WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"01:02:36" NOTE recognizability:0.829

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.881477285333333

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:03.672 I'd like to welcome you to the 4th

NOTE Confidence: 0.881477285333333

 $00:00:03.672 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.856$ session of Smilo shares with primary care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881477285333333

 $00:00:07.860 \longrightarrow 00:00:11.541$ This is a series of talks that we think

NOTE Confidence: 0.881477285333333

00:00:11.541 --> 00:00:14.706 will be of interest and importance

NOTE Confidence: 0.881477285333333

 $00:00:14.706 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.660$ to our primary care colleagues as

NOTE Confidence: 0.881477285333333

 $00{:}00{:}18.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}22.020$ they are taking care of patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881477285333333

 $00:00:22.020 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.309$ And trying to best understand indications

NOTE Confidence: 0.881477285333333

 $00:00:25.309 \longrightarrow 00:00:29.012$ for referral and what happens when people

NOTE Confidence: 0.881477285333333

00:00:29.012 --> 00:00:32.319 are referred to the Smilo Cancer Center,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881477285333333

 $00{:}00{:}32.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}35.200$ which is such a valuable part

NOTE Confidence: 0.881477285333333

00:00:35.200 --> 00:00:37.120 of our health system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881477285333333

 $00:00:37.120 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.145$ These talks are targeted towards

NOTE Confidence: 0.881477285333333

 $00:00:40.145 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.488$ primary care and the faculty panel

 $00:00:43.488 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.680$ has rotated on specific to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.881477285333333

00:00:46.782 --> 00:00:50.586 specialty of the talk that's being

NOTE Confidence: 0.881477285333333

 $00:00:50.586 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.249$ addressed and today's topic is an emia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881477285333333

 $00:00:54.250 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.356$ There are many other venues for

NOTE Confidence: 0.881477285333333

 $00:00:56.356 \longrightarrow 00:00:58.164$ education for primary care clinicians

NOTE Confidence: 0.881477285333333

00:00:58.164 --> 00:01:00.544 and we know your time is valuable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881477285333333

 $00:01:00.550 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.049$ so thank you so much for joining.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881477285333333

 $00:01:03.050 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.265$ Just for convenience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881477285333333

 $00:01:04.265 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.695$ these talks will always be monthly

NOTE Confidence: 0.881477285333333

 $00:01:06.695 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.087$ on the 1st Tuesday from 5 to 6

NOTE Confidence: 0.881477285333333

 $00{:}01{:}09.087 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}10.950$ and there is a master schedule

NOTE Confidence: 0.881477285333333

 $00:01:10.950 \longrightarrow 00:01:13.730$ and we'll show you at the end the

NOTE Confidence: 0.881477285333333

 $00:01:13.730 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.330$ previews of the next sessions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881477285333333

 $00:01:16.330 \longrightarrow 00:01:19.420$ These sessions are recorded and NE

NOTE Confidence: 0.881477285333333

 $00:01:19.420 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.005$ Medical Group clinicians can find

NOTE Confidence: 0.881477285333333

 $00:01:22.005 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.651$ those on the the clinician website

 $00:01:24.651 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.216$ under that and we will send out a link

NOTE Confidence: 0.881477285333333

 $00:01:28.216 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.866$ afterwards to all of those who attended.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881477285333333

 $00:01:30.866 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.752$ At the end of the session there will be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.881477285333333

00:01:34.752 --> 00:01:37.699 brief survey and please stay tuned for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9318204875

 $00{:}01{:}40.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}44.530$ This is the schedule we will move

NOTE Confidence: 0.9318204875

 $00:01:44.530 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.094$ shortly into case presentations

NOTE Confidence: 0.9318204875

 $00:01:46.094 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.920$ and then the best part of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.9318204875

 $00:01:48.920 \longrightarrow 00:01:51.386$ sessions is the question and answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9318204875

00:01:51.390 --> 00:01:54.130 As you hear the presentation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9318204875

00:01:54.130 --> 00:01:56.280 please use the Q&A field

NOTE Confidence: 0.9318204875

 $00:01:56.280 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.430$ to queue up your questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9318204875

 $00:01:58.430 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.908$ We will stop briefly after each of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9318204875

 $00{:}02{:}00.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}03.270$ three cases to address the questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.9318204875

 $00{:}02{:}03.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}05.456$ per tinent to the case and then have

NOTE Confidence: 0.9318204875

 $00:02:05.456 \longrightarrow 00:02:07.214$ some open discussion at the end.

 $00:02:09.440 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.050$ I'd like to introduce our speakers

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00:02:12.050 \longrightarrow 00:02:15.380$ on on the left, Bob Bona,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00:02:15.380 \longrightarrow 00:02:17.872$ who's the director of the benign hematology

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00:02:17.872 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.613$ program and the medical director of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00:02:20.613 \longrightarrow 00:02:22.623$ Hemophilia Treatment Center at Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

00:02:22.630 --> 00:02:24.120 He's originally from New York,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

00:02:24.120 --> 00:02:25.416 and he and his wife Georgiana,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00{:}02{:}25.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}27.538$ are current residents of New Haven

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00{:}02{:}27.538 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}29.720$ and long time residents of Connecticut,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00:02:29.720 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.898$ where they raised their three children.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00:02:31.900 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.600$ Prior to coming to Yale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00:02:33.600 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.406$ he was a founding faculty member

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

00:02:35.406 --> 00:02:37.526 of the Frank Netter School of

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

00:02:37.526 --> 00:02:39.226 Medicine at Quinnipiac University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00:02:39.230 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.998$ Prior to that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00:02:39.998 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.534$ he was a professor of medicine

00:02:41.534 --> 00:02:43.388 at the UConn School of Medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

00:02:43.390 --> 00:02:45.526 having trained there and at Saint

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

00:02:45.526 --> 00:02:46.950 Francis Hospital in Hartford.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

00:02:46.950 --> 00:02:48.510 At the UConn School of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

00:02:48.510 --> 00:02:51.457 He did serve as the hematology and

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

00:02:51.457 --> 00:02:53.410 Oncology Fellowship program Director,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00:02:53.410 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.693$ chief of the Division of Hematology and

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00{:}02{:}56.693 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}59.389$ a hemophilia treatment cancer director.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00{:}02{:}59.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}01.686$ He has a strong interest in his

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

00:03:01.686 --> 00:03:03.532 career in medical education and

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

00:03:03.532 --> 00:03:05.902 is a graduate of SUNY Upstate

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00{:}03{:}05.902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}07.569$ Medical College in Syracuse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00{:}03{:}07.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}09.369$ I think you will see his teaching.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

00:03:09.370 --> 00:03:11.910 Skills on broad display here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00:03:11.910 \longrightarrow 00:03:15.288$ And Anna Crest received her medical

 $00:03:15.288 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.540$ degree from Columbia University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00{:}03{:}17.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}20.516$ Vagelos College of Physicians and surgeons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00:03:20.520 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.585$ her internship and residency were

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

00:03:22.585 --> 00:03:24.237 completed at Columbia University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00:03:24.240 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.500$ New York Presbyterian Hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

00:03:26.500 --> 00:03:27.630 After residency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00:03:27.630 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.565$ Dr Crest completed her fellowship

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

00:03:29.565 --> 00:03:31.500 in medical oncology and hematology

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00:03:31.561 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.430$ at the Yale Cancer Center and served

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00:03:33.430 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.716$ as a Chief fellow in her third year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00{:}03{:}35.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}38.155$ Her clinical and research interests

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00:03:38.155 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.103$ include various topics within

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00:03:40.103 \longrightarrow 00:03:42.279$ classical and malignant hematology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

00:03:42.280 --> 00:03:45.059 Frank Ciminello is an internist in Trumbull,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00:03:45.060 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.517$ Connecticut and has over 20 years of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

00:03:47.520 --> 00:03:49.270 Experience in the medical field.

00:03:49.270 --> 00:03:51.484 He graduated from NYU School of

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00:03:51.484 \longrightarrow 00:03:53.432$ Medicine and completed his residency

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00:03:53.432 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.467$ in Internal Medicine primary care

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00:03:55.467 \longrightarrow 00:03:57.990$ at the University of Pennsylvania.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00{:}03{:}57.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}00.195$ He also has an MBA from the Yale School

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00:04:00.195 \longrightarrow 00:04:02.069$ of Management and currently serves

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00:04:02.069 \longrightarrow 00:04:04.415$ as both a Regional Medical director

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00{:}04{:}04.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}06.618$ for Northeast Medical Group in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00{:}04{:}06.618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}08.720$ Bridgeport Region and also as the

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00{:}04{:}08.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}10.730$ President of the Primed Medical Group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

00:04:10.730 --> 00:04:15.077 which is a PSA group within NE Medical Group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

00:04:15.080 --> 00:04:16.112 Kelsey Martin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00:04:16.112 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.660$ our final panelist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

00:04:17.660 --> 00:04:19.750 is an assistant professor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00:04:19.750 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.840$ clinical medicine at the Yale

00:04:21.915 --> 00:04:24.333 Cancer Center and Cares for patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

00:04:24.333 --> 00:04:26.530 at the SMILO Cancer Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333 00:04:26.530 --> 00:04:27.190 In Orange, NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00:04:27.190 \longrightarrow 00:04:27.520$ CT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00:04:27.520 \longrightarrow 00:04:29.500$ she received her medical degree from

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00:04:29.500 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.780$ the Royal College of Surgeons in

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

00:04:31.780 --> 00:04:33.670 Dublin and completed her residency

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00{:}04{:}33.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}36.106$ in internal medicine at the Jacobi

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00:04:36.106 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.690$ Medical Albert Einstein College

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

00:04:37.690 --> 00:04:39.330 of Medicine in New York.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00:04:39.330 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.354$ She subsequently completed specialty

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

00:04:41.354 --> 00:04:43.884 training in hematology and medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00:04:43.884 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.722$ oncology at Lenox Hill Hospital

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

00:04:45.722 --> 00:04:46.986 in New York City.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00:04:46.990 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.554$ Doctor Martin's clinical interests

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

00:04:48.554 --> 00:04:49.727 are patient communication,

 $00:04:49.730 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.321$ hematology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

00:04:50.321 --> 00:04:52.685 hematologic disorders in women's

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

00:04:52.685 --> 00:04:53.867 cancer prevention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00:04:53.870 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.430$ including the role of nutrition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

00:04:56.430 --> 00:04:59.154 Obesity and an environment in cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333 00:04:59.154 --> 00:04:59.608 promotion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00:04:59.610 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.415$ Doctor Martin is actively involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00{:}05{:}01.415 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}03.857$ in the Yale community as a member

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

00:05:03.857 --> 00:05:05.866 of the Status of Women in Medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00{:}05{:}05.866 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}07.689$ and the Women Faculty Forum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00:05:07.690 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.545$ With that said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00:05:08.545 \longrightarrow 00:05:10.936$ I think you have a lot of learning

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00{:}05{:}10.936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}13.816$ in front of you and I'm going to turn

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

00:05:13.891 --> 00:05:16.187 to over to our panelist to begin.

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{NOTE Confidence: } 0.959529158333333\\ & 00:05:16.190 --> 00:05:16.610 \text{ Frank}, \end{aligned}$

 $00:05:16.610 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.970$ do you want to introduce the first case?

NOTE Confidence: 0.959529158333333

 $00:05:19.970 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.059$ Thank you and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.871126412

00:05:23.510 --> 00:05:24.940 Well you just put yourself on mute

NOTE Confidence: 0.851466764545454

 $00{:}05{:}25.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}28.498$ I muted unmuted and muted again

NOTE Confidence: 0.851466764545454

 $00:05:28.498 \longrightarrow 00:05:30.850$ myself so sorry about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851466764545454

 $00{:}05{:}30.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}33.258$ So we have three cases I'll I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.851466764545454

 $00:05:33.258 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.916$ present them and then each of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.851466764545454

 $00:05:35.916 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.851$ our our panelists are specialists

NOTE Confidence: 0.851466764545454

 $00:05:37.851 \longrightarrow 00:05:40.238$ will will help guide us through

NOTE Confidence: 0.851466764545454

 $00:05:40.238 \longrightarrow 00:05:42.710$ some discussions some work up and

NOTE Confidence: 0.851466764545454

 $00:05:42.793 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.264$ and and we hope this is extremely

NOTE Confidence: 0.851466764545454

 $00:05:45.270 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.340$ educational and beneficial to you

NOTE Confidence: 0.851466764545454

 $00:05:47.340 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.646$ and and your patience and as I'm sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.851466764545454

 $00:05:50.646 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.064$ you know anemia is extremely common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851466764545454

 $00:05:53.064 \longrightarrow 00:05:55.542$ And and it seems as patients get

NOTE Confidence: 0.851466764545454

 $00:05:55.542 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.970$ older the the chances are the

 $00{:}05{:}57.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}00.020$ prevalence of a nemia really does

NOTE Confidence: 0.851466764545454

 $00:06:00.020 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.316$ increase quite dramatically.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851466764545454

 $00:06:01.316 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.340$ So we we hope these three cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.851466764545454

 $00:06:04.418 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.662$ which we picked from literally a

NOTE Confidence: 0.851466764545454

 $00{:}06{:}06.662 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}09.319$ week of my patients a few weeks ago

NOTE Confidence: 0.851466764545454

 $00:06:09.320 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.576$ is is relevant for you as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851466764545454

 $00:06:13.580 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.980$ So case one is a is a woman 52 year

NOTE Confidence: 0.851466764545454

 $00{:}06{:}16.049 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}18.737$ old woman who is coming in for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.851466764545454

 $00{:}06{:}18.737 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}21.079$ routine physical she's a history of

NOTE Confidence: 0.851466764545454

 $00:06:21.079 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.461$ thyroid disease, sleep apnea, diabetes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851466764545454

00:06:23.461 --> 00:06:27.150 And you can see her current blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.851466764545454

 $00:06:27.253 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.007$ work which showed an anemia and

NOTE Confidence: 0.851466764545454

 $00{:}06{:}30.007 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}33.330$ and the prior year showed a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.851466764545454

 $00:06:33.330 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.789$ less severe anemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851466764545454

 $00:06:34.789 \longrightarrow 00:06:37.783$ but you'll notice a drop in

00:06:37.783 --> 00:06:41.299 hemoglobin and a drop in her MCV

NOTE Confidence: 0.851466764545454

 $00{:}06{:}41.299 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}43.699$ although MCV is still normal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851466764545454

00:06:43.700 --> 00:06:44.663 And then, uh,

NOTE Confidence: 0.851466764545454

 $00:06:44.663 \longrightarrow 00:06:46.910$ next slide and there's a routine village.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851466764545454

 $00:06:46.910 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.180$ You had no symptoms at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.851466764545454

00:06:50.180 --> 00:06:51.510 Um, get next slide, please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91797641

 $00:06:54.450 \longrightarrow 00:06:56.690$ I am trying to move to the next

NOTE Confidence: 0.91797641

 $00:06:56.690 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.480$ slide and it's not working.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935453766666667

 $00{:}07{:}00.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}02.678$ I've been having some network problems.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935453766666667

00:07:02.678 --> 00:07:06.050 Renee, can you pull up this slide deck?

NOTE Confidence: 0.935453766666667

00:07:06.050 --> 00:07:09.080 I'm going to stop. Sharing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.935453766666667

 $00:07:09.080 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.820$ Actually I can try resharing one

NOTE Confidence: 0.935453766666667

 $00{:}07{:}10.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}12.848$ more time and see if that works.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7964623

00:07:15.100 --> 00:07:17.560 No, it's not working right now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.7964623

 $00:07:17.560 \longrightarrow 00:07:20.849$ Have you? Pull it up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91072315

 $00:07:24.330 \longrightarrow 00:07:26.050$ Apologies for the delay.

