WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:58:47"

NOTE recognizability:0.803

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00:00:00.000 \rightarrow 00:00:02.440$ Good afternoon everyone. Welcome to

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00:00:02.440 \longrightarrow 00:00:05.500$ the Yale Cancer Center grand rounds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00{:}00{:}05{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}08{.}391$ Today we have two fantastic speakers both

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00{:}00{:}08{.}391 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}11{.}440$ who are in the developmental the rapeutics

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

00:00:11.440 --> 00:00:14.884 program of the Yale Cancer Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00:00:14.890 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.348$ And why don't we get started?

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00:00:16.350 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.086$ I'm delighted to introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

00:00:18.086 --> 00:00:19.388 Doctor James Farrell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00{:}00{:}19{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}21{.}630$ who is a professor of medicine in

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00{:}00{:}21.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}23.970$ the director of the Yale Center

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00:00:23.970 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.278$ for Pancreatic Diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00:00:25.280 \rightarrow 00:00:27.168$ He's an internationally recognized,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00{:}00{:}27.168 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}29.056$ expert and pancreatic disease

00:00:29.056 --> 00:00:30.308 treatment and research,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00:00:30.308 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.031$ and is known for his development of

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

00:00:33.031 --> 00:00:34.904 personalized therapy approaches for

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00:00:34.904 \rightarrow 00:00:37.259$ pancreatic cancer and early detection

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00{:}00{:}37.259 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}39.400$ biomarkers for pancreatic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00{:}00{:}39{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}41{.}200$ He received his medical medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

00:00:41.200 --> 00:00:42.640 degree from University College

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00:00:42.640 \rightarrow 00:00:44.187$ Dublin and completed internal

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00{:}00{:}44.187 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}46.077$ medicine training at Johns Hopkins.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00{:}00{:}46.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}48.120$ And a gastroenterology fellowship

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00{:}00{:}48.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}51.186$ at MGH and Harvard Medical School.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00{:}00{:}51.186 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}53.692$ He then completed advanced The rapeutic

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00{:}00{:}53.692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}55.684$ Endoscopy fellowship training at

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

00:00:55.684 --> 00:00:58.220 mass General and Brigham and Women's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00{:}00{:}58.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}59.795$ in addition to his clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818

 $00:00:59.795 \dashrightarrow 00:01:01.055$ practice and pancreatic disease

- NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818
- $00:01:01.055 \rightarrow 00:01:02.679$ and interventional endoscopy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818
- $00{:}01{:}02.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}05.194$ His clinical research has focused on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818
- $00:01:05.194 \rightarrow 00:01:07.380$ early detection of pancreatic cancer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818
- 00:01:07.380 --> 00:01:09.030 including studying pancreatitis,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818
- 00:01:09.030 --> 00:01:12.330 high risk individuals and pancreatic cysts.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818
- $00{:}01{:}12.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}14.520$ His translational research includes the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818
- $00:01:14.520 \rightarrow 00:01:16.272$ development of personalized therapy.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818
- $00:01:16.280 \dashrightarrow 00:01:18.056$ Approaches for pancreatic cancer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818
- $00:01:18.056 \rightarrow 00:01:20.276$ and the evaluation of biomarkers
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818
- 00:01:20.276 --> 00:01:22.009 and pancreatic disease.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818
- 00:01:22.010 --> 00:01:24.110 Delighted to turn it over to you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818
- 00:01:24.110 --> 00:01:25.664 Doctor Farrell and look forward
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818
- $00:01:25.664 \rightarrow 00:01:27.722$ to hearing what you have to say.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.896058158181818
- 00:01:27.730 --> 00:01:28.710 Thank you.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- $00:01:29.390 \rightarrow 00:01:32.650$ Thanks everyone and good afternoon.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:01:32.650 \rightarrow 00:01:33.530$ Thanks for the invitation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00{:}01{:}33{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}34{.}630$ So we're going to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

00:01:34.630 --> 00:01:35.620 about pancreatic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:01:35.620 \rightarrow 00:01:38.710$ early detection prevention this morning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:01:38.710 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.702$ It really is going to be an overview

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:01:40.702 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.517$ in the time allotted and kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

00:01:42.517 --> 00:01:44.395 of emphasizing some of the work

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:01:44.458 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.198$ that we've been involved with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:01:46.200 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.270$ Disclosures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:01:48.270 \rightarrow 00:01:49.674$ So when you think about pancreatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00{:}01{:}49.674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}51.449$ cancer in terms of overall incidence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:01:51.450 \longrightarrow 00:01:53.200$ it's actually low down in the list,

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:01:53.200 \rightarrow 00:01:55.132$ and maybe sometimes doesn't get as

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:01:55.132 \dashrightarrow 00:01:56.762$ much prominence as other cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00{:}01{:}56.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}58.764$ such as prostate or lung or colon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:01:58.770 \rightarrow 00:02:00.786$ But when you look at cancer related deaths,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- $00:02:00.790 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.810$ it jumps up the list,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- $00:02:01.810 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.805$ and it's probably around the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- $00:02:03.805 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.800$ third or fourth most common
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- $00{:}02{:}05{.}872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}08{.}007$ cause of cancer related death.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- $00:02:08.010 \longrightarrow 00:02:09.306$ So in 2022,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- $00:02:09.306 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.466$ it's estimated there'll be about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- $00:02:11.470 \longrightarrow 00:02:13.750$ 62,000 cases and just under 50,000
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- $00{:}02{:}13.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}16.787$ deaths related to it and has long been
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- 00:02:16.787 --> 00:02:19.570 predicted and certainly on its way by 2030,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- $00{:}02{:}19{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}22{.}034$ it's expected to be the second most
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- $00:02:22.034 \rightarrow 00:02:25.469$ common cause for cancer related death.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- $00{:}02{:}25{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}27{.}036$ It also needs to be emphasized.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- $00:02:27.040 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.180$ The fact that yes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- 00:02:28.180 --> 00:02:29.320 there have been improvements
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- $00{:}02{:}29{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}31{.}154$ in the management and treatment
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

- 00:02:31.154 --> 00:02:32.366 of pancreatic cancer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- $00:02:32.370 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.842$ but really,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- $00:02:32.842 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.786$ they have fallen short,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- $00:02:33.790 \rightarrow 00:02:35.186$ although progress still continues
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- $00:02:35.186 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.630$ to be made and just emphasizing the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- $00{:}02{:}37.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}39.660$ need for a better and improved early
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- $00:02:39.660 \dashrightarrow 00:02:41.589$ detection for this particular disease.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- $00:02:41.590 \rightarrow 00:02:44.020$ If we're hoping to improve
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- $00{:}02{:}44{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}45{.}478$ the overall survival.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- 00:02:45.480 --> 00:02:45.794 Now,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- $00{:}02{:}45.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}47.678$ there has been tremendous progress in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- 00:02:47.678 --> 00:02:49.880 the world of understanding cancer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- $00{:}02{:}49.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}51.524$ biology of pancreatic cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- $00{:}02{:}51{.}524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}52{.}757$ Along the bottom,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- $00:02:52.760 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.004$ here is a normal progression through
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- $00:02:55.004 \rightarrow 00:02:57.338$ low grade and high grade dysplasia

- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- $00:02:57.338 \dashrightarrow 00:03:00.005$ or so called pen and lesions to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- 00:03:00.005 --> 00:03:02.708 invasive pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- $00:03:02.710 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.010$ It always typically starts with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- 00:03:05.010 -> 00:03:07.310 the activation of an oncogene,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- $00:03:07.310 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.220$ and then it's been several
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- $00{:}03{:}09{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}10{.}748$ well known characterized tumor
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- 00:03:10.748 --> 00:03:12.039 suppressor gene mutations,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- 00:03:12.040 --> 00:03:15.670 including P53 and SMAD 4.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- $00:03:15.670 \rightarrow 00:03:17.740$ It's also worth noticing that related
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- $00:03:17.740 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.469$ to this is another entity of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- $00:03:20.469 \rightarrow 00:03:22.599$ pancreas called Ipmn or introductive
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- 00:03:22.599 --> 00:03:24.070 papillary mucinous neoplasm.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- $00:03:24.070 \rightarrow 00:03:26.555$ It also goes through a similar sequence,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- $00:03:26.560 \rightarrow 00:03:29.040$ resulting in an invasive neoplasm
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976
- $00:03:29.040 \rightarrow 00:03:31.410$ with similar mutations, such as in K.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:03:31.410 \rightarrow 00:03:32.920$ Ras, but also some unique ones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:03:32.920 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.935$ Which is Gina Genas uncle

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

00:03:35.935 -> 00:03:37.744 Gene observation activation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.638810976

 $00:03:37.750 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.507$ but it's very hard to talk about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:03:42.840 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.688$ Carcinoma without without talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:03:44.688 \dashrightarrow 00:03:48.710$ about the other disease of ipmn.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:03:48.710 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.095$ It's also worth making the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

00:03:50.095 - 00:03:51.203 point that this progression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00{:}03{:}51{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}53{.}106$ we think from the initial K.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00{:}03{:}53{.}110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}55{.}318$ Ras mutation to the time of

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

00:03:55.318 --> 00:03:56.790 let's say metastatic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:03:56.790 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.855$ is probably of the region

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:03:58.855 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.549$ of of about 11 years or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:04:01.550 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.470$ And so this number is debatable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:04:03.470 \rightarrow 00:04:05.454$ But basically it's a long time for us

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:04:05.454 \rightarrow 00:04:07.496$ to try and intervene and understand

- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- $00:04:07.496 \rightarrow 00:04:09.728$ what's going on during this sequence.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- 00:04:09.730 --> 00:04:11.945 However, it's also worth noting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- $00{:}04{:}11{.}945 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}14{.}682$ that in its early stages and pre
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- $00:04:14.682 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.210$ invasive stages with pannin,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- $00:04:16.210 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.690$ it typically can't be seen.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- 00:04:17.690 --> 00:04:18.160 Radiologically,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- 00:04:18.160 00:04:21.450 when an early stage cancer does arise,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- $00:04:21.450 \rightarrow 00:04:24.558$ this can be seen by radiologic imaging,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- $00:04:24.560 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.919$ but in the time required to go
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- $00:04:26.919 \rightarrow 00:04:29.029$ from an early stage, resectable,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- 00:04:29.029 --> 00:04:31.265 surgically manageable pancreatic cancer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- 00:04:31.265 --> 00:04:34.386 to an advanced metastatic stage can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- $00:04:34.386 \rightarrow 00:04:37.330$ be as short as one to $1 \frac{1}{2}$ years, and so.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- $00:04:37.330 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.290$ At that point,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- $00{:}04{:}38{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}40{.}040$ the clock does start ticking.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:04:40.040 \rightarrow 00:04:42.839$ And it brings up the issue of missed cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:04:42.840 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.764$ interval cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:04:43.764 \rightarrow 00:04:46.998$ cancers that may be seen on imaging

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:04:46.998 \rightarrow 00:04:50.175$ with more advanced uses of of imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00{:}04{:}50{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}52{.}628$ Now there's no shortage of biomarker

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:04:52.628 \rightarrow 00:04:54.740$ signatures for early pancreatic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:04:54.740 \rightarrow 00:04:57.620$ This is just a selection of some of these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:04:57.620 \rightarrow 00:05:00.124$ and they all have very promising

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:05:00.124 \rightarrow 00:05:03.500$ operating characteristics or AUC.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:05:03.500 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.612$ So in the top left is a salivary

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00{:}05{:}05{.}612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}08{.}309$ based 4 gene panel of RNA that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00{:}05{:}08{.}309 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}10{.}172$ worked with again comparing non

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00{:}05{:}10{.}172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}12{.}152$ cancers to patients with cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:05:12.152 \rightarrow 00:05:16.818$ with a very respectable AUC of .97.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

00:05:16.820 --> 00:05:19.557 Below is a work of Anoop Sharma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:05:19.560 \rightarrow 00:05:21.930$ He said who Jim looking at?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- 00:05:21.930 --> 00:05:23.055 DNA methylation markers?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- 00:05:23.055 -> 00:05:25.680 And this is a particular 4 gene
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- 00:05:25.753 --> 00:05:27.898 cell free DNA methylation panel,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- $00:05:27.900 \rightarrow 00:05:31.687$ again with very respectable AU C curves.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- $00{:}05{:}31.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}33.466$ We've kind of come to the age in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- $00{:}05{:}33{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}36{.}186$ 2022 where we now have the beginning
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- $00:05:36.186 \rightarrow 00:05:37.860$ of commercially available panels,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- $00:05:37.860 \rightarrow 00:05:40.686$ not just for cancer in general,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- $00{:}05{:}40.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}42.139$ so you have heard of GRAIL and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- $00:05:42.139 \rightarrow 00:05:43.349$ you've heard of cancer seek,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- $00{:}05{:}43.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}46.310$ but now Innovia has an imray pan candy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- $00:05:46.310 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.765$ which is an antibody panel
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- $00:05:48.765 \rightarrow 00:05:50.729$ specifically for pancreatic cancer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- $00{:}05{:}50{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}53{.}376$ and in the studies to date at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- $00:05:53.376 \dashrightarrow 00:05:55.721$ least shows very promising AUC's
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:05:55.721 \dashrightarrow 00:05:57.245$ for the differentiation between

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00{:}05{:}57.245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}59.150$ early stage cancer and control.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:05:59.150 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.957$ And in fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:05:59.957 \rightarrow 00:06:01.302$ this is being currently tested

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:06:01.302 \longrightarrow 00:06:02.459$ in a high risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:06:02.460 \rightarrow 00:06:04.450$ Population the Pantheon One study

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00{:}06{:}04{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{.}06{.}440$ in which we're involved with

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00{:}06{:}06{.}506 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}08{.}690$ results of which will be out soon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00{:}06{:}08{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}10{.}240$ But the problem is yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:06:10.240 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.760$ there are all these great

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

00:06:11.760 --> 00:06:12.368 biomarker signatures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:06:12.370 \longrightarrow 00:06:14.218$ but they're not being applied or can't

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:06:14.218 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.229$ be applied to the general population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00{:}06{:}16.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}18.921$ And this has to do with an issue of

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

00:06:18.921 --> 00:06:20.660 mathematics and the 1.6 lifetime risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:06:20.660 \rightarrow 00:06:21.590$ and the low,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- 00:06:21.590 --> 00:06:23.153 relatively low prevalence
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- $00:06:23.153 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.716$ of pancreatic cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- $00{:}06{:}24.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}26.936$ What that means is that even with a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- $00:06:26.936 \rightarrow 00:06:29.280$ very good sensitivity and specificity,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- $00:06:29.280 \longrightarrow 00:06:31.266$ whereas you have a very high
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- 00:06:31.266 --> 00:06:32.259 negative predictive value,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- $00:06:32.260 \rightarrow 00:06:34.285$ you have a very low
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- 00:06:34.285 --> 00:06:35.500 positive predictive value.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- $00{:}06{:}35{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}37{.}720$ And even as you increase the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- $00:06:37.720 \longrightarrow 00:06:39.669$ sensitivity and specificity of these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- $00:06:39.669 \rightarrow 00:06:41.659$ tests in the general population,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- $00:06:41.660 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.164$ the positive predictive value
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- $00:06:43.164 \rightarrow 00:06:45.760$ only gets as good as about 20%.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- 00:06:45.760 --> 00:06:47.792 And what that means is that most of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625
- $00{:}06{:}47.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}49.541$ the positive tests that you're going
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89824665625

 $00:06:49.541 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.317$ to see in the general population

NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714

 $00:06:51.377 \rightarrow 00:06:53.545$ will turn out to be false positive tests,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714

 $00:06:53.550 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.258$ and this is one of the major

NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714

 $00:06:55.258 \rightarrow 00:06:56.510$ reasons why we haven't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714

 $00:06:56.510 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.796$ Unraveled general population

NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714

 $00:06:58.796 \longrightarrow 00:07:00.320$ screening currently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714

 $00:07:00.320 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.280$ So as a result of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714

 $00:07:01.280 \rightarrow 00:07:03.120$ we tend to focus on high risk groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714

 $00{:}07{:}03.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}05.147$ and the three big high risk groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714

 $00:07:05.147 \dashrightarrow 00:07:06.662$ which are these enriched groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714

 $00{:}07{:}06.716 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}08.846$ that increase the prevalence of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714

 $00:07:08.846 \rightarrow 00:07:10.266$ chances of developing pancreatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714

 $00:07:10.270 \dashrightarrow 00:07:12.960$ cancer include new onset diabetes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714

00:07:12.960 --> 00:07:14.381 And I'm not going to go spend

NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714

 $00:07:14.381 \longrightarrow 00:07:15.699$ too much time on this today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714

00:07:15.700 --> 00:07:16.150 Shiraz Shari,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714
- $00:07:16.150 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.365$ who's kind of a leader in this area, spoke.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714
- $00:07:18.365 \rightarrow 00:07:20.440$ Very passionate about this subject.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714
- 00:07:20.440 --> 00:07:22.318 Here at Yale several weeks back,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714
- $00:07:22.320 \longrightarrow 00:07:23.770$ but diabetes interplays with everything
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714
- $00:07:23.770 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.100$ that we talk about with pancreatic system,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714
- 00:07:26.100 --> 00:07:27.470 family history.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.892557816785714
- $00:07:27.470 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.360$ The other two high risk groups.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.824197183333333
- $00{:}07{:}30{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}30{.}888$ So what about
- NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358
- 00:07:30.900 --> 00:07:31.692 pancreatic cysts?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358
- $00:07:31.692 \rightarrow 00:07:33.544$ So pancreatic cysts, and specifically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358
- $00:07:33.544 \rightarrow 00:07:35.868$ a type of cyst called an ipmn,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358
- 00:07:35.870 --> 00:07:38.118 are incredibly common findings,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358
- $00:07:38.118 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.237$ incidentally, found typically on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358
- $00{:}07{:}40.237 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}43.870$ CT scans or Mr is of the abdomen.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358
- $00:07:43.870 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.798$ We use a variety of imaging
- NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

00:07:46.798 --> 00:07:49.370 features of these incidental cysts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

00:07:49.370 --> 00:07:50.860 such as high risk stigmata,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:07:50.860 \rightarrow 00:07:53.009$ are they associated with jaundice or amass.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:07:53.010 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.586$ Is the pancreatic duct,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00{:}07{:}54.586 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}55.768$ for example dilated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:07:55.770 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.790$ are worrisome features?

