WEBVTT

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.490$ Support for Yale Cancer Answers

NOTE Confidence: 0.85716176

 $00{:}00{:}02.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}04.980$ comes from AstraZeneca, dedicated

NOTE Confidence: 0.85716176

 $00:00:05.057 \longrightarrow 00:00:07.432$ to advancing options and providing

NOTE Confidence: 0.85716176

 $00:00:07.432 \longrightarrow 00:00:10.420$ hope for people living with cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85716176

 $00{:}00{:}10.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}13.788$ More information at a strazeneca-us.com.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85716176

 $00:00:13.790 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.210$ Welcome to Yale Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.85716176

 $00:00:15.210 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.630$ Answers with your host

NOTE Confidence: 0.85716176

 $00{:}00{:}16.630 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}18.700$ Doctor Anees Chagpar. Yale Cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.85716176

 $00:00:18.700 \longrightarrow 00:00:20.080$ Answers features the latest

NOTE Confidence: 0.85716176

 $00:00:20.141 \longrightarrow 00:00:22.216$ information on cancer care by

NOTE Confidence: 0.85716176

 $00{:}00{:}22.216 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}23.876$ welcoming on cologists and specialists

NOTE Confidence: 0.85716176

 $00:00:23.876 \longrightarrow 00:00:26.106$ who are on the forefront of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85716176

 $00:00:26.106 \longrightarrow 00:00:27.952$ battle to fight cancer. This week,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85716176

 $00{:}00{:}27.952 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}29.632$ it's a conversation about prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.85716176

 $00:00:29.632 \dashrightarrow 00:00:31.539$ cancer with Doctor Michael Leapman.

 $00:00:31.540 \longrightarrow 00:00:33.610$ Doctor Leapman is assistant professor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85716176

 $00:00:33.610 \longrightarrow 00:00:36.159$ urology at the Yale School of Medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85716176

00:00:36.160 --> 00:00:38.290 where Doctor Chagpar is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85716176

00:00:38.290 --> 00:00:39.710 professor of surgical oncology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8664472

 $00:00:40.970 \longrightarrow 00:00:43.498$ Michael, maybe we can start off by

NOTE Confidence: 0.8664472

00:00:43.498 --> 00:00:45.777 laying the groundwork and giving us

NOTE Confidence: 0.8664472

 $00:00:45.777 \longrightarrow 00:00:48.648$ a bit of a landscape of prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8664472

00:00:48.650 --> 00:00:51.314 How common is it? How lethal is it?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8664472

 $00{:}00{:}51.320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}53.658$ Who gets it? Why should we care

NOTE Confidence: 0.8664472

 $00:00:53.658 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.000$ about this disease?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8664472

 $00{:}00{:}55.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}57.016$ Prostate cancer is something

NOTE Confidence: 0.8664472

 $00:00:57.016 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.669$ that I think is always on our minds.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8664472

 $00:00:59.670 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.676$ We hear a lot about it on the news.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8664472

 $00:01:02.680 \longrightarrow 00:01:04.892$ It is the most commonly diagnosed non

NOTE Confidence: 0.8664472

 $00:01:04.892 \longrightarrow 00:01:06.999$ skin cancer in men and over 230,000

NOTE Confidence: 0.8664472

 $00:01:06.999 \longrightarrow 00:01:09.189$ American men are expected to be

 $00:01:09.189 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.499$ diagnosed with prostate cancer next year.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8664472

 $00{:}01{:}11.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}13.684$ And it's also the second leading

NOTE Confidence: 0.8664472

 $00:01:13.684 \longrightarrow 00:01:15.689$ cause of cancer death in men,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8664472

 $00:01:15.690 \longrightarrow 00:01:17.860$ and so that imbalance between how common

NOTE Confidence: 0.8664472

 $00:01:17.860 \longrightarrow 00:01:20.868$ it is and the risk of death from prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8664472

00:01:20.868 --> 00:01:23.020 cancer is really quite interesting,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8664472

 $00:01:23.020 \longrightarrow 00:01:25.463$ because the majority of men who are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8664472

 $00{:}01{:}25.463 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}27.255$ diagnosed with prostate cancer will

NOTE Confidence: 0.8664472

 $00:01:27.255 \longrightarrow 00:01:29.295$ not have a very aggressive cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8664472

 $00:01:29.300 \longrightarrow 00:01:30.212$ But then again,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8664472

 $00{:}01{:}30.212 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}32.340$ there is a lot of aggressive prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8664472

00:01:32.407 --> 00:01:34.187 cancer that requires treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8664472

 $00{:}01{:}34.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}36.278$ and so figuring out that balance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8664472

 $00{:}01{:}36.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}38.025$ figuring out where one lives

NOTE Confidence: 0.8664472

 $00:01:38.025 \longrightarrow 00:01:39.770$ on that spectrum is really

 $00:01:39.770 \longrightarrow 00:01:42.206$ important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8842076

 $00:01:42.210 \longrightarrow 00:01:46.856$ How does that happen? Is it a matter of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8842076

 $00:01:46.856 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.992$ seeing how aggressive the cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8842076

00:01:49.992 --> 00:01:53.326 cells look by their grade on a biopsy?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8842076

 $00:01:53.330 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.011$ Or are there other factors that kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8842076

00:01:56.011 --> 00:01:59.504 of play into figuring out how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8842076

 $00:01:59.504 \longrightarrow 00:02:02:02.319$ aggressive this cancer is?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:02:02.320 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.660$ A lot of factors really come

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00{:}02{:}05.742 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}08.490$ together to help make that distinction

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:02:08.490 \longrightarrow 00:02:11.728$ about the risk level that someone has.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00{:}02{:}11.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}14.280$ Historically, we really had a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:02:14.280 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.320$ monolithic approach where if someone had

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:02:16.320 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.300$ cancer there was treatment right away.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:02:18.300 \longrightarrow 00:02:20.310$ There was very little disconnection there.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:02:20.310 \longrightarrow 00:02:24.024$ It was just kind of a one way path from a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:02:24.024 \longrightarrow 00:02:27.048$ diagnosis of prostate cancer to treatment.

 $00:02:27.050 \longrightarrow 00:02:29.036$ And that really continued for decades

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00{:}02{:}29.036 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}31.160$ and decades until the understanding came

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

00:02:31.160 --> 00:02:33.750 that many of the prostate cancers did

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

00:02:33.750 --> 00:02:35.978 extremely well and probably did extremely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00{:}02{:}35.980 \to 00{:}02{:}37.376$ extremely well without treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

00:02:37.376 --> 00:02:39.887 And there was growing data and really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:02:39.887 \longrightarrow 00:02:42.035$ strong information that these are very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:02:42.040 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.253$ very common in men in their 80s.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:02:45.260 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.759$ They may be as prevalent as 60%

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

00:02:47.760 --> 00:02:50.259 of people might have a low grade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

00:02:50.260 --> 00:02:51.664 non aggressive prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:02:51.664 \longrightarrow 00:02:54.558$ So this story began to be written over

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00{:}02{:}54.558 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}57.092$ 30 years ago where there was increasing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:02:57.100 \longrightarrow 00:02:58.084$ awareness of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:02:58.084 \longrightarrow 00:03:00.544$ the spectrum of aggressiveness in

 $00:03:00.544 \longrightarrow 00:03:03.454$ prostate cancer and so the main criteria

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00{:}03{:}03.454 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}06.309$ that we use to estimate a given man's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:03:06.309 \longrightarrow 00:03:09.309$ risk of prostate cancer and the risk of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:03:09.310 \longrightarrow 00:03:11.255$ cancer will behave aggressively relate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

00:03:11.255 --> 00:03:14.786 to what it does look like on under a biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:03:14.790 \longrightarrow 00:03:17.345$ and there is a scale used called

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:03:17.345 \longrightarrow 00:03:18.440$ the Gleason scale,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:03:18.440 \longrightarrow 00:03:19.884$ which is a pathologist,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:03:19.884 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.355$ will take a look at the biopsy under

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:03:23.355 \longrightarrow 00:03:23.969$ microscope

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00{:}03{:}23.970 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}26.231$ and look at how normal or abnormal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:03:26.231 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.580$ the cancer cells look.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:03:27.580 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.280$ Look at the architectural pattern

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00{:}03{:}29.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}31.509$ of the glands and assign a level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:03:31.510 \longrightarrow 00:03:33.412$ And that level is highly related

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:03:33.412 \longrightarrow 00:03:35.449$ to the outcome of the cancer.

