WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:54:41" NOTE recognizability:0.851

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.538$ 32 Cancer Center grain grand rounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

00:00:02.540 --> 00:00:04.112 I'm making a guest appearance today

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00{:}00{:}04.112 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}06.156$ and I can't say that it's wonderful

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00{:}06.156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}09.032$ to be a guest in introducing a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

00:00:09.032 --> 00:00:11.456 special speaker who is Doctor Kathy

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00{:}00{:}11.456 \to 00{:}00{:}14.275$ Yang and many of us know Doctor Ang

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00:00:14.275 \longrightarrow 00:00:17.628$ who is very well known in the field of

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00:00:17.628 \longrightarrow 00:00:20.268$ Colt GI Malignancies and Doctor Lang.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00:00:20.270 \longrightarrow 00:00:23.077$ Is the David Johnson chair and surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00:00:23.077 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.096$ and medical oncology, and she's Co.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00{:}00{:}25.096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}28.140$ Leader of the GI Cancers research program.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

00:00:28.140 --> 00:00:30.338 At Vanderbilt, she's also, I think Co.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00:00:30.340 \longrightarrow 00:00:32.908$ Director of the OR Director of the Young

 $00:00:32.908 \longrightarrow 00:00:35.084$ Adults Cancer Initiative and started at

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00{:}00{:}35.084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}37.292$ Vanderbilt about a couple years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00:00:37.300 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.970$ so really has done phenomenally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00{:}00{:}40.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}42.980$ Kathy received her MD from Hahnemann

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00:00:42.980 \longrightarrow 00:00:45.037$ and then her residency in internal

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

00:00:45.037 --> 00:00:47.340 medicine at Russia and then did her

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00:00:47.340 \longrightarrow 00:00:49.180$ fellowship in hematology oncology at

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00:00:49.180 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.336$ the University of Chicago and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00{:}00{:}51.336 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}53.807$ spent majority of her life at MD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00:00:53.810 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.750$ Anderson focused on what is close to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00{:}00{:}56.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}58.465$ I think several of our hearts in

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

00:00:58.465 --> 00:01:00.269 in focused on colorectal cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00{:}01{:}00.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}03.336$ GI cancers and translational research and

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00:01:03.336 \longrightarrow 00:01:07.348$ what impact can we do in in those fields.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00:01:07.350 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.420$ She also played important roles in

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00:01:09.420 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.160$ faculty governance. I was excited to see.

 $00:01:11.160 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.785$ Kathy ticker roll in as in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

00:01:13.785 --> 00:01:14.535 faculty Senate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

00:01:14.540 --> 00:01:16.490 which often is an important piece

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00:01:16.490 \longrightarrow 00:01:19.072$ and then move to Vanderbilt in 2019.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

00:01:19.072 --> 00:01:21.304 Her interest has been in clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00:01:21.304 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.059$ trials and how can we define novel

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00:01:24.059 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.411$ drugs for treatment of these cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00:01:26.420 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.544$ And as I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00:01:27.544 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.047$ is also beyond focus on the young adult

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00{:}01{:}30.047 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}31.932$ colorectal cancer patients has also

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00{:}01{:}31.932 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}34.499$ focused on role of immunotherapy in HP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00:01:34.500 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.340$ Associated Cancers has published many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00{:}01{:}37.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}38.995$ many papers on these fields

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00{:}01{:}38.995 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}40.650$ and has led many trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00:01:40.650 \longrightarrow 00:01:41.970$ I'm not going to enumerate.

 $00:01:41.970 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.253$ All of the her leadership roles in

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00{:}01{:}45.253 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}47.450$ the various national societies in

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00{:}01{:}47.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}50.598$ ASCO ASCO GI E Cog and see I most

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00:01:50.598 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.034$ recently that rang was chosen as the

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00{:}01{:}53.034 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}55.606$ Vice chair of the swag GI Committee

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

00:01:55.606 --> 00:01:58.196 and NCI GI Steering Committee and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00{:}01{:}58.196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}00.136$ has focused on workforce shortages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00:02:00.140 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.712$ So overall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00{:}02{:}00.712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}02{:}02.714$ what I would describe as not only

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00:02:02.714 \longrightarrow 00:02:04.230$ a talented clinician,

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00{:}02{:}04.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}06.108$ but also thinking about our field

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

00:02:06.108 --> 00:02:08.099 and oncology and the broader things

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00:02:08.099 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.110$ that guide us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00:02:09.110 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.768$ So really looking forward to her

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

00:02:11.768 --> 00:02:13.400 presentation today. Welcome, Kathy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.61968868

 $00{:}02{:}13.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}15.620$ You're only sorry this is virtual.

 $00:02:16.160 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.237$ Well, thank you so much and let me go

NOTE Confidence: 0.900621568571429

 $00{:}02{:}20.237 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}26.300$ ahead and share my screen. And. Sorry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87130559

00:02:34.630 --> 00:02:38.067 OK, did did it come across OK?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87130559

00:02:38.070 --> 00:02:40.776 Perfect so today is March 1st,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87130559

 $00:02:40.780 \dashrightarrow 00:02:42.910$ so it's colorectal Cancer Awareness Month,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87130559

00:02:42.910 --> 00:02:46.844 so I am delighted to participate in

NOTE Confidence: 0.87130559

 $00:02:46.844 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.503$ this session with all of you today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87130559

00:02:48.510 --> 00:02:51.866 With so many familiar faces and

NOTE Confidence: 0.87130559

 $00{:}02{:}51.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}55.128$ thank you so much for inviting me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87130559

 $00:02:55.130 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.195$ So we'll just be touching up on kind of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87130559

 $00{:}02{:}59.195 \to 00{:}03{:}01.070$ general field regarding colorectal cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87130559

 $00:03:01.070 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.896$ since it seems appropriate for March

NOTE Confidence: 0.87130559

 $00{:}03{:}03.896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}05.780$ colorectal Cancer Awareness Month.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87130559

 $00{:}03{:}05.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}09.188$ And these are the topics we'll be discussing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94186048

 $00:03:12.050 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.280$ And these are my disclosures.

 $00:03:15.280 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.195$ So friendly reminder for those

NOTE Confidence: 0.94186048

 $00{:}03{:}17.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}19.110$ that are don't treat colorectal

NOTE Confidence: 0.94186048

 $00:03:19.180 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.790$ cancer in a regular basis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94186048

 $00:03:20.790 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.118$ It is expected in 2022 that 151,000

NOTE Confidence: 0.94186048

 $00:03:24.118 \longrightarrow 00:03:25.808$ individuals will be diagnosed with

NOTE Confidence: 0.94186048

00:03:25.808 --> 00:03:27.819 this disease and about 45 thousand

NOTE Confidence: 0.94186048

 $00:03:27.819 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.613$ of those will be rectal carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94186048

 $00:03:29.620 \longrightarrow 00:03:31.198$ It still remains the second leading

NOTE Confidence: 0.94186048

 $00{:}03{:}31.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}33.028$ cause of cancer death for men and

NOTE Confidence: 0.94186048

 $00:03:33.028 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.474$ women combined and the meeting age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94186048

00:03:34.480 --> 00:03:36.027 As many of you are aware is

NOTE Confidence: 0.94186048

 $00:03:36.027 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.220$ usually in the late 60s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94186048

00:03:37.220 --> 00:03:39.138 but I'm going to be touching upon

NOTE Confidence: 0.94186048

 $00{:}03{:}39.138 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}41.356$ that because of my own interest in

NOTE Confidence: 0.94186048

00:03:41.356 --> 00:03:43.033 early onset colorectal cancer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.94186048

 $00:03:43.033 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.697$ for as long as I've been in practice.

00:03:45.700 --> 00:03:46.062 Unfortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94186048

 $00{:}03{:}46.062 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}48.596$ the five year survival for our stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.94186048

 $00:03:48.596 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.545$ four patient population has basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.94186048

 $00:03:50.545 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.279$ only changed from about 13 to 14\%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.94186048

 $00:03:53.279 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.096$ and it looks closer now to 15%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.94186048

 $00{:}03{:}56.096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}57.920$ Based upon these numbers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:04:00.550 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.410$ Friendly reminder as well for

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:04:02.410 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.270$ the majority of our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00{:}04{:}04{:}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}06{.}922$ Unfortunately they do not have an

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00{:}04{:}06.922 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}09.190$ inherited form of colorectal cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:04:09.190 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.290$ such as Lynch syndrome or FAP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:04:11.290 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.378$ In the majority of cases are

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00{:}04{:}13.378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}15.852$ going to be sporadic and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00{:}04{:}15.852 --> 00{:}04{:}18.557$ why this is very difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00{:}04{:}18.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}21.598$ Cancer for us to tackle because I

00:04:21.598 --> 00:04:24.190 believe it's largely multifactorial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:04:24.190 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.780$ I'm not going to be touching

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:04:25.780 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.329$ upon the very basic information

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:04:27.329 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.607$ regarding chemotherapy because

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:04:28.607 \longrightarrow 00:04:30.737$ most of the literature nowadays

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:04:30.737 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.550$ is about molecular subsets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00{:}04{:}32.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}34.652$ and I'll touch upon the more recent

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:04:34.652 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.704$ data on some of those subsets.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00{:}04{:}36.710 --> 00{:}04{:}39.518$ But for us for any stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

00:04:39.518 --> 00:04:40.922 4 surgically unresectable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00{:}04{:}40.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}43.174$ patient or patient that is going

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:04:43.174 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.670$ to receive neoadjuvant therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:04:44.737 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.501$ with metastatic disease prior

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

00:04:46.501 --> 00:04:47.824 to surgical resection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:04:47.830 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.161$ we often consider the use of systemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:04:50.161 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.429$ chemotherapy and the most common regimens,

 $00:04:52.430 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.837$ as many of you are familiar with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00{:}04{:}53.840 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}04{:}55.360$ May include folfox or folfiri

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:04:55.360 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.880$ with the consideration of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

00:04:56.938 --> 00:04:58.698 targeted agents such as berbasis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

00:04:58.700 --> 00:05:01.165 ma'am or anti EGFR therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:05:01.165 \longrightarrow 00:05:04.898$ such as PM AB or C tax map.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:05:04.900 \longrightarrow 00:05:06.818$ If the patient is rest wild type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:05:06.820 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.512$ If the patients treatment naive if

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:05:08.512 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.679$ they don't have a right sided tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:05:10.680 \longrightarrow 00:05:13.216$ we may want to consider anti EGFR therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:05:13.220 \longrightarrow 00:05:15.299$ although that is not my personal preference.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00{:}05{:}15.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}17.610$ I tend to reserve it for further

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00{:}05{:}17.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}20.488$ down the line and then full Fox

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00{:}05{:}20.488 \to 00{:}05{:}22.706$ Erie for a patient that is well

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:05:22.706 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.870$ with good performance status.

 $00:05:23.870 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.892$ Fair reasonable file with the high

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

00:05:25.892 --> 00:05:28.300 response rate of 65 to 78% and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:05:28.300 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.330$ of course we have our oral agents

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00{:}05{:}30.396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}32.446$ regorafenib and lonsurf that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00{:}05{:}32.446 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}34.496$ currently FDA approved as single

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:05:34.562 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.262$ agents in the refractory setting

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:05:36.262 \longrightarrow 00:05:38.426$ and then last but not least,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:05:38.426 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.250$ the rare subtypes currently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00{:}05{:}40.250 \to 00{:}05{:}42.735$ Our median survival for all stage four

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:05:42.735 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.253$ patients is roughly 32 to 34 months

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00{:}05{:}45.253 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}46.988$ for the general patient population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:05:46.990 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.989$ but once again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00{:}05{:}47.989 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}49.987$ right sided tumors do not appear

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:05:49.987 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.158$ to fear as well in regards

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:05:52.158 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.272$ to overall survival for our.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

00:05:54.272 --> 00:05:56.236 Either Rasputin patients and

 $00:05:56.236 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.320$ then our right side of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:05:59.320 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.309$ And these are the molecular subsets that

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:06:02.309 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.119$ we've largely been focused on recently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:06:05.120 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.298$ Queiroz is the most common mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

00:06:07.300 --> 00:06:08.412 Majority of our patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:06:08.412 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.074$ but we do like to take into account other

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

00:06:11.074 --> 00:06:13.730 rare RASK mutations such as N Rasen d'etre,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:06:13.730 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.512$ and then we do have some

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

00:06:15.512 --> 00:06:16.403 other rare mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

00:06:16.410 --> 00:06:17.595 including MSI high,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00{:}06{:}17.595 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}20.360$ which will be discussing the BRAF mutation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

00:06:20.360 --> 00:06:22.852 which is less than 10% of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00{:}06{:}22.852 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}24.411$ patient population with V600E.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

00:06:24.411 --> 00:06:26.997 PIK 3 CA is being investigated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:06:27.000 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.120$ as in clinical trials and then.