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:07:56.790 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.150$ Is there a nodule?

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00{:}07{:}58.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}59.760$ Is there an intermediate ductal

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00{:}07{:}59{.}760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}02{.}063$ dilation of the main pancreatic duct is

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:08:02.063 \rightarrow 00:08:03.668$ assessed greater than 3 centimeters?

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:08:03.670 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.290$ Is there a change in caliber?

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00{:}08{:}05{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}08{.}970$ Is a cyst getting rapidly bigger over time?

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00{:}08{:}08{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}11.658$ So we use these imaging features to try

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

00:08:11.658 --> 00:08:14.416 and better understand what's the risk of?

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:08:14.420 \rightarrow 00:08:18.170$ This particular IP man having cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:08:18.170 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.456$ and so we think that overall

 $00:08:20.456 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.160$ there's a 1% chance per year of

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:08:23.160 \rightarrow 00:08:25.110$ these IP amends developing cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:08:25.110 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.510$ and when we look at the imaging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:08:26.510 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.512$ one of the first questions that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:08:28.512 \longrightarrow 00:08:31.151$ end up trying to sort out is should

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00{:}08{:}31{.}151 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}33{.}016$ these patients undergo surgery and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:08:33.016 \dashrightarrow 00:08:34.717$ we use a combination of the imaging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:08:34.720 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.628$ But even with our best imaging in 2022,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:08:37.628 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.776$ it's likely that anywhere in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:08:39.776 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.666$ region of about 60% of patients are

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

00:08:42.666 --> 00:08:44.028 undergoing unnecessary surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00{:}08{:}44.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}46.450$ meaning that we are resecting

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:08:46.450 \dashrightarrow 00:08:47.922$ low grade dysplastic lesions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00{:}08{:}47{.}922 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}49{.}762$ not the high grade dysplastic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00{:}08{:}49{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}51{.}720$ not the invasive cancer patients

 $00:08:51.720 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.070$ that we're really trying to find.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00{:}08{:}54{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}56{.}294$ And so this kind of begs for an

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:08:56.294 \dashrightarrow 00:08:57.924$ improvement in this particular area NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00{:}08{:}57{.}924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}00{.}290$ and one particular area has been in NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:09:00.355 \rightarrow 00:09:02.406$ looking at the role of cyst fluid,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

00:09:02.410 --> 00:09:04.909 and so we have the ability with NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

00:09:04.909 --> 00:09:06.683 endoscopic ultrasound to pass a

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00{:}09{:}06.683 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}08.591$ camera down into the stomach and

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00{:}09{:}08.591 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}10.640$ sample the fluid in these cysts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:09:10.640 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.453$ And this can be very helpful for

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

00:09:12.453 --> 00:09:13.868 making the diagnosis of the cyst.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

00:09:13.870 --> 00:09:16.166 Like is it an ipmn or some other

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

00:09:16.166 --> 00:09:17.750 type of precancerous?

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00{:}09{:}17.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}19.150$ But it also has the ability for

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:09:19.150 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.812$ us to look at, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:09:20.812 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.674$ methylation markers,

 $00:09:21.674 \rightarrow 00:09:24.440$ and this is very promising 2 panel

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00{:}09{:}24.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}26.575$ marker that has a very good high

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

00:09:26.575 --> 00:09:28.250 operating characteristics for separating

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:09:28.250 \longrightarrow 00:09:30.420$ out low grade dysplastic system

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:09:30.420 \dashrightarrow 00:09:32.999$ which we don't want to operate on

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:09:32.999 \rightarrow 00:09:35.172$ and high grade dysplastic and cancer cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00{:}09{:}35{.}172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}37{.}260$ So expect to hear more in time about

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:09:37.315 \rightarrow 00:09:39.037$ these particular markers and SIS fluid

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:09:39.037 \rightarrow 00:09:41.259$ as well as other even protein based

NOTE Confidence: 0.67844358

 $00:09:41.259 \dashrightarrow 00:09:42.954$ markers in this particular field.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:09:45.170 \dashrightarrow 00:09:47.210$ The other issue with pancreatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:09:47.210 \longrightarrow 00:09:48.842$ cysts relates to surveillance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00{:}09{:}48.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}51.901$ and so as we get better at deciding which

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

00:09:51.901 --> 00:09:54.068 patients shouldn't undergo surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00{:}09{:}54.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}56.471$ it'll become obvious to people that the

 $00:09:56.471 \rightarrow 00:09:59.096$ vast majority of these patients with I PMN

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:09:59.100 \rightarrow 00:10:01.290$ nothing really happens them dramatically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:10:01.290 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.955$ Over time, they might get

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00{:}10{:}02{.}955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}04{.}287$ a little bit bigger.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:10:04.290 \rightarrow 00:10:06.226$ They're duct might get a little bit bigger,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00{:}10{:}06{.}230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}08{.}924$ but their chances of developing cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

00:10:08.924 --> 00:10:11.050 requiring surgery is incredibly low,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

00:10:11.050 - 00:10:12.988 but we're obliged to follow them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

00:10:12.990 --> 00:10:13.896 especially younger patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:10:13.896 \rightarrow 00:10:15.708$ because we're telling them your cyst.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00{:}10{:}15{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}18{.}470$ As a risk of developing cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:10:18.470 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.479$ And in that, the field of surveillance

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:10:20.479 \rightarrow 00:10:22.469$ has really become a very complex issue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:10:22.470 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.095$ primarily because there's a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

00:10:24.095 --> 00:10:25.070 of cysts involved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00{:}10{:}25{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}26{.}841$ so it's estimated at about 6 million

- NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222
- $00:10:26.841 \longrightarrow 00:10:28.369$ people in the United States.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222
- $00:10:28.370 \rightarrow 00:10:30.866$ At least have some form of pancreatic cyst,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222
- $00{:}10{:}30.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}32.352$ and it's brought up questions of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222
- $00:10:32.352 \rightarrow 00:10:33.762$ when should we stop surveying
- NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222
- $00:10:33.762 \rightarrow 00:10:35.587$ patients that have pancreatic cysts?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222
- $00:10:35.590 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.846$ Is there an age?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222
- $00{:}10{:}36{.}846 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}38{.}730$ Are there Co morbidities that we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222
- $00:10:38.803 \rightarrow 00:10:41.309$ should say outweigh the risk of cancer?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222
- $00{:}10{:}41{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}42{.}913$ Is there any way of tailoring or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222
- $00{:}10{:}42{.}913 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}44{.}743$ having kind of a frank discussion with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222
- $00{:}10{:}44.743 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}46.723$ patients to kind of educate them on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222
- $00:10:46.723 \rightarrow 00:10:48.475$ who needs surveillance and who doesn't?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222
- $00{:}10{:}48.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}50.454$ And is there any progress we could
- NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222
- $00{:}10{:}50{.}454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}52{.}677$ make in the realm of understanding
- NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222
- $00:10:52.677 \rightarrow 00:10:54.429$ the biology of progression?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:10:54.430 \dashrightarrow 00:10:57.067$ So we know for a fact that says do

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

00:10:57.067 --> 00:10:59.455 change at some says do change over

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00{:}10{:}59{.}455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}01{.}778$ time and some cysts will change

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

00:11:01.778 --> 00:11:04.028 even after periods of stability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

00:11:04.030 --> 00:11:05.006 On the flip side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:11:05.006 \rightarrow 00:11:06.226$ we also know that stability,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:11:06.230 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.690$ especially for small cyst,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00{:}11{:}07.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}10.566$ is a good hallmark, or it's not great,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

00:11:10.566 --> 00:11:12.336 but it's a good hallmark.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:11:12.340 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.604$ Nonetheless,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00{:}11{:}12.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}14.452$ in a variety of guidelines that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00{:}11{:}14{.}452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}16{.}206$ out there to help us understand who

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:11:16.206 \rightarrow 00:11:18.029$ we should be following who we should be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00{:}11{:}18.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}20.870$ stopping surveillance on the American

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00{:}11{:}20.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}22.574$ Gastroenterology Association has

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00{:}11{:}22.574 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}25.214$ made the recommendation that after

 $00{:}11{:}25{.}214 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}27{.}908$ five years of CIS stability that

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00{:}11{:}27{.}908 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}29{.}448$ you should stop surveillance and

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:11:29.448 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.459$ this actually has been quite a

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00{:}11{:}31{.}459 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}34{.}160$ controversial recommendation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

00:11:34.160 --> 00:11:36.428 I could chod
a one of the physicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:11:36.428 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.401$ in our research group had the

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:11:38.401 \longrightarrow 00:11:40.578$ ability to look at about 18 studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:11:40.648 \rightarrow 00:11:42.778$ with over 10,000 patients followed

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:11:42.778 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.908$ for almost 60,000 patient years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00{:}11{:}44{.}910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}46{.}998$ And I could look at in these studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00{:}11{:}46{.}998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}49{.}455$ that have now long term follow up for

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00{:}11{:}49{.}455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}51{.}449$ patients with otherwise low risk IP men.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:11:51.450 \longrightarrow 00:11:53.472$ So patients that we would typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00{:}11{:}53.472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}55.129$ just be following and he was

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00{:}11{:}55{.}129 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}56{.}194$ able to notice that yeah,

 $00:11:56.200 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.570$ after five years or so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:11:58.570 \longrightarrow 00:12:01.822$ the risks of them developing progression

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

00:12:01.822 --> 00:12:05.462 is probably around 3% per year and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00{:}12{:}05{.}462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}08{.}457$ risk of them developing a cancer or an

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:12:08.457 \longrightarrow 00:12:10.864$ advanced lesion is about 1% per year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00{:}12{:}10.864 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}12.766$ And when he looked at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

00:12:12.766 --> 00:12:14.080 subgroup of patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:12:14.080 \rightarrow 00:12:16.536$ so patients who were stable for five years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:12:16.540 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.324$ so this would be the group that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:12:18.324 \rightarrow 00:12:19.718$ would typically talk about stopping.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

00:12:19.720 --> 00:12:22.674 There's still a .2% risk per year

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:12:22.674 \rightarrow 00:12:25.120$ of developing cancer long-term out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00{:}12{:}25{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}27{.}360$ so we don't think that there's enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:12:27.360 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.579$ evidence right now to really be

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:12:29.579 \rightarrow 00:12:31.179$ talking about stopping surveillance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222

 $00:12:31.180 \longrightarrow 00:12:33.100$ What we do know is that there are

- NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222
- $00:12:33.100 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.886$ subgroups of patients who we should
- NOTE Confidence: 0.744730942222222
- $00:12:34.886 \rightarrow 00:12:36.764$ be probably having a more intelligent
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- $00:12:36.820 \rightarrow 00:12:37.760$ conversation with,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- 00:12:37.760 --> 00:12:40.380 and particularly patients who
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- $00{:}12{:}40{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}42{.}345$ have significant comorbidities.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- $00:12:42.350 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.830$ We had the ability to look at.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- $00:12:46.830 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.695$ 440 patients that we were
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- $00{:}12{:}48.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}50.910$ actively surveying at Yale over a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- 00:12:50.910 --> 00:12:52.856 period of about 56 months or so,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- $00:12:52.860 \rightarrow 00:12:55.860$ and in that group of patients,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- 00:12:55.860 --> 00:12:57.087 44 patients died,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- 00:12:57.087 -> 00:12:59.541 but the vast majority of deaths
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- 00:12:59.541 00:13:02.793 on follow-up were not related to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- $00{:}13{:}02{.}793 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}04{.}737$ pancreatic disease, and in fact,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- $00{:}13{:}04.737 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}07.175$ when we took a cut off of a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:13:07.175 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.819$ comorbidity index of four.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00{:}13{:}08{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}11{.}106$ So an index that takes in

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:13:11.106 \rightarrow 00:13:13.174$ cardiac renal pulmonary issues to

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

00:13:13.174 --> 00:13:15.078 determine long term comorbidity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

00:13:15.080 --> 00:13:16.508 a cutoff of four.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

00:13:16.508 --> 00:13:18.293 It's very good at predicting

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:13:18.293 \rightarrow 00:13:20.059$ long term comorbidities,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:13:20.060 \rightarrow 00:13:22.270$ not related to pancreatic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00{:}13{:}22{.}270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}24{.}580$ and so now we're beginning to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00{:}13{:}24{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}26{.}040$ these discussions with patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00{:}13{:}26.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}28.070$ based on their overall prognosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:13:28.070 \rightarrow 00:13:30.435$ not just focusing specifically on

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00{:}13{:}30{.}435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}33{.}590$ the issue related to their pancreas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:13:33.590 \rightarrow 00:13:36.264$ This very useful tool that's now available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:13:36.270 \rightarrow 00:13:38.763$ We actually have it on our high risk website.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00{:}13{:}38{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}42{.}568$ The PCD website is a validated

- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- $00{:}13{:}42.568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}45.586$ tool that was initiated and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- 00:13:45.586 --> 00:13:47.818 validated in both Dutch,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- $00{:}13{:}47.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}49.734$ Italian and several US sites for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- $00:13:49.734 \rightarrow 00:13:51.939$ looking at both the five year and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- $00{:}13{:}51{.}939 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}53{.}955$ the three year and five year risk
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- $00:13:54.023 \rightarrow 00:13:56.047$ of developing worrisome features.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- 00:13:56.050 --> 00:13:57.646 A high risk of matter if you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- $00{:}13{:}57.646 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}59.380$ have a a low risk branch.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- 00:13:59.380 --> 00:14:01.270 Strict IP men so you you as a patient
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- $00:14:01.270 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.030$ are able to go on to the website.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- $00:14:03.030 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.270$ You're able to put in.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- $00:14:04.270 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.440$ The size of your cyst,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- $00:14:05.440 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.086$ for example.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- 00:14:06.086 --> 00:14:07.701 There's some other parameters like
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- 00:14:07.701 --> 00:14:09.499 smoking is your SIS multifocal,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

00:14:09.500 --> 00:14:11.756 and it's able to give you a pictorial

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00{:}14{:}11.756 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}13.607$ representation of your risk of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

00:14:13.607 --> 00:14:14.839 cyst developing into worrisome

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

00:14:14.839 --> 00:14:16.430 features and high risk matters,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00{:}14{:}16{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}18{.}584$ so this is very helpful in

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00{:}14{:}18{.}584 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}20{.}020$ discussions with patients overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00{:}14{:}20.083 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}22.021$ and ultimately we would like to

