Welcome everyone, I'm right here. Still be the moderated today. Just as we started this remind everyone that this Friday from 8:00 to 12:30 is our annual review of ASCO. And I'm very excited this is the 10th year I'll be doing it, but we had it in place a number of years before that. Eddie used to run it and it should be very nice of you, Barbara. Already one of you are probably speaking on heading that cancer. So, uh, another chance to hear, and then it'll be very special.
3540 minutes around 11:00 o’clock, where Vince Devita and his daughter Elizabeth and a few questions for myself as well. We’ll discuss a little bit about the 50th anniversary of the National Cancer Act, so that should be very excited. So now I’m going to introduce today’s speakers on introduce all three of you, and then I’ll let Barbara moderate through the presentations, and then we’ll have questions at the end. And one of the things we’ve been doing is we’ve been highlighting our darts or disease teams at these grand rounds and it’s a great way to
00:01:08.755 --> 00:01:10.205 develop multi modality discussions.
NOTE Confidence: 0.78131855

00:01:10.205 --> 00:01:12.395 An interaction between the disease programs
NOTE Confidence: 0.78131855

00:01:12.395 --> 00:01:14.947 and the research programs with Cancer Center.
NOTE Confidence: 0.78131855

00:01:14.950 --> 00:01:16.410 So we’re very excited.
NOTE Confidence: 0.78131855

00:01:16.410 --> 00:01:18.235 We have a group today,
NOTE Confidence: 0.78131855

00:01:18.240 --> 00:01:19.335 a tremendous team.
NOTE Confidence: 0.78131855

00:01:19.335 --> 00:01:21.160 First speaker will be Doctor
NOTE Confidence: 0.78131855

00:01:21.160 --> 00:01:21.890 Barbara Burtness,
NOTE Confidence: 0.78131855

00:01:21.890 --> 00:01:23.885 Barbara Professor of medicine and
NOTE Confidence: 0.78131855

00:01:23.885 --> 00:01:25.880 medical in country and disease
NOTE Confidence: 0.78131855

00:01:25.948 --> 00:01:27.818 aligned research team leader for
NOTE Confidence: 0.78131855

00:01:27.818 --> 00:01:29.314 head and neck cancer.
NOTE Confidence: 0.78131855

00:01:29.320 --> 00:01:31.456 She was recently named as interim
NOTE Confidence: 0.78131855

00:01:31.456 --> 00:01:33.510 Associate cancer Director for Diversity,
NOTE Confidence: 0.78131855

00:01:33.510 --> 00:01:34.968 Equity and Inclusion.
NOTE Confidence: 0.78131855

00:01:34.968 --> 00:01:36.912 Congratulations Barber Chief Medical
00:01:36.912 --> 00:01:39.447 degree from SUNY at Stony Brook
00:01:39.447 --> 00:01:41.806 completed a residency at Yale and our
00:01:41.872 --> 00:01:44.397 Fellowship at Memorial Sloan Kettering.
00:01:44.400 --> 00:01:45.828 Is that on faculty?
00:01:45.828 --> 00:01:47.256 Of course that yeah,
00:01:47.260 --> 00:01:49.766 she left in and she’s come back.
00:01:49.770 --> 00:01:51.955 Doctor Bernice is an internationally
00:01:51.955 --> 00:01:54.738 recognized leader in the treatment of head
00:01:54.738 --> 00:01:57.290 and neck cancer and as chair of the Ikago,
00:01:57.290 --> 00:01:59.438 Akron and Therapeutics Committee since 2006.
00:01:59.440 --> 00:02:00.210 Pioneering biomarker,
00:02:00.210 --> 00:02:02.520 guided treatment and treatment at the
00:02:02.520 --> 00:02:04.090 intensification studies in this disease.
00:02:04.090 --> 00:02:05.875 She also leads the Yellowhead
00:02:05.875 --> 00:02:07.303 and explore recently awarded.
Finished just finished the first year, which addresses critical barriers to treatment of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma due to resistance to immune DNA damaging and targeted therapy.

Welcome Barbara. And also on the panel this morning or this afternoon is already Bhatia. Doctor Bhatia is an assistant professor of medicine and medical oncology. She received her medical degree from Toccoa National Medical College and her MPH from the University of Texas School of Public Health. She played her residency at Johns Hopkins University Sinai Hospital and...
I fell asleep at Temple University, Fox Chase Cancer Center. Dr Bhatia treats patients with head and neck cancers and a research interest include exploring novel therapies for patients. She designs and conducts clinical trials and also serves as a site PI for several multi-center studies and last but not least from radiation oncology. We have Melissa Young and Doctor Young is an assistant professor of therapeutic radiology and chief of the head and neck radiotherapy program.
She completed her MD PhD training as part of the Medical Scientist Training program at the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center in Dallas. She then continued her training in radiation oncology, and stayed and joined our faculty in 2015.

Doctor Young treats patients as part of their head in their cancers. Multi disciplinary clinic at the Smile Cancer Hospital Care Center in Trumbull and also specializes in breast and gynecological agencies.

So finally, just a quick word. The head and neck cancers dark provides expert multidisciplinary care
for head and neck cancer patients.

Names to advance new research and the
cancer translational researchers through
a developmental research program.

An interaction in collaboration with the
wider spore and head and neck squamous
cell Cancer Research communities.

So what an amazing team.

I’ve used up five of your
minutes introducing you.

You’re also qualified.

I’m going to stop by to turn over to you.

Barbara,
one of the best things that ever happened here at yell for me in my 10 years, is when you came and joined us and Barbara and I worked together 20 years ago in the early ages, so Texas map. So Barbara thank you for all you’ve done and the floor is yours.

OK, well thank you for that very kind introduction and for recruiting me back and I’m also very grateful to have the opportunity to talk about what we’ve been doing in the head neck DART. As you heard, the way we’re going to do this is.
00:04:50.548 --> 00:04:53.751 hour trying to do a world whirlwind tour
00:04:53.751 --> 00:04:57.419 of some of what our dart has been up to,
00:04:57.420 --> 00:04:59.737 then turn it over to Doctor Bhatia
00:04:59.737 --> 00:05:02.348 to talk about some of the clinical
00:05:02.348 --> 00:05:04.278 trials she’s been developing in
00:05:04.278 --> 00:05:06.879 her collaboration with the sporlan,
00:05:06.880 --> 00:05:08.564 then to Doctor Young,
00:05:08.564 --> 00:05:10.669 who leads our therapeutic radiation
00:05:10.669 --> 00:05:12.000 efforts in this area.
00:05:12.000 --> 00:05:14.364 So just a brief introduction to
00:05:14.364 --> 00:05:15.546 our clinical membership.
00:05:15.550 --> 00:05:18.469 The nature of of head neck cancer.
00:05:18.470 --> 00:05:21.010 Given its anatomic complexity,
00:05:21.010 --> 00:05:24.185 its tendency to treatment resistance.
00:05:24.190 --> 00:05:27.067 It has been that very clearly outcome
00:05:27.067 --> 00:05:29.854 is improved when patients get surgery
NOTE Confidence: 0.8714161
00:05:29.854 --> 00:05:32.800 and radiation at high volume centers,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8714161
00:05:32.800 --> 00:05:35.495 and so we have a dedicated focus
NOTE Confidence: 0.8714161
00:05:35.495 --> 00:05:38.347 on trying to have pockets of
NOTE Confidence: 0.8714161
00:05:38.347 --> 00:05:40.495 excellence around the state.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8714161
00:05:40.500 --> 00:05:44.126 So in addition to the program, it’s Milo.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8714161
00:05:44.126 --> 00:05:47.750 There are hubs for head and neck cancer,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8714161
00:05:47.750 --> 00:05:48.654 multidisciplinary care,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8714161
00:05:48.654 --> 00:05:51.366 including at Trumbull Ann Lawrence Memorial.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8714161
00:05:51.370 --> 00:05:55.114 I know Emily Collier is going to be.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8714161
00:05:55.120 --> 00:05:57.170 Joining us at Saint Francis.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8714161
00:05:57.170 --> 00:06:00.040 So it’s a terrific team.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8714161
00:06:00.040 --> 00:06:03.730 A lot of fun to work with everybody.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8714161
00:06:03.730 --> 00:06:05.610 The patients who present with
NOTE Confidence: 0.8714161
00:06:05.610 --> 00:06:08.028 with head neck cancer often come
NOTE Confidence: 0.8714161
00:06:08.028 --> 00:06:09.880 with locally advanced disease,
so local,
regionally invasive and not meta
static and may present many times
in a curative state or cure,
potentially curable stage.
But these tumors are located in areas
that are very critical for speech and
swallowing and taste and appearance and.
And many of the things that we do
to interact with with other people.
So having a conversation,
sharing a meal,
singing all of these things can
be impacted by either the cancer
or the treatment for the cancer.
Treatments are further constrained by some anatomic peculiarities, like the carotid artery in the base of the skull, and even patients who are successfully treated may be left with very significant impairment, functional restriction, and actually may succumb to the consequences of their treatment even when they are cured.

