## WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "00:58:01.0700000"

NOTE recognizability:0.828

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.794516263333333

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.088$  Um, thanks for joining tonight. We

NOTE Confidence: 0.824629234

 $00{:}00{:}02.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}04.530$  want to welcome you to smile

NOTE Confidence: 0.824629234

 $00:00:04.530 \longrightarrow 00:00:06.611$  shares with primary care to night

NOTE Confidence: 0.824629234

00:00:06.611 --> 00:00:09.580 our focuses on GI cancers and

NOTE Confidence: 0.824629234

 $00:00:09.580 \longrightarrow 00:00:12.376$  we've got a great staff available,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824629234

 $00:00:12.380 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.985$  great faculty tonight that are going to.

NOTE Confidence: 0.824629234

 $00:00:15.990 \longrightarrow 00:00:19.430$  That are going to talk about issues around

NOTE Confidence: 0.824629234

 $00:00:19.430 \dashrightarrow 00:00:22.380$  GI cancers and my name is Anne Chang,

NOTE Confidence: 0.824629234

 $00:00:22.380 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.055$  my partner in establishing this

NOTE Confidence: 0.824629234

 $00:00:25.055 \dashrightarrow 00:00:28.490$  series is Karen Brown, and we are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.773265985714286

 $00{:}00{:}29.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}31.165$  Here to welcome you to this monthly

NOTE Confidence: 0.858950773333333

 $00:00:31.180 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.148$  lecture and many of you have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.858950773333333

00:00:33.148 --> 00:00:37.620 with us before this came about really

 $00:00:37.620 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.488$  through discussions between Karen and

NOTE Confidence: 0.858950773333333

 $00{:}00{:}40.488 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}43.232$  myself and others focusing on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.858950773333333

 $00:00:43.232 \longrightarrow 00:00:44.768$  primary care perspective on cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858950773333333

 $00:00:44.768 \longrightarrow 00:00:46.951$  There are a lot of venues where

NOTE Confidence: 0.858950773333333

00:00:46.951 --> 00:00:48.676 you can get cancer information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.858950773333333

 $00:00:48.680 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.494$  but this is intended to really partner

NOTE Confidence: 0.858950773333333

 $00:00:51.494 \longrightarrow 00:00:54.214$  with primary care at and this cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.858950773333333

 $00:00:54.214 \longrightarrow 00:00:56.854$  specialist to to focus on those issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.858950773333333

 $00{:}00{:}56.854 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}59.314$  that are most interesting to you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858950773333333

 $00:00:59.320 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.750$  And most pertinent to you so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858950773333333

00:01:02.750 --> 00:01:06.082 Well, it's a as you know a case

NOTE Confidence: 0.858950773333333

 $00:01:06.082 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.716$  based discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.858950773333333

 $00:01:06.720 \dashrightarrow 00:01:08.939$  We have three cases to night that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.858950773333333

 $00:01:08.939 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.796$  going to try to get through and to

NOTE Confidence: 0.858950773333333

00:01:11.796 --> 00:01:13.631 highlight certain key clinical pearls

NOTE Confidence: 0.858950773333333

 $00:01:13.701 \longrightarrow 00:01:16.067$  and and and certainly the advances

 $00:01:16.080 \longrightarrow 00:01:18.640$  for for you to know about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.736379686666667

 $00:01:18.640 \longrightarrow 00:01:21.499$  Umm, so we'll do our introductions

NOTE Confidence: 0.736379686666667

 $00:01:21.500 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.560$  first and then we will go into the case

NOTE Confidence: 0.736379686666667

 $00:01:24.560 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.588$  presentations and then we always like

NOTE Confidence: 0.736379686666667

 $00:01:26.588 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.010$  to have about 10 minutes available

NOTE Confidence: 0.949583034

 $00:01:29.020 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.680$  for your questions and answers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949583034

 $00:01:30.680 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.074$  So either put them into the chat

NOTE Confidence: 0.949583034

 $00:01:33.074 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.477$  or else keep them for the end.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949583034

 $00:01:35.480 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.600$  That's always the really interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.949583034

00:01:37.600 --> 00:01:40.630 and and important part. So we'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.949583034

 $00:01:40.630 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.460$  we'll definitely leave time for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.949583034

00:01:43.460 --> 00:01:46.568 Karen, do you want to go ahead

NOTE Confidence: 0.949583034

 $00:01:46.568 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.659$  and introduce yourself and and?

NOTE Confidence: 0.949583034

00:01:48.660 --> 00:01:51.200 And. Start with the introductions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00:01:51.950 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.880$  Sure. Thank you.

00:01:52.880 --> 00:01:55.050 So for those who don't know me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

00:01:55.050 --> 00:01:56.190 my name is Karen Brown.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00:01:56.190 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.158$  I'm an internist in in North

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00:01:58.158 \longrightarrow 00:01:59.750$  Haven at the Divine St.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00:01:59.750 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.598$  Complex and the medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

00:02:01.598 --> 00:02:03.908 director of EMG Primary Care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00:02:03.910 \longrightarrow 00:02:06.105$  I am thrilled to introduce

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00:02:06.105 \longrightarrow 00:02:08.899$  two of my colleagues who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00{:}02{:}08.899 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}11.929$  collaborated on in making this talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00:02:11.930 \longrightarrow 00:02:14.185$  something that will be really

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00{:}02{:}14.185 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}15.989$  applicable to primary care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

00:02:15.990 --> 00:02:18.540 focusing on diagnosis and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00:02:18.540 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.580$  the kind of intersections.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00{:}02{:}20.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}23.910$  Between primary care and oncology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00:02:23.910 \longrightarrow 00:02:25.305$  Doctor Elizabeth Allard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00:02:25.305 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.165$  who we call Beth,

 $00{:}02{:}27.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}28.970$  is originally from Wisconsin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00:02:28.970 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.220$  She received her undergrad degree

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

00:02:31.220 --> 00:02:33.529 from Carnegie Mellon in Biology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00:02:33.530 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.504$  and then she received both a

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00{:}02{:}35.504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}38.468$  PhD in Pathobiology and an MD

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00:02:38.468 \longrightarrow 00:02:39.950$  from Brown University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00:02:39.950 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.375$  She broke the usual MD, PhD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00:02:42.375 \longrightarrow 00:02:44.200$  mold and completed her residency

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00{:}02{:}44.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}46.078$  in Family medicine at UMass

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00{:}02{:}46.078 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}47.843$  Medical School in Worcester at

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00:02:47.843 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.750$  an inner city health clinic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00:02:49.750 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.388$  She's been employed by a prior.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00{:}02{:}51.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}53.750$  Hospital owned family practice then

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

00:02:53.750 --> 00:02:56.552 spent 15 years in private practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00:02:56.552 \longrightarrow 00:02:59.093$  and is now a very valued member

 $00:02:59.093 \longrightarrow 00:03:01.711$  of our Northeast Medical Group's

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00:03:01.711 \longrightarrow 00:03:05.639$  primary care team and she runs an all

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00:03:05.639 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.969$  female family practice in Waterford.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00:03:08.970 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.538$  She creates care with a thoughtful

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00:03:11.538 \longrightarrow 00:03:12.822$  and scientific framework.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00:03:12.830 \longrightarrow 00:03:14.552$  She's a leader in her practice

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00:03:14.552 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.150$  on in her geographic region,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00:03:16.150 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.974$  and she's a member of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00{:}03{:}17.974 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}19.190$  primary care steering group,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00:03:19.190 \longrightarrow 00:03:21.638$  where her insight helps to guide all of

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

00:03:21.638 --> 00:03:26.297 us as we build systems of primary care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

00:03:26.300 --> 00:03:28.240 Doctor Scott Thornton attended

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00:03:28.240 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.665$  University of Pittsburgh School of

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00{:}03{:}30.665 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}32.791$  Medicine and completed his residency

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00:03:32.791 \longrightarrow 00:03:36.050$  at UConn and in the colorectal surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00:03:36.050 \longrightarrow 00:03:38.520$  at Muhlenberg Regional Medical Center.

 $00:03:38.520 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.805$  He is also a northeast

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00{:}03{:}40.805 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}42.176$  Medical Group physician.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00:03:42.180 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.155$  He's a colon and rectal

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00:03:44.155 \longrightarrow 00:03:46.017$  surgeon in Shelton, CT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

00:03:46.017 --> 00:03:47.679 right next to our walk in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00:03:47.680 \longrightarrow 00:03:50.200$  which is a convenient location and he

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00:03:50.200 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.760$  has a Bridgeport hospital affiliation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

00:03:52.760 --> 00:03:54.700 He's well respected by colleagues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00:03:54.700 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.348$  He's cared for patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

00:03:56.348 --> 00:03:58.408 With um colon and rectal

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

00:03:58.408 --> 00:04:00.369 cancer for nearly 30 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00{:}04{:}00.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}02.598$  his professional interests include

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00{:}04{:}02.598 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}04.269$ laparoscopic colorectal surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00:04:04.270 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.726$  rectal and hemorrhoidal issues

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00:04:05.726 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.910$  and he is an avid golfer.

 $00:04:07.910 \longrightarrow 00:04:10.269$  When he is outside of the office,

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00{:}04{:}10.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}12.222$  I'll turn it over to you and to

NOTE Confidence: 0.821898285

 $00:04:12.222 \longrightarrow 00:04:13.719$  introduce your smilow colleagues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.809192

 $00:04:14.070 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.046$  Thank you. And I I should say I'm a medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.809192

 $00:04:16.050 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.560$  on cologist and associate cancers director

NOTE Confidence: 0.886113823333333

 $00:04:18.570 \longrightarrow 00:04:20.040$  for clinical initiatives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8868437125

 $00:04:21.150 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.898$  So I'm going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.796321328

00:04:21.910 --> 00:04:23.590 start with Doctor Amit Khanna,

NOTE Confidence: 0.796321328

 $00{:}04{:}23.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}25.180$  who is an associate professor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.796321328

00:04:25.180 --> 00:04:26.869 surgery at Yale School of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.796321328

 $00{:}04{:}26.870 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}29.300$  And he's the director of colorectal

NOTE Confidence: 0.796321328

 $00:04:29.300 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.381$  surgery for the Bridgeport Hospital

NOTE Confidence: 0.796321328

 $00{:}04{:}31.381 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}33.733$  region and as such he's responsible

NOTE Confidence: 0.796321328

 $00{:}04{:}33.733 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}36.331$  for leading the provision of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.796321328

 $00:04:36.331 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.135$  colorectal surgical surgical services

NOTE Confidence: 0.796321328

 $00{:}04{:}38.135 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}40.675$  across the area in collaboration with

 $00{:}04{:}40.675 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}43.045$  the Digestive Health service line and

NOTE Confidence: 0.796321328

 $00{:}04{:}43.045 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}45.329$  Smile Cancer Hospital network teams.

NOTE Confidence: 0.796321328

 $00:04:45.330 \longrightarrow 00:04:47.376$  Doctor Khanna has more than 20

NOTE Confidence: 0.796321328

 $00:04:47.376 \longrightarrow 00:04:49.618$  years of experience the high volume

NOTE Confidence: 0.796321328

 $00{:}04{:}49.618 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}52.042$  surgeon and he specializes in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.796321328

 $00{:}04{:}52.042 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}54.032$  minimally invasive treatment and

NOTE Confidence: 0.796321328

 $00:04:54.032 \longrightarrow 00:04:56.252$  management of inflammatory bowel

NOTE Confidence: 0.796321328

 $00:04:56.252 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.917$  disease colorectal malignancies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.796321328

 $00:04:57.920 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.090$  Anorectal diseases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.796321328

 $00{:}05{:}01.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}05.460$  Doctor Pam Kunz is associate professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.796321328

 $00:05:05.460 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.713$  of medicine and director of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.796321328

 $00{:}05{:}08.713 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}10.477$  Center for Gastrointestinal Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.796321328

00:05:10.477 --> 00:05:13.030 at Yale Cancer Center and SMILO.

NOTE Confidence: 0.796321328

00:05:13.030 --> 00:05:15.334 She joined us at Yale from

NOTE Confidence: 0.796321328

00:05:15.334 --> 00:05:17.430 Smile from Stanford University,

 $00:05:17.430 \longrightarrow 00:05:20.489$  where she was the director of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.796321328

 $00{:}05{:}20.489 \to 00{:}05{:}22.316$  Neuroendocrine Tumor program there

NOTE Confidence: 0.796321328

 $00:05:22.316 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.950$  and leader of in endocrine Oncology

NOTE Confidence: 0.796321328

 $00:05:24.950 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.510$  research group and the director of

NOTE Confidence: 0.796321328

00:05:27.510 --> 00:05:29.190 the Neuroendocrine Tumor Fellowship,

NOTE Confidence: 0.796321328

 $00:05:29.190 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.398$  but beyond her record of accomplishment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.796321328

 $00:05:31.400 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.447$  And GI oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.796321328 00:05:32.447 --> 00:05:32.796 Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.796321328

 $00{:}05{:}32.796 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}34.890$  Kunz is an international leader in

NOTE Confidence: 0.796321328

00:05:34.957 --> 00:05:37.621 the clinical care of patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.796321328

 $00{:}05{:}37.621 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}39.736$  neuroendocrine tumors are called nuts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.796321328

 $00:05:39.736 \longrightarrow 00:05:41.854$  And she's also advancing the field

NOTE Confidence: 0.796321328

 $00:05:41.854 \longrightarrow 00:05:43.697$  through clinical trials and translational

NOTE Confidence: 0.796321328

 $00:05:43.697 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.851$  science that is really defining the

NOTE Confidence: 0.796321328

00:05:45.851 --> 00:05:47.786 next generation of therapies for

NOTE Confidence: 0.796321328

 $00{:}05{:}47.786 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}49.686$  patients with this rare diagnosis.

 $00:05:52.020 \longrightarrow 00:05:53.349$  And then finally,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847457475

 $00{:}05{:}53.349 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}55.466$ doctor Justin Persico is assistant

NOTE Confidence: 0.847457475

 $00{:}05{:}55.466 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}57.424$  professor of clinical medicine in

NOTE Confidence: 0.847457475

 $00:05:57.424 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.379$  the section of medical oncology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847457475

 $00:05:59.380 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.300$  and he's the director of Smilow

NOTE Confidence: 0.847457475

00:06:01.300 --> 00:06:02.979 Cancer Hospital care centres in

NOTE Confidence: 0.847457475

 $00:06:02.979 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.017$  Trumbull and Fairfield.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847457475

 $00:06:04.020 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.288$  He focuses his clinic on the care

NOTE Confidence: 0.847457475

 $00{:}06{:}06.288 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}08.039$  of patients with GI cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847457475

 $00{:}06{:}08.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}09.736$  and his specific interests

NOTE Confidence: 0.847457475

 $00:06:09.736 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.432$  include research on lifestyle

NOTE Confidence: 0.847457475

 $00:06:11.432 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.569$  factors that impact pathogenesis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847457475

 $00{:}06{:}13.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}15.518$  treatment and survivorship of

NOTE Confidence: 0.847457475

 $00:06:15.518 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.979$  colorectal cancer patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847457475

 $00:06:16.980 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.835$  He attended Tufts University School

 $00:06:18.835 \longrightarrow 00:06:20.972$  of Medicine, where he also completed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847457475

 $00:06:20.972 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.364$  This fellowship in hemlock,

NOTE Confidence: 0.847457475

 $00:06:22.370 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.006$  so our distinguished faculty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847457475

 $00:06:24.006 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.303$  I'm going to hand it over to Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.847457475

 $00:06:27.303 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.963$  Allard to start with our first case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.847457475

 $00:06:29.970 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.410$  Thank you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00{:}06{:}34.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}36.970$  Thank you so much, Shannon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:06:36.970 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.830$  So we want to begin with talking about cases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00{:}06{:}41.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}43.839$  And it's probably a great surprise that

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:06:43.839 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.834$  this is colon Cancer month and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00{:}06{:}45.834 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}47.800$  a lot of people are probably going

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:06:47.800 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.788$  to be expecting a colon cancer case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:06:49.790 \longrightarrow 00:06:51.505$  So I might as well just put

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:06:51.505 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.859$  it out there up front.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:06:52.860 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.894$  But we're going to try to take each case

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:06:54.894 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.136$  this afternoon and focus on a different way.

 $00:06:57.140 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.932$  So we're going to focus on this

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00{:}06{:}58.932 {\: -->\:} 00{:}07{:}00.022$  one pretty thoroughly because

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:07:00.022 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.636$  we know colon cancer so common.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:07:01.640 \longrightarrow 00:07:03.566$  So we begin our story with a 45 year

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:07:03.566 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.869$  old woman with a past history of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:07:05.869 \longrightarrow 00:07:07.221$  arthritis and elevated cholesterol

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:07:07.275 \longrightarrow 00:07:09.050$  should prevent presents for a

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00{:}07{:}09.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}10.115$  complete physical exam.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:07:10.120 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.376$  She's a non-smoker who drinks 3

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:07:12.376 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.628$  glasses of wine per day and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00{:}07{:}14.628 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}16.196$  question of the hour is does this

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:07:16.196 \longrightarrow 00:07:17.759$  patient need colon cancer screening?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:07:17.760 \longrightarrow 00:07:19.344$  And we all know that five years ago,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:07:19.350 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.254$  three years ago we would have said

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:07:21.254 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.979$  probably not unless there was a risk.