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:14:22.021 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.382$ get greater numbers to to be able

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00{:}14{:}24{.}382 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}26{.}057$ to calculate individual risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00{:}14{:}26.057 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}29.570$ developing an advanced neoplasia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00{:}14{:}29{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}31{.}570$ But I think a key issue is also

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:14:31.570 \longrightarrow 00:14:33.591$ trying to understand at a molecular

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

00:14:33.591 --> 00:14:35.416 level what makes some sense

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:14:35.416 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.070$ stable for periods of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00{:}14{:}37{.}070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}38{.}730$ What makes certain IP amends

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:14:38.730 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.792$ decide that they want to take

- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- $00{:}14{:}40.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}42.820$ off after five years and develop
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- 00:14:42.820 --> 00:14:44.590 into an invasive malignancy?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- $00{:}14{:}44{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}47{.}407$ And to this end there was a need to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- $00{:}14{:}47{.}407 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}49{.}637$ understand IP mensys progression more.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- 00:14:49.640 --> 00:14:50.212 To date,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- $00{:}14{:}50{.}212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}51{.}928$ there have been some limited data
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- $00:14:51.928 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.141$ and the use of organoids derive
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- $00:14:54.141 \rightarrow 00:14:55.721$ some surgical resection specimens
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- $00:14:55.721 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.737$ so patients who have gone to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- $00:14:57.737 \rightarrow 00:14:59.197$ the operating room and people
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- 00:14:59.197 -> 00:15:01.680 have been able to develop ipmn
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- $00{:}15{:}01.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}03.432$ organoids for additional study.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- $00:15:03.440 \rightarrow 00:15:05.210$ We've taken a slightly different approach.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- $00{:}15{:}05{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}06{.}536$ We were actually interested in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- $00:15:06.536 \rightarrow 00:15:08.040$ groups of patients that were surveying,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:15:08.040 \rightarrow 00:15:10.576$ so patients who do not go for surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00{:}15{:}10.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}12.800$ and using again endoscopic ultrasound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:15:12.800 \longrightarrow 00:15:14.640$ We've been sampling the fluid

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:15:14.640 \longrightarrow 00:15:16.480$ from these pancreatic cysts and

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:15:16.547 \rightarrow 00:15:18.419$ generating organoid structures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:15:18.420 \longrightarrow 00:15:20.380$ This is very preliminary data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00{:}15{:}20{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}22{.}312$ and these are some of the images

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:15:22.312 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.966$ that we are identifying similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:15:23.966 \dashrightarrow 00:15:26.605$ to what we would see in organoids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

00:15:26.610 --> 00:15:29.508 To date we have studied 9

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00{:}15{:}29{.}508 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}31{.}440$ patients with presumed ipms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00{:}15{:}31{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}32{.}766$ They're all growing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

00:15:32.766 --> 00:15:34.976 they're all growing exceptionally slow,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00{:}15{:}34{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}35{.}925$ but we've been able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:15:35.925 \rightarrow 00:15:37.120$ passage some of them as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546

 $00:15:37.120 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.992$ so this is a an interesting

- NOTE Confidence: 0.884782320454546
- 00:15:38.992 --> 00:15:40.860 development to allow us to study.

00:15:40.860 --> 00:15:43.164 I PM and progression to maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00:15:43.164 \rightarrow 00:15:44.700$ tailor approaches for individuals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00{:}15{:}44.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}47.070$ and maybe offer interventions such

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00:15:47.070 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.699$ as chemoprevention, so stay tuned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00{:}15{:}50{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}54{.}864$ Now the other large area within the risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00{:}15{:}54{.}864 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}56{.}698$ or the high risk of pancreatic cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

00:15:56.698 --> 00:15:58.678 is your hereditary susceptibility,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

00:15:58.680 --> 00:16:00.924 or your inherited risk of developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

00:16:00.924 --> 00:16:02.041 cancer pancreatic cancer raw,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00:16:02.041 \longrightarrow 00:16:04.195$ but we think that maybe about 10% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00{:}16{:}04.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}07.170$ all pancreatic cancers are at risk for

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

00:16:07.170 --> 00:16:09.598 developing cancer based on a family history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00{:}16{:}09{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}11{.}637$ and there's a variety of guidelines that

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00:16:11.637 \rightarrow 00:16:13.170$ we've been involved with specifically

 $00:16:13.170 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.000$ the CAPS guidelines to help us

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00:16:15.000 \rightarrow 00:16:16.769$ understand who we should be surveying

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00:16:16.769 \rightarrow 00:16:18.425$ and who we shouldn't be surveying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

00:16:18.430 --> 00:16:19.834 And very Simply put,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00:16:19.834 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.940$ there's a high risk group that

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00{:}16{:}22.017 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}24.747$ carries a very high lifetime risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00:16:24.747 \rightarrow 00:16:26.567$ of developing pancreatic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00:16:26.570 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.734$ It includes individuals with

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

00:16:28.734 --> 00:16:31.439 mutations and P-16 puts YAGERS,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00{:}16{:}31{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}32{.}925$ but also individuals who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00:16:32.925 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.787$ a family history with three or

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

00:16:34.787 - > 00:16:36.079 four first degree relatives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00{:}16{:}36{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}38{.}528$ So these people are at a higher risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00:16:38.528 \rightarrow 00:16:40.949$ without a known genetic abnormality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00{:}16{:}40{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}42{.}686$ There's also a low to moderate risk that

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00{:}16{:}42.686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}44.187$ includes genes that you're familiar with,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545
- $00:16:44.190 \rightarrow 00:16:45.550$ such as Braca one bracket,

00:16:45.550 --> 00:16:47.435 two other DNA repair genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00{:}16{:}47{.}435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}49{.}320$ like Paul V2 and ATM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00:16:49.320 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.792$ but also again in there is a family

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

00:16:51.792 --> 00:16:54.334 risk of two first degree relatives

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00:16:54.334 \rightarrow 00:16:56.649$ and that carries a significant,

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00{:}16{:}56{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}58{.}512$ albeit low risk than those that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00{:}16{:}58{.}512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}00{.}458$ three first three relatives with no

NOTE Confidence: 0.80779545

 $00:17:00.458 \rightarrow 00:17:02.278$ abnormality identifiable in the germline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00{:}17{:}04.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}05.690$ To take care of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

00:17:05.690 --> 00:17:06.658 individuals several years back,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00{:}17{:}06.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}08.459$ we set up a pancreatic cancer early

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00{:}17{:}08.459 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}10.109$ detection clinic at Yale through smile

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00{:}17{:}10{.}109 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}12{.}041$ through the general support of Smile and

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00{:}17{:}12.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}13.817$ Javier Loras group and in this group.

00:17:13.820 --> 00:17:16.340 Currently we're following about 185 patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:17:16.340 \rightarrow 00:17:18.716$ most of them in protocol who are at high

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

00:17:18.716 --> 00:17:20.917 risk of developing pancreatic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:17:20.920 \rightarrow 00:17:22.774$ and again, you can see this from this group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00{:}17{:}22.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}25.384$ The the majority of patients are actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00{:}17{:}25{.}384$ --> $00{:}17{:}27{.}789$ individuals who have a strong family history NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:17:27.789 \rightarrow 00:17:30.410$ but do not have any genetic abnormality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00{:}17{:}30{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}32{.}586$ One of the original studies that was done

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00{:}17{:}32{.}586 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}34{.}924$ in this area was that what's called the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00{:}17{:}34{.}924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}37{.}070$ Caps three study and these patients are

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00{:}17{:}37.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}39.754$ typically imaged with a variety of CT scans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

00:17:39.754 --> 00:17:41.770 Mris and endoscopic ultrasounds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00{:}17{:}41.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}44.668$ and a small percentage of them will have an

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:17:44.668 \rightarrow 00:17:46.990$ abnormality that requires surgical resection.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:17:46.990 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.546$ So in Caps 3, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:17:49.550 \rightarrow 00:17:52.398$ 225 patients were enrolled.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555
- $00{:}17{:}52{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}54{.}140$ Umm?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555
- 00:17:54.140 --> 00:17:55.976 A total of 216 were ultimately
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555
- $00:17:55.976 \rightarrow 00:17:57.882$ studied and the majority of these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555
- $00:17:57.882 \rightarrow 00:17:59.760$ are the time were familial patients.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555
- $00:17:59.760 \longrightarrow 00:18:02.840$ This is a multicenter study.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555
- $00:18:02.840 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.020$ For the majority of patients,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555
- 00:18:04.020 --> 00:18:05.408 no abnormality was identified,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555
- $00:18:05.408 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.668$ but you can see that for some of these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555
- $00:18:08.668 \rightarrow 00:18:10.894$ patients had a dilated pancreatic duct.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555
- 00:18:10.900 --> 00:18:14.176 For example, a cyst, probably an ipmn,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555
- $00:18:14.180 \longrightarrow 00:18:15.670$ and a small percentage ended
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555
- $00:18:15.670 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.160$ up having a solid lesion.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555
- $00:18:17.160 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.004$ In total,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555
- $00:18:18.004 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.692$ five of these patients
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555
- $00:18:19.692 \rightarrow 00:18:20.958$ underwent surgical resection,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:18:20.960 \rightarrow 00:18:23.158$ 2 of them had high grade dysplasia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00{:}18{:}23.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}25.044$ and three of them had low

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:18:25.044 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.700$ grade dysplasia IP and then,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:18:26.700 \rightarrow 00:18:28.611$ although all five of them ended up

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00{:}18{:}28.611 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}30.253$ with having either an intermediate

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:18:30.253 \rightarrow 00:18:32.168$ or high grade dysplastic completion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00{:}18{:}32{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}35{.}327$ In the form of a panel lesion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:18:35.330 \rightarrow 00:18:37.430$ Very interesting data from these CAP studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00{:}18{:}37{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}40{.}370$ In fact has been the ability to

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00{:}18{:}40{.}370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}42{.}488$ collect pancreatic juice and what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

00:18:42.488 --> 00:18:44.633 been noticed in individuals who

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:18:44.633 \rightarrow 00:18:45.920$ subsequently developed established

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00{:}18{:}45{.}979 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}47{.}789$ pancreatic Dr Latner carcinoma is

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:18:47.789 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.931$ that investigators were able to go

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:18:49.931 \rightarrow 00:18:51.842$ back and look at the pancreatic juice

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00{:}18{:}51{.}842 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}54{.}400$ of these individuals at a time when

 $00:18:54.400 \rightarrow 00:18:56.410$ they had no radiologic abnormality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:18:56.410 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.552$ And they were able to identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00{:}18{:}58{.}552 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}00{.}724$ either combinations of P53 mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:19:00.724 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.006$ or P60 mutations long before a

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

00:19:04.006 --> 00:19:06.409 radiologic abnormality was identified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00{:}19{:}06{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}09{.}362$ So this is another direction to go in

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:19:09.362 \longrightarrow 00:19:12.437$ in terms of surveying these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:19:12.440 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.080$ The initial results of the Caps five study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:19:15.080 \rightarrow 00:19:17.320$ which is a much larger multicenter study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:19:17.320 \longrightarrow 00:19:19.938$ which Yale was part have just been

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:19:19.938 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.760$ accepted for publication in in JCO in

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

00:19:22.760 --> 00:19:27.780 Caps 5 almost 1500 patients were studied.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

00:19:27.780 --> 00:19:30.588 A total of nine screen detected

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:19:30.588 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.460$ pancreatic cancers were identified,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00{:}19{:}32{.}460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}34{.}450$ of which eight were resectable

 $00:19:34.450 \rightarrow 00:19:36.760$ and seven at a stage 1A,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:19:36.760 \rightarrow 00:19:38.672$ and when you put that together with some

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:19:38.672 \rightarrow 00:19:40.737$ of the high grade dysplastic lesions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:19:40.740 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.744$ well over 50% of the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00{:}19{:}42{.}744 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}44{.}971$ enrolled in these studies had an

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

00:19:44.971 $\operatorname{-->}$ 00:19:47.036 achievement of what's called a

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:19:47.036 \longrightarrow 00:19:48.275$ successful goals surveillance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:19:48.280 \rightarrow 00:19:50.758$ So we identified either an early stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00{:}19{:}50{.}758 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}53{.}947$ cancer or a high grade dysplastic lesion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00{:}19{:}53{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}55{.}912$ When all the patients from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:19:55.912 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.796$ caps one through five study

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

00:19:57.796 --> 00:19:59.308 were amalgamated together,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

00:19:59.310 --> 00:20:01.739 what we were able to demonstrate was

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:20:01.739 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.186$ that those patients who were diagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:20:04.186 \rightarrow 00:20:06.416$ during a surveillance protocol with

NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555

 $00:20:06.416 \rightarrow 00:20:08.718$ pancreatic cancer had a much higher

- NOTE Confidence: 0.89521025555555
- $00{:}20{:}08.718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}10.728$ median survival than those that were

 $00{:}20{:}10.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}13.150$ diagnosed outside of surveillance protocol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:20:13.150 \rightarrow 00:20:16.420$ showing some form of survival benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:20:16.420 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.680$ within this within this initiative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:20:19.680 \longrightarrow 00:20:20.920$ Anca Chotto you know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00{:}20{:}20{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}22{.}745$ Again, being very productive went and

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00{:}20{:}22{.}745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}24{.}689$ looked at some of these surveillance

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:20:24.689 \longrightarrow 00:20:26.514$ studies that were done more

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:20:26.514 \rightarrow 00:20:28.600$ concerned about what was happening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

00:20:28.600 -> 00:20:30.280 Not so much when patients were

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:20:30.280 \longrightarrow 00:20:31.749$ initially enrolled, but as they

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00{:}20{:}31.749 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}33.870$ were followed over a period of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

00:20:33.870 --> 00:20:36.622 He took 13 of the most recent studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00{:}20{:}36.622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}39.361$ and what he noted was that in 53

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:20:39.361 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.716$ patients that were identified with

 $00:20:41.716 \rightarrow 00:20:43.129$ pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00{:}20{:}43.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}46.308$ In these 13 odd studies or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:20:46.310 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.094$ Whereas 22 patients or 41% had goals of

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

00:20:50.094 --> 00:20:52.182 surveillance achieved so in early cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:20:52.190 \longrightarrow 00:20:53.810$ a high grade dysplastic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

00:20:53.810 --> 00:20:55.025 a very worrisome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00{:}20{:}55{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}58{.}286$ almost 60% of patients had an advanced Lee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

00:20:58.290 --> 00:21:00.925 A more advanced lesion including

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00{:}21{:}00{.}925 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}01{.}979$ metastatic lesions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:21:01.980 \longrightarrow 00:21:03.676$ He tried to look at some of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00{:}21{:}03.676 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}05.168$ factors that might be associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:21:05.168 \rightarrow 00:21:06.858$ with these late stage presentations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:21:06.860 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.050$ It had nothing to do with

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

00:21:09.050 --> 00:21:09.780 surveillance modality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

00:21:09.780 --> 00:21:11.092 a baseline imaging abnormality,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:21:11.092 \longrightarrow 00:21:13.060$ even the presence or absence of

 $00{:}21{:}13.117 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}14.158$ a germline mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00{:}21{:}14.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}16.428$ A lot of the patients who presented

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:21:16.428 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.400$ were actually asymptomatic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00{:}21{:}17{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}20{.}136$ We were able to look at the timing of

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00{:}21{:}20{.}136 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}22{.}088$ preceding imaging and most patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00{:}21{:}22.088 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}24.063$ had a normal preceding image.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00{:}21{:}24.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}25.525$ There was some questions about

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00{:}21{:}25{.}525 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}27{.}578$ diagnostic errors in the in the limited

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

00:21:27.578 --> 00:21:29.475 data that was available and there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:21:29.475 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.409$ some issue of surveillance adherence.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00{:}21{:}31{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}33{.}760$ In some of this data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00{:}21{:}33.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}35.524$ And what this leads into is kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00{:}21{:}35{.}524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}36{.}978$ of a growing conversation about

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00{:}21{:}36{.}978 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}38{.}578$ how we can do better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:21:38.580 \rightarrow 00:21:40.344$ You're going to see more and more

 $00:21:40.344 \rightarrow 00:21:41.863$ of these types of studies in

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

00:21:41.863 - 00:21:43.515 the next couple of years or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:21:43.520 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.488$ This is the initial study that