So there is a lot of work to do to improve our standard of care even when its curative as well. There are a lot of areas of difficulty in the science underlying or the biology underlying head neck cancer.
So Roy mentioned that he and I first worked together in the context of cetuximab and it was a thrill when we saw that lead to responses as a single agent in head neck cancer. But it’s clear that both constitutive resistance and adaptive resistance greatly limit the utility of EGFR inhibitors and another. Her family inhibitors the genome of head neck cancer is dominated by mutations in tumor suppressor genes, so this has been very difficult to target there. There are not a lot of successes.
with kinase inhibitors of activated oncogenes in this disease.

Although we have activity for immune checkpoint inhibition and I'll briefly show you some of those data.

The effective immunotherapy is more modest in head and neck cancer than in many other solid tumors.

And part of this story certainly lies in the tumor microenvironment, which is hostile to immune effector cells because of hypoxia.

Expression of Ido macrophage polarization. Very high abundance of Milo drive suppressor cells.

an lymphocyte excluded phenotypes
00:08:28.016 --> 00:08:29.828 of the cancer.

00:08:29.830 --> 00:08:33.430 We have a new kind of head neck cancer.

00:08:33.430 --> 00:08:35.922 Over the past 1520 years which is

00:08:35.922 --> 00:08:38.230 driven by human papilloma virus,

00:08:38.230 --> 00:08:46.028 and and maybe also a way to interfere with.

00:08:46.030 --> 00:08:48.088 The signaling that drives these cancers,

00:08:48.090 --> 00:08:50.592 but there has been pretty low

00:08:50.592 --> 00:08:54.816 success in targeting HPV as a driver

00:08:53.404 --> 00:08:54.816 of head neck cancer.

00:08:58.320 --> 00:08:59.872 Negative cancers between black

00:09:01.430 --> 00:09:04.020 and although much of this is
explained by socioeconomic factors,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8009887
it’s becoming clearer that their
NOTE Confidence: 0.8009887
ancestry based differences in
NOTE Confidence: 0.8009887
treatment response as well,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8009887
and these have kind of been lossed in
NOTE Confidence: 0.8009887
a pool of trials that did not include
NOTE Confidence: 0.8009887
a lot of patients of African ancestry.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8009887
And then the field as a whole
NOTE Confidence: 0.8009887
has historically suffered from
NOTE Confidence: 0.8009887
underinvestment in clinical trials
NOTE Confidence: 0.8009887
and low access to new patients
NOTE Confidence: 0.8009887
to new agents for our patients.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8009887
So what I’d like to quickly do
NOTE Confidence: 0.8009887
is talk a little bit about
NOTE Confidence: 0.8009887
multidisciplinary clinical care here,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8009887
how we address problems in
our catchment area, a few highlights of our clinical research portfolio, some of the correlative and translational science that is going on. Some of our translation to the cooperative group network. Engagement with policy and then career development and then part of career development will be turning it off to my two very talented junior colleagues. Multidisciplinary care I mentioned that high high case volume is important and so you see here, at least from the pre pandemic numbers.
are surgical volumes for oral cavity, pharynx and larynx cancer.

We have a multidisciplinary tumor board. That brings together surgery, radiation, medical oncology, neuro radiology, pathology and speech language pathology which helps us to make treatment recommendations that optimize both our drive for cure and the need to think about the functional consequences of treatment for our patients. We’ve rolled out chemoradiation supportive care order sets across the health system.

We work very closely with
00:10:45.440 --> 00:10:46.988 speech language pathology.

00:10:46.990 --> 00:10:49.026 And function preservation beginning

00:10:49.026 --> 00:10:52.625 with pre him before the surgery or

00:10:52.625 --> 00:10:55.403 or chemoradiation even begins and we

00:10:55.403 --> 00:10:58.131 have dedicated social work to help

00:10:58.131 --> 00:11:01.057 with many of the problems with employment.

00:11:01.060 --> 00:11:04.077 That and and other socio economic problems

00:11:04.077 --> 00:11:07.159 that this group of patients encounters.

00:11:07.160 --> 00:11:09.862 Several marijuana var or the leader of

00:11:09.862 --> 00:11:12.761 our head and neck surgical oncology

00:11:12.761 --> 00:11:15.526 program introduced to clinic clinical

00:11:15.526 --> 00:11:17.998 care pathway for reducing ICU.

00:11:18.000 --> 00:11:20.682 Usage in head neck cancer microvascular

00:11:20.682 --> 00:11:23.365 reconstruction and you can see here

00:11:23.365 --> 00:11:25.430 these absolutely stunning data not
only lowering the average length of stay to a week for very complicated large reconstructive surgeries, but also bringing ICU stays from 100% to 6% and dramatically reducing unplanned 30 day re admission. Within our catchment area, New Haven County is well documented to have excess rates of tobacco use relative to national rates and in lower income adults in New Haven uses twice as high oral cavity. Cancer is increasing dramatically. In Connecticut, Ann is 50% higher in the Latin X population in the state, so we have a very significant focus on
the on the tobacco associated malignancies.

We have trials and Artie Patio will speak later about. About one of them, moving forward in the cooperative groups, international trials focused on HPV negative disease. Two of the projects in our head and explore focus on HPV, negative disease and in the E con Akron health Head Neck Committee that I lead. We are now developing HealthEquity coast studies with many of our larger clinical trials. I mentioned that the outcomes disparities.
For HPV negative head neck cancer are among the most dramatic for any solid tumor and a collaborator of mine from my time at Fox Chase. Camille Reagan, who is now part of our spore, has demonstrated that African ancestry informative markers are associated with overexpression of DNA polymerase beta and that this in turn is associated with platinum and radiation resistance. She’s got a large collection of patients in the temple system that she’s sequencing. It’s highly enriched for African American patients and we’re collaborating with her. To bring forward. Patient drive tissue resources for
studying alternative therapies to platinum and radiation in these patients. We have a large clinical trial portfolio. I don’t want to go through it in detail, but I’ll just emphasize that we always prioritize investigator initiated trials. Doctor Bhatia will talk about the Phantom, so tuck some AB work. I’ll talk a little bit about a trial for pembrolizumab in primary radiation resistance and the five Aza work we have phase one trials particularly focused on HPV, therapeutic vaccines for HPV. Have participated or LED some Associated cancer.
00:13:55.780 --> 00:13:57.788 practice changing trials including.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8516818
00:13:57.790 --> 00:13:59.650 Not that we let it,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8516818
00:13:59.650 --> 00:14:01.500 but contributed to the cabins
NOTE Confidence: 0.8516818
00:14:01.500 --> 00:14:02.610 antonym in radioiodine.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8516818
00:14:02.610 --> 00:14:04.695 Refractory thyroid cancer study that
NOTE Confidence: 0.8516818
00:14:04.695 --> 00:14:07.762 was presented at ASCO this year and I
NOTE Confidence: 0.8516818
00:14:07.762 --> 00:14:09.890 think is really going to change the
NOTE Confidence: 0.8516818
00:14:09.964 --> 00:14:12.603 standard of care for Len Bat neighbor
NOTE Confidence: 0.8516818
00:14:12.603 --> 00:14:15.552 factory disease and on going late phase
NOTE Confidence: 0.8516818
00:14:15.552 --> 00:14:17.817 trials in the chemoradiation setting.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8516818
00:14:17.820 --> 00:14:20.476 So let me tell you a little bit
NOTE Confidence: 0.8516818
00:14:20.476 --> 00:14:22.960 about this radioresistance trial.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8516818
00:14:22.960 --> 00:14:25.851 This was started by Zen Hussain when
NOTE Confidence: 0.8516818
00:14:25.851 --> 00:14:29.248 when he was here before he left for
NOTE Confidence: 0.8516818
00:14:29.248 --> 00:14:32.288 Toronto and the idea was that we
NOTE Confidence: 0.8516818
00:14:32.288 --> 00:14:34.934 had patients in our practice HPV.
Negative cancers predominantly, who had presented with very advanced disease and had primary radio resistant disease. At that time there was no standard of care with immunotherapy for those patients, and so he put together a Phase two trial for moving them right on to Pember Lizum app with the advantage that we would have baseline tissue tissue from the biopsy that prove persistent disease and that the patient had become resectable by the end of four cycles of Pember lism and they would,
they would go on to reception.