 $00:07:22.980 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.293$  But now things have changed and

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00{:}07{:}25.293 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}27.694$  before I go forward on this case

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:07:27.694 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.340$  let's look at pathways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:07:29.340 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.842$  So if we look closely now that we have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:07:31.850 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.321$  These wonderful pathways in our system at

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00{:}07{:}34.321 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}38.210$  EPIC as ambulatory care continues to advance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00{:}07{:}38.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}40.202$  We have three ways to look

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:07:40.202 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.530$  at colon cancer screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00{:}07{:}41.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}43.298$  The first one we'll look at in greater

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

00:07:43.298 --> 00:07:45.088 detail is obviously initial screening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00{:}07{:}45.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}46.812$  but then there's screening for some one

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:07:46.812 \longrightarrow 00:07:49.013$  who has had a previous normal evaluation

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:07:49.013 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.890$  and then for someone who's had an abnormal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:07:51.890 \longrightarrow 00:07:54.110$  And we all know that the GI doctors come up

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:07:54.162 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.330$  with these recipes of how often to screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:07:56.330 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.146$  But I think it's great to have access

 $00:07:58.146 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.149$  to all of this so we can look at it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

00:08:00.150 --> 00:08:02.530 Let's move on to our next slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:08:02.530 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.058$  So once we're in pathways and we go

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:08:04.058 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.669$  to the initial screening pathway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:08:05.670 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.666$  this is what it looks like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:08:06.670 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.080$  So if you're seeing a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:08:08.080 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.820$  and you're not certain what to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

00:08:09.820 --> 00:08:10.824 or whether they're eligible,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:08:10.830 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.876$  you look up this pathway through

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:08:12.876 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.730$  the through the pathway system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00{:}08{:}14.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}16.824$  And the colon cancer initial screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:08:16.824 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.188$  at the very top has two items.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00{:}08{:}19.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}21.174$  The first is asking whether or not this

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:08:21.174 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.008$  patient is a candidate for screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:08:23.010 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.776$  And yes,

 $00:08:23.776 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.542$  in general,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:08:24.542 \longrightarrow 00:08:26.074$  healthy patients between the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:08:26.074 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.659$  ages of 45 and 75 are recommended

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

00:08:28.659 --> 00:08:30.875 screening or anyone with a life

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:08:30.875 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.239$  expectancy of greater than 10 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

00:08:33.240 --> 00:08:34.216 On the flip side,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:08:34.216 \longrightarrow 00:08:36.360$  you might be asking who isn't a candidate?

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:08:36.360 \longrightarrow 00:08:38.140$  And again, details are there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00{:}08{:}38.140 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}39.885$  but anyone obviously with any

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:08:39.885 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.630$  chronic or terminal illness such

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00{:}08{:}41.686 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}43.600$  as cancer and stage heart failure,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

00:08:43.600 --> 00:08:45.476 we're not going to put them through

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:08:45.476 \longrightarrow 00:08:47.064$  a colonoscopy because we know that

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:08:47.064 \longrightarrow 00:08:48.534$  that's a significant risk to them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

00:08:48.540 --> 00:08:48.982 And likewise,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:08:48.982 \longrightarrow 00:08:50.529$  if we're going to treat a colon

 $00:08:50.529 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.933$  cancer and they already have

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:08:51.933 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.788$  this concomitant illness,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:08:52.790 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.890$  that may just be too much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

00:08:54.890 --> 00:08:58.120 Next step on the flow diagram is you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:08:58.120 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.325$  additional details of who is high risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:09:00.330 \longrightarrow 00:09:02.199$  So we know people with the first

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:09:02.199 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.736$  degree relative with cancer of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90561518

 $00:09:03.736 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.325$  colon or polyps of the colon is

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00{:}09{:}05.376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}07.512$  at higher risk as well as people with

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00{:}09{:}07.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}09.463$  irritable bowel disease and people

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00{:}09{:}09.463 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}11.347$  with certain genetic syndromes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

00:09:11.350 --> 00:09:13.638 Final step on the pathway, quick look,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00:09:13.638 \longrightarrow 00:09:16.046$  there are several ways we can screen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

00:09:16.050 --> 00:09:18.450 Before COVID we mostly focused

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00:09:18.450 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.850$  on the colonoscopy since COVID,

 $00:09:20.850 \longrightarrow 00:09:23.265$  I myself and others have certainly considered

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00{:}09{:}23.265 \to 00{:}09{:}26.000$  more of the options that are available.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

00:09:26.000 --> 00:09:28.359 Uh, that don't involve such an invasive

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

00:09:28.359 --> 00:09:29.676 procedure, particularly Cologuard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

00:09:29.676 --> 00:09:33.092 But for the purposes of this conversation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00:09:33.100 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.360$  we're not going to go into

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00:09:34.360 \longrightarrow 00:09:35.520$  more detail at this point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00:09:35.520 \longrightarrow 00:09:37.416$  So let's move back to our

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00:09:37.416 \longrightarrow 00:09:39.210$  case in the next slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00:09:39.210 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.162$  So the patient underwent

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00:09:41.162 \longrightarrow 00:09:42.626$  her screening colonoscopy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00{:}09{:}42.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}45.088$  I do want to note that it took a whole year

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00:09:45.088 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.263$  and that brings up a point for us PCP's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00:09:47.263 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.287$  Our job is to look at that health

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

00:09:49.287 --> 00:09:50.469 maintenance list all the time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

00:09:50.470 --> 00:09:52.462 And if you see someone who is due

00:09:52.462 --> 00:09:53.890 for something, talk to them about it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

00:09:53.890 --> 00:09:55.350 whether it's their, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00{:}09{:}55.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}56.700$  preventative health maintenance visit or

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00:09:56.700 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.247$  it might even be a visit for something else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00:09:59.250 \longrightarrow 00:09:59.528$  We,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

00:09:59.528 --> 00:10:02.030 it's our job to get these folks to screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00:10:02.030 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.030$  So she had the screening and it revealed

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00{:}10{:}04.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}06.235$ a large tubular adenoma greater than 10

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00:10:06.235 \dashrightarrow 00:10:08.560$  millimeters and the patient was recommended.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00:10:08.560 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.970$  Repeat screening in three years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

00:10:10.970 --> 00:10:12.629 Going to turn to our next slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00:10:12.630 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.727$  which is going to show us in the pathways.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00{:}10{:}14.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}16.760$  If you've had an abnormal

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00{:}10{:}16.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}18.790$  colonoscopy now what you do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00:10:18.790 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.043$  So it may be hard to see

 $00:10:20.043 \longrightarrow 00:10:21.428$  this on all of your screens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00:10:21.430 \longrightarrow 00:10:23.677$  but this basically goes through the details

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00:10:23.677 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.117$  of depending on the what the findings are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00:10:26.120 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.972$  how frequently the next

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00:10:27.972 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.824$  colonoscopy needs to occur.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

00:10:29.830 --> 00:10:31.290 And in her particular case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

00:10:31.290 --> 00:10:33.048 because it was a large adenoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00:10:33.050 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.150$  it's recommended that she undergo

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00:10:35.150 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.870$  screening again in three years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00:10:37.870 \longrightarrow 00:10:40.810$  But another little sub point here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00:10:40.810 \longrightarrow 00:10:42.760$  This particular patient didn't listen to

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

00:10:42.760 --> 00:10:45.332 her PCP right away and again spent another

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00:10:45.332 \longrightarrow 00:10:47.649$  year deciding whether or not to do this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

00:10:47.650 --> 00:10:48.300 But fortunately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00:10:48.300 \longrightarrow 00:10:50.250$  when she saw her primary care

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00:10:50.250 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.550$  physician one year later,

 $00:10:51.550 \longrightarrow 00:10:53.510$  she was willing to go forward with

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00:10:53.510 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.070$  the procedure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.893006371666667

 $00:10:54.070 \longrightarrow 00:10:55.967$  So let's turn to our next slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84777437

00:10:58.810 --> 00:11:01.316 So here she's had the initial colonoscopy

NOTE Confidence: 0.84777437

 $00{:}11{:}01.316 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}03.450$  that reveals the tubular adenoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84777437

 $00:11:03.450 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.586$  It's four years later.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84777437

00:11:04.586 --> 00:11:06.006 She's finally going for that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84777437

 $00{:}11{:}06.006 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}07.646$  repeat screening. She feels great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84777437

00:11:07.646 --> 00:11:08.734 She has no symptoms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84777437

 $00:11:08.740 \longrightarrow 00:11:10.402$  She's not concerned.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84777437

 $00:11:10.402 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.618$  She undergoes repeat colonoscopy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84777437

 $00{:}11{:}12.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}14.092$  And unfortunately a transverse

NOTE Confidence: 0.84777437

 $00:11:14.092 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.300$  mass was found in her colon.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84777437

 $00:11:16.300 \longrightarrow 00:11:17.524$  But pathology report revealed

NOTE Confidence: 0.84777437

 $00:11:17.524 \longrightarrow 00:11:19.760$  at a no carcinoma of the colon.

00:11:19.760 --> 00:11:21.152 And in a moment we're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.84777437

00:11:21.152 --> 00:11:22.673 to hear more from our surgeon

NOTE Confidence: 0.84777437

00:11:22.673 --> 00:11:24.293 about what the next steps are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84777437

 $00:11:24.300 \longrightarrow 00:11:25.920$  I do want to stop for a minute and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84777437

00:11:25.920 --> 00:11:27.482 give a personal thanks to Doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.84777437

 $00:11:27.482 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.116$  Rachelle Andre who assisted me with

NOTE Confidence: 0.84777437

 $00{:}11{:}29.116 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}30.336$  coming up with this particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.84777437

00:11:30.336 --> 00:11:32.466 case which we use today. OK, Amit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84777437

 $00:11:32.466 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.480$  Phyllis in what do we need to do?

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:11:35.690 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.806$  Thanks so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00{:}11{:}36.806 \to 00{:}11{:}40.649$  So you know this is a very common story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:11:40.650 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.594$  This is something that all of you see

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:11:43.594 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.804$  and and unfortunately our patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:11:45.804 \longrightarrow 00:11:48.902$  and I just want to talk a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

00:11:48.902 --> 00:11:50.750 bit about how to help with making

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:11:50.808 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.200$  that preoperative evaluation easier

 $00:11:52.200 \longrightarrow 00:11:54.703$  so that you can help guide your

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:11:54.703 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.827$  patience through this process as it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:11:56.827 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.526$  always a challenging one for them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:11:58.526 \longrightarrow 00:12:00.580$  But we also want to take out any of

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:12:00.580 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.386$  the mystery of helping us support your.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:12:02.390 \longrightarrow 00:12:04.470$  Patients once they are diagnosed

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:12:04.470 \longrightarrow 00:12:05.718$  with colon cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:12:05.720 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.239$  So if we could go to the next slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

00:12:09.240 --> 00:12:11.186 You know, if we just think about

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

00:12:11.186 --> 00:12:12.706 colon cancer broadly, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:12:12.706 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.078$  where does everyone fall?

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:12:14.080 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.648$  Well, about 65% of patients are

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00{:}12{:}16.648 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}19.080$  going to have sporadic disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:12:19.080 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.016$  And I think one of the most common

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

00:12:21.016 --> 00:12:23.299 questions I get from primary care doctors is,

00:12:23.300 --> 00:12:25.420 you know, do they need a genetics evaluation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

00:12:25.420 --> 00:12:27.196 How important is that family history?

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

00:12:27.200 --> 00:12:29.517 Well, most of our colon cancer patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

00:12:29.517 --> 00:12:31.979 are going to have sporadic disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:12:31.980 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.236$  but a significant amount of them

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:12:34.236 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.530$  will have a familial component,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:12:36.530 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.865$  which means that there isn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

00:12:37.865 --> 00:12:38.666 an actual germline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:12:38.670 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.678$  Mutation that we see,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

00:12:39.678 --> 00:12:42.001 but but we know that it's running in a

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00{:}12{:}42.001 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}44.250$  family and that they have a family history.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:12:44.250 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.720$  And then the thing that we,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:12:45.720 \longrightarrow 00:12:48.447$  we see you know sort of less commonly but

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

00:12:48.447 --> 00:12:51.498 but we do find more and more frequently

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:12:51.498 \longrightarrow 00:12:53.799$  are actual hereditary genetic defects.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:12:53.800 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.149$  And I think the other part of it from

00:12:56.149 --> 00:12:58.722 a primary care perspective is how can

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:12:58.722 \longrightarrow 00:13:01.473$  we help our patients get the most

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

00:13:01.473 --> 00:13:03.438 efficient access to surgical care,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:13:03.440 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.582$  how do we do that work up and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

00:13:05.582 --> 00:13:07.990 think it's helpful for our primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:13:07.990 \longrightarrow 00:13:09.674$  care colleagues to understand.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

00:13:09.680 --> 00:13:09.938 Well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00{:}13{:}09.938 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}11.486$  what is a complete workup and

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:13:11.486 \longrightarrow 00:13:13.108$  there's a few questions that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00{:}13{:}13.108 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}15.200$  often get asked me and I think the

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:13:15.200 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.339$  one is you know do we need to do a

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:13:17.339 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.055$  genetics evaluation before surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00{:}13{:}19.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}22.429$  and I think in most cases we don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:13:22.430 \longrightarrow 00:13:24.915$  But we will do that screening process

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

00:13:24.915 --> 00:13:27.351 when the patient gets referred in

 $00:13:27.351 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.526$  for their first surgical evaluation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:13:29.530 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.134$  And we're very lucky that we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:13:32.134 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.659$  a very robust genetics program at

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:13:34.659 \longrightarrow 00:13:37.317$  SMILO and and it's actually right

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:13:37.317 \longrightarrow 00:13:39.810$  on the campus where Doctor Persco.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

00:13:39.810 --> 00:13:41.560 Myself certainly see patients and

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:13:41.560 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.072$  so we're able to get patients in

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:13:44.072 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.770$  quite efficiently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:13:44.770 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.535$  Sometimes we don't have the results back

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:13:47.535 \longrightarrow 00:13:50.209$  before patients need to go through surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

00:13:50.210 --> 00:13:52.898 But in most cases as you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

00:13:52.898 --> 00:13:55.858 in you know talking about 95\% of

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:13:55.858 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.772$  patients what we don't need the

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

00:13:57.772 --> 00:13:59.426 information ahead of time or we

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:13:59.426 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.364$  can make a decision based on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00{:}14{:}01.364 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}02.690$  patient's previous history.

 $00:14:02.690 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.524$  So the things that are really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

00:14:04.524 --> 00:14:06.808 to us are previous genetic syndromes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

00:14:06.810 --> 00:14:07.904 previous polyps,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:14:07.904 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.639$  age at diagnosis of their.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:14:10.640 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.574$  Colon cancer, obviously the CAT scans

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:14:13.574 \longrightarrow 00:14:16.799$  that that we perform usually chest,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:14:16.800 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.024$  abdomen and pelvis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:14:18.024 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.064$  Sometimes physicians will ask me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

00:14:20.070 --> 00:14:22.653 do I really need a CT of the chest?

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:14:22.660 \longrightarrow 00:14:24.977$  Can I just use a chest X-ray?

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:14:24.980 \longrightarrow 00:14:26.667$  And we'll talk a little bit about

NOTE Confidence: 0.804863948333333

 $00:14:26.667 \longrightarrow 00:14:27.980$  why that's important in a second.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886493225217391

 $00{:}14{:}27.980 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}30.428$  And then I think the last point here

NOTE Confidence: 0.886493225217391

 $00{:}14{:}30.428 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}32.260$  that's important to make is the idea

NOTE Confidence: 0.886493225217391

 $00:14:32.260 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.519$  that we take care of patients as teams.

 $00:14:34.520 \longrightarrow 00:14:37.050$  And so early multidisciplinary involvement

NOTE Confidence: 0.886493225217391

 $00:14:37.050 \longrightarrow 00:14:39.951$  is something that we really emphasize.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886493225217391

00:14:39.951 --> 00:14:42.177 And care of our patients and

NOTE Confidence: 0.886493225217391

 $00:14:42.177 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.306$  that's not just the surgeon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.886493225217391

 $00:14:44.306 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.354$  the oncologist or or radiation oncology

NOTE Confidence: 0.886493225217391

00:14:47.354 --> 00:14:49.750 or genetics counselors that's that's our

NOTE Confidence: 0.886493225217391

 $00:14:49.750 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.310$  primary care partners and our specialist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886493225217391

 $00:14:52.310 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.326$  So we really have a a lot of emphasis

NOTE Confidence: 0.886493225217391

00:14:54.326 --> 00:14:56.518 on making sure that we're optimizing

NOTE Confidence: 0.886493225217391

00:14:56.518 --> 00:14:58.423 these patients for surgery because

NOTE Confidence: 0.886493225217391

 $00{:}14{:}58.488 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}00.413$  that can really have a big impact

NOTE Confidence: 0.886493225217391

00:15:00.413 --> 00:15:02.488 on the approach that we might take.

NOTE Confidence: 0.886493225217391

 $00:15:02.490 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.674$  And also if there's any other interventions

NOTE Confidence: 0.886493225217391

 $00:15:04.674 \longrightarrow 00:15:06.382$  such as cardiac interventions or

NOTE Confidence: 0.886493225217391

 $00:15:06.382 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.157$  pulmonary interventions that that may

NOTE Confidence: 0.886493225217391

 $00:15:08.157 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.549$  add value on certainly management of.