00:06:30.120 --> 00:06:31.380 And then there's the end track fusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

00:06:31.380 --> 00:06:32.808 which I will not be touching upon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:06:32.810 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.962$ because that's extremely rare

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:06:33.962 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.118$ and have yet to see a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:06:36.118 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.606$ with the interact fusion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

00:06:37.610 --> 00:06:39.521 But I'm always curious when people tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

00:06:39.521 --> 00:06:41.559 me they've had one occasional patient,

NOTE Confidence: 0.882954597

 $00:06:41.560 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.488$ and that's less than 1% of all patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825977781111111

 $00:06:45.620 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.768$ So for all of our patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.825977781111111

 $00:06:47.768 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.842$ with metastatic disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8259777811111111

 $00{:}06{:}48.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}51.127$ I'm going to be focusing on that in large

NOTE Confidence: 0.825977781111111

00:06:51.127 --> 00:06:53.063 part for this talk and then touching

NOTE Confidence: 0.825977781111111

00:06:53.063 --> 00:06:55.030 upon a little bit on early stage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.825977781111111

 $00:06:55.030 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.226$ colon and rectal if brief time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825977781111111

00:06:57.230 --> 00:06:59.396 But next generation sequencing is extremely

NOTE Confidence: 0.825977781111111

00:06:59.396 --> 00:07:01.470 important for our patient population,

 $00:07:01.470 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.360$ and identifying once again those

NOTE Confidence: 0.825977781111111

 $00:07:03.360 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.872$ mutations that I mentioned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825977781111111

 $00:07:04.880 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.716$ And these are some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.825977781111111

 $00:07:06.716 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.310$ aspects will be touching upon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.825977781111111

00:07:08.310 --> 00:07:11.268 Once again, MSI Grass B RAF

NOTE Confidence: 0.825977781111111

 $00:07:11.268 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.240$ and her two amplification.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

00:07:15.800 --> 00:07:18.680 For MSI high colorectal carcinoma patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:07:18.680 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.420$ which represent less than 10%

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:07:20.420 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.300$ of our patient population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:07:23.300 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.218$ This is one of the most important

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

00:07:25.218 --> 00:07:27.338 studies to date for MSI high patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:07:27.340 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.211$ and I'm sure many of you are familiar with

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00{:}07{:}30.211 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}32.785$ the role of pembrolizum ab in treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

00:07:32.785 --> 00:07:35.626 Naive MSI high colorectal carcinoma patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:07:35.626 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.300$ This was basically a one to one

 $00:07:38.371 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.316$ randomization that has since been

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:07:40.316 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.855$ updated in regards to overall survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:07:42.855 \longrightarrow 00:07:44.979$ compared to standard chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

00:07:44.980 --> 00:07:47.356 and in this case was two primary endpoints,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

00:07:47.360 --> 00:07:51.040 PFS and OS, and the results were extremely

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:07:51.040 \longrightarrow 00:07:53.708$ impressive when they were originally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:07:53.710 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.158$ Presented and eventually published.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:07:55.158 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.172$ And this is looking at the progression free

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00{:}07{:}58.172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}00.909$ survival for our MSI high treatment naive

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:08:00.909 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.226$ patients when receiving Pember Lizum app.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00{:}08{:}03.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}05.480$ This is single agent pembrolizumab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:08:05.480 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.088$ Now you may say that there's a crossover

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:08:08.088 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.494$ in about 1/3 of patients in those that

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

00:08:10.494 --> 00:08:12.375 did receive Pember Lizum app at 1st and

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

00:08:12.375 --> 00:08:14.239 did not appear to benefit from this drug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:08:14.240 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.410$ And unfortunately we don't have the exact

 $00:08:16.410 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.358$ etiology to account for this right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:08:18.360 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.398$ But as you can see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00{:}08{:}19.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}22.186$ the PFS was twice as high for

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

00:08:22.186 --> 00:08:24.021 that versus standard chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:08:24.021 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.806$ for the majority of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:08:26.810 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.967$ And the OS has also been determined

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:08:29.967 \longrightarrow 00:08:32.770$ to be of significance in the sense

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:08:32.770 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.670$ that there was crossover loud.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00{:}08{:}34.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}36.886$ Once again, this is a Co primary endpoint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00{:}08{:}36.890 \rightarrow 00{:}08{:}39.823$ so 60% of patients were allowed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00{:}08{:}39.823 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}41.999$ crossover to receive IO therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:08:42.000 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.493$ and so that that is obviously an issue when

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00{:}08{:}44.493 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}47.246$ I'm looking at your statistical significance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00{:}08{:}47.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}49.150$ The prespecified P value

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:08:49.150 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.441$ was supposed to be 0.0246,

 $00:08:51.441 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.178$ so they did not meet its pre

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

00:08:54.178 --> 00:08:55.782 specified value for OS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667 00:08:55.782 --> 00:08:56.186 however. NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:08:56.186 \longrightarrow 00:08:59.602$ Obviously I would say we can clearly see

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:08:59.602 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.496$ that there was crossover 60% of patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:09:02.496 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.061$ and that's likely accounted for

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

00:09:04.061 --> 00:09:06.282 this and also keeping in mind this

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:09:06.282 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.817$ is really considered the standard

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:09:07.817 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.477$ of care for these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:09:09.480 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.162$ Now what is the other 1/3 of patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:09:12.162 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.056$ do not appear to benefit from IO therapy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667 00:09:15.060 --> 00:09:15.710 Once again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

00:09:15.710 --> 00:09:18.652 we still have yet to understand why that is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00{:}09{:}18.652 \to 00{:}09{:}21.046$ and obviously it does bring to mind

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:09:21.046 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.594$ that is there a potential benefit for

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:09:23.594 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.360$ the consideration of chemotherapy,

00:09:25.360 --> 00:09:26.683 plus IO therapy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:09:26.683 \dashrightarrow 00:09:30.599$ And that is an ongoing trial by the way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

00:09:30.600 --> 00:09:31.788 Looking at response rate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:09:31.788 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.952$ you can see here 44% versus 33% for

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:09:35.952 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.924$ pembrolizumab versus standard chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:09:38.930 \longrightarrow 00:09:40.748$ But what is of great interest?

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

00:09:40.750 --> 00:09:43.180 I think for many of us is the fact

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:09:43.180 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.215$ that there may be some potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

00:09:45.215 --> 00:09:46.627 benefit for combination therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:09:46.630 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.485$ Now this is a single ARM study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:09:48.490 \dashrightarrow 00:09:53.124$ Check mate 142 looking at Nebo plus iffy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

00:09:53.130 --> 00:09:55.923 It was part of a large study

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00{:}09{:}55.923 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}58.789$ that we're not comparative arms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

00:09:58.790 --> 00:10:00.490 but looking at various cohorts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:10:00.490 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.182$ and this was in the treatment

 $00:10:02.182 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.028$ naive setting here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00{:}10{:}03.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}05.984$ primary endpoint was response and this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

00:10:05.984 --> 00:10:09.690 published by Heinz Josef Lenz last fall,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:10:09.690 \longrightarrow 00:10:11.770$ and I told you the response rate was

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:10:11.770 \dashrightarrow 00:10:13.670$ 44% for single agent pembrolizumab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

00:10:13.670 --> 00:10:15.392 Keeping in mind this is once

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

00:10:15.392 --> 00:10:17.176 again a small study, 45 patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:10:17.176 \longrightarrow 00:10:19.084$ This is not a phase three,

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00{:}10{:}19.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}21.762$ but the response rate was 69% and

NOTE Confidence: 0.743948656666667

 $00:10:21.762 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.694$ as you can see across the board.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9005951422222222

 $00:10:23.700 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.700$ Quite impressive response rates and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

00:10:26.700 --> 00:10:31.414 reported that the 24 month of OS was 79%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9005951422222222

 $00:10:31.414 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.884$ so it's actually quite impressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00:10:32.884 \longrightarrow 00:10:34.850$ and obviously I think many of us

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

00:10:34.850 --> 00:10:36.320 look forward to learning more about

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00:10:36.320 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.658$ the benefit of combination therapy

 $00:10:37.658 \longrightarrow 00:10:39.713$ and see if it is truly superior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00:10:39.713 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.164$ But once again, Pember Lizum app has

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00:10:43.164 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.010$ demonstrated this in a phase three trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00:10:46.010 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.880$ What are the ongoing trials?

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00:10:47.880 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.642$ So there is a stage three trials called

NOTE Confidence: 0.9005951422222222

00:10:51.642 --> 00:10:54.630 Atomic, which is folfox plus or minus 8 SO.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

00:10:54.630 --> 00:10:55.830 And then, as I mentioned before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00:10:55.830 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.270$ there is a combo study that

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00:10:57.270 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.890$ was very slow to enrollment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

00:10:58.890 --> 00:11:00.265 Hopefully will it will finish

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00:11:00.265 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.010$ enrollment at some point called commit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

00:11:02.010 --> 00:11:04.440 Looking at Folfox plus Bev.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00{:}11{:}04.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}05.460$ And then it has,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00{:}11{:}05.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}07.330$ oh there is a Merc platform study

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00:11:07.330 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.546$ that is also new and ongoing as well.

00:11:09.550 --> 00:11:11.548 And in rectal cancer there's actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

00:11:11.548 --> 00:11:13.240 some very intriguing data regarding

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00:11:13.240 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.368$ MSI high and that was just reported.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00:11:15.370 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.140$ So we'll touch upon that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00:11:18.140 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.258$ So obviously the results from Pember

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

00:11:20.258 --> 00:11:22.512 Lism AB are quite impressive and

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

00:11:22.512 --> 00:11:24.828 and there was another pilot trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00{:}11{:}24.828 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}27.302$ called the Niche trial looking at a

NOTE Confidence: 0.9005951422222222

 $00{:}11{:}27.302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}29.492$ very very small number of MSI high

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00:11:29.492 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.148$ patients and looking at the role

NOTE Confidence: 0.9005951422222222

 $00{:}11{:}31.148 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}32.950$ MSI and MSI stable patients looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

00:11:32.950 --> 00:11:35.110 at the role new Advent IO therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.9005951422222222

 $00:11:35.110 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.964$ in that setting and they noticed

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00{:}11{:}36.964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}38.624$ there was some significant benefit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00:11:38.624 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.520$ So why not consider that in an MSI

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00:11:41.594 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.254$ high rectal patient population

 $00:11:43.254 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.744$ and this trial was actually just

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

00:11:45.815 --> 00:11:48.188 presented by Doctor Loomis who is a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00:11:48.190 \longrightarrow 00:11:50.572$ Fellow at Memorial Sloan Kettering under

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

00:11:50.572 --> 00:11:53.640 the guidance of my friend Andrea Sirsak.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

00:11:53.640 --> 00:11:55.896 They're looking at the star lamat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9005951422222222

 $00:11:55.900 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.282$ so here locally advanced MSI high

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00:11:58.282 \longrightarrow 00:12:00.779$ rectal cancer stage two stage three.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00{:}12{:}00.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}03.377$ They gave six months of Dystar lemon

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00:12:03.380 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.732$ and then they wanted to look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00{:}12{:}05.732 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}07.724$ basically their response by endoscopy

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00{:}12{:}07.724 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}10.520$ as well as by imaging and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00:12:10.520 \longrightarrow 00:12:12.320$ primary end point here is response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00:12:12.320 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.721$ Now be results from this trial were

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00{:}12{:}14.721 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}17.241$ so impressive that they decided to go

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

00:12:17.241 --> 00:12:19.377 ahead and provide the presentation on

00:12:19.441 --> 00:12:21.913 the 1st 11 out of 16 patients enrolled.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00:12:21.920 \longrightarrow 00:12:24.506$ The total number of patients expect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00:12:24.510 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.290$ Roll and roll in. This trial is 30 patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00:12:28.290 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.991$ And here is what they call clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00:12:29.991 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.477$ complete response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00:12:30.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.030$ Once again is the endoscopic CR

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00:12:33.030 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.589$ plus the radiographic CR and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00:12:35.589 \longrightarrow 00:12:38.305$ required all patients to have an MRI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9005951422222222

 $00{:}12{:}38.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}40.008$ And this was the patient population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

00:12:40.010 --> 00:12:41.426 Just to give you an idea real quick,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9005951422222222

 $00{:}12{:}41.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}43.420$ I'm just going to touch up on the key points.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

00:12:43.420 --> 00:12:45.946 A lot of patients were T3T4 a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00:12:45.946 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.406$ of patients were node positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

00:12:47.410 --> 00:12:49.554 These were MSI high,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00:12:49.554 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.770$ but all were BRAF wild type.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00{:}12{:}52.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}54.828$ And this is the very early results.

 $00:12:54.830 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.545$ We do not have long term results,

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00{:}12{:}56.550 {\:{\circ}{-}{>}\:} 00{:}12{:}58.832$ just early results of the 1st 11

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

00:12:58.832 --> 00:13:01.318 patients out of the 16 enrolled this

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

00:13:01.318 --> 00:13:03.750 far and they reported a complete CR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

00:13:03.750 --> 00:13:06.214 And in this study in fact they omitted

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00{:}13{:}06.214 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}08.268$ the consideration of radiation and surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00:13:08.270 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.024$ So do keep that in mind in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

00:13:11.024 --> 00:13:11.898 patient population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00{:}13{:}11.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}13.646$ Obviously single institution study

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00{:}13{:}13.646 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}15.956$ we need more follow up and I'd

NOTE Confidence: 0.900595142222222

 $00:13:15.956 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.960$ like to see this data validated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:13:17.960 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.104$ now in Full disclosure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00{:}13{:}19.104 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}21.398$ Kristen See Amber who I'm helping to mentor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:13:21.398 \longrightarrow 00:13:23.486$ and I worked with her extensively on this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:13:23.490 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.700$ Protocol we had actually created this

00:13:26.700 --> 00:13:28.946 national trial supported by Ekaki,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00{:}13{:}28.946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}31.690$ a 2201 looking at New Advent Niveau

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00{:}13{:}31.769 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}34.317$ EP also in the MSI high patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:13:34.317 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.441$ population with the consideration of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

00:13:36.441 --> 00:13:39.806 five by five radiation therapy and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:13:39.806 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.221$ also the consideration of sphincter

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:13:42.221 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.190$ preservation here primary endpoint was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

00:13:44.190 --> 00:13:46.803 Patsy R because the findings from Asco

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:13:46.803 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.406$ GI from Doctor Loomis this trial is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

00:13:49.406 --> 00:13:51.998 going to undergo some rapid amendment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:13:52.000 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.590$ to maybe allow the consideration.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

00:13:54.590 --> 00:13:58.048 Of not necessarily requiring 5 by 5

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:13:58.048 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.657$ radiation therapy unless there's bulky

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

00:14:00.657 --> 00:14:02.628 tumor and adenopathy and obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:14:02.628 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.438$ once again it's very interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00{:}14{:}04.438 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}06.494$ 'cause we actually recommended the

 $00:14:06.494 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.134$ consideration of making sphincter

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00{:}14{:}08.134 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}10.019$ preservation as a primary endpoint.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

00:14:10.020 --> 00:14:12.400 But when we originally wrote this trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:14:12.400 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.336$ we were told that that was being too

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:14:14.336 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.751$ progressive and here we are today

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

00:14:15.751 --> 00:14:17.490 trying to amend this trial to keep up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

00:14:17.490 --> 00:14:18.315 But once again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:14:18.315 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.240$ this is a national trial and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

00:14:20.307 --> 00:14:22.316 will remain open and we hope to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00{:}14{:}22.316 \to 00{:}14{:}24.174$ enroll these patients once again.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

00:14:24.174 --> 00:14:25.886 Pilot study of roughly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:14:25.890 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.918$ 31 patients as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

00:14:28.920 --> 00:14:31.120 What about our Brafman patients?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212 00:14:31.120 --> 00:14:31.480 Well, NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:14:31.480 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.360$ for our MSI stable be wrapping in patients,

00:14:34.360 --> 00:14:36.100 it's very poor prognostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

00:14:36.100 --> 00:14:37.840 factor for these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:14:37.840 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.280$ It's less than 9% of our patient population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:14:40.280 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.068$ Unlike Melanoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

00:14:41.068 --> 00:14:42.644 single agent Byref inhibitors

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:14:42.644 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.554$ have not been impressive with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:14:44.554 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.216$ response rate of less than 5%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:14:46.220 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.244$ and we noted that there were basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:14:49.244 \longrightarrow 00:14:51.610$ escape mechanisms in colorectal cancer in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:14:51.610 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.270$ comparison to the success that was seen

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

00:14:54.270 --> 00:14:56.172 in Melanoma with standard chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:14:56.172 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.788$ the median overall survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:14:57.788 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.859$ is only 12 to 14 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:14:59.860 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.360$ And So what can we do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:15:01.360 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.472$ How can we basically not only

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

00:15:03.472 --> 00:15:05.780 utilize our B RAF inhibitors?