So we are doing immuno profiling on the specimens from this trial and also started sequencing them in the first two cases that we sequenced. Both had this. Very unusual finding of whether new emergence or enrichment for mutation in tenascin R1 of the Tenascin family proteins that controls EMT and can be involved in an immunosuppressive microenvironment. So we have just gone back and received funding to finish sequencing. All the cases here and think that this is potentially a very
00:15:50.732 --> 00:15:53.062 interesting lead into the biology of primary radioresistance.
00:15:53.062 --> 00:15:54.460 The pictures at the bottom just show you one of our patients who had a CR. He’s now four years out.
00:15:57.428 --> 00:16:01.145 Just to switch gears now to some of the correlative work along time ago, the Kaag committee had demonstrated that for patients who had undergone margin negative resection, but who had disruptive mutation of TP 53 that they continued to have a pretty poor outcome despite getting risk based appropriate postoperative therapy. And that was done with older sequencing.
00:16:01.150 --> 00:16:04.730
00:16:04.730 --> 00:16:08.250
00:16:08.250 --> 00:16:10.950
00:16:10.950 --> 00:16:12.880
00:16:12.880 --> 00:16:15.449
00:16:15.449 --> 00:16:17.159
00:16:17.160 --> 00:16:19.380
00:16:19.380 --> 00:16:22.711
00:16:22.711 --> 00:16:24.931
00:16:24.931 --> 00:16:28.409
00:16:28.410 --> 00:16:31.469
technology as next Gen sequencing came on and a number of new algorithms for calling P53 mutation became available. We undertook a comparison of all of these different classifying schemes in the specimens from that ekonk trial, finding that our original rule, which was DNA binding domain mutations or truncation mutations, somewhat supplemented with information about splice variants, really was the best predictor of bad outcome. And since, P53 mutation is quite prevalent in head neck cancer. And difficult to target this has,
I think, really helped us focus on the importance of understanding the biology of these people.
We classified the P53 mutation using a variety of different schemes ever. You need to share your slides again, sorry. Will give you 2 extra minutes at the end of the hour, don’t worry. Alright, am I doing better now? There’s this better perfect looked at CDK into a mutations and then calculated tumor mutation burden. So what was quite interesting here was that either P53 or CDK into a mutation was associated with higher tumor mutation burden, with the exception of when that P53 mutation was a gain of function, not loss of function mutation,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8312539
00:19:14.680 --> 00:19:17.767 but that the Co occurrence of P53,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8312539
00:19:17.770 --> 00:19:19.216 CDK and 2A.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8312539
00:19:19.216 --> 00:19:22.108 Mutation was associated with the HYEST
NOTE Confidence: 0.8312539
00:19:22.108 --> 00:19:25.042 tumor mutation burden and this came
NOTE Confidence: 0.8312539
00:19:25.042 --> 00:19:28.789 into the 15 mutations per megabase range,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8312539
00:19:28.790 --> 00:19:31.202 which has been informative
NOTE Confidence: 0.8312539
NOTE Confidence: 0.8312539
00:19:33.620 --> 00:19:36.119 But it’s been understood for a long
NOTE Confidence: 0.8312539
00:19:36.119 --> 00:19:38.580 time that there’s a range of TMB
NOTE Confidence: 0.8312539
00:19:38.580 --> 00:19:40.560 across different kinds of both HPV
NOTE Confidence: 0.8312539
00:19:40.630 --> 00:19:42.720 positive and HPV negative head,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8312539
00:19:42.720 --> 00:19:43.450 neck cancers,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8312539
00:19:43.450 --> 00:19:45.275 both smokers and nonsmokers that
NOTE Confidence: 0.8312539
00:19:45.275 --> 00:19:47.515 this is associated with response to
NOTE Confidence: 0.8312539
00:19:47.515 --> 00:19:49.675 pembrolizumab and more recently in a
NOTE Confidence: 0.8312539
randomized trial of development that was actually negative for all comers. If you focused on the group with high TMB there was a survival advantage for the use of immunotherapy, so I think one thing this points us to is the early use of immunotherapy. In P53 mutated head neck cancer and I'll maybe take a brief detour here because this is work that Yale investigators participated in before I arrived here. And then I've been very involved with the keynote 012 trial, which was the first large scale study of immune checkpoint inhibition.
in head and neck cancer was done with pembrolizumab.
We had a big focus on including both HPV negative and HPV positive cancer,
and you can see. That durable responses were seen in both types of head neck cancer and looking at the spider plot, I think that you see.
Really, what the next five years of research into immunotherapy in head neck cancer has has played out as because there are early and deep responses, there are somewhat slower responses,
but that are deep and very durable.

But there’s a subset of patients who not only don’t respond to immunotherapy, but appear to almost have accelerated. Disease growth and so we need to understand what’s suppressive in the tumor microenvironment that leads to this resistance and what it might be in the tumor microenvironment that’s PDL one expressing that it’s actually bad to turn off. Some work to be done there, but given the strong signal with 18% response rate and durable CRS in the treatment refractory setting,
00:21:35.020 --> 00:21:36.966 we move this forward as a first line trial in metastatic recurrent disease and this had a little bit of a complicated design because we recognized that the standard of care which was chemotherapy with Cytoxan Mab actually had a higher response rate than pembrolizumab monotherapy, but it didn’t have the same duration and it didn’t have the same complete response rate. So we had to experimental arms. One was Pember Lism AB alone and one was purple is made with chemotherapy, each of them independently compared.
to the standard of care

of chemotherapy with cetuximab and then we undertook a biomarker driven analysis because the hypothesis was that those cases that express PD, L1 the most richly might be the most likely to respond, and there the advantage over chemo cetuximab would be more readily apparent. Actually, Pember Lism had performed better than. Then we could have imagined, but so this is the CPS 20 group, the highest PDL one expressing an you see here a hazard ratio of .61 in favor of Pember Lism AB.
00:22:38.370 --> 00:22:40.978 We now have four year data showing that
00:22:40.978 --> 00:22:44.548 this group has over a 20% for your survival.
00:22:44.550 --> 00:22:46.680 This is all PDL one.
00:22:46.680 --> 00:22:47.416 Expressing cancers, hazard ratios of 0.78 and this was
00:22:47.416 --> 00:22:49.992 also statistically significant
00:22:49.992 --> 00:22:51.423 compared with the control arm.
00:22:51.423 --> 00:23:00.392 And then if you took all comers so
00:23:00.392 --> 00:23:03.116 one negative Pember Lizum app was
00:23:03.116 --> 00:23:05.660 noninferior to chemotherapy cetuximab.
00:23:05.660 --> 00:23:08.341 Going back and looking at that PDL, one subset they do substantially
00:23:08.341 --> 00:23:10.604 worse with Pember Lizum app then
00:23:10.604 --> 00:23:13.688 with chemotherapy cytoxan and so
they should not get pember lism in

monotherapy and then Pember Lizum

app plus chemotherapy superior to the

reference regiment across all regiment,

across all biomarker subgroups.

So this study has has been very fruitful.

Subsequent publications coming out

about patient reported outcomes.

The PDL one subsets.

In long term survival.

I would like to introduce you to

our head export team so spores are.

Programs of research excellence

usually centered around a given disease type.