 $00:15:10.550 \longrightarrow 00:15:12.142$  Anticoagulation around surgery is

NOTE Confidence: 0.886493225217391

00:15:12.142 --> 00:15:14.369 another big one. Next slide, please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:15:16.890 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.074$  And so just these are some pearls

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:15:19.074 \longrightarrow 00:15:21.701$  I think that are helpful just to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:15:21.701 \longrightarrow 00:15:23.843$  about you know as your counseling

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00{:}15{:}23.843 \to 00{:}15{:}26.503$  your patients and I always tell our

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

00:15:26.503 --> 00:15:28.749 patients when they come to see me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

00:15:28.750 --> 00:15:30.568 you've known me for 10 minutes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00{:}15{:}30.570 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}31.770$ you've known your primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:15:31.770 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.270$  care doctor a lot longer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:15:33.270 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.990$  So it's really important that

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:15:34.990 \longrightarrow 00:15:37.242$  you reach out to your primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00{:}15{:}37.242 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}39.547$  care physician and ask questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00{:}15{:}39.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}42.070$ you know ask about your surgeon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:15:42.070 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.030$  ask about the approach the the options

 $00:15:44.030 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.229$  that you have and and we look at that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00{:}15{:}46.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}48.340$  It's a very important partnership.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:15:48.340 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.860$  So 1/3 of colon cancer patients may have

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:15:51.860 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.122$  you know some mutation and these are

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

00:15:55.122 --> 00:15:57.740 in the younger than fifty group CEA,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:15:57.740 \longrightarrow 00:16:00.813$  which we will almost always do before

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:16:00.813 \longrightarrow 00:16:03.372$  surgery does have a significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:16:03.372 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.277$  predictive value of overall survival.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:16:06.280 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.464$  And so if you have an elevated CEA

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

00:16:08.464 --> 00:16:10.344 at diagnosis you know your your

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:16:10.344 \longrightarrow 00:16:12.258$  hazard of death compared to patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

00:16:12.320 --> 00:16:13.540 with a normal CEA is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00{:}16{:}13.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}16.570$  is is you know quite different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

00:16:16.570 --> 00:16:18.748 In terms of the chest CT,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:16:18.750 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.655$  we still do it even though the

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00{:}16{:}21.655 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}24.089$  risk of metastasis is quite low.

 $00:16:24.090 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.498$  The the yield allows us to see some

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:16:27.498 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.461$  indeterminate lesions that may need

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:16:29.461 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.806$  follow up and so that that's why we do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

00:16:31.810 --> 00:16:36.000 And so universally we ask for chest CT's.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:16:36.000 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.072$  Sometimes I get asked about a pet CT

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:16:38.072 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.103$  in the preoperative setting and there

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:16:40.103 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.178$  are a few situations where we might do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:16:43.180 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.462$  But for the large number of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:16:45.462 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.963$  that present to us with colon cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:16:47.963 \longrightarrow 00:16:49.778$  that they're not undergoing pet

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

00:16:49.780 --> 00:16:51.520 CT's as a preoperative evaluation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:16:51.520 \longrightarrow 00:16:53.860$  So I'm generally not needed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00{:}16{:}53.860 {\: --> \:} 00{:}16{:}56.488$  Next slide please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

00:16:56.490 --> 00:16:58.545 And I think the other thing I just

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:16:58.545 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.708$  want to quickly go over is the idea

00:17:00.708 --> 00:17:02.940 of trying to figure out what the right

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:17:03.002 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.318$  approach for any individual patient is.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462 00:17:05.320 --> 00:17:06.036 And I, NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:17:06.036 \longrightarrow 00:17:08.542$  you know I often tell patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

00:17:08.542 --> 00:17:10.390 customized care is quality care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:17:10.390 \longrightarrow 00:17:13.295$  We have guidelines and we have data

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:17:13.295 \longrightarrow 00:17:16.228$  that really helps us a ton to figure

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:17:16.228 \longrightarrow 00:17:18.697$  out which one of those custom roles

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00{:}17{:}18.697 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}23.010$  is going to be most helpful and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

00:17:23.010 --> 00:17:26.230 And apologize for the background

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00{:}17{:}26.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}28.942$  and that these can be customized

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:17:28.942 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.734$  to the patient's best interest.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

00:17:31.734 --> 00:17:34.246 So a robotic approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00{:}17{:}34.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}37.610$  a laparoscopic approach or an open approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

00:17:37.610 --> 00:17:40.326 all of those can be very appropriate

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:17:40.326 \longrightarrow 00:17:42.656$  and we really find that at least

 $00:17:42.656 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.032$  for right colon cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00{:}17{:}44.032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}46.790$  the the outcomes are very similar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:17:46.790 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.994$  So oncologic outcomes here for robotic

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:17:49.994 \longrightarrow 00:17:52.570$  versus laparoscopic approaches they have.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:17:52.570 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.802$  Stop improving one to be superior

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:17:54.802 \longrightarrow 00:17:55.918$  over the other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:17:55.920 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.320$  That being said, pain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

00:17:58.320 --> 00:17:59.512 postoperative recovery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

00:17:59.512 --> 00:18:01.300 length of stay,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

00:18:01.300 --> 00:18:02.018 you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:18:02.018 \longrightarrow 00:18:03.813$  those have definitely been shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:18:03.813 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.012$  to be slightly in the advantage

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

00:18:06.012 --> 00:18:07.416 of a robotic approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:18:07.420 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.840$  but ontologically probably very similar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:18:10.840 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.793$  The last thing I want to talk

00:18:12.793 --> 00:18:15.125 about a little bit is just about

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00{:}18{:}15.125 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}16.905$  Lymphadenectomy and the extent that

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

00:18:16.905 --> 00:18:19.355 we do not to belabor this too much,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:18:19.360 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.629$  but the the importance of doing a.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:18:22.630 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.046$  Adequate lymphadenectomy with

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

00:18:24.046 --> 00:18:26.406 getting over 12 lymph nodes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

00:18:26.410 --> 00:18:28.048 but there's been some discussion and

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00:18:28.048 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.982$  you might hear this in the literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.861349671538462

 $00{:}18{:}29.982 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}31.823$  or hear patients ask you about this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813356727368421

 $00:18:31.830 \longrightarrow 00:18:35.526$  the idea of a complete musicholic excision

NOTE Confidence: 0.813356727368421

 $00{:}18{:}35.526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}38.385$  or an extended lymphade nectomy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.813356727368421

 $00:18:38.385 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.494$  you know that's become more and more.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813356727368421

 $00:18:42.500 \longrightarrow 00:18:45.923$  Employed in Europe and in Asia and

NOTE Confidence: 0.813356727368421

 $00{:}18{:}45.923 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}49.379$  right now in the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813356727368421

 $00:18:49.380 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.390$  the American side of colorectal

NOTE Confidence: 0.813356727368421

 $00{:}18{:}51.390 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}54.115$  surgeons has not recommended that we

00:18:54.115 --> 00:18:56.640 do a routine extended lymphadenectomy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813356727368421

00:18:56.640 --> 00:18:58.236 But if we see, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813356727368421

 $00:18:58.240 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.020$  suspicious notes outside of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.813356727368421

00:19:00.020 --> 00:19:01.800 normal field of our dissection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813356727368421

 $00:19:01.800 \longrightarrow 00:19:03.664$  that there does seem to be data that

NOTE Confidence: 0.813356727368421

 $00:19:03.664 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.497$  we should go ahead and remove those.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813356727368421

 $00:19:05.500 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.444$  And all that means is just doing sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.813356727368421

 $00:19:07.444 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.560$  of a little bit more of a lymph,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813356727368421

 $00{:}19{:}09.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}11.732$ lymph node dissection right on top

NOTE Confidence: 0.813356727368421

00:19:11.732 --> 00:19:13.540 of the superior mesenteric vein.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813356727368421

00:19:13.540 --> 00:19:15.190 But right now we're not,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813356727368421

 $00{:}19{:}15.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}17.444$  we're not advocating or at least our

NOTE Confidence: 0.813356727368421

 $00{:}19{:}17.444 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}19.106$ our our guidelines don't advocate

NOTE Confidence: 0.813356727368421

 $00:19:19.106 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.996$  for us to do that routinely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813356727368421

 $00:19:21.000 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.232$  And then the last thing I would

 $00:19:22.232 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.930$  say is that we,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813356727368421

 $00:19:22.930 \longrightarrow 00:19:25.138$  we really work hard to integrate

NOTE Confidence: 0.813356727368421

 $00:19:25.138 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.985$  our eras on care signature pathways

NOTE Confidence: 0.813356727368421

 $00:19:27.985 \longrightarrow 00:19:30.585$  within our preoperative planning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813356727368421

 $00:19:30.590 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.430$  So that really involves us

NOTE Confidence: 0.813356727368421

 $00:19:33.430 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.450$  making sure that you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.813356727368421

00:19:35.450 --> 00:19:37.526 we've got our patients very well

NOTE Confidence: 0.813356727368421

 $00:19:37.526 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.880$  educated in the office on what they

NOTE Confidence: 0.813356727368421

 $00:19:39.880 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.994$  need to do before surgery and their

NOTE Confidence: 0.813356727368421

00:19:42.058 --> 00:19:44.446 expected discharge and what they need.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813356727368421

 $00:19:44.450 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.906$  To do when they get home and obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.813356727368421

 $00:19:46.906 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.755$  all of this allows us to hopefully get

NOTE Confidence: 0.813356727368421

 $00:19:49.755 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.379$  our patients home and and back into

NOTE Confidence: 0.813356727368421

 $00{:}19{:}52.379 \rightarrow 00{:}19{:}54.955$  your offices looking for the next steps.

NOTE Confidence: 0.813356727368421

 $00:19:54.960 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.784$  Thanks again for the opportunity to be here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00:20:01.400 \longrightarrow 00:20:03.050$  So this is a Justin Persico.

 $00:20:03.050 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.120$  I'm going to take it from here to continue

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

00:20:05.120 --> 00:20:07.185 the discussion and I guess I should

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00{:}20{:}07.185 \to 00{:}20{:}09.544$  preface the discussion that we that we are

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00:20:09.544 \longrightarrow 00:20:11.230$  talking about colon cancer patients here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00:20:11.230 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.038$  We we do segregate rectal cancer patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

00:20:15.040 --> 00:20:16.588 into a different category and think

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00:20:16.588 \longrightarrow 00:20:18.568$  about them a bit a bit differently in

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00{:}20{:}18.568 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}20.559$  terms of you know how we approach it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00{:}20{:}20{:}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}23.794$  But for for typical colon cancer patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00:20:23.800 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.546$  the paradigm is you know typically

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00:20:25.546 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.896$  the stage and to do surgery and then

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00:20:27.896 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.956$  they would be referred to the medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00{:}20{:}29.956 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}31.864$  on cologist and I wanted to use.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

00:20:31.870 --> 00:20:34.600 Is this opportunity to sort of highlight

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00:20:34.600 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.660$  a couple of points that are sort of

 $00:20:38.660 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.090$  practice changes that have happened

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00:20:40.090 \longrightarrow 00:20:42.057$  maybe in about the last five years

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

00:20:42.057 --> 00:20:43.751 that might be important for you to

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

00:20:43.751 --> 00:20:45.447 know as you send your your patience

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00:20:45.447 \longrightarrow 00:20:48.044$  for a referral to us, umm, the this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00:20:48.044 \longrightarrow 00:20:51.436$  this case in particular is a is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00:20:51.436 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.257$  patient with a stage 3 colon cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00{:}20{:}54.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}57.244$  So the the data is quite clear that

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

00:20:57.244 --> 00:20:59.980 those benefit those patients benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00:20:59.980 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.885$  from adjuvant chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00{:}21{:}01.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}04.260$  But how we give it as well as what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

00:21:04.324 --> 00:21:06.628 do with with earlier stage patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00:21:06.630 \longrightarrow 00:21:08.570$  particularly stage two patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00:21:08.570 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.995$  has has changed a bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333 00:21:11.000 --> 00:21:11.790 I'll, uh, NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

00:21:11.790 --> 00:21:14.160 I'll first discuss stage two patients,

 $00:21:14.160 \longrightarrow 00:21:16.260$  even though that's a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00:21:16.260 \longrightarrow 00:21:18.360$  different than this particular case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00:21:18.360 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.292$  But in the past,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333 00:21:19.292 --> 00:21:19.758 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00{:}21{:}19.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}22.135$  medical oncologists have used clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

00:21:22.135 --> 00:21:24.035 pathologic features to decide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333 00:21:24.040 --> 00:21:24.644 you know, NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00:21:24.644 \longrightarrow 00:21:26.154$  which patients within that stage

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00:21:26.154 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.214$  would be at the highest risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00:21:28.214 \longrightarrow 00:21:30.034$  because studies have failed to

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

00:21:30.034 --> 00:21:31.660 show consistently that all stage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

00:21:31.660 --> 00:21:33.660 stage two patients benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00{:}21{:}33.660 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}35.160$  from adjuvant chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00:21:35.160 \longrightarrow 00:21:37.311$  But we do know there are a subset who

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00:21:37.311 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.822$  are at a significantly higher risk and

 $00:21:39.822 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.230$  those patients really should get treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00{:}21{:}42.230 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}43.924$  In the past we use these factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

00:21:43.924 --> 00:21:45.600 I've listed here whether the patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00:21:45.600 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.085$  presented with an obstructive tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00:21:47.085 \longrightarrow 00:21:49.305$  or a larger a T4 tumor or bathing

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

00:21:49.305 --> 00:21:51.072 through the cirrhosis of the colon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00{:}21{:}51.072 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}53.208$  whether there's a risk factor like

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00:21:53.208 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.897$  seeing Lymphovascular invasion even even

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00{:}21{:}54.897 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}57.320$  though we don't see lymph node invasion yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

00:21:57.320 --> 00:21:59.544 But this is largely I think going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00:21:59.544 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.637$  be supplanted and this transition has

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00{:}22{:}01.637 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}03.845$  already already happening in oncology with

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00:22:03.902 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.296$  what we call circulating tumor DNA testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00:22:06.300 \longrightarrow 00:22:06.636$  So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00:22:06.636 \longrightarrow 00:22:08.988$  so this is a a serum test,

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00:22:08.990 \longrightarrow 00:22:10.998$  a blood test that we can do on

 $00:22:10.998 \longrightarrow 00:22:12.936$  patients when they are referred to us.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00:22:12.940 \longrightarrow 00:22:16.209$  Uh which would detect uh whether there

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

00:22:16.209 --> 00:22:19.084 is actually cancer tumor DNA in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00:22:19.084 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.338$  bloodstream and and as you might expect

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00:22:21.338 \longrightarrow 00:22:23.615$  this is this is a poor risk factor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00:22:23.620 \longrightarrow 00:22:27.380$  I put in this recurrence free survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

00:22:27.380 --> 00:22:28.773 curve from this recent dynamic

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00{:}22{:}28.773 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}30.471$  trial that was published in New

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

00:22:30.471 --> 00:22:31.910 England Journal Journal of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00{:}22{:}31.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}33.722$  There's also other groups who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

00:22:33.722 --> 00:22:35.577 been working on on this technology

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00:22:35.577 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.905$  and as you can see the patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00{:}22{:}37.965 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}39.357$  did have detectable circulating

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

00:22:39.357 --> 00:22:41.445 tumor DNA did did much worse.

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00:22:41.450 \longrightarrow 00:22:43.946$  So these are stage two patients.

 $00:22:43.950 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.906$  And so those patients are likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00{:}22{:}45.906 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}22{:}48.095$  the best candidates for for adjuvant

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00{:}22{:}48.095 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}49.747$  chemotherapy and patients who

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

00:22:49.747 --> 00:22:51.821 tested negative had actually very

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00:22:51.821 \longrightarrow 00:22:53.265$  excellent disease free survivals

NOTE Confidence: 0.770782508333333

 $00:22:53.265 \longrightarrow 00:22:55.070$  going out four years and

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

00:22:55.070 --> 00:22:57.410 beyond and those patients probably don't,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

00:22:57.410 --> 00:23:00.790 won't won't benefit from chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:23:00.790 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.246$  So. So this is the emerging more and

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

00:23:04.246 --> 00:23:07.002 more the main data that's still lacking

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00{:}23{:}07.002 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}09.535$  here is just the confirmation that if

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:23:09.535 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.653$  these patients do test positive for

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:23:11.653 \longrightarrow 00:23:14.009$  circulating tumor DNA do they benefit from.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00{:}23{:}14.010 --> 00{:}23{:}15.206$  Chemotherapy, uh, but we,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

00:23:15.206 --> 00:23:17.335 we know like I said there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00{:}23{:}17.335 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}19.267$  high risk group and and this this

00:23:19.267 --> 00:23:20.793 actually this disease free survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:23:20.793 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.921$  curve is one of the better ones.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:23:22.930 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.240$  When you look at some of the data from like

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

00:23:25.296 --> 00:23:27.498 what's what's called the circulate trial,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:23:27.500 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.780$  there's a few of those

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:23:28.780 \longrightarrow 00:23:30.060$  going on across the world.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:23:30.060 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.286$  The the outcomes are even worse

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00{:}23{:}32.286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}34.359$  in their studies compared to this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:23:34.360 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.492$  And we actually at Yale had one a clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:23:37.492 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.834$  trial called the COBRA trial actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

00:23:39.834 --> 00:23:42.700 I think it might just be on pause,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:23:42.700 \longrightarrow 00:23:44.780$  but it's an ongoing trial.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00{:}23{:}44.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}46.635$  Where we're looking at these stage two

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:23:46.635 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.384$  patients and then randomizing them to

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:23:48.384 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.178$  get treatment or not treatment depending

 $00:23:50.178 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.806$  on the presence of circulating tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00{:}23{:}51.806 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}53.918$  DNA to try to answer that question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:23:53.918 \longrightarrow 00:23:56.816$  So, so this is something your patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

00:23:56.816 --> 00:23:59.416 you know may may be coming across

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:23:59.420 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.136$  for stage three patients like I

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

00:24:01.136 --> 00:24:03.084 mentioned the data is quite clear

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:24:03.084 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.939$  that they benefit from chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:24:04.940 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.397$  But what's happened in the last five

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

00:24:07.397 --> 00:24:10.392 to may be 10 years now is there's been

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

00:24:10.392 --> 00:24:14.810 further work on trying to separate out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00{:}24{:}14.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}17.333$  Patients that may not need the

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:24:17.333 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.299$  typical recommendation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:24:18.299 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.714$  which would be six months

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:24:20.714 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.730$  of adjuvant chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:24:22.730 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.608$  There is this group called the ideal

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00{:}24{:}26.610 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}28.585$  Sorry idea Trial Analysis Group

 $00:24:28.585 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.281$  that's taking the data from multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:24:31.281 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.240$  adjuvant chemotherapy studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

00:24:33.240 --> 00:24:36.654 And I've come with some pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:24:36.654 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.930$  interesting results analyzing this

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:24:39.020 \longrightarrow 00:24:42.014$  and the most significant here is

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:24:42.014 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.799$  that they found patients who had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

00:24:44.800 --> 00:24:47.920 Lower earlier stage, stage three,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666666700:24:47.920 --> 00:24:48.614 Stage 3,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00{:}24{:}48.614 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}51.043$  eighth stage 3B with three or less

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:24:51.043 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.465$  lymph nodes have the same outcomes if

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:24:53.465 \longrightarrow 00:24:55.582$  they receive three months of adjuvant

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

00:24:55.582 --> 00:24:58.000 regimen where we use capacity and

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00{:}24{:}58.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}00.207$  oxaliplatin compared to six months

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:25:00.207 \longrightarrow 00:25:02.079$  of traditional follow chemotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:25:02.080 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.048$  which is great because it's shorter

00:25:04.048 --> 00:25:06.069 duration and it helps reduce the

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00{:}25{:}06.069 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}08.001$  most feared I think long term

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:25:08.001 \longrightarrow 00:25:09.634$  complication of these treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:25:09.634 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.478$  which is peripheral neuropathy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:25:11.480 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.364$  rates of peripheral neuropathy with three

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:25:13.364 \longrightarrow 00:25:15.360$  months of chemotherapy are only about 10%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00{:}25{:}15.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}17.130$  Compared to more than 60% with

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:25:17.130 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.930$  six months of of chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:25:18.930 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.243$  So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:25:19.243 \longrightarrow 00:25:21.747$  So this is an example of how we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00{:}25{:}21.747 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}24.170$  actually been able to to reduce the

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:25:24.170 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.530$  the treatment in certain circumstances.

