:}36{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}39{.}194$  So this is kind of talking about you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

 $00:25:39.194 \rightarrow 00:25:41.967$  know every patient in your practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

00:25:41.970 --> 00:25:44.676 In general, Obi Wan should have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

00:25:44.676 --> 00:25:46.480 a hereditary risk assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

 $00{:}25{:}46{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}48{.}622$  Taking a good family history and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

 $00{:}25{:}48.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}50.503$  if there's suggested that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

00:25:50.503 --> 00:25:52.831 an increased risk of a hereditary

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

 $00{:}25{:}52{.}831 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}54{.}859$  syndrome referring to a specialist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

 $00:25:54.860 \rightarrow 00:25:57.908$  or if you have the expertise in genetics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578

 $00:25:57.910 \rightarrow 00:26:00.196$  then or someone in your practice.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578
- 00:26:00.200 --> 00:26:00.906 For example,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578
- $00{:}26{:}00{.}906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}03{.}377$  a practice nurse can give that counseling
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578
- $00{:}26{:}03{.}377 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}05{.}721$  and make an educated decision about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578
- $00:26:05.721 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.641$  genetic testing for the patient
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8722578
- $00:26:07.706 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.716$  or the appropriate family member.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85847867
- 00:26:11.940 --> 00:26:13.970 We are now doing more multigene testing,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85847867
- $00:26:13.970 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.178$  so this is panel testing as opposed to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85847867
- $00{:}26{:}16.178 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}18.607$  just the Braca one and bracket two test.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85847867
- $00{:}26{:}18.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}20.060$  It's much more affordable than
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85847867
- $00:26:20.060 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.423$  it used to be and the tests are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85847867
- $00:26:22.423 \rightarrow 00:26:24.115$  better than they used to be,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85847867
- $00:26:24.120 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.824$  so we also recommend that people
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85847867
- $00:26:25.824 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.307$  who had genetic testing before
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85847867
- $00{:}26{:}27{.}307 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}28{.}777$  about 2012 be offered updated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85847867
- $00{:}26{:}28.777 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}30.844$  panel testing to make sure that we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85847867

 $00:26:30.844 \rightarrow 00:26:32.239$  didn't miss anything back then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8818833

00:26:34.720 --> 00:26:36.586 Another guideline talks about the importance

NOTE Confidence: 0.8818833

00:26:36.586 --> 00:26:38.968 of pre test and post test counseling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8818833

 $00:26:38.970 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.259$  so I know these are busy slides,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8818833

 $00{:}26{:}41{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}43{.}290$  but talking about you know what it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8818833

 $00{:}26{:}43.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}45.169$  means to have genetic testing what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8818833

 $00{:}26{:}45{.}169 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}47{.}800$  the result might be and what it might

NOTE Confidence: 0.8818833

 $00:26:47.800 \rightarrow 00:26:49.978$  mean for their family for themselves

NOTE Confidence: 0.8818833

 $00{:}26{:}49{.}978 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}52{.}147$  for their medical insurance and life

NOTE Confidence: 0.8818833

 $00{:}26{:}52{.}147 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}54{.}301$  insurance and how to communicate those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8818833

 $00{:}26{:}54{.}301 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}56{.}210$  results to the patient and counsel

NOTE Confidence: 0.8818833

 $00{:}26{:}56{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}58{.}260$  them after the test results are back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86296254

 $00{:}27{:}01{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}03{.}626$  If there is a clinically significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.86296254

00:27:03.626 --> 00:27:05.102 mutation, patients should really be

NOTE Confidence: 0.86296254

 $00{:}27{:}05{.}102 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}06{.}890$  encouraged to share the results with NOTE Confidence: 0.86296254

 $00:27:06.945 \rightarrow 00:27:08.460$  their family members and recommend

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86296254
- $00:27:08.460 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.490$  that the family members also be tested

 $00{:}27{:}10.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}12.338$  and that can be really hard to manage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86296254

00:27:12.340 --> 00:27:14.788 The question is, am I then as the provider

NOTE Confidence: 0.86296254

 $00:27:14.788 \rightarrow 00:27:16.267$  responsible for the family members?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86296254

 $00{:}27{:}16{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}17{.}755$  And that's sometimes where a

NOTE Confidence: 0.86296254

 $00:27:17.755 \rightarrow 00:27:19.240$  genetic counselor can really help

NOTE Confidence: 0.86296254

 $00:27:19.291 \rightarrow 00:27:20.486$  you by drafting a letter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86296254

 $00{:}27{:}20{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}22{.}450$  the patient can give the letter to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86296254

 $00{:}27{:}22{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}24{.}222$  their family members and they can

NOTE Confidence: 0.86296254

 $00:27:24.222 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.968$  help share the information for how

NOTE Confidence: 0.86296254

 $00:27:25.968 \rightarrow 00:27:27.786$  everybody in the family can get tested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983

 $00{:}27{:}30{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}32{.}180$  The USPS TF recommendations were NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983

00:27:32.180 --> 00:27:34.910 updated about a year and a half ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983

 $00{:}27{:}34{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}37{.}046$  and this was in 2019 and one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983

 $00{:}27{:}37.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}39.019$  the biggest changes to this was

 $00:27:39.019 \rightarrow 00:27:40.729$  when collecting a family history

NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983

 $00{:}27{:}40{.}729 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}43{.}040$  to also collect information about

NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983

00:27:43.040 --> 00:27:44.940 any Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983

 $00:27:44.940 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.173$  So really, that should be one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983

 $00{:}27{:}47{.}173 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}49{.}044$  your questions on the screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983

 $00:27:49.044 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.310$  for family history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983

 $00:27:50.310 \longrightarrow 00:27:53.166$  Do you have any family history of cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983

 $00:27:53.170 \rightarrow 00:27:55.677$  What types of cancer, what relatives? And?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983

 $00{:}27{:}55{.}677 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}58{.}533$  Is there any Jewish ancestry in your family?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983

 $00:27:58.540 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.736$  So that's one of the biggest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983

 $00:28:00.740 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.620$  Updates in the guidelines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983

 $00{:}28{:}03.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}05.790$  Again, the is talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983

00:28:05.790 --> 00:28:06.658 integrating services,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983

00:28:06.660 --> 00:28:08.022 individualizing your questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983

 $00{:}28{:}08{.}022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}10{.}746$  and plan for genetic testing for

NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983

 $00:28:10.746 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.882$  your patients to optimize the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86938983
- $00:28:12.882 \rightarrow 00:28:14.892$  benefit and minimize the harm.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849491
- $00{:}28{:}17{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}20{.}650$  So that the USPS TF report was published
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849491
- $00{:}28{:}20.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}24.068$  in JAMA about a year and a half ago.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849491
- 00:28:24.070 --> 00:28:25.974 Again, talking about identifying
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849491
- 00:28:25.974 --> 00:28:28.354 appropriate candidates for genetic testing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849491
- $00{:}28{:}28{.}354 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}30{.}879$  and then what type of tests to order.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849491
- $00{:}28{:}30{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}32{.}955$  So there's lots of different
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849491
- $00{:}28{:}32{.}955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}35{.}475$  steps in the process about what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849491
- $00:28:35.475 \rightarrow 00:28:37.300$  are the harms of asking?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849491
- $00:28:37.300 \longrightarrow 00:28:39.706$  What are the harms of testing?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849491
- $00:28:39.710 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.715$  What are the harms of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849491
- $00:28:41.715 \longrightarrow 00:28:43.319$  intervention after we test?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345
- 00:28:46.540 --> 00:28:49.036 I do see a question in the Q&A
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345
- $00:28:49.036 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.457$  that will try to get to shortly.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345
- $00{:}28{:}51{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}53{.}776$  So the USPSTF recommendation does give
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345

 $00:28:53.776 \rightarrow 00:28:56.199$  a B recommendation that primary care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345

00:28:56.200 --> 00:28:57.764 Clinicians, including Obi Wans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345

 $00{:}28{:}57{.}764 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}00{.}110$  assess women with a personal or

NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345

00:29:00.177 --> 00:29:02.126 family history of breast, ovarian,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345

 $00:29:02.126 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.710$  tubal or peritoneal cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345

 $00{:}29{:}03.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}06.188$  who have any ancestry associated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345

 $00{:}29{:}06{.}188 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}08{.}669$  BRCA mutations with an appropriate risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345

 $00:29:08.669 \rightarrow 00:29:11.315$  tool and they do give some options

NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345

 $00{:}29{:}11{.}315 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}14{.}039$  for different tools to use and if

NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345

 $00{:}29{:}14.039 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}16.970$  there's a positive result on the tool,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345

 $00:29:16.970 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.850$  they should receive genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345

00:29:18.850 --> 00:29:20.866 counseling and potentially genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345

00:29:20.866 --> 00:29:22.998 testing if they're interested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345

 $00:29:23.000 \rightarrow 00:29:26.248$  They do not recommend.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345

 $00:29:26.250 \rightarrow 00:29:28.464$  Counseling for women whose ancestry is

NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345

 $00:29:28.464 \rightarrow 00:29:31.179$  not associated with a potential mutation.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345
- $00:29:31.180 \longrightarrow 00:29:33.235$  So we're not sending everybody
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87570345
- $00:29:33.235 \rightarrow 00:29:35.290$  every woman for genetic testing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85225374
- $00:29:38.420 \longrightarrow 00:29:41.244$  One of the examples is a seven
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85225374
- $00:29:41.244 \rightarrow 00:29:42.860$  question family history screening,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85225374
- $00{:}29{:}42.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}44.075$  others included Ontario
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85225374
- 00:29:44.075 --> 00:29:45.290 Family History Assessment,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85225374
- 00:29:45.290 --> 00:29:46.502 Manchester Scoring System,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85225374
- $00:29:46.502 \rightarrow 00:29:48.118$  the referral screening tool,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85225374
- $00{:}29{:}48.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}49.732$  a pedigree assessment tool
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85225374
- $00:29:49.732 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.747$  and the tire acoustic model,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85225374
- $00:29:51.750 \rightarrow 00:29:55.194$  which is good for breast cancer risk.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85225374
- 00:29:55.200 --> 00:29:56.700 So these are just short screening
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85225374
- $00:29:56.700 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.614$  models that can be used in your
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85225374
- $00:29:58.614 \rightarrow 00:30:00.054$  practice for regular annual visits.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149
- $00:30:02.750 \rightarrow 00:30:04.420$  Shifting gears to the SGO,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149

 $00:30:04.420 \longrightarrow 00:30:05.824$  SGO again recommends genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149

 $00{:}30{:}05{.}824 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}07{.}930$  counseling and testing for women who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149

00:30:07.987 --> 00:30:09.989 are at risk of having a hereditary

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149

 $00:30:09.989 \dashrightarrow 00:30:11.769$  breast and ovarian cancer syndrome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149

 $00{:}30{:}11.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}13.102$  They are recommending more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149

00:30:13.102 --> 00:30:14.767 and more now to again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149

 $00{:}30{:}14.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}16.780$  have some body help you with that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149

 $00:30:16.780 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.901$  or as a primary provider to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149

00:30:18.901 --> 00:30:20.695 the expertise and I'll show you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149

 $00{:}30{:}20.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}22.606$  some ways that we can gain that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149

00:30:22.669 --> 00:30:24.397 expertise to provide genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149

 $00{:}30{:}24.397 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}26.125$  counseling for our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149

 $00{:}30{:}26.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}28.650$  It is always important to have experts

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149

 $00:30:28.650 \rightarrow 00:30:30.810$  available after tests to help you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149

 $00{:}30{:}30{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}33{.}175$  interpret the tests and recommend

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149

 $00:30:33.175 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.594$  the treatment plan.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149
- $00:30:34.600 \rightarrow 00:30:35.990$  Important to have an interdisciplinary

 $00:30:35.990 \longrightarrow 00:30:37.880$  team and I'll show you who the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149

 $00{:}30{:}37{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}39{.}242$  team members might be for some body

