WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "00:58:26"

NOTE recognizability:0.770

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.634726308333333

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:02.400 New Cancer Center grand rounds and

NOTE Confidence: 0.634726308333333

 $00:00:02.400 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.424$ actually we have a really interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.634726308333333

00:00:05.424 --> 00:00:06.944 thematic presentations today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.634726308333333

 $00:00:06.944 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.493$ which is two of our faculty who

NOTE Confidence: 0.634726308333333

 $00:00:10.493 \longrightarrow 00:00:13.007$ are focused on imaging technologies

NOTE Confidence: 0.634726308333333

 $00{:}00{:}13.007 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}17.324$ in a way that I think is going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.634726308333333

 $00{:}00{:}17.324 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}19.140$ provide important insights into.

NOTE Confidence: 0.634726308333333

 $00{:}00{:}19.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}21.040$ Not only neuroscience but most

NOTE Confidence: 0.634726308333333

 $00:00:21.040 \longrightarrow 00:00:22.560$ specifically in brain tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.634726308333333

00:00:22.560 --> 00:00:25.008 and obviously for a disease like

NOTE Confidence: 0.634726308333333

 $00{:}00{:}25.008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}26.640$ that novel imaging studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.634726308333333

 $00:00:26.640 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.448$ I think are critical for true

NOTE Confidence: 0.634726308333333

 $00:00:29.448 \longrightarrow 00:00:31.320$ human in vivo research.

 $00:00:31.320 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.588$ Soum without further ado,

NOTE Confidence: 0.634726308333333

 $00{:}00{:}33.588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}36.990$ let me introduce our first speaker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.634726308333333

 $00:00:36.990 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.189$ Doctor Jason Kai is an assistant professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.634726308333333

 $00{:}00{:}40.189 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}43.110$ of radiology and biomedical imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.634726308333333

 $00:00:43.110 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.195$ Jason did his postdoctoral work

NOTE Confidence: 0.634726308333333

00:00:45.195 --> 00:00:47.280 at University of Pittsburgh and

NOTE Confidence: 0.634726308333333

 $00{:}00{:}47.348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}49.070$ then ultimately recruited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.634726308333333

 $00{:}00{:}49.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}51.716$ TL to be an assistant professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.634726308333333

 $00{:}00{:}51.716 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}54.587$ and his research group is focused

NOTE Confidence: 0.634726308333333

 $00:00:54.587 \longrightarrow 00:00:57.132$ on developing novel approaches of

NOTE Confidence: 0.634726308333333

 $00:00:57.132 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.578$ PET imaging for drug development,

NOTE Confidence: 0.634726308333333

 $00:00:59.578 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.434$ as well as the investigation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.634726308333333

 $00:01:02.440 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.330$ neurologic disorders and brain tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.634726308333333

 $00:01:05.330 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.280$ Jason received the bursts in

NOTE Confidence: 0.634726308333333

00:01:07.280 --> 00:01:09.726 Yellow award for his original work

NOTE Confidence: 0.634726308333333

 $00:01:09.726 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.989$ in nuclear medicine,

 $00{:}01{:}10.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}12.730$ and also the Arch of Foundation

NOTE Confidence: 0.634726308333333

00:01:12.730 --> 00:01:13.310 Research Award,

NOTE Confidence: 0.634726308333333

 $00:01:13.310 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.755$ which force which advances his

NOTE Confidence: 0.634726308333333

 $00{:}01{:}15.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}17.711$ novel research in neuroscience

NOTE Confidence: 0.634726308333333

 $00:01:17.711 \longrightarrow 00:01:19.640$ and Jason welcome and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.634726308333333

 $00{:}01{:}19.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}22.118$ Looking forward to your hearing about

NOTE Confidence: 0.634726308333333

00:01:22.118 --> 00:01:24.879 your work in brain tumor imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.634726308333333 00:01:24.880 --> 00:01:25.170 Thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.892233856666667

 $00{:}01{:}25.180 --> 00{:}01{:}29.014$ you, thank you. Action so I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.892233856666667

00:01:29.014 --> 00:01:32.270 gonna share my screen. OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.48251876233333300:01:37.330 --> 00:01:38.128 Here we go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.672631086

 $00:01:40.950 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.300$ Alright, are you looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.631243223333333

 $00:01:42.310 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.000$ the right screen? Yes. OK, great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770314362

 $00:01:47.380 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.372$ I'm very excited to be here

NOTE Confidence: 0.770314362

 $00:01:49.372 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.547$ to talk about our research in

00:01:51.547 --> 00:01:53.700 the context of cancer imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770314362

00:01:53.700 --> 00:01:55.825 So our life, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770314362

 $00{:}01{:}55.825 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}58.252$ spend a lot of time working on

NOTE Confidence: 0.770314362

 $00:01:58.252 \longrightarrow 00:01:59.620$ neuroimaging and tensor imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770314362

 $00:01:59.620 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.576$ So neurology is a virtually

NOTE Confidence: 0.770314362

 $00:02:03.576 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.590$ crosstalk between these two fields.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770314362

 $00:02:06.590 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.945$ So I'll be introduce introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.770314362

 $00:02:08.945 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.300$ in pet imaging very quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770314362

 $00:02:11.300 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.184$ A little bit of a brain tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770314362

00:02:14.190 --> 00:02:17.592 I believe Rene is going to talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.770314362

 $00:02:17.592 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.750$ that like in more details in the next talk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770314362

 $00:02:20.750 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.220$ And next I will talk about some

NOTE Confidence: 0.770314362

 $00:02:23.220 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.990$ some of the radio pharmaceuticals

NOTE Confidence: 0.770314362

 $00:02:24.990 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.706$ or pet users that are commonly used

NOTE Confidence: 0.770314362

 $00{:}02{:}27.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}30.830$ in clinical research or clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.770314362

 $00:02:30.830 \longrightarrow 00:02:34.410$ management of brain tumors using pads.

 $00:02:34.410 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.112$ And lastly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770314362

00:02:35.112 --> 00:02:37.569 talk about some of the new targets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770314362

00:02:37.570 --> 00:02:39.720 For Brent tumor imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770314362

 $00:02:39.720 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.748$ which are not specifically

NOTE Confidence: 0.770314362

 $00:02:41.748 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.283$ interested in us for us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770314362

 $00:02:44.290 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.530$ you know as research lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770314362

 $00:02:46.530 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.546$ So first blue bus stoma is fatal

NOTE Confidence: 0.770314362

 $00:02:48.546 \longrightarrow 00:02:50.424$ disease with less than 10% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.770314362

 $00:02:50.424 \longrightarrow 00:02:52.894$ patients surviving five years after

NOTE Confidence: 0.770314362

 $00{:}02{:}52.894 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}54.870$ initial diagnosis and treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770314362

 $00:02:54.870 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.314$ and 15% of all parental merge and

NOTE Confidence: 0.770314362

 $00:02:58.314 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.200$ half of the ugly omas is glioblastoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770314362

 $00{:}03{:}02.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}05.475$ there's still no early detection

NOTE Confidence: 0.770314362

 $00:03:05.475 \longrightarrow 00:03:07.575$ method available, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770314362

 $00:03:07.575 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.780$ No people in this world you are

 $00:03:10.780 \longrightarrow 00:03:13.744$ calling for new and better imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.770314362

 $00{:}03{:}13.744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}16.080$ measures manage this disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.582865496666667

 $00{:}03{:}18.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}22.058$ So pat imaging. In a shell composed

NOTE Confidence: 0.6369722075

 $00:03:22.100 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.264$ US 4 components.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6369722075

 $00:03:23.264 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.573$ So first we need to have a pet

NOTE Confidence: 0.6369722075

 $00:03:26.573 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.435$ scanner to detect all the packs

NOTE Confidence: 0.6369722075

 $00{:}03{:}29.435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}31.933$ signals and 2nd we need to have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.6369722075

 $00:03:31.933 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.689$ patch razor or pet radiopharmaceuticals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6369722075

 $00{:}03{:}34.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}36.955$ We call it patch razor because we

NOTE Confidence: 0.6369722075

 $00:03:36.955 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.090$ read missed the turn of very small

NOTE Confidence: 0.6369722075

 $00:03:39.090 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.130$ amount of radiopharmaceuticals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6369722075

 $00:03:41.130 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.450$ The trace amounts and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.6369722075

 $00{:}03{:}43.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}45.770$ because those molecules tend to

NOTE Confidence: 0.6369722075

 $00{:}03{:}45.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}48.370$ be tracing the biological process

NOTE Confidence: 0.6369722075

 $00:03:48.370 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.890$ or receptor protein and then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6369722075

 $00:03:50.890 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.446$ So it's patches are for for each.

 $00:03:54.450 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.124$ And next we need to have a quantification

NOTE Confidence: 0.6369722075

 $00{:}03{:}57.124 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}59.126$ managers mathematical models to generate

NOTE Confidence: 0.6369722075

 $00:03:59.126 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.796$ physiological parameters on this path.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6369722075

00:04:01.796 --> 00:04:04.687 Imaging studies and the last and most

NOTE Confidence: 0.6369722075

 $00:04:04.687 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.458$ important component is in clinical impact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6369722075

 $00:04:07.460 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.512$ So this is up to nuclear physicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.6369722075

 $00:04:10.512 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.480$ to how to use these tools.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6369722075

 $00:04:13.480 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.160$ The combination of the scanner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6369722075

00:04:15.160 --> 00:04:16.928 patch tracer and quantification

NOTE Confidence: 0.6369722075

 $00{:}04{:}16.928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}19.580$ measures to make an impact in

NOTE Confidence: 0.6369722075

00:04:19.660 --> 00:04:21.908 patient and disease management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.639889024

 $00{:}04{:}25.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}28.252$ So we just published a mini review on

NOTE Confidence: 0.639889024

 $00{:}04{:}28.252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}30.712$ the current video pharmaceuticals or

NOTE Confidence: 0.639889024

 $00:04:30.712 \longrightarrow 00:04:33.752$ patterns in brain tumor. This year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.639889024

 $00:04:33.752 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.804$ so this talk is mainly around this.

 $00:04:36.810 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.830$ Same from this review.

NOTE Confidence: 0.639889024

 $00:04:40.830 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.665$ So first the most classic

NOTE Confidence: 0.639889024

00:04:42.665 --> 00:04:44.500 patches are used for brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.639889024

 $00:04:44.500 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.850$ Tumor is obviously a glucose

NOTE Confidence: 0.639889024

 $00:04:47.850 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.216$ and called effed floral deoxy

NOTE Confidence: 0.639889024

00:04:51.216 --> 00:04:54.618 glucose and 1st application of

NOTE Confidence: 0.639889024

 $00:04:54.618 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.102$ EFG happen to be in brain tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.639889024

 $00:04:59.102 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.300$ That's back in 1982.

NOTE Confidence: 0.639889024

 $00:05:02.300 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.430$ Parties several case reports actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.639889024

 $00:05:06.430 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.406$ As you can see from the image here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.639889024

 $00{:}05{:}08.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}11.362$ the 1st and 2nd are contrasting

NOTE Confidence: 0.639889024

 $00{:}05{:}11.362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}14.139$ Hung City images and you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.639889024

00:05:14.139 --> 00:05:17.238 see the the brain tumor mass.

NOTE Confidence: 0.639889024

 $00:05:17.240 \longrightarrow 00:05:18.904$ Indicated by enhanced mass.

NOTE Confidence: 0.639889024

 $00:05:18.904 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.568$ By this contrast city.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47784661246

 $00:05:23.380 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.060$ And also from the patch

00:05:25.060 --> 00:05:26.740 you actually see a hypo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855454168

 $00:05:30.300 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.030$ Because this happened to be a low

NOTE Confidence: 0.855454168

 $00:05:33.030 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.078$ grade brain tumors and later after

NOTE Confidence: 0.855454168

 $00:05:36.078 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.890$ after approved in 1997 and as

NOTE Confidence: 0.855454168

 $00:05:38.890 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.060$ you can see at the earliest time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855454168

 $00:05:41.060 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.735$ the pass scanner has very

NOTE Confidence: 0.855454168

 $00:05:42.735 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.740$ low spatial resolution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855454168

 $00:05:43.740 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.820$ is about 1.7 centimeter resolution

NOTE Confidence: 0.855454168

 $00:05:46.820 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.016$ and now we have dedicated brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.855454168

 $00{:}05{:}49.016 \to 00{:}05{:}52.239$ PET scanners up with up to one or

NOTE Confidence: 0.855454168

 $00:05:52.239 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.927$ two millimeters spatial resolution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.557064715833333

 $00:05:56.300 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.688$ So after G as you see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.557064715833333

 $00{:}05{:}58.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}02.098$ it has a high background in the brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.557064715833333

 $00{:}06{:}02.098 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}05.337$ because the brain uses sugar as it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.557064715833333

 $00:06:05.337 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.340$ a major metabolism or energy source.

 $00:06:08.340 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.686$ You can see from the green

NOTE Confidence: 0.557064715833333

00:06:10.686 --> 00:06:11.859 matter higher uptake.