 $00{:}03{:}35.450 --> 00{:}03{:}37.090$ So that's a very good

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:03:37.090 \longrightarrow 00:03:39.960$ way of beginning to estimate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:03:39.960 \longrightarrow 00:03:41.680$ the trajectory of prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:03:41.680 \longrightarrow 00:03:44.088$ Some of the other tools we use,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00{:}03{:}44.090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}46.882$ are PSA levels. PSA is a common blood

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:03:46.882 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.855$ test that is ordered and it's a

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:03:49.855 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.638$ protein that is made by the prostate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:03:52.640 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.056$ And it can be found in the blood.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:03:55.060 \longrightarrow 00:03:55.331$ Now,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00{:}03{:}55.331 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}56.957$ having a PSA level doesn't mean

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

00:03:56.957 --> 00:03:58.699 that you have prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:03:58.700 \longrightarrow 00:04:00.968$ but there is a relationship between

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00{:}04{:}00.968 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}03.188$ how high that PSA level is and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:04:03.188 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.669$ risk that a man can have prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:04:05.670 \longrightarrow 00:04:08.086$ So that level of PSA is also prognostic,

00:04:08.090 --> 00:04:11.162 meaning it can help us estimate how likely

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00{:}04{:}11.162 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}13.959$ the cancer is to be aggressive or not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:04:13.960 \longrightarrow 00:04:15.955$ And the last classic thing that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:04:15.955 \longrightarrow 00:04:18.806$ we do is is a rectal examination of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:04:18.806 \longrightarrow 00:04:21.073$ physical examination where we feel the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:04:21.073 \longrightarrow 00:04:23.467$ prostate and see if we can feel a lump

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00{:}04{:}23.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}26.386$ or a bump which is also kind of an

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

00:04:26.386 --> 00:04:28.858 indicator of how big a tumor might be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00{:}04{:}28.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}30.762$ or if there's something that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:04:30.762 \longrightarrow 00:04:32.030$ reached a significant level.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00{:}04{:}32.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}33.818$ So those are historically how we

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:04:33.818 \longrightarrow 00:04:35.401$ estimate aggressiveness and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:04:35.401 \longrightarrow 00:04:36.777$ the appropriateness of treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8923279

 $00:04:36.780 \longrightarrow 00:04:38.370$ or what treatment should be

NOTE Confidence: 0.88028723

 $00:04:38.370 \longrightarrow 00:04:40.398$ undertaken. So before we kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.88028723

 $00:04:40.398 \longrightarrow 00:04:42.987$ dig into a little bit more on that

 $00:04:42.987 \longrightarrow 00:04:45.140$ just to take one step back when

NOTE Confidence: 0.88028723

00:04:45.140 --> 00:04:47.180 people often hear about PSA

NOTE Confidence: 0.88028723

 $00:04:47.180 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.812$ and digital rectal exams,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88028723

 $00:04:48.820 \longrightarrow 00:04:50.890$ they often think about screening more

NOTE Confidence: 0.88028723

 $00:04:50.890 \longrightarrow 00:04:53.320$ than they do about prognostication.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88028723

 $00:04:53.320 \longrightarrow 00:04:55.896$ And yet there have been some changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.88028723

 $00:04:55.896 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.424$ I understand to what people are

NOTE Confidence: 0.88028723

 $00:04:58.424 \longrightarrow 00:05:00.679$ recommending in terms of screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88028723

 $00{:}05{:}00.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}03.272$ So can you take us back and tell

NOTE Confidence: 0.88028723

 $00{:}05{:}03.272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}06.376$ us a little bit about who should

NOTE Confidence: 0.88028723

 $00:05:06.376 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.270$ get screened when and with what?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88028723

 $00{:}05{:}09.270 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}11.526$ Should all men get screened if

NOTE Confidence: 0.88028723

 $00{:}05{:}11.526 \to 00{:}05{:}13.770$ prostate cancer is really prevalent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88028723

 $00:05:13.770 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.218$ should this be a foregone conclusion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88028723

 $00:05:16.220 \longrightarrow 00:05:19.615$ or is there a benefit to screening?

00:05:19.620 --> 00:05:21.576 And if so, in what populations?

 $00:05:21.910 \longrightarrow 00:05:24.542$ I'm so happy you asked that because

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00{:}05{:}24.542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}26.682$ that really I think begins to speak

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00{:}05{:}26.682 \rightarrow 00{:}05{:}28.880$ to the heart of the controversy and

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:05:28.880 \longrightarrow 00:05:31.386$ what I see in my daily practices.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:05:31.390 \longrightarrow 00:05:33.858$ There is so much

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:05:33.860 \longrightarrow 00:05:35.985$ ongoing communication about that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:05:35.985 \longrightarrow 00:05:37.685$ different perceptions about screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00{:}05{:}37.690 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}41.506$ And so the story does go back even further,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

00:05:41.510 --> 00:05:43.246 again, probably several decades

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:05:43.246 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.320$ ago when that PSA blood test was

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:05:46.320 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.305$ discovered in the late 1980s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00{:}05{:}48.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}52.550$ and they found that if you check PSA

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00{:}05{:}52.550 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}55.014$ you will find some people

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00{:}05{:}55.014 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}56.890$ who have a bnormal PSA levels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:05:56.890 \longrightarrow 00:05:59.734$ and we typically do a biopsy next and we're

00:05:59.734 --> 00:06:01.960 identifying prostate cancer so historically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:06:01.960 \longrightarrow 00:06:04.319$ back in the late 80s and early

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:06:04.319 \longrightarrow 00:06:06.668$ 90s and into the early 2000s,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00{:}06{:}06{:}06{:}06{:}09{:}197$ there was a lot of PSA testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

00:06:09.200 --> 00:06:12.458 It was routinely used in pretty much all men,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:06:12.460 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.308$ adult men,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00{:}06{:}13.308 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}15.852$ and a lot of prostate cancers

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

00:06:15.852 --> 00:06:18.279 were being found as a result.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00{:}06{:}18.280 --> 00{:}06{:}19.696$ And so you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:06:19.696 \longrightarrow 00:06:22.230$ it became clear that

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:06:22.230 \longrightarrow 00:06:24.020$ since a lot of prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:06:24.020 \longrightarrow 00:06:25.452$ cancer is being detected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:06:25.460 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.230$ that more rigorous evidence was

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:06:27.230 \longrightarrow 00:06:29.455$ needed to be undertaken so very

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:06:29.455 \longrightarrow 00:06:30.995$ large national and International

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:06:30.995 \longrightarrow 00:06:33.635$ Studies were done to look at the

 $00:06:33.635 \longrightarrow 00:06:35.579$ benefits of PSA testing to determine

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00{:}06{:}35.579 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}37.562$ and really quantify how beneficial it

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:06:37.562 \longrightarrow 00:06:40.544$ is to have a PSA checked and find a

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:06:40.544 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.686$ cancer that could be in the prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:06:42.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.848$ which was previously undetected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

00:06:44.850 --> 00:06:46.678 because they generally don't

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:06:46.678 \longrightarrow 00:06:48.506$ cause symptoms and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:06:48.510 \longrightarrow 00:06:49.930$ when we talk about screening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00{:}06{:}49.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}51.715$ we mean taking people who have no

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

00:06:51.715 --> 00:06:53.335 symptoms who are otherwise, well., NOTE Confi-

dence: 0.90424776

 $00{:}06{:}53.335 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}55.330$ they have no evidence of prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:06:55.330 \longrightarrow 00:06:57.240$ but trying to find something

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

00:06:57.240 --> 00:06:59.575 early before it is manifest before

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:06:59.575 \longrightarrow 00:07:01.335$ it comes to the surface.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:07:01.340 \longrightarrow 00:07:04.140$ And a few studies have been done,

 $00:07:04.140 \longrightarrow 00:07:06.821$ and one landmark study was performed in

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00{:}07{:}06.821 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}09.269$ the United States which really didn't

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:07:09.269 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.139$ find a big survival benefit to screening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:07:12.140 \longrightarrow 00:07:14.940$ And so as a result in 2012,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

00:07:14.940 --> 00:07:17.340 the US Preventive Service Task Force,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00{:}07{:}17.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}19.340$ which is a guideline issuing

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:07:19.340 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.340$ body in the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:07:21.340 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.188$ said that because of that absence of benefit

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00{:}07{:}25.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}27.577$ and the great potential for harm by

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00{:}07{:}27.577 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}29.993$ treating that no men should undergo

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00{:}07{:}29.993 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}32.203$ PSA testing under any circumstance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:07:32.210 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.808$ It was kind of a blanket recommendation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

00:07:35.810 --> 00:07:38.155 And this was really kind of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

00:07:38.155 --> 00:07:39.640 controversial statement for people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

00:07:39.640 --> 00:07:41.728 especially in the prostate cancer field,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:07:41.730 \longrightarrow 00:07:43.676$ because it was clear that in the

00:07:43.676 --> 00:07:45.525 20 years where prostate cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:07:45.525 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.947$ screening was occurring,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00{:}07{:}46.950 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}49.512$ there was a substantial reduction in

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:07:49.512 \longrightarrow 00:07:52.969$ the risk of death from prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:07:52.970 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.868$ And so right after that guideline came to be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:07:55.870 \longrightarrow 00:07:57.480$ there was another study

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:07:57.480 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.090$ that finally came to fruition,

00:08:00.700 --> 00:08:03.268 which had been conducted for over 10 years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:08:03.270 \longrightarrow 00:08:04.880$ but the results weren't available,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

00:08:04.880 --> 00:08:06.490 which was performed in Europe,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00{:}08{:}06.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}09.052$ which did find a large benefit to

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:08:09.052 \longrightarrow 00:08:11.773$ screening with PSA in terms of reducing

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:08:11.773 \longrightarrow 00:08:14.095$ the risk of prostate cancer death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

00:08:14.100 --> 00:08:16.585 So here you have these two conflicting

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00{:}08{:}16.585 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}18.053$ randomized trials which create

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:08:18.053 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.081$ a lot of uncertainty at which