 $00:15:05.780 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.126$ But how can we also consideration

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:15:08.126 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.299$ other downstream impact?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:15:09.300 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.016$ And so here we have the combination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

00:15:12.020 --> 00:15:12.980 Basically with Ralph,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00{:}15{:}12.980 \to 00{:}15{:}15.220$ Egypt are and then there was an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00{:}15{:}15.285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}17.220$ attempt in combination with Mac.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:15:17.220 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.700$ This was the B control,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:15:18.700 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.508$ so the B control had a triplet versus the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00{:}15{:}21.508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}23.738$ doublet versus standard chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:15:23.740 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.906$ For patients that have received at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:15:25.906 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.032$ least one prior line of therapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:15:28.032 \longrightarrow 00:15:29.636$ for B RAF meeting V.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212 00:15:29.636 --> 00:15:29.960 600. NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:15:29.960 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.126$ Mutation and the doublet was determined

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:15:32.126 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.407$ to be just as successful as the triplet,

 $00:15:35.410 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.780$ and this was FDA approved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00{:}15{:}36.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}39.996$ This is the regimen called B raft OD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:15:40.000 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.403$ and it resulted in OS of nine point 3

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:15:42.403 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.488$ months versus standard chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:15:44.490 \longrightarrow 00:15:45.875$ Now what's really interesting is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

00:15:45.875 --> 00:15:47.564 they decided to examine that regimen

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00{:}15{:}47.564 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}49.314$ because it seemed to be the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00{:}15{:}49.314 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}50.910$ successful and try to move it up

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00{:}15{:}50.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}52.258$ front to the treatment naive setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:15:52.258 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.642$ And this is the anchor trial which was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:15:54.642 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.435$ reported at ESMO and I show it here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:15:56.440 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.568$ 'cause this has since been updated as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

00:15:58.570 --> 00:16:00.318 At ESMO last year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00{:}16{:}00.318 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}02.940$ And they reported a response rate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:16:03.027 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.119$ of about 50%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:16:04.120 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.168$ However, the PFS was only about four months,

00:16:07.170 --> 00:16:09.954 and so that was clearly not a home

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

00:16:09.954 --> 00:16:12.897 run for a treatment naive patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:16:12.900 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.322$ So at this year's Oscar GI just

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

00:16:15.322 --> 00:16:17.174 a couple weeks ago, Dan Morris,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8154273212

 $00:16:17.174 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.476$ so I'm so very proud of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:16:18.480 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.592$ From MD Anderson has completed this

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

00:16:20.592 --> 00:16:23.130 pilot trial at MD Anderson looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:16:23.130 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.974$ end crafted and so text amount so the

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

00:16:25.974 --> 00:16:28.422 beer F to V but in combination with

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:16:28.422 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.732$ immune checkpoint inhibition with

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:16:29.732 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.070$ nivolumab in this setting and this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:16:32.130 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.918$ in previously treated patients and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00{:}16{:}33.918 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}16{:}36.140$ reason for that is because there appears

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:16:36.140 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.275$ to be a higher immune activation and

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:16:38.275 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.520$ higher team be in this patient population.

 $00:16:40.520 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.284$ And as you know some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00{:}16{:}42.284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}43.640$ B RAF subtypes actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:16:43.640 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.058$ Are very similar to this one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:16:46.060 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.756$ So more likely to respond to IO therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

00:16:48.760 --> 00:16:51.480 single institution study, small numbers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:16:51.480 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.570$ important to keep in mind.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:16:53.570 \longrightarrow 00:16:55.718$ And here's the primary endpoint response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:16:55.720 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.088$ and these were the doses that

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00{:}16{:}57.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}58.440$ were provided to these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:16:58.440 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.624$ Keeping in mind these patients need a

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00{:}17{:}00.624 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}02.840$ better option as they just showed you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:17:02.840 \longrightarrow 00:17:04.820$ they don't do well with standard

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:17:04.820 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.480$ chemotherapy therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

00:17:05.480 --> 00:17:08.738 and even with RAF target therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:17:08.740 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.076$ they still need a better response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:17:12.080 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.796$ So once again,

 $00:17:13.796 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.656$ single institution very thought provoking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00{:}17{:}16.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}18.916$ They report a response rate 50%

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:17:18.920 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.083$ so not much higher than what was

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:17:21.083 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.020$ already reported in the prior study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

00:17:23.020 --> 00:17:23.357 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:17:23.357 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.406$ the PFS was a little bit higher at 7.4

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:17:26.406 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.752$ months, and the OS was 15.1 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:17:28.752 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.894$ This is after a medium fault time

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:17:30.894 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.889$ of 16 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00{:}17{:}31.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}33.850$ Now these results were impressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00{:}17{:}33.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}36.534$ enough that now this is going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:17:36.534 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.888$ be a national trial swab 2107 with

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00{:}17{:}38.888 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}41.810$ a 2 to one randomization of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

00:17:41.810 --> 00:17:43.460 addition of BIOTHERAPY.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:17:43.460 \longrightarrow 00:17:45.749$ At a study less than 75 patients,

 $00:17:45.750 \longrightarrow 00:17:47.350$ this should be opening up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:17:47.350 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.058$ This is March,

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:17:48.058 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.710$ so it should be opening up at

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:17:49.770 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.680$ the latter part of April and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:17:51.680 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.230$ are excited about this trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

00:17:53.230 --> 00:17:56.245 It allows one to two prior lines of therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:17:56.250 \longrightarrow 00:17:57.910$ so that's in your previously

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:17:57.910 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.906$ treated brip patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

00:17:58.910 --> 00:18:00.550 If you have any patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:18:00.550 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.170$ starting in the next two months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00{:}18{:}02.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}03.670$ please consider this trial when

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:18:03.670 \longrightarrow 00:18:05.936$ it is open at time to activate

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:18:05.936 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.336$ it at your institution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:18:07.340 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.147$ Now there is a phase three trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

00:18:10.147 --> 00:18:12.352 that is ongoing for these patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:18:12.352 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.690$ and I also want to highlight this

 $00:18:14.756 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.136$ because it is the only phase three

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00{:}18{:}17.136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}19.190$ trial in treatment naive patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:18:19.190 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.563$ So this is a one to one to one

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:18:24.563 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.930$ randomization of basically beer after V.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:18:26.930 \longrightarrow 00:18:27.950$ So end carafe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:18:27.950 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.148$ and if the BRAF inhibitor plus the

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:18:30.148 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.512$ text map or that same combination

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

00:18:32.512 --> 00:18:34.677 be wrapped heavy plus folfox,

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:18:34.680 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.848$ they've actually decided not

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:18:35.848 \longrightarrow 00:18:37.308$ to proceed with full fury.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00{:}18{:}37.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}39.038$ That was part of the run in phase

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:18:39.038 \longrightarrow 00:18:40.668$ and so does now with Folfox.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00{:}18{:}40.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}42.830$ This phase three arm just opened

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:18:42.830 \longrightarrow 00:18:45.635$ about two weeks ago and then the

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:18:45.635 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.795$ control arm is standard chemotherapy,

 $00:18:47.800 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.809$ so this trial is largely being run

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:18:49.809 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.049$ in Europe and they're about 9 sites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

00:18:52.050 --> 00:18:53.922 The last time I checked in the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:18:53.930 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.351$ we are one of the sites that

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:18:55.351 \longrightarrow 00:18:56.460$ are participating in this trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

00:18:56.460 --> 00:18:57.000 Once again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:18:57.000 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.890$ we really need to find an option

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:18:58.890 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.830$ for these patients with very

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:19:00.830 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.036$ poor prognosis otherwise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.684076439

 $00:19:02.040 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.455$ so please consider enrollment to this trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908759585555555

 $00:19:06.640 \dashrightarrow 00:19:09.097$ I wanted to just briefly touch upon her too,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00:19:09.100 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.236$ 'cause there's a lot of interest and direct.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00:19:11.240 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.336$ Can I know that many of you are

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00:19:13.336 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.468$ familiar with direct can because it is

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

00:19:15.468 --> 00:19:17.480 proved in gastric and breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00:19:17.480 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.922$ but her two positivity is extremely

 $00:19:19.922 \longrightarrow 00:19:21.550$ rare in colorectal cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

00:19:21.550 --> 00:19:24.256 unlike a gastric and breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00:19:24.260 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.708$ it's about 4% of our patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00:19:26.710 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.350$ and once again it is required to be 3 plus

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00:19:30.439 \dashrightarrow 00:19:33.516$ by IHC or fish amplified and IHC 2 plus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00:19:33.516 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.010$ So ongoing studies that I will

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00:19:35.064 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.846$ not be touching upon 'cause they.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

00:19:36.850 --> 00:19:37.564 Finished enrollment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00:19:37.564 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.063$ but have not yet been reported yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00:19:40.070 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.183$ There's swag 1613,

NOTE Confidence: 0.908759585555555

00:19:41.183 --> 00:19:43.409 which is treasure map plus produce

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00:19:43.409 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.808$ a map versus standard chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00{:}19{:}45.808 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}48.132$ in the previously treated setting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00:19:48.132 \longrightarrow 00:19:50.304$ and then the Mountaineer study was

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00:19:50.304 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.649$ to catnip plus restitution amount.

00:19:52.650 --> 00:19:55.310 Now I'll just be touching on destiny

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00:19:55.310 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.470$ because this was a recently updated as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

00:19:58.470 --> 00:20:00.864 So Destiny is looking at an antibody

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

00:20:00.864 --> 00:20:01.548 drug conjugate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00{:}20{:}01.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}03.769$ which is direct can and this trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

00:20:03.769 --> 00:20:05.533 it was extremely interesting for

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00{:}20{:}05.533 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}07.771$ many of us in colorectal cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

00:20:07.771 --> 00:20:09.269 because it's number one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908759585555555

00:20:09.270 --> 00:20:11.447 It's a different type of drug and

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

00:20:11.447 --> 00:20:13.509 #2 they allowed patients that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.908759585555555

 $00{:}20{:}13.509 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}15.627$ had prior anti her two therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00:20:15.630 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.006$ You'll see here they provided the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00:20:18.010 \dashrightarrow 00:20:19.991$ 6.4 milligram per kilogram dose and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

00:20:19.991 --> 00:20:21.674 mention that because there's a second

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00:20:21.674 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.487$ study that is also testing the dose.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00:20:23.490 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.227$ Currently that is open and this is

 $00:20:26.227 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.145$ at Q three weeks you'll see cohort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

00:20:29.145 --> 00:20:31.980 Today is your IHC 3 plus patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

00:20:32.065 --> 00:20:34.050 population or your fish positive

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00:20:34.050 \longrightarrow 00:20:37.424$ cohort B is I see two plus and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

00:20:37.424 --> 00:20:39.837 cohort C is IHC one plus primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00:20:39.837 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.208$ endpoint was response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00:20:41.208 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.493$ 'cause keeping in mind these

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00{:}20{:}43.493 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}45.840$ are small patient population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

00:20:45.840 --> 00:20:48.306 And here focusing on Cohort 8,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00:20:48.310 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.858$ that's really where your focus should be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

00:20:50.860 --> 00:20:53.758 53 patients of those 53 patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00:20:53.760 \longrightarrow 00:20:55.372$ 24 had a response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00{:}20{:}55.372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}57.790$ and these were heavily pretreated patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

00:20:57.790 --> 00:20:59.054 If I recall correctly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00:20:59.054 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.751$ the median line of the rapies was free and

 $00:21:01.751 \longrightarrow 00:21:05.129$ and basically the response rate was 45%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00{:}21{:}05.129 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}07.292$ So once again it can be provided

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555500:21:07.292 --> 00:21:08.580 to a patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

00:21:08.580 --> 00:21:10.560 That's her two positive that

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00:21:10.560 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.239$ that is naive to trust its map,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

00:21:13.240 --> 00:21:16.390 or it can be provided to a patient that has.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00:21:16.390 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.175$ Had progression of disease which

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00{:}21{:}18.175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}20.320$ has treasuries map and this could

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00:21:20.320 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.399$ be an option now very similar to

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00:21:22.399 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.368$ the studies in breast and gastric.

NOTE Confidence: 0.908759585555555

 $00{:}21{:}24.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}25.576$ There is a known toxicity as

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00:21:25.576 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.710$ many of you are aware,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00:21:26.710 \longrightarrow 00:21:27.830$ with interstitial lung disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00:21:27.830 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.510$ which is in less than 10%

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00:21:29.510 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.742$ of the patient population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90875958555555

 $00:21:30.742 \longrightarrow 00:21:32.900$ but something important to keep in mind.

 $00:21:35.450 \longrightarrow 00:21:38.434$ And then it wanted to touch upon Cara.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00{:}21{:}38.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}42.744$ So Karas mutation for us in colorectal cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:21:42.744 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.769$ has been one of the most common mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:21:46.770 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.590$ Especially when you take into

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00{:}21{:}48.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}50.046$ account your standard crass.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:21:50.050 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.422$ But then you also have your address

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:21:51.422 \longrightarrow 00:21:53.021$ and then rest. Which means all

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:21:53.021 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.706$ these patients do not benefit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:21:54.710 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.902$ So that's about 30 to 60% of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

00:21:56.902 --> 00:21:59.394 do not benefit from anti EGFR therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:21:59.400 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.812$ And then recently there's been some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:22:01.812 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.790$ interest looking at drugs that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:22:03.790 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.869$ are specific to the Cross G 12.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:22:05.870 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.460$ The mutation now keeping in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:22:07.460 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.416$ mind this is very, very rare.

