WEBVTT

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:03.563$ Support for Yale Cancer Answers comes from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613589

 $00{:}00{:}03.563 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}07.288$ Astra Zeneca, working to change how cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613589

 $00:00:07.288 \longrightarrow 00:00:09.933$ is treated with personalized medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613589

 $00:00:09.940 \longrightarrow 00:00:13.268$ Learn more at astrazeneca-us.com.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613589

 $00:00:13.270 \longrightarrow 00:00:14.650$ Welcome to Yale Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613589

 $00:00:14.650 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.030$ Answers with your host

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613589

 $00:00:16.030 \longrightarrow 00:00:17.760$ Doctor Anees Chappar.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613589

00:00:17.760 --> 00:00:19.600 Yale Cancer Answers features the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613589

 $00:00:19.600 \longrightarrow 00:00:21.864$ latest information on cancer care by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613589

 $00:00:21.864 \longrightarrow 00:00:23.316$ welcoming oncologists and specialists

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613589

 $00:00:23.316 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.744$ who are on the forefront of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613589

 $00:00:25.744 \longrightarrow 00:00:27.418$ battle to fight cancer. This week,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613589

00:00:27.420 --> 00:00:29.230 it's a conversation about health

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613589

 $00:00:29.230 \longrightarrow 00:00:30.678$ disparities in cancer with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613589

00:00:30.678 --> 00:00:32.249 doctor Kim Blenman.

 $00:00:32.250 \longrightarrow 00:00:34.360$ Dr. Blenman is an associate research

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613589

00:00:34.360 --> 00:00:36.048 scientist in medical oncology

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613589

 $00:00:36.048 \longrightarrow 00:00:38.230$ at the Yale School of Medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613589

 $00:00:38.230 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.411$ where Doctor Chagpar is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8613589

00:00:40.411 --> 00:00:42.099 professor of surgical oncology.

00:00:42.450 --> 00:00:45.267 Maybe we can start off by you telling

NOTE Confidence: 0.84142846

 $00:00:45.267 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.354$ us a little bit more about your research

NOTE Confidence: 0.84142846

 $00:00:48.354 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.146$ and what exactly it is that you've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.84142846

 $00:00:51.150 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.280$ doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84142846

 $00:00:53.280 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.405$ I'm an immunologist and clinical chemists with

expertise in drug

NOTE Confidence: 0.84142846

 $00:00:55.405 \longrightarrow 00:00:57.020$ discovery and clinical development and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84142846

 $00:00:57.020 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.497$ in aspects of pathology as you mentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.84142846

 $00{:}00{:}59.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}01.929$ I am in the Yale Department of

NOTE Confidence: 0.84142846

 $00:01:01.929 \longrightarrow 00:01:03.671$ internal medicine, section of medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.84142846

 $00:01:03.671 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.753$ oncology and Yale Cancer Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84142846

 $00{:}01{:}05.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}08.161$ Briefly, I study the immune system of

 $00:01:08.161 \longrightarrow 00:01:10.681$ patients to try to understand how the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84142846

 $00{:}01{:}10.681 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}13.390$ immune system is involved in their disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.84142846

 $00{:}01{:}13.390 \to 00{:}01{:}15.586$ and their responses to the rapy treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84142846

 $00{:}01{:}15.590 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}17.498$ I have done research in Melanoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.84142846

 $00{:}01{:}17.498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}19.846$ and I am currently working in breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.84142846

 $00:01:19.846 \longrightarrow 00:01:22.205$ cancer as part of the breast medical

NOTE Confidence: 0.84142846

 $00:01:22.267 \longrightarrow 00:01:23.639$ oncology translational

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

00:01:23.640 --> 00:01:25.662 research group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:01:25.662 \longrightarrow 00:01:27.875$ Tell us some of the studies that you've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

00:01:27.875 --> 00:01:29.860 doing in breast cancer looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:01:29.860 \longrightarrow 00:01:32.058$ at the immune system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:01:32.060 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.520$ Our work is primarily conducted

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:01:33.520 \longrightarrow 00:01:34.615$ through clinical trials.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

00:01:34.620 --> 00:01:36.657 As I mentioned, our goals are to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

00:01:36.657 --> 00:01:38.446 really try to identify components

 $00:01:38.446 \longrightarrow 00:01:40.990$ or mechanisms of the immune system that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:01:40.990 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.490$ will either help patients to respond

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:01:43.490 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.250$ or respond better to the rapy or help them

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:01:46.250 \longrightarrow 00:01:48.840$ to reduce the therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:01:48.840 \longrightarrow 00:01:52.190$ The way that we do this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:01:52.275 \longrightarrow 00:01:55.555$ that we look at both genes and proteins

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:01:55.555 \longrightarrow 00:01:58.388$ of the immune system and of the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:01:58.390 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.418$ to accomplish our goals we use

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

00:02:00.418 --> 00:02:02.590 many platforms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:02:02.590 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.206$ research platforms such as next generation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:02:05.206 \dashrightarrow 00:02:07.938$ sequencing to identify genes in RNA and DNA.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:02:07.940 \longrightarrow 00:02:10.460$ And we also use Histology to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00{:}02{:}10.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}12.140$ identify proteins and different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

00:02:12.217 --> 00:02:14.177 immune and tumor cell types.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

00:02:14.180 --> 00:02:18.590 And with that being said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:02:18.590 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.194$ my research is really

 $00{:}02{:}20.194 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}22.199$ interested in looking at many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:02:22.200 \longrightarrow 00:02:23.804$ mostly biological factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

00:02:23.804 --> 00:02:25.007 As I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:02:25.010 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.404$ they are responsible for the disparities

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:02:27.404 \longrightarrow 00:02:29.820$ that we have in disease and therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:02:29.820 \longrightarrow 00:02:32.226$ and I am currently working on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:02:32.226 \longrightarrow 00:02:33.830$ triple negative breast cancer.

 $00:02:35.174 \longrightarrow 00:02:36.966$ You noted cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00{:}02{:}36.966 \mathrel{--}{>} 00{:}02{:}38.606$ accounts for approximately 10

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:02:38.606 \longrightarrow 00:02:40.468$ to 15% of all breast cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:02:40.468 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.896$ This subtype of breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00{:}02{:}41.896 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}43.850$ cancer is estrogen receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:02:43.850 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.762$ negative progesterone receptor negative,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:02:45.762 \longrightarrow 00:02:49.398$ and HER 2 negative in regards to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:02:49.398 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.827$ biomarkers that we use to classify the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:02:51.830 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.326$ type of breast cancer

 $00:02:53.326 \longrightarrow 00:02:56.090$ in order to appropriately treat the cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

00:02:56.090 --> 00:02:57.634 it's often more aggressive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

00:02:57.634 --> 00:03:00.340 meaning that it grows and spreads fast,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:03:00.340 \longrightarrow 00:03:02.554$ and so it tends to occur

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:03:02.554 \longrightarrow 00:03:04.600$ more often in younger women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

00:03:04.600 --> 00:03:07.696 and those were the BRCA gene mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:03:07.700 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.902$ so triple negative breast cancers have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:03:09.902 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.950$ poorer prognosis than other subtypes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00{:}03{:}11.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}13.090$ partially because treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

00:03:13.090 --> 00:03:14.610 advances have lagged behind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:03:14.610 \longrightarrow 00:03:16.210$ other breast cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:03:16.210 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.424$ but although treatment options are more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:03:18.424 \longrightarrow 00:03:20.860$ limited than the other breast cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:03:20.860 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.980$ there are still several offices

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:03:22.980 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.676$ available to these patients.