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:25:26.530 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.469$  Now patients with more than three lymph

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

00:25:28.469 --> 00:25:30.629 nodes or other high risk factors like

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:25:30.629 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.219$  having two separate tumor deposits

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:25:32.219 \longrightarrow 00:25:34.098$  from the primary tumor T4 tumors.

 $00:25:34.098 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.982$  These types of things we still

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00{:}25{:}35.982 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}37.888$  recommend you know they be treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

00:25:37.888 --> 00:25:39.706 more more aggressively as a high

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

00:25:39.768 --> 00:25:42.000 risk patient with with six months

NOTE Confidence: 0.767772256666667

 $00:25:42.000 \longrightarrow 00:25:43.488$  of of combination chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.82874105375

 $00:25:46.140 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.444$  So, so with that I think we can pass

NOTE Confidence: 0.82874105375

 $00:25:48.444 \longrightarrow 00:25:50.748$  it back for discussion of case 2.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

00:25:56.360 --> 00:25:57.620 All right. Justin and Anna,

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00{:}25{:}57.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}59.195$  thank you so much for expanding on

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00{:}25{:}59.195 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}01.020$  what we see as primary care doctors

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00:26:01.020 \longrightarrow 00:26:03.001$  and bringing bringing us over to what

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00:26:03.001 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.705$  happens when our patients leave our

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00:26:04.705 \longrightarrow 00:26:06.646$  offices and start seeking care with

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00:26:06.646 \longrightarrow 00:26:08.456$  the surgeons and medical oncologists

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00:26:08.456 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.426$  that need to take care of them.

 $00:26:10.430 \longrightarrow 00:26:12.494$  Our next discussion is going to be a

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00:26:12.494 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.426$  little bit different because we're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00:26:14.426 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.910$  to spend some time talking about a case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00:26:16.910 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.662$  We're going to talk a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

00:26:18.662 --> 00:26:20.188 bit about discussion points for

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00{:}26{:}20.188 \operatorname{{\text-->}} 00{:}26{:}21.668$  us as primary care physicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

00:26:21.670 --> 00:26:23.062 a little bit about the treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00:26:23.062 \longrightarrow 00:26:23.758$  of this disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00{:}26{:}23.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}25.605$  but we're going to bring it in a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00{:}26{:}25.605 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}27.401$  bit of a different direction which I

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

00:26:27.401 --> 00:26:29.490 think will be brought up in another of

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00:26:29.490 \longrightarrow 00:26:31.002$  the smile shares programs coming up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00:26:31.010 \longrightarrow 00:26:34.497$  So case two, we have a 75 year old

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00{:}26{:}34.497 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}36.650$  woman with a history of Type 2 diabetes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

00:26:36.650 --> 00:26:38.555 hypertension, spinal stenosis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00{:}26{:}38.555 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}40.460$  peripheral arterial disease.

 $00:26:40.460 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.852$  She's a former smoker who presented to her

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

00:26:42.852 --> 00:26:45.388 PCP with a 2 month history of chest pain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

00:26:45.390 --> 00:26:46.860 epigastric pain, dysphagia,

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00:26:46.860 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.310$  and 11 pound weight loss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

00:26:49.310 --> 00:26:51.965 She's very active and walks 2 miles per day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00:26:51.970 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.130$  helps care for her grandchildren

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00:26:54.130 \longrightarrow 00:26:55.471$  on physical exam.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00:26:55.471 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.153$  She's thin but otherwise looks good.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00:26:58.160 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.584$  Her lab work shows that her

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00:27:00.584 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.200$  kidney functions are normal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00:27:02.200 \longrightarrow 00:27:02.818$  LFT's are normal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00:27:02.818 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.260$  except for a bump in her Lt.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00{:}27{:}04.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}07.660$  to 40, and her hemoglobin is only seven.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188 00:27:07.660 --> 00:27:08.045 So. NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00:27:08.045 \longrightarrow 00:27:09.970$  Every day of our lives,

 $00:27:09.970 \longrightarrow 00:27:11.570$  people walk in our offices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00:27:11.570 \longrightarrow 00:27:12.848$  They have a big gastric pain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00:27:12.850 \longrightarrow 00:27:13.726$  they have chest pain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

00:27:13.726 --> 00:27:15.040 We're trying to figure out what

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00:27:15.089 \longrightarrow 00:27:15.669$  to do with it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

00:27:15.670 --> 00:27:17.886 We use a lot of proton pump inhibitors

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00:27:17.886 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.688$  and diet change and so forth.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00:27:19.690 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.454$  But what we need to learn is

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00{:}27{:}21.454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}22.736$  primary care physicians is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00:27:22.736 \longrightarrow 00:27:23.921$  remember what are the symptoms

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00{:}27{:}23.921 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}25.609$  in this case that raise concern.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00:27:25.610 \longrightarrow 00:27:27.392$  And what do we need to do when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00{:}27{:}27.392 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}29.378$  see these warning signs that make us

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00{:}27{:}29.378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}31.134$  think that this isn't just another

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00:27:31.134 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.388$  time to hand out the purple pill, so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

00:27:33.388 --> 00:27:34.402 First discussion point,

 $00{:}27{:}34.402 \longrightarrow 00{:}27{:}36.430$  what are the symptoms in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00:27:36.495 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.979$  case that raised concern?

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

00:27:37.980 --> 00:27:38.346 Obviously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00:27:38.346 \longrightarrow 00:27:41.640$  the 11.# weight loss is kind of a standout.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

00:27:41.640 --> 00:27:43.896 And secondarily, the hemoglobin of seven,

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00:27:43.900 \longrightarrow 00:27:45.049$  we think malignancy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

00:27:45.049 --> 00:27:45.432 right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00:27:45.432 \longrightarrow 00:27:48.102$  We think something big and bad is

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

00:27:48.102 --> 00:27:50.181 happening if the body is so affected

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00{:}27{:}50.181 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}52.770$  as to cause weight loss and an emia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00:27:52.770 \longrightarrow 00:27:54.327$  And what we need to do at that point

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00:27:54.327 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.967$  is these are the people that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00{:}27{:}55.967 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}57.501$  probably not going to be really

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00{:}27{:}57.501 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}58.866$  doing the medication trials with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00:27:58.870 \longrightarrow 00:28:00.270$  We may put them on a medication,

00:28:00.270 --> 00:28:02.494 but we might say you really need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00{:}28{:}02.494 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}05.020$  see a GI specialist at this point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00:28:05.020 \longrightarrow 00:28:06.988$  You really need to have some testing done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.712893188

 $00:28:06.990 \longrightarrow 00:28:08.484$  So if we could go to the next slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859095064

 $00:28:10.860 \longrightarrow 00:28:11.980$  So in our next slide,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859095064

00:28:11.980 --> 00:28:14.620 our patient did undergo testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859095064

 $00:28:14.620 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.384$  And her cat scan did show

NOTE Confidence: 0.859095064

00:28:16.384 --> 00:28:18.200 thickening of the GE Junction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859095064

 $00{:}28{:}18.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}19.685 \text{ multiple liver metastases},$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.859095064

 $00:28:19.685 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.150$  pulmonary embolus of the left lower lobe.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859095064

 $00{:}28{:}23.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}26.090$  Ultrasound also showed in the left

NOTE Confidence: 0.859095064

00:28:26.090 --> 00:28:28.440 lower extremity and occlusive DVT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859095064

00:28:28.440 --> 00:28:31.760 She underwent EGD and biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859095064

 $00:28:31.760 \longrightarrow 00:28:34.660$  which showed a mass poorly

NOTE Confidence: 0.859095064

 $00:28:34.660 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.820$  differentiated adenocarcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859095064

00:28:35.820 --> 00:28:38.932 Her two negative MSSP DL1

 $00:28:38.932 \longrightarrow 00:28:41.076$  CPS greater than five.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859095064

 $00{:}28{:}41.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}42.724$  And this patient obviously is just

NOTE Confidence: 0.859095064

 $00:28:42.724 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.748$  a little bit more of an advanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.859095064

 $00:28:44.748 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.198$  situation that our last case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859095064

 $00:28:46.200 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.549$  but let's hear from our oncologist, Dr.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859095064

 $00:28:48.549 \longrightarrow 00:28:50.094$  Pam Koons about what she

NOTE Confidence: 0.859095064

 $00:28:50.094 \longrightarrow 00:28:51.940$  would do for this patient.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859095064

 $00:28:51.940 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.558$  Thank you, Pam.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

00:28:53.520 --> 00:28:55.420 Great. Thanks, Beth. Hi everybody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00{:}28{:}55.420 \longrightarrow 00{:}28{:}58.190$  So you know when I'm first meeting

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00{:}28{:}58.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}00.859$  with a patient in a new patient visit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:29:00.860 \longrightarrow 00:29:03.420$  I try to go over and be really

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00{:}29{:}03.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}05.370$  clear about what we're defining in

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:29:05.370 \longrightarrow 00:29:07.659$  terms of the stage of the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:29:07.660 \longrightarrow 00:29:10.804$  So this is a stage four or metastatic

 $00:29:10.804 \longrightarrow 00:29:12.413$  GE junction adenocarcinoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:29:12.413 \longrightarrow 00:29:14.639$  with liver metastases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

00:29:14.640 --> 00:29:16.544 Defined to the patient really what that

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:29:16.544 \longrightarrow 00:29:18.529$  means and what our goals of care are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:29:18.530 \longrightarrow 00:29:20.392$  So if even in that first meeting

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:29:20.392 \longrightarrow 00:29:22.472$  I would say the goals of care

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:29:22.472 \longrightarrow 00:29:23.987$  are to control the disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:29:23.990 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.663$  we we will not be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00{:}29{:}25.663 --> 00{:}29{:}27.250$  get rid of the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:29:27.250 \longrightarrow 00:29:29.634$  And then we talk about next steps in

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00{:}29{:}29.634 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}32.347$  terms of what the treatment options are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:29:32.350 \longrightarrow 00:29:35.254$  This patient is robust enough to

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:29:35.254 \longrightarrow 00:29:38.370$  consider doing first line chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:29:38.370 \longrightarrow 00:29:40.719$  And before we get into that I do want

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:29:40.719 \longrightarrow 00:29:42.970$  to define some of the acronyms that

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:29:42.970 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.690$  are used in this because I think it's.

 $00:29:45.690 \longrightarrow 00:29:48.434$  Just to for the you may see

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:29:48.434 \longrightarrow 00:29:50.380$  these in pathology reports.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:29:50.380 \longrightarrow 00:29:55.095$  So her two is and MSS are both

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

00:29:55.095 --> 00:29:58.540 standardly done now for most GI cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:29:58.540 \longrightarrow 00:30:01.780$  So her two is in the family of epidermal

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:30:01.780 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.820$  growth factor receptor is about 15 to 20%

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:30:04.820 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.620$  of patients will be her two positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

00:30:07.620 --> 00:30:09.438 This is more common probably in

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00{:}30{:}09.438 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}11.325$  the language of breast cancer that

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:30:11.325 \dashrightarrow 00:30:13.173$  you may be familiar seeing this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:30:13.180 \dashrightarrow 00:30:15.651$  But we do have targeted the rapies for

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:30:15.651 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.447$  this for patients who are her two

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00{:}30{:}18.447 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}20.115$  positive including something called.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00{:}30{:}20.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}22.310$  S2 is a mab or her septum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:30:22.310 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.540$  MSS refers to microsatellite stability

 $00:30:25.540 \longrightarrow 00:30:27.478$  or microsatellite instability.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:30:27.480 \longrightarrow 00:30:29.100$  We see this commonly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:30:29.100 \dashrightarrow 00:30:31.125$  We see microsatellite instability with

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:30:31.125 \longrightarrow 00:30:33.433$  Lynch syndrome which was mentioned in

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:30:33.433 \longrightarrow 00:30:35.676$  the earlier case where again testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

00:30:35.676 --> 00:30:38.035 this now routinely in all GI cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:30:38.040 \longrightarrow 00:30:40.596$  So this patient was microsatellite stable,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00{:}30{:}40.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}43.670$  therefore unlikely to have Lynch

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00{:}30{:}43.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}46.790$  syndrome and we use this some to

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

00:30:46.790 --> 00:30:48.302 think about immunotherapies and

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:30:48.302 \longrightarrow 00:30:50.781$  then the third category or the third

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:30:50.781 \longrightarrow 00:30:53.349$  item listed in the pathology report.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:30:53.350 \longrightarrow 00:30:54.553$  Is PDL one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00{:}30{:}54.553 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}56.558$  It's the combined positivity score

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:30:56.558 \longrightarrow 00:30:58.989$  of greater than or equal to five.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00{:}30{:}58.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}01.228$  That CPS score is actually the

00:31:01.228 --> 00:31:04.029 number of PDL 1 staining cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:31:04.030 \longrightarrow 00:31:06.586$  So this is an immune marker

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:31:06.590 \longrightarrow 00:31:08.490$  that includes the tumor cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:31:08.490 \longrightarrow 00:31:11.110$  the lymphocytes and the macrophages

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:31:11.110 \longrightarrow 00:31:13.206$  in a combined score.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:31:13.210 \longrightarrow 00:31:15.716$  And this is a little debatable as

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:31:15.716 \longrightarrow 00:31:18.008$  to what positive is in this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00{:}31{:}18.010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}19.810$  but it really indicates there's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:31:19.810 \longrightarrow 00:31:21.568$  specific indication for the use of

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:31:21.568 \longrightarrow 00:31:23.576$  nivolumab in the first line setting so that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:31:23.580 \longrightarrow 00:31:25.890$  For a CPS score of greater than

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:31:25.890 \longrightarrow 00:31:27.110$  or equal to 5.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00{:}31{:}27.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}29.252$  So when I so this patient a

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:31:29.252 \longrightarrow 00:31:31.064$  standard first line treatment would

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:31:31.064 \longrightarrow 00:31:33.530$  be the combination of folfox and

 $00:31:33.530 \longrightarrow 00:31:34.810$  nivolumab full foxes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00{:}31{:}34.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}31{:}37.281$ 5 FU and oxaliplatin again talked about

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:31:37.281 \longrightarrow 00:31:40.248$  by the way on a multiple choice test,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:31:40.250 \longrightarrow 00:31:42.686$  full foxes often the right answer

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:31:42.686 \longrightarrow 00:31:44.310$  for most GI cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:31:44.310 \longrightarrow 00:31:47.460$  So that was already discussed in

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:31:47.460 \longrightarrow 00:31:50.640$  colorectal cancer and nivolumab is

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

00:31:50.640 --> 00:31:52.090 one of our checkpoint inhibitors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:31:52.090 \longrightarrow 00:31:54.082$  it's a PD1 antibody.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:31:54.082 \longrightarrow 00:31:57.070$  This is becoming pretty common language.

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

00:31:57.070 --> 00:31:59.160 Really across specialties if thinking

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:31:59.160 \longrightarrow 00:32:01.250$  about checkpoint inhibitors because we

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:32:01.305 \longrightarrow 00:32:03.553$  see a lot of immune related side effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:32:03.560 \longrightarrow 00:32:05.555$  many of you have may have taken

NOTE Confidence: 0.753722696

 $00:32:05.555 \longrightarrow 00:32:06.410$  care of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.907122557142857

 $00:32:06.471 \longrightarrow 00:32:07.599$  with some of these.