 $00:22:09.416 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.768$ In colorectal cancer it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:22:10.768 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.945$ less than 5% of our patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:22:12.945 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.255$ but it is a potential option

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:22:15.260 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.200$ now this has been reported,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

00:22:18.200 --> 00:22:20.304 you probably seen the data already with long,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:22:20.310 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.334$ much more impressive with lung and colon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:22:23.340 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.749$ Not so impressive as a single agent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:22:26.750 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.395$ Basically the response rate was

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

00:22:28.395 --> 00:22:30.786 about a little less than 10% and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:22:30.786 \longrightarrow 00:22:33.316$ this was just published recently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:22:33.320 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.650$ So Teresa is the drug.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00{:}22{:}36.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}38.876$ Progression free survival was four months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00{:}22{:}38.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}40.788$ The medium fall was after this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

00:22:40.790 --> 00:22:42.130 After meeting followed 11 months,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:22:42.130 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.768$ the 12 month PFS was only 11% and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

00:22:44.768 --> 00:22:46.913 now there are obviously looking

 $00{:}22{:}46.913 \to 00{:}22{:}49.530$ at this drug in combination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00{:}22{:}49.530 \longrightarrow 00{:}22{:}52.204$ So I I look forward to seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:22:52.204 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.900$ some of that data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

00:22:53.900 --> 00:22:55.237 But I just want to show this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:22:55.240 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.520$ although not specific to colorectal cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

00:22:57.520 --> 00:22:58.418 Once again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

00:22:58.418 --> 00:23:01.112 this is at aggressive from another

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:23:01.112 \longrightarrow 00:23:03.738$ competing company and I wanted to show

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00{:}23{:}03.738 \longrightarrow 00{:}23{:}06.000$ this because it was very impressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00{:}23{:}06.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}08.000$ in regards to pancreatic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

00:23:08.000 --> 00:23:09.998 Once again, the very small numbers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:23:10.000 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.155$ so I always.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

00:23:11.155 --> 00:23:13.080 I'm always very hesitant when

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

00:23:13.080 --> 00:23:15.219 I see very early data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:23:15.220 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.764$ But basically to focus on the

00:23:17.764 --> 00:23:20.016 GI patient population and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:23:20.016 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.456$ reported that there was basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:23:22.456 \longrightarrow 00:23:24.536$ a response attend in 10 patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:23:24.536 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.626$ Five out of those ten had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:23:26.626 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.178$ response with pancreatic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:23:28.180 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.298$ which is unheard of as many

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:23:30.300 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.390$ can guess because once again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

00:23:32.390 --> 00:23:33.980 pancreatic cancer is still remains

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:23:33.980 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.616$ a challenge,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:23:34.620 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.653$ and we don't have a lot of novel agents.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:23:37.660 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.580$ This is once again G12C,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

00:23:39.580 --> 00:23:42.163 which is not the most common RASC

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

00:23:42.163 --> 00:23:43.800 mutation in pancreatic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:23:43.800 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.130$ but once again could be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

00:23:45.130 --> 00:23:45.734 Potentially beneficial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:23:45.734 \longrightarrow 00:23:47.848$ and then they are looking at it.

 $00:23:47.850 \longrightarrow 00:23:49.358$ Other malignancies very similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:23:49.358 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.384$ so that to the soeder as they are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:23:52.384 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.792$ looking at it in combination as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

00:23:54.800 --> 00:23:56.340 So just intriguing data from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8280241575

 $00:23:56.340 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.572$ this year's Oscar GI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90010964

00:23:59.720 --> 00:24:02.942 I did want to focus on the role of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90010964

00:24:02.942 --> 00:24:05.318 circulating tumor DNA, just very briefly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90010964

 $00:24:05.318 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.248$ because there are two ongoing

NOTE Confidence: 0.90010964

 $00:24:07.248 \longrightarrow 00:24:09.398$ trials and early stage disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90010964

 $00{:}24{:}09.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}11.404$ and then there was this trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.90010964

 $00{:}24{:}11.404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}13.440$ that was just presented at Asco

NOTE Confidence: 0.90010964

 $00:24:13.440 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.015$ GI from the Japanese group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90010964

 $00{:}24{:}15.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}17.470$ Doctor Katata called Circulate Japan

NOTE Confidence: 0.90010964

00:24:17.470 --> 00:24:21.319 and I thought that this was a very very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90010964

 $00:24:21.320 \longrightarrow 00:24:24.155$ very important study that should

 $00:24:24.155 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.470$ receive attention because it

NOTE Confidence: 0.90010964

 $00:24:26.470 \longrightarrow 00:24:29.420$ looked at basically all stages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90010964

 $00{:}24{:}29.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}32.510$ Colon rectal cancer resectable stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.90010964

 $00:24:32.510 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.786$ four they basically obtained pre-op

NOTE Confidence: 0.90010964

00:24:34.786 --> 00:24:36.856 circulating tumor DNA using the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90010964

 $00:24:36.856 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.683$ signature platform and then they

NOTE Confidence: 0.90010964

 $00:24:38.683 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.717$ followed the patients at four weeks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90010964

00:24:40.720 --> 00:24:43.198 12 weeks, 24 and 36 weeks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90010964

 $00{:}24{:}43.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}45.510$ and so they provided their data

NOTE Confidence: 0.90010964

 $00:24:45.510 \longrightarrow 00:24:47.436$ regarding the 1st 1000 plus patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.90010964

 $00:24:47.436 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.511$ that have been enrolled this far in

NOTE Confidence: 0.90010964

 $00:24:49.511 \longrightarrow 00:24:51.215$ this trial and they've compiled it

NOTE Confidence: 0.90010964

 $00:24:51.215 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.280$ based upon these three ongoing studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90010964

 $00:24:53.280 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.430$ As you can see here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.548842114

00:24:56.440 --> 00:24:58.890 Like Vega Galaxy and Altair.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853119543571429

 $00:25:01.010 \longrightarrow 00:25:03.649$ And what it really shows basically where

 $00:25:03.649 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.638$ we think the field is obviously going.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853119543571429

 $00{:}25{:}06.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}08.752$ Here we have some information for

NOTE Confidence: 0.853119543571429

 $00:25:08.752 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.954$ stage one through stage four and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.853119543571429

 $00:25:10.954 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.104$ as you can see here at the disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.853119543571429

 $00:25:13.104 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.084$ free survival if you're circulating

NOTE Confidence: 0.853119543571429

 $00:25:15.084 \longrightarrow 00:25:17.642$ tumor and DNA remain negative with

NOTE Confidence: 0.853119543571429

 $00:25:17.642 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.072$ dramatically different from if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.853119543571429

 $00{:}25{:}20.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}22.848$ remained positive and this is at post-op

NOTE Confidence: 0.853119543571429

 $00:25:22.850 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.428$ when compared to baseline at 4 weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853119543571429

 $00{:}25{:}27.430 \to 00{:}25{:}29.590$ And then they continued to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.853119543571429

 $00{:}25{:}29.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}31.030$ at their sequential circulating

NOTE Confidence: 0.853119543571429

 $00{:}25{:}31.087 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}33.023$ tumor DNA at 4 weeks and 12 weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853119543571429

 $00{:}25{:}33.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}34.206$ And as you can see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853119543571429

 $00{:}25{:}34.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}36.230$ obviously if it remain negative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853119543571429

 $00:25:36.230 \longrightarrow 00:25:37.982$ that's a very positive benefit in

00:25:37.982 --> 00:25:39.670 regards to disease free survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853119543571429

 $00{:}25{:}39.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}41.749$ But if you went from negative to

NOTE Confidence: 0.853119543571429

 $00:25:41.749 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.383$ positive that changed your disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.853119543571429

 $00:25:43.383 \longrightarrow 00:25:45.748$ free survival from 98% to 63%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.853119543571429

00:25:45.748 --> 00:25:48.870 If you went from positive to negative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.853119543571429

 $00:25:48.870 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.565$ let's say with attachment chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.853119543571429

 $00:25:50.565 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.598$ that improved your disease free survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.853119543571429

00:25:52.598 --> 00:25:54.003 but positive to positive obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.853119543571429

 $00:25:54.003 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.199$ was not a good prognostic indicator.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875246469090909

 $00:25:58.680 \longrightarrow 00:26:00.787$ And this is looking at the role

NOTE Confidence: 0.875246469090909

 $00{:}26{:}00.787 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}02.020$ of adjuvant chemotherapy here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875246469090909

00:26:02.020 --> 00:26:04.436 If you have a positive circulating tumor DNA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875246469090909

 $00:26:04.440 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.996$ so very intriguing data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875246469090909

 $00:26:05.996 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.740$ and probably the largest data to date.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875246469090909

 $00:26:08.740 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.386$ So it's it's just important to note.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875246469090909

 $00:26:11.390 \longrightarrow 00:26:13.014$ And once again the purpose of this

00:26:13.014 --> 00:26:14.643 lecture today is kind of give you

NOTE Confidence: 0.875246469090909

 $00{:}26{:}14.643 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}15.975$ an idea of the existing interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.875246469090909

 $00:26:16.029 \longrightarrow 00:26:17.716$ on many of the studies that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.875246469090909

00:26:17.716 --> 00:26:20.180 ongoing in colorectal cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875246469090909

 $00{:}26{:}20.180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}23.050$ so I apologize I missed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00:26:26.000 \longrightarrow 00:26:28.652$ I apologize. There it is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00:26:28.652 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.800$ OK, I was on the right track.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00:26:29.800 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.114$ I thought I didn't include this slide so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

00:26:32.114 --> 00:26:34.940 currently and as many of you that know me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00:26:34.940 \longrightarrow 00:26:37.538$ I'm heavily involved in the NC

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00:26:37.540 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.796$ cooperative groups with swag and ACOG,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00:26:39.800 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.026$ and especially with my role now on

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00{:}26{:}42.026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}44.430$ the GI Steering Committee and very

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00:26:44.430 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.735$ supportive of cooperative group trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00:26:46.740 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.162$ So this is the COBRA trial looking

 $00:26:49.162 \longrightarrow 00:26:51.198$ specifically at stage 2 colon cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00:26:51.200 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.060$ As many of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00:26:52.060 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.800$ for stage 2 colon cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00:26:53.800 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.554$ as long as I was in fellowship till now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00:26:56.560 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.054$ it's always been a discussion with

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00:26:58.054 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.450$ the patient about the role of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00:26:59.450 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.030$ Management chemotherapy 'cause it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00:27:01.030 \longrightarrow 00:27:03.836$ never been really well defined and has

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00{:}27{:}03.836 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}05.352$ not been statistically significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00:27:05.352 \longrightarrow 00:27:07.474$ thus far in any prospective trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00{:}27{:}07.474 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}10.232$ So here can you utilize the role of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00{:}27{:}10.232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}12.164$ circulating tumor DNA and take some

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

00:27:12.164 --> 00:27:14.771 of this new science so they're using

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

00:27:14.771 --> 00:27:17.052 the Guardian platform and apply it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

00:27:17.052 --> 00:27:20.490 And so this is being led by Van Morris,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00{:}27{:}20.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}22.116$ who I mentioned to you earlier

 $00:27:22.116 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.880$ is running the be raft trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00{:}27{:}23.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}25.728$ looking at patients that are either

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

00:27:25.728 --> 00:27:27.970 just going to receive standard

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00:27:27.970 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.490$ care with active surveillance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00:27:29.490 \longrightarrow 00:27:32.458$ Or they have their circulating tumor DNA if

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

00:27:32.458 --> 00:27:35.408 there's no circulating tumor DNA detected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00:27:35.410 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.528$ they just go on standard surveillance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00:27:37.530 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.830$ If it is positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00:27:38.830 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.145$ then they will receive full Fox Orc

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00:27:41.145 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.245$ pox and so this trial is ongoing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00:27:43.250 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.728$ So if you have stage two,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00:27:45.730 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.910$ play patients with colon carcinoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00{:}27{:}47.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}51.558$ please consider enrolling to this trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

00:27:51.560 --> 00:27:53.606 There's also the circulate US study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

00:27:53.610 --> 00:27:55.136 which will be led by Chris Lu

 $00:27:55.136 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.790$ and Arvind Dasari.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00:27:55.790 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.338$ Once again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00:27:56.338 \longrightarrow 00:27:57.982$ my very close colleagues and I've

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00:27:57.982 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.066$ had the pleasure of working with them

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00:28:00.066 \longrightarrow 00:28:01.910$ and mentoring them over the years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

00:28:01.910 --> 00:28:05.158 And and now they have their own trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00{:}28{:}05.158 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}07.622$ as well. For stage three patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

00:28:07.622 --> 00:28:09.356 And here if you're circulating

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00:28:09.356 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.564$ tumor DNA is detected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00:28:10.570 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.036$ you would be randomized to folfox

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00:28:13.036 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.810$ Erie versus standard capox or folfox.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00:28:15.810 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.818$ So this trial should open up any day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00{:}28{:}17.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}19.596$ It's had an amendment even before

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00:28:19.596 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.484$ it was opened,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00:28:20.490 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.016$ and that's resulted in a delay in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9349497

 $00:28:22.020 \longrightarrow 00:28:22.659$ About a year.

 $00:28:25.450 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.222$ And other ongoing trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:28:27.222 \longrightarrow 00:28:30.230$ So in Full disclosure I am the

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:28:30.230 \longrightarrow 00:28:31.854$ one of the national pies here in

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:28:31.854 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.110$ the United States. For fresco.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

00:28:33.110 --> 00:28:35.785 2 I just wanted to make sure to keep

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

00:28:35.785 --> 00:28:38.340 this on your radar because this trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:28:38.340 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.373$ has completed enrollment and well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00{:}28{:}40.373 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}41.988$ hopefully we'll get some information

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00{:}28{:}41.988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}44.108$ regarding the results at some point soon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:28:44.110 \longrightarrow 00:28:45.562$ so we can determine if there

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00{:}28{:}45.562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}47.170$ is a benefit from this agent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:28:47.170 \longrightarrow 00:28:50.512$ This is an anti veg F agent as well and

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00{:}28{:}50.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}53.195$ it was compared to place bo in patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:28:53.195 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.818$ that have been previously treated with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

00:28:55.818 --> 00:28:57.099 All standard chemotherapy,

 $00:28:57.100 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.820$ and they may have received

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00{:}28{:}58.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}00.196$ regorafenib or lawn service,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:29:00.200 \longrightarrow 00:29:01.175$ or the combination.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636 00:29:01.175 --> 00:29:01.500 Sorry, NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

00:29:01.500 --> 00:29:04.239 but exposed to both of them in the past,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:29:04.240 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.472$ so it allowed all patients to participate in

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:29:07.472 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.855$ the reason I also wanted to demonstrate this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:29:10.860 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.590$ Show this to you is because in enrolled very

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00{:}29{:}13.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}16.180$ quickly earlier than the expected time frame,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:29:16.180 \longrightarrow 00:29:19.156$ and that's because it was such an unmet

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00{:}29{:}19.156 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}22.148$ need for our pretreated metastatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:29:22.148 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.317$ colorectal carcinoma patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:29:24.320 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.040$ There is only one phase,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:29:26.040 \longrightarrow 00:29:28.440$ three ongoing trial at this time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:29:28.440 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.920$ which is an international trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88147544636363600:29:29.920 --> 00:29:30.652 It's leap.