 $00:03:24.680 \longrightarrow 00:03:26.610$ And these individuals are treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00{:}03{:}26.610 \to 00{:}03{:}28.540$ with some combinations of surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:03:28.540 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.056$ radiation therapy or chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:03:30.056 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.780$ And right now I'm working on two

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:03:32.780 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.090$ clinical studies and one study is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:03:35.090 \longrightarrow 00:03:37.066$ a retrospective evaluation of genes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00{:}03{:}37.066 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}38.956$ and proteins from Histology tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:03:38.960 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.376$ From the tumor page,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00{:}03{:}40.376 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}42.500$ two more patients with these triple

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

00:03:42.567 --> 00:03:44.955 negative breast cancers to try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:03:44.955 \longrightarrow 00:03:47.009$ identify immune components or mechanisms

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:03:47.009 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.361$ that may be responsible

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00{:}03{:}49.361 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}52.100$ for the variations that we see in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:03:52.100 \longrightarrow 00:03:54.050$ different racial and ethnic groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:03:54.050 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.249$ before the patients are treated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:03:56.250 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.490$ And then the other study is an ongoing

 $00:03:58.490 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.051$ clinical trial that is evaluating

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:04:00.051 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.947$ the benefit of giving our triple

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00:04:01.947 \longrightarrow 00:04:03.540$ negative breast cancer patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

00:04:03.540 --> 00:04:05.898 anti PDL one immunotherapy with chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8704139

 $00{:}04{:}05.898 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}08.000$ before they're taken to surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85787785

 $00:04:08.960 \longrightarrow 00:04:12.306$ Those both sound like really

NOTE Confidence: 0.85787785

 $00:04:12.306 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.442$ interesting studies and I want to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85787785

 $00:04:15.442 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.440$ talk about each one of them in turn.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85787785

00:04:18.440 --> 00:04:21.156 So the first one, the retrospective study

NOTE Confidence: 0.85787785

 $00:04:21.156 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.365$ where you're looking at kind of the immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.85787785

 $00:04:24.365 \longrightarrow 00:04:26.340$ factors in these cancers retrospectively.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85787785

 $00:04:26.340 \longrightarrow 00:04:28.902$ So these are cancers that have already

NOTE Confidence: 0.85787785

 $00{:}04{:}28.902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}31.651$ been taken out of patients and you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.85787785

 $00:04:31.651 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.640$ looking at immune factors in these cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85787785

 $00:04:34.640 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.555$ Now I understand that triple

00:04:36.555 --> 00:04:38.470 negative cancers perhaps more than

NOTE Confidence: 0.85787785

 $00:04:38.534 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.358$ other breast cancers actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.85787785

 $00:04:40.360 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.348$ are immunogenic, they tend to have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85787785

 $00:04:42.348 \longrightarrow 00:04:44.898$ lot of infiltrating cells in them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85787785

 $00:04:44.900 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.872$ is that right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85787785

 $00:04:45.872 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.140$ Is that what you're looking at?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85787785

 $00:04:49.760 \longrightarrow 00:04:52.667$ or are you looking at other factors as well?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85787785

 $00:04:52.670 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.642$ That's absolutely right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85787785

 $00:04:53.642 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.290$ And actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489524

 $00:04:54.290 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.910$ we're looking at all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489524

 $00:04:55.910 \longrightarrow 00:04:57.206$ above and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489524

00:04:57.210 --> 00:05:00.117 actually we're doing as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489524

 $00:05:00.120 \longrightarrow 00:05:01.745$ looking at different populations

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489524

00:05:01.745 --> 00:05:03.690 of people within that particular space,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489524

 $00:05:03.690 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.604$ and the reason is because the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489524

 $00:05:05.604 \longrightarrow 00:05:07.275$ percentage of triple negative breast

 $00:05:07.275 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.285$ cancers among the total breast cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489524

 $00{:}05{:}09.285 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}11.219$ diagnosed in non Hispanic whites.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489524

00:05:14.480 --> 00:05:16.555 Hispanics, American Indians or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489524

 $00:05:16.555 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.199$ Alaska Natives is between 10 and 20%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489524

 $00:05:19.200 \longrightarrow 00:05:21.946$ I'm sorry 10 to 12% and non

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489524

 $00:05:21.946 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.518$ Hispanic Blacks is 21%,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489524

00:05:23.520 --> 00:05:26.848 and so we're trying to understand why that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489524

00:05:26.848 --> 00:05:29.419 difference exists and more of the biology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489524

 $00:05:29.420 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.150$ more of the biological questions

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489524

 $00{:}05{:}32.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}34.788$ and so we're looking at the immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489524

 $00:05:34.788 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.670$ system to see if there are different

00:05:38.374 --> 00:05:41.190 immune players in terms of the amount of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489524

 $00:05:41.268 \longrightarrow 00:05:44.016$ in filtration that we see between these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489524

00:05:44.020 --> 00:05:45.484 different populations of people

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489524

 $00:05:45.484 \longrightarrow 00:05:47.314$ or the type of inflation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489524

 $00:05:47.320 \longrightarrow 00:05:49.522$ What type of cells are being

 $00:05:49.522 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.990$ in filtrated in these patients?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489524

 $00:05:50.990 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.158$ And so we're doing that by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489524

 $00:05:54.158 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.270$ looking at the Histology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489524

 $00:05:56.270 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.014$ Taking the samples of the tumor doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489524

 $00:05:59.014 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.608$ next generation sequencing on those,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489524

 $00:06:01.610 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.474$ look at the genes and then looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489524

 $00:06:04.474 \longrightarrow 00:06:07.087$ at different types of immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489524

 $00:06:07.087 \longrightarrow 00:06:09.823$ cells from the Histology tissue itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489524

 $00{:}06{:}09.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}13.390$ as well as just using our standard

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489524

 $00:06:13.390 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.946$ hematoxylin eosin to look at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489524

 $00{:}06{:}16.946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}19.531$ actual global tumor infiltrating lymphocyte

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489524

 $00:06:19.540 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.290$ into these populations

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489524

 $00{:}06{:}21.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}23.040$ sorry into these patients samples.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8489524

 $00:06:23.740 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.436$ I want to make sure that I understood

NOTE Confidence: 0.8747181

 $00{:}06{:}26.436 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}29.545$ because I mean it sounds like such a cool

 $00:06:29.545 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.140$ project with so much there to unpack.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8747181

00:06:32.140 --> 00:06:33.540 And maybe you're looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8747181

 $00:06:33.540 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.290$ at all of these questions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8747181

 $00{:}06{:}35.290 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}37.635$ But the first thing that it sounds

NOTE Confidence: 0.8747181

 $00:06:37.635 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.068$ like you're doing is really looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8747181

 $00:06:40.068 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.543$ these cancers to see whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.8747181

 $00:06:42.543 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.703$ various immune pathways are turned on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8747181

 $00:06:44.703 \longrightarrow 00:06:47.478$ or turned off in the cancer themselves,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8747181

 $00:06:47.478 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.470$ whether the they have more or less

NOTE Confidence: 0.8747181

 $00:06:50.470 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.398$ in filtration with the immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.8747181

 $00:06:52.398 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.326$ system in these cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8747181

 $00:06:54.330 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.498$ So do you find that there are biologic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8747181

 $00{:}06{:}57.498 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}00.156$ differences in triple negative breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.8747181

00:07:00.156 --> 00:07:02.544 cancer between African Americans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8747181

00:07:02.550 --> 00:07:03.846 and say, Caucasians?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8747181

 $00:07:03.846 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.870$ And do you think that really

 $00:07:06.950 \longrightarrow 00:07:08.998$ explains why African Americans

NOTE Confidence: 0.8747181

 $00{:}07{:}08.998 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}12.070$ tend to have more triple negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.8747181

 $00:07:12.150 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.590$ breast cancers than other non

NOTE Confidence: 0.8747181

00:07:14.590 --> 00:07:16.542 African American races?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8747181

 $00:07:16.550 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.970$ So this is one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8449536

 $00:07:18.970 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.246$ the things that we actually are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8449536

 $00:07:22.250 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.602$ trying to tease out with this particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8449536

 $00{:}07{:}24.602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}27.259$ study and all the data is not back yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8449536

 $00:07:27.260 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.340$ And of course there are other factors as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8449536