NOTE Confidence: 0.557064715833333

00:06:11.860 --> 00:06:15.248 Well I lower, but after you still

NOTE Confidence: 0.557064715833333

 $00:06:15.248 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.041$ useful for grading gliomas because

NOTE Confidence: 0.557064715833333

 $00:06:18.041 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.402$ for low grade or benign gliomas usea

NOTE Confidence: 0.557064715833333

 $00:06:22.402 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.711$ hypometabolism you have lower uptake

NOTE Confidence: 0.557064715833333

 $00:06:24.711 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.959$ in the brain region in the brain tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.557064715833333

00:06:26.959 --> 00:06:28.817 region relative to the Gray matter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.557064715833333

 $00:06:28.820 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.761$ while at higher grade gliomas you have

NOTE Confidence: 0.557064715833333

 $00:06:32.761 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.008$ a higher optic for which is higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.557064715833333

 $00{:}06{:}36.008 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}39.978$ than Gray matter and white matter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.557064715833333

 $00:06:39.980 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.988$ With a global stoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.557064715833333

 $00:06:41.988 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.708$ you can have even higher and also you can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.557064715833333

00:06:45.708 --> 00:06:47.726 You can see there's microsys

NOTE Confidence: 0.557064715833333

 $00:06:47.726 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.597$ car in the center of the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.557064715833333

 $00:06:51.600 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.222$ So based on paper published in 1995,

 $00:06:54.222 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.474$ there's a cut off level for

NOTE Confidence: 0.557064715833333

 $00:06:57.480 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.640$ differentiating low grade from

NOTE Confidence: 0.557064715833333

 $00:06:59.640 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.384$ high grade glioma which is 1.5 for

NOTE Confidence: 0.557064715833333

 $00:07:03.384 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.233$ tumor to white matter and one zero

NOTE Confidence: 0.557064715833333

00:07:06.233 --> 00:07:09.450 point 6 for tumor to cortex ratio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.557064715833333

00:07:09.450 --> 00:07:11.580 Nowadays, because of the, uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.557064715833333

 $00:07:11.580 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.192$ the fusion of pet with anatomical

NOTE Confidence: 0.557064715833333

 $00{:}07{:}15.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}17.600$ radiological imaging methods such

NOTE Confidence: 0.557064715833333

 $00{:}07{:}17.692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}20.339$ as the city and actually you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.557064715833333

 $00{:}07{:}20.339 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}22.911$ use a contrast enhance and topical

NOTE Confidence: 0.557064715833333

 $00:07:22.911 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.317$ modalities to define the region of

NOTE Confidence: 0.557064715833333

 $00{:}07{:}25.317 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}27.872$ interest for the tumor to better

NOTE Confidence: 0.557064715833333

00:07:27.872 --> 00:07:29.756 quantify the FDG uptake.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703443634444444

 $00:07:32.130 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.076$ So because of the high background

NOTE Confidence: 0.703443634444444

00:07:35.076 --> 00:07:38.202 of sugar analogs, so people in this

 $00:07:38.202 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.286$ field have been calling for a pet

NOTE Confidence: 0.703443634444444

 $00:07:41.286 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.820$ imaging agents with lower burn uptake.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703443634444444

00:07:45.820 --> 00:07:48.484 So that turned out to be a amino acids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.703443634444444

00:07:48.490 --> 00:07:51.717 so amino acid analogues tend to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.703443634444444

 $00:07:51.717 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.149$ lower uptick in healthy brain tissues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.703443634444444

 $00:07:55.150 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.975$ while higher uptake in tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.703443634444444

 $00{:}07{:}57.975 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}00.235$ because tumor cells over express.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703443634444444

00:08:00.240 --> 00:08:03.628 I mean, I'll type amino acid transporters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703443634444444

 $00:08:03.630 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.460$ So the most advanced of C arguably

NOTE Confidence: 0.703443634444444

 $00:08:08.460 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.869$ is a missile in its carbon 11,

NOTE Confidence: 0.703443634444444

 $00:08:11.870 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.361$ labeled my selling,

NOTE Confidence: 0.703443634444444

 $00:08:13.361 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.312$ so this is essential amino acids that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.703443634444444

 $00:08:17.312 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.758$ taken by tumor cells while its uptake

NOTE Confidence: 0.703443634444444

 $00:08:20.758 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.991$ in healthy tissues or cells are limited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703443634444444

 $00:08:24.991 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.477$ So it's useful in the clinic

NOTE Confidence: 0.703443634444444

00:08:28.477 --> 00:08:31.546 clinic to distinguish a tumor

 $00{:}08{:}31.546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}34.198$ progression from radio necrosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703443634444444

00:08:34.200 --> 00:08:36.615 For example, in this in this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.703443634444444

 $00:08:36.620 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.428$ from the anatomical images,

NOTE Confidence: 0.703443634444444

00:08:38.428 --> 00:08:40.688 it's it's pretty hard to

NOTE Confidence: 0.703443634444444

 $00:08:40.688 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.338$ distinguish these two cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.703443634444444

 $00:08:42.340 \longrightarrow 00:08:45.352$ but from my selling is also

NOTE Confidence: 0.703443634444444

 $00:08:45.352 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.880$ called Matt from Matt Pat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.703443634444444

 $00:08:47.880 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.659$ You can easily tell the top cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.703443634444444

 $00:08:50.659 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.683$ a tumor progression while the

NOTE Confidence: 0.703443634444444

 $00{:}08{:}52.683 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}55.270$ bottom case is actually a radio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70344363444444400:08:55.270 --> 00:08:55.980 This.

NOTE Confidence: 0.716899157142857

 $00:08:59.240 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.418$ So besides, I mean the acid pat.

NOTE Confidence: 0.716899157142857

 $00:09:02.420 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.090$ There's also imaging agents derived

NOTE Confidence: 0.716899157142857

 $00:09:06.090 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.760$ from nuclear sites because nucleotides

NOTE Confidence: 0.716899157142857

 $00:09:09.861 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.536$ are used for DNA synthesis.

 $00:09:12.540 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.739$ And it's up taken into the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.716899157142857

 $00:09:15.739 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.572$ cells through, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.716899157142857

 $00:09:17.572 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.000$ this is a floral submitting I freaking

NOTE Confidence: 0.716899157142857

 $00:09:21.000 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.087$ labeled for submitting is a nuclear

NOTE Confidence: 0.716899157142857

 $00:09:24.087 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.636$ size up taken into cells by submitting

NOTE Confidence: 0.716899157142857

 $00:09:27.636 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.879$ kindness 1 and submitting kindness.

NOTE Confidence: 0.716899157142857

 $00:09:30.880 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.759$ One is over twice during the in the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.716899157142857

 $00{:}09{:}34.759 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}38.476$ cell because some of the DNA synthesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.716899157142857

 $00{:}09{:}38.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}41.190$ Sides are involved in general

NOTE Confidence: 0.716899157142857

 $00:09:41.190 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.816$ in cellular proliferation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.716899157142857

 $00{:}09{:}42.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}46.010$ and they can correlate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.716899157142857

 $00:09:46.010 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.310$ Histological grade of brain tumors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.716899157142857

 $00{:}09{:}49.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}52.673$ its accumulation also correlates with

NOTE Confidence: 0.716899157142857

 $00:09:52.673 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.979$ the activity of summoning Chinese one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.716899157142857

 $00:09:55.980 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.436$ And it's a ideal tracer for

NOTE Confidence: 0.716899157142857

00:09:59.436 --> 00:10:01.164 imaging tumor proliferation.

00:10:01.170 --> 00:10:03.698 But also, but also because I felt is

NOTE Confidence: 0.716899157142857

 $00{:}10{:}03.698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}06.009$ not actually it's not brain penetrant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.716899157142857

 $00:10:06.010 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.980$ It doesn't cross blood brain barrier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.716899157142857

 $00:10:08.980 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.788$ So in order to have any signal up take

NOTE Confidence: 0.716899157142857

 $00:10:11.788 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.830$ the tumors, BBB needs to be compromised.

NOTE Confidence: 0.716899157142857

 $00:10:14.830 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.368$ So it's not suitable for our

NOTE Confidence: 0.716899157142857

 $00:10:17.368 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.520$ lower create imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.716899157142857

00:10:20.520 --> 00:10:22.284 But nevertheless, it's it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.716899157142857

 $00:10:22.284 \dashrightarrow 00:10:26.520$ It's has its role in the tumor imaging pad.

NOTE Confidence: 0.716899157142857

 $00{:}10{:}26.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}29.535$ So from this case you can see the contrast

NOTE Confidence: 0.716899157142857

 $00{:}10{:}29.535 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}10{:}31.877$ getting contrast enhanced MRI images,

NOTE Confidence: 0.716899157142857

 $00:10:31.880 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.820$ which can clearly delineate

NOTE Confidence: 0.716899157142857

 $00:10:33.820 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.275$ the tumor regions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.716899157142857

 $00:10:35.280 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.395$ and you can see the hypermetabolism

NOTE Confidence: 0.716899157142857

00:10:37.395 --> 00:10:40.370 sugar metabolism in the center

 $00:10:40.370 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.036$ of the tumor and also my selling

NOTE Confidence: 0.716899157142857

 $00{:}10{:}44.036 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}10{:}47.457$ uptake in a larger area while found

NOTE Confidence: 0.716899157142857

00:10:47.457 --> 00:10:50.007 felt pad you can actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.716899157142857

 $00:10:50.010 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.030$ See not only the tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.716899157142857

 $00:10:52.030 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.495$ but also the infiltration of

NOTE Confidence: 0.716899157142857

 $00:10:53.495 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.420$ the tumor to the brain region.

NOTE Confidence: 0.568739178

00:10:59.100 --> 00:11:01.980 So besides my sounding match,

NOTE Confidence: 0.568739178

 $00:11:01.980 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.980$ there are other amino acid analogs

NOTE Confidence: 0.568739178

 $00{:}11{:}04.980 \longrightarrow 00{:}11{:}08.406$ being used in brain tumor pet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.568739178

 $00:11:08.410 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.868$ For example, tossing and floral floral

NOTE Confidence: 0.568739178

 $00:11:13.868 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.940$ dopa F dopa F dopa is actually approved

NOTE Confidence: 0.568739178

 $00:11:18.043 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.283$ by FDA to image Parkinsonian syndrome

NOTE Confidence: 0.568739178

00:11:21.283 --> 00:11:26.880 back in 2019 because after reflects its

NOTE Confidence: 0.568739178

 $00{:}11{:}26.880 \to 00{:}11{:}30.488$ accumulated in dopaminergic neurons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.568739178

00:11:30.490 --> 00:11:34.246 Neurons are damaged in Parkinson's disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.568739178

 $00:11:34.250 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.890$ but but there are also a lot of

00:11:36.890 --> 00:11:39.711 efforts in applying F DOPA in brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.568739178

 $00{:}11{:}39.711 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}42.860$ tumor imaging because F DOPA is also

NOTE Confidence: 0.568739178

 $00{:}11{:}42.860 \longrightarrow 00{:}11{:}45.315$ transported into brain tumor cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.568739178

00:11:45.315 --> 00:11:49.078 through all type of transporters

NOTE Confidence: 0.568739178

 $00:11:49.080 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.126$ and once it's inside the cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.568739178

 $00:11:51.130 \longrightarrow 00:11:54.180$ it's metabolize into DOPA and

NOTE Confidence: 0.568739178

 $00:11:54.180 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.230$ it's trapped in the cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.568739178

 $00{:}11{:}57.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}01.490$ A recent relative recent Patricia for

NOTE Confidence: 0.568739178

 $00{:}12{:}01.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}05.228$ amino acids imaging is a floozy chlorine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.568739178

 $00:12:05.230 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.064$ This is this treasure is approved by FDA in

NOTE Confidence: 0.568739178

00:12:09.070 --> 00:12:13.228 2016 for imaging recurrent prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.568739178

 $00:12:13.230 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.762$ but they're still great effort in

NOTE Confidence: 0.568739178

 $00{:}12{:}15.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}20.510$ applying this treasure in global imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.568739178

 $00:12:20.510 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.977$ And actually the tumor uptake of F18.

NOTE Confidence: 0.568739178

 $00:12:25.980 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.988$ In quality well with.

00:12:28.990 --> 00:12:32.714 Bring to my images through night myself.

NOTE Confidence: 0.568739178 00:12:32.720 --> 00:12:34.680 Uhm?

NOTE Confidence: 0.568739178

 $00:12:34.680 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.026$ And it's actually useful when the MRI

NOTE Confidence: 0.568739178

 $00:12:38.026 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.000$ contrast enhanced MRI is non diagnostic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.568739178

00:12:41.000 --> 00:12:41.608 But still,

NOTE Confidence: 0.568739178

00:12:41.608 --> 00:12:43.736 based on the preliminary data we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.568739178

00:12:43.736 --> 00:12:45.959 in the following clinical studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.568739178

 $00:12:45.960 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.302$ we can't tell whether the uptake

NOTE Confidence: 0.568739178

 $00{:}12{:}49.302 --> 00{:}12{:}53.250$ of flu cycle is solely due to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.568739178

 $00:12:53.250 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.520$ recurrent tumor or perhaps some

NOTE Confidence: 0.568739178

00:12:55.609 --> 00:12:58.408 of the signals contributed from

NOTE Confidence: 0.568739178

 $00:12:58.408 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.520$ inflammation and other processes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.568739178

 $00:13:00.520 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.442$ So further studies is needed to establish

NOTE Confidence: 0.568739178

 $00:13:04.442 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.584$ the role of this treasure in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.568739178

 $00:13:06.584 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.529$ management of brain tumor in the clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.597757023833333

00:13:13.180 --> 00:13:17.462 So with that, I'd like to introduce some of

 $00:13:17.462 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.060$ the emerging imaging targets for brain tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.597757023833333

 $00:13:21.060 \longrightarrow 00:13:23.664$ So my interest in bringing my image

NOTE Confidence: 0.597757023833333

 $00:13:23.664 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.604$ and actually is originated from this

NOTE Confidence: 0.597757023833333

00:13:26.604 --> 00:13:29.672 part X Sigma 1 receptor imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.597757023833333

 $00:13:29.672 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.450$ So we were initially interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.597757023833333

00:13:32.534 --> 00:13:36.142 using Sigma 1 receptor PET to study

NOTE Confidence: 0.597757023833333

00:13:36.142 --> 00:13:38.804 in your degenerative disorders and

NOTE Confidence: 0.597757023833333

 $00:13:38.804 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.779$ in one summer there was a visiting

NOTE Confidence: 0.597757023833333

00:13:41.780 --> 00:13:44.456 student from Germany and he brought

NOTE Confidence: 0.597757023833333

 $00{:}13{:}44.456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}48.150$ in a product to use Sigma 1 receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.597757023833333

 $00{:}13{:}48.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}51.929$ developed in their lab to image burn tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.597757023833333

 $00:13:51.930 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.912$ So to evaluate their imaging probe so

NOTE Confidence: 0.597757023833333

 $00{:}13{:}54.912 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}57.458$ we collaborate with John being slab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.597757023833333

 $00:13:57.460 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.988$ So this is gone down from his lab,

NOTE Confidence: 0.597757023833333

 $00:13:59.990 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.122$ generated you 87 look,

 $00:14:02.122 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.320$ which is a blue blastoma tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.597757023833333

 $00{:}14{:}05.429 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}08.449$ cell and expresses luciferase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.597757023833333

 $00:14:08.450 \longrightarrow 00:14:12.034$ So we use valid methods to monitor

NOTE Confidence: 0.597757023833333

 $00:14:12.034 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.380$ the tumor growth over three weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.597757023833333

 $00:14:15.380 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.992$ After the tumor reaches a certain size,

NOTE Confidence: 0.597757023833333

00:14:19.992 --> 00:14:26.360 we scan them by using pet small animal pet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.597757023833333

 $00:14:26.360 \longrightarrow 00:14:29.804$ Pet city and we used 2 pets

NOTE Confidence: 0.597757023833333

 $00:14:29.810 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.680$ and their natural.

NOTE Confidence: 0.64455577

 $00:14:33.500 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.544$ From the pet images, we can tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.64455577

00:14:35.544 --> 00:14:37.147 that rumor update is significantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.64455577

 $00:14:37.147 \longrightarrow 00:14:39.464$ higher than the rest of the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.64455577

00:14:39.470 --> 00:14:42.380 while the two updates decrease overtime,

NOTE Confidence: 0.64455577

 $00:14:42.380 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.880$ eventually getting lower than the

NOTE Confidence: 0.64455577

 $00:14:44.880 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.500$ healthy brain tissue. For each.

NOTE Confidence: 0.64455577

 $00:14:49.500 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.052$ Natural nurse and found the T2 MRI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.64455577

 $00:14:53.052 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.488$ We can clearly visualize the tumor

 $00:14:55.488 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.779$ so we can analyze the region of

NOTE Confidence: 0.64455577

00:14:58.779 --> 00:15:00.708 interest for the tumor uptake.