NOTE Confidence: 0.8510149

 $00:30:39.242 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.887$  who has a hereditary cancer risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84568155

 $00:30:45.040 \rightarrow 00:30:47.266$  This talks about their women's personal

NOTE Confidence: 0.84568155

 $00{:}30{:}47.266 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}49.606$  cancer history and the family cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.84568155

 $00:30:49.606 \dashrightarrow 00:30:51.976$  history and who should be offered

NOTE Confidence: 0.84568155

00:30:51.976 --> 00:30:53.549 genetic counseling and testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84568155

 $00{:}30{:}53{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}56{.}574$  So there's any body with a high grade ovarian

NOTE Confidence: 0.84568155

 $00:30:56.574 \rightarrow 00:30:59.360$  cancer of breast cancer early in life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84568155

 $00{:}30{:}59{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}02{.}400$  pre menopausal women who have a limit that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84568155

 $00{:}31{:}02{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}05{.}157$  family history or family history is unknown.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84568155

 $00{:}31{:}05{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}07{.}488$  Women who have a pancreatic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84568155

 $00{:}31{:}07{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}09{.}420$  family history or prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84568155

 $00:31:09.420 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.355$  Women who have a triple

- 00:31:11.355 00:31:12.516 negative breast cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84568155
- $00{:}31{:}12{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}14{.}836$  Women who have breast cancer with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84568155
- 00:31:14.836 --> 00:31:16.390 Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry, etc.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84017384
- $00{:}31{:}18.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}20.904$  And then there may also be situations
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84017384
- $00:31:20.904 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.019$  where you'd want to refer for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84017384
- 00:31:23.019 --> 00:31:24.729 genetic counseling even if you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84017384
- $00:31:24.729 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.680$  don't know the family history.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84017384
- 00:31:26.680 --> 00:31:28.455 So for example, if someone
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84017384
- 00:31:28.455 --> 00:31:30.230 has very few female relatives,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84017384
- $00:31:30.230 \rightarrow 00:31:31.915$  many relatives had hysterectomy at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84017384
- 00:31:31.915 --> 00:31:34.130 a young age for unknown reasons,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84017384
- $00:31:34.130 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.475$  or there's adoption in the lineages and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84017384
- $00:31:36.475 \rightarrow 00:31:39.457$  you may not know also for Lynch syndrome.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84017384
- $00{:}31{:}39{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}41{.}100$  Again, mutations any one with an
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84017384
- $00{:}31{:}41.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}42.412$  endometrial or colorectal cancer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84017384
- $00:31:42.412 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.329$  has the mismatch repair genes

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84017384
- $00:31:44.329 \longrightarrow 00:31:45.484$  or microsatellite instability.

 $00{:}31{:}45{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}47{.}480$  Or again, depending on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84017384

00:31:47.480 --> 00:31:49.072 family history of colorectal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84017384

 $00:31:49.080 \rightarrow 00:31:51.258$  Are gynecological cancers?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:31:54.350 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.250$  If you don't have access

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:31:56.250 \rightarrow 00:31:58.150$  to the NCC and guidelines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:31:58.150 \rightarrow 00:32:01.190$  I would certainly encourage you to sign up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:32:01.190 \dashrightarrow 00:32:03.850$  It's a free membership for NCC N.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00{:}32{:}03{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}06{.}797$  To access the guidelines for prevention and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:32:06.797 \dashrightarrow 00:32:09.550$  cancer risk reduction an A couple years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

00:32:09.550 --> 00:32:11.830 Now, this was changed from breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00{:}32{:}11.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}14.110$  and ovarian cancer, now to breast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:32:14.110 \rightarrow 00:32:16.010$  ovarian and pancreatic cancer syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

00:32:16.010 --> 00:32:18.242 so pancreatic cancer is becoming more

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00{:}32{:}18{.}242 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}21{.}219$  recognized as part of this cancer history

 $00:32:21.219 \rightarrow 00:32:23.584$  syndrome syndrome and pancreatic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:32:23.590 \rightarrow 00:32:25.844$  Is now an indication for genetic counseling

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00{:}32{:}25{.}844 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}28{.}423$  and testing as well as any first degree

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:32:28.423 \rightarrow 00:32:30.480$  relative of a pancreatic cancer patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:32:30.480 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.775$  Should have genetic testing if

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:32:32.775 \dashrightarrow 00:32:35.740$  that person is no longer living.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00{:}32{:}35.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}37.595$  So, NCCN recommends that pretest

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:32:37.595 \rightarrow 00:32:40.010$  counseling be done prior to testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

00:32:40.010 --> 00:32:41.860 Consideration of the most appropriate

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:32:41.860 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.580$  test to order and then post test

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00{:}32{:}44{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}46{.}986$  counseling when the results are disclosed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:32:46.990 \rightarrow 00:32:50.231$  So then anybody who again has that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:32:50.231 \rightarrow 00:32:52.605$  experience and expertise in cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:32:52.605 \rightarrow 00:32:55.776$  genetics should be involved at each stage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:32:55.780 \rightarrow 00:32:57.826$  And so there's some good algorithms

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:32:57.826 \rightarrow 00:32:59.978$  to help with how we do this,

 $00:32:59.980 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.228$  how we help the family and what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00{:}33{:}03{.}228$  -->  $00{:}33{:}05{.}907$  outcomes might mean for our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00{:}33{:}05{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}08{.}045$  The NCCN also has some really nice

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:33:08.045 \dashrightarrow 00:33:10.082$  tables about what the cancer risks

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00{:}33{:}10.082 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}12.194$  are and what the recommendations are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:33:12.200 \dashrightarrow 00:33:15.179$  so this is just one page of the guidelines,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:33:15.180 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.455$  but there are actually 3 pages that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00{:}33{:}17.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}19.786$  include each of the different mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:33:19.786 \dashrightarrow 00:33:21.936$  that your patient might carry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

00:33:21.940 --> 00:33:22.870 And of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:33:22.870 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.730$  they're not all treated the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:33:24.730 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.702$  So for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00{:}33{:}25.702 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}27.970$  we've seen patients in our office who

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

00:33:28.034 --> 00:33:30.127 understood or heard that he or

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00{:}33{:}30{.}127 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}32{.}265$  she carries a mutation in the bracket

 $00:33:32.265 \rightarrow 00:33:34.340$  gene and they come to us saying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

00:33:34.340 --> 00:33:36.200 I have the Angelina Jolie gene,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

00:33:36.200 --> 00:33:38.480 and then we find out that on their

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

00:33:38.480 --> 00:33:40.540 genetic testing they actually carry brip,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00{:}33{:}40{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}42{.}898$  one which has a much lower risk and is

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00{:}33{:}42.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}44.880$  managed very differently than brocco,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:33:44.880 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.430$  so it's important for us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:33:46.430 \dashrightarrow 00:33:48.614$  We like to see the patients genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00{:}33{:}48.614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}50.195$  testing results with our very

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

00:33:50.195 --> 00:33:52.225 own eyes before we start to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

00:33:52.225 --> 00:33:53.470 management recommendations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:33:53.470 \rightarrow 00:33:55.990$  So just to kind of move on here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:33:55.990 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.250$  this is what's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:33:57.250 \longrightarrow 00:33:58.510$  important for our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00{:}33{:}58{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}00{.}090$  It is a long process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

 $00:34:00.090 \rightarrow 00:34:02.288$  We need to 1st identify the risk,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926
- $00:34:02.290 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.205$  give some counseling,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926
- $00{:}34{:}03{.}205 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}05{.}340$  identify who the best person in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926
- $00:34:05.400 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.326$  family would be for genetic testing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926
- $00:34:07.330 \longrightarrow 00:34:08.590$  Have that person tested.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926
- $00:34:08.590 \dashrightarrow 00:34:10.165$  Do the post test counseling.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926
- $00:34:10.170 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.740$  So what does it mean?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926
- 00:34:11.740 --> 00:34:14.260 If it's a positive or negative test result,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926
- $00:34:14.260 \longrightarrow 00:34:15.835$  offer testing for the rest
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926
- $00{:}34{:}15.835 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}17.095$  of the family members.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926
- 00:34:17.100 00:34:18.508 That's called cascade testing.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926
- $00{:}34{:}18.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}20.268$  Provide emotional support and that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926
- $00:34:20.268 \dashrightarrow 00:34:22.136$  really is part of the entire process,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926
- $00{:}34{:}22.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}24.660$  not just a single step in the process.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926
- 00:34:24.660 --> 00:34:26.592 We have to emotionally support our
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926
- $00{:}34{:}26{.}592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}28{.}200$  patients with this complex disc.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926

- $00:34:28.200 \longrightarrow 00:34:30.280$  Asian making referrer as
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926
- $00:34:30.280 \rightarrow 00:34:32.360$  appropriate for breast surveillance
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926
- 00:34:32.360 --> 00:34:34.350 on gynecological surveillance,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926
- $00:34:34.350 \rightarrow 00:34:36.482$  pancreatic surveillance skin exams,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926
- $00{:}34{:}36{.}482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}38{.}614$  all the different referrals
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926
- $00:34:38.614 \rightarrow 00:34:40.500$  that are necessary.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926
- $00:34:40.500 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.655$  Support them in fertility decisions
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926
- $00:34:43.655 \rightarrow 00:34:47.899$  about their children if they are young.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926
- $00{:}34{:}47{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}50{.}540$  And then provide the cancer surveillance
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926
- $00:34:50.540 \dashrightarrow 00:34:52.849$  and cancer prevention if we can.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926
- $00:34:52.850 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.139$  So it is a lot of work.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926
- $00{:}34{:}55{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}56{.}700$  There are a lot of questions
- NOTE Confidence: 0.84908926
- $00{:}34{:}56{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}57{.}740$  that we can ask
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407
- 00:34:57.807 --> 00:35:00.101 ourselves. Do I do I have time to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407
- $00:35:00.101 \rightarrow 00:35:01.950$  collect a full family pedigree?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407
- $00:35:01.950 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.015$  Do I remember how to do that?

 $00{:}35{:}04{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}05{.}924$  Does the patient know their family history

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00{:}35{:}05{.}924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}08{.}811$  or do we need to go back and gather more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:35:08.811 \rightarrow 00:35:10.530$  information from other family members?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00{:}35{:}10{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}13{.}490$  Do I? How do I know what test to order?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

00:35:13.490 --> 00:35:14.970 Does my patient, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00{:}35{:}14.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}17.035$  have the emotional readiness for the testing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:35:17.040 \rightarrow 00:35:19.119$  Will they be OK with the result?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:35:19.120 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.478$  Do they want to be tested or do they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00{:}35{:}21.478 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}23.845$  want not want to know their genetics?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:35:23.850 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.058$  Should I recommend or?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00{:}35{:}25.058 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}27.527$  If my patient asks about things like 23

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:35:27.527 \dashrightarrow 00:35:29.613$  and me or the direct to consumer testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

00:35:29.620 --> 00:35:32.322 do I know how to help him

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00{:}35{:}32{.}322 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}34{.}499$  or her interpret that test?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:35:34.500 \dashrightarrow 00:35:37.119$  Who is the right person to be test tested?

00:35:37.120 --> 00:35:38.866 Am I ordering the right test?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

00:35:38.870 --> 00:35:40.900 Am I ordering from the right company?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:35:40.900 \longrightarrow 00:35:42.360$  What is the test mean?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00{:}35{:}42{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}44{.}106$  You know this is not just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00{:}35{:}44.106 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}45.270$  positive or negative anymore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:35:45.270 \rightarrow 00:35:46.430$  It's now likely pathogenic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

00:35:46.430 --> 00:35:47.920 pathogenic, likely benign or benign,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00{:}35{:}47{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}49{.}720$  and then in the middle there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

00:35:49.775 --> 00:35:51.135 this variant where we know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:35:51.135 \rightarrow 00:35:52.830$  there's a change in the gene,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

00:35:52.830 --> 00:35:55.422 but we don't know if it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:35:55.422 \dashrightarrow 00:35:56.718$  necessarily cancer causing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:35:56.720 \rightarrow 00:35:59.056$  How do we proceed with the family members?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:35:59.060 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.316$  Do I have to follow up all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00{:}36{:}01{.}316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}03{.}174$  family members testing and how well

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:36:03.174 \longrightarrow 00:36:05.490$  does it affect my patient as far

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407
- $00:36:05.490 \rightarrow 00:36:07.525$  as insurability and general health?