 $00:07:29.340 \longrightarrow 00:07:31.639$ well that contributes to those differences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8449536

 $00{:}07{:}31.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}33.518$ but as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8449536

 $00:07:33.520 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.470$ we're really trying to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8449536

 $00{:}07{:}35.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}37.410$ focus on these differences in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8449536

00:07:37.410 --> 00:07:39.144 system that we have seen initially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8449536

 $00:07:39.150 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.369$ and as we're putting more patients on

00:07:41.369 --> 00:07:43.529 these studies and look at more things,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8449536

 $00:07:43.530 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.146$ we're trying to see if

 $00{:}07{:}47.890 --> 00{:}07{:}50.480$ that gives us any reason to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8449536

 $00:07:50.480 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.700$ believe that there are different,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8449536

00:07:52.700 --> 00:07:55.196 as I said, immune cell populations

NOTE Confidence: 0.8449536

00:07:55.196 --> 00:07:57.314 that are being introduced that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8449536

 $00:07:57.314 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.219$ are different between those two

NOTE Confidence: 0.8449536

 $00:07:59.219 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.930$ groups and as well as other groups.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8449536

 $00:08:01.930 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.527$ But also if there's maybe a difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.8449536

 $00:08:04.527 \longrightarrow 00:08:06.997$ in the amount of those immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.8449536

 $00:08:06.997 \longrightarrow 00:08:09.137$ cells that are being introduced,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8449536

 $00:08:09.140 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.546$ and so we're still

NOTE Confidence: 0.8449536

 $00:08:11.550 \longrightarrow 00:08:12.783$ evaluating the data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8449536

 $00:08:12.783 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.249$ but hopefully that'll give us some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8449536

 $00{:}08{:}15.249 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}17.157$ insight if that's indeed true.

 $00:08:19.176 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.206$ Because that would mean that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8449536

 $00:08:21.206 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.620$ we may need to

 $00:08:23.620 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.900$ think about how we treat the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88424355

 $00{:}08{:}25.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}26.931$ patients differently, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88424355

 $00:08:26.931 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.099$ And it may give you

NOTE Confidence: 0.88424355

00:08:29.099 --> 00:08:31.284 some insight into potentially why

NOTE Confidence: 0.88424355

 $00:08:31.284 \longrightarrow 00:08:33.594$ certain people get triple negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.88424355

 $00:08:33.594 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.780$ breast cancers more than others.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88424355

 $00:08:35.780 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.745$ Maybe some populations of people

NOTE Confidence: 0.88424355

 $00{:}08{:}37.745 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}40.157$ automatically have a more robust immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.88424355

00:08:40.157 --> 00:08:42.824 response to cancer cells as they are

NOTE Confidence: 0.88424355

 $00:08:42.824 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.698$ initially beginning such that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.88424355

 $00{:}08{:}44.698 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}46.792$ don't develop into full blown tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88424355

 $00:08:46.800 \longrightarrow 00:08:51.363$ and so you may be able to see differences.

 $00:08:52.682 \longrightarrow 00:08:55.257$ Are you looking also at the immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.88424355

 $00:08:55.257 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.927$ factors versus stage at presentation?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88424355

 $00:08:57.930 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.390$ Because that too might play

NOTE Confidence: 0.88424355

00:09:00.390 --> 00:09:02.440 into that whole story, right?

 $00:09:02.440 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.670$ Correct, and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8569951

 $00:09:03.670 \longrightarrow 00:09:06.130$ we're looking at

NOTE Confidence: 0.8569951

 $00:09:06.130 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.590$ that as well.

 $00:09:13.510 \longrightarrow 00:09:15.970$ That could definitely play a difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.8569951

 $00:09:15.970 \longrightarrow 00:09:18.592$ in what makeup looks

NOTE Confidence: 0.8569951

 $00:09:18.592 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.490$ like at the end of the day?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8569951

00:09:21.490 --> 00:09:23.812 Because we want to make sure

NOTE Confidence: 0.8569951

 $00:09:23.812 \longrightarrow 00:09:26.134$ that we are comparing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8569951

 $00:09:26.134 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.069$ apples to apples.

00:09:29.622 --> 00:09:33.490 And so for this part of the study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789886

00:09:33.490 --> 00:09:34.651 you're actually looking

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789886

 $00:09:34.651 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.558$ at the tumors DNA, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789886

00:09:36.558 --> 00:09:38.348 You're taking these tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789886

 $00{:}09{:}38.348 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}40.261$ sections and doing next generation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789886

 $00:09:40.261 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.215$ sequencing on the tumor and the micro

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789886

 $00:09:43.215 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.709$ environment surrounding the tumor,

 $00:09:44.710 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.335$ has anybody really looked at the immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789886

 $00:09:47.335 \longrightarrow 00:09:49.750$ system of different racial groups to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789886

 $00:09:49.750 \longrightarrow 00:09:51.780$ see whether there are differences

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789886

 $00:09:51.780 \longrightarrow 00:09:54.710$ in immune cell production between

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789886

 $00:09:54.710 \longrightarrow 00:09:57.640$ different races that might

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789886

00:09:57.734 --> 00:10:00.440 give you some insight into

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789886

 $00{:}10{:}00.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}02.020$ how people mount immune responses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789886

00:10:02.020 --> 00:10:03.910 Whether that's the same for everybody,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789886

 $00:10:03.910 \longrightarrow 00:10:05.480$ or whether there are nuances

NOTE Confidence: 0.8789886

 $00:10:05.480 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.736$ and so actually we

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

 $00:10:06.740 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.952$ have some

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

 $00:10:08.952 \longrightarrow 00:10:10.520$ evidence to that.

 $00:10:12.472 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.087$ As you think about things like autoimmune dis-

eases

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

00:10:14.087 --> 00:10:16.235 autoimmune diseases tend to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

00:10:16.235 --> 00:10:18.318 more prevalent in certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

00:10:18.318 --> 00:10:19.654 populations,

 $00:10:23.120 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.038$ and they tend to have as you

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

 $00:10:25.038 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.900$ look at the immune system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

 $00:10:26.900 \longrightarrow 00:10:28.160$ the immune systems tends

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

 $00:10:28.160 \longrightarrow 00:10:29.420$ to be very overactive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

 $00{:}10{:}29.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}31.436$ and so these are things that can

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

 $00:10:31.436 \longrightarrow 00:10:33.864$ give us clues that maybe

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

 $00:10:33.864 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.364$ in different populations

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

00:10:35.370 --> 00:10:37.074 we may need to think differently

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

 $00:10:37.074 \longrightarrow 00:10:38.530$ about how we approach this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

 $00{:}10{:}38.530 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}40.266$ and so there are studies that have

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

 $00:10:40.266 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.970$ been done in different fields,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

 $00{:}10{:}41.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}44.266$ and I think that we can utilize that

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

 $00:10:44.270 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.442$ to try to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

00:10:46.442 --> 00:10:48.980 understand how this is applicable to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

 $00:10:48.980 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.826$ cancer as well,

 $00:10:49.826 \longrightarrow 00:10:51.518$ and this is actually one of

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

00:10:51.518 --> 00:10:53.007 the main goals of this

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

 $00:10:53.010 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.314$ particular

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

 $00:10:54.314 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.270$ study that we're doing is to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

 $00:10:56.329 \longrightarrow 00:10:57.904$ try to tease that out as well,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

 $00:10:57.910 \longrightarrow 00:10:59.919$ and hopefully we can expand on that

 $00:11:00.480 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.160$ in terms of digging a bit

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

 $00:11:02.160 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.379$ more deeper into them,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

 $00:11:03.380 \longrightarrow 00:11:04.820$ these different

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

00:11:04.820 --> 00:11:05.396 patient populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

 $00:11:05.400 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.409$ So what I'd like to

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

00:11:07.410 --> 00:11:08.594 look at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

 $00:11:08.594 \longrightarrow 00:11:10.580$ although this particular site is looking at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

 $00{:}11{:}10.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}12.308$ individuals of African descent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

00:11:12.308 --> 00:11:13.460 individuals of Caucasian descent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

 $00:11:13.460 \longrightarrow 00:11:15.170$ I would also like to expand

 $00:11:15.170 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.623$ that to individuals of Asian

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

 $00:11:16.623 \longrightarrow 00:11:18.422$ descent as well and

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

 $00:11:18.422 \longrightarrow 00:11:20.110$ other populations because

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

00:11:20.110 --> 00:11:22.108 I believe that that's actually very

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

00:11:22.108 --> 00:11:24.419 important for us to be represented

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

 $00:11:24.420 \longrightarrow 00:11:26.460$ in order for

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

 $00{:}11{:}26.460 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}28.266$ us to understand exactly what's

NOTE Confidence: 0.83661216

 $00:11:28.266 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.156$ going on with cancers globally.