00:32:07.600 --> 00:32:09.616 So as I start talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.907122557142857

 $00{:}32{:}09.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}11.700$  treatment and goals of treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907122557142857

 $00:32:11.700 \longrightarrow 00:32:13.830$  I also will mention sometimes

NOTE Confidence: 0.907122557142857

00:32:13.830 --> 00:32:15.488 patients will ask, well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907122557142857

00:32:15.488 --> 00:32:16.622 what's my prognosis?

NOTE Confidence: 0.907122557142857

00:32:16.622 --> 00:32:18.890 I don't often kind of bring

NOTE Confidence: 0.907122557142857

 $00:32:18.960 \longrightarrow 00:32:20.220$  that up on my own.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907122557142857

 $00:32:20.220 \longrightarrow 00:32:21.304$  During the first visit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907122557142857

00:32:21.304 --> 00:32:22.659 I will talk about again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907122557142857

 $00:32:22.660 \longrightarrow 00:32:24.436$  palliative versus curative treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907122557142857

 $00{:}32{:}24.436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}32{:}27.100$  But if a patient asks me,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907122557142857

00:32:27.100 --> 00:32:29.110 we will sometimes talk about

NOTE Confidence: 0.907122557142857

 $00:32:29.110 --> 00:32:30.316 \ \mathrm{median \ overall \ survival}.$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.907122557142857

 $00:32:30.320 \longrightarrow 00:32:32.032$  And for this audience,

NOTE Confidence: 0.907122557142857

 $00:32:32.032 \longrightarrow 00:32:33.744$  the median overall survival

 $00:32:33.744 \longrightarrow 00:32:35.778$  is probably 12 to 14 months.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907122557142857

 $00:32:35.780 \longrightarrow 00:32:38.180$  It was about 14 months in this clinical

NOTE Confidence: 0.907122557142857

 $00:32:38.180 \longrightarrow 00:32:40.110$  trial with FOLFOX and nivolumab.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907122557142857

00:32:40.110 --> 00:32:42.214 But it can certainly be less and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.907122557142857

 $00:32:42.214 \longrightarrow 00:32:43.780$  tell patients that the first few

NOTE Confidence: 0.907122557142857

 $00:32:43.780 \longrightarrow 00:32:45.581$  months is really a test of biology

NOTE Confidence: 0.907122557142857

 $00:32:45.581 \longrightarrow 00:32:47.685$  of their cancer as we learn a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.907122557142857

 $00:32:47.685 \longrightarrow 00:32:49.520$  bit more about how they tolerate

NOTE Confidence: 0.907122557142857

 $00:32:49.520 \longrightarrow 00:32:51.610$  the treatment and how they respond.

NOTE Confidence: 0.907122557142857

00:32:51.610 --> 00:32:53.572 So I'll pass to Beth for the next slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780012007857143

 $00:32:57.050 \longrightarrow 00:33:00.098$  Thanks, Pam. So our patients as we just

NOTE Confidence: 0.780012007857143

 $00:33:00.098 \longrightarrow 00:33:03.717$  discussed a moment ago did end up receiving

NOTE Confidence: 0.780012007857143

 $00:33:03.717 \longrightarrow 00:33:06.061$  the palliative chemotherapy of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.780012007857143

 $00:33:06.061 \longrightarrow 00:33:08.938$  combination of folfox in the volume NOB.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780012007857143

 $00:33:08.940 \longrightarrow 00:33:11.304$  She saw improvement of her dysphagia

NOTE Confidence: 0.780012007857143

 $00:33:11.304 \longrightarrow 00:33:13.860$  reduction, the size of her liver lesions.

 $00:33:13.860 \longrightarrow 00:33:15.942$  She was also started on Lovenox

NOTE Confidence: 0.780012007857143

 $00:33:15.942 \longrightarrow 00:33:18.496$  obviously to treat the fact that she

NOTE Confidence: 0.780012007857143

 $00:33:18.496 \longrightarrow 00:33:20.326$  was hypercoagulable from her cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.780012007857143

 $00:33:20.326 \longrightarrow 00:33:22.929$  and had the PE and DVT at diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780012007857143

 $00:33:22.930 \longrightarrow 00:33:24.845$  Unfortunately, after about nine months

NOTE Confidence: 0.780012007857143

 $00:33:24.845 \longrightarrow 00:33:27.277$  the CAT scan showed some progression

NOTE Confidence: 0.780012007857143

 $00:33:27.277 \longrightarrow 00:33:29.989$  and she did develop worsening dysphagia.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780012007857143

 $00:33:29.990 \longrightarrow 00:33:31.825$  Her performance status deteriorated and

NOTE Confidence: 0.780012007857143

00:33:31.825 --> 00:33:34.616 she needed a G2 for nutrition and spent

NOTE Confidence: 0.780012007857143

 $00{:}33{:}34.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}37.330$  a fair amount of time in the hospital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780012007857143

 $00:33:37.330 \longrightarrow 00:33:39.695$  She insisted on continuing chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.780012007857143

 $00{:}33{:}39.695 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}42.545$ until she became bed bound due

NOTE Confidence: 0.780012007857143

 $00{:}33{:}42.545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}33{:}44.575$  to weakness and recurrent DVT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780012007857143

 $00:33:44.580 \longrightarrow 00:33:45.770$  And this is one of those moments

NOTE Confidence: 0.780012007857143

 $00:33:45.770 \longrightarrow 00:33:47.120$  I wanted to insert into this talk

00:33:47.120 --> 00:33:48.620 which I think is so important for us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.780012007857143

00:33:48.620 --> 00:33:49.704 this primary care doctors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.780012007857143

 $00:33:49.704 \longrightarrow 00:33:51.793$  how do we talk to our oncology

NOTE Confidence: 0.780012007857143

00:33:51.793 --> 00:33:53.417 partners with our patients?

NOTE Confidence: 0.780012007857143

 $00:33:53.420 \longrightarrow 00:33:56.325$  When do we in you know interact.

NOTE Confidence: 0.780012007857143

00:33:56.330 --> 00:33:57.818 And I think it's important for

NOTE Confidence: 0.780012007857143

 $00:33:57.818 \longrightarrow 00:34:00.043$  us first to hear kind of from the

NOTE Confidence: 0.780012007857143

 $00{:}34{:}00.043 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}01.615$ oncologists how Pam do you direct

NOTE Confidence: 0.780012007857143

00:34:01.615 --> 00:34:04.162 the care at end of life and then I'll

NOTE Confidence: 0.780012007857143

00:34:04.162 --> 00:34:06.480 talk a little bit more after you've,

NOTE Confidence: 0.780012007857143

 $00{:}34{:}06.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}08.748$  you've told me how you do things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

 $00:34:09.220 \longrightarrow 00:34:09.704$  Sure, absolutely.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

 $00:34:09.704 \longrightarrow 00:34:11.640$  I mean I think this is a really

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

00:34:11.692 --> 00:34:12.964 great opportunity for something

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

 $00:34:12.964 \longrightarrow 00:34:14.554$  we could really do better.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

00:34:14.560 --> 00:34:16.320 Is the partnering between oncology

 $00:34:16.320 \longrightarrow 00:34:17.728$  and primary care physicians,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

 $00:34:17.730 \longrightarrow 00:34:20.761$  particularly if PCP's have a long trusting

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

 $00:34:20.761 \longrightarrow 00:34:22.720$  relationship with their patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

 $00:34:22.720 \longrightarrow 00:34:24.974$  I think that can be really valuable

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

 $00:34:24.974 \longrightarrow 00:34:26.930$  to have these conversations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

 $00:34:26.930 \longrightarrow 00:34:29.706$  I I think that usually when someone is

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

00:34:29.706 --> 00:34:32.166 deteriorating or if we get a scan like this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

 $00{:}34{:}32.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}34{:}34.270$  it's really important to talk

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

 $00:34:34.270 \longrightarrow 00:34:36.370$  about their goals of care.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

00:34:36.370 --> 00:34:38.546 You know if this patient is robust enough,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

 $00:34:38.550 \longrightarrow 00:34:40.090$  we may consider additional treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

 $00:34:40.090 \longrightarrow 00:34:43.065$  but this patient has really been deteriorated

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

 $00:34:43.065 \longrightarrow 00:34:45.638$  significantly and if they are bed bound.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

00:34:45.640 --> 00:34:46.579 They would be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

 $00:34:46.579 \longrightarrow 00:34:48.457$  we use something called a performance

 $00:34:48.457 \longrightarrow 00:34:50.476$  status or the ECOG performance status.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

00:34:50.480 --> 00:34:52.316 If they're in bed more than half the day,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

 $00:34:52.320 \longrightarrow 00:34:54.525$  they would be an ECOG performance status

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

 $00:34:54.525 \longrightarrow 00:34:57.087$  of three and we generally would not

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

 $00:34:57.087 \longrightarrow 00:34:59.032$  continue chemotherapy at that point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

00:34:59.040 --> 00:35:01.128 And so I start talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

 $00:35:01.128 \longrightarrow 00:35:02.172$  palliative care often.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

 $00:35:02.180 \longrightarrow 00:35:04.364$  We will have started palliative care in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

 $00:35:04.364 \longrightarrow 00:35:05.840$  outpatient setting even before Hospice.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

00:35:05.840 --> 00:35:07.376 That's often probably a good time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

 $00{:}35{:}07.380 --> 00{:}35{:}07.753 \ \mathrm{Beth},$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

00:35:07.753 --> 00:35:10.364 for us to be communicating with you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

 $00:35:10.370 \longrightarrow 00:35:12.738$  Umm, patients often get confused

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

 $00:35:12.738 \longrightarrow 00:35:15.050$  as to who they go to with questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

00:35:15.112 --> 00:35:17.100 really throughout their oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263 00:35:17.100 --> 00:35:17.410 Journey,

 $00:35:17.410 \longrightarrow 00:35:18.960$  but I would say especially

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

 $00:35:18.960 \longrightarrow 00:35:19.890$  when they're needing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

 $00:35:19.890 \longrightarrow 00:35:21.418$  when they're more symptomatic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

00:35:21.418 --> 00:35:23.710 And I think having open lines

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

 $00{:}35{:}23.783 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}25.978$  of communication between UNC and

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

 $00:35:25.978 \longrightarrow 00:35:27.734$  palliative care is important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

 $00:35:27.740 \longrightarrow 00:35:29.846$  And then I would say 1 Pearl that I

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

 $00{:}35{:}29.846 \to 00{:}35{:}32.038$  have around end of life and goals

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

 $00:35:32.038 \longrightarrow 00:35:33.858$  of care communications is that if

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

 $00:35:33.858 \longrightarrow 00:35:35.587$  you can do it early and often,

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

 $00:35:35.590 \longrightarrow 00:35:36.526$  it's really helpful.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

 $00{:}35{:}36.526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}39.262$  So that the slow drip of information

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

 $00{:}35{:}39.262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}41.806$  around goals of care and around

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

 $00{:}35{:}41.806 \dashrightarrow 00{:}35{:}43.745$  definitions of palliative care

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

 $00:35:43.745 \longrightarrow 00:35:45.669$  and Hospice and destigmatizing,

 $00:35:45.670 \longrightarrow 00:35:47.566$  all of that is critical and it often.

NOTE Confidence: 0.862208922105263

00:35:47.570 --> 00:35:48.800 Takes multiple visits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00:35:52.410 \longrightarrow 00:35:53.935$  Yeah. I totally agree and

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00:35:53.935 \longrightarrow 00:35:54.850$  appreciate your thoughts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00:35:54.850 \longrightarrow 00:35:56.920$  I think that sometimes there's an

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00:35:56.920 \longrightarrow 00:35:58.966$  extreme stereotype that an oncologist is

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00:35:58.966 \longrightarrow 00:36:01.398$  always going to want to treat a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00:36:01.464 \longrightarrow 00:36:03.648$  regardless of where they are at stage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00{:}36{:}03.650 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}05.450$  And I think hearing and obviously

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00:36:05.450 \longrightarrow 00:36:07.762$  knowing that all of us have hearts

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00{:}36{:}07.762 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}09.868$  and are realizing that patients have

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00:36:09.868 \longrightarrow 00:36:11.546$  functional status and family members

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

00:36:11.546 --> 00:36:13.636 can appear to see what's going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00:36:13.636 \longrightarrow 00:36:14.566$  And I think you're right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00:36:14.570 \longrightarrow 00:36:16.250$  the more we plant seeds of conversation

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00:36:16.250 \longrightarrow 00:36:17.961$  and sometimes for our patients like if

00:36:17.961 --> 00:36:19.377 they have other health problems and

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00{:}36{:}19.422 \to 00{:}36{:}21.109$  you're seeing them and then you're like,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00:36:21.110 \longrightarrow 00:36:22.290$  how is their cancer treatment?

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

00:36:22.290 --> 00:36:24.210 Knowing you can kind of nicely

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

00:36:24.210 --> 00:36:26.100 insert you know what's going on.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00:36:26.100 \longrightarrow 00:36:27.432$  But I think what's great about

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00:36:27.432 \longrightarrow 00:36:28.320$  us and having epic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00:36:28.320 \longrightarrow 00:36:30.435$  which I didn't have as I was in private

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

00:36:30.435 --> 00:36:31.998 practice is that I can communicate

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00:36:31.998 \longrightarrow 00:36:33.635$  with all of these oncology staff

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

00:36:33.635 --> 00:36:35.200 members either through an annoying

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00:36:35.200 \longrightarrow 00:36:37.044$  instant message or just a regular

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00{:}36{:}37.044 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}39.380$  message or see seeing a note to them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00:36:39.380 \longrightarrow 00:36:41.144$  And so we can really improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00:36:41.144 \longrightarrow 00:36:42.320$  our conversations that way.

 $00:36:42.320 \longrightarrow 00:36:44.039$  And then if we need to have a real

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00{:}36{:}44.039 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}45.281$  phone conversation and talk to

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00{:}36{:}45.281 \dashrightarrow 00{:}36{:}47.063$  them about like what do you think

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

00:36:47.063 --> 00:36:48.736 for this patient and should I try

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00:36:48.736 \longrightarrow 00:36:51.050$  to counsel them about end of life

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

00:36:51.050 --> 00:36:52.880 issues or Hospice or palliation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

00:36:52.880 --> 00:36:53.621 That, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00:36:53.621 \longrightarrow 00:36:54.856$  we're all moving towards that

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00:36:54.856 \longrightarrow 00:36:55.830$  in the same way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

00:36:55.830 --> 00:36:58.196 And my final point on this was

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00{:}36{:}58.196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}00.184$  obviously when everyone is on the

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00:37:00.184 \longrightarrow 00:37:01.990$  same page and the patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

00:37:01.990 --> 00:37:04.048 hearing things from the oncologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00:37:04.050 \longrightarrow 00:37:05.802$  but you have these options and

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00:37:05.802 \longrightarrow 00:37:06.970$  they're hearing something similar

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00:37:07.016 \dashrightarrow 00:37:08.426$  from their primary care doctor.

00:37:08.430 --> 00:37:10.422 It can only go better because if you've

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

00:37:10.422 --> 00:37:12.096 ever spent time in a Hospice care

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00:37:12.096 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.169$  setting like I have as a medical student,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00:37:14.170 \longrightarrow 00:37:14.944$  I loved it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00:37:14.944 \dashrightarrow 00:37:16.750$  The nurse said the perfect moment is

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00:37:16.810 \dashrightarrow 00:37:18.658$  when everyone is at the same decision

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00:37:18.658 \longrightarrow 00:37:20.689$  point at an end of life situation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00:37:20.690 \longrightarrow 00:37:22.240$  So when we've decided that

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00:37:22.240 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.790$  treatment is no longer valuable.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00:37:23.790 \longrightarrow 00:37:25.638$  But we can get every person in that

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00:37:25.638 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.006$  person's family as well as the

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

00:37:27.006 --> 00:37:28.284 patient and the team all saying,

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00:37:28.290 \longrightarrow 00:37:30.066$  yes, this is where we are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00{:}37{:}30.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}31.390$  We're in a good place.

NOTE Confidence: 0.889085795

 $00:37:31.390 \longrightarrow 00:37:32.320$  We're in a good place.