 $00{:}07{:}30.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}33.479$ Um, oh, there we go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3068154

 $00:07:33.480 \longrightarrow 00:07:36.576$ Alright, so we sent her for some additional

NOTE Confidence: 0.3068154

00:07:36.576 --> 00:07:39.217 blood work and you'll see her iron

NOTE Confidence: 0.3068154

 $00:07:39.217 \longrightarrow 00:07:42.298$ levels tsat TABC, which is now high.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3068154

 $00:07:42.300 \dashrightarrow 00:07:45.672$ Her ferritin is low and her B12 was normal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3068154

00:07:45.672 --> 00:07:48.336 She did have a colonoscopy the

NOTE Confidence: 0.3068154

 $00:07:48.336 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.304$ prior year that showed us a benign

NOTE Confidence: 0.3068154

 $00{:}07{:}52.304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}54.592$ hyperplastic polyp and diverticulosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3068154

 $00{:}07{:}54.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}57.250$ And uh, if we can go to the next slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3068154

 $00:07:57.250 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.801$ actually those questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3068154

 $00{:}07{:}58.801 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}00.869$ yeah, sorry the the.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3068154

 $00:08:00.870 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.747$ So I'll hand it off to Kelsey,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3068154

 $00:08:03.750 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.662$ but beforehand,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3068154

00:08:04.662 --> 00:08:06.754 we'll ask Kelsey, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.3068154

 $00:08:06.754 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.996$ what other tests she would want done

 $00:08:08.996 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.015$ by us or that we should do first and

NOTE Confidence: 0.3068154

 $00{:}08{:}12.015 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}13.631$ any recommendations for treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.3068154

 $00:08:13.631 \longrightarrow 00:08:16.374$ and then when we would want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.3068154

 $00:08:16.374 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.204$ refer this person to hematology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.3068154

 $00:08:18.210 \longrightarrow 00:08:18.978$ Alright, thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

00:08:19.670 --> 00:08:21.692 Alright. Thank you so much for

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

 $00:08:21.692 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.040$ the opportunity this evening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

 $00:08:23.040 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.000$ Frank, would you mind just flipping back

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

 $00{:}08{:}25.000 \longrightarrow 00{:}08{:}27.281$ to the labs that we did already perfect.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

00:08:27.281 --> 00:08:30.089 So I think in looking at this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

 $00{:}08{:}30.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}31.784$ I think what jumps off the page

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

 $00:08:31.784 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.226$ to me right away is that you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

 $00:08:34.226 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.554$ that hemoglobin hematocrit dropped

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

 $00{:}08{:}35.554 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}37.868$ in about a year's time span as you

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

 $00{:}08{:}37.868 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}39.993$ mentioned the MCV started to decrease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

 $00:08:39.993 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.010$ The platelet count was also kind of

00:08:43.094 --> 00:08:45.542 heading towards the upper limit of

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

 $00:08:45.542 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.785$ normal and the MCV and I'm sorry the RDW

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

 $00:08:48.785 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.970$ is also starting to increase as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

 $00:08:50.970 \longrightarrow 00:08:53.644$ I think these labs as far really

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

 $00{:}08{:}53.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}55.970$ clearly consistent with iron deficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

 $00:08:55.970 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.896$ The ferritin being less than 30 really

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

 $00:08:58.896 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.840$ is as a number we would look at.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

 $00:09:00.840 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.590$ So certainly if it's less than 10,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

 $00:09:02.590 \longrightarrow 00:09:04.830$ I think that this is clear cut

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

00:09:04.830 --> 00:09:05.470 iron deficiency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

 $00:09:05.470 \longrightarrow 00:09:07.220$ a retic count I think is helpful

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

 $00:09:07.220 \longrightarrow 00:09:09.139$ just to sort of to to show sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

 $00{:}09{:}09.139 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}10.810$ of the lack of narrow response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

 $00{:}09{:}10.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}13.197$ The peripheral smear is always I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

 $00{:}09{:}13.197 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}15.824$ useful to in hematology and and I

00:09:15.824 --> 00:09:18.116 think actually truthfully I think if

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

 $00{:}09{:}18.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}20.950$ if the patient is is not describing

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

 $00:09:20.950 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.436$ significant bleeding or history of bleeding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

 $00:09:23.436 \longrightarrow 00:09:27.000$ I may even be content with stopping there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

00:09:27.000 --> 00:09:29.358 I think if a patient is giving a history

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

00:09:29.358 --> 00:09:31.880 of a long standing history of bleeding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

 $00{:}09{:}31.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}33.980$ particularly something like a menstrual,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

 $00:09:33.980 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.020$ bleeding will come into that in a second.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

 $00:09:36.020 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.284$ And as a hematologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

00:09:37.284 --> 00:09:39.695 I do start to think about bleeding

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

00:09:39.695 --> 00:09:41.030 disorders as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

 $00{:}09{:}41.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}42.920$ things like von Willebrands disease that

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

 $00:09:42.920 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.478$ are that are common in the population and

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

 $00:09:45.478 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.338$ that can manifest as iron deficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

00:09:47.340 --> 00:09:48.834 And so I actually would probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

 $00:09:48.834 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.879$ not do too much more at this point.

 $00:09:50.880 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.146$ I actually think we have enough

NOTE Confidence: 0.894521579

 $00{:}09{:}52.146 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}53.250$ of a diagnosis to make.

NOTE Confidence: 0.60314803

 $00{:}09{:}58.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}59.920$ So and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466977675

 $00:10:02.440 \longrightarrow 00:10:03.895$ We can break.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466977675

 $00{:}10{:}03.895 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}06.320$ Iron deficiency is extremely common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466977675

 $00:10:06.320 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.848$ A significant burden globally

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466977675

 $00:10:08.848 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.112$ and disproportionately

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466977675

00:10:10.112 --> 00:10:12.640 impacts children and women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466977675

 $00:10:12.640 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.629$ We can break down the main etiologies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466977675

00:10:15.629 --> 00:10:18.370 or causes of iron deficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466977675

 $00{:}10{:}18.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}19.810$ Most commonly here we're seeing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466977675

 $00:10:19.810 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.250$ things like chronic blood loss,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466977675

00:10:21.250 --> 00:10:22.480 GI blood loss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466977675

 $00:10:22.480 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.940$ Particularly in a man until proven

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466977675

 $00:10:24.940 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.679$ otherwise and postmenopausal

00:10:26.680 --> 00:10:28.744 women's menstrual bleeding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466977675

 $00:10:28.744 \longrightarrow 00:10:32.872$ gynecological bleeding and Gu bleeding,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466977675

 $00:10:32.880 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.298$ sort of the second sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466977675

 $00:10:35.298 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.910$ major category be malabsorption.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466977675

 $00:10:36.910 \longrightarrow 00:10:39.532$ And this we see commonly I

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466977675

 $00:10:39.532 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.142$ think in our patients with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466977675

00:10:42.142 --> 00:10:44.297 history of bariatric surgery as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466977675

 $00:10:44.297 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.239$ obesity and continues to rise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466977675

 $00{:}10{:}46.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}48.263$ And also Umm H pylori is another

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466977675

00:10:48.263 --> 00:10:50.046 quite common thing I feel that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8466977675

 $00{:}10{:}50.046 \to 00{:}10{:}51.750$ we see in the outpatient setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758115975

00:10:53.830 --> 00:10:57.318 And then there is sort of another second,

NOTE Confidence: 0.758115975

00:10:57.320 --> 00:10:58.560 third major category would

NOTE Confidence: 0.758115975

 $00:10:58.560 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.110$ be sort of physiologic need.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758115975

00:11:00.110 --> 00:11:01.886 So you know, periods of growth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.758115975

00:11:01.890 --> 00:11:05.282 childhood, adolescence and certainly

 $00{:}11{:}05.282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}08.778$ during pregnancy where nearly half of

NOTE Confidence: 0.758115975

 $00{:}11{:}08.778 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}10.358$ pregnant women are iron deficient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8290755

 $00:11:12.870 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.909$ So we could flip the next slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8290755

 $00:11:16.910 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.856$ So we think specifically looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8290755

 $00:11:19.856 \longrightarrow 00:11:21.820$ more pathologic disorders associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8290755

 $00:11:21.889 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.385$ with iron deficiency and as mentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.8290755

 $00:11:24.385 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.780$ in this patient's case, she had seen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8290755

 $00:11:28.780 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.356$ Gastroenterology not in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8290755

00:11:30.356 --> 00:11:32.326 recent future right played Frank.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8290755

 $00:11:32.330 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.100$ It was in the last year or two in this case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8290755

 $00:11:36.100 \longrightarrow 00:11:37.612$ But always important for us to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8290755

 $00{:}11{:}37.612 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}39.289$ think about the entire job GI tract.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00{:}11{:}41.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}43.745$ I think particularly about H

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

00:11:43.745 --> 00:11:46.869 pylori again as an as an NPI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00:11:46.870 \longrightarrow 00:11:49.138$ that's something that we see that

00:11:49.138 --> 00:11:51.456 can contribute to or hydria,

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00:11:51.456 \longrightarrow 00:11:55.572$ which can also contribute to iron deficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00:11:55.580 \longrightarrow 00:11:57.080$ We sometimes are screening patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00:11:57.080 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.580$ for celiac disease as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00:11:58.580 \longrightarrow 00:12:00.708$ I think UM and that it comes up

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00:12:00.708 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.848$ often in our patients who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00:12:02.848 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.773$ also refractured iron which I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00:12:04.773 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.028$ come back to in a couple slides.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00:12:07.030 \longrightarrow 00:12:08.885$ And then there is a number of

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00{:}12{:}08.885 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}10.721$ conditions as well that we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00{:}12{:}10.721 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}12.025$ frequently and particularly in

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

00:12:12.025 --> 00:12:14.226 the primary care setting of anemia

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00:12:14.226 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.161$ associated with chronic disease where

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00:12:16.161 \longrightarrow 00:12:18.332$ those patients or maybe have poor

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00:12:18.332 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.003$ utilization of of iron and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00:12:21.003 \longrightarrow 00:12:23.548$ patients with chronic heart failure,

 $00:12:23.550 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.540$ chronic kidney disease and other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

00:12:25.540 --> 00:12:27.469 Chronic inflammatory disorders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00:12:27.469 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.398$ particularly things like

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

00:12:29.398 --> 00:12:31.327 inflammatory bowel disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00{:}12{:}31.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}33.150$ I listed on the right hand side

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00:12:33.150 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.853$ here just a couple of other

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00:12:34.853 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.848$ things I feel that we see often

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00{:}12{:}36.909 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}38.909$ in our practice as hematologists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

00:12:38.910 --> 00:12:42.487 So I think food insecurity and sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

00:12:42.487 --> 00:12:45.463 maybe for access to diverse diet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00:12:45.463 \longrightarrow 00:12:47.581$ diet is something that we should

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00{:}12{:}47.581 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}49.924$ probably dig into a little bit deeper

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00{:}12{:}49.924 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}52.440$ with our patients as we take a history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

00:12:52.440 --> 00:12:54.372 Blood donation and I have a a

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00:12:54.372 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.215$ number of patients who are those

 $00:12:56.215 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.152$ frequent blood donors you know who

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00:12:58.152 \longrightarrow 00:13:00.264$ are donating their blood every you

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00:13:00.264 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.957$ know between 50 to 60 days and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00{:}13{:}02.957 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}04.945$ there's and they're saying to just

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00:13:04.945 \longrightarrow 00:13:06.705$ support those types of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00:13:06.705 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.260$ should be on oral iron supplementation

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00:13:09.260 \longrightarrow 00:13:11.540$ to prevent iron deficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

00:13:11.540 --> 00:13:14.221 So I think again a good history

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00:13:14.221 \longrightarrow 00:13:16.791$ comes comes in handy there as

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00:13:16.791 \longrightarrow 00:13:18.435$ mentioned before gynecologic bleeding

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00{:}13{:}18.435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}20.490$ you know iron deficiency again

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00:13:20.555 \longrightarrow 00:13:22.119$ disproportionately impacts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667 00:13:22.120 --> 00:13:22.872 And then?

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00{:}13{:}22.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}25.128$ And have you menstrual periods is

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

00:13:25.128 --> 00:13:27.798 is common and so working closely

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00{:}13{:}27.798 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}30.103$ with our gynecologists can be

00:13:30.103 --> 00:13:31.682 tremendously helpful in improving

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00:13:31.682 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.517$ the quality of life of of women

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

00:13:34.517 --> 00:13:36.899 with iron deficiency and and asking

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00:13:36.899 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.833$ about hematuria and just other

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00:13:38.833 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.508$ sources of of blood loss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

00:13:40.510 --> 00:13:44.248 And then patients who receive

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00:13:44.248 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.216$ erythropoietin stimulating agents

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

00:13:46.216 --> 00:13:48.840 or darbepoet in for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

00:13:48.840 --> 00:13:50.891 those patients use up their iron stores

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00{:}13{:}50.891 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}53.438$ over time and it's important that they are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

00:13:53.440 --> 00:13:56.300 Also receiving.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00:13:56.300 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.068$ Iron supplementation so important

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00{:}13{:}58.068 \operatorname{--}{>} 00{:}14{:}00.720$ as we look in patients medications

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00:14:00.785 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.057$ to to see if that's playing a role.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00:14:03.060 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.556$ And we also know by researchers

00:14:05.556 --> 00:14:08.416 from here at Yale that Trimberg

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00{:}14{:}08.416 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}11.728$ for example that there are genetic

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00:14:11.728 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.692$ conditions where some people do not

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

00:14:14.692 --> 00:14:16.772 absorb iron adequately and that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

00:14:16.772 --> 00:14:18.495 due to inappropriately increased

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00:14:18.495 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.913$ levels of hepcidin which is our

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00:14:20.913 \longrightarrow 00:14:22.719$ master regulator of of iron.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00:14:22.720 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.904$ So we think about that a lot in

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00{:}14{:}24.904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}26.570$ patients who have been taking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

00:14:26.570 --> 00:14:28.082 Iron supplements appropriately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

 $00{:}14{:}28.082 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}31.610$ but are not not achieving an adequate

NOTE Confidence: 0.758217754166667

00:14:31.683 --> 00:14:33.099 response. Next slide, please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.705350016

00:14:35.630 --> 00:14:39.092 And a couple of just clinical pearls

NOTE Confidence: 0.705350016

 $00{:}14{:}39.092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}41.847$ perhaps are things to consider?

NOTE Confidence: 0.705350016

00:14:41.850 --> 00:14:44.258 An iron deficiency can can be due

NOTE Confidence: 0.705350016

 $00:14:44.258 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.866$ to more than one thing at a time.

00:14:46.870 --> 00:14:49.636 And in dual pathology for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.705350016

 $00{:}14{:}49.640 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}14{:}51.404$ both upper and GI tract involvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.705350016

 $00:14:51.404 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.400$ is found in about 1 to 10% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.705350016

 $00:14:53.400 \longrightarrow 00:14:55.640$ cases and and with our aging

NOTE Confidence: 0.705350016

 $00:14:55.640 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.609$ population this becomes more common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:15:00.740 \longrightarrow 00:15:03.380$ In both males and postmenopausal women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:15:03.380 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.778$ cancer of the GI tract is

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00{:}15{:}04.778 --> 00{:}15{:}06.752$ found about 8 to 10% of cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:15:06.752 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.376$ which is quite significant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:15:08.380 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.350$ In our pre menopausal women, women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:15:12.350 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.050$ Cancer of the GI tract is is much less

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:15:15.050 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.455$ common and and heavy menstrual periods

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00{:}15{:}17.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}21.000$ would be playing a major role in that case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00{:}15{:}21.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}23.142$ Next slide, so for the ferritin

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

00:15:23.142 --> 00:15:25.436 is probably the most single most

 $00:15:25.436 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.806$ useful test we can have performed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00{:}15{:}27.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}30.006$ And going back to your question

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:15:30.006 \longrightarrow 00:15:32.014$ about what additional testing can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:15:32.014 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.291$ done and if it's low which is is

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

00:15:34.291 --> 00:15:36.055 really characterized by less than 15

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:15:36.055 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.652$ to 30 and then then you've already

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:15:38.652 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.364$ confirmed absolute iron deficiency

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

00:15:40.364 --> 00:15:42.749 and and that's why with that prior

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:15:42.749 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.924$ case I think with a ferritin of

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:15:44.924 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.157$ three that was very helpful to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00{:}15{:}47.157 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}49.010$ an iron saturation of less than

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:15:49.010 \longrightarrow 00:15:50.990$ 20% is is also another useful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:15:50.990 \longrightarrow 00:15:53.384$ A target and when doctor bonus speaks,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

00:15:53.390 --> 00:15:56.308 I think he's going to you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

00:15:56.308 --> 00:15:58.541 make reference to how we how we

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:15:58.541 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.140$ interpret situations where patients

00:16:00.140 --> 00:16:02.564 may still be iron deficient yet

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00{:}16{:}02.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}03.950$ have a nemia of chronic disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:16:03.950 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.750$ So, so important to pay attention

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:16:05.750 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.950$ to that iron saturation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:16:06.950 \longrightarrow 00:16:09.230$ the peripheral smear can show us

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

00:16:09.230 --> 00:16:11.323 classic findings of iron deficiency

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:16:11.323 \longrightarrow 00:16:13.347$ and the reticulocyte count,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00{:}16{:}13.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}15.714$ RDW and platelet count are also

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

00:16:15.714 --> 00:16:18.415 all factor into my decision making

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00{:}16{:}18.415 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}21.547$ process as I evaluate these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667 00:16:21.550 --> 00:16:23.180 Umm. NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

00:16:23.180 --> 00:16:23.988 I think in history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00{:}16{:}23.988 \to 00{:}16{:}25.760$ I I will come back to that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:16:25.760 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.272$ that I don't think much additional

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:16:27.272 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.419$ lab work is required,

00:16:28.420 --> 00:16:30.422 but I think a strong history taking

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:16:30.422 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.328$ our strong history taking skills are

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:16:32.328 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.302$ really useful and asking patients if

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:16:34.302 \longrightarrow 00:16:36.596$ they're craving ice or being crunchy things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:16:36.600 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.388$ I think it's also very helpful and also

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

00:16:42.388 --> 00:16:45.498 quite specific for iron deficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

00:16:45.500 --> 00:16:48.128 And so I asked that often of my patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:16:48.128 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.138$ and other things like restless leg syndrome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:16:51.140 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.994$ cold intolerance which I feel like

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

00:16:52.994 --> 00:16:54.740 patients mention often and I do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00{:}16{:}54.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}57.044$ I do find that patients mention

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:16:57.044 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.180$ alopecia as a sa concern should I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

00:17:00.180 --> 00:17:02.580 bring our attention to iron deficiency

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

00:17:02.580 --> 00:17:04.980 and maybe out of the scope of today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

00:17:04.980 --> 00:17:07.035 but certainly patients maybe carries

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00{:}17{:}07.035 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}10.026$ a beta thalassemia and it can be

 $00:17:10.026 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.750$ sometimes challenging when someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

00:17:11.750 --> 00:17:14.344 has a microcytic anemia to help

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:17:14.344 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.538$ make that distinction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:17:15.540 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.636$ And the Mentor Index is a is a tool

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

00:17:18.636 --> 00:17:21.338 worth the MCV over the RBC Count,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

00:17:21.340 --> 00:17:23.620 which can help us, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:17:23.620 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.260$ try to make that distinction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:17:26.260 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.930$ And excited.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

00:17:28.930 --> 00:17:30.560 Something I've thought about and

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:17:30.560 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.512$ I thought maybe others might do

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

00:17:32.512 --> 00:17:34.381 is you know should our patients be

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:17:34.381 \longrightarrow 00:17:36.218$ fasting when we when we check iron

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00{:}17{:}36.218 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}38.966$ levels and I think it can be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:17:38.970 \longrightarrow 00:17:39.930$ but it doesn't have to be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:17:39.930 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.270$ It's how I interpret the data.

