WEBVTT

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.154$ Funding for Yale Cancer Answers is

NOTE Confidence: 0.89563149

 $00{:}00{:}02.154 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}04.190$ provided by Smilow Cancer Hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819910195384615

 $00:00:06.510 \longrightarrow 00:00:08.718$ Welcome to Yale Cancer Answers with

NOTE Confidence: 0.819910195384615

00:00:08.718 --> 00:00:11.069 your host doctor Anees Chappar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819910195384615

00:00:11.070 --> 00:00:12.940 Yale Cancer Answers features the

NOTE Confidence: 0.819910195384615

 $00:00:12.940 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.235$ latest information on cancer care by

NOTE Confidence: 0.819910195384615

 $00:00:15.235 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.707$ welcoming oncologists and specialists

NOTE Confidence: 0.819910195384615

 $00:00:16.707 \longrightarrow 00:00:19.153$ who are on the forefront of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.819910195384615

00:00:19.153 --> 00:00:20.988 battle to fight cancer. This week,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819910195384615

 $00:00:20.988 \longrightarrow 00:00:23.202$ it's a conversation about lung cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.819910195384615

00:00:23.202 --> 00:00:25.169 pathology with Doctor Robert Homer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.819910195384615

00:00:25.170 --> 00:00:27.424 Doctor Homer is a professor of pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.819910195384615

 $00:00:27.424 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.169$ and director of thoracic pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.819910195384615

00:00:29.169 --> 00:00:31.323 at the Yale School of Medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819910195384615

00:00:31.330 --> 00:00:33.352 where Doctor Chagpar is a

00:00:33.352 --> 00:00:34.700 professor of surgical oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908110573181818

 $00{:}00{:}35.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}37.400$ Doctor Homer, maybe we can start

NOTE Confidence: 0.908110573181818

 $00:00:37.400 \longrightarrow 00:00:39.309$ off by you telling us a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.908110573181818

 $00:00:39.309 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.899$ about yourself and what exactly you do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

00:00:41.170 --> 00:00:44.626 So I've come to New Haven in 1979 to

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

00:00:44.626 --> 00:00:47.250 be part of the Yale MD PhD program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

 $00:00:47.250 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.710 \text{ I did a PhD in Immunology}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

 $00:00:49.710 \longrightarrow 00:00:52.410$ did a residency in anatomic pathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

 $00{:}00{:}52.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}54.258$ and then subsequently I sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

 $00:00:54.258 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.852$ risen to the ranks eventually

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

00:00:55.852 --> 00:00:57.658 become a professor in 2009,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

00:00:57.658 --> 00:00:59.806 so anatomic pathology is an area

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

00:00:59.806 --> 00:01:03.146 that I not sure a lot of people in

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

 $00{:}01{:}03.146 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}05.050$ the community are familiar with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

 $00:01:05.050 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.640$ It is a branch of medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

 $00{:}01{:}06.640 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!\!>}\ 00{:}01{:}08.640$ So pathologists are those people

 $00:01:08.640 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.640$ who've gone to medical school.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

 $00:01:10.640 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.612$ We have medical training,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

 $00:01:12.612 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.077$ but we've then specialized really

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

00:01:15.077 --> 00:01:17.868 in looking more on the diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

00:01:17.868 --> 00:01:20.412 end rather than on, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

 $00:01:20.412 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.114$ the the immediate clinical care of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

 $00:01:23.120 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.440 11$ way to say it is that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

 $00{:}01{:}24.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}25.960$ care so much about our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

 $00{:}01{:}25.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}28.390$ We want to make sure every body

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

 $00:01:28.390 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.010$ has the right diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

00:01:30.010 --> 00:01:31.274 In my particular case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

 $00:01:31.274 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.410$ the area of pathology that I'm in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

 $00:01:33.410 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.750$ which is which is pathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

00:01:34.750 --> 00:01:36.110 is a very broad field,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

 $00{:}01{:}36.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}39.407$ but the area which I specialize in

00:01:39.407 --> 00:01:41.040 predominantly involves looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

 $00{:}01{:}41.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}44.490$ histologic sections of lung tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

 $00:01:44.490 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.240$ In order to understand what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

 $00:01:46.240 \longrightarrow 00:01:47.990$ going on with the patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

 $00:01:47.990 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.982$ We do review radiographs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

00:01:49.982 --> 00:01:52.472 I look at X rays,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

 $00{:}01{:}52.480 \rightarrow 00{:}01{:}55.840$ CT scans and PET scans and other

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

 $00:01:55.840 \longrightarrow 00:01:57.280$ radiologic imaging routinely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

 $00:01:57.280 \longrightarrow 00:01:59.602$ I look at other clinical information

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

 $00:01:59.602 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.150$ that's available in patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

 $00:02:01.150 \longrightarrow 00:02:02.718$ but at the end of the day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

 $00:02:02.720 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.095$ the particular skills that I bring is

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

 $00:02:07.095 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.240$ understanding the histopathology of

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

00:02:09.240 --> 00:02:11.118 variety of diseases involving the lung,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

00:02:11.120 --> 00:02:12.180 in particular lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885498112857143

 $00:02:12.180 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.505$ but not only lung cancer.

00:02:14.600 --> 00:02:17.402 So you know, we've talked on

NOTE Confidence: 0.812638492

 $00:02:17.402 \longrightarrow 00:02:19.270$ this show previously about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812638492

 $00:02:19.270 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.955$ About lung cancer and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.812638492

 $00:02:20.955 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.182$ fact that almost universally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812638492

 $00:02:23.182 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.510$ for most cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812638492

 $00:02:25.510 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.600$ Nearly everything starts with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.812638492

00:02:27.600 --> 00:02:29.272 pathologist everything starts with

NOTE Confidence: 0.812638492

 $00:02:29.272 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.110$ the biopsy so can you tell us a

NOTE Confidence: 0.812638492

 $00:02:32.110 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.940$ little bit about the different

NOTE Confidence: 0.812638492

 $00{:}02{:}34.021 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}36.684$ kinds of biopsy there are the The

NOTE Confidence: 0.812638492

 $00:02:36.684 \longrightarrow 00:02:38.569$ Advantages and disadvantages and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.812638492

 $00:02:38.569 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.818$ that impacts you as a pathologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00{:}02{:}42.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}43.748$ I think that's a great question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:02:43.750 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.186$ so it is certainly true that for

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:02:46.186 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.059$ some tumors there are variety

00:02:48.059 --> 00:02:50.309 of ways of getting a diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00{:}02{:}50.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}51.838$ but for lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

00:02:51.838 --> 00:02:53.408 particular, as you say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:02:53.408 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.596$ histopathology really is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:02:54.600 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.038$ Still is a central element of

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:02:58.038 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.757$ the diagnostic process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:02:59.760 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.088$ The kinds of biopsies when could

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:03:02.088 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.775$ obtain range from getting a what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:03:04.775 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.540$ called a cytology or a smear of cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:03:08.540 \longrightarrow 00:03:10.064$ and those cells can be obtained

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719355333333333

 $00{:}03{:}10.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}11.970$ in a number of different ways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00{:}03{:}11.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}15.472$ If a patient happens to have a what's thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:03:15.472 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.320$ to be a possible metastasis in another site,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00{:}03{:}18.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}20.516$ you could put a needle in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:03:20.520 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.220$ maybe even through the skin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:03:22.220 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.376$ like into a lymph node around the

 $00:03:24.376 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.831$ neck or or under the arms and

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:03:26.831 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.015$ just obtain a smear and aspirate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:03:29.020 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.560$ Just put a needle in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:03:30.560 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.160$ With a syringe on it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00{:}03{:}32.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}34.247$ put a little suction on it at

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:03:34.247 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.840$ get a few cells into the Chamber

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:03:36.840 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.150$ and then smooth them onto a slide

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:03:39.150 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.939$ and stained and looked at it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87193553333333300:03:40.940 --> 00:03:41.248 Historically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:03:41.248 \longrightarrow 00:03:43.404$ that was really the major way in

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:03:43.404 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.568$ which a lot of lung cancer diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