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:21:45.488 \longrightarrow 00:21:46.472$ proved this point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00{:}21{:}46{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}49{.}224$ These are patients who had a diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

00:21:49.224 --> 00:21:51.800 of pancreatic ductil adenocarcinoma made,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

00:21:51.800 - 00:21:53.641 and when we when they went back

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:21:53.641 \rightarrow 00:21:54.859$ over a year or so,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00{:}21{:}54{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}57{.}332$ it was said that the pancreatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:21:57.332 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.980$ cancer could be identified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00{:}21{:}58{.}980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}03{.}032$ So a variety of of methods were

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:22:03.032 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.210$ looked at in these individuals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00{:}22{:}05{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}07{.}842$ But issue is with to do with issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00{:}22{:}07{.}842 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}09{.}724$ relating to abnormalities that were

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:22:09.724 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.764$ identified on imaging that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274

 $00:22:11.764 \rightarrow 00:22:14.527$ be seen and maybe radiomics or some

- NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274
- $00:22:14.527 \rightarrow 00:22:17.050$ other feature could help figure that out.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274
- 00:22:17.050 --> 00:22:18.286 I think it's important to say,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274
- 00:22:18.290 --> 00:22:19.859 just to summarize,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849172274
- 00:22:19.860 --> 00:22:20.360 I'm sorry.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00{:}22{:}29{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}32{.}058$ That the the stage of pancreatic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- 00:22:32.058 --> 00:22:35.419 cancer at an early stage is improving,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00:22:35.420 \rightarrow 00:22:37.144$ especially in younger populations,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00{:}22{:}37{.}144 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}39{.}299$ and the overall survival related
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00{:}22{:}39{.}299 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}41{.}835$ to early stage pancreatic cancer is
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00:22:41.835 \longrightarrow 00:22:44.620$ increased from about 44% in 2004.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- 00:22:44.620 --> 00:22:46.700 And now getting closer
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00:22:46.700 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.330$ to about just over 80%.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00:22:49.330 \rightarrow 00:22:52.025$ This is unclear why this is happening,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00{:}22{:}52{.}030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}53{.}530$ but may be related to increased
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00:22:53.530 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.530$ use of abdominal imaging,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

- $00:22:54.530 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.900$ and perhaps some of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00:22:55.900 \longrightarrow 00:22:56.448$ surveillance programs.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00:22:56.450 \rightarrow 00:22:59.018$ So we're beginning to gather some
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00{:}22{:}59{.}018 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}01{.}565$ information about the benefit of both
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00{:}23{:}01{.}565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}03{.}857$ the surveillance programs as well as.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00{:}23{:}03{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}06{.}188$ As well as.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00{:}23{:}06{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}08{.}565$ Are the outcomes related to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- 00:23:08.565 00:23:09.990 those surveillance programs?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00{:}23{:}09{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}11{.}196$ And I'll leave you with this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- 00:23:11.200 --> 00:23:12.680 Notice something to kind of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00{:}23{:}12.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}14.160$ look forward within the CAPS
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- 00:23:14.220 --> 00:23:15.810 cohort of patients at Hopkins.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00{:}23{:}15{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}18{.}575$ Currently there is now open a mutant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00:23:18.575 \rightarrow 00:23:21.167$ Karas targeted vaccine for patients at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00:23:21.167 \rightarrow 00:23:23.387$ risk of developing pancreatic cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00:23:23.390 \rightarrow 00:23:26.099$ This is a phase one study that

- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- 00:23:26.099 --> 00:23:27.260 requires multiple intramuscular
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00:23:27.327 \rightarrow 00:23:29.385$ injections over a period of time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00:23:29.390 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.440$ The initial outcome is for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00:23:31.440 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.670$ safety and tolerability,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00:23:32.670 \longrightarrow 00:23:35.622$ but they are going to look at changes in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00{:}23{:}35{.}622 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}39{.}578$ K Ras specific CD8 and CD4T cells at 2.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00:23:39.578 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.566$ And that four years.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00{:}23{:}41{.}570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}43{.}551$ I'm also interested to know that modern
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00{:}23{:}43{.}551 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}45{.}929$ it does have an M RNA based KRAS target.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00:23:45.930 \rightarrow 00:23:47.850$ These are typically being
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00:23:47.850 \rightarrow 00:23:50.250$ used in in oncology trials,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00:23:50.250 \longrightarrow 00:23:52.092$ but may actually have a role
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00:23:52.092 \rightarrow 00:23:54.342$ in the high risk population to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00{:}23{:}54{.}342 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}56{.}727$ ultimately prevent and the the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00{:}23{:}56{.}727 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}58{.}710$ development of pancreatic cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

- 00:23:58.710 --> 00:24:00.003 So in summary,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00{:}24{:}00{.}003 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}02{.}589$ therefore just looking at the time.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00:24:02.590 \rightarrow 00:24:04.690$ We currently are not performing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00:24:04.690 \rightarrow 00:24:06.790$ screening in the general population.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00:24:06.790 \longrightarrow 00:24:08.869$ We are focusing on high risk groups,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00:24:08.870 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.222$ such as pancreatic cysts.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- 00:24:10.222 --> 00:24:11.912 Although we're trying to get
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- 00:24:11.912 --> 00:24:13.528 better at surgical selection,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00:24:13.530 \longrightarrow 00:24:15.582$ but also get better at tailoring
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- 00:24:15.582 --> 00:24:17.320 individuals who should be surveyed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00{:}24{:}17{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}19{.}186$ and those that should not be
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00:24:19.190 \longrightarrow 00:24:21.075$ with respect to the familial
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- 00:24:21.075 --> 00:24:22.583 pancreatic cancer cohort patients,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00:24:22.590 \rightarrow 00:24:25.047$ we're getting better at documenting some of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00{:}24{:}25.047 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}27.158$ the outcomes associated with these studies.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00:24:27.160 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.612$ Really,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00{:}24{:}27.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}29.872$ with the view to improving
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00:24:29.872 \rightarrow 00:24:31.680$ those outcomes long term.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00:24:31.680 \rightarrow 00:24:33.928$ And I think you'll hear more about nuance.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00{:}24{:}33{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}34{.}660$ Set diabetes.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00:24:34.660 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.485$ It certainly plays a role.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00{:}24{:}36{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}37{.}375$ And it it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- 00:24:37.375 --> 00:24:38.850 It helps us stratify our
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00:24:38.850 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.469$ patients with pancreatic cysts
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00{:}24{:}40{.}469 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}42{.}397$ and familial pancreatic cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- 00:24:42.400 --> 00:24:44.128 And I would use this talk just to say
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00:24:44.128 \rightarrow 00:24:45.941$ that there are plenty of opportunities
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00{:}24{:}45{.}941 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}47{.}516$ within our group for collaboration,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00:24:47.520 \rightarrow 00:24:49.760$ for additional biomarker developed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- 00:24:49.760 --> 00:24:51.440 development and validation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461
- $00{:}24{:}51{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}54{.}268$ but also in the realm of imaging.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:24:54.270 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.677$ And just to say that really it

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

00:24:55.677 --> 00:24:57.259 takes a group of people to try

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:24:57.259 \rightarrow 00:24:58.424$ and keep these studies going.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

00:24:58.430 --> 00:25:00.678 This is a picture of Ankit who's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00{:}25{:}00{.}678 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}01{.}869$ incredibly productive and helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:25:01.870 \rightarrow 00:25:03.814$ Getting our group up and running by there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00{:}25{:}03.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}05.755$ Several other individuals such as

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00{:}25{:}05{.}755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}08{.}048$ Scott and disease and Ling helping

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00{:}25{:}08.048 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}10.368$ on the the steady side as well as

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00{:}25{:}10.368 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}12.467$ our really close and productive

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:25:12.470 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.535$ collaboration with Doctor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

00:25:13.535 --> 00:25:15.310 Hutch, and Doctor Sharma's lab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.768032867538461

 $00:25:15.310 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.780$ Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289154475

 $00:25:17.610 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.071$ Wonderful doctor Farrell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289154475

00:25:19.071 --> 00:25:21.506 Thank you so much for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289154475

 $00:25:21.506 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.720$ that really nice overview.

 $00:25:23.720 \rightarrow 00:25:25.936$ I don't see any questions in the chat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289154475

 $00:25:25.940 \longrightarrow 00:25:27.347$ but if if there are those watching

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289154475

 $00:25:27.347 \rightarrow 00:25:29.612$ who have a question, please type it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289154475

 $00:25:29.612 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.820$ in and I'll make sure to ask it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289154475

 $00:25:32.820 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.080$ I have a question for you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289154475

 $00{:}25{:}34.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}35.907$ so it I'm thinking about the sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289154475

 $00{:}25{:}35{.}907 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}38{.}312$ of the root cause of the increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289154475

 $00{:}25{:}38{.}312 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}39{.}876$ incidence in pancreatic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289154475

00:25:39.880 --> 00:25:41.865 Because we we're seeing an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289154475

00:25:41.865 --> 00:25:43.056 increase incidence nationally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289154475

00:25:43.060 --> 00:25:44.957 but also in the state of Connecticut,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289154475

 $00:25:44.960 \rightarrow 00:25:45.430$ correct?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289154475

 $00{:}25{:}45{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}48{.}720$ And so it's either I can't remember

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289154475

 $00:25:48.720 \longrightarrow 00:25:52.155$ what you said the the number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8289154475

 $00{:}25{:}52{.}155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}53{.}942$ pancreatic says of the population

- $00:25:53.942 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.038$ that have pancreatic cysts.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8289154475
- $00{:}25{:}55{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}55{.}446$ It was.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8289154475
- $00:25:55.446 \longrightarrow 00:25:56.664$ It seemed like a quite large
- NOTE Confidence: 0.70906171075
- $00:25:56.680 \rightarrow 00:25:57.730$ number, typically 6,000,000,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.70906171075
- $00{:}25{:}57{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}59{.}480$ is a number that's quoted.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.70906171075
- $00{:}25{:}59{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}01{.}256$ Yeah, if you would do extrapolation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.70906171075
- $00:26:01.256 \rightarrow 00:26:03.090$ from imaging studies and extrapolate.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.70906171075
- $00:26:03.090 \rightarrow 00:26:05.538$ Up to to the general population,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838665882631579
- $00{:}26{:}05{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}08{.}988$ so are we seeing an increase in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838665882631579
- $00{:}26{:}08{.}988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}12{.}886$ instance of cysts or is it diabetes and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838665882631579
- $00:26:12.886 \rightarrow 00:26:15.143$ therefore with diabetes? Is it obesity?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838665882631579
- $00{:}26{:}15{.}143 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}17{.}843$ So what do you think is the root cause
- NOTE Confidence: 0.838665882631579
- 00:26:17.843 --> 00:26:19.599 driving this increased incidence?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.720397675384615
- $00:26:20.970 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.270$ There's different explanations given for
- NOTE Confidence: 0.720397675384615
- $00:26:23.270 \rightarrow 00:26:25.110$ the different increases specifically
- NOTE Confidence: 0.720397675384615
- $00:26:25.110 \rightarrow 00:26:27.508$ for pancreatic ductil adenocarcinoma.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.720397675384615
- $00{:}26{:}27{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}29{.}120$ It's felt that it's also
- NOTE Confidence: 0.720397675384615
- 00:26:29.120 --> 00:26:30.730 related to an aging population,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.720397675384615
- $00:26:30.730 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.410$ so as the population ages,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.720397675384615
- $00:26:32.410 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.240$ that's driving up the overall incidence
- NOTE Confidence: 0.720397675384615
- $00:26:34.240 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.106$ of it, so that's one explanation,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.720397675384615
- $00{:}26{:}36{.}106 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}38{.}338$ but for sure there are other issues
- NOTE Confidence: 0.720397675384615
- $00{:}26{:}38{.}338 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}40{.}333$ with it and so issues related to.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667
- $00:26:42.520 \rightarrow 00:26:44.310$ Like you know, metabolic explanations
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667
- $00{:}26{:}44{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}47{.}090$ and obesity would be one one explanation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667
- $00:26:47.090 \rightarrow 00:26:49.434$ Trying to link that then to pancreatic cysts.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667
- $00{:}26{:}49{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}51{.}536$ The reason for the large volume of cysts
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667
- $00{:}26{:}51{.}536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}53{.}059$ are perception that there are more.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667
- $00:26:53.060 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.254$ This is really to just do
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667
- $00{:}26{:}54{.}254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}55{.}490$ with the use of imaging.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667
- $00{:}26{:}55{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}57{.}898$ So the prevalent use of MRI scans
- NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667

00:26:57.898 --> 00:27:00.240 CT scans has really been driving

NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667

 $00{:}27{:}00{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}02{.}295$ why we see more assists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667

00:27:02.300 --> 00:27:03.515 Also, imaging scans are getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667

 $00:27:03.515 \longrightarrow 00:27:05.389$ better so we can see 3 millimeter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667

00:27:05.390 --> 00:27:07.155 says 2 millimeter cysts on

NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667

 $00{:}27{:}07{.}155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}08{.}567$ MRI scans done routinely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667

 $00:27:08.570 \longrightarrow 00:27:10.302$ Now the question is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667

 $00:27:10.302 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.900$ Can finding those cysts be converted

NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667

 $00{:}27{:}12{.}983 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}15{.}353$ to a better early detection strategy

NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667

 $00:27:15.353 \rightarrow 00:27:18.690$ for ipms the OR for pancreatic cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667

 $00:27:18.690 \longrightarrow 00:27:22.078$ The issue is that maybe those cysts

NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667

 $00:27:22.078 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.230$ account for 1015% of the total volume

NOTE Confidence: 0.841007631666667

 $00:27:25.230 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.974$ of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87344297

00:27:28.510 --> 00:27:30.138 OK, thank you David.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87344297

00:27:30.138 --> 00:27:31.766 RIM had a question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87344297

 $00:27:31.770 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.430$ Stage ones went from 40,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.87344297
- $00:27:33.430 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.150$ some to 80 some percent.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87344297
- $00{:}27{:}35{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}37{.}586$ Survival is that due to greater
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87344297
- $00{:}27{:}37{.}586$ --> $00{:}27{:}40{.}291$ diagnosis in stage one and have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87344297
- $00{:}27{:}40{.}291 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}42{.}295$ high stage diagnosis decreased.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913
- $00:27:43.850 \longrightarrow 00:27:46.714$ So this this phenomenon is from is from
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913
- $00{:}27{:}46.714 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}49.618$ SEER data and all the positive glowing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913
- $00:27:49.618 \rightarrow 00:27:52.689$ phenomena has been related to stage one a.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913
- $00:27:52.690 \rightarrow 00:27:55.426$ So it has been the increase in incidence,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913
- $00{:}27{:}55{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}57{.}415$ the increase in survival associated
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913
- $00{:}27{:}57{.}415 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}00{.}834$ with stage one as the decrease in age of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913
- $00:28:00.834 \rightarrow 00:28:03.649$ diagnosis and it's not seen in the other.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913
- $00{:}28{:}03.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}05.974$ The other stages it's not even seen
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913
- $00{:}28{:}05{.}974 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}08{.}570$ in stage twos and for sure it's not
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913
- $00{:}28{:}08.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}10.150$ being seen and advanced stages.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913
- $00:28:10.150 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.800$ So there's something going on
- NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913

 $00:28:11.800 \longrightarrow 00:28:13.819$ and so it's pure speculation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913

 $00:28:13.820 \rightarrow 00:28:15.852$ Whether it's related to the fact that people

NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913

00:28:15.852 --> 00:28:17.900 are more worried about pancreatic cysts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913

00:28:17.900 --> 00:28:19.245 you know our surveillance programs

NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913

 $00:28:19.245 \longrightarrow 00:28:20.590$ in high risk individuals that

NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913

 $00:28:20.638 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.858$ count for certain population,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913

 $00:28:21.860 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.477$ but I'm not sure they account for

NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913

00:28:23.477 --> 00:28:24.788 all that population to switch it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913

 $00:28:24.790 \rightarrow 00:28:26.010$ so there's something going

NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913

 $00:28:26.010 \rightarrow 00:28:26.925$ on that's changing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913

00:28:26.930 --> 00:28:29.954 but it's it's a unique facet of stage one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913

 $00:28:29.960 \longrightarrow 00:28:32.135$ as rather than the global

NOTE Confidence: 0.843321582173913

00:28:32.135 --> 00:28:34.310 numbers in in pancreatic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846203750833333

 $00{:}28{:}35{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}37{.}956$ and then with that year period of

NOTE Confidence: 0.846203750833333

 $00:28:37.956 \rightarrow 00:28:40.300$ stage one progressing to metastatic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.846203750833333

 $00:28:40.300 \rightarrow 00:28:44.269$ that's probably explaining in 2030 being the.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.846203750833333
- 00:28:44.270 --> 00:28:46.486 Second, cancer mortality related
- NOTE Confidence: 0.846203750833333
- 00:28:46.486 --> 00:28:48.780 to pancreatic cancer, correct?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87765745777778
- 00:28:49.010 --> 00:28:49.950 I think that's true,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87765745777778
- $00{:}28{:}49{.}950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}51{.}360$ and I think also because there
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87765745777778
- $00:28:51.414 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.730$ have been some significant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87765745777778
- $00:28:52.730 \rightarrow 00:28:54.311$ improvements in other cancers, right?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87765745777778
- $00:28:54.311 \rightarrow 00:28:55.877$ So you're seeing you know they're
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87765745777778
- $00:28:55.877 \rightarrow 00:28:57.252$ being slow improvements and having
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87765745777778
- $00{:}28{:}57{.}252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}58{.}617$ some improvements in the the rapeutic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.877657457777778
- 00:28:58.617 --> 00:28:59.770 side for pancreatic cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87765745777778
- 00:28:59.770 --> 00:29:01.541 But you know, we really need to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87765745777778
- $00:29:01.541 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.677$ make a real dent for the early
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87765745777778
- $00{:}29{:}03.677 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}04.865$ detection to really kind of begin
- NOTE Confidence: 0.87765745777778
- $00{:}29{:}04.865 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}06.179$ to move that needle a bit better.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.805596353684211
- $00{:}29{:}06{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}08{.}712$ And then the last question from
- NOTE Confidence: 0.805596353684211

 $00{:}29{:}08.712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}11.185$ Rosa is there also an increase of

NOTE Confidence: 0.805596353684211

 $00:29:11.185 \rightarrow 00:29:13.465$ incidence in patients with young onset?