00:37:37.690 --> 00:37:39.440 Thank you for allowing us to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00{:}37{:}39.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}40.736$  that different discussion, Pam.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

00:37:40.736 --> 00:37:42.920 I really appreciate it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:37:42.920 \longrightarrow 00:37:44.585$  So our last case kind of at first I

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:37:44.585 \longrightarrow 00:37:46.347$  was like well is this really a case

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:37:46.347 \longrightarrow 00:37:48.144$  we're going to do and of course it

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:37:48.144 \longrightarrow 00:37:50.090$  is because it's still part of GI

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:37:50.090 \longrightarrow 00:37:53.065$  cancer and this is another case that

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00{:}37{:}53.065 \dashrightarrow 00{:}37{:}56.776$  I wanted to make everyone aware of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:37:56.780 \longrightarrow 00:37:58.844$  I have a 56 year old woman who

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:37:58.844 \longrightarrow 00:38:00.302$  presented to a surgeon actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:38:00.302 \longrightarrow 00:38:02.360$  she had a few month history of

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:38:02.420 \longrightarrow 00:38:04.580$  increasing rectal pain and bleeding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:38:04.580 \longrightarrow 00:38:06.526$  And of course, we need to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:38:06.526 \longrightarrow 00:38:08.349$  about what those things could be.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:38:08.350 \longrightarrow 00:38:09.946$  But I want to insert my thoughts,

 $00:38:09.950 \longrightarrow 00:38:11.455$  which is that so many of us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

00:38:11.460 --> 00:38:13.640 as primary care doctors say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:38:13.640 \longrightarrow 00:38:15.240$  must be a hemorrhoid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

00:38:15.240 --> 00:38:16.084 Oh, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

00:38:16.084 --> 00:38:17.638 maybe it's a fissure if it's painful,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:38:17.640 \longrightarrow 00:38:19.120$  but if it's really a lump down there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:38:19.120 \longrightarrow 00:38:20.056$  it's got to be a hemorrhoid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:38:20.060 \longrightarrow 00:38:21.200 \text{ I don't need to see it.}$ 

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:38:21.200 \longrightarrow 00:38:23.216$  I don't need to look at it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:38:23.220 \longrightarrow 00:38:25.722$  You know, let's just talk about 6 fast and

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:38:25.722 \longrightarrow 00:38:27.980$  let's talk about avoiding Constipation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:38:27.980 \longrightarrow 00:38:29.954$  But obviously this case is a is

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

00:38:29.954 --> 00:38:31.200 a GI cancer case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:38:31.200 \longrightarrow 00:38:32.916$  So that's not where we're going.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:38:32.920 \longrightarrow 00:38:34.432$  Where we're going is a basic concept

 $00:38:34.432 \longrightarrow 00:38:36.337$  that I want to emphasize to all of you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00{:}38{:}36.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}38{:}37.570$  When your patient feels a lump

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:38:37.570 \longrightarrow 00:38:38.538$  in their \*\*\*\*\* area,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:38:38.538 \longrightarrow 00:38:40.626$  we need to do that exam.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:38:40.630 \longrightarrow 00:38:43.374$  We need to actually take a step further.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:38:43.380 \longrightarrow 00:38:44.253$  In this situation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:38:44.253 \longrightarrow 00:38:45.999$  the patient mentioned that her pain

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:38:45.999 \longrightarrow 00:38:47.700$  was worse with bowel movements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:38:47.700 \longrightarrow 00:38:48.396$  On exam,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:38:48.396 \longrightarrow 00:38:51.700$  she had a 2 by 3 centimeter anal mass.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:38:51.700 \longrightarrow 00:38:53.996$  A biopsy of the mass did reveal

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

00:38:53.996 --> 00:38:54.980 squamous cell carcinoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:38:54.980 \longrightarrow 00:38:56.972$  Its P 16 positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

00:38:56.972 --> 00:38:59.960 She underwent full staging and fortunately

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:39:00.049 \longrightarrow 00:39:02.909$  didn't have evidence of metastasis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:39:02.910 \longrightarrow 00:39:04.714$  Her treatment has involved

 $00:39:04.714 \longrightarrow 00:39:06.969$  chemotherapy as well as radiotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:39:06.970 \dashrightarrow 00:39:09.282$  But in a moment I'm going to you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:39:09.282 \longrightarrow 00:39:11.507$  going to see some graphic images.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

00:39:11.510 --> 00:39:13.827 But I requested this of our surgeon,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711 00:39:13.830 --> 00:39:14.103 Scott,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00{:}39{:}14.103 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}16.014$  and so appreciative that he wants to

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:39:16.014 \longrightarrow 00:39:18.299$  take a moment to tell us a little bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:39:18.299 \longrightarrow 00:39:20.193$  about what is a hemorrhoid look like

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:39:20.193 \longrightarrow 00:39:22.280$  and what does an anal cancer look like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711 00:39:22.280 --> 00:39:22.485 So, NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:39:22.485 \longrightarrow 00:39:23.305$  as it says here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:39:23.310 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.646$  warning graphic images on their way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.881112711

 $00:39:26.650 \longrightarrow 00:39:27.598$  Thank you so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859832306

00:39:29.200 --> 00:39:32.476 Hi guys. First I want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.859832306

00:39:32.476 --> 00:39:34.660 basically demystify the \*\*\*\*.

 $00:39:34.660 \longrightarrow 00:39:36.298$  The \*\*\*\* is just a part of your body,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859832306

00:39:36.300 --> 00:39:38.790 like everybody, like everything else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859832306

 $00:39:38.790 \longrightarrow 00:39:41.174$  And looking at and evaluating the unit should

NOTE Confidence: 0.859832306

 $00:39:41.174 \dashrightarrow 00:39:43.745$  be done just like any other piece of skin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859832306

 $00:39:43.750 \longrightarrow 00:39:46.135$  In order to look, you have to have an

NOTE Confidence: 0.859832306

 $00:39:46.135 \longrightarrow 00:39:48.326$  assistant to kind of hold the cheeks apart.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859832306

 $00:39:48.330 \longrightarrow 00:39:50.500$  And if you lay the patient on their left hand

NOTE Confidence: 0.859832306

00:39:50.555 --> 00:39:52.490 side and you kind of hold the cheeks up,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859832306

 $00{:}39{:}52.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}39{:}54.680$  you'll be able to see the

NOTE Confidence: 0.859832306

 $00:39:54.680 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.140$  entire anal area carefully.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859832306

 $00:39:56.140 \longrightarrow 00:39:56.980$  If you look at these,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859832306

 $00:39:56.980 \longrightarrow 00:40:01.348$  they're 5 pictures here, the anal cancer one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859832306

 $00{:}40{:}01.350 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}02.838$ you can look and say it's almost looks

NOTE Confidence: 0.859832306

00:40:02.838 --> 00:40:04.490 like a hemorrhoid, but if you touched it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859832306

 $00:40:04.490 \longrightarrow 00:40:06.578$  it would be firm and irregular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859832306

 $00:40:06.580 \longrightarrow 00:40:08.414$  Anal cancer is in the anal area.

 $00:40:08.420 \longrightarrow 00:40:09.883$  Skin cancer the anal area are just

NOTE Confidence: 0.859832306

 $00{:}40{:}09.883 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}11.327$  like skin cancer is in other places.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859832306

00:40:11.330 --> 00:40:14.418 They're generally firm, irregular,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859832306

00:40:14.418 --> 00:40:17.506 discolored doesn't look normal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859832306

 $00:40:17.510 \longrightarrow 00:40:20.048$  So if you just think about that and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.859832306

00:40:20.048 --> 00:40:22.339 think about anything that's abnormal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859832306

00:40:22.340 --> 00:40:24.260 More likely that that's the thing they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.859832306

 $00:40:24.260 \longrightarrow 00:40:26.357$  to worry about in the send to a specialist.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859832306

 $00:40:26.360 \longrightarrow 00:40:27.664$  But look and feel.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859832306

 $00:40:27.664 \longrightarrow 00:40:29.294$  If you look and feel,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859832306

 $00{:}40{:}29.300 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}32.618$  you will not make mistakes frequently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859832306

 $00:40:32.620 \longrightarrow 00:40:34.285$  If you look at the pictures of the right,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859832306

 $00:40:34.290 \longrightarrow 00:40:35.438$  these are anal warts.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859832306

 $00:40:35.438 \longrightarrow 00:40:36.873$  Warts look relatively the same

NOTE Confidence: 0.859832306

 $00:40:36.873 \longrightarrow 00:40:38.519$  in multiple different areas.

 $00:40:38.520 \longrightarrow 00:40:40.504$  The middle one at the bottom are hemorrhoids.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859832306

 $00:40:40.510 \longrightarrow 00:40:42.414$  Now if you touch all of these different

NOTE Confidence: 0.859832306

 $00:40:42.414 \longrightarrow 00:40:45.570$  things, they will be all different.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859832306

 $00:40:45.570 \longrightarrow 00:40:47.650$  But the annual cancer is firm,

NOTE Confidence: 0.859832306

 $00:40:47.650 \longrightarrow 00:40:51.410$  irregular, discolored.

NOTE Confidence: 0.859832306

 $00:40:51.410 \longrightarrow 00:40:52.010$  Next slide. NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

 $00:40:54.270 \longrightarrow 00:40:55.705$  So I just want to talk briefly

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

 $00{:}40{:}55.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}40{:}56.859$  about anal squamous cell cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8614070533333333

00:40:56.860 --> 00:40:59.898 So it's a relatively faster growing cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

 $00:40:59.898 \longrightarrow 00:41:03.088$  especially in the immune compromised group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

 $00{:}41{:}03.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}04.680$  Now that people have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

00:41:04.680 --> 00:41:06.270 living much longer with HIV,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

 $00:41:06.270 \longrightarrow 00:41:08.293$  they are now getting secondary and tertiary

NOTE Confidence: 0.8614070533333333

 $00{:}41{:}08.293 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}10.208$  diseases and this is a very common one.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

 $00:41:10.210 \longrightarrow 00:41:13.255$  Anal squamous cell cancer is analogous to

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

 $00:41:13.255 \longrightarrow 00:41:16.490$  cervical and vaginal squamous cell cancer.

 $00:41:16.490 \longrightarrow 00:41:19.440$  It's similar tissues involving the

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

 $00{:}41{:}19.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}22.045$  similar HPV source just to remind

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

00:41:22.045 --> 00:41:25.357 everybody if you test 20 to 30 year old.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

 $00:41:25.360 \longrightarrow 00:41:28.188$  Kids the day you test them 80%

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

00:41:28.190 --> 00:41:30.948 have HIV have HPV virus on their

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

 $00:41:30.948 \longrightarrow 00:41:33.450$  body the day you test them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

00:41:33.450 --> 00:41:35.928 So it's very common high risk groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

 $00:41:35.930 \longrightarrow 00:41:37.196$  men who have sex with men,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

00:41:37.200 --> 00:41:39.918 HIV positive patients and or people

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

00:41:39.918 --> 00:41:41.730 who have immune compromised,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8614070533333333

 $00{:}41{:}41.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}43.860$  we're getting more and more immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

 $00:41:43.860 \longrightarrow 00:41:45.790$  compromised patients with liver transplants

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

 $00{:}41{:}45.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}47.510$  and kidney transplants et cetera.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

 $00{:}41{:}47.510 \dashrightarrow 00{:}41{:}49.582$  And also any body who has a history

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

 $00:41:49.582 \longrightarrow 00:41:51.497$  of HPV disease not infection but

00:41:51.497 --> 00:41:53.417 disease which are warts both in

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

 $00:41:53.417 \longrightarrow 00:41:55.436$  the front and the back genital.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

 $00:41:55.440 \longrightarrow 00:41:57.072$  As well as dysplasia of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

 $00:41:57.072 \longrightarrow 00:41:58.160$  cervix or the vagina.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

 $00:41:58.160 \longrightarrow 00:42:00.351$  So there's a large group of people

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

 $00:42:00.351 \longrightarrow 00:42:03.032$  who are at high risk and I put

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

 $00:42:03.032 \longrightarrow 00:42:05.197$  anal pap smear as prevention here

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

00:42:05.197 --> 00:42:07.322 because as analogous tissue prior

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

 $00:42:07.322 \longrightarrow 00:42:09.002$  to the papilloma testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

 $00:42:09.002 \longrightarrow 00:42:11.816$  cervical cancer had something like a 90%

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

00:42:11.820 --> 00:42:14.455 mortality rate and we've significantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

00:42:14.455 --> 00:42:17.090 dropped death rates because we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

 $00:42:17.165 \longrightarrow 00:42:20.014$  finding cancer in the pre cancer stage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8614070533333333

 $00:42:20.020 \longrightarrow 00:42:21.210$  Now best as I can tell there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

 $00:42:21.210 \longrightarrow 00:42:22.377$  only a couple ways to do that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

 $00{:}42{:}22.380 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}24.355$  That's with polyps and colorectal

 $00:42:24.355 \longrightarrow 00:42:25.540$  cancer you prevent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

 $00:42:25.540 \longrightarrow 00:42:28.046$  Answer If you find people who have

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

00:42:28.046 --> 00:42:30.778 dysplasia on anal or cervical pap smears,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

 $00:42:30.780 \longrightarrow 00:42:32.740$  you can keep them from getting cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

 $00:42:32.740 \longrightarrow 00:42:34.100$  So in the back of your mind you

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

00:42:34.100 --> 00:42:36.252 have to remember HIV, HIV positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

 $00:42:36.252 \longrightarrow 00:42:38.040$  low immune system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

 $00:42:38.040 \longrightarrow 00:42:40.840$  Men who have sex with men or who

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

00:42:40.840 --> 00:42:42.812 have previous HPV disease should

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333

 $00:42:42.812 \longrightarrow 00:42:45.584$  have anal pap smears once a year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.861407053333333 00:42:45.590 --> 00:42:46.010 I'm done.

NOTE Confidence: 0.68871095

 $00:42:51.980 \longrightarrow 00:42:53.572$  Justin, sorry, just just

NOTE Confidence: 0.68871095

 $00{:}42{:}53.572 \dashrightarrow 00{:}42{:}55.276$  unmuting there. Thanks Scott.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:42:55.280 \longrightarrow 00:42:57.366$  So just to finish up the discussion

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:42:57.366 \longrightarrow 00:42:59.487$  because I'm going to change the discussion

 $00:42:59.487 \longrightarrow 00:43:01.640$  a little bit here with this slide.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

00:43:01.640 --> 00:43:03.728 But with you know with one

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:43:03.728 \longrightarrow 00:43:05.540$  comment I'll make about anal,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:43:05.540 \longrightarrow 00:43:06.840$  anal squamous cell carcinoma is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:43:06.840 \longrightarrow 00:43:08.465$  is that's because these patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:43:08.465 \longrightarrow 00:43:09.440$  are often immunocompromised,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:43:09.440 \longrightarrow 00:43:11.465$  doesn't mean that they're not

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:43:11.465 \longrightarrow 00:43:13.085$  candidates for aggressive therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:43:13.090 \longrightarrow 00:43:14.870$  chemotherapy and radiation actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:43:14.870 \longrightarrow 00:43:17.095$  plenty of studies show that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00{:}43{:}17.100 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}19.018$  They do just as well and tolerate

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:43:19.018 \longrightarrow 00:43:21.407$  it just as well with few exceptions

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:43:21.410 \longrightarrow 00:43:23.041$  and it is a disease that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

00:43:23.041 --> 00:43:24.562 can cure with chemotherapy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:43:24.562 \longrightarrow 00:43:26.830$  radiation and and avoid surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:43:26.830 \longrightarrow 00:43:27.086$  So.

 $00:43:27.086 \longrightarrow 00:43:28.878$  So that's always the goal and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:43:28.878 \longrightarrow 00:43:30.857$  know surgery is used more as a

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:43:30.857 \longrightarrow 00:43:32.267$  salvage technique for these patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:43:32.270 \longrightarrow 00:43:34.734$  Should they not fully respond and go

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:43:34.734 \longrightarrow 00:43:36.595$  into complete remission with with

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:43:36.595 \longrightarrow 00:43:38.445$  their chemotherapy and radiation or

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:43:38.445 \longrightarrow 00:43:40.567$  should they recur later on because

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00{:}43{:}40.567 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}42.152$ you know radiation can really

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:43:42.152 \longrightarrow 00:43:44.490$  only be given once and at that

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:43:44.490 \longrightarrow 00:43:46.190$  point you're you're really reliant

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00{:}43{:}46.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}47.858$  on what the surgeon can do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:43:47.860 \longrightarrow 00:43:49.796$  And I want to use this opportunity as

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00{:}43{:}49.796 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}51.253$  we're talking about rectal bleeding

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:43:51.253 \longrightarrow 00:43:53.101$  to highlight something I think most

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

00:43:53.101 --> 00:43:54.522 primary care doctors have already

 $00:43:54.522 \longrightarrow 00:43:56.112$  have noticed and are aware of.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00{:}43{:}56.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}43{:}59.823$  You know in both the medical literature

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:43:59.823 \longrightarrow 00:44:03.022$  and the literature that there has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:44:03.022 \longrightarrow 00:44:05.516$  a rise in diagnosis of colorectal

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:44:05.516 \longrightarrow 00:44:07.776$  cancer and specifically I'm talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:44:07.776 \longrightarrow 00:44:10.759$  about rectal cancer here in younger patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:44:10.760 \longrightarrow 00:44:13.832$  This is part of the reason why the

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

00:44:13.832 --> 00:44:17.158 screening age has been reduced from 50 to 45,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:44:17.160 \longrightarrow 00:44:17.526$  but.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

00:44:17.526 --> 00:44:18.990 But you know this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

00:44:18.990 --> 00:44:21.014 this slide is just to sort of highlight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:44:21.020 \longrightarrow 00:44:22.545$  this is also something you

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:44:22.545 \longrightarrow 00:44:23.765$  should be thinking about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:44:23.770 \longrightarrow 00:44:26.024$  You know if you're seeing a patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:44:26.024 \longrightarrow 00:44:28.414$  who has symptoms you maybe you do a

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00{:}44{:}28.414 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}30.460$  rectal exam and you don't really see

 $00:44:30.460 \longrightarrow 00:44:32.623$  anything on the on the rectal exam

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00{:}44{:}32.623 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}35.540$  that we we still have to to think

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:44:35.540 \longrightarrow 00:44:39.209$  about you know rectal cancer as a as

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:44:39.209 \longrightarrow 00:44:42.490$  a potential reason for bloody stools.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:44:42.490 \longrightarrow 00:44:45.148$  The current estimation is that there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:44:45.148 \longrightarrow 00:44:47.720$  about 18,000 new cases each year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:44:47.720 \longrightarrow 00:44:51.664$  And people under the age of 50 and

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00{:}44{:}51.664 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}53.852$  the colorectal cancer has been

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:44:53.852 \longrightarrow 00:44:56.390$  rising in terms of the leading

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00{:}44{:}56.473 \dashrightarrow 00{:}44{:}59.021$  causes of death and cancer death I

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

00:44:59.021 --> 00:45:01.407 should say and patients age 20 to

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

00:45:01.407 --> 00:45:03.864 49 as you can see this data from

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00{:}45{:}03.864 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}05.736$  the SEER database showing in men,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:45:05.740 \longrightarrow 00:45:08.020$  it is actually the number one

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

00:45:08.020 --> 00:45:10.984 now and women #3 so high high up

 $00:45:10.984 \longrightarrow 00:45:13.018$  on the list for for both.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:45:13.020 \longrightarrow 00:45:15.125$  Additionally we've we've known for

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

00:45:15.125 --> 00:45:17.723 some time now that that African

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:45:17.723 \longrightarrow 00:45:19.793$  Americans black patients are are

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:45:19.793 \longrightarrow 00:45:22.354$  more at risk for rectal cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:45:22.354 \longrightarrow 00:45:24.838$  but there have been recently more

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:45:24.838 \longrightarrow 00:45:27.131$  spikes in incidents in whites,

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

00:45:27.131 --> 00:45:29.566 Native Americans and Alaskan natives.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:45:29.570 \longrightarrow 00:45:31.370$  So that gap is is closing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:45:31.370 \longrightarrow 00:45:33.246$  So we have to be thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00{:}45{:}33.246 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}35.474$ it you know pretty pretty evenly

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:45:35.474 \longrightarrow 00:45:37.290$  across our patient population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:45:37.290 \longrightarrow 00:45:39.040$  The reason for this is still unclear

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:45:39.040 \longrightarrow 00:45:41.066$  a lot of smart people looking into

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:45:41.066 \longrightarrow 00:45:43.180$  this every conference I go to I'm.