00:03:45.568 --> 00:03:47.795 were made and there was a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00{:}03{:}47.795 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}49.505$ simple classification that that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:03:49.505 \longrightarrow 00:03:51.914$ used what's called non small cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:03:51.914 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.120$ and small cell carcinoma and by and

 $00:03:55.212 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.050$ large that very simple technique

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00{:}03{:}57.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}59.120$ of just putting smear cells on

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:03:59.182 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.120$ the slide was adequate for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:04:01.120 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.320$ And we still use things along those lines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:04:04.320 \longrightarrow 00:04:06.600$ It might involve, as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:04:06.600 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.015$ putting a needle into the skin into

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:04:09.015 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.139$ some very superficial part of the body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333300:04:11.140 --> 00:04:11.941 Like I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:04:11.941 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.810$ a lymph node or a lymph node

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:04:13.876 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.958$ under the armor around the neck.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8719355333333333

 $00:04:15.960 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.033$ But it might also involve a medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:04:19.033 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.839$ procedure where they put a bronchoscope

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:04:21.840 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.737$ down the patient into the lungs and

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

00:04:23.737 --> 00:04:26.478 just do a washing where you put in fluid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:04:26.480 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.064$ and then you aspirate the fluid

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00{:}04{:}28.064 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}30.038$ out and then again he is take that

 $00:04:30.038 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.920$ fluid and you smear it on his slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

00:04:31.920 --> 00:04:33.456 Or if you have fluid around the lungs

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:04:33.456 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.840$ that might take some of that fluid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:04:34.840 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.976$ and again smeared on a slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

00:04:36.980 --> 00:04:38.598 those are all ways in which, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:04:38.598 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.506$ you can use the vast majority

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

00:04:40.506 --> 00:04:42.638 of cases can make a diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:04:42.640 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.352$ as one might imagine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:04:44.352 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.920$ the sensitivity of that is going

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:04:47.001 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.374$ to depend on a lot of factors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:04:49.380 \longrightarrow 00:04:51.060$ the amount of tissue obtained,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:04:51.060 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.116$ the kind of tumor it is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:04:52.120 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.814$ if it is in fact the tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:04:53.820 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.740$ what else is going on with the patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:04:56.740 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.340$ and so those are all you know historically,

 $00:04:59.340 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.176$ that sort of the classic ways

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:05:01.176 \longrightarrow 00:05:02.400$ which we did it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:05:02.400 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.080$ More recently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:05:03.080 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.680$ I have to say that the we're much more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:05:06.680 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.870$ We get more information out of what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:05:09.870 \longrightarrow 00:05:11.720$ called the histopathology of tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:05:11.720 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.359$ whereas if you take that same smell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:05:14.360 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.216$ cell smear and then you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

00:05:17.220 --> 00:05:18.660 prepare it such that you could

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

00:05:18.660 --> 00:05:20.438 make a pallet of cells you make,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00{:}05{:}20.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}22.715$ take those cells, use pin them down,

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:05:22.720 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.880$ you make a collection of cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:05:24.880 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.659$ You then actually can take a fix.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:05:27.660 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.214$ Those cells in a fixative in process

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:05:30.214 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.416$ them as if they were regular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871935533333333

 $00:05:32.420 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.236$ Tissue biopsy and then two sections of it.

 $00:05:35.240 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.746$ And then you'll have cells that

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00{:}05{:}36.746 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}38.572$ you can actually look at in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:05:38.572 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.916$ diff slightly different way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:05:39.920 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.280$ The advantage of that method

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:05:41.280 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.640$ is a couple of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:05:42.640 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.208$ One is you can start looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:05:45.208 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.828$ at so-called immunostains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

00:05:46.828 --> 00:05:49.798 That is, we take antibodies

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:05:49.798 \longrightarrow 00:05:51.580$ against cellular components.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:05:51.580 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.900$ We apply them to the tissue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:05:52.900 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.200$ stain to the tissue section,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:05:55.200 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.419$ and then we sort of see which

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00{:}05{:}57.419 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}59.649$ elements of the cells are present

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:05:59.650 \longrightarrow 00:06:00.755$ and different cells will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:06:00.755 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.410$ able to stay in a different.

00:06:02.410 --> 00:06:03.976 The different patterns in a large

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:06:03.976 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.426$ part of my job has to do with

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:06:06.426 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.774$ understanding the exact patterns

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:06:07.774 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.379$ from different kinds of stains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:06:09.380 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.436$ They're used again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:06:10.436 \longrightarrow 00:06:12.548$ they have different degrees of sensitivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

00:06:12.550 --> 00:06:13.830 That is, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

00:06:13.830 --> 00:06:16.300 are they true positive if it stains,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

00:06:16.300 --> 00:06:17.172 or if it's negative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:06:17.172 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.262$ is that really a negative?

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

00:06:18.270 --> 00:06:19.242 And how you know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

00:06:19.242 --> 00:06:21.150 And that's a large part of my job,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:06:21.150 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.060$ has to understanding just how good

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:06:24.060 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.290$ that processes and understanding it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

00:06:26.290 --> 00:06:28.590 In addition to staining again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00{:}06{:}28.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}30.718$ these kind of small samples where you

00:06:30.718 --> 00:06:33.295 take a smear and you can make a cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00{:}06{:}33.295 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}35.159$ so called cell block out of them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:06:35.160 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.216$ You can also do biopsies of various types.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:06:38.220 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.062$ One biopsy technique is to again to take a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

00:06:43.070 --> 00:06:43.474 Bronchoscope,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

00:06:43.474 --> 00:06:45.898 which goes into the patient's lungs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:06:45.900 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.485$ and the bronchoscopies would then

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:06:47.485 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.450$ maneuver it down into the lungs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:06:49.450 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.105$ where he then takes a small piece of tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:06:52.110 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.048$ Using a biopsy forceps and there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:06:54.048 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.170$ a variety of tissues they can get.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:06:56.170 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.312$ This way they can get some

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

00:06:58.312 --> 00:06:59.383 lung tissue itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00{:}06{:}59.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}01.550$ but they also they're extremely good

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:07:01.550 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.768$ at getting using that technique to

00:07:03.768 --> 00:07:05.910 get lymph nodes within the chest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00{:}07{:}05.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}07.709$ which gives you a sense of whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:07:07.709 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.440$ the tumor has spread or not

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:07:09.440 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.945$ spread to adjacent lymph nodes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:07:10.950 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.968$ And this is critical for understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:07:13.968 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.477$ a therapeutic approaches.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

00:07:15.480 --> 00:07:15.977 Alternatively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00{:}07{:}15.977 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}18.959$ sometimes the tumor or this deletion

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00{:}07{:}18.959 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}22.136$ of the suspicious lesion is in the very

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

00:07:22.136 --> 00:07:24.690 periphery of the lung near the chest wall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00{:}07{:}24.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}26.760$ and sometimes you'll then have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:07:26.760 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.500$ go to CT scan and then you can have

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00{:}07{:}29.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}31.585$ some one who can put a needle through

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:07:31.585 \longrightarrow 00:07:33.895$ the skin into the lung that way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:07:33.900 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.060$ so and then of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:07:35.060 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.200$ people who have unfortunately more

 $00:07:37.200 \longrightarrow 00:07:39.719$ advanced disease where they might have

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:07:39.719 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.491$ a lesion somewhere in the liver or in bones,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:07:42.500 \longrightarrow 00:07:45.068$ those can be biopsied again by.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

00:07:45.070 --> 00:07:47.782 Usually by CT scan or by under ultrasound

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:07:47.782 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.969$ guidance and obtain piece of tissue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:07:49.970 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.945$ which then again is submitted

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:07:51.945 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.130$ for routine Histology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909 00:07:53.130 --> 00:07:53.621 Finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

00:07:53.621 --> 00:07:55.094 not very commonly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

00:07:55.094 --> 00:07:57.549 but occasionally we'll have cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00{:}07{:}57.549 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}00.388$ where there might be a surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:08:00.388 \longrightarrow 00:08:02.204$ intervention where you're really