 $00{:}29{:}30.652 \longrightarrow 00{:}29{:}32.848$ It's based upon this very small

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:29:32.848 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.310$ study of originally 30 patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

00:29:35.310 --> 00:29:37.534 They have this small phase two study of

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

00:29:37.534 --> 00:29:39.680 30 patients and they've now expanded it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:29:39.680 \longrightarrow 00:29:41.152$ Based upon these results.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:29:41.152 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.992$ As you can see here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

00:29:43.000 --> 00:29:46.413 basically 22% with a short PFS of 2.3

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

00:29:46.413 --> 00:29:48.531 months and they've created this phase

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00{:}29{:}48.531 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}50.750$ three trial that is ongoing looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:29:50.750 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.690$ at live at net plus pembrolizumab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:29:53.690 \longrightarrow 00:29:56.318$ So I'm trying to identify another

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:29:56.318 \longrightarrow 00:29:58.960$ potential option for patients and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00{:}29{:}58.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}01.265$ then patients will be considered compared.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00{:}30{:}01.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}02.855$ Sorry to the standard of care

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

00:30:02.855 --> 00:30:04.310 of regorafenib or lawn service,

 $00:30:04.310 \longrightarrow 00:30:06.194$ so this is the only ongoing

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

00:30:06.194 --> 00:30:07.136 phase three trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

00:30:07.140 --> 00:30:09.228 Currently enrolling and I'm sure it

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:30:09.228 \longrightarrow 00:30:11.433$ will also finish enrolling quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

00:30:11.433 --> 00:30:14.298 Hope I believe there's and hopefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:30:14.298 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.088$ another couple of other trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:30:16.088 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.740$ that are going to be open soon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:30:18.740 \longrightarrow 00:30:20.930$ but currently when I last checked,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:30:20.930 \longrightarrow 00:30:23.936$ these were the only activated trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

00:30:23.940 --> 00:30:26.860 And I wanted to touch on rectal cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00{:}30{:}26.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}28.820$ 'cause I think it's really important for

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:30:28.820 \longrightarrow 00:30:30.959$ people to see where the field is going

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:30:30.960 \longrightarrow 00:30:34.900$ and so traditionally for rectal cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00{:}30{:}34.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}37.110$ we had always provided neoadjuvant

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:30:37.110 \longrightarrow 00:30:38.436$ chemotherapy with five,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:30:38.440 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.024$ a few based treatment,

 $00:30:40.024 \longrightarrow 00:30:42.004$ and then proceeded to surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:30:42.004 \longrightarrow 00:30:44.432$ resection with the Tammy and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:30:44.432 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.000$ followed by Advent chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:30:46.000 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.996$ Whether it's five,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:30:46.996 \longrightarrow 00:30:48.988$ a few based or oxaliplatin based,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:30:48.990 \longrightarrow 00:30:52.344$ but the reality was that a fair number

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:30:52.344 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.254$ of patients were never receiving.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

00:30:54.260 --> 00:30:55.787 Our Advent chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00{:}30{:}55.787 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}58.841$ either due to delays from surgical

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:30:58.841 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.370$ resection due to toxicities or wound

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00{:}31{:}01.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}04.590$ healing issues or just lack of compliance

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:31:04.590 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.659$ in regards to their actual treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00{:}31{:}07.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}09.540$ So what can we do to change this

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:31:09.540 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.274$ aspect and in Europe they just

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:31:11.274 \longrightarrow 00:31:12.779$ started looking at the sequence

 $00:31:12.779 \longrightarrow 00:31:14.556$ and then in the United States.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00{:}31{:}14.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}16.716$ We have followed suit and now it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:31:16.716 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.120$ called total neoadjuvant therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

00:31:18.120 --> 00:31:19.548 If you could potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:31:19.548 \longrightarrow 00:31:20.976$ provide all your treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:31:20.980 \longrightarrow 00:31:22.630$ chemotherapy and your

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:31:22.630 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.280$ chemo radiation therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:31:24.280 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.420$ Up front before consideration of

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:31:26.420 \longrightarrow 00:31:28.560$ surgical resection or the reverse

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

00:31:28.630 --> 00:31:31.045 sequence where it chemo radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00{:}31{:}31.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}32.977$ the rapy followed by chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:31:32.980 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.780$ but I wanted to touch upon

NOTE Confidence: 0.881475446363636

 $00:31:34.780 \longrightarrow 00:31:35.980$ this trial because it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:31:36.045 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.349$ important that I do want to mention this

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:31:38.349 \longrightarrow 00:31:41.285$ and the other reason is that obviously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

00:31:41.285 --> 00:31:43.333 radiation therapy has known

 $00:31:43.333 \longrightarrow 00:31:45.698$ toxicities and for our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:31:45.700 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.864$ This is obviously an issue that

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:31:46.864 \longrightarrow 00:31:48.458$ we do want to take into account,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:31:48.460 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.076$ so is there a way to reduce our

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:31:51.076 \longrightarrow 00:31:53.942$ toxicities and is there a way potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:31:53.942 \longrightarrow 00:31:56.077$ to even avoid surgical resection?

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

00:31:56.080 --> 00:31:58.960 So this is Angelita Habr Gama,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:31:58.960 \longrightarrow 00:32:00.962$ who at leave is in her late

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

00:32:00.962 --> 00:32:03.194 80s at at this point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:32:03.194 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.844$ and when she originally published

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:32:05.844 \longrightarrow 00:32:09.228$ on the consideration of just

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

00:32:09.228 --> 00:32:11.500 observing by close surveillance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00{:}32{:}11.500 \longrightarrow 00{:}32{:}14.332$ those patients that had had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:32:14.332 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.020$ significant response to their treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:32:17.020 \longrightarrow 00:32:19.440$ instead of proceeding with TMA,

00:32:19.440 --> 00:32:21.440 can you watch and wait?

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

00:32:21.440 --> 00:32:23.155 Basically do a watch and wait approach

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:32:23.155 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.914$ and watch them conservatively and when

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

00:32:24.914 --> 00:32:26.858 she originally brought up this concept?

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:32:26.860 \longrightarrow 00:32:28.380$ She herself as a surgeon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:32:28.380 \longrightarrow 00:32:30.020$ People thought there was this

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:32:30.020 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.310$ was this could not be possible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:32:32.310 \longrightarrow 00:32:34.770$ This data was not real.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

00:32:34.770 --> 00:32:37.946 Why would a surgeon not offer a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:32:37.946 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.119$ surgical resection with rectal cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:32:40.120 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.604$ And in fact, she appears to have caught up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:32:42.610 \longrightarrow 00:32:44.584$ Obviously she was way ahead of her

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:32:44.584 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.418$ time once again for this amazing idea.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:32:47.418 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.022$ So now we have some very interesting data

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:32:51.022 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.440$ from the Memorial Sloan Kettering Group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:32:53.440 \longrightarrow 00:32:55.660$ They created the Oprah trial,

00:32:55.660 --> 00:32:58.296 the Oprah trial was a. Phase two study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:32:58.296 \longrightarrow 00:33:00.256$ I don't want to emphasize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:33:00.260 \longrightarrow 00:33:01.500$ This needs to be validated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:33:01.500 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.922$ This is a phase two study done

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:33:03.922 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.169$ that was completed at select sites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:33:06.170 \longrightarrow 00:33:08.592$ I think about 15 to 18 sites

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:33:08.592 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.900$ in low lying rectal tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00{:}33{:}10.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}13.965$ Providing the patients either chemo

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:33:13.965 \longrightarrow 00:33:17.030$ radiation therapy followed by chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:33:17.113 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.857$ or induction chemotherapy followed

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

00:33:18.857 --> 00:33:21.502 by chemoradiation therapy and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:33:21.502 \longrightarrow 00:33:25.192$ patients were evaluated by endoscopy and MRI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00{:}33{:}25.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}28.120$ If they had no complete response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:33:28.120 \longrightarrow 00:33:30.562$ Then they went on to total

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:33:30.562 \longrightarrow 00:33:32.020$ misso rectal excision,

 $00:33:32.020 \longrightarrow 00:33:34.645$ or they had clinical response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:33:34.650 \longrightarrow 00:33:38.500$ You could undergo watch and wait approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:33:38.500 \longrightarrow 00:33:40.789$ And here you can see regardless of

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

00:33:40.789 --> 00:33:42.659 the sequence that you received,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:33:42.660 \longrightarrow 00:33:46.290$ there was equivalent disease free survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

00:33:46.290 --> 00:33:49.349 But he also noted, was those patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:33:49.349 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.839$ once again low lying tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

00:33:51.840 --> 00:33:53.856 Stage tumors stage three.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:33:53.856 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.376$ If you received chemo radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:33:56.376 \longrightarrow 00:33:59.167$ therapy first then followed by

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00{:}33{:}59.167 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}01.375$ chemotherapy for systemic therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:34:01.380 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.336$ these patients appear to be more

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:34:03.336 \longrightarrow 00:34:05.026$ likely to have sphincter preservation

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:34:05.026 \longrightarrow 00:34:07.273$ and were more likely to undergo a

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

00:34:07.273 --> 00:34:09.047 watch and wait approach because

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364

 $00:34:09.047 \longrightarrow 00:34:10.837$ of their degree of response.

 $00:34:10.840 \longrightarrow 00:34:12.770$ Now this is phase two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.898623703636364 00:34:12.770 --> 00:34:13.688 It is being. NOTE Confidence: 0.864575737142857

00:34:16.290 --> 00:34:19.517 Expanded more, not the exact same way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864575737142857

 $00:34:19.520 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.704$ but it is going to be a phase

NOTE Confidence: 0.864575737142857

00:34:21.704 --> 00:34:23.520 three trial led by Josh Smith.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864575737142857

00:34:23.520 --> 00:34:25.340 Also for Morris Sloan Kettering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864575737142857

 $00:34:25.340 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.000$ For consideration of this watch

NOTE Confidence: 0.864575737142857

 $00{:}34{:}28.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}30.971$ and wait approach and once again

NOTE Confidence: 0.864575737142857

 $00:34:30.971 \longrightarrow 00:34:33.713$ we need to validate the data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864575737142857

00:34:33.720 --> 00:34:35.380 Now they did update their

NOTE Confidence: 0.864575737142857

 $00:34:35.380 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.550$ data just so you are aware.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864575737142857

 $00:34:37.550 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.920$ At last year's ASCO and here's

NOTE Confidence: 0.864575737142857

 $00{:}34{:}39.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}41.500$ pictures of clinical complete

NOTE Confidence: 0.864575737142857

 $00:34:41.566 \longrightarrow 00:34:44.196$ response near complete response and

NOTE Confidence: 0.864575737142857

 $00:34:44.196 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.270$ incomplete complete response and the

 $00:34:46.270 \longrightarrow 00:34:47.740$ time frame of which they assessed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864575737142857

 $00:34:47.740 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.613$ When the response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864575737142857

 $00:34:48.613 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.359$ Sorry of the degree of response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864575737142857

 $00:34:50.360 \longrightarrow 00:34:53.408$ was roughly 7 to 8 weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864575737142857

 $00:34:53.410 \longrightarrow 00:34:55.450$ And here you can see when

NOTE Confidence: 0.864575737142857

 $00{:}34{:}55.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}56.810$ looking at organ preservation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864575737142857

00:34:56.810 --> 00:34:58.970 obviously those that had a political

NOTE Confidence: 0.864575737142857

00:34:58.970 --> 00:35:00.736 complete response at three years

NOTE Confidence: 0.864575737142857

 $00{:}35{:}00.736 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}03.775$ that was 78% versus a near complete

NOTE Confidence: 0.864575737142857

 $00:35:03.775 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.680$ response at three years was 45%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864575737142857

 $00:35:06.680 \longrightarrow 00:35:09.798$ So obviously there was possible there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.864575737142857

 $00:35:09.798 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.102$ possibility of a watch and wait

NOTE Confidence: 0.864575737142857

 $00:35:12.102 \longrightarrow 00:35:14.330$ approach for some of your patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864575737142857

 $00:35:14.330 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.930$ Whenever we have this opportunity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864575737142857

 $00:35:15.930 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.180$ we review it with both our

NOTE Confidence: 0.864575737142857

00:35:18.180 --> 00:35:18.930 radiation oncologists,

 $00:35:18.930 \longrightarrow 00:35:20.430$ our surgical oncologists and our

NOTE Confidence: 0.864575737142857

 $00{:}35{:}20.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}21.930$ medical oncologists and really make

NOTE Confidence: 0.864575737142857

 $00:35:21.978 \longrightarrow 00:35:23.478$ this a multidisciplinary approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864575737142857

 $00:35:23.480 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.255$ Then discuss it at our

NOTE Confidence: 0.864575737142857

 $00{:}35{:}25.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}26.320$ multidisciplinary tumor board,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864575737142857

 $00{:}35{:}26.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}27.440$ and I obviously encourage

NOTE Confidence: 0.864575737142857

 $00:35:27.440 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.120$ everyone else to do the same.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864575737142857

 $00:35:29.120 \longrightarrow 00:35:30.008$ So very intriguing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864575737142857

 $00:35:30.008 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.192$ Obviously we look forward

NOTE Confidence: 0.864575737142857

 $00:35:31.192 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.750$ to the phase three trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925890016

 $00:35:34.850 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.500$ And last but not least,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925890016

00:35:36.500 --> 00:35:38.572 I do want to touch pad on something

NOTE Confidence: 0.925890016

 $00{:}35{:}38.572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}40.872$ very dear to me or early onset

NOTE Confidence: 0.925890016

 $00:35:40.872 \longrightarrow 00:35:41.907$ colorectal cancer patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925890016

 $00:35:41.910 \longrightarrow 00:35:44.409$ so this data is not new data.

 $00:35:44.410 \longrightarrow 00:35:46.522$ This is looking at the senior

NOTE Confidence: 0.925890016

 $00:35:46.522 \longrightarrow 00:35:48.082$ database from 1975 to 2010.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925890016

 $00:35:48.082 \longrightarrow 00:35:49.502$ It was originally published by

NOTE Confidence: 0.925890016

00:35:49.502 --> 00:35:50.919 Christina Bailey, who is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.925890016

 $00:35:50.919 \longrightarrow 00:35:52.617$ now my colleague here at Vanderbilt.