 $00:17:42.270 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.531$ I'm not sure if my colleague should

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00{:}17{:}44.531 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}46.447$ answer this but I I think that

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:17:46.450 \longrightarrow 00:17:48.715$ there are some diurnal variations

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:17:48.715 \longrightarrow 00:17:51.412$ and also some changes after meals

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

00:17:51.412 --> 00:17:53.904 that that impact serum iron and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:17:53.904 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.551$ and so our serum iron levels peak

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:17:56.551 \longrightarrow 00:17:59.490$ in the late morning and it also.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:17:59.490 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.650$ Increases after a meal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00{:}18{:}01.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}04.290$ But it also decreases after fasting

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00:18:04.290 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.667$ and so my interpretation of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.811523976666667

 $00{:}18{:}06.667 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}18{:}08.027$ is that I think it

NOTE Confidence: 0.836655822307692

 $00:18:08.030 \longrightarrow 00:18:11.566$ is not is not crucial to to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.836655822307692

 $00:18:11.566 \longrightarrow 00:18:14.609$ measure iron studies fasting but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836655822307692

 $00:18:14.610 \longrightarrow 00:18:16.170$ Sort of on the on the flip side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.836655822307692

 $00:18:16.170 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.123$ on the other end of the spectrum

NOTE Confidence: 0.836655822307692

 $00:18:18.123 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.828$ where sometimes we see very high

00:18:19.828 --> 00:18:21.704 levels of of iron and we're sending

NOTE Confidence: 0.836655822307692

 $00{:}18{:}21.768 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}23.468$ patients to that for hemochromatosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.836655822307692

 $00:18:23.468 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.710$ and those patients I will often

NOTE Confidence: 0.836655822307692

 $00:18:25.710 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.130$ have them repeat it fasting in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.836655822307692

 $00:18:29.130 \longrightarrow 00:18:31.290$ circumstance and it it appears that

NOTE Confidence: 0.836655822307692

 $00:18:31.290 \longrightarrow 00:18:34.534$ the tsap performs just as well in non

NOTE Confidence: 0.836655822307692

 $00:18:34.534 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.729$ fasting versus fasting patients so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.836655822307692

00:18:36.730 --> 00:18:37.660 Next slide, please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00{:}18{:}39.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}41.634$ So our goals of treatment or

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:18:41.634 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.930$ management of iron deficiency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

00:18:42.930 --> 00:18:45.415 we want to first and foremost identify

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

00:18:45.415 --> 00:18:48.404 and treat the underlying cause of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00{:}18{:}48.404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}50.724$ end deficiency and working typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:18:50.724 \longrightarrow 00:18:53.407$ closely with our gynecologist and

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:18:53.407 \longrightarrow 00:18:55.555$ gastroenterologist colleagues is key.

00:18:55.560 --> 00:18:57.680 And maybe less commonly, urology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00{:}18{:}57.680 \rightarrow 00{:}18{:}59.728$ we want to replete the iron stores and

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00{:}18{:}59.728 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}02.032$ we want to normalize the hemoglobin if

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:19:02.032 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.255$ someone's anemic and improve or reverse

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:19:04.255 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.440$ the symptoms that they're experiencing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

00:19:06.440 --> 00:19:07.976 And usually, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:19:07.976 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.896$ the craving of ice ships,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

00:19:09.900 --> 00:19:11.380 you know, response quite quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00{:}19{:}11.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}13.487$ And I often remind patients to bring

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:19:13.487 \longrightarrow 00:19:15.817$ that to our attention if they notice

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:19:15.817 \dashrightarrow 00:19:18.580$ it in the future because it's such a

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00{:}19{:}18.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}20.480$ sensitive sign and the goal is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:19:20.480 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.040$ to keep patients on lifelong iron.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

00:19:22.040 --> 00:19:23.880 And as I'm sure, as we've all seen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:19:23.880 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.245$ Umm, sometimes it's a medication

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00{:}19{:}25.245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}27.200$ that seems to linger on medication.

 $00:19:27.200 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.482$ Lists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00{:}19{:}27.482 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}29.174$ And I think it's always worth

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

00:19:29.174 --> 00:19:30.299 reevaluating whether the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00{:}19{:}30.299 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}32.147$ really truly still needs to be on it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:19:32.150 \longrightarrow 00:19:36.750$ So. Next slide, please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:19:36.750 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.888$ So what is the best approach?

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:19:39.890 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.802$ So in our.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:19:40.802 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.930$ Case that patient team was around 9:00

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

00:19:43.001 --> 00:19:45.045 and I think this patient has Frank

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00{:}19{:}45.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}46.834$ you said was largely asymptomatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:19:46.834 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.372$ and probably this patient could be

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:19:49.372 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.627$ managed with oral iron supplements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00{:}19{:}51.627 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}54.910$ Patients often I find ask you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:19:54.993 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.098$ can they just eat eat more meat or or

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:19:58.098 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.896$ make a change and I think that's is

 $00:20:00.896 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.471$ limited and it's in its efficacy once

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00{:}20{:}03.471 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}05.231$ patients are becoming progressively

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:20:05.231 \longrightarrow 00:20:08.305$ anemic but but could be considered if

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:20:08.305 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.699$ if someone has a normal hemoglobin

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

00:20:10.699 --> 00:20:12.619 but maybe borderline iron levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:20:12.619 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.230$ I think it's that reasonable to to

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:20:15.303 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.544$ try and I've just listed some some

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:20:17.544 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.973$ some foods that are rich in iron

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00{:}20{:}19.973 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}22.095$ and Anaheim iron from from from meat

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:20:22.095 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.904$ or poultry and fish is is absorbed

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00{:}20{:}23.904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}25.389$ more efficiently than iron that

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

00:20:25.389 --> 00:20:27.030 comes from plant based sources.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

00:20:27.030 --> 00:20:29.316 But I would you know certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00{:}20{:}29.316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}31.850$ doesn't have to be what someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:20:31.850 \longrightarrow 00:20:34.646$ needs if they're vegan for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:20:34.650 \longrightarrow 00:20:36.806$ I think if there's one thing that

 $00:20:36.810 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.672$ people in the audience want to listen

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:20:38.672 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.990$ to today is how to to give oral iron.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:20:42.990 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.566$ And we now have a growing collection

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:20:45.566 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.966$ of data that that tells us that every

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:20:48.966 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.181$ other day iron supplementation is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:20:51.190 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.490$ is the way to go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

00:20:52.490 --> 00:20:55.274 And we're really no longer giving

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

00:20:55.274 --> 00:20:57.884 iron daily and certainly not daily

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:20:57.884 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.928$ in in 3 divided doses as as, as,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00{:}21{:}00.928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}04.318$ as Epic automatically orders it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

00:21:04.320 --> 00:21:04.980 So, Umm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:21:04.980 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.290$ and and it's it's easy to remember

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00{:}21{:}07.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}09.346$ about 100 milligrams of elemental

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

00:21:09.346 --> 00:21:10.998 iron every other day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:21:11.000 \longrightarrow 00:21:14.645$ I think 1 can't go wrong and and this

 $00:21:14.645 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.230$ is the the reason behind this is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

00:21:18.230 --> 00:21:18.896 Sort of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722 00:21:18.896 --> 00:21:19.229 If, NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:21:19.229 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.227$ if there's a really simplistic way

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:21:21.227 \longrightarrow 00:21:23.774$ is if there's kind of too much

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:21:23.774 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.126$ consistent iron that hepcidin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

00:21:25.126 --> 00:21:27.352 which again is sort of our master

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

00:21:27.352 --> 00:21:28.768 regulator of iron absorption,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00{:}21{:}28.770 \longrightarrow 00{:}21{:}30.702$ starts to impair our ability to

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:21:30.702 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.668$ absorb further iron,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:21:31.670 \longrightarrow 00:21:35.818$ and taking the iron every other day is best.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

00:21:35.818 --> 00:21:38.786 It's also best on an empty stomach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:21:38.790 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.605$ hour before 2 hours after a meal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

00:21:42.610 --> 00:21:43.844 You know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:21:43.844 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.546$ regarding the rule of vitamin C

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

00:21:47.550 --> 00:21:49.220 from from from my understanding,

 $00:21:49.220 \longrightarrow 00:21:50.642$ there's really no data to sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.886910722

 $00:21:50.642 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.590$ of fully make this

NOTE Confidence: 0.812843023636364

 $00:21:51.643 \longrightarrow 00:21:52.370$ recommendation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812843023636364

 $00:21:52.370 \longrightarrow 00:21:53.262$ I often personally don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812843023636364

 $00{:}21{:}53.262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}54.600$ I'm not sure if my colleagues

NOTE Confidence: 0.812843023636364

 $00:21:54.640 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.369$ would answer that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812843023636364

 $00:21:55.370 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.868$ but I never really push for it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812843023636364

 $00:21:56.870 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.075$ But it doesn't bother me

NOTE Confidence: 0.812843023636364

 $00:21:59.075 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.839$ if someone's taking it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812843023636364

 $00:22:00.840 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.066$ And it really needs to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.812843023636364

 $00:22:03.066 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.210$ continued for a few months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812843023636364

 $00:22:05.210 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.890$ At least three to six months

NOTE Confidence: 0.812843023636364

 $00:22:06.890 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.370$ after the iron deficiency has

NOTE Confidence: 0.812843023636364

 $00:22:08.370 \longrightarrow 00:22:09.850$ been corrected in order to

NOTE Confidence: 0.812843023636364

 $00:22:09.912 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.288$ to replenish those stores.

 $00:22:11.290 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.644$ So it takes a few months for it

NOTE Confidence: 0.812843023636364

 $00{:}22{:}13.644 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}15.303$ to be effective and and I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.812843023636364

00:22:15.303 --> 00:22:17.154 just keep that in mind as again

NOTE Confidence: 0.812843023636364

 $00:22:17.154 \longrightarrow 00:22:18.884$ as we make decisions about which

NOTE Confidence: 0.812843023636364

 $00:22:18.884 \longrightarrow 00:22:20.786$ patients might might need to have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812843023636364

00:22:20.790 --> 00:22:23.425 Their anemia improved guicker and

NOTE Confidence: 0.812843023636364

 $00{:}22{:}23.425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}26.560$ as we talked about intravenous iron.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812843023636364

00:22:26.560 --> 00:22:28.256 Also, a lot of patients can't tolerate it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812843023636364

 $00:22:28.260 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.950$ You know more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812843023636364 00:22:28.950 --> 00:22:29.410 You know, NOTE Confidence: 0.812843023636364

 $00{:}22{:}29.410 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}32.438$ somewhere between 30 to 70% of patients have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812843023636364

00:22:32.438 --> 00:22:35.850 you know, usually GI upset.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812843023636364 00:22:35.850 --> 00:22:37.920 As a result, NOTE Confidence: 0.812843023636364

 $00:22:37.920 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.825$ there's a number of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.812843023636364

 $00:22:39.825 \longrightarrow 00:22:41.349$ brands on the available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812843023636364

00:22:41.350 --> 00:22:42.666 Usually do recommend fair

00:22:42.666 --> 00:22:44.640 sulfate because I think it has

NOTE Confidence: 0.812843023636364

00:22:44.705 --> 00:22:46.722 the most data supporting it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812843023636364

 $00:22:46.722 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.102$ and I I personally am weary of

NOTE Confidence: 0.812843023636364

 $00:22:51.102 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.598$ slow release formulations because

NOTE Confidence: 0.812843023636364

00:22:53.598 --> 00:22:56.486 its absorption is passed the

NOTE Confidence: 0.812843023636364

 $00:22:56.486 \longrightarrow 00:22:58.066$ duodenum where iron is absorbed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812843023636364

 $00:22:58.070 \longrightarrow 00:22:59.869$ So I'm personally wary that I'd be

NOTE Confidence: 0.812843023636364

 $00{:}22{:}59.869 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}02.029$ curious with my colleagues say about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812843023636364 00:23:02.030 --> 00:23:04.280 Umm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.812843023636364

00:23:04.280 --> 00:23:04.912 Next slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.812843023636364 00:23:04.912 --> 00:23:05.228 please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807578874444444

 $00:23:08.300 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.484$ We as hematologists offer

NOTE Confidence: 0.807578874444444

 $00{:}23{:}10.484 --> 00{:}23{:}13.214$ a lot of intravenous iron.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807578874444444

 $00{:}23{:}13.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}15.512$ And the patients who I consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.807578874444444

 $00:23:15.512 \longrightarrow 00:23:18.022$ it in are largely those patients

 $00:23:18.022 \longrightarrow 00:23:20.282$ who are either intolerant or

NOTE Confidence: 0.807578874444444

 $00:23:20.282 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.940$ sort of failed oral iron therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807578874444444

 $00:23:22.940 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.060$ Many of our patients also have

NOTE Confidence: 0.807578874444444

 $00:23:26.060 \longrightarrow 00:23:27.620$ malabsorption medical conditions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807578874444444

 $00:23:27.620 \longrightarrow 00:23:29.456$ patients with gastric bypass for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807578874444444

 $00:23:29.460 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.925$ or patients with inflammatory bowel

NOTE Confidence: 0.807578874444444

 $00:23:31.925 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.760$ disease where the utilization of iron

NOTE Confidence: 0.807578874444444

 $00:23:34.760 \longrightarrow 00:23:38.528$ given intravenously is much more efficient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807578874444444

00:23:38.530 --> 00:23:39.175 As I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807578874444444

00:23:39.175 --> 00:23:40.680 it takes a few months for oral

NOTE Confidence: 0.807578874444444

00:23:40.728 --> 00:23:41.708 iron to be effective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807578874444444

 $00:23:41.710 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.236$ so sometimes we need to improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.807578874444444

 $00:23:44.236 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.078$ things quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807578874444444

 $00:23:45.080 \longrightarrow 00:23:46.655$ Maybe someone is going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.807578874444444

 $00:23:46.655 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.480$ have surgery or if someone is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848313987407408

 $00:23:51.360 \longrightarrow 00:23:53.960$ 34 weeks pregnant and and we need to

 $00:23:53.960 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.430$ improve their anemia in a shorter time

NOTE Confidence: 0.848313987407408

 $00{:}23{:}56.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}59.104$ frame and I think intravenous iron is

NOTE Confidence: 0.848313987407408

 $00:23:59.104 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.239$ extremely helpful in those situations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.848313987407408

00:24:01.240 --> 00:24:04.173 It is also common in patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.848313987407408

 $00{:}24{:}04.173 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}06.948$ are with chronic kidney disease on

NOTE Confidence: 0.848313987407408

 $00:24:06.950 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.558$ erythropoietin stimulating agents often

NOTE Confidence: 0.848313987407408

00:24:10.558 --> 00:24:14.660 benefit from intravenous iron. Umm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87935176375

 $00:24:20.710 \longrightarrow 00:24:25.030$ We have ways of calculating the iron deficit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87935176375

 $00:24:25.030 \longrightarrow 00:24:27.520$ That calculation is stated there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87935176375

 $00:24:27.520 \longrightarrow 00:24:30.474$ It usually ends up being somewhere around

NOTE Confidence: 0.87935176375

00:24:30.474 --> 00:24:33.379 1000 milligrams that someone needs repleted,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87935176375

 $00:24:33.380 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.176$ and there's a number of different

NOTE Confidence: 0.87935176375

 $00{:}24{:}36.176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}38.040$ brands that are available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87935176375

 $00:24:38.040 \longrightarrow 00:24:41.578$ They. At the end of the day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87935176375

 $00:24:41.580 \longrightarrow 00:24:45.262$ can I think we choose which brand

00:24:45.262 --> 00:24:51.188 based on patients, insurance and and?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87935176375

 $00:24:51.190 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.422$ Potentially, how many visits

NOTE Confidence: 0.87935176375

 $00:24:52.422 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.270$ it might be to the clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87935176375

 $00:24:54.270 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.590$ Some of them require more

NOTE Confidence: 0.87935176375

 $00:24:56.590 \longrightarrow 00:25:00.210$ more than one visit. Umm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87935176375

 $00:25:00.210 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.178$ There is evolving literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.87935176375

00:25:02.178 --> 00:25:04.846 about the risk of infusion related

NOTE Confidence: 0.87935176375

 $00:25:04.846 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.934$ reactions that can happen with iron.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809997115333333

 $00{:}25{:}09.560 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}25{:}10.744$ Including our own published

NOTE Confidence: 0.809997115333333

 $00:25:10.744 \longrightarrow 00:25:12.520$ data that seems to be maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.809997115333333

 $00{:}25{:}12.576 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}14.296$ relevant to patients blood type,

NOTE Confidence: 0.809997115333333

 $00{:}25{:}14.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}16.756$ but I think it still is quite rare,

NOTE Confidence: 0.809997115333333

 $00:25:16.760 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.020$ maybe maybe somewhere around 1%

NOTE Confidence: 0.809997115333333

 $00:25:19.020 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.470$ of patients have what we call an

NOTE Confidence: 0.809997115333333

 $00:25:21.470 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.546$ infusion related or which is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.809997115333333

 $00:25:23.546 \longrightarrow 00:25:25.256$ sort of allergic type reaction.