 $00{:}36{:}07{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}09{.}645$  So there's a lot of things that we may

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

00:36:09.645 --> 00:36:11.846 not know how to help our patient with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:36:11.850 \longrightarrow 00:36:13.537$  and we need to know where to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:36:13.537 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.899$  refer them to if needed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:36:14.900 \rightarrow 00:36:16.559$  This is what I was talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00{:}36{:}16.559 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}17.859$  with a different test results

NOTE Confidence: 0.8596407

 $00:36:17.859 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.204$  that can occur on testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00{:}36{:}21{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}24{.}518$  And if you've ever come into, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00{:}36{:}24.518$  -->  $00{:}36{:}27.165$  finding a test result or coming into a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00:36:27.165 \rightarrow 00:36:29.538$  conundrum where you didn't know the answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00{:}36{:}29{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}31{.}424$  You're not alone, and there's actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00{:}36{:}31{.}424 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}34{.}113$  a series of articles from some of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00{:}36{:}34.113 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}35.493$  Yale genetic counseling colleagues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00:36:35.500 \longrightarrow 00:36:36.824$  Karina Byerly, who's one

 $00:36:36.824 \rightarrow 00:36:38.148$  of our genetic counselors?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

00:36:38.150 --> 00:36:38.812 Danielle Bonadies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00:36:38.812 \rightarrow 00:36:40.798$  Danielle Campfield was her maiden name,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00:36:40.800 \rightarrow 00:36:43.117$  who is one of our genetic counselors

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00:36:43.117 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.396$  who's no longer at Yale but has

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00{:}36{:}45{.}396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}47{.}226$  done some great work and talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00:36:47.298 \dashrightarrow 00:36:49.398$  about the errors that can happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00:36:49.400 \rightarrow 00:36:51.060$  The wrong test is ordered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00{:}36{:}51.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}52.710$  The test result is misinterpreted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00:36:52.710 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.500$  or there's not enough patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

00:36:54.500 --> 00:36:56.380 Follow up so it happens.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00:36:56.380 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.319$  It's not common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00{:}36{:}57{.}319 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}59{.}197$  It's not uncommon for the test

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

00:36:59.197 - 00:37:01.269 to be interpreted incorrectly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00{:}37{:}01{.}270$  -->  $00{:}37{:}04{.}414$  and that's where our genetic counseling

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00:37:04.414 \rightarrow 00:37:06.830$  colleagues can really help us.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948
- $00:37:06.830 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.580$  It certainly takes a village

 $00:37:08.580 \longrightarrow 00:37:09.980$  to support these patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00:37:09.980 \longrightarrow 00:37:11.858$  so whether your patient has a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

00:37:11.858 --> 00:37:14.180 mutation or has a family history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00:37:14.180 \dashrightarrow 00:37:16.630$  First of all, she's probably seen cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00:37:16.630 \dashrightarrow 00:37:18.046$  and she's probably experienced

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00{:}37{:}18.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}20.170$  a loved one who's been diagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00{:}37{:}20{.}235 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}21{.}527$  and treated for cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00:37:21.530 \dashrightarrow 00:37:23.630$  and there's trauma involved in that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00:37:23.630 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.038$  The patient probably has a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00:37:26.038 \rightarrow 00:37:28.179$  questions and concerns about her family,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

00:37:28.180 --> 00:37:28.880 her children,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00:37:28.880 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.980$  what it means for her health,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00{:}37{:}30{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}33{.}653$  and so it takes a lot of people to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8240948

 $00{:}37{:}33.653 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}35.956$  really help support this patient in

 $00:37:35.956 \rightarrow 00:37:38.680$  making sure she gets the best care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

 $00:37:40.820 \dashrightarrow 00:37:43.160$  A smile has some great resources.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

 $00{:}37{:}43.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}45.500$  The cancer genetics and Prevention program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

 $00:37:45.500 \rightarrow 00:37:47.840$  which is available also by telemedicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

 $00{:}37{:}47.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}50.332$  These days there's a lot of resources

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

 $00:37:50.332 \dashrightarrow 00:37:52.908$  from ACOG that are available online.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

 $00{:}37{:}52{.}910$  -->  $00{:}37{:}55{.}661$  Jackson laboratory up at UConn has some

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

 $00:37:55.661 \rightarrow 00:37:58.027$  modules that I'll show you shortly

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

 $00{:}37{:}58.027 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}00.631$  SGO has some information and there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

 $00:38:00.705 \dashrightarrow 00:38:03.045$  a fee if you're really interested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

00:38:03.050 --> 00:38:05.522 A certificate program that's online in

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

 $00:38:05.522 \rightarrow 00:38:07.949$  clinical genetics and genomics and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

00:38:07.949 --> 00:38:10.455 program is actually for physicians or nurses,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

00:38:10.460 --> 00:38:13.196 or. PSA PRNS who are interested in learning NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

 $00:38:13.196 \rightarrow 00:38:15.930$  more about genetics and want to, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

 $00:38:15.930 \rightarrow 00:38:18.805$  help advance their care, and it's all.

 $00:38:18.805 \rightarrow 00:38:21.955$  It's a one year online program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

00:38:21.960 --> 00:38:24.000 The Jackson Laboratory genetics modules

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

00:38:24.000 - 00:38:26.406 are great because they're 15 minutes

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

 $00{:}38{:}26{.}406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}28{.}854$  case based and they do give you CME

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

 $00{:}38{:}28{.}854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}30{.}750$  credits so those are available at

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

00:38:30.750 --> 00:38:33.135 Jackson Lab which is part of UC<br/>onn and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

 $00{:}38{:}33{.}135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}35{.}572$  there are 11 cases in that in those

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

 $00{:}38{:}35{.}572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}37{.}810$  modules and the Society of Joanna

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

00:38:37.810 --> 00:38:40.438 Kalaji also has a genetics tool kit

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

 $00{:}38{:}40{.}438 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}42{.}592$  that has seven case based modules

NOTE Confidence: 0.83187497

 $00{:}38{:}42.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}44.658$  that's available for providers on line.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7992551

00:38:46.690 --> 00:38:48.445 Yale's cancer Genetics and Prevention

NOTE Confidence: 0.7992551

 $00{:}38{:}48{.}445{\:}-{:}>00{:}38{:}50{.}594$  program is at the Saint Ray field

NOTE Confidence: 0.7992551

 $00{:}38{:}50{.}594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}52{.}604$  campus in New Haven. It's Co.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7992551

 $00{:}38{:}52{.}604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}54{.}626$  Directed by Doctor Hofstetter and Doctor

00:38:54.626 --> 00:38:57.159 Your who managed Breast and GI Oncology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7992551

 $00{:}38{:}57{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}59{.}158$  Doctor Bale is the genetic scientific

NOTE Confidence: 0.7992551

00:38:59.158 --> 00:39:01.311 director and there are many great

NOTE Confidence: 0.7992551

 $00{:}39{:}01{.}311 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}02{.}823$  genetic counselors available to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7992551

 $00:39:02.823 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.839$  answer any questions that we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7992551

 $00{:}39{:}04{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}06{.}754$  And of course, Dr Ratner and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7992551

 $00:39:06.754 \dashrightarrow 00:39:09.029$  myself are there to support women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7992551

 $00:39:09.030 \dashrightarrow 00:39:11.870$  And Doctor Ferrell helps with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7992551

00:39:11.870 --> 00:39:13.574 pancreatic cancer surveillance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7992551

00:39:13.580 --> 00:39:15.740 Genetics can be referd through

NOTE Confidence: 0.7992551

00:39:15.740 --> 00:39:18.310 epic or by calling 201 DNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86881363

00:39:20.560 --> 00:39:22.282 And with that I will stop and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86881363

 $00:39:22.282 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.858$  take questions or we can move

NOTE Confidence: 0.86881363

 $00:39:23.858 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.163$  on to the next presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86881363

00:39:25.170 --> 00:39:26.450 Please feel free to contact

NOTE Confidence: 0.86881363

 $00:39:26.450 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.730$  us anytime you can email.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.86881363
- $00:39:27.730 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.086$  Call me and we're happy to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86881363
- 00:39:29.086 --> 00:39:30.568 help see patients or help with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86881363
- $00:39:30.568 \rightarrow 00:39:31.888$  answering any questions or getting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86881363
- $00:39:31.888 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.360$  you to the right people.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86881363
- $00{:}39{:}33{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}35{.}439$  So thank you so much I will hand it
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86881363
- $00{:}39{:}35{.}439 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}37{.}420$  back over to Doctor Clark and we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.86881363
- $00:39:37.420 \longrightarrow 00:39:39.248$  can take questions if we need to.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435
- 00:39:40.540 --> 00:39:42.406 Thanks Joanna. I think you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435
- $00:39:42.410 \rightarrow 00:39:45.218$  I think this is a great question by Kim.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435
- $00{:}39{:}45{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}47{.}418$  Thanks Kim for for sharing your personal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435
- $00:39:47.418 \rightarrow 00:39:49.330$  experience with the meeting and maybe
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435
- 00:39:49.330 --> 00:39:51.148 I'll just read out Kim's comments,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435
- $00:39:51.150 \dashrightarrow 00:39:52.535$  slash question and maybe you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435
- $00{:}39{:}52{.}535 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}54{.}703$  can give us a little bit of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435
- $00:39:54.703 \dashrightarrow 00:39:56.139$  guidance from your perspective.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435

 $00:39:56.140 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.443$  Joanna so Kim writes just to say

NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435

 $00{:}39{:}58{.}443 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}00{.}848$  that it was recommended to me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435

 $00{:}40{:}00{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}02{.}530$  When I received a breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435

00:40:02.530 --> 00:40:04.344 diagnosis in prior to genetic testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435

 $00:40:04.344 \rightarrow 00:40:06.563$  to go ahead and secure life insurance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435

00:40:06.570 --> 00:40:08.070 if a mutation is identified,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435

00:40:08.070 --> 00:40:09.720 it can make it difficult and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435

 $00:40:09.720 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.390$  or impossible to get coverage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435

 $00{:}40{:}11.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}14.630$  I thought this was a great idea and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435

 $00{:}40{:}14.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}17.389$  share that with my patients now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435

 $00{:}40{:}17.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}20.198$  So what's your experience or or is this NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435

 $00{:}40{:}20.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}22.869$  a question that you get from patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.83532435

00:40:22.869 --> 00:40:25.330 who come through the Genics program

NOTE Confidence: 0.8498387

 $00{:}40{:}25{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}27{.}969$  that you oversee June? We absolutely do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8498387

 $00{:}40{:}27{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}30{.}994$  and so if you haven't learned about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8498387

 $00:40:31.000 \rightarrow 00:40:33.465$  there's something called the genetic

 $00{:}40{:}33{.}465 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}35{.}930$  Information Nondiscrimination Act or Gina

NOTE Confidence: 0.8498387

 $00{:}40{:}36{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}38{.}835$  and Gina prevents people with a genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8498387

 $00{:}40{:}38.835 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}40.810$  predisposition to cancer from being.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8498387

 $00:40:40.810 \longrightarrow 00:40:43.228$  Denied a job or health insurance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8498387

 $00:40:43.230 \rightarrow 00:40:45.450$  Unfortunately Gina does not protect people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8498387

 $00:40:45.450 \rightarrow 00:40:48.080$  from being denied life insurance coverage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8498387

 $00:40:48.080 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.980$  so life insurance certainly is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8498387

 $00{:}40{:}49{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}51{.}500$  something to consider before

NOTE Confidence: 0.8498387

 $00{:}40{:}51{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}53{.}328$  people undergo genetic testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8498387

 $00:40:53.330 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.235$  If that's important to you and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8498387

 $00{:}40{:}56.235 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}58.316$  genetic counselors are pretty good

NOTE Confidence: 0.8498387

 $00:40:58.316 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.608$  at talking about that as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8498387

00:41:00.610 --> 00:41:03.424 But for health insurance and for employment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8498387

 $00{:}41{:}03{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}06{.}532$  there is some legal protection from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8498387

 $00{:}41{:}06{.}532 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}09{.}080$  discrimination for people who have.