NOTE Confidence: 0.651361646266667

 $00:15:04.140 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.450$ So this tells us the Sigma 1

NOTE Confidence: 0.651361646266667

 $00:15:06.450 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.955$ receptor expression in healthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.651361646266667

00:15:07.955 --> 00:15:09.719 brain is also significant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.651361646266667

00:15:09.720 --> 00:15:12.276 which may similarly to FG pad,

NOTE Confidence: 0.651361646266667

00:15:12.280 --> 00:15:14.998 complicates the PATH imaging data analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.651361646266667

 $00:15:15.000 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.387$ So this is also confirmed by doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.651361646266667

 $00{:}15{:}17.387 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}18.930$ nonhuman primate patting imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.651361646266667

 $00:15:18.930 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.120$ So Sigma 1 receptor uptake in healthy

NOTE Confidence: 0.651361646266667

 $00:15:25.120 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.920$ brain regions significantly overtime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.651361646266667

 $00:15:28.920 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.860$ So the question now is to identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.651361646266667

 $00{:}15{:}31.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}35.467$ by marker for global stoma with low

NOTE Confidence: 0.651361646266667

 $00:15:35.467 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.287$ expression in healthy brain tissues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.651361646266667

 $00:15:38.290 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.826$ So that turned out to Park Park is

 $00:15:42.826 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.190$ the Poly ADP Ribosyl polymerase pop.

NOTE Confidence: 0.651361646266667

 $00{:}15{:}46.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}49.346$ One is the DNA repair enzyme.

NOTE Confidence: 0.651361646266667

00:15:49.350 --> 00:15:51.975 It's always provides in blastoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.651361646266667

 $00:15:51.975 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.075$ with overall lower expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.651361646266667

00:15:54.075 --> 00:15:56.378 in healthy brain tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.651361646266667

 $00:15:56.380 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.468$ So in that sense,

NOTE Confidence: 0.651361646266667

00:15:57.468 --> 00:15:59.100 it might be an ideal image

NOTE Confidence: 0.651361646266667

00:15:59.166 --> 00:16:00.898 engines for globalist tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.651361646266667

 $00:16:00.900 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.950$ imaging and parks functions to

NOTE Confidence: 0.651361646266667

 $00:16:03.950 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.000$ recognize DNA damage and recruit

NOTE Confidence: 0.651361646266667

 $00{:}16{:}07.097 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}10.454$ proteins to repair single strand or

NOTE Confidence: 0.651361646266667

 $00{:}16{:}10.454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}13.144$ even double strength daily damage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.651361646266667

 $00:16:13.150 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.772$ There are multiple active clinical trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.651361646266667

00:16:15.772 --> 00:16:19.220 going on actually targeting part as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.651361646266667

00:16:19.220 --> 00:16:21.790 therapeutic target in global storm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.651361646266667

00:16:21.790 --> 00:16:24.478 so up at imaging agent targeting

 $00:16:24.478 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.576$ Park could be also helpful in

NOTE Confidence: 0.651361646266667

 $00:16:27.576 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.000$ facilitating the drug development

NOTE Confidence: 0.651361646266667

00:16:30.000 --> 00:16:33.107 or stratify patients for park

NOTE Confidence: 0.651361646266667

 $00:16:33.107 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.080$ targeted images therapeutics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.673882655454545

 $00:16:37.440 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.920$ To evaluate any imaging agents

NOTE Confidence: 0.673882655454545

 $00:16:39.920 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.980$ before we do clinical imaging study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.673882655454545

 $00:16:42.980 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.782$ we need to evaluate those imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.673882655454545

00:16:45.782 --> 00:16:47.650 probes using animal models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.673882655454545

 $00:16:47.650 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.358$ So this is work done by Carney

NOTE Confidence: 0.673882655454545

00:16:50.358 --> 00:16:53.640 and colleagues published in 2018.

NOTE Confidence: 0.673882655454545

 $00:16:53.640 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.890$ They actually surveyed part one

NOTE Confidence: 0.673882655454545

 $00:16:56.890 \longrightarrow 00:16:59.920$ expression over a panel of human

NOTE Confidence: 0.673882655454545

 $00{:}16{:}59.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}02.800$ PDX small cell lung cancer PDX,

NOTE Confidence: 0.673882655454545

00:17:02.800 --> 00:17:05.894 and together with healthy tissues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.673882655454545

 $00:17:05.894 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.642$ found rodents.

 $00:17:06.642 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.138$ As you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.673882655454545

 $00:17:08.140 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.392$ the park is generally positive and

NOTE Confidence: 0.673882655454545

 $00{:}17{:}11.392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}14.508$ highly expressed in these PDX tissues

NOTE Confidence: 0.673882655454545

 $00:17:14.508 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.908$ as well as in spleen of the animal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.673882655454545

 $00:17:17.910 \longrightarrow 00:17:19.760$ while its expression in brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.673882655454545

 $00:17:19.760 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.240$ tissue is relatively low.

NOTE Confidence: 0.483214868333333

 $00:17:24.120 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.882$ So further, they injected a like

NOTE Confidence: 0.483214868333333

 $00:17:27.882 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.050$ rip derived TARP imaging pad

NOTE Confidence: 0.483214868333333

00:17:31.050 --> 00:17:33.940 agents into this PDX models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.483214868333333

 $00:17:33.940 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.280$ They were able to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.483214868333333

 $00:17:36.280 \longrightarrow 00:17:37.896$ Identify the tumor uptake

NOTE Confidence: 0.483214868333333

 $00:17:37.896 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.320$ overtime and compare it with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.483214868333333

00:17:40.397 --> 00:17:42.417 muscle as a reference region.

NOTE Confidence: 0.483214868333333

00:17:42.420 --> 00:17:44.390 Normally muscle has because muscle

NOTE Confidence: 0.483214868333333

 $00:17:44.390 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.200$ has very low uptake of the tracer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.483214868333333

 $00:17:47.200 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.750$ indicating slow part expression in muscle.

00:17:52.170 --> 00:17:54.846 And the park image agents showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.505861753333333

 $00:17:54.850 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.890$ quick uptake into the tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.505861753333333

 $00:17:56.890 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.510$ which is slowly decrease overtime

NOTE Confidence: 0.505861753333333

 $00:18:00.510 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.150$ and mass tumor to muscle region

NOTE Confidence: 0.505861753333333

 $00{:}18{:}03.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}05.725$ reaches the highest level at 2

NOTE Confidence: 0.505861753333333

 $00:18:05.725 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.433$ hours post Twitter injection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717613647777778

 $00:18:09.770 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.060$ So by using pad imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.717613647777778

 $00:18:12.060 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.610$ they were able to study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717613647777778

00:18:14.610 --> 00:18:17.450 They found kinetics of

NOTE Confidence: 0.71761364777778

 $00:18:17.450 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.580$ the library derivatives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717613647777778

 $00:18:19.580 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.548$ I did about the same time back in 2018.

NOTE Confidence: 0.717613647777778

00:18:23.548 --> 00:18:26.536 Another group at Upenn and

NOTE Confidence: 0.717613647777778

00:18:26.536 --> 00:18:28.444 Studies another park,

NOTE Confidence: 0.717613647777778

00:18:28.444 --> 00:18:29.716 Paddington agents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.717613647777778

 $00:18:29.720 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.809$ which is derived.

 $00:18:34.230 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.014$ From a different scaffold,

NOTE Confidence: 0.544967931111111

 $00{:}18{:}36.014 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}38.244$ they name it F18 FT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.544967931111111

 $00:18:38.250 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.426$ So they did first in human study in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.544967931111111

 $00:18:42.430 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.134$ They recruited 20 patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.544967931111111

 $00:18:44.134 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.215$ And scan them at baseline and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.544967931111111

00:18:47.215 --> 00:18:49.420 patients underwent surgery so they

NOTE Confidence: 0.544967931111111

 $00:18:49.420 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.659$ were able to collect the tissues to

NOTE Confidence: 0.544967931111111

 $00:18:52.659 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.979$ correlate the packaging results with

NOTE Confidence: 0.544967931111111

 $00{:}18{:}54.979 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}58.253$ the immuno histo fluorescence results

NOTE Confidence: 0.544967931111111

 $00:18:58.253 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.158$ as well as autoradiography study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.544967931111111

 $00{:}19{:}02.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}06.024$ So in this study they actually showed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.544967931111111

 $00:19:06.030 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.254$ A panel of parks specific uptick in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.544967931111111

 $00:19:09.254 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.170$ tumor by PAT as well as a immunofluorescence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.5449679311111111

 $00:19:13.170 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.240$ And there's strong correlation between

NOTE Confidence: 0.544967931111111

 $00:19:16.240 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.270$ values and the fluorescence results,

NOTE Confidence: 0.544967931111111

 $00:19:19.270 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.810$ as well as between out radiography

 $00{:}19{:}22.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}25.225$ signal and fluorescence signal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.544967931111111

 $00{:}19{:}25.225 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}27.160$ but the part?

NOTE Confidence: 0.544967931111111

00:19:27.160 --> 00:19:30.738 Expression level doesn't correlate with PAT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.544967931111111

 $00:19:30.738 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.174$ so FG cannot be used in place

NOTE Confidence: 0.544967931111111

 $00:19:33.174 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.620$ of park imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.755895912

 $00:19:37.070 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.430$ So about earlier this year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.755895912

 $00:19:39.430 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.894$ there's they expanded their

NOTE Confidence: 0.755895912

00:19:41.894 --> 00:19:44.974 clinical trials of power pat

NOTE Confidence: 0.755895912

 $00:19:44.974 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.820$ into a breast cancer patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.678939088

 $00:19:50.650 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.500$ However, all of the park imaging agents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.678939088

 $00:19:54.500 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.338$ We have currently do not penetrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.678939088

 $00{:}19{:}57.338 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}00.203$ intact blood brain barrier so that

NOTE Confidence: 0.678939088

 $00{:}20{:}00.203 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}02.879$ limits its application in brain tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70875592625

 $00{:}20{:}05.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}08.100$ And this is confirmed by their nonhuman

NOTE Confidence: 0.70875592625

00:20:08.100 --> 00:20:11.858 primate, pet brain imaging study.

 $00:20:11.860 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.444$ So we took a look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.70875592625

00:20:15.444 --> 00:20:16.980 pharmacokinetic information of

NOTE Confidence: 0.70875592625

 $00{:}20{:}17.076 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}21.529$ the current park inhibitors and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70875592625

 $00:20:21.530 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.790$ Decided to pursue base

NOTE Confidence: 0.70875592625

 $00:20:24.790 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.050$ scaffold for Patty medium,

NOTE Confidence: 0.70875592625

00:20:28.050 --> 00:20:30.900 hopefully to identify a brain penetrant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70875592625

 $00:20:30.900 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.420$ Potting medium agents for park.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70875592625

 $00:20:34.420 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.598$ So in that direction, so we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70875592625

 $00{:}20{:}37.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}40.638$ I don't know if I'd and synthesized

NOTE Confidence: 0.70875592625

 $00:20:40.640 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.236$ lead park imaging agents derived from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70875592625

 $00:20:45.240 \longrightarrow 00:20:47.982$ And did a pilot study in

NOTE Confidence: 0.70875592625

 $00:20:47.982 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.520$ collaboration with Hank for memory.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70875592625

00:20:50.520 --> 00:20:53.895 Using their RG2 rank mode burn to more model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.70875592625

 $00:20:53.900 \longrightarrow 00:20:56.208$ we were able to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70875592625

 $00{:}20{:}56.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}00.004$ Image CRD 2 tumor here the baseline

NOTE Confidence: 0.70875592625

 $00:21:00.004 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.652$ scans using the power pad imaging

 $00:21:03.652 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.876$ agents and for this one we pre

NOTE Confidence: 0.70875592625

 $00{:}21{:}06.876 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}10.500$ injected the animal with a code.

NOTE Confidence: 0.70875592625

 $00:21:10.500 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.491$ Well, if a rate which is also

NOTE Confidence: 0.70875592625

00:21:13.491 --> 00:21:16.246 part specific molecule that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.70875592625

 $00{:}21{:}16.246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}18.616$ compete with Patrick to displace

NOTE Confidence: 0.70875592625

 $00:21:18.616 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.764$ a tutor uptick in the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.631713194315789

 $00:21:22.940 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.448$ So after semiquantitative analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.631713194315789

 $00:21:25.448 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.146$ We can tell from the average values from 30

NOTE Confidence: 0.631713194315789

 $00{:}21{:}31.146 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}34.806$ to 60 minutes post tracer administration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.631713194315789

 $00:21:34.810 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.666$ The tumor optic is about one

NOTE Confidence: 0.631713194315789

 $00:21:37.670 \longrightarrow 00:21:40.145$ after the blocking drug update

NOTE Confidence: 0.631713194315789

 $00:21:40.145 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.579$ was decreased to about 0.5,

NOTE Confidence: 0.631713194315789

 $00{:}21{:}42.579 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}44.824$ indicating the new park padding

NOTE Confidence: 0.631713194315789

 $00:21:44.824 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.620$ medium tracer actually really

NOTE Confidence: 0.631713194315789

 $00:21:46.689 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.025$ target Park in vivo as they ban to

 $00:21:49.025 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.179$ the same target as a Liberator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.631713194315789

 $00{:}21{:}51.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}54.060$ blocking drug at the same time we

NOTE Confidence: 0.631713194315789

 $00:21:54.060 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.410$ look at the control later role,

NOTE Confidence: 0.631713194315789

 $00:21:55.410 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.195$ which is presumably to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.631713194315789

 $00:21:57.195 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.623$ the healthy brain tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.631713194315789

00:21:58.630 --> 00:22:02.098 And it showed relatively lower uptake.

NOTE Confidence: 0.631713194315789

 $00:22:02.100 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.656$ Send a tumor and the blocking doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.631713194315789

 $00:22:05.656 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.190$ have significant effect over there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.631713194315789

 $00:22:08.190 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.638$ So here's the tumor to contralateral

NOTE Confidence: 0.631713194315789

 $00{:}22{:}10.638 \to 00{:}22{:}13.510$ ratio and at baseline it's about 2.5

NOTE Confidence: 0.631713194315789

 $00:22:13.510 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.274$ after blocking drops to about 1.5,

NOTE Confidence: 0.631713194315789

 $00:22:16.274 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.380$ indicating about 46% blockade from the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.522463101666667

00:22:22.650 --> 00:22:25.266 To validate the path image data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.522463101666667

 $00{:}22{:}25.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}28.550$ we perform pilot biodistribution study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.522463101666667

 $00:22:28.550 \longrightarrow 00:22:32.155$ We look at the tracer distribution among

NOTE Confidence: 0.522463101666667

 $00:22:32.155 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.590$ the different different tissues of animal.