 $00:25:25.260 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.559$ But for the most part there's no brand

NOTE Confidence: 0.809997115333333

 $00:25:28.559 \longrightarrow 00:25:31.030$ preference at the end of the day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9083769

00:25:33.720 --> 00:25:34.560 Next slide, please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777776185714286

 $00:25:37.250 \longrightarrow 00:25:39.847$ So who should be sent to hematology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777776185714286

 $00:25:39.850 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.118$ I think patients who who who benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.777776185714286

00:25:42.118 --> 00:25:44.586 from IV iron will always happy to

NOTE Confidence: 0.777776185714286

 $00:25:44.586 \longrightarrow 00:25:47.021$ see those patients and I think if

NOTE Confidence: 0.777776185714286

00:25:47.021 --> 00:25:49.043 the patient is having a history

NOTE Confidence: 0.777776185714286

00:25:49.043 --> 00:25:51.535 with significant bleeding and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.777776185714286

 $00{:}25{:}51.535 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}54.130$ includes a heavy menstrual periods.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777776185714286

 $00:25:54.130 \longrightarrow 00:25:55.910$ Patients who have prolonged menstrual

NOTE Confidence: 0.777776185714286

 $00:25:55.910 \longrightarrow 00:25:57.174$ periods, patients who see clots

NOTE Confidence: 0.777776185714286

 $00{:}25{:}57.174 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}58.304$ of blood during their periods,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777776185714286

 $00:25:58.310 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.714$ patients who say every woman in

NOTE Confidence: 0.777776185714286

00:25:59.714 --> 00:26:01.050 their family had heavy periods,

 $00:26:01.050 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.082$ I think it can be very helpful for

NOTE Confidence: 0.777776185714286

 $00{:}26{:}03.082 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}05.104$ us to make sure those patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.777776185714286

 $00:26:05.104 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.276$ do not have a bleeding disorder.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777776185714286

00:26:07.280 --> 00:26:09.280 Patients who bleed after pregnancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777776185714286

 $00:26:09.280 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.812$ these are patients who are frequently

NOTE Confidence: 0.777776185714286

00:26:11.812 --> 00:26:14.325 missed in their diagnosis and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.777776185714286

 $00:26:14.325 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.666$ patients who are refractory to patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.777776185714286

 $00:26:16.666 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.997$ who have been taking oral iron appropriately.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777776185714286

00:26:19.000 --> 00:26:21.198 So again, I just think back on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777776185714286

 $00:26:21.200 \longrightarrow 00:26:22.558$ are they taking it every other day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777776185714286

 $00:26:22.560 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.504$ are they taking it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777776185714286

 $00:26:23.504 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.920$ are they taking on empty stomach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777776185714286 $00:26:24.920 \longrightarrow 00:26:25.428$ are they,

NOTE Confidence: 0.777776185714286

00:26:25.428 --> 00:26:27.999 are they are they taking it the way we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.777776185714286

 $00:26:27.999 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.906$ recommended for patients that really are or?

NOTE Confidence: 0.777776185714286

00:26:31.910 --> 00:26:33.016 I think it can be helpful for

 $00:26:33.016 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.472$ us to to think outside the box a

NOTE Confidence: 0.777776185714286

 $00:26:34.472 \longrightarrow 00:26:36.133$ little bit as to what the cause of

NOTE Confidence: 0.777776185714286

 $00:26:36.133 \longrightarrow 00:26:37.037$ their iron deficiency is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.62390435

00:26:40.560 --> 00:26:43.048 Good. I'll just pop in one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.62390435

00:26:43.048 --> 00:26:45.030 the questions on Katie Reeve,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819223925

00:26:45.030 --> 00:26:49.179 who's one of our EMG internist in the New

NOTE Confidence: 0.819223925

00:26:49.179 --> 00:26:52.136 London region or the Far East region,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819223925

 $00:26:52.140 \longrightarrow 00:26:53.980$ says other than pill burden,

NOTE Confidence: 0.819223925

 $00:26:53.980 \longrightarrow 00:26:56.014$ is there a downside to long-term

NOTE Confidence: 0.819223925

00:26:56.014 --> 00:26:57.803 iron that people aren't feeling

NOTE Confidence: 0.819223925

 $00:26:57.803 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.668$ side effects are their harms?

NOTE Confidence: 0.736122402

 $00:27:00.660 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.240$ Well because the there's no,

NOTE Confidence: 0.736122402

 $00:27:04.240 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.784$ there's no real way for our the human

NOTE Confidence: 0.736122402

 $00:27:06.784 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.118$ body to get rid of excess iron.

NOTE Confidence: 0.736122402

00:27:09.120 --> 00:27:12.258 I do worry about iron overload

 $00:27:12.260 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.138$ and occasionally I think we do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.736122402

 $00:27:14.140 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.780$ We do see patients who start to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.736122402

 $00{:}27{:}17.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}20.025$ high duration and high ferritin from

NOTE Confidence: 0.736122402

 $00:27:20.025 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.219$ being on you know a long standing iron.

NOTE Confidence: 0.736122402

 $00:27:23.220 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.289$ So I I do think, I do think.

NOTE Confidence: 0.736122402

 $00:27:26.290 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.532$ It really should just be done

NOTE Confidence: 0.736122402

 $00:27:27.532 \longrightarrow 00:27:29.118$ for a fine out amount of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.694814606666667

00:27:30.430 --> 00:27:33.118 Alright. And Doctor Zarko Power just

NOTE Confidence: 0.694814606666667

 $00{:}27{:}33.118 \to 00{:}27{:}36.270$ points out that you know chronic blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.694814606666667

 $00:27:36.270 \longrightarrow 00:27:38.520$ loss especially angiodysplasia and other

NOTE Confidence: 0.694814606666667

 $00{:}27{:}38.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}41.332$ GI issues on seems to be really common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.694814606666667

 $00:27:41.332 \longrightarrow 00:27:43.264$ And I'll add one more question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.694814606666667

00:27:43.270 --> 00:27:45.640 Sometimes we use the platelet

NOTE Confidence: 0.694814606666667

 $00:27:45.640 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.384$ count as a kind of approximator

NOTE Confidence: 0.694814606666667

 $00:27:48.384 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.628$ of how acute the bleeding is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.694814606666667

 $00:27:50.630 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.326$ Is there any truth to that that people

 $00:27:52.326 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.007$ who have a high platelet count with

NOTE Confidence: 0.694814606666667

 $00{:}27{:}54.007 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}55.880$ their iron deficiency are more likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.694814606666667

 $00:27:55.880 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.300$ actively bleeding than than not?

NOTE Confidence: 0.963651486

 $00:28:01.780 \longrightarrow 00:28:04.100$ I'm not aware of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963651486

 $00:28:04.100 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.395$ I don't know the answer to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963651486

 $00{:}28{:}05.400 --> 00{:}28{:}08.640$ I I feel like we see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963651486

 $00:28:08.640 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.755$ And I actually think so when I did some

NOTE Confidence: 0.963651486

 $00{:}28{:}10.755 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}13.131$ of the research that that that the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.963651486

 $00{:}28{:}13.131 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}15.731$ platelet count is is often high in iron

NOTE Confidence: 0.963651486

 $00:28:15.731 \longrightarrow 00:28:17.381$ deficiency through its own mechanism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963651486

 $00{:}28{:}17.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}19.595$ So I but I I don't know how bleeding

NOTE Confidence: 0.963651486

 $00:28:19.595 \longrightarrow 00:28:21.324$ offsets that's why I actually just

NOTE Confidence: 0.963651486

 $00{:}28{:}21.324 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}23.590$ don't know the answer to that question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963651486

 $00{:}28{:}23.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}26.054$ I don't know I'll defer to my colleague

NOTE Confidence: 0.963651486

 $00:28:26.054 \longrightarrow 00:28:27.938$ someone else has a nose of more than

00:28:27.938 --> 00:28:30.347 I do but I and I but I absolutely

NOTE Confidence: 0.963651486

 $00{:}28{:}30.347 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}32.097$ agree with interact with ours about

NOTE Confidence: 0.963651486

 $00:28:32.097 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.753$ Andrew dysplasia and and we do have

NOTE Confidence: 0.963651486

 $00:28:33.753 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.316$ some patients I think that are kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.963651486

 $00:28:35.316 \longrightarrow 00:28:36.860$ of chronic leaders and and for those

NOTE Confidence: 0.963651486

00:28:36.860 --> 00:28:38.832 patients I think yes they could stay on iron.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963651486

 $00:28:38.832 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.137$ As long as you're someone's

NOTE Confidence: 0.963651486

00:28:40.137 --> 00:28:41.660 like tracking it and measuring.

NOTE Confidence: 0.963651486

 $00:28:42.660 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.080$ OK. But.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89384889

 $00:28:47.620 \longrightarrow 00:28:49.750$ So I'll, I'll take this back.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89384889

00:28:49.750 --> 00:28:51.850 Thank you, Kelsey, very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89384889

 $00:28:51.850 \longrightarrow 00:28:54.020$ And even though I prepared these cases

NOTE Confidence: 0.89384889

00:28:54.020 --> 00:28:56.207 and knew what you're going to say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89384889

 $00{:}28{:}56.210 \to 00{:}28{:}59.010$ I still learned three things just now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89384889

00:28:59.010 --> 00:29:03.938 So thank you. So this woman did have

NOTE Confidence: 0.89384889

 $00:29:03.938 \longrightarrow 00:29:06.870$ manraja on more directed questioning

 $00:29:06.870 \longrightarrow 00:29:09.470$ and ultrasound that showed polyps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89384889

 $00:29:09.470 \longrightarrow 00:29:12.150$ She had a GYN who took her surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89384889

 $00:29:12.150 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.910$ She did well after surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89384889

 $00:29:14.910 \longrightarrow 00:29:17.412$ And she's had a normal hemoglobin

NOTE Confidence: 0.89384889

 $00:29:17.412 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.051$ postop and and since and still

NOTE Confidence: 0.89384889

 $00{:}29{:}20.051 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}22.715$ without any symptoms which is great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89384889

 $00:29:22.720 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.765$ So and I think she had just

NOTE Confidence: 0.89384889

00:29:25.765 --> 00:29:29.830 oral iron I believe in the end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89384889

 $00{:}29{:}29.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}33.778$ Thank you. I think we answered.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89384889

 $00:29:33.780 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.334$ Yes, I think we answered all

NOTE Confidence: 0.89384889

 $00:29:35.334 \longrightarrow 00:29:36.900$ of our questions for case one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89384889

 $00:29:36.900 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.680$ So I'm going to move us through to case two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89384889

 $00:29:40.680 \longrightarrow 00:29:43.389$ So SN is another patient of mine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89384889

 $00:29:43.390 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.266$ 76 year old gentleman a little older,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89384889

00:29:45.270 --> 00:29:47.300 a little bit thicker coronary artery disease,

00:29:47.300 --> 00:29:52.546 prefer all disease Tia, stroke, COPD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89384889

 $00{:}29{:}52.546 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>}\ 00{:}29{:}57.876$ chronic kidney disease stage 3.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89384889

 $00{:}29{:}57.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}01.040$ Which is not in there but that's what

NOTE Confidence: 0.89384889

 $00:30:01.040 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.560$ he has who came in with the subacute

NOTE Confidence: 0.89384889

 $00:30:04.560 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.727$ of one to two-month history of chronic

NOTE Confidence: 0.89384889

 $00:30:07.727 \longrightarrow 00:30:10.541$ dyspnea on exertion that over that

NOTE Confidence: 0.89384889

 $00:30:10.541 \longrightarrow 00:30:13.518$ time period has been getting worse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89384889

 $00{:}30{:}13.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}17.378$ Here's his most recent blood work

NOTE Confidence: 0.89384889

 $00:30:17.380 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.571$ and I was calculating his GFR by

NOTE Confidence: 0.89384889

00:30:19.571 --> 00:30:21.978 memory but I might have overshot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89384889

00:30:21.980 --> 00:30:25.326 But you can see he is an emic

NOTE Confidence: 0.89384889

 $00{:}30{:}25.326 \to 00{:}30{:}27.634$ hemoglobin of nine his ferritin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89384889

00:30:27.634 --> 00:30:32.317 Was in the normal range of B12 in the upper

NOTE Confidence: 0.89384889

 $00{:}30{:}32.317 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}36.146$ normal range and his platelets were normal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89384889

00:30:36.150 --> 00:30:37.938 his MCV normal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840800659230769

 $00:30:40.380 \longrightarrow 00:30:43.719$ We'll go and through the next slide

 $00:30:43.719 \longrightarrow 00:30:46.939$ for a little bit more history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840800659230769

 $00{:}30{:}46.940 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}49.640$ We did a a fit card that was negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.840800659230769

 $00:30:49.640 \longrightarrow 00:30:51.410$ because of his comorbidities.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840800659230769

00:30:51.410 --> 00:30:54.386 Um, he was probably just about due for

NOTE Confidence: 0.840800659230769

 $00:30:54.386 \longrightarrow 00:30:56.643$ a colonoscopy now and the decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.840800659230769

 $00:30:56.643 \longrightarrow 00:30:59.370$ really wasn't to do unless we had to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840800659230769

 $00:30:59.370 \longrightarrow 00:31:01.836$ But his colonoscopy exactly 10 years

NOTE Confidence: 0.840800659230769

 $00:31:01.836 \longrightarrow 00:31:04.670$ ago was essentially normal as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.840800659230769

 $00:31:04.670 \longrightarrow 00:31:07.360$ diverticulosis and and internal hemorrhoids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.840800659230769

 $00:31:07.360 \longrightarrow 00:31:10.104$ So I'm going to pass it on to

NOTE Confidence: 0.840800659230769

 $00:31:10.110 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.360$ Bob Bona and the questions first

NOTE Confidence: 0.840800659230769

 $00:31:13.360 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.816$ would be what other testing would

NOTE Confidence: 0.840800659230769

 $00{:}31{:}15.816 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}18.100$ you recommend in this case? Thank

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

00:31:18.110 --> 00:31:19.499 you. Thanks, Frank.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

00:31:19.499 --> 00:31:21.814 And just to echo Kelsey,

00:31:21.820 --> 00:31:23.878 I appreciate the opportunity to be here

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:31:23.878 \longrightarrow 00:31:26.160$ this evening to speaking with all of you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

00:31:26.160 --> 00:31:28.188 it's a it's a real pleasure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:31:28.190 \longrightarrow 00:31:29.670$ And so just to recap,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:31:29.670 \longrightarrow 00:31:32.560$ this is a a man in his 70s who has

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00{:}31{:}32.651 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}35.765$ multiple medical issues who now has

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:31:35.765 \longrightarrow 00:31:38.460$ some symptoms of dyspnea and has what

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00{:}31{:}38.546 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}41.626$ I would characterize in many of us

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:31:41.626 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.449$ would characterize as a moderate anemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:31:44.450 \longrightarrow 00:31:46.270$ And I think what other

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00{:}31{:}46.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}47.726$ tests would you recommend?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:31:47.730 \longrightarrow 00:31:51.194$ I think it's always helpful to know what

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:31:51.194 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.107$ the previous CBC values are certainly

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

00:31:54.110 --> 00:31:56.546 is this anemia developed rather quickly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:31:56.550 \longrightarrow 00:31:58.090$ has it been present for

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:31:58.090 \longrightarrow 00:31:59.630$ many years or many months,

 $00:31:59.630 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.274$ in which case the dyspnea

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:32:01.274 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.228$ may not be related to the anemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

00:32:03.230 --> 00:32:05.882 So having those values is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:32:05.882 \longrightarrow 00:32:08.767$ very helpful and also keeping in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:32:08.767 \longrightarrow 00:32:11.347$ mind that individuals who develop

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

00:32:11.347 --> 00:32:14.369 anemia slowly have a great capacity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:32:14.370 \longrightarrow 00:32:17.210$ To to to compensate for that and may

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00{:}32{:}17.210 \to 00{:}32{:}20.147$ and may or may not have symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:32:20.147 \longrightarrow 00:32:22.287$ until they get quite anemic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:32:22.290 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.225$ The Reticulocyte count is really

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:32:24.225 \longrightarrow 00:32:26.160$ a must in this situation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00{:}32{:}26.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}28.183$ I think where we're looking at in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00{:}32{:}28.183 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}30.419$ anemia where it's not so straightforward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00{:}32{:}30.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}32.716$ And then a peripheral blood smear I

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:32:32.716 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.000$ think is always a very reasonable

00:32:35.000 --> 00:32:37.466 thing to request from our pathology

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00{:}32{:}37.466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}39.799$ colleagues to get any clues about what

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:32:39.799 \dashrightarrow 00:32:43.708$ this anemia could be could be due to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:32:43.710 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.627$ The the maybe there I'm going to come to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:32:45.627 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.629$ as we go through this case if I could.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:32:47.630 \longrightarrow 00:32:50.786$ So if if you could please

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:32:50.786 \longrightarrow 00:32:52.890$ just advance the slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

00:32:52.890 --> 00:32:55.130 So I just want to spend a minute

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

00:32:55.130 --> 00:32:56.700 talking about reticulocytes if I

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:32:56.700 \longrightarrow 00:32:58.912$ can because I think there's a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00{:}32{:}58.980 \longrightarrow 00{:}33{:}00.990$ of confusion about how these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:33:00.990 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.126$ reported and how these are interpreted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00{:}33{:}03.126 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}06.310$ So most of us know that these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:33:06.398 \longrightarrow 00:33:08.868$ have been reported as percents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:33:08.870 \longrightarrow 00:33:10.435$ reticular site percent and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00{:}33{:}10.435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}12.418$ where we've been taught to calculate

00:33:12.418 --> 00:33:14.218 a reticular site production index

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:33:14.218 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.298$ or a curriculum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:33:15.300 \longrightarrow 00:33:16.608$ Corrected reticulocyte count.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:33:16.608 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.600$ And then look at that number and to determine

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:33:20.600 \longrightarrow 00:33:23.186$ if the anemia is hypo proliferative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:33:23.190 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.714$ That is from the point of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:33:24.714 \longrightarrow 00:33:26.150$ view of the blood smear,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00{:}33{:}26.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}29.155$ bone marrow not producing blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00{:}33{:}29.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}30.958$ cells or hyperproliferative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

00:33:30.960 --> 00:33:33.464 Again, if you're standing out in the blood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00{:}33{:}33.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}35.990$ the bone marrow producing a lot of blood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:33:35.990 \longrightarrow 00:33:37.080$ a lot of blood cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00{:}33{:}37.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}38.440$ the bone marrow are producing

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:33:38.440 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.800$ a lot of blood cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:33:39.800 \longrightarrow 00:33:41.295$ and I personally find that

 $00:33:41.295 \longrightarrow 00:33:42.790$ many of us do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00{:}33{:}42.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}44.635$ The absolute reticulocyte count is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:33:44.635 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.608$ probably the best way to think about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

00:33:47.610 --> 00:33:48.954 And just a moment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:33:48.954 \longrightarrow 00:33:50.970$ just a a word about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:33:50.970 \longrightarrow 00:33:53.546$ So if the normal red count is 5

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

00:33:53.546 --> 00:33:55.790 * 10 to the six per microliter

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:33:55.790 \longrightarrow 00:33:57.760$ of 5,000,000 per microliter.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

00:33:57.760 --> 00:34:01.060 And the red blood cell survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:34:01.060 \longrightarrow 00:34:03.080$ is 100 days or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00{:}34{:}03.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}05.837$ We therefore replace about 1% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

00:34:05.837 --> 00:34:07.859 our red blood cells every day.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

00:34:07.860 --> 00:34:12.412 So our reticulocyte count is 1% * 5

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:34:12.412 \longrightarrow 00:34:16.400 * 10$ to the 6th, 1% of 5,000,000,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:34:16.400 \longrightarrow 00:34:18.140$ and that's 50,000.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:34:18.140 \longrightarrow 00:34:21.977$ And sometimes this is reported as 50,000.