00:41:09.080 --> 00:41:10.528 A predisposition to cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

00:41:10.530 --> 00:41:13.194 re thank you. Alright, so we'll just move

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:41:13.194 \rightarrow 00:41:16.337$  on to our final session for the evening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:41:16.340 \rightarrow 00:41:18.517$  We're going to switch gears a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}41{:}18{.}517 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}20{.}733$  bit away from ovarian cancer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}41{:}20{.}733 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}23{.}163$  genetics and how that relates to NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}41{:}23.163 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}25.070$  gynecological cancers and talk a

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

00:41:25.070 --> 00:41:26.870 little bit about cervical cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}41{:}26.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}28.278$  both prevention and detection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

00:41:28.278 --> 00:41:31.229 I think we have a great group to<br/>night

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}41{:}31{.}229 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}33{.}154$  that ranges from gynecologist to

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:41:33.154 \rightarrow 00:41:35.939$  those who do a lot more primary care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

00:41:35.940 --> 00:41:39.164 so I thought this would be a relevant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}41{:}39{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}41.676$  Discussion to talk a little bit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

00:41:41.676 --> 00:41:44.309 the updates from the SCCP will talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}41{:}44{.}309 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}46{.}541$  a little bit about HPV vaccination

- NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744
- $00:41:46.613 \rightarrow 00:41:48.995$  and understanding the role of HPV,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744
- 00:41:49.000 --> 00:41:52.549 and so I'm just going to switch
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744
- $00:41:52.549 \rightarrow 00:41:57.347$  over my slides here. OK. Great.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744
- $00:41:57.347 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.509$  And it's the same me talking.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744
- $00:42:00.510 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.576$  We're just going to shuffle over
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744
- $00:42:03.576 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.620$  to physical cancer prevention.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744
- $00:42:05.620 \longrightarrow 00:42:07.850$  And.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744
- $00:42:07.850 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.586$  So we're going to review a little
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744
- $00:42:09.586 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.214$  bit The Who global strategy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744
- 00:42:11.214 --> 00:42:12.866 to eliminate cervical cancer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744
- $00{:}42{:}12.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}15.068$  which has been recently put forward and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744
- $00{:}42{:}15.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}17.269$  discussed heavily at the recent fgo meeting.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744
- $00:42:17.270 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.774$  As this is a priority for The Who,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744
- $00{:}42{:}19{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}22{.}471$  but then loop that back to how does that NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744
- 00:42:22.471 --> 00:42:24.810 affect us here at home in Connecticut?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:42:24.810 \longrightarrow 00:42:26.130$  In the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}42{:}26{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}27{.}780$  will talk quite extensively about

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}42{:}27.780$  -->  $00{:}42{:}29.986$  the data on HPV vaccination as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}42{:}29{.}986 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}32{.}149$  as the indications and how that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}42{:}32{.}149 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}33{.}931$  evolved overtime and then learn to

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}42{:}33{.}931 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}36{.}318$  apply technique for how we can improve NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:42:36.318 \rightarrow 00:42:38.249$  our vaccination rates here at home.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:42:38.250 \rightarrow 00:42:39.634$  Specifically related to what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}42{:}39{.}634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}42{.}340$  We're kind of coining the catch up cohort,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}42{:}42{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}44{.}596$  and then we'll segue into a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}42{:}44.596 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}47.060$  of a discussion on the updated 2019

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}42{:}47.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}49.683$  AFC CP guidelines and how the newly

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}42{:}49.683 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}51.843$  developed app that can be downloaded

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}42{:}51{.}843 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}55{.}210$  from the Android or iTunes Store.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:42:55.210 \rightarrow 00:42:57.401$  It can really help you guide your

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}42{:}57{.}401 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}59{.}608$  decision making and provide women the

 $00:42:59.608 \rightarrow 00:43:01.216$  most individualized risk assessment

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}43{:}01{.}216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}03{.}977$  when trying to decide how to manage

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}43{:}03{.}977 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}05{.}847$  abnormal cytology or biopsy results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}43{:}05{.}850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}08{.}004$  I don't have any financial disclosures

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:43:08.004 \rightarrow 00:43:10.620$  were not talking about anything off label,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

00:43:10.620 --> 00:43:12.993 but I do want to just acknowledge

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}43{:}12{.}993 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}15{.}348$  and thank Merck for providing some

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}43{:}15{.}348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}17{.}458$  of the infographics and informatics

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}43{:}17{.}458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}20{.}325$  on HPV data as it relates to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}43{:}20{.}325 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}22{.}620$  cervical and head and neck cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:43:22.620 \rightarrow 00:43:26.085$  We're going to talk extensively about today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

00:43:26.090 --> 00:43:26.792 So again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:43:26.792 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.898$  I think this is all very

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

00:43:28.898 --> 00:43:29.600 familiar information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}43{:}29{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}31{.}610$  but worthwhile to remember that cervical

 $00:43:31.610 \longrightarrow 00:43:33.810$  cancer is really a global burden.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}43{:}33{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}35{.}718$  It's the fourth most common cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

00:43:35.718 --> 00:43:37.670 of cancer in women worldwide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:43:37.670 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.765$  and in 2018,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

00:43:38.765 --> 00:43:41.802 / 1/2 A million women were diagnosed with

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}43{:}41{.}802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}45{.}042$  this disease and over 300,000 of women died.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

00:43:45.050 --> 00:43:47.005 Almost all cervical cancers are

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

00:43:47.005 --> 00:43:48.960 related to an HPV infection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00{:}43{:}48{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}51{.}550$  and what we see here on the top is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:43:51.629 \rightarrow 00:43:54.274$  figure that highlights the incidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

00:43:54.274 --> 00:43:56.390 of cervical cancer worldwide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:43:56.390 \rightarrow 00:43:58.736$  where we see a disproportionate burden.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:43:58.740 \longrightarrow 00:44:00.690$  African countries as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:44:00.690 \rightarrow 00:44:02.250$  certain South American countries.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:44:02.250 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.205$  And then when we juxtapose

NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744

 $00:44:04.205 \rightarrow 00:44:06.160$  that against the bottom image,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744
- 00:44:06.160 --> 00:44:07.724 showing the implementation of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744
- 00:44:07.724 --> 00:44:09.679 publicly funded HPV vaccine programs,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744
- $00:44:09.680 \rightarrow 00:44:11.972$  we really see how HPV vaccination
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744
- $00:44:11.972 \rightarrow 00:44:13.500$  and prevention through primary
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744
- $00:44:13.568 \rightarrow 00:44:15.898$  prevention methods have been effective.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744
- 00:44:15.900 --> 00:44:17.425 And it's just unfortunately not
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744
- $00{:}44{:}17{.}425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}19{.}640$  available in the region of the world
- NOTE Confidence: 0.80490744
- $00:44:19.640 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.220$  where this disease is prevalent,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917
- $00{:}44{:}21{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}23{.}284$  as it is here, the devil would show
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917
- $00{:}44{:}23{.}284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}25{.}384$  is really taking a multi prong public
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917
- $00{:}44{:}25{.}384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}27{.}740$  health approach to try to reduce the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917
- $00{:}44{:}27.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}29.670$  burden of physical cancer worldwide.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917
- $00:44:29.670 \longrightarrow 00:44:31.861$  And the best way to do that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917
- $00{:}44{:}31{.}861 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}32{.}800$  is through prevention.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917
- 00:44:32.800 --> 00:44:33.742 Primary prevention through
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:44:33.742 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.370$  vaccination efforts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}44{:}34{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}36{.}706$  and I'm not going to all of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:44:36.706 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.748$  details of their of their plan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

00:44:38.750 --> 00:44:41.286 But what I do want to highlight is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

00:44:41.286 --> 00:44:43.727 that you know as much as we talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}44{:}43.727 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}46.060$  about this as a global problem.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:44:46.060 \dashrightarrow 00:44:48.832$  We really have an opportunity for

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}44{:}48.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}51.132$  improvement closer to home and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:44:51.132 \rightarrow 00:44:53.722$  this is the CDC data on vaccination NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}44{:}53.722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}56.390$  rates as of 2018 for HPV vaccine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}44{:}56{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}59{.}360$  and we see that for girls age 13 to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}44{:}59{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}03{.}386$  17 and boys age 13 to 17 are rates of NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:45:03.386 \rightarrow 00:45:05.879$  vaccination were approximately 50%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}45{:}05{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}08{.}400$  That's pretty low when we compare

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}45{:}08{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}10{.}937$  that to other vaccines given in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:45:10.937 \rightarrow 00:45:12.489$  the same age category.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917
- 00:45:12.490 --> 00:45:14.560 So we think Mcninja cockle,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917
- $00:45:14.560 \longrightarrow 00:45:17.680$  which has a 90 to 95% vaccination uptake.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917
- $00{:}45{:}17.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}18.000$  Great,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917
- 00:45:18.000 00:45:19.920 there really is an opportunity to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917
- 00:45:19.920 --> 00:45:21.572 improve here and I hope at the end
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917
- $00{:}45{:}21.572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}23.037$  of this will have highlighted some
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917
- $00{:}45{:}23.037 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}24.759$  techniques in ways that you can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917
- $00:45:24.759 \rightarrow 00:45:27.760$  improve that in your own practice.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917
- $00{:}45{:}27.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}30.168$  Just want to go through sort of the NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917
- $00{:}45{:}30{.}168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}32{.}762$  impact of HPV and again I want to NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917
- $00{:}45{:}32.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}34.942$  thank Mark for providing some of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917
- $00{:}45{:}34{.}942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}37{.}012$  this information or these graphics NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917
- $00:45:37.012 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.940$  which really depict the burden
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917
- $00{:}45{:}38{.}940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}41{.}320$  that HPV has on the lower genital NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917
- $00:45:41.320 \rightarrow 00:45:43.507$  tract and head and neck disease.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:45:43.510 \rightarrow 00:45:46.310$  They are over 14 million new HPV infections,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}45{:}46{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}48{.}572$  nearly an almost half of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:45:48.572 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.859$  are in people aged 15 to 24.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}45{:}50{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}53{.}310$  These HPV infections will go on to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:45:53.310 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.060$  account for \*\*\*\* genital diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

00:45:55.060 --> 00:45:56.110 including cervical, vulvar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:45:56.110 \rightarrow 00:45:59.150$  and vaginal cancer, but also a high number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:45:59.150 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.776 ****$  cancers as well that will

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}46{:}00{.}776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}02{.}250$  affect both men and women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}46{:}02.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}03.993$  and I think that will should really

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:46:03.993 \rightarrow 00:46:06.039$  be a theme throughout is really the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}46{:}06{.}039 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}08{.}170$  impact that is also happening on men,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:46:08.170 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.925$  especially for our audience who

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:46:09.925 \rightarrow 00:46:12.039$  may have a practice where they

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:46:12.039 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.479$  see both men and women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:46:13.480 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.300$  But if their efficacy in

- NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917
- $00:46:15.300 \longrightarrow 00:46:16.756$  this vaccine and how?