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00{:}08{:}02.204 --> 00{:}08{:}04.499$ not sure what the lesion is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:08:04.500 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.100$ And it might be small.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:08:06.100 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.296$ It might be difficult to obtain

 $00:08:08.300 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.232$ and the one way you're absolutely

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00{:}08{:}10.232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}12.335$ certain to obtain the tissue that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:08:12.335 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.585$ diagnostic is to surgically resect it

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

00:08:14.585 --> 00:08:16.628 even without a specific diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:08:16.630 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.004$ because if you go through the

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

00:08:18.004 --> 00:08:19.220 appropriate work up from there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:08:19.220 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.836$ clinicians who really think,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

00:08:20.836 --> 00:08:21.240 look,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00{:}08{:}21.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}23.296$ we really think this is probably a cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:08:23.300 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.352$ and the only way we can know for sure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

00:08:25.360 --> 00:08:27.050 it's really take it out

NOTE Confidence: 0.938654519090909

 $00:08:27.050 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.740$ and really show the entire

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00:08:28.813 \longrightarrow 00:08:30.369$ thing to a pathologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00:08:30.370 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.515$ Problem one of the problems

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00:08:32.515 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.660$ in lung pathology is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00:08:34.660 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.682$ Lung cancers or lung tumors commonly

 $00{:}08{:}36.682 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}08{:}38.674$ have areas where there's lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

00:08:38.674 --> 00:08:40.414 scarring and a lot of inflammation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00:08:40.420 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.356$ and if you get a biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00:08:41.360 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.345$ which only shows that you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

00:08:43.345 --> 00:08:45.330 never completely sure that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

00:08:45.397 --> 00:08:47.547 haven't missed an actual cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

00:08:47.550 --> 00:08:49.601 And so again, with the appropriate work

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00{:}08{:}49.601 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}51.708$ up and with really careful thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

00:08:51.708 --> 00:08:54.042 and with discussion with the patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00{:}08{:}54.050 \longrightarrow 00{:}08{:}56.198$ you might go ahead and actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

00:08:56.200 --> 00:08:59.090 surgically remove a nodule entirely

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00:08:59.090 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.827$ and then send it to a pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00:09:01.830 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.934$ At that point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00{:}09{:}02.934 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}04.406$ there's really two choices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00:09:04.410 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.531$ You can either send it to what's

 $00:09:06.531 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.290$ called the frozen section area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00{:}09{:}08.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}10.586$ where we have people who are stand by,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00:09:10.590 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.674$ you know all the time and we'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00:09:12.674 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.928$ take those and get make a rapid

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00:09:14.928 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.053$ section out of that and you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00:09:17.053 \longrightarrow 00:09:19.112$ do that by literally freezing the

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00:09:19.112 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.494$ tissue and then cutting sections

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

00:09:21.494 --> 00:09:23.317 on specially equipped machines

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00{:}09{:}23.317 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}25.399$ called cry items which can make

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00:09:25.399 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.097$ sections which the pathologist can

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00:09:27.097 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.489$ look at within a few minutes of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

00:09:29.557 --> 00:09:31.377 specimen arriving in pathology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00:09:31.380 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.430$ Pathologist looks at that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00{:}09{:}33.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}35.480$ very quickly makes a decision.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00:09:35.480 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.152$ Is this look like a cancer or does

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

00:09:38.152 --> 00:09:40.418 this look like something else?

 $00:09:40.420 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.275$ And those are you know those though.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00{:}09{:}42.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}44.716$ That kind of analysis is extremely accurate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

00:09:44.720 --> 00:09:47.956 I just recently looked at our jails,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00:09:47.956 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.140$ institutions experience and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286 00:09:50.140 --> 00:09:50.642 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00:09:50.642 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.399$ in almost all cases it's not perfect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00:09:52.400 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.320$ There are certainly examples where

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00:09:54.320 \longrightarrow 00:09:55.856$ it's not completely accurate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

00:09:55.860 --> 00:09:57.498 but by and large it's a very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

00:09:57.500 --> 00:10:00.524 very accurate technique and you can tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00:10:00.524 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.259$ immediately whether it is in fact a cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00:10:03.260 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.635$ Alternatively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00:10:03.635 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.135$ sometimes people will simply

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

00:10:05.135 --> 00:10:07.439 take that tissue and submit it

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00:10:07.439 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.919$ for so-called permanent section,

 $00:10:08.920 \longrightarrow 00:10:09.434$ whereas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00:10:09.434 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.065$ Where we process it as we would any other

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

00:10:13.065 --> 00:10:15.530 specimen where we fix it in fixative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00:10:15.530 \longrightarrow 00:10:16.734$ we then section it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00:10:16.734 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.036$ We then submit it for routine astrology

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

00:10:19.036 --> 00:10:21.186 and that usually takes overnight

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

00:10:21.190 --> 00:10:22.486 if the tissue is small enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00:10:22.490 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.070$ we might be able to do it the same day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00:10:24.070 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.750$ but usually we do it overnight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00:10:25.750 \longrightarrow 00:10:28.243$ And again we look at it the next day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00:10:28.250 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.708$ And in all these cases again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

00:10:29.710 --> 00:10:32.170 we're very commonly would be using.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286 00:10:32.170 --> 00:10:32.791 As I said, NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00:10:32.791 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.240$ these stains that we can use to

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

00:10:34.296 --> 00:10:35.289 highlight specific molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00:10:35.289 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.275$ features of the tumor or to

00:10:37.275 --> 00:10:38.944 understand exactly what it is and

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286

 $00{:}10{:}38.944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}40.229$ characterize it a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884708590714286 00:10:40.230 --> 00:10:40.540 Better. NOTE Confidence: 0.745924221666667

 $00:10:41.990 \longrightarrow 00:10:44.210$ So there are a whole variety,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745924221666667

 $00:10:44.210 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.674$ as you alluded to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745924221666667

 $00:10:45.674 \longrightarrow 00:10:48.341$ of types of biopsies and types of

NOTE Confidence: 0.745924221666667

00:10:48.341 --> 00:10:50.717 techniques of looking at the these

NOTE Confidence: 0.745924221666667

 $00{:}10{:}50.717 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}53.098$ tissues to come to a diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745924221666667

 $00{:}10{:}53.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}55.508$ I think a few questions come to mind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745924221666667

 $00:10:55.510 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.110$ The first is when you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.745924221666667

 $00:10:58.110 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.710$ looking at these tiny cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745924221666667

 $00{:}11{:}00.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}02.642$ You know when you talked about

NOTE Confidence: 0.745924221666667

 $00{:}11{:}02.642 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}04.378$ putting a needle into something

NOTE Confidence: 0.745924221666667

 $00:11:04.378 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.288$ and aspirating a few cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745924221666667

00:11:06.290 --> 00:11:08.450 I'm sure that people wonder how

 $00:11:08.450 \longrightarrow 00:11:11.297$ easy is it for you to tell that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.745924221666667

 $00{:}11{:}11.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}14.196$ Is a cancer cell versus not a cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.745924221666667

 $00:11:14.196 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.380$ cell or a non small cell cancer cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.745924221666667

00:11:17.380 --> 00:11:20.039 versus a small cell cancer cell?

NOTE Confidence: 0.745924221666667 00:11:20.040 --> 00:11:20.415 How?