NOTE Confidence: 0.925890016

 $00:35:52.620 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.952$ She wrote this when she was

NOTE Confidence: 0.925890016

 $00:35:53.952 \longrightarrow 00:35:55.370$ a fellow at MD Anderson,

NOTE Confidence: 0.925890016

 $00:35:55.370 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.636$ and as you can see here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950167764

00:35:58.790 --> 00:36:01.819 As you can see here, there is an

NOTE Confidence: 0.950167764

 $00:36:01.819 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.937$ expected increase over the next decade

NOTE Confidence: 0.950167764

 $00{:}36{:}03.937 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}06.188$ for our young patients in Blues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.950167764

 $00{:}36{:}06.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}08.157$ 20 to 34 years of age and

NOTE Confidence: 0.950167764

 $00:36:08.157 \longrightarrow 00:36:10.608$ red is 35 to 49 years of age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950167764

 $00:36:10.610 \longrightarrow 00:36:12.864$ I'm sorry somebody has the wrong number.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950167764

 $00:36:12.870 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.954$ I apologize and rectal cancer also

NOTE Confidence: 0.950167764

 $00{:}36{:}15.954 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}19.552$ is supposed to be increased by the

 $00:36:19.552 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.350$ year 2030 by 124% in the very young.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950167764

 $00:36:22.350 \longrightarrow 00:36:24.618$ The 20 to 34 year age group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

00:36:26.770 --> 00:36:28.538 This obviously was recognized

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:36:28.538 \longrightarrow 00:36:29.864$ by patient advocates,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

00:36:29.870 --> 00:36:32.246 patients and their providers as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:36:32.250 \longrightarrow 00:36:34.385$ and this resulted in a change and

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:36:34.385 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.169$ I think as many of you are aware

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00{:}36{:}37.169 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}38.969$ by the US preventive task force

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00{:}36{:}39.043 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}41.035$ to reduce our screening age from

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:36:41.035 \longrightarrow 00:36:43.216$ 50 years old to 45 years old,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:36:43.216 \longrightarrow 00:36:45.190$ and so this is a new recommendation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:36:45.190 \longrightarrow 00:36:47.479$ Obviously if the patient is having symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

00:36:47.479 --> 00:36:49.668 and it's younger than 45 years of age,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00{:}36{:}49.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}54.260$ we would encourage screening immediately.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:36:54.260 \longrightarrow 00:36:56.798$ But this is an issue you can see here.

00:36:56.800 --> 00:36:59.316 Trends in the United States looking at 2001

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00{:}36{:}59.316 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}37{:}02.298$ through 2005 versus a decade later on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:37:02.300 \longrightarrow 00:37:04.204$ And you can see there's an increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

00:37:04.204 --> 00:37:06.260 incidence of young onset colorectal cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:37:06.260 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.050$ and especially in those states

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:37:08.050 \longrightarrow 00:37:12.118$ with higher incidence of obesity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00{:}37{:}12.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}13.515$ This actually has been demonstrated

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:37:13.515 \longrightarrow 00:37:15.599$ as well in the nurses health study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

00:37:15.600 --> 00:37:18.210 Looking at your current BMI and

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:37:18.210 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.590$ your risk of colorectal cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00{:}37{:}20.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}22.300$ And there's also been some interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:37:22.300 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.987$ data looking at the role of

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:37:23.987 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.463$ antibiotic use and exposure and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:37:25.463 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.090$ it may impact the microbiome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:37:27.090 \longrightarrow 00:37:29.601$ This is one of roughly about three to four

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:37:29.601 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.948$ studies that have been published as of late.

 $00:37:31.950 \longrightarrow 00:37:33.258$ So intriguing data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143 00:37:33.258 --> 00:37:34.130 Once again, NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:37:34.130 \longrightarrow 00:37:35.780$ we don't know the exact

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:37:35.780 \longrightarrow 00:37:37.430$ reason why our young adults,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:37:37.430 \longrightarrow 00:37:38.850$ who the majority of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:37:38.850 \longrightarrow 00:37:40.650$ have spontaneous colorectal cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:37:40.650 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.217$ They do not have Lynch syndrome or developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00{:}37{:}44.217 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}46.989$ colorectal cancer at such an early age.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00{:}37{:}46.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}50.438$ So we had the honor of publishing a

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:37:50.438 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.242$ picture paper recently in Lansing

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:37:53.242 \longrightarrow 00:37:55.255$ Oncology looking at how to create

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00{:}37{:}55.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}56.650$ a framework for these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

00:37:56.650 --> 00:37:57.330 As many of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00{:}37{:}57.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}59.676$ I'm I've created this young Adult

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

00:37:59.676 --> 00:38:01.863 Cancer Center here at our institution,

 $00:38:01.863 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.861$ which is not just for colorectal

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

00:38:03.861 --> 00:38:04.527 cancer patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:38:04.530 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.720$ It's for all young adult cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

00:38:06.720 --> 00:38:09.079 patients between the ages of 20 and 45,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00{:}38{:}09.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}10.459$ and these are some of the aspects

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:38:10.459 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.833$ you really need to think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:38:11.833 \longrightarrow 00:38:13.063$ when you're seeing these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

00:38:13.070 --> 00:38:14.786 Fertility financial guidance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

00:38:14.786 --> 00:38:17.074 physical wealth beating being.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143 00:38:17.080 --> 00:38:18.950 Sorry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

00:38:18.950 --> 00:38:22.126 But other concerns obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:38:22.126 \longrightarrow 00:38:24.596$ regarding job security,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:38:24.596 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.058$ body image and just the fear of not

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

00:38:29.058 --> 00:38:31.050 knowing exactly how best to address this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:38:31.050 \longrightarrow 00:38:33.430$ because these individuals are very

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:38:33.430 \longrightarrow 00:38:35.378$ young and they're going through

 $00:38:35.378 \longrightarrow 00:38:37.580$ a very different aspect of their

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

00:38:37.648 --> 00:38:39.622 life versus our older median age

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:38:39.622 \longrightarrow 00:38:41.260$ patient of 67 years old.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:38:41.260 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.580$ In addition, we you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:38:43.580 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.956$ as you can guess,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:38:44.956 \longrightarrow 00:38:46.676$ these patients face other concerns,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

00:38:46.680 --> 00:38:48.117 especially regarding financial

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00{:}38{:}48.117 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}50.991$ toxicities and and the the pressure

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:38:50.991 \longrightarrow 00:38:54.058$ that they feel because some of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00{:}38{:}54.058 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}56.338$ obviously are still working and

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

00:38:56.338 --> 00:38:59.239 don't have financial independency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:38:59.240 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.556$ They're not financially independent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:39:00.556 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.530$ but they don't have to worry

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:39:02.584 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.749$ about the cost of care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:39:03.750 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.646$ and so these are important things

 $00:39:05.646 \longrightarrow 00:39:07.728$ to take into account for our

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:39{:}07.728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}09.248$ young a dult patient population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:39:09.250 \longrightarrow 00:39:10.606$ So here are my Co directors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

00:39:10.610 --> 00:39:12.326 I just wanted to highlight that

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:39:12.326 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.036$ Michael Byrne and Libby Davis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

00:39:14.036 --> 00:39:15.728 Michael Byrne is BMT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00{:}39{:}15.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}18.450$ and Libby Davis in sarcoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:39:18.450 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.396$ And this is just the information on

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

00:39:20.396 --> 00:39:22.461 our program and we're very grateful to

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

00:39:22.461 --> 00:39:24.638 have many involved in our group and

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00{:}39{:}24.638 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}26.408$ provide resources for our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:39:26.410 \longrightarrow 00:39:29.394$ And this was actually one of my patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:39:29.400 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.049$ She was 32.

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

00:39:32.050 --> 00:39:32.455 And you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.933971012857143

 $00:39:32.455 \longrightarrow 00:39:33.265$ I love it when people say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00:39:33.270 \longrightarrow 00:39:34.370$ well, you look too healthy.

 $00:39:34.370 \longrightarrow 00:39:35.870$ You can't have colorectal cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

00:39:35.870 --> 00:39:38.114 Well, she was training for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00{:}39{:}38.114 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}40.190$ marathon and she was in great

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

00:39:40.190 --> 00:39:41.890 health but had bowel issues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00:39:41.890 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.760$ probably for about 8 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00:39:43.760 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.795$ They kept in telling her

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00:39:45.795 \longrightarrow 00:39:47.864$ that she had irritable bowel

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

00:39:47.864 --> 00:39:49.974 disease or it was hemorrhoids,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00:39:49.980 \dashrightarrow 00:39:53.136$ or it's from her marathon training.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00{:}39{:}53.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}56.440$ And when I saw her she had about 50 to

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00:39:56.529 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.608$ 60% of her liver involved with tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00:39:58.610 \longrightarrow 00:40:02.110$ Unfortunately, she as you can see here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00:40:02.110 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.040$ She fought for with her basically

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00:40:05.040 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.480$ was amazing individual thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00:40:08.480 \longrightarrow 00:40:11.060$ her colorectal cancer.

 $00:40:11.060 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.951$ Lived with it for about five years

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00{:}40{:}13.951 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}15.540$ and unfortunately passed away

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00:40:15.540 \longrightarrow 00:40:17.208$ the latter part of last year,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00:40:17.210 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.058$ but during her time she made a

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

00:40:19.058 --> 00:40:21.024 huge effort to serve an advocacy

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

00:40:21.024 --> 00:40:23.244 groups and became a national patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00:40:23.244 \longrightarrow 00:40:25.107$ advocate for the fight colorectal

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

00:40:25.107 --> 00:40:27.255 cancer program and that was actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00{:}40{:}27.260 \longrightarrow 00{:}40{:}30.132$ a picture of her in Times Square and

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00:40:30.132 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.086$ she used to run regularly these 5K

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00{:}40{:}33.086 \rightarrow 00{:}40{:}35.640$ events with her friends walk run.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

00:40:35.640 --> 00:40:37.388 So in closing points,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00:40:37.388 \longrightarrow 00:40:39.136$ Please remember screening starts

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00{:}40{:}39.136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}40.800$ at the age of 45.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00:40:40.800 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.300$ Please continue to educate others

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00:40:42.300 \longrightarrow 00:40:44.155$ about the signs and symptoms of

 $00:40:44.155 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.375$ colorectal cancer and recognize

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00:40:45.375 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.422$ that these patients may need to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00:40:47.422 \longrightarrow 00:40:49.081$ screened earlier than 45 if they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00:40:49.081 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.100$ these symptoms that do not resolve,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00{:}40{:}51.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}53.512$ recognize the unmet needs are so

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00{:}40{:}53.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}55.820$ psychosocial needs of our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

00:40:55.820 --> 00:40:56.200 Currently,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00:40:56.200 \longrightarrow 00:40:58.480$ most of the advances in colorectal

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00:40:58.480 \longrightarrow 00:41:00.689$ cancer have largely been made in

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00{:}41{:}00.689 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}02.267$ the rare molecular subtypes and

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00{:}41{:}02.267 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}03.689$ for the majority of our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00:41:03.690 \longrightarrow 00:41:05.000$ We still need novel agents

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00:41:05.000 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.048$ and unfortunately for us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00{:}41{:}06.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}08.360$ Colorectal cancer IO therapy has

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

00:41:08.360 --> 00:41:10.208 had limited efficacy excluding

 $00:41:10.208 \longrightarrow 00:41:12.000$ in MSI high patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00{:}41{:}12.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}13.305$ Next generation sequencing is always

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

00:41:13.305 --> 00:41:15.210 the standard of care for our patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

00:41:15.210 --> 00:41:15.966 and I always,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

00:41:15.966 --> 00:41:16.218 always,

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

00:41:16.218 --> 00:41:18.488 always try to enroll to a clinical trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00:41:18.488 \longrightarrow 00:41:20.582$ whenever possible so we can obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00:41:20.582 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.359$ make greater treatment advances.

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

 $00{:}41{:}22.360 \longrightarrow 00{:}41{:}24.096$ So thank you so much for your

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

00:41:24.096 --> 00:41:26.000 attention and I would be happy

NOTE Confidence: 0.960773912

00:41:26.000 --> 00:41:27.320 to take any questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81348383

 $00:41:29.720 \longrightarrow 00:41:30.948$ Kathy, that was great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.743005221666667

 $00:41:31.490 \longrightarrow 00:41:32.750$ I didn't talk to you fast.

NOTE Confidence: 0.620743623923077

00:41:33.140 --> 00:41:35.844 No no, no no. It was perfect and

NOTE Confidence: 0.620743623923077

 $00:41:35.844 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.109$ it was incredibly informative

NOTE Confidence: 0.620743623923077

 $00:41:38.109 \longrightarrow 00:41:40.360$ and really just wonderful.

00:41:40.360 --> 00:41:44.790 I think Nita may have the first question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88955605

 $00:41:48.040 \longrightarrow 00:41:50.410$ Thank you Kathy, and thanks Eric.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948918375

 $00:41:50.410 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.050$ I ask Doctor Wyner,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948918375

 $00:41:52.050 \longrightarrow 00:41:54.100$ mentioned really informative and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948918375

00:41:54.100 --> 00:41:56.527 taking us through where the field is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948918375

00:41:56.530 --> 00:41:59.492 Perhaps my first question to you is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948918375

 $00:41:59.492 \longrightarrow 00:42:01.685$ more around rectal cancer management.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948918375

00:42:01.685 --> 00:42:03.305 And as you mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948918375

 $00:42:03.310 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.260$ at the end of the hammer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948918375

 $00{:}42{:}05.260 \rightarrow 00{:}42{:}07.654$ gamedata and watchful waiting and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948918375

 $00:42:07.654 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.370$ think the early trial on neoadjuvant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948918375

 $00:42:10.370 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.348$ I think part of the worry there is are you?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948918375

 $00:42:12.350 \longrightarrow 00:42:14.289$ How are you all accounting in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948918375

 $00{:}42{:}14.289 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}16.628$ you know is around this field where

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948918375

 $00:42:16.628 \longrightarrow 00:42:18.433$ there's not only a biological?