 $00:22:37.590 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.650$ Not surprising me that Rooster has

NOTE Confidence: 0.522463101666667

 $00:22:40.650 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.829$ high spleen uptake because spleen is

NOTE Confidence: 0.522463101666667

00:22:43.829 --> 00:22:46.967 another large organ and that's positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.522463101666667

00:22:46.970 --> 00:22:48.920 Also, it's a blocked by the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.563172714285714

00:22:51.230 --> 00:22:53.505 And consistent with the pattern medium data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.563172714285714

 $00:22:53.510 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.807$ we see high uptick in the tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.563172714285714

 $00:22:56.810 \longrightarrow 00:23:00.098$ and it's blocked by the brick as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.650973323666667

 $00{:}23{:}03.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}06.056$ Further analysis of this pilot data

NOTE Confidence: 0.650973323666667

00:23:06.060 --> 00:23:11.060 indicates very high spleen to blood ratio

NOTE Confidence: 0.650973323666667

 $00{:}23{:}11.060 \rightarrow 00{:}23{:}14.657$ and also very high tumor to blood ratio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.650973323666667

00:23:14.660 --> 00:23:17.636 For the power quality of regions and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.650973323666667

 $00:23:17.636 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.845$ also shows some extent of the brain uptake,

NOTE Confidence: 0.650973323666667

 $00{:}23{:}20.850 \to 00{:}23{:}24.560$ which is seem to be blocked by the cold drug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.650973323666667

00:23:24.560 --> 00:23:26.325 So further study confirmative study

NOTE Confidence: 0.650973323666667

00:23:26.325 --> 00:23:29.572 needs to be done to see if this traitor

00:23:29.572 --> 00:23:32.210 actually goes into the intact brain or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.449307408

 $00:23:35.390 \longrightarrow 00:23:36.526$ OK, the next part,

NOTE Confidence: 0.449307408

 $00:23:36.526 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.230$ like the next image in target,

NOTE Confidence: 0.449307408

 $00:23:38.230 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.288$ I'd like to introduce is PDL one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.449307408

 $00:23:40.290 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.495$ I think for this target this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.449307408

 $00:23:42.495 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.354$ probably the targets that doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.449307408

00:23:44.354 --> 00:23:46.896 need much introduction PDL 1 so

NOTE Confidence: 0.449307408

 $00:23:46.896 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.850$ we do have PDL 1 targeted PET

NOTE Confidence: 0.449307408

 $00{:}23{:}49.965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}53.300$ imaging tracers in this field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.449307408

00:23:53.300 --> 00:23:56.441 Dave Donnelly published paper in 2017

NOTE Confidence: 0.449307408

 $00{:}23{:}56.441 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}00.858$ about their protein based PDL 1 Patricia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53119207073

 $00:24:04.720 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.940$ Nine, six, 182 so the use a simple xenograft

NOTE Confidence: 0.53119207073

 $00:24:09.940 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.184$ with PD L1 positive tumor on one side and

NOTE Confidence: 0.53119207073

 $00:24:13.184 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.426$ PDL one negative tumor on the other side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53119207073

 $00:24:16.430 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.859$ So they did the baseline scan without

NOTE Confidence: 0.53119207073

 $00:24:18.859 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.392$ blocking agents and they did a blocking scan

 $00:24:21.392 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.860$ that you can see after blocking agents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53119207073

 $00{:}24{:}23.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}25.895$ The Twitter uptake was diminished

NOTE Confidence: 0.53119207073

 $00:24:25.895 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.561$ to the same level of the unspecific

NOTE Confidence: 0.53119207073

 $00:24:28.561 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.808$ update to the same level of Cpl.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53119207073

00:24:30.810 --> 00:24:33.210 One negative tumor uptake.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53119207073

 $00:24:33.210 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.050$ Well, the baseline scan showed higher uptake,

NOTE Confidence: 0.53119207073

 $00:24:37.050 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.910$ so they also did autoradiography.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53119207073

 $00:24:38.910 \longrightarrow 00:24:43.596$ This is in virtual autoradiography study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53119207073

 $00:24:43.600 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.498$ Not not only look at this too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.53119207073

 $00:24:46.500 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.940$ they don't draft silence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53119207073

 $00:24:47.940 \longrightarrow 00:24:50.549$ They also look at some some human

NOTE Confidence: 0.53119207073

 $00:24:50.549 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.167$ tissues and they sell like higher PDL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53119207073

 $00:24:53.170 \longrightarrow 00:24:57.020$ One expression in those human tumor tissues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53119207073

 $00:24:57.020 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.102$ So with that data they translated

NOTE Confidence: 0.53119207073

 $00:24:59.102 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.156$ their imaging probes to 1st in

00:25:01.156 --> 00:25:02.950 human study they they chose non

NOTE Confidence: 0.53119207073

 $00{:}25{:}02.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}05.484$ small cell lung cancer as there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53119207073

00:25:05.484 --> 00:25:08.494 Patient population in that study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.53119207073

 $00:25:08.500 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.678$ published in 2018.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53119207073

 $00:25:10.678 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.905$ They actually compared with PDL one pad and

NOTE Confidence: 0.53119207073

 $00:25:14.905 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.180$ another at the only making nine labeled.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53119207073

 $00{:}25{:}18.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}22.570$ If I look at the PD one pad so those

NOTE Confidence: 0.53119207073

 $00:25:22.702 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.586$ three imaging modalities can all detect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53119207073

 $00{:}25{:}27.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}28.990$ Non small cell lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.53119207073 00:25:28.990 --> 00:25:30.142 not you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.53119207073

 $00:25:30.142 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.570$ but with the heterogeneous imaging patterns

NOTE Confidence: 0.53119207073

 $00{:}25{:}33.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}36.370$ indicating those three modalities are

NOTE Confidence: 0.53119207073

 $00:25:36.370 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.876$ actually complementary to each other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53119207073

 $00:25:38.876 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.724$ They provide different information

NOTE Confidence: 0.53119207073

 $00:25:40.724 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.608$ on the tumor metabolism and PDL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.53119207073

00:25:43.610 --> 00:25:45.620 One expression as well as PDL.

 $00:25:45.620 \longrightarrow 00:25:46.220$ One expression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80292092

 $00:25:50.840 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.192$ Also they showed one case where

NOTE Confidence: 0.80292092

 $00:25:53.192 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.760$ there's a tumor metastasis

NOTE Confidence: 0.80292092

 $00:25:54.760 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.760$ because the tumor metastasis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80292092

 $00:25:56.760 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.619$ so it could be the low PDL expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.80292092

 $00:25:59.619 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.660$ over there, or it could be the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80292092

 $00:26:01.660 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.180$ more intact blood brain barrier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80292092

 $00{:}26{:}04.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}07.876$ So in order to apply PDL 1 packaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.80292092

00:26:07.876 --> 00:26:12.280 in in tumor imaging or glioma patch,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80292092

 $00:26:12.280 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.380$ we initiated a project to

NOTE Confidence: 0.80292092

 $00:26:15.380 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.860$ develop brain punishment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80292092

00:26:16.860 --> 00:26:19.010 PDL 1 patting million agents

NOTE Confidence: 0.80292092

 $00{:}26{:}19.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}21.080$ based on small molecules.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80292092

 $00:26:21.080 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.432$ So this project at early stage I don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.80292092

 $00:26:24.432 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.432$ have animal data to share with you,

 $00:26:27.432 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.230$ so do not just say very briefly the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80292092

 $00:26:31.230 \longrightarrow 00:26:33.960$ process for discovery and development of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80292092

 $00:26:34.039 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.947$ radiopharmaceuticals or patch research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80292092

 $00:26:36.950 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.092$ So if you look at this project

NOTE Confidence: 0.80292092

 $00:26:39.092 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.104$ it's actually very similar to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.80292092

00:26:41.104 --> 00:26:43.150 R&D process of a therapeutic drug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80292092

00:26:43.150 --> 00:26:46.139 You need to identify a target or

NOTE Confidence: 0.80292092

00:26:46.139 --> 00:26:47.420 clinically relevant biomarkers

NOTE Confidence: 0.80292092

 $00{:}26{:}47.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}49.820$ and you need to do met Cam to

NOTE Confidence: 0.80292092

 $00:26:49.820 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.360$ develop small molecules or.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80292092

00:26:51.360 --> 00:26:53.885 Micro molecules specific binding to

NOTE Confidence: 0.80292092

 $00:26:53.885 \longrightarrow 00:26:57.469$ the target after initial essay and in

NOTE Confidence: 0.80292092

 $00:26:57.469 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.924$ vivo essays using patent distribution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6732387644444444

 $00:27:02.570 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.167$ You can move on to the toxicity

NOTE Confidence: 0.673238764444444

00:27:05.167 --> 00:27:07.774 and dosimetry study and file and

NOTE Confidence: 0.673238764444444

 $00:27:07.774 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.139$ application after doing clinical trial,

 $00:27:10.140 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.700$ initial validations and clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.673238764444444

 $00:27:12.700 \longrightarrow 00:27:16.540$ trials finally reached to FDA approval.

NOTE Confidence: 0.673238764444444

 $00:27:16.540 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.268$ So I'd like to use the last few

NOTE Confidence: 0.673238764444444

00:27:19.268 --> 00:27:21.981 minutes to update you the latest

NOTE Confidence: 0.673238764444444

00:27:21.981 --> 00:27:24.416 advancement in the past scanner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.673238764444444

 $00{:}27{:}24.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}26.592$ because pass scanner is a critical

NOTE Confidence: 0.673238764444444

 $00:27:26.592 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.660$ component in the pet imaging research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.673238764444444

 $00:27:30.660 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.838$ So very excitingly recently we saw

NOTE Confidence: 0.673238764444444

 $00{:}27{:}32.838 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}34.940$ a prototype for total body pad,

NOTE Confidence: 0.673238764444444

 $00:27:34.940 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.830$ so traditionally the path scanner needs

NOTE Confidence: 0.6732387644444444

 $00:27:37.830 \longrightarrow 00:27:40.620$ to move the bed to get the whole body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.673238764444444

00:27:40.620 --> 00:27:42.212 PET imaging study done,

NOTE Confidence: 0.673238764444444

 $00{:}27{:}42.212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}44.600$ but with a total body PAT

NOTE Confidence: 0.6732387644444444

 $00:27:44.600 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.290$ we can collect all the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.673238764444444

 $00:27:46.290 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.350$ Emission signals from the patients,

 $00:27:49.350 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.934$ so that means significantly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.673238764444444

 $00:27:51.934 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.518$ Increase some detection sensitivity

NOTE Confidence: 0.673238764444444

00:27:54.518 --> 00:27:57.881 and we which allows much lower

NOTE Confidence: 0.673238764444444

 $00:27:57.881 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.356$ dose for for the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.575742701666667

 $00:28:02.480 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.150$ So supposedly we can reduce the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.498854314444444

00:28:07.590 --> 00:28:09.162 The real pharmaceutical injection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.498854314444444

 $00:28:09.162 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.127$ The dose by 40 fold.

NOTE Confidence: 0.498854314444444

00:28:11.130 --> 00:28:14.890 This means the whole body PET scan will

NOTE Confidence: 0.498854314444444

 $00:28:14.890 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.158$ will cause 0.15 million safe dosimetry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.498854314444444

 $00:28:19.158 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.186$ Well, the national background.

NOTE Confidence: 0.498854314444444

 $00:28:22.186 \longrightarrow 00:28:25.274$ Every year, 2.4 million safe and

NOTE Confidence: 0.498854314444444

00:28:25.274 --> 00:28:27.304 long Trip international round trip

NOTE Confidence: 0.498854314444444

 $00{:}28{:}27.304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}30.365$ is about 1.1 million save this means

NOTE Confidence: 0.498854314444444

 $00:28:30.365 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.614$ the whole body pet can reduce the

NOTE Confidence: 0.498854314444444

00:28:33.614 --> 00:28:36.149 dosimetry to almost equivalent to

NOTE Confidence: 0.498854314444444

00:28:36.149 --> 00:28:39.639 a round trip international flight.

 $00:28:39.640 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.266$ And also with the whole body

NOTE Confidence: 0.498854314444444

 $00:28:41.266 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.079$ pet scanner system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.498854314444444

 $00:28:42.080 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.180$ we can study the diseases

NOTE Confidence: 0.498854314444444

 $00:28:44.180 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.891$ at the systemic level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.498854314444444

 $00:28:45.891 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.230$ So looking at the cancer throughout the body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85089961

 $00:28:52.310 \longrightarrow 00:28:56.376$ So in summary. Pat's imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.85089961

 $00:28:56.376 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.548$ and potentially application in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85089961

 $00{:}28{:}58.548 \rightarrow 00{:}29{:}01.290$ glioblastoma is to demonstrate the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85089961

 $00{:}29{:}01.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}03.770$ final type and disease severity

NOTE Confidence: 0.85089961

 $00:29:03.770 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.786$ correlations and hopefully you will

NOTE Confidence: 0.85089961

 $00:29:05.786 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.060$ be able to discover new therapeutic

NOTE Confidence: 0.85089961

 $00:29:08.134 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.229$ targets based on morgue imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85089961

 $00{:}29{:}10.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}12.984$ clinical imaging studies and it's also

NOTE Confidence: 0.85089961

 $00:29:12.984 \longrightarrow 00:29:16.184$ very helpful in the drug development

NOTE Confidence: 0.85089961

00:29:16.184 --> 00:29:18.748 process in demonstrating the

 $00:29:18.748 \longrightarrow 00:29:21.976$ penetration and pharmacokinetics of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85089961

 $00:29:21.976 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.876$ experimental drug in effect compartment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85089961

 $00:29:24.880 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.446$ It can be used to quantify

NOTE Confidence: 0.85089961

 $00:29:26.450 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.016$ commutate from Cortana,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85089961

00:29:28.016 --> 00:29:31.148 mix by doing receptor occupancy study

NOTE Confidence: 0.85089961

 $00:29:31.148 \longrightarrow 00:29:34.364$ to maximize the the dose range to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.85089961

 $00{:}29{:}34.364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}38.179$ used in efficacy clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85089961

 $00:29:38.180 \longrightarrow 00:29:41.610$ And also how could be useful for

NOTE Confidence: 0.85089961

 $00{:}29{:}41.610 \longrightarrow 00{:}29{:}43.818$ patients stratification and to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85089961

00:29:43.818 --> 00:29:45.777 evaluate therapeutic effects?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85089961

 $00:29:45.780 \longrightarrow 00:29:49.556$ And in the clinic pet can be used

NOTE Confidence: 0.85089961

 $00{:}29{:}49.556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}52.872$ for diagnosis or prognosis as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.85089961

 $00:29:52.872 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.996$ as tracking disease progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85089961

00:29:55.000 --> 00:29:58.700 I finally achieve precision medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85089961

 $00:29:58.700 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.227$ so at last I'd like to acknowledge

NOTE Confidence: 0.85089961

00:30:01.227 --> 00:30:03.076 my group and staff,

00:30:03.076 --> 00:30:06.454 faculty and students at your pet

NOTE Confidence: 0.85089961

 $00{:}30{:}06.454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}09.000$ center or internal and external