 $00{:}46{:}16.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}19.512$  What is the data show in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:46:19.512 \rightarrow 00:46:21.490$  the prevention of these cancers?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:46:21.490 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.639$  And we see that in people aged

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:46:23.639 \longrightarrow 00:46:26.218$  16 to 23 in multiple studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}46{:}26{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}28{.}398$  those cancers that were HPV related.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

00:46:28.400 --> 00:46:28.778 Specifically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}46{:}28.778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}30.668$  there were significant efficacy in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}46{:}30.668 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}32.920$  reducing the burden of HPV related

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:46:32.920 \rightarrow 00:46:34.960$  malignancies as well in student awards,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}46{:}34{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}36{.}640$  which are significant burden on

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}46{:}36{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}39{.}049$  both our patients but also in our

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:46:39.049 \rightarrow 00:46:41.035$  health care system and millions of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

00:46:41.035 --> 00:46:42.992 dollars expense annually on managing

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:46:42.992 \rightarrow 00:46:45.052$  these diseases that are really

 $00{:}46{:}45{.}052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}46{.}830$  preventable through primary prevention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}46{:}46{.}830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}49{.}680$  So what are the indications according

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

00:46:49.680 --> 00:46:53.052 to the FDA and half of right now it

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:46:53.052 \rightarrow 00:46:55.182$  is indicated for girls and women

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}46{:}55{.}182 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}57{.}625$ 9 through 45 and talk about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}46{:}57{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}59{.}868$  expansion to 45 for the prevention

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:46:59.868 \rightarrow 00:47:01.360$  of the following diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:47:01.360 \rightarrow 00:47:03.230$  So this is cervical, vulvar,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

00:47:03.230 --> 00:47:04.346 vaginal, \*\*\*\* oropharyngeal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:47:04.346 \longrightarrow 00:47:05.834$  another head and neck,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:47:05.840 \longrightarrow 00:47:07.184$  cancers related to HPV,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}47{:}07{.}184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}09{.}684$  as well as the benign but bother some

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}47{:}09{.}684 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}12{.}561$  genital warts that are caused by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

00:47:12.561 --> 00:47:14.888 6:11 subtype as well as prevention

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00:47:14.888 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.030$  of the pre invasive disease of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85195917

 $00{:}47{:}17{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}18{.}500$  the lower channel.

 $00:47:18.500 \rightarrow 00:47:21.930$  Tract is a very similar recommendation for

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

00:47:22.018 --> 00:47:25.558 boys. However, obviously know CI Nbin vein,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

00:47:25.560 - 00:47:29.630 but otherwise I'm very similar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:47:29.630 \rightarrow 00:47:30.713$  Recommendation indication there?

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00{:}47{:}30{.}713 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}33{.}634$  So where does the data come that helps

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:47:33.634 \rightarrow 00:47:35.662$  us guide our decision making regarding

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:47:35.662 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.522$  the expansion of the vaccine towards

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00{:}47{:}37{.}522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}40{.}271$  people who are 24 to 45 and so in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00{:}47{:}40.271 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}43.560$  study and this is a sort of end of study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

00:47:43.560 --> 00:47:45.919 Follow up paper looking at this age

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:47:45.919 \rightarrow 00:47:47.973$  group specifically in women and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00{:}47{:}47{.}973 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}49{.}923$  use the quadrivalent vaccine and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

00:47:49.923 --> 00:47:52.093 have no reason to believe that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00{:}47{:}52.093 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}54.576$  efficacy will not be as good in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00{:}47{:}54{.}576$ --> $00{:}47{:}56{.}196$ nano valent vaccine Gardasil Nine.

 $00:47:56.200 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.324$  They looked at a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:47:58.324 \rightarrow 00:47:59.740$  outcomes related to HPV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:47:59.740 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.388$  Section and here in the per protocol name.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:48:02.390 \rightarrow 00:48:03.955$  Besides that, because the intention

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:48:03.955 \longrightarrow 00:48:06.359$  to treat is a little bit different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:48:06.360 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.016$  we look at the observed efficacy of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:48:09.016 \rightarrow 00:48:11.949$  vaccine in preventing a number of different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:48:11.950 \rightarrow 00:48:14.834$  Outcomes and when we look at overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00{:}48{:}14.834 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}17.278$  persistent infection or CNN or other

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:48:17.278 \longrightarrow 00:48:19.168$  disease of lower genital tract,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00{:}48{:}19{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}22{.}295$  the efficacy was about 88% a little better

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:48:22.295 \rightarrow 00:48:25.990$  in those who are younger and a little less,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00{:}48{:}25{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}27{.}990$  and those who are older.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:48:27.990 \longrightarrow 00:48:28.391$  However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:48:28.391 \rightarrow 00:48:32.000$  the the impact CIN two or three or worse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:48:32.000 \rightarrow 00:48:33.988$  Although listed as 83.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909
- 00:48:33.988 --> 00:48:35.479 Unfortunately those confident
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909
- $00{:}48{:}35{.}479 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}38{.}540$  interval do cross one and so the the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909
- $00:48:38.540 \rightarrow 00:48:40.819$  impact there may not be as strong.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909
- $00:48:40.820 \rightarrow 00:48:41.801$  However you know.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909
- 00:48:41.801 --> 00:48:43.763 I've had many patients who come
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909
- $00:48:43.763 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.760$  into my office.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909
- $00:48:44.760 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.517$  Not a lot of questions about this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909
- $00:48:46.517 \rightarrow 00:48:48.377$  and they fit in that age category
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909
- $00{:}48{:}48{.}377 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}50{.}374$  and we'll talk about how to address
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909
- $00:48:50.374 \rightarrow 00:48:52.204$  that on a very individualized
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909
- $00:48:52.204 \rightarrow 00:48:54.050$  individual risk assessment so that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909
- 00:48:54.050 --> 00:48:56.330 we can help women make informed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909
- $00{:}48{:}56{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}58{.}789$  decisions with the data that we have.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909
- $00{:}48{:}58{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}01{.}070$  So currently the CDC recommends
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909
- $00:49:01.070 \longrightarrow 00:49:02.894$  that as of 2019,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

00:49:02.900 --> 00:49:05.585 harmonization of catch up vaccination

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:49:05.585 \rightarrow 00:49:07.733$  for appropriate persons through

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

00:49:07.733 --> 00:49:10.546 age 26 should be undertaken and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:49:10.546 \rightarrow 00:49:13.895$  that group from 27 on 245 is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00{:}49{:}13.895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}15.695$  shared clinical decision making.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

00:49:15.700 --> 00:49:18.409 So I saw a young woman recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:49:18.409 \longrightarrow 00:49:21.678$  in my practice who have been in

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:49:21.678 \rightarrow 00:49:23.698$  a monogamist relationship since

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

00:49:23.698 --> 00:49:25.756 their first \*\*\*\*\*\* encounter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00{:}49{:}25.756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}28.036$  She's now 2829 years old.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

00:49:28.040 --> 00:49:29.105 Unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00{:}49{:}29.105 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}30.170$  she.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:49:30.170 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.390$  Gone through into 4th and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:49:32.390 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.266$  reentering the dating and had

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:49:34.266 \rightarrow 00:49:35.916$  questions about the efficacy of

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00{:}49{:}35{.}916 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}39{.}021$  this and so we talked about that and

- NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909
- $00:49:39.021 \rightarrow 00:49:40.737$  through shared clinical decision
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909
- $00{:}49{:}40{.}737 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}42{.}743$  making she decided to proceed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909
- $00:49:42.743 \rightarrow 00:49:44.267$  with getting the vaccination.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909
- $00:49:44.270 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.398$  Now that's not to say that that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909
- $00:49:46.398 \longrightarrow 00:49:48.937$  is going to provide her the same
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909
- 00:49:48.937 --> 00:49:51.812 efficacy of someone at the younger age
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909
- $00:49:51.812 \rightarrow 00:49:54.167$  category of the FDA recommendations,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909
- $00{:}49{:}54{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}56{.}515$  but she still falls within the CDC
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909
- $00{:}49{:}56{.}515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}59{.}049$  and the FDA recommendations and.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909
- 00:49:59.050 --> 00:50:00.394 I would encourage you to have those.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909
- $00:50:00.400 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.792$  Conversations with patients on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909
- $00:50:02.792 \longrightarrow 00:50:05.184$  a very individualized level.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909
- $00{:}50{:}05{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}07{.}304$  So there are a number of women
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909
- $00{:}50{:}07{.}304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}08{.}658$  nationwide still could benefit
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909
- $00:50:08.658 \rightarrow 00:50:10.206$  from updating their vaccination
- NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:50:10.206 \rightarrow 00:50:12.583$  status through this catch up cohort

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:50:12.583 \rightarrow 00:50:14.087$  and even more importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00{:}50{:}14.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}16.907$  are a number of men and so for those

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:50:16.907 \longrightarrow 00:50:19.493$  of you who also take care of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

00:50:19.493 --> 00:50:22.278 man in your primary care practice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

00:50:22.280 --> 00:50:24.566 I would encourage you to consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:50:24.566 \rightarrow 00:50:27.590$  this and when speaking with them about

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:50:27.590 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.494$  their overall primary prevention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00{:}50{:}29{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}31{.}476$  We can't go through a talk in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:50:31.476 \rightarrow 00:50:32.825$  time period without discussing

NOTE Confidence: 0.746863649090909

 $00:50:32.825 \longrightarrow 00:50:34.019$  safety of vaccine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:50:34.020 --> 00:50:35.220 It's on everybody's mind

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:50:35.220 \longrightarrow 00:50:36.420$  with the covid vaccine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}50{:}36{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}38{.}628$  but just to highlight that this is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}50{:}38.628 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}40.780$  very safe vaccine with very minimal

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:50:40.780 \rightarrow 00:50:43.084$  side effects and low grade toxicities.

 $00{:}50{:}43.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}45.610$  So what can we do to increase vaccination

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}50{:}45{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}48{.}091$  rates here in Connecticut to try to prevent

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:50:48.091 \rightarrow 00:50:50.500$  all of these HPV related infections?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:50:50.500 - 00:50:51.550 And more importantly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:50:51.550 \rightarrow 00:50:54.000$  is HPV related building that sees and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}50{:}54.068 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}56.138$  we want to assess the immunization

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}50{:}56{.}138 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}58{.}183$  status and for patients at each

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}50{:}58{.}183 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}00{.}001$  clinical encounter and then what I

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:51:00.001 --> 00:51:02.138 think is probably the most important

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}51{:}02{.}138 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}04{.}430$  is the recommendation of the provider

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}51{:}04{.}489 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}06{.}283$  and patients very much rely on

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}51{:}06{.}283 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}08{.}497$  your opinion in your and value your NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

-----

00:51:08.497 --> 00:51:10.082 input into their decision making

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:51:10.082 --> 00:51:12.140 and so by educating yourself about

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}51{:}12{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}13{.}915$  this data in these recommendations.