NOTE Confidence: 0.745924221666667

00:11:20.415 --> 00:11:23.415 How sure are you when you make that

NOTE Confidence: 0.745924221666667

00:11:23.415 --> 00:11:26.199 diagnosis of the diagnosis that you make,

NOTE Confidence: 0.745924221666667

 $00:11:26.200 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.035$ especially when it's just a few cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00{:}11{:}30.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}32.284$ I think I agree that this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00:11:32.284 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.549$ really part of the training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00:11:33.550 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.230$ I think we've all you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00:11:35.230 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.342$ one of the things that pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00:11:37.342 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.500$ involves is really a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00:11:39.500 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.205$ a lot of hands-on experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00:11:41.210 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.150$ That's number one number two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

00:11:43.150 --> 00:11:44.886 We are very sensitive to the notion

00:11:44.886 --> 00:11:46.666 that we don't want to, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00{:}11{:}46.666 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}48.682$ call something a cancer that's not cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

00:11:48.690 --> 00:11:50.810 and so again, as part of the training,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00:11:50.810 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.015$ we learn very carefully that there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00:11:53.015 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.121$ minimum number of cells you really need

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00:11:55.121 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.400$ in order to make a specific diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

00:11:57.400 --> 00:11:58.570 And there's no, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

00:11:58.570 --> 00:12:00.055 magic number in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00:12:00.055 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.770$ exactly how many cells that is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

00:12:01.770 --> 00:12:03.940 But I you know one cell is

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00:12:03.940 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.818$ certainly not going to be enough.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00:12:05.820 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.396$ And you know, is 100 cells necessary?

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00:12:08.400 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.260$ We certainly get down into,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

00:12:10.260 --> 00:12:11.950 maybe, you know, 100 cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00:12:11.950 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.738$ or maybe in some cases fewer.

 $00:12:13.740 \longrightarrow 00:12:15.798$ But largely we're very sensitive for

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00{:}12{:}15.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}18.417$ the notion we really want to see a

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00:12:18.417 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.187$ population of cells that are really

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

00:12:20.251 --> 00:12:22.119 clearly represent a malignancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00:12:22.120 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.672$ And the other thing we do is particularly

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00{:}12{:}24.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}27.754$ on the smaller samples we commonly, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

00:12:27.754 --> 00:12:29.296 pathologists will commonly show things we,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667 00:12:29.300 --> 00:12:30.116 each other. NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

00:12:30.116 --> 00:12:31.748 We're totally, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

00:12:31.750 --> 00:12:34.176 there's no sense of you know, ego involved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00{:}12{:}34.176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}35.716$ We show each other things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

00:12:35.720 --> 00:12:36.228 What do you think?

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00:12:36.228 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.736$ What do you think?

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

00:12:36.740 --> 00:12:39.456 Is this enough and we generally anything

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00:12:39.456 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.740$ where there's even a marginal call.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00:12:41.740 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.735$ We show things to each other and

 $00:12:43.735 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.835$ we document that we've shown it to

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00{:}12{:}45.835 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}47.611$ somebody else who agrees with us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00:12:47.620 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.380$ So that's really sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

00:12:49.380 --> 00:12:51.140 intrinsically baked into our process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

00:12:51.140 --> 00:12:52.435 and I think that the you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00:12:52.440 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.700$ if you actually were to go

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00:12:53.700 \longrightarrow 00:12:55.150$ and look at the literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

00:12:55.150 --> 00:12:58.540 You'd say that the based on you

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

00:12:58.540 --> 00:13:00.160 know if summaries people have done

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00:13:00.160 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.600$ this in pathology extensively,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

00:13:01.600 --> 00:13:03.352 whereas you ask and you go back and

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00:13:03.352 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.188$ you look at other peoples diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00{:}13{:}05.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}07.643$ How often are you correct and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00{:}13{:}07.643 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}09.509$ I can't say everything is perfect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

00:13:09.510 --> 00:13:10.975 There's nothing in life which

 $00:13:10.975 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.854$ is completely perfect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00:13:11.860 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.115$ But by and large it's extremely good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

00:13:15.120 --> 00:13:16.624 And so I think that you know if

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

00:13:16.624 --> 00:13:18.294 there is really any doubt you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

00:13:18.294 --> 00:13:19.856 patients can always ask for to

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00:13:19.856 \longrightarrow 00:13:21.186$ send it to another institution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

00:13:21.190 --> 00:13:22.905 I don't recall a case at Yale

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00:13:22.905 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.952$ where you know we've had a change

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00:13:24.952 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.808$ diagnosis along this line, certainly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00:13:26.808 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.798$ People can have other opinions

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00{:}13{:}29.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}31.780$ exactly how to classify a tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00:13:31.780 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.978$ but by and large we are very

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

00:13:33.978 --> 00:13:36.338 careful to try to prevent anything

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667

 $00{:}13{:}36.338 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}13{:}38.573$ where that's really an issue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.944573384166667 00:13:38.580 --> 00:13:39.330 Terrific, NOTE Confidence: 0.88041716173913

 $00:13:39.510 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.463$ well we're going to learn a lot

00:13:41.463 --> 00:13:43.002 more about lung cancer pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.88041716173913

00:13:43.002 --> 00:13:44.922 right after we take a short

NOTE Confidence: 0.88041716173913

 $00:13:44.922 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.638$ break for a medical minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88041716173913

 $00:13:46.640 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.922$ Please stay tuned to learn more about

NOTE Confidence: 0.88041716173913

00:13:48.922 --> 00:13:50.730 lung cancer pathology with my guest

NOTE Confidence: 0.88041716173913

 $00:13:50.730 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.990$ Doctor Robert Homer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855314181

00:13:52.520 --> 00:13:54.450 Funding for Yale Cancer Answers

NOTE Confidence: 0.855314181

 $00:13:54.450 \longrightarrow 00:13:56.380$ comes from Smilow Cancer Hospital,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855314181

 $00:13:56.380 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.936$ where the breast Cancer Prevention Clinic

NOTE Confidence: 0.855314181

 $00:13:58.936 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.640$ provides comprehensive risk assessment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855314181

 $00:14:00.640 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.535$ education and screening for women

NOTE Confidence: 0.855314181

 $00{:}14{:}02.535 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}04.930$ at increased risk of breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855314181

 $00:14:04.930 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.170$ To learn more, visit

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507937

 $00:14:08.180 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.580$ yalecancercenter.org/genetics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507937

 $00:14:10.580 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.460$ The American Cancer Society estimates that

 $00:14:13.460 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.932$ over 200,000 cases of Melanoma will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507937

00:14:15.932 --> 00:14:18.320 diagnosed in the United States this year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507937

 $00:14:18.320 \longrightarrow 00:14:21.435$ with over 1000 patients in Connecticut alone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507937

00:14:21.440 --> 00:14:23.765 While Melanoma accounts for only

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507937

 $00:14:23.765 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.308$ about 1% of skin cancer cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507937

00:14:26.308 --> 00:14:29.340 it causes the most skin cancer deaths,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507937

 $00:14:29.340 \longrightarrow 00:14:30.752$ but when detected early,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507937

 $00:14:30.752 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.440$ it is easily treated and highly curable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507937

 $00{:}14{:}33.440 \to 00{:}14{:}35.880$ Clinical trials are currently underway

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507937

 $00:14:35.880 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.832$ at federally designated Comprehensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507937

 $00{:}14{:}37.832 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}39.999$ cancer centers such as Yale Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507937

00:14:39.999 --> 00:14:42.210 Center and at Smilow Cancer Hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507937

 $00:14:42.210 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.410$ To test innovative new treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507937

 $00:14:44.410 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.290$ for Melanoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507937

 $00:14:45.290 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.522$ the goal of the specialized programs

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507937

 $00:14:47.522 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.403$ of research excellence and Skin

00:14:49.403 --> 00:14:51.389 Cancer Grant is to better understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507937

 $00:14:51.389 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.149$ the biology of skin cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507937

 $00:14:53.150 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.805$ With a focus on discovering

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507937

00:14:54.805 --> 00:14:57.042 targets that will lead to improved

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507937

 $00:14:57.042 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.587$ diagnosis and treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507937

00:14:58.590 --> 00:15:01.650 More information is available at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507937

00:15:01.650 --> 00:15:02.940 yalecancercenter.org you're listening

NOTE Confidence: 0.8507937

 $00:15:02.940 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.660$ to Connecticut Public Radio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87311971

 $00:15:06.970 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.046$ Welcome back to Yale Cancer Answers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87311971

 $00{:}15{:}09.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}10.254$ This is doctor Anees Chagpar

NOTE Confidence: 0.87311971

 $00:15:10.254 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.060$ and I'm joined tonight

NOTE Confidence: 0.87311971

 $00:15:12.119 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.027$ by my guest doctor Robert Homer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87311971