 $00:11:30.520 \longrightarrow 00:11:32.977$ And the other thing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85846186

 $00:11:32.977 \longrightarrow 00:11:35.505$ you had mentioned just in passing was

NOTE Confidence: 0.85846186

 $00:11:35.505 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.060$ looking at different types of immune cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85846186

 $00:11:38.060 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.860$ so we often

NOTE Confidence: 0.85846186

 $00:11:39.860 \longrightarrow 00:11:42.008$ when we've been on this show,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85846186

00:11:42.010 --> 00:11:43.800 have talked about these

NOTE Confidence: 0.85846186

 $00:11:43.800 \longrightarrow 00:11:44.874$ tumor infiltrating lymphocytes.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85846186

 $00:11:44.880 \longrightarrow 00:11:47.040$ And we talk about T cells,

00:11:47.040 --> 00:11:49.644 but there are other immune factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.85846186

 $00:11:49.644 \longrightarrow 00:11:51.780$ and other immune cells as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85846186

 $00:11:51.780 \longrightarrow 00:11:54.436$ Do we have any sense of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85846186

 $00:11:54.436 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.925$ how these immune cells vary in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85846186

00:11:56.925 --> 00:11:59.535 terms of their response to tumors?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85846186

00:11:59.540 --> 00:12:01.092 Either different types of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85846186

 $00:12:01.092 \longrightarrow 00:12:03.420$ tumors or to the same tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85846186

00:12:03.420 --> 00:12:04.968 but in different people?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85846186

 $00:12:04.968 \longrightarrow 00:12:06.903$ Actually that's a really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

00:12:06.910 --> 00:12:09.010 great question, and I've done some

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

 $00:12:09.010 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.960$ work in this in breast cancer itself,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

 $00:12:11.960 \longrightarrow 00:12:14.352$ and so I'd like to share a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

 $00:12:14.352 \longrightarrow 00:12:17.151$ bit about a study that was recently

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

 $00{:}12{:}17.151 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}18.851$ published looking at breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

 $00:12:18.851 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.880$ cancers in predicting disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

 $00{:}12{:}20.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}23.310$ I'm sorry B cells in predicting

 $00{:}12{:}23.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}25.015$ disease free survival in breast

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

00:12:25.015 --> 00:12:26.720 cancer patients and just as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

00:12:26.786 --> 00:12:28.346 a little bit of background,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

 $00:12:28.350 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.562$ metastasis is a frequent

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

 $00:12:30.562 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.380$ early event in many cancers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

 $00:12:32.380 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.060$ and so in breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

 $00:12:34.060 \longrightarrow 00:12:36.405$ lymph node invasion is a key determinant in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

 $00:12:36.410 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.520$ prognosis and treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

 $00:12:37.520 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.740$ So our previous studies have shown

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

 $00{:}12{:}39.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}42.325$ that T cells and injured cells in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

 $00{:}12{:}42.325 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}44.258$ tumor draining lymph nodes may be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

 $00:12:44.258 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.166$ altered in some breast cancer patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

 $00{:}12{:}46.166 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}47.826$ and can predict clinical outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

 $00{:}12{:}47.826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}50.259$ But B cells are another major immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

00:12:50.259 --> 00:12:52.437 cell population for their role

 $00:12:52.437 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.717$ in solid cancers and is not well studied.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

 $00:12:54.720 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.590$ So B cells isolated from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

 $00:12:56.590 \longrightarrow 00:12:58.086$ tumor draining lymph nodes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

 $00{:}12{:}58.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}59.530$ specifically Sentinel lymph nodes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

 $00:12:59.530 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.075$ which are the first set of lymph nodes

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

 $00:13:02.075 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.019$ that the tumor drains into

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

 $00:13:04.019 \longrightarrow 00:13:05.483$ can recognize cancer associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

 $00:13:05.483 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.033$ antigens and are capable of producing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

 $00{:}13{:}08.033 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}09.693$ antibodies against those antigens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

00:13:09.693 --> 00:13:11.991 and so in our study that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

00:13:11.991 --> 00:13:13.420 we recently published

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

 $00:13:13.420 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.290$ we looked at the cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

 $00:13:15.290 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.534$ and since all lymph nodes in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

00:13:17.534 --> 00:13:18.656 breast cancer patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

 $00:13:18.660 \longrightarrow 00:13:20.958$ we found that patients with higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

00:13:20.958 --> 00:13:23.269 numbers of these had longer

00:13:23.269 --> 00:13:25.049 disease free survival overall as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

00:13:25.049 --> 00:13:27.509 well as in those patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

00:13:27.510 --> 00:13:29.090 triple negative breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

 $00:13:29.090 \longrightarrow 00:13:31.065$ that had actually good prognosis.

 $00:13:31.794 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.604$ Interestingly this can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

00:13:33.604 --> 00:13:36.450 be seen in Melanoma patients and we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

 $00{:}13{:}36.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}38.982$ recently also published this and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

 $00:13:38.990 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.475$ we have found higher numbers

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

 $00:13:41.475 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.044$ correspond

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

 $00:13:43.044 \longrightarrow 00:13:45.234$ to longer progression free survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

 $00{:}13{:}45.234 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}47.419$ in patients with metastatic Melanoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.8454794

00:13:47.419 --> 00:13:49.690 treated with anti PDL1 immunotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87024033

 $00{:}13{:}51.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}54.066$ And so have we found a difference in

NOTE Confidence: 0.87024033

 $00:13:54.066 \longrightarrow 00:13:57.215$ terms of the number of B cells that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.87024033

 $00:13:57.215 \longrightarrow 00:14:00.677$ in tumors of people of African American

NOTE Confidence: 0.87024033

 $00{:}14{:}00.677 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}03.290$ descent versus Caucasians. So this

 $00:14:03.290 \longrightarrow 00:14:06.188$ is one of the things that we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.87024033

 $00:14:06.188 \longrightarrow 00:14:08.680$ looking at and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.87024033

 $00:14:08.680 \longrightarrow 00:14:10.760$ data is still to be evaluated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87024033

00:14:10.760 --> 00:14:12.830 Certainly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87024033

 $00:14:12.830 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.518$ if it's true that B cells do

NOTE Confidence: 0.87024033

00:14:15.518 --> 00:14:17.400 predict differences in survival,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87024033

 $00:14:17.400 \longrightarrow 00:14:20.305$ it sounds like it is a relatively

NOTE Confidence: 0.87024033

00:14:20.305 --> 00:14:22.298 simple prognostic factor.