 $00:42:18.440 \longrightarrow 00:42:19.556$ Implications, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948918375

 $00:42:19.556 \longrightarrow 00:42:21.788$ Quality of life implications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948918375

 $00:42:21.790 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.127$ That is, do you miss the window on a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948918375

00:42:25.127 --> 00:42:26.909 curative approach without an ostomy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948918375

00:42:26.909 --> 00:42:29.618 and I wonder if that was considered,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948918375

 $00:42:29.620 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.980$ especially in that first trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948918375

 $00:42:30.980 \longrightarrow 00:42:33.540$ which I thought was.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948918375

 $00:42:33.540 \longrightarrow 00:42:35.084$ Interesting that people at

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948918375

 $00{:}42{:}35.084 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}37.277$ Memorial agree to do that to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948918375

 $00:42:37.277 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.819$ put in Check Point early on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948918375

 $00:42:38.820 \longrightarrow 00:42:41.106$ And because you may lose a window and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948918375

 $00:42:41.106 \longrightarrow 00:42:43.456$ wonder where you think the field is on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948918375

 $00:42:43.460 \longrightarrow 00:42:44.839$ And then I have a bigger question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85948918375

00:42:44.840 --> 00:42:46.408 Perhaps around colon cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

00:42:46.840 --> 00:42:51.256 I think because number one in rectal cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

 $00:42:51.260 \longrightarrow 00:42:52.996$ you know as as I showed you,

 $00:42:53.000 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.040$ the incidence is expected to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

00:42:55.040 --> 00:42:57.239 quite higher over the next decade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

00:42:57.240 --> 00:42:58.960 especially for our young patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

00:42:58.960 --> 00:43:01.558 So from my own personal experience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

 $00:43:01.560 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.792$ when I'm seeing these patients a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

 $00:43:03.792 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.396$ them are willing to take that risk because

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

00:43:06.396 --> 00:43:08.827 especially if they have a low lying tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

00:43:08.830 --> 00:43:12.288 they don't want to consider an APR,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

 $00:43:12.290 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.898$ and so they're willing to consider that risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

00:43:14.898 --> 00:43:17.746 as long as they're being followed closely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

00:43:17.750 --> 00:43:19.507 and many of them are extremely compliant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

 $00:43:19.510 \longrightarrow 00:43:20.722$ then in that setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

 $00{:}43{:}20.722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}22.950$ So I think that in that case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

 $00:43:22.950 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.438$ as long as they have a good relationship

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

 $00:43:25.438 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.700$ with their surgical oncologist and

00:43:27.700 --> 00:43:29.581 medical oncologist, I think it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

 $00{:}43{:}29.581 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}31.243$ very reasonable to consider it in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

 $00:43:31.250 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.440$ In that setting, you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

00:43:32.440 --> 00:43:34.160 Obviously what I didn't show you is that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

 $00:43:34.160 \longrightarrow 00:43:35.912$ No one really looked at the role of

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

00:43:35.912 --> 00:43:37.637 circulating tumor DNA in this setting, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

 $00:43:37.637 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.844$ But in the phase three trial, that's I.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

00:43:40.844 --> 00:43:42.972 I don't know, I presume won't be

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

 $00{:}43{:}42.972 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}45.080$ open for another 8 to 10 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

00:43:45.080 --> 00:43:47.852 I hope they will also take that into account,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

 $00{:}43{:}47.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}49.309$ and I think other trials are also

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

 $00:43:49.309 \longrightarrow 00:43:50.758$ trying to take that into account.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

 $00{:}43{:}50.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}53.142$ And obviously 'cause that could impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

 $00:43:53.142 \longrightarrow 00:43:55.100$ our surveillance period as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

 $00:43:55.100 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.380$ But I agree with you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

00:43:56.380 --> 00:43:57.066 you know,

 $00:43:57.066 \longrightarrow 00:43:59.467$ recurrent rectal cancer is one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

 $00:43:59.467 \longrightarrow 00:44:01.921$ most challenging cancers because of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

00:44:01.921 --> 00:44:04.560 quality of life on patients because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

00:44:04.560 --> 00:44:08.445 Lost largely the involvement of the sacrum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

 $00{:}44{:}08.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}10.050$ when they have recurrent disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

 $00:44:10.050 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.890$ in the pain that means do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

00:44:11.890 --> 00:44:14.383 But I I it's very interesting when you talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

 $00:44:14.383 \longrightarrow 00:44:16.946$ to the national patient advocacy groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

00:44:16.950 --> 00:44:17.350 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

00:44:17.350 --> 00:44:18.550 once you get a large number

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

 $00:44:18.550 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.929$ of them are younger patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

 $00:44:19.930 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.100$ they are more than willing to take

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

 $00{:}44{:}22.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}24.269$ that risk to avoid the potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

 $00:44:24.269 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.992$ issues with bowel bowel issues to avoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

 $00:44:27.067 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.167$ even radiation therapy in the setting

00:44:29.167 --> 00:44:31.644 of the MSI high patient population

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

 $00:44:31.644 \longrightarrow 00:44:34.329$ because of toxicities as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

 $00:44:34.330 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.194$ So they're really looking at quality of life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

 $00:44:37.200 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.144$ The majority of them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015 00:44:38.144 --> 00:44:38.380 no, NOTE Confidence: 0.842676015

 $00:44:38.380 \longrightarrow 00:44:38.760$ I think NOTE Confidence: 0.828638490833333

 $00:44:38.770 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.570$ they're the ones that are low and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.828638490833333

00:44:40.570 --> 00:44:42.096 can avoid a stoma. They'll do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828638490833333

 $00:44:42.096 \longrightarrow 00:44:43.580$ I think the ones where the worry

NOTE Confidence: 0.828638490833333

 $00:44:43.630 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.456$ is is it's perhaps an LAR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828638490833333

00:44:45.456 --> 00:44:46.816 And now you're dooming them

NOTE Confidence: 0.828638490833333

 $00:44:46.816 \longrightarrow 00:44:48.668$ to a recurrence and Eric will

NOTE Confidence: 0.828638490833333

 $00:44:48.668 \longrightarrow 00:44:50.540$ remember this in the late 90s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828638490833333

 $00:44:50.540 \longrightarrow 00:44:52.902$ We were doing local excisions for T2T3,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828638490833333

 $00:44:52.902 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.800$ and then they were coming back with a APR.

NOTE Confidence: 0.828638490833333

 $00:44:55.800 \longrightarrow 00:44:59.970$ So I think that that perhaps is the part.

00:44:59.970 --> 00:45:02.469 That, I think would be a concern,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828638490833333

 $00:45:02.470 \longrightarrow 00:45:04.252$ and I think Brazilian data they

NOTE Confidence: 0.828638490833333

00:45:04.252 --> 00:45:05.890 follow their patients really closely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.828638490833333

 $00:45:05.890 \longrightarrow 00:45:07.746$ whereas we're not as as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.828638490833333

 $00:45:07.746 \longrightarrow 00:45:09.018$ coordinated system in America.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79383735875

 $00:45:10.560 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.056$ And and you have a very valid point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79383735875

00:45:12.060 --> 00:45:15.900 but but patients are really motivated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890071202

00:45:16.730 --> 00:45:18.538 Can I ask just a little question before

NOTE Confidence: 0.890071202

00:45:18.538 --> 00:45:20.387 you get to your big question needed?

NOTE Confidence: 0.890071202

 $00:45:20.390 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.920$ And my little question is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890071202

 $00:45:22.920 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.040$ How do you you know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.890071202

00:45:24.040 --> 00:45:27.358 So I understand you follow people closely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890071202

 $00:45:27.360 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.978$ but. How do you follow people closely?

NOTE Confidence: 0.890071202

00:45:30.980 --> 00:45:32.496 I mean you can't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890071202

00:45:32.496 --> 00:45:34.391 You can't be biasing multiple

 $00:45:34.391 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.177$ little areas all the time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890071202

 $00:45:36.180 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.736$ so you're following people closely with just,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890071202

 $00:45:39.740 \longrightarrow 00:45:42.896$ you know, some sort of scoping.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890071202

00:45:42.900 --> 00:45:44.646 You know, a low scoping procedure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81343721625

 $00:45:44.940 \longrightarrow 00:45:46.325$ correct? We kind of followed

NOTE Confidence: 0.81343721625

 $00:45:46.325 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.156$ at our institution.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81343721625

00:45:47.160 --> 00:45:49.758 We kind of followed the memorial

NOTE Confidence: 0.81343721625

 $00:45:49.760 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.030$ data and have also done the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81343721625

 $00{:}45{:}53.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}55.210$ regular intervals with endoscopy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81343721625

00:45:55.210 --> 00:45:58.470 Testing as well as diagnostic

NOTE Confidence: 0.81343721625

 $00:45:58.470 \longrightarrow 00:46:00.426$ imaging with MRI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81343721625

00:46:00.430 --> 00:46:02.518 Yes Nita yeah no. I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.691858658

 $00:46:02.530 \longrightarrow 00:46:03.310$ Eric you hit the knee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.691858658

 $00:46:03.310 \longrightarrow 00:46:04.760$ I think who is examining

NOTE Confidence: 0.691858658

 $00:46:04.760 \longrightarrow 00:46:06.210$ and do they pay attention?

NOTE Confidence: 0.691858658

 $00{:}46{:}06.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}07.420$ I think that's the set.

 $00:46:07.420 \longrightarrow 00:46:08.774$ The second question perhaps is

NOTE Confidence: 0.691858658

 $00{:}46{:}08.774 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}10.888$ similar now that you know if you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.691858658

 $00{:}46{:}10.888 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}12.930$ looking at breast and standardization,

NOTE Confidence: 0.691858658

00:46:12.930 --> 00:46:15.520 I'd still sense that even though colorectal

NOTE Confidence: 0.691858658

00:46:15.520 --> 00:46:18.447 cancer is one of our most common cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.691858658

 $00{:}46{:}18.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}21.378$ there remains a gap in standardized

NOTE Confidence: 0.691858658

 $00:46:21.378 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.830$ testing and you just showed U.S.

NOTE Confidence: 0.691858658

00:46:22.830 --> 00:46:24.641 data of the 1% rule, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.691858658

00:46:24.641 --> 00:46:25.646 The one person you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.691858658

 $00:46:25.650 \longrightarrow 00:46:28.386$ the 3% hurt to the MSI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.691858658

 $00:46:28.390 \longrightarrow 00:46:30.822$ And how do we sort of work in

NOTE Confidence: 0.691858658

 $00:46:30.822 \longrightarrow 00:46:32.538$ national agencies in your role in?

NOTE Confidence: 0.691858658

00:46:32.540 --> 00:46:34.542 Starting to think about cancer and what

NOTE Confidence: 0.691858658

 $00:46:34.542 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.599$ should be the major things we test.

NOTE Confidence: 0.691858658

 $00:46:36.600 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.880$ I I still think it's a Wild West out there.

 $00:46:39.880 \longrightarrow 00:46:41.553$ If we ignore some of the top

NOTE Confidence: 0.691858658

00:46:41.553 --> 00:46:42.736 institutions you know when you

NOTE Confidence: 0.691858658

 $00:46:42.736 \longrightarrow 00:46:44.185$ get to the rest of the country

NOTE Confidence: 0.917322238

 $00:46:44.480 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.260$ I I would say that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917322238

00:46:46.260 --> 00:46:48.290 From my experience thus far,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917322238

00:46:48.290 --> 00:46:49.970 I would say the majority of people

NOTE Confidence: 0.917322238

 $00{:}46{:}49.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}51.698$ do get tested for obviously rash.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917322238

00:46:51.700 --> 00:46:53.356 That has been the most common thing which,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917322238

 $00:46:53.360 \longrightarrow 00:46:54.940$ which obviously is extremely important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917322238

 $00:46:54.940 \longrightarrow 00:46:56.565$ So then they can avoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.917322238

 $00{:}46{:}56.565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}57.924$ anti EGFR therapy there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917322238

 $00:46:57.924 \longrightarrow 00:47:00.612$ I fully agree with you though there

NOTE Confidence: 0.917322238

 $00{:}47{:}00.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}02.542$ are patients that still come to me

NOTE Confidence: 0.917322238

00:47:02.542 --> 00:47:04.498 that have never had the raft testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917322238

 $00:47:04.500 \longrightarrow 00:47:06.420$ which obviously is extremely important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917322238

 $00:47:06.420 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.282$ They've never had MSI testing and

00:47:09.282 --> 00:47:11.396 you know I fully agree with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917322238

00:47:11.400 --> 00:47:13.966 I would just say that in every

NOTE Confidence: 0.917322238

 $00:47:13.966 \longrightarrow 00:47:16.504$ single lecture we give every single.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917322238

 $00:47:16.504 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.318$ Bit of publications that we can provide

NOTE Confidence: 0.917322238

 $00:47:19.318 \longrightarrow 00:47:21.947$ to individuals to educate others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917322238

 $00:47:21.950 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.838$ We have to encourage that all patients need

NOTE Confidence: 0.917322238

 $00:47:24.838 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.370$ to be tested for molecular marker analysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917322238

 $00:47:28.370 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.757$ I mean, that is critical to their

NOTE Confidence: 0.917322238

 $00{:}47{:}30.757 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}33.680$ overall treatment and and you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.917322238

 $00{:}47{:}33.680 \to 00{:}47{:}37.100$ it's it's so interesting when bearoff.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917322238

00:47:37.100 --> 00:47:39.650 So when Scott Kopetz originally

NOTE Confidence: 0.917322238

00:47:39.650 --> 00:47:41.530 talked about testing for BRAF,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917322238

 $00{:}47{:}41.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}43.798$ every body thought he was crazy because

NOTE Confidence: 0.917322238

 $00:47:43.798 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.140$ it was such a rare patient population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917322238

 $00:47:47.140 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.100$ And how we're going to get people to do that,

 $00:47:49.100 \longrightarrow 00:47:51.218$ but it's really as you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917322238

 $00:47:51.220 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.910$ it's a matter of education.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917322238

 $00:47:52.910 \longrightarrow 00:47:54.258$ And now it's it's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917322238

 $00:47:54.258 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.943$ It's for the majority people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917322238

 $00:47:55.950 \longrightarrow 00:47:57.259$ It is considered a standard of care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917322238

 $00:47:57.260 \longrightarrow 00:47:58.694$ I would say a large number

NOTE Confidence: 0.917322238

 $00:47:58.694 \longrightarrow 00:48:00.080$ of people test for it now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917322238

 $00:48:00.080 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.940$ but her two is still.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917322238

00:48:01.940 --> 00:48:03.172 It's still, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917322238

 $00:48:03.172 \longrightarrow 00:48:04.712$ we're trying to get there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917322238

00:48:04.720 --> 00:48:07.168 but her two is not commonly tested for,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813467806923077

 $00:48:07.560 \longrightarrow 00:48:09.510$ and Kathy does that affect treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.813467806923077

 $00:48:09.510 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.635$ for stage one and stage two disease no?

NOTE Confidence: 0.813467806923077

00:48:12.635 --> 00:48:14.675 So, I mean, you're really talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.813467806923077

 $00:48:14.675 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.719$ about more advanced disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813467806923077

 $00:48:15.720 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.788$ We're testing is really.

 $00:48:17.250 \longrightarrow 00:48:18.188$ Critical, correct?

NOTE Confidence: 0.804090052857143

 $00{:}48{:}18.188 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}21.002$ Although obviously for MSI high we

NOTE Confidence: 0.804090052857143

 $00:48:21.002 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.169$ would encourage it in all stages.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804090052857143

00:48:23.170 --> 00:48:24.746 Yes, for largely metastatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.804090052857143

 $00:48:24.746 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.110$ disease 'cause we have not found

NOTE Confidence: 0.804090052857143

 $00:48:27.183 \longrightarrow 00:48:29.215$ benefit of these other targeted

NOTE Confidence: 0.804090052857143

 $00:48:29.215 \longrightarrow 00:48:32.140$ agents in early stage disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804090052857143 00:48:32.140 --> 00:48:34.400 We've tried.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804090052857143

00:48:34.400 --> 00:48:36.088 With anti EGFR therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804090052857143

00:48:36.088 --> 00:48:38.198 we've tried with anti VEGF

NOTE Confidence: 0.804090052857143

00:48:38.198 --> 00:48:39.859 therapy and stage three,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804090052857143

 $00:48:39.860 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.020$ which was they were

NOTE Confidence: 0.804090052857143

 $00:48:41.020 \longrightarrow 00:48:41.890$ not successful studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201518875

 $00:48:42.960 \longrightarrow 00:48:46.744$ OK, so I'm going to ask a question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201518875

 $00:48:46.750 \longrightarrow 00:48:49.774$ That you know, sort of a like big

 $00:48:49.774 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.668$ question about the future or the present.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201518875

 $00:48:52.670 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.199$ But if you had could do anything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201518875

 $00:48:57.200 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.648$ And the goal is to reduce colon cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201518875

 $00:49:00.648 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.258$ deaths in the United States and beyond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201518875

 $00:49:03.260 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.720$ And you have two interventions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201518875

 $00:49:05.720 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.612$ that you could use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201518875

00:49:07.612 --> 00:49:09.504 What would you do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201518875

 $00:49:09.510 \longrightarrow 00:49:11.834$ Two interventions or two interventions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201518875

 $00:49:11.834 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.596$ or two two? You know?

