WEBVTT

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:01.960 Funding for Yale Cancer Answers NOTE Confidence: 0.9596496 $00:00:01.960 \dashrightarrow 00:00:03.920$ is provided by Smilow Cancer NOTE Confidence: 0.9596496 $00:00:03.990 \rightarrow 00:00:05.690$ Hospital and AstraZeneca. NOTE Confidence: 0.9717902 $00{:}00{:}07{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}09{.}872$ Welcome to Yale Cancer Answers with NOTE Confidence: 0.9717902 00:00:09.872 --> 00:00:11.872 your host, doctor Anees Chagpar. NOTE Confidence: 0.9717902 00:00:11.872 --> 00:00:13.487 Yale Cancer Answers features NOTE Confidence: 0.9717902 $00:00:13.487 \rightarrow 00:00:15.577$ the latest information on cancer NOTE Confidence: 0.9717902 $00:00:15.577 \rightarrow 00:00:17.712$ care by welcoming oncologists and NOTE Confidence: 0.9717902 $00:00:17.712 \longrightarrow 00:00:19.667$ specialists who are on the forefront of NOTE Confidence: 0.9717902 00:00:19.667 --> 00:00:21.852 the battle to fight cancer. This week, NOTE Confidence: 0.9717902 $00{:}00{:}21.852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}23.632$ it's a conversation about lung NOTE Confidence: 0.9717902 $00:00:23.632 \dashrightarrow 00:00:25.360$ cancer with Doctor Anne Chiang. NOTE Confidence: 0.9717902 00:00:25.360 --> 00:00:27.874 Doctor Chiang is an associate professor NOTE Confidence: 0.9717902 $00:00:27.874 \rightarrow 00:00:30.717$ in medical oncology at the Yale School NOTE Confidence: 0.9717902 $00:00:30.717 \rightarrow 00:00:33.265$ of Medicine where Doctor Chagpar is NOTE Confidence: 0.9717902

00:00:33.340 --> 00:00:35.980 a professor of surgical oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712131

 $00{:}00{:}35{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}38{.}200$ Let's start at the beginning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712131

00:00:38.200 --> 00:00:40.594 I think a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712131

00:00:40.594 --> 00:00:42.639 people know about lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712131

 $00{:}00{:}42.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}44.308$ but this whole differentiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712131

00:00:44.308 --> 00:00:46.810 between small cell, non small cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712131

 $00:00:46.884 \dashrightarrow 00:00:49.300$ tell us a little bit more about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712131

 $00:00:49.300 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.150$ What exactly is the difference?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712131

 $00:00:51.150 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.740$ How many people are affected by each?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712131

 $00:00:53.740 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.960$ And why should we care?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712131

 $00{:}00{:}55{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}59{.}016$ I think that the basics about

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712131

 $00{:}00{:}59{.}016$ --> $00{:}01{:}01{.}879$ lung cancer are that they form in the lung.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712131

 $00:01:01.880 \rightarrow 00:01:03.730$ There's mainly two different types,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712131

 $00{:}01{:}03.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}05.998$ small cell, that underneath the microscope

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712131

 $00{:}01{:}06{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}08{.}674$ the pathologist looks at the cells and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712131

00:01:08.674 - > 00:01:11.566 they're very small and round and blue,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9712131
- $00:01:11.566 \rightarrow 00:01:14.050$ and everything else which is non small cell.

00:01:14.050 - 00:01:16.000 The small cell kind is typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712131

00:01:16.000 --> 00:01:18.080 a little bit more aggressive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712131

00:01:18.080 --> 00:01:19.544 It grows more quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712131

 $00:01:19.544 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.008$ It tends to spread.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712131

 $00:01:21.010 \rightarrow 00:01:23.488$ There are different types that I typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712131

00:01:23.488 --> 00:01:25.758 tell my patients are like chocolate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712131

 $00{:}01{:}25.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}26.858$ vanilla and pistachio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712131

00:01:26.858 --> 00:01:28.688 There is adenocarcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712131

00:01:28.690 --> 00:01:29.785 squamous cell carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712131

 $00:01:29.785 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.245$ and other types,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712131

 $00{:}01{:}31{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}33{.}578$ and they really are simply

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712131

 $00:01:33.578 \rightarrow 00:01:36.120$ different types that act a little bit differently.

00:01:36.572 --> 00:01:39.736 They look a little bit different

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712131

 $00:01:39.736 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.510$ underneath the microscope,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712131

 $00:01:41.510 \dashrightarrow 00:01:43.910$ and sometimes there are molecular

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9712131
- $00:01:43.910 \dashrightarrow 00:01:47.425$ markers that can help us to understand

00:01:47.425 --> 00:01:49.990 a particular subtype that might

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712131

00:01:49.990 --> 00:01:52.778 be responsive to taking a pill,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9712131

 $00:01:52.780 \rightarrow 00:01:56.210$ for example, instead of IV medication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92404133

 $00{:}01{:}57{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}00{.}130$ Of all of these types the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.92404133

 $00:02:00.130 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.090$ question is which type are

NOTE Confidence: 0.92404133

 $00:02:02.090 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.590$ the most common.

 $00{:}02{:}07{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}09{.}862$ You say the small cells are a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.92404133

 $00{:}02{:}09{.}862 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}12{.}254$ more aggressive than the non small

NOTE Confidence: 0.92404133

 $00{:}02{:}12.254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}14.498$ cells and even within that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.92404133

 $00:02:14.498 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.270$ a whole bunch of different types.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92404133

 $00:02:17.270 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.488$ What type is most common?

00:02:19.651 --> 00:02:20.812 What's the distribution

NOTE Confidence: 0.95026827

 $00:02:20.812 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.960$ in terms of these cancers?

NOTE Confidence: 0.95026827

 $00{:}02{:}22{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}25{.}634$ The most common type is

NOTE Confidence: 0.95026827

 $00:02:25.634 \rightarrow 00:02:28.211$ non small cell and pretty much

 $00:02:28.211 \longrightarrow 00:02:29.779 80-85\%$ of lung cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.95026827

 $00{:}02{:}29{.}779 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}32{.}390$ is non small cell and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.95026827

00:02:32.473 --> 00:02:35.170 15-20% is small cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.95026827

 $00:02:35.170 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.610$ and so we know that smoking

NOTE Confidence: 0.95026827

 $00:02:37.610 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.208$ is related to lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95026827

00:02:40.210 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:02:42.989 but are there specific risk factors for

NOTE Confidence: 0.95026827

 $00:02:42.989 \rightarrow 00:02:45.839$ getting each of these different types,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95026827

 $00{:}02{:}45{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}49{.}728$ or is it kind of all just a mishmash

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819167

 $00{:}02{:}49{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}54{.}060$ and which type you get is luck of the draw?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819167

 $00{:}02{:}54{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}57{.}119$ Smoking is definitely a risk factor for

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819167

 $00{:}02{:}57{.}119 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}00{.}127$ both non small cell and small cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819167

00:03:00.130 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:03:03.161 That being said, there are folks who

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819167

 $00{:}03{:}03{.}161 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}05{.}336$ are never smokers, a small population

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819167

 $00:03:05.336 \rightarrow 00:03:07.964$ of never smokers or light smokers

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819167

 $00:03:07.970 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.458$ who may develop mutations in specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819167

 $00:03:12.458 \rightarrow 00:03:18.990$ genes called EGFR or ALK ROS1.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9819167
- $00:03:18.990 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.804$ Some of these mutations are