00:34:21.977 --> 00:34:24.196 Sometimes in the Yale lab it's reported

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:34:24.196 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.718$ as a number of times 10 to the 6th.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85454854

 $00:34:26.720 \longrightarrow 00:34:28.586$ So it comes out to point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:34:28.590 \longrightarrow 00:34:31.814$ 05 and I and I think again understanding

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:34:31.814 \longrightarrow 00:34:34.448$ how that's reported is important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:34:34.450 \longrightarrow 00:34:37.590$ And so if a person has an anemia and has a

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

00:34:37.590 --> 00:34:40.098 reticulocyte count of 50 or 60 or 70,000,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:34:40.098 \longrightarrow 00:34:42.814$ they are under producing red blood cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:34:42.814 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.739$ and the bone and the bone marrow is

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00{:}34{:}45.739 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}48.238$ not able to compensate for the anemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:34:48.240 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.759$ And on the other hand, if the articular

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:34:50.759 \longrightarrow 00:34:52.921$ side can is 150,000 for instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00{:}34{:}52.921 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}54.756$ that suggests that the bone

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

00:34:54.756 --> 00:34:57.237 marrow is producing a lot of red

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:34:57.237 \longrightarrow 00:34:58.917$ blood cells despite the anemia.

 $00:34:58.920 \longrightarrow 00:35:00.545$ And this is critically important

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:35:00.545 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.836$ because there are only a couple of

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:35:02.836 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.648$ things that give an anemia with

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:35:04.648 \longrightarrow 00:35:06.399$ an elevated reticulocyte count.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:35:06.400 \longrightarrow 00:35:08.896$ And one of those is of course hemolysis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

00:35:08.900 --> 00:35:11.660 With an adequate bone marrow response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

00:35:11.660 --> 00:35:13.858 you can have homolysis and not have

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:35:13.858 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.469$ an elevated reticular site count.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:35:15.470 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.358$ So if you have iron deficiency for instance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:35:18.360 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.430$ Plus hemolysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:35:19.430 \longrightarrow 00:35:22.105$ the bone marrow can't respond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:35:22.110 \longrightarrow 00:35:24.182$ The other thing that will give an

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:35:24.182 \longrightarrow 00:35:25.681$ increased reticulocyte count is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:35:25.681 \dashrightarrow 00:35:27.648$ there's some recovery from an anemic process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:35:27.650 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.252$ So someone's had a bleed and

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00{:}35{:}29.252 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}31.332$ you're seeing them a week or two

 $00:35:31.332 \longrightarrow 00:35:32.608$ later and they're recovering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:35:32.610 \longrightarrow 00:35:34.866$ Or as in the previous case

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

00:35:34.866 --> 00:35:36.370 that Kelsey discussed you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:35:36.370 \longrightarrow 00:35:37.900$ you're giving someone iron and

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:35:37.900 \longrightarrow 00:35:39.430$ their anemia is getting better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:35:39.430 \longrightarrow 00:35:40.720$ And in those cases, again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:35:40.720 \longrightarrow 00:35:43.758$ you'd expect the reticulocyte count to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

00:35:43.758 --> 00:35:47.090 increased as the bone marrow is recovering.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

00:35:47.090 --> 00:35:48.476 And just as a quick reminder,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923 00:35:48.480 --> 00:35:48.775 those. NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00{:}35{:}48.775 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}50.250$ Particular sites are the bigger,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00{:}35{:}50.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}52.145$ bluer cells on the peripheral

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00{:}35{:}52.145 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}54.500$ blood smear indicated by the arrow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923 00:35:54.500 --> 00:35:55.922 So for me, NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:35:55.922 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.292$ absolute reticulocyte count is a

 $00:35:58.292 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.038$ very important number that I look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00{:}36{:}01.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}04.820$ to try to help decipher the anemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:36:04.820 \longrightarrow 00:36:06.724$ And then if, yeah, if we could move.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923 00:36:06.730 --> 00:36:08.500 Thank you. NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:36:08.500 \longrightarrow 00:36:11.615$ So the blood smear is also very

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:36:11.615 \longrightarrow 00:36:15.525$ important and especially if there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:36:15.525 \longrightarrow 00:36:18.297$ some characteristic abnormalities described.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:36:18.300 \longrightarrow 00:36:21.079$ So for instance, if there are teardrop

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00{:}36{:}21.079 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}24.377$ cells noted on the peripheral blood smear,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:36:24.380 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.105$ we're often thinking of myelofibrosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:36:27.105 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.195$ or myelopoiesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

00:36:28.200 --> 00:36:29.193 Myelopoiesis, of course,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:36:29.193 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.848$ is where there's something invading

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:36:30.848 \longrightarrow 00:36:31.900$ the bone marrow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:36:31.900 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.376$ That could be cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:36:33.376 \longrightarrow 00:36:35.590$ It could be infection like tuberculosis.

 $00:36:35.590 \longrightarrow 00:36:38.230$ It could be granulomas with sarcoid

NOTE Confidence: 0.76039556307692300:36:38.230 --> 00:36:39.110 for instance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:36:39.110 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.246$ So the presence of teardrops is helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

00:36:42.250 --> 00:36:44.455 Burr cells are often seen in uremia

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00{:}36{:}44.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}46.684$ spur cells and liver disease target

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:36:46.684 \longrightarrow 00:36:48.587$ cells and liver disease, etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

00:36:48.587 --> 00:36:50.526 So I won't go through the list,

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:36:50.530 \longrightarrow 00:36:52.861$ but these things you know can really

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00{:}36{:}52.861 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}56.115$ help us a lot and give us clues as to

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:36:56.115 \longrightarrow 00:36:58.649$ why the patient is developing anemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:36:58.650 \longrightarrow 00:37:00.715$ And we would either look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00{:}37{:}00.715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}02.735$ smear in clinic ourselves or ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00{:}37{:}02.735 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}04.520$ our pathology colleagues to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:37:04.520 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.746$ at this and then give a formal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.760395563076923

 $00:37:06.750 \longrightarrow 00:37:08.830$ Report in the chart.

00:37:11.350 --> 00:37:16.430 Thank you. And so back to this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:37:16.430 \dashrightarrow 00:37:19.006$ I think represents one of the harder

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:37:19.006 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.097$ cases of anemia for me as a practicing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00{:}37{:}22.097 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}24.007$ hematologist because you have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:37:24.086 \longrightarrow 00:37:26.211$ patient who has multiple medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

00:37:26.211 --> 00:37:28.597 problems who has a moderate anemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:37:28.597 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.139$ one that we can't just say

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:37:30.139 \longrightarrow 00:37:31.729$ is just a tiny bit off.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:37:31.730 \longrightarrow 00:37:34.136$ You know, there's something going on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:37:34.136 \longrightarrow 00:37:36.530$ here with the hemoglobin of 9 grams.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00{:}37{:}36.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}39.010$ And and it's normal chromic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

00:37:39.010 --> 00:37:40.945 and presumably it's, sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:37:40.945 \longrightarrow 00:37:42.725$ it's Norma acidic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:37:42.725 \longrightarrow 00:37:43.615$ presumably normochromic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:37:43.620 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.244$ And I'm going to assume here that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:37:46.244 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.136$ reticulocyte count is low in this case.

 $00:37:48.140 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.344$ So these are hard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:37:50.344 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.472$ hard anemias to decipher because there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00{:}37{:}53.472 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}56.076$ are many things that can cause the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:37:56.076 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.976$ anemia and there are and and likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00{:}37{:}58.976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}01.336$ multifactorial causes of the anemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:38:01.340 \longrightarrow 00:38:02.453$ And at the end of the day

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:38:02.453 \longrightarrow 00:38:03.779$ when I see someone like this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:38:03.780 \longrightarrow 00:38:05.442$ the question that's in my mind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:38:05.442 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.550$ is do they need.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:38:06.550 \longrightarrow 00:38:07.462$ Bone marrow biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00{:}38{:}07.462 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}09.590$ do we need to suggest a bone

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

00:38:09.656 --> 00:38:11.240 marrow aspiration biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00{:}38{:}11.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}14.240$ determine the cause of the anemia?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:38:14.240 \longrightarrow 00:38:16.460$ And on the left there is just kind of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:38:16.523 \longrightarrow 00:38:18.518$ broad overview of the classifications

00:38:18.518 --> 00:38:20.568 for anemia, bone marrow failure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

00:38:20.568 --> 00:38:21.816 bone marrow replacement,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

00:38:21.820 --> 00:38:24.988 nutritional or hormone deficiency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:38:24.988 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.780$ etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:38:25.780 \longrightarrow 00:38:28.420$ And then on the right is kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:38:28.420 \longrightarrow 00:38:30.303$ the thinking that I will go through

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:38:30.303 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.338$ when I see a patient like this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:38:32.340 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.700$ So is this anemia urgent and we do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:38:34.700 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.650$ we needs to do something today.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:38:36.650 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.528$ Tomorrow. So is it new and severe?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00{:}38{:}40.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}42.380$ Is the patient significantly symptomatic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:38:42.380 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.030$ where they might need an intervention,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:38:45.030 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.740$ for instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:38:45.740 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.225$ like a blood transfusion from the anemia?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:38:48.230 \longrightarrow 00:38:49.770$ We don't usually expect that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:38:49.770 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.310$ with the hemoglobin of nine,

00:38:51.310 --> 00:38:53.277 but if someone had a hemoglobin of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:38:53.277 \longrightarrow 00:38:55.228$ 14 yesterday and they're nine today,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

00:38:55.230 --> 00:38:57.841 they are going to be symptomatic and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:38:57.841 \longrightarrow 00:39:00.798$ will likely need some urgent intervention.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:39:00.800 \longrightarrow 00:39:03.096$ And so the history is quite important

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:39:03.096 \longrightarrow 00:39:05.565$ here to help us understand that in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:39:05.565 \longrightarrow 00:39:08.220$ terms of the development of this anemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:39:08.220 \longrightarrow 00:39:09.606$ And then the other thing to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:39:09.606 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.005$ about is there some other process

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:39:11.005 \longrightarrow 00:39:12.517$ that's life threatening going on here

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:39:12.517 \longrightarrow 00:39:14.138$ that we need to deal with right away?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:39:14.140 \longrightarrow 00:39:16.080$ Is this TTP, for instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:39:16.080 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.340$ so are there just a sites on the blood smear?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:39:18.340 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.620$ Is there thrombocytopenia as well?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:39:20.620 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.937$ Are there myeloblasts on the blood smear?

 $00:39:22.940 \longrightarrow 00:39:25.054$ So this may be an acute leukemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:39:25.060 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.722$ So those are kind of things that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:39:27.722 \longrightarrow 00:39:30.256$ often need to think about right away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:39:30.260 \longrightarrow 00:39:32.745$ Because those patients really need to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

00:39:32.745 --> 00:39:35.398 seen right away and triaged differently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:39:35.400 \longrightarrow 00:39:38.040$ If those things are not present,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:39:38.040 \longrightarrow 00:39:40.160$ so I'm thinking about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:39:40.160 \longrightarrow 00:39:42.212$ could this be bone marrow invasion

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:39:42.212 \longrightarrow 00:39:43.580$ with cancer for instance?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:39:43.580 \longrightarrow 00:39:45.560$ And so a good history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

00:39:45.560 --> 00:39:47.438 a good physical exam are really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:39:47.440 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.445$ really important here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:39:48.445 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.120$ Has there been weight loss,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:39:50.120 \longrightarrow 00:39:53.354$ other sweats, fevers, is there a mass,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:39:53.360 \longrightarrow 00:39:55.538$ is there a history of cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:39:55.540 \longrightarrow 00:39:58.108$ Is there frequent urination with with

 $00:39:58.108 \longrightarrow 00:40:00.384$ prostate enlargement and a possibility

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

00:40:00.384 --> 00:40:02.839 of prostate cancer for instance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:40:02.840 \longrightarrow 00:40:05.018$ because prostate cancer and bone marrow.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:40:05.020 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.368$ Invasion is not uncommon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:40:08.370 \longrightarrow 00:40:10.090$ I always will think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

00:40:10.090 --> 00:40:11.810 multiple myeloma in this setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:40:11.810 \longrightarrow 00:40:13.865$ So a normochromic anemia in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488761725

 $00:40:13.865 \longrightarrow 00:40:15.920$ an older individual I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:40:16.001 \longrightarrow 00:40:19.067$ who also has some chronic kidney disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00{:}40{:}19.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}21.638$ We we need to make sure we're not

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:40:21.638 \longrightarrow 00:40:23.631$ missing multiple myeloma and it often

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00{:}40{:}23.631 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}26.086$ I will get protein studies in these

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00{:}40{:}26.086 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}28.396$ individuals and those will include

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00{:}40{:}28.396 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}30.850$ a serum protein electrophoresis and

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:40:30.850 \longrightarrow 00:40:33.250$ immunofixation electrophoresis and serum

 $00:40:33.250 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.406$ free light chains because about 20%

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:40:36.406 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.666$ of individuals with multiple myeloma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00{:}40{:}38.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}42.912$ Will not have an M spike on their serum

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:40:42.912 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.772$ protein electrophoresis and the serum

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

00:40:45.772 --> 00:40:49.189 free light chains will be abnormal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

00:40:49.190 --> 00:40:51.675 I'm often thinking about in

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

00:40:51.675 --> 00:40:53.166 chronic inflammation here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00{:}40{:}53.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}55.326$ There are a number of disorders this

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:40:55.326 \longrightarrow 00:40:57.889$ patient has that cause chronic inflammation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:40:57.890 \longrightarrow 00:40:59.815$ So I might be thinking about a

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

00:40:59.815 --> 00:41:00.970 SED rate or CRP,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:41:00.970 \longrightarrow 00:41:02.720$ or I might think that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

00:41:02.720 --> 00:41:04.120 superfluous at this point,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:41:04.120 \longrightarrow 00:41:06.046$ that the patient does have chronic

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:41:06.046 \longrightarrow 00:41:07.626$ inflammation and I don't really

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00{:}41{:}07.626 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}09.024$ need to get a SED rate.