 $00{:}15{:}14.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}15.920$ We're learning more about lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.87311971

00:15:15.920 --> 00:15:17.810 cancer pathology and Doctor Homer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87311971

 $00:15:17.810 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.556$ right before the break you were

 $00:15:19.556 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.048$ talking about the fact that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87311971

 $00{:}15{:}21.048 \operatorname{{--}{>}} 00{:}15{:}22.692$ there's a lot of training that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87311971

00:15:22.692 --> 00:15:24.330 goes into being a pathologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87311971

 $00:15:24.330 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.930$ and that's really important because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87311971

 $00:15:26.930 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.218$ You know you really need to be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.87311971

 $00{:}15{:}29.218 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}30.804$ to recognize the difference between

NOTE Confidence: 0.87311971

00:15:30.804 --> 00:15:33.320 a cancer cell and a non cancer cell

NOTE Confidence: 0.87311971

 $00:15:33.320 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.668$ when making that diagnosis and when

NOTE Confidence: 0.87311971

 $00{:}15{:}36.668 \operatorname{{\text{--}}}{>} 00{:}15{:}39.890$ you have questions or concerns,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87311971

 $00:15:39.890 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.346$ do you have enough of a sample or

NOTE Confidence: 0.87311971

 $00{:}15{:}42.346 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}44.527$ it's kind of a borderline call?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87311971

 $00:15:44.530 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.416$ There's really no issue in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.87311971

00:15:47.416 --> 00:15:49.815 of seeking another opinion and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87311971

 $00{:}15{:}49.815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}52.524$ you as pathologists do that a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87311971

 $00:15:52.530 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.038$ You'll show that to other pathologists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87311971

00:15:55.040 --> 00:15:57.290 So one question that people who

 $00:15:57.290 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.415$ are listening might.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87311971

 $00{:}15{:}58.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}03.016$ Ask is you know, should patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87311971

00:16:03.020 --> 00:16:06.056 when given a lung cancer diagnosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87311971

 $00:16:06.060 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.965$ seek a second opinion with

NOTE Confidence: 0.87311971

 $00:16:07.965 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.489$ regards to their pathology?

NOTE Confidence: 0.940433091428571

 $00:16:11.570 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.391$ At another institution,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940433091428571

00:16:13.391 --> 00:16:16.430 if they're not sure, and because,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940433091428571

 $00:16:16.430 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.565$ how can a patient really be sure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.940433091428571

 $00:16:18.570 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.061$ aside from the fact that most of

NOTE Confidence: 0.940433091428571

00:16:20.061 --> 00:16:22.296 us have a lot of confidence in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.940433091428571

 $00:16:22.296 \longrightarrow 00:16:23.532$ institutions that we frequent?

NOTE Confidence: 0.771299579166667

 $00:16:25.120 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.535$ I, I think that anytime if there

NOTE Confidence: 0.771299579166667

00:16:27.535 --> 00:16:29.719 is a patient really unsure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771299579166667

00:16:29.720 --> 00:16:31.390 you know I'm you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771299579166667

 $00:16:31.390 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.110$ I sort of think about this in medicine.

 $00:16:33.110 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.886$ I think this is sort of a general

NOTE Confidence: 0.771299579166667

 $00{:}16{:}34.886 \to 00{:}16{:}36.668$ question about any medical advice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771299579166667

 $00:16:36.668 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.790$ So pathology report is is medical advice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771299579166667

00:16:39.790 --> 00:16:41.869 I think that if you see an oncologist and

NOTE Confidence: 0.771299579166667

00:16:41.869 --> 00:16:43.746 aren't sure about their advice you give,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771299579166667

 $00:16:43.750 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.950$ you should be free to seek another opinion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771299579166667

 $00:16:45.950 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.686$ If you seek a surgeon and get different

NOTE Confidence: 0.771299579166667

00:16:47.686 --> 00:16:49.327 advice you want and you're not happy

NOTE Confidence: 0.771299579166667

 $00{:}16{:}49.327 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}50.925$ with it or you're concerned and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.771299579166667

00:16:50.925 --> 00:16:52.525 want to make sure that you've seen it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771299579166667

 $00{:}16{:}52.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}53.766$ you can get a second opinion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771299579166667

 $00:16:53.770 \longrightarrow 00:16:54.770 \text{ I don't think that.}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.771299579166667

00:16:54.770 --> 00:16:56.020 Salty diagnosis or any different?

NOTE Confidence: 0.771299579166667

 $00{:}16{:}56.020 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}16{:}58.378$ I think you would put it in the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.771299579166667

00:16:58.378 --> 00:17:00.390 category as any other medical opinion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.771299579166667

 $00:17:00.390 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.472$ and you know if there's really

 $00:17:02.472 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.513$ any any concern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.771299579166667

 $00{:}17{:}03.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}05.482$ I think that that's a fine thing to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928615863125

00:17:06.510 --> 00:17:09.520 And so you know, I think one of the big

NOTE Confidence: 0.928615863125

00:17:09.600 --> 00:17:12.690 distinctions is cancer versus no cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.928615863125

 $00:17:12.690 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.357$ and one of the things that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.928615863125

 $00:17:15.357 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.976$ mentioned before the break was that

NOTE Confidence: 0.928615863125

00:17:17.976 --> 00:17:20.296 you're really very careful about

NOTE Confidence: 0.928615863125

 $00{:}17{:}20.296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}22.649$ calling cancer versus not cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928615863125

00:17:22.650 --> 00:17:25.359 And so tell us a little bit more about

NOTE Confidence: 0.928615863125

 $00{:}17{:}25.359 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}28.005$ the nuances you mentioned that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.928615863125

 $00:17:28.005 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.030$ know there's classifications in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.928615863125

 $00:17:30.030 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.749$ of small cell and non small cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.928615863125

 $00{:}17{:}32.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}35.630$ How do you make that distinction

NOTE Confidence: 0.928615863125

00:17:35.630 --> 00:17:37.378 and why is it important?

NOTE Confidence: 0.928615863125

 $00:17:37.378 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.970$ Or is it important to patients treatment?

 $00:17:40.790 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.445$ So the historical distinction between

NOTE Confidence: 0.885045428461538

 $00:17:43.445 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.717$ so-called small cell and non small

NOTE Confidence: 0.885045428461538

00:17:46.717 --> 00:17:48.804 cell carcinoma really goes back

NOTE Confidence: 0.885045428461538

 $00:17:48.804 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.678$ to the 1960s and 70s where it was

NOTE Confidence: 0.885045428461538

 $00:17:51.678 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.276$ understood that the vast majority of

NOTE Confidence: 0.885045428461538

 $00:17:54.276 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.978$ people with what's so called small

NOTE Confidence: 0.885045428461538

 $00:17:56.978 \longrightarrow 00:17:59.800$ cell carcinoma were most likely had

NOTE Confidence: 0.885045428461538

00:17:59.800 --> 00:18:02.225 a systemic disease on presentation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.885045428461538

 $00:18:02.230 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.016$ and they responded to certain types of

NOTE Confidence: 0.885045428461538

 $00:18:05.016 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.011$ chemotherapy that patients with so-called

NOTE Confidence: 0.885045428461538

 $00{:}18{:}07.011 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}09.213$ non small cell carcinoma did not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885045428461538

 $00:18:09.220 \longrightarrow 00:18:11.176$ And that's really sort of become.