NOTE Confidence: 0.805596353684211

00:29:13.470 --> 00:29:14.580 And I believe you just.

NOTE Confidence: 0.805596353684211

 $00:29:14.580 \rightarrow 00:29:16.536$ They said that in answering David's

NOTE Confidence: 0.311486117666667

 $00:29:16.820 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.329$ question, the age of the age of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.311486117666667

 $00{:}29{:}20{.}329 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}23{.}160$ diagnosis for the stage 1A's is going down,

NOTE Confidence: 0.311486117666667

 $00{:}29{:}23.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}24.924$ not for the other councils but for

NOTE Confidence: 0.311486117666667

 $00{:}29{:}24{.}924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}26{.}920$ the other stages, but the stage one

NOTE Confidence: 0.311486117666667

 $00:29:26.920 \rightarrow 00:29:28.780$ age of diagnosis has gone down.

NOTE Confidence: 0.311486117666667

 $00{:}29{:}28{.}780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}32{.}080$ There are data about the overall decrease in

NOTE Confidence: 0.311486117666667

 $00{:}29{:}32.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}34.250$ the age of diagnosis of pancreatic cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.311486117666667

 $00{:}29{:}34{.}250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}35{.}993$ and it may in fact be associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.311486117666667

 $00{:}29{:}35{.}993 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}37{.}315$ with slightly different types of

NOTE Confidence: 0.3114861176666667

 $00:29:37.315 \rightarrow 00:29:38.625$ genotypes and molecular profiling and

NOTE Confidence: 0.311486117666667

 $00{:}29{:}38.625 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}40.510$ some of that data has been kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.311486117666667

 $00:29:40.510 \rightarrow 00:29:42.284$ presented here at Yale in the past,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.311486117666667
- $00{:}29{:}42{.}284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}43{.}732$ so certainly focusing in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.311486117666667
- $00:29:43.732 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.180$ on the younger population,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.3114861176666667
- $00:29:45.180 \rightarrow 00:29:46.830$ hearing about a younger population.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.311486117666667
- $00:29:46.830 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.844$ With pancreatic cancer,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.311486117666667
- $00:29:47.844 \rightarrow 00:29:49.534$ which is certainly very concerning.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432
- $00:29:50.450 \longrightarrow 00:29:52.030$ Well, thank you so much.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432
- $00:29:52.030 \longrightarrow 00:29:54.186$ It'd be great to to continue our
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432
- $00{:}29{:}54.186 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}56.208$ our work here with the focus
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432
- $00{:}29{:}56{.}208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}58{.}260$ on Connecticut and how we can
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432
- 00:29:58.260 --> 00:30:00.277 really improve upon the lower
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432
- 00:30:00.277 > 00:30:02.287 the incidence and or mortality.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432
- $00{:}30{:}02{.}290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}04{.}150$ So thank you so much.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432
- $00{:}30{:}04{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}05{.}548$ Thanks very much.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432
- $00{:}30{:}05{.}550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}08{.}035$ OK, if you can stop sharing and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432
- $00{:}30{:}08{.}035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}11{.}072$ I'm now I'm delighted to introduce
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432
00:30:13.050 --> 00:30:15.210 is chair of our Department of
NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432
00:30:15.210 --> 00:30:16.638 Environmental Health Sciences in
NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432
00:30:16.638 --> 00:30:18.570 the Yale School of Public Health.
NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432
00:30:18.570 --> 00:30:20.880 He's also the Susan Dwight Bliss
NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

00:30:11.072 --> 00:30:13.050 Doctor Bacillus Vessella who

 $00:30:20.880 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.420$ professor of epidemiology and

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

00:30:22.489 --> 00:30:24.117 of ophthalmology and visual

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00:30:24.117 \rightarrow 00:30:26.152$ science and of the environment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00{:}30{:}26.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}28.458$ He received his bachelors in Chemistry

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00{:}30{:}28{.}458 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{.}30{.}450$ and PhD in biochemical pharmacology

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00{:}30{:}30{.}450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}32{.}425$ from the University of Ioannina

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00{:}30{:}32{.}425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}35{.}456$ in Greece and then he trained in

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00:30:35.456 \rightarrow 00:30:36.836$ gene environment interactions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00{:}30{:}36{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}38{.}920$ molecular toxicology and pharma cogenetics

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00:30:38.920 \rightarrow 00:30:41.520$ at the Department of Environmental

NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

 $00:30:41.520 \rightarrow 00:30:44.025$ Health in the College of Medicine

- NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432
- 00:30:44.025 --> 00:30:45.557 at University of Cincinnati.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432
- $00:30:45.560 \rightarrow 00:30:47.956$ He's established an internationally
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432
- $00{:}30{:}47.956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}49.753$ recognized research program
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432
- $00:30:49.753 \dashrightarrow 00:30:52.271$ that's been continuously funded by
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432
- $00{:}30{:}52{.}271 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}54{.}708$ NIH since 1997 and his research
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432
- $00:30:54.708 \dashrightarrow 00:30:56.496$ interests include the etiology.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432
- $00:30:56.500 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.300$ The molecular mechanisms of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432
- $00:30:58.300 \rightarrow 00:30:59.650$ environmentally induced human
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432
- $00:30:59.650 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.930$ disease such as liver disease,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432
- $00:31:01.930 \rightarrow 00:31:03.625$ obesity and diabetes,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432
- $00:31:03.625 \rightarrow 00:31:05.320$ cancer and neurogenic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432
- $00:31:05.320 \dashrightarrow 00:31:06.450$ neurodegenerative diseases.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432
- $00:31:06.450 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.502$ His laboratory uses state of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432
- $00{:}31{:}08{.}502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}09{.}870$ art integrated approaches that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432
- 00:31:09.929 --> 00:31:11.666 include metabolomics, lipidomics,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432

- 00:31:11.666 --> 00:31:14.050 expoza, omics tissue imaging,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432
- 00:31:14.050 --> 00:31:14.668 mass spec,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432
- 00:31:14.668 --> 00:31:16.522 deep learning as well as human
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432
- $00:31:16.522 \rightarrow 00:31:18.286$ cohorts and genetically engineered
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432
- $00{:}31{:}18.286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}21.344$ mouse models and we are delighted
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432
- $00:31:21.344 \longrightarrow 00:31:24.006$ to have him here today to talk
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432
- $00:31:24.006 \rightarrow 00:31:26.666$ specifically about alcohol and cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.862206432
- 00:31:26.670 --> 00:31:27.840 Thank you Vasilis.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667
- 00:31:28.070 --> 00:31:29.990 Thank you many days my microphone.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667
- 00:31:29.990 --> 00:31:31.730 Yes, my microphone is on.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667
- $00{:}31{:}31{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}33{.}588$ Thank you very much for your nice work
- NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667
- $00{:}31{:}33{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}36{.}788$ and thanks also James for pointing
- NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667
- $00:31:36.788 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.940$ out our T 32 who has helped on the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667
- 00:31:40.940 --> 00:31:43.530 studies before before I start mine I
- NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667
- $00{:}31{:}43.606 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}46.502$ also want to address a little bit of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667
- $00:31:46.502 \rightarrow 00:31:49.293$ the question of Melinda your question

 $00:31:49.293 \rightarrow 00:31:51.773$ regarding the increasing density of

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

00:31:51.773 - 00:31:54.274 cancer lately one of the things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

 $00{:}31{:}54{.}274 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}57{.}249$ I would like to point out is we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

 $00:31:57.250 \rightarrow 00:32:00.250$ Some increases in environmental exposures,

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

 $00:32:00.250 \rightarrow 00:32:03.410$ especially for the perfluorinated compounds,

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

00:32:03.410 - > 00:32:05.815 namely PFAS, which may have

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

 $00:32:05.815 \dashrightarrow 00:32:09.190$ contributed in all all kind of tumors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

 $00{:}32{:}09{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}11{.}719$ I wish we should have a round table another

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

 $00{:}32{:}11.719 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}14.106$ time to discuss about all these factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

00:32:14.110 --> 00:32:17.332 So today what I'm going to talk to you

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

 $00{:}32{:}17{.}332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}20{.}272$ about it is molecular mechanisms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

 $00:32:20.272 \longrightarrow 00:32:23.882$ alcohol and cancer, and so we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

 $00{:}32{:}23.882 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}27.294$ We have edited 3 books on alcohol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

 $00{:}32{:}27{.}294 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}29{.}968$ You cancer and one special issue on

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

 $00{:}32{:}29{.}968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}32{.}750$ chemical biological interactions which

 $00:32:32.750 \rightarrow 00:32:37.180$ was based on my third international

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

 $00{:}32{:}37{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}38{.}940$ conference on alcohol and cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

 $00:32:38.940 \longrightarrow 00:32:42.377$ which we held it here in Newport.

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

 $00:32:42.380 \rightarrow 00:32:45.915$ A couple of just before the COVID

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

 $00{:}32{:}45{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}47{.}120$ As a matter of fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.594001571666667

 $00:32:47.120 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.228$ we just received a note from

NOTE Confidence: 0.830666932

 $00:32:51.020 \longrightarrow 00:32:53.827$ from Springer that our books doing so

NOTE Confidence: 0.830666932

 $00:32:53.827 \rightarrow 00:32:56.887$ good and alcohol and cancer and they want

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}32{:}56{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}59{.}776$ us to write another one very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}32{:}59{.}776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}04{.}595$ But we will see. So I have all there

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}33{:}04{.}595 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}07{.}224$ is this association between alcohol

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

00:33:07.224 --> 00:33:10.606 and cancer and it's actually, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:33:10.606 \rightarrow 00:33:12.760$ there is epidemiological evidence that

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}33{:}12.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}15.990$ is kind or is convincing that alcohol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}33{:}15{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}17{.}878$ Is associated with several

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:33:17.878 \rightarrow 00:33:19.355$ cancers listed in here.

00:33:19.355 --> 00:33:21.712 Mouth fighting, slurring, esophagus,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}33{:}21.712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}26.430$ colon and breast for women and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

00:33:26.535 --> 00:33:28.842 know there is some more breast and

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}33{:}28.842 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}31.610$ liver based on IRC and there is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}33{:}31{.}692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}34{.}634$ probable liver and colorectal for women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}33{:}34{.}634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}37{.}470$ One of the things that I have not

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}33{:}37{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}39{.}295$ included in this presentation though

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:33:39.295 \rightarrow 00:33:41.867$ and I have to say that as well they

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}33{:}41.867 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}44.086$ have been epidemiological studies which

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:33:44.086 \rightarrow 00:33:46.776$ indicates that alcohol in sometimes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:33:46.780 \rightarrow 00:33:51.223$ Is a positive factor in preventing alcohol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}33{:}51{.}223 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}55{.}640$ so I I don't have time to go with that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:33:55.640 \rightarrow 00:33:58.097$ I'm gonna stick with the mechanisms today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}33{:}58{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}00{.}464$ Molecular mechanisms of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:34:00.464 \longrightarrow 00:34:02.237$ alcohol induced cancer.

 $00:34:02.240 \longrightarrow 00:34:04.904$ So let me before we go to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}34{:}04{.}910$ --> $00{:}34{:}07{.}556$ And since we're talking about epidemiology

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}34{:}07{.}556 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}11{.}655$ is I want to tell you the categories of

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

00:34:11.655 - 00:34:13.990 association between alcohol consumption and

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}34{:}14.059 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}17.120$ cancer and there is first case is sufficient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

00:34:17.120 --> 00:34:19.430 Evidence of a causal association,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:34:19.430 \longrightarrow 00:34:23.160$ which is the case for every every study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:34:23.160 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.975$ There is sufficient

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:34:24.975 \longrightarrow 00:34:27.395$ evidence of an association.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:34:27.400 \rightarrow 00:34:30.405$ There is limited and suggestive

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

00:34:30.405 --> 00:34:32.208 evidence of unappreciation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:34:32.210 \longrightarrow 00:34:35.195$ Inadequate or insufficient to determine

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}34{:}35{.}195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}37{.}583$ whether an association exists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:34:37.590 \longrightarrow 00:34:39.513$ Limited and suggestive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:34:39.513 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.718$ Evidence to of no association.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:34:42.720 \longrightarrow 00:34:46.336$ This has been described very well in a

- NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438
- $00:34:46.336 \rightarrow 00:34:51.170$ paper by our junior faculty just walach.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438
- $00:34:51.170 \longrightarrow 00:34:54.850$ It was in in 2020 in the International
- NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438
- 00:34:54.850 --> 00:34:57.090 Journal of Epidemiology,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438
- $00:34:57.090 \rightarrow 00:34:59.370$ and this is worth reading it.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438
- $00:34:59.370 \dashrightarrow 00:35:02.136$ One of the problems with alcohol.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438
- $00{:}35{:}02{.}140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}04{.}040$ Based.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438
- $00:35:04.040 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.158$ Epidemiological studies is most of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438
- $00{:}35{:}08{.}158 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}10{.}192$ studies are based on a questionnaire
- NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438
- $00{:}35{:}10.192 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}12.473$ and rather than having clinical data
- NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438
- $00{:}35{:}12{.}473 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}14{.}771$ in terms of the alcohol consumption
- NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438
- $00:35:14.780 \longrightarrow 00:35:16.508$ and we know there are certain
- NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438
- $00:35:16.510 \rightarrow 00:35:18.890$ biases that could really interfere
- NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438
- $00:35:18.890 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.092$ with the outcome of the studies,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438
- $00{:}35{:}21.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}22.940$ and this is most of the time
- NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438
- $00:35:23.000 \rightarrow 00:35:24.080$ people really are.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:35:24.080 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.880$ You know, don't tell the truth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}35{:}25{.}880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}27{.}296$ So answering the question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:35:27.296 \rightarrow 00:35:30.050$ how many drinks you have there per week?