 $00:41:09.030 \longrightarrow 00:41:11.254$ But one of the things that I'm also

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:41:11.254 \longrightarrow 00:41:13.090$ thinking about is temporal arteritis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

00:41:13.090 --> 00:41:16.130 And in my history I'm asking about headaches,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

00:41:16.130 --> 00:41:18.664 I'm asking about weakness in the shoulders,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:41:18.670 \longrightarrow 00:41:20.440$ and I'm pressing on the temporal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:41:20.440 \longrightarrow 00:41:22.588$ Arteries when I examine a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:41:22.588 \longrightarrow 00:41:24.432$ like this cause another diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:41:24.432 \longrightarrow 00:41:26.472$ that you certainly don't want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:41:26.472 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.939$ miss and is a common diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:41:28.940 \longrightarrow 00:41:31.028$ And even though this anemia is

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

00:41:31.028 --> 00:41:32.880 not microcytic or macrocytic with

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:41:32.880 \longrightarrow 00:41:35.280$ the way we usually think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

00:41:35.280 --> 00:41:36.080 nutritional deficiencies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:41:36.080 \longrightarrow 00:41:38.843$ I am going also going to think about a

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

00:41:38.843 --> 00:41:40.832 nutritional deficiency here as combined

 $00:41:40.832 \longrightarrow 00:41:43.262$ with anemia of chronic inflammation or

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:41:43.327 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.597$ as a possible multifactorial process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

00:41:45.600 --> 00:41:47.896 So even though this is not normal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:41:47.900 \longrightarrow 00:41:49.696$ not microcytic or macrocytic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:41:49.696 \longrightarrow 00:41:52.390$ I certainly will worry about this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

00:41:52.390 --> 00:41:54.988 Anemia of chronic inflammation is also

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00{:}41{:}54.988 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}57.677$ something we would think about and if

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00{:}41{:}57.677 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}00.300$ you could go to the next slide please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:42:00.300 \longrightarrow 00:42:02.260$ So this person does have stage 3

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

00:42:02.260 --> 00:42:04.364 chronic kidney disease and about

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00{:}42{:}04.364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}06.776$ 17% of patients with chronic kidney

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:42:06.776 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.220$ disease stage three will have anemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:42:09.220 \longrightarrow 00:42:10.520$ And the next slide please,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:42:10.520 \longrightarrow 00:42:13.999$ a very important slide here because I

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:42:13.999 \longrightarrow 00:42:17.000$ think this slide demonstrates to us

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:42:17.000 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.660$ that if you have a ferritin that is.

 $00:42:20.660 \longrightarrow 00:42:23.481$ 200 or less with an iron saturation

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00{:}42{:}23.481 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}24.934$ of 20% or less,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

00:42:24.934 --> 00:42:27.188 you can still have iron deficiency if

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

00:42:27.188 --> 00:42:29.451 you have chronic kidney disease and

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:42:29.451 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.296$ the ferritin might even be as high as

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

00:42:32.296 --> 00:42:35.020 500 if you have more advanced kidney disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:42:35.020 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.620$ And then so the final slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:42:38.620 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.126$ Is that what I would do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

00:42:40.130 --> 00:42:42.790 I would certainly do the things we

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:42:42.790 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.821$ talked about the previous red cell CBC

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:42:45.821 \longrightarrow 00:42:48.545$ values or ticad peripheral blood count.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:42:48.550 \longrightarrow 00:42:51.196$ I would probably give this person oral

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00{:}42{:}51.196 \to 00{:}42{:}53.925$ iron and see what happens with their

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:42:53.925 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.552$ anemia before I went off on a on a a

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

00:42:57.552 --> 00:42:59.763 workup that included a bone marrow biopsy.

00:42:59.763 --> 00:43:01.814 I think if this person didn't get

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:43:01.814 \longrightarrow 00:43:03.949$ better with oral iron or had monoclonal

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:43:03.949 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.140$ proteins in their blood or there was

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:43:06.140 \longrightarrow 00:43:08.048$ some other reason to suspect cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:43:08.050 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.960$ I would refer this patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:43:09.960 \longrightarrow 00:43:10.784$ To hematology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:43:10.784 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.668$ So I would hope that this patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:43:13.668 \longrightarrow 00:43:15.878$ gets better with with iron,

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:43:15.880 \longrightarrow 00:43:18.544$ but otherwise I think I would refer this

NOTE Confidence: 0.818529248823529

 $00:43:18.544 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.328$ patient for an evaluation by a hematologist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050948

 $00{:}43{:}23.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}26.116$ Great, thank. Thank you very, very much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050948

00:43:26.116 --> 00:43:29.380 I had one questions on the retic count,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050948

 $00{:}43{:}29.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}31.828$ I would do more and then we'll move to

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050948

 $00:43:31.828 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.624$ the next case just so we can stay on time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050948

00:43:34.630 --> 00:43:37.550 You know one of those hallmarks of teaching

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050948

 $00:43:37.550 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.077$ and residency that I I still remember is.

00:43:40.080 --> 00:43:42.152 Uh if you have someone with who

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050948

 $00{:}43{:}42.152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}43.788$ might have iron deficiency anemia

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050948

 $00:43:43.788 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.182$ and you give them iron and the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050948

00:43:46.190 --> 00:43:48.640 you know the first thing that might

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050948

 $00:43:48.640 \longrightarrow 00:43:50.756$ improve before their hemoglobin is the

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050948

00:43:50.756 --> 00:43:53.080 retic count to know that if they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050948

 $00:43:53.080 \longrightarrow 00:43:54.991$ responding and just would just sort of

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050948

 $00:43:54.991 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.782$ ask if that's still common teaching

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050948

 $00{:}43{:}56.782 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}58.917$ and and something that we can follow

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050948

 $00{:}43{:}58.969 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}01.020$ because we'll see someone in two weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050948

00:44:01.020 --> 00:44:02.684 Let's say we put them on iron and

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050948

 $00:44:02.684 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.436$ if we hadn't had the retic before

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050948

 $00:44:04.436 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.716$ but check it now it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050948

 $00{:}44{:}05.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}07.673$ would it still be helpful to know

NOTE Confidence: 0.9050948

 $00:44:07.673 \longrightarrow 00:44:09.629$ that maybe we're on the right track?

 $00:44:10.150 \longrightarrow 00:44:11.137$ Yeah, absolutely, frank.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773121231538462

 $00:44:11.137 \longrightarrow 00:44:12.453$ The reticulocyte count should

NOTE Confidence: 0.773121231538462

 $00:44:12.453 \longrightarrow 00:44:14.328$ be the first thing to respond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773121231538462

 $00:44:14.330 \longrightarrow 00:44:17.282$ And and now we get some

NOTE Confidence: 0.773121231538462

 $00:44:17.282 \longrightarrow 00:44:18.764$ additional fancier tests that

NOTE Confidence: 0.773121231538462

 $00:44:18.764 \longrightarrow 00:44:19.999$ you may see sometimes there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773121231538462

00:44:20.000 --> 00:44:20.962 articulus reticulocyte,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773121231538462

 $00:44:20.962 \longrightarrow 00:44:21.924$ hemoglobin content.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773121231538462

00:44:21.924 --> 00:44:24.810 So that's just what it is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.773121231538462

 $00:44:24.810 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.245$ the amount of hemoglobin in

NOTE Confidence: 0.773121231538462

 $00{:}44{:}26.245 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}27.680$ particular sites and that often

NOTE Confidence: 0.773121231538462

 $00:44:27.732 \longrightarrow 00:44:29.217$ will respond even before the

NOTE Confidence: 0.773121231538462

00:44:29.217 --> 00:44:30.405 reticular site count does.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9240245

 $00{:}44{:}31.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}35.610$ OK. All right. Thank you. Ohh.

NOTE Confidence: 0.9240245

 $00:44:35.610 \longrightarrow 00:44:37.010$ All right. And and we do have one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.9240245

 $00{:}44{:}37.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}40.384$ it totally falls into this question here.

 $00:44:40.390 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.442$ How quickly do we expect to see a rise

NOTE Confidence: 0.9240245

 $00:44:42.442 \longrightarrow 00:44:44.737$ in the hemoglobin with iron supplement?

NOTE Confidence: 0.905643552857143

 $00{:}44{:}46.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}48.544$ I'll tell you what I remember is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905643552857143

00:44:48.550 --> 00:44:52.760 um, if they're appropriately dosed,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905643552857143

 $00:44:52.760 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.810$ it's usually 1 gram and three to four weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.905643552857143

00:44:56.810 --> 00:44:58.646 But I got 3 experts here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.905643552857143

 $00:44:58.650 \longrightarrow 00:45:00.480$ so correct me if I'm wrong.

NOTE Confidence: 0.873088568

00:45:02.690 --> 00:45:04.209 That's how I remember it. Frank,

NOTE Confidence: 0.873088568

 $00{:}45{:}04.209 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}06.302$ is about a gram of hemoglobin in

NOTE Confidence: 0.873088568

 $00{:}45{:}06.302 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}08.630$ the first month improvement. Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902869432

 $00:45:09.400 \longrightarrow 00:45:10.560$ All right, great. Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902869432

 $00:45:10.560 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.197$ All right. Uh case 3DS is a 55 year

NOTE Confidence: 0.902869432

 $00{:}45{:}13.197 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}15.900$ old female history of hypertension,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902869432

00:45:15.900 --> 00:45:17.630 ulcerative colitis, high blood pressure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902869432

 $00:45:17.630 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.955$ high and pre diabetes who

 $00:45:19.955 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.280$ comes in for routine physical.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902869432

00:45:22.280 --> 00:45:24.644 Her CBC is pretty much identical

NOTE Confidence: 0.902869432

 $00:45:24.644 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.410$ to the the year prior and we'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.902869432

 $00:45:27.410 \longrightarrow 00:45:30.490$ point out that she has a high high,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902869432

 $00:45:30.490 \longrightarrow 00:45:34.480$ high platelets and a high MCV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902869432

 $00:45:34.480 \longrightarrow 00:45:35.548$ I always think of before I

NOTE Confidence: 0.902869432

 $00:45:35.548 \longrightarrow 00:45:36.480$ was a doctor I was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902869432

00:45:36.480 --> 00:45:39.603 I was actually a social worker in an HIV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902869432

00:45:39.610 --> 00:45:41.845 Clinic and everyone had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.902869432

 $00:45:41.845 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.640$ high MCV back then, but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902869432

 $00:45:45.640 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.348$ Otherwise, we don't see it as often,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902869432

 $00:45:47.350 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.556$ but we thought that discussing a case

NOTE Confidence: 0.902869432

 $00:45:50.556 \longrightarrow 00:45:53.750$ of macrocytosis might be helpful to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.902869432

 $00{:}45{:}53.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}56.360$ to the participants and the attendees.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902869432

 $00:45:56.360 \longrightarrow 00:45:59.030$ So here's the what we have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902869432

 $00{:}45{:}59.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}01.508$ we'll go to the next slide please.

 $00:46:01.510 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.959$ Before we turn it over to Anna,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902869432

 $00:46:02.960 \longrightarrow 00:46:05.336$ here's a list of her medications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902869432

00:46:05.340 --> 00:46:09.498 There is an AC is not AZT or Combivir,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902869432

 $00:46:09.500 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.713$ but you can see she is on some medications

NOTE Confidence: 0.902869432

 $00{:}46{:}12.713 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}15.869$ for her colitis and a similar question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902869432

 $00:46:15.870 \longrightarrow 00:46:17.450$ To the other two cases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902869432

 $00:46:17.450 \longrightarrow 00:46:19.110$ what other testing or treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.902869432

 $00:46:19.110 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.106$ would you recommend?

NOTE Confidence: 0.902869432

 $00:46:20.110 \longrightarrow 00:46:20.938$ And once again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902869432

 $00:46:20.938 \longrightarrow 00:46:23.748$ one is a good time that we should be

NOTE Confidence: 0.902869432

00:46:23.748 --> 00:46:25.768 sending a referral to hematology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.902869432

 $00{:}46{:}25.770 --> 00{:}46{:}26.854$ Alright, Anna,

NOTE Confidence: 0.902869432

 $00{:}46{:}26.854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}27.938$ thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:46:29.300 \longrightarrow 00:46:31.520$ Thanks, Frank. Umm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:46:31.520 \longrightarrow 00:46:35.976$ So Umm, just to touch on sort of

00:46:35.976 --> 00:46:38.720 macrocytosis and macrocytic anemia briefly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

00:46:38.720 --> 00:46:40.848 I wanted to start off by saying that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:46:40.850 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.649$ you know, I think you know as as Bob

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:46:43.649 \longrightarrow 00:46:46.477$ Donna mentioned also that the lines are

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:46:46.480 \longrightarrow 00:46:48.840$ not so clearly delineated sometimes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:46:48.840 \longrightarrow 00:46:50.478$ So even though we like to think of anemia

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:46:50.478 \longrightarrow 00:46:52.009$ and the three buckets of microcytic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

00:46:52.010 --> 00:46:54.908 normocytic and macrocytic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

00:46:54.910 --> 00:46:56.527 You know, using just the cut offs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:46:56.530 \longrightarrow 00:46:58.612$ you know for example an epic

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

00:46:58.612 --> 00:47:00.000 is is not always,

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:47:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.757$ is not always the way to go.

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:47:01.760 \longrightarrow 00:47:03.800$ Someone might be slightly macrocytic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00{:}47{:}03.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}05.676$ I would still include you know all

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:47:05.676 \longrightarrow 00:47:07.399$ the workup that Doctor Bona just

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00{:}47{:}07.399 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}09.115$ went through for the most part.

00:47:09.120 --> 00:47:11.670 Similarly patients who are enormous headache,

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

00:47:11.670 --> 00:47:13.110 I might include workup that

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:47:13.110 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.840$ I'm about to go through now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:47:14.840 \longrightarrow 00:47:15.865$ I think where that doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:47:15.865 \longrightarrow 00:47:17.320$ hold true is that the extremes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:47:17.320 \longrightarrow 00:47:18.920$ So somebody who's extremely

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

00:47:18.920 --> 00:47:20.520 microcytic or extremely macrocytic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:47:20.520 \longrightarrow 00:47:22.248$ you know those differentials are are

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:47:22.248 \longrightarrow 00:47:23.954$ very different but I think there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:47:23.954 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.683$ a big Gray zone in the middle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00{:}47{:}25.690 \rightarrow 00{:}47{:}28.330$ Umm, in terms of macrocytic anemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:47:28.330 \longrightarrow 00:47:30.454$ I think you know two of the the big

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00{:}47{:}30.454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}32.117$ buckets that that falls into our,

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00{:}47{:}32.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}33.425$ whether it's megaloblastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:47:33.425 \longrightarrow 00:47:34.730$ or non megaloblastic,

00:47:34.730 --> 00:47:37.762 which really has to do with whether DNA

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:47:37.762 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.350$ synthesis is actually being impaired,

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:47:40.350 \longrightarrow 00:47:40.902$ megaloblastic anemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:47:40.902 \longrightarrow 00:47:43.773$ What we mean when we say that is we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:47:43.773 \longrightarrow 00:47:45.585$ some characteristic findings both in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:47:45.585 \longrightarrow 00:47:47.680$ bone marrow and on the peripheral blood,

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:47:47.680 \longrightarrow 00:47:49.240$ but just to speak about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

00:47:49.240 --> 00:47:50.790 peripheral blood for our purposes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00{:}47{:}50.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}52.962$ things like hypersegmented

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

00:47:52.962 --> 00:47:55.858 neutrophils and also macrocytic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122 00:47:55.860 --> 00:47:56.268 Um, NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:47:56.268 \longrightarrow 00:47:57.492$ red blood cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00{:}47{:}57.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}59.940$ These are can be indications that

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:48:00.017 \longrightarrow 00:48:02.597$ there is a megaloblastic process going

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:48:02.597 \longrightarrow 00:48:05.591$ on or impaired DNA synthesis leading

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:48:05.591 \longrightarrow 00:48:08.146$ to ineffective erythropoiesis 2 of

00:48:08.146 --> 00:48:10.939 the major causes of megaloblastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00{:}48{:}10.939 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}13.632$ anemia are B12 and folate deficiency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:48:13.632 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.690$ which could really be a whole

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:48:15.758 \longrightarrow 00:48:16.738$ talk on its own.

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

00:48:16.740 --> 00:48:18.126 But you know briefly how we

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

00:48:18.126 --> 00:48:19.700 work this up in the clinic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:48:19.700 \longrightarrow 00:48:22.070$ the gotos are just serum B12

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:48:22.070 \longrightarrow 00:48:23.255$ and folate levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

00:48:23.260 --> 00:48:25.330 I will say that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:48:25.330 \longrightarrow 00:48:27.546$ again just relying on the normal range and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122 00:48:27.550 --> 00:48:28.081 Epic, NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:48:28.081 \longrightarrow 00:48:31.798$ especially in the case of B12 level

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00{:}48{:}31.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}34.089$ is is can sometimes be a pitfall

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:48:34.089 \longrightarrow 00:48:36.219$ because for a couple reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

00:48:36.220 --> 00:48:37.174 Umm, you know,

 $00:48:37.174 \longrightarrow 00:48:39.828$ I sort of consider things in the less

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:48:39.828 \longrightarrow 00:48:42.313$ than 400 range to be very borderline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00{:}48{:}42.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}44.567$ And though that's an area where I

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

00:48:44.567 --> 00:48:46.540 would always send an MMA to confirm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:48:46.540 \longrightarrow 00:48:48.500$ I put over here on the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:48:48.500 \longrightarrow 00:48:50.090$ an image to remind us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:48:50.090 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.436$ you know why we check homocysteine

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:48:52.436 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.956$ and MMA in B12 and folate

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:48:54.956 \longrightarrow 00:48:57.560$ deficiency and why we would see.

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

00:48:57.560 --> 00:48:59.650 Elevated you know MO, sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:48:59.650 \longrightarrow 00:49:00.610$ my life just went off.