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201518875

 $00:49:13.596 \longrightarrow 00:49:15.714$ What are the unmet needs so

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201518875

 $00{:}49{:}15.714 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}17.977$ the two biggest unmet needs

NOTE Confidence: 0.9201518875

 $00:49:17.977 \longrightarrow 00:49:20.200$ for colorectal cancer in 2022?

NOTE Confidence: 0.926941490833333

 $00:49:21.020 \longrightarrow 00:49:22.945$ I would say, well, the the number

NOTE Confidence: 0.926941490833333

 $00:49:22.945 \longrightarrow 00:49:24.839$ one needs still remains screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926941490833333

 $00:49:24.840 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.258$ I mean, in all fairness, we are still

NOTE Confidence: 0.926941490833333

 $00:49:27.258 \longrightarrow 00:49:29.934$ under screening in the United States.

00:49:29.940 --> 00:49:32.778 It's still I think it's like 78 percent is

NOTE Confidence: 0.926941490833333

 $00:49:32.778 \longrightarrow 00:49:36.297$ the best so so many people are being missed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926941490833333

00:49:36.300 --> 00:49:37.940 And just because they don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.926941490833333

 $00:49:37.940 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.351$ want to be screened. Number 20.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926941490833333

00:49:41.351 --> 00:49:44.106 If I could do something

NOTE Confidence: 0.926941490833333

 $00:49:44.110 \longrightarrow 00:49:45.550$ and make it effective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926941490833333

00:49:45.550 --> 00:49:49.298 I wish we could find some way to make IO

NOTE Confidence: 0.926941490833333

00:49:49.298 --> 00:49:51.970 therapy helpful in the majority of her MSI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926941490833333

 $00:49:51.970 \longrightarrow 00:49:54.470$ Stable colorectal cancer patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926941490833333

 $00:49:54.470 \longrightarrow 00:49:59.388$ We appear to be at a standstill in regards

NOTE Confidence: 0.926941490833333

 $00:49:59.388 \longrightarrow 00:50:02.070$ to any potential combination thus far.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926941490833333

 $00:50:02.070 \longrightarrow 00:50:04.410$ It you know it has.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926941490833333

 $00{:}50{:}04.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}06.426$ It's worked well in other malignancies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926941490833333

00:50:06.430 --> 00:50:10.930 Obviously, Upper GI, HTC Cholangio,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926941490833333

 $00:50:10.930 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.050$ and here we are still nowhere with it,

 $00:50:13.050 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.391$ really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926941490833333

 $00:50:13.391 \longrightarrow 00:50:14.414$ In colorectal cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.926941490833333

 $00:50:14.414 \longrightarrow 00:50:17.248$ except the less than 5% with MSI HIGH.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926941490833333

 $00:50:17.248 \longrightarrow 00:50:19.880$ But screening I would rather prevent the

NOTE Confidence: 0.926941490833333

 $00:50:19.949 \longrightarrow 00:50:22.885$ colorectal cancer and not have to treat it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.926941490833333

 $00:50:22.890 \longrightarrow 00:50:24.054$ That would be my number one

NOTE Confidence: 0.926941490833333

00:50:24.054 --> 00:50:25.382 thing which I know sounds odd

NOTE Confidence: 0.926941490833333

 $00{:}50{:}25.382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}26.647$ coming as a medical oncologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.880749245294118

 $00{:}50{:}28.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}31.156$ Well look look, we all want to put

NOTE Confidence: 0.880749245294118

 $00:50:31.156 \longrightarrow 00:50:32.883$ ourselves out of work eventually

NOTE Confidence: 0.880749245294118

 $00{:}50{:}32.883 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}35.298$ and to what extent do health care

NOTE Confidence: 0.880749245294118

00:50:35.298 --> 00:50:37.028 disparities lay in tech excess

NOTE Confidence: 0.880749245294118

 $00{:}50{:}37.028 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}38.950$ debts in colorectal cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85571975375

 $00:50:40.930 \longrightarrow 00:50:43.150$ So obviously this isn't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85571975375

 $00:50:43.150 \longrightarrow 00:50:46.226$ This is an issue as you

NOTE Confidence: 0.85571975375

00:50:46.226 --> 00:50:48.366 know in our clinical trials.

 $00:50:48.370 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.888$ As like many other clinical trials,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85571975375

 $00:50:49.890 \longrightarrow 00:50:52.650$ especially though in colorectal cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85571975375

 $00:50:52.650 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.401$ the majority of patients that enroll in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85571975375

 $00:50:55.401 \longrightarrow 00:50:58.485$ clinical trials are not our average patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85571975375

00:50:58.485 --> 00:51:01.568 95% are of their Caucasian or white,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85571975375

 $00:51:01.568 \longrightarrow 00:51:04.250$ and the majority of patients do not

NOTE Confidence: 0.85571975375

 $00:51:04.250 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.026$ participate in clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85571975375

00:51:06.030 --> 00:51:06.622 I wish I could.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85571975375

 $00:51:06.622 \longrightarrow 00:51:07.950$ So if the number if I could ask,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85571975375

 $00:51:07.950 \longrightarrow 00:51:10.365$ have a number three, I would get,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85571975375

00:51:10.370 --> 00:51:13.317 I would get more people to appreciate

NOTE Confidence: 0.85571975375

 $00:51:13.317 \longrightarrow 00:51:15.809$ the importance of clinical trials

NOTE Confidence: 0.85571975375

 $00{:}51{:}15.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}17.240$ and to help with enrollment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85571975375

 $00:51:17.240 \dashrightarrow 00:51:19.256$ and allow us to be able to provide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85571975375

00:51:19.260 --> 00:51:21.372 The standard treatment arm in the

 $00:51:21.372 \longrightarrow 00:51:23.546$ community you know and and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85571975375

 $00:51:23.546 \longrightarrow 00:51:25.296$ way will help with enrollment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85571975375

00:51:25.300 --> 00:51:27.100 so that would be my number three thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85571975375

 $00:51:27.100 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.926$ but in regards to diversity we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.85571975375

00:51:30.926 --> 00:51:33.636 lacking in clinical trials completely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85571975375

 $00{:}51{:}33.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}35.600$ and a large number of the obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.85571975375

 $00:51:35.600 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.820$ the datasets that exist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85571975375

 $00:51:36.820 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.910$ So I love Andrea sirsak.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85571975375

00:51:38.910 --> 00:51:40.098 She's a friend of mine memorial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85571975375

 $00:51:40.100 \longrightarrow 00:51:42.473$ but she just published a big publication

NOTE Confidence: 0.85571975375

 $00:51:42.473 \longrightarrow 00:51:44.220$ looking at mutations at Memorial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85571975375

 $00:51:44.220 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.474$ It's one of the largest data sets,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85571975375

 $00:51:46.480 \longrightarrow 00:51:49.570$ but yet 95% of her patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85571975375

 $00:51:49.570 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.300$ Or white,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85571975375

 $00:51:50.300 \longrightarrow 00:51:51.940$ and so it's not representative

NOTE Confidence: 0.85571975375

 $00:51:51.940 \longrightarrow 00:51:53.252$ of the actual community.

 $00:51:53.260 \longrightarrow 00:51:54.055$ Nothing against her.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85571975375

 $00:51:54.055 \longrightarrow 00:51:55.380$ It's the data she has,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85571975375

00:51:55.380 --> 00:51:57.125 but that's the patient population

NOTE Confidence: 0.85571975375

 $00:51:57.125 \longrightarrow 00:51:58.870$ that they have at Memorial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6959972775

 $00:52:00.350 \longrightarrow 00:52:02.086$ The problem, so we have a kitten

NOTE Confidence: 0.6959972775

00:52:02.086 --> 00:52:03.420 question from Mary Kate Kelly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6959972775

 $00.52:03.420 \longrightarrow 00.52:04.496$ and that question is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6959972775

 $00:52:04.496 \longrightarrow 00:52:06.537$ there's a low fat diet or a

NOTE Confidence: 0.6959972775

 $00{:}52{:}06.537 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}08.001$ vegetarian diet help decrease

NOTE Confidence: 0.6959972775

 $00{:}52{:}08.001 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}09.831$ the chance of colorectal cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913261877142857

 $00:52:10.630 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.269$ I would say definitely does not hurt.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913261877142857

 $00:52:13.270 \longrightarrow 00:52:15.070$ There's a lot of literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.913261877142857

00:52:15.070 --> 00:52:16.510 going back and forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913261877142857

 $00:52:16.510 \longrightarrow 00:52:17.390$ We're going in the diet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913261877142857

 $00:52:17.390 \longrightarrow 00:52:20.006$ I think the majority of studies have shown.

00:52:20.010 --> 00:52:22.254 Obviously your tendency to

NOTE Confidence: 0.913261877142857

 $00{:}52{:}22.254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}26.640$ obesity is is more of a concern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913261877142857

 $00:52:26.640 \longrightarrow 00:52:29.128$ There was also a very very nice publication

NOTE Confidence: 0.913261877142857

00:52:29.128 --> 00:52:31.068 just recently from my colleague here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.913261877142857

 $00:52:31.070 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.906$ Martha Shrubsall and epidemiology looking at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913261877142857

00:52:35.910 --> 00:52:39.278 Poly phenols, I believe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.913261877142857

00:52:39.280 --> 00:52:42.140 And the increased risk actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.913261877142857

 $00:52:42.140 \longrightarrow 00:52:45.000$ in the black patient population

NOTE Confidence: 0.913261877142857

 $00{:}52{:}45.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}46.730$ which was published just recently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.870439438571429

00:52:47.660 --> 00:52:51.587 And a related question just came in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870439438571429

 $00:52:51.590 \longrightarrow 00:52:53.240$ which is in patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.870439438571429

 $00:52:53.240 \longrightarrow 00:52:54.560$ have had colorectal cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870439438571429

 $00:52:54.560 \longrightarrow 00:52:56.820$ and they say to you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870439438571429

 $00:52:56.820 \longrightarrow 00:52:58.828$ what can I do to prevent a recurrence?

NOTE Confidence: 0.870439438571429

 $00:52:58.830 \longrightarrow 00:53:01.956$ Are there any data that would

NOTE Confidence: 0.870439438571429

 $00{:}53{:}01.956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}03.519$ support lifestyle interventions

 $00:53:03.519 \longrightarrow 00:53:05.724$ such as exercise, diet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870439438571429

 $00:53:05.724 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.334$ any any other sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.870439438571429

 $00:53:09.334 \longrightarrow 00:53:10.778$ nutritional supplements,

NOTE Confidence: 0.870439438571429

 $00:53:10.780 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.469$ and finally, aspirin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830426854285714

00:53:13.180 --> 00:53:17.415 Right, so there is data regarding aspirin

NOTE Confidence: 0.830426854285714

 $00:53:17.420 \longrightarrow 00:53:20.374$ that appears to be more beneficial in

NOTE Confidence: 0.830426854285714

00:53:20.374 --> 00:53:23.720 the PIK 3 CA mutation patient which

NOTE Confidence: 0.830426854285714

 $00:53:23.720 \longrightarrow 00:53:26.302$ is about 15 to 20% of our patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830426854285714

 $00:53:26.302 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.978$ Better baby aspirin seems to be fairly

NOTE Confidence: 0.830426854285714

 $00{:}53{:}28.978 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}30.210$ benign and potentially helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830426854285714

 $00{:}53{:}30.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}32.807$ And then Jeff Meyer Heart has published

NOTE Confidence: 0.830426854285714

 $00:53:32.807 \longrightarrow 00:53:35.740$ before on the role of exercise as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830426854285714

 $00{:}53{:}35.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}38.764$ I would say all good healthy eating

NOTE Confidence: 0.830426854285714

 $00{:}53{:}38.764 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}41.505$ patterns and exercise obviously can't harm

NOTE Confidence: 0.830426854285714

 $00:53:41.505 \longrightarrow 00:53:44.990$ you and I would highly recommend them.

 $00:53:44.990 \longrightarrow 00:53:48.130$ And then there's been some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830426854285714

 $00:53:48.130 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.859$ I would say those those the majority

NOTE Confidence: 0.830426854285714 00:53:49.859 --> 00:53:50.600 of the data. NOTE Confidence: 0.664739854444445

00:53:52.860 --> 00:53:57.774 Alright, well, and and any data on vitamin D

NOTE Confidence: 0.91479172875

 $00:53:58.560 \longrightarrow 00:54:02.102$ that is an ongoing trial being led

NOTE Confidence: 0.91479172875

 $00:54:02.102 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.591$ by Kim Eing I think it is called

NOTE Confidence: 0.91479172875

 $00:54:04.591 \longrightarrow 00:54:06.319$ forgot the name of the trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91479172875

 $00:54:06.320 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.568$ I apologize, it is being run through the

NOTE Confidence: 0.91479172875

 $00:54:08.568 \longrightarrow 00:54:10.679$ alliance and so they are looking at that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951155828

00:54:11.670 --> 00:54:15.200 Alright, well I think that I think everyone

NOTE Confidence: 0.951155828

 $00{:}54{:}15.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}17.230$ got a tremendous amount out of this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951155828

00:54:17.230 --> 00:54:19.486 Thank you so much for joining us all,

NOTE Confidence: 0.951155828

 $00:54:19.490 \longrightarrow 00:54:22.154$ be it from a distance we we look forward

NOTE Confidence: 0.951155828

 $00{:}54{:}22.154 \longrightarrow 00{:}54{:}25.210$ to having you here in person at some time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.951155828

00:54:25.210 --> 00:54:28.122 And please please give our best to all

NOTE Confidence: 0.951155828

 $00:54:28.122 \longrightarrow 00:54:30.646$ our friends at Vanderbilt and we really

 $00{:}54{:}30.646 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}54{:}32.312$ enjoyed having you thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7668139825

 $00{:}54{:}32.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}34.326$ Thank you so much. It's been my pleasure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7668139825

 $00{:}54{:}34.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}36.780$ It's been great thanks. Have a great day.