00:08:20.081 --> 00:08:21.560 that uncertainty still exists,

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:08:21.560 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.780$ and there's still a lot of

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:08:23.780 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.652$ controversy about which one is

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:08:25.652 \longrightarrow 00:08:27.590$ right and which one is flawed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

 $00:08:27.590 \longrightarrow 00:08:28.658$ There are some

 $00:08:29.370 \longrightarrow 00:08:31.525$ substantial flaws with the

NOTE Confidence: 0.90424776

00:08:31.525 --> 00:08:33.680 study performed in the United

NOTE Confidence: 0.85288113

 $00:08:33.752 \longrightarrow 00:08:34.830$ States because

NOTE Confidence: 0.85288113

 $00{:}08{:}34.830 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}36.384$ many of the patients who were in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85288113

 $00:08:36.384 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.828$ the trial were actually already

NOTE Confidence: 0.85288113

 $00{:}08{:}37.828 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!>\:} 00{:}08{:}39.280$ screened for prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85288113

 $00:08:39.280 \longrightarrow 00:08:42.600$ so it was a bit hard to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85288113

 $00:08:42.600 \longrightarrow 00:08:44.544$ distinguish those who had been

NOTE Confidence: 0.85288113

 $00{:}08{:}44.544 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}45.840$ screened already versus those

NOTE Confidence: 0.85288113

00:08:45.897 --> 00:08:47.337 who were not being screened.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85288113

 $00{:}08{:}47.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}49.517$ So it was almost as if everyone

00:08:49.517 --> 00:08:51.449 was really getting the same thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85288113

 $00:08:51.450 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.024$ So the controlled element of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85288113

 $00:08:54.024 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.780$ trial was hard to appreciate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85288113

 $00:08:56.780 \longrightarrow 00:08:58.922$ So that's kind of a long winded

NOTE Confidence: 0.85288113

 $00:08:58.922 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.251$ way of saying that it's still

NOTE Confidence: 0.85288113

00:09:01.251 --> 00:09:03.039 a very controversial question,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85288113

 $00:09:03.040 \longrightarrow 00:09:05.284$ but the evidence has really continued

NOTE Confidence: 0.85288113

 $00{:}09{:}05.284 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}07.553$ to accumulate as these studies have

NOTE Confidence: 0.85288113

00:09:07.553 --> 00:09:10.024 been followed for more and more years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85288113

 $00:09:10.030 \longrightarrow 00:09:12.221$ and it really does appear to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85288113

 $00:09:12.221 \longrightarrow 00:09:14.715$ be as a substantial risk reduction

NOTE Confidence: 0.85288113

 $00:09:14.715 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.667$ in death from prostate cancer by

NOTE Confidence: 0.85288113

 $00{:}09{:}17.667 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}20.180$ having a PSA checked and finding

NOTE Confidence: 0.85288113

 $00:09:20.180 \longrightarrow 00:09:21.820$ early stage cancers and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:09:21.820 \longrightarrow 00:09:24.388$ so do you recommend that for all men

 $00:09:24.388 \longrightarrow 00:09:28.559$ or men over a certain age or men with a

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00{:}09{:}28.559 \rightarrow 00{:}09{:}30.038$ certain demographic characteristic?

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:09:30.040 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.120$ I mean, perhaps the difference

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:09:32.120 \longrightarrow 00:09:34.200$ between the two studies and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:09:34.273 \longrightarrow 00:09:36.208$ I'm just surmising here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:09:36.210 \longrightarrow 00:09:38.265$ maybe that there were different

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

00:09:38.265 --> 00:09:40.320 characteristics of the people participating,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:09:40.320 \longrightarrow 00:09:43.001$ such that some men may

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00{:}09{:}43.001 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}45.126$ really benefit from early detection

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:09:45.126 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.719$ and other men, not so much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:09:47.720 \longrightarrow 00:09:50.410$ I think you're absolutely right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:09:50.410 \longrightarrow 00:09:53.168$ And so we really kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:09:53.168 \longrightarrow 00:09:56.712$ have to be anchored in what the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

00:09:56.712 --> 00:10:00.102 studies have shown and the studies

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

00:10:00.110 --> 00:10:03.107 in both Europe and the United States,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:10:03.110 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.098$ really focus on men in their 50s and 60s,

 $00:10:06.100 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.375$ and so the best evidence would suggest

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00{:}10{:}08.375 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}10.979$ that men who are above the age of

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:10:10.979 \longrightarrow 00:10:12.966$ 75 really don't benefit very much

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

00:10:12.966 --> 00:10:15.096 from having a routine PSA checked.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:10:15.100 \longrightarrow 00:10:17.692$ Now it's a different story if people are

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00{:}10{:}17.692 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}19.911$ having urinary symptoms or have a reason

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:10:19.911 \longrightarrow 00:10:22.419$ to suspect that they have prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

00:10:22.420 --> 00:10:24.418 But when we talk about screening,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00{:}10{:}24.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}25.748$ we're saying being asymptomatic,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:10:25.748 \longrightarrow 00:10:26.744$ having no problems,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

00:10:26.750 --> 00:10:29.270 but getting a PSA checked and going

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:10:29.270 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.430$ looking for potential prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00{:}10{:}31.430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}34.321$ So the US Preventive Services Task Force

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:10:34.321 \longrightarrow 00:10:37.085$ which issues these guidelines in

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:10:37.085 \longrightarrow 00:10:39.500$ 2018 revised their recommendation to

 $00:10:39.500 \longrightarrow 00:10:41.804$ suggest that prostate cancer screening

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00{:}10{:}41.804 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}44.744$ with PSA can be considered kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

00:10:44.750 --> 00:10:46.970 in a shared decision-making fashion,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

00:10:46.970 --> 00:10:49.840 which means that a patient and their

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:10:49.840 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.096$ physician should have a conversation

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

00:10:52.096 --> 00:10:54.958 about the potential harms and benefits,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:10:54.960 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.320$ and find a way to balance the potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

00:10:58.320 --> 00:11:01.177 harms of undergoing a PSA test,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:11:01.180 \longrightarrow 00:11:02.461$ which could include

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

00:11:02.461 --> 00:11:04.169 having a prostate biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

00:11:04.170 --> 00:11:06.354 having invasive testing or finding a

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

00:11:06.354 --> 00:11:09.130 cancer which is non aggressive and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:11:09.130 \longrightarrow 00:11:12.042$ might not have changed their life expectancy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:11:12.050 \longrightarrow 00:11:14.258$ And balancing that with the potential

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:11:14.258 \longrightarrow 00:11:16.406$ benefit of reducing their risk from

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

00:11:16.406 --> 00:11:18.534 prostate cancer death so it is really

00:11:18.534 --> 00:11:21.006 kind of not a one size fits all approach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:11:21.010 \longrightarrow 00:11:23.467$ but it really should occur for men

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

00:11:23.467 --> 00:11:26.126 who are in the age of 55 to 69,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:11:26.130 \longrightarrow 00:11:28.370$ which is kind of the recommended group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:11:28.370 \longrightarrow 00:11:30.128$ Some demographics appear to be higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

00:11:30.128 --> 00:11:32.017 risk and we do recommend earlier

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:11:32.017 \longrightarrow 00:11:33.979$ screening beginning at

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:11:33.979 \longrightarrow 00:11:36.003$ 45 and potentially even earlier for

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:11:36.003 \longrightarrow 00:11:38.265$ people who are falling into a high

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:11:38.265 \longrightarrow 00:11:40.035$ risk demographic based on a strong

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

00:11:40.035 --> 00:11:41.810 family history of prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:11:41.810 \longrightarrow 00:11:43.700$ and that means having a

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

00:11:43.700 --> 00:11:44.860 first degree family relative

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:11:44.860 \longrightarrow 00:11:45.730$ with prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:11:45.730 \longrightarrow 00:11:48.466$ such as a brother or father.

00:11:48.470 --> 00:11:50.468 Or having a known genetic alteration,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00{:}11{:}50.470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}52.638$ such as a mutation in the BRCA2

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00{:}11{:}52.638 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}54.873$ gene which is known to be associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:11:54.873 \longrightarrow 00:11:56.949$ with prostate cancer risk and other

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:11:56.949 \longrightarrow 00:11:58.899$ certain racial demographics such as

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

00:11:58.899 --> 00:12:01.078 African American men are at higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00{:}12{:}01.078 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}02.623$ risk for prostate cancer detection

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

00:12:02.623 --> 00:12:04.450 and death from prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00{:}12{:}04.450 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}06.690$ and so they also fall into a higher

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

00:12:06.690 --> 00:12:08.546 risk category where screening may

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

00:12:08.546 --> 00:12:09.776 be appropriate earlier.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00{:}12{:}09.780 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}12.097$ But it's definitely not a one size

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

00:12:12.097 --> 00:12:14.109 fits all approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:12:14.110 \longrightarrow 00:12:16.720$ I do think that the way to do it

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:12:16.720 \longrightarrow 00:12:19.166$ is to really have a thoughtful

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:12:19.170 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.190$ conversation to understand

 $00:12:20.190 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.550$ the whole picture here and

00:12:21.911 --> 00:12:24.438 why we would even consider prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

00:12:24.438 --> 00:12:26.308 cancer screening what we could find,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:12:26.310 \longrightarrow 00:12:28.010$ what the outcomes could be,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:12:28.010 \longrightarrow 00:12:30.050$ what could happen

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00{:}12{:}30.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}32.220$ and so doing that in the context

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:12:32.220 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.220$ of a relationship with a physician

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

 $00:12:34.220 \longrightarrow 00:12:35.895$ or health care provider who

NOTE Confidence: 0.88629407

00:12:35.895 --> 00:12:37.868 you trust is really important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.931155

00:12:38.930 --> 00:12:42.692 And going back to our

NOTE Confidence: 0.931155

 $00:12:42.692 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.946$ earlier conversation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931155

 $00:12:43.950 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.200$ even if you're screened and an

NOTE Confidence: 0.931155

00:12:46.200 --> 00:12:48.390 early prostate cancer is detected,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931155

00:12:48.390 --> 00:12:50.814 not all men will undergo treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.931155

00:12:50.814 --> 00:12:52.834 for their prostate cancer, right?