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00{:}49{:}00.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}03.718$ MMA and homocysteine and beach called

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:49:03.718 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.790$ deficiency and only homocysteine

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:49:05.870 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.870$ in in folate deficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00{:}49{:}07.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}10.173$ But so borderline B12 levels are a

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:49:10.173 \longrightarrow 00:49:13.007$ case where I would always send it

 $00:49:13.007 \longrightarrow 00:49:15.222$ also very strong clinical suspicion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

00:49:15.230 --> 00:49:17.008 So even with a normal B12 level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

00:49:17.010 --> 00:49:18.935 if the story if everything else you

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

00:49:18.935 --> 00:49:21.470 know is really suspicious for B12 deficiency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:49:21.470 \longrightarrow 00:49:22.946$ I will send it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

00:49:22.946 --> 00:49:25.160 It's also worth being aware that

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:49:25.245 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.709$ patients with pernicious anemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:49:27.710 \longrightarrow 00:49:30.185$ So auto antibodies to intrinsic

NOTE Confidence: 0.628829122

 $00:49:30.185 \longrightarrow 00:49:32.660$ factor or to parietal cells

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

 $00:49:32.753 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.609$ due to actually a lab interference

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

00:49:35.610 --> 00:49:39.672 due to issues with the assay with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

 $00:49:39.672 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.748$ presence of these antibodies can have

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

00:49:41.748 --> 00:49:44.432 a normal serum B12 on lab testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

 $00:49:44.432 \longrightarrow 00:49:46.352$ when they're actually B12 deficient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

 $00:49:46.360 \longrightarrow 00:49:48.538$ So again, if you're suspecting this,

00:49:48.540 --> 00:49:51.142 you'd want to check an MA as well, Umm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

 $00:49:51.142 \longrightarrow 00:49:53.554$ And then a reminder that B12,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

00:49:53.560 --> 00:49:55.069 severe B12 deficiency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

00:49:55.069 --> 00:49:57.584 we can see neurologic deficits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

00:49:57.590 --> 00:49:58.640 And that's why, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

 $00:49:58.640 \longrightarrow 00:50:01.016$ there's the classic teaching that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

 $00:50:01.016 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.977$ know you you want to be cautious not

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

00:50:03.977 --> 00:50:05.647 to treat foliate deficiency without

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

 $00{:}50{:}05.647 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}07.681$ making sure that the patient does

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

00:50:07.681 --> 00:50:09.747 not have concurrent B12 deficiency,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

 $00:50:09.750 \longrightarrow 00:50:13.194$ because you could have progression

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

 $00{:}50{:}13.194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}14.824$ of neurologic symptoms in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

 $00:50:14.824 \longrightarrow 00:50:16.605$ setting because you're not correcting

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

 $00{:}50{:}16.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}17.658$ the B12 deficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

 $00:50:17.660 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.081$ So B12 and folate deficiency can

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

 $00:50:21.081 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.187$ happen for a variety of reasons,

 $00{:}50{:}23.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}24.750$ and I'll go through some of the common

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

 $00:50:24.750 \longrightarrow 00:50:26.250$ ones between the two of them in a second,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

 $00:50:26.250 \longrightarrow 00:50:28.567$ but particular to B12 is pernicious anemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

 $00:50:28.570 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.340$ She just spoke about PPI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

 $00:50:31.340 \longrightarrow 00:50:33.758$ which can inhibit absorption of B12.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

 $00:50:33.760 \longrightarrow 00:50:34.951$ Strictly vegan diet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

 $00:50:34.951 \longrightarrow 00:50:38.500$ as B12 is often found in animal products.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

 $00:50:38.500 \longrightarrow 00:50:39.610$ Fully deficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

00:50:39.610 --> 00:50:42.940 Less commonly seen from a dietary

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

 $00:50:42.940 \longrightarrow 00:50:45.618$ perspective because at least in the US,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

 $00:50:45.620 \longrightarrow 00:50:47.930$ flowers routinely supplemented with folic

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

 $00:50:47.930 \longrightarrow 00:50:50.850$ acid to prevent neural tube defects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

 $00{:}50{:}50.850 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}52.159$ So it's less common to see this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

 $00:50:52.160 \longrightarrow 00:50:56.218$ but we do see an Alcoholics also in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

 $00:50:56.218 \longrightarrow 00:50:58.894$ patients who have high cell turnover.

 $00:50:58.900 \longrightarrow 00:50:59.990$ For a variety of reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

 $00{:}50{:}59.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}01.598$ So any patient with a chronic

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

00:51:01.598 --> 00:51:02.134 hemolytic anemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

00:51:02.140 --> 00:51:05.200 including sickle cell anemia or psoriasis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

 $00:51:05.200 \longrightarrow 00:51:07.105$ these would be clinical scenarios

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

00:51:07.105 --> 00:51:09.633 in which you'd be more suspicious

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

 $00:51:09.633 \longrightarrow 00:51:11.268$ of folate deficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

00:51:11.270 --> 00:51:14.286 And I in in cases of macrocytic anemia

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

 $00{:}51{:}14.286 \to 00{:}51{:}16.859$ will pretty much always at minimum,

NOTE Confidence: 0.791946850625

 $00:51:16.860 \longrightarrow 00:51:18.180$ you know send these two tests.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91861876125

 $00{:}51{:}21.900 \longrightarrow 00{:}51{:}25.228$ So just very quickly in terms of causes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91861876125

 $00{:}51{:}25.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}27.252$ etiologies of both B12 and folic

NOTE Confidence: 0.91861876125

 $00:51:27.252 \longrightarrow 00:51:29.715$ deficiency with which have to do with

NOTE Confidence: 0.91861876125

 $00:51:29.715 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.465$ how these micronutrients are absorbed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91861876125

00:51:31.470 --> 00:51:35.306 So B12 when it's consumed in the upper

NOTE Confidence: 0.91861876125

 $00:51:35.306 \longrightarrow 00:51:36.715$ GI tract, binds to transcobalamin,

 $00:51:36.715 \longrightarrow 00:51:38.290$ one, goes to the stomach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91861876125

 $00:51:38.290 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.660$ intrinsic factor is produced by the

NOTE Confidence: 0.91861876125

00:51:40.660 --> 00:51:43.965 parietal cells of the stomach, binds to B12,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91861876125

 $00:51:43.965 \longrightarrow 00:51:46.155$ goes into the small intestine where

NOTE Confidence: 0.91861876125

 $00{:}51{:}46.155 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}48.723$ it's absorbed in the terminal ileum

NOTE Confidence: 0.91861876125

 $00{:}51{:}48.723 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}51.060$ and then binds to transcobalamin 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91861876125

00:51:51.060 --> 00:51:52.124 Absorbed into the bloodstream

NOTE Confidence: 0.91861876125

00:51:52.124 --> 00:51:53.720 and taken up into the tissues,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91861876125

 $00:51:53.720 \longrightarrow 00:51:56.254$ whereas folate is sort of a more

NOTE Confidence: 0.91861876125

 $00:51:56.254 \longrightarrow 00:51:57.960$ passive absorption process but also

NOTE Confidence: 0.91861876125

 $00:51:57.960 \longrightarrow 00:51:59.635$ absorbed in the small intestine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91861876125

 $00:51:59.640 \longrightarrow 00:52:01.460$ So for this reason anyone who's had

NOTE Confidence: 0.91861876125

 $00{:}52{:}01.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}03.855$ who has some kind of small bowel

NOTE Confidence: 0.91861876125

 $00:52:03.855 \longrightarrow 00:52:05.019$ pathology including resection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91861876125

 $00:52:05.020 \longrightarrow 00:52:07.480$ whether that be small bowel resection,

 $00:52:07.480 \longrightarrow 00:52:08.680$ bacterial overgrowth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91861876125

00:52:08.680 --> 00:52:10.480 inflammatory bowel disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91861876125

00:52:10.480 --> 00:52:11.114 celiac disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91861876125

 $00:52:11.114 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.333$ these patients are all at risk for

NOTE Confidence: 0.91861876125

 $00:52:13.333 \longrightarrow 00:52:15.317$ deficiencies of both of these micronutrients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91861876125

 $00:52:15.320 \longrightarrow 00:52:18.834$ And then in particular you do have

NOTE Confidence: 0.91861876125

 $00:52:18.834 \longrightarrow 00:52:21.118$ to consider gastrectomy as a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.91861876125

 $00:52:21.118 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.452$ Potential cause of loss of parietal

NOTE Confidence: 0.91861876125

 $00:52:23.452 \longrightarrow 00:52:26.370$ cells and therefore intrinsic factor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.91861876125

00:52:26.370 --> 00:52:28.428 which could also lead to B12 deficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893134962857143

 $00{:}52{:}31.340 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}52{:}33.884$ So this is just a very short list

NOTE Confidence: 0.893134962857143

 $00:52:33.884 \longrightarrow 00:52:37.395$ of of an otherwise very long list of

NOTE Confidence: 0.893134962857143

 $00:52:37.395 \longrightarrow 00:52:40.400$ medications that can cause macrocytosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893134962857143

 $00:52:40.400 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.512$ The ones I've included here and

NOTE Confidence: 0.893134962857143

 $00:52:42.512 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.583$ many of the medications that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.893134962857143

 $00:52:44.583 \longrightarrow 00:52:46.902$ do this in general actually do

 $00:52:46.902 \longrightarrow 00:52:49.707$ this via a megaloblastic process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893134962857143

 $00:52:49.710 \longrightarrow 00:52:51.995$ So they actually do interfere

NOTE Confidence: 0.893134962857143

00:52:51.995 --> 00:52:53.823 with with DNA synthesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893134962857143

 $00:52:53.830 \longrightarrow 00:52:55.734$ which is why we see this macrocytosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893134962857143

 $00:52:55.740 \longrightarrow 00:52:57.534$ There are others that can cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.893134962857143

00:52:57.534 --> 00:52:58.730 macrocytosis for other reasons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893134962857143

00:52:58.730 --> 00:52:59.562 for example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893134962857143

 $00{:}52{:}59.562 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}01.977$ If somebody has G6PD deficiency and

NOTE Confidence: 0.893134962857143

 $00:53:01.977 \dashrightarrow 00:53:03.922$ develops you know hemolytic anemia

NOTE Confidence: 0.893134962857143

 $00{:}53{:}03.922 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}06.823$ from a medication and can have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.893134962857143

 $00{:}53{:}06.823 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}08.467$ reticulocytosis in that setting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893134962857143

 $00{:}53{:}08.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}10.241$ And and as Doctor Bonner showed

NOTE Confidence: 0.893134962857143

 $00{:}53{:}10.241 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}11.828$ us particular sites are larger cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893134962857143

 $00{:}53{:}11.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}14.482$ So a higher percentage of particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.893134962857143

00:53:14.482 --> 00:53:16.590 sites increases your average MCV.

 $00:53:16.590 \longrightarrow 00:53:19.746$ But here included are just medications

NOTE Confidence: 0.893134962857143

 $00:53:19.746 \longrightarrow 00:53:21.850$ that through megaloblastic process

NOTE Confidence: 0.893134962857143

 $00:53:21.925 \longrightarrow 00:53:23.800$ can cause an elevated MCV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893134962857143

 $00:53:23.800 \longrightarrow 00:53:25.380$ And as Frank pointed out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893134962857143

 $00:53:25.380 \longrightarrow 00:53:28.817$ antiretrovirals for HIV are a common one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893134962857143

 $00:53:28.820 \longrightarrow 00:53:30.914$ so definitely something that to consider

NOTE Confidence: 0.893134962857143

 $00:53:30.914 \longrightarrow 00:53:34.058$ if you have a patient on HIV medication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893134962857143

00:53:34.060 --> 00:53:36.475 But there's a host of them here

NOTE Confidence: 0.893134962857143

00:53:36.475 --> 00:53:38.050 including allopurinol and mercaptopurine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893134962857143

 $00:53:38.050 \longrightarrow 00:53:40.100$ which the patient in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.893134962857143

 $00{:}53{:}40.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}41.679$ question stem was on both,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893134962857143

 $00:53:41.680 \longrightarrow 00:53:43.532$ but also anti epileptics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893134962857143

 $00:53:43.532 \longrightarrow 00:53:45.847$ bactrum and some other commonly

NOTE Confidence: 0.893134962857143

 $00:53:45.847 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.290$ used medications.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:53:50.390 \longrightarrow 00:53:52.686$ And so in terms of non megaloblastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00{:}53{:}52.686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}54.130$ causes of macrocytic anemia,

00:53:54.130 --> 00:53:55.404 I know we're running short on time

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:53:55.404 \longrightarrow 00:53:56.668$ and there's a lot to go through.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:53:56.670 \longrightarrow 00:53:59.454$ But in general, so these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:53:59.454 \longrightarrow 00:54:02.255$ a means by which causes of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00{:}54{:}02.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}04.145$ macrocytosis that don't have to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:54:04.145 \longrightarrow 00:54:06.467$ with interference with DNA synthesis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:54:06.470 \longrightarrow 00:54:08.918$ So you wouldn't see those classic

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

00:54:08.918 --> 00:54:10.142 megaloblastic changes like

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00{:}54{:}10.142 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}11.749$ hypersegmented neutrophils etcetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:54:11.750 \longrightarrow 00:54:14.368$ But some of these include liver disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

00:54:14.370 --> 00:54:16.430 liver disease can cause anemia

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:54:16.430 \longrightarrow 00:54:18.490$ for a variety of reasons,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00{:}54{:}18.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}20.576$ some of which would not be macrocytic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:54:20.580 \longrightarrow 00:54:21.474$ For example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

00:54:21.474 --> 00:54:24.603 blood loss or anemia of chronic disease,

 $00:54:24.610 \longrightarrow 00:54:27.606$ but other means which can

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

00:54:27.606 --> 00:54:28.608 lead to macrocytosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:54:28.610 \longrightarrow 00:54:31.067$ such as alterations in the in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:54:31.067 \longrightarrow 00:54:33.188$ cholesterol content of red blood cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

00:54:33.190 --> 00:54:34.260 Also hemolysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

00:54:34.260 --> 00:54:37.470 which could be either from hypersplenism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:54:37.470 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.104$ portal hypertension or as Doctor Bona

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:54:40.104 \longrightarrow 00:54:43.298$ also mentioned on this the review of

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:54:43.298 \longrightarrow 00:54:46.028$ different smear findings spur cell anemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:54:46.030 \longrightarrow 00:54:48.112$ which in liver disease in particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:54:48.112 \longrightarrow 00:54:49.870$ is a poor prognostic sign.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:54:49.870 \longrightarrow 00:54:51.030$ You know this site.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:54:51.030 \longrightarrow 00:54:52.770$ We'll consider as guided by history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

00:54:52.770 --> 00:54:54.606 So if someone has a history of liver disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:54:54.610 \longrightarrow 00:54:57.678$ there's concern by imaging abnormal LFT's,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

00:54:57.678 --> 00:54:58.990 maybe a low albumin,

00:54:58.990 --> 00:55:00.370 a slightly abnormal INR,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:55:00.370 \longrightarrow 00:55:03.170$ any smear findings that could be consistent?

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273 00:55:03.170 --> 00:55:03.822 You know, NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:55:03.822 \longrightarrow 00:55:06.104$ those are the situations where I would

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:55:06.104 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.385$ consider that liver disease could be the

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:55:08.385 \longrightarrow 00:55:10.450$ cause of the underlying macrocytosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:55:10.450 \longrightarrow 00:55:13.312$ Alcohol use certainly can lead to

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:55:13.312 \longrightarrow 00:55:15.800$ macrocytosis and this can actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:55:15.800 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.770$ take months to resolve after the

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:55:18.770 \longrightarrow 00:55:20.790$ patient ceases to consume alcohol.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

00:55:20.790 --> 00:55:23.584 It's always important to take an alcohol

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:55:23.584 \longrightarrow 00:55:26.236$ history when working out these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00{:}55{:}26.240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}29.282$ As I mentioned increased reticulocytes which

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00{:}55{:}29.282 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}32.910$ are larger cells and mature red blood cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:55:32.910 \longrightarrow 00:55:35.612$ a higher percentage of reticular sites in

 $00:55:35.612 \longrightarrow 00:55:37.960$ the peripheral blood increases the MCV.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00{:}55{:}37.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}40.156$ So this is always something to

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:55:40.156 \longrightarrow 00:55:42.359$ consider like any anemia should be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273 00:55:42.360 --> 00:55:42.794 You know, NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:55:42.794 \longrightarrow 00:55:44.913$ one of your go to 1st test is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:55:44.913 \longrightarrow 00:55:46.503$ reticulocyte count and if elevated

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

00:55:46.503 --> 00:55:48.450 you have to consider whether there

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

00:55:48.450 --> 00:55:50.280 could be an active, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00{:}55{:}50.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}51.780$ a bleed, but more likely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273 00:55:51.780 --> 00:55:52.376 You know, NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00{:}55{:}52.376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}54.164$ if this patient is really macrocytic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:55:54.170 \longrightarrow 00:55:55.948$ some kind of hemolysis and you'd want

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:55:55.948 \longrightarrow 00:55:58.090$ to send sort of a hemolytic evaluation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00{:}55{:}58.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}00.769$ So LDH, haptoglobin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

00:56:00.770 --> 00:56:01.764 direct bilirubin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:56:01.764 \longrightarrow 00:56:04.746$ total bilirubin and a peripheral smear

 $00:56:04.750 \longrightarrow 00:56:07.930$ hypothyroidism can also lead to macrocytosis.

$$\begin{split} & \text{NOTE Confidence: } 0.868297492727273 \\ & 00:56:07.930 --> 00:56:08.611 \text{ You know, I,} \end{split}$$

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

00:56:08.611 --> 00:56:11.029 I do send this very often in these workups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:56:11.030 \longrightarrow 00:56:12.878$ but I think this also should

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:56:12.878 \longrightarrow 00:56:14.110$ be guided by history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

00:56:14.110 --> 00:56:16.174 I think it would be unusual to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:56:16.174 \longrightarrow 00:56:18.386$ somebody with a macrocytic anemia from

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

00:56:18.386 --> 00:56:20.022 hypothyroidism without otherwise having

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00{:}56{:}20.022 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}22.027$ other signs and symptoms of that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00{:}56{:}22.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}23.985$ Um copper deficiency can cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

00:56:23.985 --> 00:56:26.006 anemia of pretty much, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

00:56:26.006 --> 00:56:27.146 any size red blood cell.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

00:56:27.150 --> 00:56:28.668 But again, as guided by history,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:56:28.670 \longrightarrow 00:56:32.710$ if someone has some kind of absorptive issue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:56:32.710 \longrightarrow 00:56:35.165$ dietary deficiencies for other reasons

00:56:35.165 --> 00:56:38.090 or zinc toxicity and you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:56:38.090 \longrightarrow 00:56:40.274$ one of the sort of curls is

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:56:40.274 \longrightarrow 00:56:42.382$ somebody who's using a denture glue

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

00:56:42.382 --> 00:56:44.474 that contains zinc, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:56:44.474 \longrightarrow 00:56:46.442$ which can paradoxically cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:56:46.442 \longrightarrow 00:56:47.426$ copper deficiency.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273 00:56:47.430 --> 00:56:47.660 Again, NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

00:56:47.660 --> 00:56:49.040 I don't routinely send this just

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00{:}56{:}49.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}50.785$ if it's a high clinical suspicion

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

00:56:50.785 --> 00:56:52.460 or an otherwise totally negative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

00:56:52.460 --> 00:56:55.240 Uh, work up monoclonal gammopathy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.868297492727273

 $00:56:55.240 \longrightarrow 00:56:57.480$ So as Doctor Bona talked about two.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

 $00:56:57.480 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.286$ So my threshold to send this for

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

00:56:59.286 --> 00:57:00.549 macrocytic anemia is very low.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

00:57:00.550 --> 00:57:03.078 I'll send it on pretty much anyone unless

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

 $00:57:03.078 \dashrightarrow 00:57:05.520$ there's a very clear clear cut reason.