00:14:24.920 --> 00:14:27.404 And it could give people an idea of

NOTE Confidence: 0.87024033

00:14:27.404 --> 00:14:30.129 how this biology is going to play out,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87024033

 $00:14:30.130 \longrightarrow 00:14:32.223$ particularly as it interfaces with

NOTE Confidence: 0.87024033

 $00:14:32.223 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.456$ the immune system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87024033

00:14:34.460 --> 00:14:36.190 You're absolutely right, and

 $00:14:36.190 \longrightarrow 00:14:38.080$ the other thing that I'd like to

NOTE Confidence: 0.87517899999999

00:14:38.080 --> 00:14:40.031 point out too is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.875178999999999

 $00{:}14{:}40.031 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}41.651$ the immune system is called a

 $00:14:41.713 \longrightarrow 00:14:43.705$ system for a very specific reason.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875178999999999

 $00:14:43.710 \longrightarrow 00:14:45.150$ It works as a system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875178999999999

 $00:14:45.150 \longrightarrow 00:14:47.470$ so B cells do not work in isolation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875178999999999

00:14:47.470 --> 00:14:49.486 T cells do not work in isolation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875178999999999

 $00:14:49.490 \longrightarrow 00:14:52.790$ and so all these things require

NOTE Confidence: 0.875178999999999

 $00:14:52.790 \longrightarrow 00:14:54.925$ to be working together

NOTE Confidence: 0.875178999999999

 $00:14:54.925 \longrightarrow 00:14:57.277$ and so this is one of the things

NOTE Confidence: 0.875178999999999

 $00{:}14{:}57.277 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}59.839$ that we need to think about when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.875178999999999

 $00{:}14{:}59.839 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}01.724$ make these prognostics and predict

NOTE Confidence: 0.87517899999999

 $00:15:01.724 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.120$ the tools is to consider all

NOTE Confidence: 0.875178999999999

 $00:15:04.120 \longrightarrow 00:15:05.432$ these different immune systems

NOTE Confidence: 0.875178999999999

 $00:15:05.432 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.378$ and put them together to make

NOTE Confidence: 0.87517899999999

 $00{:}15{:}07.378 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}08.933$ choices that we move forward.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8639356

 $00:15:08.940 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.524$ We're going to pick up on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8639356

 $00:15:11.524 \longrightarrow 00:15:13.498$ that conversation right after we take

 $00:15:13.498 \longrightarrow 00:15:15.400$ a short break for medical minute.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8639356

 $00:15:15.400 \longrightarrow 00:15:17.738$ Please stay tuned to learn more about

NOTE Confidence: 0.8639356

 $00{:}15{:}17.738 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}19.714$ health disparities and cancer and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8639356

00:15:19.714 --> 00:15:21.860 immune system with my guest doctor Kim Blen-

man.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8639356

00:15:21.860 --> 00:15:23.900 Support comes from AstraZeneca,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8639356

 $00:15:23.900 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.670$ working side by side with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8639356

00:15:26.670 --> 00:15:28.614 leading scientists to better

NOTE Confidence: 0.8639356

 $00:15:28.614 \longrightarrow 00:15:33.573$ understand how complex data can be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8639356

 $00:15:33.573 \longrightarrow 00:15:35.331$ converted into

NOTE Confidence: 0.8639356

 $00:15:35.331 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.210$ innovative treatments. More information at

a strazene ca-us. com.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8766435

 $00:15:38.220 \longrightarrow 00:15:40.280$ This is a medical minute

NOTE Confidence: 0.8766435

 $00:15:40.280 \longrightarrow 00:15:41.516$ about colorectal cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8766435

 $00:15:41.520 \longrightarrow 00:15:42.759$ When detected early,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8766435

 $00:15:42.759 \longrightarrow 00:15:44.824$ colorectal cancer is easily treated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8766435

 $00:15:44.830 \longrightarrow 00:15:47.714$ and highly curable and as a result,

 $00:15:47.720 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.940$ it's recommended that men and women

NOTE Confidence: 0.8766435

 $00:15:49.940 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.856$ over the age of 50 have regular

NOTE Confidence: 0.8766435

 $00:15:52.856 \longrightarrow 00:15:55.568$ colonoscopies to screen for the disease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8766435

 $00:15:55.570 \longrightarrow 00:15:58.072$ Tumor gene analysis has helped improve

NOTE Confidence: 0.8766435

 $00:15:58.072 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.740$ management of colorectal cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8766435

00:15:59.809 --> 00:16:01.834 by identifying the patients most

NOTE Confidence: 0.8766435

00:16:01.834 --> 00:16:03.859 likely to benefit from chemotherapy

NOTE Confidence: 0.8766435

 $00:16:03.928 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.480$ and newer targeted agents,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8766435

00:16:05.480 --> 00:16:07.380 resulting in more patient

NOTE Confidence: 0.8766435

 $00:16:07.380 \longrightarrow 00:16:08.330$ specific treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8766435

 $00:16:08.330 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.374$ More information is available

NOTE Confidence: 0.8766435

 $00:16:10.374 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.396$ at yale cancercenter.org.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8766435

 $00:16:11.400 \dashrightarrow 00:16:15.600$ You're listening to Connecticut public radio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8766435

00:16:15.600 --> 00:16:16.000 Welcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.86405337

 $00:16:16.000 \longrightarrow 00:16:18.020$ back to Yale Cancer Answers.

 $00:16:18.020 \longrightarrow 00:16:21.368$ This is doctor Anees Chagpar and I'm

NOTE Confidence: 0.86405337

 $00:16:21.368 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.886$ joined to night by my guest doctor Kim Blenman

NOTE Confidence: 0.86405337

 $00:16:24.890 \longrightarrow 00:16:27.326$ and we're talking about health disparities

NOTE Confidence: 0.86405337

 $00:16:27.326 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.140$ in cancer and right before the break

NOTE Confidence: 0.86405337

 $00:16:30.140 \longrightarrow 00:16:32.222$ Kim, you were talking to us

NOTE Confidence: 0.86405337

 $00:16:32.222 \longrightarrow 00:16:34.639$ about some of the studies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86405337

 $00:16:34.639 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.009$ you're doing in breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86405337

00:16:37.010 --> 00:16:39.470 and specifically one study in triple

NOTE Confidence: 0.86405337

 $00{:}16{:}39.470 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}16{:}41.622$ negative breast cancers where you're

NOTE Confidence: 0.86405337

 $00:16:41.622 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.386$ looking retrospectively at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86405337

 $00{:}16{:}43.386 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}45.591$ various immune systems and immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.86405337

 $00:16:45.662 \longrightarrow 00:16:48.086$ responses that are mounted by patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.86405337

 $00:16:48.090 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.694$ with triple negative breast cancer and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86405337

00:16:50.694 --> 00:16:54.409 you kind of left us hanging

NOTE Confidence: 0.86405337

 $00:16:54.409 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.954$ in terms of the details of whether this

NOTE Confidence: 0.86405337

 $00:16:57.954 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.569$ is really different between African

 $00:17:00.569 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.522$ Americans and Caucasian patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86405337

00:17:02.522 --> 00:17:04.326 We know, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86405337

 $00:17:04.330 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.634$ that in triple negative breast cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.86405337

 $00:17:06.634 \longrightarrow 00:17:10.314$ it seems to be more prevalent in African

NOTE Confidence: 0.86405337

 $00:17:10.314 \longrightarrow 00:17:12.899$ Americans than in Caucasian patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86405337

 $00:17:12.900 \longrightarrow 00:17:15.852$ Can you shed some more light on how

NOTE Confidence: 0.86405337

 $00:17:15.852 \longrightarrow 00:17:17.861$ different cancers affect different

NOTE Confidence: 0.86405337

00:17:17.861 --> 00:17:19.658 racial groups differently?