 $00:03:21.804 \rightarrow 00:03:25.149$ called oncogenes and these mutations

 $00:03:27.449 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.167$ tend to lead to lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819167

 $00{:}03{:}30{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}33{.}194$ A specific kind and because it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819167

 $00{:}03{:}33{.}194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}36{.}875$ not sort of the same as the lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819167

00:03:36.875 --> 00:03:39.957 cancer that comes from smoking where

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819167

 $00{:}03{:}39{.}957 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}43{.}442$ repeated exposure and inflammation to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819167

 $00:03:43.442 \rightarrow 00:03:47.520$ carcinogens caused lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819167

 $00:03:47.520 \dashrightarrow 00:03:49.640$ those patients with, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819167

 $00{:}03{:}49{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}52{.}560$ a mutation in EGFR can actually be treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819167

 $00:03:52.560 \rightarrow 00:03:55.979$ with a targeted therapy that targets EGFR,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819167

 $00{:}03{:}55{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}58{.}518$ and that, as I said before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819167

 $00:03:58.520 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.648$ is often in the shape of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819167

 $00{:}04{:}01{.}648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}04{.}438$ pill that you can take daily.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819167

 $00:04:04.440 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.352$ So it's really important when

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819167

 $00:04:07.352 \rightarrow 00:04:09.519$ you're diagnosed with lung cancer

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9819167
- $00:04:09.520 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.630$ to understand the pathology and

 $00{:}04{:}11.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}13.318$ specifically the molecular pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819167

 $00:04:13.320 \dashrightarrow 00:04:16.026$ That means the kinds of mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819167

 $00:04:16.026 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.830$ that might be available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819167

 $00:04:17.830 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.480$ Especially if

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819167

 $00:04:19.480 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.612$ you've never smoked,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819167

 $00:04:20.612 \rightarrow 00:04:23.154$ or if you have a very light history

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819167

 $00:04:23.154 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.089$ or remote history of smoking

 $00{:}04{:}26{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}29{.}074$ For the people who have never smoked or

NOTE Confidence: 0.89279914

00:04:29.074 --> 00:04:31.997 have a very light history of smoking,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89279914

 $00:04:32.000 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.472$ are they more likely to get one

NOTE Confidence: 0.89279914

 $00{:}04{:}34{.}472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}37{.}324$ type of lung cancer in terms of small

NOTE Confidence: 0.89279914

 $00:04:37.324 \rightarrow 00:04:40.030$ cell versus non small cell than others?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89279914

 $00:04:40.030 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.422$ And these mutations that

NOTE Confidence: 0.89279914

 $00:04:41.422 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.466$ you're talking about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89279914

 $00:04:42.470 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.498$ are they more common in small

 $00:04:44.498 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.009$ cell or non small cell or does it

NOTE Confidence: 0.98069865

 $00:04:47.010 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.750$ make a difference at all?

NOTE Confidence: 0.98069865

 $00:04:48.750 \dashrightarrow 00:04:50.856$ So these mutations that I spoke

NOTE Confidence: 0.98069865

 $00:04:50.856 \rightarrow 00:04:53.324$ of are more common in non small

NOTE Confidence: 0.98069865

 $00{:}04{:}53{.}324 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}55{.}634$ cell and those folks who are light

NOTE Confidence: 0.98069865

 $00{:}04{:}55{.}707 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}57{.}813$ or never smokers are more likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.98069865

 $00{:}04{:}57{.}813 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}00{.}522$ to develop non small cell lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.98069865

 $00{:}05{:}00{.}522 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}03{.}126$ cancer than small cell lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98069865

 $00{:}05{:}03{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}05{.}506$ Typically it has rarely happened

NOTE Confidence: 0.98069865

00:05:05.506 --> 00:05:07.906 that I've seen patients who never

NOTE Confidence: 0.98069865

 $00{:}05{:}07{.}906 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}10{.}144$ smoked develop small cell cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98069865

 $00{:}05{:}10{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}12{.}490$ but typically there is a history

NOTE Confidence: 0.98069865

 $00:05:12.490 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.660$ of smoking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868976

 $00{:}05{:}13.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}15.220$ You mentioned earlier that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868976

 $00{:}05{:}15{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}17{.}170$ small cell were more aggressive.

 $00:05:17.170 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.120$ Tell us about the prognosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868976

 $00:05:19.120 \longrightarrow 00:05:22.306$ So it sounds to me like if you're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868976

 $00{:}05{:}22{.}306 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}25{.}343$ to have a choice you would prefer to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868976

 $00:05:25.343 \rightarrow 00:05:28.477$ have a non small cell lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9868976

 $00:05:28.480 \dashrightarrow 00:05:31.600$ But how bad is one versus the other?

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00:05:32.500 \longrightarrow 00:05:34.964$ I think that the key thing to

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00{:}05{:}34{.}964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}37{.}264$ know for both is that there have

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00:05:37.264 \rightarrow 00:05:39.937$ really been a lot of advances such

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

00:05:39.937 --> 00:05:42.392 that we've actually seen improvements

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00{:}05{:}42.392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}45.342$ in the outcomes for both non small

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00{:}05{:}45{.}342 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}48{.}040$ cell and small cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00:05:48.040 \rightarrow 00:05:50.483$ And this was just published last year

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00:05:50.483 \dashrightarrow 00:05:53.299$ in the New England Journal of Medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00:05:53.299 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.261$ that the incidence of both

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00{:}05{:}56{.}261 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}58{.}704$ these and the outcomes of both

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00:05:58.704 \rightarrow 00:06:00.950$ these types of cancers are improving.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674
- $00{:}06{:}00{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}02{.}875$ So I think that's a

 $00{:}06{:}02.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}06{.}606$ really important message to know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00:06:06.610 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.004$ The other aspect of how

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00{:}06{:}09{.}004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}11{.}280$ you're going to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00{:}06{:}11.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}12.844$ with this particular cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00:06:12.850 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.046$ has to do with staging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00:06:15.050 \rightarrow 00:06:17.270$ and that just means the geography

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00:06:17.270 \rightarrow 00:06:20.249$ of where the cancer is in your body

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00:06:20.249 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.385$ when when you're diagnosed with it.

 $00:06:24.590 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.872$ If you have tumors that are just

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00:06:26.872 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.547$ in the lung or have migrated

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

00:06:29.547 --> 00:06:31.567 into very nearby lymph nodes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

00:06:31.570 -> 00:06:34.153 then you maybe have a stage one

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00:06:34.153 \rightarrow 00:06:36.518$ or stage two cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00:06:36.520 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.054$ You may be eligible for a local

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00:06:39.054 \rightarrow 00:06:41.029$ treatment like surgery or radiation

- NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674
- $00:06:41.029 \rightarrow 00:06:43.483$ in combination with chemotherapy to

 $00{:}06{:}43.483 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}46.047$ really try to remove that tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00:06:46.050 \rightarrow 00:06:49.090$ and that's when you have the best prognosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

00:06:49.090 --> 00:06:51.334 regardless if it's non

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00:06:51.334 \rightarrow 00:06:53.280$ small cell or small cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00:06:53.280 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.178$ Overall, folks with non small cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

00:06:56.178 --> 00:06:59.234 do little bit better. But again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00{:}06{:}59{.}234 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}00{.}920$ having lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00:07:00.920 \rightarrow 00:07:03.158$ it's definitely a treatable disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