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:35:30.050 \longrightarrow 00:35:31.022$ Well maybe 1,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:35:31.022 \dashrightarrow 00:35:33.999$ maybe you know and could be just a bottle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

00:35:34.000 --> 00:35:37.748 Of of of of Hard Liquor per week.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:35:37.750 \rightarrow 00:35:40.426$ So this may create some problems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:35:40.430 \rightarrow 00:35:42.766$ and this is what I'm trying to introduce.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}35{:}42.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}45.290$ A new term which called the ALCOM,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

00:35:45.290 - 00:35:48.058 which is a panel of markets that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}35{:}48.058 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}50.640$ indicate what is your alcohol consumption

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}35{:}50{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}53{.}210$ throughout the years of your life.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}35{:}53{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}59{.}155$ So in December 2020 I I was involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:35:59.155 \longrightarrow 00:36:02.990$ in an NCI workshop that we presented.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:36:02.990 \longrightarrow 00:36:04.610$ You know the existing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:36:04.610 \rightarrow 00:36:06.095$ Knowledge and everything.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438
- $00:36:06.095 \rightarrow 00:36:09.065$ Evidence and gaps across the cancer

 $00{:}36{:}09{.}065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}11{.}936$ continuum regarding the alcohol and cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:36:11.940 \rightarrow 00:36:16.268$ So we have looked at from epidemiology to

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:36:16.270 \rightarrow 00:36:19.499$ and biology of the alcohol and cancer risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}36{:}19.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}22.748$ What needs to be addressed and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}36{:}22.748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}25.827$ we can really work in preventing

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:36:25.827 \rightarrow 00:36:28.772$ you know this alcohol consumption

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:36:28.772 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.448$ that could lead to cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

00:36:31.450 --> 00:36:31.793 Again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:36:31.793 \rightarrow 00:36:35.570$ this is a readily available to everybody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

00:36:35.570 --> 00:36:37.815 And I'm going to go directly

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}36{:}37{.}815 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}38{.}790$ to the mechanism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00:36:38.790 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.870$ so I've been working with alcohol metabolism

NOTE Confidence: 0.924430438

 $00{:}36{:}41.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}44.958$ since day one of my graduate studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.943816495714286

 $00{:}36{:}44{.}970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}48{.}470$ and that was the metabolism of alcohol,

 $00:36:48.470 \rightarrow 00:36:50.996$ which actually is involved is been.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00:36:53.590 \longrightarrow 00:36:57.090$ Consider it as the mechanism of inducing

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

00:36:57.090 --> 00:36:59.748 cancer so your alcohol, your ethanol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00{:}36{:}59{.}748 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}02{.}293$ is metabolized by alcohol dehydrogenases

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00:37:02.293 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.370$ to an aldehyde which is a powerful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

00:37:05.370 --> 00:37:06.675 You know it's an aldehydes

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00{:}37{:}06.675 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}07.458$ and an electrophile,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00:37:07.460 \longrightarrow 00:37:10.142$ which is capable of interacting with

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00{:}37{:}10.142 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}13.710$ DNA and protein and forming adducts and,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00{:}37{:}13.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}17.710$ and this is a very important molecule in

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00{:}37{:}17{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}20{.}880$ here, so ethanol can also be metabolized

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

00:37:20.880 --> 00:37:23.550 by catalase and also by cytochrome P.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00{:}37{:}23.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}26.082$ The 50s, mostly cytochrome P452 you

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00:37:26.082 \rightarrow 00:37:29.725$ want and to a lesser extent by 182.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00{:}37{:}29.725 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}32.635$ What happens during the ethanol metabolism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

00:37:32.640 --> 00:37:33.496 P4, fifties.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348
- 00:37:33.496 --> 00:37:35.636 You have generation of reactive

00:37:35.636 --> 00:37:36.492 oxygen species.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00:37:36.500 \rightarrow 00:37:38.685$ You have glutathione depletion which

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00:37:38.685 \dashrightarrow 00:37:40.840$ leads to oxidative stress and as

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00:37:40.840 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.681$ you will see later on we have the

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00:37:43.681 \rightarrow 00:37:45.811$ formation of further aldehydes namely

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00{:}37{:}45.811 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}48.098$ 4 hydroxy nonenal and malondial dehyde

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00{:}37{:}48.098 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}50.433$ which are further capable of

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00{:}37{:}50{.}433 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}54{.}470$ causing DNA and protein adducts so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00:37:54.470 \longrightarrow 00:37:57.206$ Ald H2 is the major enzyme

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00{:}37{:}57{.}206 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}58{.}574$ which metabolizes a cetaldehyde.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00{:}37{:}58{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}00{.}770$ The major product of ethanol,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00{:}38{:}00{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}03{.}650$ and it is converted to acetate and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00:38:03.650 \dashrightarrow 00:38:07.010$ from the acetate can go to kettle coenzyme,

NOTE Confidence: 0.7181348

 $00:38:07.010 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.614$ which can be used as a building

 $00:38:09.614 \rightarrow 00:38:11.340$ biomolecule for a lot of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

00:38:13.350 - 00:38:15.650 Cells, including the cancer cell,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:38:15.650 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.472$ that's another story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:38:16.472 \rightarrow 00:38:19.330$ We don't have the time to go over that today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00{:}38{:}19{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}22{.}055$ so as you can see, the other heads and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:38:22.055 \rightarrow 00:38:24.210$ reactive oxygen species are very important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00{:}38{:}24{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}26{.}586$ My lab is focusing in all aldehyde metabolism

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:38:26.586 \dashrightarrow 00:38:28.730$ and I'll show you a little bit more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

00:38:28.730 --> 00:38:31.152 But we also looking at the LDH

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00{:}38{:}31{.}152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}34{.}826$ 2 and a LDH 1V1 and a LDH 1A1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:38:34.826 \rightarrow 00:38:36.941$ This is aldehyde dehydrogenase enzymes

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:38:36.941 \longrightarrow 00:38:39.577$ which they take us at aldehyde and

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00{:}38{:}39{.}577 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}40{.}976$ convert it to acetate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:38:40.976 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.960$ So again you can see for the risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

00:38:43.960 --> 00:38:46.990 Factors in terms of alcohol drinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:38:46.990 \rightarrow 00:38:49.588$ as mentioned earlier there is aids,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214
- $00{:}38{:}49{.}590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}51{.}198$ the personal history,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214
- 00:38:51.198 --> 00:38:54.422 family history, race and also diet,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214
- 00:38:54.422 --> 00:38:55.930 physical activity, obesity,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214
- $00:38:55.930 \rightarrow 00:38:57.650$ smoking and alcohol use.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214
- $00:38:57.650 \dashrightarrow 00:39:00.989$ As Melinda and James were mentioning above.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214
- $00{:}39{:}00{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}03{.}546$ So what Mike what we're doing in my lab
- NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214
- $00{:}39{:}03{.}546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}06{.}330$ is and I have a center funded by the NAA
- NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214
- $00:39:06.330 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.178$ as we have all you can see here is this.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214
- $00{:}39{:}09{.}180 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}11.024$ The ethanol metabolism and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214
- $00:39:11.024 \dashrightarrow 00:39:12.407$ also glutathione metabolism.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214
- $00{:}39{:}12{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}15{.}248$ They the synthesis of your major.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214
- 00:39:15.250 --> 00:39:17.740 Antioxidant of your system here and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214
- $00:39:17.740 \rightarrow 00:39:21.159$ what you can see on those red letters.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214
- $00{:}39{:}21.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}23.710$ Are the enzymes involved in these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214
- $00{:}39{:}23{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}25{.}915$ pathways and we have single and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:39:25.915 \longrightarrow 00:39:28.240$ double knockouts of all these enzymes

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:39:28.240 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.662$ which are involved in the eye in

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00{:}39{:}30{.}662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}32{.}469$ the ethanol metabolism and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:39:32.469 \rightarrow 00:39:34.229$ interplay and essentially trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00{:}39{:}34{.}229 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}36{.}970$ clear up the reactive oxygen species

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:39:36.970 \dashrightarrow 00:39:39.126$ generated and protecting yourselves.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:39:39.130 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.674$ So we just published a review in cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00{:}39{:}42.674 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}45.488$ on molecular mechanisms of alcohol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00{:}39{:}45{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}47{.}430$ And yours.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00{:}39{:}47{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}49{.}212$ Colorectal cancer so this is one

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:39:49.212 \longrightarrow 00:39:51.606$ of the area that my lab has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

00:39:51.606 - 00:39:53.316 focusing for a number of years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00{:}39{:}53{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}55{.}742$ We had EU one which I'm planning

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:39:55.742 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.753$ to go for the renewal as well and

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:39:58.753 \dashrightarrow 00:40:01.591$ essentially again you can see that

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00{:}40{:}01.591 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}03.871$ ethanol metabolism is involved in

 $00:40:03.871 \rightarrow 00:40:06.580$ here and we have what I described

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00{:}40{:}06{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}09{.}018$ you before the pathways here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00{:}40{:}09{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}11{.}009$ But one of the things I want to tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

00:40:11.009 - 00:40:14.788 you is the ethanol per se is not only

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

00:40:14.788 --> 00:40:17.574 metabolized by cytochrome P452 one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:40:17.574 \longrightarrow 00:40:18.241$ but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:40:18.241 \rightarrow 00:40:20.909$ Constantly induces increases the

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

00:40:20.909 --> 00:40:23.670 levels of cytochrome P452E1 why?

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:40:23.670 \longrightarrow 00:40:24.810$ This is important?

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

00:40:24.810 --> 00:40:28.363 Because 2 E one it can metabolize

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:40:28.363 \rightarrow 00:40:30.828$ the processing loggens into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:40:30.828 \rightarrow 00:40:33.705$ carcinogens and that is very important

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:40:33.705 \rightarrow 00:40:36.610$ and other area of the cytochrome P.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00{:}40{:}36.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}39.053$ For the two one it can increase

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00{:}40{:}39.053 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}40.860$ the cell proliferation and also

 $00:40:40.860 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.918$ as we discussed it can create

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:40:42.918 \longrightarrow 00:40:44.450$ reactive boxes and species.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:40:44.450 \longrightarrow 00:40:46.415$ The other is the important

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:40:46.415 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.987$ roles of both sides.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

00:40:47.990 --> 00:40:50.489 From P452 you are and also you

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00{:}40{:}50{.}489 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}52{.}296$ know the aldehyde dehydrogenases

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:40:52.296 \longrightarrow 00:40:54.916$ in retinoic acid homeostasis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:40:54.920 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.020$ which they been also involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00{:}40{:}57.020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}59.120$ in the the whole process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:40:59.120 \longrightarrow 00:41:01.495$ Another important issue here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

00:41:01.495 --> 00:41:04.479 the ratio between NADH and NAD

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

00:41:04.479 --> 00:41:07.099 which is completely changed based

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00{:}41{:}07.099 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}09.195$ on the ethanol metabolism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00{:}41{:}09{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}11{.}480$ Another area which ethanol could

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00{:}41{:}11{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}14{.}300$ affect is the one carbon metabolism

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00{:}41{:}14{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}17{.}244$ and that has effects on the DNA

- NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214
- $00:41:17.244 \longrightarrow 00:41:18.808$ methylation which can really.

00:41:18.810 --> 00:41:20.170 Umm?

NOTE Confidence: 0.781828214

 $00:41:20.170 \longrightarrow 00:41:21.418$ Give a lot of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

 $00:41:23.510 \longrightarrow 00:41:25.795$ Changes in DNA methylation associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

00:41:25.795 --> 00:41:27.750 with cancer, as I told you before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

 $00{:}41{:}27.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}32.403$ I get there may can be used as macromolecule

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

 $00:41:32.403 \rightarrow 00:41:34.236$ biosynthesis including including

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

 $00:41:34.236 \rightarrow 00:41:37.484$ in the cancer cells we have a lot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

 $00{:}41{:}37{.}490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}38{.}785$ I don't have the time to go,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

00:41:38.790 --> 00:41:40.518 I just want to give you a brief

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

 $00:41:40.518 \longrightarrow 00:41:41.809$ overview of what's going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

 $00:41:41.810 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.346$ So one of the major thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

 $00:41:43.350 \longrightarrow 00:41:46.086$ So here if we can focus is you

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

 $00{:}41{:}46.086 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}48.710$ have the the adduct formation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

 $00{:}41{:}48{.}710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}50{.}520$ the DNA and the proteins.

00:41:50.520 --> 00:41:51.714 I'm just going to show you

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

 $00{:}41{:}51{.}714 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}52{.}730$ some examples of the DNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

 $00{:}41{:}52{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}55{.}115$ And we're gonna talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

 $00:41:55.115 \rightarrow 00:41:57.500$ some the inflammation as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

 $00:41:57.500 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.080$ and we're gonna go from there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

 $00{:}42{:}00{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}01{.}890$ So what happened with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

 $00{:}42{:}01{.}890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}03{.}700$ acetal dehyde and also the other

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

 $00:42:03.764 \rightarrow 00:42:06.049$ aldehyde generating the DNA attacks?

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

 $00:42:06.050 \rightarrow 00:42:08.696$ So these aldehydes are both in electrophiles,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83555562

 $00:42:08.700 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.848$ they can interact with

NOTE Confidence: 0.73204892044444

 $00:42:09.860 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.415$ bases of the DNA, and

NOTE Confidence: 0.73204892044444

 $00:42:11.415 \longrightarrow 00:42:12.830$ they can form others. And

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

 $00:42:12.840 \longrightarrow 00:42:15.168$ these adducts then they they are

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

 $00:42:15.168 \rightarrow 00:42:17.150$ responsible for causing mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

 $00{:}42{:}17.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}20.320$ into your into your transcripts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

 $00{:}42{:}20{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}22{.}760$ And I said aldehyde by.

00:42:22.760 --> 00:42:24.810 Also interact with various amounts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

00:42:24.810 --> 00:42:27.785 Can give a crotonaldehyde and

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

 $00:42:27.785 \longrightarrow 00:42:30.165$ this also conform further.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

 $00:42:30.170 \longrightarrow 00:42:31.820$ Adducts in here at the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

00:42:31.820 --> 00:42:34.110 time as I mentioned before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

00:42:34.110 --> 00:42:36.936 you also have an increased lipid

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

 $00:42:36.936 \rightarrow 00:42:38.349$ peroxidation from acetaldehyde,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

 $00:42:38.350 \rightarrow 00:42:41.199$ which is due to the glutathione depletion

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

 $00{:}42{:}41.199 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}43.650$ which generates further aldehydes for

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

00:42:43.650 --> 00:42:46.464 hydroxyzine on and all, and so on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

 $00:42:46.464 \rightarrow 00:42:48.270$ So you have another type of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

 $00{:}42{:}48{.}332 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}49{.}867$ ethanol the the shock should

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

 $00{:}42{:}49.867 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}51.730$ go on Guana sitting here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

 $00{:}42{:}51{.}730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}52{.}970$ Another type of attack.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

 $00:42:52.970 \longrightarrow 00:42:55.924$ So it is very well documented that

 $00:42:55.924 \longrightarrow 00:42:58.670$ both acetaldehyde per se or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

 $00{:}42{:}58.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}00.520$ by product a theists that can interact

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

 $00:43:00.520 \rightarrow 00:43:02.960$ with DNA that can cause addicts,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

 $00{:}43{:}02{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}04{.}682$ and these others can lead to mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

 $00:43:04.682 \rightarrow 00:43:06.299$ and they can cause the cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

00:43:06.300 --> 00:43:07.620 This is a causative effect,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

 $00:43:07.620 \rightarrow 00:43:13.361$ and this is what helped to establish and put.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

 $00:43:13.361 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.134$ That the goodby s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

 $00:43:15.134 \longrightarrow 00:43:18.680$ as ethanol as a cancer agent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

00:43:18.680 --> 00:43:21.370 Another area I am not going to go

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

 $00{:}43{:}21.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}23.806$ on this aspects in here what I want

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

 $00:43:23.806 \longrightarrow 00:43:25.934$ to tell you is that ethanol also

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

 $00{:}43{:}26.006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}28.321$ can induce inflammation and changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

 $00:43:28.321 \rightarrow 00:43:30.636$ in the cytokines and chemokines

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

 $00{:}43{:}30{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}32{.}803$ and then they can have a various

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

 $00:43:32.803 \rightarrow 00:43:34.970$ effects into all the signaling which

 $00:43:34.970 \longrightarrow 00:43:37.316$ essentially they can affect the DNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

 $00{:}43{:}37{.}316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}39{.}479$ repair mechanisms into the system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

 $00:43:39.480 \longrightarrow 00:43:41.820$ So the importance this is less

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

 $00:43:41.820 \longrightarrow 00:43:44.478$ studied but this is an area that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

 $00:43:44.480 \rightarrow 00:43:47.900$ We should emphasize and as a matter of fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

 $00{:}43{:}47{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}49{.}760$ you know, this is what I'm going to tell you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

 $00:43:49.760 \longrightarrow 00:43:51.356$ What we're planning to do in here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