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:45:43.180 \longrightarrow 00:45:45.040$  Always interested in what research

 $00:45:45.040 \longrightarrow 00:45:47.922$  is going on in terms of the causes

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00:45:47.922 \longrightarrow 00:45:50.148$  for this and what what they found

NOTE Confidence: 0.830697888095238

 $00{:}45{:}50.215 \dashrightarrow 00{:}45{:}52.380$  it is really nothing definitive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.927375925

 $00:45:52.380 \longrightarrow 00:45:56.818$  this is. A very complex subject with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.927375925

 $00:45:56.818 \longrightarrow 00:45:59.829$  lot of variables involved, but but some.

NOTE Confidence: 0.488105

 $00:46:01.980 \longrightarrow 00:46:02.520$  Up there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.47959912

 $00:46:10.420 \longrightarrow 00:46:12.769$  The other factor?

NOTE Confidence: 0.707675296533333

 $00{:}46{:}14.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}46{:}17.310$  Because there's of course been

NOTE Confidence: 0.707675296533333

00:46:17.310 --> 00:46:19.830 a rasterized kind of physical

NOTE Confidence: 0.707675296533333

 $00:46:19.914 \longrightarrow 00:46:22.349$  activity that we've also had.

NOTE Confidence: 0.707675296533333

00:46:22.350 --> 00:46:24.870 Some young face young folks in particular.

NOTE Confidence: 0.364809301333333

00:46:27.670 --> 00:46:32.326 Diets more prosthetic, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.364809301333333

00:46:32.326 --> 00:46:34.885 being used less, less, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.364809301333333

 $00:46:34.885 \longrightarrow 00:46:36.606$  cooking and less Whole Foods

NOTE Confidence: 0.364809301333333

 $00:46:36.606 \longrightarrow 00:46:39.217$  are being zoomed and a lot of

00:46:39.217 --> 00:46:41.536 interesting data in terms of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.364809301333333

 $00:46:41.536 \longrightarrow 00:46:43.560$  changes that are happening. Buy it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73048552

 $00:46:46.050 \longrightarrow 00:46:47.130$  It's very complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72365362

 $00:46:51.040 \longrightarrow 00:46:53.500$  That that could be linked here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.72365362

00:46:53.500 --> 00:46:57.512 but not, not, not yet. A lot more

NOTE Confidence: 0.72365362

 $00:46:57.512 \longrightarrow 00:46:59.640$  to to come over the coming years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.72365362

 $00:46:59.640 \longrightarrow 00:47:01.719$  So, so, so keep your eye out for that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87272695

 $00:47:06.460 \longrightarrow 00:47:09.420$  So that concludes the formal part

NOTE Confidence: 0.87272695

00:47:09.420 --> 00:47:12.420 of our talk, but I hope that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87272695

 $00:47:12.420 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.295$  you are thinking of questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87272695

00:47:14.300 --> 00:47:16.376 I see one has popped up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87272695

 $00:47:16.380 \longrightarrow 00:47:18.090$  Please enter them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87272695

 $00:47:18.090 \longrightarrow 00:47:19.800$  Before we answer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87272695

00:47:19.800 --> 00:47:23.064 I just want to put in a plug

NOTE Confidence: 0.87272695

 $00:47:23.064 \longrightarrow 00:47:25.504$  for another medical education

NOTE Confidence: 0.87272695

00:47:25.504 --> 00:47:29.294 opportunity called trust your gut.

00:47:29.300 --> 00:47:33.164 We're on March 16th, Chavier lore and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87272695

00:47:33.170 --> 00:47:35.972 Two, Kaship will present on colon

NOTE Confidence: 0.87272695

00:47:35.972 --> 00:47:38.315 cancer screening with an update

NOTE Confidence: 0.87272695

 $00{:}47{:}38.315 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}41.073$  and and this will involve a lot

NOTE Confidence: 0.87272695

00:47:41.073 --> 00:47:43.757 of details that Beth did not have

NOTE Confidence: 0.87272695

 $00:47:43.757 \longrightarrow 00:47:46.560$  time to cover when she covered the

NOTE Confidence: 0.87272695

00:47:46.560 --> 00:47:49.530 care signature pathway on you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87272695

 $00{:}47{:}49.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}53.630$  stool based screening when it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.87272695

 $00{:}47{:}53.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}56.090$  appropriate and reclassification

NOTE Confidence: 0.87272695

 $00{:}47{:}56.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}47{:}58.885$  of colon cancer screening to a

NOTE Confidence: 0.87272695

 $00{:}47{:}58.885 {\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}}{\:\raisebox{--}{\text{--}}} 00{:}48{:}01.306$  two step screening when a non

NOTE Confidence: 0.87272695

 $00:48:01.306 \longrightarrow 00:48:03.166$  invasive tool is used and.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87272695

 $00{:}48{:}03.170 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}05.324$  One of the most exciting developments

NOTE Confidence: 0.87272695

 $00:48:05.324 \longrightarrow 00:48:07.575$  the fact that that's now covered

NOTE Confidence: 0.87272695

00:48:07.575 --> 00:48:09.435 equally by insurance as screening

 $00:48:09.435 \longrightarrow 00:48:11.359$  if a Coloquard is positive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87272695

 $00:48:11.360 \longrightarrow 00:48:15.760$  So we will leave that up as well

NOTE Confidence: 0.87272695

00:48:15.760 --> 00:48:18.944 as kind of the announcement of next

NOTE Confidence: 0.87272695

 $00:48:18.944 \longrightarrow 00:48:21.916$  month where we will have palliative

NOTE Confidence: 0.87272695

 $00:48:21.916 \longrightarrow 00:48:26.130$  care and a more extensive discussion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87272695

 $00:48:26.130 \longrightarrow 00:48:28.675$  So I am going to move on to questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87272695

00:48:28.675 --> 00:48:30.685 We have one from Doctor Breyer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87272695

 $00:48:30.690 \longrightarrow 00:48:32.610$  Before we answer that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87272695

 $00:48:32.610 \longrightarrow 00:48:35.490$  Beth as one of the panelists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87272695

 $00:48:35.490 \longrightarrow 00:48:37.409$  do you have any additional questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.87272695

 $00:48:37.409 \longrightarrow 00:48:40.160$  for our SMILO colleagues at this time?

NOTE Confidence: 0.897480785

00:48:43.630 --> 00:48:45.526 Yeah, I do have a few,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897480785

 $00:48:45.530 \longrightarrow 00:48:47.888$  one of them and I think it it got

NOTE Confidence: 0.897480785

 $00{:}48{:}47.888 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}50.330$  brought up with the GYN cancer screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897480785

 $00:48:50.330 \longrightarrow 00:48:52.311$  But I know you touched a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.897480785

 $00:48:52.311 \longrightarrow 00:48:54.214$  bit about using tumor markers like

00:48:54.214 --> 00:48:56.182 CEA is an indicator of things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897480785

 $00{:}48{:}56.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}48{:}57.346$  So again sometimes patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.897480785

 $00:48:57.346 \longrightarrow 00:48:59.550$  get kind of hung up on things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897480785

00:48:59.550 --> 00:49:01.104 I haven't had one of these lately,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897480785

 $00:49:01.110 \longrightarrow 00:49:03.297$  but I get the sense that we're only going

NOTE Confidence: 0.897480785

 $00:49:03.297 \longrightarrow 00:49:05.197$  to be doing tumor marker assessments

NOTE Confidence: 0.897480785

 $00:49:05.197 \longrightarrow 00:49:07.410$  after we have a positive diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897480785

 $00:49:07.410 \longrightarrow 00:49:08.958$  But I wanted your thoughts on

NOTE Confidence: 0.897480785

00:49:08.958 --> 00:49:10.789 patient comes to me Doctor Allard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.897480785

 $00{:}49{:}10.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}12.770$  you know my so and so has colon cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.897480785

 $00:49:12.770 \longrightarrow 00:49:15.570$  Can we just do this? EA level?

NOTE Confidence: 0.897480785

 $00:49:15.570 \longrightarrow 00:49:17.290$  What's your thinking about that?

NOTE Confidence: 0.897480785

 $00:49:17.290 \longrightarrow 00:49:19.210$  Should I say no, and if so, why?

NOTE Confidence: 0.5072977225

 $00:49:26.040 \longrightarrow 00:49:27.640$  No, I don't want

NOTE Confidence: 0.910379835

 $00:49:27.650 \longrightarrow 00:49:28.370$  to take that one.

 $00:49:29.870 \longrightarrow 00:49:31.898$  Take that at all but but yes, no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73921858

 $00:49:31.898 \longrightarrow 00:49:33.386$  So you're exactly correct that this

NOTE Confidence: 0.73921858

 $00:49:33.386 \longrightarrow 00:49:35.188$  is really a post you know diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.73921858

 $00:49:35.188 \longrightarrow 00:49:37.001$  test that there has not been a

NOTE Confidence: 0.73921858

 $00:49:37.001 \longrightarrow 00:49:38.702$  study showing that this is a good

NOTE Confidence: 0.73921858

 $00:49:38.702 \longrightarrow 00:49:40.006$  screening test for colon cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73921858

 $00:49:40.006 \longrightarrow 00:49:41.910$  So. So that's what I usually advise

NOTE Confidence: 0.73921858

 $00:49:41.965 \longrightarrow 00:49:43.783$  patients that with you know without

NOTE Confidence: 0.73921858

 $00{:}49{:}43.783 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}49{:}45.573$ a diagnosis we've never really shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.73921858

 $00:49:45.573 \longrightarrow 00:49:47.669$  that that this test is going to detect

NOTE Confidence: 0.73921858

 $00{:}49{:}47.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}49.574$  if you have colon cancer or tell us

NOTE Confidence: 0.73921858

 $00:49:49.574 \longrightarrow 00:49:51.441$  if you're at a higher risk of colon

NOTE Confidence: 0.73921858

 $00{:}49{:}51.441 \dashrightarrow 00{:}49{:}53.289$  cancer or any of that information.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73921858

00:49:53.290 --> 00:49:55.593 So, so that's usually you know how

NOTE Confidence: 0.73921858

00:49:55.593 --> 00:49:58.461 how I advise I think in in my

NOTE Confidence: 0.73921858

 $00:49:58.461 \longrightarrow 00:50:00.938$  experience you know the CA 125.

 $00:50:00.938 \longrightarrow 00:50:03.408$  And the gynecologic malignancies because

NOTE Confidence: 0.73921858

 $00:50:03.408 \longrightarrow 00:50:07.190$  it's used, you know, so, so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73921858

00:50:07.190 --> 00:50:08.655 It's more commonly the question

NOTE Confidence: 0.73921858

 $00:50:08.655 \longrightarrow 00:50:10.624$  that that comes up but but CEA

NOTE Confidence: 0.73921858

00:50:10.624 --> 00:50:12.400 may come up from time to time and

NOTE Confidence: 0.73921858

00:50:12.463 --> 00:50:14.308 everybody's familiar with PSA which

NOTE Confidence: 0.73921858

 $00:50:14.308 \longrightarrow 00:50:16.153$  is a completely different story.

NOTE Confidence: 0.73921858

00:50:16.160 --> 00:50:17.504 And I would you tell patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.73921858

 $00{:}50{:}17.504 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}18.840$  there are you know studies showing

NOTE Confidence: 0.73921858

 $00:50:18.840 \longrightarrow 00:50:20.520$  that that can be an effective screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.73921858

 $00:50:20.560 \longrightarrow 00:50:21.988$  test although that is as you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.73921858

 $00:50:21.988 \longrightarrow 00:50:23.725$  it's primary care doctor still that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.73921858

 $00{:}50{:}23.725 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}26.050$  a matter of somewhat debate so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.562982446666667

 $00:50:27.460 \longrightarrow 00:50:29.689$  Yeah, go ahead.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863659388666667

 $00:50:29.920 \longrightarrow 00:50:31.488$  I'm sorry I was just going to chime

00:50:31.488 --> 00:50:33.200 in and and support Justin as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863659388666667

 $00{:}50{:}33.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}36.091$  And I think that's a that's that's

NOTE Confidence: 0.863659388666667

00:50:36.091 --> 00:50:38.222 a very realistic I think thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.863659388666667

00:50:38.222 --> 00:50:39.674 because often even when you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.863659388666667

 $00:50:39.674 \longrightarrow 00:50:41.477$  you do a screening colonoscopy you

NOTE Confidence: 0.863659388666667

 $00{:}50{:}41.477 \dashrightarrow 00{:}50{:}43.177$  know sometimes patients have read

NOTE Confidence: 0.863659388666667

00:50:43.177 --> 00:50:45.304 on the Internet and or whatever and

NOTE Confidence: 0.863659388666667

00:50:45.304 --> 00:50:47.214 they'll say well should I just get

NOTE Confidence: 0.863659388666667

 $00:50:47.214 \longrightarrow 00:50:49.668$  the CEA as well at that time and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.863659388666667

 $00:50:49.668 \longrightarrow 00:50:51.654$  the answer there obviously is no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863659388666667

 $00:50:51.660 \longrightarrow 00:50:53.604$  I mean there are very rare

NOTE Confidence: 0.863659388666667

 $00:50:53.604 \longrightarrow 00:50:55.670$  circumstances well where we will do

NOTE Confidence: 0.863659388666667

 $00:50:55.670 \longrightarrow 00:50:57.430$  it without a diagnosis sometimes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863659388666667

 $00:50:57.430 \longrightarrow 00:50:58.494$  And this is rare.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863659388666667

00:50:58.494 --> 00:51:00.880 If we have a patient who's sort of got,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863659388666667

 $00{:}51{:}00.880 \to 00{:}51{:}02.810$  you know, this diverticular disease

00:51:02.810 --> 00:51:05.628 that doesn't get better and and they may

NOTE Confidence: 0.863659388666667

 $00{:}51{:}05.628 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}07.930$  have a small Abscess at the same time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863659388666667

00:51:07.930 --> 00:51:10.149 And imaging is sort of you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863659388666667

 $00:51:10.150 \longrightarrow 00:51:13.147$  maybe concerning a little bit for more of a,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863659388666667

 $00:51:13.150 \longrightarrow 00:51:16.363$  you know, a thickening or a mass like lesion.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863659388666667

00:51:16.370 --> 00:51:18.477 We might do it in that setting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863659388666667

 $00:51:18.480 \longrightarrow 00:51:21.490$  you know, before a colonoscopy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863659388666667

 $00:51:21.490 \longrightarrow 00:51:22.402$  And I think that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863659388666667

 $00{:}51{:}22.402 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}24.071$  you know there are very rare circumstances

NOTE Confidence: 0.863659388666667

 $00:51:24.071 \longrightarrow 00:51:26.339$  where we might use it and I think the

NOTE Confidence: 0.863659388666667

 $00:51:26.396 \longrightarrow 00:51:28.226$  other thing that's important to note.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863659388666667

 $00:51:28.230 \longrightarrow 00:51:31.542$  Is when patients come in and they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.863659388666667

 $00{:}51{:}31.542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}34.673$  verified colon cancer by pathology and on

NOTE Confidence: 0.863659388666667

 $00:51:34.673 \longrightarrow 00:51:37.759$  colonoscopy amass and their CA is normal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863659388666667

 $00:51:37.760 \longrightarrow 00:51:39.260$  It's important to tell patients

 $00:51:39.260 \longrightarrow 00:51:40.760$  at that time as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863659388666667

 $00{:}51{:}40.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}51{:}43.175$  But not all colon cancers make CEA

NOTE Confidence: 0.863659388666667

 $00:51:43.175 \longrightarrow 00:51:45.482$  and that that's an important thing

NOTE Confidence: 0.863659388666667

00:51:45.482 --> 00:51:47.876 because it's not always you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863659388666667

 $00:51:47.880 \longrightarrow 00:51:51.168$  it doesn't always portend a great

NOTE Confidence: 0.863659388666667

00:51:51.168 --> 00:51:53.315 prognosis and so you know these

NOTE Confidence: 0.863659388666667

 $00:51:53.315 \longrightarrow 00:51:54.910$  nuances are important and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.863659388666667

00:51:54.979 --> 00:51:56.419 I I love that question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863659388666667

 $00:51:56.420 \longrightarrow 00:51:57.750$  it's such a great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860164780454545

00:51:59.240 --> 00:52:01.935 Well, sometime we'll bring back a group

NOTE Confidence: 0.860164780454545

 $00:52:01.935 \longrightarrow 00:52:04.944$  to talk about what is being referred to

NOTE Confidence: 0.860164780454545

 $00:52:04.944 \longrightarrow 00:52:07.959$  as liquid biopsy in the late literature.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860164780454545

 $00:52:07.960 \longrightarrow 00:52:10.417$  That is will be on our minds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860164780454545

 $00:52:10.420 \longrightarrow 00:52:13.136$  I'm going to move to Doctor Breyer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860164780454545

00:52:13.140 --> 00:52:14.820 There's question who points out

NOTE Confidence: 0.860164780454545

 $00:52:14.820 \longrightarrow 00:52:17.030$  that there was a wonderful lecture,

 $00:52:17.030 \longrightarrow 00:52:18.822$  this was at a general internal medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.860164780454545

 $00:52:18.822 \longrightarrow 00:52:21.405$  grand rounds, about the care of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.860164780454545

 $00:52:21.405 \longrightarrow 00:52:23.057$  living with developmental disorders.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860164780454545

00:52:23.060 --> 00:52:26.280 And as these patients are living longer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860164780454545

 $00:52:26.280 \longrightarrow 00:52:30.288$  is there a recommendation about screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.860164780454545

 $00:52:30.288 \longrightarrow 00:52:33.480$  them or those who are conserved,

NOTE Confidence: 0.860164780454545

 $00:52:33.480 \longrightarrow 00:52:36.640$  for example, with mental illness?