00:07:03.160 --> 00:07:05.398 If you have stage four cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00{:}07{:}05{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}08{.}028$ which means that you've had disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00{:}07{:}08.028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}10.492$ that has traveled outside of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00{:}07{:}10.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}12.704$ lung to a different organ such as

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00{:}07{:}12.704 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}15.469$ the liver or the brain or your bones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00{:}07{:}15{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}18{.}074$ then we take a different approach,

 $00:07:18.080 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.684$ which is then we need to use

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00:07:20.684 \rightarrow 00:07:21.428$ systemic therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00:07:21.430 \dashrightarrow 00:07:23.525$ That means something that gets

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00:07:23.525 \rightarrow 00:07:25.620$ into your bloodstream because every

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00{:}07{:}25.693 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}27.829$ single cancer cell anywhere needs to

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00{:}07{:}27.829 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}30.209$ have a blood supply and therefore

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

00:07:30.210 --> 00:07:31.430 administering chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00:07:31.430 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.260$ or more recently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00{:}07{:}33.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}36.610$ all these advances in immunotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00:07:36.610 \dashrightarrow 00:07:41.370$ through the blood into the blood stream,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

00:07:41.370 --> 00:07:43.375 that way those the rapeutic

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00:07:43.375 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.178$ drugs can reach all of the cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00:07:46.178 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.350$ cells that are in your body,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98789674

 $00:07:48.350 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.910$ wherever they may be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845946

 $00{:}07{:}51.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}52.845$ Well, it's certainly good news

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845946

 $00:07:52.845 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.400$ that lung cancer,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9845946
- $00:07:54.400 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.500$ which is something that I think a

 $00{:}07{:}56{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}58{.}795$ lot of people fear, is becoming

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845946

 $00{:}07{:}58.795 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}00.787$ a treatable disease and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845946

 $00{:}08{:}00{.}854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}02{.}576$ there are all of these advances

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845946

 $00:08:02.576 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.885$ and I want to get into that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845946

 $00{:}08{:}04.885 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}07.580$ But first something that you said really

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845946

 $00{:}08{:}07.658 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}10.122$ struck a chord with me and has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845946

 $00{:}08{:}10.122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}13.199$ the case with a lot of cancers and that is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845946

 $00:08:13.200 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.050$ the earlier you find it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845946

 $00:08:15.050 \rightarrow 00:08:16.522$ the lower the stage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845946

 $00:08:16.522 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.362$ the more treatable it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845946

 $00{:}08{:}18.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}21.650$ So if you have a stage one lung cancer that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845946

 $00:08:21.726 \rightarrow 00:08:25.006$ more treatable than a stage four lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845946

 $00{:}08{:}25{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}27{.}642$ and I was wondering if you could talk a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845946

 $00{:}08{:}27.642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}30.019$ little bit about advances that have

00:08:30.019 - 00:08:32.479 been made in terms of screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.9845946

00:08:32.553 --> 00:08:34.968 that have helped us to find these

NOTE Confidence: 0.98480475

00:08:34.970 --> 00:08:37.998 lung cancers earlier?

NOTE Confidence: 0.98480475

 $00:08:38.000 \rightarrow 00:08:40.499$ Screening is a hot topic now because

NOTE Confidence: 0.98480475

 $00{:}08{:}40.499 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}42.590$ the US Preventive Services

NOTE Confidence: 0.98480475

 $00{:}08{:}42.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}45.446$ Task Force just issued a different

NOTE Confidence: 0.98480475

 $00{:}08{:}45{.}446 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}47{.}810$ recommendation or it altered their

NOTE Confidence: 0.98480475

 $00:08:47.810 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.498$ recommendation on screening for lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98480475

 $00{:}08{:}50{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}54{.}379$ So previously, if you were aged 55 or older,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98480475

00:08:54.380 --> 00:08:57.566 or if you had a 30 pack year history

NOTE Confidence: 0.98480475

 $00{:}08{:}57{.}566$ --> $00{:}09{:}00{.}795$ of smoking and that means smoking one

NOTE Confidence: 0.98480475

00:09:00.795 --> 00:09:04.289 pack per day for roughly 30 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98480475

 $00:09:04.290 \rightarrow 00:09:09.339$ then you would be eligible for a low dose

NOTE Confidence: 0.98480475

 $00:09:09.340 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.460$ CT scan because you had a higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.98480475

00:09:12.460 --> 00:09:15.571 risk of lung cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.98480475

 $00:09:15.571 \dashrightarrow 00:09:18.747$ and being able to have a screening CT

- NOTE Confidence: 0.98480475
- 00:09:18.750 --> 00:09:21.446 scan allows us to pick up
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98480475
- $00:09:21.446 \rightarrow 00:09:23.892$ things when they're very small and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98480475
- $00{:}09{:}23.892 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}26.911$ you don't have any symptoms and often
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98480475
- $00:09:26.911 \rightarrow 00:09:29.704$ help us to detect lung cancers when
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98480475
- $00:09:29.704 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.470$ they are in a very early stage.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98480475
- $00{:}09{:}32{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}34{.}430$ So recently in March
- $00:09:36.000 \dashrightarrow 00:09:38.130$ the US Preventive Services Task
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98480475
- $00:09:38.130 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.834$ Force changed that recommendation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98480475
- $00{:}09{:}39{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}43{.}256$ to drop the age to 50 and for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98480475
- $00:09:43.256 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.678$ the pack year history to 20.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98480475
- 00:09:45.680 --> 00:09:49.008 So the idea being, let's expand the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98480475
- $00:09:49.008 \rightarrow 00:09:52.406$ population of people that are being screened.
- 00:09:53.553 --> 00:09:56.220 I think that our insurers
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98480475
- $00{:}09{:}56{.}305 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}00{.}218$ are catching up with that but
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98480475
- 00:10:00.218 --> 00:10:01.895 the recommendations
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98480475
- $00{:}10{:}01{.}985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}04{.}708$ have changed and I think that that's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98480475

 $00:10:04.708 \rightarrow 00:10:07.932$ going to be very positive in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.98480475

00:10:07.932 --> 00:10:11.010 of again being able to detect

NOTE Confidence: 0.98480475

 $00:10:11.010 \rightarrow 00:10:13.747$ lung cancers in earlier stages where they

NOTE Confidence: 0.98480475

00:10:13.747 --> 00:10:16.531 might be able to undergo local therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.98480475

 $00{:}10{:}16{.}531 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}19{.}620$ such as surgery or focused radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743017

 $00{:}10{:}20.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}23.300$ So important for people to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743017

 $00{:}10{:}23.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}25.942$ get screened because there are so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743017

00:10:25.942 --> 00:10:28.348 many advances in terms of treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743017

 $00{:}10{:}28.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}30.480$ Just one clarifying question though,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743017

 $00:10:30.480 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.224$ and the other thing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743017

 $00:10:33.224 \rightarrow 00:10:36.458$ a lot of people have now done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743017

 $00{:}10{:}36.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}38.200$ especially because we've seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743017

 $00{:}10{:}38{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}40{.}810$ advances in things like smoking

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743017

 $00:10:40.886 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.856$ cessation is to quit smoking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743017

 $00:10:42.860 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.028$ So let's suppose that you have a 20-25