NOTE Confidence: 0.931155

 $00:12:52.834 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.066$ So how do you decide who gets treatment?

00:12:56.070 --> 00:12:57.686 Who doesn't get treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.931155

 $00:12:57.686 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.706$ and what that looks like?

NOTE Confidence: 0.931155

 $00:12:59.710 \longrightarrow 00:13:02.128$ Yes, and I think that has

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564096

 $00:13:02.130 \longrightarrow 00:13:04.824$ really been the transformational shift that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564096

 $00:13:04.824 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.188$ has happened in the past ten years or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564096

00:13:08.190 --> 00:13:11.961 And you know the harms of PSA testing really

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564096

 $00:13:11.961 \longrightarrow 00:13:15.178$ relate to treating cancers that we find,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564096

 $00:13:15.180 \longrightarrow 00:13:17.620$ and there are real

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564096

 $00:13:17.620 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.572$ risks of cancer treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564096

00:13:19.580 --> 00:13:22.030 including changes to urinary function,

 $00:13:24.190 \longrightarrow 00:13:26.350$ and GI and rectal toxicity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564096

 $00:13:26.350 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.157$ So the big change is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564096

00:13:29.157 --> 00:13:30.814 the acknowledgement that it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564096

 $00:13:30.814 \longrightarrow 00:13:32.699$ is appropriate to not treat

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564096

 $00:13:32.700 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.974$ initially patients who have cancer that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564096

 $00:13:34.974 \longrightarrow 00:13:38.325$ appear to be non aggressive and that is a

 $00:13:38.325 \longrightarrow 00:13:41.040$ process that we call active surveillance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564096

 $00:13:41.040 \longrightarrow 00:13:43.134$ which is a period of close

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564096

00:13:43.134 --> 00:13:44.530 monitoring of prostate cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564096

 $00:13:44.601 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.597$ rather than immediate treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564096

 $00:13:46.600 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.290$ And so what's so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564096

 $00:13:49.290 \longrightarrow 00:13:51.980$ transformative about that is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564096

 $00:13:51.980 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.422$ it sort of allows us to have

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564096

 $00:13:53.422 \longrightarrow 00:13:54.840$ the benefits of early detection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564096

 $00:13:54.840 \longrightarrow 00:13:55.756$ which are finding

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564096

 $00:13:55.756 \longrightarrow 00:13:58.046$ potentially lethal cancers earlier,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564096

 $00{:}13{:}58.050 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}00.516$ treating those ones and forgoing or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564096

 $00:14:00.516 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.160$ deferring treatment altogether for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8564096

 $00:14:02.219 \longrightarrow 00:14:04.445$ those cancers that are non aggressive.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87316716

00:14:05.490 --> 00:14:07.882 So we're going to have to take a

NOTE Confidence: 0.87316716

00:14:07.882 --> 00:14:09.849 short break for medical minute,

 $00:14:09.850 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.520$ but when we come back,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87316716

 $00{:}14{:}11.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}14.200$ we're going to dig into who gets treated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87316716

 $00:14:14.200 \longrightarrow 00:14:15.396$ how they get treated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.87316716

 $00:14:15.396 \longrightarrow 00:14:17.190$ and how we can really personalize

NOTE Confidence: 0.87316716

 $00:14:17.254 \longrightarrow 00:14:18.890$ treatment for prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87316716

 $00{:}14{:}18.890 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}20.900$ So please stay tuned with my

NOTE Confidence: 0.87316716

 $00{:}14{:}20.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}22.240$ guest Doctor Michael Leapman.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84168994

00:14:22.860 --> 00:14:25.425 Support for Yale Cancer Answers

NOTE Confidence: 0.84168994

 $00{:}14{:}25.425 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}28.485$ comes from AstraZeneca, working to

NOTE Confidence: 0.84168994

 $00:14:28.485 \longrightarrow 00:14:31.334$ eliminate cancer as a cause of death.

NOTE Confidence: 0.84168994

 $00{:}14{:}31.340 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}33.220$ Learn more at a strazeneca-us.com.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88811874

00:14:35.270 --> 00:14:38.558 This is a medical minute about breast cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88811874

00:14:38.560 --> 00:14:40.615 the most common cancer in

NOTE Confidence: 0.88811874

 $00:14:40.615 \longrightarrow 00:14:42.259$ women. In Connecticut alone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88811874

 $00:14:42.260 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.330$ approximately 3000 women will be

NOTE Confidence: 0.88811874

 $00:14:44.330 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.780$ diagnosed with breast cancer this year,

 $00:14:46.780 \longrightarrow 00:14:48.830$ but thanks to earlier detection,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88811874

 $00:14:48.830 \longrightarrow 00:14:50.890$ noninvasive treatments, and novel therapies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88811874

 $00:14:50.890 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.739$ there are more options for patients to

NOTE Confidence: 0.88811874

00:14:53.739 --> 00:14:56.230 fight breast cancer than ever before.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88811874

 $00:14:56.230 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.696$ Women should schedule a baseline mammogram

NOTE Confidence: 0.88811874

 $00:14:58.696 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.367$ beginning at age 40 or earlier if they have

NOTE Confidence: 0.88811874

 $00:15:02.367 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.860$ risk factors associated with breast cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88811874

 $00{:}15{:}04.860 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}06.790$ Digital breast tomosynthesis or

NOTE Confidence: 0.88811874

 $00{:}15{:}06.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}08.334$ 3D mammography is transforming

NOTE Confidence: 0.88811874

 $00:15:08.334 \longrightarrow 00:15:10.270$ breast screening by significantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.88811874

00:15:10.270 --> 00:15:11.944 reducing unnecessary procedures

NOTE Confidence: 0.88811874

 $00{:}15{:}11.944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}15.292$ while picking up more cancers and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88811874

 $00:15:15.292 \longrightarrow 00:15:18.027$ eliminating some of the fear and anxiety

NOTE Confidence: 0.88811874

00:15:18.030 --> 00:15:19.485 many women experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88811874

00:15:19.485 --> 00:15:21.425 More information is available

00:15:21.425 --> 00:15:22.395 at yalecancercenter.org.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88811874

00:15:22.400 --> 00:15:26.546 You're listening to Connecticut Public Radio.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88811874

 $00:15:26.550 \longrightarrow 00:15:26.920$ Welcome

NOTE Confidence: 0.85548645

 $00:15:26.920 \longrightarrow 00:15:28.780$ back to Yale Cancer Answers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85548645

 $00:15:28.780 \longrightarrow 00:15:31.236$ This is doctor Anees Chagpar and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85548645

00:15:31.236 --> 00:15:33.881 I'm joined tonight by my guest doctor

NOTE Confidence: 0.85548645

00:15:33.881 --> 00:15:36.330 Michael Leapman and we're talking about prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.85548645

 $00:15:36.330 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.790$ cancer and right before the break,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85548645

 $00{:}15{:}38.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}40.794$ Michael you were talking about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85548645

 $00:15:40.794 \longrightarrow 00:15:43.829$ fact that some men can have

NOTE Confidence: 0.85548645

 $00{:}15{:}43.829 \to 00{:}15{:}45.465$ what's called active surveillance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85548645

 $00{:}15{:}45.470 \to 00{:}15{:}47.330$ just monitoring their prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85548645

 $00:15:47.330 \longrightarrow 00:15:49.900$ particularly if it's found early.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85548645

 $00{:}15{:}49.900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}52.850$ Because there is toxicity to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85548645

 $00:15:52.850 \longrightarrow 00:15:54.620$ prostate cancer treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85548645

 $00:15:54.620 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.126$ But other men really do require treatment,

 $00:15:57.130 \longrightarrow 00:15:59.266$ so let's dig into that group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85548645

00:15:59.270 --> 00:16:01.685 How do you figure out who

NOTE Confidence: 0.85548645

00:16:01.685 --> 00:16:03.570 requires treatment and who doesn't?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85548645

 $00:16:03.570 \longrightarrow 00:16:06.076$ Yes, so that is one of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85548645

00:16:06.076 --> 00:16:07.150 really important things

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:16:07.150 \longrightarrow 00:16:10.006$ that we do at the time of diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

00:16:10.010 --> 00:16:13.232 So if a man has had a prostate biopsy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:16:13.240 \longrightarrow 00:16:14.788$ we detect prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:16:14.788 \longrightarrow 00:16:17.461$ the first thing that we really want