NOTE Confidence: 0.860164780454545

 $00:52:36.640 \longrightarrow 00:52:38.904$  I feel like this might be as much

NOTE Confidence: 0.860164780454545

 $00{:}52{:}38.904 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}40.580$  primary care as anything else.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860164780454545

 $00:52:40.580 \longrightarrow 00:52:42.012$  I don't know that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.860164780454545

 $00:52:42.012 \longrightarrow 00:52:43.444$  Do you have a?

NOTE Confidence: 0.860164780454545

 $00:52:43.450 \longrightarrow 00:52:44.570$  A thought about that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488242692

 $00:52:46.720 \longrightarrow 00:52:48.520$  I think I kind of look at like what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488242692

 $00:52:48.574 \longrightarrow 00:52:50.548$  guideline says and say how functioning is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488242692

 $00:52:50.548 \longrightarrow 00:52:52.558$  that individual and if we detect the cancer,

 $00:52:52.560 \longrightarrow 00:52:54.216$  what is it we're going to do afterward,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488242692

 $00{:}52{:}54.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}52{:}56.128$  right. So if there's an anticipated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488242692

 $00:52:56.128 \longrightarrow 00:52:58.180$  process by which that person's going

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488242692

 $00:52:58.180 \longrightarrow 00:53:00.352$  to be supported through their cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488242692

 $00:53:00.352 \longrightarrow 00:53:02.225$  diagnosis and could under undergo

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488242692

00:53:02.225 --> 00:53:04.035 surgical procedure and so forth,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488242692

 $00:53:04.040 \longrightarrow 00:53:06.784$  then I would lean towards it versus someone

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488242692

 $00:53:06.784 \longrightarrow 00:53:09.580$  that has a lot more limited functioning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488242692

 $00:53:09.580 \longrightarrow 00:53:10.920$  So I think it's hard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488242692

 $00:53:10.920 \longrightarrow 00:53:12.180$  Also, the first thing that pops

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488242692

 $00:53:12.180 \longrightarrow 00:53:13.600$  into my little head is Cologuard.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488242692

 $00:53:13.600 \longrightarrow 00:53:15.040$  I'm like, oh, let's screen some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488242692

 $00:53:15.040 \longrightarrow 00:53:16.620$  of these folks that way because.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488242692

00:53:16.620 --> 00:53:18.993 It's just so much of an easier

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488242692

 $00:53:18.993 \longrightarrow 00:53:20.749$  process than preparing for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488242692

 $00:53:20.749 \longrightarrow 00:53:22.414$  colonoscopy and that might feel

 $00:53:22.414 \longrightarrow 00:53:24.697$  like a cheap out to some of you.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488242692

00:53:24.700 --> 00:53:26.812 But I look at the whole patient and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488242692

00:53:26.812 --> 00:53:29.548 say let's not put them through things

NOTE Confidence: 0.8488242692

 $00:53:29.548 \longrightarrow 00:53:31.268$  that aren't unnecessarily complicated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788603228333333

 $00:53:31.960 \longrightarrow 00:53:33.136$  I think that's a great point.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788603228333333

00:53:33.140 --> 00:53:35.780 I mean I've had a lot of patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788603228333333

00:53:35.780 --> 00:53:36.928 you know, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788603228333333

 $00:53:36.928 \longrightarrow 00:53:39.773$  I think we have a lot of patients that

NOTE Confidence: 0.788603228333333

 $00{:}53{:}39.773 \longrightarrow 00{:}53{:}42.223$  are autistic and you know doing a

NOTE Confidence: 0.788603228333333

 $00{:}53{:}42.297 \to 00{:}53{:}44.817$  prep requires a whole family effort.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788603228333333

 $00:53:44.820 \longrightarrow 00:53:47.529$  It's not an easy thing to do.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788603228333333

 $00:53:47.530 \longrightarrow 00:53:49.746$  Um to support a patient through that process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788603228333333

 $00{:}53{:}49.750 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}52.326$  And so I wholly agree with you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788603228333333

 $00{:}53{:}52.330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}53{:}55.156$  it's got to be a conversation

NOTE Confidence: 0.788603228333333

 $00:53:55.156 \longrightarrow 00:53:58.130$  between the family the caregivers,

00:53:58.130 --> 00:54:00.200 the primary care team about really

NOTE Confidence: 0.788603228333333

 $00{:}54{:}00.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}02.561$  trying to find what that you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.788603228333333

 $00:54:02.561 \longrightarrow 00:54:04.703$  I always say you know on screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.788603228333333

 $00:54:04.774 \longrightarrow 00:54:07.222$  talks you know the people ask me well

NOTE Confidence: 0.788603228333333

 $00:54:07.222 \longrightarrow 00:54:09.228$  what's the best test the screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.788603228333333

 $00{:}54{:}09.228 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}11.649$  test for colon cancer and it's the

NOTE Confidence: 0.788603228333333

 $00:54:11.649 \longrightarrow 00:54:13.809$  one that you're able to get and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.788603228333333

00:54:13.809 --> 00:54:16.260 you know if you if you're able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.788603228333333

 $00{:}54{:}16.260 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}17.720$  get multiple ones then getting.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788603228333333

00:54:17.720 --> 00:54:19.370 You know, a colonoscopy or Coloquard,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788603228333333

 $00{:}54{:}19.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}20.984$  you know those are great things

NOTE Confidence: 0.788603228333333

 $00:54:20.984 \longrightarrow 00:54:23.329$  but I don't think we can be overly

NOTE Confidence: 0.788603228333333

 $00:54:23.329 \longrightarrow 00:54:24.839$  judgmental when we're looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.788603228333333

 $00:54:24.839 \longrightarrow 00:54:26.631$  these sorts of special circumstances

NOTE Confidence: 0.788603228333333

 $00:54:26.631 \longrightarrow 00:54:29.070$  because it's a better situation to do

NOTE Confidence: 0.788603228333333

 $00{:}54{:}29.070 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}31.390$  a test that is practical to be able

 $00:54:31.390 \longrightarrow 00:54:34.373$  to get done then not do anything at all.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788603228333333

 $00:54:34.380 \longrightarrow 00:54:36.096$  Because we all know of patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.788603228333333

00:54:36.096 --> 00:54:37.497 that just say, well you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788603228333333

 $00:54:37.497 \longrightarrow 00:54:39.059$  I'm not going to do it at all

NOTE Confidence: 0.788603228333333

 $00:54:39.059 \longrightarrow 00:54:40.879$  because it's just too hard for this

NOTE Confidence: 0.788603228333333

 $00:54:40.879 \longrightarrow 00:54:42.298$  individual patient to be able to

NOTE Confidence: 0.788603228333333

 $00:54:42.298 \longrightarrow 00:54:43.150$  go through that process.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788603228333333

 $00:54:43.150 \longrightarrow 00:54:44.202$  So they, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.788603228333333

 $00{:}54{:}44.202 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}46.360$  I think it's a really great question.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788603228333333

 $00:54:46.360 \longrightarrow 00:54:47.926$  You know, it's, it's a really.

NOTE Confidence: 0.788603228333333 00:54:48.610 --> 00:54:49.240 Now I, NOTE Confidence: 0.823603508181818

 $00:54:49.250 \longrightarrow 00:54:51.650$  I and I I will just chime in one step

NOTE Confidence: 0.823603508181818

 $00{:}54{:}51.722 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}53.942$  of just remember with Cologuard if

NOTE Confidence: 0.823603508181818

 $00{:}54{:}53.942 \dashrightarrow 00{:}54{:}56.470$  it's positive it leads to colonoscopy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823603508181818

00:54:56.470 --> 00:54:59.417 So you did the same decision making

 $00:54:59.417 \longrightarrow 00:55:02.319$  applies to even a non invasive stool

NOTE Confidence: 0.823603508181818

00:55:02.319 --> 00:55:04.653 based test and Doctor Breyer

NOTE Confidence: 0.823603508181818

 $00:55:04.653 \longrightarrow 00:55:07.068$  was also happy with the answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823603508181818

 $00:55:07.070 \longrightarrow 00:55:08.606$  Thank you for that follow up.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823603508181818

 $00:55:08.610 \longrightarrow 00:55:12.506$  So I have another one from Doctor Banatski.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823603508181818

 $00:55:12.510 \longrightarrow 00:55:16.087$  When we order an anal pap do

NOTE Confidence: 0.823603508181818

 $00:55:16.087 \longrightarrow 00:55:18.630$  we order cytology and HPV?

NOTE Confidence: 0.823603508181818

 $00:55:18.630 \longrightarrow 00:55:21.060$  Every time if the cytology is

NOTE Confidence: 0.823603508181818

00:55:21.060 --> 00:55:23.739 negative and the HPV is positive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823603508181818

00:55:23.740 --> 00:55:25.594 can you talk about frequency of

NOTE Confidence: 0.823603508181818

 $00:55:25.594 \longrightarrow 00:55:27.440$  follow up and where to refer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.823603508181818

 $00:55:27.440 \longrightarrow 00:55:30.000$  So we have a a lot of guidelines

NOTE Confidence: 0.823603508181818

 $00{:}55{:}30.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}55{:}33.312$  for Pap smear and HPV as far as

NOTE Confidence: 0.823603508181818

 $00:55:33.312 \longrightarrow 00:55:35.040$  our recommendations to repeat,

NOTE Confidence: 0.823603508181818

 $00:55:35.040 \longrightarrow 00:55:39.900$  but I there there is less for anal PAP.

NOTE Confidence: 0.823603508181818

 $00:55:39.900 \longrightarrow 00:55:41.588$  Scott, is this you?

 $00:55:42.560 \longrightarrow 00:55:43.995$  So first of all, there are few

NOTE Confidence: 0.864350047692308

 $00:55:43.995 \longrightarrow 00:55:45.409$  people who do anal pap smears.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864350047692308

00:55:45.410 --> 00:55:47.155 It's not. I've taught my

NOTE Confidence: 0.864350047692308

00:55:47.155 --> 00:55:48.900 multiple gynecologists to do it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864350047692308

00:55:48.900 --> 00:55:50.419 It's not a hard thing to do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864350047692308

 $00:55:50.420 \longrightarrow 00:55:52.988$  no reason to test for for

NOTE Confidence: 0.864350047692308

 $00:55:52.988 \longrightarrow 00:55:54.896$  the the serology, the virus.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864350047692308

 $00:55:54.896 \longrightarrow 00:55:57.052$  Either they have dysplasia or they don't.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864350047692308

 $00:55:57.060 \longrightarrow 00:55:58.090$  If they do have displays,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864350047692308

 $00{:}55{:}58.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}56{:}00.280$  that leads to a high resolution

NOTE Confidence: 0.864350047692308

 $00:56:00.280 \longrightarrow 00:56:02.799$  anoscopy which is a 5 minute

NOTE Confidence: 0.864350047692308

 $00:56:02.799 \longrightarrow 00:56:04.759$  outpatient with anesthesia exam,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864350047692308

 $00:56:04.760 \longrightarrow 00:56:07.778$  kind of like a a colposcopy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864350047692308

 $00:56:07.780 \longrightarrow 00:56:10.820$  so similar to similar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864350047692308

 $00:56:10.820 \longrightarrow 00:56:14.980$  We follow up similar to GYN pathology

 $00:56:14.980 \longrightarrow 00:56:17.800$  after after a high resolution anoscopy

NOTE Confidence: 0.864350047692308

 $00:56:17.800 \longrightarrow 00:56:20.736$  and treatment repeat Pap smear in a year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864350047692308

00:56:20.740 --> 00:56:22.994 Again low grade lesions tend to not

NOTE Confidence: 0.864350047692308

 $00:56:22.994 \longrightarrow 00:56:25.417$  be as important as high grade lesions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864350047692308

00:56:25.420 --> 00:56:27.340 But this simple thing for primary care docs,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864350047692308

 $00:56:27.340 \longrightarrow 00:56:28.960$  just think about one thing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864350047692308

00:56:28.960 --> 00:56:31.456 if you have patients who are high risk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.864350047692308

 $00:56:31.460 \longrightarrow 00:56:33.460$  get them as someone who can do a pap smear.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864350047692308

 $00:56:33.460 \longrightarrow 00:56:35.406$  A Pap smear is basically a Q-tip

NOTE Confidence: 0.864350047692308

 $00:56:35.406 \longrightarrow 00:56:37.850$  in the \*\*\*\* and I can teach anybody

NOTE Confidence: 0.864350047692308

 $00:56:37.850 \longrightarrow 00:56:40.379$  to do it and takes 15 seconds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.864350047692308 00:56:40.380 --> 00:56:40.734 So again. NOTE Confidence: 0.864350047692308

00:56:40.734 --> 00:56:41.973 Just get it to somebody who can

NOTE Confidence: 0.864350047692308

 $00:56:41.973 \longrightarrow 00:56:43.410$  do a pap smear will will follow

NOTE Confidence: 0.864350047692308

 $00:56:43.410 \longrightarrow 00:56:44.460$  up the patients after that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895153333333333

 $00:56:47.080 \longrightarrow 00:56:53.890$  But I think we're actually at time it is 559.

 $00:56:53.890 \longrightarrow 00:56:56.392$  And wow, do I ever want to thank each

NOTE Confidence: 0.895153333333333

 $00:56:56.392 \longrightarrow 00:56:59.286$  of our panelists for their preparation

NOTE Confidence: 0.895153333333333

 $00:56:59.286 \longrightarrow 00:57:01.826$  and their really great presentations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895153333333333

00:57:01.830 --> 00:57:04.386 And I definitely thank everybody who

NOTE Confidence: 0.895153333333333

00:57:04.386 --> 00:57:08.345 tuned in at the end of a work day at

NOTE Confidence: 0.895153333333333

00:57:08.345 --> 00:57:10.456 5:00 o'clock to listen, because I

NOTE Confidence: 0.895153333333333

00:57:10.456 --> 00:57:12.787 know we've made it worth your while.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895153333333333

 $00:57:12.790 \longrightarrow 00:57:15.565$  But that also definitely is

NOTE Confidence: 0.895153333333333

 $00{:}57{:}15.565 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}17.785$  something to inspire gratitude.

NOTE Confidence: 0.895153333333333

 $00:57:17.790 \longrightarrow 00:57:18.909$  So thank you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8919825925

 $00{:}57{:}19.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}20.930$  and I want to thank you as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8919825925

 $00:57:20.930 \longrightarrow 00:57:23.340$  And and just Renee if you can put that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88796072625

 $00{:}57{:}23.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}30.140$  last slide up if folks can can answer or or.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88796072625

 $00:57:30.140 \dashrightarrow 00:57:35.498$  I log in. For the CME piece and if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.88796072625

00:57:35.498 --> 00:57:38.015 want to have any feedback, some of you

00:57:38.015 --> 00:57:39.590 have provided really great feedback,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88796072625

 $00{:}57{:}39.590 \to 00{:}57{:}42.618$  which we're actually looking for as we

NOTE Confidence: 0.88796072625

 $00:57:42.618 \longrightarrow 00:57:44.970$  think about extending this for next year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88796072625

 $00:57:44.970 \longrightarrow 00:57:46.230$  So if you enjoyed the program,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88796072625

 $00:57:46.230 \longrightarrow 00:57:48.726$  please put your comments on several of you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88796072625

 $00{:}57{:}48.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}49.842$  put really interesting questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.88796072625

 $00:57:49.842 \longrightarrow 00:57:52.167$  and have a little bit of an e-mail

NOTE Confidence: 0.88796072625

 $00:57:52.167 \longrightarrow 00:57:53.667$  conversation around that as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88796072625

 $00:57:53.670 \longrightarrow 00:57:55.464$  So thank you all for joining

NOTE Confidence: 0.88796072625

 $00:57:55.464 \longrightarrow 00:57:57.529$  us and thanks to our faculty.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88796072625

 $00{:}57{:}57.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}57{:}58.870$  Everybody have a great night.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88796072625

 $00:57:58.870 \longrightarrow 00:58:01.070$  Thank you. Thank you.