They they need to have at

least three projects and cores
and developmental projects, and we received very generous support from the Cancer Center in the medical school to jumpstart these projects and. The first review had some comments that we had to address, but we were funded late last year, so we have three projects, one on targeting the EGFR family. An artifact will talk about that more in a couple of minutes, one on synthetic lethal therapy for predominantly P53 mutated cancer. I'll speak about that a little bit, and then Karen Anderson and Del Yarbrough.
00:24:37.700 --> 00:24:38.594 leader project.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8051728

00:24:38.594 --> 00:24:40.829 Looking at demethylation to trigger
NOTE Confidence: 0.8051728

00:24:40.829 --> 00:24:43.580 a pevec induced synthetic lethality,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8051728

00:24:43.580 --> 00:24:47.556 and I'll introduce them briefly as well.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8051728

00:24:47.560 --> 00:24:50.906 So I've been talking about P53 mutant
NOTE Confidence: 0.8051728

00:24:50.906 --> 00:24:54.209 cells really being sort of one of
NOTE Confidence: 0.8051728

00:24:54.209 --> 00:24:56.429 the last bastions of undruggable
NOTE Confidence: 0.8051728

00:24:56.429 --> 00:24:57.990 head neck cancer,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8051728

00:24:57.990 --> 00:25:01.294 and we know that these cells exhibit
NOTE Confidence: 0.8051728

00:25:01.294 --> 00:25:04.149 impaired regulation of G1 S checkpoints,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8051728

00:25:04.150 --> 00:25:06.706 increasing their dependence on the G2
NOTE Confidence: 0.8051728

00:25:06.706 --> 00:25:09.839 M transition to repair replication damage,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8051728

00:25:09.840 --> 00:25:11.736 creating vulnerability to inhibitors
NOTE Confidence: 0.8051728

00:25:11.736 --> 00:25:14.580 of these processes through DNA damage,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8051728

00:25:14.580 --> 00:25:15.608 G2 checkpoints,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8051728

00:25:15.608 --> 00:25:19.206 restrictive mitotic entry and we have had.
An interest in this for a long time going back to work when I was at Fox Chase demonstrating that Aurora kinase overexpression in the nuclear compartment was associated with worse overall survival, we know that Aurora is regulated by P53, and so if you look across these commonly used P53 mutated or null head neck cancer cell lines, they all over express or relative to normal tissue. And so we began to look at using Aurora as an inhibitor.
the clinic it hit a 9% response rate, so that was obviously pretty disappointing.

And what we found when we gave it clinically and this is with an agent called ellisor tip is that it did actually aggregate phosphorylation of Aurora. It did change the function of Aurora, so we got these. And and yet what happened was that the cells, I’m sorry, but the cells entered really a cell cycle arrest that was mediated through phosphorylation of CDK. One is, you see here that that inhibitory phosphorylation is placed by we won,
and so we combine the Aurora inhibitor with the wee one inhibitor we want is a regulator of mitotic entry and you see here when you give the wee one inhibitor, you accelerate mitotic entry and find these cells that are. Sort of held up in late mitosis, but when you give the two agents together, you precipitate mitotic catastrophe and the cells undergoing a mitotic cell death. As you can see here with the Nixon 5 and Cliff Park, we treated animals with the combination. Their survival was markedly improved compared to either of the amount of therapies,
and tumor growth was really controlled.

If you looked at these mirroring tumors under the microscope,
you saw that the combination increased cleaved caspase reduced proliferation.

Looking in the leading edge of these tumors using Aqua,
we could count phospho CDK one and it was markedly reduced.

We’ve now moved to a more selective second generation Aurora inhibitor,
which we think will be easier 'cause it’s not as myelosuppressive and been able to replicate these findings
and so part of our score is to take this
combination forward as a window trial in HPV, negative disease, going for resection with those escalation, and then an expansion cohort. Collaborating with the Glamis lab, we've done a high throughput screen to identify additional synergistic pairs or additional partners for agave, assertive and our strongest hit was with the check. One check two inhibitor prex assertive. When I first saw that come out, I was pretty discouraged. 'cause that's a pretty myelosuppressive agent in the clinic,
and I was fearful that we wouldn’t be able to use it in combination. But if you look here, you can see that even at 25 nanomolar we get Clonogenic survival effects in combination, so these pairs are going to be tested in animal models as part of our sport project. I think in the interest of time I will skip this. This sort of side branch story that we have trying to explore these therapies for patients with Fanconi anemia who developed head neck cancer at very high rates in adulthood. But let me introduce you to Karen Anderson and Dell Yarbrough’s project in the spore.
There's a.

Observation from the TSJ that they're striking differences in metalation between HPV negative and HPV positive head neck cancers, and else lamp had done work demonstrating that this metalation induces immune silencing and if you give a demethylating agent like 5 Aza, you downregulate HPV and MMP expression. You stabilize P 53 and you induce a pop ptosis so we ran a window trial of Viveza siding and HPV negative of Viveza siding and HPV negative of Viveza siding and HPV negative and HPV positive cancer.

No effects in the HPV negative cancers,
but in the HPV positive cancers. We saw. That there was activation of. Type One interferon signaling. Upregulation of the gene editing protein apobec 3B which increased double strand DNA breaks and there was activated T cell infiltration within tumors and you see here. Photomicrographs before and after that were stained in David Rims Lab, looking for CD4 CD 8 and CD 20 cells and I just Representative Lee have shown you the CD eight counts within the tumor mask before and after five days of siding. So we are taking this.
Forward now in a store window, trial either 5/8 sided, being alone nivolumab alone or the combination in the new edgmont setting and are. Also hoping to add to this 18 F energy pet for noninvasive quantitation of activated T cell infiltration across the course of the new engine therapy and collecting samples for tumor neoantigen expression. The cooperative groups are, I think, an important venue for asking questions that are closer to practice, and I’ve talked a lot about HPV,
negative disease in HPV positive disease.

Are questions center more on?

How can we enhance function

preservation and these are data we

just presented at ASCO this year,

showing that if you take patients

with resectable stage HPV

associated cancer to transoral

resection and then you have that.

Pathologic staging from the surgical

material in hand that really permits

much more dramatic treatment.

clinical variables and so here you see

that for favorable risk surgical staging
without any post operative therapy, we have three year progression free survival approaching 97% for the intermediate risk group. So this is node positive but no extranodal extension. Whether we gave 60 Gy of radiation or 50 grey Anne Frank, the fields we maintain three year progression free survival of about 94% and then even the very high risk patients we were able to intensify therapy by going to weekly chemotherapy in the post op setting.
You’ll see here that about a third of the patients on the trial ended up needing tri-modality therapy that is not the goal of treatment. The intensification and so one question is, how can we better identify the patients who have higher risk of let’s say any or positive margin because they ought to probably go straight to chemoradiation. Ben Khan, who’s now a junior faculty member at the Farber but was a radiation oncology resident here, undertook a machine learning project.
where he developed a deep neural network algorithm for identifying extranodal extension from a baseline CT scan. We've now validated that on the cooperative group trial in 76 patients, and this has moved on to part of the University of Pittsburgh head next door that I'm a Co investigator on where we're going to be linking radio MIC. To genomic signatures so that we hopefully can have a better means of identifying these high risk patients at baseline. In terms of policy there, I think have been. Really,
a paucity of FDA approvals in head neck cancer. The approvals of pembrolizumab in Nevala map in 2017 were the first in over a decade. And these trials have become more difficult. Certainly for the HPV associated cancers, where the event rates are quite low, and designing randomized trials where you're looking to have something happen that's better than 94% at three years really becomes prohibitive in terms of size and duration. And although we see many ways that immunotherapy and targeted therapy could allow us to intensify trials, there is no accepted regulatory strategy.
NOTE Confidence: 0.84220314
00:33:41.970 --> 00:33:44.119 for demonstrating that that’s the case.
NOTE Confidence: 0.84220314
00:33:44.120 --> 00:33:46.496 So the goals of Project 2025.
NOTE Confidence: 0.84220314
00:33:46.500 --> 00:33:50.490 Are to find harmonized surrogate
NOTE Confidence: 0.84220314
00:33:50.490 --> 00:33:56.220 endpoints that the FDA will accept they.
NOTE Confidence: 0.84220314
00:33:56.220 --> 00:33:58.390 You know want to have public meeting
NOTE Confidence: 0.84220314
00:33:58.390 --> 00:34:00.161 with all the stakeholders present
NOTE Confidence: 0.84220314
00:34:00.161 --> 00:34:02.870 that that will kind of refine PFS?
NOTE Confidence: 0.8097394
00:34:02.870 --> 00:34:03.920 Probably looking better
NOTE Confidence: 0.8097394
00:34:03.920 --> 00:34:05.320 than local regional control.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8097394
00:34:05.320 --> 00:34:07.070 What’s the role of following
NOTE Confidence: 0.8097394
00:34:07.070 --> 00:34:08.470 each PV circulating DNA?
NOTE Confidence: 0.8097394
00:34:08.470 --> 00:34:10.285 How do functional endpoints get
NOTE Confidence: 0.8097394
00:34:10.285 --> 00:34:12.837 defined to permit approval in the D
NOTE Confidence: 0.8097394
00:34:12.837 --> 00:34:14.507 intensification trial and then the
NOTE Confidence: 0.8097394
00:34:14.507 --> 00:34:16.929 last thing that I think is really
NOTE Confidence: 0.8097394
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important for us is career development.