NOTE Confidence: 0.85089961

 $00:30:09.000 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.980$ collaborators and or finding

NOTE Confidence: 0.85089961

00:30:10.980 --> 00:30:13.584 agents for supporting our research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85089961

 $00:30:13.584 \longrightarrow 00:30:17.216$ and this is picture we took last year

NOTE Confidence: 0.85089961

 $00:30:17.220 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.506$ and this is what we look at this year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458

 $00:30:22.390 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.222$ Well, Jason, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458

00:30:24.222 --> 00:30:27.281 It was a really terrific review of,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458

00:30:27.281 --> 00:30:29.147 you know, novel approaches to imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458

 $00:30:29.147 \dashrightarrow 00:30:31.090$ both for clinical care and research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458

00:30:31.090 --> 00:30:33.526 And yeah, thank you for changing

NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458

 $00:30:33.526 \longrightarrow 00:30:36.329$ the context of your research group

NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458

 $00:30:36.330 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.389$ photo in terms of the current world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458

00:30:39.390 --> 00:30:42.160 You know, Jason, we're at, why don't we?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458

 $00:30:42.160 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.900$ Why don't I suggest that for

 $00:30:43.900 \longrightarrow 00:30:45.708$ folks who have questions for you

NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458

 $00:30:45.708 \longrightarrow 00:30:47.710$ to direct them to you offline?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458

 $00:30:47.710 \longrightarrow 00:30:49.691$ Just 'cause we're at the we're a

NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458

 $00{:}30{:}49.691 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}51.960$ little late in the time and I want

NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458

 $00:30:51.960 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.620$ to make sure there's time for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458

00:30:53.620 --> 00:30:57.109 For Zach but Jason thank you for us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458

00:30:57.109 --> 00:30:58.576 Superb presentation again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458

 $00{:}30{:}58.576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}01.510$ I invite people to submit send

NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458

 $00{:}31{:}01.593 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}04.155$ questions to Jason to his email,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458

 $00:31:04.160 \longrightarrow 00:31:05.994$ but let me now turn to our.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458

 $00:31:06.000 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.431$ Our second speaker.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458

00:31:07.431 --> 00:31:09.339 Did Doctor Zachary Corbin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458

 $00:31:09.340 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.908$ Zach as many of you know as an

NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458

00:31:11.908 --> 00:31:13.897 assistant professor of neurology, he.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458

 $00{:}31{:}13.897 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}16.519$ Received his medical degree at Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458

00:31:16.519 --> 00:31:18.823 and thereafter did his residency

00:31:18.823 --> 00:31:21.118 training at the University of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458

00:31:21.118 --> 00:31:23.330 California at San Francisco,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458

 $00:31:23.330 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.560$ ultimately being recruited back here to

NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458

 $00:31:26.560 \longrightarrow 00:31:30.340$ join the faculty in neurology and neurology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458

 $00:31:30.340 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.724$ Zacks interest beyond CNS

NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458

 $00:31:33.724 \longrightarrow 00:31:36.734$ malignancies has been in research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458

 $00:31:36.734 \longrightarrow 00:31:39.439$ most notably in understanding the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458

 $00:31:39.439 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.049$ biology of brain tumors through

NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458

 $00:31:42.050 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.170$ novel approaches to imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458 00:31:44.170 --> 00:31:44.700 and. NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458

 $00:31:44.700 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.288$ Particularly the metabolic changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458

 $00:31:46.288 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.273$ that occur in these tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458

 $00{:}31{:}48.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}50.205$ So is Zach thank you for agreeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458

 $00{:}31{:}50.205 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}52.120$ to present and really interested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458

 $00{:}31{:}52.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}53.355$ Really excited to hear about

 $00:31:53.355 \longrightarrow 00:31:55.208$ your work and Jason if you could

NOTE Confidence: 0.7139220458

 $00{:}31{:}55.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}56.340$ stop sharing your screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.56232667

 $00:32:03.850 \dashrightarrow 00:32:06.315$ Perfect thank you very much. Let me start.

NOTE Confidence: 0.39773166

 $00:32:08.360 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.730$ Sharing my screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:32:15.860 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.332$ OK. Doctor Fuchs thank you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:32:19.332 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.697$ so much for the introduction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:32:20.700 \dashrightarrow 00:32:22.860$ Can everyone hear me and see my screen?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:32:22.860 \longrightarrow 00:32:25.670$ Yes and thank you very much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00{:}32{:}25.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}28.132$ Jason and thank you for the introduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:32:28.132 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.579$ or thank you for the invitation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:32:30.580 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.414$ So I'm one of the neuro oncologist at Smilow

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:32:34.420 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.060$ and it's my privilege today to talk about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:32:38.060 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.358$ In vivo metabolic imaging of primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:32:40.358 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.533$ brain tumors and what a great

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:32:42.533 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.850$ segue or transition to move on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:32:44.850 \longrightarrow 00:32:48.315$ I'm going to start really by giving.

 $00:32:48.320 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.348$ Some background clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

00:32:50.348 --> 00:32:52.376 background on glioma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00{:}32{:}52.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}53.520$ clinical treatments and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:32:53.520 \longrightarrow 00:32:54.660$ limitations of glioma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:32:54.660 \longrightarrow 00:32:56.208$ and specifically glioblastoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:32:56.208 \longrightarrow 00:32:57.756$ as was introduced.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:32:57.760 \longrightarrow 00:33:00.552$ I'm going to talk a little bit more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:33:00.552 \longrightarrow 00:33:02.550$ specifically about pseudo progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00{:}33{:}02.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}04.992$ Which is something that Jason Jason

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00{:}33{:}04.992 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}07.135$ mentioned and also has been discussed

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00{:}33{:}07.135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}09.805$ in this venue by Doctor Chang with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00{:}33{:}09.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}12.430$ metastatic disease in the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00{:}33{:}12.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}14.362$ I'm gonna talk about metabolism and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

00:33:14.362 --> 00:33:16.374 cancer and the Warburg effect in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00{:}33{:}16.374 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}18.039$ particular as a prominent metabolic

 $00:33:18.039 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.429$ change that we could potentially image.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:33:20.430 \longrightarrow 00:33:23.600$ The transition to methods results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:33:23.600 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.340$ And our current investigations things

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:33:25.340 \longrightarrow 00:33:28.220$ we can show you now and things we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:33:28.220 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.374$ very excited about showing you soon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:33:30.380 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.860$ In particular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:33:30.860 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.780$ I'm going to talk to you about something

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:33:32.826 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.176$ that we call the Warburg index,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:33:34.180 \longrightarrow 00:33:36.508$ which we created here at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:33:36.510 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.568$ And then future directions and things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00{:}33{:}38.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}40.560$ We're looking forward to sharing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:33:40.560 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.550$ with everyone in the future.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00{:}33{:}42.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}45.826$ So to move forward and talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:33:45.826 \longrightarrow 00:33:48.633$ some background. I think that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:33:48.633 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.738$ Glioma has a profound impact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:33:51.740 \longrightarrow 00:33:54.260$ It's a relatively rare disease.

00:33:54.260 --> 00:33:58.082 But the public burden is substantial, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:33:58.082 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.014$ I when thinking about the disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:34:00.020 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.218$ I like to think about important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

00:34:03.220 --> 00:34:05.458 Public events that have happened recently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:34:05.460 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.698$ so this is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

00:34:07.700 --> 00:34:11.280 Ted Kennedy, President Kennedy's brother.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:34:11.280 \longrightarrow 00:34:13.610$ Who died of glioblastoma as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

00:34:13.610 --> 00:34:16.025 Senator of Massachusetts in 2009?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:34:16.025 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.750$ And this is Beau Biden.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00{:}34{:}18.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}21.650$ Vice President Joe Biden son.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:34:21.650 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.216$ So he was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:34:23.216 \longrightarrow 00:34:25.651$ Previously, Attorney General Delaware, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:34:25.651 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.720$ He did die of what is known as an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

00:34:28.814 --> 00:34:31.598 aggressive primary brain tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

00:34:31.600 --> 00:34:34.372 while his father was vice president

 $00:34:34.372 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.758$ of our country.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:34:35.760 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.780$ And this is John McCain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00{:}34{:}37.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}41.585$ Who died of glioblastoma as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:34:41.585 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.868$ senator from Arizona?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:34:43.870 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.818$ And so you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:34:45.818 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.740$ That was a good introduction to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:34:48.849 \longrightarrow 00:34:51.612$ what is a disease that has an annual

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:34:51.612 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.670$ incidence in the US of 20,000.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:34:53.670 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.400$ Is is glioma in general and glioblastoma in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:34:57.400 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.576$ particular has an annual incidence of 11,000.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:35:00.576 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.310$ Actually almost 12,000 / 11,000.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:35:04.310 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.495$ It's the most common primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:35:06.495 \longrightarrow 00:35:07.806$ malignant brain tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

00:35:07.810 --> 00:35:10.530 As Doctor Kai already mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:35:10.530 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.750$ and its five year relative survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:35:12.750 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.422$ it has increased recently.

 $00:35:14.422 \longrightarrow 00:35:17.552$ I'm an optimist, so this is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00{:}35{:}17.552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}19.754$ improvement at 6.8% in five years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:35:19.754 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.153$ Only a few years ago we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:35:22.153 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.480$ discussing numbers in 5% and so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:35:25.480 \longrightarrow 00:35:26.593$ We're moving forward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:35:26.593 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.699$ but we have a lot of movement to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:35:29.700 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.360$ Glioblastoma is a profound disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:35:32.360 \longrightarrow 00:35:33.902$ frequently at presentation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:35:33.902 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.958$ This is a case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00{:}35{:}35.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}38.060$ That I cared for when I was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:35:38.060 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.730$ a fellow at Stanford.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:35:39.730 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.870$ This is a relatively common

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

00:35:41.870 --> 00:35:44.660 scan we see here you have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

00:35:44.660 --> 00:35:45.232 MRI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:35:45.232 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.520$ gadolinium enhanced T1 sequence

 $00:35:47.520 \longrightarrow 00:35:50.380$ where you can see boundaries

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:35:50.474 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.498$ of blood brain barrier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00:35:52.500 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.190$ breakdown of the primary tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8933656

 $00{:}35{:}55.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}59.096$ This is flare processed T2 sequence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757142588

 $00:35:59.100 \longrightarrow 00:36:00.570$ Axial projection of the MRI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757142588

 $00:36:00.570 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.395$ We can see some changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.757142588

 $00:36:02.395 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.490$ surrounding the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757142588

 $00{:}36{:}03.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}04.920$ This is a substantial tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.757142588

 $00:36:04.920 \longrightarrow 00:36:06.350$ with lots of Mass Effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757142588

 $00:36:06.350 \longrightarrow 00:36:09.350$ You can see shifting of the normal brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757142588

00:36:09.350 --> 00:36:12.146 This patient had relatively mild symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757142588

00:36:12.150 --> 00:36:14.594 If I recall he had visual field

NOTE Confidence: 0.757142588

00:36:14.594 --> 00:36:17.268 changes and he had a neglect syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.757142588

 $00:36:17.270 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.290$ but actually really presented

NOTE Confidence: 0.757142588

 $00:36:19.290 \longrightarrow 00:36:20.805$ mostly because his.

NOTE Confidence: 0.757142588

 $00{:}36{:}20.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}22.850$ Family brought him in and that is true.

 $00:36:22.850 \longrightarrow 00:36:25.405$ This is a sudden and dramatic disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.757142588

 $00:36:25.410 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.235$ but can actually be relatively

NOTE Confidence: 0.757142588

 $00:36:27.235 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.750$ subtle as well to some patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.757142588

 $00:36:29.750 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.599$ which is remarkable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:36:32.930 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.415$ And I like to show this slide

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:36:35.415 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.090$ for three reasons really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:36:37.090 \longrightarrow 00:36:39.650$ So despite what is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

00:36:39.650 --> 00:36:41.186 an absolutely remarkable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00{:}36{:}41.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}44.669$ as it's a privilege to talk here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00{:}36{:}44.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}48.030$ Research and clinical endeavor to improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:36:48.030 \longrightarrow 00:36:51.410$ care for this category of diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:36:51.410 \longrightarrow 00:36:53.366$ We still have a standard of

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00{:}36{:}53.366 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}55.063$ care in glioblastoma from 2005.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:36:55.063 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.028$ This is the Stroop paper,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

00:36:57.030 --> 00:36:59.750 also called the Spook Protocol from 2005,

 $00:36:59.750 \longrightarrow 00:37:02.590$ and it demonstrated that patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:37:02.590 \dashrightarrow 00:37:04.750$ glioblastoma have improved outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:37:04.750 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.508$ when they are treated with radiotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:37:06.510 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.605$ It's really chemo radiation radiotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:37:08.605 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.490$ plus temodar at the same time, followed by.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:37:12.490 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.676$ Excuse me, temozolomide after radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:37:15.676 \longrightarrow 00:37:18.391$ And they have improved outcomes

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:37:18.391 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.570$ compared to radiation alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:37:20.570 \longrightarrow 00:37:21.226$ But as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:37:21.226 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.829$ I like to show a few things here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00{:}37{:}22.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}25.230$ So we have a great deal of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

00:37:25.230 --> 00:37:27.739 who have died and very quickly and

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

00:37:27.739 --> 00:37:29.990 this is relatively noisy out here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:37:29.990 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.104$ but we still have a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:37:32.104 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.014$ patients to measure the effect so

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:37:34.014 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.982$ you can see that there's a lot

 $00:37:35.982 \longrightarrow 00:37:37.550$ of room to grow as I mentioned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:37:37.550 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.300$ But in addition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:37:38.300 \longrightarrow 00:37:39.800$ you can see something else that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:37:39.800 \longrightarrow 00:37:41.424$ interesting, which is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:37:41.424 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.172$ There are a number of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:37:44.172 \longrightarrow 00:37:47.476$ that survive and a long time years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:37:47.480 \longrightarrow 00:37:49.972$ And it's very difficult to predict as

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00{:}37{:}49.972 \longrightarrow 00{:}37{:}51.970$ doctor time mentioned at the start.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00{:}37{:}51.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}54.140$ Who is going to come from here

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:37:54.220 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.279$ and still live?