NOTE Confidence: 0.9743017

00:10:46.028 --> 00:10:48.837 or thirty pack year history of smoking,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9743017
- 00:10:48.840 --> 00:10:50.268 but you just quit.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9743017
- 00:10:50.268 --> 00:10:53.686 You made it a New Year's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9743017
- $00:10:53.686 \rightarrow 00:10:56.880$ resolution and you quit maybe a year ago,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9743017
- $00:10:56.880 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.336$ maybe six months ago.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9743017
- $00:10:58.336 \rightarrow 00:11:00.520$ Are you still eligible for screening?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9743017
- $00{:}11{:}00{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}02{.}944$ Should you still be screened even
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9743017
- $00:11:02.944 \rightarrow 00:11:04.922$ though now you're officially a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9743017
- $00:11:04.922 \rightarrow 00:11:06.704$ non smoker or a former smoker?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98861456
- $00{:}11{:}06{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}09{.}139$ Yes, if you have a history of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98861456
- 00:11:09.139 --> 00:11:11.069 smoking that's 25 pack years,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98861456
- 00:11:11.070 --> 00:11:13.618 even if it was ten years ago,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98861456
- 00:11:13.620 --> 00:11:15.440 you can still be eligible
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98861456
- $00:11:15.440 \rightarrow 00:11:17.260$ for this screening.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98861456
- $00{:}11{:}17{.}260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}20{.}044$ I think it's a really important
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98861456
- $00:11:20.044 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.900$ message to folks that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98861456

 $00:11:21.900 \rightarrow 00:11:26.968$ wherever you are in your course of

NOTE Confidence: 0.98861456

 $00{:}11{:}26{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}28{.}625$ stopping smoking and it's certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.98861456

00:11:28.625 --> 00:11:30.670 one of the hardest things to do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.98861456

 $00{:}11{:}30{.}670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}32{.}265$ it's always important to realize that

00:11:34.221 --> 00:11:36.003 stopping or quitting smoking is going

NOTE Confidence: 0.98861456

 $00:11:36.003 \rightarrow 00:11:38.057$ to help you and help your lungs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98861456

 $00:11:38.060 \rightarrow 00:11:41.798$ It's going to help your overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.98861456

 $00:11:41.800 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.865$ health and you're going to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.98861456

 $00:11:43.865 \rightarrow 00:11:46.075$ better than if you continue to smoke.

 $00{:}11{:}50{.}808 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}53{.}159$ There is data that even for folks who

NOTE Confidence: 0.98861456

00:11:53.864 --> 00:11:56.328 have smoked a lot over the course

NOTE Confidence: 0.98861456

 $00:11:56.328 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.785$ and maybe even 2 packs per day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98861456

 $00:11:58.790 \longrightarrow 00:12:00.550$ We certainly had

NOTE Confidence: 0.98861456

00:12:00.550 --> 00:12:03.231 in our society a number of years

NOTE Confidence: 0.98861456

 $00:12:03.231 \rightarrow 00:12:05.060$ where everybody smoked and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.98861456

 $00:12:05.060 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.884$ was really sort of run of the mill,

 $00:12:08.298 \rightarrow 00:12:10.410$ that was a very common thing,

- $00:12:10.410 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.534$ so I think that it's really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98861456
- 00:12:12.534 --> 00:12:14.280 important that wherever you are,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98861456
- $00{:}12{:}14.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}15.708$ if you're a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98861456
- 00:12:15.708 --> 00:12:20.015 one pack a day smoker, 2 pack a day
- $00:12:21.720 \rightarrow 00:12:25.059$ or you smoke a couple of cigarettes a week,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98861456
- $00:12:25.060 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.762$ I think that stopping smoking
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98861456
- $00{:}12{:}27.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}31.139$ can really help you and we do have a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98861456
- $00:12:31.139 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.219$ smoking cessation clinic here at Yale
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98861456
- $00:12:33.220 \rightarrow 00:12:34.522$ that's incredibly successful.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98861456
- $00:12:34.522 \rightarrow 00:12:37.560$ There have been so many advances that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98861456
- 00:12:37.630 --> 00:12:39.894 I can't even keep track.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98861456
- $00{:}12{:}39{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}43{.}239$ It was just the patch and the lozenge.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98861456
- $00{:}12{:}43{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}45{.}586$ And now there's so many different
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98861456
- $00:12:45.586 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.812$ options to help people stop and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98861456
- $00:12:47.812 \longrightarrow 00:12:50.156$ and being able to do some of this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98861456
- $00:12:50.232 \rightarrow 00:12:52.468$ through Televisit consultation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.98861456

 $00:12:52.470 \rightarrow 00:12:54.750$ either through video or phone,

 $00:12:56.650 \rightarrow 00:12:59.405$ can allow people to access this

NOTE Confidence: 0.98861456

 $00:12:59.405 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.160$ kind of help and support

NOTE Confidence: 0.98861456

 $00:13:02.160 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.710$ to really improve their health,

 $00:13:04.320 \rightarrow 00:13:06.348$ It is important to quit smoking and talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.98372304

 $00{:}13{:}06{.}348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}08{.}587$ to your doctor or call a quit

NOTE Confidence: 0.98372304

 $00:13:08.587 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.498$ line to get the help you need.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98372304

 $00:13:10.500 \longrightarrow 00:13:12.240$ We're going to take a short

NOTE Confidence: 0.98372304

 $00:13:12.240 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.900$ break for a medical minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.98372304

00:13:13.900 --> 00:13:16.014 Please stay tuned to learn more about

NOTE Confidence: 0.98372304

00:13:16.014 --> 00:13:18.229 small cell lung cancer with my guest

NOTE Confidence: 0.98372304

00:13:18.230 --> 00:13:19.160 Doctor Anne Chiang.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8771455

 $00{:}13{:}19.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}22.475$ Funding for Yale Cancer Answers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8771455

 $00{:}13{:}22.475 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}25.563$ comes from AstraZeneca, working to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8771455

 $00{:}13{:}25{.}563 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}28{.}440$ eliminate cancer as a cause of death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8771455

 $00{:}13{:}28{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}32{.}308$ Learn more at a strazeneca-us.com.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8771455

 $00:13:32.310 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.940$ It's estimated that over 240,000

 $00:13:33.940 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.440$ men in the US will be diagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8771455

 $00{:}13{:}36{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}38{.}450$ with prostate cancer this year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8771455

 $00:13:38.450 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.616$ with over 3000 new cases being

NOTE Confidence: 0.8771455

00:13:40.616 --> 00:13:42.060 identified here in Connecticut,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8771455

00:13:42.060 --> 00:13:44.232 one in eight American men will

NOTE Confidence: 0.8771455

00:13:44.232 --> 00:13:45.680 develop prostate cancer in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8771455

 $00:13:45.752 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.467$ the course of his lifetime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8771455

00:13:47.470 --> 00:13:49.350 Major advances in the detection

NOTE Confidence: 0.8771455

00:13:49.350 $-\!>$ 00:13:51.230 and treatment of prostate cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8771455

00:13:51.296 --> 00:13:52.660 have dramatically decreased the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8771455

 $00:13:52.660 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.121$ number of men who die from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8771455

00:13:55.121 --> 00:13:56.886 disease. Screening can be performed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8771455

00:13:56.886 $-\!\!>$ 00:13:59.080 quickly and easily in a physician's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8771455

 $00{:}13{:}59{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}01{.}030$ office using two simple tests.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8771455

 $00{:}14{:}01{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}04{.}019$ A physical exam and a blood test.