 $00:51:13.920 \rightarrow 00:51:16.552$  You'll be able to provide a strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:51:16.552 \rightarrow 00:51:17.680$  recommendation is appropriate

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:51:17.741 \longrightarrow 00:51:18.740$  for that patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:51:18.740 --> 00:51:20.924 We want to either administer within

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}51{:}20{.}924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}23{.}404$  our own practices or refer onto a

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}51{:}23{.}404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}25{.}630$  either a pharmacy or clinic that can NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:51:25.704 \rightarrow 00:51:28.200$  provide vaccination and to ensure that NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

NOTE Confidence: 0.64946055

00:51:28.200 --> 00:51:30.612 our vaccination status is updated and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}51{:}30{.}612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}32{.}838$  documented for all of our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}51{:}32{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}34{.}810$  These measures are supported by

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}51{:}34{.}810$  -->  $00{:}51{:}37{.}190$  ACOG and encouraged and there are NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}51{:}37{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}39{.}206$  a number of opportunities that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:51:39.206 --> 00:51:41.953 could look at in the evolution of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}51{:}41{.}953 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}44{.}038$  their relationship with your patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:51:44.040 \rightarrow 00:51:45.920$  Beginning is when they transition

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:51:45.920 \longrightarrow 00:51:47.800$  from care from the pediatricians

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033
- $00:51:47.862 \rightarrow 00:51:49.630$  office into gynecological care,

 $00{:}51{:}49{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}52{.}101$  and so perhaps they did not or

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:51:52.101 --> 00:51:55.029 not offered or or did not accept

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:51:55.029 --> 00:51:57.264 vaccination in that earlier cohort

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:51:57.264 \rightarrow 00:51:59.599$  than nine nine years and up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}51{:}59{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}01{.}868$  And so this transition into care

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:52:01.868 \longrightarrow 00:52:04.103$  interview I am practice is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:52:04.103 \rightarrow 00:52:06.047$  opportunity to re address the data

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}52{:}06{.}047 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}08{.}208$  and and discuss that opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:52:08.208 \dashrightarrow 00:52:10.608$  with patients when we're giving

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:52:10.608 --> 00:52:12.809 other vaccines brings up another

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}52{:}12.809 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}14.048$  opportunity to discuss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}52{:}14.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}16.246$  The increased use of HPV vaccination

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}52{:}16{.}246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}18{.}814$  and we talked before a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}52{:}18{.}814 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}20{.}544$  about how Mcninja cockle vaccine

 $00{:}52{:}20{.}544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}22{.}913$  given at a very similar age have

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:52:22.913 --> 00:52:24.518 very high rates of uptake.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}52{:}24{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}26{.}928$  But we do not see that same experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:52:26.928 \rightarrow 00:52:29.398$  with HPV and so by including HPV

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:52:29.398 --> 00:52:31.193 vaccination in the discussion at

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}52{:}31{.}264 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}33{.}496$  the same time will provide an

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:52:33.496 --> 00:52:35.670 opportunity hopefully to see increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:52:35.670 \longrightarrow 00:52:37.710$  rates of vaccination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:52:37.710 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.398$  As people are heading off to college,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:52:40.400 \longrightarrow 00:52:41.549$  this provides another

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:52:41.549 \rightarrow 00:52:42.698$  opportunity for counseling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:52:42.700 \rightarrow 00:52:44.962$  either in combination with other counseling

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:52:44.962 \rightarrow 00:52:46.930$  efforts regarding perhaps birth control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:52:46.930 \rightarrow 00:52:48.208$  or pap smears,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:52:48.208 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.338$  but this is another opportunity

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:52:50.338 \rightarrow 00:52:53.462$  where you may be able to provide an

- NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033
- $00:52:53.462 \rightarrow 00:52:56.352$  opportunity for man or woman to become

 $00{:}52{:}56{.}352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}59{.}495$  vaccinated prior to heading off to college.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:52:59.500 --> 00:53:01.010 And like I said before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:53:01.010 \rightarrow 00:53:02.395$  the greatest predictor in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}53{:}02{.}395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}04{.}153$  affectionate and a lot of studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:53:04.153 --> 00:53:05.437 is really the recommendation

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:53:05.437 \longrightarrow 00:53:07.042$  of the health care provider,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}53{:}07{.}050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}09{.}826$  and so take that to heart and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:53:09.826 \rightarrow 00:53:12.008$  patience really value that we're trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}53{:}12.008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}14.629$  to make a decision on what to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:53:14.630 --> 00:53:15.982 I'm definitely gonna laryngologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}53{:}15{.}982 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}17{.}672$  or any anti through my.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:53:17.680 -> 00:53:19.822 I want to highlight how we're really

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:53:19.822 \rightarrow 00:53:21.473$  trying to expand the messaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}53{:}21{.}473 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}23{.}148$  around vaccination to not just

 $00:53:23.148 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.139$  be related to cervical cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}53{:}25{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}27{.}516$  but the word in the HPV has on

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}53{:}27{.}516 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}30{.}095$  the number of other head and neck

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:53:30.095 --> 00:53:31.238 cancers into frame.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

00:53:31.240 --> 00:53:31.886 Our discussions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}53{:}31{.}886$  -->  $00{:}53{:}34{.}147$  more around HPV vaccination as a way

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00:53:34.147 \rightarrow 00:53:36.010$  to hopefully prevent a malignancy

NOTE Confidence: 0.84948033

 $00{:}53{:}36{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}38{.}224$  and less around the HPV infection

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}53{:}38{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}40{.}786$  itself and the stigma that may be associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}53{:}40.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}43.110$  with that for one reason or another.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}53{:}43{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}45{.}918$  We see that there is a relationship between.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}53{:}45{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}48{.}280$  HPV and cancers of the head and neck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}53{:}48{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}50{.}928$  And we see that the prevalence of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:53:50.928 \rightarrow 00:53:53.380$  HPV types is very similar to what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:53:53.380 \rightarrow 00:53:55.599$  see in our cervical cancer patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:53:55.600 \rightarrow 00:53:56.719$  Very interesting Lee.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845
- 00:53:56.719 --> 00:53:58.584 We've seen a decline of

 $00:53:58.584 \rightarrow 00:54:00.310$  cervical cancer over the years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:54:00.310 \rightarrow 00:54:02.844$  but are beginning to see an increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

00:54:02.844 --> 00:54:04.654 rate of oral, pharyngeal cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:54:04.654 \rightarrow 00:54:05.740$  especially among men,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}54{:}05{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}07{.}912$  and there are significantly more or

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:54:07.912 \rightarrow 00:54:09.360$  fragile cancers diagnosed annually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:54:09.360 \longrightarrow 00:54:11.155$  which just brings even more

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}54{:}11{.}155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}13{.}734$  importance to why we need to include

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:54:13.734 \longrightarrow 00:54:15.870$  that as part of our counseling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}54{:}15{.}870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}17{.}904$  And when we're discussing the benefits

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}54{:}17{.}904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}20{.}579$  that we can expect from HPV vaccination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}54{:}20{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}23{.}556$  males are affected 5 times more than females

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}54{:}23.556$  -->  $00{:}54{:}26.669$  when it comes to these types of cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:54:26.670 \rightarrow 00:54:29.289$  And so it really is behaves us to discuss

 $00:54:29.289 \rightarrow 00:54:31.889$  these benefits at every clinical encounter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}54{:}31{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}34{.}338$  So if you're carrying for a mother who

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}54{:}34{.}338 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}37{.}470$  has a male child who is perhaps of the age NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}54{:}37{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}40{.}589$  that he could be nefit from HPV vaccination,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}54{:}40{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}42{.}552$  that's an important discussion that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}54{:}42{.}552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}45{.}014$  can have as the primary care provider

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:54:45.014 \rightarrow 00:54:47.192$  of the mother of the grandmother,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:54:47.200 \longrightarrow 00:54:48.028$  whoever it is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}54{:}48.028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}49.960$  and the more that we move this

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}54{:}50{.}025 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}52{.}420$  conversation towards HBF and infection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}54{:}52{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}54{.}508$  And HPV vaccination as a cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:54:54.508 \rightarrow 00:54:55.204$  prevention strategy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:54:55.210 \longrightarrow 00:54:58.378$  We hope to see increased rates

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:54:58.378 \longrightarrow 00:54:59.434$  of vaccination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

00:54:59.440 --> 00:55:01.612 And again, I think again, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:55:01.612 \rightarrow 00:55:03.824$  I can't phrase it out any other

- NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845
- $00:55:03.824 \rightarrow 00:55:06.186$  way than just we have to try to,

 $00{:}55{:}06{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}06{.}748$  you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:55:06.748 \rightarrow 00:55:08.701$  provide our patients with all of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}55{:}08{.}701 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}11{.}113$  data in all of the information on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:55:11.113 \rightarrow 00:55:12.724$  potential benefits of this cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:55:12.724 \rightarrow 00:55:14.394$  prevention strategy and hope that

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}55{:}14.394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}16.935$  through that we can remove some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}55{:}16.935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}18.470$  stigma associated with HPV vaccination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:55:18.470 \longrightarrow 00:55:20.505$  And it highlights the importance

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

00:55:20.505 --> 00:55:23.080 for both men and for women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:55:23.080 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.720$  So with that in mind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}55{:}24.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}25.900$  and having you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}55{:}25{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}28{.}442$  talked on and on about the importance of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}55{:}28{.}442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}31{.}258$  HPV and how it relates to cervical cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}55{:}31{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}34{.}530$  I do want to talk a little bit of both.

 $00{:}55{:}34{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}35{.}978$  The updated ASEP management

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}55{:}35{.}978$  -->  $00{:}55{:}38{.}150$  guidelines and how they are really NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}55{:}38{.}209 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}40{.}169$  well summarized in what I think is

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:55:40.169 \dashrightarrow 00:55:42.705$  a pretty easy to use app that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

00:55:42.705 --> 00:55:44.340 may consider incorporating into your

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}55{:}44{.}340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}46{.}492$  practice and and as a way to help NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}55{:}46{.}492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}48{.}185$  with stratify and provide patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}55{:}48.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}50.015$  with the most up-to-date information

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}55{:}50.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}52.179$  on the risk of cervical cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:55:52.180 \longrightarrow 00:55:54.595$  And so this app reflects the 2019.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:55:54.600 \rightarrow 00:55:56.628$  Updated guidelines and once in the NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:55:56.628 \rightarrow 00:55:58.880$  greatest shift is it really highlights

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}55{:}58{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}01{.}388$  the incorporation in the important of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

00:56:01.388 --> 00:56:03.640 test history into calculating risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}56{:}03.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}06.454$  So you'll see and will provide an

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:56:06.454 \rightarrow 00:56:08.748$  example tonight of how it looks,

 $00:56:08.750 \longrightarrow 00:56:11.494$  but it's not just about that one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

00:56:11.500 --> 00:56:13.858 Single smears a snapshot in time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:56:13.860 \rightarrow 00:56:16.422$  The data is really supporting the use

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}56{:}16{.}422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}18{.}832$  of understanding a woman's history of

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}56{:}18.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}21.316$  dysplasia in history of HPV infection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}56{:}21{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}24{.}589$  In order to really understand what is

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}56{:}24.589 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}26.920$  her individualized risk assessment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:56:26.920 \rightarrow 00:56:30.630$  And so we've known for a number of years now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}56{:}30{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}32{.}844$  The HPV based testing strategies are

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00:56:32.844 \rightarrow 00:56:34.710$  certainly superior to cytology alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}56{:}34{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}37{.}248$  This is really a Sentinel paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.82061845

 $00{:}56{:}37{.}248 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}38{.}940$  that highlighted that showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}56{:}39{.}014 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}41{.}051$  that on the bottom here we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}56{:}41.051 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}43.539$  see HPV and HPV Co testing in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}56{:}43{.}539 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}45{.}464$  the incidence of Cinc predicted.

 $00{:}56{:}45{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}48{.}503$  The incidence of CI and three or more above

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}56{:}48{.}503 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}51{.}774$  in the pop history is well really matters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}56{:}51{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}54{.}126$  This is a paper currently impressing

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}56{:}54{.}126 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}56{.}509$  highlighted at the recent FGO meeting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}56{:}56{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}58{.}490$  Just highlighting the real importance

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}56{:}58{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}00{.}074$  in understanding the multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}57{:}00{.}074 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}01{.}762$  prior screening events that a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}57{:}01.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}03.616$  woman has undergone and trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

00:57:03.673 --> 00:57:05.408 assess her individual life risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:57:05.410 \longrightarrow 00:57:07.234$  And that's what this app really

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}57{:}07{.}234 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}09{.}330$  provides a chance to individualize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:57:09.330 \longrightarrow 00:57:11.892$  Some of the risk assessment so they NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

00:57:11.892 --> 00:57:13.719 can make appropriate decisions for

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}57{:}13.719 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}16.008$  themselves and so we see here on

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}57{:}16.008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}18.566$  the left that a woman with three

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}57{:}18.566 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}21.012$  negative code tests really have a near

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742
- 00:57:21.012 --> 00:57:23.920 negligible risk of a CIN 3 or above.