And before I turn it over to RT,

I just want to highlight that

the sport does have developmental

research and career enhancement.

Programs that offer up to 50K pilot funding.

Our pay line is pretty good.

We give out seven awards a year.

We just had a cycle but please

think about us next year.

So with that I’m going to.

I think not introduce my two Co

speakers ’cause Roy Herbst did very

nice job with that just mentioned

that obviously this work was done

by many many people besides myself.
Thank my funding agencies and stop sharing so that I can turn it over to Doctor Bhatia.

Good afternoon everyone. Thank you for the opportunity to present here today. I’ll be talking briefly about research strategies that we have undertaken at Yale to overcome cetuximab resistance and head neck cancers. As we all know, so toxic members in monoclonal antibody against EGFR an it’s the only approved targeted therapy for patients with head and neck cancers. This approval was based on improved locoregional control and survival.
when it was given concurrently with radiation in the locally advanced setting and do to improve PFS and OS when administered in combination with chemo in the recurrent metastatic setting. However, these effects are modest to chemoradiation. Its clinical utility is limited primarily by either inherent or acquired resistance to therapy, like and binding of EGFR needs to and Heterodimerization with other her family receptors or other.
00:36:17.941 --> 00:36:21.388 receptors in kinases such as met and
00:36:21.388 --> 00:36:23.256 subsequent downstream signaling of
00:36:23.342 --> 00:36:25.592 MAP kinase K3 kinase mtor pathway
00:36:25.592 --> 00:36:28.948 rest Ref Mac or Pathway or Jack stat.
00:36:28.948 --> 00:36:31.258 And resistance can be mediated
00:36:31.258 --> 00:36:33.918 either by over expression of EGFR,
00:36:33.920 --> 00:36:37.061 or it’s like ends as we see in hidden
00:36:37.061 --> 00:36:40.094 cancers and in response to smoking it can
00:36:40.094 --> 00:36:43.620 be mediated by nuclear translocation of EGFR,
00:36:43.620 --> 00:36:45.822 where it stabilizes P CNA and
00:36:45.822 --> 00:36:48.060 enhances DNA repair and synthesis,
00:36:48.060 --> 00:36:49.242 or increase headers.
00:36:49.242 --> 00:36:50.818 Dimerization with other members
00:36:50.818 --> 00:36:52.510 of the her family,
00:36:52.510 --> 00:36:54.530 her two and her three,
or with cross talk with other receptors in kinases such as Seemeth.

In bed rest and identifying effective means of sensitizing hidden cancers to EGFR inhibition is an important goal for us.

An important part of our sport project to prior research at Yale. Done on tissue microarrays that were constructed from oropharyngeal cancer specimens showed a significant association of nuclear EGFR with membranous EGFR expression. An with nuclear P CNA, and that suggested that EGFR functions as a tossing pennies in the nucleus where it stabilizes PC na.
The nuclear activity will could therefore constitute a novel therapeutic target. Subsequent to that, we designed a phase two trial using a chemo backbone and dual EGFR blockade with cetuximab and or lachnit. The rationale was that dual EGFR blockade would overcome EGFR overexpression, and it’s like an independent downstream signaling and show improved responses. The tumor biopsies were planned at baseline font treatment, and at disease progression. An encore native analysis, we found that nuclear PC na staining.
A decrease in the standing actually correlated with clinical response to treatment for several patients who had matched pre and post treatment biopsies and that suggested that nuclear EGFR may also be inhibited with this combination. As a follow up to that study, we proposed and received an CC and funding for a phase two trial of cetuximab and afatinib in patients with platinum and mostly immune checkpoint inhibition had neck cancers were really no effective. An approved treatments exist. This trial is ongoing for a target accrual of 50 patients.
We have already approved 38 tissue for correlatives is being obtained both pre and post treatment for most patients.

An existing funding from NC, CNN, the Patterson Foundation will support quantitative immunohistochemical assessment of the known biomarkers of resistance to EGFR inhibition, namely P-10, Phospho, Phospho, AKT and PCN. A tumor biopsies from patients on this trial will also be used in project one of the sport to establish PDX is an immune deficient mice and recent structural insights into TKI.
binding have shown that stabilization
of receptor activation states. For instance,
after Heterodimerization with her three
produces EGFR confirmations that do
not bind inhibitors like Patna benefit
net and that could lead to resistance.
So the goal is to identify TK eyes
that bind to EGFR confirmations
that are occurring in head,
neck cancers or those that are
not restricted by confirmation.
State dependent binding and to
test the effectiveness of these
compounds in head neck cancers.
So PDX is derived from.
Biopsies from patients on the trial will be treated with EGFR directed TK Eyes, which retain efficacy against her three EGFR heterodimers. We also received a recent Department of Defense funding to define the relationship between TP 53 genotype Aurora kinase expression, a response to EGFR inhibition using patient samples from the same NSN trial. In the absence of TP 53, or in the presence of TPX 2 Aurora kinase is highly expressed and it provides an alternative mechanism of downstream signaling of EGFR using the tissue samples from the trial.
We will be able to determine if the combination.

I’m sorry whether TP 53 mutation will predict for baseline or post treatment resistance,

an weather Aurora kinase and TPX 2 levels are predicted.

Biomarkers of non response to dual EGFR inhibition and correlate with a shorter survival.

Also using posttreatment biopsies, we can determine whether a rise in Aurora kinase levels will predict for disease progression following.

Progression clinical progression on treatment.
Yale is also participated in a multiinstitutional IIT of an hepatocyte growth factor cement pathway inhibitor similar to the map in combination with Cytoxan map in patients who have previously progressed onset eczema. There is cross talk between EGFR and the cement pathways and it’s a known tumor intrinsic resistance mechanism, a phase one trial of this combination showed a response rate of 17% in syntax map resistant patients. And the subsequent randomized phase showed a response rate of 38% in HPV negative patients.
and these results were presented at ASCO this year.

So while keynote over 8 has established the role of immune checkpoint inhibition in the first line, so toxic map continues to hold a place in the treatment of this disease and it is one of the most frequently chosen second line treatment in combination with chemotherapy.

For patients who came off keynote over 8 on the Pembroke monotherapy arm. We now seek to explore the best second line treatment options for head and neck cancers and multiple lines of
evidence has suggested that head neck tumors are frequently hypoxic. An have elevated VEGF signaling, which is associated with immunosuppression. The object via the stat three signaling pathway or impairment of dendritic cell maturation induction of immunosuppressive populations, such as MDF, season T regs, and reduce recruitment of cytotoxic effectors. Including CD 8 cells, CD 8 positive T cells and natural killer cells. So we postulate that VEGF blockade
with bevacizumab will reverse these suppressive mechanisms and lead to improved antitumor immunity and clinical responses in patients who were previously treated with the checkpoint inhibition. The combination of immunotherapy and veg F inhibition has also shown excellent clinical efficacy in other solid tumor types, including renal, cell, lung, and hepatocellular, and was recently approved as first line treatment for HCC. Anne in head neck. We had a Phase 1B trial looking at the combination of Pedro and
NOTE Confidence: 0.8379239
00:43:27.801 --> 00:43:29.908 botnet which showed a response rate
NOTE Confidence: 0.8379239
00:43:29.908 --> 00:43:33.190 of 36% and the PFS of 8.2 months.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8379239
00:43:33.190 --> 00:43:35.430 So we designed a Phase 2 slash
NOTE Confidence: 0.8379239
00:43:35.430 --> 00:43:36.880 3 trial through ekach.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8379239
00:43:36.880 --> 00:43:39.886 There will be 3 arms in the initial phase.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8379239
00:43:39.890 --> 00:43:41.570 Two portion of this study.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8379239
00:43:41.570 --> 00:43:43.943 We chose chemo and cetuximab as a
NOTE Confidence: 0.8379239
00:43:43.943 --> 00:43:46.101 control arm because that was the
NOTE Confidence: 0.8379239
00:43:46.101 --> 00:43:47.891 regimen most patients coming off
NOTE Confidence: 0.8379239
00:43:47.891 --> 00:43:50.439 of keynote over 8 went on to an
NOTE Confidence: 0.8379239
00:43:50.439 --> 00:43:52.242 the two experimental arms are chemo
NOTE Confidence: 0.8379239
00:43:52.242 --> 00:43:54.419 and Beves is a map anitys alisme
NOTE Confidence: 0.8379239
00:43:54.419 --> 00:43:56.926 AB Inbev’s is a map 216 patients
NOTE Confidence: 0.8379239
00:43:56.926 --> 00:43:59.062 will be randomized in the initial
NOTE Confidence: 0.8379239
00:43:59.062 --> 00:44:01.337 phase two part of the trial and
NOTE Confidence: 0.8379239
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the winner of the phase two.