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:37:55.280 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.940$ We don't have prognostic or

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:37:57.940 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.600$ diagnostic ways of determining this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:38:00.600 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.018$ So in order to discuss another related

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:38:06.018 \longrightarrow 00:38:10.488$ but somewhat complementary fact of care for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:38:10.490 \longrightarrow 00:38:12.644$ Brain tumors currently is the delayed

 $00:38:12.644 \longrightarrow 00:38:14.813$ results of other clinical trials in

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:38:14.813 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.829$ patients who have tumors that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:38:16.829 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.589$ less aggressive than glioblastoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:38:18.590 \longrightarrow 00:38:23.604$ So these are the results of the RTOG 9402.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

00:38:23.604 --> 00:38:24.818 Clinical trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

00:38:24.818 --> 00:38:27.853 That really targeted a moderate

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:38:27.853 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.640$ severity brain tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:38:29.640 \longrightarrow 00:38:31.672$ and anaplastic oligodendroglia OMA

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00{:}38{:}31.672 \to 00{:}38{:}34.212$ and oligo astrocytoma although oligo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:38:34.220 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.580$ Astrocytoma is a relatively antiquated term.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091 00:38:37.580 --> 00:38:38.672 In this. NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

00:38:38.672 --> 00:38:40.310 Protocol enrolled patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00{:}38{:}40.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}42.842$ and similarly to the Stu Protocol

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:38:42.842 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.530$ patients received either chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:38:44.530 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.278$ this time with PCV,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

00:38:46.278 --> 00:38:47.589 chemotherapy with radiation,

 $00:38:47.590 \longrightarrow 00:38:50.348$ or radiation alone. And you can see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:38:50.350 \longrightarrow 00:38:52.750$ Approximately 10 years in 2006,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:38:52.750 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.190$ approximately 10 years after

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:38:54.190 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.630$ the study was started,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:38:55.630 \longrightarrow 00:38:57.835$ there was no indication as

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:38:57.835 \longrightarrow 00:38:59.599$ to which was superior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:38:59.600 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.752$ 10 years later,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:39:00.752 \dashrightarrow 00:39:03.440$ almost 20 years after the study began,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:39:03.440 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.718$ you can actually see a signal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:39:04.720 \dashrightarrow 00:39:07.168$ and by this analysis it demonstrated

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

00:39:07.168 --> 00:39:09.666 that patients do better with PCV

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00{:}39{:}09.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}11.258$ with radiotherapy as compared

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

00:39:11.258 --> 00:39:13.830 to radiotherapy alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:39:13.830 \longrightarrow 00:39:15.558$ So we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:39:15.560 \longrightarrow 00:39:17.342$ Two processes going on where you

 $00:39:17.342 \longrightarrow 00:39:19.480$ have a substantial burden of a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00{:}39{:}19.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}20.965$ aggressive disease and difficult to

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:39:20.965 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.208$ predict long term survivors in that disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:39:23.210 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.997$ And then less aggressive tumors we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:39:27.000 \longrightarrow 00:39:28.257$ Prolonged 20 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

00:39:28.257 --> 00:39:30.352 potentially wait between when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:39:30.352 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.695$ institute a standard of care or or

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:39:32.695 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.867$ when we are trying to define the

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00{:}39{:}34.867 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}37.198$ same care when we have results that

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:39:37.198 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.698$ help us with that standard of care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00{:}39{:}38.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}41.227$ So this is really good fodder for

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:39:41.227 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.157$ exactly what the context today

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:39:43.157 \longrightarrow 00:39:44.697$ is for other ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:39:44.700 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.930$ Biomarkers of measuring this disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:39:47.930 \longrightarrow 00:39:49.649$ So I want to switch gears for a second

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00{:}39{:}49.649 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}51.347$ and also discuss pseudo progression.

00:39:51.350 --> 00:39:51.681 Specifically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:39:51.681 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.998$ this is another case that was brought

NOTE Confidence: 0.856130180909091

 $00:39:53.998 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.174$ up to me when I was a fellow at

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:39:56.180 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.338$ Stanford. This patient had a glioblastoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:40:00.340 \longrightarrow 00:40:03.484$ He underwent treatment and then this is very

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

00:40:03.484 --> 00:40:05.260 similar pictures as I've shown you before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:40:05.260 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.122$ so gadolinium enhanced MRI and flare

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00{:}40{:}08.122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}11.899$ T2 MRI and you can see tumor here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:40:11.900 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.560$ So the patient actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:40:13.560 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.635$ had growth of the lesion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:40:15.640 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.172$ And it was raised whether this

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

00:40:18.172 --> 00:40:20.860 lesion wasn't true tumor progression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00{:}40{:}20.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}22.756$ or whether it was pseudo progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

00:40:22.760 --> 00:40:23.696 Pseudo progression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

00:40:23.696 --> 00:40:25.100 largely in necrosis,

 $00:40:25.100 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.380$ but really a response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:40:26.380 \longrightarrow 00:40:29.010$ probably by the tumor and also the brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:40:29.010 \longrightarrow 00:40:31.264$ to treatment that we give the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:40:31.270 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.770$ And so standard of care

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

00:40:33.770 --> 00:40:35.770 studies include FDG PET,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

00:40:35.770 --> 00:40:37.336 which we've heard a lot about in this study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:40:37.340 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.648$ and you can see the background,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:40:38.650 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.666$ as was mentioned, is quite bright.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:40:40.670 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.690$ This is all normal brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:40:42.690 \longrightarrow 00:40:44.727$ But in the area of this tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

00:40:44.730 --> 00:40:46.046 you can see that there is uptake,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:40:46.050 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.390$ and so this is hypermetabolic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

00:40:47.390 --> 00:40:49.628 It was felt that favored tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:40:49.630 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.527$ and so this patient went to surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

00:40:51.530 --> 00:40:51.907 Unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

00:40:51.907 --> 00:40:54.169 surgery showed that this patient had

 $00:40:54.169 \longrightarrow 00:40:56.090$ in crisis with his pseudo progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:40:56.090 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.209$ So it's very challenging to deal with

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

00:40:58.209 --> 00:41:00.165 pseudo progression in primary brain tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:41:00.170 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.501$ especially in the setting of the need

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:41:02.501 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.348$ to have a large surgery to confirm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:41:05.350 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.332$ So one of the potential areas to

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

00:41:08.332 --> 00:41:11.043 expand our knowledge is imaging and

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:41:11.043 \longrightarrow 00:41:13.743$ really imaging has moved forward with

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

00:41:13.743 --> 00:41:16.849 the overall understanding of cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:41:16.850 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.034$ which has been maybe 100 years

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:41:19.034 \longrightarrow 00:41:20.490$ ago in anatomical disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:41:20.490 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.940$ tumors, balls that are growing

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

00:41:22.940 --> 00:41:24.410 to physiologic disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00{:}41{:}24.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}26.280$ tumors that acquire blood vessels

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:41:26.280 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.984$ and other changes as they grow and

00:41:28.984 --> 00:41:30.889 become more aggressive to really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:41:30.890 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.675$ what is a metabolic disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:41:32.675 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.103$ where they are fundamental,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:41:34.110 \longrightarrow 00:41:34.852$ likely metabolic?

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

00:41:34.852 --> 00:41:37.078 Changes that might be the night

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

00:41:37.078 --> 00:41:39.914 is of cancer and certainly are

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:41:39.914 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.938$ associated with aggressive disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

00:41:41.940 --> 00:41:43.575 Imaging is really move forward

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

00:41:43.575 --> 00:41:44.556 with our understanding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

00:41:44.560 --> 00:41:46.037 Anatomical and 1st we were able to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:41:46.040 \longrightarrow 00:41:48.730$ just as we showed here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:41:48.730 \longrightarrow 00:41:49.770$ See the tumor ball.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

00:41:49.770 --> 00:41:51.712 Then we learn much more about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:41:51.712 \longrightarrow 00:41:53.668$ tumor by things like perfusion imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:41:53.670 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.902$ which can tell us a great

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:41:55.902 \longrightarrow 00:41:57.390$ deal about the heterogeneity,

 $00:41:57.390 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.839$ especially of aggressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:41:58.839 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.288$ primary brain tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:42:00.290 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.825$ And metabolic imaging now has

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:42:01.825 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.644$ become at the forefront where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:42:03.644 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.240$ might be able to do many things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

00:42:05.240 --> 00:42:07.424 Potentially, I'll show you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

00:42:07.424 --> 00:42:10.154 Do some prognosis and diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:42:10.160 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.753$ but in addition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00{:}42{:}11.753 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}13.877$ potentially treatment effect measurements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00{:}42{:}13.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}15.904$ So to understand a little bit more about

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

00:42:15.904 --> 00:42:18.246 how we could use metabolism in this way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:42:18.250 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.783$ I want to talk a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00{:}42{:}19.783 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}20.830$ about the Warburg effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

00:42:20.830 --> 00:42:21.584 In particular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:42:21.584 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.846$ this is probably the most famous

 $00{:}42{:}23.846 \to 00{:}42{:}25.768$ metabolic change that is known to

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

00:42:25.768 --> 00:42:27.430 occur in cancer and in primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:42:27.489 \longrightarrow 00:42:29.077$ brain tumors in particular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

00:42:29.080 --> 00:42:31.089 So to take everyone back to biochemistry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:42:31.090 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.122$ here is a cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:42:32.122 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.670$ and this is the cell membrane,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:42:33.670 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.224$ and so there's glucose outside the cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:42:35.230 \longrightarrow 00:42:37.134$ and as glucose comes into the cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:42:37.140 \longrightarrow 00:42:39.478$ one of the large junctures is pyruvate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

00:42:39.480 --> 00:42:42.588 and pyruvate can get processed basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00{:}42{:}42.588 \rightarrow 00{:}42{:}44.142$ into oxidative phosphorylation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:42:44.150 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.305$ In One Direction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:42:45.305 \longrightarrow 00:42:46.845$ And in that direction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:42:46.850 \longrightarrow 00:42:49.490$ is mediated largely through the mitochondria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:42:49.490 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.956$ You have evolution of CO2 in

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:42:51.956 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.189$ the aqueous cytosol.

 $00:42:53.190 \longrightarrow 00:42:54.818$ It really transfers back

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:42:54.818 \longrightarrow 00:42:56.446$ and forth to bicarbonate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667 00:42:56.450 --> 00:42:56.983 However, NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

00:42:56.983 --> 00:42:59.648 glycolysis is also a potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.925849231666667

 $00:42:59.648 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.780$ route for for processing

NOTE Confidence: 0.838773749166667

 $00:43:01.869 \longrightarrow 00:43:04.878$ of pyruvate, and the end result

NOTE Confidence: 0.838773749166667

 $00:43:04.878 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.886$ is lactate in glycolysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838773749166667

 $00{:}43{:}06.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}09.514$ And so the Warburg effect is in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.838773749166667

 $00:43:09.514 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.668$ absence of any other stressors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838773749166667

00:43:11.670 --> 00:43:13.542 including normal blood flow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838773749166667

 $00{:}43{:}13.542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}16.350$ tumors are known to favor glycolysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838773749166667

00:43:16.350 --> 00:43:17.778 They shift to lactate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838773749166667

00:43:17.778 --> 00:43:19.206 they produce more lactate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838773749166667

 $00:43:19.210 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.514$ and they undergo less

NOTE Confidence: 0.838773749166667

00:43:21.514 --> 00:43:22.666 oxidative phosphorylation.

00:43:22.670 --> 00:43:23.962 And in this diagram,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838773749166667

 $00:43:23.962 \longrightarrow 00:43:26.390$ as you move further to the right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838773749166667

 $00:43:26.390 \longrightarrow 00:43:29.050$ you have more Warburg effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838773749166667

00:43:29.050 --> 00:43:30.866 This preference for glycolysis

NOTE Confidence: 0.838773749166667

00:43:30.866 --> 00:43:32.556 seems unusual initially, however,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838773749166667

 $00:43:32.556 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.512$ there's really a lot of reasons

NOTE Confidence: 0.838773749166667

00:43:34.512 --> 00:43:36.453 why tumors may benefit hydrocarbon

NOTE Confidence: 0.838773749166667

 $00:43:36.453 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.868$ backbones and also redox species

NOTE Confidence: 0.838773749166667

00:43:38.868 --> 00:43:41.910 may be usable in biosynthesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838773749166667

 $00:43:41.910 \longrightarrow 00:43:43.239$ especially through the

NOTE Confidence: 0.838773749166667

 $00{:}43{:}43.239 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}44.568$ pentose phosphate pathway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838773749166667

 $00:43:44.570 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.434$ to produce more tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838773749166667

00:43:46.434 --> 00:43:47.366 In addition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838773749166667

 $00:43:47.370 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.022$ energy production and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.838773749166667

 $00:43:49.022 \longrightarrow 00:43:50.674$ really more simpler energy

NOTE Confidence: 0.838773749166667

 $00{:}43{:}50.674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}52.715$ apparatus is less vulnerable to

 $00:43:52.715 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.625$ the oxidative damage that occurs

NOTE Confidence: 0.838773749166667

 $00{:}43{:}54.625 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}56.770$ in tumors and in normal tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.838773749166667

 $00:43:56.770 \longrightarrow 00:43:58.738$ The resulting acidic environment

NOTE Confidence: 0.838773749166667

00:43:58.738 --> 00:44:01.198 is important for many physiologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.838773749166667

 $00:44:01.198 \longrightarrow 00:44:02.890$ changes related to tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.838773749166667

 $00:44:02.890 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.420$ including tumor invasion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:44:06.990 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.540$ Excuse me and also immunosuppression

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:44:09.540 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.010$ so immune cells less able to attack the

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:44:12.010 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.403$ tumor in the acidic environment and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00{:}44{:}14.403 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}16.635$ normal tissue that's able to survive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:44:16.640 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.165$ It's been linked to tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

00:44:19.165 --> 00:44:20.175 aggressiveness already.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:44:20.180 \longrightarrow 00:44:24.284$ And so really is a great target to image.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:44:24.290 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.162$ So to move forward to how we would image

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:44:26.162 \longrightarrow 00:44:27.835$ them with those methods and some results

 $00:44:27.835 \longrightarrow 00:44:30.029$ we have as well as current investigations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00{:}44{:}30.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}32.559$ So first I'd like to talk about the deuterium

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:44:32.559 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.686$ metabolic imaging and then the Warburg index.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

00:44:34.690 --> 00:44:36.058 So deuterium metabolic imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:44:36.058 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.768$ Really the credit goes to

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:44:37.768 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.250$ my colleagues at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

00:44:39.250 --> 00:44:42.197 Dr Defeater, Hank debater as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00{:}44{:}42.197 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}45.282$ Doctor Robin de Graff who have really done

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:44:45.282 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.650$ an amazing job in developing this tool.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:44:47.650 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.750$ We are able to give patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

00:44:49.750 --> 00:44:51.150 due to rated glucose,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:44:51.150 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.565$ so this is heavy water or sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:44:53.570 \longrightarrow 00:44:54.634$ heavy glucose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:44:54.634 \longrightarrow 00:44:57.826$ Basically protons with a neutron attached.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:44:57.830 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.720$ Patients can drink them and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:44:59.720 \longrightarrow 00:45:01.322$ actually goes into their cells

 $00:45:01.322 \longrightarrow 00:45:03.275$ over the course of about an hour.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00{:}45{:}03.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}05.968$ And we can see due to rated lactates

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00{:}45{:}05.968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}09.571$ evolving in tumor and we can see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:45:09.571 \longrightarrow 00:45:11.475$ evolution through oxidative phosphorylation

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

00:45:11.552 --> 00:45:14.072 of glutamate and technically it

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:45:14.072 \longrightarrow 00:45:16.592$ includes glutamate and glutamine signal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:45:16.600 \longrightarrow 00:45:18.500$ And as you can see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00{:}45{:}18.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}21.510$ the shifting more towards glycolysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00{:}45{:}21.510 \longrightarrow 00{:}45{:}24.282$ You can actually image a really direct

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00{:}45{:}24.282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}26.938$ bound worker of the Warburg effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:45:26.940 \longrightarrow 00:45:28.662$ So once again, so you have due

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

00:45:28.662 --> 00:45:30.240 to rated lactate over glutamate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00{:}45{:}30.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}33.246$ really glutamate glutamine is related to

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:45:33.246 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.250$ glycolysis over oxidative phosphorylation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:45:35.250 \longrightarrow 00:45:38.080$ which is the Warburg effect.