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

00:16:17.461 --> 00:16:19.963 to do is is trying to gather all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00{:}16{:}20.037 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}22.795$ information possible to come up with that

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:16:22.795 \longrightarrow 00:16:26.428$ estimate of what we're dealing with.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:16:26.430 \longrightarrow 00:16:28.550$ And so, in addition to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:16:28.550 \longrightarrow 00:16:30.560$ things that we discussed previously,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

00:16:30.560 --> 00:16:32.786 the Gleason score of the PSA level,

 $00:16:32.790 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.705$ the physical exam,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00{:}16{:}33.705 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}35.840$ there are other tools that can help

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

00:16:35.901 --> 00:16:37.875 us predict what we're dealing with,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:16:37.880 \longrightarrow 00:16:39.465$ what the outcome would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:16:39.465 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.733$ if we did treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:16:40.740 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.648$ or if we didn't do treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:16:42.650 \longrightarrow 00:16:44.750$ and two of those tools that we

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:16:44.750 \longrightarrow 00:16:47.037$ want to talk about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

00:16:47.037 --> 00:16:49.005 one is called a prostate MRI,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:16:49.010 \longrightarrow 00:16:51.110$ which essentially is a high

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00{:}16{:}51.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}53.210$ resolution MRI of the prostate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00{:}16{:}53.210 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}54.930$ That often actually precedes the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:16:54.930 \longrightarrow 00:16:57.454$ biopsy and helps us to a more

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

00:16:57.454 --> 00:16:59.299 accurate biopsy by finding areas

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:16:59.299 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.184$ within the prostate that could

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:17:01.184 \longrightarrow 00:17:02.964$ harbor prostate cancer and allowing

 $00:17:02.964 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.123$ us to more accurately target them

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:17:05.123 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.289$ so that we can identify cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

00:17:07.290 --> 00:17:10.539 If we don't find something,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00{:}17{:}10.540 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}12.395$ the absence of an aggressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

00:17:12.395 --> 00:17:14.510 cancer is also reassuring to us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

00:17:14.510 --> 00:17:16.382 so that is an important component

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

00:17:16.382 --> 00:17:18.098 that helps us identify potentially

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00{:}17{:}18.098 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}19.734$ more aggressive prostate cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

00:17:19.734 --> 00:17:21.370 that could be present.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:17:21.370 \longrightarrow 00:17:22.814$ And again increasingly happens

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00{:}17{:}22.814 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}24.619$ before the time of diagnosis.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00{:}17{:}24.620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}26.500$ But we incorporate that information

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00{:}17{:}26.500 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}28.887$ to help come up with a sort

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:17:28.887 \longrightarrow 00:17:30.610$ of an assessment of risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:17:30.610 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.556$ The other are a host of validated

 $00:17:32.556 \longrightarrow 00:17:34.121$ genomic tests which measure expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00{:}17{:}34.121 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}36.473$ levels of panels of genes that are

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:17:36.473 \longrightarrow 00:17:38.390$ associated with prostate cancer outcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:17:38.390 \longrightarrow 00:17:41.630$ and so these are not the tests that tell you

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:17:41.630 \longrightarrow 00:17:43.884$ do you have a good gene or a bad gene.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:17:43.890 \longrightarrow 00:17:46.754$ These are genes that we all have

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:17:46.754 \longrightarrow 00:17:49.296$ present in all cells and what what we

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00{:}17{:}49.296 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}52.071$ do is we sort of look at the tumor

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:17:52.071 \longrightarrow 00:17:54.564$ tissue and we send it off to various

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:17:54.564 \longrightarrow 00:17:56.154$ companies that can perform these

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:17:56.154 \longrightarrow 00:17:58.470$ tests and essentially get a score back,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:17:58.470 \longrightarrow 00:18:00.756$ which is an estimate of risk.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00{:}18{:}00.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}03.889$ An estimate of the likelihood of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

00:18:03.889 --> 00:18:06.357 prostate cancer spreading beyond the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:18:06.357 \longrightarrow 00:18:08.967$ prostate or returning after treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:18:08.970 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.758$ Now these tests are not recommended

 $00:18:10.758 \longrightarrow 00:18:12.930$ for all men with prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00{:}18{:}12.930 \to 00{:}18{:}14.826$ They are not an absolute requirement

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

00:18:14.826 --> 00:18:17.232 because if the cancer appears to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:18:17.232 \longrightarrow 00:18:18.672$ sufficiently aggressive based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

00:18:18.672 --> 00:18:20.518 their Gleason score or PSA level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:18:20.520 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.500$ there appears to be little utility

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:18:22.500 \longrightarrow 00:18:23.820$ in doing the testing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:18:23.820 \longrightarrow 00:18:24.150$ However,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:18:24.150 \longrightarrow 00:18:26.790$ for people who might be on the fence,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00{:}18{:}26.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}28.545$ who may be are considering active

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:18:28.545 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.693$ surveillance or treatment and want

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:18:30.693 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.703$ a bit more information about their

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

00:18:32.703 --> 00:18:34.629 estimated prognosis or how they might

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:18:34.629 \longrightarrow 00:18:36.357$ do in either of those categories,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:18:36.360 \longrightarrow 00:18:39.419$ these tests appear to have some value.

00:18:39.420 --> 00:18:41.765 And so putting all those together with

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00{:}18{:}41.765 {\:\dashrightarrow\:} 00{:}18{:}44.321$ of course very important things like

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

00:18:44.321 --> 00:18:46.305 a patient's personal preferences,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:18:46.310 \longrightarrow 00:18:47.525$ what they want,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:18:47.525 \longrightarrow 00:18:49.550$ what their functional status is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:18:49.550 \longrightarrow 00:18:51.926$ what their age and their overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00:18:51.926 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.329$ medical health is helps to create

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

00:18:54.329 --> 00:18:56.947 a more holistic picture of a man's

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

 $00{:}18{:}56.947 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}58.459 \text{ prostate cancer profile.}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.88120437

00:18:58.460 --> 00:19:00.080 And what treatment options

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436514

 $00{:}19{:}00.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}01.696$ or what management options

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436514

 $00:19:01.696 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.908$ would be appropriate.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436514

00:19:02.910 --> 00:19:06.555 And tell us with that score,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436514

 $00:19:06.560 \longrightarrow 00:19:09.514$ does it give men a concept of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436514

 $00:19:09.520 \longrightarrow 00:19:11.482$ their survival rate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436514

 $00:19:11.482 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.814$ or you were saying that it might give

00:19:13.814 --> 00:19:16.188 you a clue as to the likelihood that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436514

 $00{:}19{:}16.188 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}18.348$ it'll spread beyond the prostate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436514

 $00:19:18.350 \longrightarrow 00:19:20.150$ what are the tangible measures

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436514

 $00:19:20.150 \longrightarrow 00:19:22.126$ that men get with that information

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436514

 $00:19:22.126 \longrightarrow 00:19:23.906$ rather than simply a score,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8436514

 $00:19:23.910 \longrightarrow 00:19:26.199$ which can be kind of nebulous.

 $00:19:26.850 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.812$ The information that they provide there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634623

00:19:28.812 --> 00:19:31.101 a few different tests, and they kind

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634623

 $00{:}19{:}31.101 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}32.736$ of frame the information differently.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634623

 $00:19:32.740 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.134$ But the two main measures that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634623

 $00{:}19{:}35.134 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}37.754$ provide are the risk of death from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634623

 $00:19:37.754 \longrightarrow 00:19:39.654$ prostate cancer within 10 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634623

 $00:19:39.660 \longrightarrow 00:19:41.333$ And the other one would be

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634623

 $00:19:41.333 \longrightarrow 00:19:43.382$ a risk of recurrence of prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634623

 $00:19:43.382 \longrightarrow 00:19:45.502$ cancer or metastasis from prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634623

 $00:19:45.502 \longrightarrow 00:19:47.069$ cancer within five years,

 $00:19:47.070 \longrightarrow 00:19:49.156$ and so those are the estimates and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634623

 $00:19:49.156 \longrightarrow 00:19:52.295$ keep in mind that these are not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634623

 $00:19:52.295 \longrightarrow 00:19:54.031$ firm predictions because treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634623

 $00:19:54.031 \longrightarrow 00:19:56.487$ have changed very much and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634623

 $00:19:56.487 \longrightarrow 00:19:57.657$ continue to change.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634623

 $00:19:57.660 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.920$ But these are still estimates

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634623

 $00:19:58.920 \longrightarrow 00:20:00.180$ and they really do appear

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634623

 $00:20:00.180 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.406$ to be valid at distinguishing more

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634623

 $00{:}20{:}02.406 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}03.890$ aggressive and less aggressive

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634623

 $00:20:03.947 \longrightarrow 00:20:04.859$ prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634623

00:20:04.860 --> 00:20:07.200 and so knowing where those risk

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634623

 $00{:}20{:}07.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}09.182$ estimates live are important because

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634623

00:20:09.182 --> 00:20:11.681 I think they can help people make

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634623

 $00:20:11.681 \longrightarrow 00:20:13.692$ more informed decisions about #1

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634623

 $00:20:13.692 \longrightarrow 00:20:15.737$ the necessity of treatment and

 $00:20:15.737 \longrightarrow 00:20:17.340$ the intensity of treatment.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634623