Portion will then move to phase three against the standard chemo’s ARM and another 214 patients will be randomized in phase three for a total sample size of 430. Expected study duration is about four and a half years. We expect this study to actually be activated soon. We are collaborating with Jeff Ishizuka on that issue Correlatives an at the end of the phase three portion we hope to have a clear answer for best second line treatment moving forward. Uhm,
that's all I have for my work here so far. I'll pass it on to Melissa. Screen sharing. Alright now and thank you. I'll try to get my screen up. Next and I am again I want to thank everyone here for the opportunity to discuss. It's such an honor to be able to speak in combination with Doctor Burtness and Doctor Bhatia, and I look forward to the years that we have in the future to continue these projects that we're all excited about. Part of what I wanted to do today in terms.
00:45:23.119 --> 00:45:26.255 of my brief presentation was to really also.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8404386

00:45:26.260 --> 00:45:27.845 While we're focusing a lot
NOTE Confidence: 0.8404386

00:45:27.845 --> 00:45:29.840 on the head and neck dart,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8404386

00:45:29.840 --> 00:45:32.440 Anan the spore that we have funding for,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8404386

00:45:32.440 --> 00:45:34.150 and how we're incorporating that
NOTE Confidence: 0.8404386

00:45:34.150 --> 00:45:35.860 to the clinical trial progression
NOTE Confidence: 0.8404386

00:45:35.913 --> 00:45:37.683 at Yale didn’t want to discount
NOTE Confidence: 0.8404386

00:45:37.683 --> 00:45:39.248 the the contribution that the
NOTE Confidence: 0.8404386

00:45:39.248 --> 00:45:40.888 radiation oncology Dart also does.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8404386

00:45:40.890 --> 00:45:42.615 And we work very collaboratively
NOTE Confidence: 0.8404386

00:45:42.615 --> 00:45:43.650 collaboratively between the
NOTE Confidence: 0.8404386

00:45:43.650 --> 00:45:44.789 two organizations and arts,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8404386

00:45:44.790 --> 00:45:47.294 so I wanted to kind of highlight some
NOTE Confidence: 0.8404386

00:45:47.294 --> 00:45:49.987 of the trials that we do have open,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8404386

00:45:49.990 --> 00:45:52.006 and some of the hope that that
NOTE Confidence: 0.8404386

00:45:52.006 --> 00:45:54.209 will be able to help contribute,
But also with the head and neck score, I have no disclosures and I am not going to spend a lot of time. I know we’re running a little short on time, but as everyone here knows that you know head and neck squamous cell carcinoma is very common with at least 64,000 cases in the United States and this is reiterating some of what Doctor Burtness had previously already mentioned is that the head and neck location of cancer is very sensitive part of the body and it’s very important with how we interact with society.
00:46:27.330 --> 00:46:28.548 Maintain nutrition, communicate.
00:46:28.548 --> 00:46:29.766 Anan, it’s what,
00:46:31.366 --> 00:46:34.329 How we visualize each other to how we,
00:46:34.330 --> 00:46:37.370 how we see each other and communicate orally.
00:46:37.370 --> 00:46:39.519 So currently as we know that in
00:46:39.519 --> 00:46:41.454 order to provide curative treatment
00:46:41.454 --> 00:46:43.829 for people who are nonmetastatic,
00:46:43.830 --> 00:46:46.702 that is usually some form of local therapy
00:46:46.702 --> 00:46:49.148 which may be surgery or radiation,
00:46:49.150 --> 00:46:51.430 or sometimes a combination of both,
00:46:51.430 --> 00:46:53.710 which carries a lot of potential
00:46:53.710 --> 00:46:55.230 risk for functional impairment.
00:46:55.230 --> 00:46:57.510 Whether it’s related to surgical changes,
00:46:57.510 --> 00:46:59.988 scar tissue from both, surgery radiation.
00:46:59.990 --> 00:47:01.868 I’m swallowing dysfunction pain dry mouth.
You know, complications that can arise from dental health and other things that come down the line after. As a consequence of the curative intent treatment. So while patients may be cured, they could be left with lifelong implications of their treatment and some of the goals that we have both at Yale but also across the country in the world or to understand how we can try to reduce the morbidity of that treatment without compromising cure rates. But also importantly,
00:47:27.884 --> 00:47:29.970 we still have a long ways to
NOTE Confidence: 0.8404386
00:47:30.031 --> 00:47:31.696 go in certain disease sites.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8404386
00:47:31.700 --> 00:47:33.692 We’ve already heard a lot about
NOTE Confidence: 0.8404386
00:47:33.692 --> 00:47:35.020 the P-16 negative population.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8404386
00:47:35.020 --> 00:47:36.812 And and disease resistance.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8404386
00:47:36.812 --> 00:47:39.500 And how can we overcome treatment
NOTE Confidence: 0.8404386
00:47:39.580 --> 00:47:42.040 resistance but also prevent further
NOTE Confidence: 0.8404386
00:47:42.040 --> 00:47:45.389 morbidity of the treatment that we provide?
NOTE Confidence: 0.8404386
00:47:45.390 --> 00:47:47.959 Some of what’s been touched on already,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8404386
00:47:47.960 --> 00:47:50.162 as is the importance in recognition
NOTE Confidence: 0.8404386
00:47:50.162 --> 00:47:53.682 of immune checkpoint inhibitors.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8404386
00:47:51.630 --> 00:47:53.682 Certainly other disease sites and FDA
NOTE Confidence: 0.8404386
00:47:53.682 --> 00:47:56.029 approvals have come along showing activity.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8404386
00:47:56.030 --> 00:47:57.878 And other disease sites and we
NOTE Confidence: 0.8404386
00:47:57.878 --> 00:47:59.110 now see data showing
NOTE Confidence: 0.8281421
00:47:59.180 --> 00:48:01.305 the efficacy that immune checkpoint
inhibitors appear to have, both also in head and neck cancer as well, and therefore, while a lot of initial data has indicated efficacy in the metastatic setting, we’re also now looking to see how this might be incorporated. In the upfront definitive setting and whether or not that might also provide some opportunity for either reduced dose of radiation, reduced need for cytotoxic chemotherapy, but still maintaining. Equivalent cure rates as to what we already have,
00:48:34.670 --> 00:48:36.958 so a lot of these trials are now
NOTE Confidence: 0.8281421
00:48:36.958 --> 00:48:39.089 moving into the definitive setting,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8281421
00:48:39.090 --> 00:48:40.470 looking at multiple different
NOTE Confidence: 0.8281421
00:48:40.470 --> 00:48:41.505 immune checkpoint inhibitors
NOTE Confidence: 0.8281421
00:48:41.505 --> 00:48:43.170 that I’ve got listed here.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8281421
00:48:43.170 --> 00:48:44.910 Some of the former trials that
NOTE Confidence: 0.8281421
00:48:44.910 --> 00:48:47.267 we are now in in either actively
NOTE Confidence: 0.8281421
00:48:47.267 --> 00:48:49.523 enrolling on through the head and
NOTE Confidence: 0.8281421
00:48:49.523 --> 00:48:52.005 neck through the head and neck DART,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8281421
00:48:52.010 --> 00:48:54.050 but also previously open trials that
NOTE Confidence: 0.8281421
00:48:54.050 --> 00:48:56.090 are now in their follow-up phase,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8281421
00:48:56.090 --> 00:48:57.946 have used immune checkpoint inhibitors
NOTE Confidence: 0.8281421
00:48:57.946 --> 00:48:59.841 in the upfront setting whether
NOTE Confidence: 0.8281421
00:48:59.841 --> 00:49:02.020 it was in keynote for 12, where.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8281421
00:49:02.020 --> 00:49:04.470 Checkpoint inhibitor Kimbrough was added
NOTE Confidence: 0.8281421
00:49:04.470 --> 00:49:06.430 in conjunction with CHEMORADIATION
but also in the maintenance phase. We are currently enrolling on the ikago Akron 3161 that is looking at the addition of atrovent you know after an initial phase of definitive chemoradiotherapy and there have been some phase one trials including H NO3 that have looked at how immunotherapy may play some role and also safety and the adjuvant setting after surgery. One of the trials that we currently have open through the radiation oncology DART is looking at how immunotherapy may perhaps improve
00:49:41.814 --> 00:49:44.466 efficacy in a high risk population.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8281421
00:49:44.470 --> 00:49:45.242 So specifically,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8281421
00:49:45.242 --> 00:49:47.944 this is looking at patients who have
NOTE Confidence: 0.8281421
00:49:47.944 --> 00:49:50.244 positive margin or extranodal extension
NOTE Confidence: 0.8281421
00:49:50.244 --> 00:49:52.549 after initial surgical resection of
NOTE Confidence: 0.8281421
00:49:52.549 --> 00:49:54.949 locally advanced head and neck cancer,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8281421
00:49:54.950 --> 00:49:57.045 and patients are currently standard
NOTE Confidence: 0.8281421
00:49:57.045 --> 00:49:59.140 of care is radiation cisplatin,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8281421
00:49:59.140 --> 00:50:01.840 but this is now heading into
NOTE Confidence: 0.8281421
00:50:01.840 --> 00:50:03.640 the phase three design.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8281421
00:50:03.640 --> 00:50:05.873 An activation and this is now exploring
NOTE Confidence: 0.8281421
00:50:05.873 --> 00:50:07.705 the combination of Docetaxel subtaxa
NOTE Confidence: 0.8281421
00:50:07.705 --> 00:50:09.740 map with radiotherapy versus cisplatin
NOTE Confidence: 0.8281421
00:50:09.740 --> 00:50:11.959 with the addition of immunotherapy,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8281421
00:50:11.960 --> 00:50:13.850 this one being a tease.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8281421
00:50:13.850 --> 00:50:15.740 Oh, and this has been.
Unfortunately, I must admit, a high accruing trial. In part, I think. Again, we’re seeing this. A phenomenon of increased. Higher stage disease, more locally advanced disease, especially as patients have had maybe some delays in their care from COVID. And so we have. We have actually been accruing to this at a rather high rate, and we look forward to the results to come. We are also, as I alluded to, looking at how. We might be able to improve our definitive intent,
and there's certain populations where we have some room to improve, and one of that population is a cisplatin ineligible group of patients has already been alluded to, so I won’t get into the details of the data. But as was previously mentioned, so tuck some AB does have some improvement. The Bonner Trials had indicated some improvement over radiotherapy alone, but when compared to cisplatin, it is inferior, although we do have that group of patients that are ineligible for cisplatin instead eczema, maybe that that therapy that we have.
And so H&O four is now looking at whether or not we can take those patients who are ineligible for cisplatin and compare how they might do.