 $00:14:04.020 \rightarrow 00:14:06.535$ Clinical trials are currently underway

NOTE Confidence: 0.8771455

 $00:14:06.535 \rightarrow 00:14:08.547$ at federally designated Comprehensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8771455

 $00{:}14{:}08{.}547 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}10{.}746$ cancer centers such as Yale Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8771455

00:14:10.746 --> 00:14:12.990 Center and Smilow Cancer Hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8771455

 $00{:}14{:}12{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}15{.}120$ where doctors are also using

NOTE Confidence: 0.8771455

 $00:14:15.120 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.398$ the Artemis machine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8771455

 $00:14:16.400 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.108$ which enables targeted biopsies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8771455

 $00:14:18.108 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.389$ to be performed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8771455

00:14:19.390 --> 00:14:22.065 More information is available at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8771455

00:14:22.065 --> 00:14:23.670 yalecancercenter.org. You're listening

NOTE Confidence: 0.8771455

 $00{:}14{:}23.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}25.629$ to Connecticut Public Radio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8771455

00:14:25.630 --> 00:14:25.990 Welcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.9778411

 $00{:}14{:}25{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}27{.}770$ back to Yale Cancer Answers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9778411

 $00{:}14{:}27.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}30.641$ This is doctor Anees Chagpar and I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.9778411

00:14:30.641 --> 00:14:33.455 joined tonight by my guest Doctor Anne Chiang.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9778411

 $00:14:33.460 \rightarrow 00:14:35.072$ We're discussing recent treatment

 $00:14:35.072 \rightarrow 00:14:37.490$ advances in small cell lung cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9778411

 $00{:}14{:}37{.}554 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}39{.}626$ and right before the break you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9778411

 $00{:}14{:}39.626 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}42.004$ were telling us about the fact that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9778411

 $00:14:42.004 \rightarrow 00:14:43.784$ there have been really exciting

NOTE Confidence: 0.9778411

00:14:43.784 --> 00:14:46.348 advances both in small cell as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.9778411

 $00{:}14{:}46{.}348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}49{.}408$ as in non small cell lung cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9778411

 $00:14:49.410 \rightarrow 00:14:51.150$ that have really affected outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.9778411

 $00:14:51.150 \rightarrow 00:14:52.890$ for patients with these diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9778411

 $00{:}14{:}52.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}55.548$ So tell us more about some

NOTE Confidence: 0.9778411

 $00:14:55.548 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.320$ of these exciting advances.

 $00{:}14{:}58{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}00{.}480$ I'd love to. This is a really exciting

NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049

 $00:15:00.480 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.060$ time for lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049

00:15:02.060 --> 00:15:05.615 I remember back to when I started at Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049

 $00{:}15{:}05{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}07{.}990$ which was almost 10 years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049

 $00{:}15{:}07{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}11{.}772$ and I put my first patient or one of my first

NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049

 $00{:}15{:}11.772 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}15.097$ patients on a clinical trial and at that time

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049
- $00:15:15.100 \rightarrow 00:15:17.858$ the standard of care was chemotherapy,

 $00:15:17.860 \rightarrow 00:15:20.902$ and in this case we were looking at treating

NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049

 $00:15:20.902 \rightarrow 00:15:23.114$ this patient with immunotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049

 $00:15:23.114 \rightarrow 00:15:26.160$ and not doing chemotherapy first.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049

 $00:15:26.160 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.220$ And he did extremely well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049

00:15:28.220 --> 00:15:31.172 And in fact, I saw him a couple of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049

 $00{:}15{:}31{.}172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}34{.}281$ weeks ago and he has been off trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049

 $00:15:34.281 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.462$ with no treatment for the past eight

NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049

 $00{:}15{:}37{.}462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}40{.}366$ years and he is contemplating retirement

NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049

00:15:40.370 --> 00:15:42.800 and he's doing just incredibly well.

 $00:15:44.464 \rightarrow 00:15:47.829$ And that still sends shivers down my spine and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049

 $00:15:47.829 \rightarrow 00:15:50.580$ know that it's not every single patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049

 $00:15:50.580 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.325$ that has that kind of result.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049

 $00{:}15{:}53{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}56{.}426$ But I think the more that we can learn

NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049

 $00:15:56.426 \rightarrow 00:15:59.100$ through studying and through biology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049

00:15:59.100 --> 00:16:00.030 through clinical trials,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049
- $00:16:00.030 \rightarrow 00:16:02.825$ our aim is really to do the best for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049
- $00{:}16{:}02.825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}05.065$ our patients and push that edge as far
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049
- $00{:}16{:}05{.}131 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}07{.}331$ as it can go in terms of how they do.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049
- $00{:}16{:}57{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}02{.}952$ One of the trials that I'm a national
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049
- $00{:}17{:}02.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}04.816$ Investigator on spearheading
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049
- 00:17:04.816 --> 00:17:08.140 is a trial called Insigna
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049
- 00:17:08.140 --> 00:17:10.966 and it's run through our cooperative groups,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049
- $00{:}17{:}10.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}14.458$ that's groups that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049
- 00:17:14.460 --> 00:17:16.950 help to do research, clinical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049
- $00:17:16.950 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.942$ research in the communities.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049
- $00:17:18.950 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.938$ This trial is open at about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049
- 00:17:21.938 --> 00:17:23.432 850 different centers,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049
- $00:17:23.440 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.896$ we're looking for 850 patients to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049
- $00{:}17{:}26.896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}30.978$ enroll on this trial and we're trying
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049
- $00{:}17{:}30{.}978 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}35{.}400$ to understand for PD L1 positive or for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049

 $00:17:35.400 \rightarrow 00:17:38.202$ patients who have this marker of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049

 $00{:}17{:}38.202 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}42.393$ PDL one if they are treated with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049

 $00{:}17{:}42.393 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}45.353$ either immuno therapy upfront or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049

 $00:17:45.353 \rightarrow 00:17:48.940$ immunotherapy combined with chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049

 $00:17:48.940 \longrightarrow 00:17:50.710$ which group will do better

NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049

 $00:17:50.710 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.699$ and then with those patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049

 $00:17:52.699 \rightarrow 00:17:54.559$ who are treated with immunotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049

 $00:17:54.559 \rightarrow 00:17:56.270$ alone if they progress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049

 $00{:}17{:}56{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}58{.}950$ can we then add chemo to the immunotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049

 $00:17:58.950 \rightarrow 00:18:01.500$ to sort of boost the immune system?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049

 $00{:}18{:}01{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}03{.}593$ And at the same time we're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049

 $00{:}18{:}03{.}593 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}05{.}863$ to be using the tissue and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049

 $00:18:05.863 \rightarrow 00:18:08.705$ science that we can gather to try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049

 $00{:}18{:}08{.}705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}11{.}147$ understand if there are biomarkers or

NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049

 $00:18:11.147 \rightarrow 00:18:13.418$ signatures that can help us understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049

 $00:18:13.418 \rightarrow 00:18:15.590$ which people will benefit and which

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049
- $00:18:15.654 \rightarrow 00:18:17.556$ people have less of a benefit.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049
- $00:18:17.560 \rightarrow 00:18:20.409$ that's a really exciting trial that is ongoing,
- $00:18:20.744 \rightarrow 00:18:23.750$ we're about 40% of the way through on that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9476049
- $00:18:23.750 \rightarrow 00:18:26.414$ and I think that you know there are
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9819967
- $00{:}18{:}26{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}28{.}814$ thousands of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9819967
- $00:18:28.814 \rightarrow 00:18:30.429$ immunotherapy trials in cancer right now,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9819967
- $00{:}18{:}30{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}32{.}902$ but I think this is one that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9819967
- 00:18:32.902 --> 00:18:34.998 will really help us to understand
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9819967
- $00{:}18{:}34{.}998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}37{.}104$ what's the right thing to do
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9819967
- $00:18:37.110 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.116$ up front.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9819967
- $00:18:40.120 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.240$ We talk on this show
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9819967
- $00:18:42.240 \rightarrow 00:18:44.458$ all the time about immunotherapy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9819967
- 00:18:44.460 --> 00:18:46.335 And it sounds like particularly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9819967
- 00:18:46.335 --> 00:18:48.598 giving your an
ecdotal case with your
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9819967
- $00:18:48.598 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.536$ patient who's now nine years out,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9819967
- $00:18:50.540 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.072$ it sounds like immunotherapy