NOTE Confidence: 0.885045428461538

 $00:18:11.180 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.748$ Sort of a founding principle of the field

NOTE Confidence: 0.885045428461538

 $00:18:13.748 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.968$ of thoracic oncology for a long time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

00:18:18.220 --> 00:18:20.308 We certainly at the you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:18:20.310 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.630$ back in the 60s to 70s we didn't

 $00:18:22.630 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.782$ have really any ancillary so called

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:18:24.782 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.518$ ancillary techniques like immunostains

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:18:26.518 \longrightarrow 00:18:28.364$ for molecular diagnostics and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:18:28.364 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.268$ that was really just based on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:18:30.268 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.800$ morphologic appearance of the cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:18:31.800 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.435$ With a few relatively by

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:18:33.435 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.416$ our current standards,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:18:34.420 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.232$ crude stains these days,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00{:}18{:}36.232 \rightarrow 00{:}18{:}38.950$ it's really pretty clear that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:18:39.032 \longrightarrow 00:18:41.532$ can improve the reproducibility of

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:18:41.532 \longrightarrow 00:18:44.660$ the diagnosis by getting some stains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:18:44.660 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.054$ There is a one particular paper

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:18:47.054 \longrightarrow 00:18:48.650$ that I use routinely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:18:48.650 \longrightarrow 00:18:50.500$ But that's an international that

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:18:50.500 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.350$ show that international consensus of

 $00:18:52.350 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.450$ difficult cases cases that people

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00{:}18{:}54.450 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}18{:}56.550$ agreed were not straightforward could

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:18:56.616 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.626$ be improved by using immunostains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

00:18:58.630 --> 00:19:00.947 And I also think that these days,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:19:00.950 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.342$ with molecular diagnostics being

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:19:02.342 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.082$ as advanced as it is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:19:04.090 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.070$ there are very rare cases where

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:19:06.070 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.390$ that can be helped.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00{:}19{:}07.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}08.694$ It's clear that, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00{:}19{:}08.694 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}10.650$ so called small cell carcinoma has

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00{:}19{:}10.713 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}12.738$ a very distinct molecular signature,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:19:12.740 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.278$ whereas tumors of so called non

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

00:19:15.278 --> 00:19:17.682 small cell have a range of other

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

00:19:17.682 --> 00:19:19.097 signatures which really would not

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:19:19.097 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.935$ be expected to be seen in that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:19:20.940 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.400$ So I think that there is,

00:19:23.400 --> 00:19:24.152 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:19:24.152 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.032$ the basic diagnosis is certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

00:19:26.032 --> 00:19:28.360 suggested by just routine Histology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:19:28.360 \longrightarrow 00:19:29.636$ and there's clearly cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:19:29.636 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.199$ clearly tumors which are just not small cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

00:19:32.200 --> 00:19:33.580 If you just look at it and say there's yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:19:33.580 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.656$ that's just not what it is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00{:}19{:}35.660 \to 00{:}19{:}36.983$ you know I don't really care about

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:19:36.983 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.605$ any of the other markers that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:19:38.605 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.104$ present and there's other tumors

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00{:}19{:}40.104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}42.460$ where you say you know I'm just

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:19:42.460 \longrightarrow 00:19:44.460$ not sure those are relatively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

00:19:44.460 --> 00:19:45.012 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

00:19:45.012 --> 00:19:46.668 maybe 5% of cases where people

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:19:46.668 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.200$ sort of have that thing.

 $00:19:48.200 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.814$ But of course you know at

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

00:19:49.814 --> 00:19:50.890 any larger Cancer Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

00:19:50.890 --> 00:19:51.392 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:19:51.392 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.149$ like Yale or other large cancer centers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:19:53.150 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.382$ we see enough cancers that they they show up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:19:55.390 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.540$ You know, all the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:19:57.540 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.388$ So we do have a sort of a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

00:20:00.390 --> 00:20:01.378 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00{:}20{:}01.378 \longrightarrow 00{:}20{:}05.330$ a standard protocol for a for resolving that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

00:20:05.330 --> 00:20:07.990 In terms of non small cell carcinomas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00{:}20{:}07.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}10.623$ we know that there is really two

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

00:20:10.623 --> 00:20:12.788 major subtypes within that admin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00{:}20{:}12.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}15.594$ so called a denocarcinoma and

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

00:20:15.594 --> 00:20:17.697 squamous cell carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:20:17.700 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.725$ And there's excellent markers that

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:20:19.725 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.470$ distinguish those two things from each other.

 $00:20:22.470 \longrightarrow 00:20:24.120$ We know that there's a large

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00{:}20{:}24.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}25.651$ percentage of those cases which

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00{:}20{:}25.651 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}27.159$ might be surgically resectable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

00:20:27.160 --> 00:20:29.464 We also know that all of the you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

00:20:29.470 --> 00:20:30.882 oncology protocols for patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:20:30.882 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.580$ who do not have resectable tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:20:34.580 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.180$ That's like the first thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00{:}20{:}36.180 \rightarrow 00{:}20{:}38.548$ pretty much in all the protocols is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00{:}20{:}38.548 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}40.699$ understand which of those two pathways it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

00:20:40.700 --> 00:20:42.500 It's in pretty much all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00{:}20{:}42.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}44.643$ molecular work up after that depends

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

00:20:44.643 --> 00:20:46.407 on that fundamental distinction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00{:}20{:}46.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}47.886$ and a lot of the the rapeutic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:20:47.890 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.248$ Decisions are based on that as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

00:20:49.250 --> 00:20:49.990 Not entirely,

 $00:20:49.990 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.730$ but largely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:20:50.730 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.580$ We have excellent markers that

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

00:20:52.580 --> 00:20:54.507 distinguish those two things these days,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

 $00:20:54.510 \longrightarrow 00:20:58.158$ and all lung tumors that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

00:20:58.158 --> 00:21:00.850 enough tissue to evaluate would

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

00:21:00.850 --> 00:21:02.410 most likely get one of those,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

00:21:02.410 --> 00:21:03.954 unless it's, again, histologically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837853475

00:21:03.954 --> 00:21:05.690 You know, quite straightforward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950468392352941

 $00:21:06.940 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.220$ And so that's really important because

NOTE Confidence: 0.950468392352941

00:21:09.220 --> 00:21:11.947 it it influences the type of treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.950468392352941

00:21:11.947 --> 00:21:14.009 that that patients get. Is that right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.683943352222222

 $00:21:14.420 \longrightarrow 00:21:16.120$ It is it distinguished influences

NOTE Confidence: 0.683943352222222

 $00:21:16.120 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.480$ the treatment they get,

NOTE Confidence: 0.683943352222222

 $00:21:17.480 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.306$ but also to a certain extent the work up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.683943352222222

 $00:21:20.310 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.010$ If you have somebody with which seems

NOTE Confidence: 0.683943352222222

 $00:21:23.010 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.360$ to be a like a localized, there rarely.

00:21:25.360 --> 00:21:27.280 Again you have cases which are

NOTE Confidence: 0.683943352222222

 $00{:}21{:}27.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}29.378$ look like a localized small cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.683943352222222

 $00:21:29.378 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.961$ The oncologist might try much harder to

NOTE Confidence: 0.683943352222222

 $00:21:31.961 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.433$ really convince himself or herself that

NOTE Confidence: 0.683943352222222

 $00:21:34.433 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.920$ that's really a truly localized tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.683943352222222

 $00:21:36.920 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.368$ Because the likelihood that

NOTE Confidence: 0.683943352222222

00:21:38.368 --> 00:21:39.816 they're probably missing something,

NOTE Confidence: 0.683943352222222

 $00{:}21{:}39.820 \longrightarrow 00{:}21{:}41.059$ so they might do a more extensive

NOTE Confidence: 0.683943352222222

 $00{:}21{:}41.059 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}42.448$ work up than they might otherwise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868258494

 $00:21:44.480 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.739$ And it's also true that a lot of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.868258494

00:21:46.739 --> 00:21:48.436 patients have more than one tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868258494

 $00:21:48.440 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.351$ unfortunately, and so a lot of lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.868258494

 $00{:}21{:}51.351 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}53.306$ of much of what I do, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868258494

 $00:21:53.306 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.239$ is direct with fast conchology is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.868258494

00:21:55.239 --> 00:21:57.071 pathology or other is to really look at

00:21:57.071 --> 00:21:58.897 patients who have more than one tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.868258494

 $00:21:58.897 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.443$ and really connect yourself that what

NOTE Confidence: 0.868258494

 $00:22:00.443 \longrightarrow 00:22:02.315$ you're seeing is which of those you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868258494

00:22:02.320 --> 00:22:04.217 Is this really a primary lung tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868258494

00:22:04.220 --> 00:22:07.420 or is this really coming from somewhere else?