And compared to Subtaxa ma’am. So this is using a derbe as an immunotherapy, and whether or not this might also provide meaningful outcomes help radiosensitizing those patients who are not otherwise eligible for cytotoxic chemotherapy.

This is open to more advanced P. and higher risk P 16 positive population, but also the P-16 negative population. Both stage three and stage four cancers.
I mean and also a trial that we have been enrolling on with at least about 7 patients currently.

And then lastly, in terms of the intensification, it is certainly one of our goals as is also been mentioned, the HPV population. Has been recognized as having a better prognosis than that of the P-16 population and across the country. We're now trying to tease out how. How might we be able to safely intensify in therapy and the ikago Akron, or this I should say,
the COGS 3311 is kind of one example of where there might be some opportunity to reduce. Treatment, but the outcomes and the number of failures are low because this is a relatively good prognosis population, so we have to think about this meaningfully an carefully an whether or not that’s some combination of reducing radiation dose whether or not it’s a combination of surgery with reduced radiation dose. I’m not going to be talking about any kind of induction, you know,
00:52:57.252 --> 00:52:59.148 systemic therapy followed by your dose
NOTE Confidence: 0.8304057
00:52:59.148 --> 00:53:01.338 reduced or risk adjusted local therapy,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8304057
00:53:01.340 --> 00:53:03.446 but certainly a lot of different
NOTE Confidence: 0.8304057
00:53:03.446 --> 00:53:06.160 ways in which this could be explored.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8304057
00:53:06.160 --> 00:53:09.985 And we are going to be looking to move.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8304057
00:53:09.990 --> 00:53:12.979 We’re moving to open H and 05,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8304057
00:53:12.980 --> 00:53:15.955 which is looking at our low risk.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8304057
00:53:15.960 --> 00:53:17.238 P-16 population today.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8304057
00:53:17.238 --> 00:53:18.090 Intensified protocol,
NOTE Confidence: 0.8304057
00:53:18.090 --> 00:53:21.261 kind of as a jumping point from
NOTE Confidence: 0.8304057
00:53:21.261 --> 00:53:23.379 previously published results of H
NOTE Confidence: 0.8304057
00:53:23.379 --> 00:53:25.419 and O2 that had shown reasonably
NOTE Confidence: 0.8304057
00:53:25.419 --> 00:53:27.459 good to your progression.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8304057
00:53:27.460 --> 00:53:30.470 Free survival of 90% with the instead
NOTE Confidence: 0.8304057
00:53:30.470 --> 00:53:33.419 of our typical 70 Gy of radiation.
NOTE Confidence: 0.8304057
00:53:33.420 --> 00:53:35.720 Instead 60 grave radiation with
00:53:35.720 --> 00:53:37.560 cisplatin omitting the cisplatin
00:53:37.560 --> 00:53:40.121 did did cross to lower progression
00:53:40.121 --> 00:53:41.339 free survival so.
00:53:41.340 --> 00:53:43.887 Hi,
00:53:43.887 --> 00:53:46.064 Gray with cisplatin arm but also
00:53:46.064 --> 00:53:48.399 then looking at a somewhat escalated
00:53:48.399 --> 00:53:50.155 or hyper accelerated radiation
00:53:50.155 --> 00:53:53.102 delivery of 60 Gray over 5 weeks
00:53:53.102 --> 00:53:54.982 with the addition of immunotherapy
00:53:54.982 --> 00:53:57.394 to compare how that may may relate
00:53:57.394 --> 00:53:59.711 to the standard of care 70 grain
00:53:59.711 --> 00:54:02.255 cisplatin versus 60 Gray and cisplatin.
00:54:02.260 --> 00:54:04.885 So we do look forward to opening
00:54:04.885 --> 00:54:06.711 this specific population that we
don’t have a lot of trial opportunity

in clinical trial opportunity and

look forward to.

To providing more options and

contributing to important questions

nationally and internationally.

And then,

in terms of the future goals for our

therapeutic radiation oncology dart

in combination with how we interface

with all of the other

darts that we work with.

But today specifically the head

in our head and neck dart,

you know our goal is to continue

to collaborate with this for a
lot of the physicians that doctor
Burtness had previously indicated at the beginning of her slides,
there were at least six physicians just from radiation oncology faculty alone who are part of this combined effort.
We have a lot of clinician scientists who are actively engaged in DNA.
Repair and how we might be able to improve outcomes in this fits nicely with the purpose and an goal of the head.
And next four, and will also be continuing toward to support the head and neck dart with the trials that were able
to open our resources as well.

Also, we want to continue to open cooperative group trials that will align with the needs of our patient population here in Connecticut and continue to assess that and make sure that we’re opening trials that are appropriate for our Community efforts.

And then lastly, is was also alluded to. Outcomes are better at high volume centers, so as we continue to expand an need to serve a greater, we are working very vigorously of maintaining
high quality at our care center specifically.

Really water for Dan Trumbull.

In addition to our main campus here in New Haven.

So we have extensive efforts in standardizing our radiation treatment planning,

ensuring we have quality across the system.

How we do that is multi factorial, but certainly we have peer review of all of our cases.

We’ve.

Do them regularly whether patients are on or off clinical trial to make sure we have and maintain quality.