 $00{:}57{:}23.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}26.266$  Whereas someone who is HPV positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

00:57:26.266 --> 00:57:28.200 or persistently HPV positive or

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:57:28.200 \longrightarrow 00:57:29.725$  have had treatment for sin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:57:29.730 \longrightarrow 00:57:31.490$  Three or above lesion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}57{:}31{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}34{.}723$  certainly at higher risk than her well

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}57{:}34{.}723 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}37{.}759$  tested and Co test negative counterpart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:57:37.760 \dashrightarrow 00:57:40.104$  And so this is reflected in the app

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}57{:}40{.}104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}42{.}753$  and those who do have use dinner or

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}57{:}42.753 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}45.040$  review the guidelines can appreciate the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}57{:}45{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}47{.}698$  differences in the recommendations and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}57{:}47.698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}49.966$  things are looking compared to before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}57{:}49{.}966 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}52{.}521$  but it's really all about risk assessment

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}57{:}52{.}521 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}54{.}631$  as opposed to direct indications

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}57{:}54{.}631 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}56{.}959$  and recommendations on what to do

 $00:57:56.959 \rightarrow 00:57:58.693$  next for treatment or or testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}57{:}58{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}01{.}697$  So this is just a little example of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}58{:}01.697 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}05.205$  the app looks so on the left hand panel NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:58:05.205 \rightarrow 00:58:07.608$  we've selected perhaps a woman who.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}58{:}07{.}610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}08{.}978$  Is 25 to 29.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:58:08.978 \rightarrow 00:58:10.688$  Although not a great example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}58{:}10.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}12.712$  'cause we should probably be focused

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:58:12.712 \longrightarrow 00:58:15.537$  on 30 to 65 if we're looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

00:58:15.537 --> 00:58:18.040 the importance of HPV and then we

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}58{:}18.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}20.624$  select that and then we go down to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}58{:}20.624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}22.660$  indicate that we're interested in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}58{:}22.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}24.370$  management of routine screening results,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}58{:}24{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}26{.}463$  and then we are able to select

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:58:26.463 \longrightarrow 00:58:28.130$  all of these different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}58{:}28{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}29{.}915$  All these different variables that

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

00:58:29.915 --> 00:58:32.052 will go into helping predict risk

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742
- $00{:}58{:}32{.}052 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}34{.}110$  and what's new and important is the

 $00{:}58{:}34{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}36{.}572$  question of whether or not patient has

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:58:36.572 \rightarrow 00:58:38.790$  previous screening results that could be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:58:38.790 \longrightarrow 00:58:41.190$  Input it into this algorithm to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}58{:}41{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}43{.}660$  help us understand what to do next,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:58:43.660 \longrightarrow 00:58:45.156$  and so our patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

00:58:45.156 --> 00:58:45.530 Yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}58{:}45{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}47{.}468$  she has been compliant with their

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}58{:}47{.}468 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}49{.}727$  screening and so we have entered

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

00:58:49.727 --> 00:58:51.519 her previous screening history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}58{:}51{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}54{.}238$  And so we go on now to include those

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:58:54.238 \rightarrow 00:58:57.128$  prior test results in our recommendation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:58:57.130 \longrightarrow 00:58:59.000$  Based on what we've entered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}58{:}59{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}00{.}870$  If for one year follow-up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:59:00.870 \longrightarrow 00:59:01.578$  what's nice,

 $00{:}59{:}01{.}578$  -->  $00{:}59{:}04{.}410$  and I think it's really helpful for patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:59:04.481 \rightarrow 00:59:07.218$  in ourselves to understand the overall risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:59:07.220 \longrightarrow 00:59:08.936$  is this second image.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

00:59:08.936 --> 00:59:12.630 Which is the risk of CIN 3 or above,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}59{:}12.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}15.876$  and with that we're able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:59:15.876 \rightarrow 00:59:19.249$  appropriately treat her to next steps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

00:59:19.250 --> 00:59:19.630 So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:59:19.630 \longrightarrow 00:59:20.770$  just to summarize,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

00:59:20.770 --> 00:59:23.440 I you know we've covered a lot,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}59{:}23{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}25{.}904$  but I think really it's important to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:59:25.904 \rightarrow 00:59:27.446$  consider education and counseling

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}59{:}27.446 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}29.536$  on the benefits of vaccination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:59:29.540 \longrightarrow 00:59:30.872$  At each clinical encounter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:59:30.872 \rightarrow 00:59:33.354$  as well as to stress the importance

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}59{:}33{.}354 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}35{.}820$  of a strong recommendation for those

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:59:35.820 \rightarrow 00:59:38.272$  who are eligible really to emphasize

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742
- $00:59:38.272 \rightarrow 00:59:40.197$  the importance of this intervention

 $00{:}59{:}40{.}197 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}42{.}554$  as a cancer prevention strategy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:59:42.554 \rightarrow 00:59:44.534$  to identify someone within your

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00:59:44.534 \rightarrow 00:59:46.376$  practice or your Community practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.7676742

 $00{:}59{:}46.376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}48.452$  who can really champion this effort

NOTE Confidence: 0.8540613

 $00{:}59{:}48{.}512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}50{.}966$  and then routinely reassess your strategies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8540613

 $00:59:50.970 \longrightarrow 00:59:52.752$  And look for areas to improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.8540613

 $00{:}59{:}52{.}752 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}54{.}278$  effectiveness and I would encourage

NOTE Confidence: 0.8540613

 $00{:}59{:}54{.}278 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}56{.}486$  you to consider this app as one tool

NOTE Confidence: 0.8540613

 $00{:}59{:}56{.}486 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}58{.}959$  that you use in helping manage physical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8540613

 $00{:}59{:}58{.}959 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}00{.}387$  cancer screening your practice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8079374

 $01{:}00{:}02{.}840 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}06{.}072$  So with that you know. Thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8079374

 $01{:}00{:}06{.}072 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}08{.}512$  We're going to stop the share and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8079374

 $01{:}00{:}08.512 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}10.894$  open it up for questions either

NOTE Confidence: 0.8079374

 $01{:}00{:}10.894 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}12.995$  regarding our cervical cancer talk

 $01:00:12.995 \longrightarrow 01:00:15.095$  or anything that we've covered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8079374

 $01{:}00{:}15{.}100 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}16{.}970$  Otherwise, this evening and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8079374

 $01:00:16.970 \longrightarrow 01:00:19.293$  hope we can clarify any points

NOTE Confidence: 0.8079374

 $01:00:19.293 \longrightarrow 01:00:21.218$  or or answer any questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8101981

 $01{:}00{:}27.170 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}30.653$  I don't see anything in the chat right now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8101981

 $01{:}00{:}30.660 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}34.143$  but will give it a couple more minutes again. NOTE Confidence: 0.8101981

01:00:34.150 --> 01:00:37.022 If you have any questions I didn't put

NOTE Confidence: 0.8101981

 $01{:}00{:}37.022 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}39.976$  my email address out there, but please

NOTE Confidence: 0.8101981

 $01{:}00{:}39{.}976$  -->  $01{:}00{:}43{.}080$  feel free to reach out to me directly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8101981

01:00:43.080 --> 01:00:44.792 It's my name mitchell.clark@yale.edu NOTE Confidence: 0.8101981

01:00:44.792 --> 01:00:48.290 and I'd be happy to help answer any NOTE Confidence: 0.8101981

 $01{:}00{:}48.290 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}50.858$  questions or help you navigate referral

NOTE Confidence: 0.8101981

 $01{:}00{:}50.858 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}53.360$  process if if you're seeking tour

NOTE Confidence: 0.8101981

 $01{:}00{:}53.360 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}55.718$  for your patient to smile Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8101981

 $01{:}00{:}55{.}720 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}57{.}130$  For evaluation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

 $01{:}00{:}59{.}700 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}01{.}625$  And I don't see anything else unless

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835
- 01:01:01.625 --> 01:01:03.930 China you have anything to add. Or yeah,

 $01:01:03.930 \longrightarrow 01:01:05.050$  doctor Clark. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

 $01:01:05.050 \rightarrow 01:01:07.068$  I just wanted to give a shout

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

 $01{:}01{:}07{.}068 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}09{.}007$  out to a couple of our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

 $01:01:09.010 \rightarrow 01:01:10.738$  I recognize some of our patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

 $01:01:10.738 \longrightarrow 01:01:12.389$  that are on tonight as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

 $01{:}01{:}12{.}390 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}14{.}470$  And thank you for just being women who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

 $01{:}01{:}14.470 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}16.504$  have been champions in our program and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

 $01:01:16.504 \rightarrow 01:01:18.588$  just getting the word out there that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

01:01:18.588 - 01:01:20.562 we can detect cancer earlier we can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

01:01:20.570 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:01:22.850 you know, take really good care of you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

 $01{:}01{:}22.850 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}25.637$  and we want you to be part of our family.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

 $01{:}01{:}25.640 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}27.404$  So for the women who are out

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

 $01:01:27.404 \rightarrow 01:01:28.750$  there that are patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

 $01{:}01{:}28.750 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}30.720$  thank you for joining in.

 $01:01:30.720 \rightarrow 01:01:32.826$  For people who don't know yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

 $01:01:32.830 \rightarrow 01:01:35.294$  we have something called discovery to cure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

 $01{:}01{:}35{.}300 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}38{.}356$  which is one of our programs that focuses

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

 $01{:}01{:}38.356 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}40.926$  on prevention and we do have a website.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

 $01{:}01{:}40{.}930 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}43{.}738$  We have a something called the teal times,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

 $01{:}01{:}43.740 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}46.356$  which is one of our newsletters that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

 $01:01:46.356 \rightarrow 01:01:49.729$  go out to providers or or women and patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

01:01:49.730 --> 01:01:51.884 So if you have any interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

 $01{:}01{:}51{.}884 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}53{.}600$  in getting connected with us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8883835

 $01:01:53.600 \rightarrow 01:01:55.706$  I'm happy to help connect you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

01:01:56.960 --> 01:01:59.496 Great yeah, I agree I I you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

 $01:01:59.500 \rightarrow 01:02:01.534$  really thank our patients for advocating

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

 $01{:}02{:}01{.}534 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}03{.}564$  for themselves and for others in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

 $01{:}02{:}03.564 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}05.406$  the Community who aren't aware of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

 $01{:}02{:}05{.}406 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}07{.}100$  the resources that are out there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

 $01:02:07.100 \longrightarrow 01:02:09.468$  And I think all of us are probably

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798
- $01{:}02{:}09{.}468 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}11{.}230$  going to speak with John,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798
- 01:02:11.230 --> 01:02:13.150 but it's really our patients who
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798
- $01:02:13.150 \longrightarrow 01:02:15.229$  motivate us and in their journey
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798
- $01:02:15.229 \rightarrow 01:02:17.413$  and their strength that is so
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798
- $01{:}02{:}17{.}413 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}19{.}466$  motivating for us to do what we do.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798
- $01{:}02{:}19{.}470 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}21{.}678$  And it's such an honor to take care
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798
- $01:02:21.678 \rightarrow 01:02:23.630$  of these strong women who really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798
- $01:02:23.630 \longrightarrow 01:02:25.628$  are paving the way for future
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798
- $01{:}02{:}25{.}692 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}27{.}640$  treatments or participation in.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798
- $01:02:27.640 \longrightarrow 01:02:29.970$  Trials and things like that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798
- $01{:}02{:}29{.}970 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}32{.}924$  We have one question here from someone
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798
- $01{:}02{:}32{.}924 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}36{.}334$  in the audience asking about any known
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798
- 01:02:36.334 --> 01:02:38.398 connection between vulvar cancer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798
- $01:02:38.398 \rightarrow 01:02:41.148$  in bracket two patients over 75.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798
- 01:02:41.150 --> 01:02:41.618 Typically,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

 $01:02:41.618 \rightarrow 01:02:44.426$  the older women with with bolvar

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

 $01{:}02{:}44{.}426 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}47{.}333$  cancer is typically related to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

01:02:47.333 --> 01:02:49.233 lichenoid diseases less related

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

01:02:49.233 --> 01:02:51.409 to HPV infections and join,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

 $01{:}02{:}51{.}410 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}54{.}206$  and I don't think any strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

 $01{:}02{:}54.206 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}56.070$  Association known between predatory

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

 $01{:}02{:}56{.}149 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}58{.}189$  breast ovarian cancer genes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417798

 $01:02:58.190 \rightarrow 01:03:01.126$  Are the HR jeans and involve our cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377043

 $01{:}03{:}01{.}890 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}04{.}438$  Now there's as far as we know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377043

01:03:04.440 --> 01:03:06.936 there's no Association with vulvar or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377043

 $01{:}03{:}06{.}936$  -->  $01{:}03{:}09{.}355$  vaginal cancers with genetics that only NOTE Confidence: 0.8377043

 $01:03:09.355 \rightarrow 01:03:11.749$  the only thing that may cause cervical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377043

 $01:03:11.749 \rightarrow 01:03:14.269$  cancer is one of the rare gene mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377043

 $01:03:14.270 \longrightarrow 01:03:16.322$  But when we think about hereditary

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377043

01:03:16.322 --> 01:03:18.270 breast and ovarian cancer syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8377043

 $01:03:18.270 \rightarrow 01:03:20.448$  or Lynch syndrome were thinking ovarian,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8377043
- $01{:}03{:}20.450 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}22.270$  fallopian tube, and uterine cancers.