NOTE Confidence: 0.868258494

 $00:22:07.420 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.850$ And so understanding that distinction

NOTE Confidence: 0.868258494

 $00:22:08.850 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.877$ is important in order to help with

NOTE Confidence: 0.868258494

 $00:22:10.877 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.503$ that decision making process as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877409946666667

00:22:13.990 --> 00:22:16.010 You know you mentioned molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.877409946666667

 $00:22:16.010 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.362$ diagnostics a few times and I want

NOTE Confidence: 0.877409946666667

 $00{:}22{:}18.362 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}20.430$ to dive a little bit more into that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877409946666667

 $00:22:20.430 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.170$ It on this show.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877409946666667

 $00{:}22{:}22.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}23.910$ We've talked about personalized

NOTE Confidence: 0.877409946666667

 $00:22:23.910 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.210$ medicine and targeted therapies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877409946666667

00:22:26.210 --> 00:22:30.248 The idea that these days pathologists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877409946666667

 $00{:}22{:}30.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}33.026$ can you know, look at these tumors in

00:22:33.026 --> 00:22:36.064 a variety of ways to kind of unlock

NOTE Confidence: 0.877409946666667

 $00:22:36.064 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.020$ the genomic signatures of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877409946666667

 $00:22:38.020 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.180$ identify whether they express

NOTE Confidence: 0.877409946666667

 $00:22:40.180 \longrightarrow 00:22:42.340$ certain receptors or certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.877409946666667

 $00{:}22{:}42.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}44.999$ proteins that then are targetable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.877409946666667

00:22:45.000 --> 00:22:49.040 With certain drugs, how common is that done?

NOTE Confidence: 0.877409946666667

00:22:49.040 --> 00:22:51.130 It should, should patients be

NOTE Confidence: 0.877409946666667

 $00{:}22{:}51.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}53.220$ going to their medical oncologists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877409946666667

 $00{:}22{:}53.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}55.957$ asking about you know whether they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.877409946666667

 $00:22:55.957 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.579$ a a BRAF mutation or a veg F mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.877409946666667

 $00:22:59.580 \longrightarrow 00:23:00.790$ or that kind of thing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:23:01.700 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.681$ Well, the good news is that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00{:}23{:}03.681 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}05.742$ are quite a number of consensus

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

00:23:05.742 --> 00:23:07.657 statements on which tumors are

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:23:07.657 \longrightarrow 00:23:09.937$ really the appropriate ones to do.

 $00:23:09.940 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.892$ The mock their testing on their

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

00:23:11.892 --> 00:23:13.460 variety of professional societies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

00:23:13.460 --> 00:23:15.848 which include both pathology and oncology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

00:23:15.850 --> 00:23:17.260 which is really very, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:23:17.260 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.210$ very explicitly stated who should

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

00:23:20.210 --> 00:23:23.160 get this kind of profiling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:23:23.160 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.158$ So for example, as I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:23:25.160 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.462$ the distinction adenocarcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00{:}23{:}26.462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}28.632$ and squamous cell carcinoma is

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:23:28.632 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.575$ really critical and it's really

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:23:30.575 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.265$ quite clear that the guidelines.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:23:32.270 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.874$ Or recommend that anyone with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

00:23:34.874 --> 00:23:36.610 nano carcinoma should absolutely

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00{:}23{:}36.687 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}38.587$ have unlocked the profiling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:23:38.590 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.370$ That's really and at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:23:40.370 \longrightarrow 00:23:43.040$ Let's say that 100% anyone with

 $00:23:43.040 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.690$ adequate tissue will have that done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00{:}23{:}45.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}47.265$ I should also point out the fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:23:47.265 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.766$ that even though we like to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:23:48.766 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.590$ of this as a tissue based process,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:23:50.590 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.890$ there's now also the options

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:23:53.890 \longrightarrow 00:23:55.816$ to get some of that molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:23:55.816 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.970$ profiling done just by a blood test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:23:57.970 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.301$ It is not as sensitive as getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00{:}24{:}00.301 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}02.436$ adequate piece of tissue, but if it's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

00:24:02.436 --> 00:24:03.648 you know if it detects it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

00:24:03.650 --> 00:24:05.414 appropriate genetic abnormality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:24:05.414 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.766$ then it can be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00{:}24{:}07.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}09.588$ I think we're all pretty comfortable

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00{:}24{:}09.588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}11.210$ that we can rely on it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:24:11.210 \longrightarrow 00:24:13.485$ On the other hand, as I said,

 $00:24:13.490 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.498$ having an adequate piece of tissue to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00{:}24{:}15.498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}17.887$ that is still considered the gold standard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

00:24:17.890 --> 00:24:20.230 Patients with squamous cell carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00{:}24{:}20.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}23.836$ unless with with relatively few exceptions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:24:23.840 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.948$ are not thought to benefit from sequencing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

00:24:26.950 --> 00:24:27.202 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:24:27.202 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.958$ there are few.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:24:27.958 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.470$ There are a few exceptions and

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:24:29.522 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.220$ because there are a few exceptions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

00:24:31.220 --> 00:24:33.065 some oncologists do would like

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:24:33.065 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.386$ to see their lung cancers from

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:24:35.386 \longrightarrow 00:24:37.416$ these patients sequence as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

00:24:37.420 --> 00:24:38.892 But that's a much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:24:38.892 \longrightarrow 00:24:41.890$ it's more of a very specific decision.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

00:24:41.890 --> 00:24:43.719 That needs to be done individually,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:24:43.719 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.191$ I think now and I should also point

00:24:46.191 --> 00:24:48.550 out the fact that from my perspective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00{:}24{:}48.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}50.874$ even though we like to talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:24:50.874 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.065$ Gnostics as being particularly important

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

00:24:53.065 --> 00:24:54.656 for specific therapeutic decisions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00{:}24{:}54.656 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}57.754$ so do I have a mutation in a gene

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:24:57.754 \longrightarrow 00:24:59.668$ where there's a specific drug that

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

00:24:59.668 --> 00:25:01.430 targets that specific mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:25:01.430 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.535$ which is great when when we have it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

00:25:04.540 --> 00:25:06.374 It's also true that from my perspective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:25:06.380 \longrightarrow 00:25:07.760$ sometimes that but profiling

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:25:07.760 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.830$ could be very useful to decide

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:25:09.894 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.938$ whether something is in fact a lung

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00{:}25{:}11.938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}13.759$ cancer or from somewhere else,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:25:13.760 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.105$ or it's actually fairly common to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:25:16.105 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.222$ patients who have had a lung cancer

 $00:25:18.222 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.280$ to then have a second lung cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

00:25:20.280 --> 00:25:21.840 And sometimes we use it to

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

00:25:21.840 --> 00:25:23.190 distinguish whether is this really

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:25:23.190 \longrightarrow 00:25:24.756$ the same tumor which is record?

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:25:24.760 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.734$ Or is this really a new tumor?

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:25:26.740 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.322$ And at the again there may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:25:28.322 \rightarrow 00:25:29.230$ therapeutic implications from that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

 $00:25:29.230 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.322$ depending on the specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.911416935

00:25:31.322 --> 00:25:32.368 patient circumstance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905616898461538

 $00:25:33.590 \longrightarrow 00:25:36.470$ So it sounds like you know these decisions

NOTE Confidence: 0.905616898461538

 $00{:}25{:}36.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}41.210$ are are really critical and or can be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905616898461538

 $00:25:41.210 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.416$ Now you mentioned that with Frozen section

NOTE Confidence: 0.905616898461538

 $00:25:44.416 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.797$ you can make a diagnosis in minutes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905616898461538

 $00:25:47.800 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.848$ but in terms of getting all of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.905616898461538

 $00:25:50.848 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.520$ information you know small cell versus

NOTE Confidence: 0.905616898461538

 $00{:}25{:}53.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}56.262$ non small cell adeno versus squamous,

 $00:25:56.270 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.713$ the molecular profiling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905616898461538

 $00:25:57.713 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.118$ How long does that take?