All the physicians that participate
in any head and neck treatment under
satellite through also attending
these multidisciplinary tumor boards
and and many of us also attend
multidisciplinary clinics as well.
So we’re very engaged with with
the head and neck team.
Like any of the cooperative groups
that have external review required
as part of our radiation planning,
have identified no concerns
with our radiation planning.
And then lastly,
I think one of the things that is
important is that we work very hard
to make sure that we have the clinical
support services that are key.
So the speech, language, pathology, the social work that was mentioned, the surgical resources and expert experts on site so that we can make sure to appropriately.
I’m triage our patience is as they go through their treatment, but also have the same high quality surveillance and and also support as they are in their survivorship from heaven and cancer. And I’ll combine.
I think this will help continue to improve access as well as improved clinical trial,
trial, enrollment everywhere.

And with that I want to.

I'll stop here.

I want to thank everybody again,

Doctor Burtness had mentioned,

plus or clinical trials team has been

very critical in our ability to do

what we've been able to do in serve.

Our patients here in Connecticut.

OK, thanks Melissa.

We have time for a couple questions.

Please put them into the chat or if

you want will unmute you so you can

speak while I'm waiting for you. I'll
just say Melissa, I was very impressed by the multi modality nature of care and the fact that you’re writing these trials and all the different centers.

So what’s the secret we need more trials like that? You know higher crewing. You know where you can can. It’s a very prevalent type of disease with a trial that. I guess the eligibility criteria are quite broad to allow most patients to enroll.

Yeah, I think that’s part of it is as we as our darts and doctor Burtness.
can attest to this too.

We really try to understand what’s going to likely accrue for our current patient population, and I think that that’s been key.

But also making sure to advertise it. And I think because our physicians have been so engaged at the other centers were able to get these opened in enrolled at the care centers. Sometimes we’re able to meet these patients locally. I think that’s been a huge part of our success.

Is is making sure those patients who, specially if they’re seeing a New Haven,
00:58:36.150 --> 00:58:38.390 are seeing all of us and and have.
00:58:38.390 --> 00:58:40.405 Have full venue and access
00:58:40.405 --> 00:58:42.017 to understanding every every
00:58:42.017 --> 00:58:43.999 clinical trial available to them.
00:58:44.000 --> 00:58:44.310 Great
00:58:44.310 --> 00:58:46.678 thanks. We have a we have a question
00:58:46.678 --> 00:58:48.761 from Tommy Tommy you want to unmute
00:58:48.761 --> 00:59:17.998 combination in terms of adverse effect.
00:59:18.000 --> 00:59:21.563 Is it getting worse for the same
NOTE Confidence: 0.9110757
00:59:21.563 --> 00:59:24.566 Orpik or less? And you know this.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9110757
00:59:24.566 --> 00:59:26.780 So putting index is the most
NOTE Confidence: 0.9110757
00:59:26.864 --> 00:59:29.379 important part of the treatment.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9110757
00:59:29.380 --> 00:59:30.463 One of motion.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9110757
00:59:30.463 --> 00:59:33.614 So could you come in anger and the
NOTE Confidence: 0.9110757
00:59:33.614 --> 00:59:37.031 particular you several, or you’ll be
NOTE Confidence: 0.9110757
00:59:37.031 --> 00:59:40.016 involving using antibody email check.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9110757
00:59:40.020 --> 00:59:42.213 What’s the impact?
NOTE Confidence: 0.9110757
00:59:42.213 --> 00:59:48.560 On the enterprise, the combination on the 88.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9110757
00:59:48.560 --> 00:59:51.360 In other words, anybody you want her?
NOTE Confidence: 0.9110757
00:59:51.360 --> 00:59:53.760 You go stand by the response.
NOTE Confidence: 0.9110757
00:59:53.760 --> 00:59:58.500 Is that like getting more or less or or what?
NOTE Confidence: 0.9110757
00:59:58.500 --> 01:00:01.630 So could you sort of comment on this so so
NOTE Confidence: 0.85662603
01:00:01.630 --> 01:00:03.996 maybe I’ll start and then I’ll pass
NOTE Confidence: 0.85662603
01:00:03.996 --> 01:00:06.927 it to to Melissa because I think.
Radiation has its own story with the toxicity in terms of the combination of Pember Lism AB with chemotherapy, it did not lead to more toxicity than people is made with the taxman and pembrolizumab alone was a lot less toxic than the combination. The there is a suggestion that there is a little bit of intensification of the myelosuppression when you give pembrolizumab chemo relative to the taxman. Chemo and although it didn’t lead to a significant increase in the number of deaths, we did see more tumor bleeding when we used pen bro or Pembroke chemo.
And that I think may have to do with. Loss of immune checkpoint at as regards the interaction of the activated T cells with the wall of these damaged blood vessels within the tumors, these antibodies are not inducing a high rate of. Anti humanized antibody antibodies. So overall I would say our experience with toxicity is this the subgroup of patients who have high grade immune related adverse events and other than that not really worse than it used to be in the prior era.
about is we’ve added the immune checkpoint inhibitors to radiation. So certainly some of the initial patients that we’ve followed. I think there’s the immune concern and we certainly are seeing activation of psoriasis skin conditions. You know anything underlying those are the things that I think we’re still learning to manage in terms of toxicity during radiation have not...
necessarily seen any worse toxicity during the actual course of radiation and these initial patients that have been on these combined modality. Therapy, but there are different things that we’re having to think about. You know when do we, whether or not we’re needing to add steroids at any point along the way. Different toxicities that we didn’t necessarily have to think about necessarily have to think about checking for during radiation, for definitive intent treatment, but I think that is what we are continuing to learn.
And for these trials, the information we get from these trials and toxicity assessments will be very important in determining. Is this a way of the intensifying? That’s the way we’re going to. So I’ll go ahead with just about overtime, but I know my car, which has a question too, but you can do a quick follow up time. OK. In terms of skin, **city.** Your EGFR inhibitor, but it caused skin. And also the other antibody, also called skin.
So what happens if you use combination as art?”

I think it was mentioned it’s getting worse as that is a quite a very unpleasant this toxicity patient app.

If you have a skin issues.

So I don’t know if Artie wants to address The Phantoms to talk.

Samantha has been associated with a fair bit of skin toxicity that responds to steroids.

So talk some ampem Bros.

Been reported now in head neck cancer to be quite active without really much of a difference in the safety signal so you know,
I think there’s obviously still a lot to learn with these regimens that are reported with patients, but I think we’re all quite intrigued by the possibility of the IO anti EGFR combinations. OK, and then the final question Micro it’s you’ve had your hand up along time. No, not always. Mark Horowitz, I had an HPV positive tumors that I was she at yeah portion is actually bad. Yeah I was my holiday since actually yeah, 'cause my radiation allergist and
Schumer was eventually recessive as absolute treasure and who oppose them by Sasha Mirror and tell you that I received superior hair? I just change and your experience is just fantastic. So I'm a year and a half out tumor free. Couldn't be happier. I'm back in my lab in the Department of Voice appears looking away so thank you. I work with pleasure. They have voted, but you see, an influx of mafic ages fo a positive cells in or around HPV. Positive tumors in the presence of patients who are treated with.

01:05:25.580 --> 01:05:28.821 So I think less so than the infiltration of T cells.

01:05:30.930 --> 01:05:33.360 It is well understood that, particularly in the more hypoxic

01:05:35.775 --> 01:05:38.190 and HPV negative head neck cancers there is at baseline.

01:05:40.650 --> 01:05:42.590 Quite a lot of.

01:05:45.820 --> 01:05:47.356 Extensive macrophage population and

01:05:47.356 --> 01:05:50.473 that it’s sort of M2 polarized and make correspond to the macrophage populations that have been defined in preclinical models for predicting hyper progression.

01:05:50.473 --> 01:05:54.894 For example in non small cell lung cancer.

01:06:00.440 --> 01:06:02.995 So I think still a lot of
work to be done there,
but the response when someone is responding seems to be that
we’re seeing in ingress of T cells.
Well, thank you. Thank you for your wonderful comment and for telling the whole world what how lucky I am to work with these two.
It’s just issue,
yes issues. Well, well, you know that’s a great way to end
and you know with the patient,
care comes first and the
amazing work that you’re all doing.
And we have an example right here.
So keep it up.
Lab to clinic clinical lab.

You know multi modality care.

It's exactly what we all aspire to.

So thank you all for coming to grand Rounds today and we'll see you next week.