 $00:45:38.080 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.312$ So we were able to start with multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:45:41.312 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.398$ different types of brain tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

00:45:43.400 --> 00:45:46.097 and I'm going to show you a few today to

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:45:46.097 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.879$ discuss the tumor I mentioned before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:45:47.880 \longrightarrow 00:45:51.030$ That medium grade tumor and

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:45:51.030 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.290$ anaplastic oligodendroglia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:45:52.290 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.660$ Here you have a patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:45:53.660 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.754$ This is flare. This is post contrast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9054270833333333

00:45:56.760 --> 00:45:58.920 You can see residual chamber.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:45:58.920 \longrightarrow 00:46:01.872$ The patient has two voxels that are shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.9054270833333333

 $00{:}46{:}01.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}04.606$ here in the Mr spectroscopic spectrum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:46:04.606 \longrightarrow 00:46:07.448$ and so you can see the glucose

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:46:07.448 \longrightarrow 00:46:09.540$ is measurable in both Spectra,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:46:09.540 \longrightarrow 00:46:11.324$ and you can see in the map that

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:46:11.324 \longrightarrow 00:46:13.493$ you can see lots of glutamate and

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:46:13.493 \longrightarrow 00:46:15.560$ glutamine evolving in the normal brain,

 $00:46:15.560 \longrightarrow 00:46:18.017$ so this is really wonderful this tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:46:18.020 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.049$ So the black,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

00:46:19.049 --> 00:46:21.450 sorry the red voxel showing you this

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

00:46:21.519 --> 00:46:23.919 tumor is producing glutamate and

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

00:46:23.919 --> 00:46:25.747 glutamine through oxidative phosphorylation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:46:25.747 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.890$ similar to perhaps normal brain and really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

00:46:28.890 --> 00:46:30.468 Lactate measurement would be out here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:46:30.470 \longrightarrow 00:46:33.750$ We don't see the lactate in either side.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:46:33.750 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.843$ One of the reasons why this tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:46:35.843 \longrightarrow 00:46:37.918$ may actually have a more favorable

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:46:37.918 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.783$ character is the idea expectation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:46:39.790 \longrightarrow 00:46:42.618$ which is famous all over the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

00:46:42.620 --> 00:46:43.646 Many different cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

00:46:43.646 --> 00:46:44.330 including glioma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:46:44.330 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.330$ and we have one of the world experts

 $00{:}46{:}46{:}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}48.088$ and IDH mutant glioma at Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:46:48.088 \longrightarrow 00:46:50.218$ which who is one of my mentors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

00:46:50.220 --> 00:46:53.000 Dr Bendure Ranjit bindra.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:46:53.000 \longrightarrow 00:46:55.898$ Has really been able to help me

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:46:55.898 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.205$ understand this better isocitrate and

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

00:46:58.205 --> 00:47:01.636 ideates wild type pathology or sorry

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:47:01.636 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.592$ Physiology produces alphabetically

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00{:}47{:}03.592 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}05.930$ rate and with the IDH mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.9054270833333333

 $00:47:05.930 \longrightarrow 00:47:07.274$ that occurs in tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:47:07.280 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.896$ there's a hetero diamond and a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9054270833333333

 $00:47:09.896 \longrightarrow 00:47:12.360$ heterodimer produces 2 hydroxy butyrate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

00:47:12.360 --> 00:47:15.167 This has been called a onco metabolite,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:47:15.170 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.240$ which is a metabolite that

NOTE Confidence: 0.905427083333333

 $00:47:17.240 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.310$ may actually be involved in

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00:47:19.395 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.675$ the production or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00:47:21.675 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.385$ continuation of tumorigenesis.

 $00:47:23.390 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.962$ Downstream to two hydroxy

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

00:47:24.962 --> 00:47:26.534 glutarate in IDH mutant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00:47:26.540 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.988$ pathophysiology is methylation changes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

00:47:28.988 --> 00:47:31.436 DNA hypermethylation in particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

00:47:31.436 --> 00:47:33.450 MGMT methylation in gliomas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00:47:33.450 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.598$ but also histone methylation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

00:47:36.600 --> 00:47:39.072 So I actually had the privilege of caring

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00:47:39.072 \longrightarrow 00:47:41.271$ for what is a relatively rare patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

00:47:41.271 --> 00:47:43.577 who is an IDH mutant glioblastoma and

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00{:}47{:}43.577 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}46.196$ we were able to actually image the

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00:47:46.196 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.376$ tumor with deuterium metabolic imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

00:47:48.380 --> 00:47:50.276 This is prior to the patient having surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00{:}47{:}50.280 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}47{:}53.276$ so this is really a perfect case

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00:47:53.280 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.120$ and so with this case we can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00:47:55.120 \longrightarrow 00:47:56.798$ here is the recurrent tumor.

 $00:47:56.800 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.958$ This is once again an idea, glioblastoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

00:47:58.958 --> 00:48:01.166 You can see that post gadolinium

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

00:48:01.166 --> 00:48:03.278 scan is showing you tumor there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

00:48:03.280 --> 00:48:05.640 This is evidence of bleeding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00:48:05.640 \longrightarrow 00:48:06.648$ which is common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00:48:06.648 \longrightarrow 00:48:08.664$ And this is evidence of diffusion

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00:48:08.664 \longrightarrow 00:48:10.812$ weighted changes, which is also common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00{:}48{:}10.812 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}12.427$ I wanna call your attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00:48:12.427 \longrightarrow 00:48:14.429$ to voxels one and three here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00:48:14.430 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.734$ which are up here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00:48:15.734 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.364$ These are within the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00:48:17.370 \longrightarrow 00:48:19.050$ And you can see the maps that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00:48:19.050 \longrightarrow 00:48:20.272$ generated by deterring metabolic

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00:48:20.272 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.768$ imaging are really marvelous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00:48:21.770 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.900$ They show that glucose is

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00{:}48{:}22.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}24.030$ going everywhere in the brain.

 $00:48:24.030 \longrightarrow 00:48:25.350$ They show that glutamate and

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

00:48:25.350 --> 00:48:26.406 glutamine is being produced

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

00:48:26.406 --> 00:48:27.889 by oxidative phosphorylation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00:48:27.890 \longrightarrow 00:48:29.388$ as is expected in the normal brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

00:48:29.390 --> 00:48:31.520 And it's really a totally different

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00:48:31.520 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.370$ picture over the brain tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

00:48:33.370 --> 00:48:35.106 You can see this is the Warburg index,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

00:48:35.110 --> 00:48:36.313 lactate over glutamate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00{:}48{:}36.313 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}39.120$ Glutamine is a very large peak over

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00{:}48{:}39.197 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}41.934$ the tumor and here you have the lactate

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00:48:41.934 \longrightarrow 00:48:44.466$ visible on these spectrum and you can see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00:48:44.466 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.470$ That there is a glutamate glutamine peak.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00:48:46.470 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.864$ It's a little easier to see with voxel one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00:48:48.864 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.908$ so I'm going to call your attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

00:48:50.908 --> 00:48:52.688 in particular to voxel one,

00:48:52.690 --> 00:48:54.722 and I'm going to show you an IDH

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

00:48:54.722 --> 00:48:56.571 wild type of much more common

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00:48:56.571 \longrightarrow 00:48:58.920$ glioblastoma that we were able to image.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00:48:58.920 \longrightarrow 00:49:01.097$ Call your attention to two voxels in

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00:49:01.097 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.023$ the spectroscopy so you can see there

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00:49:03.023 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.113$ is 2 which is within the tumor and

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00:49:05.113 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.318$ there's one which is within normal brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00:49:07.320 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.646$ No lack tating the normal brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00{:}49{:}08.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}10.176$ lots of glutamate and glutamine in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

00:49:10.176 --> 00:49:12.492 normal brain, but lactate and glutamate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00{:}49{:}12.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}14.153$ glutamine really within the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

00:49:14.153 --> 00:49:15.358 Very little within the tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00:49:15.360 \longrightarrow 00:49:16.124$ almost noise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904593892352941

 $00:49:16.124 \longrightarrow 00:49:18.416$ But a very large Warburg effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:49:20.990 \longrightarrow 00:49:23.166$ This is really an N of 1 experiment

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

00:49:23.166 --> 00:49:25.463 but it is very intriguing to see

 $00:49:25.463 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.583$ that there is more lactate and

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:49:27.583 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.428$ almost no glutamate and glutamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:49:29.428 \longrightarrow 00:49:31.840$ in the IDH wildtype yield estimate

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:49:31.840 \longrightarrow 00:49:34.165$ compared to much more even.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:49:34.170 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.822$ Presentation and ideates mutant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:49:35.822 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.474$ We have Western ma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:49:37.480 \longrightarrow 00:49:39.910$ So we've developed a theory that

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:49:39.910 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.992$ we're very excited about that

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:49:41.992 \longrightarrow 00:49:44.296$ really the Warburg effect may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00{:}49{:}44.296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}47.371$ blunted or muted in an IDH mutant

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00{:}49{:}47.371 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}49.681$ pathophysiology such that it displays

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00{:}49{:}49.681 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}53.042$ metabolism more like normal brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:49:53.042 \longrightarrow 00:49:55.934$ Where oxidative phosphorylation occurs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:49:55.940 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.830$ To a greater extent than

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:49:57.830 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.350$ in a idea 12 type tumor.

 $00:50:00.350 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.437$ So you've heard a lot about today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

00:50:03.440 --> 00:50:05.528 FDG pets, just to go briefly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:50:05.530 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.636$ the way that we would use this to help

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:50:07.636 \longrightarrow 00:50:09.817$ us with a clinical tool that might

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:50:09.817 \longrightarrow 00:50:11.710$ show the Warburg effect right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

00:50:11.710 --> 00:50:12.100 Really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:50:12.100 \longrightarrow 00:50:14.830$ the the deuterium about imaging is wonderful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

00:50:14.830 --> 00:50:18.650 but really its preclinical technology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00{:}50{:}18.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}21.320$ We could actually use potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

00:50:21.320 --> 00:50:23.990 EFG patent FDA approved study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:50:23.990 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.725$ Its phosphorylated by hexokinase as

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:50:25.725 \longrightarrow 00:50:28.581$ it comes into the cell but then really

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:50:28.581 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.693$ it kind of represents glucose demand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00.50:30.700 \longrightarrow 00.50:32.092$ For my purposes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:50:32.092 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.340$ I'm referring to it as the representation

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:50:35.420 \longrightarrow 00:50:37.604$ of oxidative phosphorylation or from

 $00:50:37.604 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.559$ the call of all energy into the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00{:}50{:}41.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}44.276$ We are combining that it's a multi

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

00:50:44.276 --> 00:50:47.215 modality test so the patient also will

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

00:50:47.215 --> 00:50:48.939 receive magnetic resonance spectroscopy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:50:48.940 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.598$ this time without a stable isotope

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:50:51.600 \longrightarrow 00:50:53.390$ measure like the deuterium and

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:50:53.390 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.604$ we'll be able to measure lactate

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00{:}50{:}55.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}58.054$ which we can measure in the clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:50:58.060 \longrightarrow 00:51:00.880$ Actually in brain tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:51:00.880 \longrightarrow 00:51:02.488$ In the research context,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00{:}51{:}02.488 \to 00{:}51{:}04.900$ we can also measure 2 hydroxybutyrate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:51:04.900 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.940$ which will be very interesting in this study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00{:}51{:}06.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}09.125$ To correlate the IDH character

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

00:51:09.125 --> 00:51:11.840 of the tumor if you will,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:51:11.840 \longrightarrow 00:51:14.225$ and the the other measures

 $00:51:14.225 \longrightarrow 00:51:16.133$ including the Warburg index.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:51:16.140 \longrightarrow 00:51:18.444$ So the Warburg effect being measured

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:51:18.444 \longrightarrow 00:51:21.139$ with a multi modality image where we

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:51:21.139 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.323$ have lactate by Mr spectroscopy over

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:51:23.323 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.820$ the standard uptake value with dog pet

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:51:25.820 \longrightarrow 00:51:28.238$ and we are saying that that should

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:51:28.238 \longrightarrow 00:51:29.968$ be relatively equal hopefully to

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:51:29.968 \longrightarrow 00:51:32.099$ glycolysis over oxidative phosphorylation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:51:32.100 \longrightarrow 00:51:33.440$ Which is the warburger connectbot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

00:51:33.440 --> 00:51:35.318 We're labeling that the Warburg index,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:51:35.320 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.602$ 'cause this can be a tool that

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:51:37.602 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.027$ we could use now in the clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:51:40.030 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.346$ So we're looking forward to starting

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:51:42.346 \longrightarrow 00:51:45.573$ soon as we transform into a normal

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

00:51:45.573 --> 00:51:48.378 process of enrolling patients and

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:51:48.378 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.150$ observational clinical trials.