00:43:51.360 - 00:43:53.260 but you know, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

 $00:43:53.260 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.797$ it is a pathway that ethanol could

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

00:43:56.797 - 00:43:58.900 affect all these pathways in here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

00:43:58.900 --> 00:44:00.540 including the DNA damage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

 $00{:}44{:}00{.}540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}01{.}770$ and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

 $00:44:01.770 \rightarrow 00:44:04.022$ and essentially having the more

NOTE Confidence: 0.81999789111111

 $00{:}44{:}04{.}022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}05{.}180$ further mutations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85150081

 $00{:}44{:}06{.}190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}07{.}178$ So one of the

 $00:44:07.190 \rightarrow 00:44:09.717$ areas that I am planning to expand

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:44:09.717 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.878$ is the immuno metabolism and

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:44:11.878 \rightarrow 00:44:14.884$ this is the interplay between the

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:44:14.884 \rightarrow 00:44:16.425$ monological and metabolic processes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00{:}44{:}16{.}425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}19{.}307$ And as a matter of fact we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00{:}44{:}19{.}307 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}21{.}701$ published several papers on the role

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

00:44:21.701 --> 00:44:24.092 of glutathione in the control of

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:44:24.092 \rightarrow 00:44:26.342$ the T cell and macrophage fashions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00{:}44{:}26{.}350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}29{.}871$ But essentially again you can see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

00:44:29.871 --> 00:44:32.315 mitochondrial metabolism here and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00{:}44{:}32{.}315 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}34{.}455$ the ethanol metabolism can interfere

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00{:}44{:}34{.}455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}37{.}317$ with that in terms of the cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

00:44:37.320 --> 00:44:41.000 Incidents. As I told you before,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:44:41.000 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.380$ the ethanol can also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00{:}44{:}42{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}45{.}120$ I mean, alcohol can also affect the

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00{:}44{:}45{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}47{.}170$ one carbon metabolism and ethanol

- NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474
- $00:44:47.240 \longrightarrow 00:44:49.256$ can block the absorption of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474
- $00{:}44{:}49{.}256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}51{.}409$ folic acid and can interfere with
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474
- $00:44:51.409 \longrightarrow 00:44:53.623$ all the the folate and cycle,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474
- $00:44:53.630 \longrightarrow 00:44:55.930$ and the methionine cycle which
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474
- $00{:}44{:}55{.}930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}59{.}282$ eventually and as you can see here
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474
- $00:44:59.282 \rightarrow 00:45:02.186$ in very stages through the either
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474
- $00{:}45{:}02{.}186 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}04{.}537$ interacting with proteins and you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474
- $00:45:04.537 \rightarrow 00:45:06.806$ know forming adducts or interacting
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474
- $00:45:06.806 \rightarrow 00:45:09.560$ with pathways or absorption or stuff.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474
- 00:45:09.560 --> 00:45:09.977 Essentially,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474
- $00:45:09.977 \longrightarrow 00:45:12.479$ we have a DNA hypermethylation which
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474
- $00{:}45{:}12{.}479 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}14{.}539$ can be associated with cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474
- $00:45:14.540 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.542$ And of course,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474
- $00{:}45{:}15{.}542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}17{.}546$ you know that's the other pathway
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474
- $00{:}45{:}17.546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}19.628$ that you know by interfering
- NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:45:19.628 \rightarrow 00:45:21.813$ with the one carbon metabolism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:45:21.820 \longrightarrow 00:45:23.878$ you can have decreased through the thione,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:45:23.880 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.391$ which really you know gives

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

00:45:27.391 --> 00:45:28.819 rise to oxidative stress,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00{:}45{:}28.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}31.424$ which is a result of reactive

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00{:}45{:}31{.}424 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}33{.}744$ oxygen species generated by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

00:45:33.744 --> 00:45:35.834 cytochrome P-450 metabolism of

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:45:35.834 \rightarrow 00:45:39.593$ the P42 and taking a break here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00{:}45{:}39{.}600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}41{.}637$ What I wanted to tell you is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00{:}45{:}41.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}44.440$ in the slide before here

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:45:44.440 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.712$ is the broker synonyms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00{:}45{:}45{.}712 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}47{.}620$ We have a lot of Procter

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

00:45:47.690 --> 00:45:49.680 synonyms exposed in our lives,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:45:49.680 \longrightarrow 00:45:52.065$ so if alcohol induces the

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00{:}45{:}52.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}53.893$ site from P452 you want,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:45:53.893 \longrightarrow 00:45:55.348$ then we have increased levels

 $00:45:55.348 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.740$ of the two you want.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

00:45:56.740 --> 00:45:59.526 So I've been exposed to dimethyl nitrosamine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00{:}45{:}59{.}526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}02{.}417$ for example the nitrosamines or the P.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:46:02.420 \rightarrow 00:46:03.198$ Fashion everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00{:}46{:}03.198 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}05.921$ Then you can have a further activation

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00{:}46{:}05{.}921 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}08{.}360$ of this carcinogens and you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00{:}46{:}08{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}10{.}340$ have this generation of reactive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

 $00:46:10.340 \rightarrow 00:46:13.427$ Which is in species and further mutation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.829125251789474

00:46:13.430 --> 00:46:14.966 I'm gonna end up very quick.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904692338571429

00:46:15.350 --> 00:46:17.527 I'm gonna talk. I'm not gonna spend

NOTE Confidence: 0.904692338571429

 $00{:}46{:}17.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}20.175$ my time you all know the incidence of

NOTE Confidence: 0.904692338571429

 $00{:}46{:}20.175 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}22.790$ the colon cancer and what is going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904692338571429

 $00{:}46{:}22.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}26.048$ But my lab has been focusing on the colon

NOTE Confidence: 0.904692338571429

 $00{:}46{:}26.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}30.022$ cancer and alcohol metabolism we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.904692338571429

 $00{:}46{:}30{.}022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}32{.}303$ We were the first to clone the

00:46:32.303 --> 00:46:34.220 secondary enzyme. If you remember,

NOTE Confidence: 0.46681521411111

00:46:34.230 --> 00:46:36.366 said aldehyde is metabolized

NOTE Confidence: 0.46681521411111

 $00:46:36.366 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.036$ to acetate by the aldehyde.

NOTE Confidence: 0.46681521411111

00:46:39.040 --> 00:46:41.048 Progenesis Ald. Stew is

NOTE Confidence: 0.865842128333333

00:46:41.060 -> 00:46:43.388 the major enzyme, but we did

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00{:}46{:}43{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}46{.}454$ found we cloned this enzyme and

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00:46:46.454 \rightarrow 00:46:48.935$ mitochondrial enzyme which is very

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00{:}46{:}48{.}935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}51{.}580$ similar to a LH2 and we cloned it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00{:}46{:}51{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}53{.}695$ We expressed it throughout the

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00:46:53.695 \rightarrow 00:46:55.802$ years we made beautiful antibodies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00{:}46{:}55{.}802 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}59{.}316$ We made the cover pages of several

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00{:}46{:}59{.}316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}01{.}523$ journals and we found that

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00{:}47{:}01{.}523 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}03{.}428$ first of all that metabolizes

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00:47:03.428 \rightarrow 00:47:05.438$ acetaldehyde with very high affinity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00:47:05.440 \longrightarrow 00:47:08.212$ which is makes it as equal as a LD.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00:47:08.220 \rightarrow 00:47:10.710$ It's too in terms of clearing.

 $00:47:10.710 \longrightarrow 00:47:13.706$ The acetaldehyde but also what we found

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00:47:13.706 \rightarrow 00:47:17.014$ out is this enzyme is also involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

00:47:17.014 --> 00:47:19.434 into the retinoic acid metabolism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00:47:19.434 \rightarrow 00:47:21.370$ which is very important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00:47:21.370 \longrightarrow 00:47:24.000$ So one of the things in early

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00:47:24.000 \longrightarrow 00:47:28.470$ we found out that ald 1B1 is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00:47:28.470 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.470$ potential biomarker for colon cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00:47:30.470 \longrightarrow 00:47:32.138$ so we have screened several

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

00:47:32.138 --> 00:47:34.730 several panels of humors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00:47:34.730 \longrightarrow 00:47:36.765$ and we found that especially

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00:47:36.765 \longrightarrow 00:47:37.986$ for colon cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00{:}47{:}37{.}990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}40{.}840$ every single column cancer sample.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

 $00{:}47{:}40{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}43{.}020$ But we have tested.

NOTE Confidence: 0.794094442857143

00:47:43.020 --> 00:47:48.028 It really expresses AL H1B1

NOTE Confidence: 0.903634888

 $00:47:46.450 \longrightarrow 00:47:48.020$ at the very high level, very

00:47:48.030 - 00:47:50.350 high level and which we didn't see it

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:47:50.350 \longrightarrow 00:47:52.806$ in long breast on ovary or anything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:47:52.810 \longrightarrow 00:47:55.048$ And it was especially this it

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:47:55.048 \rightarrow 00:47:57.660$ was not a LH1A1, it was a L

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00{:}47{:}57.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}59.860$ H1B1 and this has to do also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:47:59.860 \longrightarrow 00:48:01.540$ You know with the colony

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:48:01.540 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.884$ formation and everything else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00{:}48{:}02.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}06.410$ So we also in addition to colon cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00{:}48{:}06{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}09{.}626$ We have also found out that

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

00:48:09.630 --> 00:48:11.328 ADH 1B1 plays a really big.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:48:11.330 \longrightarrow 00:48:12.694$ Call in pancreatic cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

00:48:12.694 --> 00:48:14.399 Trying to make a connection

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:48:14.400 \longrightarrow 00:48:17.160$ with James talk earlier on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00{:}48{:}17{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}22{.}084$ so this is the AL H1B1 and then you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:48:22.084 \rightarrow 00:48:24.329$ series really high expression of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:48:24.329 \rightarrow 00:48:26.354$ in the pancreatic Andrew carcinoma.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333
- $00:48:26.354 \rightarrow 00:48:29.840$ So one of the things that actually
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333
- $00:48:29.926 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.880$ you can use that as a prognostic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333
- $00:48:31.880 \longrightarrow 00:48:33.863$ market is you can take the tumor
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333
- $00:48:33.863 \rightarrow 00:48:36.700$ and then you can really isolate aldh
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333
- $00{:}48{:}36{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}39{.}700$ positive and aldh negative sales,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333
- $00{:}48{:}39{.}700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}41{.}596$ and then you can put them in culture.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333
- 00:48:41.600 --> 00:48:43.136 As you can see,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333
- $00:48:43.136 \rightarrow 00:48:45.440$ if aldehyde hydrogenase is not present,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333
- $00{:}48{:}45{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}46{.}965$ you have very small formation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333
- $00:48:46.965 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.880$ of the colonies,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333
- 00:48:47.880 --> 00:48:50.379 but if they LDH positive you have
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333
- $00:48:50.379 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.960$ really have seen the tumor formation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333
- $00{:}48{:}52{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}56{.}520$ So for somehow this a LDH is involved
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333
- $00{:}48{:}56{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}58{.}902$ in the tumor proliferation initiation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333
- $00{:}48{:}58{.}902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}02{.}430$ I don't know we're still looking at the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:49:02.507 \rightarrow 00:49:05.426$ role of this throughout either through the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

00:49:05.430 --> 00:49:06.756 DNA repair mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:49:06.756 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.524$ or through retinoic acid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:49:08.530 \longrightarrow 00:49:09.390$ It's an active area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00{:}49{:}09{.}390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}12{.}960$ so we have several papers on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:49:12.960 \longrightarrow 00:49:15.210$ how the Ald H1B1 could be that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00{:}49{:}15{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}17{.}810$ and we found out that can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:49:17.810 \longrightarrow 00:49:20.130$ modulating the wind better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00{:}49{:}20{.}130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}24{.}366$ Catherine Pathway and the the also

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

00:49:24.370 --> 00:49:26.950 P1K they get signaling pathway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:49:26.950 \rightarrow 00:49:28.120$ but the most important thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:49:28.120 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.480$ and this is a work that we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00{:}49{:}30{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}33{.}647$ done and we have identified that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:49:33.647 \rightarrow 00:49:35.647$ is immunohistochemical market for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00:49:35.650 \rightarrow 00:49:36.100$ Further,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333

 $00{:}49{:}36{.}100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}38{.}800$ for colorectal cancers and we look

- NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333
- $00:49:38.800 \rightarrow 00:49:41.812$ at that and several samples
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333
- $00:49:41.812 \rightarrow 00:49:43.816$ and including some comparisons
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333
- $00:49:43.816 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.919$ that we have done here at Yale.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333
- $00{:}49{:}46{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}50{.}035$ So it's a very strong market for that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333
- $00{:}49{:}50{.}035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}51{.}510$ And whereas as I said,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333
- $00{:}49{:}51{.}510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}54{.}510$ we're still looking on what is going on.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333
- $00:49:54.510 \longrightarrow 00:49:57.228$ So one of the ways that we have trying
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333
- $00{:}49{:}57{.}228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}00{.}397$ to address the role of this is we
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333
- $00{:}50{:}00{.}397 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}02{.}410$ have generated specific knock outs,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333
- $00{:}50{:}02{.}410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}05{.}539$ so it's a tissue specific inducible knockout.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333
- $00:50:05.540 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.425$ The triple knockout mice show
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333
- $00{:}50{:}07{.}425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}08{.}933$ the APC mean mice.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333
- $00:50:08.940 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.119$ We know that the they are forming,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849234321333333
- $00:50:13.120 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.490$ they're
- NOTE Confidence: 0.784147056086957
- $00:50:13.500 \rightarrow 00:50:14.703$ forming many cancers.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.784147056086957

00:50:14.703 --> 00:50:17.510 So anyway to make time is running

NOTE Confidence: 0.784147056086957

 $00{:}50{:}17.586$ --> $00{:}50{:}19.770$ we have generated a triple knock out

NOTE Confidence: 0.784147056086957

 $00{:}50{:}19.770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}22.618$ of this with a PC and everything.

NOTE Confidence: 0.784147056086957

 $00:50:22.620 \rightarrow 00:50:26.027$ So this mice we have found out that

NOTE Confidence: 0.784147056086957

 $00{:}50{:}26.027 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}28.306$ this is the ASPCA knock out and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.784147056086957

 $00:50:28.306 \longrightarrow 00:50:30.610$ is the well typed knockout mice

NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734

 $00:50:31.140 \longrightarrow 00:50:32.640$ and this is the experiment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734

 $00:50:32.640 \rightarrow 00:50:34.098$ This is how we generate it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734

 $00:50:34.100 \longrightarrow 00:50:35.630$ It was a painful process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734

 $00:50:35.630 \rightarrow 00:50:38.850$ Triple knockout is not an easy way to do it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734

 $00{:}50{:}38.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}40.439$ but the way that you're doing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734

 $00:50:40.439 \longrightarrow 00:50:42.169$ is you have an industrial model,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734

00:50:42.170 -> 00:50:44.258 you you treat them with tamoxifen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734

00:50:44.260 --> 00:50:46.708 you delete the gene and then you can

NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734

 $00{:}50{:}46.708 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}48.767$ further figure out of what's going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734

 $00:50:48.770 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.770$ So we did not find anything

- NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734
- $00:50:51.770 \longrightarrow 00:50:53.770$ any difference in the.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734
- $00{:}50{:}53{.}770 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}56{.}650$ Vehicle treated mice and also in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734
- $00{:}50{:}56{.}650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}58{.}710$ the tam oxifen treated groups at
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734
- $00{:}50{:}58{.}789 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}01{.}039$ the numbers were not evident in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734
- $00:51:01.039 \rightarrow 00:51:03.430$ jejunum or ileum in these mice.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734
- $00:51:03.430 \longrightarrow 00:51:06.490$ However, we did find the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734
- 00:51:06.490 --> 00:51:08.326 carcinoma colorectal adenomas,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734
- $00:51:08.330 \rightarrow 00:51:11.432$ which in the knockout developed significant
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734
- $00{:}51{:}11{.}432 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}15{.}149$ loan numbers of the macro and enormous.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734
- $00{:}51{:}15{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}17.691$ And there was a significant reduction in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734
- $00:51:17.691 \rightarrow 00:51:19.750$ the total macroadenomas in the knockout.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734
- $00:51:19.750 \longrightarrow 00:51:22.246$ So, when we knock out the gene
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734
- $00{:}51{:}22{.}246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}23{.}910$ in a model that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.849208734
- 00:51:23.910 --> 00:51:25.150 Induces
- NOTE Confidence: 0.67769972444444
- $00{:}51{:}27{.}310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}32{.}134$ colorectal and the normas, uh it is the gene.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.67769972444444

 $00:51:32.140 \rightarrow 00:51:34.065$ If you knock out the aldehyde progenesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.67769972444444

 $00:51:34.065 \rightarrow 00:51:36.147$ you reduce you can see that you reduce.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67769972444444

 $00{:}51{:}36{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}40{.}176$ So this confirms our initial studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.67769972444444

 $00{:}51{:}40{.}176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}44{.}426$ that the ALDH 1B1 it is involved in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.67769972444444

 $00:51:44.426 \rightarrow 00:51:47.789$ development of under cellular carcinomas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67769972444444

 $00:51:47.790 \longrightarrow 00:51:50.814$ And we have been trying to to dissect NOTE Confidence: 0.67769972444444

 $00{:}51{:}50{.}814$ --> $00{:}51{:}54{.}410$ more more involvement of this gene and we NOTE Confidence: 0.67769972444444

 $00:51:54.410 \rightarrow 00:51:57.602$ didn't see any difference at the P53 level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67769972444444

 $00{:}51{:}57{.}602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}00{.}770$ But we did see a decreased expression of

NOTE Confidence: 0.67769972444444

00:52:00.848 --> 00:52:05.738 the beta caten
in in the knockout, a LH now

NOTE Confidence: 0.67769972444444

00:52:05.738 --> 00:52:10.750 beta catenin knockout for beta catenin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67769972444444

 $00{:}52{:}10.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}12.760$ Expression which you know that Becca

NOTE Confidence: 0.677699724444444

00:52:12.760 --> 00:52:14.881 took Catenin is plays an important

NOTE Confidence: 0.67769972444444

 $00:52:14.881 \rightarrow 00:52:16.309$ role in the development.