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

 $00:17:20.750 \longrightarrow 00:17:23.515$ Yes, and as I mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

 $00:17:23.520 \longrightarrow 00:17:26.360$ my research interest is in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

 $00:17:26.360 \longrightarrow 00:17:28.437$ biological factors responsible for

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

 $00:17:28.437 \longrightarrow 00:17:31.047$ disparities and disease and their responses.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

 $00:17:31.050 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.630$ So in that context,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

00:17:32.630 --> 00:17:34.605 Melanoma is a great example.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

00:17:34.610 --> 00:17:37.571 So Melanoma is a skin cancer that

 $00:17:37.571 \longrightarrow 00:17:40.339$ occurs most commonly when the DNA in

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

 $00{:}17{:}40.339 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}42.930$ melanocytes is damaged by UV rays.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

 $00:17:42.930 \longrightarrow 00:17:44.382$ That is sun exposure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

 $00:17:44.382 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.197$ So melanocytes are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

00:17:46.197 --> 00:17:48.068 cells that produce melanin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

00:17:48.070 --> 00:17:50.900 which gives skin its color.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

 $00:17:50.900 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.868$ Eumelanin is a type of melanin that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

 $00:17:53.868 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.447$ is responsible for darkening the skin

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

 $00{:}17{:}56.447 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}59.783$ and it has the ability to protect the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

00:17:59.783 --> 00:18:02.534 skin from UV damage so when individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

 $00:18:02.534 \longrightarrow 00:18:06.218$ tan as a result of exposure to the sun,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

 $00:18:06.220 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.656$ youe melanin is responsible for the

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

 $00:18:08.656 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.430$ visible color that you see as the tan so

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

00:18:12.430 --> 00:18:14.500 individuals with naturally darker skin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

 $00:18:14.500 \longrightarrow 00:18:17.062$ have more eumelanin and are therefore

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

 $00:18:17.062 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.384$ at lower risk for developing

 $00:18:19.384 \longrightarrow 00:18:21.496$ UV induced skin cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

 $00:18:21.500 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.733$ So for decades,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

 $00:18:22.733 \longrightarrow 00:18:25.610$ the messages that were shared in general

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

00:18:25.691 --> 00:18:28.722 and in communities of people of color

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

00:18:28.722 --> 00:18:31.204 with naturally darker skin was that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

 $00:18:31.204 \longrightarrow 00:18:33.773$ people of color do not get Melanoma.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

00:18:33.780 --> 00:18:34.125 However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

 $00:18:34.125 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.885$ today we know that the most common form

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

 $00{:}18{:}36.885 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}39.492$ of Melanoma found in individuals with

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

 $00{:}18{:}39.492 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}42.370$ naturally darker skin is acral Melanoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

 $00:18:42.370 \longrightarrow 00:18:45.598$ which is often found under nails.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

00:18:45.600 --> 00:18:49.240 On the palms of hands and the soles of feet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

 $00:18:49.240 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.788$ and disease of face.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

 $00{:}18{:}51.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}53.610$ The musician Bob Marley from

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

00:18:53.610 --> 00:18:55.430 Jamaica died of acral Melanoma.

 $00:18:55.430 \longrightarrow 00:18:58.112$ And so this is a good

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

 $00:18:58.112 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.672$ example of why it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

 $00:19:00.672 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.615$ important that we actually take into

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

 $00:19:02.615 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.690$ account these biological factors and

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

 $00:19:04.690 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.082$ try to find or look for things that

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

 $00:19:08.082 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.742$ may give us some clues as to why

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

 $00{:}19{:}11.742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}14.634$ things are different that are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

00:19:14.640 --> 00:19:16.842 a part of social determinants of Health

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

 $00{:}19{:}16.842 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}20.316$ and so this is how we really got

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

 $00:19:20.316 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.251$ interested in looking into these

NOTE Confidence: 0.84283894

 $00:19:24.612 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.450$ different factors for

NOTE Confidence: 0.87070274

 $00:19:26.450 \longrightarrow 00:19:27.958$ these different cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87070274

00:19:27.958 --> 00:19:31.019 That makes sense in in Melanoma,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87070274

 $00:19:31.020 \longrightarrow 00:19:33.652$ in breast cancer we were

NOTE Confidence: 0.87070274

00:19:33.652 --> 00:19:35.599 talking about before the break

NOTE Confidence: 0.87070274

 $00:19:35.600 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.424$ it's a little bit more

 $00:19:38.424 \longrightarrow 00:19:40.863$ tricky in the sense that there doesn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.87070274

00:19:40.863 --> 00:19:43.980 seem to be a particular factor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87070274

00:19:43.980 --> 00:19:45.285 Something like eumelanin,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87070274

 $00:19:45.285 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.895$ which would be different between African

NOTE Confidence: 0.87070274

00:19:47.895 --> 00:19:49.709 Americans and Caucasian patients,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87070274

 $00:19:49.710 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.097$ which I guess is how you

NOTE Confidence: 0.87070274

 $00:19:52.097 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.909$ got into thinking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.87070274

 $00:19:54.910 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.955$ why is it that triple negative

NOTE Confidence: 0.87070274

 $00{:}19{:}57.955 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}00.580$ breast cancer is more common in

NOTE Confidence: 0.87070274

 $00{:}20{:}00.580 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}03.040$ African American patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.87070274

 $00{:}20{:}03.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}06.094$ and could this have something

NOTE Confidence: 0.87070274

 $00:20:06.094 \longrightarrow 00:20:09.124$ to do with their immune system?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87070274

 $00{:}20{:}09.130 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}11.890$ Because certainly we know that triple

NOTE Confidence: 0.87070274

 $00:20:11.890 \longrightarrow 00:20:14.660$ negative breast cancers are immunogenic.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269527

00:20:15.550 --> 00:20:16.429 Exactly, and actually,

 $00:20:16.429 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.894$ that's the link with

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269527

 $00:20:17.894 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.358$ the acral Melanoma as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269527

 $00:20:19.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:21.076$ So the thing about acral

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269527

 $00:20:21.076 \longrightarrow 00:20:23.388$ Melanoma is that it actually has a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269527

 $00:20:23.388 \longrightarrow 00:20:25.218$ lot of infiltrating immune cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269527

 $00:20:25.220 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.740$ and are a little bit less

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269527

00:20:27.740 --> 00:20:30.018 involved in individuals of Caucasian descent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269527

 $00:20:30.020 \longrightarrow 00:20:32.260$ and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269527

 $00{:}20{:}32.260 \to 00{:}20{:}33.540$ you're thinking about, okay

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269527

 $00:20:33.540 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.780$ let's look at the immune cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269527

 $00{:}20{:}35.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}37.060$ You know there's something

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269527

 $00:20:37.060 \longrightarrow 00:20:38.660$ different about the immune cells.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269527

 $00:20:38.660 \longrightarrow 00:20:41.220$ and the types of cells also infiltrated

NOTE Confidence: 0.8269527

 $00:20:41.220 \longrightarrow 00:20:44.230$ that's making these differences that we see.

 $00{:}20{:}44.610 --> 00{:}20{:}47.546$ And I suppose you did

NOTE Confidence: 0.87743926

00:20:47.546 --> 00:20:49.509 mention before the break about

00:20:49.509 --> 00:20:51.807 your study looking at B cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87743926

 $00:20:51.810 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.253$ and I believe you mentioned that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.87743926

 $00{:}20{:}54.253 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}57.224$ found that B cells were tied to prognosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.87743926

00:20:57.224 --> 00:21:00.150 in both Melanoma and in breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87743926

00:21:00.150 --> 00:21:02.988 correct?

NOTE Confidence: 0.87743926

00:21:02.990 --> 00:21:06.554 I guess that leads us to the next study

NOTE Confidence: 0.87743926

 $00:21:06.554 \longrightarrow 00:21:10.190$ that you had mentioned before the break,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87743926

 $00:21:10.190 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.440$ which is a prospective trial

NOTE Confidence: 0.87743926

 $00{:}21{:}12.440 {\: \hbox{\scriptsize -->}}\ 00{:}21{:}14.240$ looking at immunother apy because,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87743926

00:21:14.240 --> 00:21:17.840 as we've talked about on the show previously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87743926

00:21:17.840 --> 00:21:21.440 and as many of our listeners may know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87743926

 $00:21:21.440 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.790$ immunotherapy actually has

NOTE Confidence: 0.87743926

 $00{:}21{:}22.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}25.040$ really taken hold in Melanoma

NOTE Confidence: 0.87743926

 $00{:}21{:}25.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}27.791$ and is just starting to get evaluated

NOTE Confidence: 0.87743926

00:21:27.791 --> 00:21:30.607 in breast cancer and specifically in

NOTE Confidence: 0.87743926

 $00{:}21{:}30.607 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}32.910$ triple negative breast cancer, so