 $00:20:17.340 \longrightarrow 00:20:19.680$ So should I be treated altogether?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634623

 $00{:}20{:}19.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}21.560$ Should my treatment include one

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634623

00:20:21.560 --> 00:20:23.910 form of treatment such as surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634623

00:20:23.910 --> 00:20:26.286 alone or should I have surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634623

 $00:20:26.286 \longrightarrow 00:20:28.426$ and radiation therapy or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634623

 $00:20:28.426 \longrightarrow 00:20:30.186$ additional sequences of treatment?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634623

 $00{:}20{:}30.190 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}32.094$ Based on the risk level and so

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634623

 $00{:}20{:}32.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}34.152$ that premise of can I use genomic

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634623

 $00:20:34.152 \longrightarrow 00:20:35.922$ testing to make that decision is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8634623

 $00{:}20{:}35.990 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}38.174$ still being fleshed out a little bit.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750643

 $00:20:39.400 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.090$ And so the number that men get, is there

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750643

 $00:20:43.090 \longrightarrow 00:20:45.666$ kind of a toggle where it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750643

00:20:45.666 --> 00:20:48.405 will say your risk of survival

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750643

 $00{:}20{:}48.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}50.425$ or distant recurrence or even

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750643

 $00:20:50.504 \longrightarrow 00:20:53.045$ local recurrence at 10 years is X,

00:20:53.050 --> 00:20:55.288 but if you choose surgery alone

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750643

 $00:20:55.288 \longrightarrow 00:20:57.850$ it will reduce it by this much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750643

00:20:57.850 --> 00:21:00.124 If you choose surgery and radiation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750643

 $00:21:00.124 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.649$ it will reduce it by that much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750643

00:21:02.650 --> 00:21:04.490 If you choose systemic therapy,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750643

 $00:21:04.490 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.710$ it'll reduce it by this much.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750643

 $00:21:06.710 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.646$ Is there that kind of granularity in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750643

 $00:21:09.646 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.728$ data with a toggle switch that will help

NOTE Confidence: 0.8750643

00:21:12.730 --> 00:21:14.030 men's decision-making that's such

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

 $00{:}21{:}14.030 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}15.944$ a wonderful question that I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

 $00:21:15.944 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.615$ we're not there yet because

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

 $00:21:17.615 \longrightarrow 00:21:19.577$ of the novelty of these tools,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

 $00:21:19.580 \longrightarrow 00:21:21.210$ and because of that, frankly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

00:21:21.210 --> 00:21:23.160 the novelty of doing active surveillance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

 $00:21:23.160 \longrightarrow 00:21:25.435$ we don't have that longitudinal data yet.

 $00:21:25.440 \longrightarrow 00:21:28.048$ I think that is really the Holy Grail

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

 $00:21:28.048 \longrightarrow 00:21:31.328$ where if we could say, if you

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

00:21:31.330 --> 00:21:32.224 do active surveillance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

00:21:32.224 --> 00:21:34.910 your risk is X, but if you do treatment

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

 $00:21:34.910 \longrightarrow 00:21:36.666$ it would turn down to Y.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

00:21:39.970 --> 00:21:41.758 But say if you had surgery

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

 $00:21:41.758 \longrightarrow 00:21:42.950$ as opposed to radiation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

00:21:42.950 --> 00:21:45.930 your risk will be A, so that that is clearly,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

 $00{:}21{:}45.930 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}48.016$ I think, where the field is moving.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

00:21:48.020 --> 00:21:51.206 It is a bit challenging because

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

 $00{:}21{:}51.210 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}21{:}52.465$ treatment for prostate cancer is

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

 $00:21:52.465 \longrightarrow 00:21:54.210$ very much up to the patients.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

00:21:54.210 --> 00:21:56.010 There are many other factors that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

 $00:21:56.010 \longrightarrow 00:21:57.991$ lead to these things and so really

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

 $00:21:57.991 \longrightarrow 00:21:59.664$ to do that in a rigorous way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

 $00:21:59.670 \longrightarrow 00:22:01.651$ we would need to do a randomized

00:22:01.651 --> 00:22:03.593 trial where we say we're going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

 $00:22:03.593 \longrightarrow 00:22:05.740$ flip a coin and

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

 $00:22:05.740 \longrightarrow 00:22:07.595$ half the group is going

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

00:22:07.595 --> 00:22:09.582 to have surgery and half is going

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

 $00:22:09.582 \longrightarrow 00:22:11.301$ to have radiation and we're going

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

 $00:22:11.301 \longrightarrow 00:22:13.333$ to look at

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

 $00:22:13.394 \longrightarrow 00:22:15.249$ how the genomic test or the

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

00:22:15.249 --> 00:22:16.323 MRI predicted the outcome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

00:22:16.323 --> 00:22:18.780 so I don't think that's ever going to happen,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

 $00:22:18.780 \longrightarrow 00:22:21.100$ where we're going to be able to modify

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

 $00:22:21.100 \longrightarrow 00:22:22.440$ treatment decisions based on that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

 $00:22:22.440 \longrightarrow 00:22:24.565$ But we're getting closer with

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

 $00:22:24.565 \longrightarrow 00:22:26.690$ other studies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

00:22:26.765 --> 00:22:29.105 are looking at genomics to help

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

 $00:22:29.110 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.706$ guide treatment,

00:22:29.706 --> 00:22:31.196 and stratify risk and predict

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

 $00{:}22{:}31.196 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}32.640$ response to various treatments.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

 $00:22:32.640 \longrightarrow 00:22:34.831$ So I think that is very much

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

 $00:22:34.831 \longrightarrow 00:22:36.490$ where we should be going,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86140543

 $00:22:36.490 \longrightarrow 00:22:38.100$ but we're not there yet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854645

 $00:22:38.790 \longrightarrow 00:22:40.810$ So Michael, you have mentioned

NOTE Confidence: 0.854645

 $00{:}22{:}40.810 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}43.290$ surgery and radiation a few times

NOTE Confidence: 0.854645

 $00:22:43.290 \longrightarrow 00:22:45.618$ and not so much systemic therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854645

 $00:22:45.620 \longrightarrow 00:22:48.112$ But when we talk on this show

NOTE Confidence: 0.854645

 $00:22:48.112 \longrightarrow 00:22:51.248$ as we do a lot about genomics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854645

 $00{:}22{:}51.250 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}52.858$ very often we're talking

NOTE Confidence: 0.854645

 $00:22:52.858 \longrightarrow 00:22:54.868$ about as you said,

NOTE Confidence: 0.854645

 $00:22:54.870 \longrightarrow 00:22:57.306$ genes that are turned on or turned

NOTE Confidence: 0.854645

 $00{:}22{:}57.306 \dashrightarrow 00{:}22{:}59.689$ off within a particular tumor.

NOTE Confidence: 0.854645

 $00:22:59.690 \longrightarrow 00:23:01.298$ Often times these are targets

NOTE Confidence: 0.854645

00:23:01.298 --> 00:23:02.906 for various systemic therapies.

 $00:23:02.910 \longrightarrow 00:23:06.126$ Has that been looked at in prostate cancer?

NOTE Confidence: 0.86563706

 $00{:}23{:}07.700 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}09.430$ The cancer is interesting because

NOTE Confidence: 0.86563706

 $00:23:09.430 \longrightarrow 00:23:11.839$ I think in comparison to some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.86563706

 $00:23:11.839 \longrightarrow 00:23:13.715$ the other cancers, such as lung,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86563706

 $00{:}23{:}13.715 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}15.605$ that really do have these actionable

NOTE Confidence: 0.86563706

 $00:23:15.605 \longrightarrow 00:23:17.974$ driver mutations that there are drugs

NOTE Confidence: 0.86563706

 $00:23:17.974 \longrightarrow 00:23:19.964$ specifically targeting a certain mutation

NOTE Confidence: 0.86563706

 $00:23:20.029 \longrightarrow 00:23:22.045$ that has not really been the case

NOTE Confidence: 0.86563706

 $00:23:22.045 \longrightarrow 00:23:23.924$ in prostate cancer for many reasons.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86563706

 $00:23:23.924 \longrightarrow 00:23:25.545$ Number one, the main systemic

NOTE Confidence: 0.86563706

 $00{:}23{:}25.545 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}27.435$ the rapies for people who have advanced

NOTE Confidence: 0.86563706

 $00{:}23{:}27.435 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}29.176$ or metastatic prostate cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.86563706

 $00{:}23{:}29.176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}31.004$ work by suppressing test osterone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86563706

 $00:23:31.010 \longrightarrow 00:23:32.830$ Those are very effective treatments

NOTE Confidence: 0.86563706

00:23:32.830 --> 00:23:34.640 regardless of genomic profile,

00:23:34.640 --> 00:23:37.536 that is kind of the mainstay of treatment,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86563706

 $00:23:37.540 \longrightarrow 00:23:40.255$ and they almost universally have

NOTE Confidence: 0.86563706

 $00:23:40.255 \longrightarrow 00:23:41.884$ a good response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.86563706

 $00:23:41.890 \longrightarrow 00:23:45.316$ But there is increasing recognition that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86563706