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9819967
- $00:18:52.072 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.819$ really does have a role or a

00:18:54.819 --> 00:18:56.679 potential role in lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819967

 $00:18:56.680 \rightarrow 00:18:57.751$ With your trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819967

 $00:18:57.751 \rightarrow 00:19:01.290$ is it open to non small cell lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9819967

 $00{:}19{:}01{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}04{.}354$ small cell lung cancer, or any lung cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.953572

 $00{:}19{:}05{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}08{.}737$ So that trial is open for non small cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.953572

 $00{:}19{:}08.737 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}12.643$ lung cancer and it's for patients who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.953572

 $00{:}19{:}12.643 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}16.252$ stage four disease and who have a tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.953572

 $00{:}19{:}16.252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}19.687$ that has a positive marker for PDL 1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953572

 $00:19:19.687 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.441$ which is an important molecule

NOTE Confidence: 0.953572

 $00{:}19{:}22.441 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}26.130$ in the signaling for immunotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.953572

00:19:26.130 --> 00:19:29.567 in terms of small cell lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953572

 $00:19:29.570 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.216$ we have a number of clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.953572

 $00{:}19{:}32{.}216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}34{.}970$ trials also that are available,

NOTE Confidence: 0.953572

 $00{:}19{:}34{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}39{.}425$ and I think that the story for

- $00:19:39.425 \rightarrow 00:19:43.755$ small cell is that chemo plus immunotherapy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.953572
- 00:19:43.755 --> 00:19:47.928 has been
- NOTE Confidence: 0.953572
- $00:19:47.930 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.845$ approved in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.953572
- $00:19:49.845 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.760$ the past couple of years.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.953572
- $00{:}19{:}51{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}53{.}675$ That's how the landscape
- NOTE Confidence: 0.953572
- $00{:}19{:}53.675 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}55.590$ of small cell has changed.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.953572
- $00:19:55.590 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.062$ It was just previously treated with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.953572
- $00:19:58.062 \rightarrow 00:20:00.790$ chemotherapy and just in the past couple
- NOTE Confidence: 0.953572
- $00{:}20{:}00{.}790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}03{.}630$ of years we now treat with chemo,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.953572
- 00:20:03.630 --> 00:20:04.396 plus immuno
therapy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.953572
- $00{:}20{:}04.396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}07.460$ And then the question is what happens after?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.953572
- $00:20:07.460 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.380$ If that doesn't work anymore?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.953572
- $00{:}20{:}09{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}12{.}584$ And I think we have a number of different
- NOTE Confidence: 0.953572
- $00:20:12.584 \rightarrow 00:20:15.505$ clinical trials that are available for that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.953572
- $00:20:15.510 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.845$ and we're trying to really
- NOTE Confidence: 0.953572
- 00:20:17.845 -> 00:20:19.713 understand the biology behind

 $00:20:20.486 \rightarrow 00:20:23.167$ why people respond or why they NOTE Confidence: 0.953572 $00{:}20{:}23.167 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}26.069$ don't respond and in small cell it's NOTE Confidence: 0.953572 $00:20:26.069 \rightarrow 00:20:28.247$ typically a tumor where there's NOTE Confidence: 0.953572 $00:20:28.247 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.627$ less tissue available to test, NOTE Confidence: 0.953572 $00:20:30.630 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.700$ and so we've put together NOTE Confidence: 0.953572 $00:20:32.700 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.966$ a really great team here for NOTE Confidence: 0.953572 $00:20:34.966 \rightarrow 00:20:36.996$ studying the science that includes 00:20:39.170 --> 00:20:41.095 PhD scientists working NOTE Confidence: 0.953572 $00{:}20{:}41.095 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}43.819$ on lung cancer as well as myself. NOTE Confidence: 0.953572 $00{:}20{:}43.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}45.017$ And, you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.953572 $00:20:45.017 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.054$ I think it would be too hard to go into NOTE Confidence: 0.953572 $00:20:49.054 \rightarrow 00:20:52.280$ all of the details here, NOTE Confidence: 0.953572 00:20:52.280 --> 00:20:54.772 but I think we're going to learn NOTE Confidence: 0.953572 $00:20:54.772 \longrightarrow 00:20:57.825$ a lot about how we can explore the NOTE Confidence: 0.953572 $00:20:57.825 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.274$ biology of small cell in order NOTE Confidence: 0.953572 $00:21:00.274 \rightarrow 00:21:02.579$ to find out vulnerabilities in NOTE Confidence: 0.953572 30

- $00:21:02.579 \longrightarrow 00:21:04.835$ order to target this disease.
- 00:21:05.240 --> 00:21:07.265 It sounds like you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9855222
- 00:21:07.270 --> 00:21:10.098 know, across the board in lung cancer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9855222
- $00:21:10.100 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.494$ whether you've got small cell or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9855222
- $00:21:12.494 \rightarrow 00:21:14.960$ whether you've got non small cell.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9855222
- 00:21:14.960 --> 00:21:17.564 It sounds like immunotherapy is increasingly
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9855222
- $00{:}21{:}17.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}20.496$ becoming part of the arsenal that your
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9855222
- $00:21:20.496 \rightarrow 00:21:23.114$ doctor may use to treat your disease.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9855222
- $00{:}21{:}23{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}26{.}011$ And that really has made a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9855222
- $00{:}21{:}26.011 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}28.920$ difference now, and is that the case
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9855222
- 00:21:28.920 --> 00:21:31.789 only for people who express PDL one?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9855222
- $00:21:31.790 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.006$ We've talked on this show before
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9855222
- $00:21:35.006 \rightarrow 00:21:37.577$ about checkpoint inhibitors like PDL one.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9855222
- $00:21:37.580 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.324$ So is it the case that people who
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9855222
- $00{:}21{:}40{.}324 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}42{.}429$ present with metastatic lung cancer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9855222
- $00{:}21{:}42{.}429 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}45{.}558$ stage four, that they should be having
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9855222

 $00{:}21{:}45.641 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}48.233$ their tumors checked for that marker

NOTE Confidence: 0.9855222

 $00:21:48.233 \rightarrow 00:21:50.465$ and then treated with immunotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9855222

 $00{:}21{:}50.465 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}53.435$ or is immunotherapy something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9855222

00:21:53.440 --> 00:21:56.597 your doctor may use regardless?