 $01{:}03{:}24.500 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}26.140$  That's great, great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

01:03:26.140 --> 01:03:29.080 Thank you for bringing it to our

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01:03:29.080 \rightarrow 01:03:31.498$  attention where you know we're learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01{:}03{:}31{.}498 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}34{.}834$  more and more about these and we look

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01{:}03{:}34{.}834 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}37{.}302$  forward to seeing expanded results from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01:03:37.302 \rightarrow 01:03:39.774$  years of experience in genetic testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01{:}03{:}39{.}780 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}44{.}036$  And so this may involve overtime for sure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01{:}03{:}44.040 \dashrightarrow 01{:}03{:}46.164$  Another great question from one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

01:03:46.164 --> 01:03:48.000 our best practice nurses regarding

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01:03:48.000 \longrightarrow 01:03:49.440$  HPV vaccine and age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

01:03:49.440 --> 01:03:51.612 Any studies showing benefit to vaccine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01:03:51.612 \rightarrow 01:03:54.118$  earlier at age 9 rather than 11?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

01:03:54.120 --> 01:03:57.104 You know I don't have the sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01:03:57.104 \longrightarrow 01:03:59.877$  of original paper up in front of me,

 $01:03:59.880 \rightarrow 01:04:02.512$  whether or not they broke those groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01:04:02.512 \longrightarrow 01:04:05.277$  down like they did in the trial here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01:04:05.280 \longrightarrow 01:04:07.926$  showing benefit to 26 to 35 at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01:04:07.926 \longrightarrow 01:04:09.960$  the post of 35 to 45.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01:04:09.960 \longrightarrow 01:04:11.800$  I think really the overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01:04:11.800 \rightarrow 01:04:14.409$  thinking is to try to get this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01{:}04{:}14{.}410 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}17{.}110$  In its earliest possible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01:04:17.110 \longrightarrow 01:04:20.485$  before any exposure to HPV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01{:}04{:}20{.}490 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}23{.}266$  And as soon as we can do that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01{:}04{:}23.270 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}26.132$  the better so that we do know that those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

01:04:26.132 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:04:28.756 people who are enrolled in the trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01{:}04{:}28.756 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}30.930$  of HPV vaccination those who were.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

01:04:30.930 --> 01:04:32.856 HPV naive certainly had a better

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01:04:32.856 \rightarrow 01:04:34.760$  response at clearing that infection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

01:04:34.760 --> 01:04:38.036 And so while I don't have any data to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448

 $01:04:38.036 \rightarrow 01:04:41.369$  think 9 birth 11 off the top of my head,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8518448
- $01{:}04{:}41{.}370 \dashrightarrow 01{:}04{:}44{.}002$  I think as early as possible within

 $01:04:44.002 \rightarrow 01:04:46.237$  the recommendations of the FDA and CDC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

 $01:04:49.390 \longrightarrow 01:04:52.066$  We have another great question from

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

 $01:04:52.066 \rightarrow 01:04:54.996$  someone asking about the role of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

 $01:04:54.996 \rightarrow 01:04:57.128$  heated chemotherapy during surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

 $01{:}04{:}57{.}130 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}00{.}518$  That's a great question and evolving topic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

 $01{:}05{:}00.520 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}04.444$  This was one of the first RCT's that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

 $01{:}05{:}04.444 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}07.698$  presented here to night from one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

 $01{:}05{:}07.698$  -->  $01{:}05{:}11.235$  European groups and we are excited to NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

 $01:05:11.235 \rightarrow 01:05:14.493$  offer this on selected patients through

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

01:05:14.493 --> 01:05:17.630 Smilow who are meet good criteria

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

 $01{:}05{:}17.630 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}20.220$  will have good performance status.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

 $01{:}05{:}20{.}220 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}22{.}205$  And who meet certain eligibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

01:05:22.205 --> 01:05:22.999 eligibility criteria?

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

 $01{:}05{:}23.000 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}25.544$  Sorry bout that every one and that

 $01:05:25.544 \rightarrow 01:05:27.715$  is certainly something that should

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

01:05:27.715 --> 01:05:30.097 be brought up with your treating

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

 $01{:}05{:}30.097 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}32.423$  uin on cologist in order to determine NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

 $01{:}05{:}32{.}423 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}34{.}908$  if you might be a good candidate

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

 $01:05:34.910 \longrightarrow 01:05:36.890$  for that type of approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

 $01{:}05{:}36{.}890 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}39{.}098$  because there is early data that NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

01:05:39.098 --> 01:05:41.452 signals there may be benefit for

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

01:05:41.452 --> 01:05:43.870 those patients who who are deemed

NOTE Confidence: 0.80496114

 $01:05:43.870 \rightarrow 01:05:46.419$  eligible for that for that technique.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01{:}05{:}48{.}560 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}50{.}215$  We have another great question

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01{:}05{:}50{.}215$  -->  $01{:}05{:}51{.}870$  from someone talking about the NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

01:05:51.930 --> 01:05:53.670 difficulty with carbon emitters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:05:53.670 \longrightarrow 01:05:54.765$  Any recommendations on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01{:}05{:}54.765 \dashrightarrow 01{:}05{:}55.860$  improving patient tolerance?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:05:55.860 \longrightarrow 01:05:57.320$  That's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:05:57.320 \longrightarrow 01:05:59.510$  So in terms of carbon hitters,

 $01:05:59.510 \rightarrow 01:06:02.480$  what I tell most of my patients is those

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:06:02.480 \longrightarrow 01:06:05.397$  first four to six weeks can be very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:06:05.397 \rightarrow 01:06:07.908$  challenging and it's really the fatigue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:06:07.910 \longrightarrow 01:06:10.479$  I find the most part that patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01{:}06{:}10.479 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}12.986$  struggle with a bit of the nausea

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01{:}06{:}12.986 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}14.972$  as well because of the fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01{:}06{:}15.046 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}17.398$  that this is an oral medication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01{:}06{:}17{.}400 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}18{.}426$  Most patients do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:06:18.426 \longrightarrow 01:06:20.820$  Seem to get through the first four

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

01:06:20.886 --> 01:06:23.220 to six weeks with either, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:06:23.220 \rightarrow 01:06:24.945$  watching the anemia to ensure

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01{:}06{:}24{.}945 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}26{.}769$  that that fatigue is not true.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

01:06:26.770 --> 01:06:27.378 I mean,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

01:06:27.378 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:06:29.506 for watching the moment to make sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01{:}06{:}29.506 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}31.989$  that the fatigue is not an emia related,

01:06:31.990 --> 01:06:34.222 but for those who don't make it through

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:06:34.222 \rightarrow 01:06:36.208$  that sort of introductory first couple

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:06:36.208 \longrightarrow 01:06:38.830$  of months and still continue to struggle,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01{:}06{:}38{.}830 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}41{.}063$  there has been some exploration of perhaps

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01{:}06{:}41.063 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}43.068$  switching from one partner to another.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01{:}06{:}43.070 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}44.906$  We think overall the side effects

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:06:44.906 \longrightarrow 01:06:46.980$  are somewhat of a class effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:06:46.980 \longrightarrow 01:06:49.248$  but there are some very small.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:06:49.250 \longrightarrow 01:06:50.279$  Series and experiences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01{:}06{:}50{.}279 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}52{.}900$  and perhaps going a lap criptana wrapper it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01{:}06{:}52{.}900 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}55{.}438$  or vice versa if you can get that approved

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:06:55.438 \rightarrow 01:06:57.283$  based on whatever genetic profile

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01{:}06{:}57{.}283 \dashrightarrow 01{:}06{:}59{.}539$  you're dealing with in that patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01{:}06{:}59{.}540 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}02{.}196$  But you know for some reason or another,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01{:}07{:}02.200 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}04.461$  some patients just seem to tolerate one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01{:}07{:}04.461 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}07{.}178$  better than the other, and so you know.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127
- $01{:}07{:}07{.}178 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}09{.}170$  I tell my patients to hold,

 $01:07:09.170 \longrightarrow 01:07:10.830$  hold out if they can,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:07:10.830 \longrightarrow 01:07:13.182$  and we try to support them through

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:07:13.182 \longrightarrow 01:07:15.150$  the first four to six weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

01:07:15.150 $\operatorname{-->}$ 01:07:16.805 But if there's persistent grade

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

01:07:16.805 --> 01:07:18.129 three Grade 4 toxicity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:07:18.130 \longrightarrow 01:07:19.288$  you could consider.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01{:}07{:}19.288 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}21.218$  Perhaps switching to a different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01:07:21.218 \longrightarrow 01:07:22.780$  a different formulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01{:}07{:}22.780 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}25.335$  but again that would be depending on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

 $01{:}07{:}25{.}335 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}28{.}054$  approval and and what molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8332127

01:07:28.054 --> 01:07:30.639 characteristics here you're working with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050857

 $01{:}07{:}35{.}890 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}38{.}170$  The great questions from everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050857

01:07:38.170 --> 01:07:40.440 I really appreciate the discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387406

 $01{:}07{:}43.300 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}45.908$  I think we're pretty much it for now,

 $01:07:45.910 \longrightarrow 01:07:47.828$  so again, please feel free to reach

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387406

 $01{:}07{:}47.828 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}50.213$  out if you have any questions regarding

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387406

 $01{:}07{:}50{.}213 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}52{.}463$  the material we covered to night or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387406

01:07:52.531 --> 01:07:54.379 you have a question in general,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387406

 $01{:}07{:}54{.}380 \dashrightarrow 01{:}07{:}56{.}990$  will try to get that answer for you and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387406

 $01:07:57.052 \rightarrow 01:07:59.596$  really appreciate you joining us tonight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387406

 $01:07:59.600 \longrightarrow 01:08:00.904$  We're really excited about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387406

 $01:08:00.904 \rightarrow 01:08:02.208$  how things have evolved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387406

 $01{:}08{:}02.210 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}04.586$  I could have never imagined even you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387406

 $01{:}08{:}04{.}586 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}06{.}883$  when I decide to be human on cologist

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387406

 $01{:}08{:}06{.}883 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}09{.}266$  that we will be talking about these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387406

01:08:09.266 --> 01:08:11.306 advances so quickly and we really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387406

 $01:08:11.306 \dashrightarrow 01:08:14.606$  hope that we evolve things at a pace.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387406

 $01:08:14.610 \longrightarrow 01:08:16.200$  That keeps women alive longer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387406

01:08:16.200 --> 01:08:18.130 and joining back quality of life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387406

 $01:08:18.130 \longrightarrow 01:08:21.466$  And until we can treat this like a

01:08:21.466 --> 01:08:23.979 chronic disease like anything else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387406

01:08:23.980 --> 01:08:25.730 Al<br/>right, so thank you so much for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8387406

 $01{:}08{:}25{.}730 \dashrightarrow 01{:}08{:}27{.}606$  every one and we hope to see you soon.