 $00{:}21{:}32.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}34.450$ maybe you can tell us a little

NOTE Confidence: 0.87743926

00:21:34.450 --> 00:21:36.067 bit more about your work there. 00:21:40.034 --> 00:21:42.113 In the last five to 10 years or so

NOTE Confidence: 0.85514045

00:21:42.120 --> 00:21:43.610 you've mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85514045

 $00:21:43.610 \longrightarrow 00:21:45.175$ we've started to really recognize

NOTE Confidence: 0.85514045

 $00:21:45.175 \longrightarrow 00:21:47.345$ that the immune system has a role in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85514045

 $00:21:47.345 \longrightarrow 00:21:48.995$ how cancer patients will respond to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85514045

 $00:21:48.995 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.057$ many of the therapies that we give,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85514045

 $00:21:51.060 \longrightarrow 00:21:51.654$ including chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85514045

00:21:51.654 --> 00:21:53.733 So to take advantage of that fact,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85514045

 $00:21:53.740 \longrightarrow 00:21:55.230$ we are, as you mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85514045

 $00:21:55.230 \longrightarrow 00:21:56.904$ starting to identify and use therapies

NOTE Confidence: 0.85514045

 $00:21:56.904 \longrightarrow 00:21:58.703$ that directly impact the immune system

NOTE Confidence: 0.85514045

 $00:21:58.703 \longrightarrow 00:22:00.593$ alone or in combination with chemotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85514045

 $00:22:00.600 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.389$ So, for example,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85514045

 $00:22:01.389 \longrightarrow 00:22:03.597$ we have an ongoing study that

 $00:22:03.597 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.802$ is evaluating the benefit of giving our

NOTE Confidence: 0.85514045

 $00{:}22{:}05.802 \to 00{:}22{:}07.699$ triple negative breast cancer patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.85514045

00:22:07.700 --> 00:22:10.085 Anti PDL1 immunotherapy with

NOTE Confidence: 0.85514045

 $00:22:10.085 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.993$ chemotherapy before they're taken

NOTE Confidence: 0.85514045

 $00{:}22{:}11.993 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}14.783$ to surgery and the advantage of that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85514045

 $00:22:14.783 \longrightarrow 00:22:17.319$ is that we're trying to understand

NOTE Confidence: 0.85514045

 $00:22:17.320 \longrightarrow 00:22:19.780$ whether or not this

NOTE Confidence: 0.85514045

 $00:22:19.780 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.970$ particular regiment of giving that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85514045

 $00{:}22{:}21.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}24.340$ immunotherapy could help boost

NOTE Confidence: 0.85514045

 $00:22:24.340 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.592$ the immune system's ability to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85514045

 $00:22:26.592 \longrightarrow 00:22:28.856$ see the cancer or to break it down

NOTE Confidence: 0.85514045

 $00:22:28.856 \longrightarrow 00:22:30.716$ so that the chemotherapy itself

NOTE Confidence: 0.85514045

 $00{:}22{:}30.716 \longrightarrow 00{:}22{:}33.080$ can respond better to the cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85514045

 $00:22:33.080 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.656$ And, as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85514045

 $00:22:34.656 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.626$ the study is still ongoing,

 $00:22:36.630 \longrightarrow 00:22:39.633$ but we are starting to see some

NOTE Confidence: 0.85514045

 $00{:}22{:}39.633 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}41.466$ very interesting results that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85514045

00:22:41.466 --> 00:22:43.766 have some positive benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.85514045

 $00:22:43.770 \longrightarrow 00:22:46.656$ for Anti PDL1.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417335

 $00:22:46.660 \longrightarrow 00:22:49.060$ Now how does

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417335

 $00:22:49.060 \longrightarrow 00:22:50.500$ that immunotherapy work,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417335

 $00{:}22{:}50.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}52.964$ particularly for people who

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417335

 $00:22:52.964 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.689$ have PD L1 or PDL or PD one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417335

00:22:57.690 --> 00:23:00.216 receptors or would it work

NOTE Confidence: 0.8417335

 $00:23:00.216 \longrightarrow 00:23:02.320$ for any triple negative?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511913

 $00:23:02.320 \longrightarrow 00:23:04.870$ Actually this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511913

 $00:23:04.870 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.146$ kind of interesting because we're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511913

 $00:23:07.146 \longrightarrow 00:23:10.110$ actually finding that we are getting

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511913

 $00:23:10.110 \longrightarrow 00:23:12.466$ affected regardless of whether or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511913

00:23:12.466 --> 00:23:14.860 not the individuals have PD L1

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511913

 $00:23:14.860 \longrightarrow 00:23:16.770$ as part of their tumor,

 $00:23:16.770 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.122$ and so there are other things

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511913

 $00{:}23{:}19.122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}21.420$ going on there that are mediating

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511913

 $00:23:21.420 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.850$ this response that we're still trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511913

 $00:23:23.850 \longrightarrow 00:23:26.318$ to learn for this particular PD1

NOTE Confidence: 0.8511913

 $00:23:26.320 \longrightarrow 00:23:28.960$ PD L1 Axis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86525035

 $00:23:28.960 \longrightarrow 00:23:31.456$ So it's certainly a really interesting

NOTE Confidence: 0.86525035

 $00:23:31.456 \longrightarrow 00:23:34.080$ and novel thing to think about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86525035

 $00:23:34.080 \longrightarrow 00:23:37.136$ and I know many of our listeners are

NOTE Confidence: 0.86525035

 $00:23:37.136 \longrightarrow 00:23:39.210$ always intrigued by immunotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86525035

 $00:23:39.210 \longrightarrow 00:23:42.618$ It seems to be a really hot topic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86525035

 $00:23:42.620 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.176$ but when we think about immunotherapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86525035

 $00:23:45.180 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.636$ one of the things that we always caution

NOTE Confidence: 0.86525035

 $00:23:48.636 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.158$ patients about is the side effects,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86525035

 $00:23:51.160 \longrightarrow 00:23:54.020$ which tend to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.86525035

 $00:23:54.020 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.576$ side effects that are an exacerbation of

 $00:23:57.576 \longrightarrow 00:24:00.637$ the immune system because essentially you

NOTE Confidence: 0.86525035

 $00{:}24{:}00.637 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}04.799$ rev up your immune system or as you say,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86525035

 $00{:}24{:}04.800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}07.620$ you can make tumor cells more

NOTE Confidence: 0.86525035

00:24:07.620 --> 00:24:10.190 susceptible to the immune system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86525035

 $00:24:10.190 \longrightarrow 00:24:12.926$ now have you noticed a difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.86525035

 $00{:}24{:}12.926 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}15.889$ in terms of racial groups with

NOTE Confidence: 0.86525035

 $00:24:15.889 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.519$ regards to those side effects?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86525035

 $00:24:18.520 \longrightarrow 00:24:20.945$ Because you mentioned that there

NOTE Confidence: 0.86525035

 $00:24:20.945 \longrightarrow 00:24:23.996$ is a racial difference in terms

NOTE Confidence: 0.86525035

 $00:24:23.996 \longrightarrow 00:24:26.140$ of autoimmune diseases so

NOTE Confidence: 0.86525035

 $00:24:26.140 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.228$ one would imagine that there might

NOTE Confidence: 0.86525035

 $00:24:28.228 \longrightarrow 00:24:31.232$ be a difference in terms of the side

NOTE Confidence: 0.86525035

 $00:24:31.232 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.162$ effects with immunotherapy as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86525035

 $00:24:33.170 \longrightarrow 00:24:34.280$ Have you found

NOTE Confidence: 0.89420384

 $00:24:34.280 \longrightarrow 00:24:36.518$ that so?