NOTE Confidence: 0.677699724444444

00:52:16.310 --> 00:52:18.851 All colon carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67769972444444

 $00{:}52{:}18.851 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}25.005$ So the expression of of 191 could be used as

 $00:52:25.005 \longrightarrow 00:52:27.528$ a novel biomarker in identified colorectal

NOTE Confidence: 0.67769972444444

 $00{:}52{:}27.528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}30.690$ cancers and also in pancreatic cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67769972444444

 $00{:}52{:}30{.}690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}33{.}020$ The Althe knocked down because

NOTE Confidence: 0.67769972444444

 $00:52:33.020 \longrightarrow 00:52:35.350$ the dramatic reduction of humor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67769972444444

00:52:35.350 --> 00:52:38.115 growth, and loss of function of APC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.67769972444444

 $00:52:38.120 \longrightarrow 00:52:39.125$ and options of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67769972444444

 $00:52:39.125 \longrightarrow 00:52:41.910$ Maybe it's trying to be 1 reduces the

NOTE Confidence: 0.67769972444444

 $00:52:41.910 \rightarrow 00:52:44.220$ column adenoma and in turn delays

NOTE Confidence: 0.67769972444444

 $00{:}52{:}44{.}220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}46{.}176$ that emerged in the progression

NOTE Confidence: 0.67769972444444

 $00:52:46.176 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.920$ of cancer in that to that effect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.67769972444444

 $00:52:48.920 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.540$ I have collaborated with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

00:52:52.670 --> 00:52:55.640 And cuts and we have developed

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00{:}52{:}55{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}58{.}234$ special specific inhibitors of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00{:}52{:}58{.}234 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}00{.}362$ aldehyde dehydrogenases that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:53:00.362 \rightarrow 00:53:03.538$ be used for delaying the expression,

 $00:53:03.538 \rightarrow 00:53:06.158$ delaying the progression of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:53:06.158 \rightarrow 00:53:11.080$ tumor once it's been detected so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:53:11.080 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.458$ It looks like the Ald H1B1 is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:53:13.458 \rightarrow 00:53:16.020$ key player in this carcinogenesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00{:}53{:}16{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}19{.}154$ so if I can go back a little bit on

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00{:}53{:}19{.}154 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}21{.}518$ the molecular mechanisms to conclude my

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00{:}53{:}21{.}518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}24{.}210$ studies in here and the the directions

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00{:}53{:}24{.}210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}26{.}894$ is the alcohol metabolism is a key

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

00:53:26.894 --> 00:53:29.159 player through the cytochrome P-450,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:53:29.160 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.044$ or through the aldehydes

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:53:31.044 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.399$ generated in here and then.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

00:53:33.400 - > 00:53:36.193 You have also the changes in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00{:}53{:}36{.}193 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}39{.}194$ NADH NADH ratio, which we can have

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:53:39.194 \rightarrow 00:53:40.998$ also have epigenetic modifications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:53:41.000 \rightarrow 00:53:42.564$ Remember reactive oxygen species.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:53:42.564 \rightarrow 00:53:44.519$ They can cause the inflammation

- NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842
- $00{:}53{:}44{.}519 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}46{.}028$ that can lead to cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842
- $00:53:46.030 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.322$ In addition to that,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842
- $00:53:47.322 \rightarrow 00:53:48.744$ you also, as mentioned,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842
- $00:53:48.744 \rightarrow 00:53:50.679$ this is very important here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842
- $00:53:50.680 \rightarrow 00:53:53.335$ This is especially with increased
- NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842
- $00{:}53{:}53{.}335 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}55{.}990$ exposure to environmental casino egens
- NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842
- $00:53:56.072 \rightarrow 00:53:58.672$ the high levels of the two one they
- NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842
- $00{:}53{:}58{.}672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}01{.}388$ can lead to DNA damage segmentation.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842
- $00:54:01.390 \longrightarrow 00:54:03.170$ Then they can cause cancer.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842
- $00{:}54{:}03{.}170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}05{.}802$ And of course you know the DNA repair
- NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842
- $00:54:05.802 \rightarrow 00:54:08.418$ can be also be affected by both the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842
- $00{:}54{:}08{.}418 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}11{.}018$ two U one and also the alcohol.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842
- $00:54:11.020 \rightarrow 00:54:14.188$ Double so a very important process in here,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842
- $00{:}54{:}14.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}16.054$ and this is where we're focusing as well.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842
- $00:54:16.060 \rightarrow 00:54:21.485$ Is how ethanol metabolism could the could.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:54:21.490 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.548$ Have a defect in the day DNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

00:54:23.548 --> 00:54:25.807 repair as well and as I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00{:}54{:}25{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}28{.}930$ also you know we have the one carbon

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:54:28.930 \rightarrow 00:54:31.010$ metabolism and DNA methylation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

00:54:31.010 --> 00:54:34.079 I didn't have time to go on your micro

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

00:54:34.079 --> 00:54:36.935 RNA in here but also I did mention

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00{:}54{:}36{.}935 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}38{.}810$ the crosstalk between these pathways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00{:}54{:}38{.}810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}40{.}874$ The alcohol and the immune system

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

00:54:40.874 --> 00:54:43.394 which eventually they can end up in

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

00:54:43.394 --> 00:54:44.477 causing epigenetic modifications

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00{:}54{:}44{.}477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}46{.}630$ that they can cause the cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00{:}54{:}46{.}630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}48{.}961$ This is a slide that the best

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:54:48.961 \longrightarrow 00:54:51.300$ slide that I've seen in terms of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00{:}54{:}51{.}300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}55{.}186$ Mark concluding all the effects of

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:54:55.186 \rightarrow 00:54:57.040$ the alcohol in terms of the cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00{:}54{:}57{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}59{.}609$ and this was from the former director

 $00:54:59.609 \rightarrow 00:55:02.178$ of the metabolism of the NAIA SAMSARIC,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:55:02.180 \longrightarrow 00:55:04.434$ who is a very good friend and

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:55:04.434 \longrightarrow 00:55:05.400$ colleague of mine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

00:55:05.400 - 00:55:08.740 and I want to tell you this slide is I'm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00{:}55{:}08{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}10{.}558$ you know, a little bit sad,

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:55:10.560 \rightarrow 00:55:12.985$ but my next fifth international

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00{:}55{:}12.985 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}15.410$ conference on alcohol and cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:55:15.494 \rightarrow 00:55:18.159$ was going to be in in Greece 2021.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00{:}55{:}18.159 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}20.324$ Unfortunately with the COVID we

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:55:20.324 \rightarrow 00:55:23.398$ have to move it ahead and probably.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

 $00:55:23.400 \longrightarrow 00:55:24.870$ It's not gonna be 2022.

NOTE Confidence: 0.783430214736842

00:55:24.870 --> 00:55:25.818 It's gonna be probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.8762074775

 $00{:}55{:}26{.}530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}31{.}118$ 2023 and I expect to see quite a few of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.755934514

00:55:31.270 - 00:55:33.500 Yale colleagues here. Thank you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.755934514

 $00{:}55{:}33{.}500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}35{.}000$ Melinda. I'm going to stop and

 $00:55:35.000 \dashrightarrow 00:55:37.340$ get some time for questions. Thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196

 $00:55:37.350 \longrightarrow 00:55:38.002$ you vicellous.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196

 $00:55:38.002 \longrightarrow 00:55:40.610$ Count me in on a trip to Greece.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196

00:55:40.610 --> 00:55:42.695 I know you just returned

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196

 $00{:}55{:}42.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}44.363$ and I wasn't invited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196

 $00:55:44.370 \longrightarrow 00:55:47.328$ Anyway to the topic at hand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196

 $00:55:47.330 \rightarrow 00:55:49.213$ I was intrigued at the beginning of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196

 $00:55:49.213 \longrightarrow 00:55:51.326$ your talk when you were talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196

 $00{:}55{:}51{.}326 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}53{.}580$ measurement error and the fact that often.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196

 $00{:}55{:}53{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}55{.}750$ We measure alcohol intake through

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196

 $00{:}55{:}55{.}750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}57{.}920$ questionnaire and we know there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196

 $00{:}55{:}57{.}989 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}00{.}394$ a lot of misclassification with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196

 $00:56:00.394 \rightarrow 00:56:02.222$ that underreporting, perhaps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196

 $00{:}56{:}02.222 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}05.670$ and then that misclassification

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196

 $00:56:05.670 \rightarrow 00:56:08.064$ really attenuates the, say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196

 $00:56:08.064 \rightarrow 00:56:10.056$ the hazard ratio to the null.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196
- $00:56:10.060 \longrightarrow 00:56:12.472$ So in reality the impact of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196
- 00:56:12.472 --> 00:56:14.687 alcohol on cancer is probably
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196
- $00:56:14.687 \rightarrow 00:56:17.777$ even higher than noted in studies,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196
- $00:56:17.780 \longrightarrow 00:56:19.685$ but you then mentioned what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196
- 00:56:19.685 --> 00:56:22.038 do you call an alcohol zone
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196
- $00:56:22.038 \rightarrow 00:56:24.258$ or something of a channel of.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196
- 00:56:24.260 --> 00:56:26.395 Can you can you discuss that briefly?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8018645196
- $00{:}56{:}26{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}28{.}200$ A little bit more So what
- NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333
- $00{:}56{:}28{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}31{.}395$ we you see. Also show me this term
- NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333
- $00{:}56{:}31{.}395 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}33{.}358$ similar to the Exposome and I'm
- NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333
- $00:56:33.358 \rightarrow 00:56:35.518$ trying to pattern actually this word,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333
- $00:56:35.520 \longrightarrow 00:56:37.561$ so I'm trying to figure out
- NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333
- $00:56:37.561 \rightarrow 00:56:40.966$ through animal studies and human
- NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333
- 00:56:40.966 --> 00:56:44.960 studies how I can define a.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333
- $00{:}56{:}44{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}49{.}624$ Motif of changes that you can utilize
- NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333

 $00:56:49.624 \rightarrow 00:56:52.868$ by looking at the blood and finally

NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333

 $00:56:52.868 \rightarrow 00:56:56.820$ modeling it to how much alcohol the one

NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333

 $00:56:56.926 \rightarrow 00:57:00.416$ person has consumed during lifetime.

NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333

 $00:57:00.420 \longrightarrow 00:57:02.220$ This is not an easy task.

NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333

 $00:57:02.220 \longrightarrow 00:57:05.188$ OK, so because one of The thing is

NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333

00:57:05.188 --> 00:57:07.905 remember you can drink alcohol and then NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333

00:57:07.905 --> 00:57:11.380 even if you measure a few of the enzymes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333

 $00:57:11.380 \rightarrow 00:57:12.990$ you're a pathic enzymes if you have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333

00:57:12.990 --> 00:57:15.276 If you have abstinence of alcohol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333

 $00{:}57{:}15{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}17{.}982$ Delivery cover so you don't know to

NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333

00:57:17.982 --> 00:57:20.329 how much alcohol you have drunk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333

00:57:20.330 --> 00:57:22.618 So what we're trying to do is we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.602545503333333

 $00{:}57{:}22.618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}25.779$ trying to have a more educated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085

 $00:57:27.890 \rightarrow 00:57:29.926$ Measurement of determining how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085

00:57:29.926 --> 00:57:32.980 much alcohol a person have done

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085

 $00:57:33.068 \longrightarrow 00:57:35.533$ throughout life and the same

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085
- $00:57:35.533 \rightarrow 00:57:37.998$ thing as the explosion concept.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085
- 00:57:38.000 --> 00:57:39.476 As I said, it's not easy,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085
- $00:57:39.480 \longrightarrow 00:57:40.929$ but we're trying to do that and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085
- $00:57:40.929 \rightarrow 00:57:42.341$ we're trying to do with animal
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085
- $00{:}57{:}42{.}341 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}43{.}835$ studies and also with human studies.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085
- $00{:}57{:}43{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}45{.}954$ This is one of the of the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085
- $00:57:45.954 \longrightarrow 00:57:47.660$ ways that it can help.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085
- $00{:}57{:}47.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}50.705$ Really, the epidemiology to assess you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085
- $00{:}57{:}50.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}53.120$ know the causal effects of alcohol because
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085
- $00:57:53.120 \rightarrow 00:57:55.720$ they this is another area of big debate.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085
- 00:57:55.720 --> 00:57:57.058 Melinda, as you know, you know,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085
- $00{:}57{:}57{.}060 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}58{.}719$ there was a couple of years ago
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085
- $00:57:58.719 \rightarrow 00:58:00.601$ there was one paper from New Zealand
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085
- $00{:}58{:}00{.}601 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}02{.}275$ and it's saying all the women,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085
- $00:58:02.280 \longrightarrow 00:58:05.094$ even if they have one one drink.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085

00:58:05.100 -> 00:58:07.578 Per year they can develop colon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085

 $00{:}58{:}07{.}580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}10{.}012$ I mean breast cancer and if you look

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085

 $00:58:10.012 \longrightarrow 00:58:12.477$ at really of these studies they were,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085

00:58:12.480 --> 00:58:13.320 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085

 $00:58:13.320 \longrightarrow 00:58:15.366$ based everything on on on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085

00:58:15.366 - 00:58:16.938 on just the questioners.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085

00:58:16.940 --> 00:58:18.172 And as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8334116085

 $00{:}58{:}18{.}172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}20{.}808$ this is not the accurate measure to do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884046335

00:58:22.600 --> 00:58:24.016 Yeah, yes, I agree.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884046335

 $00{:}58{:}24.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}26.518$ So much work to be done. Well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884046335

 $00:58:26.518 \longrightarrow 00:58:29.164$ Thank you so much to both of

NOTE Confidence: 0.884046335

 $00{:}58{:}29{.}164 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}31{.}730$ our speakers today and if others

NOTE Confidence: 0.884046335

 $00:58:31.730 \longrightarrow 00:58:33.880$ who tuned in have questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.884046335

00:58:33.880 --> 00:58:36.536 I'm sure you can reach out to both

NOTE Confidence: 0.884046335

 $00:58:36.536 \rightarrow 00:58:39.100$ our speakers via email and hopefully

NOTE Confidence: 0.884046335

 $00:58:39.100 \rightarrow 00:58:41.380$ there will be some collaborations.

 $00{:}58{:}41{.}380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}43{.}858$ Initiated from today's talk. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884046335

 $00{:}58{:}43{.}860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}46{.}100$ Both have a great rest of the day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.884046335

00:58:46.100 --> 00:58:47.000 Thank you.