 $00:51:50.150 \longrightarrow 00:51:54.046$ Will have cohorts of 17 and 1788

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00{:}51{:}54.046 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}56.362$ mutant gliomas and 98 well take

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:51:56.362 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.332$ llamas and will be performing marked

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

00:51:59.332 --> 00:52:01.236 prosperity imaging with protons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:52:01.236 \longrightarrow 00:52:03.474$ no label and measure lactate in

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

00:52:03.474 --> 00:52:05.816 two hydroxy glutarate and all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:52:05.816 \longrightarrow 00:52:08.504$ these patients and we will also

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:52:08.504 \longrightarrow 00:52:11.270$ perform FDG PET and and determine

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00{:}52{:}11.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}14.170$ the sort of overall glucose demand

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00{:}52{:}14.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}17.020$ energy demand from the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:52:17.020 \longrightarrow 00:52:19.600$ Hopefully we'll be able to enroll

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:52:19.600 \longrightarrow 00:52:21.869$ these patients in more technical

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00{:}52{:}21.869 \to 00{:}52{:}24.695$ studies where we'll have really a

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00{:}52{:}24.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}26.365$ research standard of the Warburg

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

00:52:26.365 --> 00:52:28.030 effect through things like the

 $00:52:28.091 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.507$ deuterium metabolic imaging stable

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00{:}52{:}29.507 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}31.922$ isotope methods at the same time we

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:52:31.922 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.614$ all work together in Doctor Defeaters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:52:33.620 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.840$ one of my closest collaborators.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:52:35.840 \longrightarrow 00:52:37.478$ And we will then follow this

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:52:37.478 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.390$ cohort of patients to produce our

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:52:39.390 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.918$ own clinical outcome measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00.52:40.920 \longrightarrow 00.52:42.688$ Especially interested in progression

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00{:}52{:}42.688 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}52{:}44.898$ free survival and overall survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00.52:44.900 \longrightarrow 00.52:46.772$ which will be diverse in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.858992581

 $00:52:46.772 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.020$ group of patients where

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

00:52:48.081 --> 00:52:49.887 some patients will have an IDH

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:52:49.887 \longrightarrow 00:52:52.042$ wild type tumor more similar to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

00:52:52.042 --> 00:52:53.920 glioblastoma as I've shown you here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:52:53.920 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.126$ and some will have an idea,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:52:55.130 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.890$ it's mutant chamber more similar

 $00:52:56.890 \longrightarrow 00:52:59.350$ to these long term patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00{:}52{:}59.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}01.975$ have very slow growing tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:53:01.980 \longrightarrow 00:53:04.370$ We will also through collaborations

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:53:04.370 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.282$ with Doctor Marat Daniels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00{:}53{:}06.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}08.255$ Laboratory be able to perform

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:53:08.255 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.827$ whole genome methylation studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:53:09.827 \longrightarrow 00:53:11.608$ in all of these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:53:11.610 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.224$ So we'll have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:53:13.224 \longrightarrow 00:53:15.376$ An extraordinarily diverse and

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00{:}53{:}15.376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}18.698$ deep data set where we'll be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:53:18.698 \longrightarrow 00:53:20.483$ to potentially use preclinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00{:}53{:}20.483 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}22.788$ Warburg effect measures to compare

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00{:}53{:}22.788 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}25.210$ to Clinical Warburg index measures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00{:}53{:}25.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}26.965$ Compare both of these measures

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:53:26.965 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.018$ to clinical outcomes,

 $00:53:28.020 \longrightarrow 00:53:30.603$ and then also in a vein of

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00{:}53{:}30.603 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}31.710$ precision medicine implications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00{:}53{:}31.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}33.992$ Be able to show exactly how much

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

00:53:33.992 --> 00:53:36.383 perhaps 2 hydroxy glutarate is being

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

00:53:36.383 --> 00:53:39.077 produced by the IDH mutant pathophysiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:53:39.080 \longrightarrow 00:53:41.390$ And then what the implications to

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:53:41.390 \longrightarrow 00:53:43.406$ the methylome and the methylation

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00.53:43.406 \longrightarrow 00.53:45.030$ of the genome is?

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:53:45.030 \longrightarrow 00:53:48.318$ So future directions we have actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

00:53:48.318 --> 00:53:51.642 recently been able to to image a

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00{:}53{:}51.642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}53.490$ patient within their treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

00:53:53.490 --> 00:53:54.750 So I've shown you once again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00{:}53{:}54.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}56.030$ IDH mutant glioblastoma and

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00{:}53{:}56.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}57.770$ I've shown you idh, wildtype,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737 00:53:57.770 --> 00:53:58.690 Leo Lester, NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:53:58.690 \longrightarrow 00:54:00.530$ mother relatively similar appearing.

 $00:54:00.530 \longrightarrow 00:54:02.270$ If you're not looking at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:54:02.270 \longrightarrow 00:54:03.140$ spectrum per say.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:54:03.140 \longrightarrow 00:54:05.438$ Looks like very large warburger effects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:54:05.440 \longrightarrow 00:54:07.948$ Classic aggressive tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00{:}54{:}07.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}10.414$ We had a patient who had a gliobla stoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:54:10.414 \longrightarrow 00:54:11.751$ shortly following chemoradiation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

00:54:11.751 --> 00:54:14.061 when we imaged this patient we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:54:14.061 \longrightarrow 00:54:16.290$ unable to detect the word with effect on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:54:16.290 \longrightarrow 00:54:18.022$ This is very exciting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:54:18.022 \longrightarrow 00:54:20.620$ We potentially have not only implications

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

00:54:20.688 --> 00:54:23.528 to diagnostic and prognostic implications,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:54:23.530 \longrightarrow 00:54:25.714$ as I was mentioning before with

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00{:}54{:}25.714 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}27.476$ the Warburg Index clinical study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:54:27.476 \longrightarrow 00:54:30.140$ But now we have the potential to follow

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

00:54:30.200 --> 00:54:32.438 the same patient during their course.

 $00:54:32.440 \longrightarrow 00:54:33.715$ Where perhaps there are dynamic

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

00:54:33.715 --> 00:54:34.735 changes within the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:54:34.740 \longrightarrow 00:54:36.596$ Perhaps this is just a time when we,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

00:54:36.600 --> 00:54:39.453 when we caught this tumor and it was less,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:54:39.460 \longrightarrow 00:54:42.015$ had less expression of the Warburg effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

00:54:42.020 --> 00:54:43.838 But perhaps we're able to modify

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:54:43.838 \longrightarrow 00:54:45.473$ the Warburg effect and perhaps

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:54:45.473 \longrightarrow 00:54:47.308$ the aggressiveness of the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:54:47.310 \longrightarrow 00:54:48.870$ With treatment that we do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:54:48.870 \longrightarrow 00:54:50.902$ and really if we can find that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00{:}54{:}50.902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}53.079$ is what we're really targeting and not

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:54:53.079 \longrightarrow 00:54:55.509$ the changes that can be so confusing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:54:55.510 \longrightarrow 00:54:57.840$ For example with pseudo progression.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00{:}54{:}57.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}00.036$ Then that's a very exciting frontier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:55:00.040 \longrightarrow 00:55:01.700$ so we're hopeful with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

00:55:01.700 --> 00:55:03.028 translational award moving forward,

 $00:55:03.030 \longrightarrow 00:55:05.614$ that we'll be able to scan some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:55:05.614 \longrightarrow 00:55:07.477$ these patients longitudinally both

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:55:07.477 \longrightarrow 00:55:09.562$ before and after chemo radiation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:55:09.562 \longrightarrow 00:55:10.696$ But in addition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:55:10.700 \longrightarrow 00:55:12.206$ along the way we scan patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:55:12.206 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.820$ in the clinic every two months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:55:13.820 \longrightarrow 00:55:16.445$ And so if we could potentially get

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:55:16.445 \longrightarrow 00:55:19.109$ metabolic imaging for all of these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00{:}55{:}19.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}21.860$ Then it would potentially change

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:55:21.860 \longrightarrow 00:55:23.510$ our management fundamentally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:55:23.510 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.146$ I want to thank lots of people

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:55:26.146 \longrightarrow 00:55:27.706$ for all of this effort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00{:}55{:}27.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}30.250$ It's definitely a village doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:55:30.250 \longrightarrow 00:55:31.774$ translational neuro oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

00:55:31.780 --> 00:55:34.390 This is really my laboratory size.

 $00:55:34.390 \longrightarrow 00:55:36.940$ My current research assistant and

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00{:}55{:}36.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}40.586$ I have alumni who are already at

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:55:40.586 \longrightarrow 00:55:43.580$ Duke and NYU and medical school.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:55:43.580 \longrightarrow 00:55:45.710$ I'm extremely grateful for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

00:55:45.710 --> 00:55:47.840 support I've had here through

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

00:55:47.915 --> 00:55:49.620 the Y CCI Scholar award.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

00:55:49.620 --> 00:55:50.148 Also,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

00:55:50.148 --> 00:55:52.260 my collaborators are A1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:55:52.260 \longrightarrow 00:55:54.600$ I'm grateful to Doctor Fuchs and

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00:55:54.600 \longrightarrow 00:55:56.160$ to the Cancer Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

 $00{:}55{:}56.160 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>} 00{:}55{:}58.988$ As well as just a multi institutional

NOTE Confidence: 0.886202197894737

00:55:58.988 --> 00:56:00.200 collaboration Dr Wrecked

NOTE Confidence: 0.869939625625

00:56:00.272 --> 00:56:02.360 one of my mentors from Stanford.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869939625625

 $00:56:02.360 \longrightarrow 00:56:03.404$ All of these individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869939625625

 $00:56:03.404 \longrightarrow 00:56:05.400$ It's not even a complete list at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.869939625625

 $00{:}56{:}05.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}06.828$ Really need no introduction,

 $00:56:06.828 \longrightarrow 00:56:08.613$ but especially grateful for this

NOTE Confidence: 0.869939625625

 $00:56:08.613 \longrightarrow 00:56:10.770$ talk for contributions from Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.869939625625

00:56:10.770 --> 00:56:12.120 Defeater and Doctor Rothman,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869939625625

 $00:56:12.120 \longrightarrow 00:56:14.220$ and I want to thank you very

NOTE Confidence: 0.869939625625

 $00:56:14.283 \longrightarrow 00:56:16.215$ much for all of your attention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.869939625625

 $00:56:16.220 \longrightarrow 00:56:17.940$ and I think this is time for questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7286282868

00:56:18.930 --> 00:56:20.680 Derek, thank you. And yes, we do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7286282868

 $00:56:20.680 \longrightarrow 00:56:22.030$ Actually, it's a great talk and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7286282868

 $00:56:22.030 \longrightarrow 00:56:23.886$ and we do have time for questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7286282868

 $00{:}56{:}23.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}25.678$ If if individuals want to submit

NOTE Confidence: 0.7286282868

 $00:56:25.678 \longrightarrow 00:56:27.686$ that on the chat, so is Zach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7286282868

 $00:56:27.686 \longrightarrow 00:56:29.318$ Let me ask you given the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7286282868

 $00:56:29.318 \longrightarrow 00:56:31.288$ the the thrust of your work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7286282868

 $00:56:31.290 \longrightarrow 00:56:34.839$ are there potentially?

NOTE Confidence: 0.7286282868

 $00:56:34.840 \longrightarrow 00:56:39.370$ Developing on or ongoing targeted approaches.

 $00:56:39.370 \longrightarrow 00:56:42.478$ That would sort of focus on metabolic

NOTE Confidence: 0.7286282868

 $00:56:42.478 \longrightarrow 00:56:45.154$ pathways coming along that your technology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7286282868

00:56:45.154 --> 00:56:47.394 Your assessments would actually be

NOTE Confidence: 0.7286282868

 $00:56:47.394 \longrightarrow 00:56:49.968$ informative for or and or does this

NOTE Confidence: 0.7286282868

 $00:56:49.968 \longrightarrow 00:56:51.259$ potentially OfferUp new targets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933018215

00:56:52.220 --> 00:56:53.270 Well, I think it's a great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933018215

 $00:56:53.270 \longrightarrow 00:56:56.894$ It's a great question and I think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933018215

 $00:56:56.900 \longrightarrow 00:56:58.444$ There's a couple ways,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933018215

00:56:58.444 --> 00:57:00.720 so actually I VH mutation targeting

NOTE Confidence: 0.933018215

 $00:57:00.720 \longrightarrow 00:57:02.420$ has really gone both ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933018215

 $00:57:02.420 \longrightarrow 00:57:04.646$ In our field it has been proposed

NOTE Confidence: 0.933018215

00:57:04.646 --> 00:57:06.152 that IDH mutant pathophysiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.933018215

 $00{:}57{:}06.152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}09.044$ should be blocked with an inhibitor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933018215

 $00{:}57{:}09.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}10.730$ And there's current clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.933018215

 $00:57:10.730 \longrightarrow 00:57:12.122$ trials in that vein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933018215

00:57:12.122 --> 00:57:14.390 And then there's the exact opposite approach,

00:57:14.390 --> 00:57:16.742 which is that IDH mutant pathophysiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.933018215

 $00{:}57{:}16.742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}19.513$ conveys really a weakness that needs to

NOTE Confidence: 0.933018215

00:57:19.513 --> 00:57:21.408 be targeted and potentially promoted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933018215

 $00:57:21.410 \longrightarrow 00:57:23.610$ which is really not just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933018215

 $00{:}57{:}23.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}26.410$ To paraphrase simply Doctor Bender,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933018215

00:57:26.410 --> 00:57:27.859 thrust of work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933018215

 $00:57:27.859 \longrightarrow 00:57:30.274$ and so this is actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933018215

00:57:30.280 --> 00:57:31.856 Pretty interested in potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.933018215

 $00{:}57{:}31.856 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}33.432$ performing animal models where

NOTE Confidence: 0.933018215

 $00:57:33.432 \longrightarrow 00:57:35.408$ we can show them metabolic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933018215

00:57:35.410 --> 00:57:37.006 correlate, Stew these interventions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933018215

 $00{:}57{:}37.006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}39.400$ but we have the potential also

NOTE Confidence: 0.933018215

 $00:57:39.469 \longrightarrow 00:57:41.077$ for doing so in the clinic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933018215

 $00:57:41.080 \longrightarrow 00:57:44.034$ and that's really why I find the

NOTE Confidence: 0.933018215

 $00:57:44.034 \longrightarrow 00:57:46.911$ Warburg index as opposed to the pre

 $00:57:46.911 \longrightarrow 00:57:48.777$ clinical measures to be so exciting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933018215

 $00{:}57{:}48.780 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}57{:}51.388$ This could be put in as an endpoint

NOTE Confidence: 0.933018215

 $00:57:51.388 \longrightarrow 00:57:53.420$ and potentially a phase two or

NOTE Confidence: 0.933018215

00:57:53.420 --> 00:57:55.055 phase three study very shortly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933018215

00:57:55.060 --> 00:57:57.334 so hopefully over the next year

NOTE Confidence: 0.933018215

 $00:57:57.334 \longrightarrow 00:57:59.758$ I'll be able to recruit these

NOTE Confidence: 0.933018215

 $00:57:59.758 \longrightarrow 00:58:01.048$ cohorts and really have some

NOTE Confidence: 0.933018215

 $00:58:01.048 \longrightarrow 00:58:02.080$ exciting things to share.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7861976375

 $00{:}58{:}03.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}05.866$ Great, well I look forward to it Zack.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7861976375

 $00:58:05.870 \longrightarrow 00:58:07.920$ So it is the top of the hour and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.7861976375

 $00{:}58{:}07.989 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}09.801$ want to be sensitive to every one's

NOTE Confidence: 0.7861976375

00:58:09.801 --> 00:58:12.184 time so I wanna thank Zack and

NOTE Confidence: 0.7861976375

00:58:12.184 --> 00:58:14.029 Jason for really 2 outstanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.7861976375

 $00:58:14.029 \longrightarrow 00:58:15.925$ and informative talks about novel

NOTE Confidence: 0.7861976375

00:58:15.925 --> 00:58:18.235 approaches to imaging for the CNS.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7861976375

 $00:58:18.240 \longrightarrow 00:58:20.744$ And of course thank all of you for

 $00:58:20.744 \longrightarrow 00:58:22.476$ joining us today and enjoy the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7861976375

 $00{:}58{:}22.476 --> 00{:}58{:}23.780$ rest of your day. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.28943613 00:58:25.930 --> 00:58:26.000 She.