NOTE Confidence: 0.89420384

 $00:24:36.518 \longrightarrow 00:24:38.424$ That's a great question and something that

 $00:24:38.424 \longrightarrow 00:24:40.199$ we are actually evaluating now.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89420384

00:24:40.200 --> 00:24:42.420 And so as I said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89420384

 $00:24:42.420 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.270$ the study is still ongoing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89420384

 $00:24:44.270 \longrightarrow 00:24:46.208$ so we don't have enough patients

NOTE Confidence: 0.89420384

 $00{:}24{:}46.208 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}24{:}48.422$ collected yet in the different groups

NOTE Confidence: 0.89420384

 $00{:}24{:}48.422 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}50.557$ to actually make any statements.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89420384

 $00:24:50.560 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.455$ But this is actually something

NOTE Confidence: 0.89420384

 $00{:}24{:}52.455 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}54.630$ that I am very interested in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.89420384

 $00:24:54.630 \longrightarrow 00:24:56.580$ And is one of my

NOTE Confidence: 0.89420384

 $00:24:56.580 \longrightarrow 00:24:58.938$ major goals of this study is

NOTE Confidence: 0.89420384

 $00:24:58.938 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.728$ to try to tease out NOTE Confidence: 0.89420384

 $00:25:01.728 \longrightarrow 00:25:04.032$ those potential differences that we see

NOTE Confidence: 0.89420384

 $00:25:04.032 \longrightarrow 00:25:06.129$ between different populations of people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89420384

 $00:25:06.130 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.120$ but no, we don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.89420384

 $00:25:08.120 \longrightarrow 00:25:10.508$ have that information yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.89420384

 $00:25:10.510 \longrightarrow 00:25:13.597$ but I suspect that we will be able to

 $00:25:13.597 \longrightarrow 00:25:16.880$ see in these studies and other studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644986

 $00:25:16.880 \longrightarrow 00:25:18.248$ that others are doing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644986

 $00:25:18.248 \longrightarrow 00:25:19.958$ Do we know whether different

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644986

00:25:19.958 --> 00:25:21.803 racial groups will respond

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644986

 $00:25:21.803 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.246$ differently to immunotherapy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644986

 $00:25:23.250 \longrightarrow 00:25:26.092$ For example, if patients have a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644986

 $00:25:26.092 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.818$ similar tumor in terms of their PDL1

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644986

 $00:25:28.820 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.446$ status. The size of the tumor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644986

 $00:25:32.450 \longrightarrow 00:25:35.122$ the B cells and the T cells that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644986

 $00{:}25{:}35.122 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}38.261$ are in the micro environment and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644986

00:25:38.261 --> 00:25:40.637 you give them immunotherapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644986

 $00:25:40.640 \longrightarrow 00:25:42.372$ Do we know whether,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644986

 $00{:}25{:}42.372 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}45.640$ just by fact of different racial groups,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644986

 $00:25:45.640 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.376$ they will Mount a different immune

NOTE Confidence: 0.8644986

 $00:25:48.376 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.172$ response that will then result in

00:25:51.172 --> 00:25:53.830 differences in terms of the effect?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00:25:55.770 \longrightarrow 00:25:58.826$ So I think one of the first things

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00:25:58.826 \longrightarrow 00:26:01.874$ that we need to think about is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00:26:01.874 \longrightarrow 00:26:04.610$ the individual and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00:26:04.610 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.074$ for that purpose you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00:26:07.074 \longrightarrow 00:26:09.439$ the health of the individuals is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00:26:09.440 \longrightarrow 00:26:11.048$ influenced by many interconnected

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

00:26:11.048 --> 00:26:13.460 factors such as their individual biology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00:26:13.460 \longrightarrow 00:26:14.410$ their behavior,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00:26:14.410 \longrightarrow 00:26:16.310$ environmental and physical influences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00{:}26{:}16.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}18.501$ The type of medical care that they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00:26:18.501 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.293$ getting and the social determinants

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

00:26:20.293 --> 00:26:22.669 which are influenced by both the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00:26:22.669 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.819$ socio economic and political factors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00:26:24.820 \longrightarrow 00:26:27.428$ And so we now know that each of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

00:26:27.428 --> 00:26:30.187 these factors can lead to the health

00:26:30.187 --> 00:26:32.217 disparities that exist in cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00{:}26{:}32.220 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}35.116$ and so these are the things that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00:26:35.116 \longrightarrow 00:26:37.400$ actually need to consider when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00:26:37.400 \longrightarrow 00:26:39.250$ talk about potentially,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00:26:39.250 \longrightarrow 00:26:41.100$ one population being

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00:26:41.100 \longrightarrow 00:26:42.580$ different than the other,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00:26:42.580 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.436$ and so I think the individual health is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00:26:46.440 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.715$ something that we should

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00:26:49.715 \longrightarrow 00:26:52.990$ consider versus the entire population

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00:26:53.089 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.919$ of that individual.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00:26:54.920 \longrightarrow 00:26:58.350$ So with that being said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00:26:58.350 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.331$ it's going to be determinant on what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00:27:00.331 \longrightarrow 00:27:01.810$ their biology is that individual

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00:27:01.810 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.114$ biology and how they are going

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00:27:04.171 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.199$ to respond to that individual therapy,

 $00:27:06.200 \longrightarrow 00:27:08.441$ and so I don't want to generalize to an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00{:}27{:}08.441 \to 00{:}27{:}10.429$ entire population on that perspective,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

00:27:10.430 --> 00:27:12.656 but I think you know the more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00:27:12.656 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.050$ pressing question for me is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00:27:14.748 \longrightarrow 00:27:17.191$ how can we overcome these

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00:27:17.191 \longrightarrow 00:27:19.286$ disparities that I just mentioned and for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00:27:19.286 \longrightarrow 00:27:21.909$ me I think that we need to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00:27:22.512 \longrightarrow 00:27:24.619$ do more inclusive research.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00{:}27{:}24.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}26.629$ We need to recognize that as human

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

00:27:26.629 --> 00:27:29.016 beings we are part of a collective that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00{:}27{:}29.016 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}31.260$ is made up of different populations.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00:27:31.260 \longrightarrow 00:27:33.316$ And then in order for us to move

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00:27:33.316 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.150$ forward in science and medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00:27:35.150 \longrightarrow 00:27:37.286$ we need to include all of our populations

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00{:}27{:}37.286 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}39.329$ in all of our research endeavors.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

00:27:39.330 --> 00:27:41.255 And this level of diversity is not only

00:27:41.255 --> 00:27:43.517 required in the populations that we study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00{:}27{:}43.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}45.608$ but it also needs to be equally represented

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

00:27:45.608 --> 00:27:47.445 in the faculty members that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00:27:47.445 \longrightarrow 00:27:49.800$ performing and or involved in these studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

00:27:49.800 --> 00:27:51.290 So again, representing the diversity

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00:27:51.290 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.482$ of our global population.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

00:27:52.490 --> 00:27:53.044 But again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00:27:53.598 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.260$ giving us some concept of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8712113

 $00:27:55.324 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.380$ individual as well.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8872093

00:27:56.960 --> 00:27:58.052 Doctor Kim Blenman

NOTE Confidence: 0.8872093

 $00:27:58.052 \longrightarrow 00:27:59.872$ is an associate research

NOTE Confidence: 0.8872093

00:27:59.872 --> 00:28:01.413 scientist in medical oncology

NOTE Confidence: 0.8872093

 $00:28:01.413 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.639$ at the Yale School of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8872093

00:28:03.640 --> 00:28:05.128 If you have questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8872093

 $00{:}28{:}05.128 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}06.616$ the address is canceranswers@yale.edu

 $00{:}28{:}06.616 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}08.671$ and past editions of the program

NOTE Confidence: 0.8872093

 $00{:}28{:}08.671 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}10.549$ are available in audio and written

NOTE Confidence: 0.8872093

 $00{:}28{:}10.604 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}12.170$ form at Yale cancercenter.org.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8872093

00:28:12.170 --> 00:28:14.626 We hope you'll join us next week to

NOTE Confidence: 0.8872093

 $00{:}28{:}14.626 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}17.020$ learn more about the fight against

NOTE Confidence: 0.8872093

 $00:28:17.020 \longrightarrow 00:28:19.582$ cancer here on Connecticut public radio.