NOTE Confidence: 0.95391256

00:21:56.600 --> 00:22:00.569 For non small cell lung cancer you

NOTE Confidence: 0.95391256

 $00{:}22{:}00{.}569 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}03{.}808$ definitely need to have your tumor checked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95391256

 $00:22:03.810 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.967$ If you have high levels of PDL

NOTE Confidence: 0.95391256

 $00{:}22{:}06{.}967 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}09{.}990$ one so greater than 50% then you

NOTE Confidence: 0.95391256

00:22:09.990 --> 00:22:12.650 may be eligible to be treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.95391256

 $00:22:12.650 \rightarrow 00:22:15.090$ with just immunotherapy alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95391256

00:22:15.090 --> 00:22:17.880 Otherwise you really need to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.95391256

 $00{:}22{:}17.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}20.790$ treated with a combination of chemo

NOTE Confidence: 0.95391256

00:22:20.790 --> 00:22:23.195 and immunotherapy. For small cell, it is different.

 $00{:}22{:}24.595 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}26.455$ There's very little PDL one

NOTE Confidence: 0.95391256

 $00{:}22{:}26.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}29.226$ expression to start with and

NOTE Confidence: 0.95391256

 $00:22:29.226 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.500$ for the trials that have been done,

 $00:22:32.500 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.396$ they've looked at all comers

NOTE Confidence: 0.95391256

 $00{:}22{:}37{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}39{.}983$ so it doesn't matter if you have PDL one

NOTE Confidence: 0.95391256

00:22:39.983 --> 00:22:42.244 expression or not because it's so low anyway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95391256

 $00{:}22{:}42.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}44.168$ but all of the small cell patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.95391256

 $00{:}22{:}44.168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}45.634$ that are diagnosed are treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.95391256

 $00:22:45.634 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.806$ with chemo plus immuno.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92563957

 $00:22:48.470 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.302$ It is interesting how that kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.92563957

 $00:22:51.302 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.000$ of plays out between the

NOTE Confidence: 0.92563957

 $00{:}22{:}54.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}55.568$ two disease types.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92563957

 $00{:}22{:}55{.}568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}59{.}623$ So tell us a little bit more about other

NOTE Confidence: 0.92563957

 $00:22:59.623 \rightarrow 00:23:02.308$ advances that have occurred?

NOTE Confidence: 0.92563957

 $00{:}23{:}02{.}308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}05{.}651$ Before the break you were telling us

NOTE Confidence: 0.92563957

00:23:05.651 --> 00:23:08.291 about an alphabet soup of markers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.92563957

00:23:08.291 - > 00:23:11.057 things like EGFR and others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92563957

 $00{:}23{:}11.060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}12.440$ ALK, for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.92563957

 $00:23:12.440 \rightarrow 00:23:15.670$ How have these really changed the landscape?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.92563957
- 00:23:15.670 --> 00:23:17.656 Are oncologists
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92563957
- $00:23:17.656 \rightarrow 00:23:21.387$ using them to kind of target their
- NOTE Confidence: 0.92563957
- $00:23:21.387 \rightarrow 00:23:24.937$ therapies to personalize things as it were?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756
- 00:23:26.770 --> 00:23:29.110 Great question. So as I was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756
- $00:23:29.110 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.200$ talking about before the break,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756
- $00{:}23{:}31{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}33{.}573$ if you for example have an EGFR
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756
- $00{:}23{:}33{.}573$ --> $00{:}23{:}36{.}112$ mutation which EGFR stands for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756
- $00{:}23{:}36.112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}38.048$ epidermal growth factor receptor,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756
- $00:23:38.050 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.826$ I think that the key is that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756
- $00:23:40.826 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.441$ what we found over the years is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756
- $00:23:43.441 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.312$ that if you have a mutation in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756
- $00{:}23{:}46{.}312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}49{.}180$ that you really respond to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756
- 00:23:49.180 --> 00:23:53.008 taking that EGFR directed therapy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756
- 00:23:53.010 --> 00:23:54.183 In this case,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756
- $00{:}23{:}54{.}183 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}56{.}529$ it's a drug called osimertinib
- $00:23:58.875 \rightarrow 00:24:01.550$ and you should do that off the bat

- NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756
- $00:24:01.550 \longrightarrow 00:24:03.704$ if you have stage four disease.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756
- 00:24:03.710 --> 00:24:06.279 If you have stage one disease or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756
- 00:24:06.279 --> 00:24:09.013 stage two disease or you've had or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756
- $00:24:09.013 \rightarrow 00:24:11.347$ stage three that you've had surgery,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756
- 00:24:11.350 00:24:14.254 there has been a very new advance in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756
- $00{:}24{:}14{.}254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}17{.}040$ the past year and it was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756
- 00:24:17.040 --> 00:24:19.750 led by Doctor Roy Herbst of Yale,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756
- $00{:}24{:}19.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}21.695$ our team that basically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756
- $00:24:21.695 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.640$ says that after you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756
- $00:24:23.640 \rightarrow 00:24:25.460$ have that surgery,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756
- $00{:}24{:}25{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}28{.}008$ you benefit from taking that oral the rapy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756
- $00{:}24{:}32{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}34{.}462$ And I think it's important also
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756
- $00:24:34.462 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.380$ to mention that these trials,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756
- $00{:}24{:}36{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}38{.}200$ such as the ADURO trial,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756
- $00{:}24{:}38{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}40{.}370$ were offered not only in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756

00:24:40.370 --> 00:24:42.929 our main academic campus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756

00:24:42.930 --> 00:24:43.881 in New Haven,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756

00:24:43.881 --> 00:24:46.100 but also in all of our Smilow

NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756

 $00:24:46.176 \longrightarrow 00:24:48.386$ care centers across the state.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756

 $00{:}24{:}48{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}51{.}294$ And we have 15 of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756

 $00{:}24{:}51{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}53{.}826$ so we've been able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756

 $00:24:53.830 \longrightarrow 00:24:57.365$ allow patients who are in

NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756

 $00{:}24{:}57{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}59{.}800$ all parts of the state participate

NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756

 $00:24:59.800 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.996$ in these types of clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756

 $00:25:01.996 \rightarrow 00:25:03.988$ trials that can really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756

 $00{:}25{:}03{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}06{.}030$ really give access to cutting

NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756

 $00:25:06.030 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.116$ edge drugs or to help to advance

NOTE Confidence: 0.87697756

 $00:25:09.116 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.036$ science for all patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9865072

 $00:25:11.040 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.127$ And that's the case across the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9865072

 $00:25:14.127 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.605$ country, that many of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9865072

00:25:16.605 - 00:25:19.184 large trials are offered at

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9865072
- $00{:}25{:}19{.}184 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}21{.}992$ academic centers that are offered at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9865072
- $00{:}25{:}21{.}992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}24{.}884$ community centers and that really people
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9865072
- $00{:}25{:}24.884 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}27.698$ should talk to their doctor because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9865072
- $00:25:27.700 \longrightarrow 00:25:29.302$ trials, whether they were led by
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9865072
- $00{:}25{:}29{.}302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}31{.}587$ Yale or led by investigators at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9865072
- $00{:}25{:}31.587 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}33.507$ other centers are often available
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9865072
- $00:25:33.507 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.380$ for patients across the nation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9865072
- $00:25:35.380 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.382$ Isn't that right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9865072
- $00:25:36.382 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.718$ Absolutely, and I think
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95784825
- $00:25:37.720 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.953$ that you know, in the past clinical
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95784825
- 00:25:39.953 --> 00:25:42.066 trials you though, Gee,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95784825
- $00{:}25{:}42.066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}44.754$ I will try a clinical trial if everything
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95784825
- $00{:}25{:}44.754 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}47.740$ else has failed and it's not working for me,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95784825
- $00:25:47.740 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.078$ so I'm going to try something experimental.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95784825
- $00:25:50.080 \rightarrow 00:25:52.078$ Now that paradigm is completely shifted,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.95784825