NOTE Confidence: 0.642027447142857

 $00:26:01.710 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.330$ So the add new versus squamous distinction

NOTE Confidence: 0.642027447142857

 $00:26:06.330 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.718$ so frequently that you know that

NOTE Confidence: 0.642027447142857

 $00:26:08.718 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.288$ depends on the specifics of the tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.642027447142857

00:26:11.290 --> 00:26:14.044 It's pretty common in a tumor which is so

NOTE Confidence: 0.642027447142857

 $00:26:14.044 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.587$ called well or moderately differentiated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.642027447142857

00:26:16.590 --> 00:26:18.600 That is still has histologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.642027447142857

 $00:26:18.600 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.610$ evidence that is producing these

NOTE Confidence: 0.642027447142857

 $00:26:20.683 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.729$ particular histologic features.

NOTE Confidence: 0.642027447142857

 $00:26:22.730 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.941$ You can tell it just at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.642027447142857

 $00:26:23.941 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.321$ time of frozen section would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.642027447142857

 $00{:}26{:}25.321 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}26.405$ pretty comfortable with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.642027447142857

 $00:26:26.410 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.522$ There are other tumors where it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.642027447142857

 $00:26:28.522 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.930$ a very undifferentiated tumor.

 $00:26:29.930 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.454$ It really does not the Histology

NOTE Confidence: 0.642027447142857

 $00{:}26{:}31.454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}33.255$ of the just looking at it doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.642027447142857

 $00:26:33.255 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.475$ really tell you very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.642027447142857

 $00:26:34.480 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.544$ They needed a stains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.642027447142857

00:26:36.544 --> 00:26:38.680 No, it depends on you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.642027447142857

 $00:26:38.680 \longrightarrow 00:26:40.920$ that could be a day or two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.642027447142857

 $00:26:40.920 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.924$ Might be your students

NOTE Confidence: 0.642027447142857

 $00:26:41.924 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.677$ aren't terribly helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.642027447142857

 $00{:}26{:}42.680 \to 00{:}26{:}44.798$ You might do a second round,

NOTE Confidence: 0.642027447142857

 $00:26:44.800 \longrightarrow 00:26:47.614$ so that might be a few days

NOTE Confidence: 0.642027447142857

00:26:47.620 --> 00:26:50.360 and then the molecular work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.642027447142857

 $00:26:50.360 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.288$ which includes one marker which helps

NOTE Confidence: 0.642027447142857

 $00{:}26{:}53.288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}56.602$ determine how available you are or how

NOTE Confidence: 0.642027447142857

 $00:26:56.602 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.158$ effective immunotherapy is likely to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.642027447142857

 $00:26:59.160 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.276$ With civil PDL.

NOTE Confidence: 0.642027447142857

 $00:27:00.276 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.084$ One stain that should be done

 $00:27:02.084 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.020$ within another few days or a week,

NOTE Confidence: 0.642027447142857

 $00:27:04.020 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.370$ and then they'll look up profiling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.642027447142857

 $00:27:05.370 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.726$ Again, should be done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.642027447142857

 $00:27:06.726 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.082$ There's again national standards

NOTE Confidence: 0.642027447142857

 $00:27:08.082 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.079$ that should be done within two weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.948337752

00:27:11.330 --> 00:27:14.470 So it can take up to two weeks to get,

NOTE Confidence: 0.948337752

00:27:14.470 --> 00:27:16.102 you know, kind of a thorough

NOTE Confidence: 0.948337752

00:27:16.102 --> 00:27:17.839 pathologic work up of your cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.948337752

 $00:27:17.839 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.597$ is that is that about accurate?

NOTE Confidence: 0.68068933

 $00:27:20.230 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.460$ I think that for lung cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68068933

 $00:27:21.460 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.386$ I think that's where we are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.68068933

 $00:27:23.386 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.970$ the peripheral blood testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68068933

 $00:27:24.970 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.022$ If it shows something,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68068933

 $00:27:26.022 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.930$ might be a few days before that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68068933

 $00:27:27.930 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.346$ but it's not going to be.

 $00:27:29.350 \longrightarrow 00:27:30.125$ You know, it's not going

NOTE Confidence: 0.68068933

00:27:30.125 --> 00:27:31.130 to be just a few days,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68068933

00:27:31.130 --> 00:27:33.524 it might be 10 days instead of two weeks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.68068933

 $00:27:33.530 \longrightarrow 00:27:34.760$ but that seems to be where

NOTE Confidence: 0.68068933

 $00:27:34.760 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.000$ we are at the moment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878763908125

 $00:27:37.050 \longrightarrow 00:27:39.246$ The reason I bring it up is because you

NOTE Confidence: 0.878763908125

 $00:27:39.246 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.497$ know when patients and people hear that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878763908125

 $00:27:41.500 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.552$ You can make a diagnosis in

NOTE Confidence: 0.878763908125

00:27:43.552 --> 00:27:44.920 minutes with frozen section.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878763908125

 $00:27:44.920 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.482$ They often will then go to their

NOTE Confidence: 0.878763908125

 $00{:}27{:}47.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}49.624$ clinician saying why is it taking

NOTE Confidence: 0.878763908125

 $00:27:49.624 \longrightarrow 00:27:51.892$ so long to get the pathology back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878763908125

 $00:27:51.900 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.690$ On my lung cancer can we

NOTE Confidence: 0.878763908125

 $00:27:54.690 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.550$ get started with treatment?

NOTE Confidence: 0.878763908125

00:27:56.550 --> 00:27:58.678 So I think that you've kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.878763908125

 $00:27:58.678 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.572$ elucidated why it takes so long

 $00:28:00.572 \longrightarrow 00:28:02.700$ and I personally have a maxim that

NOTE Confidence: 0.878763908125

 $00{:}28{:}02.769 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}04.799$ says never rush the pathologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.878763908125

 $00:28:04.800 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.340$ Their opinion is too important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901859358

 $00:28:07.950 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.720$ I appreciate that we all you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.901859358

 $00:28:10.720 \longrightarrow 00:28:12.448$ we want to get it right. We all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901859358

00:28:12.448 --> 00:28:13.942 I think in pathology we're all

NOTE Confidence: 0.901859358

 $00:28:13.942 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.441$ really very aware that somebody

NOTE Confidence: 0.901859358

 $00{:}28{:}15.441 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}17.026$ is waiting for these diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901859358

00:28:17.030 --> 00:28:19.852 You know we're not, you know, I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901859358

 $00:28:19.852 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.770$ I always personally have a feeling that

NOTE Confidence: 0.901859358

 $00{:}28{:}21.826 \longrightarrow 00{:}28{:}23.826$ you know should you know should do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901859358

 $00:28:23.830 \longrightarrow 00:28:24.690$ You should be accurate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901859358

00:28:24.690 --> 00:28:26.776 but you should also be fast and I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.901859358

 $00:28:26.776 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.270$ that that they're they're both important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901859358

 $00:28:28.270 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.030$ You know nobody is going to cut corners.

 $00:28:30.030 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.818$ On the other hand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.901859358

00:28:30.818 --> 00:28:34.290 nobody wants to, just, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.901859358

 $00:28:34.290 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.618$ Slow walk this the diagnosis out the door

NOTE Confidence: 0.865422551111111

 $00:28:36.950 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.414$ yeah so in in our last minute or

NOTE Confidence: 0.865422551111111

 $00:28:40.414 \longrightarrow 00:28:42.649$ two maybe you can tell us what's on

NOTE Confidence: 0.865422551111111

 $00:28:42.649 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.549$ the horizon in thoracic pathology?

NOTE Confidence: 0.865422551111111

 $00:28:44.550 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.094$ What do we have to look forward to?

NOTE Confidence: 0.919789217

00:28:47.150 --> 00:28:48.614 That's a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.919789217

00:28:48.614 --> 00:28:51.628 I think one of the things going forward

NOTE Confidence: 0.919789217

 $00:28:51.628 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.082$ is really a better understanding of

NOTE Confidence: 0.919789217

 $00{:}28{:}54.082 \to 00{:}28{:}55.965$ exactly how the immune system works.