 $00:23:45.316 \longrightarrow 00:23:48.969$ there are molecular and biomarker

NOTE Confidence: 0.86563706

 $00:23:48.970 \longrightarrow 00:23:50.494$ hallmarks such as homologous

NOTE Confidence: 0.86563706

 $00:23:50.494 \longrightarrow 00:23:51.637$ recombination gene mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86563706

00:23:51.640 --> 00:23:53.328 microsatellite instability or

NOTE Confidence: 0.86563706

 $00{:}23{:}53.328 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}55.438$ DNA mismatch repair deficiencies that

NOTE Confidence: 0.86563706

 $00:23:55.438 \longrightarrow 00:23:57.514$ can lead to targeted treatments for

NOTE Confidence: 0.86563706

 $00{:}23{:}57.514 \dashrightarrow 00{:}23{:}59.566$ men who do have metastatic prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.86563706

 $00:23:59.566 \longrightarrow 00:24:01.576$ cancer or advanced prostate cancer,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86563706

 $00:24:01.580 \longrightarrow 00:24:02.396$ and so that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86563706

 $00:24:02.396 \longrightarrow 00:24:04.824$ I think is one of the big changes

NOTE Confidence: 0.86563706

 $00:24:04.824 \longrightarrow 00:24:07.530$ that has occurred in recent years,

NOTE Confidence: 0.86563706

 $00:24:07.530 \longrightarrow 00:24:10.181$ is the recommendation that we do

00:24:10.181 --> 00:24:12.221 germline testing for patients with

NOTE Confidence: 0.86563706

 $00{:}24{:}12.221 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}14.153$ regional or metastatic prostate cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.86563706

 $00:24:14.153 \longrightarrow 00:24:16.750$ to see if they have an actionable

NOTE Confidence: 0.86563706

 $00:24:16.819 \longrightarrow 00:24:18.769$ mutation that could be targeted.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85662526

 $00:24:19.460 \longrightarrow 00:24:22.008$ And so kind of getting back to

NOTE Confidence: 0.85662526

00:24:22.008 --> 00:24:24.579 one of the confusing parts of

NOTE Confidence: 0.85662526

 $00:24:24.579 \longrightarrow 00:24:28.399$ terminology that I think a lot of our

NOTE Confidence: 0.85662526

 $00{:}24{:}28.399 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}31.369$ listeners might get mixed up about,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85662526

 $00:24:31.370 \longrightarrow 00:24:33.575$ it goes back to something

NOTE Confidence: 0.85662526

 $00:24:33.575 \longrightarrow 00:24:35.780$ that you just pointed out.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85662526

00:24:35.780 --> 00:24:37.544 The difference between germline

NOTE Confidence: 0.85662526

00:24:37.544 --> 00:24:39.308 mutations and somatic mutations,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85662526

 $00{:}24{:}39.310 \dashrightarrow 00{:}24{:}41.992$ so earlier for example you

NOTE Confidence: 0.85662526

 $00:24:41.992 \longrightarrow 00:24:44.667$ mentioned that men who had a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85662526

00:24:44.667 --> 00:24:47.043 BRCA genetic mutation may be at

 $00:24:47.043 \longrightarrow 00:24:49.558$ a higher risk of developing

NOTE Confidence: 0.85662526

 $00{:}24{:}49.560 --> 00{:}24{:}50.276 \ \mathrm{prostate \ cancer},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.85662526

 $00:24:50.276 \longrightarrow 00:24:52.066$ but that is fundamentally different

NOTE Confidence: 0.85662526

 $00:24:52.066 \longrightarrow 00:24:53.538$ than this genomic testing

NOTE Confidence: 0.85662526

 $00:24:53.538 \longrightarrow 00:24:54.926$ that you're talking about.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85662526

00:24:54.930 --> 00:24:57.786 Can you flesh that out for our listeners?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85662526

00:24:57.790 --> 00:24:58.150 Absolutely,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837791

 $00:24:58.150 \longrightarrow 00:25:01.086$ when we speak about these

NOTE Confidence: 0.837791

 $00{:}25{:}01.086 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}02.855$ germline mutations we're talking about

NOTE Confidence: 0.837791

 $00:25:02.855 \longrightarrow 00:25:05.921$ the DNA that were born with that

NOTE Confidence: 0.837791

 $00:25:05.921 \longrightarrow 00:25:08.525$ that essentially has been inherited to us,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837791

 $00:25:08.530 \longrightarrow 00:25:11.490$ which is in our germ line is present in all

NOTE Confidence: 0.837791

 $00:25:11.559 \longrightarrow 00:25:14.367$ of ourselves and they may predispose to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.837791

 $00{:}25{:}14.367 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}17.479$ risk of developing cancer and the BRCA2

NOTE Confidence: 0.837791

 $00:25:17.480 \longrightarrow 00:25:20.364$ mutation is a very well acknowledged

NOTE Confidence: 0.837791

 $00:25:20.370 \longrightarrow 00:25:23.570$ mutation that confers cancer risk.

00:25:23.570 --> 00:25:25.355 When we speak about the

NOTE Confidence: 0.837791

00:25:25.355 --> 00:25:26.426 panel genomic testing,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837791

 $00:25:26.430 \longrightarrow 00:25:28.566$ we're looking at relative expression levels,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837791

 $00:25:28.570 \longrightarrow 00:25:30.712$ how turned up or turned down

NOTE Confidence: 0.837791

 $00:25:30.712 \longrightarrow 00:25:32.140$ genes are within tumors,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837791

 $00:25:32.140 \longrightarrow 00:25:33.925$ and these are not necessarily

NOTE Confidence: 0.837791

00:25:33.925 --> 00:25:35.710 genes which have been inherited,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837791

 $00{:}25{:}35.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}37.170$ or mutations within genes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837791

 $00:25:37.170 \longrightarrow 00:25:38.995$ but it's a measurement

NOTE Confidence: 0.837791

 $00:25:38.995 \longrightarrow 00:25:40.708$ of how active they are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837791

 $00:25:40.710 \longrightarrow 00:25:44.222$ so this is not a good gene or a bad gene,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837791

 $00:25:47.490 \longrightarrow 00:25:48.116$ we're wondering,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837791

00:25:48.116 --> 00:25:49.994 how this was conferred,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837791

 $00:25:50.000 \longrightarrow 00:25:51.525$ because genetics and prostate cancer

NOTE Confidence: 0.837791

 $00:25:51.525 \longrightarrow 00:25:53.423$ risk is such a common question

 $00:25:53.423 \longrightarrow 00:25:54.978$ that we get because prostate

NOTE Confidence: 0.837791

 $00{:}25{:}54.978 \dashrightarrow 00{:}25{:}56.909$ cancer is very common and there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.837791

 $00:25:56.910 \longrightarrow 00:25:59.017$ a thought that many

NOTE Confidence: 0.837791

 $00{:}25{:}59.017 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}01.075$ patients have that they inherited a

NOTE Confidence: 0.837791

 $00:26:01.075 \longrightarrow 00:26:02.905$ certain cancer predisposition from a

NOTE Confidence: 0.837791

 $00:26:02.905 \longrightarrow 00:26:05.069$ family member and that may be the case.

NOTE Confidence: 0.837791

 $00:26:05.070 \longrightarrow 00:26:07.838$ And there are certain

NOTE Confidence: 0.837791

 $00:26:08.283 \longrightarrow 00:26:10.055$ well recognized genetic mutations

NOTE Confidence: 0.837791

 $00{:}26{:}10.055 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}13.250$ that can be inherited in the germline,

NOTE Confidence: 0.837791

 $00:26:13.250 \longrightarrow 00:26:15.524$ but we're looking at levels of

NOTE Confidence: 0.837791

 $00{:}26{:}15.524 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}17.674$ cancer levels of gene expression

NOTE Confidence: 0.837791

 $00:26:17.674 \longrightarrow 00:26:20.469$ associated with the cancer outcome.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435585

 $00:26:21.220 \longrightarrow 00:26:24.148$ Yeah, and so you had mentioned that

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435585

00:26:24.148 --> 00:26:26.720 in addition to this genomic profile,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435585

 $00:26:26.720 \longrightarrow 00:26:29.600$ that men will often make decisions based on

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435585

 $00:26:29.600 \longrightarrow 00:26:32.219$ other factors based on personal preference,

 $00:26:32.220 \longrightarrow 00:26:35.364$ but for a lot of men I can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435585

 $00{:}26{:}35.370 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}38.547$ imagine that you know they come

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435585

 $00{:}26{:}38.547 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}42.044$ in and you say you've got prostate cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435585

00:26:42.050 --> 00:26:44.794 You know you can have active surveillance.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435585

 $00:26:44.800 \longrightarrow 00:26:46.368$ You can have surgery.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435585

00:26:46.368 --> 00:26:47.936 You can have surgery,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435585

 $00:26:47.940 \longrightarrow 00:26:49.624$ plus radiation and the

 $00:26:51.730 \longrightarrow 00:26:54.172$ genomic testing how to interpret

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435585

 $00{:}26{:}54.172 \dashrightarrow 00{:}26{:}56.891$ that number, your 10 year disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435585

 $00:26:56.891 \longrightarrow 00:26:59.610$ free survival risk is going to be 10%.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435585

 $00:26:59.610 \longrightarrow 00:27:00.870$ What does that mean?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435585