 $00:25:52.080 \rightarrow 00:25:54.760$ so it may be that you have your

NOTE Confidence: 0.95784825

 $00{:}25{:}54{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}56{.}275$ first treatment that you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.95784825

 $00:25:56.275 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.210$ going on a clinical trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95784825

 $00:25:58.210 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.466$ And it really is to try and

NOTE Confidence: 0.95784825

 $00{:}26{:}00{.}466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}02{.}714$ better the outcomes for each of

NOTE Confidence: 0.95784825

 $00{:}26{:}02{.}714 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}04{.}729$ the recommended treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.95784825

 $00:26:04.729 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.019$ that are recommended approaches,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95784825

 $00:26:07.020 \rightarrow 00:26:09.318$ standard approaches so that we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.95784825

 $00{:}26{:}09{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}11{.}516$ push the envelope and

NOTE Confidence: 0.95784825

 $00:26:11.516 \rightarrow 00:26:14.300$ really do the best for our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8969215

 $00{:}26{:}15.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}18.365$ And in terms of these targeted the rapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8969215

 $00{:}26{:}18.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}20.710$ whether it's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8969215

 $00:26:20.710 \rightarrow 00:26:22.730$ drug that's targeting an EGFR,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8969215

 $00{:}26{:}22.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}25.502$ whether it's a drug targeting ALK or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8969215

 $00{:}26{:}25{.}502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}27{.}880$ whatever, this is across the board.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8969215

 $00:26:27.880 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.860$ Is that right between small

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8969215
- $00:26:29.860 \rightarrow 00:26:31.840$ cell and non small cell?

 $00{:}26{:}31.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}34.828$ And so the question that I have is if

NOTE Confidence: 0.8969215

 $00{:}26{:}34.828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}37.574$ that is the case then for every one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8969215

 $00{:}26{:}37{.}574 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}40{.}559$ who has lung cancer it sounds like

NOTE Confidence: 0.8969215

 $00:26:40.559 \rightarrow 00:26:43.265$ they should have their tumor profiled

NOTE Confidence: 0.8969215

 $00:26:43.265 \longrightarrow 00:26:45.760$ with regards to all of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8969215

 $00{:}26{:}45.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}48.178$ mutations so that their doctor can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8969215

 $00{:}26{:}48.178 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}50.562$ better inform what might be the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8969215

 $00{:}26{:}50{.}562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}52{.}650$ the rapy that works best for them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8969215

 $00:26:52.650 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.802$ Is that right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8969215

 $00:26:53.802 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.720$ So the the mutations that

NOTE Confidence: 0.9292635

 $00{:}26{:}55{.}720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}58{.}680$ I talked about EGFR and so forth are

NOTE Confidence: 0.9292635

 $00:26:58.680 \rightarrow 00:27:01.850$ really much more common in non small cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9292635

 $00{:}27{:}01.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}04.860$ So we do as a matter of fact test all

NOTE Confidence: 0.9292635

 $00:27:04.947 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.509$ of our non small cell samples

- $00{:}27{:}07{.}509 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}10{.}551$ and look for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9292635
- $00{:}27{:}10.551 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}13.335$ these mutations. For small
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9292635
- $00{:}27{:}13.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}15.626$ cell it's a little bit different.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9292635
- $00:27:15.630 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.760$ We don't have typically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9292635
- 00:27:19.760 --> 00:27:22.090 mutations in EGFR or ALK,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9292635
- 00:27:22.090 --> 00:27:23.954 specifically for small cell.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9292635
- 00:27:23.954 --> 00:27:26.248 However, because we still think
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9292635
- $00:27:26.248 \longrightarrow 00:27:28.990$ that it's important to test for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9292635
- $00{:}27{:}29{.}083 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}31{.}879$ those and typically not up front,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9292635
- $00{:}27{:}31.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}35.135$ in other words, when you're first diagnosed,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9292635
- $00:27:35.140 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.930$ but if you are treated with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9292635
- 00:27:37.930 --> 00:27:39.325 chemo and immunotherapy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9292635
- $00:27:39.330 \rightarrow 00:27:42.264$ and perhaps it typically works very
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9292635
- $00:27:42.264 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.492$ well in 80 to 90% of the cases
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9292635
- $00{:}27{:}46.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}50.160$ you have a very good response
- NOTE Confidence: 0.9292635
- $00:27:50.160 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.864$ but that disease may come back when

- NOTE Confidence: 0.9292635
- $00:27:52.864 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.467$ you have stage four disease,

 $00:27:55.470 \rightarrow 00:27:57.678$ it's typically not something that you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9292635

 $00:27:57.678 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.085$ going to cure because you

NOTE Confidence: 0.9292635

 $00:28:00.085 \rightarrow 00:28:02.122$ don't have the option of cutting out

NOTE Confidence: 0.9292635

 $00:28:02.182 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.317$ or radiating every microscopic cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9292635

00:28:04.320 --> 00:28:06.090 So if the disease regrows,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9292635

 $00:28:06.090 \rightarrow 00:28:07.506$ if and when,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9292635

 $00:28:07.506 \longrightarrow 00:28:07.860$ unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9292635

 $00:28:07.860 \longrightarrow 00:28:09.248$ the disease regrows,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9292635

 $00:28:09.248 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.733$ we want to have options and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9292635

 $00:28:11.733 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.393$ really develop more tools is

NOTE Confidence: 0.9292635

00:28:13.393 --> 00:28:16.277 what I tell my patients to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.9292635

 $00:28:16.277 \longrightarrow 00:28:18.122$ able to manage their disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9292635

 $00{:}28{:}18{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}20{.}320$ and that's why we

NOTE Confidence: 0.9292635

 $00:28:20.320 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.872$ do work so much with clinical

 $00:28:22.872 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.442$ trials and feel that that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9292635

 $00{:}28{:}25{.}442 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}27{.}787$ incredibly important to be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9292635

 $00{:}28{:}27.787 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}29.837$ advance outcomes for our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9292635

00:28:29.840 --> 00:28:30.570 Doctor Ann Chiang

NOTE Confidence: 0.93609256

 $00{:}28{:}30{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}33{.}083$ is an associate professor and medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.93609256

 $00{:}28{:}33{.}083 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}35{.}687$ on cologist at the Yale School of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93609256

00:28:35.690 --> 00:28:37.274 If you have questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.93609256

 $00{:}28{:}37{.}274 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}39{.}254$ the address is cancer answers at

NOTE Confidence: 0.93609256

 $00{:}28{:}39{.}254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}41{.}440$ yale.edu and past editions of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.93609256

 $00{:}28{:}41{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}43{.}574$ program are available in audio and

NOTE Confidence: 0.93609256

 $00{:}28{:}43.574 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}45.940$ written form at yale cancercenter.org.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93609256

00:28:45.940 --> 00:28:48.883 We hope you'll join us next week to learn

NOTE Confidence: 0.93609256

 $00{:}28{:}48{.}883 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}51{.}500$ more about the fight against cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93609256

 $00{:}28{:}51{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}53{.}480$ Here on Connecticut public radio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.93609256

00:28:53.480 --> 00:28:55.750 Funding for Yale Cancer Answers

NOTE Confidence: 0.93609256

00:28:55.750 --> 00:28:58.020 is provided by Smilow Cancer

00:28:58.102 --> 00:29:00.070 Hospital and AstraZeneca.