 $00:57:05.520 \longrightarrow 00:57:07.416$ You know why they have a macrocytic anemia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

 $00:57:07.420 \longrightarrow 00:57:09.964$ So that includes not just the spec but

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

 $00:57:09.964 \longrightarrow 00:57:12.233$ as Doctor Bona said the Immunofixation

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

 $00:57:12.233 \longrightarrow 00:57:14.579$ and the free light chains.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

 $00:57:14.580 \longrightarrow 00:57:16.708$ And this can be even in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

00:57:16.708 --> 00:57:18.660 absence of other crab criteria.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

 $00:57:18.660 \longrightarrow 00:57:20.627$ So even if you know the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

 $00:57:20.627 \longrightarrow 00:57:21.980$ renal function is normal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

 $00:57:21.980 \longrightarrow 00:57:23.996$ they have no Bony pain calcium.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

 $00:57:24.000 \dashrightarrow 00:57:26.640$ Normally I would still send it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

 $00:57:26.640 \longrightarrow 00:57:27.820$ And then macrocytic anemia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

 $00{:}57{:}27.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}30.384$ the last thing I'll say I think is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

 $00{:}57{:}30.384 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}32.490$ you know even more so than the other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

00:57:32.490 --> 00:57:34.465 you know Norma acidic or

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

 $00:57:34.465 \longrightarrow 00:57:35.650$ or microcytic anemias.

 $00:57:35.650 \longrightarrow 00:57:37.570$ The clinical suspicion for an

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

 $00{:}57{:}37.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}39.490$ underlying bone marrow process or

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

00:57:39.554 --> 00:57:41.745 malignancy has to be you know quite,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

 $00:57:41.750 \longrightarrow 00:57:43.927$ quite high and the threshold to refer

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

00:57:43.927 --> 00:57:45.818 to hematology very low because we

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

00:57:45.818 --> 00:57:47.618 wouldn't want to miss something like

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

00:57:47.618 --> 00:57:49.806 an MGS or an under other malignancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

 $00:57:49.810 \longrightarrow 00:57:51.515$ especially if this preliminary workup

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

 $00{:}57{:}51.515 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}53.769$ which is all pretty easy to obtain

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

 $00:57:53.770 \longrightarrow 00:57:55.456$ is negative or especially in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

 $00{:}57{:}55.456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}57.220$ case where there are concurrent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

 $00:57:57.220 \longrightarrow 00:57:59.424$ Utopias with thrombocytopenia or

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

00:57:59.424 --> 00:58:01.830 leukopenia any other, Umm, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

00:58:01.830 --> 00:58:03.080 symptoms which might be concerning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

00:58:03.080 --> 00:58:03.980 but you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

 $00:58:03.980 \longrightarrow 00:58:06.080$ the bottom line being that if there's

00:58:06.145 --> 00:58:08.090 no clear reason for macrocytosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

 $00:58:08.090 \longrightarrow 00:58:09.670$ whether it be medication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

00:58:09.670 --> 00:58:10.460 A B12,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

 $00:58:10.460 \longrightarrow 00:58:12.632$ foliate deficiency or any of these

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

 $00:58:12.632 \longrightarrow 00:58:14.005$ other things that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

 $00:58:14.005 \longrightarrow 00:58:15.080$ the threshold should be very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

 $00.58:15.080 \longrightarrow 00.58:16.964$ very low to refer to hematology

NOTE Confidence: 0.79177897

 $00:58:16.964 \longrightarrow 00:58:17.906$ for further workout.

NOTE Confidence: 0.865536

 $00:58:23.710 \longrightarrow 00:58:25.398$ Good. So I'll take the

NOTE Confidence: 0.865536

00:58:25.398 --> 00:58:27.390 liberty of just asking the two

NOTE Confidence: 0.863112414285714

 $00:58:27.463 \longrightarrow 00:58:29.159$ final questions and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.9358071625

 $00:58:29.380 \longrightarrow 00:58:31.020$ we'll we'll wrap up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8166574033333333

 $00{:}58{:}31.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}33.406$ So I'm doctor Zarkov power ask

NOTE Confidence: 0.816657403333333

 $00{:}58{:}33.406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}58{:}35.538$ again about frequency of B12 level

NOTE Confidence: 0.816657403333333

00:58:35.538 --> 00:58:37.238 whether it should be continued

 $00:58:37.238 \longrightarrow 00:58:39.555$ to be checked in patients on a

NOTE Confidence: 0.816657403333333

 $00:58:39.555 \longrightarrow 00:58:41.403$ bike rides and at what interval?

NOTE Confidence: 0.777239614

 $00:58:43.660 \longrightarrow 00:58:45.760$ Oh yeah. So good question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.777239614

 $00:58:45.760 \longrightarrow 00:58:47.190$ So I'm like on metformin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917924

 $00:58:49.420 \longrightarrow 00:58:50.740$ So that's a good question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917924

 $00:58:50.740 \longrightarrow 00:58:52.240 \text{ I don't know that I really}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.917924

 $00:58:52.240 \longrightarrow 00:58:53.580$ know the answer to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917924

00:58:53.580 --> 00:58:55.116 My suspicion would be you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917924

00:58:55.120 --> 00:58:57.052 as long as the patient is

NOTE Confidence: 0.917924

 $00:58:57.052 \longrightarrow 00:58:58.018$ continuing on metformin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917924

00:58:58.020 --> 00:59:01.359 if they develop B12 deficiency on metformin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917924

00:59:01.360 --> 00:59:04.440 I would probably just keep them on B12,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917924

 $00{:}59{:}04.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}06.540$ you know, now and then you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.917924

 $00:59:06.540 \longrightarrow 00:59:08.848$ you could check a serum level and

NOTE Confidence: 0.917924

00:59:08.848 --> 00:59:10.518 see if it's responding every,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917924

 $00:59:10.520 \longrightarrow 00:59:11.720$ you know, six months.

 $00:59:11.720 \longrightarrow 00:59:13.520$ So obviously most patients are on

NOTE Confidence: 0.917924

 $00{:}59{:}13.580 \to 00{:}59{:}15.220$ met formin for years and years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917924

 $00:59:15.220 \longrightarrow 00:59:17.838$ I don't know that there's a clear

NOTE Confidence: 0.917924

00:59:17.840 --> 00:59:19.418 clear cut guideline for how often.

NOTE Confidence: 0.917924

 $00:59:19.420 \longrightarrow 00:59:19.978$ Repeat that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.917924

00:59:19.978 --> 00:59:21.373 but I would probably just

NOTE Confidence: 0.917924

 $00:59:21.373 \longrightarrow 00:59:22.559$ leave the patient on it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.729520844

 $00{:}59{:}23.880 \rightarrow 00{:}59{:}27.089$ And then Doctor Reeve asks patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.729520844

 $00{:}59{:}27.089 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}29.096$ especially seeing naturo paths

NOTE Confidence: 0.729520844

 $00:59:29.096 \longrightarrow 00:59:32.441$ bring in reports of their

NOTE Confidence: 0.729520844

 $00:59:32.441 \longrightarrow 00:59:34.566$ methyltetrahydrofolate reductase testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.729520844

 $00:59:34.566 \longrightarrow 00:59:37.996$ And the question is how?

NOTE Confidence: 0.729520844

00:59:38.000 --> 00:59:40.170 How much do they need this very

NOTE Confidence: 0.729520844

 $00{:}59{:}40.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}59{:}42.001$ special form of folate that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.729520844

00:59:42.001 --> 00:59:44.240 often prescribed for them if

 $00:59:44.240 \longrightarrow 00:59:45.605$ they've been asymptomatic?

NOTE Confidence: 0.864958019

 $00{:}59{:}46.440 {\: -->\:} 00{:}59{:}48.048$ Yeah, no, I'm not aware of

NOTE Confidence: 0.864958019

00:59:48.048 --> 00:59:49.776 there being any data, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864958019

 $00:59:49.776 \longrightarrow 00:59:51.416$ to support that at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864958019

 $00:59:51.420 \longrightarrow 00:59:54.930$ You know, somebody has fully 50.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864958019

00:59:54.930 --> 00:59:58.274 Folic acid usually use in one to two

NOTE Confidence: 0.864958019

00:59:58.274 --> 01:00:00.760 milligrams per day orally as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864958019

01:00:00.760 --> 01:00:03.572 It's very orally bioavailable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864958019

 $01{:}00{:}03.572 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}07.320$ you know, people will respond to that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864958019

01:00:07.320 --> 01:00:09.208 So no, I'm not aware of there being

NOTE Confidence: 0.864958019

 $01{:}00{:}09.208 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}11.055$ any data that any other formulations

NOTE Confidence: 0.864958019

01:00:11.055 --> 01:00:13.430 would be necessary in the setting of

NOTE Confidence: 0.864958019

01:00:13.430 --> 01:00:15.180 fully deficiency and especially not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864958019

01:00:15.180 --> 01:00:16.200 you know, if there's no fully

NOTE Confidence: 0.793508171428571

01:00:16.210 --> 01:00:17.434 deficiency. OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793508171428571

 $01:00:17.434 \longrightarrow 01:00:20.494$ And now one final question.

 $01:00:20.500 \longrightarrow 01:00:24.105$ Like iron, I understand that the B12

NOTE Confidence: 0.793508171428571

01:00:24.105 --> 01:00:25.804 orally is actually more effective

NOTE Confidence: 0.793508171428571

 $01:00:25.804 \longrightarrow 01:00:27.580$ than we've given it credit for.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793508171428571

 $01:00:27.580 \longrightarrow 01:00:28.425$ We have a lot of people

NOTE Confidence: 0.793508171428571

 $01:00:28.425 \longrightarrow 01:00:29.748$ who are on injections.

NOTE Confidence: 0.793508171428571

 $01:00:29.748 \longrightarrow 01:00:32.832$ What is your threshold to cross

NOTE Confidence: 0.793508171428571

 $01:00:32.832 \longrightarrow 01:00:35.380$ over from oral to injection?

NOTE Confidence: 0.852815083888889

 $01{:}00{:}36.570 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}38.922$ So, you know, I think it it depends

NOTE Confidence: 0.852815083888889

01:00:38.922 --> 01:00:41.012 on the severity of the deficiency

NOTE Confidence: 0.852815083888889

 $01:00:41.012 \longrightarrow 01:00:43.881$ and also the D so for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852815083888889

 $01:00:43.881 \longrightarrow 01:00:47.220$ not that any of us really see

NOTE Confidence: 0.852815083888889

 $01:00:47.326 \longrightarrow 01:00:48.990$ this anymore or or often,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852815083888889

 $01{:}00{:}48.990 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}51.669$ but if somebody were to present to you

NOTE Confidence: 0.852815083888889

01:00:51.669 --> 01:00:53.544 with neurologic symptoms for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852815083888889

 $01:00:53.550 \longrightarrow 01:00:55.035$ that's somebody you'd want to

 $01:00:55.035 \longrightarrow 01:00:56.520$ I am injections right away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852815083888889

 $01{:}00{:}56.520 \dashrightarrow 01{:}00{:}58.186$ You wouldn't want to wait you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.852815083888889

 $01:00:58.186 \longrightarrow 01:01:00.601$ for an oral supplement also if it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.852815083888889

 $01:01:00.601 \longrightarrow 01:01:02.486$ somebody who has B12 deficiency

NOTE Confidence: 0.852815083888889

 $01:01:02.486 \longrightarrow 01:01:05.040$ for a malabsorptive reason either

NOTE Confidence: 0.852815083888889

 $01:01:05.040 \longrightarrow 01:01:09.094$ because of a gastric bypass surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852815083888889

01:01:09.094 --> 01:01:10.211 Permission, yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852815083888889

01:01:10.211 --> 01:01:12.166 they're not going to respond

NOTE Confidence: 0.852815083888889

01:01:12.166 --> 01:01:13.339 to PO supplementation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.852815083888889

 $01:01:13.340 \longrightarrow 01:01:15.419$ So those patients need to be on,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852815083888889

01:01:15.420 --> 01:01:17.004 I am probably lifelong,

NOTE Confidence: 0.852815083888889

 $01:01:17.004 \longrightarrow 01:01:19.380$ but otherwise in somebody who has

NOTE Confidence: 0.852815083888889

 $01:01:19.456 \longrightarrow 01:01:21.664$ bowed pathology who has no reason

NOTE Confidence: 0.852815083888889

01:01:21.664 --> 01:01:23.910 to not be absorbing it orally.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8145971

01:01:25.990 --> 01:01:28.130 PO B12 is very effective, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8145971

01:01:28.130 --> 01:01:32.130 usually 1000 micrograms daily. Good.

01:01:32.130 --> 01:01:34.370 Well, I the pace kind of picked up

NOTE Confidence: 0.855000306296296

 $01:01:34.370 \longrightarrow 01:01:37.218$ at the end and I apologize for my

NOTE Confidence: 0.855000306296296

 $01:01:37.218 \longrightarrow 01:01:39.118$ time management that didn't have

NOTE Confidence: 0.855000306296296

01:01:39.118 --> 01:01:41.224 us a little more evenly spaced,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855000306296296

01:01:41.230 --> 01:01:43.450 but tremendous gratitude to

NOTE Confidence: 0.855000306296296

 $01:01:43.450 \longrightarrow 01:01:44.930$ all of our panelists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855000306296296

 $01:01:44.930 \longrightarrow 01:01:47.038$ This was really terrific information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855000306296296

01:01:47.038 --> 01:01:49.770 Like Frank, I was part of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.855000306296296

 $01{:}01{:}49.770 \dashrightarrow 01{:}01{:}51.226$ preparation and still learned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855000306296296

 $01:01:51.230 \longrightarrow 01:01:53.267$ So there were a lot of both,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855000306296296

01:01:53.270 --> 01:01:56.924 you know, very practical tips here the

NOTE Confidence: 0.855000306296296

01:01:56.924 --> 01:01:58.635 upcoming speakers are demonstrated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855000306296296

01:01:58.635 --> 01:02:00.610 you know on the slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855000306296296

 $01{:}02{:}00.610 \dashrightarrow 01{:}02{:}05.236$ Here we do not have a talk in January.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855000306296296

 $01:02:05.240 \longrightarrow 01:02:07.424$ It's just a little early in the

 $01:02:07.424 \longrightarrow 01:02:10.440$ month after the holidays to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855000306296296

 $01:02:10.440 \longrightarrow 01:02:12.897$ So please join us and if you don't mind,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855000306296296

 $01:02:12.900 \longrightarrow 01:02:13.848$ please stay on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855000306296296

 $01:02:13.848 \longrightarrow 01:02:16.060$ There will be a very quick survey

NOTE Confidence: 0.855000306296296

 $01:02:16.133 \longrightarrow 01:02:19.270$ at the end in order to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855000306296296

 $01:02:19.270 \dashrightarrow 01:02:21.559$ Just make sure that we get your

NOTE Confidence: 0.855000306296296

 $01:02:21.559 \longrightarrow 01:02:23.922$ feedback to help us in the future

NOTE Confidence: 0.855000306296296

 $01:02:23.922 \longrightarrow 01:02:25.884$ so Anne Chang couldn't be here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.855000306296296

01:02:25.890 --> 01:02:27.724 But on behalf of Anne and myself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855000306296296

 $01:02:27.730 \longrightarrow 01:02:29.218$ we thank you so much for

NOTE Confidence: 0.855000306296296

01:02:29.218 --> 01:02:30.210 your attendance and again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.855000306296296

 $01:02:30.210 \longrightarrow 01:02:31.410$ thank you to our panelists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82838878

01:02:33.790 --> 01:02:36.000 Goodnight. Thank you. Goodnight.