 $00:27:00.870 \longrightarrow 00:27:04.017$ Can you help us to understand how

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435585

 $00:27:04.017 \longrightarrow 00:27:06.775$ you discuss that with the patient and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435585

 $00{:}27{:}06.847 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}09.654$ how they might factor in that information

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435585

 $00{:}27{:}09.654 \dashrightarrow 00{:}27{:}11.668$ and what other characteristics or

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435585

 $00:27:11.668 \longrightarrow 00:27:14.496$ factors they may consider when trying to

00:27:14.496 --> 00:27:16.858 figure out how they should be treated?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435585

00:27:16.860 --> 00:27:19.485 I can just imagine that they

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435585

00:27:19.485 --> 00:27:22.114 say look doc, I don't want cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435585

 $00:27:22.114 \longrightarrow 00:27:25.221$ I want to live as long and as

NOTE Confidence: 0.8435585

 $00:27:25.221 \longrightarrow 00:27:26.737$ well as I possibly can.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:27:29.632 \longrightarrow 00:27:31.080$ These conversations are universally difficult.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

00:27:31.080 --> 00:27:33.186 I think having a cancer diagnosis

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:27:33.186 \longrightarrow 00:27:35.070$ no matter what the grade,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:27:35.070 \longrightarrow 00:27:36.880$ no matter what the stage,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

00:27:36.880 --> 00:27:39.407 no matter what your doctor tells you,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

00:27:39.410 --> 00:27:41.220 is inherently an anxiety provoking

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:27:41.220 \longrightarrow 00:27:42.306$ and stressful experience.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:27:42.310 \longrightarrow 00:27:44.837$ There has been a lot of change,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:27:44.840 \longrightarrow 00:27:47.639$ I think in the awareness of men of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:27:47.639 \longrightarrow 00:27:50.628$ fact that prostate cancer is very common,

 $00:27:50.630 \longrightarrow 00:27:52.078$ that the outcomes without

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

00:27:52.078 --> 00:27:53.526 treatment may be excellent,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:27:53.530 \longrightarrow 00:27:55.340$ and so that has changed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:27:55.340 \longrightarrow 00:27:56.660$ A lot of men are

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:27:56.660 \longrightarrow 00:27:58.640$ expecting that diagnosis and have

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

00:27:58.705 --> 00:28:00.835 had friends or family members who

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:28:00.835 \longrightarrow 00:28:03.040$ have gone through the same thing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:28:03.040 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.456$ But still there is the kind of reflexive

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00{:}28{:}05.456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}07.634$ belief that any cancer risk should be

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:28:07.634 \longrightarrow 00:28:10.080$ reduced that you hear that word you

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

00:28:10.080 --> 00:28:12.215 want it out of your body.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

00:28:12.220 --> 00:28:13.332 You want it treated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:28:13.332 \longrightarrow 00:28:15.000$ no matter what

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:28:15.063 \longrightarrow 00:28:16.200$ the consequences is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

00:28:16.200 --> 00:28:18.792 and I think that's very often the initial

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00{:}28{:}18.792 \dashrightarrow 00{:}28{:}21.085$ reaction is I don't care what it does.

 $00{:}28{:}21.090 --> 00{:}28{:}22.314$ I want this gone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:28:22.314 \longrightarrow 00:28:23.844$ I want to treat it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:28:23.850 \longrightarrow 00:28:26.258$ and so that's where I

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:28:26.258 \longrightarrow 00:28:28.399$ think building a personal relationship is so

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

00:28:28.399 --> 00:28:30.893 important to give people time, space,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:28:30.893 \longrightarrow 00:28:33.397$ support for dealing with that and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:28:33.400 \longrightarrow 00:28:35.200$ understanding what the diagnosis is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:28:35.200 \longrightarrow 00:28:37.878$ and really in the cool light of day

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:28:37.880 \longrightarrow 00:28:40.211$ integrating all of the information and really

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

00:28:40.211 --> 00:28:42.656 trying to zone in on what the risks are,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:28:42.660 \longrightarrow 00:28:44.012$ what the benefits are.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:28:44.012 \longrightarrow 00:28:46.040$ And it's really not a one

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

00:28:46.110 --> 00:28:47.730 size fits all approach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:28:47.730 \longrightarrow 00:28:48.720$ Active surveillance is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

00:28:48.720 --> 00:28:50.040 not right for everybody,

 $00:28:50.040 \longrightarrow 00:28:52.350$ but nor is treatment right for everyone.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:28:52.350 \longrightarrow 00:28:55.450$ And so I think that really doing that in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:28:55.520 \longrightarrow 00:28:58.544$ context of a truly shared decision between

 $00:28:58.891 \longrightarrow 00:29:01.278$ stakeholders on the patient side and on

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

00:29:01.278 --> 00:29:03.247 the physician side are so important.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:29:03.250 \longrightarrow 00:29:05.938$ These tools are just tools and

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:29:05.940 \longrightarrow 00:29:08.103$ the hope is that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

00:29:08.103 --> 00:29:09.969 they do provide more clarity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:29:09.970 \longrightarrow 00:29:11.650$ but I don't believe they're

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:29:11.650 \longrightarrow 00:29:13.330$ sort of magically the answer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

00:29:13.330 --> 00:29:15.206 And actually we are leading a study

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:29:15.206 \longrightarrow 00:29:17.199$ right now to help understand the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:29:17.199 \longrightarrow 00:29:19.449$ personal experience and it's an interview

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00{:}29{:}19.449 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}21.727$ based study where we were interviewing

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:29:21.730 \longrightarrow 00:29:24.537$ people going through the experience

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:29:24.537 \longrightarrow 00:29:26.608$ and we essentially want to open

00:29:26.608 --> 00:29:28.910 the door and hear from them and learn

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00{:}29{:}28.910 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}30.975$ what is the experience of having a

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:29:30.975 \longrightarrow 00:29:32.787$ prostate cancer diagnosis and what is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

00:29:32.787 --> 00:29:34.527 the experience of having genomic testing?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

00:29:34.530 --> 00:29:36.306 Does it help? Does it hurt?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

00:29:36.310 --> 00:29:37.494 Does it create uncertainty?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

00:29:37.494 --> 00:29:38.678 Does it alleviate uncertainty?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

00:29:38.680 --> 00:29:40.752 And I'm very excited to be involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:29:40.752 \longrightarrow 00:29:41.640$ in that study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

00:29:41.640 --> 00:29:43.348 Right now I actually just came off

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:29:43.348 \longrightarrow 00:29:45.469$ of a call where we're going through

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:29:45.469 \longrightarrow 00:29:47.467$ these interviews and we've been so

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00{:}29{:}47.523 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}49.317$ for tunate to have men share this

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:29:49.317 \longrightarrow 00:29:51.110$ very personal part of their lives

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:29:51.110 \longrightarrow 00:29:53.175$ with us and give us really new

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:29:53.180 \longrightarrow 00:29:55.094$ and what I believe will be transformative

 $00{:}29{:}55.094 \dashrightarrow 00{:}29{:}56.662$ information about what it's like

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:29:56.662 \longrightarrow 00:29:57.618$ to go through this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:29:57.620 \longrightarrow 00:29:59.540$ Because when these tests are

00:30:00.011 --> 00:30:02.366 studied in laboratories and by companies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:30:02.370 \longrightarrow 00:30:04.926$ there's such an excitement to bring

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:30:04.926 \longrightarrow 00:30:07.470$ new technologies which do provide

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:30:07.470 \longrightarrow 00:30:08.766$ very helpful scientific information,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

00:30:08.766 --> 00:30:11.118 but we're trying to anchor it back

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:30:11.118 \dashrightarrow 00:30:13.326$ to the patient level and see how

NOTE Confidence: 0.85663754

 $00:30:13.326 \longrightarrow 00:30:14.791$ is this going to help

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576877

 $00:30:14.854 \longrightarrow 00:30:16.750$ a given person. How is it

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576877

 $00:30:16.750 \longrightarrow 00:30:18.350$ going to help their family?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576877

 $00:30:18.350 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.950$ And so that's really what

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576877

 $00:30:19.950 \longrightarrow 00:30:22.830$ we're interested in in the in the next step.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576877

 $00:30:22.830 \longrightarrow 00:30:24.110$ Doctor Michael Leapman is

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576877

 $00:30:24.110 \longrightarrow 00:30:25.390$ assistant professor of urology

 $00:30:25.390 \longrightarrow 00:30:27.308$ at the Yale School of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576877

 $00:30:27.310 \longrightarrow 00:30:28.594$ If you have questions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576877

 $00{:}30{:}28.594 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}29.878$ the address is canceranswers@yale.edu

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576877

 $00:30:29.878 \longrightarrow 00:30:31.651$ and past editions of the program

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576877

00:30:31.651 --> 00:30:33.271 are available in audio and written

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576877

 $00{:}30{:}33.319 \dashrightarrow 00{:}30{:}34.669$ form at yale cancercenter.org.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576877

 $00:30:34.670 \dashrightarrow 00:30:36.693$ We hope you'll join us next week

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576877

 $00:30:36.693 \longrightarrow 00:30:39.568$ to learn more about the fight against cancer.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8576877

 $00:30:39.570 \dashrightarrow 00:30:41.760$ Here on Connecticut public radio.